**Mutational impact of APOBEC3B and APOBEC3A in a human cell line**

Running title: Mutational signatures of APOBEC3B and APOBEC3A

Matthew C. Jarvis[1,2,*], Michael A. Carpenter[1,2,3,4,5,*], Nuri A. Temiz[2,6,*], Margaret R. Brown[1,2], Kyle

A. Richards[1,2], Prokopios P. Argyris[1,2,3], William L. Brown[1,2], Douglas Yee[2,7], Reuben S.

Harris[1,2,3,4,5,8]

[1] Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Biophysics, University of Minnesota,

Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[2] Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[4] Department of Biochemistry and Structural Biology, University of Texas Health San Antonio,

San Antonio, TX 78229, USA

[5] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX

78229, USA

[6] Institute for Health Informatics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[7] Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, Minnesota

55455, USA

[8] Correspondence to Reuben S. Harris, email: rsh@uthscsa.edu

[*] Equal contributions

**Key Words**: APOBEC mutation signature; APOBEC3A; APOBEC3B; cancer mutagenesis; DNA

damage response; DNA repair; human mutation reporter system; mutation signatures in cancer

**Manuscript Information**: 64 character title; 196 word abstract; 5900 word main text (excluding

methods, references, and legends); 5 Main Figures and 10 Supplementary Figures

**Abstract**

A prominent source of mutation in cancer is single-stranded DNA cytosine deamination by cellular APOBEC3 enzymes, which results in C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in TCA and TCT motifs. Although multiple enzymes have been implicated, reports conflict and it is unclear which enzyme(s) are responsible. Here we develop a selectable system to quantify genome mutation and compare the mutagenic activities of three leading candidates - APOBEC3A, APOBEC3B, and APOBEC3H. The human cell line, HAP1, is engineered to express the *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene of HSV-1, which confers sensitivity to ganciclovir. Clonal expression of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B, but not catalytic mutant controls or APOBEC3H, trigger elevated DNA damage responses and increased frequencies of *TK* mutation. Mutant *TK* DNA sequences reveal nearly indistinguishable cytosine mutation patterns. Whole genome sequences from *TK* mutant clones confirm these results and enable broader bioinformatic analyses. Most importantly, comparisons of APOBEC3A- and APOBEC3B-inflicted mutation signatures in this system and the actual APOBEC3 signature from breast cancer indicate that most tumors are likely to manifest a composite signature. These studies help resolve a long-standing etiologic debate in the cancer field and indicate that future diagnostic and therapeutic efforts should focus on both APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B.

**Introduction**

Over the past decade, advances in DNA sequencing technologies and bioinformatics have helped to deconvolute a multitude of mutational processes that contribute to the genesis and evolution of cancer (see recent pan-cancer analysis by [1] and reviews by [2-4]). Through these approaches and complementary bench experiments, the APOBEC3 family of single-stranded (ss)DNA cytosine deaminases has emerged as one of the top three sources of single base substitution (SBS) mutation in cancer with particularly large contributions to tumors of the bladder, breast, cervix, lung, and head/neck. APOBEC3 signature mutations in cancer are defined as C-

to-T transitions and C-to-G transversions in 5'-TCW motifs (W = A or T; SBS2 and SBS13, respectively) [1, 5-10]. This definition is conservative because several APOBEC3 enzymes can also accommodate 5'-CG and 5'-methyl-CG ssDNA substrates [9-17], which can also lead to C-to-T transition mutations and overlap with the mutation signature attributable to spontaneous water-mediated deamination of cytosine and methyl-cytosine nucleotides. Spontaneous methyl-cytosine deamination is a clock-like mutation process that occurs predominantly in 5'-methyl-CG motifs and associates positively with a patient's biological age (ageing signature [18]), whereas APOBEC-catalyzed deamination is not evident in normal tissues, not associated with ageing, present in many primary tumors, and often enriched in metastases (APOBEC signature [1, 6, 8, 9, 18-23]).

The human APOBEC3 (A3) family is comprised of seven different enzymes with extensive homology and overlapping activities (reviewed by [24-26]), and it is unclear how much (or little) each contributes to the composite APOBEC3 mutation signature evident in tumor DNA sequences. The bulk of evidence favors two enzymes, APOBEC3A (A3A) and APOBEC3B (A3B), though to wildly different degrees depending on the study, and additional work has also implicated APOBEC3H (A3H). Evidence for A3A includes an intrinsic preference for 5'-TC substrates, high catalytic activity (highest of any human DNA deaminase), cell-wide localization, DNA damage responses, induction by the tumor virus HPV, and positive correlations between mRNA levels and tumor APOBEC3 mutation loads [11, 14, 16, 27-34]. Evidence for A3B includes all the same points except this enzyme is several-fold less active, localizes constitutively to the nuclear compartment, is induced by multiple DNA tumor viruses (HPV and polyomaviruses), and associates at both mRNA and protein levels with clinical outcomes [9, 11, 16, 19-21, 33-44]. Importantly, however, the APOBEC3 mutation signature can still accumulate in tumors that lack A3B due to a naturally occurring full gene deletion allele [45-47]. This observation with A3B-null tumors helped implicate a cell-wide, 5'-TC preferring variant of A3H (haplotype I) in causing an APOBEC mutation signature [46, 48, 49]. Another consideration is that A3A and A3B have been

reported to exhibit broader tetranucleotide preferences upon overexpression in yeast, 5'-YTCW

vs RTCW, respectively [50-52].

Here, we report a human cellular system for mutation research and use it to perform

unbiased comparisons of the mutagenic potential of A3A, A3B, and A3H. The human cell line

HAP1 was engineered to express a single copy of the HSV-1 *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene, which

enables the drug ganciclovir to be used to select rare *TK* mutants and quantify mutation

frequencies. Moreover, the *TK* gene can be amplified readily from ganciclovir-resistant (Gan$^R$)

clones by high-fidelity PCR and sequenced to provide initial assessments of mutation spectra

(signatures) prior to undertaking additional experiments such as whole genome sequencing

(WGS). Using this system, only expression of A3A and A3B cause significant increases in DNA

damage signaling ($\gamma$-H2AX positivity), DNA breakage (alkaline COMETs), and Gan$^R$ mutation

frequencies. Sanger sequences from panels of individual *TK* mutant clones show a clear 5'-TC-

biased mutation pattern including two hotspots, with no obvious stem-loop secondary structures.

Whole genome sequences (WGS) further demonstrate that both A3A and A3B can generate the

APOBEC3 mutational signatures SBS2 and SBS13. Moreover, although these A3A- and A3B-

inflicted mutation signatures each cluster with the APOBEC3 signature extracted from different

subsets of breast tumors, neither the A3A- nor the A3B-preferred motifs from this system is able

to fully explain the observed composite APOBEC3 mutation signature in cancer suggesting that

both enzymes may be acting in concert in most tumors.

**Results**

**HAP1-TK-M9 – a human cellular system to report DNA damage and mutagenesis**

Model organisms such as *E. coli* and yeast are powerful systems for studying mutagens

including DNA deaminases (*e.g.*, original studies with A3 enzymes [34, 53-57]). However, these

model organisms do not recapitulate all of the DNA repair and regulatory mechanisms found in

human cells. We therefore sought to combine strengths of both approaches by introducing a

single copy of a selectable reporter, the HSV-1 *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene, into the genome of

the human cell line HAP1. TK confers exquisite sensitivity to the drug ganciclovir and, as for many

antimicrobial agents, only *TK*-mutant, ganciclovir-resistant (Gan^R) cells are able to survive

selection by this drug. The vast majority of Gan^R mutants can be characterized rapidly by

conventional Sanger DNA sequencing because *TK* is a single open reading frame. Moreover,

once informative Gan^R mutants are revealed by selection, secondary analyses including WGS

can be used to uncover additional and potentially global features of a given mutation process.

The overall experimental workflow is shown in **Figure 1A**. To generate a "mother" clone

of the commercially available HAP1 cell line, Sleeping Beauty (SB)-mediated transposition was

used to introduce a single copy of a *TK-Neo* cassette into the genome [9]. Neo^R clones were

selected with G418, expanded into healthy clonal populations (ca. $10^6$ cells/ml), and screened for

ganciclovir sensitivity (Gan^S). One mother clone, HAP1-TK-M9, was selected for further studies

because it is Gan^S, it is mostly diploid (apart from a few pre-existing chromosome aberrations), it

cultures, engineers, and clones well (below), and it shows a favorable *A3* expression profile

(**Figure 1B**; **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1**). In particular, RT-qPCR showed that its *A3A* and

*A3B* mRNA levels are lower than those of the original parent line and that *A3H* mRNA levels are

very low and near the detection threshold. Accordingly, very low levels of ssDNA cytosine

deaminase activity are detected in whole cell extracts (vector control lanes in **Figure 1C-E**). In

addition to low *A3H* mRNA expression levels, genomic DNA sequencing showed that the only

*A3H* allele is haplotype III (ΔAsn15), which is known to produce an unstable protein [58-60].

**DNA damage phenotypes of HAP1-TK-M9 overexpressing A3A, A3B, and A3H**

A panel of MLV-based human A3 expression constructs was assembled and used to

produce viral supernatants and transduce the HAP1-TK-M9 mother clone (**Methods**). Uniform

A3-expressing pools were selected with puromycin. A3A expression was demonstrated by

immunoblotting and immunofluorescent (IF) microscopy using a newly developed rabbit anti-

human A3A monoclonal antibody, UMN13, that specifically binds this enzyme through a unique

N-terminal epitope (**Figure 1C**, **1F**; **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2**). Expression of A3B was

similarly shown by immunoblotting and IF microscopy using a rabbit anti-human A3B monoclonal

antibody 5210-87-13 [61] (**Figure 1D**, **1F**). Expression of A3H haplotypes I and II was shown by

immunoblotting and IF microscopy with a rabbit polyclonal antibody [58, 62] (**Figure 1E**, **1F**).

Endogenous A3A, A3B, and A3H proteins were undetectable as expected based on low mRNA

levels (above). In addition, whole cell extracts of A3A-, A3B-, and A3H-expressing HAP1-TK-M9

cells exhibited ssDNA cytosine deaminase activity, well above background levels from vector

control or catalytic mutant pools constructed in parallel (**Figure 1C-E**). None of these experimental

conditions caused overt decreases in cellular viability, proliferation rates, or clonogenicity.

We next asked whether these A3 enzymes trigger a DNA damage response and cause

DNA breakage in HAP1-TK-M9 cells. The populations described above were stained for the DNA

damage marker $\gamma$-H2AX and subjected to IF microscopy (representative low-resolution images in

**Figure 1F**, quantification in **Figure 1G**). Only A3A and A3B triggered elevated levels of DNA

damage as characterized by $\gamma$-H2AX staining. This was evident both as increased numbers of $\gamma$-

H2AX foci and as elevated pan-nuclear $\gamma$-H2AX staining intensities, as reported in prior

overexpression studies [9, 63, 64]. Catalytic mutant derivatives of A3A and A3B as well as active

A3H-I and A3H-II showed vector control levels of $\gamma$-H2AX staining. A3A and A3B also exhibited

DNA breaks as evidenced by larger tail moments in alkaline COMET assays (representative

images in **Figure 1F**, quantification in **Figure 1H**). These results combined to demonstrate that

both A3A and A3B are enzymatically active and capable of exerting DNA damage in HAP1-TK-

M9 cells, consistent with prior DNA damage studies using different cell lines [9, 44, 65-68]. Despite

showing cell-wide (including nuclear) localization and measurable DNA deaminase activity, A3H-

I did not trigger significant increases in DNA damage or breakage. This result differs from an

earlier report [48], which may have expressed A3H-I to higher levels with an inducible promoter

in comparison to the stable levels established here with an MND promoter from an integrated

MLV-based construct (likely also single copy due to the low MOI used initially to establish each

A3-expressing pool).

***TK* mutation spectra of HAP1-TK-M9 with A3A, A3B, and A3H**

To directly test which A3 enzymes cause genomic mutation in the HAP1-TK-M9 system,

24 independent single-cell derived daughter clones were obtained for A3A, A3B, A3H-I, and A3H-

II expressing conditions as well as catalytic mutant and vector controls. A classical fluctuation

analysis was performed by growing each single cell clone for 1 month to >$10^7$ cells, subjecting

each population to selection by ganciclovir, and allowing time for single $Gan^R$ mutant cells to grow

into countable colonies. Vector control conditions yielded a median $Gan^R$ mutation frequency of

3 mutants per 5 million cells (mean = 3.6, SD = 2.8). In contrast, A3A- and A3B-expressing clones

caused median $Gan^R$ mutation frequencies to rise above 10 mutants per 5 million cells (A3A WT:

median = 16, mean = 14, SEM = 2.2, p-value range = 1.7 x $10^{-4}$ to 3.1 x $10^{-5}$; A3B WT: median =

11, mean = 12, SEM = 1.8, p-value range = 2.2 x $10^{-4}$ to 1.9 x $10^{-5}$ by Welch's t-test; **Figure 2A**).

