The "LINC" in between Δ40p53-miRNA axis in the regulation of cellular homeostasis

Apala Pal[1], Ashutosh Shukla[1] and Saumitra Das[1,2*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science,

Bangalore-560012, India.

[2]National Institute of Biomedical Genomics, Kalyani-741251, India

*Address for correspondence:

Dr. Saumitra Das, Professor,

Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology,

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India

Email: sdas@iisc.ac.in

Phone: 91 80 2293 2886

Fax: 91 80 2360 2697

ABSTRACT

Recently, we showed that Δ40p53, the translational isoform of p53, can inhibit cell growth independently of p53 by regulating microRNAs. Here, we explored the role of Δ40p53 in regulating the lncRNA-miRNA-cellular process axis and specifically focused on LINC00176 for further exploration. Interestingly, LINC00176 levels were affected predominantly by the overexpression/stress-mediated induction and knockdown of Δ40p53 compared to p53 levels. Additional assays revealed that Δ40p53 alone (and not p53) transactivates LINC00176 transcriptionally; however, both the proteins can directly bind to its RNA. Pulling down the RNA revealed that LINC00176 sequesters several putative miRNA targets, which could further titrate several mRNA targets involved in different cellular processes. Therefore, to understand the downstream effect of the regulation, we ectopically overexpressed and knocked down LINC00176 in HCT116 p53-/- (harboring only Δ40p53) cells which affected proliferation, cell viability, and the expression of epithelial markers. Our results provide essential insights into the pivotal role of Δ40p53 in regulating the novel LINC00176 RNA-miRNA-mRNA axis independent of FL-p53 and maintaining cellular homeostasis.

Keywords: p53 isoform; Δ40p53; LINC00176; miRNA; cellular effect of Δ40p53

INTRODUCTION

The tumor suppressor p53 is a master transcriptional regulator that regulates several critical events during cell fate determination, including transcriptional regulation of multiple genes involved in cell survival, cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, and metabolism (1). p53 has 12 isoforms created by alternate promoters, splicing sites, and translation initiation sites. These different isoforms have unique functions and can modulate p53 activity (2). The Δ40p53 isoform is the only translational isoform of p53. Our laboratory has shown that translation initiation from the first IRES (Internal Ribosomal Entry Site) of *TP53* generates full-length p53 protein, whereas translation initiation from the second IRES generates Δ40p53 (3). Various ITAFs (IRES *trans* acting factors) are required for the IRES-mediated translation of the two isoforms under different stress conditions (4-6). We have also shown how a protein and miRNA crosstalk at the TP53 3'-UTR influences differential expression of p53 and Δ40p53 (7).

These two isoforms have a robust affinity toward each other as both contain the

oligomerization domain and can therefore form homo- and hetero-tetramers (8). Δ40p53 can

both positively and negatively regulate p53 activity: it can alter the recruitment of p53 co-

activators, increasing its activity (9), but it can negatively regulate the transactivation of p53

target genes, such as p21 (10). Δ40p53 also has p53-independent functions. It controls the

switch from pluripotency to differentiation in somatic cells and helps maintain pluripotency

in embryonic stem cells. It suppresses the Nanog and IGF-receptor and maintains

pluripotency in embryonic stem cells (11). It also regulates proliferation and glucose

homeostasis in mice (12).

Moreover, it uniquely regulates apoptosis-related genes such as p53BP2 and TIAL1(13) and

can also independently induce senescence (14). In certain cancers, full-length p53 is

insufficient to protect against tumorigenesis, and Δ40p53 is essential for mediating apoptosis

through the selective activation of specific target genes (15). Recent observations also

suggest a role for Δ40p53 as a tumor suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma (16). Our latest

studies showed that Δ40p53 can regulate miR-186-5p independent of full length p53 (17).

However, some studies have examined the functional role of Δ40p53; there has been little

detailed investigation regarding intermediate molecules (such as RNAs or proteins) through

which Δ40p53 exerts its influence on cells.

Some RNAs in the p53 response pathway are involved in tumor suppression (18). Among the

cellular pool of RNAs, lncRNAs (long non-coding RNAs) have gained attention in cancer

because of their transactivation functions. LncRNAs are a heterogeneous class of non-coding

RNAs >200 nucleotides in length with very low or no coding potential. Several lncRNAs

crosstalk with p53, thereby regulating cellular processes including cell proliferation,

differentiation and development, chromosomal imprinting, and genomic stability (19-21).

LncRNAs also function as competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs), which sequester

miRNAs and alter the expression of their downstream target genes (mRNAs) by competing

for their common binding regions. ceRNA network links the function of protein-encoding

mRNAs to the function of non-coding RNAs. Growing evidence indicates that such networks

formed by lncRNA/miRNA/mRNA interactions have implications in broad spectrum of

biological processes including disease conditions like carcinogenesis (22-25). In cancer cells,

several aberrantly expressed long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) with miRNA responsive

element (MREs) competitively sequestrate miRNAs and impairs the interaction between

miRNA and mRNA, thereby attenuating the repression on the downstream mRNAs (22).

Thus, this emerging network is crucial for diverse cellular processes like cancer (26,27).

In this study, we focused on the regulation of long non-coding RNAs by Δ40p53 and their

impact on ceRNA network. To understand the potential role of Δ40p53-mediated regulation

of lncRNAs, we investigated a preliminary screen (unpublished observation) in our

laboratory in H1299 cells (a p53-null cell line) with overexpression of full-length p53,

Δ40p53, or both isoforms. Based on the results obtained from our preliminary experiments

and literature survey, we decided to focus on LINC00176 and its differential regulation by

p53 isoforms. LINC00176 is a comparatively novel, non-coding RNA reported in few cancer

conditions, but it is pretty underexplored in literature. Recent studies have demonstrated that

LINC00176 regulates the expression of microRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma (28) and

promotes ovarian cancer progression by downregulating ceruloplasmin (29). By contrast, a

report on genome-scale CRISPR screening has emphasized its possible role as a tumor

suppressor lncRNA (30). From the above reports, it is clear that LINC00176 is regulated

through several pathways, possibly involving different upstream regulators and downstream

targets. Therefore, in this study, we explored the role of Δ40p53/p53-LINC00176 axis in

microRNA-mediated regulation of mRNAs and their effect on cell growth and proliferation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatic Analysis

GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/detail.php?gene=LINC00176) was used for analysing

differential LINC00176 levels in cancer. LINC00176 was queried in the database and the

results were generated by selecting Cancer versus Normal Analysis (31). starBase v2.0

database (https://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/starbase2/browseNcRNA.php) was used to identify

potential miRNA targets of LINC00176 (32). catRAPID fragments software was used to

predict   possible   protein   binding   regions   in   LINC00176

(http://service.tartaglialab.com/page/catrapid_group_old), and the interaction score between

LINC00176   and   the   Δ40p53   was   predicted   by   RPISeq   software

(http://pridb.gdcb.iastate.edu/RPISeq/results.php)(33). PROMOALGEN has been used to

predict transcription factor binding site in *LINC00176* gene (http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/cgi-

bin/promo_v3/promo/promoinit.cgi?dirDB=TF_8.3). miRTarBase has been used to identify

mRNA targets of miRNAs (https://mirtarbase.cuh_k.edu.cn/~_miRTarBase/_miRTarBase_

2022/php/index.php)(34). dbDEMC2.0 has been used to perform a meta profiling of selected

miRNAs (https://www.biosino.org/dbDEMC/help#help00) (35).

Cell lines and transfections

We have used three cell lines in the current study: H1299 (lung adenocarcinoma cell line lacking p53/Δ40p53), HCT116 p53+/+ cells (colon carcinoma cell line harboring wild-type p53; hereafter called HCT116+/+); and HCT116 p53-/- cells (colon carcinoma cell line harboring only Δ40p53; hereafter called HCT116-/-). HCT116-/- is a commercially available cell line, with a deletion in the first ATG region of p53 mRNA, therefore the only protein getting expressed from the mRNA is Δ40p53 (being the only translational isoform) from the second ATG. These cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma) with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (GIBCO, Invitrogen). 70%-80% confluent monolayer of cells was transfected with various plasmid constructs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and Turbofectamine in Opti-MEM (Invitrogen). The medium was replaced with DMEM (with antibiotic) and 10% FBS 4 h later. The cells were harvested and processed as required at the desired time point. For the stress-induced experiments, the cells were treated with doxorubicin (2 µM) for 16 h, Thapsigargin (0.1 µM, 1 µM) for 16 h, DMEM minus Glucose medium for 8 h. For stability experiments cells were transfected with siRNA directed to Δ40p53, post 40 h of transfection cells were treated with Actinomycin D (1 mg/ml) and cells were harvested at 0 h, 4 h and 8 h post treatment.

