Analysis of *Aedes aegypti* microRNAs in response to *Wolbachia* *w*AlbB infection and their potential role in mosquito longevity

Cameron Bishop[1], Mazhar Hussain[1], Leon E. Hugo[2], Sassan Asgari[1]*

[1]Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

[2]Mosquito Control Laboratory, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, 300 Herston Road, Herston, 4006, Australia

Running title: Altered mosquito miRNAs upon *Wolbachia* infection

*Corresponding author: Sassan Asgari; Tel: +617 3365 2043; s.asgari@uq.edu.au

Abstract

The mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is the primary vector of a range of medically important viruses including dengue, Zika, West Nile, yellow fever, and chikungunya viruses. The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis* *w*AlbB strain has emerged as a promising biocontrol agent for blocking viral transmission by *Ae. aegypti*. In order to predict and manage the long-term efficacy of its application in the field, a thorough understanding of the molecular interactions between symbiont, host, and pathogen is required. *Wolbachia* are known to influence host physiology in a variety of ways including reproduction, innate immunity, metabolism, and longevity. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are highly conserved small non-coding RNAs that are important regulators of gene expression in eukaryotes and viruses. A number of miRNAs have been functionally described in *Drosophila* and mosquitoes as having roles in regulating a broad range of biological processes, as well as facilitating *Wolbachia* maintenance. We generated the first chromosomal map of *Ae. aegypti* miRNAs, and compared the miRNA expression profiles between a *w*AlbB-transinfected *Ae. aegypti* mosquito line and a tetracycline cleared derivative using deep small RNA-sequencing. We found a number of miRNAs to be modulated by *w*AlbB infection. We also found that some miRNAs were upregulated with age in a manner that was affected by *w*AlbB. By selectively inhibiting some differentially expressed miRNAs, we identified miR-2946-3p and miR-317-3p as effecting mosquito longevity.

Importance

Wolbachia is an endosymbiotic bacterium found in about 65% of insect species. It is mostly known for reproductive manipulations of the host, and also blocking replication of negative sense RNA viruses. Transinfection of *Wolbachia* into *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes, which transmit a variety of arboviruses, including dengue virus, has provided a novel biological approach in reducing transmission of arboviruses. To gain a better understanding of

Wolbachia-mosquito interactions, we investigated the impact of *Wolbachia* on the microRNA

profile of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. Besides identifying several differentially expressed

microRNAs and their putative target genes, we found alterations of microRNAs in mosquitoes

due to age. Inhibition of two miRNAs led to reduced mosquito life span. The outcomes provide

insights into underlying molecular mechanisms involved in *Wolbachia*-host interactions.

Keywords: *Wolbachia*; mosquito; *Aedes aegypti*; *w*AlbB; microRNA

Introduction

Arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) pose a significant and increasing global health burden.

Two mosquitoes of the *Aedes* genus, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus,* are the primary and

secondary vectors, respectively, of several medically important arboviruses including the

flaviviruses dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), yellow fever virus (YFV), and the

alphavirus chikungunya virus (CHIKV) (1, 2). Originating in sub-Saharan Africa, *Ae. aegypti*

experienced a dramatic range expansion beginning with European colonisation of the Americas

in the 16th century (3). Human activity has continued to facilitate its global spread, and today

it is common in many urban subtropical and tropical habitats across six continents (4). In the

absence of safe or effective vaccines, vector control remains the most effective method for

limiting outbreaks of mosquito-borne viruses (5, 6). With many *Ae. aegypti* populations

becoming resistant to insecticides, attention has turned to biological vector-control strategies

(7-9).

The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis* has proven to be a useful biocontrol agent

through its ability to invade mosquito populations and prevent viral transmission, either by

suppressing the mosquito population or by inhibiting virus infection and dissemination within

individual mosquitoes (10, 11). The ability for some strains of *Wolbachia* to invade mosquito

populations is due to a combination of maternal inheritance and a manipulation by the

bacterium known as cytoplasmic incompatibility, which provides a reproductive advantage to

infected females in naïve or heterologously-infected populations (12, 13). Additional factors

governing the success of a *Wolbachia* invasion include the fitness cost to the mosquito

harbouring *Wolbachia*, and the ability of *Wolbachia* to tolerate the climate of tropical regions,

where mosquito-borne viruses primarily exist (14-16). The viral-inhibition phenotype

exhibited by some strains of *Wolbachia* is complex, not well-understood, and varies depending

on the combination of *Wolbachia* strain and mosquito host (17-19).

Several *Wolbachia* strains have been investigated for their efficacy in biocontrol, and to explore

the biology that underpins their association with the host. The *Drosophila*-derived *w*MelPop

and *w*Mel strains have been investigated in laboratory and/or field trials for suppression of

West Nile virus (WNV), YFV, ZIKV, DENV, and CHIKV in *Ae. aegypti*. Despite effectively

supressing viral infection and transmission (20-24), their ability to be maintained at high

frequency in wild populations is limited by the large fitness cost of *w*MelPop (14), and the

sensitivity to heat-stress of *w*Mel (25, 26). An *Ae. albopictus*-derived strain, *w*AlbB, inhibits

transmission of DENV in *Ae. aegypti*, while also being more tolerant of heat stress than *w*Mel

or *w*MelPop, allowing it to persist in wild populations (25, 27-30).

The symbiotic relationship between *Wolbachia* and host is intimate and complex, with each

party affecting the other through multiple physiological pathways, including innate immunity,

redox homeostasis, metabolism, protein synthesis and proteolysis, nutrient provisioning, and

iron homeostasis (19, 31-39). *Wolbachia* infection has also been shown to have a significant

effect on host gene expression via the microRNA (miRNA) pathway.

miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs involved in the regulation of gene expression in

eukaryotes and viruses (40). In mosquitoes, a number of miRNAs are conserved among

disparate lineages, indicating their importance in regulating critical functions. For example,

miR-281, miR-184, miR-989 and miR-278 are conserved among *Anopheles gambiae*, *Ae.*

aegypti, and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (41). Some conserved miRNAs also show conserved

patterns of expression among tissue types, or developmental stages, or in response to

physiological cues such as blood feeding, reproduction, or infection by pathogens (41, 42). In

Ae. aegypti, several miRNAs have been functionally explored. The ovary-specific miR-309 is

induced by blood feeding and has been shown to regulate ovarian development by targeting

the *SIX4* transcript (43). miR-1174 is involved in bloodmeal intake by targeting *serine*

hydroxymethyltransferase, while miR-1890 regulates blood digestion by targeting the *JHA15*

transcript (41, 44).

A number of miRNAs are modulated by arbovirus infection in *Ae. aegypti*, some of which have

been predicted to have an effect on viral replication (41, 45). For example, miR-2944b-5p is

exploited by CHIKV to enhance its replication via a direct interaction with the 3' UTR of the

viral genome, and indirectly via repression of a host target gene, vps-13 (46). Similarly, the

blood-meal induced miR-375 has been implicated in enhancing DENV-2 infection in *Ae.*

aegypti via the Toll pathway by inducing *cactus* and repressing *REL1* (47). In experiments

using *Ae. aegypti*, *Wolbachia w*MelPop induced the expression of miR-2940, which in turn

promoted the maintenance of *w*MelPop by supressing methyltransferase *Dnmt2*, and by

inducing arginine methyltransferase (48, 49). Furthermore, miR-2940 has been shown to

inhibit DENV and WNV infection in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* via induction of the

metalloprotease m41 ftsh (48, 50). The miR-2940 instance provides an elegant example of

symbiosis between *Wolbachia* and *Ae. aegypti,* and underscores the importance of the miRNA

pathway in facilitating the interaction. It remains to be seen how the effect on host miRNA

profile imposed by *w*MelPop compares to that of *w*AlbB.

To further shed light on the role of miRNAs in mosquito-*Wolbachia* interactions, in the current

study, we used deep-sequencing of small RNAs to investigate the effect of *Wolbachia w*AlbB

strain on the miRNA expression profile of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. By comparing *w*AlbB-

infected mosquitoes with a tetracycline-cleared line, we detected differential expression of

several miRNAs between lines, with some miRNAs differentially expressed with age. Further,

our results suggest that upregulation of aae-miR-2946-3p and aae-miR-317-3p could be related

to mosquito longevity in *w*AlbB-infected mosquitoes. A number of the differentially expressed

miRNAs have been described elsewhere as being involved in the regulation of metabolism,

microbial defence, reproduction, development and ageing in mosquitoes.

Materials and Methods

Mosquitoes

The *Wolbachia w*AlbB infected *Ae. aegypti* strain *w*AlbB2-F4 mosquitoes (51) were used for

experiments in this study. We call the strain WB2 for shortness. A *Wolbachia*-free (WB2.tet)

line was produced by feeding adults on 10% sucrose with 1mg/ml tetracycline hydrochloride

(Sigma) for seven generations. WB2.tet mosquitoes were maintained for five generations

without tetracycline before testing for the presence of *Wolbachia w*AlbB using qPCR on

genomic DNA with primers to the *Wolbachia surface protein* (*wsp*) gene from nine adult

females to ensure removal of *Wolbachia*. See qPCR below for primer sequences and details.

Female WB2 mosquitoes were also tested for *Wolbachia* density by the same method. Both

lines were reared by hatching 300 eggs in 27°C water and feeding larvae daily with ground

Tropical Colour flasks (Tetra) fish food *ad libitum.* Adults were maintained at 27°C, a

12hr:12hr day/night cycle with relative humidity ranging between 65-75%, and allowed to feed

ad libitum on 10% sucrose. All mosquito experiments were performed using female adults.

Cell line

The *Ae. aegypti* Aag2.*w*AlbB cell line was generated as described in (52) for testing the effect

of miRNA inhibition of aae-miR-2b-3p, aae-miR-190-5p, and aae-miR-276b-5p on *w*AlbB

density. Cells were maintained in a 1:1 ratio of Mitsuhashi-Maramorosch (Himedia) and

Schneider's Drosophila Medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) supplemented with 10% Foetal

Bovine Serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, French origin) at 27°C as monolayer.

Small RNA sequencing

Adult female mosquitoes were sampled at 2, 6, and 12 days post emergence. Three replicate

pools of four females were sampled at each time point. Samples were homogenised in 1.5ml

tubes on ice using a plastic micro-pestle and small RNAs were extracted using the miRNeasy

Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA was removed using the Turbo DNA-

free kit (Invitrogen) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA from each sample was

quantified and purity determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies,

Palo Alto, CA, USA), NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and performing gel

electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel. The following steps relating to library preparation and

sequencing were carried out by the sequencing service company Genewiz (China). Two µg of

total RNA with RNA integrity number (RIN) value of above 7.5 was used for subsequent

library preparation. Indexed sequencing libraries were constructed according to the

manufacturer's protocol (NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA library Prep Set for Illumina). The

resulting PCR products of ~140 bp were recovered and purified via polyacrylamide agarose

gel electrophoresis, validated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies), and

quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). Libraries were then multiplexed and

sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument using a 1x50 single-end configuration

according to manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Image analysis and

base calling were conducted using the HiSeq Control Software (HCS), Off-Line Basecaller

(OLB), and GAPipeline-1.6 (Illumina).

