1

20 years of misunderstanding: Compensatory traits can explain U-shaped cost function of purely sexual traits

Running title: **Performance, ornaments, and compensatory traits**

Masaru HASEGAWA[1]

[1] Department of Environmental Science, Ishikawa Prefectural University,

Nonoichi, Ishikawa 921-8836, Japan

Number of table 1

Number of figures 2

Number of supplementary material 1

Author for corresponding

Dr Masaru Hasegawa

E-mail: perorobomusadiobe@gmail.com

Tel: (+81)- 46-858-1562

The cost of ornamentation is often measured experimentally to study the

relative importance of sexual and viability selection for ornamentation, but

these experiments can lead to a misleading conclusion when compensatory

trait is ignored. A famous, classic experiment on the outermost tail feathers

in the barn swallow *Hirundo rustica*, explains that the U-shaped

aerodynamic performance of the outermost tail feathers would be the

evolutionary outcome mainly through viability selection for optimal tail

length, but this conclusion depends on the assumption that compensatory

traits do not cause reduced performance. Using a simple model experiment, I

demonstrated that ornamentation evolved purely though sexual selection

can produce a U-shaped cost function under the presence of compensatory

traits. Therefore, U-shaped cost function (and the low performance of

individuals with reduced ornaments) cannot be used to infer the

evolutionary force favoring ornamentation, due to a previously overlooked

concept, "overcompensation", which can increase, rather than decrease, the

apparent cost of ornamentation.

Keywords: cost-reducing traits, cost of ornamentation, viability selection,

sexual selection

Animals sometimes possess ornamentation that seem to have negative

effects on survivorship, such as long tails and colorful plumage in birds

(Andersson 1994). Many empirical studies have shown sexual selection for

ornaments, explaining the evolution of seemingly costly ornaments (e.g., see

Hill & McGraw 2006 for a review on birds). However, the mere presence of

sexual selection does not necessarily mean that sexual selection has been the

major selection force causing ornament elaboration, because focal traits can

have mainly evolved via viability selection and sexual selection may have

caused ornament elaboration only slightly beyond viability optimum (i.e.,

possible exaptation; Bergstrom & Dugatkin 2016). Then, it is not surprising

to see that researchers have alternatively focused on the cost of

ornamentation (e.g., Evans 1998; see below), because the ornaments' cost

function would clarify the relative importance of sexual and viability

selection on the focal traits.

