1

Early dosage compensation of zygotically-expressed genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*

is mediated through a post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism

Victoria M. Blake[1,#*], Michael B. Eisen[1,2,3,#]

[1]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California,

United States of America

[2]Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, United

States of America

[3]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California, United

States of America

[#]Current Address: 151A Koshland Hall, Berkeley, California, 94720, United States of

America

[*]Corresponding author

Email: victoriamblake104@gmail.com

**Full title:** Early Dosage Compensation of zygotically-expressed genes in *Drosophila*

*melanogaster* is mediated through a post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism

**Short title:** Regulation of RNA abundance of X-linked genes during early

embryogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*

**Funding:** This work was funded by a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator

award to MBE, and a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship to

VMB. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to

publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** MBE is a co-founder of PLOS.

**Abstract:**

Many key regulators of early embryogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster* are X-linked.

However, the canonical, MSL-mediated dosage compensation, which involves hyper-

transcription of the genes on the single X chromosome in males, is not active until the

post-syncytial stage of development. A separate MSL-independent dosage

compensation system active earlier in development has been described, though the

mechanism through which the process functions remain unclear. In this study, we

quantified transcription in living embryos at single-locus resolution to determine if early

dosage compensation of the X-linked genes *buttonhead* and *giant* is sensitive to X

chromosome dose. We found no evidence for a transcriptionally regulated mechanism

of early dosage compensation, suggesting that the previously observed compensation

of mRNA levels for these genes is achieved via a post-transcriptional regulatory

mechanism.

**Introduction**

In multicellular diploid organisms with chromosomal sex determination, the functional

degeneration of sex chromosome specific to the heterogametic sex (the Y in XY

systems and W in ZW systems) creates a dosage imbalance for sex-chromosome

encoded genes. To mitigate the detrimental impact that this dosage imbalance could

have on fitness, a variety of mechanisms have evolved to equalize the abundance of

gene products found on only one sex chromosome (typically the X or Z).

In the vinegar fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, which uses an XX/XY sex-determination

system, dosage compensation is achieved by upregulating transcription of genes on the

male X chromosome (reviewed in Kuroda et al. 2016). Mutations that affect this process

are lethal in males (Belote and Lucchesi 1980, Lucchesi and Skripsky 1981, Hilfiker et

al. 1997, Meller and Ratner 2002).

All but one of the Male Sex Lethal (MSL) proteins that mediate this process are present

in the maternally deposited protein component. Male specificity is achieved through the

activity of the male-specific MSL-2, which directs assembly of the dosage compensation

complex to the X chromosome, resulting in acetylation of H4K16 by MOF (Hilfiker et al.

1997, Smith et al. 2000) and a subsequent doubling of transcription from X-linked genes

(Smith et al. 2001, Gelbart et al. 2009).

However, an early, MSL-2 independent dosage compensation system acting on *runt*

was discovered via genetic experiments (Gergen 1987, Bernstein and Cline 1994) and

shown to apply more broadly by direct measurement of mRNA levels in early male and

female embryos (Lott et al. 2011). It was hypothesized, based on the enrichment of

putative binding sites for the master sex regulator Sxl in the 5' and 3' untranslated

regions of X encoded genes, that this system was based on post-transcriptional

regulation of mRNA levels (Bernstein & Cline 1994, Lott et al. 2011).

Here we use quantitative live-embryo imaging (Garcia et al. 2013, Bothma et al. 2014)

to compare rates of transcription at individual X-linked loci across early development in

male and female embryos. Briefly, transcription of a gene tagged with a reporter

sequence (MS2 or PP7) forms stem loops that, when bound by a coat protein (MCP or

PCP) conjugated to GFP, gives rise to a fluorescent signal. This allows for simultaneous

direct quantification via microscopy of transcription rates at individual loci across many

nuclei. The ability to quantify transcription rates of candidate genes in embryos of both

sexes makes this system uniquely amenable for testing if early dosage compensation is

due to increasing the rate of transcription of genes on the male X chromosome.

We focus on four X-linked genes: *giant* (*gt*) and *buttonhead* (*btd*) that had previously

been shown to be dosage compensated in the early embryo, and *embryonic lethal*

*abnormal vision* (*elav*) and *bangles and beads* (*bnb*) whose early mRNA levels are

dosage sensitive. We found that the early dosage compensation observed for these

genes was not due to a doubling of transcription in males, suggesting that this process

is likely regulated post-transcriptionally. In addition, we discovered an unreported

doubling of the transcription rate in females for *elav*, a gene that is not dosage

compensated and has no defined role in sex differentiation.

