A human embryonic limb cell atlas resolved in space and time

Authors:

Bao Zhang[1#], Peng He[2,3#], John E Lawrence[3,4#], Shuaiyu Wang[1,5#], Elizabeth Tuck[3], Brian Williams[6], Kenny Roberts[3], Vitalii Kleshchevnikov[3], Lira Mamanova[3], Liam Bolt[3], Krzysztof Polanski[3], Rasa Elmentaite[3], Eirini S Fasouli[3], Martin Prete[3], Xiaoling He[7], Nadav Yayon[2,3], Yixi Fu[1], Hao Yang[1], Chen Liang[1], Hui Zhang[8], David R. FitzPatrick[9], Helen Firth[3], Andrew Dean[10], Roger A Barker[7], Mekayla A Storer[11], Barbara J Wold[6], Hongbo Zhang[1,12,13], Sarah A Teichmann[3]

Affiliations:

[1]The Key Laboratory for Stem Cells and Tissue Engineering, Ministry of Education, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

[2]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Wellcome Genome Campus, Cambridge, UK

[3]Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton CB10 1SA, UK

[4]Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Box 37, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK

[5]Department of Obstetrics, Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China

[6]Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91125, USA

[7]John van Geest Centre for Brain Repair, Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[8]Institute of Human Virology, Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Control of Ministry of Education, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

[9]MRC Human Genetics Unit, MRC IGMM, University of Edinburgh, WGH, Edinburgh,EH4 2SP, UK

[10]Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

[11]Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, Jeffrey Cheah Biomedical Centre, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

[12]Advanced Medical Technology Center, the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

36 [13]The Department of Histology and Embryology, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-
37 sen University, Guangzhou, China

38 [#] These authors contributed equally: B. Zhang, P. He, J. E. Lawrence, S. Wang.

39 * email: zhanghongbo@mail.sysu.edu.cn; st9@sanger.ac.uk

40 Abstract

Human limbs emerge during the fourth post-conception week as mesenchymal buds which
develop into fully-formed limbs over the subsequent months. Limb development is
orchestrated by numerous temporally and spatially restricted gene expression programmes,
making congenital alterations in phenotype common. Decades of work with model organisms
has outlined the fundamental processes underlying vertebrate limb development, but an in-
depth characterisation of this process in humans has yet to be performed. Here we detail the
development of the human embryonic limb across space and time, using both single-cell and
spatial transcriptomics. We demonstrate extensive diversification of cells, progressing from a
restricted number of multipotent progenitors to myriad mature cell states, and identify several
novel cell populations, including perineural fibroblasts and multiple distinct mesenchymal
states. We uncover two waves of human muscle development, each characterised by
different cell states regulated by separate gene expression programmes. We identify
musculin (MSC) as a key transcriptional repressor maintaining muscle stem cell identity and
validate this by performing MSC knock down in human embryonic myoblasts, which results
in significant upregulation of late myogenic genes. Spatially mapping the cell types of the
limb across a range of gestational ages demonstrates a clear anatomical segregation
between genes linked to brachydactyly and polysyndactyly, and uncovers two
transcriptionally and spatially distinct populations of the progress zone, which we term
"outer" and "transitional" layers. The latter exhibits a transcriptomic profile similar to that of
the chondrocyte lineage, but lacking the key chondrogenic transcription factors SOX5,6 & 9.
Finally, we perform scRNA-seq on murine embryonic limbs to facilitate cross-species
developmental comparison at single-cell resolution, finding substantial homology between
the two species.

Introduction

88
Human limb buds emerge by the end of the 4th post conceptional week (PCW4) and
develop to form arms and legs during the first trimester. By studying model organisms such
as the mouse and chick, it is known that development of the limb bud begins in the form of
two major components. The multipotent parietal lateral plate mesodermal (LPM) cells
condense into the skeletal system and skeletal muscle progenitor (SkMP) cells migrate from
the paraxial mesoderm to the limb field, forming muscle[1,2]. These multipotent progenitors are
encapsulated within a layer of ectoderm, a subset of which (termed the apical ectodermal
ridge/AER) governs mesenchymal cell proliferation and aids in the establishment of the limb
axes through fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling[3]. As the limb bud elongates, these
AER signals no longer diffuse to the most proximal mesenchymal cells and differentiation
begins[4]. Throughout the remainder of the first trimester in humans, the limbs continue to
mature in a proximal-distal manner, such that by PCW8 the anatomies of the stylopod,
zeugopod and autopod are firmly established. This maturation is tightly controlled by a
complex system of temporally and spatially restricted gene expression programmes[5–7]. As
with any complex system, small perturbations in even a single programme can result in
profound changes to the structure and function of the limb[8]. Indeed, approximately 1 in 500
humans are born with congenital limb malformations[9,10].

106
Although model organisms have provided key insights into cell fates and morphogenesis that
are translatable to human development and disease, at present it remains unclear how
precisely these models recapitulate human development. Furthermore, the lack of
complementary spatial information in such studies precludes the assembly of a
comprehensive tissue catalogue that provides a global view of human limb development in
space and time. Encouragingly, the Human Developmental Cell Atlas community has
recently applied cell-atlasing technologies such as single cell and spatial transcriptomics to
several tissues to give novel insights into development and disease[11–15]. The application of
these techniques to human embryonic and fetal tissue therefore holds much promise in
furthering our understanding of the developing human limb[16,17].

117
In this study, we performed droplet-based single-cell transcriptomic sequencing (scRNA-seq)
and spatial transcriptomic sequencing to reconstruct an integrated landscape of the human
hindlimb during first trimester development. We then performed scRNA-seq on murine
embryonic limbs in order to compare the process of limb development across species at this
level of resolution. Our results detail the development of the human limb in space and time at
high resolution and genomic breadth, identifying fifty-five cell types from 114,000 captured
single cells, and spatially mapping these across four timepoints to shed new light on the
dynamic process of limb maturation. In addition, our spatial transcriptomics data gives

insights into the key patterning and morphogenic pathways in the nascent and maturing limb,
with a focus on genes associated with limb malformation.
128
Finally, our integrated analysis of human and murine limb development across
corresponding time periods reveals extensive homology between a classical model organism
and the human, underlining the importance and utility of such models in understanding
human disease and development. Our study provides a unique resource for the
developmental biology community, and can be freely accessed at https://limb-
dev.cellgeni.sanger.ac.uk/.
135

Results

Cellular heterogeneity of the developing limb in space and time

To track the contribution of the different lineages in the developing limb, we collected single-
cell embryonic limb profiles from PCW5 to PCW9 (Fig. 1a). This time window covers the
early limb bud-forming stages as well as later stages of limb maturation (Fig. 1a). In total, we
analysed 114,047 single-cells that passed quality control filters (Extended Data Fig. 1a).
After cell cycle expression module removal by regression, and batch correction (see
Methods), we identified 55 cell types and states (Fig. 1b; see Methods; Extended Data Fig.
1b and Extended Data Table 1 for marker genes).
145
32 of these cell states represent cells derived from the LPM. They contain mesenchymal,
chondrocyte, osteoblast, fibroblast and smooth muscle cell states involved in the maturation
of cartilage, bone and other connective tissues, consistent with previous investigations of the
cellular makeup of the limb[18]. In addition to these LPM-derived cells, a further eight states
form a complete lineage of muscle cells that migrate as *PAX*3+ progenitors from the somite.
These go on to differentiate in the limb to form myoprogenitors and myotubes.
152
Other non-LPM cell states include four of the primitive and definitive erythrocytes, two types
of myeloid cells, three types of vascular endothelial cells and three types of neural crest-
derived cells. Finally, we identified three epithelial cell states, among which were the AER
cells at the distal rim of the limb bud that express *SP8* and *WNT6* (Extended Data Fig. 2a,b).
Examining the relative abundance of each of these cell states across different gestational
ages revealed how the cellular landscape of the developing limb changes over time. Within
each of the aforementioned lineages, a clear pattern emerged whereby progenitor states
were chiefly isolated from PCW5 & 6, with more differentiated cell states emerging thereafter
(Extended Data Fig. 2c,d).
162
To further dissect the cellular heterogeneity with spatial context, and to build on limb
patterning principles established in model organisms, we performed spatial transcriptomic
experiments for limb samples from PCW5 and PCW8. Using the 10X Genomics Visium
chips, we were able to generate transcriptome profiles capturing on average between 1,000
and 5,000 genes per voxel (Extended Data Fig. 1c). We then applied the cell2location
package[19] to transfer cell state labels from our single-cell atlas to deconvolute Visium voxels
(See Methods). The resulting cell composition map of Visium slides at each time point
demarcated the tissue section into distinct histological regions (Fig. 1c, d). In the PCW5.6

samples, interestingly, a clear zonal segregation of progenitor cell types was observed,
dividing the progress zone into two layers that we name the "outer" and "transitional"
progress zones. The outer progress zone cells (PZC) are located at the distal periphery of
the limb bud. Encased in it are the transitional PZC together with *SOX*9-expressing
chondroblasts of the developing autopod (Fig. 1c). This novel spatial distinction was
accompanied by subtle transcriptomic differences, with the outer progress zone specifically
expressing a number of genes implicated in digit patterning, including *LHX2* and *TFAP2B*.
Mutations in the latter cause Char syndrome, a feature of which is postaxial polydactyly[20].
The transitional progress zone specifically expresses *IRX1,* a key gene in digit formation that
establishes the boundary between chondrogenic and non-chondrogenic tissue[21,22]. By
performing differential expression testing between outer and transitional PZC, we were able
to characterise gene modules that define each population (Extended Data Fig. 3a). We then
calculated the expression score for each of these modules among the other major lineages,
revealing that the transitional PZC module was upregulated in the chondrocyte lineage, with
no other clear upregulation of either module in any other lineage (Extended Data Fig. 3a, b).
This suggests that these cells may be a transitional state between undifferentiated progress
zone cells and committed chondroblasts. Indeed, the genes that define the transitional
progress zone largely relate to skeletal system development (Extended Data Fig. 3c).
189
190   In addition to the PZC, prehypertrophic chondrocytes (PHC) expressing India Hedgehog
191   (*IHH*) localised to the mid-diaphysis of the forming tibia and the metatarsals. At the proximal
192   limit of the sample, both *MEIS2*-expressing proximal mesenchymal cells (PrMes) and
193   *CITED-1*$^+$ mesenchymal cells (Mes2) were observed, in keeping with the early stages of limb
194   development (Fig. 1c).
195
For analysis of the PCW 8.1 sample, we developed an inline pipeline to align and merge
multiple visium sections (see methods). This allowed us to analyse the entire lower limb as
one structure (Fig. 1d; Extended Data Fig. 4). In the osteochondral lineage, articular
chondrocytes were located at the articular surfaces of the developing knee, ankle,
metatarso-phalangeal and interphalangeal joints, while osteoblasts closely matched to the
mid-diaphyseal bone collar of the tibia and femur. The perichondrial cells from which they
differentiate matched to comparable region, though they extended along the full length tibia
and femur (Fig. 1d); a finding confirmed by immunofluorescence staining for *RUNX2* and
THBS2 (Extended Data Fig. 5a). Prehypertrophic chondrocytes matched again to the mid-
diaphysis of the tibia and analysis of gene expression revealed some collagen-X expression
in this region, in keeping with chondrocyte progression to hypertrophy (Fig.1d). Additionally,
we were able to capture glial cells expressing myelin genes (Extended Data Fig. 1c), and an
accompanying *FOXS*1-expressing fibroblast subtype (named "perineural fibroblast' by us
here) enriched in the periphery of the sciatic nerve in the posterior compartment of the thigh
and its tibial division in the deep posterior compartment of the leg (Fig. 1d, Extended Data
Fig. 5b-d). (We were not able to capture neurons in our single-cell data, most likely due to
the distant location of their cell bodies within the spinal ganglia and anterior horn of the
spinal cord.)
214
Interestingly, cell states with related (but not identical) transcriptomic profiles did not
necessarily occupy the same location, which we are able to quantify based on our
cell2location deconvolution analysis of Visium and scRNAseq data. This reveals that within
the fibroblast lineage, three clusters were co-located with *KRT*15-expressing basal cells and

SFN-expressing cells of the periderm[23], suggesting a role in the dermal lineage and
prompting their annotation as dermal fibroblasts (DermFiB) and their precursors
(F10⁺DermFiBP & HOXC5⁺DermFiBP)(Extended Data Fig. 5e). A further fibroblast cluster
expressing *ADH1B* (ADH⁺FiB) co-localised with muscle cells, with no equivalent population
found in the dermal region (Extended Data Fig. 5f-h).

Similarly, we were able to spatially resolve two clusters with subtle transcriptomic differences
within the tenocyte lineage. Both clusters expressed the classical tendon markers scleraxis
(*SCX*) and tenomodulin (*TNMD*), with one population of cells expressing increased biglycan
(BGN) and Keratocan (*KERA*); molecules which play a role in the organisation of the
extracellular matrix, while the other population expressed higher levels of pro-glucagon
(*GCG*) that is important in metabolism. Analysis with cell2location matched the former
cluster to the long flexor tendons of the foot, as well as the hamstrings, quadriceps & patellar
tendons around the knee joint. The latter cluster, however, matched to the perimysium, the
sheath of connective tissue that surrounds a bundle of muscle fibres (Fig. 1d; Extended Data
Fig. 5i,j). We therefore annotated these clusters as tenocyte (Teno) and perimysium,
respectively.

Overall, these findings provide new insights into the subtle transcriptomic differences within
cell compartments including the muscle, tendon, bone and stromal lineages. This integrated
analysis serves as an example of how spatial transcriptomic methodologies can improve our
understanding of tissue architecture and locate cell states themselves within the context of
developmental dynamics of an anatomical structure such as the whole limb.

