Hybridization drives mitochondrial DNA degeneration and metabolic shift in a species

with biparental mitochondrial inheritance

Mathieu Hénault[1245*], Souhir Marsit[12345#a], Guillaume Charron[1345#b] and Christian R. Landry[12345]

[1]Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

[2]Département de biochimie, microbiologie et bioinformatique, Université Laval, Québec, QC,

Canada

[3]Département de biologie, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

[4]Quebec Network for Research on Protein Function, Engineering, and Applications (PROTEO),

Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

[5]Université Laval Big Data Research Center (BDRC_UL), Québec, QC, Canada

[#a]Current address: Département de biologie, chimie et géographie, Université du Québec à

Rimouski, Rimouski, QC, Canada

[#b]Current address: Centre de foresterie des Laurentides, Ressources naturelles Canada,

Québec, QC, Canada

*Corresponding author

E-mail: mathieu.henault.1@ulaval.ca

ABSTRACT

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is a cytoplasmic genome that is essential for respiratory

metabolism. While uniparental maternal mtDNA inheritance is most common in animals and

plants, distinct mtDNA haplotypes can coexist in a state of heteroplasmy, either because of

paternal leakage or de novo mutations. MtDNA integrity and the resolution of heteroplasmy

have important implications, notably for mitochondrial genetic disorders, genome evolution and

speciation. However, knowledge on the factors driving heteroplasmy resolution is sparse. Here,

we use *Saccharomyces* yeasts, fungi with constitutive biparental mtDNA inheritance, to

investigate the resolution of mtDNA heteroplasmy in a variety of hybrid genotypes. We analyzed

mtDNA sequence evolution and growth phenotypes in a collection of 864 hybrid yeast lines that

were experimentally evolved under relaxed selection for mitochondrial function, allowing us to

explore the near-neutral evolution of mtDNAs. The lines were subdivided into 11 different hybrid

crosses among natural lineages of *Saccharomyces paradoxus* and its sister species

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, yielding a variety of hybrid genotypes along a gradient of parental

divergence. We observed extensive mtDNA recombination, but recombination rates were not

predicted by parental divergence and were genotype-specific. However, we found a strong

positive relationship between parental divergence and the rate of large-scale mtDNA deletions,

which lead to the loss of respiratory metabolism. We also uncovered associations between

mtDNA recombination, deletion, and genome instability that were genotype-specific. Our results

show that hybridization in yeast induces mtDNA degeneration, with deep consequences for

mtDNA evolution, metabolism and the emergence of reproductive isolation between species.

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondrial DNAs (mtDNAs) are genomes that are maintained and expressed in mitochondria, which are ATP-producing organelles shared by virtually all Eukaryotes (Müller et al. 2012). Although mtDNA content varies greatly across Eukaryotes, all encode genes involved in respiration and protein synthesis (Adams and Palmer 2003). Most animals and plants have uniparental maternal mtDNAs inheritance. Many exceptions exist to this rule, either because of constitutive uniparental or biparental inheritance (Breton et al. 2015; Wilson and Xu 2012; Wang et al. 2015), or leakage of paternal mtDNA (Parakatselaki and Ladoukakis 2021). Leakage and biparental mtDNA inheritance lead to a state of heteroplasmy, which is the intracellular coexistence of multiple mtDNA haplotypes. Evidence for paternal leakage is growing, even in species long considered to have strict uniparental maternal inheritance (Ladoukakis and Zouros 2017; Kondo et al. 1990; Payne et al. 2013; Gyllensten et al. 1991). Heteroplasmy may have important implications for mtDNA evolution, notably by enabling recombination between otherwise clonal mtDNAs (Rokas et al. 2003; Tsaousis et al. 2005; Ladoukakis et al. 2011). In humans, heteroplasmy is determinant in the onset of mitochondrial genetic disease, which are linked to inherited or de novo mtDNA variants (Stewart and Chinnery 2015; Wallace 2015; Stewart and Chinnery 2021). Additionally, when diverged populations or species hybridize, heteroplasmy can lead to the coexistence of more or less diverged mtDNAs which can then interact.

Genes encoded on mtDNAs are necessary, but not sufficient for carrying their essential metabolic function. Interactions between gene products encoded by mtDNAs and nuclear genomes imply the requirement for tight coevolution (Burton and Barreto 2012; Piccinini et al. 2021). Mitonuclear coevolution has important implications in the context of heteroplasmy in hybrids. Because mtDNA replication and segregation are non-mendelian, heteroplasmy is generally transient and a single mtDNA haplotype is eventually fixed by vegetative segregation

(Birky 2001). The return to homoplasmy fixes a set of mtDNA alleles belonging to either parental

haplotype, or a mix of parental alleles in the case of recombination (Rokas et al. 2003; Tsaousis

et al. 2005). Heteroplasmy resolution can lead to the fixation of alleles involved in mitonuclear

incompatibilities, thus compromising mitochondrial function and hybrid fitness. In addition,

mitochondrial alleles can interact epistatically within recombinant mtDNAs (mito-mito epistasis)

(Wolters et al. 2018).

Fundamental properties of hybrids may determine the outcome of heteroplasmy resolution. One

general prediction is that the potential for epistatic interactions will scale with the level of

evolutionary divergence between the parents (Orr 1995). As such, mitonuclear incompatibilities

are expected to be more frequent between distantly related genomes compared to closely

related ones (Burton 2022). Under the same rationale, mito-mito incompatibilities are expected

to show increased prevalence between more diverged mtDNAs. Nucleotide sequence

divergence between mtDNAs is also expected to reduce the opportunities for homologous

recombination. Little is known about the path to heteroplasmy resolution in hybrids and its

consequences for hybrid evolution. Notably, how parental divergence shapes the landscape of

mtDNA recombination is unknown. Additionally, whether parental divergence favors the loss of

mitochondrial function in hybrids and, if so, by which mechanism, remains unexplored.

Answering these questions requires a model system in which heteroplasmy is dominant and

that can easily generate a large diversity of F1 hybrid genotypes.

Like many other fungi (Wilson and Xu 2012), yeasts of the *Saccharomyces* genus have

biparental mtDNA inheritance (Solieri 2010). This enables the inheritance of either parental

mtDNA in F1 hybrids, as well as recombination between parental haplotypes (Dujon et al.

1974). Because yeast F1 hybrids are initially heteroplasmic and quickly transition to

homoplasmy by mitotic vegetative segregation (Solieri 2010), they are a powerful system to

investigate the factors driving heteroplasmy resolution. In addition, respiratory metabolism in

yeast is facultative, enabling the study of neutral mtDNA evolution without the selective pressure

to maintain respiration. The undomesticated species *Saccharomyces paradoxus* has natural

lineages with wide variation in genetic diversity and evolutionary divergence (Kuehne et al.

2007; Charron et al. 2014a; Xia et al. 2017; Leducq et al. 2016), allowing laboratory crosses that

span various levels of parental divergence.

Mutation accumulation (MA) is a type of experimental evolution that minimizes the power of

natural selection. Combined with genome sequencing, MA experiments produce near-unbiased

estimates of the spectrum of genomic changes (Lynch et al. 2016). We previously performed a

large-scale MA experiment on yeast hybrids (Charron et al. 2019; Hénault et al. 2020). We

generated 864 hybrid MA lines from independent mating events, which were subdivided into

eleven crosses (with 48 to 96 independent lines each). Parental strains comprised 11 wild

isolates of *S. paradoxus* and two wild isolates of its sister species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

spanning a range of evolutionary divergence from intra-lineage to interspecific (Fig. 1A). We

submitted each line to periodical extreme bottlenecks by streaking for single colonies on solid

medium (Joseph and Hall 2004; Lynch et al. 2008) (Fig. 1B) until they reached ~770 mitotic

generations (Charron et al. 2019). Here, we use this collection and the associated whole-

genome sequencing datasets (Hénault et al. 2020; Marsit et al. 2021) to investigate the

resolution of heteroplasmy in a variety of hybrid genotypes.

