A Feed-forward Pathway Drives LRRK2 kinase
Membrane Recruitment and Activation

Edmundo G. Vides[1,4], Ayan Adhikari[1,4], Claire Y. Chiang[1], Pawel Lis[2,4], Elena Purlyte[2,4], Charles Limouse[1], Justin L. Shumate[1,4], Elena Spínola-Lasso[2,3], Herschel S. Dhekne[1,4],

Dario R. Alessi[2,4], and Suzanne R. Pfeffer[1,4]*

[1]Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University School of Medicine

[2]MRC Protein Phosphorylation and Ubiquitylation Unit, University of Dundee

[3]Instituto Universitario de Investigaciones Biomédicas y Sanitarias (IUIBS), Departamento de

Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Fisiología, Genética e Inmunología, Universidad de Las

Palmas de Gran Canaria, The Canary Islands, Spain

[4]Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase,

MD

*Corresponding Author

Address:

279 Campus Drive

Stanford, California, USA 94305-5307

E-mail Address: pfeffer@stanford.edu

30 **Abstract**
31

Activating mutations in the Leucine Rich Repeat Kinase 2 (LRRK2) cause Parkinson's disease

and previously we showed that activated LRRK2 phosphorylates a subset of Rab GTPases

(Steger et al., 2017).   Moreover, Golgi-associated Rab29 can recruit LRRK2 to the surface of

the Golgi and activate it there for both auto- and Rab substrate phosphorylation. Here we

define the precise Rab29 binding region of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain between residues 360-

450 and show that this domain, termed "Site #1", can also bind additional LRRK2 substrates,

Rab8A and Rab10. Moreover, we identify a distinct, N-terminal, higher affinity interaction

interface between LRRK2 phosphorylated Rab8 and Rab10 termed "Site #2", that can retain

LRRK2 on membranes in cells to catalyze multiple, subsequent phosphorylation events. Kinase

inhibitor washout experiments demonstrate that rapid recovery of kinase activity in cells

depends on the ability of LRRK2 to associate with phosphorylated Rab proteins, and

phosphorylated Rab8A stimulates LRRK2 phosphorylation of Rab10 in vitro. Reconstitution of

purified LRRK2 recruitment onto planar lipid bilayers decorated with Rab10 protein

demonstrates cooperative association of only active LRRK2 with phospho-Rab10-containing

membrane surfaces. These experiments reveal a feed-forward pathway that provides spatial

control and membrane activation of LRRK2 kinase activity.

Introduction

Activating mutations in the Leucine Rich Repeat Kinase 2 (LRRK2) cause inherited Parkinson's disease, and lead to the phosphorylation of a subset of Rab GTPases (Alessi and Sammler, 2018), in particular, Rab8A, Rab10, and Rab29 within a conserved residue of the Switch-II effector binding motif. Rab GTPases are master regulators of membrane trafficking and are thought to serve as identity determinants of membrane bound compartments of the secretory and endocytic pathways (Pfeffer, 2017). In their GTP bound forms, Rabs are best known for their roles in linking motor proteins to transport vesicles and facilitating the process of transport vesicle docking.

Our previous work showed that Rab phosphorylation blocks the ability of Rab proteins to be activated by their cognate guanine nucleotide exchange factors or to bind to the GDI proteins that recycle GDP-bearing Rabs from target membranes to their membranes of origin (Steger et al., 2016; 2017). Moreover, phosphorylation of Rab8A and Rab10 blocks their ability to bind known effector proteins and enhances binding to a novel set of effectors that includes RILPL1, RILPL2, JIP3, JIP4 and MyoVa proteins (Steger et al., 2017; Waschbüsch et al., 2020; Dhekne et al., 2021). Thus, Rab phosphorylation flips a switch on Rab effector selectivity that can drive dominant physiological changes, including blocking primary cilia formation (Steger et al., 2017; Dhekne et al., 2018; Sobu et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2021) and autophagosome motility in axons (Boecker et al., 2021).

Most LRRK2 is found in the cell cytosol where it appears to be inactive (Biskup et al., 2006; Berger et al., 2010; Purlyte et al., 2018). Recent structural analysis of the catalytic, C-terminal half of LRRK2 (Deniston et al., 2021) and full length human LRRK2 protein yielded structures of both monomeric and dimeric, inactive states (Myasnikov et al., 2021). Several groups have reported that active LRRK2 is a dimer (Greggio et al., 2008; Klein et al., 2009; Sen et al., 2009;

Berger et al, 2010; Civiero et al., 2012; Guaitoli et al, 2016), and higher order forms were

detected on membranes upon crosslinking (Berger et al., 2010; Schapansky et al., 2014) and

upon Rab29 binding (Zhu et al., 2022). Thus, LRRK2 membrane association is associated with

kinase activation, however the molecular basis for this activation is not yet known.

Exogenously expressed, Golgi-localized Rab29 protein can recruit LRRK2 onto membranes and

activate it there for both auto- and Rab substrate phosphorylation (Kuwahara et al., 2016; Liu et

al., 2018; Purlyte et al., 2018; Madero-Pérez et al., 2018). Indeed, even Rab29 artificially

anchored on mitochondria can activate LRRK2 and drive its membrane recruitment (Gomez et

al., 2019). McGrath et al. (2021) implicated LRRK2 residues 386-392 as being important for the

interaction of Rab29/32/38 family members with the LRRK2 kinase Armadillo domain. However,

the LRRK2 Armadillo domain is located at some distance from the kinase domain, at least in the

current structure models for LRRK2 protein (Myasnikov et al., 2021). Thus, how Rab29 binding

might activate LRRK2 kinase activity is not at all clear. In addition, because Rab29 is not

needed for LRRK2 action on Rab8A or Rab10 proteins (Kalogeropulou et al., 2020), other

pathways for LRRK2 activation must exist.

In this study, we define a specific patch ("Site #1") of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain that binds to

Rab8A, Rab10 and Rab29 protein with affinities similar to those reported previously (McGrath et

al., 2021). More importantly, we identify a distinct region of LRRK2 Armadillo domain ("Site #2")

that binds specifically to LRRK2-*phosphorylated* Rab8A and Rab10 proteins, to establish a feed

forward activation mechanism for membrane-associated LRRK2 kinase.

Results

Rab29 binds to the C-terminal portion of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain

McGrath et al. (2021) showed that the LRRK2 Armadillo domain residues 1-552 contain a

binding site that interacts specifically with purified Rab29, 32 and 38 in vitro with affinities of 2.7,

1.2 and 1.2-2.4μM, respectively. We used microscale thermophoresis to determine the affinity

of other Rab GTPase substrates with this portion of LRRK2 kinase. For these experiments,

portions of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain were fluorescently labeled and incubated with Rab

GTPases in the presence of Mg^{2+}-GTP. Figure 1 shows binding curves for Rab29 with full

length Armadillo domain (residues 1-552, panel A), as well as sub-fragments composed of

LRRK2 residues 1-159 (Fig. 1C) or 350-550 (Fig. 1D). Rab29 showed specific binding to the full

length 1-552 Armadillo fragment with a K_D of 1.6μM (Fig. 1A), comparable to that reported

previously using other methods (McGrath et al., 2021). Under these conditions, the non-LRRK2

substrate Rab7 protein failed to bind to the Armadillo 1-552 fragment (Fig. 1B). No Rab29

binding was detected to a fragment representing the N-terminal 1-159 LRRK2 residues (binding

>29μM; Fig. 1C); essentially full binding was observed with a fragment encompassing residues

350-550 (K_D = 1.6μM; Fig. 1D). Thus, Rab29 binds to the C-terminal portion of LRRK2's

Armadillo domain at a site that we will refer to as Site #1.

Rab8A and Rab10 bind to the LRRK2 Armadillo domain

Similar experiments were carried out with Rab8A and Rab10, the most prominent LRRK2

substrates (Steger et al., 2017). Rab8A bound full length Armadillo domain with a K_D of 2.9μM

(Fig. 2A), showed weaker interaction with the LRRK2 1-159 fragment (K_D ~ 6.7μM; Fig. 2B), and

good binding to the 350-550 fragment (K_D = 2.3μM; Fig. 2C). These data indicate that Rab8A

may bind to the same site as Rab29. Like Rab8A, Rab10 bound to full length Armadillo 1-552

with a K_D of 2.4μM (Fig. 2D); weaker binding was detected for 1-159 and 350-550 fragments,

yielding K_Ds of 5.1μM in both cases (Fig. 2E,F). Thus, in addition to Rab32, 38 and 29, Rabs

8A and 10 can bind to LRRK2 residues 350-550. Note that Rab32 and Rab38 are not

substrates of LRRK2 kinase as they lack a phosphorylatable Ser/Thr residue in the Switch-II

motif (Steger et al., 2016; 2107); they show extremely narrow tissue-specific expression but are

related to Rab29 protein.

Residues critical for Rab GTPase binding to LRRK2 residues 350-550, Site #1

Previous work implicated LRRK2 residues 386–392 in contributing to a Rab29/32/38 binding

interface (McGrath et al., 2021). We used a microscopy-based assay to identify any portions of

the first 1000 residues of LRRK2 that would relocalize to the Golgi upon co-expression with

Golgi localized, HA-Rab29 protein (Fig. 3-Fig. Suppl. 1). Twenty two constructs were

transfected into cells and their localization scored visually. The smallest fragment of LRRK2

that interacted with HA-tagged Rab29 in HeLa cells, thereby co-localizing at the Golgi complex,

encompassed LRRK2 residues 350-550.

We next deployed AlphaFold docking (Jumper et al., 2021) using ColabFold (Mirdita et al.,

2022) and the AlphaFold2_advanced.ipynb notebook with the default settings to model the

interaction of Rab29 with the LRRK2 350-550 fragment (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3-Fig. Suppl. 2).

Residues highlighted in red show key contacts between LRRK2 and Rab29 and will be shown

below to be essential for detection of this interaction in cells. This modelled structure of Site #1

is extremely similar to that of the recently reported experimental cryo-EM structure of Rab29

complexed full length LRRK2 (Zhu et al 2022).

Three metrics were used to evaluate the importance of individual residues to contribute to

Rab29 interaction. First, we tested the impact of mutations on the ability of full length LRRK2 to

co-localize with HA-Rab29 at the Golgi in HeLa cells (Fig. 3B and Fig.3 – Fig. Suppl. 3); we also

tested the ability of exogenously expressed Rab29 to stimulate activity of the same point

mutants in the background of either wild type LRRK2 (Fig. 3C, Fig. 3–Fig. Suppl. 4A) or

pathogenic R1441G LRRK2 (Fig. 3D, Fig 3.--Fig. Suppl. 4B). This work identified 4 key

mutations of highly conserved residues (R361E, R399E, L403A and K439E) that blocked both

the co-localization of LRRK2 and Rab29 in HeLa cells (Fig. 3B, red) as well as activation of

LRRK2 upon overexpression of Rab29 in HEK293 cells (Fig. 3C, red). In experiments

undertaken with pathogenic R1441G LRRK2 that is more potently activated by Rab29, the

K439E LRRK2 mutation completely blocked LRRK2 kinase activation; R399E showed weak

activation (Fig. 3D and Fig. 3--Fig. Suppl. 4B). Some of the other mutants blocked co-

localization with Rab29 in HeLa cells without completely suppressing LRRK2 activation

following overexpression of Rab29. We therefore recommend using the Site#1 K439E LRRK2

mutation to block Rab29 interaction and activation in future work (asterisks in Figs. 3B,C) as it

shows the lowest amount of Rab29 activation with pathogenic R1441G LRRK2. Altogether,

these data highlight the importance of a surface that is comprised of LRRK2 residues Arg361,

Arg399, Leu403, Lys439 in binding Rab GTPases (Site #1) (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3 -Fig. Suppl. 2).