Plasmids and constructs

pGFP-hp-p53- 5'UTR-cDNA (14A): It expresses both p53FL and Δ40p53 (a generous gift from Dr. Robin Fahraeus, INSERM, France). pGFP-hp-p53-5'UTR (A135T)-cDNA which expresses onlyΔ40p53 and pGFP-hp-p53- 5'UTR (A251G/T252 C/G253T)-cDNA which expresses only full-length p53(p53FL) (17). Two constructs were used for Tagged RNA Affinity Purification: Plasmid pMS2 and pMS2-GST. (Both the constructs were a generous gift from Je-Hyun Yoon and Myriam Gorospe Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA) (36,37). LINC00176 (3495–4302) construct obtained in the study (a generous gift from Dr. T Tamura Institut fuer Biochemie, Hannover, Germany) has been used to generate the overexpression construct. LINC00176 overexpression construct was generated by cloning the insert into pMS2 plasmid between HindIII and EcoRI sites. 5'CCGGTGTCGTCTTGCAAACATTAAACTCGAGTTTAATGTTTGCAAGACGAC ATTTTTG-3' sequence of shRNA was used to target LINC00176.

siRNA transfections

HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/- cells were transfected with 30 nM si p53 RNA (IDT). 5'-AACCUCUUGGUGAACCUUAGUACCU-3' is the p53 siRNA sequence directed against the 3'UTR of p53; therefore, it targets both p53 as well as Δ40p53. A nonspecific siRNA (si Nsp) was used in the experiments as control (Dharmacon). si-LINC00176 (5'-CUCGUUCUGUAGACUUGUU-3') was used for partial silencing of LINC00176 (a generous gift from Dr. T Tamura, Institut fuer Biochemie, Hannover, Germany) (28).

Western Blot analysis

Protein concentrations of the extracts were assayed by Bradford (Bio-Rad) and equal amounts of cell extracts were separated by SDS 12% PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Samples were then analyzed by Western blotting using rabbit-raised anti-p53 polyclonal antibody (CM1, kind gift from Dr. Robin Fahraeus, INSERM, France and Prof. J.C. Bourdon, of University of Dundee, UK), followed by secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG; Sigma). Mouse-monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody (Sigma) was used as a control for equal loading of total cell extracts. 1801 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat. No. SC-98) was used for pulldown of p53 and Δ40p53 in the Immunoprecipitation experiments. E-cadherin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat. No. SC-8426), Slug antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat. No. SC-166476), Bip antibody (Abclonal, Cat. No. A4908) and GST Antibody (B-14) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat. No. SC-138) was used to detect E-cadherin, Slug, Bip and GST proteins respectively.

RNA isolation and Real time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells with TRI Reagent ™ (Sigma), according to manufacturer's protocol. The isolated RNA was treated with 10 units of DNase I (Promega), extracted with acidic phenol-chloroform, and precipitated with 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 2.5 volumes of absoluteethanol. RNA amount was quantified in Nano-spectrophotometer and cDNAs were synthesized using specific reverse primers and MMLV RT (Revertaid ™ Thermo Scientific) at 42°C for 1 h, according to standard protocol. While 2-5 µg of total RNA has been used for checking the expression of mRNAs and lncRNAs, 50 ng of total RNA has been used to check the expression of miRNAs.

SYBR green Assay System was used for mRNA, lncRNA and miRNA detection and quantification. We have used actin as endogenous control for mRNA, lncRNA and 5SrRNA

as endogenous control for miRNAs. The thermocycling conditions for SYBR green Assay

system include 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 30 s

and 72°C for 30 s (Applied Biosystems). $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method algorithm was used to analyse the

relative expression changes, where actin/5S served as an endogenous control. The fold

change was calculated using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. ΔCt = Ct (target gene) −Ct (endogenous control) and

$\Delta\Delta Ct$ = ΔCt target sample) −ΔCt (control sample). Melting curve analysis of every (q)PCR

was conducted after each cycle.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

ChIP was performed with Human colon carcinoma cell lines (HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/-).

Five 60 mm dishes were seeded with 0.399×10^6 cells (each) and was allowed to grow for 48

h. The cells were harvested for the processing using Sim-pleChIP® Plus Enzymatic

Chromatin from Cell Signalling Technologies as per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly,

cells were fixed using formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. The reaction was

stopped using 1X Glycine. The cells were then collected in ice cold 1X PBS (Phosphate

Buffer Saline). The cells were lysed in the lysis buffers provided in the kit, digested with

Micrococcal Nuclease and processed (sonicated) to acquire nuclear chromatin lysate

according to the protocol. The chromatin is then incubated with specific antibodies in the

cyclomixer overnight at 4˚C. Pulldown was performed using p53 or Δ40p53 specific

antibody. Magnetic protein-G beads supplied in the kit where then added to the antibody

bound lysate mixture and incubated in cyclomixer for 2 h at 4˚C. The beads were then

separated using magnetic separator and washed with the wash buffer provided in the kit.

Before the elution step, 20% of the beads were kept for western blot analysis to confirm equal

pulldown of the protein. Elution was carried out in the elution buffer provided in the kit at

55˚C for 30 minutes. This was followed by Proteinase K and 1% SDS treatment for

30minutes-2 h followed by phenol-chloroform based DNA ex-traction. The DNA was

precipitated using 1/10th volume of 3M Sodium Acetate (pH 5.2) and 2.5 volume absolute

ethanol at -20˚C overnight. The required DNA was used for ChIP sequencing after

confirming the pulldown through western.

Protein purification

197 The recombinant p53 and Δ40p53 proteins were purified from pET28a-p53, pET28aΔ40p53

198 constructs, respectively. The expression of both the recombinant proteins were induced with

199 0.6mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside (IPTG) at an optical density of 0.6 at

200 660 nm and grown for another 16 h at 16˚C. The cells were pelleted, resuspended in lysis

buffer (50mM Tris [pH 8.0], 300 mM NaCl and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF] and disrupted on ice by sonication. All subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. The lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 30 min and incubated with a Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA)-agarose slurry (Qiagen) with rocking for 4 h at 4°C. The lysate was loaded onto a column, and the flowthrough was discarded. The column was washed with 50 ml of wash buffer (lysis buffer containing 40 mM imidazole). The bound protein was eluted with 500 µl of elution buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. The eluted protein fractions were dialyzed at 4°C for 3 to 5 h in dialysis buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM KCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol [β-ME], and 10% glycerol), aliquoted, and stored at −70°C.

UV Cross linking

α-^{32}P body-labeled RNA probes were mixed with purified recombinant protein for 30 min at 30°C in 1× RNA binding buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.6], 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, and 2 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) and then UV irradiated (254 nm) for 20 min at 4°C. The mixture was treated with RNase A at 37°C to digest the unprotected RNAs. The complexes were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and visualized by phosphor-imaging. For the competitive UV cross-linking assay, a molar excess of unlabeled RNAs, where indicated, was added along with the labeled RNA probe.

Tagged RNA Affinity Pull down Assay (TRAP Assay)

The method is based on the addition of MS2 RNA hairpin loops to a target RNA of interest, followed by co-expression of the MS2-tagged RNA together with the protein MS2 (which recognizes the MS2 RNA elements) fused to an affinity tag (37). After purification of the MS2 RNP complex, the miRNAs present in the complex are identified. In this study we have tagged the LINC00176 with MS2 hairpins and have co-expressed it in Human colon carcinoma cells (HCT116-/-) along with the chimeric protein MS2-GST (glutathione S-transferase). After affinity purification using glutathione SH beads, the microRNAs present in the RNP complex

Cell fractionation

Approximately 1×10^{6} cells (HCT116-/-) were washed with ice cold PBS, resuspended in 175µl of Cytoplasmic lysis buffer (50mM Tris [pH 8.0], 140 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.5% NP-40) substituted with RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher) and incubated on ice for 5mins. Cytoplasmic fraction was separated as supernatant by centrifugation at 500g at

233 4°C/4mins. The remaining nuclear pellet was re-suspended in cold PBS and pelleted with

234 high-speed centrifugation (5000rpm/1min). RNA was extracted from both the fractions using

235 TRI Reagent TM (Sigma), according to manufacturer's protocol. The relative transcript

236 levels were determined in each fraction by normalisation with their total levels.

MTT Assay

HCT116-/- cells were transfected with either LINC00176 o/e or sh-LINC00176 construct, 36

h post transfection these cells were re-seeded in 96 well plate. After 20 h of reseeding 10 µl

of MTT (50 mg/ml) reagent was added and cells were incubated for 3-4 h, following which

the supernatant was removed and cells were dissolved in 200µl of DMSO. Cell viability was

measured as a spectrophotometry reading of the amount of MTT incorporated in the cell at

560 nm. The reading taken on the first day is considered as Day 0. Similarly, the reading was

measured on Day 2 and Day 4 post re-seeding to check the effect on cell viability over a

period of time.