RESULTS

Parental strains of the MA experiment display extensive variation in mtDNA content

We sequenced the genome of the 13 parental strains (Supplemental Table S1) using Oxford

Nanopore long reads to produce high-quality reference assemblies of their mtDNAs

(Supplemental Fig. S1). The annotation of these assemblies revealed variation in mtDNA

content, mostly in the presence of introns in the *COB* and *COX1* genes (Fig. 1C). MtDNA

content was consistent within lineages, although important diversity was found in *SpB*. To enable comparative analyses based on a common reference sequence, the most complete genome (LL2012_028) was used as a template to build an artificial reference genome by adding missing introns at the orthologous splice sites.

Figure 1. Experimental design of the MA experiment and mtDNA contents of the parental strains. (*A*) Cross scheme for the generation of 864 independent MA lines subdivided into 11 crosses spanning intra-lineage (*SpC×SpC*, CC; *SpB×SpB*, BB), intraspecific (*SpB×SpC*, BC; *SpB×SpA*, BA) and interspecific (*SpB×S. cerevisiae*, BSc) parental divergence. Each cross was replicated to found 48 to 96 independent evolution lines, all arising from distinct mating events. (*B*) Microbial MA experiments minimize the efficiency of natural selection. Periodical extreme bottlenecks are achieved through streaking for single colonies on solid medium, which amplifies genetic drift. (*C*) Annotation summary of high-quality reference mtDNA assemblies for each parental strain. Squares denote the presence of each feature in the corresponding genome. Light blue, protein-coding genes; dark blue, protein-coding exons; white, introns; grey, RNA-coding genes; black, RNA-coding exons; red, intronic or free-standing ORFs; green, tRNAs.

 The landscape of mtDNA recombination is not predicted by parental divergence

For each cross, 36 to 47 lines (average 41) were previously selected at random for short-read

whole-genome sequencing at the initial and final timepoints of the MA experiment (Hénault et al.

2020; Marsit et al. 2021) (Supplemental Table S2). For each cross, we identified a set of

confident mtDNA variants and used them as markers to discriminate parental haplotypes. We

then used alleles at marker positions to identify the recombination tracts for individual MA lines,

yielding 482 independent mtDNA haplotypes. For all lines at both timepoints, minor allele

frequencies were consistent with homoplasmy, with the vast majority of minor alleles below

0.5% (Supplemental Fig. S2). MtDNA haplotypes revealed that lines from all cross types

experienced some extent of recombination (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S3-13). Only BA1

exhibited no recombination event across all the sequenced lines. Some crosses exhibited

recombination hotspots, yielding high local similarity among haplotypes (Fig. 2B).

Figure 2. Recombination is pervasive between parental mtDNA haplotypes of hybrid MA lines.
(*A*) Stacks show recombination tracts colored by parental haplotype (Fig 1A). Outer circles show
annotation summary as in Fig 1C, with coordinates in kb. (*B*) Density of markers (red) and
recombination junctions (black) in 100 bp-wide windows. Recombination junction density is
normalized by marker density. Annotation summary corresponds to Fig. 1C.

CC2 and BC1 crosses harbored the mtDNA haplotypes with the highest count of recombination

tracts (Fig. 3A). Recombination rate displayed no significant trend with evolutionary divergence

(based on nuclear variants) between the parents of a cross (Fig. 3B). Notably, the interspecific

BSc crosses had recombination rates similar to intraspecific crosses. Excluding BSc crosses did

not yield   better correlations, suggesting an absence of relationship with evolutionary

divergence, even at the intraspecific level. The average length of recombination tracts was

higher for BSc crosses and had a significant positive relationship with parental divergence (Fig.

3C). Neither recombination rate nor tract length correlated with marker counts, suggesting that

these estimates are largely unaffected by parental marker density. This indicates that mtDNA

recombination rate is independent of the global evolutionary divergence level between the

parents of a hybrid, contrary to the expectation that nucleotide sequence similarity should

enable recombination.

Figure 3. MtDNA recombination breakpoints are enriched for loci corresponding to protein-coding exons. (*A*) Counts of recombination tracts per line. (*B*) Left: recombination rate distributions for individual lines. Black circles show average recombination rates. Right: Pearson's correlations between mean recombination rate and number of markers or parental evolutionary divergence. The correlation in red excludes BSc crosses. (*C*) Left: length distributions for individual recombination tracts. Black circles show average recombination tract lengths. Right: Pearson's correlations between mean recombination tract length and number of markers or parental evolutionary divergence. The correlation in red excludes BSc crosses. (*D*) Distributions of annotation feature types for markers (top) and recombination breakpoints (bottom). (*E*) Frequency difference between breakpoints and markers for each feature type. FDR-corrected p-values of chi-squared tests for frequency deviations within each cross are shown (***: p≤0.001). (*F*) Percentage of nucleotide identity between parental mtDNAs for each annotation category. (*G*) Frequency difference against nucleotide identity.

We classified recombination breakpoints by annotation feature type and contrasted the resulting

distributions with parental markers (Fig. 3D). We found a global overrepresentation of protein-

coding exons in recombination breakpoints through all crosses (Fig. 3E). While protein-coding

exons retain high nucleotide sequence identity in all crosses (Fig. 3F), it does not solely explain

their overrepresentation, as other annotation types displayed equally high sequence identity

(Fig. 3G). In summary, recombination between mtDNAs occurs preferentially near protein-

coding exon sequences, regardless of the parental divergence of the crosses.

We asked whether the overrepresentation of exons can be explained by adjacent intron

mobilization. We examined the depth of coverage at intron-exon junctions, which show

distinctive patterns in the presence or absence of an intron. We identified seven putative

mobility events of *cox1*-I1 in BB1 and one putative mobility event of *cob*-I3 in BC1

(Supplemental Fig. S14). A total of 15 recombination junctions were consistent with

mobilization-mediated recombination (Supplemental Fig. S15). Notably, *cox1*-I1 accounts for six

of the eight putative recombination-associated mobilization events in BB crosses. Although

many intron presence/absence polymorphisms were consistent with mobilization-associated

recombination, mobilization potentially explained only a minor fraction of the total recombination

junctions (7/50 in BB1, 1/34 in BB2, 3/78 in BC1, 3/54 in BSc1 and 1/30 in BSc2).

MtDNA recombination may yield biases in the inheritance of parental alleles. The average

mtDNA-wide inheritance ratio for each cross did not correlate with parental mtDNA copy number

ratios estimated from depth of coverage of long-read sequencing libraries (Supplemental Fig.

S16). However, copy number estimates may have low accuracy since mtDNA abundance

depends on the growth phase (Galeota-Sprung et al. 2021) and we did not optimize the DNA

extraction cultures for synchronicity. They nevertheless suggest that parental mtDNA copy

numbers do not determine inheritance probability. We found significant deviations from mtDNA-

wide inheritance ratios for some genes (Supplemental Fig. S17). Similar patterns were found in

the two BSc crosses, with segments centered on *COX2* being mostly inherited from the *SpB*

parent.