Bioinformatics analyses

Low quality reads and adapters were removed from raw small RNA sequence reads using

Trimmomatic. Cleaned reads were then size-selected for reads between 18-24nt using BBmap

(53). Quality control was performed using FastQC (54). Mature miRNA annotations were

generated with miRdeep2 v0.1.2 (55) using four concatenated read files (two WB2.tet and two

WB2 - SRR12893564, SRR12893577, SRR12893581, SRR12893570), a previously published

list of *Ae. aegypti* precursor miRNA sequences (42), and miRBase databases for *Ae. aegypti*,

Anopheles gambiae, and *Drosophila melanogaster* (56) as input. miRDeep2 output provides

genomic coordinates of precursor miRNA loci, but not their corresponding mature sequences,

so we determined the exact mature miRNA locations using BLAST (57), and cross referenced

the results with the precursor coordinates. This method also allowed -5p and -3p designation

to each mature sequence, which was not included in miRDeep2's output. To quantify miRNA

expression, reads were mapped to the three complete chromosomes of the *Aedes aegypti*

AaegL5.0 reference genome (58) using Shortstack v3.8.5 (59) with default settings. Reads

mapping to mature miRNA annotations were counted using Shortstack with default settings. A

differential expression analysis was performed using EdgeR v3.34.0 (60). Low-count miRNAs

were removed using a minimum cutoff of 5 counts per million. Read counts were normalised

for library depth and composition using the trimmed mean of M-values method (61). Genewise

dispersion was modelled with the 'robust=T' parameter and the 'glmFit' function, and a test

for differential expression was performed using the 'glmLRT' function. For contrasts between

lines, miRNAs with a Benjamini & Hochberg adjusted p value of < 0.05 and a $\log_2$ fold-change

> 0.5 were considered differentially expressed. For contrasts between ages, miRNAs with an

adjusted p value of < 0.05 and a $\log_2$ fold-change of > 1 were considered differentially

expressed. The decision to relax the $\log_2$ fold-change cut-off to 0.5 for contrasts between lines

was based on the relatively low fold-changes observed in these contrasts compared to those in

contrasts between ages. Positive $\log_2$ fold-changes indicate a higher expression level in the

*w*AlbB-infected mosquitoes versus control. To assess the microbiome of each mosquito line,

unmapped reads were analysed using Kraken with the minikraken2 database (62). All data

were plotted using Kronatools (63) and R v3.6.3.

To predict gene targets of differentially expressed miRNAs, coding regions and 5'- and 3'-

UTRs of the AaegL5.0 transcriptome were scanned for potential binding sites using three

software packages: miRanda v3.3 (64), RNA22 v2.0 (65), and PITA v6.0 (66). We then took

target genes predicted by all three packages and performed a gene ontology term enrichment

analysis using VectorBase (67), with a *p* value cut-off of 0.05.

To identify putative hairpin structures expressed by *w*AlbB, WB2 fastq files were concatenated

within each age group. Reads of between 18 and 24 nt in length were mapped to the *Wolbachia*

*pipientis w*AlbB reference genome (Genbank Accession: NZ_CP031221.1) using (68) with

bowtie2 v2.2.7 (69). Peaks of high coverage were identified ($\geq$ 2000 reads) using bedtools

v2.26.0 (70). High coverage peaks were visually examined using Integrated Genome Viewer

v2.11.9. RNA secondary structure was predicted using the The ViennaRNA Package (71).

Sequence alignment was performed using BioEdit v7.2 with the 'sliding ends' option.

qPCR and RT-qPCR analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from 3 pools of 4 adult females at 2, 6, and 12 days post-

emergence using Econospin columns (Epoch Life Sciences), following a protocol described

previously (72). DNA was quantified using a BioTek Epoch Microspot plate

spectrophotometer. Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR master mix (QIAGEN) in a

QIAGEN Rotor-Gene Q 2plex using 100ng of gDNA as per the manufacturer's instructions.

The ratio of *Wolbachia* to host genome copies was quantified by qPCR using previously

developed primers for the *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) gene and the *Ae. aegypti* Ribosomal

protein subunit 17 (*RPS17*) gene (21) (Table S1).

Total RNA was extracted from three pools of four adult females at 2, 6, and 12 days post-

emergence using the Qiazol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen).

Genomic DNA was removed using the Turbo DNA-free kit (Invitrogen). RNA quality and

quantity were evaluated using a BioTek Epoch Microspot plate spectrophotometer. Small

RNAs were reverse transcribed with miSCRIPT II RT kit (QIAGEN) using the HiSpec buffer

and 0.5 µg of total RNA per reaction. Thermocycling conditions were as per the manufacturer's

instructions. The miSCRIPT RT kit works by poly-adenylating small RNA species present in

total RNA. A poly-T primer with a 5' universal tag is then used for reverse transcription of the

small RNAs. Quantitative PCR was performed with a miScript SYBR Green PCR kit

(QIAGEN) in a QIAGEN Rotor-Gene Q 2plex using 10 ng of cDNA per reaction. For each

small miRNA or 5s rRNA, the small RNA sequence was used for the forward primer sequence

(Table S1). The miScript Universal Primer (Qiagen) was used as the reverse primer.

miRNA inhibitor trials

Aag2.wAlbB cells were seeded into 12-well plates at a density of 5×10^5 cells per well, and

allowed to adhere. Medium was removed and replaced with a 300µl of serum-free medium

containing 100nmol of miRNA inhibitor (GenePharma) in Cellfectin II reagent (Invitrogen).

miRNA inhibitors are RNA oligos, chemically modified to bind with high affinity to target

miRNAs. Target specificity derives from sequence complementarity, which is 100%.

Following incubation at 28˚C for three hours, 300µl of medium containing 2% FBS was added

to cells. Cells were then grown under normal culturing conditions for three days. DNA and

RNA were extracted and used for measuring wAlbB density and miRNA inhibition by qPCR

and miScript RT-qPCR, respectively, as described above. The effect of inhibition of aae-miR-

2b-3p, aae-miR-190-5p, and aae-miR-276b-5p on wAlbB density was examined *in vivo* by

intrathoracic microinjection of adult females with 125nl of 100µM inhibitor (GenePharma) or

a scrambled negative control at 2 days post emergence. As an additional control, mosquitoes

were injected with 125nl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Three days after injection, DNA

and RNA were extracted and used for measuring *w*AlbB density and miRNA inhibition respectively.

The effect of inhibition of aae-miR-317-3p and aae-miR-2946-3p on mosquito longevity was performed by intrathoracic microinjection of adult females with 125nl of 100μM inhibitor, (GenePharma) or a scrambled negative control (Table S1), or PBS at 2 days post emergence. Mosquitoes that survived the 24-hour period following injection were included in the experiment. Three days after injection, inhibition was measured by miScript qPCR as described above. The experiment was performed twice, with 10 to 12 mosquitoes per treatment, per experiment. Data were tested for proportionality using the cox.zph function in R, and analysed using a Cox proportional hazards model, for the effect of treatment while controlling for batch effect, using the survminer package in R. Tests returning a likelihood ratio test p value < 0.05 were considered significant. A Kaplan-Meier estimator was used to calculate median survival estimates for each group.

Quantification and statistical analysis

All statistics were performed in R (version v3.6.2) or GraphPad Prism v. 9.1.2. Significance for differences between treatment and control groups were determined by statistical analyses mentioned in each relevant figure legend.

Data and code availability

The accession number for the small RNA-Seq dataset reported in this paper is SRA: PRJNA671731 (will be released upon official acceptance).

Results

Mosquito rearing and tetracycline clearance

To produce a *Wolbachia*-free line as control, *w*AlbB-transinfected *Ae. aegypti w*AlbB2-F4 (WB2) mosquitoes (males and females) were treated with tetracycline (WB2.tet). We confirmed the presence or absence of *Wolbachia w*AlbB in mosquitoes using qPCR of genomic

260 DNA from a sample of female mosquitoes in three age groups: 2, 6 and 12 days post-

261 emergence. No *Wolbachia* surface protein gene (WSP) product was detected in female WB2.tet

262 mosquitoes. In female WB2 mosquitoes, *w*AlbB density was not significantly different

263 between age groups (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$) (Fig. 1A).

Small RNA sequencing

To compare the miRNA profiles of WB2 and WB2.tet mosquitoes, we collected mosquitoes at

2, 6 and 12 dpe, from which RNA was extracted for RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq). Small RNA

sequencing of 18 RNA libraries yielded a total of 94.8 million single-end reads with a Phred

score of $\geq$Q30 after quality trimming and size selection (Table S2). After removing sequencing

adapters, the read length profile of each library was characteristic of a small RNA fraction,

with a sharp peak at 21-23nt corresponding to miRNA and short interfering-RNA classes, and

a broader peak at 26-31nt corresponding to PIWI-RNAs (Fig. S1). Reads of 18-24nt in length

were aligned to the *Ae. aegypti* AaegL5.0 genome, with an alignment rate of between 91.8%

and 96.5% per sample (Table S2). The total number of aligned 18-24nt reads ranged from 3.4

to 6.6×10^6 reads per sample (Fig. 1B). Following tetracycline treatment, each mosquito line

was reared under identical conditions for five generations. Nonetheless, to ensure that the

bacterial composition of each line was consistent, we conducted a metagenomics analysis using

reads that did not align to the AaegL5.0 genome. This confirmed that the bacterial composition

was congruent between lines (Fig. S2). To confirm the absence of *w*AlbB from the WB2.tet

samples, reads that did not map to the AaegL5.0 genome were mapped to the *w*AlbB genome.

For the *w*AlbB libraries, 3.42% of the reads mapped to the *w*AlbB genome, whereas for the

WB2.tet samples, 0.01% mapped, mainly to the 16s and 23s rRNA loci (Fig. 1C). To determine

the validity of these mappings, we collected the *w*AlbB-mapped WB2.tet reads and mapped

them against the genomes of five bacterial strains that were identified to the genus level in the

metagenomic analysis. Of those reads, 68.19% mapped to at least one of the five strains, mainly

to the rRNA loci. We therefore concluded that the small fraction of WB2.tet reads mapping to

the *w*AlbB genome was likely the result of sequence similarity between bacterial genomes, the

intrinsic error-rate associated with mapping short reads with a high sensitivity mapping

algorithm, and/or the result of index-hopping during library preparation.