BrdU cell proliferation assay

247 BrdU cell proliferation assay was performed as described in BrdU Cell Proliferation ELISA

248 Kit (ab126556). Briefly, HCT116-/- cells were transfected with si-LINC00176. 36 h post

249 transfection these cells were reseeded in 96 well plate. After 12 h of reseeding BrdU solution

250 was added and cells were incubated for 12 h, followed by the protocol as mentioned in the

251 manual.

Statistical analysis

253 All the experiments have been performed in three independent biological replicates (n=3).

254 The data were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined using two-

255 sided Student's t-test. The criterion for statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$ (*) or $p \leq 0.01$ (**)

256 or $p \leq 0.001$(***)

RESULTS

Selection and validation of LINC00176 for further studies

259 We identified LINC00176 based on a preliminary screen (unpublished data from our

260 laboratory) of lncRNA expression in cells expressing different p53 isoforms. As mentioned

261 earlier, distinctive functions of LINC00176 reported in the literature, we investigated its

262 regulation by p53 isoforms. To directly investigate the role of p53 isoforms on LINC00176,

we examined the expression of LINC00176 in three cancer cell lines: H1299 cells with ectopic overexpression of p53, Δ40p53, or both (14A construct); HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/- cells. LINC00176 levels were first validated in H1299 cells after overexpressing p53, Δ40p53, or both p53 and Δ40p53. It was upregulated under all conditions compared to control cells **(Figure 1A, B)**. However, the fold change in LINC00176 levels was higher in cells expressing Δ40p53 alone compared to p53 alone or the combination of the two proteins. Since it would be more physiologically relevant to examine LINC00176 in a cell line with endogenous expression of p53, we also examined the levels of LINC00176 in HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/- cells. Interestingly, we found that the levels of LINC00176 were much higher in HCT116-/- cells than in HCT116+/+ cells **(Figure 1C, D)**. To confirm the ability of p53 to regulate LINC00176, an siRNA targeting to the 3′-UTR of *TP53* was transfected into HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/- cells **(Figure 1F, H)**. The levels of LINC00176 decreased in both cell lines after siRNA transfection **(Figure 1E, G)**. However, the decrease was not noteworthy because p53/Δ40p53 are not the only regulators of LINC00176. Nevertheless, silencing Δ40p53 in HCT116-/- cells **(Figure 1G)** led to a greater reduction in LINC00176 levels than silencing p53 in HCT116+/+ cells **(Figure 1E)**. To further examine whether both isoforms could modulate the levels of LINC00176, p53 was overexpressed in HCT116-/- cells **(Figure 1J)**, which reduced LINC00176 levels similar to those of 14A construct (expressing both p53 and Δ40p53) observed earlier **(Figure 1I)**. These preliminary results suggest that both p53 translational isoforms regulate LINC00176, but the levels differ with respect to isoform abundance. When p53 or Δ40p53 is present alone, either protein can upregulate LINC00176; however, there is higher expression of LINC00176 when only Δ40p53 is present.

To correlate the above findings with cancer scenario, we assessed the expression of LINC00176 in lung and colon cancer conditions via GEPIA (Gene Expression Profile Interactive Analysis) database. Box plot analysis suggested that the expression of LINC00176 was lower in tumor tissues (LUAD-Lung Adenocarcinoma and COAD-Colon Adenocarcinoma) than in normal tissues (**Figure S1**). We speculate that this observation could be due to the lower levels of functional WT Δ40p53/ WT p53 in cancer conditions.

Regulation of LINC00176 under different stress conditions

p53 and Δ40p53 are translated by an IRES-mediated mechanism under stress conditions, such as DNA damage, ER stress, and glucose starvation (4-6). Cells were treated with doxorubicin and thapsigargin for 16 h to induce DNA damage and ER stress, respectively, and cultured in

glucose-deprived DMEM for 8 h to induce glucose starvation (**Figure 2A**). In HCT116-/-

cells, Δ40p53 levels increased under all stress conditions (**Figure 2C**, **E, G**). LINC00176

levels also increased, with the highest increase observed in cells treated with thapsigargin

(**Figure 2B, D, F**). In HCT116+/+ cells, although p53 levels increased under all the stress

conditions, LINC00176 levels were inconsistent and could not be correlated with p53 levels.

It was slightly upregulated in the doxorubicin-treated and glucose-deprived cells; however,

there was no significant change in cells treated with thapsigargin (**Figure S2 A-F**). Moreover,

it is unclear whether p53 or Δ40p53 was responsible for the increase in LINC00176 in

HCT116+/+ cells as clearly visible in case of Doxorubicin induction (**Figure S2 B**) the levels

of both the proteins increased. However, in line with our earlier observation of dominant

regulation of LINC00176 by Δ40p53, the results in HCT116-/- cells were more significant

and consistent.

Next, we wanted to confirm whether Δ40p53 alone was involved in regulating LINC00176

levels under stress or whether another factor could also regulate LINC00176. We selected ER

stress as it maximally induced Δ40p53 in this model, and we observed significant

upregulation of LINC00176 in cells treated with thapsigargin (**Figure 2D**). We knocked

down Δ40p53 in HCT116-/- cells, followed by induction of ER stress (**Figure 2H**).

Compared to control cells that were untreated and not transfected with siΔ40p53, there was

no change in LINC00176 levels in the cells transfected with siΔ40p53 and treated with

thapsigargin (**Figure 2I-J**). This indicated that in the absence of Δ40p53, LINC00176 levels

are not induced in the background of ER stress, confirming Δ40p53 to be a major regulator of

LINC00176. These results suggest that the expression of LINC00176 is closely linked to

Δ40p53 levels. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that other factors might also be

involved in regulating LINC00176 expression. We have also confirmed the ER stress

induction by checking the ER chaperone Bip levels (38),which regulates the stress (**Figure**

S2 G).

Mechanism of LINC00176 regulation by Δ40p53/p53

Next, we investigated whether LINC00176 is directly regulated by Δ40p53/p53 (**Figure 3A**).

Using PROMO ALGGEN, we found several Δ40p53/p53 binding sites in the *LINC00176*

gene, which suggests that Δ40p53/p53 may regulate LINC00176 at the transcriptional level.

An earlier study on LINC00176 revealed that it has three putative promoter regions in

different cell types (28,30). We used ChIP experiments to examine the association of

Δ40p53/p53 with these three probable promoter regions, one located at the proximal region of

LINC00176 exon 1 (62665399–62666196) and two located at the proximal region of E2

(62667411–62667655 and 62667984–62668233). We immunoprecipitated HCT116-/- and

HCT116+/+ crosslinked lysates with 1801 antibody which can detect both Δ40p53 and p53

proteins **(Figure S3A, B).** The results showed an association between Δ40p53 and the

LINC00176 promoters in HCT116-/- cells. However, no such association was found for p53

in HCT116+/+ cells **(Figure 3B, C)**. Because we observed a decrease in LINC00176 levels

upon p53 overexpression in HCT116-/- cells, we also examined the effect of ectopic p53 on

the binding of Δ40p53 to *LINC00176* promoters in HCT116-/- cells. Interestingly, we found

that the binding of Δ40p53 to all three *LINC00176* promoters decreased with p53

overexpression in HCT116-/- cells **(Figure 3D, E)**. This observation follows the reported

literature that p53 and Δ40p53 regulate each other's activity by forming hetero-oligomers (4).

Hence, these results show that Δ40p53 controls LINC00176 regulation more than p53.

We also wanted to assess the ability of Δ40p53/p53 to regulate LINC00176 at the RNA level

directly. p53 is known to directly affect specific RNAs through its C-terminal domain (39)

which is shared with Δ40p53. Therefore, we aimed to determine whether Δ40p53 could also

regulate LINC00176 RNA directly or indirectly. Firstly, we checked whether Δ40p53 could

affect LINC00176 RNA stability. We treated HCT116-/- cells with actinomycin D after

transfection with siΔ40p53 to assess LINC00176 stability **(Figure 3F).** The results indicated

that knockdown of Δ40p53 decreased LINC00176 levels after treatment with actinomycin D,

implying that the stability of LINC00176 is increased by Δ40p53 **(Figure 3G, H)**. Based on

this observation we speculated if Δ40p53 could directly bind to LINC00176 RNA. Moreover,

since Δ40p53 shares its protein domains with p53, we also wanted to check if p53 could bind

directly to LINC00176. CATRAPID and RPI-Seq software was used to identify potential

interactions between mature LINC00176 and Δ40p53 and p53 **(Figure 3I-J, S3 C-D)**. Both

the databases predicted probable binding regions and positive interaction score for

LINC00176 and proteins.