Parental divergence predicts the frequency of loss of respiratory function and large-scale

mtDNA deletions

We next characterized the loss of respiratory function in MA lines. The loss of respiration in

yeast is most often explained by the loss of mtDNA integrity through large-scale deletions

(Bernardi 1979). We measured the growth of all MA lines on media containing fermentable

(glucose, YPD) and non-fermentable (glycerol and ethanol, YPEG) carbon sources using high-

density colony array imaging. Since respiratory effects of mtDNA haplotypes or mitonuclear

interactions are often revealed with exposure to high temperatures (Li et al. 2019; Paliwal et al.

2014), growth was measured at both 25°C and 37°C. Growth on YPEG revealed differences

between cross types. Many CC lines became thermosensitive, while many BC lines exhibited

growth advantages at either 25°C or 37°C (Supplemental Fig. S18). We classified lines as non-

respiring if no growth was scored on YPEG at both temperatures (Supplemental Fig. S19). The

frequency of respiration loss was higher for more divergent crosses, with BSc being one order

of magnitude higher than CC crosses (Fig. 4A). We quantified the depth of sequencing

coverage along the mtDNA sequence and classified lines as harboring complete mtDNAs or

mtDNAs with deletions (Supplemental Fig. S20-30). All parental strains had complete mtDNAs.

The frequencies of mtDNAs with deletions closely matched the frequencies of respiratory loss

(Fig. 4B) and had a significant positive relationship with parental divergence (Pearson's

correlation, r=0.88, p-value=3×10^{-4}, Fig. 4C). For most crosses, deletions in mtDNAs had

significantly negative effects on growth in YPD (Fig. 4D), consistent with loss of respiratory

ability in *S. cerevisiae* (Ephrussi et al. 1949; Vowinckel et al. 2021). In contrast, deletions were

associated with complete loss of growth in YPEG (Fig. 4D).

Focusing on respiring lines, we looked at the effect of culture medium and evolution time (final

vs initial timepoint) on growth. A linear mixed effect model with random intercepts for crosses

showed significant global negative effect of YPEG versus YPD, as well as significant pairwise

interactions between carbon source, temperature and time (Supplemental Table S3). Linear

models fitted individually for each cross recapitulated a globally negative effect of YPEG versus

YPD on growth (Supplemental Fig. S31). Many terms exhibited patterns consistent with cross

types. For instance, the growth of less divergent CC crosses generally decreased with time,

while the more divergent crosses BC, BA and BSc increased (Supplemental Fig. S31,32).

We asked if mtDNA haplotypes impacted the growth phenotypes of respiring lines. The only

difference was found between non-recombinant *SpB* and *SpA* mtDNAs in the BA1 cross on

YPEG at 37°C (Supplemental Fig. S33,34), with *SpB* having a significant growth advantage

(Mann-Whitney U test p-value=1.56×10^{-6}, FDR-corrected). Lines with *SpB* mtDNAs also tended

to grow better on YPEG at 37°C in the BC2 cross, which has many of the largest effect sizes

(Supplemental Fig. S34), hinting towards a similar thermotolerance phenotype against *SpC* and

recombinant mtDNAs. These results suggest that mitochondrial genetic variation is linked to

thermal and respiratory adaptations in wild *S. paradoxus*.

Figure 4. Frequent deletions in mtDNAs lead to loss of respiratory function and occur preferentially in crosses with higher parental divergence. (*A*) Percentage of all MA lines with loss of respiration. Counts of lines (at initial and final timepoints combined) are shown. (*B*) Percentage of sequenced MA lines per cross with mtDNA deletions. Counts of lines (at initial and final timepoints combined) are shown. (*C*) Pearson's correlation between percentage of mtDNAs with deletions and parental divergence for each cross. The correlation shown in red excludes BSc crosses. (*D*) Area under growth curves (AUC) for lines without and with mtDNA deletions in the four tested conditions. FDR-corrected p-values for Mann-Whitney U tests between mtDNAs without and with deletions are shown. Images show the growth of representative lines (the closest to the median of each distribution) after four days.

We next investigated which mtDNA segments were mostly involved in deletions. We compared

depth of coverage profiles along mtDNAs and found recurring patterns among mtDNAs of non-

14   14

respiring lines (Fig. 5). Notably, many BSc haplotypes comprised only *COX2* and *COX3*. This

recurrent pattern emerged at two levels, among independently evolved lines and in the

independent crosses BSc1 and BSc2. These results suggest that mtDNA deletions may be

predictable and repeatable in hybrids, and that high frequencies of deletions are partly

explained by deletion hotspots.

Depth of coverage profiles from short read mappings are highly heterogeneous along the

mtDNA sequence (Supplemental Fig. S20-30), making it difficult to identify deletion breakpoints

with precision. We randomly selected 122 lines from the final timepoint for long-read sequencing

and assembled their mtDNAs. 15 haplotypes harbored large-scale deletions, and most deletion

breakpoints were in unannotated regions (Supplemental Fig. S35, Supplemental Table S4). This

result indicates that the types of sequences involved in mtDNA deletions are different from

mtDNA homologous recombination, suggesting distinct mechanisms.

Figure 5. Recurrent mtDNA deletion patterns emerge in non-respiring lines. The left part of each heatmap shows normalized depth of coverage grouped by mtDNA features. The right part of each heatmap shows the growth AUC in the four conditions tested. Rows represent individual MA lines (including both initial and final timepoints) grouped by cross. Lines are hierarchically clustered by depth of coverage profile similarity. Top lines represent the parental strains.

Aspects of mtDNA evolution show contrasted associations between MA crosses

We next characterized the associations between mtDNA recombination, mtDNA deletion and loss of respiratory ability. All three phenotypes were observed in lines sampled at the initial timepoint, indicating that they can occur shortly after F1 zygotes are formed. We also observed

distinct haplotypes sampled through time from the same line, indicating that heteroplasmy still

existed in the lines at the initial timepoint. We thus analyzed the associations at initial and final

timepoints separately. As expected, there was a strong negative relationship between mtDNA

deletions and respiration (Fig. 6A,C). There were also significant associations between mtDNA

deletion and recombination in BA2 and BSc1. However, the sign of the association was different

in the two cases. While recombinant mtDNA haplotypes were more likely to harbor deletions in

BA2, only one BSc1 haplotype showed both recombination and deletion (Fig. 6B,D).

Figure 6. Associations between aspects of mtDNA evolution in MA lines. (*A*) Associations for lines at the initial timepoint, grouped by cross. The heatmap shows the odds ratio for each pair of variables among mtDNA deletion, mtDNA recombination, respiration, mtDNA instability and aneuploidy instability. FDR-corrected p-values of Fisher's exact test, *: $p \leq 0.05$, **: $p \leq 0.01$, ***: $p \leq 0.001$. (*B*) Counts of MA lines for each comparison with a statistically significant association, classified as true (T) or false (F) for the given binary variable. The shaded background comprises associations between mtDNA deletion and respiration that were not statistically significant. (*C,D*) Same as A and B, but for lines at the final timepoint.

While most MA lines had the expected negative association between mtDNA deletions and respiration, we observed many exceptions. Lines with non-deleted mtDNAs could harbor other mutations (mitochondrial or nuclear) leading to respiratory loss. However, mtDNAs with large deletions (which are incompatible with respiration) were found in six and three respiring lines at the initial and final timepoints, respectively (Fig. 6B,D). Moreover, loss of respiration without deletion was found in six lines at the initial timepoint, all in BA2, which are unlikely explained by de novo mutations given the short evolution time. These inconsistencies may be explained by differences between the cryopreserved archive stocks used for genome sequencing, and the copies of those stocks used for phenotyping. Spot assays in the four conditions revealed that both stocks exhibited the same phenotypes (Supplemental Fig. S36), thus ruling out an effect of stock propagation.

