Mutational impact of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B in a human cell line and comparisons to breast cancer

Running title: Mutation signatures of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B

Michael A. Carpenter[1,2,3,4,5,*], Nuri A. Temiz[2,6,*], Mahmoud A. Ibrahim[4,*], Matthew C. Jarvis[1,2], Margaret R. Brown[1,2], Prokopios P. Argyris[1,2,3], William L. Brown[1,2], Douglas Yee[2,7], Reuben S. Harris[1,2,3,4,5,8]

[1] Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Biophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[2] Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[4] Department of Biochemistry and Structural Biology, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA

[5] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA

[6] Institute for Health Informatics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[7] Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

[8] Correspondence to Reuben S. Harris, email: rsh@uthscsa.edu

[*] Equal contributions

Key Words: APOBEC mutation signature; APOBEC3A; APOBEC3B; cancer mutagenesis; DNA damage response; DNA repair; human mutation reporter system; mutation signatures in cancer

Manuscript Information: 96 character main title; 44 character short title; 298 word abstract; 5900 word main text (excluding methods, references, and legends); 5 Main Figures, 14 Supplementary Figures, and 1 Supplementary Table.

Abstract

A prominent source of mutation in cancer is single-stranded DNA cytosine deamination by

cellular APOBEC3 enzymes, which results in signature C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in TCA and

TCT motifs. Although multiple enzymes have been implicated, reports conflict and it is unclear

which protein(s) are responsible. Here we report the development of a selectable system to

quantify genome mutation and demonstrate its utility by comparing the mutagenic activities of

three leading candidates - APOBEC3A, APOBEC3B, and APOBEC3H. The human cell line,

HAP1, is engineered to express the *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene of HSV-1, which confers

sensitivity to ganciclovir. Expression of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B, but not catalytic mutant

controls or APOBEC3H, triggers increased frequencies of *TK* mutation and nearly

indistinguishable TC-biased cytosine mutation profiles in the selectable *TK* reporter gene. Whole

genome sequences from *TK* mutant clones enabled an analysis of thousands of single base

substitution mutations and extraction of local sequence preferences with APOBEC3A preferring

YTCW motifs over 70% of the time and APOBEC3B just under 50% of the time (Y=C/T; W=A/T).

Signature comparisons with breast tumor whole genome sequences indicate that most

malignancies manifest intermediate percentages of APOBEC3 signature mutations in YTCW

motifs, mostly between 50 and 70%, suggesting that both enzymes are contributing in a

combinatorial manner to the overall mutation landscape. Although the vast majority of

APOBEC3A- and APOBEC3B-induced single base substitution mutations occur outside of

predicted chromosomal DNA hairpin structures, whole genome sequence analyses and

supporting biochemical studies also indicate that both enzymes are capable of deaminating the

single-stranded loop regions of DNA hairpins at elevated rates relative to control conditions.

These studies combine to help resolve a long-standing etiologic debate on the source of

APOBEC3 signature mutations in cancer and indicate that future diagnostic and therapeutic

efforts should focus on both APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B.

Introduction

Over the past decade, advances in DNA sequencing technologies and bioinformatics have

helped to deconvolute a multitude of mutational processes that contribute to the genesis and

evolution of cancer (see pan-cancer analysis by [1] and reviews by [2-4]). Through these

approaches and complementary wet lab experiments, the APOBEC3 family of single-stranded

(ss)DNA cytosine deaminases has emerged as one of the top three sources of single base

substitution (SBS) mutation in cancer with particularly large contributions to tumors of the bladder,

breast, cervix, lung, and head/neck. APOBEC3 signature mutations in cancer are defined as C-

to-T transitions and C-to-G transversions in 5'-TCW motifs (W = A or T; SBS2 and SBS13,

respectively) [1, 5-10]. This definition is conservative because several APOBEC3 enzymes can

also accommodate 5'-CG and 5'-methyl-CG ssDNA substrates [9-17], which can also lead to C-

to-T transition mutations and overlap with the mutation signature attributable to spontaneous

water-mediated deamination of cytosine and methyl-cytosine nucleotides. Spontaneous, water

mediated methyl-cytosine deamination is a clock-like mutation process that occurs predominantly

in 5'-methyl-CG motifs and associates positively with a patient's biological age (ageing signature

[18]), whereas APOBEC3-catalyzed deamination is absent in most normal tissues, not associated

with ageing, present in many primary tumors, and often enriched in metastases (APOBEC3

signature [1, 6, 8, 9, 18-23]).

The human APOBEC3 (A3) family is comprised of seven different enzymes with extensive

homology and overlapping activities (reviewed by [24-26]), and it is unclear how much (or little)

each contributes to the composite APOBEC3 mutation signature evident in tumor DNA

sequences. The bulk of evidence favors two enzymes, APOBEC3A (A3A) and APOBEC3B (A3B),

though to wildly different degrees depending on the study, and additional work has also implicated

APOBEC3H (A3H). Evidence for A3A includes an intrinsic preference for 5'-TC substrates, high

catalytic activity (highest of any human DNA deaminase), cell-wide localization, DNA damage

responses, induction by the tumor virus HPV, and positive correlations between mRNA levels and

tumor APOBEC3 mutation loads [11, 14, 16, 27-34]. Evidence for A3B includes all the same

points except this enzyme is several-fold less active, localizes constitutively to the nuclear

compartment, is induced by multiple DNA tumor viruses (herpesviruses, papillomaviruses, and

polyomaviruses), and associates at both mRNA and protein levels with clinical outcomes [9, 11,

16, 19-21, 33-44]. Importantly, however, the APOBEC3 mutation signature can still accumulate

in tumors that lack *A3B* due to a naturally occurring full gene deletion allele [45-47]. This

observation with *A3B*-null tumors helped implicate a cell-wide, 5'-TC preferring variant of A3H

(haplotype I) in contributing to the overall APOBEC3 mutation signature [46, 48, 49]. An important

additional consideration is that A3A and A3B have been reported to exhibit broader

tetranucleotide preferences upon expression in yeast, 5'-YTCW vs RTCW, respectively [50-52].

Here, we develop a human cellular system for mutation research and use it to compare

the mutagenic potential of A3A, A3B, and A3H. The human cell line HAP1 was engineered to

express a single copy of the HSV-1 *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene, which enables the drug

ganciclovir to be used to select rare *TK* mutants and quantify mutation frequencies. Moreover,

the *TK* gene can be amplified readily from ganciclovir-resistant (Gan^R) clones by high-fidelity PCR

and sequenced to provide initial assessments of mutation spectra (signatures) prior to

undertaking additional experiments such as whole genome sequencing (WGS). Using this

system, only expression of A3A and A3B cause significant increases in Gan^R mutation

frequencies. Sanger sequences from panels of individual *TK* mutant clones show a clear TC-

biased mutation pattern including two hotspots with no obvious hairpin structure. WGS of *TK*

mutant clones demonstrates that both A3A and A3B can generate the APOBEC3 mutation

signatures SBS2 and SBS13. These single base substitution mutations are mostly dispersed

(non-clustered) throughout the genomes and both enzymes exhibit similar frequencies of mutation

in TCW motifs in chromosomal DNA predicted to form non-hairpin versus hairpin structures.

However, in comparison to catalytic mutant controls, both enzymes exhibit higher frequencies of

APOBEC3 signature mutation in the single-stranded DNA loop regions of predicted hairpin

structures. WGS also shows that A3A has a strong (slightly over 70%) preference for triggering

cytosine mutations in YTCW sequence motifs, whereas A3B has a weaker (slightly under 50%)

preference for the same motif. In comparison, APOBEC3 mutation signature-enriched primary

breast cancers show predominantly intermediate frequencies of mutations in YTCW motifs,

between 50% and 70%, suggesting involvement from both enzymes.

Results

HAP1-TK-M9 – a human cellular system to report DNA damage and mutagenesis

Model organisms such as *E. coli* and yeast are powerful systems for studying mutagens

including DNA deaminases (*e.g.*, original studies with A3 enzymes [34, 53-57]). However, these

model organisms only recapitulate a subset of DNA repair and regulatory mechanisms found in

human cells. We therefore sought to combine strengths of both approaches by introducing a

single copy of a selectable reporter, the HSV-1 *thymidine kinase* (*TK*) gene, into the genome of

the human cell line HAP1. Expression of the thymidine kinase (TK) protein confers exquisite

sensitivity to the drug ganciclovir and, as for many antimicrobial agents, only *TK*-mutant,

ganciclovir-resistant (Gan[R]) cells survive selection by this drug. Gan[R] mutants can be

characterized rapidly by conventional Sanger DNA sequencing because *TK* is a single open

reading frame. Moreover, once informative Gan[R] mutants are revealed by selection, secondary

analyses including WGS can be used to uncover additional and potentially global features of a

given mutation process.

The overall experimental workflow is shown in **Figure 1A**. To generate a "mother" clone

of the commercially available HAP1 cell line, Sleeping Beauty (SB)-mediated transposition was

used to introduce a single copy of a *TK-Neo* cassette into the genome [9]. Neo[R] clones were

selected with G418, expanded into healthy clonal populations (ca. 10^6 cells/ml), and screened for

ganciclovir sensitivity (Gan[S]). One mother clone, HAP1-TK-M9, was selected for further studies

because it is Gan[S], it is mostly diploid (apart from pre-existing chromosome aberrations), it

cultures, engineers, and clones well (below), and it has a favorable *A3* expression profile (**Figure**

1B; **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1**). In particular, RT-qPCR measurements showed that its

A3A and *A3B* mRNA levels are lower than those of the original parent line and that *A3H* mRNA

levels are very low and near the detection threshold (**Figure 1B**). Genomic DNA sequencing also

revealed that this cell line's only *A3H* allele is haplotype III (ΔAsn15), which is known to produce

an unstable protein [58-60].

Functionality of human A3s expressed from MLV-based constructs

Plasmid constructs were assembled for these studies in which human *A3* expression is

driven by a *MND* promoter from within an MLV-based retroviral construct. An additional feature

of these constructs is a downstream puromycin resistance cassette, which facilitates selection of

expressing cells. The functionality of each construct was assessed by transfection into 293T cells

and, following 24-48 hrs incubation, protein analysis by immunoblotting and ssDNA deaminase

activity assays (**Figure 1C-E**; custom rabbit anti-human A3A monoclonal antibody validation

described in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2**). Each A3 expressed at the expected kilodalton

size and only the wildtype enzymes exhibited catalytic activity. A3H-I was expressed at lower

levels than A3H-II and, accordingly, had less ssDNA deaminase activity in soluble extracts

(**Figure 1E**), in agreement with prior reports [58-60].

We next used immunofluorescent (IF) microscopy to examine subcellular localization and

DNA damage responses triggered by expression of each enzyme 3 days post-transduction into

HAP1-TK-M9 cells (without puromycin selection). A3A appeared cell-wide and associated with a

large increase in overall staining of the DNA damage marker γ-H2AX as well as an increase in

individual γ-H2AX foci (representative images in **Figure 1F**, quantification in **Figure 1G-H**, and

additional images in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3**). Expression of an enzymatically inactive

mutant, A3A-E72A, exhibited vector control levels of γ-H2AX staining. In comparison, neither

expression of wildtype A3B nor A3H (haplotype I or II) was able to trigger statistically significant

increases in γ-H2AX levels in HAP1-TK-M9 cells (representative images in **Figure 1F**,

quantification in **Figure 1G-H**, and additional images in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3**). These

results were unexpected given that A3B localization is predominantly nuclear, and A3H

(haplotypes I and II) can also access the nuclear compartment with some accumulation in nucleoli

(**Figure 1F** and additional images in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3**). These results differed

from prior reports on A3B and A3H overexpression causing elevated γ-H2AX levels [9, 43, 48,

61], perhaps because expression levels here are lower with the *MND* promoter (versus strong

Tet/Dox-inducible systems) and/or because the HAP1 system is somehow unique and more

tolerant/adaptable to expression of these ssDNA deaminases. However, HAP1-TK-M9 cells are

indeed capable of a canonical DNA damage response, as demonstrated by γ-H2AX accumulation

following treatment with cisplatin (*cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum II; representative images in

Figure 1F, quantification in **Figure 1G-H**, and additional images in **Figure 1 – Figure**

Supplement 3).

To extend these results to a different cell line, our MLV-based A3 expression plasmids

were transfected transiently into HeLa cells and, after 24 hrs incubation, subjected to additional

analysis by IF microscopy. As with HAP1-TK-M9 cells, A3A appeared cell-wide (except nucleoli),

A3B predominantly nuclear, and A3H haplotype II cytoplasmic with nucleolar accumulations

(**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4A**). A3H haplotype I was not analyzed here due to low

expression and weak ssDNA deaminase activity in HAP1-TK-M9 cells. Interestingly, A3A caused

a strong pan-nuclear increase in γ-H2AX without concomitant focus formation (representative

images in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4A** and quantification in **Figure 1 – Figure**

Supplement 4B). Similar results have been reported for A3A overexpression in other cell types

[30, 62-64]. Interestingly, although a dose-responsive accumulation of γ-H2AX was expected

given a wide range of transient transfection efficiencies for individual cells in each reaction, only

a weak positive association was found with even low A3A staining cells exhibiting very high γ-

H2AX levels (**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4B-C**). In contrast, comparatively low levels of

nuclear γ-H2AX were observed in cells expressing A3B, A3H, and catalytic mutant derivative

proteins, although A3B expression uniquely triggered a modest elevation of nuclear γ-H2AX levels

in comparison to the background observed in cells expressing the corresponding catalytic mutant

E255A protein (**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4A-D**). Moreover, as expected from the strong

increase in γ-H2AX levels, only wildtype A3A caused significant increases in DNA breakage as

quantified with alkaline comet assays (representative images in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement**

4E and quantification in **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4F**). Taken together, results with two

different cell lines demonstrate that A3A expression induces a strong DNA damage response

(high γ-H2AX and DNA breakage), A3B expression triggers a modest DNA damage response

(low γ-H2AX and no overt DNA breakage), and A3H or catalytic mutant A3A/B/H expression is

indistinguishable from background levels in negative vector control conditions.

***TK* mutation spectra of HAP1-TK-M9 with A3A, A3B, and A3H**

To directly test which A3 enzymes cause genomic mutation in the HAP1-TK-M9 system,

24 independent single-cell derived daughter clones were obtained for A3A, A3B, A3H-I, and A3H-

II expressing conditions as well as catalytic mutant and vector controls (**Methods**). A classical

fluctuation analysis was performed by growing each single cell clone for 1 month to >10^7 cells,

subjecting each population to selection by ganciclovir, and allowing time for single Gan^R mutant

cells to grow into countable colonies. Vector control conditions yielded a median Gan^R mutation

frequency of 3 mutants per 5 million cells (mean = 3.6, SD = 2.8). In contrast, A3A- and A3B-

expressing clones caused median Gan^R mutation frequencies to rise above 10 mutants per 5

million cells (A3A WT: median = 16, mean = 14, SEM = 2.2; A3B WT: median = 11, mean = 12,

SEM = 1.8; **Figure 2A**). In comparison, expression of A3H-I or A3H-II or catalytic mutant

derivatives of any of these DNA deaminases or empty vector controls failed to trigger increased

Gan^R mutation frequencies (A3H-I WT: median = 3.0, mean = 3.6, SEM = 0.71; A3H-II WT:

median = 2.0, mean = 2.8, SEM = 0.58; **Figure 2A**).