**Materials and Methods:**

**DNA constructs:**

Fly strains were tagged with MS2 or PP7 reporter sequences at the endogenous loci of

X-linked genes through CRISPR-Cas9 Homology-Directed Recombination. We used the

U6-gRNA protocol from flycrispr.org (Gratz et al. 2016) to clone three guide RNA

sequences per gene, targeting a 100 bp region around the start codon, into pCFD5

plasmids (Port and Bullock 2016). For donor plasmids, we inserted a 24X MS2 or PP7

cassette (Garcia et al. 2013, Liu et al. 2020) between 1kb of homology arms for a gene

of interest into a pUC19 vector backbone using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly

protocol. We attempted to tag all target genes with both MS2 and PP7, but, due to low

editing efficiency and potentially other factors, recovered only one for each gene, as

described in text.

**Fly line generation:**

gRNA, donor, and selection plasmids (for phenotypic screening, Kane et al. 2017) were

purified and sent to Rainbow Transgenic Inc. for microinjection into *y2 cho2 v1; Sp/CyO,*

*P{nos-Cas9, y+, v+}2A* embryos.

Full details of construct and sequence information can be found in a public [Benchling](Benchling)

[folder](folder).

Progeny of injected flies with an *ebony* phenotype were molecularly screened by PCR

for the insertion of the MS2 or PP7 cassettes at endogenous X-linked loci. Lines with

successful transgene insertion were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Transcription of X-linked genes was measured by imaging embryos homozygous for the

MS2- or PP7-tagged gene and a constitutively expressed *Histone-RFP; MCP-eGFP*

(Garcia et al. 2013) or *PCP-eGFP* (Larson et al. 2011) allele.

**<u>Live imaging:</u>**

**Image acquisition:**

Sample preparation followed the procedures described in (Bothma et al. 2014, Garcia et

al. 2013, Lammers et al. 2020). In brief, embryos were collected, dechorinated with 50%

bleach, and mounted between a semipermeable membrane (Lumox film, Starstedt,

Germany) and a coverslip while in Halocarbon 27 oil (Sigma). Data collection was

performed using a Zeiss LSM 800 scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss, Jana,

Germany). The MCP-eGFP or PCP-eGFP were excited with laser wavelengths of 488

nm, respectively. Data were collected at a 40X objective with oil immersion where the

average laser power on the specimen was 7.3 mW and a master gain of 550V. The

confocal stack consisted of 21 equidistant slices with an overall z-height of 5.97 μm and

an interslice distance of 0.29 μm. The images were acquired at a frame time of 633.02

ms and a pixel dwell time of 1.03 μs. Image sizes were 78 μm x 19.5 μm, a frame size

of 1024 pixels x 256 pixels, and a pixel size of 0.08 μm. Data were taken for at least 3

embryos of each sex per genotype, and each embryo was imaged for at least the first

30 minutes of nuclear cycle 14.

**Image analysis:**

Live-imaging data were analyzed using a custom-written software following the

protocols in (Garcia et al. 2013, Lammers et al. 2020). This software, containing

MATLAB code, can be found in a public GitHub repository. In brief, this procedure

involves nuclear segmentation, segmenting transcription spots based on fluorescence,

and calculating the intensity of each MCP-eGFP or PCP-eGFP transcription spot inside

a nucleus as a function of time.

**Data analysis**

To infer bursting parameters from experimental fluorescence traces, we used a

compound-state hidden Markov Model described in Lammers et al. 2020 whose code

can be found in a public GitHub repository.

All data analysis was done in Python using a Jupyter Notebook with custom code to

generate figures. The Jupyter notebook and all data required to run it are available in

Supplementary File 1 this  Github link .

**Quantitative RNA-FISH:**

**Probe design and hybridization:**

Custom Stellaris® FISH Probes were designed against *gt* and *bnb* RNA by utilizing the

Stellaris® RNA FISH Probe Designer (Biosearch Technologies, Inc., Petaluma, CA)

available online at www.biosearchtech.com/stellarisdesigner (version 4.2). Single-

molecule RNA-FISH protocol was followed using the *D. melanogaster* embryo protocol

at the Biosearch Technologies website.

**Image acquisition:**

Embryos were staged at nuclear cycle 14A based on percent membrane invagination

during cellularization (< 25%). Data collection was performed using a Zeiss LSM 800

scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss, Jana, Germany). A laser wavelength of 670 nm

was used to excite the probe-conjugated fluors. Data were collected at a 63X objective

with oil immersion with the laser power on the specimen was set to 5% and a master

gain of 650V. The images were acquired at a frame time of 930.91 ms and a pixel dwell

time of 1.52 µs. Image sizes were 202.8 µm x 202.8 µm, a frame size of 512 pixels x

512 pixels, and a pixel size of 0.4 µm.