Analysis of Rab8A interaction with the LRRK2 350-550 fragment using AlphaFold within

Chimera X 1.4 confirmed the importance of the same LRRK2 residues for Rab8A interaction in

silico (Figure 3-Fig. Supplement 2C).

PhosphoRab binding to LRRK2, Site #2

To understand the consequences of LRRK2-mediated Rab GTPase phosphorylation, it is

important to identify specific binding partners of phosphorylated Rab proteins, and to study the

consequences of such binding events. We recently established a facile method that enables us

to monitor phosphoRab binding to proteins of interest in conjunction with microscale

thermophoresis binding assays. Briefly, Rab proteins are phosphorylated >90% in vitro by

MST3 kinase (Dhekne et al., 2021; Vides and Pfeffer, 2021) that phosphorylates Rab proteins at

the same position as LRRK2 kinase (Vieweg et al., 2020). Recombinant MST3 is much easier

to purify in large amounts for biochemical experiments than LRRK2. We used this assay to

monitor the possible interaction of phosphorylated LRRK2 substrates to the LRRK2 Armadillo

domain and were delighted to discover that pRab8A and pRab10 proteins bind with high affinity

to a site distinct from that used by non-phosphorylated Rab proteins that we term site #2.

As shown in Fig. 4, phosphoRab8A and phosphoRab10 bound with K_Ds of ~900nM and 1µM to

the full Armadillo domain 1-552 fragment, respectively (Figs. 4A, D); this binding reflected

interaction with N-terminal LRRK2 residues 1-159, as this fragment was sufficient to yield

essentially the same K_Ds of 1µM and 700 nM, respectively for phosphoRab8A and

phosphoRab10 proteins (Figs. 4B, E). Furthermore, no binding was detected for

phosphoRab8A or phosphoRab10 with LRRK2 residues 350-550 (Figs. 4C, F). These data

demonstrate that Rab8A and Rab10 GTPases, phosphorylated at the same residues modified

by LRRK2 kinase bind very tightly to the LRRK2 N-terminus but no longer interact with the 350-

550 region that interacts with dephosphorylated Rab proteins.

Note that non-phosphorylated Rab8A and Rab10 also bound to the Site #2-containing fragment

1-159 with relatively weak affinities of 5 or 6µM (Fig. 2B,E; Table 1). Interestingly, AlphaFold in

Chimera X predicts that the 1-159 fragment contains a potential, non-phosphoRab binding site

that is occluded in a longer fragment (1-400), and thus also in full length LRRK2. Moreover, as

discussed below, these K_D values may be higher than the concentrations of these Rab

GTPases in cells, thus it seems unlikely that non-phosphoRabs interact with Site #2 under

normal physiological conditions. We conclude that phosphoRab binding is the predominant

interaction between LRRK2 1-159 and Rab GTPases.

Electrostatic analysis of a model of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain revealed that the absolute N-

terminus of LRRK2 contains a patch of basic amino acids (highlighted in blue) that may

comprise a phosphoRab interaction interface (Fig. 5A) (Jurus et al., 2018; Pettersen et al.,

2004). Such modeling led us to test the role of lysine residues at positions 17 and 18 in

mediating LRRK2 interaction. Mutation of either lysine 17 or 18 abolished phosphoRab10

binding to LRRK2 Armadillo domain, with binding decreased to >20µM upon single mutation at

either site (Fig. 5C, D). When the conservation score of these residues is analyzed using the

Consurf server (Ashkenazy et al., 2016) K17 and K18 have a score or 2 and 8 respectively (9 is

the maximum score), indicating that K18 is highly conserved and plays an especially important

role. These experiments define a second, Rab binding site #2 that is specific for

phosphorylated Rab proteins (Fig. 5B).

To determine the significance of the phosphoRab binding site in relation to LRRK2 membrane

recruitment in cells, we generated full length FLAG-LRRK2 protein containing point mutations at

both lysines 17 and 18 and investigated its cellular localization upon expression in HeLa cells

(Fig. 6). To improve our ability to detect membrane associated LRRK2 distribution, cells grown

on collagen coated coverslips were dipped in liquid nitrogen and then thawed in a physiological,

glutamate-containing buffer to crack open the plasma membrane and release cytosolic proteins

prior to fixation (Seaman et al., 2004; Purlyte et al., 2018). Under these conditions, LRRK2 co-

localizes with phosphorylated Rab proteins (Purlyte et al., 2018; Sobu et al., 2021).

As expected, PhosphoRab10 was detected as a bright spot adjacent to the mother centriole in

HeLa cells (Fig. 6A), and the co-expressed, R1441G pathogenic mutant LRRK2 protein showed

good co-localization with phosphoRab10 protein (Figs. 6A,C), as we have reported previously

(Purlyte et al., 2018; Sobu et al., 2021). In contrast, although exogenously expressed, R1441G

LRRK2 bearing K17/18/A mutations still generated a perinuclear, phosphoRab10-containing

structure, LRRK2 displayed much less co-localization with the phosphoRab proteins or with

membranes overall (Figs. 6B,C). These experiments show that K17 and K18 are important for

exogenous LRRK2 membrane association with a pool of highly phosphorylated Rab10 protein.

The importance of LRRK2's N-terminal lysine residues also suggests that caution may be in

order when evaluating membrane interactions of LRRK2 tagged N-terminally with larger tags

such as GFP that may hinder access to K17/K18.

PhosphoRab-LRRK2 interaction increases rates of kinase recovery

We next explored the relevance of phosphoRab binding to LRRK2's N-terminus in relation to the

overall kinetics of Rab phosphorylation in cells. LRRK2-mediated Rab GTPase phosphorylation

is a highly dynamic process that is counteracted by the action of PPM1H phosphatase

(Berndsen et al., 2019); at steady state, only a small fraction of total Rab proteins are LRRK2-

phosphorylated (Ito et al., 2016). The initial rate of kinase activity can be determined by

monitoring the phosphorylation of Rab10 protein after washout of the LRRK2 inhibitor, MLi-2 (Ito

et al., 2016; Kalogeropulou, 2020).

When HeLa cells were treated with 200nM MLi-2 for 1hr and then washed with culture medium,

Rab10 was efficiently re-phosphorylated by exogenous, FLAG-tagged, R1441G LRRK2 protein

over the 2h time course evaluated (Fig. 7A, E). In contrast, cells expressing FLAG-R1441G

LRRK2 bearing K17/18A mutations showed comparable total phosphoRab10 levels to begin

with, but significantly slower re-phosphorylation (Fig 7B, E). Similar results were obtained in

experiments comparing the re-activation of FLAG-tagged, wild type LRRK2 (Fig. 7C,F) with that

of LRRK2 K17/18A (Fig. 7D,F). As reported previously (Ito et al., 2016), wild type LRRK2

recovery was more efficient than that of R1441G LRRK2. In summary, these experiments

demonstrate that K17/K18 residues are important for efficient reactivation of LRRK2 after MLI-2

washout, consistent with their role in anchoring LRRK2 at sites adjacent to phosphorylation

substrates.

Cooperative LRRK2 membrane recruitment on Rab-decorated planar lipid bilayers

Binding of phosphoRabs to Site #2 at the N-terminus of LRRK2 (Fig. 5B) would set up a feed-

forward process whereby the product of an initial phosphorylation reaction would enhance

subsequent Rab GTPase phosphorylation by holding the enzyme on the surface of membranes

that contain relevant Rab GTPase substrates. To visualize the membrane association process

directly, we established a planar lipid bilayer system that would enable us to monitor the

interaction of fluorescently labeled, purified, full length LRRK2 kinase with membrane anchored

Rab10 substrate (Adhikari et al., 2022). For this purpose, bilayers were formed on the surface

of glass bottom chambers comprised of phospholipids of a composition similar to that found in

the Golgi (65% DOPC, 29% DOPS, 1% PI(4)P (Thomas and Fromme, 2016), mixed with 0.1%

of the lipophilic tracer DiD dye and 5% DOGS-NTA [Ni^2] to to enable anchoring of C-terminally

His-GFP-tagged Rab10 protein. Binding of fluorescently labeled, hyperactive R1441G LRRK2

was then visualized in real time using total internal reflection (TIRF) light microscopy. Reactions

were carried out in the presence of ATP, GTP and an ATP regenerating system to provide

physiological conditions for the full length LRRK2 enzyme. Note that we routinely utilize

R1441G LRRK2 because it is a highly active kinase in cells, although in vitro, R1441G LRRK2

displays the same level of Rab kinase activity as wild type LRRK2 (cf. Steger et al., 2017).

As shown in Figure 8A (red dots), fluorescent R1441G LRRK2 bound efficiently to lipid bilayers,

only in the presence of pre-anchored Rab10 protein (compare with purple dots in 8B) and not

when Rab11 protein was instead employed (Fig. 8B, green dots; movies 1-3). Importantly,

almost no binding was observed with kinase inactive D2017A LRRK2 (Fig. 8A yellow dots,

movie 4) (Steger et al., 2016). This indicates that at least Rab10 GTPase binding to Site #1

residues 361-451 results in a low affinity interaction that is not sufficient to retain this inactive

LRRK2 protein on the bilayer under these conditions (7nM LRRK2, 2.5 µM Rab10). Reactions

containing the Type I MLi-2 inhibitor showed aggregation of the fluorescent LRRK2 protein, as

has been seen in cells. Incubations containing the Type 2 inhibitor, GZD-824 (Tasegian et al.,

2021) showed weak binding, consistent with a requirement for phosphoRab10 generation to

support LRRK2 binding to Site #2's K17 and K18; however, under these conditions, LRRK2 was

not monodisperse and could not be analyzed further. Importantly, R1441G LRRK2 mutated at

lysines 17 and 18 bound to a lower extent than R1441G LRRK2 (Fig. 8A, blue dots; movie 5),

confirming their important role in binding to phosphorylated Rab8A and Rab10. It is noteworthy

that the K17/K18 mutant protein showed higher binding than the D2017A mutant, suggesting

that a non-phosphoRab binding site may be more accessible for binding in an active versus

inactive LRRK2 protein conformation.

Analysis of the kinetics of LRRK2 binding as a function of Rab protein concentration showed

clear, cooperative membrane association of R1441G LRRK2, consistent with a feed-forward

mechanism, as predicted from the in vitro Rab binding data (Fig. 8C). A nonlinear regression fit

of the data indicated a Hill coefficient of 2.7, consistent with a positive, cooperative

phenomenon. In summary, these data demonstrate that LRRK2 kinase is recruited to

membranes and then held there by phosphorylated Rabs to increase subsequent Rab GTPase

phosphorylation as part of a cooperative, feed-forward pathway.