We next asked what types of genetic alterations led to inactivation of the *TK* gene in Gan[R]

granddaughter clones derived from the different A3-expressing and control conditions. The *TK*

gene was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of Gan[R] granddaughter clones and Sanger

sequenced. C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in an APOBEC3-signature trinucleotide motif

(APOBEC), all other single base substitution mutations (other SBS), and all insertion/deletion

mutations (INDELs) were placed into groups for comparison (red, black, and blue tics in **Figure**

2B, respectively; individual sequence schematics in **Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1**). *TK*

sequences derived from A3A-expressing granddaughter clones harbored a greater number of

APOBEC3 signature mutations [12/20 clones contained at least 1 APOBEC mutation, 22

T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to 3 SBS per sequence] relative to catalytic mutant control

clones [3/19 clones contained 1 APOBEC mutation, 3 T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to

1 SBS per sequence]. Similarly, *TK* sequences derived from A3B-expressing granddaughter

clones also harbored a greater number of APOBEC3 signature mutations [11/20 clones contained

at least 1 APOBEC mutation, 19 T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to 3 SBS per sequence]

relative to catalytic mutant control clones [2/18 clones contained 1 APOBEC mutation, 2

T(C>T/G)W mutations total, range of 0 to 1 SBS per sequence] (**Figure 2B**; **Figure 2 – Figure**

Supplement 1). Additionally, *TK* cytosine bases 22 (Q8X) and 635 (R212K) emerged as

candidate mutational hotspots in both the A3A- and A3B-expressing clones (**Figure 2B**;

considered further in **Discussion**). No significant differences were found in the number of other

SBS or INDEL mutations between A3A- or A3B-expressing clones and controls.

We next examined the broader sequence context of the 22 A3A- and 19 A3B-induced

APOBEC3 signature mutations that occurred at 5'-TC dinucleotides in *TK* (**Figure 2C-D**). In both

instances, A was preferred over T at the +1 nucleobase position relative to the mutated C, and

this bias was not significantly different between the two enzymes (68% for A3A and 74% for A3B;

p=0.367 by Fisher's exact test). Similarly, no obvious biases were evident at the +2 or -2

nucleobase positions with all four nucleotides observed at similar frequencies for both enzymes.

Moreover, even when pyrimidines and purines were grouped for comparison, A3A did not show

an overt preference for C/T (Y) or A/G (R) at the -2 or +2 nucleobase positions (51% vs 49% and

52% vs 48%, respectively). Likewise, A3B also failed to show an overt preference for C/T (Y) or

A/G (R) at the -2 or +2 nucleobase positions (45% vs 55% and 53% vs 47%, respectively). These

similarities underscore the fact that small mutation numbers are primarily useful for delineating

major signature differences such as the shifts described above from a heterogeneous pattern in

catalytic mutant- or vector control-expressing cells towards a predominantly 5'-TC focused SBS

mutation pattern in A3A- and A3B-expressing cells.

WGS shows SBS2 and SBS13 signatures reflecting the intrinsic biochemical preferences

of A3A and A3B

To prepare for WGS of Gan^R granddaughter clones, RNA sequencing was done to confirm

expression of each exogenously expressed *A3* construct and compare mRNA levels relative to

established breast cell lines and primary breast tumors. All expression values were determined

relative to those of the conserved housekeeping gene *TBP* to be able to compare RNAseq data

from different sources (*e.g.,* unrelated cell lines and tumors). Interestingly, the average *A3A* and

A3A-E72A mRNA levels in Gan^R granddaughter clones was over 5-fold higher than the average

endogenous *A3A* expression levels of APOBEC3 signature-enriched breast cancer cell lines

BT474 and MDA-MD-453, breast cancer cell lines of the CCLE, or breast tumors of TCGA (**Figure**

3 – Figure Supplement 1A). It is possible that A3A levels are higher in this system due to difficulty

identifying a sufficiently weak promoter for constitutive expression. In comparison, *A3B* and *A3B-*

E255A mRNA levels in Gan^R granddaughter clones are similar to the averages reported for breast

cancer cell lines of the CCLE and breast tumors of the TCGA, and approximately 2-fold lower

than those of BT474 and MDA-MD-453 (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1A**). *A3H* (haplotype I)

mRNA levels showed greater variance but only two clones were analyzed by RNAseq and WGS

due to negative results above with IF microscopy experiments and *TK* mutation analysis.

The mRNA expression levels of the other four *A3* genes, as well as *AICDA* (*AID*),

APOBEC1, *APOBEC2*, and *APOBEC4*, were also quantified and compared with those of *A3A*,

A3B, and *A3H* (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1B**). Endogenous *A3C* was expressed at similarly

high levels in all granddaughter clones, providing a stable relatively internal control. Endogenous

A3F and *A3G* were expressed at lower but still detectable levels, and endogenous *AICDA*,

APOBEC1, *APOBEC2*, *APOBEC4*, and *A3D* were expressed at very low or undetectable levels.

As expected, levels of ectopically expressed *A3A*, *A3B*, and *A3H* mRNA exceeded those in the

HAP1-TK-M9 parent clone as well as those in vector expressing granddaughter controls. In

addition, protein expression of A3A, A3B, and A3H was confirmed in *TK* mutant granddaughter

clones by immunoblotting and activity by ssDNA deamination assays (**Figure 3 – Figure**

Supplement 2). Finally, the integration sites of the *TK* reporter and MLV-based A3 expression

constructs were determined using WGS reads. Data from multiple clones demonstrated a single

TK-Neo integration site in chromosome 3 between nucleotides 143996100-143996500, which is

~3.5 kbp downstream of the nearest gene (*DIPK2A*), and representative *A3* expressing clones

additionally have single MLV-A3 insertion sites on different chromosomes as expected from the

independent reactions and low multiplicities of infection that used initially to establish these clones

(**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3**).

To investigate mutational differences genome-wide, Illumina short-read WGS was done

for randomly selected Gan^R granddaughter clones (specified by "WGS" in **Figure 2 – Figure**

Supplement 1). Mutations unique to each granddaughter were identified by calling SBS

variations versus the genomic DNA sequence of the HAP1-TK-M9 mother clone. This approach

eliminated any somatic variation that accumulated in the Gan^S mother clone prior to transduction

with each A3 or control expression construct. Thus, all new SBS mutations had to be present in

a significant proportion of reads from the Gan^R granddaughter clones and absent from the reads

from the Gan^S mother clone and, as such, must have occurred in the presence of an active A3

enzyme or a catalytic mutant control.

In A3A-expressing Gan^R granddaughter clones, the total number of unique SBSs ranged

from 2057 to 5256 (n=6, median=3129, mean=3494, SD=1358; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement**

4A). The total number of SBSs in A3B-expressing clones was lower, ranging from 1920 to 2652

(n=5, median=2346, mean=2334, SD=278). In comparison, the total number of SBSs in catalytic

mutant and GFP control Gan^R granddaughter clones ranged from 1230 to 2204 (n=6;

median=1748, mean=1729, SD=388). SBS mutations are further broken down into those

occurring within NCN and TCW motifs in dot plots in **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4B-E**. Most

importantly, analyses of the trinucleotide contexts of all unique SBS mutations revealed strong C-

to-T and C-to-G mutation biases in 5'-TCA and 5'-TCT motifs in A3A-expressing clones and

weaker, but still significant, mutation biases in the same motifs in A3B-expressing clones (**Figure**

3A; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4C and E**; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5**). In other words,

only A3A- and A3B-expressing granddaughter clones exhibited significant amounts of APOBEC3

signature single base substitution mutations.

This result was confirmed by assessing APOBEC3 signature enrichment scores [50, 65],

which indicated that 6/6 A3A-expressing clones and 4/5 A3B-expressing clones have significant

enrichments of APOBEC3 signature mutations, whereas clones expressing catalytically inactive

A3A or A3B, as well as clones expressing A3H-I or vector control have none (**Figure 3 – Figure**

Supplement 6A). A complementary bioinformatics analysis, non-negative matrix factorization

(NMF [66]), yielded similar results with "signature A" resembling SBS2 and SBS13 in A3A- and

A3B-expressing clones (*i.e.*, APOBEC3 signature) and "signature B" occurring in all clones

regardless of A3 presence or functionality (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 6B**). In comparison,

genome-wide patterns of insertion/deletion mutations (INDELs) appeared largely unaffected by

A3A or A3B (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 7**), in agreement with aforementioned *TK* mutation

data where the majority of Gan^R mutations are single base substitution mutations and the INDEL

frequencies were similar for A3A, A3B, and catalytic mutant conditions.

We next analyzed the broader contexts of the 5'-TC-focused C-to-T and C-to-G single

base substitution mutations that accumulated in A3A- and A3B-expressing clones (n=7172 and

n=2033, respectively) in comparison to those that accumulated in aggregate control clones

(n=680) as well as the overall distribution of 5'-TC in the human genome (n=339619283) (**Figure**

3B and **Supplementary Table S1**). First, a bias for +1 A over +1 T emerged in A3A-expressing

clones (48.3% > 36.3%), whereas the opposite bias was evident in A3B-expressing clones (33.9%

< 41.2%). However, for both enzymes, the percentage of +1 A and T (W) was similar (84.6% and

75.1%, respectively). Second, no significant bias was noted at the +2 position except that guanine

is slightly over-represented in pentanucleotide motifs derived from A3A-expressing conditions

compared to motifs derived from A3B-expressing clones. Third and most importantly, an

exceptionally strong bias for a pyrimidine nucleobase (C or T) occurred at the -2 position in A3A-

expressing clones (72.9% YTC/NTC), which was also evident in the broader APOBEC3

tetranucleotide context (72.7% YTCW/NTCW). This cytosine mutation preference resembles the

strong -2 pyrimidine bias reported for human A3A in murine hepatocellular carcinomas [67], the

chicken B cell line DT40 [73], and yeast [50, 51]. Fourth, in contrast, a slight bias for purine

nucleobases (A or G) was apparent at the -2 position in A3B-expressing clones (51.1%

RTC/NTC), which was also reflected in the broader APOBEC3 tetranucleotide context (52.8%

RTCW/NTCW). This latter result also agreed with prior data from yeast [50, 51], but contrasted

slightly with the mutation signature detected in tumors derived from human A3B-expresssing mice

(47% RTCW [68]). Possible explanations for this variability are considered in **Discussion**.

Features of A3A and A3B mutagenesis in the HAP1-TK-M9 system

X-ray structures have revealed a U-shaped bend in ssDNA substrates bound by A3A and

A3B [16, 29], and other studies have indicated that similarly bent ssDNA loop regions of hairpins

(*i.e.*, DNA cruciform or stem-loop structures) may be preferred substrates for deamination by A3A

[52, 69, 70]. To ask whether this preference extends to the HAP1-TK-M9 system described here,

we analyzed our A3A and A3B *TK* PCR sequences and granddaughter clone WGS data for

evidence of mutagenesis in the single-stranded loop regions of DNA hairpin structures. First,

neither of the two A3A/B mutation hotspots in the *TK* gene reported above appeared to be part of

predicted stem-loop structures. Second, none of the top-100 cruciform structures reported

previously to harbor recurring APOBEC3 signature mutations in tumors [52] were mutated in our

HAP1-TK-M9 WGS data sets. Third, in global comparisons of base substitution mutations, the

frequency of APOBEC3 signature TCW mutations was similar in ssDNA loop regions of predicted

hairpin structures versus non-hairpin regions (*i.e.*, APOBEC3 signature mutation events were not

enriched in the loop regions of stem-loop structures over those occurring in canonical ssDNA

substrates; **Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1**). Moreover, the frequency of APOBEC3 signature

mutations in hairpin or non-hairpin structures appeared similar (not statistically distinguishable) in

A3A- and A3B-expressing conditions. However, interestingly, the frequency of APOBEC3

signature mutations in predicted loop regions of hairpin structures appeared higher in A3A and

A3B expressing clones in comparison to all non-catalytic control conditions (**Figure 4 – Figure**

Supplement 1; P = 0.0655 and P = 0.0367 by Welch's t-test, respectively). The A3A versus

control comparison likely failed to reach statistical significance due to the small number of clones

with WGS (n = 6) and the large variance in numbers of TCW mutations in hairpin loop regions in

the different clones (range = 1-16 TCW mutations). Nevertheless, these results combined to

indicate that single-stranded loop regions of hairpin structures in human chromosomal DNA may

be similarly susceptible to deamination by both A3A and A3B.

To assess relative rates of A3A and A3B-catalyzed deamination of experimental hairpin

versus non-hairpin substrates, we used purified enzymes and ssDNA substrates representing two

previously reported RNA editing hotspots of A3A – *SDHB* and *NUP93* [52, 71]. The *SDHB* hairpin

is predicted to have a 5 bp stem and a 4 nt loop, and the *NUP93* hairpin a 7 bp stem and a 4 nt

loop (**Figure 4A-B**). The control oligonucleotides have the same loop region sequences and a

randomization of one-half of the nucleobases in the hairpin stem to reduce base-pairing potential.

In each case, the linear substrates migrated similarly on native and denaturing PAGE while the

hairpin substrates migrated faster by native PAGE and similar to the linear substrates when

denatured, thereby confirming the integrity of both hairpins (**Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 2**).

A3A and A3B were affinity-purified from human cells and incubated under single hit conditions

with these oligonucleotide substrates over time. First, both A3A and A3B showed a strong

preference for deaminating the *SDHB* hairpin substrate in comparison to a linear control with the

same nucleobase content scrambled (~4- and ~8-fold preference, respectively; **Figure 4A**). A3A

showed higher rates of deamination than A3B on both the hairpin and the linear substrate in

agreement with prior studies [52]. Thus, the relative deamination rates for *SDHB* substrates were:

A3A/hairpin > A3B/hairpin > A3A/linear > A3B linear (120, 41, 31, and 5.1 nM/min, respectively).

However, a different picture emerged from analyses of deamination of *NUP93*-based

substrates **Figure 4B**). Rates of A3A-catalyzed deamination were similarly high for the *NUP93*

hairpin and linear control with the same nucleobase content scrambled. In contrast, A3B showed

higher rates of deamination of the linear substrate and was only able to deaminate the hairpin

substrate with low efficiencies and linear kinetics. Thus, the relative deamination rates for *NUP93*

substrates are: A3A/hairpin = A3A/linear >> A3B linear > A3B/hairpin (93, 83, 7.7, and 1.3 nM/min,

respectively). These results combined to show that _both_ A3A and A3B can deaminate hairpin and

linear ssDNA substrates and, further, that it is possible to identify sites such as the *NUP93* hairpin

(here with DNA and previously shown with RNA and DNA [52]) that are strongly (and perhaps

even exclusively, in a few instances) preferred by a single A3 enzyme.