In comparison, expression of A3H-I or A3H-II or catalytic mutant derivatives of any of these DNA

enzymes or empty vector controls failed to trigger increased $Gan^R$ mutation frequencies (A3H-I

WT: median = 3.0, mean = 3.6, SEM = 0.71, p-value range = 0.38 to 0.60; A3H-II WT: median =

2.0, mean = 2.8, SEM = 0.58, p-value range = 0.74 to 0.89 by Welch's t-test; **Figure 2A**).

We next asked what types of genetic alterations led to inactivation of the *TK* gene in $Gan^R$

granddaughter clones derived from the different A3-expressing and control conditions. The *TK*

gene was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of $Gan^R$ granddaughter clones and sequenced via

Sanger sequencing. C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in an APOBEC-signature trinucleotide motif

(APOBEC), all other single base substitution mutations (other SBS), and all insertion/deletion

mutations (INDEL) were placed into groups for comparison (red, black, and blue tics in **Figure**

**2B**, respectively; individual sequence schematics in **Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1**). *TK*

sequences derived from A3A-expressing granddaughter clones harbored a greater number of

APOBEC mutations [12/20 clones contained at least 1 APOBEC mutation, 22 T(C>T/G)W

mutations total, range of 0 to 3 SBS per sequence] relative to catalytic mutant control clones [3/19

clones contained 1 APOBEC mutation, 3 T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to 1 SBS per

sequence]. Similarly, *TK* sequences derived from A3B-expressing granddaughter clones also

harbored a greater number of APOBEC mutations [11/20 clones contained at least 1 APOBEC

mutation, 19 T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to 3 SBS per sequence] relative to catalytic

mutant control clones [2/18 clones contained 1 APOBEC mutation, 2 T(C>T/G)W mutations total,

range of 0 to 1 SBS per sequence] (**Figure 2B; Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1**). Additionally,

*TK* cytosine bases 22 (Q8X) and 635 (R212K) emerged as mutational hotspots in both the A3A-

and A3B-expressing clones (**Figure 2B**; considered further in **Discussion**). No differences were

found in the number of other SBS or INDEL mutations between A3A- or A3B-expressing clones

and controls.

We next examined the broader sequence context of the 22 A3A- and 19 A3B-induced

APOBEC signature mutations that occurred at 5'-TC dinucleotides in *TK* (**Figure 2C-D**). In both

instances, A was preferred over T at the +1 nucleobase position relative to the mutated C, and

this bias was not significantly different between the two enzymes (68% for A3A and 74% for A3B;

p=0.367 by Fisher's exact test). Similarly, no obvious biases were evident at the +2 or -2

nucleobase positions with all four nucleotides observed at similar frequencies for both enzymes.

Moreover, even when pyrimidines and purines were grouped for comparison, A3A did not show

an overt preference for C/T (Y) or A/G (R) at the -2 or +2 nucleobase positions (51% vs 49% and

52% vs 48%, respectively). Likewise, A3B also failed to show an overt preference for C/T (Y) or

A/G (R) at the -2 or +2 nucleobase positions (45% vs 55% and 53% vs 47%, respectively). These

similarities underscore the fact that small mutation numbers are primarily useful for delineating

major signature differences such as the shifts described above from a heterogeneous pattern in

catalytic mutant- or vector control-expressing cells towards a predominantly 5'-TC focused SBS

mutation pattern in A3A- and A3B-expressing cells.

**WGS of A3A and A3B clones reveals widespread SBS2 and SBS13 and suggests intrinsic**

**preferences**

To prepare for WGS of Gan$^R$ granddaughter clones, RNA sequencing was done to confirm

expression of each exogenously expressed *A3* construct and compare mRNA levels relative to

established breast cell lines and primary breast tumors. All expression values were determined

relative to those of the conserved housekeeping gene *TBP* in order to be able to compare with

RNAseq data from different cell lines and tumors. Interestingly, the average *A3A* and *A3A-E72A*

mRNA levels in Gan$^R$ granddaughter clones was over 5-fold higher than the average endogenous

*A3A* expression levels of APOBEC3-signature enriched breast cancer cell lines BT474 and MDA-

MD-453, breast cancer cell lines of the CCLE, or breast tumors of TCGA (**Figure 3 – Figure**

**Supplement 1A**). In other words, A3A levels were higher in this system due to difficulty identifying

a sufficiently weak promoter for constitutive expression. In comparison, *A3B* and *A3B-E255A*

mRNA levels in Gan$^R$ granddaughter clones were similar to the averages reported for breast

cancer cell lines of the CCLE and breast tumors of the TCGA, and approximately 2-fold lower

than those of BT474 and MDA-MD-453 (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1A**). *A3H* (haplotype I)

mRNA levels showed greater variance but only two clones were analyzed by RNAseq and WGS

due to negative results above with the *TK* mutation analysis.

The mRNA expression levels of the other four *A3* genes, as well as *AICDA* (*AID*),

*APOBEC1*, *APOBEC2*, and *APOBEC4*, were also quantified and compared with those of *A3A*,

*A3B*, and *A3H* (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1B**). Endogenous *A3C* was expressed at similarly

high levels in all granddaughter clones, providing a robust internal control. Endogenous *A3F* and

*A3G* were expressed at lower but still detectable levels, and endogenous *AICDA*, *APOBEC1*,

*APOBEC2*, *APOBEC4*, and *A3D* were expressed at very low or undetectable levels. As expected,

levels of ectopically expressed *A3A*, *A3B*, and *A3H* mRNA exceeded those in the HAP1-TK-M9

parent clone as well as those in vector expressing granddaughter controls. In addition, protein

level expression of A3A, A3B, and A3H was confirmed in *TK* mutant granddaughter clones by

immunoblotting and activity by ssDNA deamination assays (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2**).

Finally, the integration site of the *TK* reporter was determined using WGS reads that spanned

both the 5' and 3'-ends of the integrated *TK* construct and the flanking human genome sequence.

Data from multiple clones demonstrated a single integration site in chromosome 3, which is ~35

kbp from the nearest annotated coding or regulatory element.

To investigate mutational differences genome-wide, Illumina short-read WGS was done

for randomly selected $Gan^R$ granddaughter clones (specified by "WGS" in **Figure 2 – Figure**

**Supplement 1**). Mutations unique to each granddaughter were identified by calling SBS

variations versus the genomic DNA sequence of the HAP1-TK-M9 mother clone. This approach

eliminated any somatic variation that accumulated in the $Gan^S$ mother clone prior to transduction

with each of the A3 or control expression constructs. Thus, all new SBS mutations were present

in a significant proportion of reads from the $Gan^R$ granddaughter clones and absent from the reads

from the $Gan^S$ mother clone and, as such, must have accumulated in the presence of an active

A3 enzyme or a catalytic mutant control.

In A3A-expressing $Gan^R$ granddaughter clones, the total number of unique SBSs ranged

from 2057 to 5196 (n=6, median=3101, mean=3463, SD=1239). The total number of SBSs in

A3B-expressing clones was approximately 2-fold lower, ranging from 1920 to 2622 (n=5,

median=2346, mean=2334, SD=249). In comparison, the total number of SBSs in catalytic mutant

control $Gan^R$ granddaughter clones ranged from 1646 to 2182 (n=4; median=1913, mean=1913,

SD=222). These results are summarized in dot plots in **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3**. Most

importantly, analyses of the trinucleotide contexts of all unique SBS mutations revealed strong C-

to-T and C-to-G mutation biases in 5'-TCA and 5'-TCT motifs in A3A-expressing clones and

weaker, but still significant, mutation biases in the same motifs in A3B-expressing clones (**Figure**

**3A**; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4**). In other words, only A3A- and A3B-expressing

granddaughter clones exhibited APOBEC3 signature mutations.

This key result was confirmed using an independent metric, APOBEC3 signature

enrichment scores [50, 69], which indicated that 6/6 A3A-expressing clones and 4/5 A3B-

expressing clones have significant enrichments for APOBEC3 signature mutations, whereas

clones expressing catalytically inactive A3A or A3B, as well as clones expressing A3H-I or vector

control have none (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5A**). An orthogonal bioinformatics approach,

non-negative matrix factorization (NMF [70]), yielded similar results with "signature A" resembling

SBS2 and SBS13 in A3A- and A3B-expressing clones (*i.e.*, APOBEC3 signature) and "signature

B" occurring in all clones regardless of A3 functionality (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5B**). In

comparison, patterns of insertion/deletion (indel) mutations do not appear to be affected

significantly by A3A or A3B (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 6**). Taken together, these

experiments constitute the first cause-and-effect demonstration in a human cell line that both A3A

and A3B can generate a clear APOBEC3 mutation signature (*i.e.*, both SBS2 and SBS13).

We next analyzed the broader pentanucleotide contexts of the 5'-TC-focused single base

substitution mutations that accumulated in A3A- and A3B-expressing clones (n=6520 and

n=1590, respectively) in comparison to those that accumulated in aggregate control clones

(n=2934) as well as the overall distribution of 5'-TC in the human genome (n=339619283) (**Figure**

**3B**). First, a bias for +1 A over +1 T emerged in A3A-expressing clones (43.5% > 39.6%), whereas

the opposite bias was evident in A3B-expressing clones (32.6% < 44.7%). For both enzymes, the

percentage of +1 A and T (W) was similar (83.1% and 77.3%, respectively). Second, no significant

bias was noted at the +2 position except a guanine is slightly over-represented in the

pentanucleotide motifs derived from A3A-expressing conditions. Third and most importantly, an

exceptionally strong bias for a pyrimidine nucleobase (C or T) occurred at the -2 position in A3A-

expressing clones (68.6% YTC vs 31.4% RTC). These A3A results resemble the strong -2

pyrimidine bias reported for human A3A in murine hepatocellular carcinomas (70% YTCW vs 30%

RTCW) [71] and for human A3A expression in yeast [50, 51]. Fourth, no bias was apparent for

pyrimidines at the -2 position in A3B-expressing clones (50.4% YTC vs 49.6% RTC). This latter

result opposes prior data from yeast, where human A3B appeared to have a bias toward RTCW

motifs (*i.e.*, RTCW > YTCW [50, 51]). Possible explanations for these contrasting results are

included in **Discussion**).

**Mesoscale features of A3A and A3B mutagenesis in the HAP1-TK-M9 system**

X-ray structures have revealed a U-shaped bend in ssDNA substrates bound by A3A and

A3B [16, 29], and other studies have indicated that similarly bent ssDNA loop regions of hairpins

(*i.e.*, DNA cruciforms) may be preferred substrates for deamination by A3A but not A3B [52, 72,

73]. To ask whether this might extend to the HAP1-TK-M9 system described here, we analyzed

our A3A and A3B *TK* PCR sequences and granddaughter clone WGS data for evidence of

mutagenesis in the single-stranded loop regions of DNA hairpin structures. First, neither of the

two A3A/B mutation hotspots in the *TK* gene reported above are part of obvious stem-loop

structures. Second, none of the top-100 cruciform structures reported previously to harbor

recurring APOBEC3 signature mutations in tumors [52] were mutated in our HAP1-TK-M9 WGS

data sets. Third, in global comparisons of APOBEC3 signature mutations in predicted loop regions

of stem-loop structures versus APOBEC3 signature mutations in non-cruciform structures, neither

the A3A- nor the A3B-expressing conditions showed an increased proportion of TCW mutations

in stem-loop regions relative to control conditions (Fisher's exact test, q = 1 for all comparisons;

**Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1**).

To assess relative rates of A3A and A3B-catalyzed deamination of hairpin versus non-

hairpin substrates, we used ssDNA substrates for two previously reported A3A mutational

hotspots in *SDHB* and *NUP93* [52, 74]. A3A and A3B were affinity-purified from human cells and

incubated with these C-containing hairpin substrates over time. First, both A3A and A3B showed

a strong preference for deaminating the *SDHB* hairpin substrate in comparison to a linear control

with the same nucleobase content scrambled (~4- and ~8-fold preference, respectively; **Figure**

**4A**). In addition, A3A shows higher rates of deamination than A3B on both the hairpin and the

linear substrate in agreement with prior studies [52]. Thus, the relative deamination rates for

*SDHB* substrates are: A3A/hairpin > A3B/hairpin > A3A/linear > A3B linear (120, 41, 31, and 5.1

nM/min, respectively).

However, a different picture emerged from analyses of deamination of *NUP93*-based

substrates **Figure 4B**). Rates of A3A-catalyzed deamination were similarly high for the *NUP93*

hairpin and linear control with the same nucleobase content scrambled. In contrast, A3B showed

higher rates of deamination of the linear substrate and was only able to deaminate the hairpin

substrate with low efficiencies and linear kinetics. Thus, the relative deamination rates for *NUP93*

substrates are: A3A/hairpin = A3A/linear >> A3B linear > A3B/hairpin (93, 83, 7.7, and 1.3 nM/min,

respectively). These results show that reaction rates can vary massively between substrates and

suggest that caution should be used when attempting to extend individual structural and

biochemical preferences to whole genomes. Nevertheless, these data conservatively show that

*both* A3A and A3B can deaminate hairpin and linear substrates and, as proposed [52], it is

possible to find sites such as the *NUP93* hairpin that are strongly (but not exclusively) preferred

by a single A3 enzyme.

