1 A ratchet-like apical constriction drives cell ingression during the mouse

2 gastrulation EMT

4 Alexandre Francou, Kathryn V. Anderson[#], Anna-Katerina Hadjantonakis[*]

5 Developmental Biology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering

6 Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA

8 *Correspondence: hadj@mskcc.org

9 [#]Deceased

ABSTRACT

Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) is a fundamental process in which epithelial cells acquire mesenchymal phenotypes and the ability to migrate. EMT is the hallmark of gastrulation, an evolutionarily conserved developmental process. In mammals, epiblast cells lose pluripotency and ingress at the primitive streak to form mesoderm. Cell exit from the epiblast epithelial layer and the associated EMT are dynamically regulated processes involving a stereotypical sequence of cell behaviors. 3D time-lapse imaging of gastrulating mouse embryos combined with cell and tissue scale data analyses revealed the stochastic-like ingression of epiblast cells at the primitive streak. Ingressing cells constrict their apical surfaces in a pulsed ratchet-like fashion through asynchronous shrinkage of apical junctions. A quantitative analyses of the distribution of apical proteins, revealed the anisotropic and complementary distribution of members of the actomyosin network and Crumbs2 complexes, potential regulators of asynchronous shrinkage of cell junctions. The analysis of mutants demonstrated a requirement for Crumbs2 in Myosin2 localization and activity at apical junctions, and as a candidate for regulating actomyosin anisotropy.

INTRODUCTION

Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transitions (EMTs) are morphogenetic programs necessary for the formation of tissues throughout embryonic development, cancer progression and metastasis (Francou and Anderson, 2020; Thiery, 2002; Thiery et al., 2009; Ye and Weinberg, 2015). The EMT that occurs during embryo gastrulation is an evolutionarily conserved event occurring in triploblasts as they form three definitive germ layers (Lim and Thiery, 2012; Nakaya and Sheng, 2008; Thiery et al., 2009).

In amniotes the onset of gastrulation involves formation of the primitive streak at the posterior epiblast, at around embryonic **(E)** 6.5 day in the mouse (Ferrer-Vaquer et al.,

2010; Nakaya and Sheng, 2008; Williams et al., 2012) (Figure 1A). Primitive streak

initiation is regulated by the convergence of WNT, BMP and Nodal signals, which together

with an FGF input trigger the EMT process (Ferrer-Vaquer et al., 2010; Morgani and

Hadjantonakis, 2020; Ramkumar and Anderson, 2011). The basement membrane is

broken down at the primitive streak facilitating movement of cells out of the epiblast tissue

layer, accompanied by changes in their cell shape, including apical constriction and basal

translocation of cell bodies (Ramkumar et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2012). Basal cell

ingression and migration away from the epiblast epithelium is facilitated though the

dissolution of apical junctions. As cells at the primitive streak undergo EMT, more laterally

positioned epiblast cells converge toward the midline (Williams et al., 2012), replenishing

the pool for continued ingression and ensuring epithelial integrity.

Apical constriction is an epithelial cell shape change associated with morphogenetic

processes such as tissue bending, cell delamination and internalization (An et al., 2017;

Chung et al., 2017; Lecuit and Lenne, 2007; Martin and Goldstein, 2014; Nishimura et al.,

2012; Samarage et al., 2015; Simoes et al., 2017). The dynamics of apical constriction at

gastrulation have been studied in invertebrates, particularly in Drosophila, and shown to

be controlled by apical actomyosin contractility (Marston et al., 2016; Martin and

Goldstein, 2014; Martin et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2013; Roh-Johnson et al., 2012). Apical

constriction has been associated with cell ingression during the gastrulation EMT in chick

and mouse (Rozbicki et al., 2015; Serrano Najera and Weijer, 2020; Williams et al., 2012),

but how cells dynamically constrict apical surfaces during EMT, and what regulates this

process remain open questions. Gastrulation process in Drosophila and mouse cannot

be directly compared as they exhibit major different time-scales (20min vs. >24hr days),

mechanisms and spatial parameters (tissue invagination vs. cell ingression). In the chick

embryo, Myosin-2 plays a role in the formation of the primitive streak and cell ingression

from the epiblast layer (Chuai et al., 2006; Rozbicki et al., 2015; Serrano Najera and

Weijer, 2020; Voiculescu et al., 2007; Voiculescu et al., 2014). Crumbs2, a protein shown

to regulate apical polarity in epithelia, is critical for cell ingression during gastrulation EMT

in mouse embryos (Ramkumar et al., 2016). Apical Crumbs2 and Myosin-2B show an

anisotropic accumulation in mouse epiblast cells in the vicinity of the primitive streak

(Ramkumar et al., 2016), reminiscent of patterns controlling apical constriction during
Drosophila salivary gland development (Roper, 2012), suggesting these proteins are
potential regulators of apical constriction during mouse EMT. Visualizing the cellular
dynamics of the epiblast during mouse gastrulation has been a longstanding challenge
due to its internal location which limits optical access and visualization at high resolution.
77
Using live imaging of *ex utero* cultured mouse embryos, and high resolution visualization
and segmentation of epiblast cell membranes, we performed a dynamic quantitative
analysis of apical constriction associated with ingression during the mouse gastrulation
EMT. We observed cells undergoing EMT at the mouse primitive streak in a stochastic-
like manner, constricting their apical surfaces in a rachet-like pulsed fashion through
asynchronous shrinkage of apical cell-cell junctions. By analyzing and quantifying the
distribution of apical proteins, we uncovered the anisotropic and complementary
distribution of actomyosin network and Crumbs complex proteins consistent with a role in
regulating junctional shrinkage and apical constriction. The localization of the apical
actomyosin network, as well as two kinases aPKC and Rock1, was perturbed in *Crumbs2*
mutants, thereby identifying key components of a putative regulatory network driving
apical constriction.
90
91
92
93 **RESULTS**
94
Epiblast cells undergo apical constriction and isolated ingression at the mouse
primitive streak
The mouse gastrulation EMT occurs at the primitive streak, which, by contrast to the
chick, does not form a morphologically distinct domain (Figure 1A,B). Columnar epithelial
epiblast cells with their apical surfaces facing the inner (amniotic) cavity of the embryo
apically constrict and elongate basally as they ingress out of the epiblast layer (Figure
1A,C) (Williams et al., 2012). To visualize the dynamic changes in the shapes of all cells
in tissue context at single cell during the gastrulation EMT, we sought to label the

membranes of all epiblast cells and perform time-lapse imaging. Time-lapse imaging of

the epiblast cells in the vicinity of the primitive streak of developing mouse embryos is

challenging for several reasons. Embryos need to be kept intact as tissues cannot be

microdissected, the epiblast tissue is cup-shaped with inherent curvature and located

within the deepest extremity of the embryo (up to 60μm away from the objective), needing

to be imaged through the visceral endoderm and mesoderm tissue layers (Figure 1C).