We looked for genomic changes other than mtDNA deletions that could have occurred rapidly in MA line evolution and explain respiratory loss. We searched for de novo nucleotide variants in mtDNAs of the MA lines by looking for confident variants that are private to single lines. We found a handful of candidate de novo mutations at the initial timepoint but none were in coding regions (Supplemental Table S5), making them poor candidates to explain respiratory loss of function. We next focused on aneuploidies in the nuclear genome, which were previously shown to arise early in MA (Fijarczyk et al. 2021; Marsit et al. 2021). Several inconsistent lines had aneuploidies, including five BA2 lines with monosomies for chromosomes I, III, VI and IX and/or trisomies for chromosomes IV and XII (Supplemental Fig. S37). However, our reanalysis of

parental allele frequencies revealed that all closely matched the diploid expectation of 50%. We

quantified the deviation in depth of coverage from the value expected given the copy number

call for each chromosome. We validated that these deviations were not artifacts from variation in

library size (Supplemental Fig. S38). Many lines with aneuploidy calls showed abnormally high

deviations from the expectation of true aneuploidies (Supplemental Fig. S37A). Continuous

variation in depth of coverage and symmetrical parental allele frequencies are consistent with

unbiased, segregating aneuploidies rather than fixed aneuploidies. These aneuploidies arose

from single euploid clones in the population expansion leading to DNA extraction. We thus

named this phenotype aneuploidy instability.

Focusing on BA2 at the initial timepoint, we assessed mtDNA presence by PCR at two loci in

the *ATP6* and 21S rRNA genes for all lines (Supplemental Table S6). While globally consistent

with sequencing libraries, both PCR amplicons were detected in the two respiring lines

genotyped as having mtDNA deletions (Supplemental Fig. S37). Furthermore, at least one

amplicon was missing for five out of six non-respiring lines with complete mtDNAs. This

suggests that minor mtDNA variants segregating in the archive stocks were selected by chance

when single clones were isolated for genome sequencing. We named the inconsistency

between mitochondrial deletion and respiration mtDNA instability. BA2 had the highest

proportion of lines with mtDNA instability, and those were primarily found at the initial timepoint

(Supplemental Fig. S39A). We found a statistically significant association of mtDNA instability

with aneuploidy instability in BA2 at the initial timepoint (Fig. 6B). Out of eight lines displaying

mtDNA instability, five also were among the lines with the highest aneuploidy instability. There

was a similar trend in other crosses, although only BA2 was statistically significant

(Supplemental Fig. S39B). This suggests that a proportion of hybrid lines, specifically in the BA2

cross, suffered from high genomic instability, manifested by both frequent aneuploidy and

mtDNA deletion.

 DISCUSSION

How diverged mtDNA haplotypes interact to shape the resolution of heteroplasmy and the

evolution of mitochondrial functions remains vastly unexplored. In this study, we used

Saccharomyces yeasts as a model to probe the neutral evolution of mtDNAs in heteroplasmic

hybrids through experimental evolution by MA. We uncovered that recombination rates are

independent of parental divergence, and recombination occurs preferentially near protein-

coding exons. On the other hand, parental divergence is a strong predictor of the rate of large-

scale mtDNA deletions, which is the primary mechanism for loss of respiratory metabolism. We

also uncover that some crosses have contrasted associations between mtDNA recombination

and deletion, as well as shared genomic instability at the level of mtDNAs and nuclear

aneuploidies.

Recombination between mtDNAs in heteroplasmic *S. cerevisiae* has been known for decades

(Dujon et al. 1974). Recombination is likely central in the replication of mtDNAs, making it an

inherent part of mitochondrial genetics rather than an accidental byproduct of heteroplasmy

(Dujon 2020). Genomic studies brought further support for the idea that mtDNA recombination is

pervasive (Fritsch et al. 2014; Bágeľová Poláková et al. 2021). Fritsch and collaborators

characterized recombination in pools of diploid F1 hybrids from intraspecific crosses of *S.*

cerevisiae, and found that recombination hotspots were concentrated in tRNA clusters and

rRNA genes (Fritsch et al. 2014). Bágeľová Poláková and collaborators investigated three

recombinant mtDNA haplotypes in F1 hybrids between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus*, and

attributed most of the observed recombination events to the mobilization of introns and free-

standing ORFs (Bágeľová Poláková et al. 2021). Thus, our study is the first large-scale report of

mtDNA recombination at the intra- and inter-specific levels, allowing the resolution of 482

independent mtDNA haplotypes. Our data confirms that mtDNA recombination is pervasive in a

variety of hybrid genotypes. In contrast to previous studies, we found an association of

recombination junctions with protein-coding exons and only a minor potential contribution for

intron mobilization.

We observed associations between mtDNA recombination and deletion that suggest a

mechanistic interaction, although this remains to be investigated. The most contrasting patterns

were between BA2 and BSc1, two crosses in which mtDNA deletions occurred frequently. In

BA2, haplotypes harboring deletions were almost always recombinant, and non-deleted

haplotypes rarely showed evidence for recombination. In contrast, in BSc1, mtDNA

recombination and deletion were almost mutually exclusive. This is consistent with differences

in mtDNA architecture between *S. paradoxus* lineages *SpB* and *SpA*. While *SpB* mtDNAs are

collinear with other North American lineages and with *S. cerevisiae*, *SpA* harbors rearranged

mtDNAs (Leducq et al. 2017; Yue et al. 2017). As such, homologous recombination between

rearranged mtDNAs could lead to frequent deletions. However, deletion breakpoints were often

located in unannotated regions, contrasting with recombination breakpoints and suggesting a

distinct mechanism. The association between mtDNA instability and aneuploidy instability in

BA2 is more challenging to interpret. Nevertheless, it raises the possibility that both phenotypes

could be linked or share a common underlying cause. It appears unlikely that a genetic basis is

involved, as these phenotypes appeared among isogenic lines after a very short evolution time,

and show little evidence for persistence through time (Supplemental Fig. S40)

Experimental evolution by MA minimizes the efficiency of natural selection, enabling a

selectively unbiased characterization of mtDNA evolution. The characterization of de novo

mutation in nuclear genomes of our MA lines revealed signatures that were entirely consistent

with neutral evolution (Fijarczyk et al. 2021). Our observation of up to 45% MA lines with a

complete loss of respiratory function further confirms the absence of selection. While the MA

design minimized the efficiency of natural selection at the level of individual cells, we highlight

that it did not alleviate it at the intracellular level. MtDNA haplotypes can outcompete others if

they have a replication advantage, regardless of their effect on organismal fitness (Ma and

O'Farrell 2016; Taylor et al. 2002). As such, some mtDNA haplotypes could have been driven to

homoplasmy by replication advantages.

Our results show that respiratory function is primarily lost through large-scale deletions within

mtDNAs. The level of replication of our MA experiment yielded a high number of recombinant

haplotypes. Nevertheless, cases of respiratory loss of function in our experiment are likely

entirely explained by mtDNA deletions. Additionally, we found no significant negative effect of

recombinant mtDNA haplotypes on respiration. In BSc1, the non-significant growth difference

between lines with *SpB* versus recombinant haplotypes on YPEG at 25°C was the second

largest effect size, suggesting that some recombinant haplotypes might be disadvantaged.

Despite this hypothetical case, we found no support for negative mito-mito epistasis, contrary to

previous reports (Wolters et al. 2018; Leducq et al. 2017).