The field of view was adjusted to encompass the entire expression domain of probed

patterned genes, and the bounds of the z-stack for each image were determined by

when the z-plane no longer detected the probe fluorescent signal.

Full details of probe sequence information can be found in a public [Benchling folder](#).

Raw and max intensity projection images can be found in Supplemental File 2.

**Image analysis:**

Total signal of mRNA with background correction was measured for probed genes using

ImageJ. Z-slices of the original image that contained no signal were trimmed from the

image before generating a 2D max intensity projection. We followed the Cooper Lab

protocol for Quantitation of Total Fluorescence per Cell with Background correction

([https://cooperlab.wustl.edu/](https://cooperlab.wustl.edu/)). In brief, two ROIs were determined in ImageJ: one to

measure signal and the other to measure background. We then averaged the mean

Integrated Density of the signal (5 separate measurements encompassing the total

expression domain) and of the background. The mean background is subtracted from

the mean integrated density, and then divided by the area of the signal ROI to obtain

the Corrected Integrated Density.

**<u>Results</u>**

Previous work identified a wide-spread dosage compensation of zygotic transcripts prior

to cellularization, where 36 out of 85 X-linked genes showed less than a 1.5-fold excess

of transcript abundance in female embryos relative to their male counterparts (Lott *et*

*al.,* 2011). Many of these early-compensated genes are key developmental regulators,

where differences in transcript abundance could pose profound consequences to

organismal development. Because these early-compensated genes are regulated

before MSL-2, the molecule that mediates canonical dosage compensation, is

expressed, we sought to investigate if the early-compensation of these developmental

regulators occurred through a transcriptional mechanism independent of MSL-2.

We chose two early-compensated genes (*gt* and *btd*) for further study that are essential

for mediating embryonic development and whose mRNA abundance is matched in male

and female embryos during the early nuclear cycles. Because not all zygotically-

transcribed genes emanating from X are early-compensated, we chose two additional

genes (*elav* and *bnb*) with twice as much female mRNA abundant relative to males as a

point of comparison in our study. All four of these genes reach maximum transcript

abundance during the first half of nuclear cycle 14 (Lott *et al.,* 2011), mitigating any

differences due to developmental stages across datasets.

We used CRISPR-Cas9 (Gratz *et al.,* 2015) to insert an array of either MS2 or PP7

stem loop sequences immediately upstream of the translation start codon of the

endogenous gene of interest. The rationale behind choosing this insertion site was to

position at the stem loops sequences at beginning of the resulting transcript, ensuring a

robust reporter signal of when transcription is occurring. The MS2 or PP7 sequences

were flanked by the donor and acceptor splice sites from the *hunchback* intron so that

the stem loops would be removed from the mature mRNA to prevent problems with

RNA localization (Heinrich *et al.,* 2017) or the translation of the endogenous protein.

We homozygosed the lines containing MS2 and PP7-tagged genes and crossed in a

transgene encoding an MCP-GFP (or PCP-GFP) fusion protein that binds transcribed

stem loop sequences. The binding of fluorescently conjugated coat protein to these

stem loops (Bernardi *et al.,*1972) gives rise to a fluorescent puncta (what we will refer to

as "spots" moving forward) in the nucleus (Figure 1B; Garcia *et al.,* 2013) whose

fluorescence intensity correlates with the abundance of RNA present at the locus

(Garcia et al. 2013). This system offers a spatio-temporal and quantitative

characterization of transcript abundance for a gene of interest (Movies 1 & 2).

**Figure 1: MS2-MCP and PP7-PCP reporter systems measure transcription rate of**

**X-linked genes in the early *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo** A) An example

schematic of how the PP7-PCP reporter system works: 24 repeats of the PP7 stem loop

sequence (yellow) are flanked by splice donor and acceptor sites from the intron of the

*hunchback* gene (gold) were inserted upstream of the target gene's start codon (ATG),

represented here by the gene "*buttonhead*". When transcribed, the PP7 form RNA step

loops that are subsequently bound by PP7 Coat Protein (orange) conjugated to GFP

(green). B) The anterior portion of an embryo expression *PP7-buttonhead* (*PP7-btd*). C)

Zoomed-in view of the *PP7-btd* expression domain in a female embryo, made evident

by the two transcribing loci in nuclei as opposed to D) the same field of view for *PP7-btd*

expression in a male embryo, where there is only one transcribing locus per nucleus.