Even light-sheet systems, which by merging multi-view data can provide *in toto*

visualization of cells in developing embryos, have predominantly been used with nuclear-

localized reporters or scattered membrane-labelled cells, data which are less challenging

to segment than widespread membrane-localized reporters (McDole et al., 2018).

We used a ZO-1-GFP protein fusion reporter (Foote et al., 2013) to visualize the tight

junction and the apical surface of epiblast cells in order to quantify apical surface

dynamics (Figure 1D); and a membrane-localized $R26^{mT/mG}$ reporter to visualize their

entire plasma membrane and identify completion of apical constriction associated with

cell ingression out of the epithelial layer (Figure 1-figure supplement 1A and Video S1).

3D time-lapse imaging of embryos at mid/late-streak stage (E7.5) was performed to

image the primitive streak) (Figure 1A, B). Time-lapse imaging of ZO-1-GFP embryos

revealed that epiblast cells undergo extensive rearrangements as cells on the left and

right sides of the embryo converge towards the primitive streak (Figure 1D, Figure 1-

figure supplement 1C, Video S2,3) (Williams et al., 2012). The majority of epiblast cells

underwent apical constriction and ingression within a ~40μm region in the posterior

midline (Figure 1D,E, Video S2), corresponding to the domain of Snail expression and

basement membrane breakdown within the epiblast (Francou and Anderson, 2020;

Ramkumar et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2012), which by convention defines the primitive

streak (Figure 1E dotted lines). Rare apical constriction and ingression events were also

observed outside the domain of the primitive streak (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B and

Video S3 bottom).

Within a one hour period 44±2% of cells within the primitive streak domain, constricted

and ingressed (mean±s.e.m., n=378 cells, 3 embryos) (Figure 1D,E,F, Video S2), with

Figure 1: Time-lapse imaging of ZO-1-GFP reporter reveals EMT events at the primitive streak of the mouse gastrula and apical constriction associated with cell ingression. **(A)** Schematic sagittal section view of E7.5 mouse embryo and 3D time-lapse imaging performed from the posterior side with an objective positioned adjacent to the primitive streak (PS). Several tissue layers need to be imaged across (including visceral endoderm and mesoderm) to reach the epiblast apical surface situated furthest from the objective (red dashed line shows the microscope light path). **(B)** Schematic of a view of the epiblast layer apical surface from the

inner cavity. The midline (dotted line axis) separates the right and left sides of the embryo. **(C)**
High magnification schematic of a sagittal view of an EMT event at the primitive streak depicting
a cell constricting its apical surface, elongating basally (dark grey), and ingressing out of the
epiblast layer to integrate the mesoderm (blue). Note the apical surface of epiblast cells is
located 40-60μm away from the microscope objective. **(D)** Single time-points at t=0min and
t=120min of a time-lapse of a ZO-1-GFP embryo. Movies were analyzed as maximum
projections of z-stacks to visualize the apical surfaces of cells. Here all the cells that can be
followed and observed ingressing during 4hr were tracked. Of 140 cells initially tracked, 95 cells
constricted and ingressed over the course of 120min (45 tracked cells remaining). (Time lapse
with 5min time interval, 290 cells in the field of view, 140 ingressing cells tracked). **(E)**
Cumulative tracking of the 140 cells showing cell tracks over time (lines) and cell position during
ingression event (dots). Epiblast cells converge toward the primitive streak (~40μm, dotted
lines) where the majority of ingression events occur. **(F)** Graphs showing 44±2.1% of cells in the
primitive streak ingress each hour, with 48±1.1% ingressing as single cells, 37±1.7% as pairs of
cells, 11±1.5% as triplets (groups of 3 cells), and 4% as groups of 4 cells (of a total of 378
ingressing cells analyzed in 3 embryos). **(G)** High magnification view showing the apical
constriction of 3 cells at the primitive streak.
Pr: proximal, D: distal, A: anterior, P: posterior, R: right, L: left, Ext Emb: extra embryonic region,
PS: primitive streak. Error bars represent s.e.m. Scale bars, d, 40μm; g, 10μm.
Figure supplement 1: Apical constriction and ingression of epiblast cells in the vicinity of the
mouse primitive streak.
163
164
ingression events appearing to occur stochastically (3-4h). Among the ingressing cells
tracked, 48% constricted and ingressed as isolated events (more than 30min apart from
adjacent neighboring cells), while the remaining 52% ingressed as pairs, and occasionally
as groups of 3-4 cells (less than 30min apart from adjacent neighboring cells) (Figure 1F).
At the tissue level the epiblast underwent extensive rearrangement, with some cells
moving and acquiring new neighbors prior to their coordinate ingression (Figure 1-figure
supplement 1D,E), while others, which were initially neighbors, ingressed at different
times (more than 30 min apart) (Figure 1-figure supplement 1D,F).
173
Epiblast cells undergo a ratchet-like pulsed apical constriction during ingression
We next focused on changes associated with the apical surfaces of cells during their
ingression. Apical constriction has been extensively studied in Drosophila, including
during mesoderm formation and neuroblast delamination (An et al., 2017; Martin et al.,
2009; Mason et al., 2013; Simoes et al., 2017), but few studies have investigated the

gastrulation EMT in an amniote, and it is unknown how cells constrict their apical surfaces

during single cell ingression in the mouse embryo versus the more global constriction and

invagination happening during Drosophila gastrulation. To follow changes in apical

surfaces during cell ingression, cell membranes were segmented using Tissue Analyzer

software (Aigouy et al., 2010; Aigouy et al., 2016) on projections of z-stacks from time-

lapse data. By visualizing cells at the primitive streak, we identified apical constriction

associated with cell ingression and quantified several parameters, including apical

surface area, apical cell surface elongation and orientation, and number of apical

junctions (Figure 1G, Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1, Video S4,5). Epiblast cells exhibited

a variety of apical surface sizes and shapes that fluctuated over time as they flowed

toward the primitive streak. As they initiated apical constriction at the primitive streak,

cells exhibited 20-80µm^2 apical surface area, and completed ingression within 25-90min