Another mesoscale feature of APOBEC3 mutagenesis in human cancer is clusters of

strand-coordinated cytosine SBS mutations in TCA and TCT motifs most likely caused by

processive deamination of exposed tracts of ssDNA (aka. *kataegis*) [5, 7, 70, 75]. No APOBEC3

signature *kataegic* events were observed in control conditions. However, several APOBEC3

signature *kataegic* events were evident in the genomic DNA of both A3A and A3B expressing

granddaughter clones (**Figure 4C**). For instance, one A3A-attributable *kataegic* event was

comprised of 7 T(C>T/G)A/T events within a 10 kbp window, and an A3B-attributable *kataegic*

event included 8 T(C>T/G)A/T events within a 10 kbp window. Interestingly, however, the

frequency of *kataegic* events did not differ significantly between A3A- and A3B-expressing

granddaughter clones (A3A WT: median = 30, mean = 35 events, SD = 8.3; A3B WT: median =

12, mean = 15, SD = 6.9; p = 0.28 by Welch's t-test). These results are the first to indicate in a

human cell line that both A3A and A3B can cause kataegis.

**APOBEC signature etiology in primary breast tumors**

Sequencing data from model systems such as HAP1-TK-M9 are powerful because the

resulting mutation signatures can help to establish cause-and-effect relationships for comparison

to more complex tumor WGS data sets to identify similarities and, potentially, to infer the precise

source of an observed mutation signature in a given tumor. We therefore performed an

unsupervised clustering analysis to compare the pentanucleotide cytosine mutation signatures

derived from sequencing A3A, A3B, and A3H expressing HAP1 clones and those from 794

primary breast tumors with WGS available through the ICGC data portal resource. This analysis

revealed three distinct tumor groups with respect to APOBEC3 signature mutations: 1) a group

that showed similarity to A3A-expressing HAP1-TK-M9 clones, 2) a group that showed similarity

to A3B-expressing HAP1-TK-M9 clones, and 3) a group that showed little to no significant

APOBEC3 mutation signature (**Figure 5**). As expected from a lack of substantial APOBEC3

signature mutations above in the *TK* reporter or in representative WGS, A3H-I expressing clones

and catalytic-inactive clones clustered with the non-APOBEC signature tumors.

Importantly, both the A3A-like and A3B-like groups were comprised of tumors that showed

high levels of APOBEC3 signature mutations and correspondingly high enrichment scores.

However, even in the A3A-like group, none of the breast tumors showed $\geq$70% APOBEC3

signature mutations in YTCW motifs (the overall bias observed here in the HAP1-TK-M9 system

and previously in murine tumors [71]). This key result strongly suggests that the observed

APOBEC3 mutation signatures in most breast tumors may be a composite resulting from both

A3A and A3B activity. In comparison, the homologous recombination repair deficiency signature

(SBS3) appeared underrepresented in both the A3A- and A3B-like tumor groups, and the ageing

signature (SBS1) occurred in all three groups regardless of A3 mutation status.

**Discussion**

Here we report the development and implementation of a novel system to investigate mutational processes in human cells (**Figure 1**). Like many bacterial and yeast model systems, the HAP1-TK-M9 system enables a uniform cytotoxic selection with ganciclovir such that only *TK* mutant cells survive. An analysis of clonally derived, A3A and A3B expressing *TK* mutants by Sanger sequencing of high-fidelity PCR amplicons demonstrates a strong shift in the mutational pattern from a variety of different base substitution mutations in control conditions to a strongly 5'TCW-biased pattern (**Figure 2**). Interestingly, the *TK* mutation spectra inflicted by A3A and A3B are virtually indistinguishable including two shared hotspots (Q8X, R212K) and no obvious mesoscale features. This may be due to the limited number of mutable cytosines in *TK* that confer resistance to ganciclovir (local base composition) and/or to selective pressure. Regardless of the precise explanation, an analogy can be drawn with the mutational spectrum of the *PIK3CA* gene in breast, head/neck, and others cancers, which has two prominent APOBEC3 mutation hotspots (E542K, E545K) and no obvious mesoscale structures [52, 76]. These observations combine to suggest that selective pressure has the potential to overshadow the intrinsic preferences of the different APOBEC3 enzymes and complicate assignment of direct cause-and-effect relationships.

Drawing direct connections between A3A and/or A3B and a given mutation, even a prominent hotspot, is additionally challenging due to the fact that both enzymes can deaminate DNA cytosines in linear substrates as well as single-stranded loop regions of stem-loop structures (*e.g.*, **Figure 4** and prior biochemical studies [52, 72, 73]). Thus, the *TK*-based system described here is capable of yielding informative, rapid, and inexpensive mutation data sets with positive results motivating genome-wide analyses where the vast majority of mutations are unselected and the larger mutation data sets will enable even stronger conclusions. A potential caveat is that an exclusive focus on Gan[R] clones might overestimate the mutational impact of a given process. Earlier work also leveraged HAP1 cells to characterize a variety of different mutation sources but these studies did not incorporate a selectable reporter nor did they address the enzyme(s)

responsible for APOBEC3 signature mutations [77, 78].

The studies here are the first to demonstrate unambiguously that both A3A and A3B can

inflict an APOBEC3 mutation signature in human genomic DNA with, in both instances, ssDNA

deamination events immortalizing predominantly as C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in TCA and TCT

trinucleotide motifs (**Figure 2** and **Figure 3**). Over identical month-long timeframes, A3A causes

4.1-fold more APOBEC3 signature mutations in comparison to A3B (6520 vs 1590 mutations in 6

and 5 subclone WGSs, respectively). This difference can be explained in part by elevated A3A

expression levels in the HAP1-TK-M9 system (beyond levels in tumors or cell lines) and in part

by the higher intrinsic activity of this enzyme in comparison to A3B. Regardless, both A3A and

A3B yielded high levels of TC-focused APOBEC3 signature mutations, which enabled

comparisons between extended intrinsic preferences. Most importantly, A3A has a stronger

preference for a pyrimidine at the -2 position relative to the target cytosine (68.6% YTCW for A3A

vs 50.4% YTCW for A3B). This -2 pyrimidine bias mirrors recent WGS results from human A3A-

induced murine hepatocellular carcinomas (70% YTCW [71]), and it also resembles the bias

reported originally for human A3A expression in yeast [50, 51]. It also mirrors a strong -2

pyrimidine bias reported for A3A in the chicken B cell line DT40 published during preparation of

this manuscript [79]. However, importantly, A3B also shows a modest YTCW bias here in human

cells, which is different from the RTCW bias reported in yeast [50, 51]. This may be due to

differences in genetic and/or epigenetic factors including but not limited to base content,

chromatin state, DNA repair processes, and/or regulatory factors specific to human A3B

expression in human cells. Regardless of the precise explanation(s), the fact that both A3A and

A3B can exhibit YTCW mutational biases in human cells helps to inform interpretations of the

APOBEC3 enzyme responsible for the overall APOBEC3 mutation program in cancer. For

instance, the observed APOBEC3 mutation signature in tumors is likely to be a composite of A3A

and A3B because very few (if any) human cancers exhibit a 70% YTCW mutation biases indicative

of A3A exclusivity or a 52% YTCW bias indicative of A3B exclusivity. In support of this inference,

our unsupervised clustering analysis APOBEC3-signature motifs from HAP1-TK-M9 WGSs here

and nearly 800 breast tumor WGSs identified both A3A- and A3B-like subgroups, with neither

enzyme's preferred motif fully explaining the composite signature across breast cancer (**Figure**

**5**).

In addition to selective pressures and mesoscale features, additional factors are likely to

influence the APOBEC3 signature component of an overall tumor mutational landscape including

whether A3A and/or A3B is expressed, expression levels, duration of expression, intrinsic activity,

and accessibility of chromosomal DNA (replication stress, R-loop levels, chromatin state, *etc.*).

With regards to studies here with the HAP1-TK-M9 system, both A3A and A3B are expressed

constitutively from the same promoter/construct for identical durations prior to ganciclovir

selection, A3A is intrinsically more active than A3B, A3A is cell-wide and A3B predominantly

nuclear, and yet these enzymes and cellular factors combine to yield remarkably similar *TK*

mutation frequencies and only a 4-fold difference in overall genome-wide SBS mutation level.

With respect to cancer, the *A3A* gene is expressed at lower levels than *A3B* in almost all cell lines

and tumors, A3A is cell-wide or predominantly cytoplasmic where A3B is constitutively nuclear,

and A3A has higher enzymatic activity that can vary from 2- to 100-fold above that of A3B

depending on substrate (*e.g.*, **Figure 4** and prior biochemical studies [11, 52]). It is therefore

notable here that the overall genome-wide level of APOBEC3 signature mutation from A3A is only

~4-fold higher than that attributable to A3B. Endogenous *A3A* and *A3B* also have both distinct

and overlapping transcription programs, and both genes can be induced by a variety of conditions

including viral infection and inflammation [28, 33, 35-37, 39, 42, 80-86]. *In vivo*, *A3A* and *A3B*

gene expression is also likely to be affected by the local tumor microenvironment, which can vary

both between and within cancer types, as well as by a patient's global state of health. Taken

together with unknown and likely lengthy multi-year durations of pre-cancer and early cancer

development prior to clinical manifestation, deducing the exact fractions of mutations attributable

to A3A and/or A3B may be fruitless. Rather, it may be more prudent to focus on developing

strategies to simultaneously diagnose and treat the contributions of both of these enzymes.

Independent whole genome sequencing experiments have provided additional information

on the APOBEC3 mutation process. Initial studies induced overexpression of A3B in HEK-293-

derived cell lines, documented the resulting DNA damage responses, and performed WGS to

assess genome-wide associations [65, 67]. However, an unambiguous APOBEC3 mutation

signature was difficult to extract from these whole genome sequences due to large numbers of

mutations attributable to compromised mismatch repair [65, 67]. A more recent study compared

*de novo* mutations occurring in APOBEC3 signature positive cell lines during multiple generations

of clonal outgrowth [87]. An intriguing finding from this work is that APOBEC3 signature mutations

may be able to occur in an episodic manner, accumulating in some generations and not others,

consistent with evidence discussed above that A3A and A3B expression can be induced by

multiple signal transduction pathways. Episodic mutagenesis, however, is unexpected in cell-

based systems in which stochastic mutagenesis should predominate given defined media and

well-controlled growth conditions. These studies were followed-up more recently by WGS

comparisons of subclones of the same cancer cell lines CRISPR-engineered to lack *A3A*, *A3B*,

or both genes [88]. The results of over 250 WGS combined to indicate that A3A may be the source

of a large fraction of observed APOBEC3 signature SBS mutations, A3B a smaller fraction, and

another as-yet-undefined APOBEC3 enzyme an additional minor fraction. These data are

complementary to the major results here, with both A3A and A3B proving capable of generating

genome-wide APOBEC3 signature mutations. Differences in the overall magnitude of A3A vs A3B

mutagenesis may be due to the factors described above including differential intrinsic activity,

protein expression levels, genomic DNA accessibility, cell culture conditions, and importantly

durations of mutagenesis. Both studies were necessarily done in model cellular systems, each

with obvious strengths, but neither is able to fully recapitulate the wide repertoire of factors that

impact the actual pre- and post-transformation environments *in vivo*, which are further likely to

differ between different tissue and tumor types.

A role for A3H, haplotypes I or II, in cancer is disfavored by our results here showing that

these variants are incapable of eliciting DNA damage responses or increasing the *TK* mutation

frequency. Two A3H-I expressing *TK* mutant clones were subjected to WGS and no APOBEC3

mutation signature was evident. In addition, no specific evidence for A3H emerged from

sequencing clonally-derived cancer cell lines [88]. However, all of these cell-based studies have

limitations as discussed above and have yet to fully eliminate A3H as a source of APOBEC3

signature mutations in cancer. For instance, A3H-I may take more time to inflict detectable levels

of mutation, it may be subject to different transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory

processes, and/or it may only be mutagenic in a subset of cancer types subject to different

stresses and different selective pressures.

Ultimately, the studies here show that A3A and A3B are each individually capable of

triggering overt DNA damage responses and inflicting a robust APOBEC3 mutation signature in

human cells and, taken together with other work summarized above, support a model in which

both of these enzymes contribute to the composite APOBEC3 mutation signature reported in

many different tumor types. This conclusion is supported by clinical studies implicating A3A and/or

A3B in a variety of different tumor phenotypes including drug resistance/susceptibility, metastasis,

and immune responsiveness [9, 20, 21, 27, 32, 42, 47, 89-95]. Thus, efforts to diagnose and treat

APOBEC3 signature-positive tumors should take both enzymes into account, not simply one or

the other. Such longer-term goals are not trivial given the high degree of identity between A3A

and the A3B catalytic domain (>90%), the related difficulty of developing specific and versatile

antibodies for detecting each enzyme, and the fact that each can be regulated differentially by a

wide variety of common factors including virus infection and inflammation. Thus, we are hopeful

that the HAP1-TK-M9 system and A3A-specific rabbit monoclonal antibody described here will

help to expedite the achievement of these goals.

