CpG dinucleotide enrichment in the influenza A virus genome as a live attenuated vaccine

development strategy

Colin P Sharp[1], Beth H Thompson[1], Tessa J Nash[1], Ola Diebold[1], Rute M Pinto[1], Luke Thorley[1], Yao-

Tang Lin[1], Samantha Sives[1], Helen Wise[2], Sara Clohisey Hendry[1], Finn Grey[1], Lonneke Vervelde[1],

Peter Simmonds[3], Paul Digard[1], Eleanor R Gaunt[1*]

*Corresponding author

[1] The Roslin Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Campus, Midlothian, EH25 9RG

[2] Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, EH16 4SA

[3] Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3SY

ABSTRACT

Synonymous recoding of RNA virus genomes is a promising approach for generating attenuated viruses

to use as vaccines. Problematically, recoding typically hinders virus growth, but this may be rectified

using CpG dinucleotide enrichment. CpGs are recognised by cellular zinc-finger antiviral protein (ZAP),

and so in principle, removing ZAP sensing from a virus propagation system will reverse attenuation of

a CpG-enriched virus, enabling high titre yield of a vaccine virus. We tested this using a vaccine strain

of influenza A virus (IAV) engineered for increased CpG content in genome segment 1. Virus

attenuation was mediated by the short isoform of ZAP, correlated with the number of CpGs added, and

was enacted via turnover of viral transcripts. The CpG-enriched virus was strongly attenuated in mice,

yet conveyed protection from a potentially lethal challenge dose of wildtype virus. Importantly for

vaccine development, CpG-enriched viruses were genetically stable during serial passage.

Unexpectedly, in both MDCK cells and embryonated hens' eggs that are used to propagate live

attenuated influenza vaccines, the ZAP-sensitive virus was fully replication competent. Thus, ZAP-

sensitive CpG enriched viruses that are defective in human systems can yield high titre in vaccine

propagation systems, providing a realistic, economically viable platform to augment existing live

attenuated vaccines.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

CpG dinucleotides are under-represented in vertebrate genomes, wherein cytosines in the CpG

conformation are methylated to regulate transcription. Methylated cytosines are prone to deamination,

resulting in TpG dinucleotides replacing CpGs. The resultant CpG suppression has provided a route by

which vertebrate cells can recognise RNA from invading pathogens, using cellular Zinc-finger Antiviral

Protein (ZAP) as a CpG sensor. Vertebrate-infecting RNA viruses also genomically suppress CpGs,

and it is believed that this is an evolved trait to evade detection by ZAP. Here, we engineered an

influenza A virus (IAV) with elevated CpG content and characterised how this impacts viral replication.

CpG addition resulted in viral attenuation, mediated by ZAP activity. CpG suppression is conserved in

dog and chicken genomes (relevant for live attenuated IAV vaccine propagation), and it is logical to

predict that ZAP-mediated CpG sensing would also be conserved in these species. However, when we

propagated ZAP-sensitive IAV in cognate culture systems, we saw no replication defect. This

unexpected result raises questions about why viruses infecting these species suppress CpG in their

genomes, and importantly delivers a new, tractable approach to augment rational live attenuated IAV

vaccine design.

INTRODUCTION

Single stranded RNA (ssRNA) viruses typically under-represent CpG dinucleotides in their genomes (1-3). CpG dinucleotides in viral transcripts may be bound by zinc-finger antiviral protein (ZAP) (4), which causes an antiviral state in the infected cell. This provides a basis for observations from several studies showing that engineering viral genomes enriched for CpG yields replication-defective viruses (reviewed in (5)).

Two major isoforms of ZAP, ZAPS (short) and ZAPL (long) are produced by alternative splicing (6), with a common N-terminal region that contains four zinc-finger motifs with RNA-binding function (7-9). While CpG-binding activity was originally found to be specific to ZAPS (4), CpG-specific antiviral activity has since also been demonstrated for ZAPL (10). Therefore, a consensus mechanism for CpG sensing by ZAP remains unresolved. ZAPS is an interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) (9,11,12), whereas ZAPL is constitutively expressed (6). For its antiviral function, ZAPS must interact with its binding partner, the RNA-binding E3 ubiquitin ligase-like tripartite motif containing protein 25 (TRIM25) (13,14). The current understanding is that ZAPS binds CpG motifs on viral RNA, and upon subsequent binding by TRIM25 and catalytic activation, a downstream cascade leads to the induction of type I and type II interferons (IFN) (11). ZAPS may also directly bind RNA leading to exonuclease-mediated degradation (15), and so the antiviral activity of ZAPS appears multi-faceted (reviewed in (5)). A newly discovered ZAPS binding partner, KHNYN, has so far only been found to be required for ZAP-mediated antiviral activity during lentivirus infections (16,17). While ZAPS has so far been more extensively studied, interactions of ZAPL with TRIM25 and KHNYN have been shown to be more efficient than those of ZAPS (10). CpG-specific binding by ZAP is likely an evolutionarily conserved trait across tetrapods that is not retained in avian species (18); nevertheless, chickens express ZAPL but not the shorter isoform (19).

Due to the replication defects imparted by CpG introduction into viral genomes, CpG enrichment has widely been proposed as a potential strategy for the development of live attenuated vaccines. However, if viral growth is impaired, then the large-scale virus propagation required for vaccine manufacturing (20) is not viable. The identification of ZAP as a CpG sensor (4) delivered the possibility that CpG-enriched viruses could be grown in ZAP knockout (KO) systems, thus restoring viral titre in an artificial system, with the replication defect maintained in a vaccine recipient (5). This principle has been

validated using enterovirus-71 as an exemplar (21), although there is currently no licensed vaccine

targeting this virus.

Live attenuated vaccines for influenza A viruses (IAV) are used in children in the USA and Europe

(22,23), with up to 14 million doses delivered annually (24). IAV vaccines represent an ideal target for

augmentation by incorporating additional CpGs, as this approach has the potential to overcome some

of the significant challenges arising during vaccine manufacture. IAV live vaccines are propagated in

either embryonated hens' eggs or Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, and both IAV vaccine

propagation systems can be subjected to genome editing (25,26) to improve virus yield. For egg based

vaccines, unpredictable supply and ethical challenges mean that there is a consensus about the need

to move towards cell culture generated vaccines (27,28). However, MDCK based vaccines are more

expensive and large-scale manufacture will require establishment of new production pipelines (29,30);

therefore, this transition is not straightforward and egg-based vaccines will be commercialised for the

foreseeable future.

Recoding of the IAV genome (i.e., synonymous alterations of the genetic sequence) is achieved using

the facile reverse genetics system (31,32), in which the 8 segments of negative sense RNA genome

are encoded on plasmids that upon co-transfection into permissive cells yield infectious virus. The IAV

genome has been thoroughly characterised, with well-defined packaging signals (33-35) and gene

annotation (including accessory proteins, reviewed in (36)), providing sufficient clarity about which

genome regions may be suitable for recoding and those that must remain unaltered.

To determine if CpG enrichment can enhance live attenuated vaccines, we used an IAV vaccine strain

to test the effects of adding CpGs to segment 1, which encodes the polymerase protein PB2 and the

accessory protein PB2-S1. This CpG enrichment resulted in a virus that was attenuated in human cell

systems, with wildtype fitness restored by ZAP KO. The CpG-high virus was genetically stable in ZAP-

positive cells, and showed no growth defects in embryonated hens' eggs or MDCK cells. Therefore, we

have provided a pathway to develop CpG-enriched live attenuated IAV that can be propagated to high

yields using standard approaches.

RESULTS

Addition of CpG dinucleotides to the IAV genome causes ZAPS-dependent replication defects.

As ZAP recognises CpG-enriched transcripts (4) and the IAV genome naturally suppresses CpG, we investigated whether adding CpGs to the IAV genome resulted in viral replication deficiencies and whether attenuation could be offset in ZAP -/- cells. We engineered a CpG-high ('CpGH') PR8 (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1) virus through synonymous addition of 126 CpGs into segment 1, including compensatory mutations to maintain individual base frequencies across the recoded regions. Additionally, the same viral genomic region was synonymously recoded by codon rearrangement to create a control ('CDLR') virus. This allows the detection of any attenuation caused by disruption of e.g. RNA structures or alternative open reading frames. The panel of recoded viruses is summarised (**Table 1**).

The CpGH IAV displayed a significant ~1.5-$\log_{10}$ defect in production of infectious virus progeny in A549 cells, whereas the CDLR virus was fully replication competent (**Fig. 1A**). In paired ZAP -/- cells (a kind gift from the laboratory of Prof. Sam Wilson, MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, UK; validated in **Fig. S1A**) (37), the CpGH virus replicated as well as wild type (WT) PR8 (**Fig. 1A**), suggesting that the replication defect in the CpGH virus is ZAP dependent.

ZAP binding partner TRIM25 is required for ZAP antiviral activity (13,14) and so replication of WT and engineered viruses was measured in TRIM25-/- cells (a kind gift from the laboratory of Prof. Gracjan Michlewski, International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Warsaw ,Poland; validated in **Fig. S1B**) (38). In WT HEK293 cells, CpGH IAV was again significantly replication-impaired. As with ZAP KO, TRIM25 KO also resulted in rescued replication of CpGH virus (**Fig. 1B**).

To determine whether the observed phenotypes could be recapitulated in primary cells, siRNA knockdown of ZAP or TRIM25 was applied in Normal Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF; primary human foreskin fibroblasts) as previously described (39) (**Fig. 1C**). While ZAP knockdown recapitulated rescue of CpGH virus fitness, TRIM25 knockdown did not (**Fig. 1D**). TRIM25 knockdown was incomplete (Fig. 1C), and so this may indicate that sub-physiological levels of TRIM25 are sufficient for its role in CpG recognition.

KHNYN is a recently identified ZAP-binding partner (16) and so its impact on replication of the virus panel was also tested (cells were a kind gift from the laboratory of Dr Chad Swanson, King's College London, UK; **Fig. S1C**). As in Fig. 1A, a significant defect in the replication of CpGH virus was seen in

WT A549 cells. In paired KHNYN-/- cells, CpGH virus titres remained significantly lower than those of

WT/CDLR PR8. However, the fold difference in titre between WT PR8 and CpGH was significantly

reduced, indicating a partial rescue of CpGH virus replication in the absence of KHNYN and suggesting

that KHNYN is required for optimal CpG sensing (**Fig. 1E**).

To determine whether we could reduce the number of CpGs in the CpGH construct and maintain the

same level of replication inhibition, the recoded region of segment 1 was split so that either only the 5'

two thirds of recoding were present (as viewed in positive polarity; '5'-CpGH'), or the 3' third ('3'-CpGH';

Fig. S1D-E). As an alternative, CpGs were introduced across the entire recoded region at a lower

density than CpGH but at the same frequency as 3'-CpGH ('CpG-M'), to test whether CpG frequency

or density were of primary importance. This virus panel was used to infect A549 cells. As previously,

the CpGH virus was significantly attenuated. However, reduction in CpG frequency or density

diminished this attenuation, with a dose-dependent effect observed (**Fig. 1F**). No attenuation was

apparent for either 3'-CpGH or CpG-M, which had the same number of CpGs introduced at high and

low density respectively.

To determine whether ZAP sensitivity of the CpGH virus was mediated by a specific ZAP isoform,

expression of ZAPL or ZAPS was reconstituted in A549 ZAP -/- cells using pSCRPSY lentiviral vectors

(**Fig. 1G**, **S2**). In ZAP-/- cells transduced with empty pSCRPSY no differences in replication were

observed between WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses, indicating that the lentivirus vector did not impair

CpGH virus replication (**Fig. 1H**). When ZAPL expression was reconstituted, all viruses showed a

modest increase in replication, with CpGH virus displaying similar titres to those of WT PR8. However,

in cells overexpressing ZAPS, all viruses in the panel showed a replication defect. Nevertheless, CpGH

virus titres were significantly lower than WT PR8, indicating that ZAPS is the CpG sensor for CpGH

IAV.

CpG enrichment does not impair IAV virion assembly.

The mutations introduced into the PR8 genome were designed to avoid classically described packaging

signals present at the termini of viral genome segments (33,40). However, recent work has highlighted

the potential for the middle regions of different segments to interact during virion assembly (35).

Therefore, we questioned if the mutations made in segment 1 disrupted viral genome packaging. Firstly,

genome copy:PFU ratios were determined for the panel of virus stocks. RNA copy numbers for both

segment 1 and segment 5 were analysed. A previously characterised packaging-defective mutant,

'4c6c' (33,41) was included as a control. Relative to WT PR8, no significant impact on RNA:PFU ratios

was observed for either the CDLR or CpGH viruses (**Fig. 2A**).

Secondly, electrophoretic separation of RNA extracted from purified virus particles was performed, to

visually determine whether synonymous recoding altered the amount of RNA packaged for each

segment. For each virus, equal numbers of infectious particles were pelleted through sucrose, then

RNA was extracted from viral pellets and separated by urea-PAGE. As expected, the PR8 WT virus

yielded 7 bands corresponding with 8 segments of RNA (segments 1 and 2 co-migrate as they are the

same size; **Fig. 2B**). The CDLR control band densities were visually similar to those of the WT virus.

For CpGH virus, while it did not appear that there were any differences in band densities (in keeping

with the genome copy:PFU ratios), an apparent retardation in the electrophoretic mobility of CpG-

enriched segment 1 was observed.

As the CpGH construct was compositionally corrected so that all bases are equally represented, the

electric charges should be identical for all the segment 1 RNAs. RNAs were run on denaturing gels,

and so RNA structure should also not influence mobility. To determine whether a post-transcriptional

modification might explain the diminished electrophoretic mobility of the CpG-enriched RNA, segment

1 transcripts were synthesised by *in vitro* transcription, so that no cellular-derived post-transcriptional

modifications could occur. When equimolar CDLR and CpGH constructs were mixed together and

subjected to electrophoretic separation, their different migration phenotypes was maintained (**Fig. 2C**).

Most likely, CpG introduction has altered secondary structures in the CpG-enriched RNA transcripts

that survived denaturation and retarded electrophoretic migration. Nevertheless, we saw no evidence

that increasing CpG frequencies deleteriously affected vRNA packaging.

CpG enrichment does not impact methylation status of IAV vRNAs.

Methylation of cytosines in CpG dinucleotides of DNA regulates transcription (42), and cytosine

methylation of RNAs has been described (43,44). We therefore hypothesised that adding CpG sites to

RNA may increase the frequency of cytosine-associated methylation. DNA-encoded cytosines in CpG

conformations are prone to spontaneous deamination, creating a cytosine to thymine nucleotide

substitution (45), and if the same were true for CpGs in RNA, CpG enrichment of viral RNA genomes

could result in hypermutation. Viral genomic RNA was purified from virions propagated in embryonated

hens' eggs, and bisulphite conversion reactions, in which cytosines in purified RNA are converted to

uracil unless protected from conversion by a methyl group, were performed. Converted RNAs were

then sequenced and compared to non-converted sequences to identify potential methylation sites. A

strongly methylated site at position 3256 of chicken 28S ribosomal RNA (GenBank accession #

XR_003078040.1) served as a positive control. Methylation frequencies of 97.0 - 98.8% were detected

at this site across all samples, indicating that the protocol worked efficiently (**Fig. 3A**).

Deep sequencing reads of the bisulphite converted RNAs were mapped to the *in silico* converted

reference sequences, which yielded maximal methylation signals of ~13% across all nucleotide

positions (**Fig. 3B-D;** PR8, CDLR and CpGH respectively). However, in WT PR8, three obvious peaks

in methylation signal were identified, each occurring over regions of ~50 bases, that were evident in up

to approximately 10% of sequences (**Fig. 3B**). The first of these clusters was identified in a region that

was not mutated in CDLR or CpGH viruses and the signal was maintained across all three viruses,

indicating a biologically reproducible phenomenon. The second two clusters at nucleotide positions

~800 and ~1400 were not retained in the mutant viruses that had been recoded in these regions (**Fig.**

3C&D), indicating that mutation at these sites removed the methylation signals. Unlike the single base

methylation in the chicken rRNA, these sites of apparent viral RNA methylation were centred around

~50 nucleotide regions with diminishing signal flanking the peak. This suggests that these clustered

methylation signals may be false positives, possibly generated by RNA secondary structures that did

not allow RNA unfolding, thus protecting cytosines from base conversion. To test whether the

methylation signal was retained in RNAs synthesised in a cell-free system where post-transcriptional

modifications cannot occur, *in vitro* transcribed segment 1 RNAs for WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH were

generated. These RNAs, along with paired vRNAs, were then subjected to bisulphite conversion, PCR

amplification and sequencing across the target region. Methylation signals were retained in amplicons

generated from the *in vitro* transcribed RNAs (**Fig. 3E**), confirming that the methylation clusters were

artefacts. The methylation signal was higher from this targeted sequencing, most likely because PCR

amplification biased the sequencing output. Through whole genome sequencing of bisulphite converted

RNA, we found no evidence of high efficiency methylation at any site in the PR8 genome (**Fig. S3**), and

CpG enrichment did not introduce methylation sites.