(Figure 2A and Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1B). With the time-scale we are to image

and keep living embryos and fluorescent signal integrity, majority of ingressing cells

exhibited pulsed constrictions, generally consisting of 2-4 major phases of contraction

(Figure 2B,C and Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1F). As cells progressed towards

ingression, their number of apical junctions decreased (Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1J,

Video S5).

A closer examination of individual cells allowed us to quantify features of apical surface

area throughout the constriction period. The example in Figure 2D depicts the apical

surface area of a cell exhibiting 3 main pulses of constriction each separated by more

stable intermediate steps (Figure 2C,D, Video S6). While cells showed an average rate

of constriction of 1.1±0.1 µm^2/min (mean±s.e.m., n=51 cells, 4 embryos), they exhibited

constriction pulses with higher rates of contraction (up to 4.6 µm^2/min), and an average

pulse magnitude of 1.4±0.1 µm^2/min (Figure 2B,C,E and Figure 2,3-figure supplement

1G). Cells at the primitive streak fluctuated between expansion and contraction before

ingression, as did epiblast cells located some distance from the streak (Figure 2C and

Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1B,E). During ingression, cells spent 90% of the time

contracting apical surfaces (compare with 48% and 55% for epiblast cells away from the

streak and before ingression) with an average rate of contraction of 1.1µm^2/min, higher

Figure 2: Pulsed racket-like apical constriction during epiblast cell ingression and asynchronous constriction at multi-cellular level. **(A)** Graph showing the apical surface area of a few cells during constriction associated with ingression, aligned to the start of constriction. **(B)** Heat map plot showing the rate of changes of apical surface area of cells during the final constriction period, revealing minimal expansion and pulsed contractions. Each row represents data for an individual cell and are aligned to the end of constriction. **(C)** Graph of apical surface area and rate of constriction of a single cell (cell A) showing slight oscillation in apical surface before undergoing a final constriction period with pulses of constriction, here with three main pulses (beige regions). **(D)** Membrane segmentation and color-coded time-series of apical surface area and constriction rate of a single cell (cell A) showing three pulses of constriction separated by stable steps. (cell corresponding to the plot showed in C). **(E)** Plot showing the average rate of area changes. Epiblast cells away from the primitive streak before ingression show low and equivalent levels of contraction and expansion. Cells at the primitive streak show no significant differences between contraction and expansion before the constriction, whereas rate of

contraction is significantly higher, and expansion reduces during the subsequent constriction
period. **(F)** Color-coded time-series and heat map plot of rate of change of apical surface area
showing asynchronous oscillation in area and asynchronous constriction and ingression among
neighbors. Asterisks show ingression events.
51 cells quantified from 4 embryos. Error bars represent s.e.m. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001 (Unpaired
bilateral Mann-Whitney test).
Figure supplement 1: Details and features of constriction pulses and cell parameters during
constriction.
Figure supplement 2: Asynchronous apical constriction at the multi-cellular level.
234
235

than the average expansion rate of $0.1\mu m^2$/min (Figure 2E and Figure 2,3-figure

supplement 1D). To conclude, epiblast cells exhibited fluctuations in apical surface areas

before ingression, and pulsed constrictions during ingression at the primitive streak. No

hallmark or significant trend was observed at the onset of constriction, the elongation and

orientation of the apical surface at the beginning or throughout the constriction period, nor

the number of edges when constriction initiated (Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1J-L).

Asynchronous apical constriction at multi-cellular level

We analyzed clusters of cells at the primitive streak to uncover details of the apical

constriction behavior at the multi-cellular level. Segmenting cell clusters allowed tracking

of cells and documentation of changes in their apical surfaces through time, as cells

ingressed (Figure 2F, Figure 2-figure supplement 2, Video S7). Cells underwent

fluctuations in apical surface area as some cells constricted and ingressed (Figure 2-

figure supplement 2B, Video S7). By color-coding and plotting the rate of apical change,

we observed asynchronous oscillation of expansion and contraction phases among

neighboring cells. As cells constricted and ingressed, the neighbors did not always exhibit

the same behavior; some contracted at the same time, while others slightly expanded or

maintained a constant surface area (Figure 2F, asterisk show ingression; S3C and Video

S7). Thus, neighboring epiblast cells undergoing EMT and ingressing at the primitive

streak displayed asynchronous apical behaviors and ingress in a stochastic-like manner,

with some neighboring cells ingressing 2 hours apart, in comparison to Drosophila

gastrulation where all ventral furrow cells constrict in less than 10min.