<u>Patterning, morphogenesis and developmental disorders in the limb</u>

During organogenesis of the limb, individual cell identities are in part, determined by their
relative position within the limb bud. This developmental patterning is controlled by a
complex system of temporally and spatially restricted gene expression programmes. For
example, key aspects of proximal-distal patterning are controlled by the AER[24,25]. In contrast
anterior-posterior axis specification is chiefly controlled by the zone of polarising activity
(ZPA) through *SHH* signalling[26–28]. Within the autopod, precise regulation of digit formation is
mediated through interdigital tissue apoptosis[29–31]. We utilised Visium spatial transcriptomic
data to explore the locations of transcripts of all these classic pattern-forming genes on the
same tissue section, finding notable consistency with previous *in situ* hybridisation
experiments in the mouse (Extended Data Fig. 6a-e). This included several key genes
known to govern the proximal identity, including *MEIS*1 & 2, *PBX*1 and *IRX*3[32–35], as well as
genes regulating limb outgrowth and distal morphogenesis such as *WNT5A*, *GREM*1, *ETV*4
and *SALL*1[36–39]. Similarly, classical mammalian anterior-posterior (AP) genes were captured,
including *HAND*1, *PAX*9, *ALX*4 and *ZIC*3 (anterior) and *HAND*2, *SHH* and *PTCH*1 and *GLI*1
(posterior)[26,40–46].

The homeobox (*HOX*) genes are a group of 39 genes split into four groups termed "clusters",
each of which is located on a separate chromosome. During limb development, genes in the
A & D clusters act in concert with the aforementioned axis-determining genes to dictate limb
patterning in mammals[47]. In mice, these genes are expressed in two waves. The first wave
occurs in the nascent limb bud, with the expression of 5' groups in both clusters exhibiting a

posterior prevalence. During the second wave of expression, this posterior prevalence is lost

in the A cluster, persisting only in the D cluster[48,49]. Our spatial transcriptomic data captured

the expression patterns of the A and D clusters early in the 6th post-conception week

(Extended Data Fig. 6f). As expected, their expression matches the second wave of

expression in mice, with a loss of posterior prevalence in the *HOXA* cluster and its

maintenance in the *HOXD* cluster. For both clusters, an increase in group number

corresponded to more distally restricted expression, with group 13 genes limited to the most

distal part of the limb bud. An exception to this was *HOXA*11 expression, which showed no

overlap with *HOXA*13, in keeping with the expression pattern of these two genes during the

second *HOX* wave in mice. This mutual exclusivity in expression domain is thought to be

due to *HOXA*13/*D*13-dependent activation of an enhancer that drives antisense transcription

of *HOXA*11 in pentadactyl limbs[50]. Indeed, our data revealed a clear switch to the antisense

transcript in the distal limb bud, although this switch did occur more proximally than the limit

of *HOXA*13/*D*13 expression (Extended Data Fig. 6f). This suggests that in humans,

additional mechanisms may be involved in the switch to antisense transcription.

In order to investigate gene expression patterns during digit formation, we obtained coronal

sections through a PCW6.2 foot plate to reveal the five forming digits together with the

intervening interdigital space (IDS; Fig. 2a). We then manually annotated digital and

interdigital voxels based on H&E histology. Differential expression testing between digital

and interdigital regions across two adjacent sections demonstrated an enrichment of

classical survival-promoting genes in the digital regions, such as *IRX*1 & 2, while genes

involved in interdigital cell death, such as *MSX* 1 & 2, *LHX*2 and *BMP*7 were upregulated in

the IDS (Fig. 2b). Similarly, interdigital regions showed an enrichment of molecules involved

in the retinoic acid (RA) pathway, such as retinol binding protein (*RBP*) 4 and Signalling

Receptor And Transporter Of Retinol (*STRA*) 6. Conversely, the RA metabolising enzyme

CYP26B1 was profoundly upregulated in the digital regions. These findings underline the

importance of RA in triggering interdigital cell death in the hand and foot plate[51].

In addition to these known players in digit formation, we also identified several genes which

likely play novel roles in this process. For instance, Wnt inhibitory factor (*WIF*) 1 and its

downstream target *DKK*1 were significantly upregulated in the IDS. These proteins act in a

coordinated manner to trigger apoptosis through activation of p21 and p53 and inhibiting c-

MYC and *BCL*2, suggesting a role in the regression of the interdigital tissue of the hand and

foot plates[52]. In addition, there was profound upregulation of the monocyte chemoattractant

*CCL*2 in the IDS (Fig. 2b), in keeping with programmed cell death in this region.

Interestingly, we detected only a weak presence of macrophages in the IDS, with the

majority of macrophage signatures mapping to vasculature-associated regions (Extended

Data Fig. 6g,h). Similar to an aortic macrophage population uncovered in recent studies[53,54],

the majority (56.6%) of these macrophages are *TREM*2[+], though we cannot exclude the

possibility that rather than being a vascular population, these macrophages are in the

process of migrating to become tissue-resident elsewhere[55]. Finally, we histologically

annotated each digit in the PCW6.2 foot plate to search for genes that vary with digit identity

(Extended Data Fig. 6i). We identified four genes that were upregulated in the great toe

including *ID*2 and *ZNF*503, both of which are known to have anterior expression domains in

the limb, as well as the regulator of cell proliferation *PLK*2 and the cancer-associated gene

LEMD-1[56–59]. *HOXD*11 was downregulated in the great toe, in keeping with its posterior

prevalence. We found no differentially expressed genes in the remaining digits.

We next cross-referenced the list of digit-IDS differentially expressed genes against a list of

2300 single gene health conditions. We found genes involved in several types of isolated (or

non-syndromic) brachydactyly (BD) were significantly upregulated in the digital tissue (Fig.

2c). These included *IHH* (Type A1 BD), *BMPR1B* (Type A2) and *NOG* (Type B2)[60]. Several

genes where variations produce complex syndromes which include brachydactyly as part of

their phenotype were also upregulated in digital tissue. These included *COL*11*A*2 (Oto-

Spondylo-Mega-Epiphyseal Dysplasia / OSMED), *SOX*9 (Cook's syndrome) and *FGFR*3

(Achondroplasia)[61,62]. Conversely, genes which are varied in syndromes with syndactyly as

part of their phenotype were significantly upregulated in the IDS. These include *DLX*5 (split

hand-foot malformation), *MYCN* (Feingold Syndrome type 1), *BMP*4 (Microphthalmia VI) and

*TWIST*1 (Saethre-Chotzen Syndrome)[63–66]. We then searched the EMAP eMouse Atlas

Project (http://www.emouseatlas.org) for spatial expression data of these genes in the

mouse, and found markedly similar patterns for those with available data[67] (Extended data

Fig. 7). Similarly, where murine models of the aforementioned heritable conditions exist, their

phenotype is broadly comparable to the human (Extended Data Table 2)[60,62,65,68–83].

Our spatial atlas provides a valuable reference of gene expression under homeostatic

conditions for comparison with genetic variations for which phenotypes may begin to

penetrate during embryonic development.

<ins>Regulation of cell fate decisions of mesenchymal-derived lineages</ins>

Our single-cell and spatial atlases revealed a high diversity of mesenchymal-derived cell

types and states. In order to better understand what transcriptional mechanisms may control

their specification, we inferred cell-fate trajectories in the 32 mesenchyme-associated states

by combining diffusion maps, partition-based graph abstraction (PAGA) and force-directed

graph (FDG) (see methods). We combined this with transcription factor (TF) network

inference using the SCENIC package to identify distinct modules of active TF networks

associated with progression through each lineage[84].

As expected, the global embedding resembled a 'spoke-hub' system, whereby multipotent

mesenchymal cells are embedded centrally, with committed cell types radiating outward as

they begin to express classical cell-type specific marker genes (Fig. 3a, b). The central hub

of mesenchymal cells consisted of five clusters with subtle differences in their transcriptome.

A first population, here named Mesenchyme 1 (Mes1), expressed *PITX*2, a key initiator of

hindlimb bud formation that is known to play a role in left-right identity and global limb

patterning[85]. Proximal mesenchymal cells (PrMes) expressing the regulator of proximal

identity, *MEIS*2, were also captured. Two further clusters (Mes2, Mes3) of mesenchyme

expressed *CITED*1, a molecule which localises to the proximal domain of the murine limb

bud and plays an unclear role in limb development[86]. In addition to *CITED*1, the Mes3 cluster

also expressed the homeodomain protein *HOPX*. This regulatory molecule is thought to

suppress adipogenesis in bone marrow stromal cells, and its role in the nascent limb bud

has not to our knowledge been characterised to date[87]. The Mes4 cluster exhibited similar

overall expression patterns to the other mesenchymal cells, with the addition of low levels of

PRAC1, a molecule identified as maintaining a prostate gland stem cell niche but with no

known role in limb development[88]. In addition to these populations, we also identified a
subpopulation of mesenchymal cells expressing *ISL*1 (ISL1⁺Mes). These cells represent a
mesenchymal niche within the nascent hindlimb bud which contributes to the posterior
elements of the limb[89]. Finally, a cluster bridging mesenchymal cells and those in the
chondrocyte lineage, but lacking expression of classical marker genes of either, was
identified. This transitional state possibly represents cells forming mesenchymal
condensations (MesCond) at the core of the limb, prior to commitment to the chondral
lineage.
367
Examining the abundance of cell types by gestational age revealed how cellular
heterogeneity within the mesenchymal compartment evolves during limb development (Fig.
3c). During PCW5, the majority of the cells captured were mesenchymal progenitors. This
was particularly notable at PCW5.1 and 5.4, where mesenchyme accounted for 85% and
65% of all cells respectively. The relative abundance of mesenchymal cells in the limb
declined thereafter, with almost none present at PCW8 & 9. A multitude of TF networks were
predicted to be active in these progenitor populations (Fig. 3d; Extended Data Table 3).
*ALX*4 and *ISL*1, genes known to dictate limb bud establishment, were both expressed
across mesenchymal progenitor populations[42,89,90]. Similarly, *MEOX*2 and *ALX*1 were
present in several populations, reflecting their role in limb patterning and morphogenesis[91,92].
*ZMAT*4 and *ZFHX*3 showed similarly diffuse activity, and the role of these TFs has not been
clearly characterised in limb development. *ZFHX*3 has been implicated in demarcating the
developing perichondrium and periosteum in the chicken, though interestingly showed no
activity in these cell types in our data[93]. *ZMAT*4 has previously been shown to be
upregulated in bone marrow mesenchymal cells when compared to limbal epithelial cells and
mesenchymal cells, though no specific role in limb development has been identified[94]. The
SHH regulator *GATA*6, another key patterning TF, was restricted to Mes1 and PrMes[95].
*SHOX*2 was active in the proximal mesenchyme, in keeping with its function of tissue
specification in the proximal limb[96]. Finally, cells of the progress zone showed activation of a
distinct module of TFs, including *LHX*2 and *LHX*9, as previously described in the mouse[97].
388
The chondrocyte lineage increased in number steadily over time, accounting for 25% of the
cells captured at PCW5.6, increasing to 50% at PCW7.2. Within this lineage, a shift from
progenitor to more mature cell types was observed during the period studied, with
uncommitted osteochondral progenitors (OCP) and immature chondroblasts giving way to
maturing, resting and prehypertrophic chondrocytes (Fig. 3c). This progression was
accompanied by changes in regulon activity (Fig. 3d). For example, *SHOX* activity was
highly specific for OCPs. This TF has been widely implicated in secondary ossification
through its interactions with the master regulators of chondrogenesis - *SOX*5, 6 and 9[98].
Altered *SHOX* expression has been found in syndromes of altered skeletal growth, including
Turner syndrome and idiopathic short and tall stature[99]. Its role in primary ossification is not
well characterised, and its potential role in driving mesenchymal progenitors to form OCPs
could shed further light on the mechanisms underlying such syndromes.
401
The switch from OCP to chondroblasts (and subsequent chondrocytes) was associated with
the activation of *SOX*5,6 and 9, with the latter localising to chondrocyte condensations at
PCW 5.6 and the developing tibia, fibula and digits at PCW6.2 (Fig. 3d,e). This trend was
observed for other known regulators of chondrogenesis, including *THRB* and *NKX*3-2[100,101].
Interestingly, *FOXJ*1 was predicted to have similar activity in the chondrocyte lineage. In

addition to its established role in ciliation, this TF has been shown to regulate dental enamel
development [102]. Furthermore, like *SOX*5 and 9, it is regulated by *IRX*1; a TF which specifies
the digits and establishes the boundary between chondrogenic and non-chondrogenic tissue
in the developing chick limb[21]. Several known regulators of chondrocyte hypertrophy were
specific to PHCs, including Osterix (*SP*7), *RORA*, *DLX*3 and *RUNX*3, with the latter
localising to the tibial diaphysis at PCW 6.2[103–106]. Finally, *RUNX*2 was, as expected,
predicted to be active in osteoblasts and the perichondral cells from which they are derived,
with expression again localising to the tibial diaphysis.

Our experiments also captured the cells of the interzone; mesenchymal cells that reside at
the sites of future synovial joints and give rise to their constituent parts. This cluster
expressed the classical interzone marker *GDF*5 and emerged at the end of PCW5, giving
rise to articular chondrocytes expressing lubricin (PRG4) at PCW8 (Fig. 3b,c). Intriguingly,
the articular chondrocytes were not predicted to exhibit *SOX*5/6/9 activity, but instead
possessed a separate TF programme focused on inhibiting classical osteochondral
transcripts (Fig 3d). For example, *ELF*3 has been shown to inhibit SOX9 in cultured human
chondrocytes, whilst *TFAP2C* has demonstrated the same effect in human colorectal cancer
cell lines[107,108]. In murine models, the glucocorticoid receptor NR3C1 inhibits the osteoblastic
transcripts *COL1A1* and Osteocalcin (*BGLAP*)[109,110].The role of *SOX9* in articular cartilage
development and homeostasis is uncertain, with inducible loss in mice resulting in no
degenerative change at the joint postnatally[111,112].