**Materials and Methods**

**Key resources table**

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)* | HAP1 | Horizon | Cat#: C859 | |
| Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)* | HAP1-TK-M9 | Original clone, this study | | Near diploid clone; expresses HSV-1 *TK* and is Gan$^S$ |
| Cell line (*homo sapiens,* female*)* | 293T | ATCC | Cat#: CRL-3216<br><br>RRID: CVCL_0063 | |
| Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)* | THP1 | ATCC | Cat#: TIB-202<br><br>RRID: CVCL_0006 | |
| Antibody | Anti-A3A (rabbit Monoclonal) | This study | UMN13 | WB (20 ng/mL) |
| Antibody | Anti-A3B (rabbit monoclonal) | [61] | Cat#:12397<br><br>RRID:AB_2721202 | WB (1:1,000) IF (1:300) |
| Antibody | Anti-A3H (rabbit polyclonal) | Novus ARP10 | Cat#: NBP1-91682<br><br>RRID: AB_2057523 | WB (1:1,000) IF (1:300) |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Antibody | Anti-γ-H2AX (S139) (rabbit polyclonal) | Millipore Sigma JW301 | Cat#: H5912<br><br>RRID: AB_310406 | IF (1:300) |
| Antibody | Anti-Tubulin (mouse monoclonal) | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#: T5168<br><br>RRID: AB_477579 | WB (1:20,000) |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP MLV vector | NovoPro | Cat#: V010396# | Parental transduction vector |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3Ai-IRES-Puro | This study | A3A with intron (pRH9978) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3Ai-E72A-IRES-Puro | This study | A3A-E72A with intron (pRH9979) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3Bi-IRES-Puro | This study | A3B with intron (pRH9980) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3Bi-E255A-IRES-Puro | This study | A3B E255A with intron (pRH9981) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-eGFP-IRES-Puro | This study | eGFP vector control (pRH9977) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3H-Ii-IRES-Puro | This study | A3H hapI with intron (pRH9984) | Request by contacting RSH |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3H-IIi-IRES-Puro | This study | A3H hapII with intron (pRH9985) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pQCXIP-MND-A3H-IIi-E56A-IRES-Puro | This study | A3H hapII E56A with intron (pRH9986) | Request by contacting RSH |
| Software, algorithm | Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) | | RRID: SCR_010910 | |
| Software, algorithm | Fiji | Fiji | RRID: SCR_002285 | |
| Software, algorithm | GraphPad Prism 6 | GraphPad | RRID: SCR_002798 | |
| Software, algorithm | ImageQuant | GE Healthcare | RRID: SCR_014246 | |
| Software, algorithm | Image Studio | LI-COR Biosciences | RRID: SCR_015795 | |
| Software, algorithm | MaxQuant version 1.5.2.8 | MaxQuant | RRID: SCR_014485 | |
| Software, algorithm | R for Statistical Computing | | RRID: SCR_001905 | |
| Software, algorithm | SpeedSeq | | RRID: SCR_000469 | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Software, algorithm | VarScan2 | | RRID: SCR_006849 | |
| Other | BD LSRFortessa Flow Cytometer | BD Biosciences | RRID: SCR_019600 | PI stain quantification |
| Other | LI-COR Odyssey FC | LI-COR | Cat#: 2800 | WB imaging |
| Other | Typhoon FLA 7000 | GE Healthcare | Cat#: 29-0044-13 | Oligo cleavage assay imaging |
| Other | MiSeq Sequencing System | Illumina | RRID: SCR_016379 | WGS library balancing validation |
| Other | NovaSeq 6000 Sequencing System | Illumina | RRID: SCR_016387 | WGS |

**Cell lines and culture conditions**

All cell lines were cultured at 37°C under 5% $CO_2$. HAP1 cells and derivatives were grown in IMDM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). 293T cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). THP1 cells were cultured in RPMI (ThermoFisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). TransIT-LT1 (Mirus) was used for all transfections. All parent and clonal lineage cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma using a PCR-based assay

[96]. Puromycin (ThermoFisher) and neomycin (ThermoFisher) were used at 1 µg/mL and 50

µg/mL, respectively. Ganciclovir (ThermoFisher) was used at 5 µM to select *TK* mutant clones.

**Creating the HAP1-TK-M9 system**

The HAP1-TK-M9 system was generated by co-transfecting HAP1 parent cells with a

plasmid expressing the Sleeping Beauty transposase and a separate plasmid with *TK-Neo* coding

sequences flanked by SB recognition sites [9, 97]. Semi-confluent cells in 6 well plates were

transfected, treated 24 hrs later with G418 (1 µg/mL), and subcloned by limiting dilution in 96 well

plates to create single cell derivatives. Single cell clones were then expanded and characterized

as described in the main text. The *A3H* genotype was determined by sequencing exon-specific

PCR amplicons [98, 99] and further confirmed by WGS (below).

Standard molecular cloning procedures were used to create derivatives of MLV pQCXIP

for expressing each A3 protein. First, pQCXIP was cut with MluI and PacI to excise the strong

CMV promoter and replace it with a weaker MND promoter (a synthetic promoter containing

regions of both the MLV LTR and the myeloproliferative sarcoma virus enhancer). Second, this

new construct was cut with SfiI and BsiWI to insert intron-disrupted A3 coding sequences [71].

This was done for *A3A*, *A3B*, *A3H* haplotype-I, *A3H* haplotype-II, and appropriate catalytic mutant

derivatives (E-to-A). An eGFP expressing construct was generated in parallel to use as a control

in various experiments. All new constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Each MLV-

based construct was co-transfected into 293T cells with appropriate packaging vectors and 48

hrs later the resulting viral supernatants were filtered (0.2 µm) and used to transduce semi-

confluent HAP1-TK-M9 cells. After an additional 48 hrs incubation, transduced cells were selected

with puromycin (1 µg/mL) and subcloned by limiting dilution to obtain A3 expressing daughter

clones. These A3 expressing and control daughter clones were expanded for 1 month and

characterized as described in the main text. No overt growth/proliferation defects were noted, and

all granddaughter clones expanded at similar rates. Mutation frequencies were determined by

plating 5 x 10$^6$ cells in 96 well flat bottom plates, treating with 5 µM ganciclovir (ThermoFisher),

and after 14 days incubation counting the number of *TK* mutant colonies that survived selection.

Single Gan$^R$ granddaughter clones were counted using a light microscope and expanded and

characterized as described in the main text including immunoblotting, DNA deaminase activity

assays, *TK* sequencing, and WGS.

**Immunoblots (IB)**

Cells were treated with trypsin EDTA and collected, washed in 1X PBS, and re-suspended

in 100 µL of reducing sample buffer per one million cells [0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 1% 2-

mercaptoethanol, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 50% glycerol]. Proteins were denatured by

boiling samples for 20 min and resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins

were transferred to a PVDF-FL membrane (Millipore Sigma) and blocked in 5% milk in 1X PBS.

Primary and secondary antibodies were incubated in blocking buffer, with the addition of 0.2%

SDS for fluorescent antibodies. The primary antibodies used were anti-A3A/B (5210-87-13, IB:

1:1,000, IF: 1:300 [61]), anti-A3H (Novus, IB: 1:1,000, IF: 1:300 [58]), anti-Tubulin (Sigma Aldrich,

1:20,000), and anti-γ-H2AX (S139) (Millipore Sigma JBW301, 1:300). The secondary antibodies

used were anti-rabbit HRP-linked (CST 7074, 1:2,000), IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse (LI-COR

Biosciences, 1:10,000), Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA,

1:10,000), and Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-mouse (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA, 1:10,000).

Membranes were imaged using a LI-COR Odyssey instrument or LI-COR Odyssey-Fc instrument

for HRP visualization (LI-COR Biosciences).

**DNA deaminase activity assays**

Whole cell extract (WCE) assays: ssDNA deamination activities were measured using

WCE prepared using 100 µL HED lysis buffer per 1 million cells (25 mM HEPES, 5 mM EDTA,

10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 1 protease inhibitor tablet). Samples were sonicated 3 times for 5

sec to ensure complete lysis. A3-containing lysates were incubated at 37°C for 2 hrs with purified

human UNG2 and a single-stranded DNA substrate containing a single TCA trinucleotide motif

and 3' FAM label (5'-ATTATTATTATTCAAATGGATTTATTTATTTATTTATTTATTT-FAM-3')

following established protocols [9, 63]. After this initial incubation, the reaction was treated with 1

mM NaOH for 10 min at 98°C. The reaction was run out on a 15% TBE acrylamide urea gel to

separate substrate oligo from cleaved product oligo and imaged on a Typhoon FLA 7000 with

ImageQuant TL 8.2.0 software (GE Healthcare).

Recombinant enzyme assays: A3A- and A3B-mycHis were prepared from transfected

293T as reported [14, 16, 100-102]. 25 nM of each protein was incubated for the indicated times

with 800 nM substrate in HEPES buffer (25 nM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole) at

37°C. Reactions were stopped by freezing in liquid nitrogen and then were heated to 95°C to

denature the enzymes. Reactions were then treated with 0.5 U/reaction Uracil DNA Glycosylase

(NEB, USA) for 10 min at 37°C. Sodium hydroxide was added to each reaction to 100 mM and

reactions were heated to 95°C for 5 minutes to cleave the DNA. Products were separated by 20%

TBE-Urea PAGE, imaged on a Typhoon FLA-7000 (GE Healthcare, USA), and quantified using

ImageQuant TL 8.2.0 software (GE Healthcare). Deamination of the target cytosine was

calculated by dividing the total reaction product (and any subsequent off-target cytosine

deamination events) by the total amount of starting substrate.

**PI staining and flow cytometry**

Propidium iodide staining was used to assess the ploidy of HAP1 clones relative to THP1

as a confirmed diploid control. Cells were trypsinized and suspended in 100 µL of 1X PBS per 1

million cells. Then, 500 µL ice-cold ethanol was added to cell suspensions and incubated at -20°C

for 1 hour to fix the cells. After fixation, cells were pelleted and washed in 1X PBS. Cells were

finally suspended in 500 µL of FxCycle PI stain (Invitrogen) and incubated for 30 minutes at RT

in the dark to stain the cells. Cells were spun down and resuspended in 300 µL of the PI stain

solution and placed in a 96 well round bottom plate for flow cytometry analysis using a BD

LSRFortessa flow cytometer (with high-throughput 96 well adapter system). A minimum of ten

thousand events were acquired for each cell line.

**RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was extracted using the High Pure RNA isolation kit (Roche). cDNA was

synthesized using SuperScript First-Strand RT (ThermoFisher). Quantification of mRNA was

done using validated primer sets for all human *A3* genes relative to the housekeeping gene *TBP*

[9, 81, 97, 98]. All RT-qPCR reaction were performed using SsoFast SYBR Green mastermix

(Bio-Rad) in 384-well plates on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 6 and R.

**Immunofluorescent microscopy (IF)**

IF was done as described [64, 103]. Cells were grown in 6 well tissue culture plates at low

density prior to fixation. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes at RT.

Cells were then permeabilized using PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich), then

rinsed with PBS. Cells were blocked using IF blocking solution and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 hr at

RT, then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were

anti-A3A/B/G (5210-87-13, 1:300) [61], anti-A3A (UMN-13; this study), anti-A3H (Novus, 1:300)

[58], and anti-γ-H2AX (JBW301, Millipore Sigma, 1:300). Cells were then washed with PBS and

incubated with a fluoro-conjugated secondary antibody for 2 hrs at RT in the dark. The secondary

antibodies used were IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse (LI-COR Biosciences, 1:10,000) and Alexa

Fluor 680 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA, 1:10,000). Primary and

secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer. Hoescht 33342 (Mirus) was used at a final

concentration of 1 μg/mL to stain nuclei.

**COMET assays**

HAP1-TK-M9 clones expressing individual A3 enzymes were harvested at 70%

confluency and resuspended in ice cold 1X phosphor-buffered saline (PBS, $Ca^{2+}$ and $Mg^{2+}$ free,

$1.0x10^5$ cells/mL). The CometAssay kit and manufacturer's protocol were used for all alkaline

comet assays (Trevigen). Cells were spread at low density on a glass slide and covered in low-

melt agarose to keep the cells in position for lysis. After cell lysis, slides were washed 2 times with

1X UDG buffer (New England Biolabs), and then incubated with purified human UNG2 in 1X UDG

buffer (4 ng/µL) for 1 hour at 37°C. After incubation, DNA unwinding and electrophoresis were

done according to the manufacturer's alkaline protocol. Comets were analyzed using the

OpenComet plugin for Image J [104] and statistical analyses were done in GraphPad Prism 6 and

R.