Annotation and differential expression analysis

Previous annotations of precursor and mature miRNAs in *Ae. aegypti* relied on the scaffold-

level AaegL3.0 reference genome that is now superseded (73). We annotated miRNAs using

the current AaegL5.0 genome, which contains all three complete-length chromosome

assemblies and 2309 scaffolds (58). As a result of the improved completeness of the reference

genome, 13 miRNAs that were previously reported to be duplicated (42) were shown to occur

as single copies in the full-length chromosome assemblies and to be absent from the scaffolds.

A BLAST analysis of our miRNA sequences indicated that nine other miRNAs had potential

duplicates on a scaffold. The AaegL5.0 genome was produced from a pool of 80 individuals,

and many of the scaffolds are haplotigs, i.e. the result of alternative haplotypes present in the

pool (58). To confirm the validity of the duplicates, we aligned the chromosome copy and

scaffold copy of each potential duplicate, including 500b up- and downstream flanking regions.

All nine 'chromosome' copies had >95% similarity with their respective 'scaffold' copy across

the ~1100b alignment. We therefore assumed that the scaffolds on which those nine duplicates

occurred were haplotigs, and the duplicates were artificial. Since no unique miRNAs were

found on a scaffold, we removed the scaffolds from our analyses. Genomic coordinates for 221

mature and 116 precursor miRNA loci within the three full chomosomes of the AaegL5.0

genome are provided in Table S3. By comparing our annotations to the AaegL5.0 genome, we

determined the genomic context of each miRNA locus with respect to being intergenic,

intronic, or exonic, as well as identifying potential miRNA clusters (Fig. 2).

miRNAs with expression values greater than five counts-per-million in at least three samples

were included in the statistical analysis, resulting in 168 mature miRNAs being examined. A

principal components analysis of $\log_2$ normalised counts showed clear separation of WB2 and

WB2.tet in each of the three age groups (Fig. 3A). In the 2 dpe group, differential expression

was approximately even in terms of up- vs down-regulation, whereas for 6 dpe and 12 dpe

groups, there was a skew toward downregulation (Fig. 3B). miRNAs with an adjusted p value

of < 0.05 and an absolute $\log_2$ fold-change of > 0.5 were considered differentially expressed.

A total of 44 miRNAs met these criteria (Table 1). $\log_2$ fold-changes ranged from -2.05 to

1.57 (Table 1). There was minimal overlap in miRNAs that showed differential expression

between lines (Fig. 3C). Two miRNAs, aae-miR-12-5p and aae-miR-998-5p, were consistently

differentially expressed in both 2 dpe and 6 dpe groups (Table 1). A third miRNA, aae-miR-

309a-3p, was upregulated at 2 dpe and downregulated at 12 dpe (Table 1). No miRNAs were

significantly differentially expressed across all three age groups. Given the apparent lack of

consistency between age groups, we compared $\log_2$ fold-changes of miRNAs that were

significantly differentially expressed in one age group against $\log_2$ fold-changes of the same

miRNAs in other age groups - most of which were not significantly differentially expressed in

the second age group. All comparisons showed significant correlation, suggesting that despite

a lack of overlap between ages in terms of which miRNAs significantly changed abundance,

the broader expression pattern was generally consistent between age groups (Fig. 3D).

To validate differential expression of miRNAs between lines, we used a new generation of

mosquitoes and RT-qPCR to test ten miRNAs that showed relatively high $\log_2$ fold-changes in

the original small RNA-Seq analysis (Table S4). One miRNA was too lowly expressed in

whole mosquitoes to amplify sufficiently via RT-qPCR, and was therefore excluded from

analysis. Seven miRNAs showed a trend that was consistent with that of the small RNA-Seq

results in at least two out of three age groups; of these, six were significantly differentially

expressed in the same age group that gave significant trends by small RNA-Seq (190-5p, 276b-5p, 2940-5p, 2941-1-3p, 2946-3p, 309a-3p, and 31-3p 2dpe) (Fig. 4). A further two miRNAs (308-5p, and 184-3p) showed a direction of change that was inconsistent with small RNA-Seq (Fig. 4).

We next investigated the effect of age on miRNA expression. Generally, there was greater differential expression between age groups than between lines (Fig. 3A), therefore, for classifying miRNAs as being differentially expressed, we retained the FDR < 0.05 criterion, but increased the $\log_2$ fold-change threshold from > 0.5 to > 1. A total of 34 miRNAs met these criteria (Table 2). Of these, 14 showed age-related differential expression in both lines (2940-5p, 34-5p, 317-3p, 11894b-3p, 11894a-4-3p, 11899-5p, 2946-3p, 1-5p, 1890-3p, 2765-5p, 309a-3p, 193-5p, 2765-5p, and 193-5p) (Fig. 5A, Table 2). $\log_2$ fold-changes ranged from -2.61 to 1.56 in WB2.tet groups, and -2.70 to 3.47 in WB2 groups (Table 2). A total of 15 miRNAs that were differentially expressed with age were also differentially expressed between lines (277-3p, 2941-1-3p, 2941-2-3p, 2946-3p, 2765-5p, 309a-3p, 286b-3p, 193-3p, 2942-3p, 279-3p, 996-3p, 276b-5p, 2941-1-5p, 196-3p, and 989-5p) (Table 1 and 2).

To validate differential expression of miRNAs between age groups, we used a new generation of mosquitoes and RT-qPCR to test ten miRNAs that showed relatively high $\log_2$ fold-changes and/or showed differential expression in both lines in the original sRNA-Seq (Table S4). Four miRNAs were too lowly expressed in whole mosquitoes to amplify sufficiently via RT-qPCR, and were therefore excluded from analysis. Of the six remaining miRNAs, five were significantly upregulated with age (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 5B). miR-2941-1-3p and 2940-5p were both significantly different between lines, while 2946-3p and 2940-5p both indicated a significant interaction between age and line (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 5B). All miRNAs were initially lower in WB2 than in WB2.tet, but increased at a greater rate to become even with or

higher than WB2.tet (Fig. 5B). miR-2940 showed differential expression with age in WB2

mosquitoes, but not in WB2.tet (Fig. 5B).

Target prediction of differentially expressed miRNAs

To determine if the expression of the predicted targets of the differentially expressed miRNAs

could be affected by age, we selected three highly confident targets of aae-miR-317-3p, and

aae-miR-2946-3p (Table 3). RNA extracted from WB2 and WB2.tet mosquitoes collected at

2, 6, and 12 dpe was subjected to RT-qPCR. aae-miR-317-3p and aae-miR-2946-3p were

upregulated in mosquitoes with age. RT-qPCR results revealed differential expression of most

of the predicted target genes of the two miRNAs with age (Fig. 6). For the targets of 317-3p,

AAEL010793 and AAEL010508 were significantly downregulated at 6 and 12 dpe compared

to 2 dpe, while the transcript levels of AAEL006171 steadily increased over time (Fig. 6). For

2946-3p targets, AAEL006095 did not change over time, AAEL006113 declined at 6 dpe but

increased at 12 dpe, and AAEL003402 significantly increased at 6 dpe but returned to the same

levels as those of 2 dpe at 12 dpe (Fig. 6). Overall, these results showed that the predicted

targets of the differentially expressed miRNAs also responded to age. However, these results

are only correlational and direct regulation/interaction of the miRNA-target combinations need

to be experimentally validated.

Functional analysis of differentially expressed miRNAs

We tested the effect of miRNA inhibition on *w*AlbB density in a transinfected Aag2.*w*AlbB

cell line by inhibiting two miRNAs, aae-miR-190-5p, and aae-miR-276b-5p, that were among

the most consistently upregulated in the WB2 versus WB2.tet mosquitoes according to small

RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR. Inhibition was done by transfecting the specific inhibitors (reverse

complementary short RNAs) of the miRNAs into Aag2.*w*AlbB cells, and was validated by RT-

qPCR three days after transfection. No increase in density was observed in the aae-miR-190-

5p, or aae-miR-276b-5p inhibited cells (Fig. 7).

We carried out a small-scale test of the effect of miRNA inhibition on the longevity of WB2

female mosquitoes by inhibiting two miRNAs, miR-317-3p, and miR-2946-3p, that were found

to increase with age to a greater degree in WB2 mosquitoes compared to WB2.tet. Three days

after miRNA inhibition, miR-317-3p and miR-2946-3p levels were significantly lower

(ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.001$) (Figs. 8A-D). Inhibition did not significantly affect

longevity in WB2.tet mosquitoes relative to controls, but in WB2 mosquitoes a significant

decrease in longevity was observed in response to inhibition of miR-2946-3p and miR-317-3p

compared to negative control (Cox proportional hazard, $p < 0.0001$, and $p = 0.130$,

respectively) (Figs. 8E & F). Following injection, the median survival according to a Kaplan-

Meier estimator was 26.5 days for miR-2946-3p-inhibited mosquitoes and 35.5 days for the

miR-317-3p-inhibited group. A survival estimate was not obtained for the NC and PBS control

groups, as not enough deaths occurred within the 40-day period. Longevity between PBS-

control mosquitoes did not differ significantly between WB2.tet and WB2 mosquitoes.

Small RNA-Seq reads originating from *w*AlbB

For each age group, WB2 small RNA-Seq read files were concatenated to produce a single

read file for each age group. These were filtered on the basis of read length so that only reads

18-24 nt long were retained. Reads were then aligned to the *w*AlbB reference genome, resulting

in 769,088, 691,639, and 748,995 aligned reads for 2 dpe, 6 dpe, and 12 dpe groups,

respectively. A total of 18 regions of high coverage (greater than 2000×) were identified, with

most being present in more than one age group (Table S5). Ten peaks corresponded to protein-

coding regions, four to intergenic regions, two to tRNAs, one peak corresponded to 5S rRNA,

and one to a pseudogene (Table S5). Two intergenic regions showed a pattern of read-coverage

reminiscent of precursor miRNA loci, and were predicted to form stem-loop structures (Figs.

S3-5A). Read-depth of these regions ranged from 3,200 to 16,000× per age group for the

concatenated read-files (Figs. S3 and S4). Small-RNA molecules are known to be produced by

*w*MelPop-CLA *Wolbachia* (74). Sequence alignment of those reported previously (74) and the

predicted stem-loop structures reported herein showed low sequence similarity (Fig. S5B). As

a control, we concatenated all three replicate fastq files from WB2.tet 2 dpe and mapped reads

to the *w*AlbB genome, then counted reads aligned to peaks 1 and 9 that form stem-loop

structures found in the WB2 samples. We found only three reads that mapped to peak 1

(compared to ~10,000 reads mapped in the WB2 samples) and no reads mapped to peak 9.