A famous example is those testing aerodynamic performance of long

outermost tail feathers in the barn swallows *Hirundo rustica* (Fig. 1; e.g.,

Evans 1998; Buchnan & Evans 2000; Bro-Jørgensen *et al.* 2007). Although

long outermost tail feathers have been shown to be sexually selected (e.g.,

Møller 1988; reviewed in Møller 1994; Turner 2006; Romano *et al.* 2016), it

remains unclear how and why long tails evolved due to the possible

aerodynamic function of long tails (Norberg 1994). Evans and colleagues

have experimentally shortened outermost tail feather length and measured

aerodynamic performances of swallows (e.g., Evans 1998; Buchanan &

Evans 2000; Rowe *et al.* 2001; also see Evans & Thomas 1997; Thomas &

Rowe 1997 for the detailed descriptions of predictions). They predicted that,

if long outermost tail feathers evolved purely through sexual selection,

shortening the length should produce a decrease in aerodynamic cost (Fig. 1

upper left). Or, if it evolved purely through viability selection due to the aerodynamic function, shortening the length should increase the aerodynamic cost (Fig. 1 upper right). Lastly, if viability and sexual selection together favors the evolution of long tails, shortening the tail would decrease the aerodynamic cost first, and then increase the cost beyond the aerodynamic optimum (Fig. 1 upper middle). Their results were consistent with the last prediction with estimated peak values located around 10 mm shorter from the current tail length. Therefore, they concluded that tail feathers mainly evolved through viability selection and sexual selection would have elongated tails only around 10 mm (9–20%) beyond the aerodynamic optimum. However, this argument is problematic, because they do not consider compensatory traits, which have been reported to affect aerodynamic cost of long tails in many bird species including barn swallows (e.g., Balmford *et al.* 1994; Barbosa & Møller 1995; Møller *et al.* 1995; Møller 1996). In fact, several compensatory traits have been reported in barn swallows, including greater wingspans, wing area, aspect ratios, reduced wing loading, and so on (e.g., Møller *et al.* 1995; reviewed in Husak & Swallow 2011; also see Moreno & Møller 1996; Saino *et al.* 1997; Tubaro 2003 for other kinds of compensation). Animal performance could be affected by compensatory traits, which is thought to be the case in the peacock *Pavo cristatus*, another model species of sexual selection: peacocks with fully expressed trains, i.e., a costly trait, have a lower metabolic cost of locomotion than those with rudimentary trains possibly due to the presence of compensatory traits (Thavarajah *et al.* 2016). Similar but less striking results, such as no detectable performance cost of gorgeous ornaments, are repeatedly reported in ornamented animals (e.g., Baumgartner *et al.* 2011; Trappet *et al.* 2013; Kojima & Lin 2019).

The importance of compensatory traits has repeatedly been

advocated (e.g., Møller 1996; Oufiero & Garland 2007; Husak *et al.* 2015).

Using a hypothetical data set, Oufiero & Garland (2007) demonstrated that

ignoring a compensatory trait led to an incorrect (and opposite) conclusion.

Likewise, Husak & Swallows (2011) reviewed compensatory traits and

proposed that a simple test of relationships between ornamentation and

performance can lead to misleading conclusions (also see Husak *et al.* 2015

for an updated review). However, although these studies stress that

manipulation experiments should consider compensatory traits carefully

(e.g., Husak & Swallow 2011), it is still unclear whether and how

compensatory traits affect cost function concerning ornament manipulation.

Particularly, whether the low performance of individuals with reduced

ornaments (and hence U-shaped cost function; see preceding paragraph) can

be explained by compensatory traits is not known. As Oufiero & Garland

(2007) used a hypothetical data set, a simple model experiment rather than

complicated empirical data, would be helpful to demonstrate the potential

influence of compensatory traits.

Here, I presented a simple model experiment to examine whether the

U-shaped cost function (see Fig. 1) could be produced when the ornament has

evolved purely through sexual selection for exaggerated ornamentation with

the coevolution of compensatory traits. For this purpose, I used "chuonchuon"

(Fig. 2 upper panel), a traditional balancing toy in Vietnam, as a model

system. Chuonchuon is a suitable model, because its balance is determined

by the whole phenotype, as in the locomotor performance of animals (e.g., see

Husak & Swallow 2011). Clearly, this is NOT to test the function of

particular traits (e.g., swallows' tails), but to test whether compensatory

traits can cause reduced performance under an experimental reduction of

ornamental traits that has evolved purely through sexual selection. I first determined an evolutionary stable form of chuonchuon under the setting that long tails have been sexually selected (i.e., providing reproductive advantages) and its negative effects on balance (i.e., survival of chuonchuon) could be reduced by a compensatory trait, extended wings. Then, I tested the cost function of ornamentation by experimentally shortening tail length, as in the previous manipulation experiments in swallows listed above. I discussed the evolutionary implications of the observed pattern.

METHODS

Experimental setup

I used four commercially available "chuonchuon" for my experiment (ca. 7 cm length: Tirakita, Japan). I used unmanipulated chuonchuon as an ancestral state, in which neither sexually selected ornamentation nor compensatory traits have yet been evolved. Then, I used a plastic straw (0.4 g, 4 mm width and 160 mm length; Strix design, Japan) as an elongated tail. As a compensatory trait, I made extended wings using clay (0.8 g per wing, i.e., twice as heavy as a plastic straw to account for the lever principle; Fig. 2 middle panel).