*TK* **sequencing**

Cells were harvested and genomic DNA was isolated using the Puregene DNA isolation

protocol.    The    *TK*    cassette    was    amplified    from    genomic    DNA    using    5'-

ATCTTGGTGGCGTGAAACTC-3'  and  5'-CTTCCGGTATTGTCTCCTTCC-3'.  PCR  products

were cleaned-up using the GeneJet Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific) and Sanger sequenced

with 4 different primers to cover the full open reading frame (5'-ATCTTGGTGGCGTGAAACTC-

3',    5'-GGTCATGCTGCCCATAAGGTA-3',    5'-CCGTTCTGGCTCCTCATATC-3',    and    5'-

CTTCCGGTATTGTCTCCTTCC-3'.

**Whole genome sequencing (WGS) and analyses**

Genomic DNA was prepared from cell pellets (1 million cells) using Allprep DNA/RNA mini

kit (Qiagen). Whole genome libraries were sequenced 150x2 bp on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) to

a target read depth of 30X coverage for all granddaughter clones as well as the parental HAP1-

TK-M9 mother clone. Resulting sequences were aligned to the human genome (hg38) using

SpeedSeq [105], which relies on the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner, BWA (version 0.7.17). PCR

duplicates were removed using Picard (version 2.18.16). Reads were locally realigned around

InDels using GATK3 (version 3.6.0) tools RealignerTargetCreator to create intervals, followed by

IndelRealigner on the aligned bam files. Single base substitutions and small InDels were called

in each clone relative to the bam file generated from the HAP1-TK-M9 mother clone using Mutect2

from GATK3 (version 3.6.0). SBSs that passed the internal GATK3 filter with minimum 4 reads

supporting each variant, minimum 20 total reads at each variant site and a variant allele frequency

over 0.05 were used for downstream analysis. SBSs were analyzed in R (version 4.0.5) using the

MutationalPatterns [106] and deconstructSigs R packages (version 1.8.0 [107]). All visualizations

were generated using the ggplot2 package (version 3.3.5). The indel landscapes were generated

using the MutationalPatterns R package [106] following PCAWG definitions [1]. All

individual clone data from each condition were pooled for presentation.

COSMIC single base substitution mutation signatures (v3 – May 2019

https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures/SBS/) were obtained from

https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn11738319. *De-novo* non-negative matrix factorization of

mutational signatures was performed with the "extract_signature" command from the

MutationalPatterns package, with a rank of 2 and 100 iterations. TCW mutation enrichment scores

were calculated as described [50, 69]. Sequence logos of -2 to +2 sequence surrounding C-to-T

mutations were created using the ggseqlogo (version 0.1) package.

**APOBEC expression and mutation signature analyses in TCGA and ICGC data sets**

TCGA primary breast tumors represented by both RNA-seq and whole exome sequencing

were downloaded from the Firehose GDAC resource through the Broad Institute pipeline

(http://gdac.broadinstitute.org/) for multiple tumor tissue types. APOBEC mutation signatures

were determined as described [5, 69] using the deconstructSigs R package [107]. APOBEC

mutation enrichment scores were calculated using the hg19 reference genome and published

methods [50]. Enrichment score significance was assessed using a Fisher exact test with

Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction. All dataset analyses and

visualizations were conducted using R and the ggplot2 package (https://www.R-project.org/).

*TK* **integration site determination**

To determine the integration site of the single copy *TK-Neo* construct, a TK reference

sequence was provided as an additional chromosome during alignment of the WGS reads to the

reference genome (hg38). Reads that mapped to this region were then categorized as discordant

and realigned using GRIDSS (v2.2) [108] to determine site of integration.

**Clustering analysis**

All primary breast tumor whole genome sequencing variant information from International

Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) was downloaded from the ICGC data portal

(https://dcc.icgc.org). SBSs used in these analyses included only C-to-T variants in TC

dinucleotide contexts (TCA, TCC, and TCT) and excluded all mutations in CG motifs due to

potential overlap with spontaneous water-mediated methyl-C deamination. SBSs meeting these

inclusion criteria from all clones expressing A3A, A3B, A3A-E72A, A3B-E255A, and A3H-I were

pooled per condition for this analysis. A matrix comprised of the number of mutations within a

pentanucleotide across all samples within a cancer type was generated, and counts were

normalized to frequency within each cancer type. The resulting matrix was then clustered using

the hclust function in R with the classical Euclidean distance as the distance method for clustering,

which was then plotted as dendrograms. Mutation signatures were calculated using

deconstructSigs as described above.

**Data and code availability**

All alignment files (FASTQ and BAM format) are available through the Sequence Read

Archive under the BioProject accession number PRJNA832427.

**Author contributions**

R.S. Harris conceptualized and designed the overall project. M.C. Jarvis, M.A. Carpenter,

M.R. Brown, K. Richards, P.P. Argyris, and W.L. Brown performed experiments. M.C. Jarvis, M.A.

Carpenter, N.A. Temiz, M.R. Brown, P.P. Argyris, and W.L. Brown did formal data analysis. R.S.

Harris, M.C. Jarvis, and D. Yee contributed to funding acquisition. R.S. Harris and M.C. Jarvis

drafted the manuscript, and all authors except M.C. Jarvis contributed to manuscript proofing and

revision.

**Additional contributions**

We thank Arad Moghadasi and Sofia Moraes for assistance with flow cytometry and

immunofluorescence microscopy, respectively. We also thank the UMN Cytogenetics Core for

expert assistance with metaphase chromosome analyses and Scott McIvor for sharing a plasmid

construct with the MND promoter. The results presented here are in part based upon data

generated by the TCGA Research Network: http://www.cancer.gov/tcga. Additionally, data from

the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) were used in these analyses:

https://dcc.icgc.org/.

**Additional information**

**Funding**

These studies were supported by NCI P01-CA234228, NCI P50-CA247749, and a CPRIT

Established Investigator Recruitment Award. Salary support for MCJ was provided in part by NCI

T32-CA009138 and subsequently NCI F31-CA243306. RSH is an Investigator of the Howard

Hughes Medical Institute and the Ewing Halsell President's Council Distinguished Chair at

University of Texas Health San Antonio. The authors have no competing interests to declare.

**Compliance with ethical standards**

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts to declare.

**References**

1.  Alexandrov LB, Kim J, Haradhvala NJ, Huang MN, Tian Ng AW, Wu Y, et al. The repertoire

of mutational signatures in human cancer. Nature. 2020;578(7793):94-101. Epub

2020/02/07. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-1943-3. PubMed PMID: 32025018; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC7054213.

2.  Venkatesan S, Rosenthal R, Kanu N, McGranahan N, Bartek J, Quezada SA, et al. Perspective:

APOBEC mutagenesis in drug resistance and immune escape in HIV and cancer evolution.

Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology /

ESMO. 2018;29(3):563-72. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy003. PubMed PMID: 29324969;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5888943.

3.  Petljak M, Maciejowski J. Molecular origins of APOBEC-associated mutations in cancer.

DNA      Repair      (Amst).      2020;94:102905.      Epub      2020/08/21.      doi:

10.1016/j.dnarep.2020.102905. PubMed PMID: 32818816; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7494591.

4.  Koh G, Degasperi A, Zou X, Momen S, Nik-Zainal S. Mutational signatures: emerging

concepts, caveats and clinical applications. Nature reviews. 2021;21(10):619-37. Epub

2021/07/29. doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00377-7. PubMed PMID: 34316057.

5.  Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Aparicio SA, Behjati S, Biankin AV, et al.

Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature. 2013;500(7463):415-21.

Epub 2013/08/16. doi: 10.1038/nature12477 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23945592.

6.  Roberts SA, Lawrence MS, Klimczak LJ, Grimm SA, Fargo D, Stojanov P, et al. An APOBEC

cytidine deaminase mutagenesis pattern is widespread in human cancers. Nat Genet.

2013;45(9):970-6. doi: 10.1038/ng.2702. PubMed PMID: 23852170; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMC3789062.

7.  Nik-Zainal S, Alexandrov LB, Wedge DC, Van Loo P, Greenman CD, Raine K, et al.

Mutational processes molding the genomes of 21 breast cancers. Cell. 2012;149(5):979-

93. Epub 2012/05/23. doi: S0092-8674(12)00528-4 [pii] 10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.024.

PubMed PMID: 22608084.

8.  Nik-Zainal S, Davies H, Staaf J, Ramakrishna M, Glodzik D, Zou X, et al. Landscape of

somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome sequences. Nature.

2016;534(7605):47-54. doi: 10.1038/nature17676. PubMed PMID: 27135926; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMC4910866.

9.  Burns MB, Lackey L, Carpenter MA, Rathore A, Land AM, Leonard B, et al. APOBEC3B is

an enzymatic source of mutation in breast cancer. Nature. 2013;494(7437):366-70. Epub

2013/02/08. doi: 10.1038/nature11881 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23389445.

10. Leonard B, Hart SN, Burns MB, Carpenter MA, Temiz NA, Rathore A, et al. APOBEC3B

upregulation and genomic mutation patterns in serous ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res.

2013;73(24):7222-31. Epub 2013/10/25. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1753. PubMed

PMID: 24154874; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3867573.

11. Ito F, Fu Y, Kao SA, Yang H, Chen XS. Family-wide comparative analysis of cytidine and

methylcytidine deamination by eleven human APOBEC proteins. J Mol Biol.

2017;429(12):1787-99. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2017.04.021. PubMed PMID: 28479091;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5530319.

12. Ito F, Yang H, Xiao X, Li SX, Wolfe A, Zirkle B, et al. Understanding the structure,

multimerization, subcellular localization and mC selectivity of a genomic mutator and anti-

HIV factor APOBEC3H. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):3763. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-21955-0.

PubMed PMID: 29491387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5830531.

13. Hultquist JF, Lengyel JA, Refsland EW, LaRue RS, Lackey L, Brown WL, et al. Human and

rhesus APOBEC3D, APOBEC3F, APOBEC3G, and APOBEC3H demonstrate a

conserved capacity to restrict Vif-deficient HIV-1. J Virol. 2011;85(21):11220-34. Epub

2011/08/13. doi: JVI.05238-11 [pii] 10.1128/JVI.05238-11. PubMed PMID: 21835787;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3194973.

14. Carpenter MA, Li M, Rathore A, Lackey L, Law EK, Land AM, et al. Methylcytosine and

normal cytosine deamination by the foreign DNA restriction enzyme APOBEC3A. J Biol

Chem. 2012;287(41):34801-8. Epub 2012/08/17. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.385161 [pii].

PubMed PMID: 22896697; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3464582.

15. Suspène R, Aynaud MM, Vartanian JP, Wain-Hobson S. Efficient deamination of 5-

methylcytidine and 5-substituted cytidine residues in DNA by human APOBEC3A

cytidine deaminase. PloS one. 2013;8(6):e63461. Epub 2013/07/11. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0063461 PONE-D-13-02127 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23840298;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3688686.

16. Shi K, Carpenter MA, Banerjee S, Shaban NM, Kurahashi K, Salamango DJ, et al. Structural

basis for targeted DNA cytosine deamination and mutagenesis by APOBEC3A and

APOBEC3B. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2017;24(2):131-9. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.3344. PubMed

PMID: 27991903; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5296220.

17. Schutsky EK, Nabel CS, Davis AKF, DeNizio JE, Kohli RM. APOBEC3A efficiently

deaminates methylated, but not TET-oxidized, cytosine bases in DNA. Nucleic Acids Res.

2017;45(13):7655-65. Epub 2017/05/05. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx345. PubMed PMID:

28472485; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5570014.

18. Alexandrov LB, Jones PH, Wedge DC, Sale JE, Campbell PJ, Nik-Zainal S, et al. Clock-like

mutational processes in human somatic cells. Nat Genet. 2015;47(12):1402-7. Epub

2015/11/10. doi: 10.1038/ng.3441. PubMed PMID: 26551669; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4783858.

19. Burns MB, Temiz NA, Harris RS. Evidence for APOBEC3B mutagenesis in multiple human

cancers. Nat Genet. 2013;45(9):977-83. Epub 2013/07/16. doi: 10.1038/ng.2701 [pii].

PubMed PMID: 23852168.

20. Sieuwerts AM, Schrijver WA, Dalm SU, de Weerd V, Moelans CB, Ter Hoeve N, et al.

Progressive APOBEC3B mRNA expression in distant breast cancer metastases. PloS one.

2017;12(1):e0171343. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171343. PubMed PMID: 28141868;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5283735 sharing data and materials.

21. Sieuwerts AM, Willis S, Burns MB, Look MP, Meijer-Van Gelder ME, Schlicker A, et al.

Elevated APOBEC3B correlates with poor outcomes for estrogen-receptor-positive breast

cancers. Hormones & cancer. 2014;5(6):405-13. doi: 10.1007/s12672-014-0196-8.