Embryos with successfully incorporated MS2-MCP or PCP-PP7 reporter systems

showed no signs of impaired organismal health and faithfully recapitulated the tagged

gene's expression patterns previously reported by FISH (Figure 1B; Wimmer *et al.,*

1996, Tomancak et al. 2002). A key feature of this system that lends itself for studying

dosage compensation is the ease in sexing embryos–the fluorescent spots in nuclei

serve as a readout for the number of X chromosomes present (embryos with two

fluorescent spots within the same nucleus are female, while embryos with one spot are

male) (Figure 1C & 1D).

We used laser-scanning confocal microscopy to acquire MCP-GFP (or PCP-GFP)

movies of embryos during nc14, the last nuclear cycle before gastrulation. To ensure

consistency across fields of view across these high magnification movies, we optimized

data collection for patterned genes to capture all nuclei along the AP axis within the

same single expression domain (Movies 1 & 2, Supplemental Figure 1). If a gene had a

more ubiquitous expression domain within the anterior domain of the embryo, we

positioned the anterior pole relative to the field of view consistently across all data

collections. To obtain high temporal resolution and optimize signal-to-noise with minimal

bleaching, we collected movies so that the acquisition time for each timepoint (or Z-

stack) corresponded to intervals of 19.5s. In total, we collected 51 movies (Movies 3-51)

with a minimum of three movies of each sex per genotype (see Table 1).

| Embryo ID | Gene | Sex | nuclear cycle | Duration (in frames) | Total time | Movie # |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M_btd_1 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 159 | 51.675 | Movie 1 |
| F_btd_1 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 172 | 55.9 | Movie 2 |
| M_btd_2 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 142 | 46.15 | Movie 3 |
| M_btd_3 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 162 | 52.65 | Movie 4 |
| M_btd_4 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 125 | 40.625 | Movie 5 |
| M_btd_5 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 141 | 45.825 | Movie 6 |
| M_btd_6 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 183 | 59.475 | Movie 7 |
| M_btd_7 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 99 | 32.175 | Movie 8 |
| M_btd_8 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 110 | 35.75 | Movie 9 |
| M_btd_9 | PP7-btd | M | 14 | 94 | 30.55 | Movie 10 |
| F_btd_2 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 122 | 39.65 | Movie 11 |
| F_btd_3 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 194 | 63.05 | Movie 12 |
| F_btd_4 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 208 | 67.6 | Movie 13 |
| F_btd_5 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 123 | 39.975 | Movie 14 |
| F_btd_6 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 176 | 57.2 | Movie 15 |
| F_btd_7 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 166 | 53.95 | Movie 16 |
| F_btd_8 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 173 | 56.225 | Movie 17 |
| F_btd_9 | PP7-btd | F | 14 | 119 | 38.675 | Movie 18 |
| M_gt_1 | MS2-gt | M | 14 | 119 | 38.675 | Movie 19 |
| M_gt_2 | MS2-gt | M | 14 | 148 | 48.1 | Movie 20 |
| M_gt_3 | MS2-gt | M | 14 | 125 | 40.625 | Movie 21 |
| M_gt_4 | MS2-gt | M | 14 | 114 | 37.05 | Movie 22 |
| F_gt_1 | MS2-gt | F | 14 | 111 | 36.075 | Movie 23 |
| F_gt_2 | MS2-gt | F | 14 | 143 | 46.475 | Movie 24 |
| F_gt_3 | MS2-gt | F | 14 | 147 | 47.775 | Movie 25 |
| F_gt_4 | MS2-gt | F | 14 | 139 | 45.175 | Movie 26 |
| M_bnb_1 | PP7-bnb | M | 14 | 102 | 33.15 | Movie 27 |
| M_bnb_2 | PP7-bnb | M | 14 | 122 | 39.65 | Movie 28 |
| M_bnb_3 | PP7-bnb | M | 14 | 122 | 39.65 | Movie 29 |
| F_bnb_1 | PP7-bnb | F | 14 | 160 | 52 | Movie 30 |
| F_bnb_2 | PP7-bnb | F | 14 | 146 | 47.45 | Movie 31 |
| F_bnb_3 | PP7-bnb | F | 14 | 157 | 51.025 | Movie 32 |
| M_elav_1 | MS2-elav | M | 14 | 133 | 43.225 | Movie 33 |
| M_elav_2 | MS2-elav | M | 14 | 148 | 48.1 | Movie 34 |
| M_elav_3 | MS2-elav | M | 14 | 128 | 41.6 | Movie 35 |
| F_elav_1 | MS2-elav | F | 14 | 139 | 45.175 | Movie 36 |
| F_elav_2 | MS2-elav | F | 14 | 159 | 51.675 | Movie 37 |
| F_elav_3 | MS2-elav | F | 14 | 141 | 45.825 | Movie 38 |
| M13_btd_1 | PP7-btd | M | 13 | 33 | 10.725 | Movie 39 |
| M13_btd_2 | PP7-btd | M | 13 | 31 | 10.075 | Movie 40 |
| M13_btd_3 | PP7-btd | M | 13 | 25 | 8.125 | Movie 41 |
| F13_btd_1 | PP7-btd | F | 13 | 26 | 8.45 | Movie 42 |
| F13_btd_2 | PP7-btd | F | 13 | 23 | 7.475 | Movie 43 |
| F13_btd_3 | PP7-btd | F | 13 | 23 | 7.475 | Movie 44 |
| M13_bnb_1 | PP7-bnb | M | 13 | 38 | 12.35 | Movie 45 |
| M13_bnb_2 | PP7-bnb | M | 13 | 25 | 8.125 | Movie 46 |
| M13_bnb_3 | PP7-bnb | M | 13 | 29 | 9.425 | Movie 47 |
| F13_bnb_1 | PP7-bnb | F | 13 | 50 | 16.25 | Movie 48 |
| F13_bnb_2 | PP7-bnb | F | 13 | 44 | 14.3 | Movie 49 |
| F13_bnb_3 | PP7-bnb | F | 13 | 32 | 10.4 | Movie 50 |