We used a UV-crosslinking approach to validate the probable binding between LINC00176

and Δ40p53/p53. In this experiment, recombinant proteins were incubated with α-^{32}P labeled

LINC00176, followed by UV crosslinking and RNase A treatment. Results reveal that both

Δ40p53 and p53 can interact with LINC00176 RNA. We have also performed competition

UV-crosslinking to confirm specific binding. Recombinant Δ40p53/p53 was incubated with

α-^{32}P labeled LINC00176 and competed with self and non-specific non-labelled RNA. Self

RNA competition with Δ40p53/p53 proteins suggested that the binding is specific **(Figure 3**

K-L).

Interestingly, we observe more competition of LINC00176 RNA in binding to Δ40p53 than

binding to p53. The results also reveal that p53 can regulate LINC00176 at the RNA level,

which could be the possible reason for LINC00176 upregulation upon p53 overexpression in

H1299 cells **(Figure 1A).** Moreover, we also performed cell fractionation in HCT116-/- to

determine the endogenous abundance of LINC00176 and observed that 60% of the transcript

localized to the nucleus and 40% localized to the cytoplasm **(Figure S3 E).**

Deciphering the mechanism of action of LINC00176

Thus far, the results obtained in this study indicate that Δ40p53 can regulate long non-coding

RNAs in addition to miRNAs (17). Therefore, it is crucial to understand how LINC00176

functions in cells and what could be its mechanism of action **(Figure 4A)**. Long non-coding

RNAs have recently gained attraction as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) known to

interact with miRNAs, acting as a decoy or promoting their degradation, thereby liberating

the mRNAs which directly impact different cellular processes in cancer (cell proliferation,

cell growth, metastasis etc.) (40-43). Therefore, miRNA-interacting partners of LINC00176

were predicted using the Starbase V.2.0 database **(Figure 4B),** and their levels were

measured in HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/- cells **(Figure 4C)**. The expressions of these

miRNAs (miR-761, miR-184, miR-503-5p, miR-15b-5p, miR-138, and miR-9-5p) varied.

Since most had lower expression in HCT116-/- cells than in HCT116+/+ cells, they could be

targets of LINC00176, as LINC00176 levels are very high in HCT116-/- cells. In particular,

miR-9-5p, a known target of LINC00176 (28), was downregulated in HCT116-/- cells

compared to HCT116+/+ cells. To assess the direct effect of LINC00176 on these miRNAs,

LINC00176 was silenced in HCT116-/- cells, and the corresponding changes in miRNA

levels were assessed. Upon silencing LINC00176, the levels of LINC00176 were reduced by

60% compared to that in control cells **(Figure 4D)**. The levels of the miRNAs increased from

30% to 80% **(Figure 4E)**. To investigate whether LINC00176 sequesters target miRNAs,

tagged RNA affinity pulldown assay was performed **(Figure 4G).** miRNA levels were

quantified in the pulldown fractions for both MS2-control and MS2-LINC00176 and

normalized to their respective input levels. Further, the normalized miRNA levels in MS2-

LINC00176 were compared to MS2-Control to calculate the fold enrichment miR-184, miR-

138, miR-503, miR-15b-5p, and miR-9-5p were significantly enriched in the pulldown

fraction, indicating that they are direct targets of LINC00176 **(Figure 4F).** However, miR-

761 did not show any enrichment in the pulldown fraction, implying that it might indirectly

interact with LINC00176 or could be degraded in a complex. These results indicate that

LINC00176 can titrate miRNA levels in cells, which may affect cellular processes.

To determine the direct downstream effect of LINC00176-miRNA axis on cellular processes,

we have validated mRNA targets of the miRNAs. We used miRTarBase to identify some

unique and common validated miRNAs' targets **(Figure 4H)**. For further investigation, we

selected known mRNA targets of three miRNAs (miR-9-5p, miR-15b-5p, miR-503-5p)

which had known implications in several cancer conditions **(Figure S4 A).** To assess the

direct effect of LINC00176 on these miRNA targets, LINC00176 was silenced in HCT116-/-

cells, and the corresponding changes in mRNA levels were assessed. Upon silencing, we

obtained differential levels of the targets with maximum decrease in the levels of BCL2

mRNA **(Figure 4I)**. We also wanted to correlate the results in the background of silencing of

Δ40p53 being the major regulator of the axis. Upon silencing of Δ40p53 in HCT116-/-, we

observed that all the target levels changed variably, with a consistent decrease in SIRT1 and

BCL2 levels **(Figure 4 J-K)** corroborating the results obtained in siLINC00176 background.

While we observed an increase in the miRNA levels upon silencing of LINC00176 **(Figure**

4E), the concomitant decreases in some of the mRNA targets unravelled the proximate

players of Δ40p53-LINC00176-miRNA axis on the cellular processes.

The impact of the Δ40p53-LINC00176 axis on cellular processes

LncRNAs regulate a wide variety of cellular processes (44). The ceRNA network involving

lncRNA/miRNA/mRNA synergistically regulate tumor hallmark processes across pan

cancers (45). An lncRNA can have multiple networks in cancer (22), therefore, lncRNAs

being the master regulator it is crucial to know their ultimate impact on cells (primarily on

cell growth, expression of EMT markers, viability etc.). To understand the direct effect of

LINC00176 on cellular behavior, we overexpressed or knocked down LINC00176 in

HCT116-/- cells and examined the effects **(Figure 5A-C)**. First, we examined the effect of

LINC00176 on EMT marker expression. LINC00176 overexpression increased the levels of

E-cadherin (epithelial marker) and decreased the levels of Slug and vimentin (mesenchymal

markers) **(Figure 5D, E)**. Interestingly, LINC00176 overexpression also increased Δ40p53

levels **(Figure 5 E, S4 B)**. By contrast, knocked down LINC00176 decreased the mRNA

levels of E-cadherin but increased those of vimentin and Slug **(Figure 5F);** however, we did

not observe the same trend for the protein levels of E-cadherin and Slug **(Figure 5G)**. We

further analyzed cell viability after LINC00176 overexpression or knockdown and observed

that LINC00176 overexpression decreased cell viability **(Figure 5H)**, whereas LINC00176

knockdown increased cell viability **(Figure 5I)**. However, these effects on cell viability may

not be very prominent, because LINC00176 might contribute to only a small percentage of

the vast majority of cellular processes. We also found that LINC00176 knockdown increased

proliferation using the BrdU assay (**Figure 5J).** Together, these results indicate that LINC00176 promotes the epithelial phenotype and suppresses the mesenchymal phenotype, cell growth, viability, and proliferation, all of which suggest that this lncRNA contributes to tumor suppression in cells.

DISCUSSION

In cancer, lncRNAs are key players in the p53-dependent transcriptional pathway, wherein lncRNAs and p53 can regulate each other (46). Therefore, to identify critical cancer signaling pathways, it is vital to recognize novel lncRNAs that are regulated through the p53 pathway. *TP53* is the most frequently mutated gene in human cancer (47); however, these mutations are not found in all cancers, indicating that other factors could interfere with WT p53 function (48). These factors have complicated our understanding of p53 signaling and include more recently discovered p53 isoforms (49). Owing to the lack of detailed functional characterization, little information is available regarding p53 isoforms. However, one isoform that has recently gained attention in cancer research is Δ40p53, the only translational isoform of p53 (50). Although a few reports have shown the transactivation capacity of Δ40p53, there are no studies on the regulation of lncRNAs by Δ40p53. We selected LINC00176 for our current study because of its variable regulation by different transcription factors and differential expression in cancer.

Significant changes in the levels of LINC00176 were observed in H1299 cells expressing p53, Δ40p53, and both isoforms. However, the maximum upregulation was observed in cells expressing only Δ40p53. The upregulation of LINC00176 by Δ40p53 was also observed in other cell line expressing only Δ40p53 (HCT116-/-) as compared to cells expressing both isoforms (HCT116+/+). Furthermore, LINC00176 was upregulated under different stress conditions, and its expression correlated with the abundance of Δ40p53. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that other factors could be involved in regulating LINC00176 because of certain observations. First, we did not observe a prominent decrease in LINC00176 levels upon knockdown of Δ40p53 in HCT116-/-. Second, upon induction of ER stress in cells with Δ40p53 knockdown, LINC00176 levels did not change with respect to control cells. This indicates that although Δ40p53 could be a major regulator of LINC00176, other factors regulate LINC00176 abundance. This regulation could be at the transcriptional level or post transcriptional; therefore, we investigated any direct binding between the molecules.

Δ40p53 was previously thought to be devoid of transactivation capacity because it lacks

TAD1 (51); however, subsequent studies reported that it can regulate some p53 target genes

(13,52). It has also been reported that Δ40p53 is sufficient to induce cell death independent of

p53 through a specific subset of genes (Fas, Dr5, Api1, and Pig3) (15). More recently, a study

suggested an FLp53-independent, pro-tumoral role for Δ40p53 because of its ability to

transactivate the transcription of antiapoptotic proteins, such as netrin-1 (53). Therefore, we

examined the association of Δ40p53 and p53 with LINC00176. We observed binding of

Δ40p53 to *LINC00176* promoters; surprisingly, p53 did not bind to *LINC00176* promoters.