PubMed PMID: 25123150; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4228172.

22. Angus L, Smid M, Wilting SM, van Riet J, Van Hoeck A, Nguyen L, et al. The genomic

landscape of metastatic breast cancer highlights changes in mutation and signature

frequencies. Nat Genet. 2019;51(10):1450-8. Epub 2019/10/02. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-

0507-7. PubMed PMID: 31570896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6858873.

23. Moore L, Cagan A, Coorens THH, Neville MDC, Sanghvi R, Sanders MA, et al. The

mutational landscape of human somatic and germline cells. Nature. 2021;597(7876):381-

6. Epub 2021/08/27. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03822-7. PubMed PMID: 34433962.

24. Harris RS, Dudley JP. APOBECs and virus restriction. Virology. 2015;479-480C:131-45. doi:

10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.012. PubMed PMID: 25818029; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4424171.

25. Simon V, Bloch N, Landau NR. Intrinsic host restrictions to HIV-1 and mechanisms of viral

escape. Nat Immunol. 2015;16(6):546-53. doi: 10.1038/ni.3156. PubMed PMID:

25988886.

26. Silvas TV, Schiffer CA. APOBEC3s: DNA-editing human cytidine deaminases. Protein Sci.

2019;28(9):1552-66. Epub 2019/06/27. doi: 10.1002/pro.3670. PubMed PMID: 31241202;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6699113.

27. Cortez LM, Brown AL, Dennis MA, Collins CD, Brown AJ, Mitchell D, et al. APOBEC3A is

a prominent cytidine deaminase in breast cancer. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(12):e1008545.

Epub 2019/12/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008545. PubMed PMID: 31841499.

28. Westrich JA, Warren CJ, Klausner MJ, Guo K, Liu CW, Santiago ML, et al. Human

papillomavirus 16 E7 stabilizes APOBEC3A protein by inhibiting Cullin 2-dependent

protein degradation. J Virol. 2018;92(7). Epub 2018/01/26. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01318-17.

PubMed PMID: 29367246; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5972886.

29. Kouno T, Silvas TV, Hilbert BJ, Shandilya SMD, Bohn MF, Kelch BA, et al. Crystal structure

of APOBEC3A bound to single-stranded DNA reveals structural basis for cytidine

deamination and specificity. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15024. Epub 2017/04/30. doi:

10.1038/ncomms15024. PubMed PMID: 28452355; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5414352.

30. Green AM, Landry S, Budagyan K, Avgousti DC, Shalhout S, Bhagwat AS, et al. APOBEC3A

damages the cellular genome during DNA replication. Cell Cycle. 2016;15(7):998-1008.

doi: 10.1080/15384101.2016.1152426. PubMed PMID: 26918916.

31. Chen H, Lilley CE, Yu Q, Lee DV, Chou J, Narvaiza I, et al. APOBEC3A is a potent inhibitor

of adeno-associated virus and retrotransposons. Curr Biol. 2006;16(5):480-5. PubMed

PMID: 16527742.

32. Faden DL, Thomas S, Cantalupo PG, Agrawal N, Myers J, DeRisi J. Multi-modality analysis

supports APOBEC as a major source of mutations in head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma. Oral oncology. 2017;74:8-14. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2017.09.002.

PubMed PMID: 29103756.

33. Warren CJ, Xu T, Guo K, Griffin LM, Westrich JA, Lee D, et al. APOBEC3A functions as a

restriction factor of human papillomavirus. J Virol. 2015;89(1):688-702. doi:

10.1128/JVI.02383-14. PubMed PMID: 25355878; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4301161.

34. Taylor BJ, Nik-Zainal S, Wu YL, Stebbings LA, Raine K, Campbell PJ, et al. DNA deaminases

induce break-associated mutation showers with implication of APOBEC3B and 3A in

breast cancer kataegis. Elife. 2013;2:e00534. Epub 2013/04/20. doi: 10.7554/eLife.00534

00534 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23599896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3628087.

35. Verhalen B, Starrett GJ, Harris RS, Jiang M. Functional upregulation of the DNA cytosine

deaminase APOBEC3B by polyomaviruses. J Virol. 2016;90(14):6379-86. doi:

10.1128/JVI.00771-16. PubMed PMID: 27147740.

36. Vieira VC, Leonard B, White EA, Starrett GJ, Temiz NA, Lorenz LD, et al. Human

papillomavirus E6 triggers upregulation of the antiviral and cancer genomic DNA

deaminase APOBEC3B. MBio. 2014;5(6). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02234-14. PubMed PMID:

25538195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4278539.

37. Starrett GJ, Serebrenik AA, Roelofs PA, McCann JL, Verhalen B, Jarvis MC, et al.

Polyomavirus T antigen induces APOBEC3B expression using an LXCXE-dependent and

TP53-independent mechanism. MBio. 2019;10(1). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02690-18. PubMed

PMID: 30723127.

38. Walker BA, Wardell CP, Murison A, Boyle EM, Begum DB, Dahir NM, et al. APOBEC

family mutational signatures are associated with poor prognosis translocations in multiple

myeloma. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6997. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7997. PubMed PMID:

25904160; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4568299.

39. Roelofs PA, Goh CY, Chua BH, Jarvis MC, Stewart TA, McCann JL, et al. Characterization

of the mechanism by which the RB/E2F pathway controls expression of the cancer genomic

DNA deaminase APOBEC3B. Elife. 2020;9. Epub 2020/09/29. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61287.

PubMed PMID: 32985974; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7553775.

40. Salamango DJ, McCann JL, Demir O, Brown WL, Amaro RE, Harris RS. APOBEC3B nuclear

localization requires two distinct N-terminal domain surfaces. J Mol Biol.

2018;430(17):2695-708. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.044. PubMed PMID: 29787764.

41. de Bruin EC, McGranahan N, Mitter R, Salm M, Wedge DC, Yates L, et al. Spatial and

temporal diversity in genomic instability processes defines lung cancer evolution. Science.

2014;346(6206):251-6. doi: 10.1126/science.1253462. PubMed PMID: 25301630.

42. Argyris PP, Wilkinson PE, Jarvis MC, Magliocca KR, Patel MR, Vogel RI, et al. Endogenous

APOBEC3B overexpression characterizes HPV-positive and HPV-negative oral epithelial

dysplasias and head and neck cancers. Modern pathology : an official journal of the United

States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc. 2020. Epub 2020/07/08. doi:

10.1038/s41379-020-0617-x. PubMed PMID: 32632179.

43. Yamazaki H, Shirakawa K, Matsumoto T, Hirabayashi S, Murakawa Y, Kobayashi M, et al.

Endogenous APOBEC3B overexpression constitutively generates DNA substitutions and

deletions in myeloma cells. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):7122. Epub 2019/05/11. doi:

10.1038/s41598-019-43575-y. PubMed PMID: 31073151; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6509214.

44. Yamazaki H, Shirakawa K, Matsumoto T, Kazuma Y, Matsui H, Horisawa Y, et al.

APOBEC3B reporter myeloma cell lines identify DNA damage response pathways leading

to APOBEC3B expression. PloS one. 2020;15(1):e0223463. Epub 2020/01/09. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0223463. PubMed PMID: 31914134; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6948746.

45. Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Alexandrov LB, Petljak M, Butler AP, Bolli N, et al. Association of

a germline copy number polymorphism of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B with burden of

putative APOBEC-dependent mutations in breast cancer. Nat Genet. 2014;46(5):487-91.

doi: 10.1038/ng.2955. PubMed PMID: 24728294; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4137149.

46. Starrett GJ, Luengas EM, McCann JL, Ebrahimi D, Temiz NA, Love RP, et al. The DNA

cytosine deaminase APOBEC3H haplotype I likely contributes to breast and lung cancer

mutagenesis. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12918. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12918. PubMed PMID:

27650891.

47. Chen TW, Lee CC, Liu H, Wu CS, Pickering CR, Huang PJ, et al. APOBEC3A is an oral

cancer prognostic biomarker in Taiwanese carriers of an APOBEC deletion polymorphism.

Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):465. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00493-9. PubMed PMID:

28878238; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5587710.

48. Hix MA, Wong L, Flath B, Chelico L, Cisneros GA. Single-nucleotide polymorphism of the

DNA cytosine deaminase APOBEC3H haplotype I leads to enzyme destabilization and

correlates with lung cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(3):zcaa023. Epub 2020/09/29. doi:

10.1093/narcan/zcaa023. PubMed PMID: 32984821; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7503452.

49. Feng Y, Love RP, Ara A, Baig TT, Adolph MB, Chelico L. Natural polymorphisms and

oligomerization of human APOBEC3H contribute to single-stranded DNA scanning

ability. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(45):27188-203. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.666065. PubMed

PMID: 26396192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4646388.

50. Chan K, Roberts SA, Klimczak LJ, Sterling JF, Saini N, Malc EP, et al. An APOBEC3A

hypermutation signature is distinguishable from the signature of background mutagenesis

by APOBEC3B in human cancers. Nat Genet. 2015;47(9):1067-72. doi: 10.1038/ng.3378.

PubMed PMID: 26258849.

51. Hoopes JI, Cortez LM, Mertz TM, Malc EP, Mieczkowski PA, Roberts SA. APOBEC3A and

APOBEC3B preferentially deaminate the lagging strand template during DNA replication.

Cell Rep. 2016;14(6):1273-82. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.01.021. PubMed PMID:

26832400; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4758883.

52. Buisson R, Langenbucher A, Bowen D, Kwan EE, Benes CH, Zou L, et al. Passenger hotspot

mutations in cancer driven by APOBEC3A and mesoscale genomic features. Science.

2019;364(6447). Epub 2019/06/30. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw2872. PubMed PMID:

31249028; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6731024.

53. Petersen-Mahrt SK, Harris RS, Neuberger MS. AID mutates *E. coli* suggesting a DNA

deamination mechanism for antibody diversification. Nature. 2002;418(6893):99-103.

PubMed PMID: 12097915.

54. Harris RS, Petersen-Mahrt SK, Neuberger MS. RNA editing enzyme APOBEC1 and some of

its homologs can act as DNA mutators. Mol Cell. 2002;10(5):1247-53. PubMed PMID:

12453430.

55. Mayorov VI, Rogozin IB, Adkison LR, Frahm C, Kunkel TA, Pavlov YI. Expression of human

AID in yeast induces mutations in context similar to the context of somatic hypermutation

at G-C pairs in immunoglobulin genes. BMC Immunol. 2005;6(1):10. PubMed PMID:

15949042.

56. Schumacher AJ, Nissley DV, Harris RS. APOBEC3G hypermutates genomic DNA and

inhibits Ty1 retrotransposition in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(28):9854-9.

PubMed PMID: 16000409.

57. Chan K, Sterling JF, Roberts SA, Bhagwat AS, Resnick MA, Gordenin DA. Base damage

within single-strand DNA underlies in vivo hypermutability induced by a ubiquitous

environmental agent. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(12):e1003149. doi:

10.1371/journal.pgen.1003149. PubMed PMID: 23271983; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC3521656.

58. Refsland EW, Hultquist JF, Luengas EM, Ikeda T, Shaban NM, Law EK, et al. Natural

polymorphisms in human APOBEC3H and HIV-1 Vif combine in primary T lymphocytes

to affect viral G-to-A mutation levels and infectivity. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(11):e1004761.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004761. PubMed PMID: 25411794; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4238949.

59. Ebrahimi D, Richards CM, Carpenter MA, Wang J, Ikeda T, Becker JT, et al. Genetic and

mechanistic basis for APOBEC3H alternative splicing, retrovirus restriction, and

counteraction by HIV-1 protease. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4137. doi: 10.1038/s41467-

018-06594-3. PubMed PMID: 30297863; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6175962.

60. Chesarino NM, Emerman M. Polymorphisms in human APOBEC3H differentially regulate

ubiquitination and antiviral activity. Viruses. 2020;12(4). Epub 2020/04/03. doi:

10.3390/v12040378. PubMed PMID: 32235597; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7232234.

61. Brown WL, Law EK, Argyris PP, Carpenter MA, Levin-Klein R, Ranum AN, et al. A rabbit

monoclonal antibody against the antiviral and cancer genomic DNA mutating enzyme

APOBEC3B.    Antibodies    (Basel).    2019;8(3).    Epub    2019/09/24.    doi:

10.3390/antib8030047. PubMed PMID: 31544853.

62. Shaban NM, Shi K, Lauer KV, Carpenter MA, Richards CM, Salamango D, et al. The antiviral

and cancer genomic DNA deaminase APOBEC3H is regulated by an RNA-mediated

dimerization    mechanism.    Mol    Cell.    2018;69(1):75-86    e9.    doi:

10.1016/j.molcel.2017.12.010. PubMed PMID: 29290613.