Discussion

Using deep sequencing of small RNAs, we identified 116 precursor and 221 mature *Ae. aegypti*

miRNA loci, and provide the first chromosomal mapping of these miRNAs. We detected

significant differential expression of 44 mature miRNAs in response to a persistent *w*AlbB-

infection in WB2 mosquitoes. We also report significant differential expression of 34 mature

miRNAs in response to ageing, 15 of which were also differentially expressed between the

*w*AlbB infected and uninfected lines.

There was limited overlap between age groups in terms of significant differential expression

of miRNAs in response to *w*AlbB. However, when miRNAs that were significantly

differentially expressed in one group were compared to the same miRNAs in other groups, they

showed significant positive correlation in $\log_2$ fold-change. This suggested that age groups did

show some degree of consistency in terms of differential expression due to *w*AlbB infection,

but not enough to be detectable by considering only miRNAs that gained significance in

EdgeR. The lack of overlap in significant differential expression could be due to a combination

of small sample size, unequal variances between groups, and the relatively weak effect of

*w*AlbB on miRNA expression. The effect of age, however, was more robust, and showed

reasonable concordance between lines.

We validated the small RNA-Seq results using RT-qPCR by assessing nine *w*AlbB-modulated, and six age-modulated miRNAs that showed relatively high differential expression in small RNA-Seq. For *w*AlbB-modulated miRNAs, the degree of concordance between small RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR was satisfactory, with seven of the nine miRNAs showing agreement between the two methods in at least two age groups. Of the ten age-modulated miRNAs that we tried to validate, four were too lowly expressed in whole mosquitoes to allow sufficient amplification by RT-qPCR. These were all miRNAs that were downregulated with age in the small RNA-Seq analysis (1-5p, 193-5p, 2765-5p, and 2942-3p). Conversely, five of the six validated miRNAs that significantly increased with age were among the most highly expressed in our libraries (34-5p, 317-3p, 2941-1-3p, 2940-5p, and 2946-3p). Another feature of the age-induced miRNAs was that the effect of age was more pronounced in the WB2 mosquitoes. Expression of these miRNAs in the WB2 line was significantly lower compared to WB2.tet at 2 dpe for five of the six miRNAs validated by RT-qPCR. Expression in WB2 then increased more dramatically than in WB2.tet, so that by 6 dpe and 12 dpe expression was the same or higher in WB2 mosquitoes. The miR-2940-5p expression pattern was different; there was a significant peak of expression in WB2 mosquitoes at 6 dpe followed by a drop at 12 dpe, whereas in WB2.tet, expression did not change with age.

Some miRNAs form clusters in which individual hairpins are co-transcribed (75). The *Ae. aegypti* genome contains a number of conserved miRNA clusters. One example is the miR-2941-1/2941-2/2946 cluster, located in an intron of the putative transcription factor AAEL009263 (14). The cluster is synapomorphic to *Aedes* mosquitoes, occurring in both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*, but absent from other *Culicids* and *Anophelines* (14, 76). Our RT-qPCR results for miR-2941-1-3p and miR-2946-3p suggested co-transcription of the two miRNA, with their dramatic suppression in *w*AlbB-infected mosquitoes at 2 dpe, and consistent increase with age. The seed regions of miR-2941-1-3p and miR-2946-3p are identical, while

outside of the seed region, nucleotide identity is 25%. Both miR-2941-1-3p and miR-2946-3p

are involved in embryonic development in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* (76, 77), and have

been observed to increase in response to *w*MelPop infection in *Ae. aegypti* cells (78).

miR-34-5p and miR-317-3p belong to the miR-317/277/34 cluster and both increased in

abundance with age, which is consistent with reports in *Drosophila* (79). miR-277 did not

increase with age in either line, which is also consistent with previous observations, and

possibly due to a reduced efficiency in the processing of miR-277 by the microprocessor

complex (79). In *Drosophila*, expression of the 317/277/34 cluster is known to be controlled

by the steroid hormone ecdysone (79). miR-34-5p has been shown to affect lifespan in flies by

modulating branched-chain amino acid catabolism (80), and the ecdysone signalling pathway

via downregulation of the *E74A* isoform of the transcription factor *Eip74EF* (79-81).

Furthermore, loss of function mutation in miR-34 causes dramatic life-shortening and

neurodegeneration in *Drosophila* (81). miR-34 and miR-277 are also involved in innate

immunity by regulating Toll signalling in *Drosophila*, with miR-34 promoting Toll signalling

and miR-277 having an inhibitory effect (82). In *Anopheline* mosquitoes, miR-34 is induced

by *Plasmodium* infection, and in *Ae. aegypti* Aag2 cells it is repressed by *w*MelPop infection

(78, 83).

In addition to the miR-2941-1/2941-2/2946 and miR-317/277/34 clusters, *w*MelPop has been

shown to modulate several other miRNAs that were differentially expressed in our WB2

mosquitoes. Our data showed upregulation of miR-190-5p in the WB2 line. In contrast,

*w*MelPop was reported to suppress miR-190 in cytoplasmic fractions of *Ae. aegypti* cells (78).

miR-190 has also been reported to be upregulated in response to CHIKV infection in *Ae.*

albopictus (41). The ovary-specific miR-309a-3p controls ovarian development and is

upregulated by *w*MelPop in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes (43, 84). We observed an initial

suppression of miR-309a-3p in WB2 mosquitoes at 2 dpe, then an upregulation at 6 dpe and

12 dpe, as well as a consistent increase with age in both lines, most strikingly in WB2.

Some differentially expressed miRNAs reported here showed a consistent trend of expression

in response to *w*AlbB across all age groups, while for others the effect was dependant on age.

Our data also showed that some previously documented age-related miRNAs increased

significantly with age in a manner that was affected by *w*AlbB infection. This raises the

question of whether *w*AlbB affects the longevity of *Ae. aegypti* via modulation of certain

miRNAs. In its native host *Ae. albopictus*, *w*AlbB has been found to decrease longevity in

males, but not in females (85). In *Ae. aegypti*, the results are less clear. In one study *w*AlbB-

infected females exhibited reduced longevity compared to uninfected controls (15). However,

it is reported elsewhere that *w*AlbB increased longevity following blood feeding (28). We

investigated the effect of two miRNAs; miR-317-3p and miR-2946-3p, that were significantly

upregulated with age and between lines, being higher in WB2 than WB2.tet. Neither miRNA

had an effect on longevity in WB2.tet mosquitoes, whereas in WB2 mosquitoes, inhibition was

associated with decreased survival. Further, when we compared longevity between WB2.tet

and WB2 control groups there was no difference. We hypothesise that the increase in miR-

2946-3p and miR-317-3p that we observed with age may form part of a response by *Ae. aegypti*

that offsets the fitness cost imposed by *w*AlbB; although to make a more concrete conclusion

on the effect of the miRNAs on longevity, this experiment needs to be repeated with larger

cohorts of mosquitoes. Additionally, it is possible that the combined stress of *Wolbachia*

infection together with the inhibition of any miRNA that is expressed during the adult life span

could affect survival. Therefore, to demonstrate a specific role for miR-2946-3p and miR-317-

3p in longevity of *w*AlbB-infected mosquitoes, inhibition of additional miRNAs that do not

show differential expression with age will be necessary. We found that the expression levels

of a number of the selected predicted targets miR-2946-3p, and miR-317-3p changed due to

age. However, further validation of direct interactions of these targets with the corresponding

miRNAs needs to be performed.

Previous studies have not found significant changes in the abundances of miRNAs between

*Wolbachia w*Mel-infected and uninfected *Drosophila* and mosquito cell lines (86) (87) or in

live flies (88). In *Ae. aegypti* Aag2-*w*Mel cells, RT-qPCR was used to assess the abundances

of 29 miRNAs that either had been shown in other studies to change in abundance upon

Wolbachia infection or had potential targets to bind to the 5' or 3' ends of the DENV genome

(86). No statistically significant changes were observed in the abundances of those miRNAs.

Of note, the investigators utilised *RPS17* as a normalizing gene, rather than small RNAs (such

as U6 or 5S) usually used for miRNA normalization in RT-qPCR. In *D. melanogaster* JW18

cells, also infected with *w*Mel strain, no significant alteration in miRNAs were observed

compared to control JW18Free cells analysed by small RNA-Seq (87). In *D. melanogaster* flies

infected with *w*Mel strain, no change was found in the abundances of 17 highly abundant

miRNAs assessed by RT-qPCR (88). In contrast, our previous study using microarray on *Ae.*

aegypti mosquitoes infected with *w*MelPop (84) and this study (*w*AlbB) using RNA-Seq

demonstrate changes in the abundances of a number of miRNAs in mosquitoes infected with

Wolbachia. The alterations were more pronounced in the case of *w*MelPop strain, which could

be related to its more pathogenic nature. The reason for the differences between our

observations with the other studies could be due to a number of factors. Firstly, the usage of

insects in our studies compared to cell lines in two of the abovementioned studies. It is known

that miRNAs show tissue specific expression and may respond differently in different tissues

to a stimulus. For example, in the case of aae-miR-2940-5p, the difference in the abundance of

the miRNA due to *Wolbachia w*MelPop infection is more pronounced in the midgut and fat

body tissues compared to ovary and salivary glands (89). Another factor could be strain-

specific effects of *Wolbachia* on the host and strain-host combinations that are different

between the studies. Further, in two of the studies (86, 87), a subset of selected miRNAs was

assessed rather than all miRNAs using high-throughput approaches such as microarray or

RNA-Seq.

The *w*MelPop-CLA strain of *Wolbachia* has been shown to regulate the expression of host and

Wolbachia genes via the production of small RNAs (74). Furthermore, sequence homology of

small RNA loci exists among a variety of Supergroup-A *Wolbachia* strains, suggesting

conservation within certain *Wolbachia* lineages (74). In the current study, a subset of the small

RNA-Seq reads within our libraries were found to originate from *w*AlbB, and two loci with

high coverage were predicted to form stem-loop structures, similar to those of canonical

miRNAs. It is possible that stem-loop structures within *w*AlbB non-coding RNAs could be

targeted by the host RNAi pathway. Although preliminary, these findings offer an intriguing

line of inquiry for future research.

A limitation of this study was the use of whole mosquitoes, rather than individual tissues.

Consequently, tissue-specific miRNAs would be underrepresented in the data, as more widely-

expressed miRNAs will have greatly outnumbered them at the whole-insect level. With some

miRNAs having very low normalised read counts, it is plausible that investigating certain

tissues rather than whole insects may allow investigation of some potentially informative

miRNAs that were underrepresented in our data.