Another feature of APOBEC3 mutagenesis in human cancer is clusters of strand-

coordinated cytosine SBS mutations in TCA and TCT motifs most likely caused by processive

deamination of exposed tracts of ssDNA (aka. *kataegis*; here defined as $\geq$2 strand-coordinated

APOBEC3 signature mutations within a 10 kbp window) [5, 7, 66, 72]. No APOBEC3 signature

kataegic events were observed in control conditions. However, several APOBEC3 signature

kataegic events were evident in the genomic DNA of both A3A and A3B expressing

granddaughter clones (*e.g.*, **Figure 4C**). For instance, one A3A-attributable *kataegic* event was

comprised of 7 T(C>T/G)W mutations, and an A3B-attributable *kataegic* event included 8

T(C>T/G)W mutations. Interestingly, however, the frequency of *kataegic* events did not differ

significantly between A3A- and A3B-expressing granddaughter clones (A3A: median = 30, mean

= 35 events, SD = 8.3; A3B: median = 12, mean = 15, SD = 6.9; p = 0.28 by Welch's t-test). These

results show that both A3A and A3B can cause *kataegis* in a human cell line and, surprisingly, at

similar overall frequencies.

APOBEC signature etiology in primary breast tumors

Sequencing data from model systems such as HAP1-TK-M9 are powerful because the

resulting mutation signatures can help to establish cause-and-effect relationships for comparison

to more complex tumor WGS data sets to identify similarities and, potentially, to infer the precise

source of an observed mutation signature in individual tumors. We therefore performed an

unsupervised clustering analysis to compare the pentanucleotide cytosine mutation signatures

derived from sequencing A3A, A3B, and A3H expressing HAP1 clones and those from primary

breast tumors with WGS available through the ICGC data portal resource. This analysis revealed

three distinct tumor groups with respect to APOBEC3 signature mutations: 1) a group that showed

similarity to A3A-expressing HAP1-TK-M9 clones, 2) a group that showed similarity to A3B-

expressing HAP1-TK-M9 clones, and 3) a group that showed little to no significant APOBEC3

mutation signature (**Figure 5**). As expected from a lack of substantial APOBEC3 signature

mutations above in the *TK* reporter or in representative WGS, A3H-I expressing clones and

catalytic-inactive clones clustered with non-APOBEC3 signature tumors.

Both the A3A-like and A3B-like groups were comprised of tumors that show significant

levels of APOBEC3 signature mutations and correspondingly high enrichment scores (**Figure 5**

and **Supplementary Table S1**). However, only a small proportion of the A3A-like group of breast

tumors showed $\geq$71.7% APOBEC3 signature mutations in YTCW motifs, which is the overall

preference of A3A observed here in the HAP1-TK-M9 system. Similarly strong YTCW preferences

have also been reported recently for A3A expression in the chicken B cell line DT40 [73] and for

A3A-driven murine hepatocellular carcinomas [67]. These observations therefore suggest that

A3A alone may not account for the observed composite APOBEC3 mutation signature in

individual breast tumors and, moreover, that many tumors in A3A-like group may include

contributions from another A3 enzyme, most likely A3B. As regards the A3B-like group, most

tumors have higher percentages of C-to-T and C-to-G mutations in YTCW motifs than can be

explained by A3B alone. For instance, most tumors manifest a greater proportion of YTCW

mutations than observed here for A3B in the HAP1-TK-M9 system (47.2%) and for A3B-driven

tumors in mice (53%) [68]. These intrinsic preferences therefore combined to suggest that the

observed percentages of APOBEC3 signature mutations in most breast tumors may be a

composite resulting from the combinatorial activities of both A3A and A3B activity. In comparison,

the homologous recombination repair deficiency (HRD) signature (SBS3) appeared

underrepresented in both the A3A- and A3B-like tumor groups, and the ageing signature (SBS1)

occurred in all three groups regardless of the presence or absence of an APOBEC3 mutation

signature enrichment (**Figure 5**).

Discussion

Here we have reported the development and implementation of a genetic system to

investigate mutational processes in the human HAP1 cell line. Like many bacterial and yeast

model systems, the HAP1-TK-M9 system enabled a uniform cytotoxic selection with ganciclovir

such that only *TK* mutant cells survive. An analysis of clonally derived, A3A and A3B expressing

TK mutants by Sanger sequencing of high-fidelity PCR amplicons demonstrated a strong shift in

the mutational pattern from a variety of different base substitution mutations in control conditions

to a strongly 5'TCW-biased pattern (**Figure 2**). Interestingly, the *TK* mutation spectra inflicted by

A3A and A3B were very similar including two shared hotspots (Q8X, R212K) and no obvious

mesoscale features such as palindromic sequences capable of hairpin formation. This result may

be due to the limited number of mutable cytosines and TC motifs in *TK* that confer resistance to

ganciclovir (local base composition) and/or to selective pressure. Regardless of the precise

molecular explanation, an analogy can be drawn with the mutational spectrum of the *PIK3CA*

gene in breast, head/neck, cervical, and others cancers, which has two prominent APOBEC3

mutation hotspots (E542K, E545K) and no obvious hairpin structures [52, 74]. These observations

combined to suggest that selective pressure has the potential to overshadow the intrinsic

preferences of individual APOBEC3 enzymes and complicate assignment of direct cause-and-

effect relationships.

Drawing direct connections between A3A and/or A3B and a given mutation, even a

prominent hotspot, has been additionally challenging due to the fact that both enzymes can

deaminate DNA cytosines in linear substrates as well as single-stranded loop regions of stem-

loop structures and, importantly, rates can vary dramatically between different substrates (*e.g.*,

Figure 4 and prior biochemical studies [52, 69, 70]). Thus, the *TK*-based system described here

is capable of yielding informative, rapid, and inexpensive mutation data sets with positive results

motivating genome-wide analyses where mutations are unselected and larger mutation data sets

enable broader analyses. For instance, our unbiased WGS analysis of A3A- and A3B-expressing

clones indicated that both enzymes are capable of deaminating the ssDNA loop regions of hairpin

substrates at similar frequencies (**Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1**). This result was somewhat

anticipated by structural studies where both A3A and a mutant A3B catalytic domain were shown

to bind to ssDNA in a U-shaped conformation [16, 29], but it was also unexpected due to recent

reports describing strong RNA and DNA hairpin biases for A3A [52, 69]. Additional biochemical

and WGS studies will be required to confirm these results as well as extend them to additional

cell lines and experimental systems. One potential drawback of the HAP1-TK-M9 system is that

an exclusive focus on Gan^R clones might overestimate the mutational impact of a given process.

However, earlier work also used HAP1 cells to successfully characterize a variety of different

mutation sources, but these studies did leverage the power of a lethal genetic selection nor did

they address the enzyme(s) responsible for APOBEC3 signature mutations [75, 76].

Taken together, the HAP1-TK-M9 studies here have demonstrated unambiguously that

both A3A and A3B can inflict an APOBEC3 mutation signature in human genomic DNA with, in

both instances, ssDNA deamination events immortalizing predominantly as C-to-T and C-to-G

mutations in TCA and TCT trinucleotide motifs (**Figure 2**, **Figure 3**, and **Supplementary Table**

S1). Over identical month-long timeframes, A3A causes 4-fold more APOBEC3 signature

mutations in comparison to A3B (6070 vs 1528 mutations from 6 and 5 subclone WGSs,

respectively). This difference may be explained in part by super-physiological A3A expression

levels in the HAP1-TK-M9 system (at least 5-fold higher than levels in breast tumors or cell lines)

and in part by the higher intrinsic activity of this enzyme in comparison to A3B. Regardless, both

A3A and A3B inflicted thousands of TC-focused APOBEC3 signature mutations, which enabled

comparisons between extended intrinsic preferences. Most importantly, A3A has a strong

preference for a pyrimidine at the -2 position relative to the target cytosine (72.7% YTCW). This -

2 pyrimidine bias mirrors original results from human A3A expression in yeast [50, 51], as well as

recent WGS results from human A3A expression in the chicken B cell line DT40 (~70% YTCW

[73]) and from human A3A-induced murine hepatocellular carcinomas (70% YTCW [67]). Also,

similar to original studies in yeast [50, 51], human A3B showed a slight negative preference for

YTCW motifs (47.2% YTCW) and a corresponding enrichment for RTCW motifs (52.8% RTCW;

Figure 3B, **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4**, and **Supplementary Table S1**). In comparison,

recent studies showed that human A3B driven tumors in mice (hepatocellular carcinomas and B

cell lymphomas) exhibit an opposite APOBEC3 mutation signature bias with 53% YTCW and 47%

RTCW [68]. These differences in APOBEC3B local preferences in the different systems may be

due to genetic and/or epigenetic factors including but not limited to different base content,

chromatin states, DNA repair processes, and/or post-translational regulatory mechanisms. They

could also be due to simple stochastic variation and, accordingly, we hypothesize that A3B is non-

discriminatory at the -2 position relative to the target cytosine and that this may relate to the amino

acid composition of catalytic domain loop 1 residues relative to those of A3A (loop 3, loop 7, and

most other active site residues are identical or nearly identical).

Regardless of the precise molecular explanation(s) for differences between experimental

systems, the fact that both A3A and A3B can inflict YTCW mutations in human cells helps to

inform interpretations of the APOBEC3 enzyme responsible for the overall APOBEC3 mutation

program in cancer. For instance, based on comparisons of A3A- and A3B-attributable single base

substitution mutation signatures observed here in the HAP1-TK-M9 system and extracted

APOBEC3 mutation signatures from 784 breast cancer WGS, it is likely that neither enzyme's

preferred motif fully explains the composite signature in most individual tumors (**Figure 5** and

Supplementary Table S1). As regards A3A, the majority of individual breast tumors has $\leq$72.7%

APOBEC3 signature mutations in YTCW motifs, which is the overall preference of A3A here in

the HAP1-TK-M9 system suggesting the involvement of at least one other A3 enzyme. As regards

A3B, most individual breast tumors have a larger proportion of mutations in YTCW motifs than

can be explained by A3B alone ($\geq$47.2%). Thus, it is likely that both A3A and A3B contribute to

the composite single base substitution mutation signature observed in individual APOBEC3

signature enriched breast tumors. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that some breast

tumors may be mutated exclusively by A3A or A3B due to factors listed above, or that some breast

tumors may have small mutagenic contributions from other APOBEC3 enzymes. Of course, A3B

is not a direct factor in *A3B*-null breast tumors, although the inherited deletion that removes all

A3B coding sequences may dysregulate *A3A* expression [45, 46]. In addition, the WGS data sets

for A3A- and A3B-expressing HAP1-TK-M9 clones described here may be useful for comparing

with APOBEC3 attributable events in other tumor types.

In addition to selective pressures and mesoscale features, additional factors are likely to

influence the APOBEC3-attributable fraction of an overall tumor mutational landscape including

whether A3A and/or A3B is expressed, expression levels, duration of expression, intrinsic activity,

and accessibility of chromosomal DNA (replication stress, R-loop levels, chromatin state, *etc.*).

With regards to studies here with the HAP1-TK-M9 system, both A3A and A3B were expressed

constitutively from the same promoter/construct for identical durations prior to ganciclovir

selection, A3A is intrinsically more active than A3B, A3A is cell-wide and A3B predominantly

nuclear, and yet these enzymes and cellular factors combined to yield remarkably similar *TK*

mutation frequencies and only a 4-fold difference in overall genome-wide SBS mutation level.

With respect to cancer, the *A3A* gene is expressed at lower levels than *A3B* in almost all cell lines

and tumors, A3A is cell-wide or predominantly cytoplasmic where A3B is constitutively nuclear,

and A3A has higher enzymatic activity that can vary from 2- to 100-fold above that of A3B

depending on substrate (*e.g.*, **Figure 4** and prior biochemical studies [11, 52]). It is therefore

notable here that the overall genome-wide level of APOBEC3 signature mutation from A3A is only

4-fold higher than that attributable to A3B. Endogenous *A3A* and *A3B* also have both distinct and

overlapping transcription programs, and both genes can be induced by a variety of conditions

including viral infection and inflammation [28, 33, 35-37, 39, 42, 61, 77-82]. *In vivo*, *A3A* and *A3B*

gene expression is also likely to be affected by the local tumor microenvironment, which can vary

both between and within cancer types, as well as by a patient's global state of health. Taken

together with unknown and likely lengthy multi-year durations of pre-cancer and early cancer

development prior to clinical manifestation, deducing the exact fractions of mutations attributable

to A3A and/or A3B may be a fruitless endeavor (except in *A3B*-null tumors). Rather, it may be

more prudent to focus on developing strategies to simultaneously diagnose and treat the

mutagenic contributions of both enzymes.

Independent whole genome sequencing experiments have provided additional information

on the APOBEC3 mutation process. Initial studies induced overexpression of A3B in 293-derived

cell lines, documented the resulting DNA damage responses, and performed WGS to assess

genome-wide associations [83, 84]. However, an unambiguous APOBEC3 mutation signature

was difficult to extract from these whole genome sequences due to large numbers of mutations

attributable to defective mismatch repair [83, 84]. A more recent study compared *de novo*

mutations occurring in APOBEC3 signature positive cell lines during multiple generations of clonal

outgrowth [85]. An intriguing finding from this work is that APOBEC3 signature mutations may be

able to occur in an episodic manner, accumulating in some generations and not others, consistent

with evidence discussed above that A3A and A3B expression can be induced by multiple signal

transduction pathways. Episodic mutagenesis, however, is unexpected in cell-based systems in

which continuous and relatively stochastic mutagenesis should predominate given defined media

and well-controlled growth conditions. These studies were followed-up more recently by WGS

comparisons of subclones of the same cancer cell lines CRISPR-engineered to lack *A3A*, *A3B*,

or both genes [86]. The results of over 250 WGS combined to indicate that A3A may be the source

of a significant fraction of observed APOBEC3 signature SBS mutations, A3B a smaller fraction,

and another as-yet-undefined APOBEC3 enzyme an additional minor fraction. These data are

complementary to the major results here, with both A3A and A3B proving capable of generating

genome-wide APOBEC3 signature single base substitution mutations. Differences in the overall

magnitude of A3A vs A3B mutagenesis may be due to the factors described above including

differential intrinsic activity, protein expression levels, genomic DNA accessibility, cell culture

conditions, and importantly durations of mutagenesis. Both studies were necessarily done in

model cellular systems, each with obvious strengths, but neither capable of fully recapitulating

the wide repertoire of factors that impact the actual pre- and post-transformation environments *in*

vivo, including anti-tumor immune responses, which are further likely to vary between different

tissue types, tumor types, and patients.

A role for A3H, haplotypes I or II, in cancer is disfavored by our results here showing that

these variants are incapable of eliciting DNA damage responses or increasing the *TK* mutation

frequency. Two A3H-I expressing *TK* mutant clones were subjected to WGS and no APOBEC3

mutation signature was evident. In addition, no specific evidence for A3H emerged from

sequencing clonally-derived cancer cell lines [86]. However, all of these cell-based studies have

limitations as discussed above and have yet to fully eliminate A3H as a source of APOBEC3

signature mutations in cancer. For instance, A3H-I may take more time to inflict detectable levels

of mutation, it may be subject to different transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory

processes, and/or it may only be mutagenic in a subset of cancer types subject to different

stresses and different selective pressures.