However, overexpression of p53 in HCT116-/- cells decreased the association of Δ40p53

with *LINC00176* promoters, suggesting the formation of hetero-oligomers between p53 and

Δ40p53 interfered with the transactivation function of Δ40p53, is consistent with the results

of earlier reports (8). Besides the role of p53 as a typical transcription factor, there are reports

of p53 binding to RNA through a nucleic acid binding domain, which is independent of its

core DNA binding domain(54,55). Recently it has been found that p53 cand bind to the

lncRNA MEG3(39); however, there are no such reports on other p53 isoforms. The RNA

binding property could be true for Δ40p53 as well, which shares majority of the protein

domains with p53. Therefore, we speculated if Δ40p53 could also regulate LINC00176 at the

RNA level.

With Actinomycin D experiment we got a clue that Δ40p53 could regulate the stability of

LINC00176 RNA, which was further confirmed through UV-Cross linking experiments.

Results show that not only Δ40p53 but p53 can also specifically bind to LINC00176 RNA,

however we observed more competition of LINC00176 for binding onto Δ40p53 than p53.

Since Δ40p53, lacks the first 40 amino acids, it may become structurally more favorable in

binding to LINC00176 RNA than p53, however the exact mechanisms remain unknown and

requires further investigation. Nevertheless, we observe binding for p53 also, which unravels

its possible mechanism behind LINC00176 regulation. From here we infer that, the enhanced

levels of LINC00176 in the presence of Δ40p53 compared to p53 could be due to its

multistep regulation at the level of transcription and RNA binding and stability. Additionally,

we find a 60:40 Nuclear: Cytoplasmic ratio of LINC00176 in HCT116-/-, which confirms its

levels in both the compartments, which could be a possible consequence of the multistep

regulation.

The significance of LINC00176 upregulation by Δ40p53 was determined by examining

different miRNA targets. We identified different miRNA targets from StarBase V2.0 for

LINC00176, from which we selected a few targets (miR-761, miR-138, miR-15b-5p, miR-

503-5p, miR-184 and miR-9-5p) based on the number of binding sites and their functions in different cancers **(Figure S4 A)**. Most of the selected miRNAs are differentially expressed in cancer owing to their diverse regulatory pathways (56-72). Their levels were mostly decreased in HCT116-/- cells compared to those in HCT116+/+ cells, and their expressions negatively correlated with LINC00176 levels. Upon further analyzing their levels after knockdown of LINC00176 and TRAP assays, we found that most of these miRNAs (miR-138, miR-15b-5p, miR-503-5p, miR-184 and miR-9-5p) were enriched in the pulldown complex of LINC00176. However, although the level of miR-761 increased upon LINC00176 knockdown, it was not sequestered by LINC00176. The results suggest LINC00176 to be an important ceRNA titrating the levels of multiple miRNAs, thereby attenuating the possible miRNA-mRNA interactions. To elucidate the effect of LINC00176 on the downstream mRNAs, we have validated mRNA targets of the miRNAs. We used miRTarBase to identify some unique and common validated targets (CDH1, SIRT1, ONECUT2, BCL2, PIK3R1, FGF2, CCND1, CCNE1) of selected miRNAs (miR-9-5p, miR-503-5p and miR-15b-5p). We knocked down the two upstream regulators, Δ40p53 and LINC00176 in HCT116-/- and checked the corresponding changes in the mRNA levels. SIRT1 and BCL2 levels consistently decreased upon silencing both upstream regulators, indicating their potential involvement in the Δ40p53/LINC00176/miRNA axis. However, detailed investigation is necessary to correlate the direct effect of mRNA targets on the downstream processes.

Finally, we examined the direct effects of LINC00176 on cellular behavior through ectopic overexpression or silencing of LINC00176. Based on the different hallmark processes of cancer (73) we examined EMT marker expression, cell proliferation, cell viability. LINC00176 overexpression enhanced the epithelial phenotype, decreased cell viability and also upregulated Δ40p53 protein levels **(Figure S4 B)**, suggesting a possible feed-forward loop between Δ40p53 and LINC00176 through which they might regulate each other's levels. LINC00176 knockdown led to increase in cell viability and proliferation, however it did not give consistent results with the EMT markers which also decreased upon LINC00176 knockdown. We speculate that the decrease in the protein levels of EMT markers could be due to a decrease in Δ40p53, which might also directly regulate EMT markers. Therefore, the effect of LINC00176 knockdown is inconclusive. Δ40p53 function is known to be cell context-specific, and Δ40p53 can have differential effects when overexpressed or silenced (48). This strengthens our hypothesis that, since there is a possible feed-forward loop between LINC00176 and Δ40p53, many of the lncRNA effects will be dependent on the

levels of Δ40p53 and therefore will vary. The mechanistic details of the possible feed-
forward loop is an interesting axis which we would like to decipher in future.

In conclusion, our results indicated that LINC00176 contributes to the tumor-suppressive
function of Δ40p53, independent of p53FL function **(Figure 6: Graphical Abstract).**
However, it would be interesting to determine whether the function of the Δ40p53-
LINC00176 axis is universal or cell type-specific. Taken together, this study opens up
avenues for mechanistic insights into how Δ40p53 governs cellular processes through
lncRNAs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Prof. J.C. Bourdon, University of Dundee, UK and Dr. Robin Fahraeus,
INSERM, France, for providing us the anti-p53 antibody. We would like to thank Dr. Je-
Hyun Yoon and Dr. Myriam Gorospe, National Institutes of Health, USA, for providing us
with the TRAP constructs. We are grateful to Dr. T Tamura, Institut fuer Biochemie,
Germany, for providing us with LINC00176 overexpression construct and siRNA. We would
like to thank Prof. Sathees C. Raghavan for his valuable suggestions. We also thank the
present and past SD lab-members for helpful discussion of the work. This work is supported
by a research grant to SD from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Govt. of India. SD
also acknowledges J.C. Bose fellowship for research support. This study was also supported
by the DBT-IISc partnership program. DST Fund for Improvement of Science and
Technology Infrastructure (FIST) level II infrastructure and University Grants Commission
Centre of Advanced Studies support is acknowledged. We would like to thank Editage
(www.editage.com) for English language editing.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

553 AP and SD: Conception and design of studies, analysis and interpretation, and article writing.
554 AP and AS for performing the experiments.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