Overall, our results show that *w*AlbB infection alters the miRNA expression profile of *Ae.*

aegypti. Some of the differentially expressed miRNAs reported here have been functionally

described in *Drosophila* or mosquitoes as having roles in innate immunity, ageing,

reproduction, development, or have been shown to be modulated by pathogens including

CHIKV and *w*MelPop. Further, we identified two miRNAs, miR-2946-3p, and miR-317-3p as

being potentially involved in symbiosis with *Wolbachia* via their effect on longevity in *w*AlbB-

infected mosquitoes. The results presented here serve to improve our understanding of the

molecular interactions governing the relationship between *Wolbachia* and its transinfected host. Future research in this area should be directed at identifying the gene targets of *w*AlbB-modulated miRNAs, followed by experimental manipulation of miRNAs and target genes to determine the effect on *w*AlbB maintenance and host physiology.

Acknowledgements

Sassan Asgari is supported by the Australian Research Council (DP190102048). Cameron Bishop is supported by a University of Queensland Research Higher Degree scholarship.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Table 1: Differential expression of 46 miRNAs in WB2 vs WB2.tet mosquitoes in three age groups. Log$_2$ fold-changes > 0 represent upregulation in WB2 compared to WB2.tet. log$_2$ CPM, counts per million reads mapped to each miRNA given (log$_2$). FDR, false discovery rate (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-value).

miRNA	log$_2$ CPM	log$_2$ FC	FDR	Age group
309a-3p	4.14	1.57	2.88E-04	2dpe
998-5p	5.05	1.30	2.12E-04	2dpe
10365-3p	4.77	0.76	1.44E-02	2dpe
2941-2-3p	11.74	0.72	4.98E-04	2dpe
11-5p	8.94	0.71	7.75E-03	2dpe
2765-5p	5.25	0.68	2.68E-02	2dpe
278-3p	12.10	0.65	1.44E-02	2dpe
2941-1-3p	8.94	0.59	8.11E-03	2dpe
965-5p	5.42	0.59	4.03E-02	2dpe
989-5p	5.39	0.57	2.10E-02	2dpe
1174-5p	5.86	0.56	3.60E-02	2dpe
100-3p	8.14	-0.50	1.23E-02	2dpe
1000-5p	7.54	-0.52	7.75E-03	2dpe
279-3p	12.73	-0.54	2.68E-02	2dpe
10365-5p	8.89	-0.55	1.44E-02	2dpe
12-5p	9.64	-0.58	2.10E-02	2dpe
let-7-5p	13.45	-0.67	2.10E-02	2dpe
31-3p	6.41	-0.73	7.75E-03	2dpe
276b-5p	6.37	-0.81	7.06E-04	2dpe
193-3p	2.40	-1.11	2.17E-02	2dpe
998-5p	5.05	0.94	9.98E-03	6dpe
308-5p	9.39	0.78	5.72E-06	6dpe
184-3p	14.72	0.70	9.95E-05	6dpe
13-5p	6.83	0.61	2.69E-02	6dpe
932-3p	6.60	0.59	1.84E-02	6dpe
100-5p	15.53	0.54	1.84E-02	6dpe
10-5p	13.41	0.53	1.23E-02	6dpe
12-5p	9.64	-0.52	3.39E-02	6dpe
13-3p	11.15	-0.54	2.69E-02	6dpe
277-3p	14.77	-0.54	9.98E-03	6dpe
9a-3p	5.22	-0.55	3.07E-02	6dpe
92a-3p	8.18	-0.56	9.98E-03	6dpe
965-3p	5.26	-0.57	3.77E-02	6dpe
1175-3p	10.50	-0.62	8.62E-03	6dpe
2940-5p	13.38	-0.64	1.23E-02	6dpe
996-3p	6.86	-0.66	3.73E-02	6dpe
190-5p	11.75	-0.80	2.45E-02	6dpe
2946-3p	9.98	-0.83	9.98E-03	6dpe
286b-3p	5.33	-0.84	1.23E-02	6dpe
219-5p	3.13	-0.92	3.03E-02	6dpe

980-5p	4.52	-0.96	3.03E-02	6dpe
2944a-5p	2.84	-1.17	2.45E-02	6dpe
2944b-3p	2.38	-1.60	1.84E-02	6dpe
2942-3p	3.84	-2.05	1.48E-06	6dpe
309a-3p	4.14	-0.69	3.79E-02	12dpe
2941-1-5p	3.39	-1.29	1.01E-02	12dpe
196-3p	2.93	-1.40	3.79E-02	12dpe

569 **Table 2: Differential expression of 31 miRNAs in older versus younger age groups in each**

570 **mosquito line**. Fold-changes > 0 represent upregulation in the older age group. $\log_2$ CPM,

571 counts per million reads mapped to each miRNA ($\log_2$).

			WB2.tet		WB2	
miRNA	Contrast	$\log_2$ CPM	$\log_2$ FC	FDR	$\log_2$ FC	FDR
1-5p	6dpe/2dpe	4.53	-1.15	1.6E-05	-	-
34-5p	6dpe/2dpe	15.31	-	-	1.20	4.3E-12
193-3p	6dpe/2dpe	2.40	-	-	-1.46	3.4E-04
193-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.30	-1.11	2.6E-06	-1.58	3.1E-13
275-3p	6dpe/2dpe	13.00	-	-	-1.02	2.2E-10
286b-3p	6dpe/2dpe	5.33	-	-	1.16	4.1E-05
309a-3p	6dpe/2dpe	4.14	-	-	2.78	2.0E-21
317-3p	6dpe/2dpe	14.23	-	-	1.17	6.3E-15
2765-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.25	-1.19	2.3E-05	-1.10	8.2E-05
2941-1-5p	6dpe/2dpe	3.39	-1.12	2.7E-03	-	-
2941-1-3p	6dpe/2dpe	8.94	-	-	1.13	5.9E-10
2941-2-3p	6dpe/2dpe	11.74	-	-	1.11	2.1E-10
2942-3p	6dpe/2dpe	3.84	-1.10	2.7E-02	-	-
2945-5p	6dpe/2dpe	3.51	-	-	-1.01	4.2E-04
2946-3p	6dpe/2dpe	9.98	-	-	1.12	3.3E-05
989-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.39	-1.04	5.5E-06	-	-
1-5p	12dpe/2dpe	4.53	-1.13	3.6E-06	-1.34	2.6E-08
11893-5p	12dpe/2dpe	2.74	-	-	-1.03	1.9E-02
11894a-1-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.68	1.21	3.5E-04	-	-
11894a-4-3p	12dpe/2dpe	5.02	1.56	1.3E-06	1.04	8.5E-04
11894b-3p	12dpe/2dpe	9.47	1.37	4.1E-08	1.10	9.5E-06
11899-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.16	-1.42	3.7E-03	-2.43	3.0E-06
11899-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.22	-	-	-1.97	4.1E-07
1890-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.03	-	-	-1.51	1.6E-04
1890-3p	12dpe/2dpe	9.02	-1.15	1.1E-13	-1.02	2.8E-11
193-5p	12dpe/2dpe	5.30	-2.61	5.4E-28	-2.53	4.4E-28
193-3p	12dpe/2dpe	2.40	-	-	-1.38	7.8E-04
196-3p	12dpe/2dpe	2.93	-1.32	6.6E-03	-	-
275-3p	12dpe/2dpe	13.00	-1.04	6.6E-11	-	-

2765-5p	12dpe/2dpe	5.25	-2.03	5.3E-14	-1.86	2.1E-11
276b-5p	12dpe/2dpe	6.37	1.12	4.4E-08	-	-
277-3p	12dpe/2dpe	14.77	-	-	-1.01	1.1E-09
279-3p	12dpe/2dpe	12.73	1.04	3.3E-07	-	-
286b-3p	12dpe/2dpe	5.33	-	-	1.17	1.8E-05
2940-5p	12dpe/2dpe	12.40	1.45	1.1E-14	1.33	7.9E-13
2941-1-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.39	-1.75	3.9E-07	-	-
2941-1-3p	12dpe/2dpe	8.94	-	-	1.23	8.7E-12
2941-2-3p	12dpe/2dpe	11.74	-	-	1.31	3.4E-14
2942-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.84	-	-	-1.02	7.5E-03
2945-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.51	-	-	-1.11	1.2E-04
2946-3p	12dpe/2dpe	9.98	1.29	7.0E-07	1.56	1.1E-09
309a-3p	12dpe/2dpe	4.14	1.21	1.1E-05	3.47	2.1E-37
317-3p	12dpe/2dpe	14.23	1.44	1.2E-22	1.67	5.2E-30
34-5p	12dpe/2dpe	15.31	1.19	4.5E-12	1.71	4.0E-24
92b-5p	12dpe/2dpe	4.43	-1.18	3.8E-04	-	-
980-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.21	-	-	-1.22	5.8E-04
989-5p	12dpe/2dpe	5.39	-1.10	3.5E-07	-	-
996-3p	12dpe/2dpe	6.86	1.29	2.4E-06	-	-
999-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.03	-1.13	6.3E-03	-	-
bantam-5p	12dpe/2dpe	10.55	-1.06	3.9E-07	-	-
196-3p	12dpe/6dpe	2.93	-1.42	1.5E-02	-	-
193-5p	12dpe/6dpe	5.30	-1.50	7.7E-08	-	-
11899-3p	12dpe/6dpe	3.22	-	-	-1.22	1.4E-02
11899-5p	12dpe/6dpe	3.16	-	-	-2.70	2.0E-06
1890-5p	12dpe/6dpe	3.03	-	-	-1.11	2.6E-02
980-3p	12dpe/6dpe	3.21	-	-	-1.32	4.7E-04
2942-3p	12dpe/6dpe	3.84	-	-	-1.41	3.8E-04
1-5p	6dpe/2dpe	4.53	-1.15	1.6E-05	-	-
34-5p	6dpe/2dpe	15.31	-	-	1.20	4.3E-12
193-3p	6dpe/2dpe	2.40	-	-	-1.46	3.4E-04
193-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.30	-1.11	2.6E-06	-1.58	3.1E-13
275-3p	6dpe/2dpe	13.00	-	-	-1.02	2.2E-10
286b-3p	6dpe/2dpe	5.33	-	-	1.16	4.1E-05
309a-3p	6dpe/2dpe	4.14	-	-	2.78	2.0E-21
317-3p	6dpe/2dpe	14.23	-	-	1.17	6.3E-15
2765-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.25	-1.19	2.3E-05	-1.10	8.2E-05
2941-1-5p	6dpe/2dpe	3.39	-1.12	2.7E-03	-	-
2941-1-3p	6dpe/2dpe	8.94	-	-	1.13	5.9E-10
2941-2-3p	6dpe/2dpe	11.74	-	-	1.11	2.1E-10
2942-3p	6dpe/2dpe	3.84	-1.10	2.7E-02	-	-
2945-5p	6dpe/2dpe	3.51	-	-	-1.01	4.2E-04
2946-3p	6dpe/2dpe	9.98	-	-	1.12	3.3E-05
989-5p	6dpe/2dpe	5.39	-1.04	5.5E-06	-	-
1-5p	12dpe/2dpe	4.53	-1.13	3.6E-06	-1.34	2.6E-08
11893-5p	12dpe/2dpe	2.74	-	-	-1.03	1.9E-02
11894a-1-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.68	1.21	3.5E-04	-	-
11894a-4-3p	12dpe/2dpe	5.02	1.56	1.3E-06	1.04	8.5E-04
11894b-3p	12dpe/2dpe	9.47	1.37	4.1E-08	1.10	9.5E-06