Tendon progenitors expressing high levels of *SCX* but low *TNMD* emerged during PCW5
before declining in number and being replaced by tenocytes and perimysium expressing
high levels of *TNMD* from PCW7 onward (Fig. 3b, c). Several TFs that control tenogenesis
were predicted to be active in these cell types, including *SIX*1 and *MKX*[113,114]. Additionally,
HEYL activity was elevated in tenocytes (and to a lesser degree in perimysium) when
compared to tendon progenitors (Fig. 3d). The role of this TF in tenogenesis has not been
characterised, however it has been shown to suppress the expression of *MYOD*1 in muscle
stem cells under increased loading conditions[115]. Our data suggest this mechanism may
extend to tenocyte specification in the embryonic limb. *TEF* also showed upregulation in
these cell types. This gene is known to play a role in extracellular matrix regulation in cardiac
tissue, and may therefore play a similar role in the developing tendon [116] Interestingly, the
homeobox transcription factor *HLX* was specific to perimysium. This TF plays a role in the
development of many organs including the liver, diaphragm, bowel, spleen and myeloid
lineage, but no function in tendon development has been described[117].

Finally, different fibroblast and smooth muscle populations within the limb exhibited clearly
distinct TF activities (Extended Data Fig. 8a,b). Dermal fibroblasts showed activity in known
regulators of this lineage, including *FOSL2*, *PRDM1, SP5* and *HOXC5*[118–120]. Perineural
fibroblasts showed activity in *FOXS1,* a molecule previously associated with sensory nerves
[121]. Smooth muscle cells (SmMC) and their precursors (SmMP) both showed activity in
*GATA*6, which is thought to regulate their synthetic function [122]. In addition, SmMC showed
activity in several additional TFs with known roles in smooth muscle maturation, such as
*HEY*2 and *HES6*[123,124].

<u>Regulation of embryonic and fetal myogenesis</u>

Limb muscle originates from the dermomyotome in the somite[1,2]. Classically, its formation
begins with delamination and migration from the somite regulated by *PAX3* and co-
regulators such as *LBX1* and *MEOX2*, followed by two subsequent waves of myogenesis:
embryonic and fetal[125]. During embryonic myogenesis, a portion of *PAX3*+ embryonic
skeletal muscle progenitors are destined to differentiate and fuse into multinucleated
myotubes. These primary fibers act as the scaffold for the formation of secondary fibers
derived from *PAX7*+ fetal skeletal muscle progenitors, which are themselves derived from
PAX3+ muscle progenitors[126–128].

To dissect these limb muscle developmental trajectories in detail from our human data, we
took cells from the eight muscle states, re-embedded them using diffusion mapping
combined with PAGA and FDG. Three distinct trajectories with an origin in *PAX3*+ skeletal
muscle progenitors (*PAX3*+ SkMP), emerged (Fig. 4a). The first trajectory (labelled 1st
Myogenesis) starts from *PAX3*+ SkMP and progresses through an embryonic myoblast state
(MyoB1) followed by an early embryonic myocyte state (MyoC1), and finally arrives at
mature embryonic myocytes. This trajectory is in keeping with embryonic myogenesis. Along
the second trajectory, the *PAX3*+ SkMP lead to *PAX3*+*PAX7*+ cells, followed by a
heterogeneous pool of *PAX7*+ SkMP cells that are mostly *MyoD* negative (Fig. 4a,b). This
represents a developmental path that generates progenitors for subsequent muscle
formation and regeneration. The final trajectory (labelled 2nd Myogenesis) connects cell
states that express *PAX7* first to fetal myoblasts (MyoB2), then early fetal myocytes (MyoC2)
and finally mature fetal myocytes.

Comparing these myogenic pathways, we noticed that *PAX3* expression gradually phases
out along the trajectory of the embryonic myogenic pathway, while it is almost absent in the
fetal myogenic pathway (Fig. 4b).This is consistent with a previous study that captured
Pax3+ Myog+ cells in the mouse limb [129]. Interestingly, ID2 and ID3 that are known to
attenuate myogenic regulatory factors [130,131] are also more highly expressed in embryonic
myogenesis than fetal, which may imply different upstream regulatory networks. Additional
genes such as *FST*, *RGS4*, *NEFM* and SAMD11 were also identified to be marking the first
myogenic pathway while KIF19, TNFSF13B, KRT31 and RGR mark the second (Fig. 4b). In
fact, Keratin genes have been found to facilitate sarcomere organisation[132].

Next, we performed SCENIC analysis to search for transcription factors driving each
myogenic stage. A large number of stage-specific transcription factors were identified (Fig.
4d; Extended Data Table 4), including known muscle regulators such as *MSX1*, *PAX3*,
PAX7, *PITX2*, *SIX2*, MYOD1, *MYOG* and *LBX1* (Extended Data Fig. 8.c,d). Indeed, *PAX3*
had a higher activity score in cell states during embryonic myogenesis while *PAX7* has
higher scores during fetal myogenesis. Although *PITX2* was reported to be transcribed at
similar levels during embryonic limb myogenesis[133], we observe a higher activity score and
abundance during embryonic myogenesis than fetal myogenesis (Extended Data Fig. 8.c),
possibly related to its different regulatory roles[134]. Its related family member, *PITX1*, shows
overlapping activity hotspots based on analyses using SCENIC. Interestingly, while known
as a hindlimb-specific transcription factor, we find *PITX1* expressed in both forelimb and
hindlimb muscle cells (Fig. 4e), including a fraction of *PAX3*+ cells as early as PCW5 (Fig.
4f), suggesting a potential regulatory role in embryonic myogenesis.

Complementary to SCENIC analyses focusing on activators, we also investigated in several
transcriptional repressors such as *MSC* (also known as Musculin, ABF-1 or MyoR),
TCF21(Capsulin), and families of ID, HES and HEY proteins. We observed specific
expressions of *MSC*, *HES*1 and *HEY*1 in PAX7^{+} skeletal progenitors. The most prominent
repressor, *MSC* is a bHLH transcription factor that has been shown to inhibit *MyoD*'s ability
to activate myogenesis in 10T1/2 fibroblasts[135] and rhabdomyosarcoma cells[136]. In addition,
in C2C12 murine myoblasts, MSC facilitates Notch's inhibition of myogenesis (although it
appears to exhibit functional redundancy in this role)[137]. To test whether human MSC also
plays a role in repressing *PAX7*^{+} skeletal muscle progenitor maturation, in addition to its
widely accepted role in B-cell development[138,139], we knocked down MSC in primary human
embryonic limb myoblasts. Our RT-qPCR results showed profound upregulation of late
myocyte genes (Fig. 4g). This suggests that *MSC* is key to maintaining limb muscle
progenitor identity.

Spatially resolved microenvironments exhibit distinct patterns of cell-cell communication

Spatially resolved microenvironments exhibit distinct patterns of cell-cell
communication
In order to investigate communication between cell types, we utilised the CellphoneDB
python package to identify stage-specific ligand-receptor interactions by cell type in the
developing limb[140,141]. This output was then filtered to reveal signalling pathways between
co-located populations of cells at the histological level, determined in an unbiased way using
cell2location factor analysis (Fig. 5a).

In the early (PCW5.6) limb bud, NOTCH signalling was predicted to occur in its distal
posterior aspect through the canonical ligand Jagged (*JAG*)-1 (Fig. 5b). This interaction
occurs between adjacent cells, with *JAG*1 bound to the cell rather than being secreted,
triggering proteolytic cleavage of the intracellular domain of NOTCH receptors with varying
activity depending on the NOTCH receptor involved[142–144]. *JAG*1 is induced by *SHH* in the
posterior distal limb bud, with its anterior expression inhibited by *GLI3R*[41,145]. Our spatial
transcriptomic dataset confirms this expression pattern in the early limb bud, with several
voxels containing both *JAG*1 and NOTCH family transcripts (Fig. 5b; white asterisks). In
addition, *HES*1, a downstream target of NOTCH, was expressed in these voxels, supporting
the predicted activity of this signalling pathway. This novel finding sheds further light on the
mechanisms controlling limb morphogenesis and has implications for conditions where this
signalling axis is disrupted, such as the posterior digit absence characteristic of Adams-
Oliver syndrome and the 5th finger clinodactyly of Alagille syndrome[146,147].

In the limb bud samples at PCW6.2, we captured weak but reproducible signals of *FGF*8 in
the AER epithelial cells while *FGF*10 was detected in the adjacent mesenchyme (Fig. 5c, d).
It is known that *FGF*8 and *FGF*10 are expressed in the adjacent ectoderm and mesoderm
respectively and form a feedback loop through *FGFR*2 that is essential for limb induction[148].
Indeed, *FGFR*2 expression overlapped with that of *FGF*8 and *FGF*10 (Fig. 5d) and showed
higher abundance in the AER than the progress zone, consistent with previous findings[148].
Interestingly, our single-cell and spatial atlases also suggest that *FGFR*2 has the lowest
expression in the outer progress zone, interposed by the AER and transitional progress zone
(Fig. 5c,d), which indicates a potential repression mechanism in the outer progress zone. As
expected, FGFR2 was also expressed in the osteochondral lineage (Fig. 5e). The

importance of this receptor in skeletal development is highlighted by the limb phenotypes
observed in the FGFR2-related craniosynostoses, such as radiohumeral synostosis,
arachnodactyly and bowed long bones[149]. *FGF*8, together with *FGF*5 and 9, were also
expressed in regions with high expression of myogenic proteins, including their receptor
FGFR4 (Fig. 5d-f; Extended Data Fig. 9a,b). This is in agreement with its previously reported
role in activating MyoD expression in the chicken limb bud[150].

The distribution of other FGF family members in the nascent limb were in keeping with
observations in model organisms (Fig. 5e). FGFR1 showed a broad expression pattern
across many cell populations, including skeletal muscle, bone and tendon. This receptor
plays multiple roles in limb development, and its inactivation in the mouse results in
truncated limbs with three digits; a deformity most marked in the hindlimb[151]. FGFR3 was
expressed in intermediate and prehypertrophic chondrocytes, reflecting its role as a negative
regulator of endochondral ossification[152]. Our spatial transcriptomic data further confirm the
cell-specific spatial distribution of FGFs and their corresponding receptors (Fig 5f).

Homology and divergence between human and murine limb development

Limb development has long been studied in model organisms, while assays directly
performed on human samples are less common. To explore differences between mice and
humans, and to understand evolutionary principles, we collected 13 mouse limb samples for
scRNA-seq, and combined our newly generated data with 18 high-quality (Extended Data
Fig. 10a) limb datasets from three previously published studies [133,153,154] to build a
comprehensive mouse embryonic limb atlas (Extended Data Fig. 10b, c). To compare the
mouse and human transcriptome, we used the diagonal alignment algorithm MultiMAP[155] to
align single-cells based on matched orthologs, while also considering information from non-
orthologous genes. The resulting integrative atlas (Fig. 6a-c) with aligned cell-type clusters
show a highly conserved cell composition between Human and Mouse (Fig. 6b, Extended
Data Fig. 10d).
569
But differential compositions are also observed in a small number of cell types. As expected,
the mouse limb dataset has a greater abundance of *PAX*3[+] SkMP and Early LPMC cells
(Fig. 6d, brown circle; Extended Data Fig. 10d) that are enriched in early embryonic limb
development, primarily because more early (before E12) mouse samples were collected
given the limited access to early embryonic human samples (before PCW5). In addition,
mouse limbs contained a higher percentage of epithelial cells and immune cells (Fig 6d,
orange circle), possibly due to faster maturation of the epidermal and early immune system
in the mouse. Consistent with this, a recent comparison of mouse and chicken limbs at the
single cell level found that gene modules of epithelial and immune cells exhibit higher
evolutionary turnover[156]. Interestingly, whilst more cells of the cluster PAX3^{+} SkMP in mouse
are PAX3^{+} MSC- (3448) than PAX3^{+} MSC[+] (592), the reverse is true for human (116 PAX3^{+}
MSC- cells vs 1532 PAX3^{+} MSC^{+} cells), indicating differences in the transcription factor
repertoire between mouse and human PAX3^{+} SkMP.
583
584   To systematically compare pattern formation between mouse and human limbs, we
585   dissected forelimbs and hindlimbs from a human embryo and a mouse counterpart, each

586 separated into proximal, middle and distal segments to compare with our first trimester
587 human samples. This allowed us to address the differences between forelimb and hindlimb
588 along the proximo-distal axis at matched time points in human and mouse development.
589
Overall, mice and humans demonstrate highly similar cell-type compositions along the P-D
axis. In both human and mouse forelimbs, proximal mesenchymal cells are enriched towards
the proximal end, while progress zone cells (TransPZC and OuterPZC) are highly enriched
in the distal part as expected (Fig. 6e). Additionally, interzone cells are enriched in the
middle segment, where we intentionally included the joints. The same is true for the
hindlimb. Comparison of forelimbs and hindlimbs demonstrated that both humans and mice
show minimal differences in terms of cell type composition (Fig. 6e). This suggests that the
composition of cell types of the developing limb is highly conserved between humans and
mice even when pinpointing the broad anatomical regions. To perform a more stringent
comparison, we took cells from the thirty-two LPM-derived states to compare ortholog
expression signatures between proximal and distal segments in mouse and human. Both
species recapitulate known P-D biased genes such as *MEIS*1 (proximal) and *HOXD*13
(distal) and known forelimb/hindlimb biased genes such as *TBX*5 (forelimb) and *TBX*4
(hindlimb) (Extended Data Fig. 10e). Overall, we show that the gene neighbourhoods
controlling forelimb/hindlimb identity and P-D axis formation are highly conserved in
evolution.
606
607
608