**Table 1: MS2 or PP7 live-imaging data collected in this study**

We used a custom image analysis pipeline (Garcia et al. 2013, Lammers et al. 2020) to

identify nuclei and extract fluorescent intensity measurements for actively transcribing

X-linked loci in embryos of both sexes. A representative example of the resulting data is

shown in Figure 2.

**No hyper-transcription of male X in dosage compensated genes**

We compared the average fluorescence intensity (which we expect to be proportional to

polymerase density on transcribing loci) of detected transcription foci from male and

female X chromosomes across time during mitotic cycle 14 for both *btd* and *gt* (Figure

2A). For *btd* they are nearly identical, and for *gt*, the differences both balances out over

time (with slightly higher transcription from male X's early in cycle 14 and slightly higher

transcription from female X's later in cycle 14). As it is possible to increase

transcriptional output even with identical polymerase density on transcribing loci by

increasing the fraction of loci actively transcribing, we also looked at the number of

transcribing loci as a function of time in male and female embryos (Figure 2B), and, as

expected, observed them to be in approximately a 2:1 ratio between females and

males. These results are inconsistent with early dosage compensation of *btd* and *gt*

being achieved via hyper-transcription of the male X.

**Figure 2: Transcription rate of *PP7-btd* is the same in male and female embryos**

A) Distributions of fluorescent spot intensity over time (in frames, where "1" is the the

first instance of transcription of the locus during nuclear cycle 14) for all female (orange)

and male (dark cyan) embryos (N=9 embryos of each sex) with total spot intensity

histograms on the right. B) The distribution of fluorescent spot intensities plotted over

time for the dosage compensated *MS2-gt* (N=4 embryos of each sex) with total spot

intensity histograms on the right. B&C) The distribution of fluorescent spot intensities

plotted over time for *PP7-bnb* and *MS2-elav*, genes that are not early dosage

compensated (N=3 embryos of each sex for each genotype) with total spot intensity

histograms on the right.

We made similar comparisons between average fluorescence and number of

transcribing loci in the two non-compensated loci bnb and elav. These show more

variation between sexes than two dosage compensated genes, with bnb generally

higher in males, and elav higher in females (Figure 3).

**Figure 3: Transcription rate of *PP7-bnb* and *MS2-elav* is does not result in dosage**

**compensation**

A) Averages for male (dark cyan) and female (orange) *PP7-bnb* and *MS2-elav*

fluorescent spot intensities plotted over nuclear cycle 14. The dashed, dark orange line

represents double the average female fluorescent spot intensity for every given

timepoint. B) The number of transcribing *PP7-bnb* and *MS2-elav* loci throughout nuclear

cycle 14. The dashed orange line represents half of the transcribing female loci.

**Discussion**

Our tailoring of quantitative live-imaging methods to examine early embryonic dosage

compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster* were motivated by the hypothesis that the

equalizing of mRNA abundance between sexes in the absence of MSL-2 mediated by

regulation of transcription rate at X-linked loci.