LRRK2 is difficult to dye-label mono-molecularly, as the N-terminus is engaged in phosphoRab

binding and the C-terminus is critical for activity. Nevertheless, analysis of the distribution of

single molecule fluorescence intensity of our CF633-labeled LRRK2 preparation revealed a

sharp peak, whether the preparation was evaluated immediately upon binding to Rab10 on

bilayers (Figure 8–Figure Supplement 1A, B, D) or when spotted onto poly-lysine coated glass

(Figure 8–Figure Supplement 1B, far right column). Panels A and B show the intensity at time t

for large numbers of fluorescent molecules, either over 500 seconds (A) or 30 seconds (B). The

intensity shift over time (panels A,B) may imply that the molecules slowly dimerize with a half

time of 100-200 seconds, but additional work would be needed to confirm this. Continuous

traces of the 30 longest lived spots showed that for some events this increase occurs even

more quickly (1C). The fluorescent molecules remain on the bilayers for a significant period of

time (panel E); moreover, when the molecules first bind to the surface, the single peak

distribution of intensity does not change, irrespective of the time during the experiment that it

actually binds (panel E). This gives us confidence that any changes observed were not

occurring in solution and require Rab engagement. Note that we detect a minor species at

log_2=2.5 that constitutes between 2 and 6% of the molecules (panels D, F); this may represent

dual labeled proteins and/or rare tetrameric complexes.

To confirm that LRRK2 Armadillo domain can bind both non-phosphorylated and

phosphorylated Rabs simultaneously, GST-Rab8A was immobilized on glutathione agarose and

Armadillo domain (1-552) protein pre-bound. Purified, phosphoRab10 was then added, and

immunoblotting showed that phosphoRab10 bound to the beads only in the presence of Rab8A-

anchored, Armadillo fragment (Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 2). Binding at both sites #1 and

#2 is predicted to increase avidity of LRRK2 membrane association, consistent with our

membrane recruitment data.

PhosphoRab8 activates LRRK2 phosphorylation of Rab10 protein

The data presented thus far are consistent with apparent activation of LRRK2 by cooperative

recruitment of the kinase to membrane microdomains enriched in Rab protein substrates. It

was formally possible, however, that phosphoRab binding actually activates the kinase itself.

To test this, we monitored the generation of phosphoRab10 using a highly specific monoclonal

antibody in conjunction with immunoblotting. Rab10 protein was then phosphorylated by

purified, full length LRRK2 kinase in vitro, with and without addition of pre-phosphorylated

Rab8A protein. As shown in Figure 9 (A, C), the presence of stoichimetrically phosphorylated

Rab8A (Dhekne et al., 2021) stimulated the rate of in vitro Rab10 phosphorylation by

approximately 4 fold. Importantly, the ability of phosphoRab8A to stimulate LRRK2-mediated

Rab10 phosphorylation required LRRK2's K18 that is needed for phosphoRab binding (Fig. 9 B,

D). We speculate that phosphoRab binding to the absolute N-terminus influences LRRK2's

higher order structure to stimulate kinase activity.

Discussion

LRRK2 is ~90% cytosolic (Purlyte et al., 2019), and little was known about why membrane-

associated LRRK2 appears to be much more active than the cytosolic pool of kinase. We have

confirmed here that LRRK2 kinase relies upon substrate Rab GTPases to achieve membrane

association, and revealed that LRRK2 utilizes two distinct Rab binding sites within its N-terminal

Armadillo domain for this purpose. Site #1 (Fig. 5B) binds multiple, non-phosphorylated Rab

substrates including Rab8A, Rab10 and Rab29, as well as the highly tissue specific- and non-

substrate, Rab29-related, Rab32 and Rab38 proteins (Waschbüsch et al., 2014; McGrath et al.,

2021). The second site (#2) is located at LRRK2's absolute N-terminus, at a significant distance

from the kinase active site; this site shows strong preference for phosphorylated Rab8A and

Rab10 proteins. Our data show that both sites can be occupied simultaneously.

Figure 10 shows our current model for LRRK2 membrane recruitment. LRRK2 will interact

reversibly with any one of the subset of Rab proteins that can bind to site #1. Rab29 shows the

highest affinity for this site, but Rab8A can also bind with physiologically relevant affinity and is

much more abundant in cells. Rab GTPases cluster in microdomains on distinct membrane

surfaces (Pfeffer, 2017; Sönnichsen et al., 2000; DeRenzis et al., 2002; Barbero et al., 2002),

thus this initial LRRK2 membrane association will bring the kinase in contact with other copies

of the same substrate Rab proteins for phosphorylation. After an initial phosphorylation event,

LRRK2 will then be held in place by bivalent association with one phosphorylated and one non-

phosphorylated Rab protein. By binding to the kinase reaction product, LRRK2 enhances its

effective, local activity by increasing the probability with which it will encounter another substrate

Rab protein.

Despite relatively similar affinities for their respective Rab binding partners, the phosphoRab-

specific site appears to drive stable LRRK2 membrane association, as mutation of two key

lysine residues strongly impacts co-localization of LRRK2 protein with phosphoRabs in cells. In

addition, kinase activity leads to a much higher degree of LRRK2 association with planar lipid

bilayers, despite the presence of binding Site #1 for non-phosphorylated-Rabs. Finally,

K17/K18A LRRK2 that cannot bind to phosphorylated Rab proteins showed lower bilayer

association in comparison with native LRRK2, confirming the importance of this interaction.

LRRK2 phosphorylation of Rab GTPases is therefore required to form a new, additional

interaction interface that greatly enhances the overall avidity of LRRK2 membrane association.

We also discovered that phosphoRab8A stimulates LRRK2 kinase action on Rab10 protein. We

were not able to test the reverse scenario as the phosphoRab8A antibody is not adequately

specific and cross-reacts with phosphoRab10 protein. Nevertheless, it seems very likely that

phosphoRab10 will also activate LRRK2 for other substrate phosphorylation events. The most

likely explanation is that phosphoRab binding to the LRRK2 N-terminus encourages an overall

enzyme architecture that favors the active conformation. LRRK2 assumes multiple oligomeric

states, and phosphoRab engagement and/or dual Rab engagement of the Armadillo domain

likely influences the overall architecture of the enzyme.

It is important to note that quantitative mass spectrometry indicates that Rab10 is present at

~600 times the copy number as LRRK2 in MEF cells and brain tissue

(https://copica.proteo.info/#/copybrowse). Thus, if Rab10 is assumed to exist in cells at ~2-5µM

(Itzhak et al., 2016), LRRK2 will be present overall at about 3-8nM. These are very close to the

concentrations used in our in vitro reconstitution experiments. Future experiments will be

needed to elucidate the precise molecular state of LRRK2 upon engagement with Rab GTPases

at sites #1 and #2.

Nichols et al. (2007) reported a single family with two affected siblings harboring LRRK2 E10K

mutations. These patients presented with classic Parkinson's disease symptoms at age 57

including bradykinesia, muscular rigidity, postural instability, and resting tremor. Compared with

46 G2019S LRRK2 patients in that study whose disease onset was on average, 63.5 years, the

two siblings had a more severely disabling disease, as indicated by a higher Hoehn and Yahr

assessment score (4 versus 2.5, where 5 represents confinement to bed or wheelchair unless

aided). Our study provides a molecular explanation for how a mutation located far from the

kinase or ROC-COR domains may cause Parkinson's disease. We predict that the E10K

mutation increases LRRK2 phosphoRab binding and membrane association and may display an

even higher apparent activity than the most common pathogenic G2019S mutation. This

distinction would need to be evaluated under conditions of MLi-2 washout, as exogenous

expression would mask this subtle mechanistic feature.

The ability of multiple Rab binding sites to anchor LRRK2 on membranes will make the kinase

appear more active than the pool of cytosolic LRRK2 protein. Rab binding may also increase

access of LRRK2 to other kinases that stabilize it in a more active conformation. Anchoring

LRRK2's N-terminus may also influence autophosphorylation, which could also drive LRRK2

towards a more catalytically active conformation. Future structural studies of membrane

anchored LRRK2 will provide important, additional information related to all of these

possibilities.

KEY RESOURCES TABLE				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
antibody	anti-LRRK2 (mouse monoclonal)	Neuromab RRID:AB_2877351	N241A/34	(1:1000)
antibody	anti-LRRK2 phospho S935 (rabbit monoclonal)	Abcam RRID:AB_2904231	UDD2	(1:1000)
antibody	anti-Rab10 (mouse monoclonal)	Nanotools RRID:AB_2921226	0680–100/Rab10-605B11	(1:1000)
antibody	anti-Rab10 (phospho T73) (rabbit monoclonal)	Abcam RRID:AB_2811274	ab230261	(1:1000)
antibody	anti-FLAG M2 (mouse monoclonal)	Millipore Sigma RRID:AB_262044	F-1804	(1:2000)
strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	E. coli DH5α	Thermo Fisher	18258012	
strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	E. coli STBL3	Thermo Fisher	C737303	
strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	E. coli Rosetta DE3 pLys	Millipore	70956	
cell line (*Homo-sapiens*)	HeLa	ATCC	CCL-2	
cell line (*Homo-sapiens*)	HEK293T	ATCC	CRL-3216	
Chemical compound, drug	MLi-2	MRC PPU		
Chemical compound, drug	Creatine Phosphate	Fluka Analytical	#27920	20mM
Commercial assay, kit	RED-NHS 2nd Generation (Amine Reactive) Protein Labeling Kit	Nanotemper Technologies	MO-L011	
Commercial assay, kit	CF® 633 Succinimidyl Ester Protein Labeling Kit	Biotium	#92217	
Other	Creatine Phosphokinase	Sigma	C3755	30U