Mitonuclear incompatibilities are known to contribute to reproductive isolation between species

of the *Saccharomyces* genus (Chou et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2008; Jhuang et al. 2017), along with

other types of reproductive barriers (Hunter et al. 1996). Even at the intraspecific level in *S.*

cerevisiae and *S. paradoxus*, crosses between diverged isolates often have low fertility (Greig et

al. 2003; Charron et al. 2014b; Leducq et al. 2016; Eberlein et al. 2019), including most of our

MA crosses (Charron et al. 2019; Marsit et al. 2021). Our results show that loss of respiration

adds another reproductive barrier, since meiosis (sporulation) is dependent on functioning

respiratory metabolism (Jambhekar and Amon 2008). Yeast can divide strictly mitotically in

permissive laboratory conditions, and mitosis is dominant in the wild (Tsai et al. 2008).

However, sporulation is triggered in starvation conditions (Bautz Freese et al. 1982) and is likely

to be essential in nature, thus selecting for mtDNA integrity. Surprisingly, natural isolates of *S.*

cerevisiae were reported to completely lack mtDNA (De Chiara et al. 2020), suggesting that loss

of sporulation ability can arise in nature. As such, mtDNA degeneration in hybrids acts as an

additional mechanism of reproductive isolation, both within and between species.

In conclusion, our study provides the first large-scale investigation of neutral mtDNA evolution in

hybrids. Our results show that distinct aspects of neutral mtDNA evolution can be either

genotype-specific or, in contrast, highly predictable given the genomic composition of hybrids.

We show that hybridization can trigger mtDNA degeneration with profound metabolic

consequences and the emergence of reproductive isolation.

METHODS

Long read library preparation and sequencing

Stocks of the 13 haploid parental strains (Supplemental Table S1) and of 122 randomly selected

MA lines from the final timepoint (Charron et al. 2019; Hénault et al. 2020) were thawed,

streaked on YPD agar medium (1% yeast extract, 2% bio-tryptone, 2% glucose, 2% agar) and

incubated at room temperature for three days. Cultures in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2%

bio-tryptone, 2% glucose) were inoculated with single colonies and incubated overnight at room

temperature without shaking. DNA was extracted from cultures following a standard phenol-

chloroform protocol. Oxford Nanopore native (PCR-free) genomic DNA libraries were prepared

in multiplex with kits SQK-LSK109 and EXP-NBD104 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford,

UK). Libraries were sequenced with FLO-MIN106 (revC) flowcells on a MinION sequencer

(MIN-101B). The sequencing and basecalling were run on a MinIT computer (MNT-001) with

MinKNOW v3.3.2 and guppy v3.0.3. Reads were demultiplexed using guppy_basecaller v3.1.5.

Long read mtDNA assemblies

Long reads matching mtDNA sequences were extracted from whole-genome libraries. For each

strain, we made a compound reference genome comprising the nuclear genome of the

corresponding *S. paradoxus* lineage(s) (*SpA*: LL2012_001, *SpB*: MSH-604, *SpC*: LL2011_012)

(Eberlein et al. 2019), or *S. cerevisiae* (YPS128) (Yue et al. 2017), supplemented with a

concatenated mitochondrial contig comprising *S. paradoxus SpB* (YPS138), *SpA* (CBS432) and

(YPS138) and *S. cerevisiae* (S288c) (Yue et al. 2017) mtDNAs joined by 100 Ns. Nanopore

libraries were mapped on the compound references using minimap2 v2.20-r1061 (Li 2018a)

with preset map-ont. Reads mapping to the mtDNA contig were extracted using samtools v1.15

(Li et al. 2009) and seqtk v1.3-r106 (Li 2018b). Assembly of the extracted reads was performed

using wtdbg2 v2.5 (Ruan and Li 2019) with options –AS 2 –g 72k –X 50 and a grid search of the

following parameters: –k {23, 21, 19, 17, 15, 13, 11}, –p {8, 5, 2, 1, 0}, –l {4096 2048 1024}.

For parental strains, draft assemblies were aligned against the reference mtDNA assembly (Yue

et al. 2017) of the closest species/lineage (*SpB* and *SpC*: YPS138, *SpA*: CBS432, *S. cerevisiae*:

S288c) using MUMmer v3.23 (Kurtz et al. 2004). For each strain, the best assembly was

selected on the basis of a quality score computed from the alignment of each query assembly

using custom Python v3.9.10 (Van Rossum and Drake 2009) scripts. A genome coverage

metric was first computed as the sum of absolute deviations from 1 of both query and reference

coverage. A contiguity metric was computed as the inverse of the largest contig size in the

assembly. Both coverage and continuity metrics were normalized to z-scores and summed to

yield the final score. Assemblies with the lowest score were circularized at the start of the *ATP6*

gene and resulting duplications were manually trimmed. Circularized assemblies were polished

by first aligning the raw reads to draft assemblies using minimap2 v2.20-r1061 with preset map-

ont. Alignments were polished using the raw nanopore signal using nanopolish v0.13.3 (Loman

et al. 2015) with parameter ––min-candidate-frequency 0.1. Short read libraries for each

parental strain (Charron et al. 2019; Hénault et al. 2020) were aligned on draft assemblies using

bwa-mem v0.7.17 and polishing was performed using Pilon v1.22 (Walker et al. 2014).

For MA lines, draft assemblies were polished with basecalled reads using medaka v1.4.4

(https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka) with model r941_min_high_g303. Assemblies were

circularized at the start of the *ATP6* gene (if present) and aligned to the artificial mtDNA

reference (see below) using MUMmer v3.23.

MtDNA assembly annotation and generation of mtDNA artificial reference

Genomes of the 13 parental strains were annotated using the MFannot server

(https://megasun.bch.umontreal.ca/cgi-bin/mfannot/mfannotInterface.pl) and annotations were

manually curated. From the comparative analysis of genome annotations, we built an artificial

mtDNA reference comprising the most complete set of features. We used the LL2012_028

genome as a template, and added introns *cob*-I3, *cob*-I4, *cob*-I5 and *cox1*-I3γ from YPS744 or

LL2013_054 at the orthologous splice sites using custom Python v3.9.10 scripts. Genomes

were aligned to the artificial mtDNA contig using mugsy v1r2.3 (Angiuoli and Salzberg 2011).

Liftover tables were built using a custom Python v3.9.10 script.

Short read alignment and variant calling

Short read libraries corresponding to the 13 parental haploid strains and 447 hybrid yeast MA

lines, sampled at both the initial (i.e. shortly after zygote formation) and final (i.e. after ~770

mitotic generations) timepoints, were downloaded from NCBI (BioProject PRJNA515073). Short

read preprocessing was performed as described in (Hénault et al. 2020). We built a

concatenated reference genome comprising nuclear contigs of *S. paradoxus SpB* strain MSH-

604 (Eberlein et al. 2019) and *S. cerevisiae* strain YPS128 (Yue et al. 2017), to which we

appended the artificial mtDNA contig. Reads were aligned to this reference using bwa mem

v0.7.17 and mappings to the mtDNA contig were extracted using samtools v1.8. Variant calling

was performed separately for each cross using freebayes v1.3.5 (Garrison and Marth 2012).

Multiallelic variants were decomposed using vcfbreakmulti from vcflib v1.0.2 (Garrison 2018).

Definition of mtDNA recombination tracts

The characterization of recombination in mtDNA haplotypes was performed using custom

Python v3.9.10 scripts. For each cross, marker variants were identified for the parental

genomes based on variant calls from short-read alignments on the artificial mtDNA reference.

Candidate markers were filtered as follows. Each variant had to be supported by at least two

read alignments. Parental alleles at each marker locus were validated using whole-genome

alignments of the high-quality genome assemblies of each parental mtDNA and the associated

liftover tables. Markers were required to have nucleotide calls and assembly alignments for both

parents, thus excluding insertion and deletions.