I here simply set the situation with a set of dichotomous events, that is, only balanced chuonchuon can "survive," and only longer tailed chuonchuon in the population can "reproduce." Then, the ancestral form can survive anytime, but the tail-elongated form cannot survive when elongated tails make them unbalanced (I used a cut-off point as 30° deviation from the horizontal plane, here). Tail elongation made models unbalanced in the range of the current study (i.e., maximum length to −80 mm shortened tails;

see Fig. 1) and thus they cannot survive without compensatory traits, i.e.,

extended wings. Even when it survived, the ancestral form cannot have

descendants when any derived forms with elongated tails (i.e., those with

longer tails than ancestral form) exist. Because my interest here is not in the

actual evolutionary trajectory but the cost function of the derived form, I

obtained the evolutionary stable form by comparing the "fitness" of each

form. For simplicity, I assumed continuous variation in tail length but

assumed two discrete states of the extended wings (i.e., with and without

extended wings). In this case, the evolutionary stable form is a chuonchuon

with maximum elongated tails with extended wings. This is because

chuonchuon with maximum elongated tails and extended wings can survive

(i.e., balanced) and thus reproduce under any circumstances (i.e., they have

the longest tails compared to all other forms). I did not subdivide

compensatory traits (e.g., small/medium/large), because the purpose of the

current study is not to quantify the exact peak performance or identify the

best size of compensatory traits but to examine the effect of compensatory

traits.

Measuring performance

As in manipulation experiments in the barn swallow (Buchanan & Evans

2000; Rowe *et al.* 2001), I shortened the extended tails of chuonchuon models

(20 mm/40 mm/60 mm/80 mm, here) and took two pictures each time. From

each of the two pictures, I measured the absolute angle of chuonchuon

deviated from the horizontal plane as stability (or balance-ability: Fig. 2

middle panel) using ImageJ software. Then, I averaged the two

measurements (repeatability = 0.99, $F_{19,20}$ = 270.24, P < 0.0001; Lessells &

Boag 1987) to have a representative estimate of stability for each treatment.

Statistics

To account for individual variation in performance, I used a Bayesian linear mixed-effects model with a normal error distribution to examine the stability of chuonchuon given tail shortening. Individual identity was used as a random effect. By calculating the Brooks-Gelman-Rubin statistic (Rhat), which must be <1.2 for all parameters (Kass *et al.* 1998), the reproducibility of the MCMC simulation was confirmed. Data analyses were conducted using the R statistical package (ver. 4.1.0; R Core Team 2021), using the function "MCMCglmm" (with its default setting) in the package "MCMCglmm" (Hadfield 2010).

RESULTS

I found a significant quadratic relationship between tail length manipulation and stability of the chuonchuon (Table 1; Fig. 2 lower panel): the stability of the chuonchuon, measured as an absolute angle deviated from the horizontal plane, increased with decreasing tail length until the peak value where chuonchuon had the maximum estimated stability and further tail shortening beyond the peak value decreased stability.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of the current study is that the apparent U-shaped cost function can evolve purely through sexual selection in the presence of compensatory traits (i.e., with no viability advantage of ornamentation). The importance of compensatory traits has repeatedly been advocated (reviewed in Møller 1996; Husak & Swallow 2010; Husak *et al.* 2015), but previous studies assume that compensatory traits still function as "cost-reducing"

traits regardless of the situation (e.g., see Husak *et al.* 2015, p. 18). Here, I

showed that "overcompensation" could decrease performance, producing a

U-shaped cost function. As an old Chinese proverb say, "too much is as bad as

too little" at least in some cases. The assumption that the cost function can

reveal the relative importance of sexual and viability selection (e.g.,

Buchanan & Evans 2000) is therefore unreliable. In other words, the

prediction of sexual selection (i.e., Fig. 1C) is no longer valid, and can be

replaced by U-shaped cost function as in Fig. 1B, indicating that the

presence/absence of viability selection cannot be detected.

Of course, the current experiment using chuonchuon models does not

mean that sexual selection always produces a U-shaped cost function (and

does not mean that the same physical property, i.e., stability, determined the

evolution of ornamentation, such as swallows' tail, and compensatory traits).

Still, even without formal mathematical model, it seems likely that

overcompensation will often reduce locomotor performance, particularly

when locomotion requires co-opted, integrated phenotype (e.g., aerial

locomotion). In fact, concerning the function of long tails in barn swallows,

Norberg (1994) mentioned that "*any experimental shortening and*

lengthening of the outer tail feathers is likely to upset an original co-adapted

character set..." (p. 231). Also, macroevolutionary studies of swallows have

supported sexual (rather than viability) selection explanation (e.g.,

Hasegawa *et al.* 2016; Hasegawa & Arai 2017, 2018, 2020a,b, 2021),

demanding further experimental studies, possibly using a smaller amount of

manipulation (so that overcompensation can be avoided) in many species

with various ornamentation. An alternative approach, the manipulation of

compensatory traits (sensu Møller 1996) would in theory be valuable to show

the   effect   of   overcompensation,   but   is   impractical   due   to   the

multi-dimensionality of compensatory traits (e.g., manipulations of wing size

and shape are often difficult to conduct).