Ultimately, the studies here showed that A3A and A3B are each individually capable of

inflicting a robust APOBEC3 mutation signature in human cells and, taken together with other

work summarized above, support a model in which both of these enzymes contribute to the

composite APOBEC3 mutation signature reported in many different tumor types. This conclusion

is supported by clinical studies implicating A3A and/or A3B in a variety of different tumor

phenotypes including drug resistance/susceptibility, metastasis, and immune responsiveness [9,

20, 21, 27, 32, 42, 47, 87-93]. Thus, efforts to diagnose and treat APOBEC3 signature-positive

tumors should take both enzymes into account, not simply one or the other. Such longer-term

goals are not trivial given the high degree of identity between A3A and the A3B catalytic domain

(>90%), the related difficulty of developing specific and versatile antibodies for detecting each

enzyme, and the fact that each can be regulated differentially by a wide variety of common factors

including virus infection and inflammation. Thus, we are hopeful that the HAP1-TK-M9 system,

the whole genome sequences, and the A3A-specific rabbit monoclonal antibody described here

will help to expedite the achievement of these goals.

Materials and Methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)*	HAP1	Horizon	Cat#: C859	

Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)*	HAP1-TK-M9	Original clone, this study		Near diploid clone that expresses HSV-1 *TK* and is Gan^S; request by contacting RSH
Cell line (*homo sapiens,* female*)*	293T	ATCC	Cat#: CRL-3216 RRID: CVCL_0063	
Cell line (*homo sapiens,* male*)*	THP1	ATCC	Cat#: TIB-202 RRID: CVCL_0006	
Cell line (*Homo sapiens*, female)	HeLa	NIH ARP	ARP-153	
Antibody	Anti-β-actin (mouse monoclonal)	Millipore Sigma	Cat #: A1978	WB (1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-β-actin (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#:4970	WB (1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-A3A (rabbit monoclonal)	This study	UMN-13	WB (1:1500); request by contacting RSH
Antibody	Anti-A3B (rabbit monoclonal)	[94]	RRID:AB_2721202	WB (1:1,000) IF (1:300); request by contacting RSH

Antibody	Anti-A3H (mouse monoclonal)	[58]	Clone P1-D8	WB (1:1,000); request by contacting RSH
Antibody	Anti-A3H (rabbit polyclonal)	Novus ARP10	Cat#: NBP1-91682 RRID: AB_2057523	IF (1:300)
Antibody	Anti-γ-H2AX (S139) (rabbit polyclonal)	Millipore Sigma JW301	Cat#: H5912 RRID: AB_310406	IF (1:500)
Antibody	Anti-Tubulin (mouse monoclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: T5168 RRID: AB_477579	WB (1:20,000)
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP MLV vector	NovoPro	Cat#: V010396#	Parental transduction vector
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3Ai-IRES-Puro	This study	A3A with intron (pRH9978)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3Ai-E72A-IRES-Puro	This study	A3A-E72A with intron (pRH9979)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3Bi-IRES-Puro	This study	A3B with intron (pRH9980)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3Bi-E255A-IRES-Puro	This study	A3B E255A with intron (pRH9981)	Request by contacting RSH

Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-eGFP-IRES-Puro	This study	eGFP vector control (pRH9977)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3H-Ii-IRES-Puro	This study	A3H hapI with intron (pRH9984)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3H-IIi-IRES-Puro	This study	A3H hapII with intron (pRH9985)	Request by contacting RSH
Recombinant DNA reagent	pQCXIP-MND-A3H-IIi-E56A-IRES-Puro	This study	A3H hapII E56A with intron (pRH9986)	Request by contacting RSH
Software, algorithm	Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA)		RRID: SCR_010910	
Software, algorithm	Fiji	Fiji	RRID: SCR_002285	
Software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism 6	GraphPad	RRID: SCR_002798	
Software, algorithm	ImageQuant	GE Healthcare	RRID: SCR_014246	
Software, algorithm	Image Studio	LI-COR Biosciences	RRID: SCR_015795	
Software, algorithm	MaxQuant version 1.5.2.8	MaxQuant	RRID: SCR_014485	

Software, algorithm	R for Statistical Computing		RRID: SCR_001905	
Software, algorithm	SpeedSeq		RRID: SCR_000469	
Software, algorithm	VarScan2		RRID: SCR_006849	
Other	BD LSRFortessa Flow Cytometer	BD Biosciences	RRID: SCR_019600	PI stain quantification
Other	LI-COR Odyssey FC	LI-COR	Cat#: 2800	WB imaging
Other	Typhoon FLA 7000	GE Healthcare	Cat#: 29-0044-13	Oligo cleavage assay imaging
Other	MiSeq Sequencing System	Illumina	RRID: SCR_016379	WGS library balancing validation
Other	NovaSeq 6000 Sequencing System	Illumina	RRID: SCR_016387	WGS

Cell lines and culture conditions

All cell lines were cultured at 37°C under 5% CO_2. HAP1 cells (Horizon) and derivatives

(this study) were grown in IMDM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma),

penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). 293T and HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM

(Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100

µg/mL). THP1 cells were cultured in RPMI (ThermoFisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

serum (Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). TransIT-LT1 (Mirus) was

used for all transfections. All parent and clonal lineage cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma

using a PCR-based assay [95]. Puromycin (ThermoFisher) and G418 (Gold Biotechnology) were

used at 1 µg/mL and 1 mg/mL, respectively. Ganciclovir (ThermoFisher) was used at 5 µM to

select *TK* mutant clones.

614   HAP1-TK-M9 system

The HAP1-TK-M9 system was generated by co-transfecting HAP1 parent cells with a

plasmid expressing the Sleeping Beauty transposase and a separate plasmid with *TK-Neo* coding

sequences flanked by SB recognition sites [9, 96]. Semi-confluent cells in 6 well plates were

transfected, treated 24 hrs later with G418 (1 mg/mL), and subcloned by limiting dilution in 96 well

plates to create single cell derivatives. Single cell clones were then expanded and characterized

as described in the main text. The *A3H* genotype was determined by Sanger sequencing exon-

specific PCR amplicons [97, 98] and further confirmed by WGS (below).

Standard molecular cloning procedures were used to create derivatives of MLV pQCXIP

for expressing each A3 protein. First, pQCXIP was cut with MluI and PacI to excise the strong

CMV promoter and replace it with a weaker MND promoter (a synthetic promoter containing

regions of both the MLV LTR and the myeloproliferative sarcoma virus enhancer). Second, this

new construct was cut with SfiI and BsiWI to insert intron-containing A3 coding sequences [67].

This was done for *A3A*, *A3B*, *A3H* haplotype-I, *A3H* haplotype-II, and appropriate catalytic mutant

derivatives (E-to-A). An eGFP expressing construct was generated in parallel to use as a control

in various experiments. All new constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and functional

assays as described in the main text.

Each MLV-based construct was co-transfected into 293T cells with appropriate packaging

vectors and 48 hrs later the resulting viral supernatants were filtered (0.2 μm) and used to

transduce semi-confluent HAP1-TK-M9 cells (MOI < 0.1). After 48 hrs incubation, transduced

cells were selected with puromycin (1 μg/mL) and subcloned by limiting dilution to obtain A3

expressing daughter clones. Each daughter clone expressed only a single, integrated construct,

which was anticipated by low MOI transduction and verified by mapping insertion sites for 5

representative daughter clones (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3**). These A3 expressing and

control daughter clones were expanded for 1 month and characterized as described in the main

text. No overt growth/proliferation defects were noted, and all granddaughter clones expanded at

similar rates. Mutation frequencies were determined by plating 5×10^6 cells in 96 well flat bottom

plates, treating with 5 μM ganciclovir (ThermoFisher), and after 14 days incubation counting the

number of *TK* mutant colonies that survived selection. Single Gan^R granddaughter clones were

counted using a light microscope and expanded and characterized as described in the main text

including immunoblotting, DNA deaminase activity assays, *TK* sequencing, and WGS.

Immunoblots (IB)

Cells were treated with trypsin EDTA and collected, washed in 1X PBS, and re-suspended

in 100 μL of reducing sample buffer per one million cells [0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 1% 2-

mercaptoethanol, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 50% glycerol]. Proteins were denatured by

boiling samples for 20 min and resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins

were transferred to a PVDF-FL membrane (Millipore Sigma) and blocked in 5% milk in 1X PBS.

Primary and secondary antibodies were incubated in blocking buffer, with the addition of 0.2%

SDS for fluorescent antibodies. The primary antibodies used were anti-A3A (UMN-13, IB: 1:1500,

IF: 1:300 [this study]), anti-A3A/B (5210-87-13, IB: 1:1,000, IF: 1:300 [94]), anti-A3H (P1-D8, IB:

1:300 [58]), anti-Tubulin (Sigma Aldrich, 1:20,000), and anti-β-Actin (Millipore Sigma, mouse mAb,

1:5000 or Cell Signaling Technology, rabbit mAb, 1:5000, as indicated). The secondary antibodies

used were anti-rabbit HRP-linked (CST 7074, 1:2,000), IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse (LI-COR

Biosciences, 1:10,000), Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA,

1:10,000), and Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-mouse (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA, 1:10,000).

Membranes were imaged using a LI-COR Odyssey instrument or LI-COR Odyssey-Fc instrument

for HRP visualization (LI-COR Biosciences).

DNA deaminase activity assays

Whole cell extract (WCE) assays: ssDNA deamination activities were measured using

WCE prepared using 100 µL HED lysis buffer per 1 million cells (25 mM HEPES, 15 mM EDTA,

10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 1 protease inhibitor tablet [Roche]). Samples were sonicated in a

water bath sonicator to ensure complete lysis. A3-containing lysates were incubated at 37°C for

1 hr (A3A and A3B) or 4 hrs (A3H) with purified human UNG2 and a ssDNA substrate containing

either a single TCA or a single TCT trinucleotide motif (5'-

ATTATTATTATTCAAATGGATTTATTTATTTATTTATTTATTT-FAM [A3A and A3B]; 5'-

ATTATTATTATTCTAATGGATTTATTTATTTATTTATTTATTT-FAM [A3H]) following established

protocols [9, 99]. After this initial incubation, the reaction was treated with 100 mM NaOH for 5

min at 95°C. The reaction was run out on a 15% TBE-urea acrylamide gel to separate substrate

oligo from cleaved product oligo and imaged on a Typhoon FLA 7000 with ImageQuant TL 8.2.0

software (GE Healthcare).

Recombinant enzyme assays: A3A- and A3B-mycHis were prepared from transfected

293T cells as reported [14, 16, 100-102]. Single hit kinetics were ensured by incubating 25 nM of

each protein with 800 nM substrate in reaction buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM

imidazole) for the indicated times at 37°C. Reactions were stopped by freezing in liquid nitrogen

and then were heated to 95°C to denature the enzymes. Reactions were then treated with 0.5

U/reaction uracil DNA glycosylase (NEB, USA) for 10 min at 37°C. The resulting abasic sites were

cleaved by incubation with 100 mM NaOH and heating to 95°C for 5 min. Products were separated

by 20% TBE-Urea PAGE, imaged on a Typhoon FLA-7000 (GE Healthcare, USA), and quantified

using ImageQuant TL 8.2.0 software (GE Healthcare). Deamination of the target cytosine was

calculated by dividing the total reaction product by the total amount of starting substrate. The

oligonucleotide substrates were analyzed by both denaturing and native 20% PAGE to determine

the extent of hairpin formation. The oligos (and a previously reported NUP93-noHP oligo) were

heated to 70°C and slowly cooled to 37°C in HEPES buffer as above. 1 pmol of each oligo was

then mixed with agarose gel loading dye (30% Ficoll 400 in 1x TAE, xylene cyanol, bromophenol

blue) or with DNA PAGE loading dye (80% formamide in 1x TBE, xylene cyanol, bromophenol

blue) and separated by native and denaturing PAGE, respectively.

DNA content by flow cytometry

Propidium iodide (PI) staining was used to assess the ploidy of HAP1 clones relative to

THP1 (ATCC, Cat#: TIB-202) as a confirmed diploid control [103]. Cells were trypsinized and

suspended in 100 µL of 1X PBS per 1 million cells. Then, 500 µL ice-cold ethanol was added to

cell suspensions and incubated at -20°C for 1 hr to fix the cells. After fixation, cells were pelleted

and washed in 1X PBS. Cells were finally suspended in 500 µL of FxCycle PI stain (Invitrogen)

and incubated for 30 min at RT in the dark to stain the cells. Cells were spun down and

resuspended in 300 µL of the PI stain solution and placed in a 96 well round bottom plate for flow

cytometry analysis using a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer (with high-throughput 96 well adapter

system). A minimum of ten thousand events were acquired for each condition.

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted using the High Pure RNA isolation kit (Roche). cDNA was

synthesized using SuperScript First-Strand RT (ThermoFisher). Quantification of mRNA was

done using validated primer sets for all human *A3* genes relative to the housekeeping gene *TBP*

[9, 78, 96, 97]. All RT-qPCR reaction were performed using SsoFast SYBR Green mastermix

(Bio-Rad) in 384-well plates on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 6 and R.

Immunofluorescent (IF) microscopy

IF microscopy was conducted as described [104, 105]. As a positive control for DNA

damage, 4 μM cisplatin (*cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum II, Selleck Chemical) was incubated with

cells for 24 hrs. Cells were grown at low density in 4-chamber, tissue culture-treated glass slides

(Falcon) prior to fixation. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min

at room temperature. Permeabilization followed using PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma

Aldrich), before rinsing with PBS. The cells were blocked using an IF blocking solution (0.1% triton

X-100, 5% goat serum in PBS) for 1 hr at room temperature, then incubated with primary antibody

overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were anti-A3A/B/G (5210-87-13, 1:300) [94], anti-

A3A (UMN-13, 1:300 [this study]), anti-A3H (Novus, 1:300) [58], and anti-γ-H2AX (JBW301,

Millipore Sigma, 1:500). Following this, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with a

fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature in the dark. The

secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen 1:1,000) and Alexa

Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, 1:1,000). Both primary and secondary antibodies were

diluted in blocking buffer. Hoechst 33342 (Mirus) was used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL to

stain nuclei for another 15 min, before the slides were washed three times with PBS and mounted

using antifade mounting media (Cell Signaling 9071). Images were captured at 60x magnification

using a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti2 microscope. The number of γ-H2AX foci per cell were counted for at

least 50 cells per condition for each experiment. The nuclear intensity of the γ-H2AX signal and

the cellular intensity of each A3 were measured by ImageJ2 software (2.9.0/1.53t). Statistical

analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 9.

Alkaline comet assays

HeLa cells transfected with individual A3 or control constructs were harvested 24 hrs post-

transfection and resuspended in ice-cold 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} free,

at a density of 10^5 cells/mL). As a positive control, mock-transfected cells were treated with 2 μM

camptothecin (CPT, Millipore Sigma) for 2 hrs prior to harvesting as above. The CometAssay ESII

kit was used for all alkaline Comet assays, following the manufacturer's protocol (BioTechne).