Discussion

Our developmental limb atlas combines single-cell RNA and spatial transcriptomic analyses
of embryonic limb cells from multiple time points in the first trimester in order to form the first
detailed characterisation of human limb development across space and time. We identify
fifty-five cell states within eight tissue lineages in the developing limb and place them into
anatomical context, building on existing knowledge of cellular heterogeneity gained from
model organisms[133]. Our spatial data also reveals the expression of key regulators of limb
axis identity, including the homeobox genes, in the nascent human limb.
617
In addition to recapitulating model organism biology, our atlas enables the identification of
novel cell states. We identify a population of perineural fibroblasts surrounding the sciatic
nerve and its tibial division, and we confirm their location using immunostaining. We also
characterise several populations of mesenchymal cells, each defined by the expression of
marker genes that in many cases play unclear roles in limb formation and should spur further
investigation. The scale and resolution of our atlas also enables the construction of a refined
model of cell states and regulators in partially overlapped and paralleled primary and
secondary myogenesis in the limb marked by different panels of regulators, with the
identification and validation of MSC as a key player in muscle stem cell maintenance.
627
Our atlas also leverages spatial data by placing subtly distinct single cell clusters into their
anatomical context, shedding light on their true identity. In particular, two clusters of cells
with subtly different transcriptomes mapped to the progress zone in two distinct bands,
which we term "outer" and "transitional" layers. The gene module of the more proximal

transitional layer showed some similarity to that of chondroblasts, suggesting it may be
beginning to differentiate towards these committed cell types. Similarly, two clusters in the
tendon lineage map to the tendon and perimysium, giving insight into the subtle differences
between these related tissues. Furthermore, through histological annotation of the
developing autopod, we connected physiological gene expression patterns to single gene
health conditions that involve altered hand phenotype, demonstrating the clinical relevance
of developmental cell atlas projects. We further maximised the utility of this study by
presenting an integrated cross-species atlas with unified annotations as a resource for the
developmental biology community that we expect will strengthen future studies of limb
development and disease that utilise murine models.
642
Whilst the combination of single cell and spatial transcriptomics is an established method for
tissue atlasing, we recognise the challenges of combining different technologies. For
example, our single-cell data captured large numbers of chondrocytes, including
prehypertrophic chondrocytes which mapped to the mid-diaphysis of the forming bones.
Interestingly, analysis of spatial gene expression revealed some collagen-X expression in
these regions; a marker gene for mature, hypertrophic chondrocytes. Our scRNAseq
experiments did not capture any cells expressing collagen-X, suggesting that
permeabilisation and RNA capture with visium in this case was a superior method for
profiling matrix-rich tissues such as mature cartilage. We expect these technical
considerations to feed forward into future atlasing endeavours involving cartilage, bone and
other dense tissues.
654

Methods

Human tissue sample collection

First trimester human embryonic tissue was collected from elective termination of pregnancy
procedures at Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, UK under full ethical approval (REC-
96/085; for scRNA-seq and Visium), or at Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical
Center, China under the license of ZSSOM-2019-075 approved by the human research
ethics committee of Sun Yat-sen University (for experimental validation). Written, informed
consent was given for tissue collection by the patient. Embyonic age (post conception
weeks, PCW) was estimated using the independent measurement of the crown rump length
(CRL), using the formula PCW (days) = 0.9022 × CRL (mm) + 27.372.
665
666

Human tissue processing and scRNA-seq data generation

Embryonic hindlimbs were dissected from the trunk under a microscope using sterile
microsurgical instruments. Four samples (a hindlimb and a forelimb from both PCW5.6 and
6.1) were then further dissected into proximal, middle and distal thirds prior to dissociation.
For the PCW5.1 sample, no further dissection was performed and the limb bud was
dissociated as a whole. For all other samples, the limb was dissected into proximal and
distal halves prior to dissociation.

Dissected tissues were mechanically chopped into a mash, and then were digested in Liberase
TH solution (Roche, 05401135001, 50 µg/ml) at 37°C for 30-40 min till no tissue pierce visible.
Digested tissues were filtered through 40 µm cell strainers followed by centrifugation at 750g for
5 min at 4°C. Cell pellets were resuspended with 2% FBS in PBS if the embryos were younger
than PCW8, otherwise red blood cell lysis (eBioscience, 00-4300) was performed. The single-
cell suspensions derived from each sample were then loaded onto separate channels of a
Chromium 10x Genomics single cell 3'version 2 library chip as per the manufacturer's
protocol (10x Genomics; PN-120233). cDNA sequencing libraries were prepared as per the
manufacturer's protocol and sequenced using an Illumina Hi-seq 4000 with 2x150bp paired-
end reads.

Mouse tissue sample collection and scRNA-seq data generation

Timed pregnant C57BL/6J wild type mice were ordered from Jackson Laboratories. Embryos
were collected at E12.5, E13.5 and E16.5. Only right side forelimbs and hindlimbs were used
in this study: n=5 at the E12.5 timepoint, n=5 at E13.5 and n=2 at E16.5. Hindlimbs and
forelimbs were pooled separately in ice cold HBSS (Gibco, 14175-095), and dissected into
proximal, mid and distal limb regions, which were again separately pooled in 200 µl of HBSS
placed in a drop in the centre of a 6 cm culture plate. Tissues were then minced with a razor
blade, and incubated with an addition of 120 µl of diluted DNAse solution (Roche,
04716728001) at 37C for 15 minutes. DNAse solution: 1 ml UltraPure water (Invitrogen,
10977-015) 110 µl 10X DNAse buffer, and 70 µl DNAse stock solution. 2 ml of diluted Liberase
TH (Roche, 05401151001) was then added to the plate, and the minced tissue suspension
was pipetted into a 15 ml conical centrifuge tube. The culture plate was rinsed with 2 ml, and
again with 1 mlℒ of fresh Liberase TH which was serially collected and added to the cell
suspension. The suspension was incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes, triturated with a P1000
tip, and incubated for an additional 15 minutes at 37°C. Liberase TH solution: 50X stock was
prepared by adding 2 ml PBS to 5 mg of Liberase TH. Working solution is made by adding
100 µl 50X stock to 4.9 ml PBS. After a final gentle trituration of the tissue with a P1000 tip,
the suspension was spun at 380g in a swinging bucket rotor at 4°C for 5 minutes. After
removing the supernatant, cells were resuspended in 5 ml of 2% fetal bovine serum in PBS,
and filtered through a pre-wetted 40 µm filter (Falcon, 352340). After spinning again at 380g
at 4°C for 5 minutes, the supernatant was removed and cells were resuspended in 200 ul 2%
FBS in PBS. A small aliquot was diluted 1:10 in 2% FBS/PBS and mixed with an equal volume
of Trypan Blue for counting on a hemocytometer. The full suspension was diluted to 1.2 million
cells/ml for processing on the 10x Genomics Chromium Controller, with a target of 8000
cells/library. Libraries were processed according to the manufacturer's protocol, using the v3
Chromium reagents.

Visium spatial transcriptomic experiments of human tissue

Whole embryonic limb samples at PCW6-8 were embedded in OCT within cryo wells and
flash-frozen using an isopentane & dry ice slurry. Ten-micron thick cryosections were then
cut in the desired plane and transferred onto Visium slides prior to haematoxylin and eosin
staining and imaged at 20X magnification on a Hamamatsu Nanozoomer 2.0 HT Brightfield.

These slides were then further processed according to the 10X Genomics Visium protocol,
using a permeabilisation time of 18min for the PCW6 samples and 24 minutes for older
samples. Images were exported as tiled tiffs for analysis. Dual-indexed libraries were
prepared as in the 10X Genomics protocol, pooled at 2.25 nM and sequenced 4 samples per
Illumina Novaseq SP flow cell with read lengths 28bp R1, 10bp i7 index, 10bp i5 index, 90bp
R2.

Digit region analysis of Visium data

For differential gene expression testing, regions of interest were annotated based on H&E
histology, and significant feature analysis performed using the 10X Genomics Loupe
Browser 4.1.0, selecting for locally distinguishing features between the two regions. Results
were visualised using the violin plot function in Loupe.

Alignment, quantification and quality control of human scRNA-seq data.

Droplet-based (10X) sequencing data were aligned and quantified using the Cell Ranger
Single-Cell Software Suite (v.2.1.1, 10X Genomics) against the Cell Ranger hg38 reference
genome refdata-cellranger-GRCh38-3.0.0, available at: http://cf.10xgenomics.com/supp/cell-
exp/refdata-cellranger-GRCh38-3.0.0.tar.gz. The following quality control steps were
performed: (i) cells that expressed fewer than 200 genes (low quality), and over 10,000
genes (potential doublets) were excluded; (ii) genes expressed by less than 5 cells were
removed; (iii) cells in which over 10% of unique molecular identifier (UMIs) were derived
from the mitochondrial genome were removed.

Alignment and quantification of human Visium data.

Raw FASTQ files and histology images were processed, aligned and quantified by sample
using the Space Ranger software v.1.0.0. which uses STAR v.2.5.1b52 for genome
alignment, against the Cell Ranger hg38 reference genome refdata-cellranger-GRCh38-
3.0.0, available at: http://cf.10xgenomics.com/supp/cell-exp/refdata-cellranger-GRCh38-
3.0.0.tar.gz.

Alignment, quantification and quality control of mouse scRNA-seq data.

Droplet-based (10x) sequencing data were aligned and quantified using the Cell Ranger
Single-Cell Software Suite (v.3.0.2, 10x Genomics) against the Cell Ranger mm10 reference
genome refdata-gex-mm10-2020-A, available at: https://cf.10xgenomics.com/supp/cell-
exp/refdata-gex-mm10-2020-A.tar.gz. The following quality control steps were performed: (i)
cells that expressed fewer than 200 genes (low quality) were excluded; (ii) genes expressed

by less than 5 cells were removed; (iii) cells in which over 10% of unique molecular identifier
(UMIs) were derived from the mitochondrial genome were removed.

Doublet detection of human scRNA-seq data.

Doublets were detected with an approach adapted from a previous study[157]. In the first step
of the process, each 10X lane was processed independently using the Scrublet to obtain
per-cell doublet scores. In the second step of the process, the standard Scanpy processing
pipeline was performed up to the clustering stage, using default parameters. Each cluster
was subsequently separately clustered again, yielding an over-clustered manifold, and each
of the resulting clusters had its Scrublet scores replaced by the median of the observed
values. The resulting scores were assessed for statistical significance, with P values
computed using a right tailed test from a normal distribution centred on the score median
and a median absolute deviation (MAD)-derived standard deviation estimate. The MAD was
computed from above-median values to circumvent zero truncation. The P values were
corrected for false discovery rate with the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure, and a
significance threshold of 0.1 was imposed. Cells with a significant corrected P values were
detected as doublets and removed.

Data preprocessing and integration of human scRNA-seq data.

Preprocessing included data normalisation (pp.normalize_per_cell with 10,000 counts per
cell after normalisation), logarithmise (pp.log1p), highly variable genes (HVGs) detection
(pp.highly_variable_genes with batch key (sample identities)), data feature scaling
(pp.scale), cell cycle regressing (tl.score_gene_cell_cycle and pp.regress_out), and principal
component analysis (PCA) (tl.pca with 100 components) performed using the Python
package Scanpy (v.1.6.0). bbknn (v.1.3.11) was used to correct for batch effect between
sample identities with the following parameters (n_pcs = 70, neighbors_within_batch = 3,
trim = 200, metric= "euclidean").

Data preprocessing and integration of mouse scRNA-seq data.

Preprocessing included data normalisation (pp.normalize_per_cell with 10,000 counts per
cell after normalization), logarithmise (pp.log1p), highly variable genes (HVGs) detection
(pp.highly_variable_genes and select for highly correlated ones as described in [133]) per
batch and merging, data feature scaling (pp.scale), cell cycle regressing
(tl.score_gene_cell_cycle and pp.regress_out), and principal component analysis (PCA)
(tl.pca with 100 components) performed using the Python package Scanpy (v.1.8.2). bbknn
(v.1.5.1) was used to correct for batch effect between sample identities with the following
parameters (n_pcs = 100, metric= "euclidean").

Clustering and annotation of human scRNA-seq data.

We first performed dimension reduction using Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection (UMAP) (scanpy tl.umap with default parameters) on 1903 highly variably genes.
Next, we applied Leiden graph-based clustering (scanpy tl.leiden with default parameters) to
perform unsupervised cell classification. To make sure all expected Leiden clusters could
clearly be mapped onto their UMAP embedding coordinates, we performed the partition-
based graph abstraction (PAGA) (tl.paga with the Leiden clusters) and rerun UMAP with the
initial position from PAGA. Cluster cell identity was assigned by manual annotation using
known marker genes and computed DEGs using self-designed framework. The detailed
description of the method for DEGs detection is available on Github
(https://github.com/ZhangHongbo-Lab/DEAPLOG).

Deconvolution of human Visium data- cell2location

To map cell types identified by scRNA-seq in the profiled spatial transcriptomics slides, we
used the cell2location method [19]. In brief, this involved first training a negative binomial
regression model to estimate reference transcriptomic profiles for all the cell types identified
with scRNA-seq in the developing limb. Next, lowly expressed genes are excluded as per
recommendations for use of cell2location. Next, we estimated the abundance of cell types in
the spatial transcriptomics slides using the reference transcriptomic profiles of different cell
types. To identify microenvironments of co-localising cell types, we used non-negative matrix
factorisation (NMF) implementation in scikit-learn, utilising the wrapper in the cell2location
package [158]. A cell type was considered part of a microenvironment if the fraction of that cell
type in said environment was over 0.2.

Alignment and merging of multiple visium sections

In order to analyse the whole PCW 8.1 human hindlimb, we took three consecutive ten micron
sections from different regions and placed them on different capture areas of the same Visium
LP slide. The first section spanned the distal femur, knee joint and proximal tibia (sample
C42A1), the second the proximal thigh (C42B1) and the third the distal tibia, ankle and foot
(C42C1).

The images from these 3 visium capture areas were then aligned using the TrackEM plugin
(Fiji)[159]. Following affine transformations of C42B1 and C42C1 to C42A1, the transformation
matrices were exported to an in house pipeline (/22-03-29-visium-stitch/limb_reconst.ipynb)
for complementary alignment of the spot positions from the SpaceRanger output to the
reconstructed space. In addition we arbitrarily decided that for regions of overlapping spots
we kept the spots from the centre portion (see supp) and the same decision was made for the
reconstructed image in order to maintain the 1-1 relationship between the image and genetic
profile. Next we merged the 3 library files by an in-house pipeline (22-03-29-visium-stitch/N1-
join-limb.ipynb) and matched the reconstructed image to the uniform AnnData object.

Trajectory analysis of human scRNA-seq data.