Chemical compound, drug	18:1 (Δ9-Cis) PC (DOPC)	Avanti Polar Lipids	#850375	11 µmol
Chemical compound, drug	18:1 PS (DOPS)	Avanti Polar Lipids	#840035	5 µmol
Chemical compound, drug	18:1 DGS-NTA(Ni)	Avanti Polar Lipids	#790404	0.85 µmol
Chemical compound, drug	18:1 PI(4)P	Avanti Polar Lipids	#850151	0.15 µmol
Chemical compound, drug	DiD	Thermo Fisher	D7757	0.01 µmol
recombinant DNA reagent	pNIC Bsa-4 His-Sumo Rab10 Q68L 1-181	gift of Amir Khan		human
recombinant DNA reagent	pET15b His-Mst3	gift of Amir Khan		human
recombinant DNA reagent	pET21b GFP-Rab10 Q68L-His	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186015	186015	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pET21b His Rab8A Q67L	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186014	186014	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-Rab29	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186021	186021	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pGEB GST-Rab8A-Q67L	Addgene RRID:Addgene_86079	86079	human
recombinant DNA reagent	His-Rab11	gift of Marino Zerial		canine
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-LRRK2 Armadillo 1-552	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186017	186017	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-LRRK2-Armadillo 1-159	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186016	186016	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-LRRK2-Armadillo 350-550	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186018	186018	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-LRRK2-Armadillo K17A	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186019	186019	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pQE-80L 2xHis-LRRK2-Armadillo K18A	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186020	186020	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2 K17A/K18A/R1441G	Addgene RRID:Addgene_186012	186012	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2	MRC PPU Reagents and Services, University of Dundee ("MRC PPU")	DU6841	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G	MRC PPU	DU13077	human
recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2 D2017A	MRC PPU	DU52725	human

recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 WT	MRC PPU	DU13363	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R361E	MRC PPU	DU62605	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 D392K	MRC PPU	DU72261	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R399E	MRC PPU	DU72262	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 L403A	MRC PPU	DU72263	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 L406A	MRC PPU	DU72266	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 M407A	MRC PPU	DU72267	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 K439E	MRC PPU	DU72268	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 L443A	MRC PPU	DU72270	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 K451E	MRC PPU	DU72271	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 D478Y	MRC PPU	DU68605	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 D2017A	MRC PPU	DU13364	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C	MRC PPU	DU13387	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C R361E	MRC PPU	DU72304	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C D392K	MRC PPU	DU72305	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C R399E	MRC PPU	DU72306	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C L403A	MRC PPU	DU72307	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C L406A	MRC PPU	DU72308	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C M407A	MRC PPU	DU72309	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C K439E	MRC PPU	DU72310	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C L443A	MRC PPU	DU72311	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 R1441C K451E	MRC PPU	DU72312	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV5D HA RAB29	MRC PPU	DU50222	Human

recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-950	MRC PPU	DU62702	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-900	MRC PPU	DU62701	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-850	MRC PPU	DU62700	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-800	MRC PPU	DU62693	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-750	MRC PPU	DU62726	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-700	MRC PPU	DU62689	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-650	MRC PPU	DU62678	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-600	MRC PPU	DU62677	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-550	MRC PPU	DU62676	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 1-500	MRC PPU	DU62675	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 50-1000	MRC PPU	DU62725	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 100-1000	MRC PPU	DU62742	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 150-1000	MRC PPU	DU62674	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 200-1000	MRC PPU	DU62679	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 250-1000	MRC PPU	DU62680	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 300-1000	MRC PPU	DU62681	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 350-1000	MRC PPU	DU62682	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 400-1000	MRC PPU	DU62683	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 450-1000	MRC PPU	DU62684	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 500-1000	MRC PPU	DU62685	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 550-1000	MRC PPU	DU62686	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 600-1000	MRC PPU	DU62687	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 350-550	MRC PPU	DU68397	Human

recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA5D FRT TO GFP LRRK2 350-500	MRC PPU	DU68398	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	His-SUMO Rab10	MRC PPU	DU51062	Human
recombinant DNA reagent	His Rab7	Gift of Marino Zerial		
software, algorithm	FIJI	PMID: 29187165	RRID:SCR _002285	
software, algorithm	CellProfiler	PMID: 29969450	RRID:SCR _007358	
software, algorithm	TrackIt	PMID: 33947895		
software, algorithm	Chimera 2	PMID:15264254	RRID:SCR _004097	
software, algorithm	Chimera X	PMID: 32881101	RRID:SCR _015872	
software, algorithm	Nanotemper NTAAffinityAnalysis	MO.Affinity Analysis v2.2.5		
software, algorithm	Prism	Prism 9 version 9.3.1 (350)	RRID:SCR _002798	
software, algorithm	R CRAN R package Dplyr_1.0.9 ggridges_0.5.3 ggplot_3.3.6	version 4.2.0 (2022-04-22)	RRID:SCR _003005 version 4.2.0 (2022-04-22)	

Methods

Cloning and plasmids

DNA constructs were amplified in Escherichia coli DH5α or STBL3 and purified using mini prep columns (Econospin). DNA sequence verification was performed by Sequetech (http://www.sequetech.com). pNIC Bsa-4 His-Sumo Rab10 Q68L 1-181 and pET15b His-Mst3 were kind gifts of Amir Khan (Harvard University). pET21b GFP-Rab10 Q68L-His was subcloned from GFP-Rab10 (Gomez et al. 2019) into pET21b. The C-terminal His tagged version was generated by Gibson assembly. His Rab8A Q67L was subcloned from HA-Rab8A (DU35414, Medical Research Council at Dundee) into pET14b. Point mutations were generated using site directed mutagenesis. His-Rab29 wild type was subcloned from HA-Rab29 (DU5022, Medical Research Council at Dundee) into the pQE-80L backbone. pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2 (DU6841), Flag-LRRK2 R1441G (DU13077), His-SUMO Rab10 (DU51062) and FLAG-LRRK2 D2017A (DU52725) were obtained from the Medical Research Council at Dundee. His-Armadillo 1-552, 1-159, and 350-550 were all cloned from pCMV5 FLAG-LRRK2 into pQE-80L. K17A, K18A, and K17A/K18A LRRK2 and LRRK2 Armadillo were generated using site directed mutagenesis. All cloning and subcloning was done by Gibson assembly.

Rab GTPase, LRRK2 Armadillo domain, and LRRK2 purification

His Rab29, His Rab10-Q68L (1–181), His-Mst3, His-Rab8A Q67L, His-LRRK2 Armadillo (1-552), His-LRRK2 Armadillo (1-159), His-LRRK2 Armadillo (350-550), His-LRRK2 Armadillo K17A, His-LRRK2 Armadillo K18A, and GST-Rab8A Q67L were purified in E.coli BL21 (DE3 pLys). Detailed protocols can be found in Gomez et al., 2020 https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bffrjjm6 and Vides et al., 2021 https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvvmn646. Bacterial cells were grown at 37°C in Luria Broth and induced at A600 nm = 0.6–0.7 by the addition of 0.3 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (Gold Biotechnology) and harvested after 18 h at 18°C. The cell pellets were resuspended in ice cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, 500 mM NaCl,10 mM imidazole (for His-tagged purification only), 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2mM tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP), 20 μM GTP, and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The resuspended bacteria were lysed by one passage through an Emulsiflex-C5 apparatus (Avestin) at 10,000 lbs/in^2 and centrifuged at 40,000 rpm for 45 min at 4°C in a Beckman Ti45 rotor. Cleared lysate was filtered through a 0.2μm filter (Nalgene) and passed over a HiTrap TALON crude 1mL column (Cytiva) for His-tagged proteins or a GSTrap High Performance 1 mL column (Cytiva) for GST-tagged proteins. The column was washed with lysis buffer until absorbance values reached pre-lysate values. Protein was eluted with a gradient from 20-500 mM imidazole containing lysis buffer for His-tagged proteins or 0-50 mM reduced glutathione containing lysis buffer for GST-tagged proteins. Peak fractions analyzed by 10% SDS-PAGE to locate protein. The eluate was buffer exchanged and further purified by gel filtration on Superdex-75 (GE Healthcare) with 50 mM HEPES, pH 8, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP), and 20 μM GTP.

LRRK2 R1441G was transfected into HEK293T cells with Polyethylenimine HCl MAX 4000 (PEI) (Polysciences, Inc.) and purified 48hrs post transfection. Cells were lysed in 50mM Hepes pH 8, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton-X 100, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysate was centrifuged at 15,000g for 20min in Fiberlite F15 rotor (ThermoFischer). Clarified lysate was filtered through 0.2μm syringe filters and circulated over anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) at 4°C for 4hrs using a peristaltic pump. The affinity gel was washed with 6 column volumes of lysis buffer followed by 6 column volumes of elution buffer (50mM Hepes pH 8, 150mM NaCl, and 10% [vol/vol] glycerol). Protein was eluted from resin

with 5 column volumes of FLAG peptide (0.25mg/mL) containing elution buffer. Eluate was
supplemented to 20µM GTP, 1mM ATP, and 2mM MgCl$_2$.

In vitro Rab phosphorylation and microscale thermophoresis

A detailed method can be found at https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvvmn646). His-
Rab10 Q68L 1–181 or His-Rab8A Q67L was incubated with His-Mst3 kinase at a molar ratio of
3:1 (substrate:kinase). The reaction buffer was 50 mM Hepes, pH 8, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 100
mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM TCEP, 20 µM GTP, 5 µM BSA, 0.01% Tween-20, and 2 mM
ATP (no ATP for negative control). The reaction mixture was incubated at 27°C for 30 min in a
water bath. Phosphorylation completion was assessed by Western blot. Immediately after
phosphorylation, the samples were transferred to ice before binding determination. See also
https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvjxn4pn.

Protein–protein interactions were monitored by microscale thermophoresis using a Monolith
NT.115 instrument (Nanotemper Technologies). His LRRK2 Armadillo (1-552), (1-159), (350-
550), K17A and K18A were labeled using RED-NHS 2nd Generation (Amine Reactive) Protein
Labeling Kit (Nanotemper Technologies). For all experiments, the unlabeled protein partner was
titrated against a fixed concentration of the fluorescently labeled LRRK2 Armadillo (100 nM); 16
serially diluted titrations of the unlabeled protein partner were prepared to generate one
complete binding isotherm. Binding was carried out in a reaction buffer in 0.5-ml Protein LoBind
tubes (Eppendorf) and allowed to incubate in the dark for 30 min before loading into NT.115
premium treated capillaries (Nanotemper Technologies). A red LED at 30% excitation power
(red filter, excitation 605–645 nm, emission 680–685 nm) and IR-laser power at 60% was used
for 30 s followed by 1 s of cooling. Data analysis was performed with NTAffinityAnalysis
software (NanoTemper Technologies) in which the binding isotherms were derived from the raw
fluorescence data and then fitted with both NanoTemper software and GraphPad Prism to
determine the K_D using a non-linear regression method. The binding affinities determined by the
two methods were similar. Shown are averaged curves of Rab GTPase binding partners from
single readings from two different protein preparations. Note that the affinities reported here are
underestimates as preps of His Rab10-Q68L (1–181) and His-Rab8A Q67L routinely contained
a 50:50 ratio of bound GTP:GDP as determined by mass spectroscopy; data were not corrected
for this.

Cell culture and Immunoblotting

HEK293T and HeLa cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection were cultured
at 37°C and under 5% CO2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle 's medium containing 10% fetal
bovine serum, 2 mM Glutamine, and penicillin (100 U/ml)/streptomycin (100 µg/ml). HEK293T
and HeLa cells were transfected with polyethylenimine HCl MAX 4000 (Polysciences). Cells
were routinely checked for Mycoplasma by PCR analysis.

HeLa cells for pRab10 recovery kinetics were lysed 48 hr post transfection and MLi-2 treatment
in ice cold lysis buffer (50mM Tris pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 5mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM
sodium orthovanadate, 50 mM NaF, 10 mM 2-glycerophosphate, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate,
0.1 µg/ml mycrocystin-LR (Enzo Life Sciences), and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail
(Sigma-Aldrich). Lysates were centrifuged at 14,000g for 15 min at 4°C and supernatant protein
concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad).

A detailed protocol for blotting is available on protocols.io (Tonelli and Alessi,
https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bsgrnbv6). 20µg of protein were run on SDS PAGE gels
and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using a Bio-Rad Trans-turbo blot system.
Membranes were blocked with 2% BSA in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 for 30 at RT.