11899-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.16	-1.42	3.7E-03	-2.43	3.0E-06
11899-3p	12dpe/2dpe	3.22	-	-	-1.97	4.1E-07
1890-5p	12dpe/2dpe	3.03	-	-	-1.51	1.6E-04
1890-3p	12dpe/2dpe	9.02	-1.15	1.1E-13	-1.02	2.8E-11
193-5p	12dpe/2dpe	5.30	-2.61	5.4E-28	-2.53	4.4E-28
193-3p	12dpe/2dpe	2.40	-	-	-1.38	7.8E-04
196-3p	12dpe/2dpe	2.93	-1.32	6.6E-03	-	-
275-3p	12dpe/2dpe	13.00	-1.04	6.6E-11	-	-
2765-5p	12dpe/2dpe	5.25	-2.03	5.3E-14	-1.86	2.1E-11
276b-5p	12dpe/2dpe	6.37	1.12	4.4E-08	-	-
277-3p	12dpe/2dpe	14.77	-	-	-1.01	1.1E-09
279-3p	12dpe/2dpe	12.73	1.04	3.3E-07	-	-
286b-3p	12dpe/2dpe	5.33	-	-	1.17	1.8E-05

573 **Table 3: High confidence predicted targets of aae-miR-317-3p, and aae-miR-2946-3p.**

574 These predicted targets were subjected to RT-qPCR to determine if their expression could be

575 affected by age (Fig. S4).

miRNA	VectorBase accession no.	No. of predicted binding sites	Predicted target gene
317-3p	AAEL010793	7	F-box/leucine rich repeat protein
317-3p	AAEL006171	11	n-myc downstream regulated
317-3p	AAEL010508	11	vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein (vps13)
2946-3p	AAEL006095	5	Gelsolin precursor
2946-3p	AAEL006113	7	cystinosin
2946-3p	AAEL003402	9	sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase

References

1.   Calvez E, Guillaumot L, Millet L, Marie J, Bossin H, Rama V, Faamoe A, Kilama S,
Teurlai M, Mathieu-Daudé F, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M. 2016. Genetic diversity and
phylogeny of *Aedes aegypti*, the main arbovirus vector in the Pacific. PLoS Neglected
Tropical Diseases 10:e0004374.
2.   Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Failloux A-B. 2017. High risk for chikungunya virus to
initiate an enzootic sylvatic cycle in the tropical Americas. PLoS Neglected Tropical
Diseases 11:e0005698.
3.   Powell JR. 2018. Mosquito-borne human viral diseases: Why *Aedes aegypti*? American
Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 98:1563-1565.
4.   Powell JR, Tabachnick WJ. 2013. History of domestication and spread of *Aedes
aegypti*--a review. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 108:11-17.
5.   McGraw Ea, O'Neill SL. 2013. Beyond insecticides: new thinking on an ancient
problem. Nature Reviews Microbiology 11:181-193.
6.   Scott J, Hardstone Yoshimizu M, Kasai S. 2015. Pyrethroid resistance in *Culex pipiens*
mosquitoes. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 120:68-76.
7.   Darrington M, Dalmay T, Morrison NI, Chapman T. 2017. Implementing the sterile
insect technique with RNA interference - a review. Entomologia Experimentalis et
Applicata 164:155-175.
8.   Frentiu FD, Zakir T, Walker T, Popovici J, Pyke AT, van den Hurk A, McGraw EA,
O'Neill SL. 2014. Limited Dengue Virus Replication in Field-Collected *Aedes aegypti*
Mosquitoes Infected with *Wolbachia*. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 8:e0002688.
9.   Ranson H, Burhani J, Lumjuan N, Black IV WC. 2010. Insecticide resistance in dengue
vectors. TropIKA.net. 1: 1.
10.   Frentiu FD, Robinson J, Young PR, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL. 2010. *Wolbachia*-
mediated resistance to dengue virus infection and death at the cellular level. PLoS ONE
5:e0013398.
11.   Bourtzis K, Dobson SL, Xi Z, Rasgon JL, Calvitti M, Moreira LA, Bossin HC, Moretti
R, Baton LA, Hughes GL, Mavingui P, Gilles JRL. 2014. Harnessing mosquito-
Wolbachia symbiosis for vector and disease control. Acta Tropica 132:150-163.
12.   Dobson SL. 2003. Reversing *Wolbachia*-based population replacement. Trends in
Parasitology 19:128-133.
13.   Walker T, Johnson PH, Moreira La, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Frentiu FD, McMeniman CJ,
Leong YS, Dong Y, Axford J, Kriesner P, Lloyd aL, Ritchie Sa, O'Neill SL, Hoffmann
aa. 2011. The *w*Mel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes aegypti*
populations. Nature 476:450-453.
14.   Nguyen TH, Nguyen HL, Nguyen TY, Vu SN, Tran ND, Le TN, Vien QM, Bui TC,
Le HT, Kutcher S, Hurst TP, Duong TTH, Jeffery JAL, Darbro JM, Kay BH, Iturbe-
Ormaetxe I, Popovici J, Montgomery BL, Turley AP, Zigterman F, Cook H, Cook PE,
Johnson PH, Ryan PA, Paton CJ, Ritchie SA, Simmons CP, O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA.
2015. Field evaluation of the establishment potential of *w*MelPop *Wolbachia* in
Australia and Vietnam for dengue control. Parasites and Vectors 8:563.
15.   Axford JK, Ross PA, Yeap HL, Callahan AG, Hoffmann AA. 2016. Fitness of *w*AlbB
Wolbachia Infection in *Aedes aegypti:* Parameter estimates in an outcrossed
background and potential for population invasion. American Journal of Tropical
Medicine and Hygiene 94:507-516.
16.   Hoffmann A, Montgomery B, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Johnson P, Muzzi F,
Greenfield M, Durkan M, Leong Y, Dong Y, Cook H, Axford J, Callahan A, Kenny N,
Omodei C, McGraw E, Ryan P, Ritchie S, Turelli M, O'Neill S. 2011. Successful

establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission.
Nature 476:454-457.

17.   Hoffmann AA, Ross PA, Rašić G. 2015. *Wolbachia* strains for disease control:
Ecological and evolutionary considerations. Evolutionary Applications 8:751-768.

18.   Johnson KN. 2015. The impact of *Wolbachia* on virus infection in mosquitoes. Viruses
7:5705-5717.

19.   Terradas G, Joubert DA, McGraw EA. 2017. The RNAi pathway plays a small part in
Wolbachia-mediated blocking of dengue virus in mosquito cells. Scientific Reports
7:43847.

20.   Hussain M, Lu G, Torres S, Edmonds JH, Kay BH, Khromykh AA, Asgari S. 2013.
Effect of *Wolbachia* on replication of West Nile virus in a mosquito cell line and adult
mosquitoes. Journal of Virology 87:851-858.

21.   Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC,
Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA,
van den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL. 2009. A *Wolbachia* Symbiont in *Aedes aegypti*
Limits Infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell 139:1268-1278.

22.   McMeniman CJ, Lane RV, Cass BN, Fong AWC, Sidhu M, Wang YF, O'Neill SL.
2009. Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito
Aedes aegypti. Science 323:141-144.

23.   Blagrove MSC, Arias-Goeta C, Failloux A-B, Sinkins SP. 2012. *Wolbachia* strain
*w*Mel induces cytoplasmic incompatibility and blocks dengue transmission in *Aedes*
albopictus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 109:255-260.

24.   Hoffmann AA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Callahan AG, Phillips BL, Billington K, Axford JK,
Montgomery B, Turley AP, O'Neill SL. 2014. Stability of the *w*Mel *Wolbachia*
Infection following Invasion into *Aedes aegypti* Populations. PLoS Neglected Tropical
Diseases 8:e0003115.

25.   Ross PA, Wiwatanaratanabutr I, Axford JK, White VL, Endersby-Harshman NM,
Hoffmann AA. 2017. *Wolbachia* infections in *Aedes aegypti* differ markedly in their
response to cyclical heat stress. PLoS Pathogens 13:e1006006.

26.   Ulrich JN, Beier JC, Devine GJ, Hugo LE. 2016. Heat sensitivity of *w*Mel *Wolbachia*
during *Aedes aegypti* development. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 10:e0004873.

27.   Acosta EG, Castilla V, Damonte EB. 2008. Functional entry of dengue virus into *Aedes*
albopictus mosquito cells is dependent on clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Journal of
General Virology 89:474-484.

28.   Bian G, Xu Y, Lu P, Xie Y, Xi Z. 2010. The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia*
induces resistance to dengue virus in *Aedes aegypti*. PLoS Pathogens 6:e1000833.

29.   Nazni WA, Hoffmann AA, NoorAfizah A, Cheong YL, Mancini MV, Golding N,
Kamarul GMR, Arif MAK, Thohir H, NurSyamimi H, ZatilAqmar MZ, NurRuqqayah
M, NorSyazwani A, Faiz A, Irfan FRMN, Rubaaini S, Nuradila N, Nizam NMN, Irwan
SM, Endersby-Harshman NM, White VL, Ant TH, Herd CS, Hasnor AH, AbuBakar R,
Hapsah DM, Khadijah K, Kamilan D, Lee SC, Paid YM, Fadzilah K, Topek O, Gill
BS, Lee HL, Sinkins SP. 2019. Establishment of *Wolbachia* Strain *w*AlbB in Malaysian
Populations of *Aedes aegypti* for Dengue Control. Current Biology 29:4241-4248.

30.   Xi Z, Khoo CCH, Dobson SL. 2005. *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an Aedes
aegypti laboratory population. Science 310:326-8.