Cells were resuspended in low-melt agarose and spread at low density on a glass slide to secure

the cells in place for lysis. DNA unwinding and electrophoresis were performed according to the

manufacturer's alkaline protocol. Comet tail moments were measured for at least 50 cells per

condition using the OpenComet plugin for Image J [106]. Statistical analyses were done using

GraphPad Prism 9.

***TK* sequencing**

Cells were harvested and genomic DNA was isolated using the Puregene DNA isolation

protocol. The *TK* cassette was amplified from genomic DNA using 5'-

ATCTTGGTGGCGTGAAACTC and 5'-CTTCCGGTATTGTCTCCTTCC. PCR products were

cleaned-up using the GeneJet Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific) and Sanger sequenced with

4 different primers to cover the full open reading frame (5'-ATCTTGGTGGCGTGAAACTC, 5'-

GGTCATGCTGCCCATAAGGTA, 5'-CCGTTCTGGCTCCTCATATC, and 5'-

CTTCCGGTATTGTCTCCTTCC.

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) and analyses

Genomic DNA was prepared from cell pellets (1 million cells) using Allprep DNA/RNA mini

kit (Qiagen). Whole genome libraries were sequenced 150x2 bp on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) to

a target read depth of 30X coverage for all granddaughter clones as well as the parental HAP1-

TK-M9 mother clone. Resulting sequences were aligned to the human genome (hg38) using

SpeedSeq [107], which relies on the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner, BWA (version 0.7.17). PCR

duplicates were removed using Picard (version 2.18.16). Reads were locally realigned around

INDELs using GATK3 (version 3.6.0) tools RealignerTargetCreator to create intervals, followed

by IndelRealigner on the aligned bam files. Single base substitutions and small INDELs were

called in each clone relative to the bam file generated from the HAP1-TK-M9 mother clone using

Mutect2 from GATK3 (version 3.6.0). SBSs that passed the internal GATK3 filter with minimum 4

reads supporting each variant, minimum 20 total reads at each variant site and a variant allele

frequency over 0.05 were used for downstream analysis. SBSs were analyzed in R (version 4.0.5)

using the MutationalPatterns [108] and deconstructSigs R packages (version 1.8.0 [109]). All

visualizations were generated using the ggplot2 package (version 3.3.5). The indel landscapes

were generated using the MutationalPatterns R package [108] following PCAWG definitions [1].

All individual clone data from each condition were pooled for presentation.

COSMIC single base substitution mutation signatures (v3 – May 2019

https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures/SBS/) were obtained from

https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn11738319. *De-novo* non-negative matrix factorization of

mutational signatures was performed with the "extract_signature" command from the

MutationalPatterns package, with a rank of 2 and 100 iterations. TCW mutation enrichment scores

were calculated as described [50, 65]. Sequence logos of -2 to +2 sequence surrounding C-to-T

mutations were created using the ggseqlogo (version 0.1) package. Putative hairpin structures

were predicted using the nBMST tool [110] and human genome GRCH38 with a minimum stem

length of 6 bases. The loop criteria established by Buisson *et al*. with ssDNA loop lengths of 3 to

11 nucleotides were used to search for APOBEC3 signature mutations in these regions [52].

APOBEC expression and mutation signature analyses in TCGA and ICGC data sets

TCGA primary breast tumors represented by both RNA-seq and whole exome sequencing

were downloaded from the Firehose GDAC resource through the Broad Institute pipeline

(http://gdac.broadinstitute.org/) for multiple tumor tissue types. APOBEC3 mutation signatures

were determined as described [5, 65] using the deconstructSigs R package [109]. APOBEC3

mutation enrichment scores were calculated using the hg19 reference genome and published

methods [50]. Enrichment score significance was assessed using a Fisher exact test with

Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction. All data analyses and visualizations

were conducted using R and the ggplot2 package (https://www.R-project.org/).

_TK_ integration site determination

To determine the integration site of the single copy _TK-Neo_ construct, a _TK_ reference

sequence was provided as an additional chromosome during alignment of the WGS reads to the

reference genome (hg38). Reads that mapped to this region were then categorized as discordant

and realigned to hg38 using GRIDSS (v2.2) [111] to determine the site of integration.

Clustering analysis

All primary breast tumor whole genome sequencing variant information from International

Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) was downloaded from the ICGC data portal

(https://dcc.icgc.org). SBSs used in these analyses included only C-to-T variants in TC

dinucleotide contexts (TCA, TCC, and TCT) and excluded all mutations in CG motifs due to

potential overlap with spontaneous water-mediated methyl-C deamination. SBSs meeting these

inclusion criteria from all clones expressing A3A, A3B, A3A-E72A, A3B-E255A, and A3H-I were

pooled per condition for this analysis. A matrix comprised of the number of mutations within a

pentanucleotide across all samples within a cancer type was generated, and counts were

normalized to frequency within each cancer type. The resulting matrix was then clustered using

the hclust function in R with the classical Euclidean distance as the distance method for clustering,

which was then plotted as dendrograms. Mutation signatures were calculated using

deconstructSigs as described above.

Data and code availability

All HAP1-TK-M9 clone alignment files (FASTQ and BAM format) are available through the Sequence Read Archive under the BioProject accession number PRJNA832427.

Author contributions

R.S. Harris conceptualized and designed the overall project. M.A. Carpenter, M.A. Ibrahim, M.C. Jarvis, M.R. Brown, P.P. Argyris, and W.L. Brown performed experiments. M.C. Jarvis, M.A. Carpenter, M.A. Ibrahim, N.A. Temiz, M.R. Brown, P.P. Argyris, and W.L. Brown did formal data analysis. R.S. Harris, M.C. Jarvis, and D. Yee contributed to funding acquisition. R.S. Harris and M.C. Jarvis drafted the manuscript, and all authors except M.C. Jarvis contributed to manuscript proofing and revision.

Additional contributions

We thank Justin Leung, Eloïse Dray, Weixing Zhao, and Wenjing Li for expert assistance with IF microscopy and comet assays, Arad Moghadasi and Sofia Moraes for initial technical support, and Kyle Richards for helping to set-up spectral karyotype analysis. We also thank the UMN Cytogenetics Core for spectral karyotyping and Scott McIvor for sharing a plasmid construct with the *MND* promoter. The results presented here are in part based upon data generated by the TCGA Research Network: http://www.cancer.gov/tcga. Additionally, data from the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) were used in these analyses: https://dcc.icgc.org/.

Additional information

Funding

These studies were supported by NCI P01-CA234228, NCI P50-CA247749, and a Recruitment of Established Investigators Award from the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT RR220053). Salary support for MCJ was provided in part by NCI T32-

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts to declare.

References

1. Alexandrov LB, Kim J, Haradhvala NJ, Huang MN, Tian Ng AW, Wu Y, et al. The repertoire

of mutational signatures in human cancer. Nature. 2020;578(7793):94-101. Epub

2020/02/07. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-1943-3. PubMed PMID: 32025018; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC7054213.

2. Venkatesan S, Rosenthal R, Kanu N, McGranahan N, Bartek J, Quezada SA, et al.

Perspective: APOBEC mutagenesis in drug resistance and immune escape in HIV and

cancer evolution. Annals of Oncology. 2018;29(3):563-72. doi:

10.1093/annonc/mdy003. PubMed PMID: 29324969; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC5888943.

3. Petljak M, Maciejowski J. Molecular origins of APOBEC-associated mutations in cancer.

DNA Repair (Amst). 2020;94:102905. Epub 2020/08/21. doi:

10.1016/j.dnarep.2020.102905. PubMed PMID: 32818816; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7494591.

4. Koh G, Degasperi A, Zou X, Momen S, Nik-Zainal S. Mutational signatures: emerging

concepts, caveats and clinical applications. Nature reviews. 2021;21(10):619-37.

Epub 2021/07/29. doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00377-7. PubMed PMID: 34316057.

5. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Aparicio SA, Behjati S, Biankin AV, et al.

Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature. 2013;500(7463):415-

21. Epub 2013/08/16. doi: 10.1038/nature12477 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23945592.

6.   Roberts SA, Lawrence MS, Klimczak LJ, Grimm SA, Fargo D, Stojanov P, et al. An APOBEC

cytidine deaminase mutagenesis pattern is widespread in human cancers. Nat Genet.

2013;45(9):970-6. doi: 10.1038/ng.2702. PubMed PMID: 23852170; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMC3789062.

7.   Nik-Zainal S, Alexandrov LB, Wedge DC, Van Loo P, Greenman CD, Raine K, et al.

Mutational processes molding the genomes of 21 breast cancers. Cell.

2012;149(5):979-93. Epub 2012/05/23. doi: S0092-8674(12)00528-4 [pii]

10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.024. PubMed PMID: 22608084.

8.   Nik-Zainal S, Davies H, Staaf J, Ramakrishna M, Glodzik D, Zou X, et al. Landscape of

somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome sequences. Nature.

2016;534(7605):47-54. doi: 10.1038/nature17676. PubMed PMID: 27135926;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4910866.

9.   Burns MB, Lackey L, Carpenter MA, Rathore A, Land AM, Leonard B, et al. APOBEC3B is

an enzymatic source of mutation in breast cancer. Nature. 2013;494(7437):366-70.

Epub 2013/02/08. doi: 10.1038/nature11881 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23389445.

10.   Leonard B, Hart SN, Burns MB, Carpenter MA, Temiz NA, Rathore A, et al. APOBEC3B

upregulation and genomic mutation patterns in serous ovarian carcinoma. Cancer

Res. 2013;73(24):7222-31. Epub 2013/10/25. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1753.

PubMed PMID: 24154874; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3867573.

11.   Ito F, Fu Y, Kao SA, Yang H, Chen XS. Family-wide comparative analysis of cytidine and

methylcytidine deamination by eleven human APOBEC proteins. J Mol Biol.

2017;429(12):1787-99. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2017.04.021. PubMed PMID: 28479091;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5530319.

12.   Ito F, Yang H, Xiao X, Li SX, Wolfe A, Zirkle B, et al. Understanding the structure,

multimerization, subcellular localization and mC selectivity of a genomic mutator and

891   anti-HIV factor APOBEC3H. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):3763. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-

892   21955-0. PubMed PMID: 29491387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5830531.

893   13.   Hultquist JF, Lengyel JA, Refsland EW, LaRue RS, Lackey L, Brown WL, et al. Human and

894   rhesus APOBEC3D, APOBEC3F, APOBEC3G, and APOBEC3H demonstrate a

895   conserved capacity to restrict Vif-deficient HIV-1. J Virol. 2011;85(21):11220-34. Epub

896   2011/08/13. doi: JVI.05238-11 [pii] 10.1128/JVI.05238-11. PubMed PMID: 21835787;

897   PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3194973.

898   14.   Carpenter MA, Li M, Rathore A, Lackey L, Law EK, Land AM, et al. Methylcytosine and

899   normal cytosine deamination by the foreign DNA restriction enzyme APOBEC3A. J

900   Biol Chem. 2012;287(41):34801-8. Epub 2012/08/17. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.385161

901   [pii]. PubMed PMID: 22896697; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3464582.

902   15.   Suspène R, Aynaud MM, Vartanian JP, Wain-Hobson S. Efficient deamination of 5-

903   methylcytidine and 5-substituted cytidine residues in DNA by human APOBEC3A

904   cytidine deaminase. PLoS One. 2013;8(6):e63461. Epub 2013/07/11. doi:

905   10.1371/journal.pone.0063461 PONE-D-13-02127 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23840298;

906   PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3688686.

907   16.   Shi K, Carpenter MA, Banerjee S, Shaban NM, Kurahashi K, Salamango DJ, et al. Structural

908   basis for targeted DNA cytosine deamination and mutagenesis by APOBEC3A and

909   APOBEC3B. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2017;24(2):131-9. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.3344. PubMed

910   PMID: 27991903; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5296220.

911   17.   Schutsky EK, Nabel CS, Davis AKF, DeNizio JE, Kohli RM. APOBEC3A efficiently

912   deaminates methylated, but not TET-oxidized, cytosine bases in DNA. Nucleic Acids

913   Res. 2017;45(13):7655-65. Epub 2017/05/05. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx345. PubMed

914   PMID: 28472485; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5570014.

915   18.   Alexandrov LB, Jones PH, Wedge DC, Sale JE, Campbell PJ, Nik-Zainal S, et al. Clock-like

916   mutational processes in human somatic cells. Nat Genet. 2015;47(12):1402-7. Epub

2015/11/10. doi: 10.1038/ng.3441. PubMed PMID: 26551669; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4783858.

19. Burns MB, Temiz NA, Harris RS. Evidence for APOBEC3B mutagenesis in multiple human

cancers. Nat Genet. 2013;45(9):977-83. Epub 2013/07/16. doi: 10.1038/ng.2701 [pii].

PubMed PMID: 23852168.

20. Sieuwerts AM, Schrijver WA, Dalm SU, de Weerd V, Moelans CB, Ter Hoeve N, et al.

Progressive APOBEC3B mRNA expression in distant breast cancer metastases.

PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0171343. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171343. PubMed

PMID: 28141868; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5283735 sharing data and materials.

21. Sieuwerts AM, Willis S, Burns MB, Look MP, Meijer-Van Gelder ME, Schlicker A, et al.

Elevated APOBEC3B correlates with poor outcomes for estrogen-receptor-positive

breast cancers. Hormones & Cancer. 2014;5(6):405-13. doi: 10.1007/s12672-014-

0196-8. PubMed PMID: 25123150; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4228172.

22. Angus L, Smid M, Wilting SM, van Riet J, Van Hoeck A, Nguyen L, et al. The genomic

landscape of metastatic breast cancer highlights changes in mutation and signature

frequencies. Nat Genet. 2019;51(10):1450-8. Epub 2019/10/02. doi: 10.1038/s41588-

019-0507-7. PubMed PMID: 31570896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6858873.

23. Moore L, Cagan A, Coorens THH, Neville MDC, Sanghvi R, Sanders MA, et al. The

mutational landscape of human somatic and germline cells. Nature.

2021;597(7876):381-6. Epub 2021/08/27. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03822-7. PubMed

PMID: 34433962.

24. Harris RS, Dudley JP. APOBECs and virus restriction. Virology. 2015;479-480C:131-45.

doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.012. PubMed PMID: 25818029; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4424171.

25. Simon V, Bloch N, Landau NR. Intrinsic host restrictions to HIV-1 and mechanisms of viral

escape. Nat Immunol. 2015;16(6):546-53. doi: 10.1038/ni.3156. PubMed PMID:

25988886.

26. Silvas TV, Schiffer CA. APOBEC3s: DNA-editing human cytidine deaminases. Protein Sci.

2019;28(9):1552-66. Epub 2019/06/27. doi: 10.1002/pro.3670. PubMed PMID:

31241202; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6699113.

27. Cortez LM, Brown AL, Dennis MA, Collins CD, Brown AJ, Mitchell D, et al. APOBEC3A is a

prominent cytidine deaminase in breast cancer. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(12):e1008545.

Epub 2019/12/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008545. PubMed PMID: 31841499.