Primary antibodies used were diluted in blocking buffer as follows: mouse anti-LRRK2
N241A/34 (1:1000, Neuromab); rabbit anti-LRRK2 phospho S935 (1:1000, Abcam); mouse anti-
Rab10 (1:1000, Nanotools); and rabbit anti-phospho Rab10 (1:1000, Abcam). Primary antibody
incubations were done overnight at 4°C. LI-COR secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer
were 680 nm donkey anti-rabbit (1:5,000) and 800 nm donkey anti-mouse (1:5,000). Secondary
antibody incubations were for 1 h at RT. Blots were imaged using an Odyssey Infrared scanner
(LI-COR) and quantified using ImageJ software.

MLi-2 washout/ pRab10 recovery kinetics

517 As described by Ito et al. (2016), HeLa cell seeded in 6x 60mm dishes expressing FLAG-
518 LRRK2, LRRK2 K17A/K18A, LRRK2 R1441G, or LRRK2 R1441G/K17A/K18A for 48 hours
519 were incubated with 200nm MLi-2 or DMSO for 1 hour under normal growth conditions at 37°C.
520 To remove the MLi-2 inhibitor, cells were washed 4 times with complete media. Washouts were
521 done to allow for 120-15 min of enzyme activity recovery; after which, cells were harvested.

Confocal light microscopy

The standard method to obtain images in Figure 3 and Figure 3 supplements can be found on
protocols.io (Purlyte et al., 2022 https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.b5jhq4j6). For Figure 8,
cells were plated onto collagen coated coverslips with indicated plasmids. Cells were washed
with ice cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 3x. After, they were incubated in glutamate buffer
(25mM KCl, 25mM Hepes pH7.4, 2.5mM magnesium acetate, 5mM EGTA, and 150mM K
glutamate) for 5 min on ice. Coverslips were dipped into liquid nitrogen and held for 5 sec before
removal. They were thawed at RT, incubated in glutamate buffer for 2 min and then in PBS for 5
min. Cells were fixed with 3.5% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min, permeabilized for 3 min in
0.1% Triton X-100, and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. Antibodies were diluted as follows: mouse
anti-FLAG (1:2000, Sigma-Aldrich) and rabbit anti pRab10 (1:2000; Abcam). Highly cross-
absorbed H+L secondary anti-bodies (Life Technologies) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 or 647
were used at 1:2,000. Images were obtained using a spinning disk confocal microscope
(Yokogawa) with an electron multiplying charge coupled device camera (Andor) and a 100× 1.4
NA oil immersion objective. Mander's correlation coefficients were calculated by analyzing
maximum intensity projection images with CellProfiler software (Carpenter et al., 2006; Stirling
et al., 2021).

Co-localization of Rab29 with full length LRRK2 and its mutants was quantified using an
unbiased Cellprofiler pipeline as follows: Step 1. Imported raw .lsm files; 2. metadata extracted
from the file headers; 3. images grouped by mutations and split into 3 channels; 4. nuclei
identified as primary objects after rescaling intensities; 5. Nucleus is defined as the primary
object and cells are identified by 'propagation' as secondary objects; cells are identified as the
using the rescaled and smoothened LRRK2 channel. 6. Co-localization within whole cells is
measured by thresholded (10) Mander's coefficient on the entire batch of images. Data plotted
from Cellprofiler are relative values.

Substrate supported lipid bilayer preparation

A detailed method can be found at dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v9y7qzg3e/v1. Briefly,
we used Lab-TeKII 8 chambered No. 1.5 borosilicate cover glasses (Fischer) for LRRK2
recruitment assays. Reactions chambers were cleaned by 30 min incubation in Piranha solution
(1:3 [vol/vol] ratio of 30% H_2O_2 and 98% H_2SO_4) and extensive washing in Milli-Q water. The
reaction chambers were stored in Milli-Q water for up to 2 weeks. Before use, reaction
chambers were dried and further cleaned in a Harrick Plasma PDC-32C plasma cleaner for 10
min at 18W under ambient air.

We prepared substrate supported lipid bilayers on glass coverslips with 65% DOPC, 29%
DOPS, 5% DOGS-NTA[Ni^2], 1% PI(4)P, 0.01% DIL (Avanti Polar Lipids;Thermo). The lipid
mixture was suspended in 1ml chloroform and then dried under nitrogen flow in a glass vial and
kept under vacuum for at least 1 h. The dried lipids were hydrated in SLB buffer (20 mM Hepes
pH 8, 150 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM MgCl$_2$) by vortexing to produce multilamellar vesicles
(MLVs). SUVs were prepared by bath sonication followed by extrusion through 100 nm
polycarbonate membrane 21 times (Avestin). The produced SUVs were stored at -20°C. The
supported lipid bilayer was formed in cleaned reaction chambers on glass surfaces by addition
of liposomes to a final concentration of 5mM liposomes in SLB buffer. SUV fusion was induced
by addition of 1mM CaCl$_2$ and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. Next, the unfused vesicles were
washed with Milli-Q water and STD buffer (20mM Hepes pH 8, 150mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl$_2$).

Lab-TeKII 8 chambered No. 1.5 borosilicate coverglass (Fisher) were coated with Poly-D-lysine
as follows (Adhikari et al., 2022). 10 mg Poly-D-lysine (MPBio # SKU:02150175-CF) was
dissolved in 1ml of sterile Milli-Q water as a 1% stock solution. The stock solution was then
diluted two fold in PBS as 1X coating solution. Coating solution (200μl) was added to the
reaction chamber and incubated for 5 min at 37°C. The coating solution was then removed by
rinsing the chamber thoroughly with sterile Milli-Q water and equilibrated with reaction buffer
(20mM Hepes pH8; 150mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl2, 4mM ATP, 20μM GTP, 20 mM creatine
phosphate, 30U creatine phosphokinase)
(dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v9y7qzg3e/v1).
575
TIRF microscopy

A detailed method can be found on protocols.io (Adhikari et al., 2022
dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v9y7qzg3e/v1). All LRRK2 recruitment movies were
obtained at 25°C, at a frame rate capture interval of 1 sec using a Nikon Ti-E inverted
microscope with the Andor iXon+EMCCD camera model DU885 with PerfectFocus and a Nikon
TIRF Apo 100x 1.46 NA oil immersion objective. The imaging was done with 300 EM camera
gain and 50 ms exposure time with 200μW laser intensity. We analyzed the microscopy data
with TrackIt (Kuhn T., et al., 2021) to obtain spot density of bound LRRK2.
584

Rab10-dependent LRRK2 recruitment

A detailed method can be found on protocols.io (Adhikari et al., 2022
dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v9y7qzg3e/v1). Purified FLAG LRRK2 is labeled with
CF633 succinimidyl ester (Biotium 92217) by incubation with dye for 1 hr at RT in the dark.
After dye removal using Pierce Dye Removal Columns (Thermo Scientific #22858),
protein was determined by Bradford Assay. Labeling efficiency was determined using
the dye extinction coefficient and preps were labeled with 2-3 moles of dye per mole
LRRK2 for all experiments.
GFP Rab10 Q68L C-terminal His was added to supported lipid bilayers at a final concentration
of 2.5μM in STD buffer and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. After incubation, Rab coated
supported lipid bilayers were washed with STD buffer and then equilibrated with reaction buffer
(20mM Hepes pH 8, 150mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl$_2$, 4mM ATP, 20μM GTP, 20mM creatine
phosphate, 30U creatine phosphokinase). 14nM CF633-FLAG LRRK2 was prepared in reaction
buffer and allowed to equilibrate to RT for 5 min. 40 sec into imaging, 100μL from the 200uL in
the reaction chamber was removed. At 60 sec, 100μL of 14nM CF FLAG LRRK2 was added
and imaged for 600 sec and for 300 sec for no Rab10 control.

LRRK2 Kinase Activation Assay

Method #1. Purified His-Rab8A Q67L (0.5 mg) was phosphorylated using His-MST3 kinase (0.1-0.3 mg) as described above at 30°C overnight in MST3 reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 8, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM TCEP, 20 µM GTP, 5 µM BSA, 0.01% Tween-20, and 2 mM ATP). Phosphorylated Rab8A (25 kDa) was then resolved from MST3 (55 kDa) by gel filtration on a 24 mL Superdex 75 10/300 column (Cytiva Life Sciences, #17517401. An additional Method #2 was attempted to try to further remove trace MST3 from phosphoRab8. GST-PreScission protease was bound to glutathione agarose. His-MST3 was added to the beads and incubated overnight at 4°C. The supernatant containing free MST3 was then passed through a Nickel-NTA column to remove any uncleaved His-MST3. The pooled, untagged, MST3 supernatants were then used to phosphorylate His-Rab8A. The products of this reaction were gel filtered on Superdex 75 column as before, and phosphorylated His-Rab8A was then further purified by immobilization on nickel-NTA agarose, eluted with 500 mM imidazole after washing, and desalted as described above.

LRRK2 G2019S (88 nM; Thermo Fisher Scientific #A15200) or purified FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G K18A (Adhikari et al., 2022) was incubated with 3 µM His-GFP-Rab10 Q68L (1-181) or His-SUMO-Rab10 wild type full length substrate ± 6 µM phosphorylated Rab8A Q67L in 50 mM HEPES pH 8, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 250 µM GTP, 5 µM BSA, and 2 mM ATP. No difference was detected between the two Rab10 substrates. The reaction was incubated at 30°C in a water bath. Reactions were stopped by the addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer; MLi-2 (200nM) was added to control reactions. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for phosphoRab10. Blots were imaged using Li-COR and bands quantified using ImageJ. The values obtained with MLi-2 were subtracted from their respective timepoints to monitor LRRK2-dependent phosphorylation; background was due to trace residual MST kinase. Values from four independent, replicate experiments were normalized to the 20 min time point and plotted together using GraphPad Prism.

Dual Rab GTPase Binding to the LRRK2 Armadillo Domain

The strategy was to immobilize Rab8A, bind Armadillo domain, and then test if Rab8A-tethered Armadillo domain could simultaneously bind phosphoRab10. His-Rab10 Q68L 1-181 was pre-phosphorylated with His-MST3 kinase at a molar ratio of 3:1 (substrate:kinase) at 30°C for 2 hours in MST3 reaction buffer. 50 µL glutathione agarose slurry was pelleted and resuspended in 50 mM HEPES, pH 8, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM TCEP, 100 µM GTP, 5 µM BSA, 0.01% Tween-20 to achieve a total volume of 50 µL. GST-Rab8A Q67L (6µM in 50µl) was incubated with glutathione beads in reaction buffer for 30 min at room temperature (RT) on a rotator. The reaction was spun down at 3200 x g for 30 sec and the supernatant discarded. His-LRRK2 Armadillo domain 1-552 in reaction buffer (or buffer alone) was added to beads to achieve a final concentration of 10 µM in 50 µL and incubated for 30 min at RT on a rotator. The reaction was spun down as before and the supernatant discarded. Phosphorylated His-Rab10 Q68L 1-181 (4µM final) were added to beads in a final volume of 50 µl. Reactions were incubated for 30 min at RT on a rotator. The reaction was spun down at 3200 xg for 30 seconds and the supernatant discarded; reaction buffer (500 µl) was used to wash the beads twice. Proteins were eluted from the beads using 50 µl elution buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 8, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM TCEP, 20 µM GTP, 50 mM reduced glutathione). The reaction was spun down at 3200 xg for 30 sec and the supernatant was collected. Samples were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for phosphoRab10. Blots were imaged using Li-COR, and bands were quantified using ImageJ.