31.   Kremer N, Charif D, Henri H, Gavory F, Wincker P, Mavingui P, Vavre F. 2012.
Influence of *Wolbachia* on host gene expression in an obligatory symbiosis. BMC
Microbiology 12:S7.

32.   Pan X, Zhou G, Wu J, Bian G, Lu P, Raikhel AS, Xi Z. 2012. *Wolbachia* induces
reactive oxygen species (ROS)-dependent activation of the Toll pathway to control

dengue virus in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences, USA 109:E23-E31.

33. Pan X, Pike A, Joshi D, Bian G, McFadden MJ, Lu P, Liang X, Zhang F, Raikhel AS,
Xi Z. 2018. The bacterium Wolbachia exploits host innate immunity to establish a
symbiotic relationship with the dengue vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. ISME J 12:277-
288.

34. Xi Z, Gavotte L, Xie Y, Dobson SL. 2008. Genome-wide analysis of the interaction
between the endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* and its *Drosophila* host. BMC
Genomics 9:1.

35. Brennan LJ, Haukedal JA, Earle JC, Keddie B, Harris HL. 2012. Disruption of redox
homeostasis leads to oxidative DNA damage in spermatocytes of *Wolbachia*-infected
Drosophila simulans. Insect Molecular Biology 21:510-520.

36. Grobler Y, Yun CY, Kahler DJ, Bergman CM, Lee H, Oliver B, Lehmann R. 2018.
Whole genome screen reveals a novel relationship between *Wolbachia* and *Drosophila*
host translation. PLoS Pathogens 14:e1007445.

37. White PM, Serbus LR, Debec A, Codina A, Bray W, Guichet A, Lokey RS, Sullivan
W, Pamela M. White LRS, Alain Debec, Adan Codina, Walter Bray, Antoine Guichet,
R. Scott Lokey, William Sullivan. 2017. Reliance of *Wolbachia* on High Rates of Host
Proteolysis Revealed by a Genome-Wide RNAi Screen of *Drosophila* Cells. Genetics
205:1473-1488.

38. Newton ILG, Rice DW. 2020. The Jekyll and Hyde symbiont: Could *Wolbachia* be a
nutritional mutualist? Journal of Bacteriology 202:e00589-19.

39. Kremer N, Voronin D, Charif D, Mavingui P, Mollereau B, Vavre F. 2009. *Wolbachia*
interferes with ferritin expression and iron metabolism in insects. PLoS Pathogens
5:e1000630.

40. Bartel DP. 2009. MicroRNAs: Target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell
136:215-233.

41. Feng X, Zhou S, Wang J, Hu W. 2018. microRNA profiles and functions in mosquitoes.
PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 12:e0006463.

42. Nouzova M, Etebari K, Noriega FG, Asgari S. 2018. A comparative analysis of corpora
allata-corpora cardiaca microRNA repertoires revealed significant changes during
mosquito metamorphosis. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 96:10-18.

43. Zhang Y, Zhao B, Roy S, Saha TT, Kokoza VA, Li M, Raikhel AS. 2016. microRNA-
309 targets the Homeobox gene *SIX4* and controls ovarian development in the mosquito
Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 113:E4828-
E4836.

44. Liu S, Lucas KJ, Roy S, Ha J, Raikhel AS. 2014. Mosquito-specific microRNA-1174
targets serine hydroxymethyltransferase to control key functions in the gut. Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 111:14460-14465.

45. Yen PS, Chen CH, Sreenu V, Kohl A, Failloux AB. 2019. Assessing the potential
interactions between cellular miRNA and arboviral genomic RNA in the yellow fever
mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*. Viruses 11:540.

46. Dubey SK, Shrinet J, Sunil S. 2019. *Aedes aegypti* microRNA, miR-2944b-5p interacts
with 3'UTR of chikungunya virus and cellular target vps-13 to regulate viral
replication. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 13:e0007429.

47. Hussain M, Walker T, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. 2013. Blood meal induced microRNA
regulates development and immune associated genes in the Dengue mosquito vector,
Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 43:146-152.

48. Zhang G, Hussain M, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. 2013. *Wolbachia* uses a host microRNA to
regulate transcripts of a methyltransferase, contributing to dengue virus inhibition in

Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 110:10276-
10281.

49.   Zhang G, Hussain M, Asgari S. 2014. Regulation of arginine methyltransferase 3 by a
Wolbachia-induced microRNA in *Aedes aegypti* and its effect on *Wolbachia* and
dengue virus replication. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 53:81-88.

50.   Slonchak A, Hussain M, Torres S, Asgari S, Khromykh AA. 2014. Expression of
mosquito microRNA aae-miR-2940-5p is downregulated in response to West Nile virus
infection to restrict viral replication. Journal of Virology 88:8457-8467.

51.   Beebe NW, Pagendam D, Trewin BJ, Boomer A, Bradford M, Ford A, Liddington C,
Bondarenco A, De Barro PJ, Gilchrist JJPotNAoS. 2021. Releasing incompatible males
drives strong suppression across populations of wild and *Wolbachia*-carrying *Aedes*
aegypti in Australia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 118:
e2106828118.

52.   Parry R, Bishop C, De Hayr L, Asgari S. 2019. Density-dependent enhanced replication
of a densovirus in *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* cells is associated with production of
piRNAs and higher virus-derived siRNAs. Virology 528:89-100.

53.   Bushnell B. Sponsor Org.: USDOE Office of Science (SC). BBMap: A Fast, Accurate,
Splice-Aware Aligner, p. *In* (ed), Research Org.: Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.
(LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States).

54.   Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

55.   Friedländer MR, MacKowiak SD, Li N, Chen W, Rajewsky N. 2012. MiRDeep2
accurately identifies known and hundreds of novel microRNA genes in seven animal
clades. Nucleic Acids Research 40:37-52.

56.   Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S. 2018. miRBase: from microRNA
sequences to function. Nucleic Acids Research 47:155-162.

57.   Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA
sequences. Journal of Computational Biology 7:203-214.

58.   Matthews BJ, Dudchenko O, Kingan SB, Koren S, Antoshechkin I, Crawford JE,
Glassford WJ, Herre M, Redmond SN, Rose NH, Weedall GD, Wu Y, Batra SS, Brito-
Sierra CA, Buckingham SD, Campbell CL, Chan S, Cox E, Evans BR, Fansiri T,
Filipović I, Fontaine A, Gloria-Soria A, Hall R, Joardar VS, Jones AK, Kay RGG,
Kodali VK, Lee J, Lycett GJ, Mitchell SN, Muehling J, Murphy MR, Omer AD,
Partridge FA, Peluso P, Aiden AP, Ramasamy V, Rašić G, Roy S, Saavedra-Rodriguez
K, Sharan S, Sharma A, Smith ML, Turner J, Weakley AM, Zhao Z, Akbari OS, Black
WC, Cao H, et al. 2018. Improved reference genome of *Aedes aegypti* informs
arbovirus vector control. Nature 563:501-507.

59.   Johnson NR, Yeoh JM, Coruh C, Axtell MJ. 2016. Improved placement of multi-
mapping small RNAs. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 6:2103-2111.

60.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. J Bioinformatics
26:139-140.

61.   Robinson MD, Oshlack A. 2010. A scaling normalization method for differential
expression analysis of RNA-seq data. J Bioinformatics 11:R25.

62.   Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. 2019. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2.
Genome Biology 20:257.

63.   Ondov BD, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2011. Interactive metagenomic visualization
in a Web browser. BMC Bioinformatics 12:385.

64.   John B, Enright AJ, Aravin A, Tuschl T, Sander C, Marks DS. 2004. Human microRNA
targets. PLoS Biol 2:e363.

65. Miranda KC, Huynh T, Tay Y, Ang Y-S, Tam W-L, Thomson AM, Lim B, Rigoutsos
I. 2006. A pattern-based method for the identification of microRNA binding sites and
their corresponding heteroduplexes. Cell 126:1203-1217.
66. Kertesz M, Iovino N, Unnerstall U, Gaul U, Segal E. 2007. The role of site accessibility
in microRNA target recognition. Nature Genetics 39:1278-84.
67. Giraldo-Calderon GI, Emrich SJ, MacCallum RM, Maslen G, Dialynas E, Topalis P,
Ho N, Gesing S, Madey G, Collins FH, Lawson D. 2015. VectorBase: an updated
bioinformatics resource for invertebrate vectors and other organisms related with
human diseases. Nucleic Acids Research 43:D707-13.
68. Sinha A, Li Z, Sun L, Carlow CKS. 2019. Complete Genome Sequence of the
Wolbachia *w*AlbB Endosymbiont of *Aedes albopictus*. Genome Biology and Evolution
11:706-720.
69. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature
Methods 9:357-359.
70. Quinlan AR. 2014. BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis.
Current Protocols in Bioinformatics 47:11.12.1-11.12.34.
71. Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Höner zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF,
Hofacker IL. 2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms for Molecular Biology 6:26.
72. Ridley A, Hereward J, Daglish G, Raghu S, McCulloch G, Walter G. 2016. Flight of
Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae)—a spatio-temporal analysis with
pheromone trapping and population genetics. Journal of Economic Entomology
109:2561-2571.
73. Nene V, Wortman JR, Lawson D, Haas B, Kodira C, Tu ZJ, Loftus B, Xi Z, Megy K,
Grabherr M, Ren Q, Zdobnov EM, Lobo NF, Campbell KS, Brown SE, Bonaldo MF,
Zhu J, Sinkins SP, Hogenkamp DG, Amedeo P, Arensburger P, Atkinson PW, Bidwell
S, Biedler J, Birney E, Bruggner RV, Costas J, Coy MR, Crabtree J, Crawford M,
Debruyn B, Decaprio D, Eiglmeier K, Eisenstadt E, El-Dorry H, Gelbart WM, Gomes
SL, Hammond M, Hannick LI, Hogan JR, Holmes MH, Jaffe D, Johnston JS, Kennedy
RC, Koo H, Kravitz S, Kriventseva EV, Kulp D, Labutti K, Lee E, et al. 2007. Genome
sequence of *Aedes aegypti*, a major arbovirus vector. Science 316:1718-23.
74. Mayoral JG, Hussain M, Joubert DA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. 2014.
Wolbachia small noncoding RNAs and their role in cross-kingdom communications.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 111:18721-18726.
75. Lee Y, Jeon K, Lee JT, Kim S, Kim VN. 2002. MicroRNA maturation: stepwise
processing and subcellular localization. The EMBO journal 21:4663-4670.
76. Gu J, Hu W, Wu J, Zheng P, Chen M, James AA, Chen X, Tu Z. 2013. miRNA genes
of an invasive vector mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. PLoS ONE 8:e0067638.
77. Li S, Mead Ea, Liang S, Tu Z. 2009. Direct sequencing and expression analysis of a
large number of miRNAs in *Aedes aegypti* and a multi-species survey of novel
mosquito miRNAs. BMC Genomics 10:581.
78. Mayoral JG, Etebari K, Hussain M, Khromykh AA, Asgari S. 2014. *Wolbachia*
infection modifies the profile, shuttling and structure of microRNAs in a mosquito cell
line. PLoS ONE 9:e0096107.
79. Zhou L, Lim MYT, Kaur P, Saj A, Bortolamiol-Becet D, Gopal V, Tolwinski N,
Tucker-Kellogg G, Okamura K. 2018. Importance of miRNA stability and alternative
primary miRNA isoforms in gene regulation during *Drosophila* development. eLife
7:e38389.
80. Xiong X-P, Kurthkoti K, Chang K-Y, Li J-L, Ren X, Ni J-Q, Rana TM, Zhou R. 2016.
miR-34 modulates innate immunity and ecdysone signaling in *Drosophila*. PLoS
Pathogens 12:e1006034.