ZAP-mediated inhibition of CpG enriched viruses is independent of the type I IFN response.

ZAPS is a known ISG, and so we tested whether the ZAPS-sensitive CpG-enriched IAV induced IFN

(46,47). Supernatants from infected A549 cells were used in a HEK-Blue assay, which gives a

colorimetric signal proportional to the levels of IFN-α/β present. As anticipated, by expressing a

functional NS1 (48), WT PR8 did not induce detectable type I IFN (**Fig. 4A**). In contrast, a control virus

mutated to impair function (R38K41A; (49)) strongly induced IFN signalling. The CDLR control and

CpGH viruses also did not induce type I IFN above background signal. This suggests that the

attenuation of CpGH virus by ZAP is independent of any type I IFN-stimulating activity by ZAPS (11).

It was possible that IAV NS1 suppressed ZAPS activity sufficiently to block ZAP-mediated IFN induction

by CpGH IAV. To test this, we introduced mutations into NS1 that have been described to specifically

inhibit its anti-ZAP or anti-TRIM25 activity ((50,51); **Table 2**). Introducing these NS1 mutations into the

CpGH virus should alleviate NS1-dependent suppression of the ZAP/TRIM25 pathway, and so if NS1

does inhibit CpG sensing by ZAP, the defect in replication of the CpGH virus would be exacerbated by

these mutations. However, this was not the case. In low MOI infections in A549 cells, viruses with

mutations in NS1 at positions 95/99 (purportedly unblocking anti-TRIM25 activity (50)) all had similar

titres to those of viruses without NS1 mutations (**Fig. 4B**). For all viruses with mutations in NS1 at

positions 96/97 (reportedly alleviating anti-ZAP activity), titres were down by 2-3 $\log_{10}$, indicating a

general replication defect consistent with reports indicating these mutations have a deleterious effect

on NS1 stability (50,52). Viruses with mutations in NS1 at positions 107-110 (targeting anti-ZAP

functionality) had similar titres to those of viruses without NS1 mutations. The replication defect of CpGH

IAV relative to WT PR8 was consistent regardless of the NS1 mutations introduced, indicating a lack of

specificity of these NS1 sites for ZAP/ TRIM25 regulation.

Across the virus panel, CpGH viral protein accumulation (PB2 and NP) was lower than for counterpart

WT viruses, reflecting the defective replication of this virus (**Fig. 4C**). WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses

all induced ZAPS expression to similar levels (normalised to tubulin).TRIM25 was also consistently

expressed across samples.

Type I IFN induction by the segment 1-segment 8 mutant virus panel was examined (**Fig. 4D**). For

viruses with mutations introduced into NS1 amino acid residues 95 and 99, no significant type I IFN

activity was detected in any of the segment 1-segment 8 virus panel. Conversely, when NS1 residues

96 and 97 were mutated, all viruses induced IFN to similar levels. NS1 mutations at positions 107-110

conveyed detectable IFN signal for the CpGH virus and also for the CDLR virus (though, curiously, not for PR8 WT virus). Therefore, while CpGH IAV is ZAP sensitive (Fig. 1), there was no correlation of ZAP anti-CpG activity with the type I IFN pathway in this system.

CpG enrichment leads to IAV transcript turnover.

ZAP has also been linked with transcript turnover (53), and so we next examined the impact of ZAP on transcription and translation of viral proteins, and on transcript turnover. We first assessed whether viral transcript and/or protein levels were affected by CpG enrichment during virus replication. Time-course experiments were performed at high MOI with samples collected at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 hpi for quantification of total viral RNAs and proteins. Compared to WT PR8 and paired CDLR virus, CpGH virus showed lower transcript and protein expression levels for both PB2 and NP (**Fig. 5A-C**). This result was confirmed by minigenome assay, which reconstitutes the viral polymerase in cells in the absence of full infection (**Fig. S4**) (54). As PB2 is a component of the polymerase complex, this did not distinguish between defective transcript and protein production.

To test whether segment 1 transcription and/or translation efficiencies were impaired in the absence of cellular machinery (e.g. ZAP), the two processes were decoupled and separately assayed in cell-free conditions. For *in vitro* transcription assays, equimolar amounts of segment 1 PR8, CDLR, and CpGH amplicons were used as input, and the amount of RNA produced was measured up to 90 minutes later. In the absence of cellular factors (and using the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of bacteriophage rather than that of IAV), no differences in transcript production were seen across the panel (**Fig. 5D**). Next, translational efficiencies were tested by titrating equimolar amounts of RNA in *in vitro* translation assays. No differences in protein production were apparent across the panel (**Fig. 5E**). Thus, synonymous recoding imparted no inherent defect on transcription or translation in the absence of nucleic acid sensing pathways.

The qPCR data suggested a borderline reduction in CpGH virus RNA levels compared with those of the WT virus (Fig. 5A-B), but may be confounded by the presence of partially degraded transcripts and by detection of RNAs of both polarities. To identify any reduction in full-length CpGH transcripts and differentiate between positive and negative polarity RNA species, northern blotting was performed. A549 and paired ZAP-/- cells were infected with PR8 WT, CDLR or CpGH viruses at MOI 10 for 8 hours, and RNA was isolated from cells. Positive and negative sense specific segment 1 and segment 5 probes

were used for detection. Both positive and negative sense transcript levels were strongly reduced in WT A549 cells for the CpGH virus, whereas transcript levels were equivalent with those from the WT and CDLR viruses in ZAP-/ cells (**Fig. 5F**). The most striking reduction was seen for segment 1 positive sense transcripts, which were diminished below the limit of detection. This profound reduction may have been somewhat masked in the qPCR data due to the presence of vRNAs and partially degraded segment 1 transcripts. In contrast, segment 5 positive sense transcripts – although of reduced intensity – were readily detectable. Taken together, this is indicative of a CpG-specific reduction in transcript abundance, supporting the conclusion that ZAP is targeting CpGH transcripts for degradation.

CpG enrichment in IAV segment 1 causes viral attenuation in mice and attenuated CpGH IAV delivers protection from lethal dose challenge infection with WT virus.

To test whether CpGH IAV is attenuated *in vivo*, groups of six, 6-week old female BALB/c mice were infected with 200 PFU (high dose) of the virus panel. All virus-infected animals lost weight after day 2 post infection, but mice infected with CpGH lost significantly less weight than mice infected with WT PR8 (**Fig. 6A**). CpGH viral loads in the lung were significantly lower than those of WT PR8 and CDLR viruses (**Fig. 6B**). Therefore, CpG-driven attenuation *in vitro* is reproduced in an *in vivo* system.

Next, we sought to determine whether the CpGH virus could cause a mild or clinically inapparent infection while offering subsequent protection from severe disease outcome, similar to a live attenuated vaccine. Mice were inoculated with low doses of virus, then subsequently challenged with a lethal dose of WT virus to establish the protective effect. For low dose infections, 20 PFU of either WT PR8 (n = 6), CDLR (n = 10), or CpGH virus (n=10), or were mock infected (n = 10). Clinical signs were monitored for 10 days, by which time all mice were fully recovered. Mice infected with PR8 WT and CDLR displayed moderate clinical signs and weight loss, whereas symptoms in mice infected with CpGH virus were mild or entirely absent (**Fig. 6C**). After 20 days, sera were collected from tail bleeds for antibody semi-quantitation by ELISA. 6/6 PR8, 9/10 CDLR, 9/10 CpGH and 0/10 mock infected mice developed IAV-specific antibody responses; the levels of response to each virus varied but were not statistically different between groups (**Fig. 6D**). After 21 days, the 6 PR8 mice, and 5/10 mice from the other infection groups were culled and sera were harvested for western blotting to confirm ELISA results (**Fig. S.5**). Remaining mice were challenged with 200 PFU WT PR8 virus. After 5 days, this exposure was clinically inapparent in mice inoculated with the CDLR and CpGH viruses, but mice that were initially

mock-inoculated developed severe clinical signs and significant weight loss (**Fig. 6E**). These mice had

high virus titres in the lung, whereas no virus was recovered from mice originally inoculated with CDLR

or CpGH virus (**Fig. 6F**). Mean antibody titres from these mice were 5-fold higher than levels at 20 days

after exposure to the first virus dose, though again considerable variation was apparent (**Fig. 6G**). Thus,

the CpGH virus displayed the vaccine-desirable traits of causing mild or clinically inapparent infection,

while offering full protection from challenge with a lethal virus dose.

ZAP-dependent CpG sensitivity is absent from in vaccine propagation systems

Live attenuated IAV vaccines are traditionally propagated in eggs, but there is an increasing impetus to

move towards cell culture based propagation systems for ethical, medical and reliability reasons

(30,55). The impact of CpG enrichment on viral titres was therefore examined in both systems. Virus

rescues were performed in embryonated hens' eggs, and titres obtained for the CpGH virus were

reproducibly equivalent to those for WT PR8 (**Fig. 7A**). Viruses were plaqued on MDCK cells; although

the CpGH virus yielded slightly smaller plaques in these cells compared with PR8 WT (**Fig. S6**), titres

from virus passage at low MOI in MDCK cells were equivalent across the virus panel (**Fig. 7A**).

Possibly, a replication defect of the CpGH virus was not detected in eggs as titres had become

saturated. To test this, eggs were inoculated at embryonic day 6 (ED6) and day 10 (ED10) with 100

PFU of PR8, CDLR or CpGH virus and harvested at 24 hours. Viruses replicated to higher levels in

eggs at ED10, but no differences in titres between WT PR8 and CpGH virus was seen at either

developmental time point (**Fig. 8B**). Next, eggs at ED10 were inoculated with ten-fold serially diluted

virus doses and embryonic survival was monitored for 4 days. Again ,no differences were apparent

between the WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses, with all embryos dying at similar rates (**Fig. S7**).

Nonlinear regression curves were fit for lethality across the dose range used (**Fig. 8C**); r2 values of

0.92-0.99 were obtained. LD50 values were within a five-fold range (PR8 = 0.29PFU, CDLR = 1.27PFU

and CpGH = 0.55PFU), thereby occurring within the limits of a single dilution factor for this experiment

and so confirming no differences in embryonic lethality across the virus panel.

To determine whether the lack of fitness penalty for the CpGH virus was recapitulated in other chicken

cells, chicken lung epithelial cells (CLEC213s) (56) were infected with the virus panel. Again virus titres

were consistent across the panel (**Fig. 7D**). As IAV is tropic for the gastrointestinal tract in birds (57),

replication of the virus panel was also tested in chicken enteroids (58). Again, CpGH virus replicated to

similar titres as those of the WT virus (**Fig. 7E**) and although viral protein production was variable, there was no evidence of a defect for the CpGH virus (**Fig. 7F**). There was also no evidence of differential infection profiles either by confocal imaging (**Fig. S8**) or by qPCR array to analyse expression of 88 innate immune genes in chickens (**Fig. S9**).

Thus, CpGH IAV replicated equivalently to WT PR8 in the MDCK cells and in the embryonated hens' eggs used for vaccine virus propagation.

Large scale recoding offers a genetically stable method of virus attenuation.

Synonymous recoding of viral genomes as a method of attenuation for vaccine development is reliant on the modifications being genetically stable. To investigate the stability of CpG enrichment in the IAV genome, the virus panel was serially passaged ten times at low MOI in A549 cells. Passages were performed four times, with input virus for two experiments being derived from egg-grown stocks and two from MDCK-grown stocks. The ~1 $\log_{10}$ lower titre of CpGH virus compared with the WT or CDLR viruses was maintained over the course of the passage experiments, for both egg-derived (**Fig. 8A**) and MDCK-derived (**Fig. 8B**) virus stocks.

After the tenth passage, viruses were subjected to full-genome deep amplicon sequencing to analyse nucleotide changes occurring in their genomes. Sequencing coverage across the twelve viruses (4 replicates each of WT PR8, CDLR, and CpGH) ranged from 127-67,000 (**Fig. S10**).

To establish background signal from PCR and sequencing error, amplifications and sequencing were performed on the PR8 plasmids used for virus rescue. In this control sample (**Fig. S10M**), the highest mismatch versus database reference was a single position at 2.3% (data not shown), and so this was used as the threshold above which mutations were considered real. The sum totals of mutations over this threshold arising in all egg- and MDCK-derived virus stocks after ten passages were calculated to include proportional representation for substitutions that had not reached fixation (for example, if a mutation was present in 10% of sequences, this was counted as 0.1 mutations). Consistent numbers of mutations were observed for CpGH virus compared with WT PR8 and control viruses, and so more mutations were detected per infectious virion for CpG enriched viruses (**Fig. 8C**). However, the overall number of nucleotide changes identified in recoded viruses did not exceed those for WT PR8, indicating a genetic stability equivalent to WT virus. No difference in the mutation rates were observed between the egg-derived and MDCK-derived viruses. The numbers of mutations arising were broken down by

segment (**Fig. 8D**); the highest density of mutations occurred in segments 4 and 6 (encoding the viral

surface proteins) and segment 8 (encoding NS1 and NEP), as previously described (59).

The recoded sites of the passaged CpGH virus were examined for evidence of mutation and reversion

(**Fig. 8E**). No nucleotide changes at altered sites became fixed in any of the four replicates. Sequence

changes across the genomes of all serially passaged viruses are presented in Supplementary **Fig. S11**.

Overall, the data indicated that CpG enrichment is a genetically stable recoding strategy for IAV.

DISCUSSION

Synonymous recoding of viral genomes has been proposed as a potential strategy for live attenuated

vaccine development (21). However, such recoding inevitably impairs virus replication and so reduces

vaccine yield. We have demonstrated that CpG enrichment is a viable vaccine enhancement strategy

for IAV as we have made a replication-defective CpG-enriched vaccine strain IAV whose propagation

is unimpaired in systems used for vaccine virus synthesis (MDCK cells and embryonated hens' eggs).

This virus causes sub-clinical infection in mice that subsequently offers complete protection from

challenge infection with a lethal dose of virus. CpG introduction is genetically stable. Altogether, the

data presented herein support this approach for live attenuated vaccine augmentation.

We show a fundamental difference between human and chicken systems with respect to viral CpG

sensing. While a homologue of ZAPL has been identified in chickens (19), no ZAPS homologue has

been identified. That chicken ZAP may not have the same CpG binding specificity as human ZAP has

previously been reported (18). However, this is the first demonstration that a ZAP-sensitive CpG-

enriched virus can be propagated equivalently to a WT virus in chicken systems. Possibly, chicken ZAP

has lost CpG-specificity (18). Although we showed in human-derived cells that ZAP is acting via

transcriptional targeting and not via type I interferon signalling, it is possible that species-specific type I

IFN signalling cascades may also explain why chicken systems cannot target the CpGH virus. For

example, chickens do not have RIG-I (60) and if CpG-specific responses signal via this pathway in

chicken cells, chickens may have lost the ability to sense aberrant CpGs. Biochemical studies

investigating RNA protein interactions of ZAP and its cofactors in different species are needed in order

to understand this further. Alternatively, PR8 may be highly effective at counteracting CpG sensing in

chicken systems but less so in mammalian cells and in mice.

Understanding the mechanism by which CpG enrichment causes viral attenuation is paramount, as with

large scale synonymous recoding it is possible that a mutant virus is only a few substitutions away from

reversion to WT virulence. For this reason, multiple methods of viral attenuation integrated into a single

platform should be considered; for example, the use of CpG enrichment to improve currently used live

attenuated IAV vaccines that are replication-incompetent at 37°C (61-63).