In addition, the current experiment provides useful insights. First,

the cost of ornamentation can be transformed from one type to another.

Extended wings enhanced the stability of chuonchuon with elongated tails,

though they required additional investment (i.e., a production cost) instead

(also see Møller 1996 for a similar argument). Furthermore, the production

cost of compensatory traits can be much higher than the production cost of

the ornament itself (see Methods). It is straightforward to conclude that a

single measure of cost (e.g., aerodynamic cost) is inappropriate to

approximate the total cost (and thus viability disadvantage) of

ornamentation, even when the production cost of ornamentation itself seems

negligible at first glance, as is the case for the outermost tail feathers in barn

swallows (Fig. 1).

In summary, the current model experiment demonstrated that a

U-shaped cost function, which is often thought to result from viability

advantage of focal ornaments, can be observed when sexual selection has

favored the evolution of the costly ornaments, due to the presence of

compensatory traits. Future studies should consider that overcompensation

can be detrimental and that more sophisticated experimental design is

needed when inferring selection pressure on (and the evolutionary history of)

ornamentation.

Acknowledgments   I thank Dr. Emi Arai, Dr. Shumpei Kitamura and his

lab members at Ishikawa Prefectural University for their kindest advices

and supports. I also thank Prof. Michael D. Jennions, reviewers, and

English-proofing company (Enago) for their helpful comments. MH was

supported by the KAKENHI grant of the Japan Society for the Promotion of

Science (JSPS, 19K06850).

Author Contribution   MH performed data analysis and wrote the

manuscript alone.

Conflict statement   The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Permits   This study does not include any treatments of animals, as all the

information was gathered from model experiments.

Data availability Data attached as Table S1 will be deposited into osf.io once

accepted.

References

Andersson, M. 1994. *Sexual selection*. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press.

Barbosa, A. & Møller, A.P. 1999. Aerodynamic costs of long tails in male barn

swallows *Hirundo rustica* and the evolution of sexual size dimorphism.

Behav. Ecol. **10**: 128–135. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/10.2.128

Balmford, A., Jones, I.L. & Thomas, A.L.R. 1994. How to compensate for

costly sexually selected tails: the origin of sexually dimorphic wings in

long-tailed birds. *Evolution* **48**: 1062–1070.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2410366

Baumgartner, A., Coleman, S. & Swanson, B. 2011. The Cost of the Sword:

Escape Performance in Male Swordtails. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e15837.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015837

Bergstrom, C.T. & Dugatkin, L.A. 2016. *Evolution*. New York: WW Norton &

Co. Inc.

Bro-Jørgensen, J., Johnstone, R.A. & Evans, M.R. 2007. Uninformative

exaggeration of male sexual ornaments in barn swallows. *Curr. Biol.* **17**:

850–855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.03.042

Buchanan, K.L. & Evans, M.R. 2000. The effect of tail streamer length on

aerodynamic performance in the barn swallow. *Behav. Ecol.* **11**: 228–238.

https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/11.2.228

Evans, M.R. 1998. Selection on swallow tail streamers. *Nature* **394**: 233–234.

https://doi.org/10.1038/28297

Evans, M.R. & Thomas, A.L.R. 1997. Testing the functional significance of

tail streamers. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **264**: 211–217.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1997.0030

Hadfield, J.D. 2010 MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear

mixed models: The MCMCglmm R package. *J. Stat. Soft.* **33**: 1–22.

https://doi.org/10.18637/ jss.v033.i02

Hasegawa, M., Arai, E. & Kutsukake, N. 2016. Evolution of tail fork depth in

genus *Hirundo. Ecol. Evol.* **6**: 851–858.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1949