556 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCE

1. Somasundaram, K. (2000) Tumor suppressor p53: regulation and function. *Front Biosci*, **5**, D424-437.
2. Bourdon, J.C., Fernandes, K., Murray-Zmijewski, F., Liu, G., Diot, A., Xirodimas, D.P., Saville, M.K. and Lane, D.P. (2005) p53 isoforms can regulate p53 transcriptional activity. *Genes Dev*, **19**, 2122-2137.
3. Ray, P.S., Grover, R. and Das, S. (2006) Two internal ribosome entry sites mediate the translation of p53 isoforms. *EMBO Rep*, **7**, 404-410.
4. Grover, R., Ray, P.S. and Das, S. (2008) Polypyrimidine tract binding protein regulates IRES-mediated translation of p53 isoforms. *Cell Cycle*, **7**, 2189-2198.
5. Khan, D., Katoch, A., Das, A., Sharathchandra, A., Lal, R., Roy, P., Das, S., Chattopadhyay, S. and Das, S. (2015) Reversible induction of translational isoforms of p53 in glucose deprivation. *Cell Death Differ*, **22**, 1203-1218.
6. Sharathchandra, A., Lal, R., Khan, D. and Das, S. (2012) Annexin A2 and PSF proteins interact with p53 IRES and regulate translation of p53 mRNA. *RNA Biol*, **9**, 1429-1439.
7. Katoch, A., George, B., Iyyappan, A., Khan, D. and Das, S. (2017) Interplay between PTB and miR-1285 at the p53 3'UTR modulates the levels of p53 and its isoform Delta40p53alpha. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **45**, 10206-10217.
8. Ghosh, A., Stewart, D. and Matlashewski, G. (2004) Regulation of human p53 activity and cell localization by alternative splicing. *Mol Cell Biol*, **24**, 7987-7997.
9. Candeias, M.M., Malbert-Colas, L., Powell, D.J., Daskalogianni, C., Maslon, M.M., Naski, N., Bourougaa, K., Calvo, F. and Fahraeus, R. (2008) P53 mRNA controls p53 activity by managing Mdm2 functions. *Nat Cell Biol*, **10**, 1098-1105.
10. Powell, D.J., Hrstka, R., Candeias, M., Bourougaa, K., Vojtesek, B. and Fahraeus, R. (2008) Stress-dependent changes in the properties of p53 complexes by the alternative translation product p53/47. *Cell Cycle*, **7**, 950-959.
11. Ungewitter, E. and Scrable, H. (2010) Delta40p53 controls the switch from pluripotency to differentiation by regulating IGF signaling in ESCs. *Genes Dev*, **24**, 2408-2419.
12. Hinault, C., Kawamori, D., Liew, C.W., Maier, B., Hu, J., Keller, S.R., Mirmira, R.G., Scrable, H. and Kulkarni, R.N. (2011) Delta40 Isoform of p53 controls beta-cell proliferation and glucose homeostasis in mice. *Diabetes*, **60**, 1210-1222.
13. Ohki, R., Kawase, T., Ohta, T., Ichikawa, H. and Taya, Y. (2007) Dissecting functional roles of p53 N-terminal transactivation domains by microarray expression analysis. *Cancer Sci*, **98**, 189-200.
14. Maier, B., Gluba, W., Bernier, B., Turner, T., Mohammad, K., Guise, T., Sutherland, A., Thorner, M. and Scrable, H. (2004) Modulation of mammalian life span by the short isoform of p53. *Genes Dev*, **18**, 306-319.
15. Phang, B.H., Othman, R., Bougeard, G., Chia, R.H., Frebourg, T., Tang, C.L., Cheah, P.Y. and Sabapathy, K. (2015) Amino-terminal p53 mutations lead to expression of apoptosis proficient p47 and prognosticate better survival, but predispose to tumorigenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**, E6349-6358.
16. Ota, A., Nakao, H., Sawada, Y., Karnan, S., Wahiduzzaman, M., Inoue, T., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, T., Ishii, N., Ohashi, T. *et al.* (2017) Delta40p53alpha suppresses tumor cell proliferation and induces cellular senescence in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *J Cell Sci*, **130**, 614-625.
17. Katoch, A., Tripathi, S.K., Pal, A. and Das, S. (2021) Regulation of miR-186-YY1 axis by the p53 translational isoform 40p53: implications in cell proliferation. *Cell Cycle*, **20**, 561-574.
18. Hunten, S., Kaller, M., Drepper, F., Oeljeklaus, S., Bonfert, T., Erhard, F., Dueck, A., Eichner, N., Friedel, C.C., Meister, G. *et al.* (2015) p53-Regulated Networks of Protein, mRNA, miRNA, and lncRNA Expression Revealed by Integrated Pulsed Stable Isotope Labeling With Amino Acids in Cell Culture (pSILAC) and Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Analyses. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, **14**, 2609-2629.

19. Paralkar, V.R. and Weiss, M.J. (2013) Long noncoding RNAs in biology and hematopoiesis.
Blood, **121**, 4842-4846.
20. Knoll, M., Lodish, H.F. and Sun, L. (2015) Long non-coding RNAs as regulators of the
endocrine system. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, **11**, 151-160.
21. Chaudhary, R. and Lal, A. (2017) Long noncoding RNAs in the p53 network. *Wiley Interdiscip*
Rev RNA, **8**.
22. Wang, L., Cho, K.B., Li, Y., Tao, G., Xie, Z. and Guo, B. (2019) Long Noncoding RNA (lncRNA)-
Mediated Competing Endogenous RNA Networks Provide Novel Potential Biomarkers and
Therapeutic Targets for Colorectal Cancer. *Int J Mol Sci*, **20**.
23. Wang, Q.C., Wang, Z.Y., Xu, Q., Chen, X.L. and Shi, R.Z. (2021) lncRNA expression profiles and
associated ceRNA network analyses in epicardial adipose tissue of patients with coronary
artery disease. *Sci Rep*, **11**, 1567.
24. Gao, L., Zhao, Y., Ma, X. and Zhang, L. (2021) Integrated analysis of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA
ceRNA network and the potential prognosis indicators in sarcomas. *BMC Med Genomics*, **14**,
67.
25. Seo, D., Kim, D., Chae, Y. and Kim, W. (2020) The ceRNA network of lncRNA and miRNA in
lung cancer. *Genomics Inform*, **18**, e36.
26. Su, K., Wang, N., Shao, Q., Liu, H., Zhao, B. and Ma, S. (2021) The role of a ceRNA regulatory
network based on lncRNA MALAT1 site in cancer progression. *Biomed Pharmacother*, **137**,
111389.
27. Poliseno, L. and Pandolfi, P.P. (2015) PTEN ceRNA networks in human cancer. *Methods*, **77-**
78, 41-50.
28. Tran, D.D.H., Kessler, C., Niehus, S.E., Mahnkopf, M., Koch, A. and Tamura, T. (2018) Myc
target gene, long intergenic noncoding RNA, Linc00176 in hepatocellular carcinoma
regulates cell cycle and cell survival by titrating tumor suppressor microRNAs. *Oncogene*, **37**,
75-85.
29. Dai, L., Niu, J. and Feng, Y. (2020) Knockdown of long non-coding RNA LINC00176 suppresses
ovarian cancer progression by BCL3-mediated down-regulation of ceruloplasmin. *J Cell Mol*
Med, **24**, 202-213.
30. Zhu, S., Li, W., Liu, J., Chen, C.H., Liao, Q., Xu, P., Xu, H., Xiao, T., Cao, Z., Peng, J. *et al.* (2016)
Genome-scale deletion screening of human long non-coding RNAs using a paired-guide RNA
CRISPR-Cas9 library. *Nat Biotechnol*, **34**, 1279-1286.
31. Tang, Z., Li, C., Kang, B., Gao, G., Li, C. and Zhang, Z. (2017) GEPIA: a web server for cancer
and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **45**, W98-
W102.
32. Li, J.H., Liu, S., Zhou, H., Qu, L.H. and Yang, J.H. (2014) starBase v2.0: decoding miRNA-
ceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA and protein-RNA interaction networks from large-scale CLIP-Seq data.
Nucleic Acids Res, **42**, D92-97.
33. Muppirala, U.K., Honavar, V.G. and Dobbs, D. (2011) Predicting RNA-protein interactions
using only sequence information. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **12**, 489.
34. Huang, H.Y., Lin, Y.C., Cui, S., Huang, Y., Tang, Y., Xu, J., Bao, J., Li, Y., Wen, J., Zuo, H. *et al.*
(2022) miRTarBase update 2022: an informative resource for experimentally validated
miRNA-target interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **50**, D222-D230.
35. Yang, Z., Wu, L., Wang, A., Tang, W., Zhao, Y., Zhao, H. and Teschendorff, A.E. (2017)
dbDEMC 2.0: updated database of differentially expressed miRNAs in human cancers.
Nucleic Acids Res, **45**, D812-D818.
36. Yoon, J.H. and Gorospe, M. (2016) Identification of mRNA-Interacting Factors by MS2-TRAP
(MS2-Tagged RNA Affinity Purification). *Methods Mol Biol*, **1421**, 15-22.
37. Yoon, J.H., Srikantan, S. and Gorospe, M. (2012) MS2-TRAP (MS2-tagged RNA affinity
purification): tagging RNA to identify associated miRNAs. *Methods*, **58**, 81-87.
38. Lee, A.S. (2005) The ER chaperone and signaling regulator GRP78/BiP as a monitor of
endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Methods*, **35**, 373-381.

39. Zhu, J., Liu, S., Ye, F., Shen, Y., Tie, Y., Zhu, J., Wei, L., Jin, Y., Fu, H., Wu, Y. *et al.* (2015) Long
Noncoding RNA MEG3 Interacts with p53 Protein and Regulates Partial p53 Target Genes in
Hepatoma Cells. *PLoS One*, **10**, e0139790.

40. Yoon, J.H., Abdelmohsen, K. and Gorospe, M. (2014) Functional interactions among
microRNAs and long noncoding RNAs. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*, **34**, 9-14.

41. Fan, Y., Sheng, W., Meng, Y., Cao, Y. and Li, R. (2020) LncRNA PTENP1 inhibits cervical cancer
progression by suppressing miR-106b. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*, **48**, 393-407.

42. Cui, Y., Pu, R., Ye, J., Huang, H., Liao, D., Yang, Y., Chen, W., Yao, Y. and He, Y. (2021) LncRNA
FAM230B Promotes Gastric Cancer Growth and Metastasis by Regulating the miR-27a-
5p/TOP2A Axis. *Dig Dis Sci*, **66**, 2637-2650.

43. Guo, K., Qian, K., Shi, Y., Sun, T. and Wang, Z. (2021) LncRNA-MIAT promotes thyroid cancer
progression and function as ceRNA to target EZH2 by sponging miR-150-5p. *Cell Death Dis*,
12, 1097.

44. Statello, L., Guo, C.J., Chen, L.L. and Huarte, M. (2021) Gene regulation by long non-coding
RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **22**, 96-118.