For MA lines of each cross, variants corresponding to parental markers were filtered to exclude

loci with low depth of coverage. For each line we defined a threshold as the highest value

between the 10th percentile of depth of coverage values for the nuclear genome of each line, or

20 reads. Variants passed the filter if supporting reads for the major allele were higher than the

threshold. Since each MA line was sampled for sequencing at two timepoints, mtDNA

haplotypes were compared across timepoints of individual lines to determine whether they were

clonal or independent haplotypes that segregated from initially heteroplasmic lines. For each

line, we computed the pairwise identity between mtDNA haplotypes as the proportion of

identical calls at marker variants (excluding missing positions in either mtDNA). If the identity

was 95% or higher, we kept only one mtDNA (preferentially the latest timepoint) as

representative of the line. Independent haplotypes within each cross were clustered by identity

using the nearest point algorithm from scipy v1.8.0 (Virtanen et al. 2020).

Recombination tracts were defined by scanning and grouping adjacent marker variants of the

same genotype along the genome, with a tolerance of one marker of the opposite genotype (i.e.

two marker variants of the opposite genotype were required to break a tract). Tracts were

circularized at the ends of the mtDNA contig. Recombination breakpoints were defined as the

marker variants at the ends of each tract. Recombination breakpoint and marker variant

densities were computed for 100 bp windows along the genome. For haplotypes sampled at the

final timepoint, recombination rates were computed as the number of recombination tracts per

haplotype divided by the combined length of parental mtDNAs, and the number of generations

of the MA experiment corrected for growth yield estimated by (Fijarczyk et al. 2021). Average

recombination rate and tract length for each cross were correlated with marker variant counts

and parental evolutionary divergence values computed by (Hénault et al. 2020).

MtDNA inheritance biases

Recombination tracts were used to compute the proportion of each mtDNA haplotype that was

inherited from either parent. The inheritance ratios were averaged over all independent

haplotypes of a cross to yield genome-wide average inheritance ratios. The depth of coverage

of long reads on each parental mtDNA assembly compared to nuclear chromosomes was used

to compute a relative copy number. For individual genes within haplotypes, discrete inheritance

calls were generated by attributing either parental genotype to annotations that had ratios of

10% or less, or 90% or more. Genes with ratios between those values were considered as

recombinant and excluded. Binomial tests were used to test the deviation of non-recombinant

genes from genome-wide inheritance ratios.

Growth measurements of the MA lines collection

During the MA experiment, MA lines were sampled at regular intervals and archived in

cryopreserved stocks in 96 well microplates. Archives from the stocks sampled at the initial and

final timepoints were replicated in YPD medium and cryopreserved as copies of the original

archives. For high-throughput phenotyping, stock copies were thawed and spotted on YPD agar

medium in OmniTray plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) using a BM5-SC1 colony

processing robot (S&P Robotics Inc., Toronto, Canada) and incubated at room temperature for

four days. Colonies were replicated on YPD agar by condensing them into six 384-position

source arrays and incubated at room temperature for three days. Source arrays were replicated

twice on YPD agar medium and twice on YPEG agar medium (1% yeast extract, 2% bio-

tryptone, 3% glycerol, 3% ethanol, 2% agar). Plates were incubated at 25°C or 37°C for the first

round of selection during two days. Plates were replicated for the second round of selection on

the same media and photographed manually after 24, 48 and 96 hours of incubation with the

robotic platform. Colony sizes were quantified from the plate photos using gitter v1.1 (Wagih

and Parts 2014) in R v3.3.2 (R Core Team 2016). Colony sizes in pixels were transformed in log

and summed across imaging timepoints to estimate the area under growth curves (AUC). One

colony (BSc2, line F53, initial timepoint, YPD 37°C) was removed due to visible contamination.

Calls of growth absence based on pixel quantifications were manually curated with raw images.

Characterization of mtDNA deletions

Depth of coverage values along mtDNAs were extracted from short read alignments using

samtools v1.15. Median depth values were computed for 100 bp windows and normalized by

the depth of coverage on nuclear chromosomes. Depth values were grouped by annotation type

and the fraction of positions with normalized depth values higher than 0.1 was extracted. For

each cross, these fractions were normalized into z-scores, and an mtDNA completion score was

computed as the sum of z-scores across annotation types for individual mtDNA haplotypes.

Haplotypes were sorted by mtDNA completion score to classify them as complete or deleted,

and the classification was manually curated by examination of the depth of coverage profiles.

Haplotypes were clustered by Pearson's correlation coefficient between normalized depth

profiles using the nearest point algorithm from scipy v1.8.0.

Detection of mobile introns presence/absence polymorphisms

Presence or absence of mobile introns in mtDNA haplotypes was called by analyzing depth of

coverage profiles at intron-exon junctions. For each polymorphic intron, the marker variants

closest to both junctions (upstream or downstream) were identified. 100 bp windows centered

around each junction were used to analyze depth of coverage profiles. Depth of coverage

values were normalized by the 80th percentile of depth values within a window. Euclidean

distance and Pearson's correlation coefficient were used to compare profiles of each line with

both parental profiles. Evidence for intron presence was called at a junction if the euclidean

distance and Pearson's correlation coefficient were respectively lower and higher for

comparisons to the parent harboring the intron. An intron was called present if its two junctions

showed consistent evidence. Putative intron mobilization events were defined as intron

presence with two flanking markers of the opposite ancestry. Putative recombination-associated

mobilization events were defined as intron presence with one flanking marker of the opposite

ancestry, consistent with neighboring recombination tracts.

Confirmation of growth phenotypes by spot assays

A subset of lines classified as having mtDNA instability at the initial timepoint were selected for

growth phenotypes validation by spot assays. Original archive stocks and copies were thawed,

spotted on YPD agar medium and incubated at room temperature. Spots were used to inoculate

precultures in YPD medium that were incubated overnight at 30°C. Precultures were diluted at 1

OD ml^{-1} in sterile water and five 5X serial dilutions were prepared. Aliquots of 5 µl of each

dilution were spotted twice on YPD agar and YPEG agar. Plates were incubated at 25°C or

37°C for three days and photographed.

De novo mutations identification

Candidate de novo single nucleotide mutations were identified from variant calls based on short
read alignments. First, variant calls supported by at least five reads and which had an
alternative allele ratio of at least 0.8 were extracted. Variants which were only observed in a
single line were further checked for the absence of matching alleles in the parental variant calls
from all crosses, and from lines of all the other crosses. For each remaining candidate, we
extracted the proportion of lines in the cross with missing data at that locus. We defined a more
stringent alignment criteria for supporting reads by requiring the length of the aligned reference
segment to be between 141 and 161 bp (for 151 bp reads), and counted those high confidence
alignments for each candidate variant. Finally, we counted the number of supporting reads for
matching alleles in the whole dataset, excluding the line of interest. The final set of candidate de
novo mutations consisted in variants for which the total number of supporting reads was at least
twice the number of supporting reads in the whole dataset excluding the line, and had at least
two supporting reads with high confidence alignments.

Depth of coverage deviations on nuclear chromosomes

Variant calls from short-read alignments and aneuploidy calls were previously done by Fijarczyk
and collaborators (Fijarczyk et al. 2021). BSc crosses were excluded from this analysis as the
mapping strategy was different (using concatenated *SpB* and *S. cerevisiae* nuclear reference
genomes). For each cross, 10000 nuclear variants were chosen at random. Allele ratios were
examined along nuclear chromosomes. For individual lines, the median depth of coverage of
each chromosome was normalized by the genome-wide median to yield the depth ratio. The
copy number ratio was defined by dividing chromosome copy number calls by the global ploidy
level of each line. Depth of coverage deviation scores were computed as (depth ratio – copy
number ratio). Absolute deviation scores were averaged over all chromosomes and lines with

an average absolute deviation score of at least 0.08 were classified as having the aneuploidy

instability phenotype.