Intensity analysis of TIRF videos. Tracks of individual molecules were extracted from TIRF microscopy images using the TrackIT FiJi plugin and converted to .csv files using the custom

"getTracks.m" Matlab script (https://github.com/PfefferLab/Vides_et_al_2022). These files were
loaded as data frames in R and processed with dplyr for the binning and normalization steps.
Pre-normalized intensities I_t were obtained from the amplitude value fitted by TrackIT
(backround corrected amplitude of the Gaussian fit of each particle). Ridge plots were produced
using the ggridges package with a Gaussian Kernel density and a bandwidth of 0.2. Code used
to generate each figure is available on GitHub
(https://github.com/PfefferLab/Vides_et_al_2022).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the joint efforts of The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's
Research (MJFF) [17298 & 6986 (S.R.P. & D.R.A.)] and Aligning Science Across Parkinson's
(ASAP) initiative. MJFF administers the grant (ASAP-000463, S.R.P. & D.R.A.) on behalf of
ASAP and itself. Funds were also provided by the Medical Research Council [grant no.
MC_UU_00018/1 (D.R.A.), the pharmaceutical companies supporting the Division of Signal
Transduction Therapy Unit (Boehringer-Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck KGaA (D.R.A.), and
a Ph.D. fellowship from Consejería de Economía, Conocimiento y Empleo del Gobierno de
Canarias in partnership with Fondo Social Europeo (E.S-L.).

For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a CC-BY public copyright license to
the Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. All primary data
associated with each figure has been deposited in a repository and can be found at
https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.3tx95x6j7; quantitation data of the blots in Figure 3--Fig. Supp. 4 (for the
bar graphs in Figures 3C and 3D) can be found at doi (10.5281/zenodo.7057419).

We are especially grateful to Dr. Gheorghe Chistol for helpful discussion and Chloe Rollock for
help with protein purification. We also thank the excellent technical support of the MRC protein
phosphorylation and ubiquitylation unit (PPU) DNA sequencing service (coordinated by Gary
Hunter), the MRC-PPU tissue culture team (coordinated by Edwin Allen), MRC-PPU Reagents
and Services antibody and protein purification teams (coordinated by Dr James Hastie).

680
681

References
Adhikari, A, Vides, E. and Pfeffer, S.R. 2022. Reconstitution of LRRK2 membrane
recruitment onto planar lipid bilayers. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.x54v9y7qzg3e/v1

Alessi DR, Sammler E. LRRK2 kinase in Parkinson's disease. Science. 2018 Apr
6;360(6384):36-37. doi: 10.1126/science.aar5683.

Ashkenazy H, Abadi S, Martz E, Chay O, Mayrose I, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N. ConSurf 2016: an
improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jul 8;44(W1):W344-50.

Barbero P, Bittova L, Pfeffer SR. Visualization of Rab9-mediated vesicle transport from
endosomes to the trans-Golgi in living cells. J Cell Biol. 2002 Feb 4;156(3):511-8

Berger Z, Smith KA, Lavoie MJ. Membrane localization of LRRK2 is associated with increased
formation of the highly active LRRK2 dimer and changes in its phosphorylation. Biochemistry.
2010 Jul 6;49(26):5511-23.

Berndsen K, Lis P, Yeshaw WM, Wawro PS, Nirujogi RS, Wightman M, Macartney T, Dorward
M, Knebel A, Tonelli F, Pfeffer SR, Alessi DR. PPM1H phosphatase counteracts LRRK2
signaling by selectively dephosphorylating Rab proteins. Elife. 2019 Oct 30;8:e50416. doi:
10.7554/eLife.50416.

Biskup S, Moore DJ, Celsi F, Higashi S, West AB, Andrabi SA, Kurkinen K, Yu SW, Savitt JM,
Waldvogel HJ, Faull RL, Emson PC, Torp R, Ottersen OP, Dawson TM, Dawson VL.
Localization of LRRK2 to membranous and vesicular structures in mammalian brain. Ann
Neurol. 2006 Nov;60(5):557-69.

Boecker CA, Goldsmith J, Dou D, Cajka GG, Holzbaur ELF. Increased LRRK2 kinase activity
alters neuronal autophagy by disrupting the axonal transport of autophagosomes. Curr Biol.
2021 May 24;31(10):2140-2154.e6.

Civiero L, et al. (2012) Biochemical characterization of highly purified leucine-rich repeat
kinases 1 and 2 demonstrates formation of homodimers. PLoS One 7(8):e43472.

de Renzis S, Sönnichsen B, Zerial M. Divalent Rab effectors regulate the sub-compartmental
organization and sorting of early endosomes. Nat Cell Biol. 2002 Feb;4(2):124-33.

Deniston CK, Salogiannis J, Mathea S, et al. Structure of LRRK2 in Parkinson's disease and
model for microtubule interaction. *Nature*. 2020;588(7837):344-349. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-
2673-2

Dhekne HS, Yanatori I, Gomez RC, et al. A pathway for Parkinson's Disease LRRK2 kinase to
block primary cilia and Sonic hedgehog signaling in the brain. *Elife*. 2018;7:e40202. Published
2018 Nov 6. doi:10.7554/eLife.40202

Dhekne HS, Yanatori I, Vides EG, Sobu Y, Diez F, Tonelli F, Pfeffer SR. LRRK2-phosphorylated
Rab10 sequesters Myosin Va with RILPL2 during ciliogenesis blockade. Life Sci Alliance. 2021
Mar 16;4(5):e202101050. doi: 10.26508/lsa.202101050.

Gomez RC, Wawro P, Lis P, Alessi DR, Pfeffer SR. Membrane association but not identity is
required for LRRK2 activation and phosphorylation of Rab GTPases. J Cell Biol. 2019 Dec
2;218(12):4157-4170.

Gomez RC, Vides EG, Suzanne Pfeffer 2020. Rab29 fast exchange mutants: characterization of
a challenging Rab GTPase. **protocols.io** https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bffrjjm6

Greggio E, Zambrano I, Kaganovich A, Beilina A, Taymans JM, Daniels V, Lewis P, Jain S, Ding
J, Syed A, Thomas KJ, Baekelandt V, Cookson MR. The Parkinson disease-associated leucine-
rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is a dimer that undergoes intramolecular autophosphorylation.
The Journal of biological chemistry. 2008;283:16906–16914.

Guaitoli G, Raimondi F, Gilsbach BK, Gómez-Llorente Y, Deyaert E, Renzi F, Li X, Schaffner A,
Jagtap PK, Boldt K, von Zweydorf F, Gotthardt K, Lorimer DD, Yue Z, Burgin A, Janjic N, Sattler
M, Versées W, Ueffing M, Ubarretxena-Belandia I, Kortholt A, Gloeckner CJ. Structural model of
the dimeric Parkinson's protein LRRK2 reveals a compact architecture involving distant
interdomain contacts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016 Jul 26;113(30):E4357-66.

Ito G, Katsemonova K, Tonelli F, Lis P, Baptista MA, Shpiro N, Duddy G, Wilson S, Ho PW, Ho
SL, Reith AD, Alessi DR. Phos-tag analysis of Rab10 phosphorylation by LRRK2: a powerful
assay for assessing kinase function and inhibitors. Biochem J. 2016 Sep 1;473(17):2671-85.

Itzhak DN, Tyanova S, Cox J, Borner GH. Global, quantitative and dynamic mapping of protein
subcellular localization. *Elife*. 2016;5:e16950. Published 2016 Jun 9. doi:10.7554/eLife.16950

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates
R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-
Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M,
Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW,
Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with
AlphaFold. Nature. 2021 Aug;596(7873):583-589.

Jurrus E, Engel D, Star K, Monson K, Brandi J, Felberg LE, Brookes DH, Wilson L, Chen J,
Liles K, Chun M, Li P, Gohara DW, Dolinsky T, Konecny R, Koes DR, Nielsen JE, Head-Gordon
T, Geng W, Krasny R, Wei G-W, Holst MJ, McCammon JA, Baker NA. Improvements to the
APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. Protein Sci. 2018 Aug 24; 27 (1): 112-128.

Kalogeropulou AF, Freemantle JB, Lis P, Vides EG, Polinski NK, Alessi DR. Endogenous
Rab29 does not impact basal or stimulated LRRK2 pathway activity. Biochem J. 2020 Nov
27;477(22):4397-4423.

Klein CL, Rovelli G, Springer W, Schall C, Gasser T, Kahle PJ. Homo- and heterodimerization
of ROCO kinases: LRRK2 kinase inhibition by the LRRK2 ROCO fragment. J Neurochem 2009
Nov;111(3):703-15

Khan SS, Sobu Y, Dhekne HS, et al. Pathogenic LRRK2 control of primary cilia and Hedgehog
signaling in neurons and astrocytes of mouse brain. *Elife*. 2021;10:e67900. Published 2021 Oct
18. doi:10.7554/eLife.67900

Knebel A, Berndsen K, Lis P, Alessi DR. 2021. Expression and purification of Rab10 (1-181)
stoichiometrically phosphorylated at Thr73 (the LRRK2 site). protocols.io
https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvjxn4pn

Kuhn T, Hettich J, Davtyan R, Gebhardt JCM. (2021) Single molecule tracking and analysis
framework including theory-predicted parameter settings. *Sci Rep.* 11(1):9465.

Kuwahara T, Inoue K, D'Agati VD, Fujimoto T, Eguchi T, Saha S, Wolozin B, Iwatsubo T,
Abeliovich A. 2016. LRRK2 and RAB7L1 coordinately regulate axonal morphology and
lysosome integrity in diverse cellular contexts. *SciRep* 6:29945

Liu Z, Bryant N, Kumaran R, et al. LRRK2 phosphorylates membrane-bound Rabs and is
activated by GTP-bound Rab7L1 to promote recruitment to the trans-Golgi network. Hum Mol
Genet. 2018;27:385–395.

Madero-Pérez J, Fernández B, Lara Ordóñez AJ, Fdez E, Lobbestael E, Baekelandt V, Hilfiker
S. RAB7L1-Mediated Relocalization of LRRK2 to the Golgi Complex Causes Centrosomal
Deficits via RAB8A. Front Mol Neurosci. 2018 Nov 13;11:417.

McGrath E, Waschbüsch D, Baker BM, Khan AR. LRRK2 binds to the Rab32 subfamily in a
GTP-dependent manner via its armadillo domain. Small GTPases. 2021 Mar;12(2):133-146.

McQuin C, Goodman A, Chernyshev V, Kamentsky L, Cimini BA, Karhohs KW, Doan M, Ding L,
Rafelski SM, Thirstrup D, Wiegraebe W, Singh S, Becker T, Caicedo JC, Carpenter AE (2018).
CellProfiler 3.0: Next-generation image processing for biology. PLoS Biol. 16(7):e2005970.

Mirdita, M., Schütze, K., Moriwaki, Y. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible
to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679–682 (2022).