Figure 3: Asynchronous junctional shrinkage during apical constriction. **(A)** Membrane
segmentation and color-coded time-series of single junction showing reduction of
junctions length during apical constriction of cell A showed in Figure 2. Here the six
initial junctions are numbered, and two are pointed (arrowheads) and shrink at different
time. **(B)** Graph showing the length and rate of shrinkage of the junction identified with
the red arrowhead in A, indicating the 3 main pulses of shrinkage (hatched regions). **(C)**
Graph showing increased rate of junctional shrinkage during cell constriction pulses
compare to stable phases between constriction pulses from multiple cells (3 embryos,
51 junctions). **(D)** Graph showing the length of individual junctions (left) during apical
constriction of a single cell (cell B plotted in Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1A). Junctions
reduce their length differentially during the constriction pulses (beige regions). Heat map
plot of the shrinkage rate of junctions (right), showing junctions shrinking
asynchronously during the constriction pulses (beige time points). Each row represents
data for an individual junction, junctions are color-coded as corresponding in the left plot
and ordered as neighboring junctions. **(E)** Plot of the average shrinkage rate of each
junction through time during each constriction pulse and stables phases, showing the
asynchronous shrinkage of different sets of junctions with variable magnitude during the
different cell constriction pulses. Junctions are color-coded as neighbors as in D. **(F)**
Model of rachet-like pulsed constriction associated with asynchronous junctional
shrinkage.
Error bars represent s.e.m. *P<0.05 (Unpaired bilateral Mann-Whitney test).

Asynchronous shrinkage of junctions correlates with apical constriction during cell ingression

Most apical junctions of any given cell decreased in length in a pulsed manner, with 1-3 pulses of shrinkage (Figure 3A,B), with junctions appearing to shrink asynchronously (Figure 3A,D, Video S6). By analyzing and quantifying the behavior of each junction of any one cell during constriction, we noted that the average rate of shrinkage was significantly higher during cell constriction pulses (Figure 3C). Junctions of individual cells shrunk asynchronously (Figure 3D and Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1H,I), differentially and with different magnitudes between one constriction pulse and the next (Figure 3E and Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1H,I), suggesting that asynchronous differential junctional shrinkage may trigger constriction of the cell surface. In sum, epiblast cells at the mouse primitive streak constricted their apical surfaces in a ratchet-like manner, with asynchronous shrinkage of junctions (Figure 3F).

Anisotropic accumulation of components at apical junctions of epiblast cells

We sought to analyze the localization of apical proteins implicated in epithelial apical constriction in different tissues and species, that could potentially be involved in the apical constriction associated with the mouse gastrulation EMT. E7.5 embryos were immunostained and epiblast apical surface imaged in regions proximo-distally middle of the primitive streak (least curved and best for analysis) (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A). Crumbs2 and Myosin2B have been described as exhibiting heterogenous and complementary distributions at the apical junctions of epiblast cells (Ramkumar et al., 2016), and our data showed Myosin2B and Crumbs2 were predominantly anisotropically distributed, with Crumbs2 exhibited a stronger anisotropy being almost absent from some junctions, and Myosin2B observed on all junctions but at varying levels (Figure 4B and Figure 4-figure supplement 1B).

We next investigated the localization of other apical proteins, including the actomyosin components, mono- and di-phosphorylated Myosin Light Chain (pMLC and ppMLC),

Rock1, a Rho-associated kinase that phosphorylates and activates myosin light chain,
and F-actin. We analyzed the localization of the Crumbs complex proteins PatJ, and
aPKC, a regulator of non-muscle Myosin2 contexts (Biehler et al., 2021; Petrov et al.,
2017; Roper, 2012; Sidor et al., 2020). Membrane segmentation allowed us to
systematically quantify several parameters, including apical surface area, cell elongation,
and the intensity of junctional protein accumulation (Figure 4A, Figure 4-figure
supplement 1C). Two parameters were calculated based on junctional protein intensity
and used to evaluate the level of anisotropy: the standard deviation of the junctional
intensity measurements cells (SD), and the ratio of the maximum to minimum junctional
intensity values (Max/Min) for each cell (Figure 4A). The membrane-GFP reporter served
as a control for homogeneous membrane fluorescence localization with low anisotropy
and the cohort of proteins analyzed exhibited different degrees of anisotropy (Figure 4B,C
and Figure 4-figure supplement 1D,E) . Some proteins such as Crumbs2, ppMLC,
ROCK1 and ZO-1 showed a high level of anisotropy (Figure 4B,C and Figure 4-figure
supplement 1D,E), though each exhibited a distinct pattern. ZO-1 was observed on all
junctions, with variable intensity. Crumbs2 was present on some junctions but absent on
others. ppMLC and ROCK1 also exhibited accumulation on some junctions, but low
accumulation on others. Other proteins, including PatJ and F-actin, displayed distinct
patterns but intermediate anisotropy. Finally some proteins, such as pMLC, Myosin2B
and aPKC showed less anisotropy with lower SD and Max/Min values (Figure 4B,C and
Figure 4-figure supplement 1D,E). These data allowed us to classify proteins based on
their distribution and level of anisotropy. Staining observed at earlier (E7) and later stages
(E8) did not show visible differences (data not shown) (Ramkumar et al., 2016).
336
337   The anisotropic membrane accumulation of proteins is relevant with respect to the planar
338   cell polarity (PCP) of epithelial cells. The apical proteins we analyzed did not show a
339   visible planar polarized distribution, which we sought to verify quantitatively.
340
341
342
343

Figure 4: Anisotropic distribution of apical components in epiblast cells at the primitive streak.
(A) ZO-1 apical membrane localization and corresponding skeleton of membrane segmentation used to quantify junctional intensities and anisotropy parameters as schematized. The average intensities of proteins associated with the junctions of individual cells are used to calculate the standard deviation between junctions, and the difference between junctions with the maximum and minimum intensities. **(B)** Lookup table (LUT) of immunostaining of Crumbs2, di-phosporylated Myosin Light Chain (ppMLC), aPKC and Myosin2B. These proteins show different distributions at apical junctions. Myosin2B and aPKC are present on almost every junction and seem to have a low anisotropy distribution, whereas ppMLC and Crumbs2 are not present on every junction and show a more anisotropic distribution. **(C)** Plots showing the anisotropy parameters, standard deviation and max/min. Proteins such as Crumbs2, ZO-1 and ppMLC show elevated parameters corresponding to a high level of anisotropy, whereas aPKC and Myosin2B show lower anisotropy. The membrane-GFP (recombined mTmG mouse reporter line) is used as control showing homogeneous distribution across all junctions and low anisotropy. (a range of 407-4135 junctions were analyzed in 3-5 embryos for each protein. Statistical test compare each proteins to mGFP).