Development trajectories were inferred by combining diffusion maps (DM), PAGA and force-
directed graph (FDG). The first step of this process was to perform the first nonlinear
dimensionality reduction using DM (scanpy tl.diffmap with 15 components) and recompute
the neighborhood graph (scanpy pp.neighbors) based on the 15 components of DM. In the
second step of this process, PAGA (scanpy tl.paga) was performed to generate an
abstracted graph of partitions. Finally, FDG was performed with the initial position from
PAGA (scanpt tl.draw_graph) to visualise the development trajectories.

Cell-cell communication analysis of human scRNA-seq data.

Cell–cell communication analysis was performed using the CellPhoneDB (v.2.1.4) for each
dataset at the same stage of development. The stage matched Visium data was used to
validate the spatial distance and expression pattern of significant ($P < 0.05$) ligand-receptor
interactions.

Enrichment analysis of transcription factors (TFs).

To carry out transcription factor network inference, Analysis was performed as previously
described[160] using the pySCENIC python package (v.0.10.3). For the input data, we filtered
out the genes that were expressed in less than 10 percent of the cells in each cell cluster.
Then, we performed the standard procedure including deriving co-expression modules
(pyscenic grn), finding enriched motifs (pyscenic ctx) and quantifying activity (pyscenic
aucell).

Integration of human and mouse scRNA-seq data.
856
Processed human and mouse data were merged together using outer join of the
ortholos. The matched dataset was then integrated by MultiMAP using the
MultiMAP_Integration() function, using separately pre-calculated PCs and the union
set of mouse and human highly-variable genes. Downstream clustering and
embedding were performed as usual and cell-type annotation was based on marker
genes. Cell-type composition of proximal, middle and distal segments of the same
limb was visualised using plotly.express.scatter_ternary() function. To capture the
differential expression of sparsely captured genes, the odds ratio of the percentages
of non-zero cells between groups of cells was used to select for proximal/distal or
fore/hind biassed genes with a cutoff at 30 fold and 3 fold respectively.
867

Immunohistochemistry

The lower limbs were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 24 h at 4°C followed by paraffin
embedding. A thickness of 4 μm sections were boiled in 0.01 M citrate buffer (pH
6.0) after dewaxing. Immunofluorescent staining was then carried out as described
previously[161]. Primary antibodies for RUNX2 (1:50, Santa Cruz, sc-390715), THBS2
(1:100, Thermo Fisher, PA5-76418), COL2A1 (1:200, Santa Cruz, sc-52658), PITX1
(1:30, Abcam, Ab244308), PAX3 (1:1, DSHB, AB_528426 supernatant) and
ALDH1A3 (1:50, Proteintech, 25167-1-AP) and MYH3 (1:3, DSHB, AB_528358 supernatant)
were incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing, sections were incubated with
appropriate secondary antibodies Alexa Flour 488 goat anti-mouse IgG1 (Invitrogen,
A-21121), Alexa Flour 647 goat anti-mouse IgG2b (Invitrogen, A-21242), Alexa Flour
488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, A-11029) and Alexa Flour 546 goat anti-
rabbit IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, A-11035) at room temperature for 1h, and were
mounted using FluorSave Reagent (Calbiochem, 345789). For 3, 3-
diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining, we used Streptavidin-Peroxidase broad spectrum
kit (Bioss, SP-0022) and DAB solution (ZSGB-BIO, ZLI-9017) following the
manufacturers' manuals. Primary antibodies PI16 (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich,
HPA043763), FGF19 (1:500, Affinity, DF2651) and NEFH (1:1000, Cell Signaling,
2836) were applied. Single-plane images were acquired using an inverted
microscope (Leica, DMi8).

MSC knockdown in human primary myoblasts

Isolation of human primary myoblast cells

The thighs from human embryos were processed as described[162], except that the
dissociated cells were not treated with erythrocyte lysis solution, and were incubated
with anti-human CD31 (eBioscience, 12-0319-41), CD45 (eBioscience, 12-0459-41)
and CD184 (eBioscience, 17-9999-41) antibodies for cell sorting. Fluorescent
activated cell sorting (FACS, BD, influx) sorted CD31-CD45-CD184+ cells were
cultured in complete growth medium DMEM supplemented with 20% FCS and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122).

siRNA transfection

Human primary myoblasts were seeded into a 6-well plate one night before
transfection. When the cell density reached approximately 50% confluence, oligos of
small interfering RNA (siRNA) against MSC (si-MSC) and negative control (NC) were
transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, L3000015) at a final
concentration of 37.5 nM. After incubation for 16 h, the growth medium was replaced
with differentiation medium containing 2% horse serum and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin in DMEM. After culturing for an additional 6-8 h, the cells were
collected for RNA extraction. Initially three siRNA oligos (Bioneer, 9242-1, 2, 3) were

tested, and the third one with sense sequences 5′-GAAGUUUCCGCAGCCAACA-3′
were used in this study.

RNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Total cell RNA was extracted with the EZ-press RNA purification kit (EZBioscience,
B0004D), and the cDNA was synthetised using the PrimeScript RT Master Mix Kit
(TaKaRa, RR036A). The qPCR was performed using PerfectStartTM Green qPCR
Super Mix (TransGen Biotech, AQ601) on a Real-time PCR Detection System
(Roche, LightCycle480 II). RPLP0 served as an internal control, and the fold
enrichment was calculated using the formula $2-\Delta\Delta Ct$. The following primers (5'-3')
were used:
RPLP0 forward: ATGCAGCAGATCCGCATGT, reverse:
TTGCGCATCATGGTGTTCTT;
MSC forward: CAGGAGGACCGCTATGAGAA, reverse:
GCGGTGGTTCCACATAGTCT;
MYOG forward: AGTGCCATCCAGTACATCGAGC, reverse:
AGGCGCTGTGAGAGCTGCATTC;
MYH2 forward: GGAGGACAAAGTCAACACCCTG, reverse:
GCCCTTTCTAGGTCCATGCGAA;
MYH3 forward: CTGGAGGATGAATGCTCAGAGC, reverse:
CCCAGAGAGTTCCTCAGTAAGG;
MYH4 forward: CGGGAGGTTCACACAAAAGTCATA, reverse:
CCTTGATATACAGGACAGTGACAA;
TNNT1 forward: AACGCGAACGTCAGGCTAAGCT, reverse:
CTTGACCAGGTAGCCGCCAAAA.

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. A single-cell temporal-spatial atlas of human embryonic limb.

a, Overview of human embryonic developmental time points sampled and
experimental scheme. The asterisk marks the sampling time point. P, proximal; M,
middle; D, distal; PCW, post conceptional week. **b**, Uniform manifold approximation
and projection (UMAP) visualisation of 114,047 human embryonic limb cells, coloured
by their cell-type annotation. The orange dotted line shows the skeletal muscle
lineage, and the green dotted line shows the lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) lineage.
AER, apical ectoderm region; Chon, chondrocyte; ArtiChon, articular Chon; ChonB,
chondroblast; PrehyChon, prehypertrophic Chon; PeriChon, perichondrium cell; Endo,
Endothelial; FiB, fibroblast; DermFiBP, dermal fibroblast progenitor; PeriNeuFiB,
perineural FiB; LympEndo, lymphatic Endo; Macro, macrophage; Melano,

melanocyte; Mes, mesenchyme; PrMes, Proximal Mes; MesCond, mesenchymal
condensate cell; Mono, monocyte; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC, myocyte; OCP,
osteochondral progenitor; OsteoB, osteoblast; PZC, progress zone cell; TransPZC,
transitional PZC; RBC, red blood cell; DefRBC, definitive RBC; PriRBC, primitive RBC;
ProgRBC, progenitor RBC; SchwannP, schwann progenitor; SkMP, skeletal muscle
progenitor; SmMP, smooth muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth muscle cell; TenoP,
tenocyte progenitor. **c-d**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from
PCW 6.2 (**c**) and PCW 8.1 (**d**) human hindlimb showing spatial distribution of cells and
marker genes of OuterPZC, TransPZC, ChonB, PreHyChon, PrMes, Mes2 (**c**),
PeriChon, OsteoB, PreHyChon, ArtiChon, PeriNeuFiB, Perimysium and Teno (**d**)
based on non-negative matrix factorisation. colour bars denote the cell abundance
score and gene expression levels.

Figure 2. Spatial expression pattern of genes involved in digit formation and altered hand phenotype.

a, Overview of experimental scheme to identify genes involved in digit formation and interdigital cell death (ICD). IDS, interdigital space. **b-c**, Tissue sections from the PCW 6.2 human hindlimb showing spatial expression pattern of genes promoting digital tissue survival (left panel) and ICD (right panel) (**b**) as well as genes linked to altered digital phenotype(**c**). Red colouring of bones denotes those affected by brachydactyly;

972   light blue denotes clinodactyly. Violin plot showing gene significance of difference
973   between digital region and IDS. Colour bars encode the gene expression levels.

Figure 3. Cell lineage diversification of the lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) and
associated transcription factor (TF) activity during human embryonic limb
development.

a, Force-directed graph representation of cells associated with the LPM lineage,
coloured by global cell-type annotation. Black arrows indicate the direction of cell
differentiation. Chon, chondrocyte; ArtiChon, articular Chon; ChonB, chondroblast;
PrehyChon, prehypertrophic Chon; PeriChon, perichondrium cell; FiB, fibroblast;
DermFiBP, dermal fibroblast progenitor; PeriNeuFiB, perineural FiB; Mes,
mesenchyme; PrMes, Proximal Mes; MesCond, mesenchymal condensate cell; OCP,
osteochondral progenitor; OsteoB, osteoblast; PZC, progress zone cell; TransPZC,
transitional PZC; SmMP, smooth muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth muscle cell;
TenoP, tenocyte progenitor. **b**, Dot plot showing scaled expression of selected marker
genes for each cell type. The size of the dot encodes the percentage of cells within a
cell type in which that marker was detected, and its colour encodes the average
expression levels. Red fonts denote known TFs. **c**, Fraction of cell type per time point.
Left panel, Fraction of cell type per time point; right panel, Force-directed graph layout
of cells from each post conceptional week, coloured by global cell type. **d**, Heat map
illustrating the column-normalised mean activity of top5 TFs for each cell type from
mesenchyme, progress zone cell, cartilage, bone and tendon lineage. **e**,
Spatiotemporal expression patterns of selected TFs. Top panels; force-directed graph
layout of cells coloured by expression level of selected transcription factors; bottom
panels, tissue sections from the PCW 5.6 and PCW 6.2 human hindlimb showing
spatial expression pattern of selected transcription factors.

1001

Figure 4. Cell trajectory and transcription factors (TFs) conversion of embryonic and fetal myogenesis during human embryonic limb development. a, Force-directed graph layout of skeletal muscle cells, coloured by sub-clusters. Green and pink arrows indicate the direction of first and second myogenesis, separately. SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC, myocyte. **b**, Dot plot showing scaled expression of selected marker genes for each sub-cluster. The size of the dot encodes the percentage of cells within a cell type in which that marker was detected, and its colour encodes the average expression level. **c**, Fraction of sub-cluster per time point. Left panel, Fraction of cell type per time point; right panel, Force-directed graph layout of cells from each time point, coloured by sub-clusters. **d**, Heatmap of enriched TFs expression (smoothed over 100 adjacent cells), with cells ordered by diffusion pseudotime and genes ordered according to pseudotime inferred from diffusion pseudotime of cells. Left panel, first myogenesis; middle panel, PAX3 positive

SkMP to PAX7 positive SkMP; right panel, second myogenesis. **e**, Violin plot showing
the expression of PITX1 in human forelimb- and hindlimb. Left panel, expression in
mesenchyme; right panel, expression in skeletal muscle. **f**, Immunofluorescent
staining of PITX1 with PAX3 co-stained on lower (top panel) and upper (bottom panel)
limb sections at indicated embryonic stages. Scale bar: Immunofluorescent staining,
50 μm; H&E staining, 200 μm. **g**, qPCR analysis of the fold enrichment of indicated
myocyte differentiation genes upon knock-down of MSC in human primary embryonic
myoblasts. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001
(two-sided Student's t test).

1040

1041

Figure 5. Spatially resolved cell-cell communication.

a, Overview of cell-cell communication pipeline combining scRNA-seq and spatial
data. **b**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 5.6 human
hindlimb showing spatial expression of JAG1 and its receptors as well as HES1. Anter,
anterior; Post, posterior; Prox, proximal; Dist, distal. **c**, Violin plots showing log-
normalised expression of FGF8/10 and its receptor (FGFR10) in cell types. AER,
apical ectoderm region; PrMes, proximal mesenchyme; TenoP, tenocyte progenitor;
PZC, progress zone cell. **d**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from
the PCW 6.2 human hindlimb showing spatial expression of FGF8/10 and its receptor
(FGFR10). **e**, Dot plots showing scaled expression of FGFs (FGF5/7/9/18 and its
corresponding receptors (FGFR1/2/3/4) in cell types. MyoB, myoblast; MyoC,
myocyte; LympEndo, lymphatic Endo; Melano, Melanocyte; SchwannP, Schwann
progenitor; OsteoB, osteoblast; Teno, tenocyte; TenoP, Teno progenitor; SmMP,
smooth muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth muscle cell. **f**, Spatially resolved heatmaps
across tissue sections from the PCW 6.2 human hindlimb showing spatial expression
of FGFs (FGF5/7/9/18 and its corresponding receptors (FGFR1/2/3/4).

1058

1059

Figure 6. Comparison of single cell atlas between human and mouse limb.

a, Overview of analysis pipeline to integrate human and mouse scRNA-seq data. **b-d**, Multimap layout of integrated cells, coloured by species (**b**) and cell-type annotation (**c and d**). Dotted circles highlight populations that differ between species due to sampling (brown) or biological (orange) reasons. **e,** Triangular diagram showing the cell-type distribution in proximal, middle and distal region of human and mouse forelimb (left panel) and hindlimb (middle panel), and a scatter plot showing the fraction of each cell type's hindlimb representation (right panel). Each cell type is represented by a circle (human) and a square (mouse).