45. Zhang, Y., Xu, Y., Feng, L., Li, F., Sun, Z., Wu, T., Shi, X., Li, J. and Li, X. (2016) Comprehensive
characterization of lncRNA-mRNA related ceRNA network across 12 major cancers.
Oncotarget, **7**, 64148-64167.

46. Lin, T., Hou, P.F., Meng, S., Chen, F., Jiang, T., Li, M.L., Shi, M.L., Liu, J.J., Zheng, J.N. and Bai, J.
(2019) Emerging Roles of p53 Related lncRNAs in Cancer Progression: A Systematic Review.
Int J Biol Sci, **15**, 1287-1298.

47. Goldstein, I., Marcel, V., Olivier, M., Oren, M., Rotter, V. and Hainaut, P. (2011)
Understanding wild-type and mutant p53 activities in human cancer: new landmarks on the
way to targeted therapies. *Cancer Gene Ther*, **18**, 2-11.

48. Zhang, X., Groen, K., Morten, B.C., Steffens Reinhardt, L., Campbell, H.G., Braithwaite, A.W.,
Bourdon, J.C. and Avery-Kiejda, K.A. (2022) Effect of p53 and its N-terminally truncated
isoform, Delta40p53, on breast cancer migration and invasion. *Mol Oncol*, **16**, 447-465.

49. Vieler, M. and Sanyal, S. (2018) p53 Isoforms and Their Implications in Cancer. *Cancers
(Basel)*, **10**.

50. Steffens Reinhardt, L., Zhang, X., Wawruszak, A., Groen, K., De Iuliis, G.N. and Avery-Kiejda,
K.A. (2020) Good Cop, Bad Cop: Defining the Roles of Delta40p53 in Cancer and Aging.
Cancers (Basel), **12**.

51. Courtois, S., Verhaegh, G., North, S., Luciani, M.G., Lassus, P., Hibner, U., Oren, M. and
Hainaut, P. (2002) DeltaN-p53, a natural isoform of p53 lacking the first transactivation
domain, counteracts growth suppression by wild-type p53. *Oncogene*, **21**, 6722-6728.

52. Yin, Y., Stephen, C.W., Luciani, M.G. and Fahraeus, R. (2002) p53 Stability and activity is
regulated by Mdm2-mediated induction of alternative p53 translation products. *Nat Cell
Biol*, **4**, 462-467.

53. Sun, Y., Manceau, A., Frydman, L., Cappuccio, L., Neves, D., Basso, V., Wang, H., Fombonne,
J., Maisse, C., Mehlen, P. *et al.* (2021) Delta40p53 isoform up-regulates netrin-1/UNC5B
expression and potentiates netrin-1 pro-oncogenic activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **118**.

54. Riley, K.J. and Maher, L.J., 3rd. (2007) p53 RNA interactions: new clues in an old mystery.
RNA, **13**, 1825-1833.

55. Riley, K.J., Ramirez-Alvarado, M. and Maher, L.J., 3rd. (2007) RNA-p53 interactions in vitro.
Biochemistry, **46**, 2480-2487.

56. Zhou, X., Zhang, L., Zheng, B., Yan, Y., Zhang, Y., Xie, H., Zhou, L., Zheng, S. and Wang, W.
(2016) MicroRNA-761 is upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and regulates
tumorigenesis by targeting Mitofusin-2. *Cancer Sci*, **107**, 424-432.

57. Yan, A., Yang, C., Chen, Z., Li, C. and Cai, L. (2015) MiR-761 Promotes Progression and
Metastasis of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer by Targeting ING4 and TIMP2. *Cell Physiol
Biochem*, **37**, 55-66.

58.　Jiang, T., Wang, H., Liu, L., Song, H., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Liu, L., Xu, T., Fan, R., Xu, Y. *et al.*
(2021) CircIL4R activates the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway via the miR-761/TRIM29/PHLPP1
axis and promotes proliferation and metastasis in colorectal cancer. *Mol Cancer*, **20**, 167.

59.　Li, G.F., Li, L., Yao, Z.Q. and Zhuang, S.J. (2018) Hsa_circ_0007534/miR-761/ZIC5 regulatory
loop modulates the proliferation and migration of glioma cells. *Biochem Biophys Res*
Commun, **499**, 765-771.

60.　Fei, Y., Shan, W. and Chen, X. (2020) MiR-503-5p functions as an oncogene in oral squamous
cell carcinoma by targeting Smad7. *Histol Histopathol*, **35**, 893-901.

61.　Li, J., Zhang, F., Li, H., Peng, F., Wang, Z., Peng, H., He, J., Li, Y., He, L. and Wei, L. (2020)
Circ_0010220-mediated miR-503-5p/CDCA4 axis contributes to osteosarcoma progression
tumorigenesis. *Gene*, **763**, 145068.

62.　Wen, C., Feng, X., Yuan, H., Gong, Y. and Wang, G. (2021) Circ_0003266 sponges miR-503-5p
to suppress colorectal cancer progression via regulating PDCD4 expression. *BMC Cancer*, **21**,
284.

63.　Li, G., Wu, F., Yang, H., Deng, X. and Yuan, Y. (2017) MiR-9-5p promotes cell growth and
metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer through the repression of TGFBR2. *Biomed*
Pharmacother, **96**, 1170-1178.

64.　Wang, L., Cui, M., Cheng, D., Qu, F., Yu, J., Wei, Y., Cheng, L., Wu, X. and Liu, X. (2021) miR-9-
5p facilitates hepatocellular carcinoma cell proliferation, migration and invasion by targeting
ESR1. *Mol Cell Biochem*, **476**, 575-583.

65.　Wu, M., Huang, Y., Chen, T., Wang, W., Yang, S., Ye, Z. and Xi, X. (2019) LncRNA MEG3
inhibits the progression of prostate cancer by modulating miR-9-5p/QKI-5 axis. *J Cell Mol*
Med, **23**, 29-38.

66.　Zhu, K., Lin, J., Chen, S. and Xu, Q. (2021) miR-9-5p Promotes Lung Adenocarcinoma Cell
Proliferation, Migration and Invasion by Targeting ID4. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*, **20**,
15330338211048592.

67.　Wong, T.S., Liu, X.B., Wong, B.Y., Ng, R.W., Yuen, A.P. and Wei, W.I. (2008) Mature miR-184
as Potential Oncogenic microRNA of Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Tongue. *Clin Cancer Res*,
14, 2588-2592.

68.　Dong, Y., Zhang, N., Zhao, S., Chen, X., Li, F. and Tao, X. (2019) miR-221-3p and miR-15b-5p
promote cell proliferation and invasion by targeting Axin2 in liver cancer. *Oncol Lett*, **18**,
6491-6500.

69.　Wu, B., Liu, G., Jin, Y., Yang, T., Zhang, D., Ding, L., Zhou, F., Pan, Y. and Wei, Y. (2020) miR-
15b-5p Promotes Growth and Metastasis in Breast Cancer by Targeting HPSE2. *Front Oncol*,
10, 108.

70.　Liu, Z.Z., Tian, Y.F., Wu, H., Ouyang, S.Y. and Kuang, W.L. (2020) LncRNA H19 promotes
glioma angiogenesis through miR-138/HIF-1alpha/VEGF axis. *Neoplasma*, **67**, 111-118.

71.　Sha, H.H., Wang, D.D., Chen, D., Liu, S.W., Wang, Z., Yan, D.L., Dong, S.C. and Feng, J.F.
(2017) MiR-138: A promising therapeutic target for cancer. *Tumour Biol*, **39**,
1010428317697575.

72.　Wang, J., Wu, J., Wang, L., Min, X. and Chen, Z. (2021) The LINC00152/miR-138 Axis
Facilitates Gastric Cancer Progression by Mediating SIRT2. *J Oncol*, **2021**, 1173869.