361 Pr: proximal, D: distal, R: right, L: left, PS: primitive streak. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (one-way
362 ANOVA test). Error bars represent s.e.m. Scale bars, 20µm.
363 **Figure supplement 1**: Complementary accumulation of Crumbs2 and Myosin2B, and
364 anisotropy of apical components at the primitive streak.
365 **Figure supplement 2**: Apical proteins show anisotropy accumulation but no planar polarity
366
367

We compared the planar polarity index calculated by Tissue Analyzer (amplitude and
orientation of polarity) and mean junctional intensity in relation to junction orientation
(Figure 4-figure supplement 2) (two ways to assess planar polarity) of apical proteins
analyzed to Celsr1, a protein implicated in PCP in mouse and chick (Curtin et al., 2003;
Mahaffey et al., 2013; Nishimura et al., 2012). Celsr1 exhibited a planar polarized
localization and tended to accumulate on junctions oriented on left-right axis
perpendicular to the proximo-distal axis, but by contrast, Myosin2B, Crumbs2, ppMLC,
ZO-1 and aPKC, showed no specific axis of planar polarity.
376
377

Reciprocal distribution of apical proteins at apical junctions
We next assessed the colocalization and correlation of the different apical proteins at
junctions. Segmentation and intensity values, which served as a readout of concentration,
were used to systematically compare mean junctional protein intensities and correlate
localization. Some proteins such as ppMLC (di-phosphorylated Myosin Light Chain) and
Rock1 showed similar patterns with comparable levels of anisotropy, and appeared to
accumulate on the same junctions (Figure 5A). Co-staining revealed a broader
accumulation for ppMLC. However, where Rock1 was seen to accumulate, ppMLC was
always present, demonstrating strong colocalization (Figure 5A,5C), close to that
observed with a positive control staining for ppMLC with two distinct secondary antibodies
(Figure 5C and Figure 5-figure supplement 1C,E). F-actin accumulated on most junctions,
exhibiting a distinct distribution from ppMLC, whereas F-actin and ppMMLC exhibited a
high level of colocalization (Figure 5-figure supplement 1A), with high correlation of
junctional intensities (Figure 5C and Figure 5-figure supplement 1A,E). Comparison of F-
actin and Rock1 revealed a similar high correlation (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D,E).
Thus, the correlated distributions of ppMLC, Rock1 and F-actin proteins suggests they

could function at the same junctions. Despite exhibiting anisotropy, ZO-1 and aPKC were

observed to be present on all junctions (Figure 4A,B and S3), with a high level of

correlation, similar to a positive control (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D,E), demonstrating

their accumulation on the same junctions.

By contrast, when comparing other junctional proteins, we observed very low or no

correlation. Crumbs2 and Myosin2B exhibited a complementary localization (Figure 5B),

with minimal colocalization. Comparisons of Crumbs2-Myosin2B junctional intensities

revealed a broad distribution and very low correlation (Figure 5B,C). Comparing PatJ, a

Crumbs partner protein, with pMLC (phosphorylated-Myosin Light Chain) showed a

similar accumulation with almost no correlation (Figure 5C and Figure 5-figure

supplement 1B,E). Analysis of other protein pairs, including ZO-1-ppMLC, and aPKC-

ppMLC revealed low correlation (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D,E).

To gauge the non-complementarity and assess potential anti-correlation of certain

proteins, we analyzed junctional intensity correlations grouped by individual cells, rather

than junctions collectively. This analysis confirmed the strong correlation of ppMLC,

Rock1 and F-actin, where most cells exhibited a positive correlation trend with a high

correlation coefficient (Figure 5-figure supplement 2A,B). For pairs of proteins such as

Crumbs2 and Myosin2B, and PatJ and pMLC, which showed no correlation when junction

quantifications were compared (Figure 5B,C and Figure 5-figure supplement 1), grouping

junction intensities by individual cells revealed a broad distribution; some cells showed

anti-correlation, some no correlation and some positive correlation (Figure 5-figure

supplement 2C-F). This analysis illustrated a heterogeneity across the epiblast population

in the vicinity of the primitive streak, at any one time, supporting our hypothesis that

anisotropic accumulation patterns are dynamic.

These quantitative analyses of apical protein anisotropy patterns combined with the

correlation of distributions, allowed us to define two groups of proteins that tend to

accumulate on different junctions. Cytoskeletal proteins including Myosin2,

phosphorylated MLC, ROCK1 and F-actin predominantly accumulate on the same

Figure 5: Complementarity accumulation of apical components at apical junctions. **(A)** Co-immunostaining of ppMLC and Rock1 reveals a tendency to accumulate on the same junctions. Note colocalization of fluorescent signal on most junctions (arrowheads). Scatter plot on the right showing elevated intensity correlation of the two proteins. Each point represented the mean intensity at a single junction. **(B)** Co-immunostaining of Myosin2B and Crumbs2 reveals that they tend to accumulate on different junctions. Note junctions where Myosin accumulation predominates (arrows), and junctions where Crumbs2 predominates (arrowheads). Corresponding scatter plot shows a low correlation. **(C)** Plot showing the correlation coefficients associated with the different scatter plots. Proteins such as ppMLC, Rock1 and F-actin exhibit a strong correlation with a high coefficient (ppMLC vs Rock1=0.72±0.01, ppMLC vs F-actin=0.65±0.02), whereas others including Myosin2B and Crumbs2, PatJ and pMLC exhibit much lower correlation with low coefficient (Myosin2B vs Crumbs2=0.20±0.06, pMLC vs

PatJ=0.09±0.05). **(D)** Example of scatter plot showing the junctional intensity correlation of

Crumbs2 and Myosin2B in a cohort of cells. Each symbol represents one junction, grouped by

cells (color coded) with respective correlation trends, depicting a mix of cells with correlation,

some with no correlation, and some with anti-correlation. Graph on the right shows correlation

coefficients for a larger number of cells, showing a broad distribution, from correlation (+1) to

anti-correlation (-1). % show the distribution of correlation coefficients in the different quarters.