Hasegawa, M. & Arai, E. 2017. Egg size decreases with increasing female

tail fork depth in family Hirundinidae. *Evol. Ecol.* **31**: 559–569.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s1068 2-017-9895-2

Hasegawa, M. & Arai, E. 2018. Convergent evolution of the tradeoff between

egg size and tail fork depth in swallows and swifts. *J. Avian Biol.* **49**:

e01684. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01684

Hasegawa, M. & Arai, E. 2020a. Fork tails evolved differently in swallows

and swifts. *J. Evol. Biol.* **33**: 911–919. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13622

Hasegawa, M. & Arai, E. 2020b. Correlated evolution of biparental

incubation and sexual tail monomorphism in swallows and martins

(Aves: Hirundinidae). *Evol. Ecol.* **34**: 777–788.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-020-10066-w

Hasegawa, M. & Arai, E. 2021. Opposing population trends of fork-tailed

swallows and reddish-coloured swallows in our changing world. *J. Evol.*

Biol. **34**: 331–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13736

Hill, G.E. & McGraw, K.J. 2006. *Bird coloration II: function and evolution.*

Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press.

Husak, J.F. & Swallow, J.G. 2011. Compensatory traits and the evolution of

male ornaments. *Behaviour* **148**: 1–29.

https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X541265

Husak, J.F., Henningsen, J.P., Vanhooydonck, B. & Irschick, D.J. 2015. A

performance-based approach to studying costs of reliable signals. In:

Irschick, D.J. Briffa, M. & Podos, J. (eds) *Animal signaling and function:*

an integrative approach. Hoboken: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 47–74.

Kass, R.E., Carlin, B.P., Gelman, A. & Neal, R. 1998. Markov chain Monte

Carlo in practice: A round table discussion. *Amer. Stat.* **52**: 93–100.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2685466

Kojima, W. & Lin, C.P. 2019. Sprint speed is not reduced by exaggerated

male weapons in a flower beetle *Dicronocephalus wallichii. Ethology* **125**:

47–56. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12824

Lessells, C.M. & Boag, P.T. 1987. Unrepeatable repeatabilities: A common

mistake. *Auk* **104**: 116–121. https://doi.org/10.2307/4087240

Matyjasiak, P., Marzal, A., Navarro, C., de Lope, F. & Møller, A.P. 2009. Fine

morphology of experimental tail streamers and flight manoeuvrability in

the house martin *Delichon urbica. Funct. Ecol.* **23**: 389–396.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01490.x

Moreno, E. & Møller, A.P. 1996. Morphological aspects of avian tail

movements: a functional approach in hirundines. *Auk* **113**: 647–654.

https://doi.org/10.2307/4088985

Møller, A.P. 1988. Female choice selects for male sexual tail ornaments in the

monogamous swallow. *Nature* **332**: 640–642.

https://doi.org/10.1038/332640a0

Møller, A.P. 1994. *Sexual selection and the barn swallow*. Oxford: Oxford

Univ. Press.

Møller, A.P. 1996. The cost of secondary sexual characters and the evolution

of cost-reducing traits. *Ibis* **138**: 112–119.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1996.tb04317.x

Møller, A.P., de Lope, F. & Saino, N. 1995. Sexual selection in the barn

swallow *Hirundo rustica*. VI. Aerodynamic adaptations. *J. Evol. Biol.* **8**:

671–687. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1995.8060671.x

Norberg, R. 1994. Swallow tail streamer is a mechanical device for

selfdeflection of tail leading edge enhancing aerodynamic efficiency and

flight manoeuvrability. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **257**: 227–233.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1994.0119

Oufiero, C.E. & Garland, Jr T. 2007. Evaluating performance costs of

sexually selected traits. *Funct. Ecol.* **21**: 676–689.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01259.x

R Core Team. 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at

http://www.R-project.org/ (accessed 11 September 2021)

Romano, A., Constanzo, A., Rubolini, D., Saino, N. & Møller, A.P. 2017.

Geographical and seasonal variation in the intensity of sexual selection

in the barn swallow *Hirundo rustica*: A metaanalysis. *Biol. Rev.* **92**:

373 1582–1600. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12297

374 **Rowe, L.V., Evans, M.R. & Buchanan, K.L.** 2001. The function and evolution

375 of the tail streamer in hirundines. *Behav. Ecol.* **12**: 157–163.