73.　Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*, **144**,
646-674.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1. Selection and validation of LINC00176 for further studies

 (A) Quantitative PCR for validation of LINC00176 in H1299 cells expressing control, p53

 only, Δ40p53 only and 14A construct. (B) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from H1299

 cells expressing control, p53 only, Δ40p53 only and 14A construct, probed with CM1 after

 48 h. (C) Quantitative PCR for validations of LINC00176 in HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/-

 cells. (D) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/-cells, probed

 with CM1. (E) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116+/+ cells transfected with si p53

 (30nM) and non-specific si (si Nsp). (F) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from

 HCT116+/+ cells transfected with either si p53 (30nM) and nonspecific si (si Nsp), probed

 with CM1. (G) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- cells transfected with si

 Δ40p53 (30nM) and non-specific si (si Nsp). (H) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from

 HCT116-/- cells transfected with siΔ40p53 (30nM) and nonspecific si (si Nsp), probed with

769 CM1. (I) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- cells transfected with control and
770 p53 only. (J) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- cells transfected with
771 control and p53 only, probed with CM1. The criterion for statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$
772 (*) or $p \leq 0.01$ (**) or p≤ 0.001(***)

Figure 2. Regulation of LINC00176 under different stress conditions

(A) Schematic of different stress induction in HCT116-/- cells. (B) Quantitative PCR of
LINC00176 in HCT116-/- treated with Doxorubicin (2µM) for 16 h. (C) Western blot
analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- treated with Doxorubicin (2µM) for 16 h, probed
with CM1. (D) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- treated with Thapsigargin
(0.1µM and 1µM) for 16 h. (E) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- treated
with Thapsigargin (0.1µM and 1µM) for 16 h, probed with CM1. (F) Quantitative PCR of
LINC00176 in HCT116-/- deprived of Glucose in medium for 8 h. (G) Western blot analysis
of cell extracts from HCT116-/- deprived of Glucose in medium for 8 h, probed with CM1.
(H) Schematic of experiment in HCT116-/- transfected with siΔ40p53 followed by
Thapsigargin (0.1µM) treatment. (I) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/-
transfected with siΔ40p53 followed by Thapsigargin (0.1µM) treatment. (J) Western blot
analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- transfected with siΔ40p53 followed by Thapsigargin
(0.1µM) treatment, probed with CM1. The criterion for statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$ (*)
or $p \leq 0.01$ (**) or p≤ 0.001(***)

Figure 3. Mechanistic basis of LINC00176 regulation by Δ40p53/p53

(A) Schematic of possible routes of LINC00176 regulation. (B) Quantitative PCR of
LINC00176 promoters in HCT116-/- cells processed for ChIP Assay 48 h post seeding.
Δ40p53 was pulled down with 1801 Ab (Santa Cruz) and associated LINC00176 promoters
were probed using qPCR. (C) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 promoters in HCT116+/+
cells processed for ChIP Assay 48 h post seeding. p53 was pulled down with 1801 Ab (Santa
Cruz) and associated LINC00176 promoters were probed using qPCR. (D) Quantitative PCR
of LINC00176 promoters in HCT116-/- cells transfected with p53 only and control, which
has been processed for ChIP Assay 48 h post transfection. Δ40p53/p53 was pulled down with
1801 Ab (Santa Cruz) and associated LINC00176 promoters were probed using qPCR. (E)
Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- transfected with p53 only and control,
probed with CM1. (F) Schematic of experiment to determine Δ40p53 mediated regulation on
LINC00176 RNA stability. (G) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- transfected
with siΔ40p53 followed by Actinomycin D treatment for 0 h, 4 h and 8 h. (H) Western blot

analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/- transfected with siΔ40p53 followed by Actinomycin

D treatment, probed with CM1. (I) Predicted interaction profile of LINC00176 and Δ40p53

obtained from catRAPID software. (J) Predicted interaction profile of LINC00176 and p53

obtained from catRAPID software. (K) UV cross-linking assay of recombinant Δ40p53 with

α^{32}P-labeled LINC00176 RNA alone or in the presence of indicated unlabeled RNA. Lanes 1

and 2 corresponds to no protein and no competition (direct binding) respectively. For the

competition, unlabeled LINC00176 RNA (lanes 3 and 4), or nonspecific RNA (lanes 5 and 6)

was used as indicated. Unlabeled nonspecific RNA of equivalent length was derived from the

multiple-cloning site (MCS) region of the pcDNA 3.0 vector. (L) UV cross-linking assay of

recombinant p53 with α^{32}P-labeled LINC00176 RNA alone or in the presence of indicated

unlabeled RNA. Lanes 1 and 2 corresponds to no protein and no competition (direct binding)

respectively. For the competition, unlabeled LINC00176 RNA (lanes 3 and 4), or nonspecific

RNA (lanes 5 and 6) was used as indicated. Unlabeled nonspecific RNA of equivalent length

was derived from the multiple-cloning site (MCS) region of the pcDNA 3.0 vector. The

criterion for statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$ (*) or $p \leq 0.01$ (**) or $p \leq 0.001$(***)

Figure 4. Deciphering the mechanism of action of LINC00176

(A) Schematic for the probable downstream regulation by LINC00176. (B) Table for the

selected miRNA targets of LINC00176 obtained from Starbase V2.0, as mentioned in

methods section. (C) Quantitative PCR of selected miRNAs in HCT116+/+ and HCT116-/-

cells. (D) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- cells transfected with siRNA

(30nM) directed to LINC00176 and nonspecific si (si Nsp). (E) Quantitative PCR of selected

miRNAs in HCT116-/- cells transfected with siRNA (30nM) directed to LINC00176 and

nonspecific si (si Nsp). (F) Quantitative PCR of selected miRNAs in GST pulldown lysates

of HCT116-/-cells (co-transfected with LINC00176 overexpression construct and pMS2-GST

construct) normalised to Input values. (G) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from Input

and Pulldown fraction of HCT116-/- cells (co-transfected with LINC00176 overexpression

construct and pMS2-GST construct), probed with CM1 and GST Antibody. (H) Table for the

selected mRNA targets of miRNAs obtained from miRTarBase, as mentioned in methods

section. (I) Quantitative PCR of selected mRNAs in HCT116-/- cells transfected with siRNA

(30nM) directed to LINC00176 and nonspecific si (si Nsp). (J) Western blot analysis of cell

extracts from HCT116-/- cells transfected with siΔ40p53 (30nM) and nonspecific si (si Nsp).

(K) Quantitative PCR of selected mRNAs in HCT116-/- cells transfected with siΔ40p53

(30nM) and nonspecific si (si Nsp). The criterion for statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$ (*) or

$p \leq 0.01$ (**) or $p \leq 0.001$(***).

Figure 5: The impact of Δ40p53-LINC00176 axis on cellular processes

(A)Schematic of the different processes that has been checked for any probable effect by LINC00176 over-expression or partial silencing. (B) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- cells transfected with LINC00176 overexpression construct. (C) Quantitative PCR of LINC00176 in HCT116-/- cells transfected with LINC00176 shRNA construct. (D) Quantitative PCR of EMT markers (E-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug) in HCT116-/- cells transfected with LINC00176 overexpression construct. (E) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/-cells transfected with LINC00176 overexpression construct, probed with E-cadherin Antibody, Slug Antibody and CM1. (F) Quantitative PCR of EMT markers (E-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug) in HCT116-/- cells transfected with LINC00176 shRNA construct. (G) Western blot analysis of cell extracts from HCT116-/-cells transfected with LINC00176 shRNA construct, probed with E-cadherin Antibody, Slug Antibody and CM1. (H) HCT116-/- cells were transfected with LINC00176 overexpression construct, at 36 h post transfection cells were reseeded on 96 well plate for MTT Assay. MTT incorporation was calculated at Day 0, Day 2 and Day 4 post seeding. (I) HCT116-/- cells were transfected with LINC00176 shRNA construct, at 36 h post transfection cells were reseeded on 96 well plate for MTT Assay. MTT incorporation was calculated at Day 0, Day 2 and Day 4 post seeding. (J) HCT116-/- cells were transfected with 30nM si-LINC00176, 36 h post transfection cells were reseeded for BrdU assay. After 12 h of seeding BrdU was added to the cells and incubated for 12 h. Following which, percentage of cell proliferation was checked by calculating BrdU incorporation. The criterion for statistical significance was $p{\leq}0.05$ (*) or $p{\leq}0.01$ (**) or $p{\leq}0.001$(***).

Graphical Abstract: Model depicting the regulation of Δ40p53-LINC00176-miRNA axis

(A) In absence of Δ40p53, LINC00176 levels do not increase which leads to increased pool of free miRNAs which probably binds to several mRNA targets preventing their function, ultimately resulting in increased cell proliferation. (B) However, when Δ40p53 is present it upregulates LINC00176 levels both at the level of transcription and post-transcription, which sequesters oncogenic miRNAs preventing it to bind to their respective mRNA targets, which ultimately results in decreased cell proliferation.

Figure: 1

Figure: 2

Figure: 3

Figure: 3

Figure: 4

H	miRNAs	Validated Targets (miRTarBase)		Major Functions
	miR-9-5p	CDH1	Cadherin 1	Proliferation Cell cycle regulation Apoptosis Senescence Transcription Regulation Autophagy Cell Growth Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition
		SIRT1	NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin-1	
		ONECUT2	One Cut Homeobox 2	
	miR-15b-5p	CCND1	Cyclin D1	
		CCNE1	Cyclin E1	
		BCL2	BCL2 Apoptosis Regulator	
	miR-503-5p	CCND1	Cyclin D1	
		BCL2	BCL2 Apoptosis Regulator	
		FGF2	Fibroblast Growth Factor 2	
		PIK3R1	Phosphoinositide-3-Kinase Regulatory Subunit 1	

Figure: 5

Figure: 6