CpG sensing by ZAP was shown to require introduction of only 15 CpGs for strong attenuation in a HIV-

1 replicon (21). In our IAV system, addition of 46 CpGs did not impart any attenuation, and adding 80

CpGs had a modest effect. ZAP was originally identified as a retrovirus restriction factor (9), and so

possibly it more readily detects HIV-1 transcripts. Alternatively, a gene removed from HIV-1

pseudoviruses may counteract ZAP, IAV may more effectively neutralise ZAP than HIV-1, or ZAP

sensing may be more active in the MT4 cells used for HIV-1 infections than the cell lines we used for

IAV infections.

Interestingly, the anti-CpG effects of ZAP were mediated by transcript degradation and not by type I

interferon signalling in our system. This lack of immune cascade contrasts with the antibody responses

in mice to the CpGH virus that were equivalent to those elicited by WT virus. Further mechanistic

understanding of how CpG-mediated attenuation occurs *in vitro* and *in vivo* is needed.

It has previously been reported that the IAV NS1 protein can specifically inhibit ZAPS or its binding

partner TRIM25 (50,51) and that this can be ablated by mutation (Table 2). However, we were unable

to exacerbate CpG-mediated attenuation by mutations in NS1 proposed to disrupt ZAP/TRIM25

targeting. Possibly, our assays were not sensitive enough to detect accentuation of a defect, or NS1

may target ZAP in this system. Nonetheless, the NS1 96/97 pair of mutations have previously been

reported to lack specificity, likely due to NS1 misfolding (50,52).

CpG enrichment is increasingly being proposed as a strategy for live attenuated vaccine development

(21). We have shown that in a vaccine strain of IAV, CpG enrichment does not impair viral growth in

embryonated hens' eggs or cultured MDCK cells – the main systems used for propagation of live

attenuated IAV vaccines. This CpGH virus has desirable vaccine properties in mice, as it is clinically

inapparent and highly protective from virus challenge. More widely, any live attenuated vaccines

generated using a reverse genetics system and propagated in mammalian cells can be CpG-enriched

and grown in ZAP-/- cells; therefore, large scale recoding is extrapolatable to other vaccine virus

systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK), human adenocarcinoma A549, human embryonic kidney

(HEK)293T (all Sigma, Glasgow, UK), Normal Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF; Thermo Fisher) and

HEK293 cells and were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Sigma) supplemented

with 10% v/v foetal calf serum (FCS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and 1% v/v penicillin/

streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CLEC213 cells were grown in 1:1 Ham's F21 nutrient broth/

DMEM supplemented with 5% v/v FCS and 1% v/v penicillin/ streptomycin. Cells were maintained by

twice-weekly passage. A549 ZAP -/- cells were a kind gift from the laboratory of Professor Sam Wilson

(37), HEK293 TRIM25 -/- cells were a kind gift from the laboratory of Professor Gracjan Michlewski

(38), and A549 KHNYN -/- cells were a kind gift from the laboratory of Dr Chad Swanson (16). CLEC213

cells were a kind gift from Dr Sascha Trapp and generated by the laboratory of Dr Pascale Quéré (56).

Cells were checked for mycoplasma contamination regularly using a MycoAlert mycoplasma detection

kit (Lonza, UK).

Plasmids and plasmid mutagenesis. IAV reverse genetic plasmids corresponding with each of the 8

segments of IAV genome were a derivative of the UK National Institute of Biological Standards & Control

A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) vaccine strain (64). For synonymous introduction of additional CpGs into

segment 1 of the viral genome with compensatory mutations to maintain base frequencies ('CpGH') or

to generate a permuted control construct synonymously recoded over the same genome region but with

nucleotide, dinucleotide and codon frequencies maintained ('seg1-CDLR'), constructs were designed

using SSE software Simmonics v1.2 'mutate sequences' function (65). The region of segment 1

targeted for mutation was selected to avoid previously characterised genome packaging signals and

splice donor and acceptor sites for PB2-S1 (66). Full segment sequences (**Table S1**) are summarised.

For recoding of a 1.42 kb region of segment 1, synthetic plasmid constructs were ordered from GeneArt

(Thermo Fisher) flanked by BsmBI sites for cloning into pDUAL plasmids used for virus rescue, as

previously described (64,67).

Mutagenesis of segment 8 was also performed to disrupt interactions of NS1 with CpG sensing pathways or various components of innate immune signalling; these are summarised (**Table 2**). Mutations were made on pDUAL plasmids encoding the PR8 gene (64) using a QuikChange II site directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) according to manufacturer's instructions. Primers used are tabulated (**Table S2A**).

Table 1. Summary of the genetic properties of CpG modified influenza A viruses that were recoded in segment 1.

Virus backbone	Mutation	No. CpGs	Δ CpG	No. UpAs	Δ UpA	CAI
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	Wildtype (segment 1)	51	-	108	-	0.72
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	CDLR	52	+1	108	-	0.72
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	CpGH	177	+126 (347%)	103	-5	0.64
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	5'-CpGH	131	+80 (257%)	107	-1	
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	3'-CpGH	97	+46 (187%)	104	-4	
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934	CpGH_low_density	97	+46 (187%)	103	-5	

CAI, codon adaptation index. CAI scores have been calculated relative to the human genome (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934) in https://www.biologicscorp.com/tools/CAICalculator. For full construct sequences, please refer to Table S1.

Table 2. Previously characterised mutations in NS1 that reverse ZAP/TRIM25-mediated IAV attenuation

NS1 target	Abrogating mutation	Mechanism disrupted	Reference
TRIM25	L95/S99A	RIG-I ubiquitination/ vRNP binding	(50)
ZAP	E96/E97A	Can no longer prevent TRIM25-mediated ubiquitination of ZAP	(51)
ZAP	PKQK107-110A	Can no longer prevent TRIM25-mediated ubiquitination of ZAP	(51)
Interferon	R38K41A	NS1 can no longer bind RNA	(49)

Virus rescues. Viruses were rescued as previously described (68,69). HEK293T cells in 6 well plates were transfected in Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo Fisher) with 250ng each of the 8 plasmids corresponding with each segment of viral genome (or 7 plasmids for mock) and 4 µl Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher) per well. pDUAL plasmids were used for all

segments, which are bi-directional; vRNA is under a polI promoter, and in the opposite orientation,

positive sense RNA is under a polII promoter. After 24 hours, medium was replaced with serum free

medium containing 1 µg/ml tosyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin (Sigma) and

0.14% BSA (w/v) (Sigma) (viral growth medium). At 48-72 hours post-transfection, supernatants were

collected and passaged, either (1) onto MDCK cells in viral growth medium, with supernatants collected

48 hours later; or (2) 100 µl was inoculated into the allantoic fluid of an embyronated hen's egg at days

11-12 development. A packaging mutant, '4c6c' (multiple nucleotide changes in segment 4 and

segment 6, encoding the haemagglutinin and neuraminidase viral surface proteins respectively) for use

as a control for RNA:PFU ratio assays was propagated in MDCK cells rather than eggs to avoid

reversion (33,41), as was an NS1 (segment 8) R38K41A mutant (defective in regulating interferon) (49).

Egg infections. Dekalb White fertilised eggs were purchased from Henry Stewart (Norfolk, UK) and

incubated at 37.5ºC, 50% relative humidity until infection at day 11-12 (unless stated). Eggs were

candled to confirm viability. Under sterile conditions, an egg punch was used to create two small holes

in the shell – one in the air cavity and one in the locality of the allantoic fluid. 100 µl virus was injected

into the allantoic fluid and both holes were sealed with sticky tape. For preparation of virus stocks,

infected eggs were left for 48 hours prior to allantoic fluid harvest, which was spun down for 5 minutes

at 800g to pellet cellular debris, aliquoted and used as neat virus stock; presence of virus was confirmed

by HA assay (70). For comparison of viral titres between days 6 and 10, eggs were inoculated with 100

PFU of virus and were left for 24 hours prior to harvesting of allantoic fluid for virus titration. For kill

curves, eggs were inoculated at day 10 with 0.001 – 1000 PFU virus and incubated until day 14 unless

embryonic death was observed before that time. At experimental endpoints, eggs were chilled overnight

and embryonic death was confirmed by membrane disruption.

Virus titrations. Viral titres were quantified by plaque assays; 800 µl of ten-fold serial dilutions of viral

stocks/ experiment supernatants/ allantoic fluid were incubated on sub-confluent MDCKs in 6-well

plates for an hour, and then 2 ml overlay comprising 1:1 viral growth medium: 2.4% cellulose (Sigma)

was added. Plates were incubated without disturbance for 48 hours, after which time 1 ml 10% formalin

was added to each well and cells were fixed for at least 20 minutes. Overlays were removed and cells

were stained with 0.1% toluidine blue (Sigma).

Virus infections. Cells were infected at either a high multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 (single cycle infections) or low MOI of 0.01-0.1 (cell line dependent, multi-cycle infections) for 24 or 48 hours respectively unless otherwise stated. Viruses were added to cells and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, and then inoculum was removed, cells were washed with serum free medium, and then either serum free medium (single cycle infection) or viral growth medium (multi-cycle infection) was added. Infected cells were incubated at 37°C for the duration of the experiment.

Generation of lentivirus vectors expressing ZAPL and ZAPS. In order to reconstitute isoform-specific ZAP expression in ZAP -/- A549 cells, lentiviruses expressing ZAPL or ZAPS isoforms under a polII promoter were produced using a pSCRPSY (accession number KT368137.1) vector-based system (a kind gift from the laboratory of Prof Sam Wilson). Both the A549 Cas9+ ZAP -/- cells and pSCRPSY carry puromycin resistance, and so a blasticidin S-resistance gene was cloned into the NheI site of pSCRPSY. pSCRPSY ZAPL and pSCRPSY ZAPS constructs were produced by cloning a cDNA of the open reading frame of ZAPL (accession number NM_020119.4) or ZAPS (accession number NM_024625.4) into the dual SfiI sites of pSCRPSY. For lentivirus production, the growth media on HEK293Ts at 80% confluency in a T175 flask was replaced with 25ml of Opti-MEM. 6 µg PMD2.G (Addgene, 12259), 18µg PsPAX2 (Addgene, 12260) and 12 µg of pSCRPSY (pSCRPSY, pSCRPSY-ZAPL or pSCRPSY-ZAPS) were added to 450µl of Opti-MEM. 72µl of Lipofectamine 2000 was added to 450µl of Opti-MEM. Diluted plasmids and Lipofectamine were mixed and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. The transfection mixture was added to the flask and cells were then incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 for 18h. Opti-MEM was then replaced with 25ml of DMEMsupplemented with 10% FBS. After a further 48 hours, supernatant containing P0 lentivirus was collected and centrifuged to remove cell debris. Due to low titre of ZAPL-expressing lentivirus, 75 ml of cell culture supernatant containing lentivirus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation using a SW32Ti rotor in a Beckman Coulter Optima Max-E ultracentrifuge at 50,000 g for 2 hours. The viral pellet was then resuspended in 1 ml of 10% FCS-containing D-MEM. Lentivirus was aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

Generation of A549 cells expressing specific ZAP isoforms. A549 ZAP-/- cells at 70% confluency in a 6 well plate were transduced with ZAPL- or ZAPS-expressing pSCRPSY lentiviruses. 1ml of lentivirus (pSCRPSY empty vector control, concentrated ZAPL, or ZAPS) or 10% FBS D-MEM as control, supplemented with polybrene (Merck, TR-1003-G) at 8 µg/ml, was added to each well of a 6 well plate. Spinoculation was performed (500 *g*, 1 hour). 48 hours after transduction, the inoculum was

removed and cells were passaged in 10% FBS D-MEM supplemented with 50 µg/ml puromycin (Gibco)

to select for transduced cells. Expression of the appropriate ZAP isoforms was confirmed by western

blotting and confocal imaging (described below).

Western blotting. Samples for western blotting were collected in 2X homemade Laemmli buffer (71),

boiled for 10 minutes, cooled and loaded onto 10% pre-cast gels (Bio-Rad) for separation by SDS-

PAGE. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Fisher Scientific) using wet transfer

(100V for 90 minutes on ice) and membranes were blocked using 5% milk (Morrisons, Edinburgh, UK)

for at least 30 minutes. Membranes were washed three times with TBS-T (Tris-buffered-saline/0.1%

Tween 20 (Sigma)) and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies in 2% BSA/TBS-T (0.1%).

Commercial antibodies were used for β-tubulin (clone YL1/2, Bio-Rad, Watford, UK; 1:5000), β-actin

(Abcam ab8227; 1:2000), ZAP (Invitrogen PA5-31650; 1:1000), TRIM25 (Abcam ab167154; 1:1000)

and KHNYN (Insight Bio sc-514168; 1:1000). In-house rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against NP

and PB2 are previously described (72) and were both diluted 1:1000. Membranes were then washed 3

times and incubated with species-specific secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexafluor-680 or -800

(Thermo Fisher) diluted 1:5000 in 2% BSA/TBS-T (0.1%) for 90 minutes. Membranes were washed 3

times and visualised using a LICOR Odyssey Fc imaging system.

Confocal imaging. Immunofluorescence was used to confirm ZAP expression in lentivirus-transduced

A549 cells, and presence of viral NP in chicken enteroids. For imaging of A549 cells, A549 pSCRPSY-

transduced cells at 95% confluency on coverslips in 24 well plates were fixed using 500 µl of 3.7%

neutral buffered formalin (CellPath) for 15 minutes, and then washed with PBS. Cells were

permeabilised using 500 µl of 0.02% Triton X100 for 5 minutes and blocked for 30 minutes in 2% FCS.

Anti-ZC3HAV1 Antibody (16820-1-AP) used 1:500 was diluted in 2% FCS/ PBS and incubated on cells

overnight at 4°C. 200 µl of 1:1000 AlexaFluor-488 anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher,

A32731) was added for 1 hour. Cell nuclei were stained using 1:5000 Hoechst (Thermo Fisher) in PBS

for 10 minutes. Between addition of each reagent, cells were washed 3 times using 500 µl of PBS. All

images were acquired with Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope fitted with 40X/1.4 oil-immersion

objective lens. Controls for background signal were mock infected cells and infected cells stained using

secondary antibodies only.

For organoid imaging, 50 organoids in a 24 well plate were fixed in 4% neutral buffered formalin for 45

minutes and washed with PBS. Organoids were then permeabilised and blocked with 5% v/v goat serum

(Sigma) in permeabilisation solution (0.5% v/v bovine serum albumin and 0.1% v/v Saponin (Merck,

Glasgow, UK) in PBS) for 30 minutes. Antibodies were diluted in permeabilisation solution and

incubated with organoids (mouse monoclonal NP antibody AA5H clone (Abcam, Cambridge, UK

ab20343) used 1:500 overnight at 4°C, phalloidin-647 (Thermo Fisher A22287) used 1:50 and

incubated for 1 hour at room temperature). 200ul of 1:1000 AlexaFluor-488 anti-mouse secondary

antibody (Thermo Fisher A32723) was added for 1 hour. Cell nuclei were stained using 1:5000 Hoechst

in PBS for 10 minutes. Between addition of each reagent, cells were washed 3x using 500 µl of PBS.

All images were acquired with Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope fitted with 40X/1.4 oil-immersion

objective lens. Secondary-only and isotype (mouse IgG2b) controls were used.

Reverse transcription (RT)-quantitative (q)PCR. RT-qPCR was performed as previously described

(67). RNA was extracted from cells using Qiagen QiAmp Viral RNA mini kits. Nucleic acid extracts were

treated using RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was

performed in technical triplicates using BioLine (London, UK) SensiFAST One Step RT-qPCR Lo-Rox

kit (BIO-82020), with primers targeting a conserved region of segment 1 or segment 5 of IAV PR8 strain

(**Table S2B**). Cycling conditions were 45°C for 10 minutes, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds then

60°C for 30 seconds. Melt curves were performed between 50°C-99°C with one degree increments at

second intervals.