(E) Based on co-staining for multiple apical proteins and measurements of anisotropy and

correlation, two groups of proteins can be defined with a tendency to accumulate on same

junctions: actomyosin components, and apical determinants and junctional proteins.

711-2453 junctions were quantified in 3-4 embryos for each pair of proteins. *P<0.05,

***P<0.001 (one-way ANOVA test). Error bars represent s.e.m. Scale bars, 10µm.

Figure supplement 1: Correlation of protein distributions at apical junctions.

Figure supplement 2: Junctional correlation of proteins at the single cell level.

junctions, while apical components and junctional proteins such Crumbs2, PatJ, aPKC,

ZO-1 will co-localized on other junctions (Figure 5D). This anisotropic distribution of the

actomyosin network and Crumbs-complex associated proteins is associated with

dynamic apical constriction.

Cellular defects in *Crumbs2*^{-/-} mutant embryos at the primitive streak

Crumbs2 is an important regulator of the mouse gastrulation EMT, where it promotes cell

ingression. Epiblast cells fail to ingress and accumulate at the primitive streak in *Crb2*^{-/-}

embryos (Ramkumar et al., 2016). By quantifying the apical surface of posterior epiblast

cells at E8.0, we observed increased cell density and cells with smaller apical surfaces

around the primitive streak of mutants, as compared to littermate controls (Figure 6,7-

figure supplement 1A). This cell crowding was not observed half a day earlier at E7.5

(Figure 6,7-figure supplement 1B) (Ramkumar et al., 2016) when mutant embryos were

morphologically indistinguishable from wild-type stage-matched counterparts.

Figure 6: Cellular defects in Crumbs2 mutant embryos at the primitive streak. **(A)** ZO-1 immunostaining showing the apical surface of WT and *Crumbs2* mutant embryos at E7.5 and E8.0. **(B)** Segmentation and color code of apical surface areas reveals smaller surfaces in *Crumbs2* mutants at E8.0. **(C)** Color code of cell surface elongation index calculated by Tissue Analyzer (regardless of their axis) reveals rounder surfaces in *Crumbs2* mutants at E8.0. **(D)** Graph of quantifications showing significantly smaller apical surfaces in *Crumbs2* mutants at E8.0 compared to E7.5, and to WT embryos. **(E)** Graph showing significantly less elongated apical surfaces in *Crumbs2* mutants at E8.0 compared to E7.5, and to WT embryos. 334-1187 cells from 3-5 embryos were quantified for each genotype and stage. ***$P<0.001$ (Unpaired bilateral Mann-Whitney test). Error bars represent s.e.m. Scale bars, 20µm.

Morphological defects (apical surface areas and surface elongation) in cells at the primitive streak were first detected at E8.0 with cells having smaller and rounder apical surfaces (Figure 6A-E and Figure 6,7-figure supplement 1B) resulting from their failure to ingress, and consequent accumulation in the epiblast.

Molecular defects in *Crumbs2*^{-/-} mutant embryos precede cellular defects

To identify molecular defects associated with and preceding the ingression defect we

analyzed the distribution of actomyosin components in *Crb2*^{-/-} embryos. Although ZO1

distribution appeared unchanged in the mutants, suggesting the integrity of junctions was

not altered, we observed reduced levels of Myosin2B and ppMLC (the fully activated form

of Myosin Light Chain) in mutants at E7.5 (Figure 7A,B) and Figure 6,7-figure supplement

1C). We also observed more diffuse and reduced cortical actin filaments at the apical

junctions of epiblast cells in mutants, whereas the medial pool of actin appeared slightly

increased (Figure 7A,B). Thus, actomyosin apical accumulation and activity were

perturbed without alteration of junctional integrity in *Crb2*^{-/-} embryos at E7.5, preceding

morphological defects.

We next focused on aPKC and Rock1, implicated in the regulation of the actomyosin

network by Crumbs in Drosophila and cultured cells (Biehler et al., 2021; Ishiuchi and

Takeichi, 2011; Roper, 2012; Sidor et al., 2020). By quantifying the intensity of aPKC and

Rock1 at junctions, we observed a 3-fold reduction of aPKC intensity at apical junctions

and a more diffuse signal in the cytoplasm, and we observed a slight reduction of

junctional Rock1 (Figure 7C and Figure 6,7-figure supplement 1D). These observations

suggest a network with aPKC and Rock1 regulating actomyosin downstream of Crumbs2.

By quantifying the two parameters used to assess anisotropic distributions at apical

junctions, we observed that in *Crb2*^{-/-} embryos the SD and Max/Min of junction intensity

of Myosin2, F-actin ZO-1, aPKC and Rock1 was reduced (Figure 7D), revealing reduced

anisotropy and more homogenous distribution of these proteins.

Figure 7: Molecular defects in *Crumbs2* mutants preceding visible cellular defects. **(A)** Co-immunostaining for ZO-1, ppMLC and F-actin in WT and *Crumbs2* mutants at E7.5 reveal reduced ppMLC and F-actin junctional intensities. **(B)** Plots showing intensity measurements of junctional ppMLC and F-actin. ppMLC shows significant decrease in junctional accumulation in *Crumbs2* mutants. F-actin shows lower junctional accumulation and increased diffuse medial intensity in *Crumbs2* mutants. **(C)** Graphs of junctional intensity measurements showing significantly decreased aPKC and Rock1 intensity in *Crumbs2* mutants compared to WT. **(D)** Anisotropy parameters, Standard Deviation and Max/Min showing perturbed junctional