1069

Extended data figures and legend

Extended Figure 1. Data quality and preprocess of human scRNA-seq data.

a, Histogram and violin plot showing the cell and gene number of cells per each sample, separately, coloured by stage of post conceptional week (PCW). **b**, Dot plot showing the scaled expression of selected marker genes for each cell type. The size

of the dot encodes the percentage of cells within a cell type in which that marker was
detected, and its colour encodes the average expression level. AER, apical ectoderm
region; Chon, chondrocyte; ArtiChon, articular Chon; ChonB, chondroblast;
PrehyChon, prehypertrophic Chon; PeriChon, perichondrium cell; Endo, Endothelial;
FiB, fibroblast; DermFiBP, dermal fibroblast progenitor; PeriNeuFiB, perineural FiB;
LympEndo, lymphatic Endo; Macro, macrophage; Melano, melanocyte; Mes,
mesenchyme; PrMes, Proximal Mes; MesCond, mesenchymal condensate cell; Mono,
monocyte; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC, myocyte; OCP, osteochondral progenitor; OsteoB,
osteoblast; PZC, progress zone cell; TransPZC, transitional PZC; RBC, red blood cell;
DefRBC, definitive RBC; PriRBC, primitive RBC; ProgRBC, progenitor RBC;
SchwannP, schwann cell progenitor; SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; SmMP,
smooth muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth muscle cell; TenoP, tenocyte progenitor.
c, Histogram and violin plot showing the spot and gene number per spot for each 10X
Visiumn spatial sample, separately, coloured by stage of post conceptional week
(PCW).

1091

1092

1093

1094

Extended Figure 2. The expression of marker genes for apical ectoderm region (AER)
in epidermis and Fraction of cell type per time point.

a, Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) visualisation of AER-basal
cells, basal cells and periderm cells. **b**, Violin plot showing the log-normalised
expression of WNT6, CYP26A1, SP8, ETV4 and FGF8 in AER-basal cells, basal cells
and periderm cells, coloured by cell type. **c**, Fraction of cell type per time point,
coloured by cell type and grouped by tissue type. AER, apical ectoderm region; Chon,
chondrocyte; ArtiChon, articular Chon; ChonB, chondroblast; PrehyChon,
prehypertrophic Chon; PeriChon, perichondrium cell; Endo, Endothelial; FiB,
fibroblast; DermFiBP, dermal fibroblast progenitor; PeriNeuFiB, perineural FiB;
LympEndo, lymphatic Endo; Macro, macrophage; Melano, melanocyte; Mes,
mesenchyme; PrMes, Proximal Mes; MesCond, mesenchymal condensate cell; Mono,
monocyte; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC, myocyte; OCP, osteochondral progenitor; OsteoB,
osteoblast; PZC, progress zone cell; TransPZC, transitional PZC; RBC, red blood cell;
DefRBC, definitive RBC; PriRBC, primitive RBC; ProgRBC, progenitor RBC;
SchwannP, schwann progenitor; SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; SmMP, smooth

muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth muscle cell; TenoP, tenocyte progenitor. **d**, Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) visualisation of fraction of cell type per post conceptional week (PCW), coloured by cell type in **c**.

1120

1121

Extended Figure 3. Cellular and functional heterogeneity of progress zone cells (PZC).

a, Overview of analysis pipeline to identify and score gene signature of outerPZC and
transition PZC (transPZC). **b**, Violin plot showing the score of gene signature of
tranPZC. Colored by cell type. AER, apical ectoderm region; Chon, chondrocyte;
ArtiChon, articular Chon; ChonB, chondroblast; PrehyChon, prehypertrophic Chon;
PeriChon, perichondrium cell; Endo, Endothelial; FiB, fibroblast; DermFiBP, dermal
fibroblast progenitor; PeriNeuFiB, perineural FiB; LympEndo, lymphatic Endo; Macro,
macrophage; Melano, melanocyte; Mes, mesenchyme; PrMes, Proximal Mes;
MesCond, mesenchymal condensate cell; Mono, monocyte; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC,
myocyte; OCP, osteochondral progenitor; OsteoB, osteoblast; PZC, progress zone
cell; TransPZC, transitional PZC; RBC, red blood cell; DefRBC, definitive RBC;

PriRBC, primitive RBC; ProgRBC, progenitor RBC; SchwannP, schwann progenitor;
SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; SmMP, smooth muscle progenitor; SmMC, smooth
muscle cell; TenoP, tenocyte progenitor. **c**, Functional enrichment analysis of gene
signature of transPZC.

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150 Extended Figure 4. Workflow of concatenating Visium spatial transcriptomic data

1151 A two-step workflow to stitch Visium data is presented. Three independent capture areas
1152 each profiling an independent slice of the same sample are represented in three different
1153 colors. The final product is adapted from Fig. 1d with sample origin highlighted.

Extended Figure 5. Experimental validation of cell types and corresponding marker genes.

a, Immunofuorescence staining of RUNX2, THBS2 and COL2A1 on the longitudinal section of the tibia from a PCW7 embryo. Scale bar, 50 µm. **b**, Uniform manifold

approximation and projection (UMAP) visualisation of perineural fibroblasts (PeriNeuFiB); **c**, Violin plot showing the log-normalised expression of FOXS1, PI16, FGF19, RGS5 and ACTA2 in smooth muscle progenitors (SmMP), PeriNeuFiB and smooth muscle cells (SmMC), coloured by cell type. **d**, Immunohistochemical staining of PI16, FGF19 showing the perineural fibroblasts in the sciatic nerve at PCW9. The neurofilament was stained with NEFH antibody. A neighbouring section stained with H&E solution is also shown. Scale bar, 50 µm. **e**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 6.2 human hindlimb showing spatial distribution of dermal fibroblast (DermFiB), HOXC5 positive fibroblast progenitor (HOXC5$^+$ FiBP) and F10 positive fibroblast progenitor (F10$^+$FiBP) as well as the spatial expression of KRT15. Prox, proximal; Dist, distal; Ante, anterior; Post, posterior. **f**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 8.1 human hindlimb showing spatial distribution of ADH positive fibroblasts (ADH$^+$ FiB). **g**, Spatially resolved heatmap across tissue section from the PCW 8.1 human hindlimb showing spatial normalised expression of ALDH1A3 and MYH3. **h**, Immunofluorescence staining of MYH3 and ALDH1A3 on the skeletal muscle tissue (as also shown by H&E staining) from a PCW9 longitudinal section. Scale bar, 50 µm. **i**, UMAP visualisation of tenocytes and perimysium cells. **j**, Violin plot showing the log-normalised expression of SCX, TNMD, GCG, BGN and KERA in tenocyte progenitors (TenoP), Perimysium cells and tenocyte, coloured by cell type.

Extended Figure 6. Spatial expression patterns of genes that determine human limb
axis formation and morphogenesis.

a, Overview of analysis pipeline to identify genes specific to spatial location. **b-e**,
Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 5.6 human hindlimb
showing spatial normalised expression of genes that are specific to the proximal (**b**),
distal (**c**), anterior (**d**) and posterior (**e**) axes. **f**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across
tissue section from the PCW 5.6 human hindlimb showing spatial normalised
expression of homeobox (HOX) A (top panel) and D (bottom panel) family genes. **g-**
h, Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 6.2 human
hindlimb showing spatial distribution of macrophage (**g**) and endothelial cells (vein
endothelial cells (VeinEndo) and arterial endothelial cells (ArterialEndo), (**h**) and
expression of marker genes for macrophage and endothelial cells. Anter, anterior;
Post, posterior; Prox, proximal; Dist, distal. **i**, Spatially resolved heatmaps across
tissue sections from the PCW 5.6 human hindlimb showing spatial normalised
expression of genes associated with digital formation.

Gene (Theiler Stage)	Mouse	Human

1197 Extended Figure 7. Comparison of human and mouse expression of genes linked to
1198 altered digital phenotype.

1199 Comparison of in-situ hybridisation (ISH) expression of disease-linked genes in the
1200 mouse embryo (where available) to visium expression data of human embryo at
1201 PCW6.2. Mouse Theiler stage given in parentheses.

1202

1203

Extended Figure 8. transcription factors (TFs) conversion of soft connective lineage
derived from lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) during human embryonic limb
development.

a, Heat map illustrating the column-normalised mean activity of top5 TFs for each cell
type from soft connective lineage. FiB, fibroblast; DermFiB, dermal FiB; DermFiBP,
dermal FiB progenitor; SmMP, smooth muscle progenitor; PeriNeuFiB, perineural FiB;
SmMC, smooth muscle cell. **b**, Force-directed graph layout showing the log-
normalised expression of selected TFs at single-cell resolution. Heat map illustrating
the column-normalised mean activity of top5 TFs for each cell type of myogenesis.
SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC, myocyte. **d**,
Spatiotemporal expression patterns of selected TFs. Top panels; force-directed graph
layout of cells coloured by expression level of selected transcription factors; bottom
panels, tissue sections from the PCW 6.2 and PCW 8.1 human hindlimb showing
spatial expression pattern of selected transcription factors.

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

Extended Figure 9. The expression pattern of FGF8.

a, Violin plots showing log-normalised expression of FGF8 in cell types. AER, apical
ectoderm region; PrMes, proximal mesenchyme; TenoP, tenocyte progenitor; PZC,
progress zone cell. SkMP, skeletal muscle progenitor; MyoB, myoblast; MyoC,
myocyte. **b**，Spatially resolved heatmaps across tissue sections from the PCW 6.2
human hindlimb showing spatial co-expression of FGF8 with markers of PrMes
(MEIS2), TenoP (SCX) and MyoC (MYH3). Anter, anterior; Post, posterior; Prox,
proximal; Dist, distal.

Extended Figure 10. Comparing human and mouse embryonic limbs.

a, Violin plots of sample quality for all the scRNA-seq data in the integrated atlas, colored by study or sequencing center. **b-c,** The integrated mouse scRNA-seq data projected on a shared UMAP plane, colored by cell types and cell states (b) or metadata (c). **d,** Cell-type (upper) and broad cell-type (lower) proportions of each scRNA-seq library. **e,** Genes enriched in proximal/distal/forelimb/hindlimb segments in human and mouse.

1246

References

1. Chevallier, A., Kieny, M. & Mauger, A. Limb-somite relationship: origin of the

limb musculature. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **41**, 245–258 (1977).

2. Christ, B., Jacob, H. J. & Jacob, M. Experimental analysis of the origin of the

wing musculature in avian embryos. *Anat. Embryol.* **150**, 171–186 (1977).

3. Tabin, C. & Wolpert, L. Rethinking the proximodistal axis of the vertebrate limb

in the molecular era. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 1433–1442 (2007).

4. Sun, X., Mariani, F. V. & Martin, G. R. Functions of FGF signalling from the

apical ectodermal ridge in limb development. *Nature* **418**, 501–508 (2002).

5. Zuniga, A. Next generation limb development and evolution: old questions, new

perspectives. *Development* **142**, 3810–3820 (2015).

6. Hawkins, M. B., Henke, K. & Harris, M. P. Latent developmental potential to

form limb-like skeletal structures in zebrafish. *Cell* **184**, 899–911.e13 (2021).

7. Lopez-Rios, J. The many lives of SHH in limb development and evolution.

Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. **49**, 116–124 (2016).

8. Petit, F., Sears, K. E. & Ahituv, N. Limb development: a paradigm of gene

regulation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **18**, 245–258 (2017).

9. Moore, K. L., Persaud, T. V. N. & Torchia, M. G. *The Developing Human - E-*

Book: Clinically Oriented Embryology. (Elsevier Health Sciences, 2018).

10. Wilkie, A. O. M. Why study human limb malformations? *J. Anat.* **202**, 27–35

(2003).

11. Kildisiute, G. *et al.* Tumor to normal single-cell mRNA comparisons reveal a

pan-neuroblastoma cancer cell. *Sci Adv* **7**, (2021).

12. Elmentaite, R. *et al.* Cells of the human intestinal tract mapped across space

and time. *Nature* **597**, 250–255 (2021).

13. Elmentaite, R. *et al.* Single-Cell Sequencing of Developing Human Gut Reveals

Transcriptional Links to Childhood Crohn's Disease. *Dev. Cell* **55**, 771–783.e5

(2020).

14. Garcia-Alonso, L. *et al.* Mapping the temporal and spatial dynamics of the

human endometrium in vivo and in vitro. *bioRxiv* 2021.01.02.425073 (2021)

doi:10.1101/2021.01.02.425073.

15. Jardine, L. *et al.* Blood and immune development in human fetal bone marrow

and Down syndrome. *Nature* **598**, 327–331 (2021).

16. Behjati, S., Lindsay, S., Teichmann, S. A. & Haniffa, M. Mapping human

development at single-cell resolution. *Development* **145**, (2018).

17. Haniffa, M. *et al.* A roadmap for the Human Developmental Cell Atlas. *Nature*

597, 196–205 (2021).

18. Xi, H. *et al.* A Human Skeletal Muscle Atlas Identifies the Trajectories of Stem

and Progenitor Cells across Development and from Human Pluripotent Stem

Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **27**, 158–176.e10 (2020).

19. Kleshchevnikov, V. *et al.* Cell2location maps fine-grained cell types in spatial

transcriptomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1–11 (2022).

20. Satoda, M., Pierpont, M. E., Diaz, G. A., Bornemeier, R. A. & Gelb, B. D. Char

syndrome, an inherited disorder with patent ductus arteriosus, maps to

chromosome 6p12-p21. *Circulation* **99**, (1999).

21. Díaz-Hernández, M. E., Bustamante, M., Galván-Hernández, C. I. & Chimal-

Monroy, J. Irx1 and Irx2 Are Coordinately Expressed and Regulated by Retinoic

Acid, TGFβ and FGF Signaling during Chick Hindlimb Development. *PLoS One*

8, e58549 (2013).

22. Zülch, A., Becker, M. B. & Gruss, P. Expression pattern of Irx1 and Irx2 during

mouse digit development. *Mech. Dev.* **106**, (2001).

23. Richardson, R. J. *et al.* Periderm prevents pathological epithelial adhesions

during embryogenesis. *J. Clin. Invest.* **124**, 3891–3900 (2014).

24. Saunders, J. W., Jr. The proximo-distal sequence of origin of the parts of the

chick wing and the role of the ectoderm. *J. Exp. Zool.* **108**, 363–403 (1948).