Myasnikov A, Zhu H, Hixson P, Xie B, Yu K, Pitre A, Peng J, Sun J. Structural analysis of the
full-length human LRRK2. Cell. 2021 Jun 24;184(13):3519-3527.e10.

Nichols WC, Elsaesser VE, Pankratz N, Pauciulo MW, Marek DK, Halter CA, Rudolph A, Shults
CW, Foroud T; Parkinson Study Group-PROGENI Investigators. LRRK2 mutation analysis in
Parkinson disease families with evidence of linkage to PARK8. Neurology. 2007 Oct
30;69(18):1737-44.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. UCSF
Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem.* 2004
Oct;25(13):1605-12.

Pfeffer SR. Rab GTPases: master regulators that establish the secretory and endocytic
pathways. Mol Biol Cell. 2017 Mar 15;28(6):712-715.

Purlyte E, Dhekne HS, Sarhan AR, et al. Rab29 activation of the Parkinson's disease-
associated LRRK2 kinase. Embo J. 2018;37:1–18.

Purlyte E, Kalogeropulou A, Tonelli F, Alessi DR 2022. Immunofluorescence-based assay to
assess LRRK2 association with microtubules in HEK293 cells. **Protocols.io**
https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.b5jhq4j6

R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria https://www.R-project.org/.

Schapansky J, Nardozzi JD, Felizia F, LaVoie MJ. Membrane recruitment of endogenous
LRRK2 precedes its potent regulation of autophagy. Hum Mol Genet. 2014 Aug 15;23(16):4201-
14.

Seaman MN (2004) Cargo-selective endosomal sorting for retrieval to the Golgi requires
retromer. J Cell Biol 165: 111–122

Sen S, Webber PJ, West AB (2009) Dependence of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)
kinase activity on dimerization. J Biol Chem 284(52):36346–36356.

Sobu Y, Wawro PS, Dhekne HS, Yeshaw WM, Pfeffer SR. Pathogenic LRRK2 regulates
ciliation probability upstream of tau tubulin kinase 2 via Rab10 and RILPL1 proteins. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2021 Mar 9;118(10):e2005894118.

Sönnichsen B, De Renzis S, Nielsen E, Rietdorf J, Zerial M. Distinct membrane domains on
endosomes in the recycling pathway visualized by multicolor imaging of Rab4, Rab5, and
Rab11. J Cell Biol. 2000 May 15;149(4):901-14.

Steger M, Diez F, Dhekne HS, Lis P, Nirujogi RS, Karayel O, Tonelli F, Martinez TN, Lorentzen
E, Pfeffer SR, Alessi DR, Mann M. Systematic proteomic analysis of LRRK2-mediated Rab
GTPase phosphorylation establishes a connection to ciliogenesis. Elife. 2017 Nov 10;6:e31012.

Steger M, Tonelli F, Ito G, Davies P, Trost M, Vetter M, Wachter S, Lorentzen E, Duddy G,
Wilson S, Baptista MA, Fiske BK, Fell MJ, Morrow JA, Reith AD, Alessi DR, Mann M.
Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab
GTPases. Elife. 2016 Jan 29;5:e12813.

Stirling DR, Swain-Bowden MJ, Lucas AM, Carpenter AE, Cimini BA, Goodman A (2021).
CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. BMC Bioinformatics, 22 (1), 433.

Tasegian A, Singh F, Ganley IG, Reith AD, Alessi DR. Impact of Type II LRRK2 inhibitors on
signaling and mitophagy. Biochem J. 2021 Oct 15;478(19):3555-3573.

Thomas LL, Fromme JC. 2016. GTPase cross talk regulates TRAPPII activation of Rab11
homologues during vesicle biogenesis. J Cell Biol. 215(4):499-513.

Tonelli, F and Alessi D. 2021. Quantitative Immunoblotting Analysis of LRRK2 Signalling
Pathway. **Protocols.io** https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bsgrnbv6

Vides EG, Pfeffer SR 2021. Microscale Thermophoresis determination of Rab29 binding to
LRRK2 Armadillo Domain. Protocols.io https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvvmn646

Viewegg S, Mulholland K, Brauning B, Kachariya N, Lai Y, Toth R, Kishor Singh R, Volpi I,
Sattler M, Groll M, Itzen A, Muqit MMK. PINK1-dependent phosphorylation of Serine111 within
the SF3 motif of Rab GTPases impairs effector interactions and LRRK2-mediated
phosphorylation at Threonine72. Biochem J. 2020 May 15; 477(9):1651-1668.

Waschbüsch D, Michels H, Strassheim S, Ossendorf E, Kessler D, Gloeckner CJ, et al. (2014)
LRRK2 Transport Is Regulated by Its Novel Interacting Partner Rab32. PLoS ONE 9(10):
e111632.

Waschbüsch D, Purlyte E, Pal P, McGrath E, Alessi DR, Khan AR (2020) Structural Basis for
Rab8a Recruitment of RILPL2 via LRRK2 Phosphorylation of Switch 2. Structure 28, 406-417.

Zhu H, Tonelli F, Alessi DR, Sun J (2022) Structural basis of human LRRK2 membrane
recruitment and activation. bioRxiv 2022.04.26.489605; doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.26.489605

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Rab29 binds to the C-terminal portion of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain.

Microscale thermophoresis of full length (residues 1-552), labeled LRRK2 Armadillo

domain with His-Rab29 (A) or with His-Rab7 (B). (C, D) Microscale thermophoresis of

labeled LRRK2 Armadillo domain residues 1-159 (C) or 350-550 (D) with Rab29.

Purified Rab29 was serially diluted and then NHS-RED labeled-LRRK2 Armadillo (final

concentration 100nM) was added. Graphs show mean and SEM from three

independent measurements, each from a different set of protein preparations.

Figure 2. Rab8A and Rab10 bind to the LRRK2 Armadillo domain. (A-C) Microscale

thermophoresis of labeled, LRRK2 Armadillo domain fragments comprised of residues

1-552, 1-159, or 350-550 with Rab8A Q67L (1-181) as indicated. (C, D, E) Microscale

thermophoresis for Rab10 Q68L (1-181) with indicated LRRK2 Armadillo fragments, as

in A. Purified Rab proteins were serially diluted and then NHS-RED labeled LRRK2

Armadillo domain (final concentration 100nM) was added. Graphs show mean and SEM

from three independent measurements, each from a different set of protein

preparations.

Figure 3. Characterization of critical LRRK2 residues mediating binding to Rab29. (A)

Predicted interactions between Rab29 and the LRRK2 Armadillo domain using

AlphaFold docking (Jumper et al., 2021), ColabFold (Mirdita et al., 2021) and the

AlphaFold2_advanced.ipynb notebook default settings.   Residues identified in red show

key contacts between LRRK2 and Rab29; orange and yellow coloring indicates the

Switch I and Switch II domains of Rab29. (B) The wild type and indicated mutants of full

length of GFP-LRRK2 were co-expressed with HA-Rab29 in HeLa cells. 24h post

transfection cells were fixed and localization assessed by confocal microscopy. LRRK2

overlap with Rab29 is presented as a Mander's coefficient determined using Cellprofiler

software (McQuin et al., 2018). (C, D) Wild type and indicated mutants of full length of

GFP-LRRK2 (C) or GFP-LRRK2 R1441G (D) were co-expressed with HA-Rab29 in

HEK293T cells. 24h post transfection, cells were lysed and extracts immunoblotted with

the indicated antibodies. Shown are the averages and standard deviations of duplicate

determinations; red asterisks indicate preferred mutant.

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1. (top) Fragments of GFP-LRRK2 that were co-

expressed with HA-Rab29 in HeLa cells. 24h post transfection, cells were fixed and

localization assessed by confocal microscopy. Fragments that co-localized with Rab29

at the Golgi are shown in green and those that failed to co-localize in gray. The

smallest fragment of LRRK2 that co-localized with Rab29 encompassed residues 350-

550 (shown below). Magnification bar, 20µm.

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 2. (A) Alphafold model of a complex of Rab29 (grey)

bound to the 350-550 fragment of LRRK2 (purple) as in Fig. 3A. (B) Table of Site #1

residues predicted to lie within the interface of LRRK2 and Rab29. Highlighted in red

are residues that when mutated, suppress interaction of LRRK2 with Rab29 and inhibit

Rab29-mediated LRRK2 activation in cells. (C) Alphafold model of a complex of Rab8A

(grey) bound to the 350-550 fragment of LRRK2 (navy); red residues are R361, R399,

L403 and K439.

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 3. Examples of micrographs used to create Fig. 3B.

Mutants (indicated) of full length GFP-LRRK2 were co-expressed with HA-Rab29 in

HeLa cells. 24h post transfection, cells were fixed and localization assessed by confocal

microscopy. Magnification bar, 20μm.

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 4. Immunoblots used to obtain Fig. 3C and Fig. 3D.

Wild type and indicated mutants of full length of GFP-LRRK2 (A) or R1441G LRRK2 (B)

were co-expressed with HA-Rab29 in HEK293T cells. 24h post transfection cells were

fixed and samples analyzed for immunoblotting. Each membrane was probed with anti-

pRab10 (rabbit), anti-Rab10 (mouse) and anti-HA (rat) antibodies. pRab10 and Rab10

signals were detected using 800 (anti-rabbit) and 680 (anti-mouse) channels in LICOR,

whereas the HA (showing Rab29 expression) was developed using ECL (anti-rat).

Figure 4. phosphoRab8A and phosphoRab10 bind with high affinity to the N-terminal

portion of the LRRK2 Armadillo domain. (A-F) Microscale thermophoresis of labeled,

indicated, LRRK2 Armadillo fragments with His-phosphoRab8A Q67L 1-181 (A-C) or

with His phosphoRab10 Q68L 1-181 (pRab10 (D-F). Purified Rab proteins were

phosphorylated with Mst3 kinase at 27°C for 2 h and then serially diluted; NHS-RED

labeled Armadillo (final concentration 100 nM) was then added. Graphs show mean and

SEM from three independent measurements, each from a different set of protein

preparations.

Figure 5. A. Electrostatic surface potential of LRRK2 Armadillo domain residues 1-552

modeled using Chimera 2 software (Petterson et al., 2004); blue indicates a positively

charged surface. LRRK2 K17 and K18 are indicated. (B) Alphafold (Jumper et al.,

2021) structure of putative, active LRRK2 with residues that mediate Rab29 binding

shown in red (Site #1) and the K17/K18 residues that are required for phosphoRab10

binding (Site #2) shown in magenta; the kinase domain is shown in blue. (C,D)

Microscale thermophoresis of labeled, full length LRRK2 K17A or K18A Armadillo 1-552

with His phosphoRab10 Q68L 1-181. Purified Rab10 protein was phosphorylated with

Mst3 kinase at 27°C for 2 h and then serially diluted; NHS-RED labeled Armadillo (final

concentration 100 nM) was then added. Graphs show mean and SEM from three

independent measurements, each from a different set of protein preparations.