Instead, what our studies revealed was a post-transcriptional mechanism for regulating

early dosage compensation of two key developmental regulators, *btd* and *gt.* These

findings represent a broadening of our understanding of different ways in which the sex

chromosome dosage imbalance is mitigated during early embryogenesis. Our findings

offer a more dynamic way of understanding how mRNA abundance is regulated across

developmental stages. Importantly, this presents a shift in our understanding of how

mRNA abundance is regulated considering the decades of research whose findings

posited that dosage compensation is almost exclusively a transcriptionally regulated

process.

Sex lethal (Sxl), and RNA-binding protein that inhibits dosage compensation in females,

may play a role in regulating the abundance of X-linked mRNAs during early

embryogenesis. The regulation of X-linked *runt* abundance by Sxl is the only

documented observation of an MSL-2-independent, post-transcriptional regulation of

dosage compensation prior to our study (Gergen 1987, Bernstein and Cline 1994). It is

possible that because the two molecules regulate each other's expression (Kramer et

al. 1999, Torres et al. 2009), that observation of post-transcriptional dosage

compensation by Sxl is gene-specific and therefore inapplicable to the widespread

phenomenon we observe during early embryogenesis (Lott et al. 2011).

Considering our findings, the observed enrichment of predicted Sxl binding sites (poly-U

tracts) in the 5' and 3' UTRs of many X-linked genes (Kelley et al. 1995) may point to

one mechanism for post-transcriptionally regulating dosage compensation. There is no

direct correlation between genes that are early dosage compensated and their predicted

regulation by Sxl–not all genes that are early dosage compensated are predicted to be

Sxl targets, and not all predicted Sxl targets are early dosage compensation. There may

however be a subset of early dosage-compensated genes whose abundance may be

regulated by Sxl, a hypothesis worth exploring in future studies.

It is formally possible that regulation of transcriptional elongation may mediate early

dosage compensation of *btd* and *gt*. Because the MS2 and PP7 stem loop sequences in

our study are inserted immediately upstream of the translation start codon and flanked

by splice donor and acceptor sites from the *hb* intron, our findings speak only to the

regulation of transcription initiation. However, a recent study using MS2 and PP7

reporter systems demonstrated a concerted regulation of transcription initiation as

opposed to a highly variable regulation of elongation between nuclei (Liu et al. 2020).

These findings suggest that elongation is not the major contributor to transcriptional

regulation, though the role of elongation during early dosage compensation using these

tools warrants further study.

While the primary goal of this study was to study dosage compensation, our

measurements of transcription rate at endogenous loci represent a significant advance

for *in vivo* studies of mechanisms of gene regulation in the *D. melanogaster* embryo.

Our use of our reporter at an X-linked loci for sexing embryos also provides a

straightforward control for detecting differences in the regulation of gene expression

between male and female embryos.

We hope that our data, as well as the reagents generated for the purposes of our study,

can help address other open questions about gene regulation in the early *D.*

*melanogaster* embryo. The reagents generated can be used for protein colocalization

studies or can be crossed mutant alleles of interest to assess the contribution of a

particular molecule in the regulation of our tagged genes. Overall, we are eager to learn

how the data and reagents generated in our discovery of a post-transcriptional form of

early dosage compensation make possible future learnings that deepen the

community's understanding of regulating gene expression during development.

**Supporting Information**

**Supplementary Figure 1: Transcription rate of *PP7-btd* is the same in male and**

**female embryos**

A) Distributions of fluorescent spot intensity over time (in frames, where "1" is the the

first instance of transcription of the locus during nuclear cycle 14) for all female (orange)

and male (dark cyan) embryos (N=9 embryos of each sex) with total spot intensity

histograms on the right. B) The distribution of fluorescent spot intensities plotted over

time for the dosage compensated *MS2-gt* (N=4 embryos of each sex) with total spot

intensity histograms on the right. B&C) The distribution of fluorescent spot intensities

plotted over time for *PP7-bnb* and *MS2-elav*, genes that are not early dosage

compensated (N=3 embryos of each sex for each genotype) with total spot intensity

histograms on the right.

**Supplementary Figure 2: Validation of early dosage compensation phenotype by**

**quantitative FISH** A) A maximum intensity projection of the anterior portion of a wild

type male embryo at early nc14 probed for *gt* (an early dosage compensated gene)

RNA and B) a female embryo probed for *bnb* (an X-linked gene that is not early dosage

compensated) RNA. Embryos were sexed by determining the number of large puncta

were present within nuclei, which are dark and circular in appearance. C & D)

Quantification of total probe signal for each probe in individual embryos, where the

signal intensity was averaged across the expression domain with background

subtraction.