Urea-PAGE RNA gels. IAV virions equivalent to 10^{10} PFU from egg stocks were semi-purified by

centrifugation through a buffered 25% sucrose cushion (w/v) (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7],

1mM EDTA) using a SW32Ti rotor in a Beckman Coulter Optima Max-E ultracentrifuge at 280,000 g for

90 minutes at 4°C. RNAs were extracted from virions using an RNEasy mini extraction kit (Qiagen)

following resuspension of the pellets directly into 350 µl of buffer RLT. Extracted RNA was separated

by PAGE using homemade 5% urea polyacrylamide gels in 1X Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (89mM

Tris-borate, 2mM EDTA [pH 8.3]) run for 6 hours at 120 V. Viral gene segments were visualised by

silver staining using the Silver Stain Plus Kit (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's instructions and

imaged using a Samsung Xpress C480FW scanner.

Bisulphite conversion. RNA was extracted from ~5×10^8 IAV virions (egg virus stock) using a Qiagen

RNEasy mini extraction kit, followed by Promega RQ1 DNAse treatment. Bisulphite conversion

reactions were performed on extracted egg stock RNAs or *in vitro* generated genomic RNA (gRNA;

negative sense) transcripts using the Zymo (Freiburg, Germany) RNA bisulphite conversion kit (EZ RNA

Methylation Kit, RS001). In the reaction, cytosines are converted to uracils unless protected by 5-

methylcytosine (5-mc) methylation. Following conversion, RNA libraries for sequencing were prepared

using the Takara (Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) low input RNAseq kit and sequenced on a MiSeq

Micro v2 150PE flowcell at Edinburgh Genomics (Edinburgh UK). Sequencing data handling and

analyses were performed using the Galaxy platform (73). Adapter sequences

(AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCA and AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT)

were trimmed from reads using cutadapt and output sequences were joined using fastq-join. Sequences

were aligned to an *in silico* converted PR8 segment 1 (where all cytosines in the negative sense were

replaced with thymines) using Bowtie2 (74) and resultant BAM datasets were converted to tabular

pileup format to allow for calculation of the proportion of retained cytosines (indicating presence of 5-

mc).

Specific regions selected for further analysis were amplified by RT-PCR from the converted RNA. RNAs

were reverse transcribed using SuperScript III (Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers according to

manufacturer's instructions. PCRs were performed using specific primers (**Table S2C**) and Q5 High-

Fidelity Polymerase (NEB, Hitchin, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. Cycling conditions

were 98°C for 30 seconds, then 45 cycles of 98°C for 10 seconds, 58°C for 21 seconds then 72°C for

30 seconds with a final extension of 72°C for 2 minutes. Amplicons were sent for sequencing using the

Amplicon-EZ service (Genewiz, Leipzig, Germany). Sequences were analysed as above except that

adapter trimming was performed using the primer sequences.

HEK Blue assay. To assay type I IFN production, A549 cells in 24 well plates were infected at an MOI

of 10 as described above but in the absence of trypsin. At 10 hours post infection, supernatants were

harvested and viruses were inactivated by exposure to 120 mJ/cm^2 of UV for 10 minutes in a UVP CL-

1000 UV crosslinker. 20 µl of inactivated sample or type I IFN standard (Abcam, 500, 50 or 5 pg/ µl)

was added to HEK-Blue^{TM} IFNα/β cells (InvivoGen hkb-ifnab) at 4×10^4 cells/ well in 96 well format. HEK-

Blue cells have a gene for secreted alkaline phosphatase incorporated under an ISG54 promoter (that

is robustly induced in the presence of type I IFN). Cells were incubated with supernatant from A549

infections for 24 hours. HEK-Blue cell supernatants were then collected and added to QuantiBlue

reagent (InvivoGen) according to manufacturer's instructions. After 15-30 minutes, when a colour

change was visible in the positive control (titrated IFN), absorbance was read at 620 nm, as a correlate

of IFN concentration.

Minigenome assays. Minigenome assays were performed as previously described (54). Culture

medium on 70-90% confluent HEK293T cells in 24 well plates was replaced with Opti-MEM. Cells were

then transfected with 50 ng/well (unless stated) of plasmids encoding IAV segments 1, 2, 3, and 5

(sufficient for viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP) formation) with positive orientation under a PolII promoter

(the same pDUAL plasmid constructs used for virus rescue were used here), along with 20 ng/ well of

a reporter construct expressing an IAV-like vRNA with a luciferase coding sequence in place of an IAV

open reading frame. At 48 hours post-transfection, cells were harvested in 100 μl 5X reporter lysis buffer

(Promega, Chilworth, UK) and refrigerated to allow cell debris to settle to the bottom of the tube. 60 μl

supernatant was added to 25 μl 600 μM beetle luciferin (Promega) in a white walled 96 well plate, and

luminescence was measured on a GloMax luminometer (Promega). Each data point was represented

by four technical repeats, and each assay was undertaken for at least four biological repeats.

In vitro **transcription assays.** PCR amplicons were generated for the production of positive or negative

sense, full-length segment 1 RNA by the addition of a T7 promoter sequence to the forward or reverse

primer, respectively (**Table S2D**). PCRs were performed using Q5 DNA polymerase kit (NEB) with the

appropriate primer pair and 25 ng of plasmid as template (segment 1 of PR8, CDLR, or CpGH). Cycling

conditions were 95°C for 5 minutes, then 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 50°C for 30 seconds then

72°C for 2 minutes, with a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons were purified by agarose

gel extraction using the MinElute Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen) and quantified using the Qubit dsDNA BR

Assay kit (Thermo Fisher). RNA transcripts were generated with 40 ng of these amplicons as template

using the MEGAscript T7 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher) in half reaction volumes according to

manufacturer's instructions for the indicated times. Following incubation, transcript concentrations were

determined using the Qubit RNA HS Assay kit (Thermo Fisher).

In vitro **translation assays.** *In vitro* generated RNA transcripts above were used templates for *in vitro*

translation assays using a Rabbit Reticulocyte Lysate kit (Promega) at the indicated concentrations.

Reactions were performed at 1/5th volume with the inclusion of Transcend Biotin-Lysyl-tRNA (Promega)

to allow antibody independent detection of all translated products. Samples were assayed by western

blot (as above) with the exception that membranes were blocked with 5% BSA/TBS for 1 hour,

incubated with IRDye 800CW Streptavidin (LICOR) for 1 hour, washed 3 times and visualised using the

LICOR Odyssey Fc imaging system.

Northern blotting. RNA probes and positive control full-length, negative sense transcripts were

generated by first producing PCR amplicons of the target sequence with the addition of a T7 promoter

sequence to the forward or reverse primer, (**Table S2E**). PCRs were performed using Q5 DNA

polymerase kit (NEB) with the appropriate primer pair and 25 ng of plasmid as template (Seg1-PR8 or

Seg5-PR8). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 5 minutes, then 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 50°C

for 30 seconds then 72°C for 2 minutes, with a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons were

purified by agarose gel extraction using the MinElute Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen) and quantified using

the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay kit. RNA transcripts were generated with 40 ng of these amplicons as

template using the MEGAscript T7 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher) in half reaction volumes for 4 hours

according to manufacturer's instructions except for the inclusion of Biotin-11-UTP (Cambridge

BioScience) at a molar ratio of 2:3 with unlabelled UTP for the generation of labelled probes. Following

incubation, transcript concentrations were determined using the Qubit RNA HS Assay kit (Thermo

Fisher).

Parental A549 Cas9+ or A549 ZAP-/- cells were infected at a high MOI as described above and cell

pellets were collected at 8 hours post infection. RNA was extracted from cell pellets using the RNeasy

Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA gels were run, blotted and hybridised using reagents from the NorthernMax –

Gly Kit (Thermo Fisher) unless otherwise indicated. Briefly, RNA samples were run on 1% Agarose-LE

gels at low voltage for 4 hours along with 1ng each of positive control positive sense segment 1 and

segment 5 transcripts and RiboRuler High Range RNA ladder (Thermo Fisher). Nucleic acids were

blotted on to Nytran SuPerCharge nylon membranes (Cytiva) by overnight downward transfer using the

Turbo Blotter System apparatus (Cytiva). Membranes were baked at 68°C for 10 minutes and

crosslinked by exposure to 1200 (x100) J/cm2 twice in CL-1000 UV crosslinker. Membranes were pre-

hybridised in ULTRAhyb Ultrasensitive Hybridisation Buffer for 30 minutes at 68°C and hybridised

overnight at 68°C with biotinylated probes at a concentration of 0.1nM. Membranes were washed once

in 2xSCC, 0.1%SDS at room temperature for 15 minutes and three times with 0.1xSCC, 0.1%SDS at

68°C for 15 minutes each. Membranes were blocked in 5% dried milk powder in TBS-T for 4 hours at

room temperature, washed six times with TBS-T and incubated with HRP-conjugated Streptavidin

(Proteintech) in 5%BSA/TBS-T for 30 minutes. Membranes were washed four times in TBS-T,

incubated briefly with LumiGLO Peroxidase Chemiluminescent Substrate (Insight Bio) and visualised

by exposure to Amersham High Performance Chemiluminescence film (Cytiva) for 5 minutes.

Mouse infections. Mouse experiments were approved by the Roslin Institute Animal Welfare and

Ethical Review Board under Home Office project license PF795AF60. Five-week-old female BALB/c

mice, maintained in groups of six, were purchased from Harlan (Oxon, UK) and housed for one week

prior to infections. For infection experiments, mice were inoculated intranasally with 200 PFU virus

(either PR8, seg1-CDLR, seg1-CpGH or mock) under brief isofluorane anaesthesia. Mice were weighed

daily until day 5, when mice were euthanised by terminal isofluorane anaesthesia. Left lungs were

harvested in 2 ml Eppendorf (Stevenage, UK) Safe-Lock micro test tubes containing 500 µl DMEM and

a stainless-steel carbide bead (Qiagen), and snap frozen on dry ice until virus titration. For titration,

lungs were thawed on wet ice and fragmented using a Qiagen TissueLyser II at 200 oscillations/minute

for 2 minutes or until tissue was visibly homogenised. Suspended tissue was then serially diluted and

titrated by plaque assay. For challenge infections, groups of ten six-week old mice were inoculated with

20 PFU virus (either PR8, CDLR, CpGH or mock) under brief isofluorane anaesthesia, except for the

PR8 group which comprised six mice. Mice were weighed and monitored for clinical signs until 10 days

post-infection. At 20 days post-infection, tail bleeds were performed. At 21 days, 5 mice from each

group (or 6 from PR8) were culled by terminal isofluorane anaesthesia and cardiac puncture. Sera were

collected. The remaining mice were challenged with 200 PFU PR8 WT virus (due to licensing

constraints inoculation then challenge with the same PR8 WT virus was not possible). Mice were

monitored until cull at 5 days post-challenge by terminal isofluorane anaesthesia and cardiac puncture,

with sera and left lung collected as described above for ELISA and plaque assays respectively.

Mouse serum ELISA. To test mouse sera cross-reactivity with IAV virions, virions were semi-purified

by overlaying 5 mL of clarified PR8, CDLR or CpGH virus stocks on 25% buffered sucrose (w/v) cushion

(100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH7, 1 mM EDTA) in a Beckman Coulter Optima Max-E ultracentrifuge

with a SW32Ti rotor at 60,000 g for 2 hours at 4°C. Viral pellets were resuspended in 500 µL PBS.

Virions equivalent to an input of 2×10^6 PFU were diluted in 100 µL of 0.1 M carbonate-bicarbonate

buffer (pH 9.6) (Sigma-Aldrich) and immobilised on 96-well ELISA plates (Greiner Bio-One) overnight

at 4°C. Plates were washed twice with PBS-Tween 20 (0.05% v/v) and blocked with 1% BSA/PBS at

room temperature for 2 hours. Mouse sera diluted 1/100 in 1% BSA/PBS were then applied as primary antibody along with a 5-fold dilution series of a positive control serum designated as 100 arbitrary units (AU)/µl and plates were incubated at room temperature with agitation for 2 hours. Plates were washed six times with PBS-T and incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:2000) (Bio-Rad) in 1% BSA/PBS for 1 hour with agitation. Plates were washed thrice with PBS-T and incubated with 2,2'-azino-bis(3'-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) substrate (SLS) after which the colorimetric change was arrested by adding 70 µL/well 1%SDS. Optical density was read at 405 nm on a Cytation 3 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (Agilent) and analysed in Bioek Gen5 (Agilent).

Dot blotting with mouse sera. To test mouse sera cross-reactivity with IAV proteins, MDCK cells were infected at MOI of 10 with PR8 strain for 8 hours, and infected cell lysates were harvested in 2X Laemmli buffer. A single lysate long well was run out by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane as described above. Membranes were placed in a strip-blot and individual lanes were incubated with day 20 sera from individual mice diluted 1:100 in 2% BSA/PBS for 1 hour with agitation. Western blotting then proceeded as described above.

Chicken enteroid infections. Chicken enteroids were prepared as described previously (58). Briefly, small intestinal tissue was removed from Hy-Line Brown embryos supplied by the National Avian Research Facility, Edinburgh, UK (Home Office Project Licenses PE263A4FA) and cut into 5 mm sections. Tissue was digested and villi were isolated and cultured. At day 2 of culture, approximately 200 enteroids were infected with 10^5 virus particles/ enteroid for 1 hour, washed 3 times in PBS and then incubated for 48 hours in the presence of 2 µg/mL TPCK trypsin for virus quantification by plaque assay, confocal imaging, or arrayed gene expression analyses.

Fluidigm analysis of innate immune response gene expression in infected chicken enteroids. At 48 hpi, enteroids were suspended in RNALater and RNA was isolated using Tru-Seq total RNA Sample Preparation v2 kit (Illumina, Great Abingdon, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 100 ng of RNA using High Capacity Reverse Transcription kit (Life Technologies, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions with a random hexamer primer and oligo(dT). cDNA was pre-amplified as previously described (75) and analysed through quantitative PCR (qPCR) with the microfluidic 96.96 Dynamic array (Fluidigm, San Francisco, CA) performed on the BioMark HD instrument (BioMark, Boise, ID). Raw Cq values were processed with GenEx6 and GenEx Enterprise

(MultiD Analyses AB), with correction for primer efficiency and reference gene normalisation. Reference

genes used were TATA box binding protein (TBP), Tubulin alpha chain (TUBA8B), beta-actin (ACTB),

beta-glucuronidase (GUSB), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and ribosomal

28S (r28S).

Serial passage. Viruses were serially passaged ten times at an MOI of 0.01 in A549 cells. Viruses were

titred by plaque assay after each passage. Biological duplicates were performed with viruses rescued

from both eggs and from MDCK cells. At the end of ten passages, viruses were sequenced. RNA was

extracted from culture supernatants using Viral RNA mini kits (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's

instructions. Extracted RNAs were reverse transcribed using SuperScript III (Invitrogen) with the IAV

vRNA specific Uni12 primer (AGCAAAAGCAGG) (76) according to manufacturer's instructions. PCR

primers were designed to amplify approximately 400 base fragments with approximately 60 base

overlaps across the genome (**Table S2F**). Additional primers were designed specific to the segment 1

and segment 5 recoded regions as appropriate. Four sets of primer pools each containing 10 non-

overlapping sets of primer pairs were generated (1A-3E odd, 1B-3F even, 3G-8B odd and 4A-8C even)

with each primer at a final concentration of 5 µM. PCRs were performed using specific primers and Q5

High-Fidelity Polymerase according to manufacturer's instructions with primers at a concentration of

500 nM each in the final reactions. Cycling conditions were 98°C for 30 seconds, then 45 cycles of 98°C

for 20 seconds, 55°C for 20 seconds then 72°C for 30 seconds with a final extension of 72°C for 2

minutes. The 4 multiplexed amplicon sets for each sample were purified using a PureLink PCR

Purification kit, quantified using a Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen) and pooled at equimolar ratios.

Amplicon pools were sent for sequencing using the Amplicon-EZ service (Genewiz). Sequencing data

handling and analyses were performed using the Galaxy platform (73). Primer sequences were trimmed

from reads using cutadapt and output sequences were joined using fastq-join. Sequences were aligned

to the PR8 reference genome or genome sequences with recoded segments 1 or 5, as appropriate,

using Bowtie2 (74). Variants and coverage levels in the resultant BAM datasets were analysed using

iVar (77) variants with tabular outputs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the labs of Prof Sam Wilson (MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research,

UK) for provision of A549 ZAP-/- cell lines and pSCRPSY lentivirus vector, Prof Gracjan Michlewski

(University of Edinburgh, UK/ International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Poland) for the

provision of TRIM25-/- HEK293 cells, Dr Chad Swanson (King's College London, UK) for A549 KHNYN-

/- cells and Dr Sascha Trapp (French National Institute for Agriculture, Food and Environment, France)

for the provision of CLEC213 cells. We are indebted to the staff in the Roslin Biological Research Facility

for technical support for mouse infection experiments and mouse line maintenance, to the staff of the

Central Services Unit, Facilities team, Bioimaging facilities, and to the National Avian Research Facility

for supply of eggs in the Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh.