MtDNA genotyping by PCR

Two loci were genotyped for presence or absence by PCR for all lines of the BA2 cross at the

initial and final timepoints. DNA was extracted by resuspending colonies in 40 µl NaOH 20 mM

and incubating at 95°C for 20 min. Two mtDNA amplicons in the *ATP6* and 21S rRNA were

amplified with primers and cycles detailed in Supplemental Table S6 (Leducq et al. 2017).

Amplicons of the nuclear mating type loci were amplified as positive controls with primers and

cycles detailed in Supplemental Table S6 (Huxley et al. 1990). Presence and absence were

scored by agarose gel electrophoresis.

DATA ACCESS

Long read datasets are available at NCBI under accession PRJNA828354. Scripts, genome

assemblies and annotations are available at https://github.com/Landrylab/mito_ma.

COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

We declare no competing interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Anna Fijarczyk for comments on the manuscript and contributions to sequencing data

preprocessing and analysis. We thank Hélène Martin for contributions to sequencing data

preprocessing. We thank the members of the Landry laboratory for discussions on the project.

This project was supported by funding to C.R.L. from a NSERC discovery grant and FRQNT

team grant, and a NSERC Alexander Graham Bell doctoral scholarship to M.H. CRL holds the

Canada Research Chair in Cellular Systems and Synthetic Biology.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CRL and MH designed the project. MH performed the analyses and experiments. MH, SM and

GC performed the MA evolution experiment and short read sequencing as cited. MH wrote the

manuscript with inputs from CRL and SM.

REFERENCES

Adams KL, Palmer JD. 2003. Evolution of mitochondrial gene content: Gene loss and transfer to

the nucleus. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **29**: 380–395.

Angiuoli SV, Salzberg SL. 2011. Mugsy: Fast multiple alignment of closely related whole

genomes. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 334–342.

Bágeľová Poláková S, Lichtner Ž, Szemes T, Smolejová M, Sulo P. 2021. Mitochondrial DNA

duplication, recombination, and introgression during interspecific hybridization. *Sci Rep* **11**:

12726.

Bautz Freese E, Chu MI, Freese E. 1982. Initiation of Yeast Sporulation by Partial Carbon,

Nitrogen, or Phosphate Deprivation. *J Bacteriol* **149**: 840–851.

Bernardi G. 1979. The petite mutation in yeast. *Trends Biochem Sci* **4**: 197–201.

Birky CW. 2001. T He R Ole of Knox G Enes in. *Annu Rev Genet* **35**: 125/148.

Breton S, Stewart DT, Bonen L. 2015. Atypical mitochondrial inheritance patterns in eukaryotes.

Genome **58**: 423–431.

Burton RS. 2022. The role of mitonuclear incompatibilities in allopatric speciation. *Cell Mol Life*

Sci **79**: 103.

Burton RS, Barreto FS. 2012. A disproportionate role for mtDNA in Dobzhansky-Muller

incompatibilities? *Mol Ecol* **21**: 4942–4957.

Charron G, Leducq JB, Bertin C, Dubé AK, Landry CR. 2014a. Exploring the northern limit of

the distribution of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Saccharomyces paradoxus in North

America. *FEMS Yeast Res* **14**: 281–288.

Charron G, Leducq JB, Landry CR. 2014b. Chromosomal variation segregates within incipient

species and correlates with reproductive isolation. *Mol Ecol* **23**: 4362–4372.

Charron G, Marsit S, Hénault M, Martin H, Landry CR. 2019. Spontaneous whole-genome

duplication restores fertility in interspecific hybrids. *Nat Commun* **10**.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12041-8.

Chou J-Y, Hung Y-S, Lin K-H, Lee H-Y, Leu J-Y. 2010. Multiple Molecular Mechanisms Cause

Reproductive Isolation between Three Yeast Species ed. M.A.F. Noor. *PLoS Biol* **8**:

e1000432.

De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Barré B, Breitenbach M, Schacherer J, Liti G. 2020. Discordant

evolution of mitochondrial and nuclear yeast genomes at population level. *BMC Biol* **18**: 49.

Dujon B. 2020. Mitochondrial genetics revisited. *Yeast* **37**: 191–205.

Dujon B, Slonimski PP, Weill L. 1974. Mitochondrial genetics IX: A model for recombination and

segregation of mitochondrial genomes in saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **78**: 415–

437.

Eberlein C, Hénault M, Fijarczyk A, Charron G, Bouvier M, Kohn LM, Anderson JB, Landry CR.

2019. Hybridization is a recurrent evolutionary stimulus in wild yeast speciation. *Nat*

Commun **10**: 923.

Ephrussi B, Hottinguer H, Tavlitzki J. 1949. Action de l'acriflavine sur les levures. I. La mutation"

petite colonie." *Ann Inst Pasteur* **76**: 351–367.

Fijarczyk A, Hénault M, Marsit S, Charron G, Landry CR. 2021. Heterogeneous Mutation Rates

and Spectra in Yeast Hybrids. *Genome Biol Evol* **13**.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab282.

Fritsch ES, Chabbert CD, Klaus B, Steinmetz LM. 2014. A genome-wide map of mitochondrial

DNA recombination in yeast. *Genetics* **198**: 755–771.

Galeota-Sprung B, Fernandez A, Sniegowski P. 2021. Changes to the mtDNA copy number

during yeast culture growth. *bioRxiv* 2021.09.02.458779.

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.09.02.458779v4.full (Accessed March 1,

2022).

Garrison E. 2018. *vcflib*. https://github.com/vcflib/vcflib.

Garrison E, Marth G. 2012. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. 1–9.

Greig D, Travisano M, Louis EJ, Borts RH. 2003. A role for the mismatch repair system during

incipient speciation in Saccharomyces. *J Evol Biol* **16**: 429–437.

Gyllensten U, Wharton D, Josefsson A, Wilson AC. 1991. Paternal inheritance of mitochondrial

DNA in mice. *Nature* **352**: 255–257. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/352255a0.

Hénault M, Marsit S, Charron G, Landry CR. 2020. The effect of hybridization on transposable

element accumulation in an undomesticated fungal species. *Elife* **9**.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60474.

Hunter N, Chambers SR, Louis EJ, Borts RH. 1996. The mismatch repair system contributes to

meiotic sterility in an interspecific yeast hybrid. *EMBO J* **15**: 1726–1733.

Huxley C, Green ED, Dunham I. 1990. Rapid assessment of S. cerevisiae mating type by PCR.

Trends Genet **6**: 236.

Jambhekar A, Amon A. 2008. Control of meiosis by respiration. *Curr Biol* **18**: 969–975.

Jhuang HY, Lee HY, Leu JY. 2017. Mitochondrial-nuclear co-evolution leads to hybrid

incompatibility through pentatricopeptide repeat proteins. *EMBO Rep* **18**: 87–101.

Joseph SB, Hall DW. 2004. Spontaneous mutations in diploid Saccharomyces cerevisiae: more

beneficial than expected. *Genetics* **168**: 1817–1825.

Kondo R, Satta Y, Matsuura ET, Ishiwa H, Takahata N, Chigusa SI. 1990. Incomplete maternal

transmission of mitochondrial DNA in Drosophila. *Genetics* **126**: 657–663.