81. Liu N, Landreh M, Cao K, Abe M, Hendriks GJ, Kennerdell JR, Zhu Y, Wang LS,
Bonini NM. 2012. The microRNA miR-34 modulates ageing and neurodegeneration in
Drosophila. Nature 482:519-523.
82. Atilano ML, Glittenberg M, Monteiro A, Copley RR, Ligoxygakis P. 2017.
MicroRNAs that contribute to coordinating the immune response in *Drosophila*
melanogaster. Genetics 207:163-178.
83. Winter F, Edaye S, Hüttenhofer A, Brunel C. 2007. *Anopheles gambiae* miRNAs as
actors of defence reaction against *Plasmodium* invasion. Nucleic Acids Research
35:6953-6962.
84. Hussain M, Frentiu FD, Moreira LA, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. 2011. *Wolbachia* uses host
microRNAs to manipulate host gene expression and facilitate colonization of the
dengue vector *Aedes aegypti*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA
108:9250-9255.
85. Joanne S, Vythilingam I, Yugavathy N, Leong CS, Wong ML, AbuBakar S. 2015.
Distribution and dynamics of *Wolbachia* infection in Malaysian *Aedes albopictus*. Acta
Tropica 148:38-45.
86. Thomas S, Verma J, Woolfit M, O'Neill SL. 2018. Wolbachia-mediated virus blocking
in mosquito cells is dependent on XRN1-mediated viral RNA degradation and
influenced by viral replication rate. PLoS Pathogens 14:e1006879.
87. Rainey SM, Martinez J, McFarlane M, Juneja P, Sarkies P, Lulla A, Schnettler E,
Varjak M, Merits A, Miska EA, Jiggins FM, Kohl A. 2016. *Wolbachia* blocks viral
genome replication early in infection without a transcriptional response by the
endosymbiont or host small RNA pathways. PLoS Pathogens 12:e1005536.
88. Monsanto-Hearne V, Johnson KN. 2018. *Wolbachia*-mediated protection of
Drosophila melanogaster against systemic infection with its natural viral pathogen
Drosophila C virus does not involve changes in levels of highly abundant miRNAs.
Journal of General Virology 99:827-831.
89. Asad S, Hussain M, Hugo L, Osei-Amo S, Zhang G, Watterson D, Asgari S. 2018.
Suppression of the pelo protein by *Wolbachia* and its effect on dengue virus in *Aedes*
aegypti. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 12:e0006405.

 Figure legends

Figure 1: *w*AlbB density in WB2 mosquitoes and results of read mapping. A) Relative

*w*AlbB density at 2, 6, and 12 dpe. Crossbars represent the mean of three replicates (n=3).

Density of *w*AlbB was not significantly different between age groups (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$).

Error bars represent SEM. **B)** Number of forward- and reverse-mapped reads to the *Ae. aegypti*

AaegL5.0 genome after size selection and quality filtering. **C)** Reads that did not align to the

Ae. aegypti genome were aligned to the *Wolbachia w*AlbB genome. Each point represents the

proportion of non-*Ae. aegypti* reads that mapped to *Wolbachia w*AlbB.

Figure 2: Position of miRNA loci on *Ae. aegypti* chromosomes. Map of the three *Ae. aegypti*

chromosomes showing position, strand, and genomic context (i.e. intronic, exonic, or

intergenic) of precursor miRNA loci. Gene identifiers with 'LOC' prefix indicate parent genes

of intronic or exonic miRNA loci.

Figure 3: Differentially expressed miRNAs in WB2 vs. WB2.tet mosquitoes. A) Principal

components analysis of $\log_2$ normalised counts of 168 miRNAs showing separation of WB2

and WB2.tet mosquitoes in each of the three age groups with respect to miRNA expression.

Ellipses show 95% C.I. **B)** Volcano plots show differential expression of miRNAs in each age

group. Proportion of up- and down-regulated miRNAs is approximately equal at 2 dpe, but

becomes skewed toward downregulation in 6 dpe and 12 dpe. Red dots represent miRNAs that

meet the cut-off for FDR (q) and $\log_2$ fold-change; green, those that meet $\log_2$ fold-change cut-

off, but not q significant; blue, those that meet the q significance but not the $\log_2$ fold-change

cut-off; grey, those that meet neither cut-off. **C)** Venn diagram showing overlap in significantly

differentially expressed miRNAs between three age groups: 2 dpe, 6 dpe and 12 dpe. There

was limited overlap between age groups. Only two miRNAs, miR-12-5p and miR-998-5p were

in common between 2 dpe and 6 dpe. A third miRNA, miR-309a-3p, was down-regulated at 2

dpe and up-regulated at 12 dpe. **D)** Pairwise comparisons of $\log_2$ fold-changes of miRNAs that

were significant in one age group (x-axis) against the same miRNAs in another age group (y-

axis). All Pearson correlations were significant ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 4: Validation of selected differentially expressed miRNAs. Plots show $\log_2$ fold

changes of a selection of miRNAs according to small RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR at 2, 6, and 12

days post-emergence using 5S RNA for normalization of data. Bars are averages of three

biological replicates. Error bars show standard error of the mean.

Figure 5: Expression of *Ae. aegypti* miRNAs increasing with age. **A)** Venn diagram showing

overlap in significantly differentially expressed miRNAs between two mosquito lines. Age-

related differential expression of miRNAs showed a reasonable degree of overlap between

lines, with 14 out of 34 miRNAs (41%) being differentially expressed with age in both WB2

and WB2.tet mosquitoes. **B)** Graphs showing results of RT-qPCR of six miRNAs that showed

significant differential expression between age groups according to RNA-Seq. miRNAs in

WB2 mosquitoes were lower in abundance at 2 dpe compared to WB2.tet, but generally

increased with age more steeply. Points are averages of three biological replicates. Error bars

represent standard error of the mean. Significant difference between means was estimated

using a factorial ANOVA ($p < 0.05$). NS, not significant.

Figure 6: Differential expression of selected potential targets of aae-miR-317-3p (top row)

and aae-miR-2946-3p (bottom row) that were differentially expressed with mosquito age.

RT-qPCR analysis of RNA extracted from mosquitoes at 2, 6, and 12 dpe using primers to the

target genes and *rps17* as the normalizing gene. Two-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple

comparisons was carried out to compare the expression levels between different time points.

Different letters on top of each time point represent statistically significant differences.

Figure 7: *w*AlbB density in miRNA inhibited Aag2.*w*AlbB cells. **A)** *w*AlbB density of

Aag2.*w*AlbB cells following transfection with specific miRNA inhibitors, random negative

control (NC) or Cellfectin only. **B and C)** Validation of inhibition of miR-190-5p, and miR-

276b-5p by RT-qPCR in the samples used in A. Cross-bars represent the mean of three

replicates. Asterisks indicate the level of statistical significance determined using to ANOVA.

*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$.

Figure 8: inhibition of miR-317-3p and miR-2946-3p and its effect on lifespan of adult *Ae.*

***aegypti* females**. **A and B)** Effect of miRNA inhibition on relative expression of miR-317-3p

in WB2.tet and WB2 mosquitoes, respectively. **C and D)** Effect of miRNA inhibition on

relative expression of miR-2946-3p in WB2.tet and WB2 mosquitoes respectively. **E and F)**

Effect of miRNA inhibition on longevity of WB2.tet and WB2 mosquitoes, respectively. KD,

knock down. WB2.tet survival was not significantly affected by miRNA inhibition. WB2

survival was significantly affected by inhibition of miR-2946-3p (Cox ph, $p < 0.0001$) and

miR-317-3p (Cox ph, $p = 0.013$).

Supplementary Material legends

Figure S1: Read-length distribution of small RNA-Seq libraries.

Figure S2: Bacterial community composition is consistent between WB2 and WB2.tet

mosquitoes. Results of Kraken analysis of reads that did not map to either **A)** *Ae. aegypti* or

*w*AlbB in WB2 mosquitoes, or **B)** *Ae. aegypti* in WB2.tet mosquitoes.

Figure S3: Per-base small RNA-SEQ read depth of the predicted hairpin corresponding to

Peak1.

Figure S4: Per-base small RNA-SEQ read depth of the predicted hairpin corresponding to

Peak 9.

Figure S5: *w*AlbB-derived miRNA-like small RNAs. (A) Predicted hairpin structures

corresponding to two regions of the *w*AlbB NZ_CP031221.1 genome that had high coverage

of small RNA-Seq reads in libraries derived from WB2 mosquitos. Genomic coordinates

indicate the start and end positions of the putative hairpin structure, identified by two peaks of

18-24nt long small RNA-Seq reads. **(B)** Sequence alignment between each predicted stem-loop

structure and *W*snRNA-46 and *W*snRNA-59 from Mayoral et al., 2014. Dots represent

homologous positions.

Table S1. Primers and oligos used in this study.

Table S2: Small RNA-Seq QC mapping statistics.

Table S3: Genomic coordinates of precursor and mature miRNA loci in the AaeL5.0 reference

genome. The base mean is the mean of counts of all samples, normalized for sequencing depth.

Table S4. Validation of small RNA-Seq using RT-qPCR for nine miRNAs using 5s ribosomal

RNA as reference. Ct, cycle threshold. FC, fold-change. CPM, counts per million.

Table S5. Peaks in coverage of small RNA-Seq reads aligned to the *w*AlbB NZ_CP031221.1.

'w2', 'w6', 'w12' refer to WB2 2 dpe, WB2 6 dpe, and WB2 12 dpe, respectively. Two

intergenic regions containing peaks 1 and 9 showed a pattern of read-coverage reminiscent of

precursor miRNA loci, and were predicted to form stem-loop structures (Figs. S3-5A).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8