63. Lackey L, Demorest ZL, Land AM, Hultquist JF, Brown WL, Harris RS. APOBEC3B and

AID have similar nuclear import mechanisms. J Mol Biol. 2012;419(5):301-14. Epub

2012/03/27. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2012.03.011 S0022-2836(12)00266-5 [pii]. PubMed

PMID: 22446380; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3368237.

64. Lackey L, Law EK, Brown WL, Harris RS. Subcellular localization of the APOBEC3 proteins

during mitosis and implications for genomic DNA deamination. Cell Cycle.

2013;12(5):762-72. Epub 2013/02/08. doi: 10.4161/cc.23713 [pii]. PubMed PMID:

23388464; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3610724.

65. Akre MK, Starrett GJ, Quist JS, Temiz NA, Carpenter MA, Tutt AN, et al. Mutation processes

in 293-based clones overexpressing the DNA cytosine deaminase APOBEC3B. PloS one.

2016;11(5):e0155391. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155391. PubMed PMID: 27163364;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4862684.

66. Landry S, Narvaiza I, Linfesty DC, Weitzman MD. APOBEC3A can activate the DNA damage

response and cause cell-cycle arrest. EMBO Rep. 2011;12(5):444-50. Epub 2011/04/05.

doi: embor201146 [pii] 10.1038/embor.2011.46. PubMed PMID: 21460793.

67. Nikkila J, Kumar R, Campbell J, Brandsma I, Pemberton HN, Wallberg F, et al. Elevated

APOBEC3B expression drives a kataegic-like mutation signature and replication stress-related therapeutic vulnerabilities in p53-defective cells. British journal of cancer. 2017;117(1):113-23. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.133. PubMed PMID: 28535155; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5520199.

68. Mussil B, Suspene R, Aynaud MM, Gauvrit A, Vartanian JP, Wain-Hobson S. Human APOBEC3A isoforms translocate to the nucleus and induce DNA double strand breaks leading to cell stress and death. PloS one. 2013;8(8):e73641. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073641. PubMed PMID: 23977391; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3748023.

69. Jarvis MC, Ebrahimi D, Temiz NA, Harris RS. Mutation signatures including APOBEC in cancer cell lines. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2018;2(1). doi: 10.1093/jncics/pky002. PubMed PMID: 29888758; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5993214.

70. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Campbell PJ, Stratton MR. Deciphering signatures of mutational processes operative in human cancer. Cell Rep. 2013;3(1):246-59. Epub 2013/01/16. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.12.008. PubMed PMID: 23318258; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3588146.

71. Law EK, Levin-Klein R, Jarvis MC, Kim H, Argyris PP, Carpenter MA, et al. APOBEC3A catalyzes mutation and drives carcinogenesis in vivo. J Exp Med. 2020;217(12). Epub 2020/09/02. doi: 10.1084/jem.20200261. PubMed PMID: 32870257.

72. Jalili P, Bowen D, Langenbucher A, Park S, Aguirre K, Corcoran RB, et al. Quantification of ongoing APOBEC3A activity in tumor cells by monitoring RNA editing at hotspots. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):2971. Epub 2020/06/14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16802-8. PubMed PMID: 32532990; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7293259.

73. Langenbucher A, Bowen D, Sakhtemani R, Bournique E, Wise JF, Zou L, et al. An extended

APOBEC3A mutation signature in cancer. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1602. Epub 2021/03/13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21891-0. PubMed PMID: 33707442; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7952602.

74. Sharma S, Patnaik SK, Taggart RT, Kannisto ED, Enriquez SM, Gollnick P, et al. APOBEC3A cytidine deaminase induces RNA editing in monocytes and macrophages. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6881. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7881. PubMed PMID: 25898173; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4411297.

75. Roberts SA, Sterling J, Thompson C, Harris S, Mav D, Shah R, et al. Clustered mutations in yeast and in human cancers can arise from damaged long single-strand DNA regions. Mol Cell. 2012;46(4):424-35. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.03.030. PubMed PMID: 22607975; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3361558.

76. Henderson S, Chakravarthy A, Su X, Boshoff C, Fenton TR. APOBEC-mediated cytosine deamination links PIK3CA helical domain mutations to human papillomavirus-driven tumor development. Cell Rep. 2014;7(6):1833-41. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.05.012. PubMed PMID: 24910434.

77. Zou X, Koh GCC, Nanda AS, Degasperi A, Urgo K, Roumeliotis TI, et al. A systematic CRISPR screen defines mutational mechanisms underpinning signatures caused by replication errors and endogenous DNA damage. Nat Cancer. 2021;2(6):643-57. Epub 2021/06/25. doi: 10.1038/s43018-021-00200-0. PubMed PMID: 34164627; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7611045.

78. Zou X, Owusu M, Harris R, Jackson SP, Loizou JI, Nik-Zainal S. Validating the concept of mutational signatures with isogenic cell models. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1744. Epub 2018/05/03. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04052-8. PubMed PMID: 29717121; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5931590.

79. DeWeerd RA, Nemeth E, Poti A, Petryk N, Chen CL, Hyrien O, et al. Prospectively defined

patterns of APOBEC3A mutagenesis are prevalent in human cancers. Cell Rep.

2022;38(12):110555. Epub 2022/03/24. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110555. PubMed

PMID: 35320711.

80. Warren CJ, Westrich JA, Doorslaer KV, Pyeon D. Roles of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B in

human papillomavirus infection and disease progression. Viruses. 2017;9(8). doi:

10.3390/v9080233. PubMed PMID: 28825669; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5580490.

81. Leonard B, McCann JL, Starrett GJ, Kosyakovsky L, Luengas EM, Molan AM, et al. The

PKC/NF-kappaB signaling pathway induces APOBEC3B expression in multiple human

cancers. Cancer Res. 2015;75(21):4538-47. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2171-T.

PubMed PMID: 26420215; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4631676.

82. Periyasamy M, Patel H, Lai CF, Nguyen VT, Nevedomskaya E, Harrod A, et al. APOBEC3B-

mediated cytidine deamination is required for estrogen receptor action in breast cancer.

Cell Rep. 2015;13(1):108-21. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.08.066. PubMed PMID:

26411678; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4597099.

83. Periyasamy M, Singh AK, Gemma C, Kranjec C, Farzan R, Leach DA, et al. p53 controls

expression of the DNA deaminase APOBEC3B to limit its potential mutagenic activity in

cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(19):11056-69. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx721. PubMed

PMID: 28977491; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5737468.

84. Cho RJ, Alexandrov LB, den Breems NY, Atanasova VS, Farshchian M, Purdom E, et al.

APOBEC mutation drives early-onset squamous cell carcinomas in recessive dystrophic

epidermolysis bullosa. Sci Transl Med. 2018;10(455). doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aas9668.

PubMed PMID: 30135250.

85. Oh S, Bournique E, Bowen D, Jalili P, Sanchez A, Ward I, et al. Genotoxic stress and viral

infection induce transient expression of APOBEC3A and pro-inflammatory genes through

two distinct pathways. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4917. Epub 2021/08/15. doi:

10.1038/s41467-021-25203-4. PubMed PMID: 34389714; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC8363607.

86. Maruyama W, Shirakawa K, Matsui H, Matsumoto T, Yamazaki H, Sarca AD, et al. Classical

NF-kappaB pathway is responsible for APOBEC3B expression in cancer cells. Biochem

Biophys Res Commun. 2016;478(3):1466-71. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.08.148. PubMed

PMID: 27577680.

87. Petljak M, Alexandrov LB, Brammeld JS, Price S, Wedge DC, Grossmann S, et al.

Characterizing mutational signatures in human cancer cell lines reveals episodic APOBEC

mutagenesis. Cell. 2019;176(6):1282-94 e20. Epub 2019/03/09. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.012. PubMed PMID: 30849372; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6424819.

88. Petljak M, Dananberg A, Chu K, Bergstrom EN, Striepen J, von Morgen P, et al. Mechanisms

of APOBEC3 mutagenesis in human cancer cells. Nature. 2022;607(7920):799-807. Epub

20220720. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04972-y. PubMed PMID: 35859169; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC9329121.

89. Law EK, Sieuwerts AM, LaPara K, Leonard B, Starrett GJ, Molan AM, et al. The DNA

cytosine deaminase APOBEC3B promotes tamoxifen resistance in ER-positive breast

cancer. Sci Adv. 2016;2(10):e1601737. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1601737. PubMed PMID:

27730215.

90. Chen Z, Wen W, Bao J, Kuhs KL, Cai Q, Long J, et al. Integrative genomic analyses of

APOBEC-mutational signature, expression and germline deletion of APOBEC3 genes, and

immunogenicity in multiple cancer types. BMC medical genomics. 2019;12(1):131. Epub

2019/09/20. doi: 10.1186/s12920-019-0579-3. PubMed PMID: 31533728; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6751822.

91. Glaser AP, Fantini D, Wang Y, Yu Y, Rimar KJ, Podojil JR, et al. APOBEC-mediated

mutagenesis in urothelial carcinoma is associated with improved survival, mutations in

DNA damage response genes, and immune response. Oncotarget. 2018;9(4):4537-48.

Epub 2018/02/13. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.23344. PubMed PMID: 29435122; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5796993.

92. Middlebrooks CD, Banday AR, Matsuda K, Udquim KI, Onabajo OO, Paquin A, et al.

Association of germline variants in the APOBEC3 region with cancer risk and enrichment

with APOBEC-signature mutations in tumors. Nat Genet. 2016;48(11):1330-8. Epub

2016/10/28. doi: 10.1038/ng.3670. PubMed PMID: 27643540; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6583788.

93. Cannataro VL, Gaffney SG, Sasaki T, Issaeva N, Grewal NKS, Grandis JR, et al. APOBEC-

induced mutations and their cancer effect size in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

Oncogene. 2019;38(18):3475-87. Epub 2019/01/17. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0657-6.

PubMed PMID: 30647454; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6499643.

94. Driscoll CB, Schuelke MR, Kottke T, Thompson JM, Wongthida P, Tonne JM, et al.

APOBEC3B-mediated corruption of the tumor cell immunopeptidome induces heteroclitic

neoepitopes for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):790. Epub 2020/02/09.

doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14568-7. PubMed PMID: 32034147; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7005822.

95. Tsuboi M, Yamane A, Horiguchi J, Yokobori T, Kawabata-Iwakawa R, Yoshiyama S, et al.

APOBEC3B high expression status is associated with aggressive phenotype in Japanese

breast cancers. Breast cancer. 2016;23(5):780-8. Epub 2015/10/20. doi: 10.1007/s12282-

015-0641-8. PubMed PMID: 26476745.

96. Uphoff CC, Drexler HG. Detecting mycoplasma contamination in cell cultures by polymerase

chain reaction. Methods Mol Biol. 2011;731:93-103. Epub 2011/04/26. doi: 10.1007/978-

1-61779-080-5_8. PubMed PMID: 21516400.

97. Stenglein MD, Burns MB, Li M, Lengyel J, Harris RS. APOBEC3 proteins mediate the

clearance of foreign DNA from human cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17(2):222-9.

PubMed PMID: 20062055.

98. Refsland EW, Stenglein MD, Shindo K, Albin JS, Brown WL, Harris RS. Quantitative

profiling of the full *APOBEC3* mRNA repertoire in lymphocytes and tissues: implications

for HIV-1 restriction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:4274-84. PubMed PMID: 20308164.

99. Wang J, Becker JT, Shi K, Lauer KV, Salamango DJ, Aihara H, et al. The role of RNA in

HIV-1 Vif-mediated degradation of APOBEC3H. J Mol Biol. 2019;431(24):5019-31.

Epub 2019/10/20. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.014. PubMed PMID: 31628948; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6948013.

100.    Shi K, Carpenter MA, Kurahashi K, Harris RS, Aihara H. Crystal structure of the DNA

deaminase APOBEC3B catalytic domain. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(47):28120-30. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M115.679951. PubMed PMID: 26416889; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4653671.

101.    Shaban NM, Yan R, Shi K, Moraes SN, Cheng AZ, Carpenter MA, et al. Cryo-EM

structure of the EBV ribonucleotide reductase BORF2 and mechanism of APOBEC3B

inhibition. Science Advances. 2022;In press.

102.    McCann JL, Cristini A, Law EK, Lee SY, Tellier M, Carpenter MA, et al. R-loop

homeostasis and cancer mutagenesis promoted by the DNA cytosine deaminase

APOBEC3B. BioRxiv. 2021.

103.   McNamara RP, McCann JL, Gudipaty SA, D'Orso I. Transcription factors mediate the

enzymatic disassembly of promoter-bound 7SK snRNP to locally recruit P-TEFb for

transcription elongation. Cell Rep. 2013;5(5):1256-68. Epub 2013/12/10. doi:

10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.003. PubMed PMID: 24316072; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3882317.

104.   Gyori BM, Venkatachalam G, Thiagarajan PS, Hsu D, Clement MV. OpenComet: an

automated tool for comet assay image analysis. Redox Biol. 2014;2:457-65. Epub

2014/03/14. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2013.12.020. PubMed PMID: 24624335; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC3949099.