25. Towers, M. & Tickle, C. Growing models of vertebrate limb development.

Development **136**, (2009).

26. Riddle, R. D., Johnson, R. L., Laufer, E. & Tabin, C. Sonic hedgehog mediates

the polarizing activity of the ZPA. *Cell* **75**, 1401–1416 (1993).

27. Zúñiga, A., Haramis, A. P., McMahon, A. P. & Zeller, R. Signal relay by BMP

antagonism controls the SHH/FGF4 feedback loop in vertebrate limb buds.

Nature **401**, 598–602 (1999).

28. Towers, M., Mahood, R., Yin, Y. & Tickle, C. Integration of growth and

specification in chick wing digit-patterning. *Nature* **452**, 882–886 (2008).

29. Ballard, K. J. & Holt, S. J. Cytological and cytochemical studies on cell death

and digestion in the foetal rat foot: the role of macrophages and hydrolytic

enzymes. *J. Cell Sci.* **3**, 245–262 (1968).

30. Hernández-Martínez, R., Castro-Obregón, S. & Covarrubias, L. Progressive

interdigital cell death: regulation by the antagonistic interaction between

fibroblast growth factor 8 and retinoic acid. *Development* **136**, (2009).

31. Salas-Vidal, E., Valencia, C. & Covarrubias, L. Differential tissue growth and

patterns of cell death in mouse limb autopod morphogenesis. *Dev. Dyn.* **220**,

(2001).

32. Capdevila, J., Tsukui, T., Rodríquez, E. C., Zappavigna, V. & Jc, I. B. Control of

vertebrate limb outgrowth by the proximal factor Meis2 and distal antagonism of BMPs by Gremlin. *Mol. Cell* **4**, (1999).

33. Penkov, D. *et al.* Analysis of the DNA-binding profile and function of TALE homeoproteins reveals their specialization and specific interactions with Hox genes/proteins. *Cell Rep.* **3**, (2013).

34. Delgado, I. *et al.* Control of mouse limb initiation and antero-posterior patterning by Meis transcription factors. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–13 (2021).

35. Formation of Proximal and Anterior Limb Skeleton Requires Early Function of Irx3 and Irx5 and Is Negatively Regulated by Shh Signaling. *Dev. Cell* **29**, 233–240 (2014).

36. Yamaguchi, T. P., Bradley, A., McMahon, A. P. & Jones, S. A Wnt5a pathway underlies outgrowth of multiple structures in the vertebrate embryo. *Development* **126**, (1999).

37. Guo-hao Lin, L. Z. Apical ectodermal ridge regulates three principal axes of the developing limb. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* **21**, 757 (2020).

38. Mao, J., McGlinn, E., Huang, P., Tabin, C. J. & McMahon, A. P. Fgf-dependent Etv4/5 activity is required for posterior restriction of Sonic Hedgehog and promoting outgrowth of the vertebrate limb. *Dev. Cell* **16**, (2009).

39. Kawakami, Y. *et al.* Sall genes regulate region-specific morphogenesis in the mouse limb by modulating Hox activities. *Development* **136**, 585 (2009).

40. Fernandez-Teran, M. *et al.* Role of dHAND in the anterior-posterior polarization of the limb bud: implications for the Sonic hedgehog pathway. *Development* **127**, 2133–2142 (2000).

41. McGlinn, E. *et al.* Pax9 and Jagged1 act downstream of Gli3 in vertebrate limb development. *Mech. Dev.* **122**, (2005).

42. Kuijper, S. *et al.* Function and regulation of Alx4 in limb development: complex

genetic interactions with Gli3 and Shh. *Dev. Biol.* **285**, (2005).

43. Quinn, M. E., Haaning, A. & Ware, S. M. Preaxial polydactyly caused by Gli3

haploinsufficiency is rescued by Zic3 loss of function in mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*

21, (2012).

44. Galli, A. *et al.* Distinct roles of Hand2 in initiating polarity and posterior Shh

expression during the onset of mouse limb bud development. *PLoS Genet.* **6**,

e1000901 (2010).

45. Fuse, N. *et al.* Sonic hedgehog protein signals not as a hydrolytic enzyme but as

an apparent ligand for Patched. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 10992–10999

(1999).

46. Huangfu, D. & Anderson, K. V. Signaling from Smo to Ci/Gli: conservation and

divergence of Hedgehog pathways from Drosophila to vertebrates. *Development*

133, 3–14 (2006).

47. The role of Hox genes during vertebrate limb development. *Curr. Opin. Genet.*

Dev. **17**, 359–366 (2007).

48. Tarchini, B. & Duboule, D. Control of Hoxd genes' collinearity during early limb

development. *Dev. Cell* **10**, 93–103 (2006).

49. Dollé, P., Izpisúa-Belmonte, J. C., Falkenstein, H., Renucci, A. & Duboule, D.

Coordinate expression of the murine Hox-5 complex homoeobox-containing

genes during limb pattern formation. *Nature* **342**, 767–772 (1989).

50. Kherdjemil, Y. *et al.* Evolution of Hoxa11 regulation in vertebrates is linked to the

pentadactyl state. *Nature* **539**, (2016).

51. Díaz-Hernández, M. E., Rios-Flores, A. J., Abarca-Buis, R. F., Bustamante, M.

& Chimal-Monroy, J. Molecular Control of Interdigital Cell Death and Cell

Differentiation by Retinoic Acid during Digit Development. *Journal of*

Developmental Biology **2**, 138–157 (2014).

52. Ko, Y. B. *et al.* WIF1 can effectively co-regulate pro-apoptotic activity through

the combination with DKK1. *Cell. Signal.* **26**, 2562–2572 (2014).

53. Cochain, C. *et al.* Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals the Transcriptional Landscape

and Heterogeneity of Aortic Macrophages in Murine Atherosclerosis. *Circ. Res.*

122, 1661–1674 (2018).

54. Williams, J. W. *et al.* Limited proliferation capacity of aortic intima resident

macrophages requires monocyte recruitment for atherosclerotic plaque

progression. *Nat. Immunol.* **21**, 1194–1204 (2020).

55. Turnbull, I. R. *et al.* Cutting edge: TREM-2 attenuates macrophage activation. *J.*

Immunol. **177**, 3520–3524 (2006).

56. Lorda-Diez, C. I., Torre-Pérez, N., García-Porrero, J. A., Hurle, J. M. & Montero,

J. A. Expression of Id2 in the developing limb is associated with zones of active

BMP signaling and marks the regions of growth and differentiation of the

developing digits. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **53**, (2009).

57. McGlinn, E. *et al.* Expression of the NET family member Zfp503 is regulated by

hedgehog and BMP signaling in the limb. *Dev. Dyn.* **237**, 1172–1182 (2008).

58. Ma, S., Charron, J. & Erikson, R. L. Role of Plk2 (Snk) in Mouse Development

and Cell Proliferation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **23**, 6936 (2003).

59. Sasahira, T., Kurihara, M., Nakashima, C., Kirita, T. & Kuniyasu, H. LEM domain

containing 1 promotes oral squamous cell carcinoma invasion and endothelial

transmigration. *Br. J. Cancer* **115**, 52–58 (2016).

60. Temtamy, S. A. & Aglan, M. S. Brachydactyly. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **3**, 1–16

(2008).

61. Falardeau, F., Camurri, M. V. & Campeau, P. M. Genomic approaches to

diagnose rare bone disorders. *Bone* **102**, 5–14 (2017).

62. Cooks, R. G., Hertz, M., Katznelson, M. B. & Goodman, R. M. A new nail

dysplasia syndrome with onychonychia and absence and/or hypoplasia of distal

phalanges. *Clin. Genet.* **27**, (1985).

63. Bahubali D. Gane, P. N. Split-hand/feet malformation: A rare syndrome. *Journal*

of Family Medicine and Primary Care **5**, 168 (2016).

64. Marcelis, C. L. M. & de Brouwer, A. P. M. Feingold Syndrome 1. in

GeneReviews® [Internet] (University of Washington, Seattle, 2019).

65. Mutations in BMP4 Cause Eye, Brain, and Digit Developmental Anomalies:

Overlap between the BMP4 and Hedgehog Signaling Pathways. *Am. J. Hum.*

Genet. **82**, 304–319 (2008).

66. El Ghouzzi, V. *et al.* Saethre-Chotzen mutations cause TWIST protein

degradation or impaired nuclear location. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9**, (2000).

67. Richardson, L. *et al.* EMAGE mouse embryo spatial gene expression database:

2014 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D835–44 (2014).

68. Gao, B. *et al.* A mutation in Ihh that causes digit abnormalities alters its

signalling capacity and range. *Nature* vol. 458 1196–1200 (2009).

69. Yi, S. E., Daluiski, A., Pederson, R., Rosen, V. & Lyons, K. M. The type I BMP

receptor BMPRIB is required for chondrogenesis in the mouse limb.

Development vol. 127 621–630 (2000).

70. Stafford, D. A., Brunet, L. J., Khokha, M. K., Economides, A. N. & Harland, R. M.

Cooperative activity of noggin and gremlin 1 in axial skeleton development.

Development **138**, 1005–1014 (2011).

71. Brunet, L. J., McMahon, J. A., McMahon, A. P. & Harland, R. M. Noggin,

Cartilage Morphogenesis, and Joint Formation in the Mammalian Skeleton.

Science vol. 280 1455–1457 (1998).

72. Melkoniemi, M. *et al.* Autosomal recessive disorder otospondylomegaepiphyseal

dysplasia is associated with loss-of-function mutations in the COL11A2 gene.

Am. J. Hum. Genet. **66**, 368–377 (2000).

73. Li, S. W. *et al.* Targeted disruption of Col11a2 produces a mild cartilage

phenotype in transgenic mice: comparison with the human disorder

otospondylomegaepiphyseal dysplasia (OSMED). *Dev. Dyn.* **222**, 141–152

(2001).

74. Bi, W. *et al.* Haploinsufficiency of Sox9 results in defective cartilage primordia

and premature skeletal mineralization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 6698–

6703 (2001).

75. Shazeeb, M. S. *et al.* Skeletal Characterization of the Fgfr3 Mouse Model of

Achondroplasia Using Micro-CT and MRI Volumetric Imaging. *Scientific Reports*

vol. 8 (2018).

76. Carey, J. C., Cassidy, S. B., Battaglia, A. & Viskochil, D. *Cassidy and Allanson's*

Management of Genetic Syndromes. (John Wiley & Sons, 2021).

77. Sowińska-Seidler, A., Socha, M. & Jamsheer, A. Split-hand/foot malformation -

molecular cause and implications in genetic counseling. *J. Appl. Genet.* **55**,

105–115 (2014).

78. Merlo, G. R. *et al.* Mouse model of split hand/foot malformation type I. *Genesis*

33, 97–101 (2002).

79. Celli, J., van Bokhoven, H. & Brunner, H. G. Feingold syndrome: Clinical review

and genetic mapping. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* vol. 122A 294–300

(2003).

80. Sawai, S. *et al.* Defects of embryonic organogenesis resulting from targeted

disruption of the N-myc gene in the mouse. *Development* **117**, 1445–1455

(1993).

81. Selever, J., Liu, W., Lu, M.-F., Behringer, R. R. & Martin, J. F. Bmp4 in limb bud

mesoderm regulates digit pattern by controlling AER development.

Developmental Biology vol. 276 268–279 (2004).

82. Gripp, K. W., Zackai, E. H. & Stolle, C. A. Mutations in the human TWIST gene.

Hum. Mutat. **15**, 479 (2000).

83. Bialek, P. *et al.* A Twist Code Determines the Onset of Osteoblast

Differentiation. *Developmental Cell* vol. 6 423–435 (2004).

84. Aibar, S. *et al.* SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering.

Nat. Methods **14**, 1083–1086 (2017).

85. Marcil, A., Dumontier, E., Chamberland, M., Camper, S. A. & Drouin, J. Pitx1

and Pitx2 are required for development of hindlimb buds. *Development* **130**, 45–

55 (2003).

86. Dunwoodie, S. L., Rodriguez, T. A. & Beddington, R. S. Msg1 and Mrg1,

founding members of a gene family, show distinct patterns of gene expression

during mouse embryogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* **72**, 27–40 (1998).

87. Hng, C. H. *et al.* HOPX regulates bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal

cell fate determination via suppression of adipogenic gene pathways. *Sci. Rep.*

10, 1–14 (2020).

88. Hu, W. Y. *et al.* Isolation and functional interrogation of adult human prostate

epithelial stem cells at single cell resolution. *Stem Cell Res.* **23**, (2017).

89. Distinct populations within Isl1 lineages contribute to appendicular and facial

skeletogenesis through the β-catenin pathway. *Dev. Biol.* **387**, 37–48 (2014).

90. Narkis, G. *et al.* Isl1 and Ldb co-regulators of transcription are essential early

determinants of mouse limb development. *Dev. Dyn.* **241**, (2012).

91. Regionalized Twist1 activity in the forelimb bud drives the morphogenesis of the

proximal and preaxial skeleton. *Dev. Biol.* **362**, 132–140 (2012).

92. Reijntjes, S., Stricker, S. & Mankoo, B. S. A comparative analysis of Meox1 and

Meox2 in the developing somites and limbs of the chick embryo. *Int. J. Dev.*

Biol. **51**, (2007).

93. Feregrino, C., Sacher, F., Parnas, O. & Tschopp, P. A single-cell transcriptomic

atlas of the developing chicken limb. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 1–15 (2019).

94. Polisetti, N. *et al.* Gene expression profile of epithelial cells and mesenchymal

cells derived from limbal explant culture. *Mol. Vis.* **16**, 1227 (2010).

95. Kozhemyakina, E., Ionescu, A. & Lassar, A. B. GATA6 is a crucial regulator of

Shh in the limb bud. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, (2014).

96. Vickerman, L., Neufeld, S. & Cobb, J. Shox2 function couples neural, muscular

and skeletal development in the proximal forelimb. *Dev. Biol.* **350**, (2011).

97. Tzchori, I. *et al.* LIM homeobox transcription factors integrate signaling events

that control three-dimensional limb patterning and growth. *Development* **136**,

1375–1385 (2009).