We are grateful to Christina Vrettou (The Roslin Institute, UK) for technical assistance, to Dr James

Glover (The Roslin Institute, UK) for critical feedback on the manuscript and to Dr Edward Hutchinson

(MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, UK) for helpful discussions.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

FG, LV, PD and EG are supported by a BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme grant (BB/P013740/1).

BT was supported by a Microbiology Society Harry Smith Vacation studentship (GA002550), Wellcome

Trust ISSF3 funding and a Carnegie Trust Undergraduate Vacation Scholarship (VAC011866). FG and

PD are supported by BBSRC grant no BB/S00114X/1. OD is supported by a Roslin Studentship Award.

LT is supported by an EASTBio Studentship Award. PS is supported by Wellcome Investigator Award

(WT103767MA). LV and PD are also supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

innovation programme under grant agreement no. 727922 (DELTA-FLU) and SS under grant

agreement no. 731014 (VetBioNet). TN was supported by an iCase studentship from the BBSRC

(BB/MO14819). EG is also supported by a Wellcome Trust/ Royal Society Sir Henry Dale Fellowship

(211222_Z_18_Z). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to

publish, or preparation of the manuscript. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC

BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None declared.

FIGURES

Figure 1. ***Influenza A viruses with CpG enrichment in segment 1 have replication defects mediated by ZAP.*** WT PR8, synonymously permuted control virus (CDLR) and CpG enriched (CpGH) viruses were used to infect various cell types at low MOI for 48 hours. Synonymous recoding was performed in segment 1. Viruses were grown in A549 and paired ZAP -/- cells (**A**) or in 293 and paired TRIM25 -/- cells (**B**). **C.** siRNA knockdown of ZAP or TRIM25 in NHDF primary cells was confirmed by western blot. **D.** Impact of ZAP or TRIM25 knockdown on replication of the seg1 virus panel in NHDFs. **E.** Seg1 virus panel was grown in A549 and paired KHNYN -/- cells. **F.** The number of CpGs introduced into the CpGH construct was depleted by a third (80 CpGs added, giving a segment total of 131) or by two thirds (46 CpGs added, giving a segment total of 97). When 46 CpGs were added, this was done either by retaining the 46 CpGs added to the 3' end of RNA (positive orientation), or by spacing the 46 CpGs added across the segment. This panel of CpG mutants, along with CDLR and CpGH, were titred in WT A549s and paired ZAP-./- cells as in (A). **G.** ZAPL/ ZAPS expression was reconstituted in A549 cells using lentivirus expression constructs, and isoform-specific expression was confirmed by western blotting. **H.** A549 ZAP-/- cells were reconstituted for isoform-specific ZAPL or ZAPS expression, and titres of seg1 virus panel were determined. For validation of KO phenotypes, please refer to **Fig. S1**. Confocal visualisation of ZAP reconstitution is presented in **Fig. S2**.

Figure 2. CpG enrichment does not affect packaging but may alter electrophoretic mobility. A. Virus stocks of the seg1
virus panel were titred by plaque assay, and then the relative copy numbers of segment 1 and segment 5 were determined by
qPCR. RNA:PFU ratios were then calculated. A '4c6c' mutant virus (54) was used as a packaging mutant control. To visualise
packaged viral RNAs, Viral stocks were purified by ultracentrifugation and subjected to urea-PAGE, thereby separating the 8
segments of the viral genome **(B)**. A shift in the electrophoretic mobility of segment 1 of the CpGH virus was observed (large
black arrow), and in order to determine whether this was due to RNA modification, RNAs generated through *in vitro* transcription
(i.e. a cell-free system, free from RNA modifying enzymes) were run under the same conditions **(C)**. For 'mix' samples, equimolar
combinations of CDLR and CpGH constructs were combined and run to demonstrate whether a mobility shift was apparent in
CpGH constructs.

Figure 3. CpG enrichment of viral RNA does not alter the methylation profile. Segment 1 modified viruses were processed

through bisulphite conversion reactions and then deep sequenced to determine the frequency of methylation in unmodified versus

CpG-enriched transcripts. **A.** As virus reconstitution was performed in embryonated hens' eggs, chicken ribosomal RNA was

sequenced from viral stocks as a positive control due to a known methylation site in this RNA. **B.** 5-methylcytosine signal intensity

across PR8 segment 1 vRNA. **C.** 5-methylcytosine signal intensity across CDLR segment 1 vRNA. **D.** 5-methylcytosine signal

intensity across CDLR segment 1 vRNA. **E.** 5-methylcytosine signal intensity across PCR amplicons of either vRNA (PR8 egg/

CDLR egg/ CpGH egg) or *in vitro* transcribed (IVT) RNA, across segment 1 positions 106-304. This region was selected due to

the spike in signal observed across segment 1 vRNAs for the segment 1 virus panel (B-D, red boxes). For PR8 whole genome

methylation plots please refer to **Fig. S4**.

Figure 4. Interaction of CpG-enriched viruses with the IFN pathway. A. A549 cells were infected with WT PR8, CDLR or

CpGH viruses at MOI 10 for 24 hours, and then type I IFN induction was quantified by HEK-Blue assay. Titrated IFN was used

as a standard, and an IAV mutated in segment 8 to inhibit IFN induction (R38K41A) was used as a positive control. **B.** IAV WT

PR8, CDLR or CpGH viruses were further mutated in segment 8 to abrogate the ZAP/TRIM25 targeting activity of NS1 encoded

on this segment. Mutations introduced into segment 8 were L95S99A, reportedly defective in TRIM25 targeting (50), E96/97A,

reportedly defective in ZAP targeting (51), and PKQK107-110A, reportedly defective in ZAP targeting (51). Seg1/8 mutant viruses

were used to infect A549 cells at MOI 0.01, for 48h, and viral titres were quantified. **C.** Western blots examining ZAP and TRIM25

expression in the same infections. **D.** The seg1/8 mutant virus panel was used to infect A549 cells at high MOI for 10 hours, and

then IFN induction was quantified by HEK-Blue assay (A640 read-out).

Figure 5. CpG enrichment in the IAV genome results in reduced transcriptional levels during virus replication. Transcription and translation were investigated in the context of infection using high MOI time courses. The virus panel was analysed for segment 1 (**A**) or segment 5 (**B**) RNA production. **C.** For assessment of protein production, PB2 (segment 1) and NP (segment 5) were assayed by western blot. **D.** Equal amounts of segment 1 WT (PR8), permuted control (CDLR) or CpG-enriched (CpGH) amplicons under T7 promoters were used in *in vitro* transcription assays to assess whether synonymous recoding impacted transcriptional efficiency in a cell free system. **E.** The efficiency of translation of RNAs was then assessed by titrating the synthesised RNAs in *in vitro* translation assays. **F**. A549 WT or ZAP-/- cells were infected with either WT PR8 or CpGH virus at an MOI of 15 for 8 hours, after which time RNA was harvested and probed by northern blotting using specific primers to detect segment 1 and segment 5 vRNA or positive polarity RNA.

Figure 6. CpGH IAV is attenuated in mice and conveys protection from challenge with a lethal dose of WT virus. Groups of six, 6 week-old female BALB/c mice were infected with 200 PFU of either WT PR8 virus, CDLR permuted control, CpGH virus or mock infected (*n* = 2) for 5 days; weight change measured daily (**A**). On day 5, lower left lung lobes were harvested and the presence of infectious virus was quantified (**B**). To determine the protective effect of CpGH virus infection, groups of 6-10 mice were infected with a low dose (20 PFU) of either PR8 (n = 6), CDLR control (n = 10), CpGH virus (n = 10) or were mock infected (n = 10) and weighed daily for 10 days until full recovery (**C**). At day 20 post-exposure, tail bleeds were used to collect sera for ELISA to quantify anti-IAV antibody levels (**D**). The next day, five mice exposed to either CDLR or CpGH virus, or mock exposed, were challenged with a potentially lethal dose of PR8 WT virus (200 PFU) and weighed daily for 5 days (**E**) at which time mice were culled and lower left lung lobes were collected for virus titration (**F**) and antibody levels in sera were quantified by ELISA (**G**). Sera were also tested for IAV protein binding using western blotting (**Fig. S5**).

Figure 7. A ZAP-sensitive attenuated CpG-enriched IAV replicates equivalently to WT virus in vaccine propagation

systems. A. PR8 WT, CDLR and CpGH viruses were grown in embryonated hens' eggs or in MDCK cells and titred. **B.**

Embroyonated hens' eggs were inoculated with 100 PFU virus at developmental day 5 or day 9, and viral titre in allantoic fluid

was assessed 24 hours later. **C.** Lethality of the virus panel in eggs was fit to nonlinear regression curves for LD50 calculations.

D. The virus panel was grown in chicken epithelial cell line CLEC213 and viral titres were quantified by plaque assay. **E.** The

virus panel was used to infect chicken enteroids at an MOI of 10 for 24 hours, and the production of infectious virus, and viral

protein production **(F)** was assayed. For confocal imaging of infected enteroids, please refer to **Fig. S8**. For innate gene

expression analyses from infected enteroids, please refer to **Fig. S9**.

Figure 8. Serial passage of CpG modified IAV does not enable deselection of CpG motifs. Egg **(A)** or MDCK **(B)** derived

virus stocks were passaged at an MOI of 0.01 ten times in A549 cells, and titred after each passage (*n* = 2). After ten passages,

viruses were whole genome sequenced to test for reversion or epistatic compensatory mutations. (**C**). Total cumulative genome

mutations after ten passages were calculated, with proportional representation of mutations that had not become fixed (e.g. if

10% of sequences contained a mutation at a given position, this scored as 0.1 mutations) calculated for egg virus stock passages

and MDCK virus stock passages. (**D**). Cumulative mutations were calculated for each segment for each of the three viruses in

the panel across four replicates (**E**). Mutation frequency at recoded positions across segment 1 for CpGH virus. The mutation

frequencies of the four serially passaged replicates are overlaid. No mutations at CpG sites were observed. For sequence

coverage, please refer to **Fig. S10** and for full genome mutational profiles to **Fig. S11**.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES AND FIGURES

Table S1. Segment 1 modified PR8 sequences.

>A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_wildtype

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCCAAGGAGGCACAGGATGTAATCATGGAAGTTGTTTTCCCTAACGAAGTGGGAGCCAGGATACTAACATCGGA

ATCGCAACTAACGATAACCAAAGAGAAGAAAGAAGAACTCCAGGATTGCAAAATTTCTCCTTTGATGGTTGCATACATGTTG

GAGAGAGAACTGGTCCGCAAAACGAGATTCCTCCCAGTGGCTGGTGGAACAAGCAGTGTGTACATTGAAGTGTTGCATTT

GACTCAAGGAACATGCTGGGAACAGATGTATACTCCAGGAGGGGAAGTGAGGAATGATGATGTTGATCAAAGCTTGATTAT

TGCTGCTAGGAACATAGTGAGAAGAGCTGCAGTATCAGCAGATCCACTAGCATCTTTATTGGAGATGTGCCACAGCACACA

GATTGGTGGAATTAGGATGGTAGACATCCTTAGGCAGAACCCAACAGAAGAGCAAGCCGTGGATATATGCAAGGCTGCAA

TGGGACTGAGAATTAGCTCATCCTTCAGTTTTGGTGGATTCACATTTAAGAGAACAAGCGGATCATCAGTCAAGAGAGAGG

AAGAGGTGCTTACGGGCAATCTTCAAACATTGAAGATAAGAGTGCATGAGGGATATGAAGAGTTCACAATGGTTGGGAGAA

GAGCAACAGCCATACTCAGAAAAGCAACCAGGAGATTGATTCAGCTGATAGTGAGTGGGAGAGACGAACAGTCGATTGCC

GAAGCAATAATTGTGGCCATGGTATTTTCACAAGAGGATTGTATGATAAAAGCAGTCAGAGGTGATCTGAATTTCGTCAATA

GGGCGAATCAGCGATTGAATCCTATGCATCAACTTTTAAGACATTTTCAGAAGGATGCGAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAATTGGGG

AGTTGAACCTATCGACAATGTGATGGGAATGATTGGGATATTGCCCGACATGACTCCAAGCATCGAGATGTCAATGAGAGG

AGTGAGAATCAGCAAAATGGGTGTAGATGAGTACTCCAGCACGGAGAGGGTAGTGGTGAGCATTGACCGTTTTTTTGAGAA

TCCGGGACCAACGAGGAAATGTACTACTGTCTCCCGAGGAGGTCAGTGAAACACAGGGAACAGAGAAACTGACAATAACT

TACTCATCGTCAATGATGTGGGAGATTAATGGTCCTGAATCAGTGTTGGTCAATACCTATCAATGGATCATCAGAAACTGGG

AAACTGTTAAAATTCAGTGGTCCCAGAACCCTACAATGCTATACAATAAAATGGAATTTGAACCATTTCAGTCTTTAGTACCT

AAGGCCATTAGAGGCCAATACAGTGGGTTTGTAAGAACTCTGTTCCAACAAATGAGGGATGTGCTTGGGACATTTGATACC

GCACAGATAATAAAACTTCTTCCCTTCGCAGCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTACTGTGAATG

TGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGAGACTCACAG

TTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTTCTGAGGGGA

TTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGCGAAAGGAGA

GAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATACTTACTGACA

GCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTACT

> A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_CDLR

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCCAAGGAGGCTCAGGATGTAATCATGGAAGTGGTGTTCCCAAACGAGGTTGGAGCAAGAATCCTCACTTCTGA

GTCGCAACTAACAATAACTAAAGAGAAAAAAGAGGAGCTACAAGATTGCAAAATATCACCTTTGATGGTTGCATACATGTTG

GAGAGAGAACTGGTCCGAAAGACGAGATTCCTACCCGTGGCCGGTGGGACCAGCAGTGTATACATAGAAGTGTTGCATTT

GACTCAAGGGACGTGCTGGGAACAGATGTATACACCAGGGGGGGAAGTGAGAAATGATGATGTTGACCAGAGCTTGATAA

TTGCCGCGAGGAACATTGTGAGAAGAGCCGCCGTATCGGCAGATCCTCTAGCATCCTTATTGGAAATGTGTCACAGCACA

CAGATTGGTGGAATAAGAATGGTTGACATCCTTAGACAGAATCCCACAGAAGAGCAGGCTGTGGATATTTGCAAGGCTGCC

ATGGGGCTGAGAATCAGTTCATCATTTAGTTTTGGTGGATTCACTTTCAAGAGAACAAGCGGGTCATCAGTCAAGAGAGAG

GAAGAAGTGCTAACGGGGAATCTCCAAACATTGAAAATAAGAGTTCATGAGGGCTATGAAGAGTTCACGATGGTTGGGAG

GAGAGCAACCGCCATACTTAGAAAAGCAACGAGGAGATTGATACAACTGATAGTGAGTGGCAGAGACGAGCAATCTATTG

CAGAAGCAATAATTGTGGCTATGGTGTTTTCCCAGGAAGATTGTATGATTAAAGCAGTCAGAGGAGACCTGAACTTTGTTAA

TAGGGCAAATCAACGATTGAACCCTATGCATCAACTTTTAAGACATTTTCAAAAGGATGCAAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAATTGG

GGAGTTGAACCAATCGACAATGTGATGGGCATGATAGGAATTTTGCCAGACATGACTCCAAGCATCGAGATGTCAATGAGA

GGTGTCAGAATCAGCAAAATGGGTGTAGATGAATACTCGAGCACTGAGAGGGTAGTGGTCAGCATTGACCGATTTTTGAGA

ATTCGGGATCAACGAGGGAATGTTCTTCTGTCACCGGAAGAGGTGAGTGAAACTCAGGGAACTGAGAAACTGACAATAAC

ATATTCCTCATCAATGATGTGGGAGATTAATGGCCCAGAATCAGTATTGGTGAATACATATCAATGGATCATCAGAAACTGG

GAGACTGTTAAAATTCAGTGGTCTCAGAATCCCACTATGCTATACAATAAAATGGAGTTCGAGCCCTTCCAATCTTTAGTGC

CTAAGGCCATTAGAGGCCAGTACAGTGGATTCGTAAGAACACTGTTCCAACAGATGAGAGATGTACTTGGGACATTTGATA

CAGCACAGATTATAAAACTGCTTCCATTTGCAGCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTACTGTGAA

TGTGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGAGACTCAC

AGTTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTTCTGAGGG

GATTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGCGAAAGGA

GAGAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATACTTACTGA

CAGCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTACT

> A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_CpG-high

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCCAAAAGAAGCTCAAGATGTGATCATGGAAGTAGTTTTTCCGAATGAAGTCGGCGCGCGAATACTAACGTCGGAA

TCGCAACTGACGATAACGAAAGAGAAAAAGAAGAACTTCAGGATTGCAAAATTTCGCCATTAATGGTCGCGTATATGTTG

GAACGCGAACTCGTTCGAAAAACGCGATTTCTTCCGGTTGCCGGAGGAACGAGCAGCGTGTACATAGAAGTATTACATTTG

ACGCAAGGAACGTGTTGGGAACAAATGTATACGCCGGGCGGCGAAGTTCGAAACGATGACGTAGATCAAAGTTTGATTAT

AGCGGCTCGAAATATCGTGCGACGCGCGGCGGTTTCCGCCGATCCGCTCGCGTCATTATTGGAAATGTGTCACAGCACGC

AGATAGGAGGAATCCGAATGGTCGACATTCTACGGCAAAATCCGACGGAAGAACAAGCAGTCGACATTTGCAAGGCCGCG

ATGGGACTGCGAATCAGTTCGTCTTTTAGTTTTGGCGGATTCACGTTCAAACGTACGAGTGGATCGTCAGTGAAGCGCGAA

GAAGAAGTTCTGACGGGAAATCTTCAAACATTGAAAATCCGAGTGCATGAAGGATACGAAGAATTCACTATGGTCGGTCGA

CGCGCGACAGCGATACTACGAAAAGCGACGCGTCGATTAATTCAGCTGATAGTTAGCGGACGGGACGAACAGTCGATCGC

CGAAGCGATCATCGTAGCGATGGTGTTTTCGCAAGAAGATTGCATGATAAAAGCCGTGCGAGGCGATCTAAATTTCGTAAA

TCGAGCGAATCAACGATTGAATCCGATGCATCAACTTTTGCGACATTTTCAGAAAGACGCGAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAATTGG

GGAGTCGAACCGATCGACAACGTAATGGGAATGATAGGTATTTTACCCGACATGACGCCGAGCATCGAAATGTCGATGCG

CGGCGTTCGAATCAGCAAAATGGGCGTCGACGAATATTCAAGCACAGAGCGAGTCGTTGTAAGCATTGATCGTTTTTTACG

AATACGCGATCAACGAGGTAACGTTCTTCTTTCGCCGGAAGAAGTAAGTGAAACGCAAGGTACGGAGAAACTTACGATCAC

GTATTCGTCGTCAATGATGTGGGAGATCAACGGTCCAGAATCCGTCTTGGTAAACACGTATCAATGGATCATCCGAAATTG

GGAAACAGTGAAAATTCAGTGGTCGCAAAATCCGACGATGCTTTACAACAAAATGGAATTCGAACCGTTTCAATCATTAGTT

CCAAAAGCGATTCGCGGTCAATATAGTGGATTTGTTCGAACGCTGTTTCAACAAATGCGTGACGTACTCGGAACGTTCGAC

ACCGCGCAAATTATTAAACTTCTACCGTTCGCAGCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTACTGTGA

ATGTGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGAGACTCA

CAGTTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTTCTGAGG

GGATTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGCGAAAGG

AGAGAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATACTTACTG

ACAGCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTACT

> A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_5'-CpGH

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCAAAAGAAGCTCAAGATGTGATCATGGAAGTAGTTTTTCCGAATGAAGTCGGCGCGCGAATACTAACGTCGGAA

TCGCAACTGACGATAACGAAAGAGAAAAAAGAAGAACTTCAGGATTGCAAAATTTCGCCATTAATGGTCGCGTATATGTTG

GAACGCGAACTCGTTCGAAAAACGCGATTTCTTCCGGTTGCCGGAGGAACGAGCAGCGTGTACATAGAAGTATTACATTTG

ACGCAAGGAACGTGTTGGGAACAAATGTATACGCCGGGCGGCGAAGTTCGAAACGATGACGTAGATCAAAGTTTGATTAT

AGCGGCTCGAAATATCGTGCGACGCGCGGCGGTTTCCGCCGATCCGCTCGCGTCATTATTGGAAATGTGTCACAGCACGC

AGATAGGAGGAATCCGAATGGTCGACATTCTACGGCAAAATCCGACGGAAGAACAAGCAGTCGACATTTGCAAGGCCGCG

ATGGGACTGCGAATCAGTTCGTCTTTTAGTTTTGGCGGATTCACGTTCAAACGTACGAGTGGATCGTCAGTGAAGCGCGAA

GAAGAAGTTCTGACGGGAAATCTTCAAACATTGAAAATCCGAGTGCATGAAGGATACGAAGAATTCACTATGGTCGGTCGA

CGCGCGACAGCGATACTACGAAAAGCGACGCGTCGATTAATTCAGCTGATAGTTAGCGGACGGGACGAACAGTCGATCGC

CGAAGCGATCATCGTAGCGATGGTGTTTTCGCAAGAAGATTGCATGATAAAAGCCGTGCGAGGCGATCTAAATTTCGTAAA

TCGAGCGAATCAACGATTGAATCCGATGCATCAACTTTTAAGACATTTTCAGAAGGATGCGAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAATTGG

GGAGTTGAACCTATCGACAATGTGATGGGAATGATTGGGATATTGCCCGACATGACTCCAAGCATCGAGATGTCAATGAGA

GGAGTGAGAATCAGCAAAATGGGTGTAGATGAGTACTCCAGCACGGAGAGGGTAGTGGTGAGCATTGACCGTTTTTTGAG

AATCCGGGACCAACGAGGAAATGTACTACTGTCTCCCGAGGAGGTCAGTGAAACACAGGGAACAGAGAAACTGACAATAA

CTTACTCATCGTCAATGATGTGGGAGATTAATGGTCCTGAATCAGTGTTGGTCAATACCTATCAATGGATCATCAGAAACTG

GGAAACTGTTAAAATTCAGTGGTCCCAGAACCCTACAATGCTATACAATAAAATGGAATTTGAACCATTTCAGTCTTTAGTAC

CTAAGGCCATTAGAGGCCAATACAGTGGGTTTGTAAGAACTCTGTTCCAACAAATGAGGGATGTGCTTGGGACATTTGATA

CCGCACAGATAATAAAACTTCTTCCCTTCGCAGCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTACTGTGAA

TGTGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGAGACTCAC

AGTTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTTCTGAGGG

GATTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGCGAAAGGA

GAGAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATACTTACTGA

CAGCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTACT

> A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_3'-CpGH

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCCAAGGAGGCACAGGATGTAATCATGGAAGTTGTTTTCCCTAACGAAGTGGGAGCCAGGATACTAACATCGGA

ATCGCAACTAACGATAACCAAAGAGAAGAAAGAAGAACTCCAGGATTGCAAAATTTCTCCTTTGATGGTTGCATACATGTTG

GAGAGAGAACTGGTCCGCAAAACGAGATTCCTCCCAGTGGCTGGTGGAACAAGCAGTGTGTACATTGAAGTGTTGCATTT

GACTCAAGGAACATGCTGGGAACAGATGTATACTCCAGGAGGGGAAGTGAGGAATGATGATGTTGATCAAAGCTTGATTAT

TGCTGCTAGGAACATAGTGAGAAGAGCTGCAGTATCAGCAGATCCACTAGCATCTTTATTGGAGATGTGCCACAGCACACA

GATTGGTGGAATTAGGATGGTAGACATCCTTAGGCAGAACCCAACAGAAGAGCAAGCCGTGGATATATGCAAGGCTGCAA

TGGGACTGAGAATTAGCTCATCCTTCAGTTTTGGTGGATTCACATTTAAGAGAACAAGCGGATCATCAGTCAAGAGAGAGG

AAGAGGTGCTTACGGGCAATCTTCAAACATTGAAGATAAGAGTGCATGAGGGATATGAAGAGTTCACAATGGTTGGGAGAA

GAGCAACAGCCATACTCAGAAAAGCAACCAGGAGATTGATTCAGCTGATAGTGAGTGGGAGAGACGAACAGTCGATTGCC

GAAGCAATAATTGTGGCCATGGTATTTTCACAAGAGGATTGTATGATAAAAGCAGTCAGAGGTGATCTGAATTTCGTCAATA

GGGCGAATCAGCGATTGAATCCTATGCATCAACTTTTGCGACATTTTCAGAAAGACGCGAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAATTGGG

GAGTCGAACCGATCGACAACGTAATGGGAATGATAGGTATTTTACCCGACATGACGCCGAGCATCGAAATGTCGATGCGC

GGCGTTCGAATCAGCAAAATGGGCGTCGACGAATATTCAAGCACAGAGCGAGTCGTTGTAAGCATTGATCGTTTTTTTACGA

ATACGCGATCAACGAGGTAACGTTCTTCTTTCGCCGGAAGAAGTAAGTGAAACGCAAGGTACGGAGAAACTTACGATCACG

TATTCGTCGTCAATGATGTGGGAGATCAACGGTCCAGAATCCGTCTTGGTAAACACGTATCAATGGATCATCCGAAATTGG

GAAACAGTGAAAATTCAGTGGTCGCAAAATCCGACGATGCTTTACAACAAAATGGAATTCGAACCGTTTCAATCATTAGTTC

CAAAAGCGATTCGCGGTCAATATAGTGGATTTGTTCGAACGCTGTTTCAACAAATGCGTGACGTACTCGGAACGTTCGACA

CCGCGCAAATTATTAAACTTCTACCGTTCGCAGCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTACTGTGAA

TGTGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGAGACTCAC

AGTTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTTCTGAGGG

GATTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGCGAAAGGA

GAGAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATACTTACTGA

CAGCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTACT

> A/human/PuertoRico/8_seg1_CpGM

AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTCAATATGGAAAGAATAAAAGAACTAAGAAATCTAATGTCGCAGTCTCGCACCCGCGAG

ATACTCACAAAAACCACCGTGGACCATATGGCCATAATCAAGAAGTACACATCAGGAAGACAGGAGAAGAACCCAGCACTT

AGGATGAAATGGATGATGGCAATGAAATATCCAATTACAGCAGACAAGAGGATAACGGAAATGATTCCTGAGAGAAATGAG

CAAGGACAAACTTTATGGAGTAAAATGAATGATGCCGGATCAGACCGAGTGATGGTATCACCACTGGCTGTGACATGGTG

GAATAGGAATGGACCAATAACAAATACAGTTCATTATCCAAAAATCTACAAAACTTATTTTGAAAGAGTCGAAAGGCTAAAG

CATGGAACCTTTGGCCCTGTCCATTTTAGAAACCAAGTCAAAATACGTCGGAGAGTTGACATAAATCCTGGTCATGCAGAT

CTCAGTGCCAAGGAGGCGCAGGATGTAATCATGGAAGTTGTTTTCCCGAACGAAGTGGGAGCCCGGATACTAACATCTGA

ATCCCAACTAACGATAACGAAAGAGAAGAAAGAAGAACTCCAGGATTGCAAAATTTCGCCTTTGATGGTTGCGTACATGTT

GGAGAGAGAACTCGTCCGCAAAACTCGATTCCTCCCAGTGGCTGGCGGAACAAGCAGCGTGTACATTGAAGTGTTGCATT

TGACGCAAGGAACATGCTGGGAACAGATGTATACGCCAGGAGGGGAAGTGAGGAATGATGACGTTGATCAAAGCTTGATT

ATTGCTGCTCGGAACATAGTGAGAAGAGCTGCGGTATCAGCAGATCCACTAGCGTCTTTATTGGAGATGTGCCACAGCAC

GCAGATTGGTGGAATTAGGATGGTCGACATCCTTAGGCAGAACCCAACGGAAGAGCAAGCTGTCGATATATGCAAGGCTG

CGATGGGACTGAGAATTAGCTCGTCCTTCAGTTTTGGCGGATTCACATTTAAGAGAACAAGCGGATCATCAGTCAAGCGAG

AGGAAGAGGTGCTTACGGGCAATCTTCAAACATTGAAGATACGAGTGCATGAGGGATACGAAGAGTTCACAATGGTTGGG

AGAAGAGCGACAGCCATACTCCGAAAAGCAACCAGGCGATTGATTCAGCTGATAGTGAGCGGGAGAGACGAACAGTCGAT

TGCCGAAGCGATAATTGTGGCCATGGTATTTTCGCAAGAGGATTGTATGATAAAAGCAGTCCGAGGTGATCTGAATTTCGT

CAATAGGGCCAATCAGCGATTGAATCCTATGCATCAACTTTTACGACATTTTCAGAAGGATGCGAAAGTGCTTTTTCAAAAT

TGGGGAGTCGAACCTATTGACAACGTGATGGGAATGATTGGGATATTGCCCGACATGACTCCAAGCATTGAGATGTCGAT

GAGAGGAGTGCGAATCAGCAAAATGGGTGTAGACGAGTACTCCAGCACGGAGAGGGTCGTGGTGAGCATTGACCGTTTTT

TGCGAATCAGGGACCAAAGGGGAAACGTACTACTGTCTCCCGAGGAGGTCAGTGAAACGCAGGGAACAGAGAAACTGAC

AATAACGTACTCATCGTCAATGATGTGGGAGATTAACGGTCCTGAATCAGTGTTGGTCAATACGTATCAATGGATCATCCGA

AACTGGGAAACCGTTAAAATTCAGTGGTCCCAGAACCCTACGATGCTATACAATAAAATGGAATTCGAACCGTTTCAGTCTT

TAGTACCTAAGGCCATTCGAGGCCAATACAGTGGGTTTGTAAGAACGCTGTTCCAACAAATGAGGGACGTGCTCGGGACA

TTTGATACTGCGCAGATAATAAAACTTCTTCCCTTCGCAGCTGCTCCACCGAAGCAAAGTAGAATGCAGTTCTCCTCATTTA

CTGTGAATGTGAGGGGATCAGGAATGAGAATACTTGTAAGGGGCAATTCTCCTGTATTCAACTATAACAAGGCCACGAAGA

GACTCACAGTTCTCGGAAAGGATGCTGGCACTTTAACTGAAGACCCAGATGAAGGCACAGCTGGAGTGGAGTCCGCTGTT

CTGAGGGGATTCCTCATTCTGGGCAAAGAGAACAAGAGATATGGGCCAGCACTAAGCATCAATGAACTGAGCAACCTTGC

GAAAGGAGAGAAGGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAGGAGACGTGGTGTTGGTAATGAAACGGAAACGGGACTCTAGCATAC

TTACTGACAGCCAGACAGCGACCAAAAGAATTCGGATGGCCATCAATTAGTGTCGAATAGTTTAAAAACGACCTTGTTTCTA

CT

1049

1050   **Table S2. Primers used for mutagenesis and sequencing.**

1051

1052   **Table S2A.** Primers used for site directed mutagenesis of IAV PR8 segment 8.

Target	F primer	R primer
Seg8 L95S99A	TGACATGACTGCTGAGGAAATGGCAAGG	CCTTGCCATTTCCTCAGCAGTCATGTCA
Seg8 E9697A	ATGACTCTTGCGGCAATGTCAAGGG	CCCTTGACATTGCCGCAAGAGTCAT
Seg8 P107A	CATGCTCATAGCCAAGCAGAAAG	CTTTCTGCTTGGCTATGAGCATG
Seg8 P107K108A	GCTCATAGCCGCGCAGAAAGTGG	CCACTTTCTGCGCGGCTATGAGC
Seg8 P107K108Q109A	CATAGCCGCGGCGAAAGTGGCAG	CTGCCACTTTCGCCGCGGCTATG
Seg8 P107K108Q109K110A	AGCCGCGGCGGCAGTGGCAGGC	GCCTGCCACTGCCGCCGCGGCT

1053

1054   **Table S2B.** Primers for amplification of influenza A virus A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) viral transcripts by qPCR.