28. Westrich JA, Warren CJ, Klausner MJ, Guo K, Liu CW, Santiago ML, et al. Human

papillomavirus 16 E7 stabilizes APOBEC3A protein by inhibiting Cullin 2-dependent

protein degradation. J Virol. 2018;92(7). Epub 2018/01/26. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01318-17.

PubMed PMID: 29367246; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5972886.

29. Kouno T, Silvas TV, Hilbert BJ, Shandilya SMD, Bohn MF, Kelch BA, et al. Crystal structure

of APOBEC3A bound to single-stranded DNA reveals structural basis for cytidine

deamination and specificity. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15024. Epub 2017/04/30. doi:

10.1038/ncomms15024. PubMed PMID: 28452355; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5414352.

30. Green AM, Landry S, Budagyan K, Avgousti DC, Shalhout S, Bhagwat AS, et al.

APOBEC3A damages the cellular genome during DNA replication. Cell Cycle.

2016;15(7):998-1008. doi: 10.1080/15384101.2016.1152426. PubMed PMID:

26918916.

31. Chen H, Lilley CE, Yu Q, Lee DV, Chou J, Narvaiza I, et al. APOBEC3A is a potent inhibitor

of adeno-associated virus and retrotransposons. Curr Biol. 2006;16(5):480-5. PubMed

PMID: 16527742.

32. Faden DL, Thomas S, Cantalupo PG, Agrawal N, Myers J, DeRisi J. Multi-modality analysis

supports APOBEC as a major source of mutations in head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma. Oral Oncology. 2017;74:8-14. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2017.09.002.

PubMed PMID: 29103756.

33. Warren CJ, Xu T, Guo K, Griffin LM, Westrich JA, Lee D, et al. APOBEC3A functions as a

restriction factor of human papillomavirus. J Virol. 2015;89(1):688-702. doi:

10.1128/JVI.02383-14. PubMed PMID: 25355878; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC4301161.

34. Taylor BJ, Nik-Zainal S, Wu YL, Stebbings LA, Raine K, Campbell PJ, et al. DNA

deaminases induce break-associated mutation showers with implication of

APOBEC3B and 3A in breast cancer kataegis. Elife. 2013;2:e00534. Epub

2013/04/20. doi: 10.7554/eLife.00534 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23599896; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMC3628087.

35. Verhalen B, Starrett GJ, Harris RS, Jiang M. Functional upregulation of the DNA cytosine

deaminase APOBEC3B by polyomaviruses. J Virol. 2016;90(14):6379-86. doi:

10.1128/JVI.00771-16. PubMed PMID: 27147740.

36. Vieira VC, Leonard B, White EA, Starrett GJ, Temiz NA, Lorenz LD, et al. Human

papillomavirus E6 triggers upregulation of the antiviral and cancer genomic DNA

deaminase APOBEC3B. MBio. 2014;5(6). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02234-14. PubMed

PMID: 25538195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4278539.

37. Starrett GJ, Serebrenik AA, Roelofs PA, McCann JL, Verhalen B, Jarvis MC, et al.

Polyomavirus T antigen induces APOBEC3B expression using an LXCXE-dependent

and TP53-independent mechanism. MBio. 2019;10(1). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02690-18.

PubMed PMID: 30723127.

38. Walker BA, Wardell CP, Murison A, Boyle EM, Begum DB, Dahir NM, et al. APOBEC family

mutational signatures are associated with poor prognosis translocations in multiple

myeloma. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6997. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7997. PubMed PMID:

25904160; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4568299.

39. Roelofs PA, Goh CY, Chua BH, Jarvis MC, Stewart TA, McCann JL, et al. Characterization

of the mechanism by which the RB/E2F pathway controls expression of the cancer

genomic DNA deaminase APOBEC3B. Elife. 2020;9. Epub 2020/09/29. doi:

10.7554/eLife.61287. PubMed PMID: 32985974; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7553775.

40. Salamango DJ, McCann JL, Demir O, Brown WL, Amaro RE, Harris RS. APOBEC3B

nuclear localization requires two distinct N-terminal domain surfaces. J Mol Biol.

2018;430(17):2695-708. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.044. PubMed PMID: 29787764.

41. de Bruin EC, McGranahan N, Mitter R, Salm M, Wedge DC, Yates L, et al. Spatial and

temporal diversity in genomic instability processes defines lung cancer evolution.

Science. 2014;346(6206):251-6. doi: 10.1126/science.1253462. PubMed PMID:

25301630.

42. Argyris PP, Wilkinson PE, Jarvis MC, Magliocca KR, Patel MR, Vogel RI, et al. Endogenous

APOBEC3B overexpression characterizes HPV-positive and HPV-negative oral

epithelial dysplasias and head and neck cancers. Modern Pathology 2020. Epub

2020/07/08. doi: 10.1038/s41379-020-0617-x. PubMed PMID: 32632179.

43. Yamazaki H, Shirakawa K, Matsumoto T, Hirabayashi S, Murakawa Y, Kobayashi M, et al.

Endogenous APOBEC3B overexpression constitutively generates DNA substitutions

and deletions in myeloma cells. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):7122. Epub 2019/05/11. doi:

10.1038/s41598-019-43575-y. PubMed PMID: 31073151; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6509214.

44. Yamazaki H, Shirakawa K, Matsumoto T, Kazuma Y, Matsui H, Horisawa Y, et al.

APOBEC3B reporter myeloma cell lines identify DNA damage response pathways

leading to APOBEC3B expression. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0223463. Epub

2020/01/09. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223463. PubMed PMID: 31914134; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6948746.

45. Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Alexandrov LB, Petljak M, Butler AP, Bolli N, et al. Association of

a germline copy number polymorphism of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B with burden

of putative APOBEC-dependent mutations in breast cancer. Nat Genet.

2014;46(5):487-91. doi: 10.1038/ng.2955. PubMed PMID: 24728294; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMC4137149.

46. Starrett GJ, Luengas EM, McCann JL, Ebrahimi D, Temiz NA, Love RP, et al. The DNA

cytosine deaminase APOBEC3H haplotype I likely contributes to breast and lung

cancer mutagenesis. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12918. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12918.

PubMed PMID: 27650891.

47. Chen TW, Lee CC, Liu H, Wu CS, Pickering CR, Huang PJ, et al. APOBEC3A is an oral

cancer prognostic biomarker in Taiwanese carriers of an APOBEC deletion

polymorphism. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):465. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00493-9.

PubMed PMID: 28878238; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5587710.

48. Hix MA, Wong L, Flath B, Chelico L, Cisneros GA. Single-nucleotide polymorphism of the

DNA cytosine deaminase APOBEC3H haplotype I leads to enzyme destabilization and

correlates with lung cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(3):zcaa023. Epub 2020/09/29. doi:

10.1093/narcan/zcaa023. PubMed PMID: 32984821; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7503452.

49. Feng Y, Love RP, Ara A, Baig TT, Adolph MB, Chelico L. Natural polymorphisms and

oligomerization of human APOBEC3H contribute to single-stranded DNA scanning

ability. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(45):27188-203. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.666065.

PubMed PMID: 26396192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4646388.

50. Chan K, Roberts SA, Klimczak LJ, Sterling JF, Saini N, Malc EP, et al. An APOBEC3A

hypermutation signature is distinguishable from the signature of background

mutagenesis by APOBEC3B in human cancers. Nat Genet. 2015;47(9):1067-72. doi:

10.1038/ng.3378. PubMed PMID: 26258849.

51. Hoopes JI, Cortez LM, Mertz TM, Malc EP, Mieczkowski PA, Roberts SA. APOBEC3A and

APOBEC3B preferentially deaminate the lagging strand template during DNA

replication. Cell Rep. 2016;14(6):1273-82. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.01.021. PubMed

PMID: 26832400; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4758883.

52. Buisson R, Langenbucher A, Bowen D, Kwan EE, Benes CH, Zou L, et al. Passenger

hotspot mutations in cancer driven by APOBEC3A and mesoscale genomic features.

Science. 2019;364(6447). Epub 2019/06/30. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw2872. PubMed

PMID: 31249028; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6731024.

53. Petersen-Mahrt SK, Harris RS, Neuberger MS. AID mutates *E. coli* suggesting a DNA

deamination mechanism for antibody diversification. Nature. 2002;418(6893):99-103.

PubMed PMID: 12097915.

54. Harris RS, Petersen-Mahrt SK, Neuberger MS. RNA editing enzyme APOBEC1 and some

of its homologs can act as DNA mutators. Mol Cell. 2002;10(5):1247-53. PubMed

PMID: 12453430.

55. Mayorov VI, Rogozin IB, Adkison LR, Frahm C, Kunkel TA, Pavlov YI. Expression of human

AID in yeast induces mutations in context similar to the context of somatic

hypermutation at G-C pairs in immunoglobulin genes. BMC Immunol. 2005;6(1):10.

PubMed PMID: 15949042.

56. Schumacher AJ, Nissley DV, Harris RS. APOBEC3G hypermutates genomic DNA and

inhibits Ty1 retrotransposition in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(28):9854-

9. PubMed PMID: 16000409.

57. Chan K, Sterling JF, Roberts SA, Bhagwat AS, Resnick MA, Gordenin DA. Base damage

within single-strand DNA underlies *in vivo* hypermutability induced by a ubiquitous

environmental agent. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(12):e1003149. doi:

10.1371/journal.pgen.1003149. PubMed PMID: 23271983; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC3521656.

58. Refsland EW, Hultquist JF, Luengas EM, Ikeda T, Shaban NM, Law EK, et al. Natural

polymorphisms in human APOBEC3H and HIV-1 Vif combine in primary T
lymphocytes to affect viral G-to-A mutation levels and infectivity. PLoS Genet.
2014;10(11):e1004761. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004761. PubMed PMID:
25411794; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4238949.

59. Ebrahimi D, Richards CM, Carpenter MA, Wang J, Ikeda T, Becker JT, et al. Genetic and
mechanistic basis for APOBEC3H alternative splicing, retrovirus restriction, and
counteraction by HIV-1 protease. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4137. doi: 10.1038/s41467-
018-06594-3. PubMed PMID: 30297863; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6175962.

60. Chesarino NM, Emerman M. Polymorphisms in human APOBEC3H differentially regulate
ubiquitination and antiviral activity. Viruses. 2020;12(4). Epub 2020/04/03. doi:
10.3390/v12040378. PubMed PMID: 32235597; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7232234.

61. Periyasamy M, Patel H, Lai CF, Nguyen VT, Nevedomskaya E, Harrod A, et al. APOBEC3B-
mediated cytidine deamination is required for estrogen receptor action in breast
cancer. Cell Rep. 2015;13(1):108-21. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.08.066. PubMed
PMID: 26411678; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4597099.

62. Green AM, Budagyan K, Hayer KE, Reed MA, Savani MR, Wertheim GB, et al. Cytosine
deaminase APOBEC3A sensitizes leukemia cells to inhibition of the DNA replication
checkpoint. Cancer Res. 2017;77(17):4579-88. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-
3394. PubMed PMID: 28655787; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5581702.

63. Landry S, Narvaiza I, Linfesty DC, Weitzman MD. APOBEC3A can activate the DNA
damage response and cause cell-cycle arrest. EMBO Rep. 2011;12(5):444-50. Epub
2011/04/05. doi: embor201146 [pii] 10.1038/embor.2011.46. PubMed PMID:
21460793.

64. Land AM, Law EK, Carpenter MA, Lackey L, Brown WL, Harris RS. Endogenous
APOBEC3A DNA cytosine deaminase is cytoplasmic and nongenotoxic. J Biol Chem.

2013;288(24):17253-60. Epub 2013/05/04. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.458661 [pii].

PubMed PMID: 23640892; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3682529.

65. Jarvis MC, Ebrahimi D, Temiz NA, Harris RS. Mutation signatures including APOBEC in

cancer cell lines. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2018;2(1). doi: 10.1093/jncics/pky002. PubMed

PMID: 29888758; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5993214.

66. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Campbell PJ, Stratton MR. Deciphering signatures

of mutational processes operative in human cancer. Cell Rep. 2013;3(1):246-59. Epub

2013/01/16. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.12.008. PubMed PMID: 23318258; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC3588146.

67. Law EK, Levin-Klein R, Jarvis MC, Kim H, Argyris PP, Carpenter MA, et al. APOBEC3A

catalyzes mutation and drives carcinogenesis *in vivo*. J Exp Med. 2020;217(12). Epub

2020/09/02. doi: 10.1084/jem.20200261. PubMed PMID: 32870257.

68. Durfee C, Temiz NA, Levin-Klein R, Argyris PP, Alsøe L, Carracedo S, et al. Human

APOBEC3B promotes tumor heterogeneity in vivo including signature mutations and

metastases. *bioRxiv*. 2023;

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.02.24.529970v1

69. Jalili P, Bowen D, Langenbucher A, Park S, Aguirre K, Corcoran RB, et al. Quantification of

ongoing APOBEC3A activity in tumor cells by monitoring RNA editing at hotspots. Nat

Commun. 2020;11(1):2971. Epub 2020/06/14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16802-8.

PubMed PMID: 32532990; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7293259.

70. Langenbucher A, Bowen D, Sakhtemani R, Bournique E, Wise JF, Zou L, et al. An extended

APOBEC3A mutation signature in cancer. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1602. Epub

2021/03/13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21891-0. PubMed PMID: 33707442; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC7952602.

71. Sharma S, Patnaik SK, Taggart RT, Kannisto ED, Enriquez SM, Gollnick P, et al.

APOBEC3A cytidine deaminase induces RNA editing in monocytes and

macrophages. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6881. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7881. PubMed
PMID: 25898173; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4411297.

72. Roberts SA, Sterling J, Thompson C, Harris S, Mav D, Shah R, et al. Clustered mutations
in yeast and in human cancers can arise from damaged long single-strand DNA
regions. Mol Cell. 2012;46(4):424-35. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.03.030. PubMed
PMID: 22607975; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3361558.

73. DeWeerd RA, Nemeth E, Poti A, Petryk N, Chen CL, Hyrien O, et al. Prospectively defined
patterns of APOBEC3A mutagenesis are prevalent in human cancers. Cell Rep.
2022;38(12):110555. Epub 2022/03/24. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110555. PubMed
PMID: 35320711.

74. Henderson S, Chakravarthy A, Su X, Boshoff C, Fenton TR. APOBEC-mediated cytosine
deamination links PIK3CA helical domain mutations to human papillomavirus-driven
tumor development. Cell Rep. 2014;7(6):1833-41. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.05.012.
PubMed PMID: 24910434.

75. Zou X, Koh GCC, Nanda AS, Degasperi A, Urgo K, Roumeliotis TI, et al. A systematic
CRISPR screen defines mutational mechanisms underpinning signatures caused by
replication errors and endogenous DNA damage. Nat Cancer. 2021;2(6):643-57. Epub
2021/06/25. doi: 10.1038/s43018-021-00200-0. PubMed PMID: 34164627; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC7611045.

76. Zou X, Owusu M, Harris R, Jackson SP, Loizou JI, Nik-Zainal S. Validating the concept of
mutational signatures with isogenic cell models. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1744. Epub
2018/05/03. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04052-8. PubMed PMID: 29717121; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5931590.