Kuehne HA, Murphy HA, Francis CAA, Sniegowski PD. 2007. Allopatric Divergence, Secondary

Contact, and Genetic Isolation in Wild Yeast Populations. *Curr Biol* **17**: 407–411.

Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004.

Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* **5**: R12.

Ladoukakis ED, Theologidis I, Rodakis GC, Zouros E. 2011. Homologous recombination

between highly diverged mitochondrial sequences: examples from maternally and

paternally transmitted genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**: 1847–1859.

Ladoukakis ED, Zouros E. 2017. Evolution and inheritance of animal mitochondrial DNA: rules

and exceptions. *J Biol Res* **24**: 2.

Leducq J-B, Henault M, Charron G, Nielly-Thibault L, Terrat Y, Fiumera HL, Shapiro BJ, Landry

CR. 2017. Mitochondrial Recombination and Introgression during Speciation by

Hybridization. *Mol Biol Evol* **34**: 1947–1959.

Leducq JB, Nielly-Thibault L, Charron G, Eberlein C, Verta JP, Samani P, Sylvester K, Hittinger

CT, Bell G, Landry CR. 2016. Speciation driven by hybridization and chromosomal plasticity

in a wild yeast. *Nature Microbiology* **1**: 1–58.

Lee H-Y, Chou J-Y, Cheong L, Chang N-H, Yang S-Y, Leu J-Y. 2008. Incompatibility of Nuclear

and Mitochondrial Genomes Causes Hybrid Sterility between Two Yeast Species. *Cell* **135**:

1065–1073.

Li H. 2018a. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**: 3094–

3100.

Li H. 2018b. Seqtk: a fast and lightweight tool for processing FASTA or FASTQ sequences.

https://github.com/lh3/seqtk.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.

2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Li XC, Peris D, Hittinger CT, Sia EA, Fay JC. 2019. Mitochondria-encoded genes contribute to

evolution of heat and cold tolerance in yeast. *Sci Adv* **5**: eaav1848.

Loman NJ, Quick J, Simpson JT. 2015. A complete bacterial genome assembled de novo using

only nanopore sequencing data. *Nat Methods* **12**: 733–735.

Lynch M, Ackerman MS, Gout J-F, Long H, Sung W, Thomas WK, Foster PL. 2016. Genetic

drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**: 704–714.

Lynch M, Sung W, Morris K, Coffey N, Landry CR, Dopman EB, Dickinson WJ, Okamoto K,

Kulkarni S, Hartl DL, et al. 2008. A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous

mutations in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**: 9272–9277.

Ma H, O'Farrell PH. 2016. Selfish drive can trump function when animal mitochondrial genomes

compete. *Nat Genet* **48**: 798–802.

Marsit S, Hénault M, Charron G, Fijarczyk A, Landry CR. 2021. The neutral rate of whole-

genome duplication varies among yeast species and their hybrids. *Nat Commun* **12**: 3126.

Müller M, Mentel M, van Hellemond JJ, Henze K, Woehle C, Gould SB, Yu R-Y, van der Giezen

M, Tielens AGM, Martin WF. 2012. Biochemistry and evolution of anaerobic energy

metabolism in eukaryotes. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **76**: 444–495.

Orr HA. 1995. The population genetics of speciation: The evolution of hybrid incompatibilities.

Genetics **139**: 1805–1813.

Paliwal S, Fiumera AC, Fiumera HL. 2014. Mitochondrial-Nuclear Epistasis Contributes to

Phenotypic Variation and Coadaptation in Natural Isolates of Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Genetics **198**: 1251–1265.

Parakatselaki M-E, Ladoukakis ED. 2021. mtDNA Heteroplasmy: Origin, Detection,

Significance, and Evolutionary Consequences. *Life* **11**.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/life11070633.

Payne BAI, Wilson IJ, Yu-Wai-Man P, Coxhead J, Deehan D, Horvath R, Taylor RW, Samuels

DC, Santibanez-Koref M, Chinnery PF. 2013. Universal heteroplasmy of human

mitochondrial DNA. *Hum Mol Genet* **22**: 384–390.

Piccinini G, Iannello M, Puccio G, Plazzi F, Havird JC, Ghiselli F. 2021. Mitonuclear

Coevolution, but not Nuclear Compensation, Drives Evolution of OXPHOS Complexes in

Bivalves. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**: 2597–2614.

R Core Team. 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-

project.org/.

Rokas A, Ladoukakis E, Zouros E. 2003. Animal mitochondrial DNA recombination revisited.
Trends Ecol Evol **18**: 411–417.

Ruan J, Li H. 2019. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *Nat Methods*.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0669-3.

Solieri L. 2010. Mitochondrial inheritance in budding yeasts: towards an integrated
understanding. *Trends Microbiol* **18**: 521–530.

Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2021. Extreme heterogeneity of human mitochondrial DNA from
organelles to populations. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**: 106–118.

Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2015. The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy: implications
for human health and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* **16**: 530–542.

Taylor DR, Zeyl C, Cooke E. 2002. Conflicting levels of selection in the accumulation of
mitochondrial defects in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 3690–
3694.

Tsai IJ, Bensasson D, Burt A, Koufopanou V. 2008. Population genomics of the wild yeast
Saccharomyces paradoxus: Quantifying the life cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**: 4957–
4962.

Tsaousis AD, Martin DP, Ladoukakis ED, Posada D, Zouros E. 2005. Widespread
recombination in published animal mtDNA sequences. *Mol Biol Evol* **22**: 925–933.

Van Rossum G, Drake FL. 2009. *Python 3 Reference Manual: (Python Documentation Manual*
Part 2). CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, Burovski E,
Peterson P, Weckesser W, Bright J, et al. 2020. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for

scientific computing in Python. *Nat Methods* **17**: 261–272.

Vowinckel J, Hartl J, Marx H, Kerick M, Runggatscher K, Keller MA, Mülleder M, Day J, Weber

M, Rinnerthaler M, et al. 2021. The metabolic growth limitations of petite cells lacking the

mitochondrial genome. *Nat Metab* **3**: 1521–1535.

Wagih O, Parts L. 2014. Gitter: A robust and accurate method for quantification of colony sizes

from plate images. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **4**: 547–552.

Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q,

Wortman J, Young SK, et al. 2014. Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial

Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement ed. J. Wang. *PLoS One* **9**:

e112963.

Wallace DC. 2015. Mitochondrial DNA variation in human radiation and disease. *Cell* **163**: 33–

38.

Wang Z, Wilson A, Xu J. 2015. Mitochondrial DNA inheritance in the human fungal pathogen

Cryptococcus gattii. *Fungal Genet Biol* **75**: 1–10.

Wilson AJ, Xu J. 2012. Mitochondrial inheritance: Diverse patterns and mechanisms with an

emphasis on fungi. *Mycology* **3**: 158–166.

Wolters JF, Charron G, Gaspary A, Landry CR, Fiumera AC, Fiumera HL. 2018. Mitochondrial

recombination reveals mito–mito epistasis in yeast. *Genetics* **209**: 307–319.

Xia W, Nielly-Thibault L, Charron G, Landry CR, Kasimer D, Anderson JB, Kohn LM. 2017.

Population genomics reveals structure at the individual, host-tree scale and persistence of

genotypic variants of the undomesticated yeast Saccharomyces paradoxus in a natural

woodland. *Mol Ecol* **26**: 995–1007.

Yue JX, Li J, Aigrain L, Hallin J, Persson K, Oliver K, Bergström A, Coupland P, Warringer J,

Lagomarsino MC, et al. 2017. Contrasting evolutionary genome dynamics between

domesticated and wild yeasts. *Nat Genet* **49**: 913–924.