105.   Chiang C, Layer RM, Faust GG, Lindberg MR, Rose DB, Garrison EP, et al. SpeedSeq:

ultra-fast personal genome analysis and interpretation. Nat Methods. 2015;12(10):966-8.

Epub 2015/08/11. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3505. PubMed PMID: 26258291; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4589466.

106.   Blokzijl F, Janssen R, van Boxtel R, Cuppen E. MutationalPatterns: comprehensive

genome-wide analysis of mutational processes. Genome medicine. 2018;10(1):33. Epub

2018/04/27. doi: 10.1186/s13073-018-0539-0. PubMed PMID: 29695279; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5922316.

107.   Rosenthal R, McGranahan N, Herrero J, Taylor BS, Swanton C. DeconstructSigs:

delineating mutational processes in single tumors distinguishes DNA repair deficiencies

and patterns of carcinoma evolution. Genome Biol. 2016;17:31. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-

0893-4. PubMed PMID: 26899170; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4762164.

108.   Cameron DL, Schroder J, Penington JS, Do H, Molania R, Dobrovic A, et al. GRIDSS:

sensitive and specific genomic rearrangement detection using positional de Bruijn graph

assembly. Genome Res. 2017;27(12):2050-60. Epub 2017/11/04. doi:

10.1101/gr.222109.117. PubMed PMID: 29097403; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5741059.

1148

    **Main and Supplementary Figure Legends**

1150

**Figure 1. A3 activity in the HAP1-TK-M9 mutation reporter system.**

(**A**) Schematic of the construction of the HAP1-TK-M9 system and overall experimental workflow

(see **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1** for representative HAP1-TK-M9 karyotype images).

(**B**) *A3* mRNA levels in parental HAP1 cells in comparison to the HAP1-TK-M9 daughter clone by

RT-qPCR (*** = $p < 0.001$, NS = non-significant, Welch's t-test).

(**C-E**) Immunoblots of A3A, A3B, and A3H following transduction of HAP1-TK-M9 cells. Tubulin

(Tub) is a loading control (see **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2** for anti-A3A mAb validation).

The lower images show ssDNA deaminase activity of extracts from the same cells (S, substrate;

P, product).

(**F**) Immunofluorescent microscopy images of HAP1-TK-M9 cells expressing the indicated A3

enzymes (see methods for primary antibodies). A3 staining is green, $\gamma$-H2AX staining is red, and

nuclei are blue from Hoechst. A3A is cell wide, A3B is predominantly nuclear, and A3H-I and -II

are cell wide with nucleolar concentrations (scale bar = 25 µm). The lower row shows

representative COMET images visualized with SYBR Gold (1:10,000) in the GFP channel.

(**G-H**) Quantification of $\gamma$-H2AX staining and COMET moments (each data point represents an

independent cell; p-values determined with Welch's t-test).

1167

**Figure 1 – Supplementary Figure 1. HAP1-TK-M9 karyotype analysis.**

Representative G-band and spectral karyotype (SKY) images of HAP1-TK-M9 M-phase

chromosomes showing a near diploid DNA content and previously reported aberrations including

the reciprocal chromosome 9:22 translocation (Philadelphia chromosome) characteristic of CML

tumor cells. The Y-chromosome is missing, as reported previously for the KBM7 parent line of

HAP1.

1174

**Figure 1 – Supplementary Figure 2. Validation of a custom rabbit-anti human APOBEC3A**

**mAb UMN-13.**

(**A**) Schematic of human A3A, A3B, and A3G indicating the unique N-terminal epitope used here

to generate an A3A-specific mAb UMN-13. The schematic also shows the C-terminal epitope

used previously to generate the versatile 5210-87-13 mAb that recognizes these three enzymes.

(**B**) Comparative immunoblots of whole cell extracts from 293T cells expressing each of the 7

human A3 family members and probed with either our custom rabbit anti-human A3A mAb UMN-

13 (left) or a commercial anti-HA mAb as an expression control (right). The positions of the full-

length proteins are indicated by red asterisks.

(**C**) Comparative immunoblots of whole cell extracts from the monocytic cell line THP-1 and a

clonal derivative lacking A3A-through-A3G (ΔA-G) plus/minus treatment with LPS/IFN-α to induce

expression of multiple A3s including A3A and A3G. The UMN-13 mAb blot on the left shows a

single band representing full-length A3A (starting at Met1), and the 5210-87-13 mAb blot on the

right shows A3G (strong top band), A3B (weak band just below A3G), and both A3A translation

products (strong band for full-length A3A starting at Met1 and a faster-migrating band for the

shorter isoform starting at Met13).

(**D**) IF microscopy images of 293T cells expressing A3A-mCherry, A3B-mCherry, or A3G-

mCherry. Only the A3A construct is detected by the UMN-13 mAb as indicated by green signal in

the same cells and cellular compartments as the red signal.

1194

**Figure 2. Characterization of *TK* mutations in ganciclovir-resistant clones.**

(**A**) A dot plot of Gan$^R$ colonies generated under the indicated A3 expression conditions. Each

data point represents the number of Gan$^R$ mutants in a single clonal culture (mean +/- SD shown

with significance assessed using Welch's t-test).

(**B**) Schematics representing all *TK* mutations observed under the indicated A3 expression

conditions (APOBEC3 signature T(C>T/G)W mutations in red, other SBSs in black, and InDels in

blue; see **Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1** for schematics of individual *TK* mutants for these and

vector control conditions). Q8X and R212K mutation hotspots are labeled.

(**C-D**) Pentanucleotide logos depicting -2 and +2 sequence preferences flanking all T(C>T/G)W

mutations observed under the indicated conditions.

1205

**Figure 2 – Supplementary Figure 1. Mutations in TK genes derived from ganciclovir-**

**resistant clones.**

Schematics of TK mutation frequencies in ganciclovir-resistant clones per individual clone.

T[C>G/T]W mutations are shown in red, and other SBSs are shown in black. InDels are shown in

blue. Composite sequence mutation schematics are shown below each list of sequences per

condition.

1212

**Figure 3. Single base substitution mutation signatures in ganciclovir-resistant clones by**

**whole genome sequencing.**

(**A**) Trinucleotide profiles of pooled SBSs across all clones sequenced for each listed experimental

condition (A3A WT, n=6; A3A E72A, n=2; A3B WT, n=5; A3B E255A, n=2. APOBEC3 signature

T(C>T/G)W mutations are highlighted by red dashed-line boxes. See **Figure 3 – Figure**

**Supplement 1 and 2** for mRNA and protein level expression confirmation, respectively, and

**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4** for SBS profiles from each WGS).

(**B**) Pentanucleotide logos depicting -2, +1 and +2 sequence preferences flanking all C-to-T and

C-to-G mutated TC motifs in WGS from HAP1-TK-M9 cells expressing A3A or A3B in comparison

to aggregate controls (catalytic mutants, A3H-I, and GFP only conditions, which do not show

evidence for APOBEC3 signature mutations; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4**). The genome-

wide distribution of nucleobases flanking TC is also shown for comparison.

1225

**Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 1. *A3* mRNA expression in the HAP1-TK-M9 system.**

(**A**) *A3A*, *A3B*, and *A3H* mRNA expression levels relative to those of the housekeeping gene *TBP*

for the indicated HAP1-TK-M9 conditions (RNA-seq FKPM from n$\geq$2 Gan$^R$ clones for each

condition; mean +/- SD shown). RNA-seq data from A3 signature-high breast cancer cell lines

(BT-474 and MDA-MB-453), CCLE breast-derived cell lines (n=52), and TGCA primary breast

cancers (n=1093) are presented alongside for comparison (mean +/- SD).

(**B**) A heatmap depicting mean expression levels of all 7 human *APOBEC3* family members, in

addition to *APOBEC1*, *APOBEC2*, *APOBEC3*, and *AICDA*, relative to those of the housekeeping

gene *TBP* (RNA-seq values are FKPM; n$\geq$2 for each condition to provide matching data sets for

the Gan$^R$ clones subjected to WGS). Endogenous *A3C* provides a robust internal control.

1236

1237     **Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 2. A3 protein expression in Gan$^R$ clones.**

1238     (**A**) Immunoblots of A3A, A3B, and A3H in the indicated Gan$^R$ clones. Tubulin (TUB) is a loading

1239     control.

1240     (**B**) Deaminase activity of WCE on ssDNA from the same clones (S, substrate; P, product).

1241

1242     **Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 3. Numbers of single base substitution mutations in**

1243     **individual ganciclovir-resistant clones by whole genome sequencing.**

1244     (**A-B**) Dot plots showing total numbers of cytosine mutations in NCN and TCW motifs,

1245     respectively, in WGS from individual Gan$^R$ granddaughter clones (P-values using Welch's T-test).

1246

1247     **Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 4. Single base substitution mutation profiles of individual**

1248     **ganciclovir-resistant clones by whole genome sequencing.**

1249     Trinucleotide profiles of the single base substitution mutations found in WGSs from the indicated

1250     Gan$^R$ clones (clone names and total SBS numbers are indicated to the right in each profile).

1251     Composite profiles for A3A, A3B, and corresponding catalytic mutants are shown in **Figure 3**.

1252

**Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 5. APOBEC3 mutation signature extracted from WGSs**

**using complementary methods.**

(**A**) Mutation signature profiles extracted from Gan[R] clone WGS using an NMF-based approach.

For each clone, all SBSs resolved into 2 signatures - an APOBEC-like signature shown in red and

a background signature in blue. APOBEC3 mutation enrichment scores are shown above each

bar, with significantly enriched values shown in red (BH FDR corrected q-value < 0.05). The

dashed line represents the average level of APOBEC3 signature mutations observed in the two

eGFP control clones (*i.e.*, background signal).

(**B**) Trinucleotide profiles of the two signatures derived from NMF in panel A clearly showing

APOBEC3 signature T(C>T/G)W mutations.

1263

1264 **Figure 3 – Supplementary Figure 6. Indel landscape of sequenced granddaughter clones.**

1265 Histograms showing indel types and proportions in WGS from the indicated HAP1 granddaughter

1266 clones.

1267

**Figure 4. Mesoscale properties of A3A and A3B *in vitro* and in the HAP1-TK-M9 system.**

(**A-B**) DNA deamination kinetics of A3A and A3B using *SDHB* and *NUP93* hairpin substrates in

comparison to corresponding linear controls made by scrambling the 5' or 3' portion of the stem,

respectively. See text for full description and **Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1** for a genome-

wide analysis.

(**C**) Rainfall plots of genome-wide intermutation distances showing APOBEC3 signature kataegic

tracts (red arrows) in representative A3A- and A3B-expressing Gan[R] clones (C>T mutations are

red, C>G black, other SBS gray).

1276

**Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1. Hairpin mutation analysis in HAP1-TK-M9 WGS data.**

Pie charts representing the fraction of APOBEC3 signature mutations and other types of single

base substitution mutations found in predicted hairpin substrates (red) versus non-hairpin

contexts (grey). The total number of APOBEC3 signature T(C>T/G)W or other SBS mutations is

shown in the center of each pie chart. Hairpin stems and loops were defined liberally as any

feature between 3 and 100 nucleotides, and a hairpin is considered mutated if it has a SBS

mutation in any position of the loop region.

1284

**Figure 5. A composite origin of APOBEC3 signature mutations in breast cancer.**

An unsupervised clustering analysis of similarity between the pentanucleotide SBS profiles from

WGSs of the A3A, A3B, and control Gan[R] clones described here versus primary breast tumor

whole-genome sequencing data (ICGC, n=794). The APOBEC3 signature is represented by both

enrichment score and SBS2+13 (red), HRD signature as SBS3 (blue), and ageing signature as

SBS1 (gray).

1291

1292

# Figure 1

Figure 1 - Supp 1

# Figure 1 - Supp 2

Figure 2

# Figure 2 - Supp 1

Figure 3

# Figure 3 - Supp 1

# Figure 3 - Supp 2

**A**

|  | A3A | | | | | A3A E72A | | A3B | | | | | A3B E255A | | A3H-I | | Vec. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | D06 | D07 | D09 | D12 | D21 | D28 | D29 | D51 | D52 | D54 | D59 | D62 | D76 | D77 | D99 | D100 | D168 | D169 |

15 kDa — A3A

170 kDa —
130 kDa —
100 kDa —
55 kDa —
40 kDa —
35 kDa — A3B

25 kDa — A3H

55 kDa —
40 kDa — TUB

**B**

|  | Control | A3A | | | | | A3A E72A | | A3B | | | | | A3B E255A | | A3H-I | | Vec. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  | D06 | D07 | D09 | D12 | D21 | D28 | D29 | D51 | D52 | D54 | D59 | D62 | D76 | D77 | D99 | D100 | D168 | D169 |

S
P

Figure 3 Supp 3

A

B

# Figure 3 - Supp 4

# Figure 3 - Supp 5

## A

## B

# Figure 3 Supp. 6

# Figure 4

# Figure 4 - Supp 1

Figure 5