accumulation anisotropy in *Crumbs2* mutants compared to WT embryos. ZO-1, F-actin,
Myosin2, aPKC and Rock1 show reduced anisotropy in *Crumbs2* mutants. **(E)** Working model.
Actomyosin network and Crumbs2 complex show anisotropic and complementary distribution at
apical junctions of epiblast cells at the primitive streak. These distributions are likely dynamic
through time and heterogenous among cells, and could potentially regulate each other
distribution. Junctions asynchronously shrink and allow ratchet-like constriction of apical
surfaces, facilitating cell constriction and ingression without creating increased tension within
the tissue.
122-501 cells in 3-4 embryos were quantified for each genotype and proteins. *P<0.05, **<0.01,
***P<0.001 (B,C: Unpaired bilateral Mann-Whitney test; D: one-way ANOVA test). Error bars
represent s.e.m. Scale bars, 20µm.
Figure supplement 1: Cellular and molecular defects in *Crumbs2* mutant embryos.
535
536
537
538 **DISCUSSION**
539
To develop a dynamic mechanistic understanding of the mouse gastrulation EMT at
cellular resolution and tissue-scale we 3D time-lapse imaged *ex utero* cultured embryos.
We used membrane tagged reporters and quantified the spatiotemporal features of apical
cell surfaces, junctions and junctional composition of cells in the vicinity of the primitive
streak. Our analyses reveal that after converging at the primitive streak, epiblast cells
undergo EMT, either individually or in small groups of cells, in what appear to be
stochastic events. Cells constrict their apical surfaces in a ratchet-like manner during
ingression from the epiblast epithelium. Constriction is associated with the anisotropic
apical distribution of actomyosin network and Crumbs2 complex proteins.
549
Apical constriction is an evolutionarily conserved behavior associated with gastrulation in
invertebrates such as Drosophila, nematodes and sea urchins, as well as in vertebrates
and amniotes, such as Xenopus and chick (Christodoulou and Skourides, 2015; Lecuit
and Lenne, 2007; Martin and Goldstein, 2014; Martin et al., 2009; Rozbicki et al., 2015).
We observed fluctuations in the size and shape of the apical surface of epiblast cells as
they converged towards the streak. In the vicinity of the streak, and as cells ingressed,
their apical surfaces predominantly constricted, in a step-wise ratchet-like manner.
557

Pulsed ratchet-like apical constrictions have been observed in Drosophila gastrulation,
where cells at the ventral furrow constrict in a short period of time to drive tissue
invagination, followed by en-masse cell delamination (Martin et al., 2009; Mason et al.,
2013). Drosophila neuroblast delamination also exhibits a ratchet-like apical constriction
(An et al., 2017; Simoes et al., 2017). Despite these similarities, mesoderm formation
process during gastrulation of Drosophila and mouse cannot be directly compared as they
exhibit different time-scales, mechanisms and spatial parameters. In Drosophila the entire
ventral furrow constricts in less than 10min, triggering a tissue-wide invagination without
cell ingression, thereafter cells lose their epithelial properties and form a mesenchymal
mesodermal tissue. By contrast, in the mouse embryo epiblast cells at the primitive
undergo constriction and ingression in a more isolated fashion over the course of almost
2 days. Our time-lapse imaging revealed a ratchet-like apical cell constriction operating
in the mouse gastrulation EMT, where cells predominantly ingress as isolated cells or
small cluster, versus the en-masse constriction and invagination taking place in
Drosophila.

We further demonstrated that this apical constriction is associated with the asynchronous
contraction of junctions between neighboring cells, potentially regulated by the apical
actomyosin network. During Drosophila gastrulation, an apico-medial network of
actomyosin pulls apical cell junctions to simultaneously reduce their length and allow the
constriction of apical surface of cells at the ventral furrow (Coravos and Martin, 2016;
Martin et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2013). Drosophila neuroblasts exhibit polarized apical
constriction driven by both planar polarized junctional and medial actomyosin networks,
predominantly leading to a loss of antero-posterior junctions (Simoes et al., 2017). In the
mouse gastrula, we found that cells undergoing EMT contract and lose cell-cell junctions
in an asynchronous manner without apparent planar polarity. Our analyses of the
junctional distribution of Myosin2 supports its potential involvement in regulating
constriction during ingression, but does not exclude an additional role played by a medial
pool. Pulsed actomyosin activity has been documented in various processes involving
cell shape changes, including epithelial apical constriction (Coravos et al., 2017; Heer
and Martin, 2017; Martin and Goldstein, 2014). The pulsed constriction we observe in

mouse gastrulation indicates conservation of this actomyosin behavior, as a general
mechanism that integrates assembly and disassembly of the network and rearrangement
and recruitment of Myosin and Actin molecules. Furthermore, pulsed contractility could
allow a substantial but transient constriction of epiblast apical surfaces during ingression
without creating deleterious tension which could impact the integrity of the tissue.

Since large numbers of cells undergo EMT and leave the epiblast epithelium at any given
time, simultaneous constriction and ingression of multiple neighboring cells could exert
extreme tension and deformation in the tissue. Our observation of a stochastic and
asynchronous constriction of neighboring cells provides a parsimonious explanation for
maintaining epithelial integrity, and a continuation of ingression events at the primitive
streak and tail bud for almost 2 days throughout gastrulation, in comparison to Drosophila
gastrulation where all ventral furrow cells constrict in less than 10min. Cell division taking
place on the basal side of an epiblast layer, has been reported at the primitive streak
where it has been proposed to facilitate cell ingression (Mathiah et al., 2020). Apical
constriction and basal cell division could therefore work in concert to promote the correct
number of cell ingression events.

Myosin2 is a driver of membrane movement and apical constriction in various contexts
(An et al., 2017; Chung et al., 2017; Marston et al., 2016; Martin and Goldstein, 2014;
Martin et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2013; Nishimura et al., 2012; Roh-Johnson et al., 2012;
Samarage et al., 2015; Simoes et al., 2017). Crumbs2 is involved in the EMT process
during mouse gastrulation and exhibits a complementary localization to Myosin2
(Ramkumar et al., 2016) (our data). Anisotropic membrane localization of Crumbs has
been implicated in Drosophila salivary gland development, where it controls the
localization of actomyosin cables at the placode border, necessary for apical constriction
and tissue invagination (Roper, 2012). Recently, Crumbs has also been implicated in
apical constriction during neuroblast cell ingression in Drosophila (Simoes et al., 2022).
We observed the anisotropic and complementary localization of Crumbs2 and Myosin2,
and have identified other proteins exhibiting anisotropic distributions. Although Myosin2B,
F-actin, aPKC and ZO-1 are present on all apical junctions, they exhibit a differential