Figure 6. LRRK2 K17 and K18 are critical for pRab10 interactions in cells. (A) FLAG-

LRRK2 R1441G (red) was transfected into HeLa cells plated on collagen coated

coverslips and co-localized with endogenous wild type pRab10 (green). Cells on

coverslips were dipped in liquid nitrogen to deplete cytosol and enhance membrane-

bound signal. Insets show enlargements of boxed areas representing peri-centriolar

LRRK2 and pRab10. (B) FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G/K17A/K18A (red) was transfected into

HeLa cells plated on collagen coated coverslips and stained and localized with pRab10

(green) as in A. (C) Quantification of pRab10 overlap with LRRK2 by Mander's

coefficient. Error bars represent SEM of means from three different experiments

(represented by colored dots), each with >40 cells per condition. Significance was

determined by *t* test, *P = 0.0108.

Figure 7. LRRK2 K17 and K18 increase endogenous pRab10 recovery after LRRK2

inhibitor washout. (A-D) FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G, FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G/K17A/K18A,

LRRK2, or LRRK2 K17A/K18A was transfected into HeLa cells. 48 hr post transfection

cells were treated with 200nM of MLi-2 for 1 hr. The MLi-2 was then removed by

multiple washes and incubated for the indicated times prior to cell lysis. Whole cell

extracts (20µg) were subjected to quantitative immunoblot analysis using anti-LRRK2,

anti-Rab10, and anti-pRab10 antibodies. (E-F) Quantification of pRab10/ total Rab10

fold change and normalized to no MLi2 control. Error bars represent mean ± SD from 2

different experiments per condition.

Figure 8. Feed-forward pathway for Rab10 phosphorylation is dependent on LRRK2

kinase activity. (A) Fluorescence intensity traces of individual, single molecules of 7nM

CF633-labeled FLAG-LRRK2 R1441G on a substrate supported lipid bilayer decorated

with lipid anchored GFP-Rab10 Q68L-His across 600 sec of live TIRF microscopy.

Red, R1441G; Blue, K17A/K18A/R1441G; Yellow, D2017A. B. Reactions were carried

out as in (A) except Rab10 was omitted (purple) or Rab10 was replaced with Rab11

(green). Dashed lines in A and B represent time of addition of fluorescently labeled

LRRK2 at 60 sec. Fluorescence intensity was fitted by a nonlinear regression curve for

two phase association. Fold change was calculated by dividing the average

fluorescence intensity at steady state and subtracting background fluorescence intensity

average determined from 60 sec prior to LRRK2 addition. C. Rate of membrane

association of LRRK2 as a function of Rab10 concentration. This curve was fitted by a

nonlinear regression fit using PRISM software (Mathworks) to determine a Hill

coefficient.

Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 1. Quantitative analysis of TIRF images of LRRK2

recruitment on planar lipid bilayers. A. Ridge plot showing the distribution of the

fluorescence intensity of CF633-labeled LRRK2 molecules (or complexes) as a function

of the time elapsed since the molecule first appeared on the surface. Two replicates of

LRRK2 are shown. For each molecule, the fluorescence intensity I_t at each time point t

was normalized with respect to its initial intensity I_0 during the first frame when the

molecule first appeared on the surface. The intensity distributions are shown in log

scale (*x* axis). Intensity distributions were computed using the average intensity of each

molecule over 25s increments. Purple data points and error bars below each distribution

show its mean and standard deviation. For each time point t, all the molecules with a

fluorescence lifetime greater than t were used to compute the distribution. The number

of such molecules at each time point is shown in panel E. B. Same ridge plot as in A

showing the evolution of the fluorescence intensity with greater temporal resolution

during the first 30s after a molecule appeared on the surface. Here, the intensity

distributions were computed using the average intensity of each molecule over 1.5s

increments. Only molecules with a fluorescence lifetime larger than 30s were included

in this ridge plot (N=1171, 2334, and 106 molecules respectively for the 3 conditions

shown (left to right). C. Individual fluorescence intensity over time for the 30 molecules

with the longest fluorescence lifetimes as in A. D. Ridge plot showing the distribution of

the initial fluorescence intensity I_0 of individual CF633-labeled LRRK2 molecules or

complexes when they first appeared on the surface, as a function of the time elapsed

since the first molecule was detected (referred to as the absolute time T). These initial

intensities were normalized to the median initial intensity of all molecules. E. Inverse

cumulative distribution of the fluorescence lifetime of individual molecules. F.

Percentage of molecules that were "ultrabright" when they first appeared on the surface,

as a function of the absolute time T at which they appeared. Ultrabright refers to

molecules with an initial intensity greater than $2^{1.5}$ fold the median initial intensity

across all molecules ($\log_2[I_0/\text{median}(I_0)]>1.5$).

Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 2. The LRRK2 Armadillo domain can bind

phosphorylated Rab10 and unphosphorylated Rab8A simultaneously. GST-Rab8A was

immobilized on glutathione agarose, then LRRK2 Armadillo (or buffer) was added;

beads were washed and His-phosphoRab10 Q68L was then added. Bead-bound

material (triplicates shown) was eluted with reduced glutathione and analyzed by

immunoblotting. Input, 50% of that used in each binding reaction. PhosphoRab10 (5%

of input) was detected only in ARM domain-containing samples, consistent with the Kd

values.

Figure 9. PhosphoRab8A activates LRRK2 phosphorylation of Rab10 in solution. A. Immunoblot analysis of the kinetics of LRRK2 G2019S phosphorylation of Rab10 with and without additional pRab8.   Upper gel, GFP-Rab10 Q68L 1-181 substrate; Lower gel, His-Sumo-Rab10 wild type full length substrate. Indicated reactions contained 200nM MLi-2. pRab8A was detected with anti-phosphoRab8A antibody.   B. Same as panel A with K18A-LRRK2-R1441G and His-Sumo-Rab10 wild type full length as substrate. PhosphoRab8A was detected with total Rab8 antibody. C. Kinetics of phosphoRab10 production as in A. Shown are the combined means of independent, quadruplicate determinations ± SEM, as indicated. D. PhosphoRab10 production as in B. Shown are the combined means of independent duplicate determinations, ±SEM, as indicated. Background signal in the presence of pRab8A is likely due to trace MST3 contamination that is not sensitive to MLi-2 inhibition and was subtracted. pRab8 preparation was by Method #1 for A, upper gel, and B, and Method #2 was used in panel A, lower gel.

Figure 10. A model for LRRK2 membrane recruitment. LRRK2 can interact with non-phosphorylated Rab GTPases via site #1. Once membrane bound, it can generate phosphoRabs that can now engage site #2. Rab binding to both sites increases the avidity of LRRK2 for membranes and retains LRRK2 on the membrane surface to phosphorylate more Rab substrates. We have shown that LRRK2 binding to phosphoRabs also activates the kinase, likely by altering its oligomeric state.

1007 **Supporting Videos**

1008 Video 1. TIRF microscopy of R1441G LRRK2 binding to Rab10-lipid bilayers
1009 Captured at 1 frame per second and compressed 20X
1010
1011 Video 2. TIRF microscopy of R1441G LRRK2 binding to lipid bilayers without Rab10
1012 Captured at 1 frame per second and compressed 20X
1013
1014 Video 3. TIRF microscopy of R1441G LRRK2 binding to Rab11-lipid bilayers
1015 Captured at 0.5 frames per second and compressed 40X
1016
1017 Video 4. TIRF microscopy of D2017A LRRK2 binding to Rab10-lipid bilayers
1018 Captured at 1 frame per second and compressed 20X
1019
1020 Video 5. TIRF microscopy of K17A/K18A/R1441G LRRK2 binding to Rab10-lipid bilayers
1021 Captured at 0.5 frames per second and compressed 40X

1022

1023 **Source Data**
1024 Figure 3-figure supplement 4-source data 1. RAW data for gels.
1025 Figure 3-figure supplement 4-source data 2. Annotated gels.
1026
1027 Figure 7-source data 1. RAW data for gels.
1028 Figure 7-source data 2. Annotated gels.
1029
1030 Figure 8-figure supplement 2-source data 1. RAW data for gels.
1031 Figure 8-figure supplement 2-source data 2. Annotated gels.
1032
1033 Figure 9-source data 1. RAW data for gels.
1034 Figure 9-source data 2. Annotated gels.
1035
1036
1037

Table 1. Summary of Binding Affinities. Note that these values are likely

underestimates of affinities as typical preparations of purified Rab proteins contained

~50% bound GDP and ~50% bound GTP by mass spectrometry. Non-phosphorylated

Rab interaction with Armadillo 1-159 is shown in parentheses as it likely reflects binding

to an Alphafold-predicted site near the C-terminus of this fragment that will not be

accessible in full length LRRK2 protein.

1044

	Armadillo 1-159 (Site #2-containing)	Armadillo 1-552	Armadillo 350-550 (Site #1-containing)	Armadillo 1-552 K17A	Armadillo 1-552 K18A
Rab29	>29	1.6 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.5	-	-
Rab10-Q68L	(5.1 ± 3.1)	2.4 ± 0.6	5.1 ± 2.5	-	-
pRab10-Q68L	0.71 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.4	>29	>20	>20
Rab8A-Q67L	(6.7 ± 3.6)	2.9 ± 1.2	2.3 ± 1.0	-	-
pRab8A-Q67L	1.0 ± 0.6	0.87 ± 0.4	>19.4	-	-
Rab7	-	>6.5	-	-	-

1045

1046

1047

Vides et al., Figure 1

Vides et al., Figure 2

Vides et al., Figure 3

Vides et al. Figure 3 -- Figure Supplement 1

A

Rab29

ARM
350-550

C

Rab8A

ARM
350-550

B

LRRK2 (350-550)	Rab29	Distance (Å)	Interaction
R361	Y77	3.07	hydrogen bond
R361	Y77	2.99	hydrogen bond
R361	M73	4.68	hydrophobic
K362	S72	2.48	hydrogen bond
K362	L76	4.44	hydrophobic
D392	K37	3.38	H bond, electrostatic, salt bridge
R399	D43	4.21	electrostatic
L403	V42	5.01	hydrophobic
L406	W62	5.36	hydrophobic
M407	L76	4.99	hydrophobic
M407	Y77	4.73	hydrophobic
K439	D43	3.24	H bond, electrostatic, salt bridge
K439	F44	3.22	hydrogen bond
K439	F44	5.23	hydrophobic
L443	R58	5.30	hydrophobic
K451	D80	4.92	electrostatic

Vides et al., Figure 3 -- Figure Supplement 2

| GFP-LRRK2 | HA-Rab29 | merge | | GFP-LRRK2 | HA-Rab29 | merge |

Vides et al., Figure 3 -- Figure Supplement 3

Vides et al. Figure 3--Fig. Supp. 4

Vides et al., Figure 4

A

K18
K17

−10 0 10

B

Kinase domain

Site 1   Site 2

B

Armadillo 1-552 K17A

$K_D > 20\ \mu M$

Normalized MST signal

phosphoRab10 (nM)

C

Armadillo 1-552 K18A

$K_D > 20\ \mu M$

Normailzed MST signal

phosphoRab10 (nM)

Vides et al., Figure 5

Vides et al., Figure 6

Vides et al., Figure 7

Vides et al., Figure 8

Figure 8 - Figure Supplement 1

LRRK2
Armadillo (1-552):   **+**   **+**   **+**   **−**   **−**   **−**   input

50 kDa -

25 kDa -

- LRRK2 1-552

- pRab10

Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 2

Vides et al., Figure 10