**Supplemental Figure 3: Transcription rate for *PP7-btd* and *PP7-bnb* are the same**

**in male and female embryos at nuclear cycle 13** A) The total distribution of

fluorescent spot intensities and the same data plotted over the course of nuclear cycle

13 for *PP7-btd* (N=3 embryos for each sex). B) The total distribution of fluorescent spot

intensities and the same data plotted over the course of nuclear cycle 13 for *PP7-bnb*

(N=3 embryos for each sex).

**Supplemental Figure 4: Total distribution of spot intensities of *MS2-gt, MS2-elav*,**

**and *PP7-bnb*** A) Total distributions of fluorescent spot intensity for all female (orange)

and male (dark cyan) *MS2-gt* embryos (N=4 embryos for each sex) and the same data

plotted for individual embryos by sex. We also plotted data for *MS2-elav* in B) and *PP7-*

*bnb* in C) in the same manner (N=3 embryos for each sex per genotype).

**Supplementary Figure 5: Transcription rate of *PP7-btd* varies along the Dorsal-**

**Ventral axis of the developing embryo** A) Distribution of fluorescent intensity of *PP7-*

*btd* spots in individual male and female embryos. B) Variation in the width of the *PP7-*

*btd* expression domain along the DV axis, where the dorsal (39% of the field of view)

expression domain correlates with spots of weaker fluorescence intensity (indicated by

the green color that matches one of the individual histograms of a male embryo in A).

An embryo whose recorded *PP7-btd* expression is more ventral (52% field of view) has

a wider distribution of spot fluorescent intensities. C) Distribution of fluorescent spot

intensities of individual embryos matched by FOV, and therefore, DV position. D)

Average particle intensities plotted over time for two male and female embryos on

different positions on the DV axis.

**Acknowledgments:**

We thank Hernan Garcia for pioneering techniques for quantitative imaging of live

embryos and for project insight; Nicholas Lammers for help with computational analysis;

Gabriella Martini for generating the *MS2-giant* fly line; Barbara Meyer, Nicholas Ingolia,

and Doris Bachtrog for helpful comments; Michael Stadler and members of the Eisen

lab for helpful comments and project insight.

**References:**

Beadle LR, Love LC, Shapovalova Y, Artemev A, Rattray M, Ashe HL. Modelling global

mRNA dynamics during *Drosophila* embryogenesis reveals a relationship between

mRNA degradation and P-bodies. bioRxiv:484585 [Preprint]. 2022 [cited 2022 April 20]

Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.484585.

Belote JM, Lucchesi JC. MALE-SPECIFIC LETHAL MUTATIONS OF DROSOPHILA

MELANOGASTER. Genetics [Internet]. 1980;96(1):165–86. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/genetics/96.1.165

Bernardi A, Spahr PF. Nucleotide sequence at the binding site for coat protein on RNA

of bacteriophage R17. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 1972;69(10):3033–7.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.69.10.3033).

Bernstein M, Cline TW. Differential effects of Sex-lethal mutations on dosage

compensation early in Drosophila development. Genetics [Internet]. 1994;136(3):1051–

61. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/genetics/136.3.1051

Bothma JP, Garcia HG, Esposito E, Schlissel G, Gregor T, Levine M. Dynamic

regulation of eve stripe 2 expression reveals transcriptional bursts in living Drosophila

embryos. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2014;111(29):10598–603. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1410022111

Garcia HG, Tikhonov M, Lin A, Gregor T. Quantitative imaging of transcription in living

Drosophila embryos links polymerase activity to patterning. Curr Biol [Internet].

2013;23(21):2140–5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.08.054

Gelbart ME, Larschan E, Peng S, Park PJ, Kuroda MI. Drosophila MSL complex

globally acetylates H4K16 on the male X chromosome for dosage compensation. Nat

Struct Mol Biol [Internet]. 2009;16(8):825–32. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1644

Gergen JP. Dosage compensation in Drosophila: Evidence that daughterless and sex-lethal

control X chromosome activity at the blastoderm stage of embryogenesis. Genetics [Internet].