Target	Forward primer	Reverse primer
PR8 segment 1	GGCTAATGTGCTAATTGGGCAAG	GGCTGTCAGTAAGTATGCTAGAG
PR8 segment 5	ATCATGGCGTCTCAAGGCACCAA	GCTCTGATTTCAGTGGCATTCTGG

1055

1056   **Table S2C.** Primers for amplification of specific bisulphite converted RNA regions.

Target	Forward primer	Reverse primer
PR8 106-304	CATATAACCATAATCAAAAAATACACATC	GTGATATTATTATTTGGTTTGATTTGG
Chicken rRNA 3163-3307	GTGATTTTTGTTTAGTGTTTTGAATG	AAATCTCATTCATCCATTCATACAC

1057

1058   **Table S2D.** Primers for generation of *in vitro* transcription amplicon templates.

Target	Forward primer	Reverse primer
T7 Seg1 -sense	AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTC	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGTAGAAACAAGGTCGTTTTTAAACTA
T7 Seg1 +sense	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTATATTC	AGTAGAAACAAGGTCGTTTTTAAACTA
T7 Seg5 -sense	AGCAAAAGCAGGGTAGATAATCA	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGTAGAAACAAGGGTATTTTTCTTTAATTGT
T7 Seg5 +sense	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGCAAAAGCAGGGTAGATAATCA	AGTAGAAACAAGGGTATTTTTCTTTAATTGT

1059

1060 **Table S2E.** Primers for Northern blotting probe amplicon templates.

Target	Forward primer	Reverse primer
PR8 segment 1 -sense probe	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGCCGCTC CACCAAAGCAAAG	TTCGACACTAATTGATGGCC
PR8 segment 1 +sense probe	GCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAG	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTTCGACAC TAATTGATGGCC
PR8 segment 5 -sense probe	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAAAAGC AGGGTAGATAATC	TCACCATTATTAGCTTGGCG
PR8 segment 5 +sense probe	CAAAAGCAGGGTAGATAATC	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTCACCATT ATTAGCTTGGCG

1061

1062 **Table S2F.** Primers for overlapping full genome sequencing.

Target	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Seg1 amplicon A	AGCGAAAGCAGGTCAATTAT	CCATGCTTTAGCCTTTCGAC
Seg1 amplicon B	ACATGGTGGAATAGGAATGG	TGCAACACTTCAATGTACAC
Seg1 amplicon C	CAAAACGAGATTCCTCCCAG	TGAAGATTGCCCGTAAGCAC
Seg1 amplicon D	AAGGCTGCAATGGGACTGAG	AGCACTTTCGCATCCTTCTG
Seg1 amplicon E	GCGATTGAATCCTATGCATC	TTGTAGGGTTCTGGGACCAC
Seg1 amplicon F	ATGGATCATCAGAAACTGGG	GGACTCCACTCCAGCTGTGC
Seg1 amplicon G	GCCGCTCCACCAAAGCAAAG	TTCGACACTAATTGATGGCC
Seg2 amplicon A	GAAAGCAGGCAAACCATTTG	TCATAGGTCTGTCGGCCTTG
Seg2 amplicon B	AACGATGGAGGTTGTTCAGC	CCCTGGGGTTGCAATTGCTC
Seg2 amplicon C	CAATGACCAAAGATGCTGAG	CTTGCTCTCAAACATATACCC
Seg2 amplicon D	AATCAGCCCGAATGGTTCAG	GTCGACTCCGGCTTGAATCC
Seg2 amplicon E	TGGTCTTCAATCCTCTGACG	GGGTTTGCTCCCACAGTTTC
Seg2 amplicon F	ATGCCATAGAGGTGACACAC	AATTGCAGCACCTTTGGTAC
Seg2 amplicon G	CCCATTTGTCAGCCATAAAG	GAAGGACAAGCTAAATTCAC
Seg3 amplicon A	AGCGAAAGCAGGTACTGATC	ACTTCTCTCCTTGTTACTCC
Seg3 amplicon B	GGCTGAGAAACCAAAGTTTC	GAATCCATCCACATAGGCTC
Seg3 amplicon C	TTGCCGACCAAAGTCTCCCG	TCATTCTCAATGTCCTGCAG
Seg3 amplicon D	GTTAAACCACACGAAAAGGG	ATGTATTCTGTGGCTCTGCAG
Seg3 amplicon E	ACATTGCAAGCATGAGAAGG	GAGGTTCCATTTGTTCTCAC
Seg3 amplicon F	AAGAAGTGCCATAGGCCAGG	CTCAATTGCTTCATATAGCC
Seg3 amplicon G	ATGATTGAAGCTGAGTCCTC	TTTTGGACAGTATGGATAGC
Seg4 amplicon A	CAACCAAAATGAAGGCAAAC	GGCCATGAGCTTTCTTTGGG

Seg4 amplicon B	GAGCTCAGTGTCATCATTCG	AATATTATTGTGTCTCCGGG
Seg4 amplicon C	TAAGAGATCAAGCTGGGAGG	AGCCTGATCCCTGTTCATTC
Seg4 amplicon D	ACTGGAATGATAGATGGATG	CATTTCTTACACTTTCCATGC
Seg4 amplicon E	GGATTTCTGGACATTTGGAC	ATTCTGCACTGCAAAGATCC
Seg5 amplicon A	CAAAAGCAGGGTAGATAATC	TCACCATTATTAGCTTGGCG
Seg5 amplicon B	GAGAGAACTCATCCTTTATG	TTCCGGCTCTCTCTCACTTG
Seg5 amplicon C	GAAATTTCAAACTGCTGCAC	TTGGAAGCAATTTGAACTCC
Seg5 amplicon D	CCAAGAGGGAAGCTTTCCAC	GTACTCCTCTGCATTGTCTC
Seg6 amplicon A	TGGATCAATCTGTCTGGTAG	ACAGGGCAGCTCATTAAGGCC
Seg6 amplicon B	TTCAAGTGGGACTGTTAAGG	GGTATCAGGGTAACAGGAAC
Seg6 amplicon C	GAGTTGAATGCACCTAATTC	CCGCTATACCCTGACCAATC
Seg6 amplicon D	GTGTATGTTGATGGAGCAAAC	GAACAGACTACTTGTCAATG
Seg7 amplicon A	GATGAGTCTTCTAACCGAGG	TGCCACTTCAGTGGTCACAG
Seg7 amplicon B	CCAAATAACATGGACAAAGC	GCTAGGATGAGTTCCAATGG
Seg7 amplicon C	GCTATGGAGCAAATGGCTGG	TTTTTACTCCAGCTCTATGC
Seg8 amplicon A	CATAATGGATCCAAACACTG	TCTCCAGCCGGTCAAAAATC
Seg8 amplicon B	AGAAGAATCCGATGAGGCAC	TTACTGCTTCTCCAAGCGAATC
Seg8 amplicon C	GACTCTAATATTGCTAAGGG	AAGCTGAAACGAGAAAGTTC
Seg1 CDLR amplicon B	ACATGGTGGAATAGGAATGG	TGCAACACTTCTATGTATAC
Seg1 CDLR amplicon C	AAAGACGAGATTCCTACCCG	TGGAGATTCCCCGTTAGCAC
Seg1 CDLR amplicon D	AAGGCTGCCATGGGGCTGAG	AGCACTTTTGCATCCTTTTG
Seg1 CDLR amplicon E	ACGATTGAACCCTATGCATC	TAGTGGGATTCTGAGACCAC
Seg1 CDLR amplicon F	ATGGATCATCAGAAACTGGG	GGACTCCACTCCAGCTGTGC
Seg1 CpGH amplicon B	ACATGGTGGAATAGGAATGG	TAATACTTCTATGTACACGC
Seg1 CpGH amplicon C	AAAAACGCGATTTCTTCCGG	TGAAGATTTCCCGTCAGAAC
Seg1 CpGH amplicon D	AAGGCCGCGATGGGACTGCG	AGCACTTTCGCGTCTTTCTG
Seg1 CpGH amplicon E	ACGATTGAATCCGATGCATC	TCGTCGGATTTTGCGACCAC
Seg1 CpGH amplicon F	ATGGATCATCCGAAATTGGG	GGACTCCACTCCAGCTGTGC

1063

1064

1065

1066 **Figure S1. Validation of ZAP pathway inhibition assays. A.** ZAP -/- A549 cells (37) were validated by western blotting. **B.**

1067 TRIM25 -/- 293 cells (38) were validated by western blotting. **C.** KHNYN -/- A549 cells (18) were validated by western blotting. **D.**

1068 Distribution of CpGs in segment 1 of recoded viruses. **E.** Distance between CpG sites in recoded region of segment 1.

1069

A549-cas9
ZAP+/+

A549-cas9
ZAP-/-
pSCRPSY

A549-cas9
ZAP-/-
ZAPL reconst.

A549-cas9
ZAP-/-
ZAPS reconst.

Hoechst   ZAP   Merge

1070

1071   **Figure S2. Validation of ZAP expression reconstitution in ZAP-/- A549 cells delivered by lentivirus vector and examined**

1072   **by confocal imaging.**

Figure S3. Bisulphite conversion does not identify any conserved m5c methylation sites in the IAV genome, and CpG enrichment does not introduce any such methylation events. Methylation signal identified across the genome of wildtype PR8 **(A)**, CDLR **(B)** and CpGH **(C)**. While the segment 1 sequence was different in A-C, the genome sequence across segments 2-8 was identical, and so for these segments, the data are representative of 3 biological repeats.

1078

1079 **Figure S4. Minireplicon assays confirmed decreased transcript and protein production associated with CpG enrichment**

1080 **of PR8 segment 1.** Minireplicon assays that reconstitute the viral polymerase were performed using a luciferase reporter (**A**,

1081 luciferase reporter signal; **B**, protein signal by western blot).

Figure S5. Sera from mice infected with 20 PFU of CpGH virus contain antibodies that are IAV-specific. 6-week old female BALB/c mice were infected with wildtype PR8 (n = 6), CDLR (n = 10) or CpGH (n = 10) viruses. After 20 days, tail bleeds were performed and sera harvested from all mice. **A.** For mice yielding sufficient sera, serum was diluted 1:100 in 2% BSA/ PBS and cross-reacted with cell lysate from MDCK cells infected with wildtype PR8 virus at MOI of 10 for 8 hours. Whole anti-IAV antibody (αH1N1 USSR77) was used as a positive control. Variable cross-reactivity to HA and NP proteins was observed for the different virus inocula, but this variability was consistent across viruses (**B**).

1089

1090 **Figure S6. Plaque phenotype of synonymously recoded viruses.** WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses were rescued in

1091 embryonated hens' eggs and titred by plaque assay in MDCK cells. Representative images taken from plaque assays performed

1092 at the same time.

1093

1094 **Figure S7. Survival of chicken embryos infected at day 10 post fertilisation.** Embryonated hens' eggs at developmental day

1095 10 were inoculated with ten-fold serial dilutions of virus stock (**A,** PR8; **B,** CDLR; **C,** CpGH) and survival was monitored by

1096 candling every 12 hours for 96 hours. The number of eggs inoculated at each dose is indicated in brackets.

1097

1098 **Figure S8. Confocal imaging of infected chicken enteroids.** WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses were used to infect chicken

1099 enteroids at 10^5 PFU/enteroid for 48 hours, and viral protein production was assessed visually using confocal microscopy.

1100 Representative z-axis projections of chicken enteroid whole-mount stained to detect cell nuclei (DAPI, blue), F-actin-expressing

1101 brush border (red) and virus nucleoprotein (green). Scale bar: 20 µm.

1102

1103 **Figure S9. Innate immune gene expression levels of WT PR8, CDLR and CpGH viruses in chicken organoids.**

1104

1105

Figure S10. Sequence coverage depth for deep sequenced serially passaged viruses recoded in segment 1, over the complete genome. A-D, PR8 wildtype virus; **E-H**, CDLR; **I-L**, CpGH; **M,** input plasmid.

1108

1109   **Figure S11. Full genome mutation traces for all serially passaged viruses. A-D**, PR8 wildtype virus; **E-H**, CDLR; **I-L**, CpGH; **M,** input plasmid.

REFERENCES

1. Simmonds, P., Xia, W., Baillie, J.K. and McKinnon, K. (2013) Modelling mutational and selection pressures on dinucleotides in eukaryotic phyla –selection against CpG and UpA in cytoplasmically expressed RNA and in RNA viruses. *BMC Genomics*, **14**.

2. Rima, B.K. and McFerran, N.V. (1997) Dinucleotide and stop codon frequencies in single-stranded RNA viruses. *Journal of General Virology*, **78**, 2859-2870.

3. Karlin, S., Doerfler, W. and Cardon, L.R. (1994) Why is CpG suppressed in the genomes of virtually all small eukaryotic viruses but not in those of large eukaryotic viruses? *Journal of Virology*, **68**, 2889-2897.

4. Takata, M.A., Gonçalves-Carneiro, D., Zang, T.M., Soll, S.J., York, A., Blanco-Melo, D. and Bieniasz, P.D. (2017) CG dinucleotide suppression enables antiviral defence targeting non-self RNA. *Nature*, **550**, 124-127.

5. Gaunt, E.R. and Digard, P. (2021) Compositional biases in RNA viruses: Causes, consequences and applications. *WIREs RNA*, e1679.

6. Li, M.M.H., Aguilar, E.G., Michailidis, E., Pabon, J., Park, P., Wu, X., de Jong, Y.P., Schneider, W.M., Molina, H., Rice, C.M. *et al.* (2019) Characterization of Novel Splice Variants of Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP). *Journal of Virology*, **93**, e00715-00719.

7. Chen, S., Xu, Y., Zhang, K., Wang, X., Sun, J., Gao, G. and Liu, Y. (2012) Structure of N-terminal domain of ZAP indicates how a zinc-finger protein recognizes complex RNA. *Nature structural & molecular biology*, **19**, 430-435.

8. Todorova, T., Bock, F.J. and Chang, P. (2014) PARP13 regulates cellular mRNA post-transcriptionally and functions as a pro-apoptotic factor by destabilizing TRAILR4 transcript. *Nat Commun*, **5**, 5362.

9. Gao, G., Guo, X. and Goff, S.P. (2002) Inhibition of Retroviral RNA production by ZAP, a CCCH-type zinc finger protein. *Science*, **297**, 1703-1706.

10. Kmiec, D., Lista, M.J., Ficarelli, M., Swanson, C.M. and Neil, S.J.D. (2021) S-farnesylation is essential for antiviral activity of the long ZAP isoform against RNA viruses with diverse replication strategies. *PLoS Pathog*, **17**, e1009726.

11. Hayakawa, S., Shiratori, S., Yamato, H., Kameyama, T., Kitatsuji, C., Kashigi, F., Goto, S., Kameoka, S., Fujikura, D., Yamada, T. *et al.* (2011) ZAPS is a potent stimulator of signaling mediated by the RNA helicase RIG-I during antiviral responses. *Nature immunology*, **12**, 37-44.

12. Guo, X., Carroll, J.-W.N., MacDonald, M.R., Goff, S.P. and Gao, G. (2004) The Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein Directly Binds to Specific Viral mRNAs through the CCCH Zinc Finger Motifs. *Journal of Virology*, **78**, 12781.

13. Li, M.M.H., Lau, Z., Cheung, P., Aguilar, E.G., Schneider, W.M., Bozzacco, L., Molina, H., Buehler, E., Takaoka, A., Rice, C.M. *et al.* (2017) TRIM25 enhances the antiviral action of zinc-finger antiviral protein (ZAP). *PLoS Pathogens*, **13**, e1006145.

14. Zheng, X., Wang, X., Tu, F., Wang, Q., Fan, Z. and Gao, G. (2017) TRIM25 is required for the antiviral activity of zinc finger antiviral protein. *Journal of Virology*, **91**, e00088-00017.