77. Warren CJ, Westrich JA, Doorslaer KV, Pyeon D. Roles of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B in
human papillomavirus infection and disease progression. Viruses. 2017;9(8). doi:
10.3390/v9080233. PubMed PMID: 28825669; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC5580490.

78.   Leonard B, McCann JL, Starrett GJ, Kosyakovsky L, Luengas EM, Molan AM, et al. The

PKC/NF-kappaB signaling pathway induces APOBEC3B expression in multiple

human cancers. Cancer Res. 2015;75(21):4538-47. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-

2171-T. PubMed PMID: 26420215; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4631676.

79.   Periyasamy M, Singh AK, Gemma C, Kranjec C, Farzan R, Leach DA, et al. p53 controls

expression of the DNA deaminase APOBEC3B to limit its potential mutagenic activity

in cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(19):11056-69. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx721.

PubMed PMID: 28977491; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5737468.

80.   Cho RJ, Alexandrov LB, den Breems NY, Atanasova VS, Farshchian M, Purdom E, et al.

APOBEC mutation drives early-onset squamous cell carcinomas in recessive

dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Sci Transl Med. 2018;10(455). doi:

10.1126/scitranslmed.aas9668. PubMed PMID: 30135250.

81.   Oh S, Bournique E, Bowen D, Jalili P, Sanchez A, Ward I, et al. Genotoxic stress and viral

infection induce transient expression of APOBEC3A and pro-inflammatory genes

through two distinct pathways. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4917. Epub 2021/08/15. doi:

10.1038/s41467-021-25203-4. PubMed PMID: 34389714; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC8363607.

82.   Maruyama W, Shirakawa K, Matsui H, Matsumoto T, Yamazaki H, Sarca AD, et al. Classical

NF-kappaB pathway is responsible for APOBEC3B expression in cancer cells.

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016;478(3):1466-71. doi:

10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.08.148. PubMed PMID: 27577680.

83.   Akre MK, Starrett GJ, Quist JS, Temiz NA, Carpenter MA, Tutt AN, et al. Mutation processes

in 293-based clones overexpressing the DNA cytosine deaminase APOBEC3B. PloS

One. 2016;11(5):e0155391. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155391. PubMed PMID:

27163364; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4862684.

84.   Nikkila J, Kumar R, Campbell J, Brandsma I, Pemberton HN, Wallberg F, et al. Elevated

1178   APOBEC3B expression drives a kataegic-like mutation signature and replication

1179   stress-related therapeutic vulnerabilities in p53-defective cells. British Journal of

1180   Cancer. 2017;117(1):113-23. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.133. PubMed PMID: 28535155;

1181   PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5520199.

1182   85.   Petljak M, Alexandrov LB, Brammeld JS, Price S, Wedge DC, Grossmann S, et al.

1183   Characterizing mutational signatures in human cancer cell lines reveals episodic

1184   APOBEC mutagenesis. Cell. 2019;176(6):1282-94 e20. Epub 2019/03/09. doi:

1185   10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.012. PubMed PMID: 30849372; PubMed Central PMCID:

1186   PMCPMC6424819.

1187   86.   Petljak M, Dananberg A, Chu K, Bergstrom EN, Striepen J, von Morgen P, et al.

1188   Mechanisms of APOBEC3 mutagenesis in human cancer cells. Nature.

1189   2022;607(7920):799-807. Epub 20220720. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04972-y.

1190   PubMed PMID: 35859169; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9329121.

1191   87.   Law EK, Sieuwerts AM, LaPara K, Leonard B, Starrett GJ, Molan AM, et al. The DNA

1192   cytosine deaminase APOBEC3B promotes tamoxifen resistance in ER-positive breast

1193   cancer. Sci Adv. 2016;2(10):e1601737. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1601737. PubMed PMID:

1194   27730215.

1195   88.   Chen Z, Wen W, Bao J, Kuhs KL, Cai Q, Long J, et al. Integrative genomic analyses of

1196   APOBEC-mutational signature, expression and germline deletion of APOBEC3 genes,

1197   and immunogenicity in multiple cancer types. BMC Medical Genomics.

1198   2019;12(1):131. Epub 2019/09/20. doi: 10.1186/s12920-019-0579-3. PubMed PMID:

1199   31533728; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6751822.

1200   89.   Glaser AP, Fantini D, Wang Y, Yu Y, Rimar KJ, Podojil JR, et al. APOBEC-mediated

1201   mutagenesis in urothelial carcinoma is associated with improved survival, mutations

1202   in DNA damage response genes, and immune response. Oncotarget. 2018;9(4):4537-

48. Epub 2018/02/13. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.23344. PubMed PMID: 29435122;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5796993.

90.   Middlebrooks CD, Banday AR, Matsuda K, Udquim KI, Onabajo OO, Paquin A, et al.

Association of germline variants in the APOBEC3 region with cancer risk and

enrichment with APOBEC-signature mutations in tumors. Nat Genet.

2016;48(11):1330-8. Epub 2016/10/28. doi: 10.1038/ng.3670. PubMed PMID:

27643540; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6583788.

91.   Cannataro VL, Gaffney SG, Sasaki T, Issaeva N, Grewal NKS, Grandis JR, et al. APOBEC-

induced mutations and their cancer effect size in head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma. Oncogene. 2019;38(18):3475-87. Epub 2019/01/17. doi: 10.1038/s41388-

018-0657-6. PubMed PMID: 30647454; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6499643.

92.   Driscoll CB, Schuelke MR, Kottke T, Thompson JM, Wongthida P, Tonne JM, et al.

APOBEC3B-mediated corruption of the tumor cell immunopeptidome induces

heteroclitic neoepitopes for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):790.

Epub 2020/02/09. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14568-7. PubMed PMID: 32034147;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7005822.

93.   Tsuboi M, Yamane A, Horiguchi J, Yokobori T, Kawabata-Iwakawa R, Yoshiyama S, et al.

APOBEC3B high expression status is associated with aggressive phenotype in

Japanese breast cancers. Breast cancer. 2016;23(5):780-8. Epub 2015/10/20. doi:

10.1007/s12282-015-0641-8. PubMed PMID: 26476745.

94.   Brown WL, Law EK, Argyris PP, Carpenter MA, Levin-Klein R, Ranum AN, et al. A rabbit

monoclonal antibody against the antiviral and cancer genomic DNA mutating enzyme

APOBEC3B. Antibodies (Basel). 2019;8(3). Epub 2019/09/24. doi:

10.3390/antib8030047. PubMed PMID: 31544853.

95.   Uphoff CC, Drexler HG. Detecting mycoplasma contamination in cell cultures by polymerase

chain reaction. Methods Mol Biol. 2011;731:93-103. Epub 2011/04/26. doi:

10.1007/978-1-61779-080-5_8. PubMed PMID: 21516400.

96.   Stenglein MD, Burns MB, Li M, Lengyel J, Harris RS. APOBEC3 proteins mediate the

1231   clearance of foreign DNA from human cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17(2):222-9.

1232   PubMed PMID: 20062055.

1233   97.   Refsland EW, Stenglein MD, Shindo K, Albin JS, Brown WL, Harris RS. Quantitative profiling

1234   of the full *APOBEC3* mRNA repertoire in lymphocytes and tissues: implications for

1235   HIV-1 restriction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:4274-84. PubMed PMID: 20308164.

1236   98.   Wang J, Becker JT, Shi K, Lauer KV, Salamango DJ, Aihara H, et al. The role of RNA in

1237   HIV-1 Vif-mediated degradation of APOBEC3H. J Mol Biol. 2019;431(24):5019-31.

1238   Epub 2019/10/20. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.014. PubMed PMID: 31628948;

1239   PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6948013.

1240   99.   Lackey L, Demorest ZL, Land AM, Hultquist JF, Brown WL, Harris RS. APOBEC3B and AID

1241   have similar nuclear import mechanisms. J Mol Biol. 2012;419(5):301-14. Epub

1242   2012/03/27. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2012.03.011 S0022-2836(12)00266-5 [pii]. PubMed

1243   PMID: 22446380; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3368237.

1244   100. Shi K, Carpenter MA, Kurahashi K, Harris RS, Aihara H. Crystal structure of the DNA

1245   deaminase APOBEC3B catalytic domain. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(47):28120-30. doi:

1246   10.1074/jbc.M115.679951. PubMed PMID: 26416889; PubMed Central PMCID:

1247   PMC4653671.

1248   101. Shaban NM, Yan R, Shi K, Moraes SN, Cheng AZ, Carpenter MA, et al. Cryo-EM structure

1249   of the EBV ribonucleotide reductase BORF2 and mechanism of APOBEC3B inhibition.

1250   Science Advances. 2022;8(17):eabm2827. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abm2827.

1251   102. McCann JL, Cristini A, Law EK, Lee SY, Tellier M, Carpenter MA, et al. R-loop homeostasis

1252   and cancer mutagenesis promoted by the DNA cytosine deaminase APOBEC3B.

1253   BioRxiv. 2021. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.30.458235v1

1254   103. Ikeda T, Shimizu R, Nasser H, Carpenter MA, Cheng AZ, Brown WL, et al. APOBEC3

degradation is the primary function of HIV-1 Vif for virus replication in the myeloid cell line THP-1. bioRxiv. 2023. Epub 20230329. doi: 10.1101/2023.03.28.534666. PubMed PMID: 37034786; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10081227.

104. McNamara RP, McCann JL, Gudipaty SA, D'Orso I. Transcription factors mediate the enzymatic disassembly of promoter-bound 7SK snRNP to locally recruit P-TEFb for transcription elongation. Cell Rep. 2013;5(5):1256-68. Epub 2013/12/10. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.003. PubMed PMID: 24316072; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3882317.

105. Lackey L, Law EK, Brown WL, Harris RS. Subcellular localization of the APOBEC3 proteins during mitosis and implications for genomic DNA deamination. Cell Cycle. 2013;12(5):762-72. Epub 2013/02/08. doi: 10.4161/cc.23713 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23388464; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3610724.

106. Gyori BM, Venkatachalam G, Thiagarajan PS, Hsu D, Clement MV. OpenComet: an automated tool for comet assay image analysis. Redox Biol. 2014;2:457-65. Epub 2014/03/14. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2013.12.020. PubMed PMID: 24624335; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3949099.

107. Chiang C, Layer RM, Faust GG, Lindberg MR, Rose DB, Garrison EP, et al. SpeedSeq: ultra-fast personal genome analysis and interpretation. Nat Methods. 2015;12(10):966-8. Epub 2015/08/11. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3505. PubMed PMID: 26258291; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4589466.

108. Blokzijl F, Janssen R, van Boxtel R, Cuppen E. MutationalPatterns: comprehensive genome-wide analysis of mutational processes. Genome Medicine. 2018;10(1):33. Epub 2018/04/27. doi: 10.1186/s13073-018-0539-0. PubMed PMID: 29695279; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5922316.

109. Rosenthal R, McGranahan N, Herrero J, Taylor BS, Swanton C. DeconstructSigs: delineating mutational processes in single tumors distinguishes DNA repair

1281   deficiencies and patterns of carcinoma evolution. Genome Biol. 2016;17:31. Epub

1282   20160222. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0893-4. PubMed PMID: 26899170; PubMed

1283   Central PMCID: PMCPMC4762164.

1284 110. Cer RZ, Bruce KH, Donohue DE, Temiz NA, Mudunuri US, Yi M, et al. Searching for non-B

1285   DNA-forming motifs using nBMST (non-B DNA motif search tool). Curr Protoc Hum

1286   Genet. 2012;Chapter 18:Unit 18 7 1-22. doi: 10.1002/0471142905.hg1807s73.

1287   PubMed PMID: 22470144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3350812.

1288 111. Cameron DL, Schroder J, Penington JS, Do H, Molania R, Dobrovic A, et al. GRIDSS:

1289   sensitive and specific genomic rearrangement detection using positional de Bruijn

1290   graph assembly. Genome Res. 2017;27(12):2050-60. Epub 2017/11/04. doi:

1291   10.1101/gr.222109.117. PubMed PMID: 29097403; PubMed Central PMCID:

1292   PMCPMC5741059.

1293

Figure Legends – Main and Figure Supplements

Figure 1. A3 activity in the HAP1-TK-M9 mutation reporter system.

(**A**) Schematic of the construction of the HAP1-TK-M9 system and overall experimental workflow (see **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1** for HAP1-TK-M9 karyotype).

(**B**) *A3* mRNA levels in parental HAP1 cells in comparison to the HAP1-TK-M9 daughter clone by RT-qPCR (p-values from Welch's t-test).

(**C-E**) Immunoblots of A3A, A3B, and A3H in WCE 24 hrs post-transfection of 293T cells. Primary antibodies are UMN-13, 5210-87-13, and P1-D8, respectively. Mouse anti-β-actin (ACT) is a loading control for the A3A and A3B blots, and rabbit anti-β-actin (ACT) for the A3H blot (see **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2** for anti-A3A UMN-13 mAb validation). The lower images show ssDNA deaminase activity of extracts from the same cell populations (S, substrate; P, product).

(**F**) IF microscopy images of HAP1-TK-M9 cells expressing the indicated A3 enzymes or treated with 4 μM cisplatin for 24 hrs. A3 staining is red, γ-H2AX staining is green, and nuclei are blue from Hoechst (scale bar = 20 µm).

(**G-H**) Quantification of pan-nuclear γ-H2AX intensity and discrete γ-H2AX foci, respectively, for each condition described in panel F (each data point represents an independent cell; n>25 cells per condition; p-values from one-way ANOVA test; red bar indicates mean for each group; representative of 2 biologically independent experiments).

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1. HAP1-TK-M9 karyotype analysis.

Representative G-band and spectral karyotype (SKY) images of HAP1-TK-M9 M-phase chromosomes showing a near diploid DNA content and previously reported aberrations including the reciprocal chromosome 9:22 translocation (Philadelphia chromosome) characteristic of CML tumor cells. The Y-chromosome is missing, as reported for the KBM7 parent line of HAP1.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2. Validation of UMN-13 as a custom rabbit anti-human

APOBEC3A mAb.

(**A**) Schematic of human A3A, A3B, and A3G indicating the unique N-terminal epitope used here

to generate the A3A-specific mAb UMN-13. The schematic also shows the C-terminal epitope

used previously to generate the versatile 5210-87-13 mAb that recognizes these three enzymes.

(**B**) Comparative immunoblots of whole cell extracts from 293T cells expressing each of the 7

human A3 family members with C-terminal HA tags. The blot was probed first with our custom

rabbit anti-human A3A mAb UMN-13 (left) and, after stripping, a commercial anti-HA mAb as an

expression control (right). The positions of the full-length proteins are indicated by red asterisks.

(**C**) Comparative immunoblots of whole cell extracts from the monocytic cell line THP-1 and a

clonal derivative lacking *A3A*-through-*A3G* (Δ*A-G*), each treated with DMSO as a control or

LPS/IFN-α to induce expression of multiple A3s including *A3A* and *A3G*. The UMN-13 mAb blot

on the left shows a single band representing full-length A3A (starting at Met1), which is absent in

the deletion mutant, and the 5210-87-13 mAb blot on the right shows A3G (strong top band), A3B

(weak band just below A3G), and both A3A translation products (strong band for full-length A3A

starting at Met1 and a faster-migrating band for the shorter isoform starting at Met13), which are

all absent in the deletion mutant.