376 https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/12.2.157

377 **Saino, N., Cuervo, J.J., Ninni, P., de Lope, F. & Møller, A.P.** 1997.

378 Haematocrit correlates with tail ornament size in three populations of

379 barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*). *Funct. Ecol.* **11**: 604–610.

380 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.00131.x

381 **Thavarajah, N.K., Tickle, P.G., Nudds, R.L. & Codd, J.R.** 2016. The peacock

382 train does not handicap cursorial locomotor performance. *Sci. Rep.* **6**:

383 36512. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36512

384 **Thomas, A.L.R. & Rowe, L.V.** 1997. Experimental tests on tail elongation and

385 sexual selection in swallows (*Hirundo rustica*) do not affect the tail

386 streamer and cannot test its function. *Behav. Ecol.* **8**: 580–581.

387 https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/8.5.580

388 **Trappett, A., Condon, C.H., White, C., Matthew, P. & Wilson, R.S.** 2013.

389 Extravagant ornaments of male threadfin rainbowfish (*Iriatherina*

390 *werneri*) are not costly for swimming. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**: 1034–1041.

391 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12097

392 **Tubaro, P.L.** 2003. A comparative study of aerodynamic function and flexural

393 stiffness of outer tail feathers in birds. *J. Avian Biol.* **34**: 243–250.

394 https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-048X.2003.03084.x

395 **Turner, A.K.** 2006. *The barn swallow.* London: T & AD Poyser.

398 **Table 1**   Bayesian linear mixed-effects model of stability of chuonchuon

399 in relation to experimental manipulation of elongated tails (N_{ID} = 4, $Ntotal$ =

400 20)

Variable	Coefficient [95% CI]	P_{MCMC}
Intercept	**22.37 [18.95, 25.97]**	**<0.0001**
Linear term of manipulation	**0.96 [0.71, 1.23]**	**<0.0001**
Quadratic term of manipulation	**8.36 [6.21, 10.51]**	**<0.0001**

401 The dependent variable is the absolute angle of chuonchuon deviated from

402 the horizontal plane. I included chuonchuon ID as a random factor (variance

403 = 0.20) in addition to units (variance = 15.39).

404 Significant test results (i.e. $P < 0.05$) are indicated in bold.

 Figure legends

Type of selection	A Viability selection	B Viability selection +Sexual selection	C Sexual selection
Predicted function	Deviation of outermost tail feathers	Deviation of outermost tail feathers	Deviation of outermost tail feathers
Methods & Results		ca. -10mm	Authors tested prediction by shortening tail feather tips. The results matched the prediction of "B"
Conclusion	Sexual selection extended tail length by around 10mm beyond its aerodynamic optimum		

Fig. 1 Details of manipulation experiment of Evans and colleagues (see Evans 1998; Buchanan & Evans 2000; Rowe *et al.* 2001 for original figures; also see Evans & Thomas 1997; Thomas & Rowe 1997 for similar predictions). The vertical line in each plot indicates current ornament expression, and the triangle indicates ornament expression providing peak performance (note that lower values indicate higher performance here). Although they originally called deviation of outermost tail feathers (or possibly, narrowed part of outermost tail feather length) as a "streamer," I avoided this wording, because it implies some kinds of aerodynamic function of the ornaments, and because the formal definition of the streamer is unclear (and not to confound size and shape: Matyjasiak *et al.* 2009). Likewise, I used viability selection rather than natural selection to be precise.

Fig. 2 Chuonchuon (upper panel), manipulated chuonchuon with elongated

tails and extended wings (middle panel), and results of chuonchuon

experiment (lower panel). A simple quadratic regression line is denoted (see

Table 1 for a formal analysis). Individual identity was denoted by different

coloration. Mean ± SE values of stability, measured by absolute angle

deviated from horizontal plane, in ancestral state shown in upper panel was

4.87 ± 1.78 (range: 1.38–8.87). Note that tail elongation without extended

wings made models unbalanced in the range of the current study (e.g., when

elongated tails = 30 mm, which corresponds to the manipulation = −80 mm,

mean stability ± SE = 36.60 ± 2.30, range = 32.69–42.93)

18