15. Zhu, Y., Chen, G., Lv, F., Wang, X., Ji, X., Xu, Y., Sun, J., Wu, L., Zheng, Y.T. and Gao, G. (2011) Zinc-finger antiviral protein inhibits HIV-1 infection by selectively targeting multiply spliced viral mRNAs for degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **108**, 15834-15839.

16. Ficarelli, M., Wilson, H., Pedro Galão, R., Mazzon, M., Antzin-Anduetza, I., Marsh, M., Neil, S.J.D. and Swanson, C.M. (2019) KHNYN is essential for the zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP) to restrict HIV-1 containing clustered CpG dinucleotides. *Elife*, **8**, e46767.

17. Ficarelli, M., Antzin-Anduetza, I., Hugh-White, R., Firth, A.E., Sertkaya, H., Wilson, H., Neil, S.J.D., Schulz, R. and Swanson, C.M. (2020) CpG dinucleotides inhibit HIV-1 replication through zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP)-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Journal of Virology*, **94**, e01337-01319.

18. Gonçalves-Carneiro, D., Takata, M.A., Ong, H., Shilton, A. and Bieniasz, P.D. (2021) Origin and evolution of the zinc finger antiviral protein. *PLoS Pathogens*, **17**, e1009545.

19. Goossens, K.E., Karpala, A.J., Ward, A. and Bean, A.G. (2014) Characterisation of chicken ZAP. *Developmental and comparative immunology*, **46**, 373-381.

20. Gomez, P.L. and Robinson, J.M. (2018) Vaccine Manufacturing. *Plotkin's Vaccines*, 51-60.e51.

21.   Gonçalves-Carneiro, D., Mastrocola, E., Lei, X., DaSilva, J., Chan, Y.F. and Bieniasz, P.D. (2022)
Rational attenuation of RNA viruses with zinc finger antiviral protein. *Nature Microbiology*, **7**, 1558-
1567.
22.   Grohskopf, L.A., Alyanak, E., Ferdinands, J.M., Broder, K.R., Blanton, L.H., Talbot, H.K. and Fry, A.M.
(2021) Prevention and Control of Seasonal Influenza with Vaccines: Recommendations of the
Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, United States, 2021-22 Influenza Season.
Morbidity and mortality weekly report. Recommendations and reports, **70**, 1-28.
23.   Singanayagam, A., Zambon, M., Lalvani, A. and Barclay, W. (2018) Urgent challenges in
implementing live attenuated influenza vaccine. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, **18**, e25-e32.
24.   Gould, P.S., Easton, A.J. and Dimmock, N.J. (2017) Live Attenuated Influenza Vaccine contains
Substantial and Unexpected Amounts of Defective Viral Genomic RNA. *Viruses*, **9**.
25.   Ran, F.A., Hsu, Patrick D., Lin, C.-Y., Gootenberg, Jonathan S., Konermann, S., Trevino, A.E., Scott,
David A., Inoue, A., Matoba, S., Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2013) Double Nicking by RNA-Guided CRISPR Cas9
for Enhanced Genome Editing Specificity. *Cell*, **154**, 1380-1389.
26.   Idoko-Akoh, A., Taylor, L., Sang, H.M. and McGrew, M.J. (2018) High fidelity CRISPR/Cas9 increases
precise monoallelic and biallelic editing events in primordial germ cells. *Scientific Reports*, **8**.
27.   Manini, I., Trombetta, C.M., Lazzeri, G., Pozzi, T., Rossi, S. and Montomoli, E. (2017) Egg-
Independent Influenza Vaccines and Vaccine Candidates. **5**, 18.
28.   Milián, E. and Kamen, A.A. (2015) Current and Emerging Cell Culture Manufacturing Technologies
for Influenza Vaccines. *BioMed Research International*, **2015**, 504831.
29.   Chen, J.-R., Liu, Y.-M., Tseng, Y.-C. and Ma, C. (2020) Better influenza vaccines: an industry
perspective. *Journal of Biomedical Science*, **27**.
30.   Hegde, N.R. (2015) Cell culture-based influenza vaccines: A necessary and indispensable investment
for the future. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, **11**, 1223-1234.
31.   Fodor, E., Devenish, L., Engelhardt, O.G., Palese, P., Brownlee, G.G. and García-Sastre, A. (1999)
Rescue of Influenza A Virus from recombinant DNA. *Journal of Virology*, **73**, 9679-9682.
32.   Hoffmann, E., Neumann, G., Kawaoka, Y., Hobom, G. and Webster, R.G. (2000) A DNA transfection
system for generation of influenza A virus from eight plasmids. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences*, **97**, 6108.
33.   Gog, J.R., Afonso, E.D.S., Dalton, R.M., Leclercq, I., Tiley, L., Elton, D., von Kirchbach, J.C., Naffakh,
N., Escriou, N. and Digard, P. (2007) Codon conservation in the influenza A virus genome defines
RNA packaging signals. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **35**, 1897-1907.
34.   Wise, H., Barbezange, C., Jagger, B.W., Dalton, R.M., Gog, J.R., Curran, M.D., Taubenberger, J.K.,
Anderson, E.C. and Digard, P. (2011) Overlapping signals for translational regulation and packaging
of influenza A virus segment 2. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **39**, 7775-7790.
35.   Dadonaite, B., Gilbertson, B., Knight, M.L., Trifkovic, S., Rockman, S., Laederach, A., Brown, L.E.,
Fodor, E. and Bauer, D.L.V. (2019) The structure of the influenza A virus genome. *Nature
Microbiology*, **4**, 1781-1789.
36.   Pinto, R.M., Lycett, S., Gaunt, E. and Digard, P. (2020) Accessory Gene Products of Influenza A Virus.
Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, **1**, a038380.
37.   Shaw, A.E., Rihn, S.J., Mollentze, N., Wickenhagen, A., Stewart, D.G., Orton, R.J., Kuchi, S., Bakshi, S.,
Collados, M.R., Turnbull, M.L. *et al.* (2021) The antiviral state has shaped the CpG composition of
the vertebrate interferome to avoid self-targeting. *PLoS biology*, **19**, e3001352.
38.   Choudhury, N.R., Heikel, G., Trus, I., Dos Santos Pinto, R.M., Trubitsyna, M., Gaunt, E., Digard, P.
and Michlewski, G. (2021) TRIM25 inhibits Influenza A infection by destabilising its mRNA and is
redundant for the RIG-I pathway. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2009.2013.460052.
39.   Lin, Y.-T., Chiweshe, S., McCormick, D., Raper, A., Wickenhagen, A., DeFillipis, V., Gaunt, E.,
Simmonds, P., Wilson, S.J. and Grey, F. (2020) Human cytomegalovirus evades ZAP detection by
suppressing CpG dinucleotides in the major immediate early genes. *PLoS Pathogens*,
2020.2001.2007.897132.
40.   Li, X., Gu, M., Zheng, Q., Gao, R. and Liu, X. (2021) Packaging signal of influenza A virus. *Virology
Journal*, **18**, 36.

41.   Wise, H., Gaunt, E., Ping, J., Holzer, B., Jasim, S., Lycett, S.J., Murphy, L., Livesey, A., Brown, R.,
Smith, N. *et al.* (2019) An alternative AUG codon that produces an N-terminally extended form of
the influenza A virus NP is a virulence factor for a swine-derived virus. *bioRxiv*, 738427.
42.   Moore, L.D., Le, T. and Fan, G. (2013) DNA Methylation and Its Basic Function.
Neuropsychopharmacology, **38**, 23-38.
43.   Goll, M.G., Kirpekar, F., Maggert, K.A., Yoder, J.A., Hsieh, C.L., Zhang, X., Golic, K.G., Jacobsen, S.E.
and Bestor, T.H. (2006) Methylation of tRNAAsp by the DNA methyltransferase homolog Dnmt2.
Science, **311**, 395-398.
44.   Brzezicha, B., Schmidt, M., Makałowska, I., Jarmołowski, A., Pieńkowska, J. and Szweykowska-
Kulińska, Z. (2006) Identification of human tRNA:m5C methyltransferase catalysing intron-
dependent m5C formation in the first position of the anticodon of the pre-tRNA Leu (CAA). *Nucleic
Acids Research*, **34**, 6034-6043.
45.   Cooper, D.N. and Krawczak, M. (1989) Cytosine methylation and the fate of CpG dinucleotides in
vertebrate genomes. *Human Genetics*, **83**, 181-188.
46.   Khabar, K.S.A. and Young, H.A. (2007) Post-transcriptional control of the interferon system.
Biochimie, **89**, 761-769.
47.   Atasheva, S., Frolova Elena, I. and Frolov, I. (2014) Interferon-Stimulated Poly(ADP-Ribose)
Polymerases Are Potent Inhibitors of Cellular Translation and Virus Replication. *Journal of Virology*,
88, 2116-2130.
48.   García-Sastre, A., Egorov, A., Matassov, D., Brandt, S., Levy, D.E., Durbin, J.E., Palese, P. and Muster,
T. (1998) Influenza A Virus Lacking the NS1 Gene Replicates in Interferon-Deficient Systems.
Virology, **252**, 324-330.
49.   Talon, J., Horvath Curt, M., Polley, R., Basler Christopher, F., Muster, T., Palese, P. and García-
Sastre, A. (2000) Activation of Interferon Regulatory Factor 3 Is Inhibited by the Influenza A Virus
NS1 Protein. *Journal of Virology*, **74**, 7989-7996.
50.   Koliopoulos, M.G., Lethier, M., van der Veen, A.G., Haubrich, K., Hennig, J., Kowalinski, E., Stevens,
R.V., Martin, S.R., Reis e Sousa, C., Cusack, S. *et al.* (2018) Molecular mechanism of influenza A NS1-
mediated TRIM25 recognition and inhibition. *Nature communications*, **9**, 1820-1820.
51.   Tang, Q., Wang, X. and Gao, G. (2017) The Short Form of the Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein Inhibits
Influenza A Virus Protein Expression and Is Antagonized by the Virus-Encoded NS1. **91**, e01909-
01916.
52.   Pereira, C.F., Wise, H.M., Kurian, D., Pinto, R.M., Amorim, M.J., Gill, A.C. and Digard, P. (2018)
Effects of mutations in the effector domain of influenza A virus NS1 protein. *BMC Research Notes*,
11, 673.
53.   Guo, X., Ma, J., Sun, J. and Gao, G. (2007) The zinc-finger antiviral protein recruits the RNA
processing exosome to degrade the target mRNA. *PNAS*, **104**, 151-156.
54.   Wise, H.M., Foeglein, A., Sun, J., Dalton, R.M., Patel, S., Howard, W., Anderson, E.C., Barclay, W.S.
and Digard, P. (2009) A complicated message: Identification of a novel PB1-related protein
translated from influenza A virus segment 2 mRNA. *J Virol*, **83**, 8021-8031.
55.   Pérez Rubio, A. and Eiros, J.M. (2018) Cell culture-derived flu vaccine: Present and future. *Hum
Vaccin Immunother*, **14**, 1874-1882.
56.   Esnault, E., Bonsergent, C., Larcher, T., Bed'hom, B., Vautherot, J.-F., Delaleu, B., Guigand, L.,
Soubieux, D., Marc, D. and Quéré, P. (2011) A novel chicken lung epithelial cell line:
Characterization and response to low pathogenicity avian influenza virus. *Virus Research*, **159**, 32-
42.
57.   Hinshaw, V.S., Webster, R.G., Naeve, C.W. and Murphy, B.R. (1983) Altered tissue tropism of
human-avian reassortant influenza viruses. *Virology*, **128**, 260-263.
58.   Nash, T.J., Morris, K.M., Mabbott, N.A. and Vervelde, L. (2021) Inside-out chicken enteroids with
leukocyte component as a model to study host–pathogen interactions. *Communications Biology*, **4**,
377.
59.   Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1986) Polymorphism and evolution of influenza A virus genes. *Molecular
Biology and Evolution*, **3**, 57-74.

60.   Magor, K.E., Miranzo Navarro, D., Barber, M.R.W., Petkau, K., Fleming-Canepa, X., Blyth, G.A.D. and
1268   Blaine, A.H. (2013) Defense genes missing from the flight division. *Developmental and comparative*
1269   *immunology*, **41**, 377-388.
1270   61.   Maassab, H.F. and DeBorde, D.C. (1985) Development and characterization of cold-adapted viruses
1271   for use as live virus vaccines. *Vaccine*, **3**, 355-369.
1272   62.   Jang, Y.H. and Seong, B.-L. (2012) Principles underlying rational design of live attenuated influenza
1273   vaccines. *Clin Exp Vaccine Res*, **1**, 35-49.
1274   63.   Maassab, H.F. and Bryant, M.L. (1999) The development of live attenuated cold-adapted influenza
1275   virus vaccine for humans. *Reviews in Medical Virology*, **9**, 237-244.
1276   64.   de Wit, E., Spronken, M.I., Bestebroer, T.M., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., Osterhaus, A.D. and Fouchier, R.A.
1277   (2004) Efficient generation and growth of influenza virus A/PR/8/34 from eight cDNA fragments.
1278   *Virus Res*, **103**, 155-161.
1279   65.   Simmonds, P. (2012) SSE: a nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis platform. *BMC Research*
1280   *Notes*, **5**, 50.
1281   66.   Yamayoshi, S., Watanabe, M., Goto, H. and Kawaoka, Y. (2016) Identification of a Novel Viral
1282   Protein Expressed from the PB2 Segment of Influenza A Virus. *J Virol*, **90**, 444-456.
1283   67.   Gaunt, E., Wise, H.M., Zhang, H., Lee, L.N., Atkinson, N.J., Nicol, M.Q., Highton, A.J., Klenerman, P.,
1284   Beard, P.M., Dutia, B.M. *et al.* (2016) Elevation of CpG frequencies in influenza A genome
1285   attenuates pathogenicity but enhances host response to infection. *Elife*, **5**, e12735-e12735.
1286   68.   Hutchinson E. C., Curran M. D., Read E. K., Gog J. R. and Digard, P. (2008) Mutational Analysis of cis-
1287   Acting RNA Signals in Segment 7 of Influenza A Virus. *Journal of Virology*, **82**, 11869-11879.
1288   69.   Wise HM., Foeglein, A., Sun, J., Dalton Rosa, M., Patel, S., Howard, W., Anderson E. C., Barclay W. S.
1289   and Digard, P. (2009) A Complicated Message: Identification of a Novel PB1-Related Protein
1290   Translated from Influenza A Virus Segment 2 mRNA. *Journal of Virology*, **83**, 8021-8031.
1291   70.   Hirst , G.K. (1942) The quantitative determination of influenza virus and antibodies by means of red
1292   cell agglutination. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, **75**, 49-64.
1293   71.   Cold Spring Harbor. (2006) Laemmli sample buffer (2X). pdb.rec10424.
1294   72.   Carrasco, M., Amorim, M.J. and Digard, P. (2004) Lipid raft-dependent targeting of the influenza A
1295   virus nucleoprotein to the apical plasma membrane. *Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark)*, **5**, 979-992.
1296   73.   Afgan, E., Baker, D., Batut, B., van den Beek, M., Bouvier, D., Čech, M., Chilton, J., Clements, D.,
1297   Coraor, N., Grüning, B.A. *et al.* (2018) The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and
1298   collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **46**, W537-W544.
1299   74.   Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient
1300   alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology*, **10**, R25.
1301   75.   Borowska, D., Kuo, R., Bailey, R.A., Watson, K.A., Kaiser, P., Vervelde, L. and Stevens, M.P. (2019)
1302   Highly multiplexed quantitative PCR-based platform for evaluation of chicken immune responses.
1303   *PLoS ONE*, **14**, e0225658.
1304   76.   Hoffmann, E., Stech, J., Guan, Y., Webster, R.G. and Perez, D.R. (2001) Universal primer set for the
1305   full-length amplification of all influenza A viruses. *Arch Virol*, **146**, 2275-2289.
1306   77.   Grubaugh, N.D., Gangavarapu, K., Quick, J., Matteson, N.L., De Jesus, J.G., Main, B.J., Tan, A.L., Paul,
1307   L.M., Brackney, D.E., Grewal, S. *et al.* (2019) An amplicon-based sequencing framework for
1308   accurately measuring intrahost virus diversity using PrimalSeq and iVar. *Genome Biology*, **20**, 8.