(**D**) IF microscopy images of 293T cells expressing A3A-mCherry, A3B-mCherry, or A3G-

mCherry. Only the A3A construct is detected by the UMN-13 mAb as indicated by green signal in

the same cells and cellular compartments as the A3A-mCherry signal.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3. Additional IF microscopy images of HAP1-TK-M9 cells

expressing A3A, A3B, and catalytic mutant derivatives.

(**A-B**) Additional IF-images of HAP1-TK-M9 cells mock-transduced and DMF or cisplatin treated,

respectively, and stained as indicated in parallel with cells in panels C-F (scale = 20 μm).

(**C-F**) Additional IF-images of HAP1-TK-M9 cells transduced with the indicated A3 expression

1346   constructs and stained with the 5210-87-13 rabbit anti-human A3A/B mAb, γ-H2AX, and Hoechst

1347   (scale = 20 μm).

1348

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4. A3 localization, γ-H2AX staining, and DNA breakage in

HeLa cells.

(**A**) IF-images of HeLa cells transfected with the indicated A3 expression constructs and stained

for A3A/B (5210-87-13 rabbit anti-human A3A/B mAb) or A3H (Novus ARP10 rabbit anti-human

A3H pAb), γ-H2AX, and Hoechst (scale = 20 μm).

(**B**) Quantification of nuclear γ-H2AX staining intensity in the different A3 expressing conditions in

panel A (n>50 cells per condition; red bars indicate mean expression levels; p-values by Welch's

t-test).

(**C**) Dot plot of nuclear γ-H2AX staining intensity versus A3A staining levels for A3A and A3A-

E72A expressing cells shown in panel B. Correlation coefficients (R-values) indicate that A3A

expression levels fail to correlate with nuclear γ-H2AX staining intensity. The p-value by Welch's

t-test reflects the difference between that A3A and A3A-E72A data sets.

(**D**) Dot plot of nuclear γ-H2AX staining intensity versus A3B staining levels for A3B and A3B-

E255A expressing cells shown in panel B. Correlation coefficients (R-values) indicate that A3B

but not A3B-E255A expression levels associate with nuclear γ-H2AX staining intensity. The p-

value by Welch's t-test reflects the difference between that A3B and A3B-E255A data sets.

(**E**) Representative comets from HeLa cells mock transduced, treated with 2 μM camptothecin

(CPT), or transduced with expression constructs for A3A, A3B, or catalytic mutant derivatives

(scale = 50 μm).

(**F**) Quantification of tail moment for >50 cells per condition indicated in panel E (red bars indicate

mean tail moment; p-values by one-way ANOVA).

1370

Figure 2. Characterization of *TK* mutations in ganciclovir-resistant clones.

(**A**) A dot plot of Gan^R colonies generated under the indicated A3 expression or control conditions.

Each data point represents the number of Gan^R mutants in a single clonal culture (mean +/- SD

shown with p-values determined using Welch's t-test).

(**B**) Schematics representing all *TK* mutations observed under the indicated A3 expression

conditions (APOBEC3 signature T(C>T/G)W mutations in red, other SBSs in black, and INDELs

in blue; see **Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1** for schematics of individual *TK* mutants for these

and vector control conditions). Q8X and R212K mutation hotspots are labeled.

(**C-D**) Pentanucleotide logos depicting the -2 and +2 sequence preferences flanking all

T(C>T/G)W mutations that accumulated during A3A- or A3B-expression.

1381

1382   **Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Mutations in *TK* genes derived from ganciclovir-resistant**

1383   **clones.**

1384   Schematics of individual *TK* mutations in ganciclovir-resistant clones. T[C>G/T]W mutations are

1385   shown in red, other SBSs in black, and INDELs in blue. Composite mutation schematics are

1386   shown below for each condition.

1387

Figure 3. Single base substitution mutation signatures in genomes of ganciclovir-resistant

clones.

(**A**) Trinucleotide profiles of pooled SBSs across all clones sequenced for each listed experimental

condition (A3A n=6; A3A-E72A n=2; A3B n=5; A3B-E255A n=2). See **Figure 3 – Figure**

Supplement 1 and 2 for mRNA and protein level expression confirmation, respectively, and

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5 for SBS profiles from each WGS.

(**B**) Pentanucleotide logos depicting -2, +1 and +2 sequence preferences flanking all C-to-T and

C-to-G mutated TC motifs in WGS from HAP1-TK-M9 cells expressing A3A or A3B in comparison

to aggregate controls (catalytic mutants and GFP only conditions, which do not show evidence

for APOBEC3 signature mutations; **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5**). The distribution of

nucleobases flanking all TC motifs in the human genome is shown for comparison.

1399

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. *A3* mRNA expression in the HAP1-TK-M9 system.

(**A**) *A3A*, *A3B*, and *A3H* mRNA expression levels relative to those of the housekeeping gene *TBP*

for the indicated HAP1-TK-M9 conditions (RNA-seq FKPM from n$\geq$2 Gan^R clones for each

condition; mean +/- SD shown). RNA-seq data from A3 signature-high breast cancer cell lines

(BT-474 and MDA-MB-453), CCLE breast-derived cell lines (n=52), and TGCA primary breast

cancers (n=1093) for comparison (mean +/- SD).

(**B**) A heatmap depicting mean expression levels of all 7 human *APOBEC3* family members, in

addition to *AICDA*, *APOBEC1*, *APOBEC2*, and *APOBEC4*, relative to those of the housekeeping

gene *TBP* (RNA-seq values are FKPM; n$\geq$2 for each condition to provide matching data sets for

the Gan^R clones subjected to WGS). Endogenous *A3C* provides a consistent internal control.

1410

1411 **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2. A3 protein expression in Gan^R clones.**

1412 (**A**) Immunoblots of A3A, A3B, and A3H in the indicated Gan^R clones. Tubulin (TUB) is a loading

1413 control.

1414 (**B**) Deaminase activity of WCE on ssDNA from the same clones (S, substrate; P, product).

1415

1416 **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3. Representative MLV-A3 insertion sites in HAP1-TK-M9**

1417 **clones.**

1418 A table indicating chromosomal locations of representative MLV-A3 insertion sites in HAP-1-TK-

1419 M9 clones. In each clone, a single MLV-A3 insertion is positioned in the window between the

1420 indicated nucleotides.

1421

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4. SBS mutation summary.

(**A-C**) Dot plots showing total numbers of SBS mutations and cytosine mutations in NCN and

TCW motifs, respectively, in WGS from individual Gan^R granddaughter clones (p-values using

Welch's t-test).

(**D-E**) Dot plots showing total numbers of cytosine mutations in NCN and TCW motifs,

respectively, in WGS from individual A3A and A3B expressing Gan^R granddaughter clones in

comparison to all non-catalytic controls combined (p-values using Welch's t-test).

1429

1430   **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 5. Single base substitution mutation profiles of individual**

1431   **ganciclovir-resistant clones by whole genome sequencing.**

1432   Trinucleotide profiles of all SBS mutations in WGSs from the indicated Gan^R clones (conditions,

1433   clone names, and total SBS numbers are indicated to the right in each profile). Aggregate profiles

1434   for A3A, A3B, and corresponding catalytic mutant controls are shown in **Figure 3**.

1435

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 6. APOBEC3 mutation signature analysis using an

alternative NMF-based workflow.

(**A**) Mutation signature profiles extracted from Gan^R clone WGS using an NMF-based approach.

The SBSs of each clone resolved into 2 signatures - an APOBEC3-like mutation signature shown

in red and a background signature in blue. APOBEC3 mutation enrichment scores are shown

above each bar, with significantly enriched values shown in red (Benjamini-Hochberg false

discovery rate-corrected q-value < 0.05). The dashed line represents the average level of

APOBEC3 signature mutations observed in the two eGFP control clones (*i.e.*, background signal).

(**B**) Trinucleotide mutation profiles of signatures A and B derived using NMF with the former

exhibiting an APOBEC3 SBS signature (C-to-T and C-to-G in TCA and TCT motifs).

1446

1447   **Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 7. Indel landscape of sequenced granddaughter clones.**

1448   Bar plots showing the percentage of each of the indicated indel types occurring in WGS from

1449   HAP1-TK-M9 granddaughter clones. Total numbers of single T deletions at homopolymers of 6

or more are too numerous to plot on the same axis and are therefore listed here (A3A, 39.5%; A3B, 44.2%; A3A-E72A, 40.6%; A3B-E255A, 40.0%; A3H, 31.8%; and GFP, 27.4%). The cosine similarity of the indel landscape across all conditions is over 0.96 indicating no significant differences.

Figure 4. Mesoscale properties of A3A and A3B *in vitro* and in the HAP1-TK-M9 system.

(**A-B**) Deamination kinetics of A3A and A3B using *SDHB* and *NUP93* DNA hairpin substrates in comparison to corresponding linear controls made by scrambling the 5' or 3' portion of the stem, respectively. See text for full description and **Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1** for a genome-wide analysis and **Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 2** for a gel-based confirmation of DNA oligonucleotide integrity.

(**C**) Rainfall plots of genome-wide intermutation distances showing APOBEC3 signature kataegic tracts (red arrows) in representative A3A- and A3B-expressing Gan^R clones (C>T mutations are red, C>G black, other SBS gray). Kataegis are defined here as ≥ 2 strand-coordinated APOBEC3 signature SBS mutations within a 10 kbp window.

Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1. Hairpin mutation analysis.

A list of the total number of SBS mutations in each HAP1-TK-M9 clone and numbers and frequencies mutations predicted to occur in non-hairpin regions or hairpin loop regions of the genome (see **Methods** for additional information). Columns 1 and 2: The A3 or control condition and clone number as listed in Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Column 3: The total number of SBS mutations in each clone by WGS (human genome: 3000 mbp). Columns 4 and 5: The total number and frequency of non-hairpin APOBEC3 signature TCW mutations per clone (estimated non-hairpin genomic DNA: 2991.375 mbp). Columns 6 and 7: Total number and frequency of APOBEC3 signature TCW mutations in 3-11 nucleotide loop regions of predicted chromosomal DNA hairpin structures (estimated ssDNA loop region genomic DNA: 8.625 mbp). For A3A data

(red boxes) and A3B data (green boxes), the non-hairpin versus hairpin APOBEC3 TCW mutation

frequencies are not significantly different (P = 0.25 and P = 0.19, respectively, by Welch's t-test).

APOBEC3 TCW mutation frequencies are also not significantly different between the A3A and

A3B data sets (red versus green boxes) for both non-hairpin regions (P = 0.087) or predicted

ssDNA loop regions of hairpins (P = 0.149). However, in comparisons of A3A (red) and A3B (blue)

APOBEC3 TCW mutation frequencies in ssDNA loop regions of hairpins and equivalent data sets

from aggregate controls (blue boxes: the catalytic mutant of each protein and GFP), the A3A data

set approaches statistical significance (P = 0.0655) and the A3B data are significantly different (P

= 0.0367) by Welch's t-test.

1485

Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 2. Validation of *NUP93* and *SDHB* DNA hairpins and linear

structures.

Native PAGE (left) and denaturing PAGE (right) analysis of the indicated oligonucleotide

substrates. The hairpin substrates migrate faster under native conditions, and their mobility is

similar to the linear derivatives under denaturing conditions. The only oligonucleotide not used in

biochemical experiments in Figure 4 is the *NUP93*-noHP (no hairpin), which has half of the stem

replaced by adenines (5'-6-carboxyfluorescein-GCAAGCTGTTCAAAAAAATGA) and is included

here as an additional control.

1494

Figure 5. A composite origin of APOBEC3 signature mutations in breast cancer.

An unsupervised clustering analysis of similarity between the pentanucleotide SBS profiles from

WGSs of the A3A, A3B, and control Gan[R] clones described here versus those from primary breast

tumor whole-genome sequencing data sets (ICGC, n=784). The APOBEC3 mutation signature is

represented by both enrichment score and SBS2+13 (red), HRD signature as SBS3 (blue), and

ageing signature as SBS1 (gray).

1501

Supplementary Table S1. Pentanucleotide contexts of SBS mutations in HAP1-TK-M9

clones and ICGC breast tumors.

This supplementary Microsoft Excel file reports the number of SBS mutations occurring in each

sample in each pentanucleotide context. Layer 1 lists SBS mutations in Hap1-TK-M9 clones

described here, and Layer 2 lists SBS mutations in ICGC primary breast cancers. The ICGC data

are organized from left-most column to right-most column from highest APOBEC3 signature

enrichment (lowest Benjamini-Hochberg q-value) to lowest APOBEC3 signature enrichment

(highest Benjamini-Hochberg q-value). Columns reporting data from tumors with significant

APOBEC3 mutation signature enrichments are shaded gray.

1511

Figure 1

Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 1

Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 3

A Mock transduced + DMF

B Mock transduced + cisplatin

C A3A

D A3A-E72A

E A3B

F A3B-E255A

Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 4

Figure 2

Figure 2 - Figure Supplement 1

Figure 3

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 3

Sample	Clone	Chromosome	Integration position	Gene	Location
A3A	D09	18	2573779 − 2574079	*NDC80*	Intron
A3A-E72A	D28	17	76436512 − 76437151	*UBE2O*	Intron
A3B	D51	14	102141202 − 102141502	*WDR20*	Intron
A3B-E255A	D76	20	1117560 − 1117860	*PSMF1*	Intron
A3H-I	D99	1	149776687 − 149776987	None	Intergenic

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 4

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 5

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 6

A

B

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 7

Figure 4

Figure 4 - Figure Supplement 1

Condition	Clone	Number of SBS mutations	Number of non-hairpin TCW mutations	Non-hairpin TCW mutations per mbp	Number of hairpin loop TCW mutations	Hairpin loop TCW mutations per mbp
A3A-E72A	D28	1363	66	0.022	0	0
A3A-E72A	D29	2204	95	0.032	0	0
A3A	D06	3164	533	0.178	3	0.348
A3A	D07	2337	325	0.109	1	0.116
A3A	D09	5256	2066	0.691	15	1.739
A3A	D11	2057	536	0.179	1	0.116
A3A	D12	5057	2014	0.673	16	1.855
A3A	D21	3093	556	0.186	4	0.464
A3B-E255A	D76	2078	74	0.025	0	0
A3B-E255A	D77	1646	60	0.020	0	0
A3B	D51	2346	361	0.121	4	0.464
A3B	D52	2247	340	0.114	1	0.116
A3B	D54	2504	353	0.118	2	0.232
A3B	D59	1920	259	0.087	1	0.116
A3B	D62	2652	206	0.069	1	0.116
A3H-I	D99	1772	120	0.040	0	0
A3H-I	D100	1732	121	0.040	0	0
GFP	D168	1230	53	0.018	0	0
GFP	D169	1850	89	0.030	0	0

Figure 4 - Figure Supplement 2

Figure 5