98. Aza-Carmona, M. *et al.* SHOX interacts with the chondrogenic transcription

factors SOX5 and SOX6 to activate the aggrecan enhancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*

20, 1547–1559 (2011).

99. Rao, E. *et al.* Pseudoautosomal deletions encompassing a novel homeobox

gene cause growth failure in idiopathic short stature and Turner syndrome. *Nat.*

Genet. **16**, 54–63 (1997).

100.Desjardin, C. *et al.* Chondrocytes Play a Major Role in the Stimulation of Bone

Growth by Thyroid Hormone. *Endocrinology* **155**, 3123–3135 (2014).

101.Kawato, Y. *et al.* Nkx3.2 promotes primary chondrogenic differentiation by

upregulating Col2a1 transcription. *PLoS One* **7**, (2012).

102.Venugopalan, S. R. *et al.* Hierarchical interactions of homeodomain and

forkhead transcription factors in regulating odontogenic gene expression. *J. Biol.*

Chem. **286**, 21372–21383 (2011).

103.Dreher, S. I., Fischer, J., Walker, T., Diederichs, S. & Richter, W. Significance of

MEF2C and RUNX3 Regulation for Endochondral Differentiation of Human

Mesenchymal Progenitor Cells. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **8**, 81 (2020).

104.Ghoul-Mazgar, S. *et al.* Expression pattern of Dlx3 during cell differentiation in

mineralized tissues. *Bone* **37**, 799–809 (2005).

105.Woods, A., James, C. G., Wang, G., Dupuis, H. & Beier, F. Control of

chondrocyte gene expression by actin dynamics: a novel role of cholesterol/Ror-

alpha signalling in endochondral bone growth. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **13**, 3497–3516

(2009).

106.Yan, J. *et al.* Smad4 deficiency impairs chondrocyte hypertrophy via the Runx2

transcription factor in mouse skeletal development. *J. Biol. Chem.* **293**, 9162–

9175 (2018).

107.Wang, X. *et al.* TFAP2C promotes stemness and chemotherapeutic resistance

in colorectal cancer via inactivating hippo signaling pathway. *J. Exp. Clin.*

Cancer Res. **37**, 1–16 (2018).

108.Otero, M. *et al.* ELF3 modulates type II collagen gene (COL2A1) transcription in

chondrocytes by Inhibiting SOX9-CBP/p300-driven histone acetyltransferase

activity. *Connect. Tissue Res.* **58**, 15 (2017).

109.Glucocorticoids Suppress Bone Formation by Attenuating Osteoblast

Differentiation via the Monomeric Glucocorticoid Receptor. *Cell Metab.* **11**, 517–

531 (2010).

110.Glucocorticoid receptor isoforms generate transcription specificity. *Trends Cell*

Biol. **16**, 301–307 (2006).

111.Pitsillides, A. A. & Beier, F. Keep your Sox on, chondrocytes! *Nat. Rev.*

Rheumatol. **17**, 383–384 (2021).

112.Henry, S. P., Liang, S., Akdemir, K. C. & de Crombrugghe, B. The postnatal role

of Sox9 in cartilage. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **27**, 2511–2525 (2012).

113.Chen, X. *et al.* Force and scleraxis synergistically promote the commitment of

human ES cells derived MSCs to tenocytes. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 1–9 (2012).

114.Komura, S. *et al.* Induced pluripotent stem cell-derived tenocyte-like cells

promote the regeneration of injured tendons in mice. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 1–12 (2020).

115.Fukuda, S. *et al.* Sustained expression of HeyL is critical for the proliferation of

muscle stem cells in overloaded muscle. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

116.Ambrosino, C. *et al.* TEF-1 and C/EBPβ are major p38α MAPK-regulated

transcription factors in proliferating cardiomyocytes. *Biochem. J* **396**, 163

(2006).

117.Piragyte, I. *et al.* A metabolic interplay coordinated by HLX regulates myeloid

differentiation and AML through partly overlapping pathways. *Nat. Commun.* **9**,

1–17 (2018).

118.Budnick, I. *et al.* Defining the identity of mouse embryonic dermal fibroblasts.

Genesis **54**, 415 (2016).

119.Chiang, M. F. *et al.* Inducible deletion of the Blimp-1 gene in adult epidermis

causes granulocyte-dominated chronic skin inflammation in mice. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **110**, (2013).

120. Reich, N. *et al.* The transcription factor Fra-2 regulates the production of

extracellular matrix in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum.* **62**, (2010).

121. Montelius, A. *et al.* Emergence of the sensory nervous system as defined by

Foxs1 expression. *Differentiation* **75**, (2007).

122. Lepore, J. J., Cappola, T. P., Mericko, P. A., Morrisey, E. E. & Parmacek, M. S.

GATA-6 regulates genes promoting synthetic functions in vascular smooth

muscle cells. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **25**, (2005).

123. Sakata, Y. *et al.* Transcription factor CHF1/Hey2 regulates neointimal formation

in vivo and vascular smooth muscle proliferation and migration in vitro.

Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. **24**, (2004).

124. Klose, R. *et al.* Loss of the serine protease HTRA1 impairs smooth muscle cells

maturation. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–10 (2019).

125. Buckingham, M. & Rigby, P. W. J. Gene regulatory networks and transcriptional

mechanisms that control myogenesis. *Dev. Cell* **28**, 225–238 (2014).

126. Ontell, M. & Kozeka, K. The organogenesis of murine striated muscle: a

cytoarchitectural study. *Am. J. Anat.* **171**, 133–148 (1984).

127. Buckingham, M. *et al.* The formation of skeletal muscle: from somite to limb. *J.*

Anat. **202**, 59–68 (2003).

128. Hutcheson, D. A., Zhao, J., Merrell, A. & Haldar, M. Embryonic and fetal limb

myogenic cells are derived from developmentally distinct progenitors and have

different requirements for β-catenin. *Genes* (2009).

129. Singh, A. J. *et al.* FACS-Seq analysis of Pax3-derived cells identifies non-

myogenic lineages in the embryonic forelimb. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 7670 (2018).

130. Benezra, R., Davis, R. L., Lockshon, D., Turner, D. L. & Weintraub, H. The

protein Id: a negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. *Cell* **61**,

49–59 (1990).

131. Roschger, C. & Cabrele, C. The Id-protein family in developmental and cancer-

associated pathways. *Cell Commun. Signal.* **15**, 7 (2017).

132. Muriel, J. M. *et al.* Keratin 18 is an integral part of the intermediate filament

network in murine skeletal muscle. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **318**, (2020).

133. He, P. *et al.* The changing mouse embryo transcriptome at whole tissue and

single-cell resolution. *Nature* **583**, 760–767 (2020).

134. Hernandez-Torres, F., Rodríguez-Outeiriño, L., Franco, D. & Aranega, A. E.

Pitx2 in Embryonic and Adult Myogenesis. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **5**, 46 (2017).

135. Lu, J., Webb, R., Richardson, J. A. & Olson, E. N. MyoR: A muscle-restricted

basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor that antagonizes the actions of MyoD.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **96**, 552–557 (1999).

136. MacQuarrie, K. L., Yao, Z., Fong, A. P. & Tapscott, S. J. Genome-wide binding

of the basic helix-loop-helix myogenic inhibitor musculin has substantial overlap

with MyoD: implications for buffering activity. *Skelet. Muscle* **3**, 26 (2013).

137. Buas, M. F., Kabak, S. & Kadesch, T. Inhibition of myogenesis by Notch:

evidence for multiple pathways. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **218**, 84–93 (2009).

138. Chiu, Y.-K. *et al.* Transcription factor ABF-1 suppresses plasma cell

differentiation but facilitates memory B cell formation. *J. Immunol.* **193**, 2207–

2217 (2014).

139. Massari, M. E. *et al.* Characterization of ABF-1, a novel basic helix-loop-helix

transcription factor expressed in activated B lymphocytes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**,

3130–3139 (1998).

140. Efremova, M., Vento-Tormo, M., Teichmann, S. A. & Vento-Tormo, R.

CellPhoneDB: inferring cell-cell communication from combined expression of

multi-subunit ligand-receptor complexes. *Nat. Protoc.* **15**, 1484–1506 (2020).

141.Vento-Tormo, R. *et al.* Single-cell reconstruction of the early maternal–fetal

interface in humans. *Nature* **563**, 347–353 (2018).

142.Schroeter, E. H., Kisslinger, J. A. & Kopan, R. Notch-1 signalling requires

ligand-induced proteolytic release of intracellular domain. *Nature* **393**, 382–386

(1998).

143.D'Souza, B., Miyamoto, A. & Weinmaster, G. The many facets of Notch ligands.

Oncogene **27**, 5148–5167 (2008).

144.Canonical Notch ligands and Fringes have distinct effects on NOTCH1 and

NOTCH2. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 14710–14722 (2020).

145.Crosnier, C. *et al.* JAGGED1 gene expression during human embryogenesis

elucidates the wide phenotypic spectrum of Alagille syndrome. *Hepatology* **32**,

(2000).

146.Mašek, J. & Andersson, E. R. The developmental biology of genetic Notch

disorders. *Development* **144**, 1743–1763 (2017).

147.Turnpenny, P. D. & Ellard, S. Alagille syndrome: pathogenesis, diagnosis and

management. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **20**, 251–257 (2011).

148.Xu, X. *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2)-mediated reciprocal

regulation loop between FGF8 and FGF10 is essential for limb induction.

Development (1998).

149.Azoury, S. C., Reddy, S., Shukla, V. & Deng, C.-X. Fibroblast Growth Factor

Receptor 2 (FGFR2) Mutation Related Syndromic Craniosynostosis. *Int. J. Biol.*

Sci. **13**, 1479 (2017).

150.Marics, I., Padilla, F., Guillemot, J.-F., Scaal, M. & Marcelle, C. FGFR4 signaling

is a necessary step in limb muscle differentiation. *Development* **129**, 4559–4569

(2002).

151. Verheyden, J. M., Lewandoski, M., Deng, C., Harfe, B. D. & Sun, X. Conditional

inactivation of Fgfr1 in mouse defines its role in limb bud establishment,

outgrowth and digit patterning. *Development* **132**, 4235 (2005).

152. Su, N., Jin, M. & Chen, L. Role of FGF/FGFR signaling in skeletal development

and homeostasis: learning from mouse models. *Bone research* **2**, (2014).

153. Kelly, N. H., Huynh, N. P. T. & Guilak, F. Single cell RNA-sequencing reveals

cellular heterogeneity and trajectories of lineage specification during murine

embryonic limb development. *Matrix Biology* vol. 89 1–10 (2020).

154. Allou, L. *et al.* Non-coding deletions identify Maenli lncRNA as a limb-specific

En1 regulator. *Nature* **592**, 93–98 (2021).

155. Jain, M. S. *et al.* MultiMAP: dimensionality reduction and integration of

multimodal data. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 1–26 (2021).

156. Feregrino, C. & Tschopp, P. Assessing evolutionary and developmental

transcriptome dynamics in homologous cell types. *Dev. Dyn.* (2021)

doi:10.1002/dvdy.384.

157. Popescu, D. M. *et al.* Decoding human fetal liver haematopoiesis. *Nature* **574**,

(2019).

158. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *J. Mach. Learn.*

Res. **12**, 2825–2830 (2011).

159. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis.

Nature Methods vol. 9 676–682 (2012).

160. Van de Sande, B. *et al.* A scalable SCENIC workflow for single-cell gene

regulatory network analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **15**, 2247–2276 (2020).

161. Wang, S. *et al.* Muscle Stem Cell Immunostaining. *Curr. Protoc. Mouse Biol.* **8**,

e47 (2018).

162. Lapan, A. D. & Gussoni, E. Isolation and characterization of human fetal

myoblasts. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **798**, 3–19 (2012).

Data Availability

1650   All of our newly generated raw data are publicly available on ArrayExpress (mouse scRNA-
1651   seq, E-MTAB-10514; human Visium, E-MTAB-10367; human scRNA-seq, E-MTAB-8813).
1652   Previously published raw data can be found from ENCODE portal (ENCSR713GIS) and
1653   GEO (GSE137335 and GSE142425). Processed data and be downloaded and visualized at
1654   our data portal (https://limb-dev.cellgeni.sanger.ac.uk).
1655

Code availability

1657   All in-house codes can be found on github (https://github.com/Teichlab/limbcellatlas/).

Acknowledgements

We thank Jana Lalakova for illustrating human limb syndromes. We thank Ken To for
proofreading the manuscript. We thank Matt Thomson's lab for help with mouse 10X loading.
We thank members of the Teichmann lab, Zhang lab, Marioni lab, Haniffa lab and Behjati lab
for discussion and feedback. This work was supported by the National Key Research and
Development Program (grant 2019YFA0801703), National Natural Science Foundation of
China (grant 31871370), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (grant
202002030429), and Advanced Medical Technology Center, The First Affiliated Hospital,
Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University (to H.Z.); China Postdoctoral
Science Foundation (grant 2021M700936), and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong
(grant 2019A1515011342) (to S.W.). P.H holds a non-stipendiary research fellowship at St
Edmund's College, University of Cambridge. J.E.L is funded by the wellcome trust under the
clinical PhD programme.
1671
1672

Author contributions

S.A.T. and H.Z. supervised the project; S.A.T. initiated and designed the project; X.H. and
Y.F. carried out human tissue collection; B.W. carried out mouse tissue collection;
B.W.,L.M., L.B., R.E., and E.F. performed scRNA-seq; E.T. performed Visium spatial
experiments; S.W.,K.R., and E.T did in situ staining and functional experiments; H.Y., C.L.
and H.Z. provided experimental support. B.Z.,P.H and J.E.L. analysed sequencing data and
generated figures. V.K., K.P., M.P. and N.Y. provided computational support. M.S. and
B.J.W.contributed to interpretation of the results. B.Z.,P.H, J.E.L., S.W., H.Z. and S.A.T.
wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the discussion and editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

In the past three years, S.A.T. has consulted for or been a member of scientific advisory boards at Roche, Qiagen, Genentech, Biogen, GlaxoSmithKline and ForeSite Labs. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.