1987;117(3):477–85. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/genetics/117.3.477

Gratz SJ, Rubinstein CD, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. CRISPR-

Cas9 genome editing in Drosophila. Curr Protoc Mol Biol [Internet]. 2015;111(1):31.2.1-

31.2.20. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb3102s111

Heinrich S, Sidler CL, Azzalin CM, Weis K. Stem–loop RNA labeling can affect nuclear

and cytoplasmic mRNA processing. RNA [Internet]. 2017;23(2):134–41. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1261/rna.057786.116

Hilfiker A, Hilfiker-Kleiner D, Pannuti A, Lucchesi JC. mof, a putative acetyl transferase

gene related to the Tip60 and MOZ human genes and to the SAS genes of yeast, is

required for dosage compensation in Drosophila. EMBO J [Internet]. 1997;16(8):2054–

60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.8.2054

Kane NS, Vora M, Varre KJ, Padgett RW. Efficient screening of CRISPR/Cas9-induced events

in Drosophila using a co-CRISPR strategy. G3 (Bethesda) [Internet]. 2017;7(1):87–93. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.036723

Kelley RL, Solovyeva I, Lyman LM, Richman R, Solovyev V, Kuroda MI. Expression of

msl-2 causes assembly of dosage compensation regulators on the X chromosomes and

female lethality in Drosophila. Cell [Internet]. 1995;81(6):867–77. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(95)90007-1

Kramer SG, Jinks TM, Schedl P, Gergen JP. Direct activation of Sex-lethal transcription by the

Drosophila runt protein. Development [Internet]. 1999;126(1):191–200. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.126.1.191

Kuroda MI, Hilfiker A, Lucchesi JC. Dosage compensation in Drosophila-a model for the

coordinate regulation of transcription. Genetics [Internet]. 2016;204(2):435–50.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.185108

Lammers NC, Galstyan V, Reimer A, Medin SA, Wiggins CH, Garcia HG. Multimodal

transcriptional control of pattern formation in embryonic development. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A [Internet]. 2020;117(2):836–47. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912500117

Larson DR, Zenklusen D, Wu B, Chao JA, Singer RH. Real-time observation of transcription

initiation and elongation on an endogenous yeast gene. Science [Internet]. 2011;332(6028):475–

8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1202142

Liu J, Hansen, Eck E, Kim YJ, Turner M, Alamos S, Garcia HG. Real-time single-cell

characterization of the eukaryotic transcription cycle reveals correlations between RNA

initiation, elongation, and cleavage. bioRxiv:273474 [Preprint]. 2020 [cited 2022 April

20]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.29.273474.

Lott SE, Villalta JE, Schroth GP, Luo S, Tonkin LA, Eisen MB. Noncanonical

compensation of zygotic X transcription in early Drosophila melanogaster development

revealed through single-embryo RNA-seq. PLoS Biol [Internet]. 2011;9(2):e1000590.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000590

Lucchesi JC, Skripsky T. The link between dosage compensation and sex differentiation

in Drosophila melanogaster. Chromosoma [Internet]. 1981;82(2):217–27. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00286106

Meller VH, Rattner BP. The roX genes encode redundant male-specific lethal transcripts

required for targeting of the MSL complex. EMBO J [Internet]. 2002;21(5):1084–91.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.5.1084

Port F, Bullock SL. Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with tRNA-flanked

sgRNAs. Nat Methods [Internet]. 2016;13(10):852–4. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3972

Pritchard DK, Schubiger G. Activation of transcription in Drosophila embryos is a

gradual process mediated by the nucleocytoplasmic ratio. Genes Dev [Internet].

1996;10(9):1131–42. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.10.9.1131

Smith ER, Allis CD, Lucchesi JC. Linking global histone acetylation to the transcription

enhancement of X-chromosomal genes in Drosophila males. J Biol Chem [Internet].

2001;276(34):31483–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.c100351200

Smith ER, Pannuti A, Gu W, Steurnagel A, Cook RG, Allis CD, et al. The Drosophila

MSL complex acetylates histone H4 at lysine 16, a chromatin modification linked to

dosage compensation. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2000;20(1):312–8. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mcb.20.1.312-318.2000

Tomancak P, Beaton A, Weiszmann R, Kwan E, Shu S, Lewis SE, et al. Systematic

determination of patterns of gene expression during Drosophila embryogenesis.

Genome Biol [Internet]. 2002;3(12):RESEARCH0088. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2002-3-12-research0088

Torres M, Sánchez L. The segmentation gene runt is needed to activate Sex-lethal, a gene that

controls sex determination and dosage compensation in Drosophila. Genet Res. 1992;59(3):189–

98.

Wimmer EA, Frommer G, Purnell BA, Jäckle H. buttonhead and D-Sp1: a novel

Drosophila gene pair. Mech Dev [Internet]. 1996;59(1):53–62. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0925-4773(96)00575-8

Zoller B, Little SC, Gregor T. Diverse spatial expression patterns emerge from unified

kinetics of transcriptional bursting. Cell [Internet]. 2018;175(3):835-847.e25. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.056