anisotropic accumulation between the junctions of any one cell. Other proteins such as
ppMLC and the myosin kinase Rock1, as well as Crumbs2 and its partner PatJ, exhibited
a higher level of anisotropy. In the Drosophila salivary gland placode border, Crumbs and
Myosin2 show planar polarized localization with Crumbs being absent from cell junctions
that contact the border of the placode, allowing the accumulation of myosin II at the
placode border and formation of a supracellular actomyosin cable (Roper, 2012). By
contrast, our results in mouse show that Crumbs2, Myosin2B and several other proteins
do not exhibit planar polarity, but what appears to be a disordered anisotropy. Our studies
reveal a patterned distribution in which actomyosin regulators and Crumbs2, aPKC and
ZO-1 accumulate on subsets of cell junctions and display complementary distributions.
We propose that these anisotropic patterns are dynamic and control the asynchronous
junction contraction associated with apical constriction.

Crumbs2 acts through aPKC and Rock to regulate Myosin2 localization in the *Drosophila*
salivary gland (Roper, 2012), and aPKC has been shown to regulate Myosin2 junctional
localization and activity both positively and negatively in different context, directly or
through other proteins (Biehler et al., 2021; Ishiuchi and Takeichi, 2011; Petrov et al.,
2017). The regulation of Myosin2 by Crumbs and aPKC through Rock in *Drosophila*
salivary gland development is complex (Sidor et al., 2020), and despite Rock membrane
association being tightly regulated by Crumbs across the tissue, regionally distinct
behaviors have been observed. At placode borders, cells exhibit a polarized accumulation
of Crumbs/aPKC and Rock to control actomyosin cable formation, whereas in the center,
where cells constrict during the tissue invagination, both Crumbs and Rock are
isotropically present at junctions (Chung et al., 2017; Sidor et al., 2020). Our results
demonstrate that during mouse gastrulation Crumbs2 controls the apical accumulation
and activity of actomyosin, which could account for the defects in apical constriction and
ingression in Crumbs2 mutants. We observed Crumbs2 as required for the correct
accumulation and distribution of aPKC, Myosin2, Rock1 and F-actin at apical junctions,
without alteration of junctional integrity. Crumbs2 along with aPKC could control the
anisotropic accumulation of actomyosin, triggering asynchronous junctional reduction
resulting in apical constriction during ingression (Figure 7E).

In ingressing mouse epiblast cells Crumbs2 together with aPKC and Rock1 could represent a complex network implicating other proteins, required for Myosin2 localization and activity at the apical membrane, but also potentially regulating the anisotropic accumulation of the actomyosin network locally at junctions, facilitating correct apical constriction during ingression (Figure 7E). Our work reveals that pulsed ratchet-like constriction behaviors observed in different species and distinct contexts are conserved in the mouse gastrulation EMT.

METHODS

Experimental animals

FVB or mixed background mice were used for immunofluorescence staining. Most other experiments, incorporating genetically engineered mouse models, were carried out in a mixed background. The *Gt(ROSA)26Sor*$^{tm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo}$/J (Muzumdar et al., 2007) (referred to throughout as mT/mG) line was obtained from the Jackson Laboratory and crossed to Sox2-Cre (Hayashi et al., 2002) mice to generate a membrane-GFP reporter. The ZO-1-GFP protein fusion knock-in mouse reporter a gift from Terry Lechler (Duke University Medical Center, NC, USA) (Foote et al., 2013; Huebner et al., 2014). *Crumbs2*$^{+/-}$ animals were generated from animals carrying a conditional allele of Crumbs2 via germline deletion (Alves et al., 2013). Animals were housed and bred in accordance with institutional IACUC guidelines. The MSKCC IACUC approved all experiments.

Embryo dissection, immunostaining and antibodies

For the majority of immunostaining experiments, embryonic day E7.5 and E8.0 embryos were dissected in cold PBS and fixed for 1 hour in 4% PFA, with the exception that 8% PFA was used for detection of phosphorylated myosin. Whole-mount embryos were blocked overnight in blocking buffer (PBS; 0,1%Triton; 3%BSA), incubated overnight at

4°C with primary antibodies, washed in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C

with species-specific secondary antibodies. For staining of F-actin embryos were

incubated with Phalloidin-FITC/TRITC (Invitrogen).

The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Myosin Heavy Chain 2B (1/400, Biolegend

909902), mouse anti-Myosin Heavy Chain 2B (1/50, DSHB CMII 23), rabbit anti-pMLC

(1/200, Cell Signaling Ser19 3671), mouse anti-pMLC (1/200, Cell Signaling Ser19 3675),

rabbit anti-ppMLC (1/200, Cell Signaling Thr18/Ser19 3674), rat anti-ZO-1 (1/50, DSHB

R26.4C), mouse anti-ZO-1 (1/200, Invitrogen 33-9100), rabbit anti-ZO-1 (1/200, Zymed

61-7300), mouse anti-aPKCλ (1/100, BD bioscience 610207), chick anti-GFP (1/400,

Abcam 13970). Rabbit anti-Crumbs2 was a gift from Jane McGlade (Hospital for Sick

Children, Toronto, Canada) and was used at 1/50 (Laprise 2006). Rabbit anti-PatJ was a

gift from Andre Le Bivic (Developmental Biology Institute of Marseille, France) and was

usedc at 1/200 (Lemmers 2002). Rat anti-Rock1 was a gift from Masatoshi Takeichi

(RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Kobe, Japan) and used at 1/100 (Nishimura

and Takeichi 2008). Guinea Pig anti-Celsr1 was a gift from Danelle Devenport (Princeton

University, Princeton, USA) and was used at 1/500 (Devenport and Fuchs 2008).

Fluorescent secondary antibodies Alexa 488, 568 and 647 from Life Technologies and

ThermoFisher were used at 1/500.

Fixed embryo epiblast mounting and imaging

Following staining, embryos were microdissected to retain the posterior side containing

the primitive streak and mounted on glass slide and coverslipped in Fluoromount-G, with

the cavity and apical surface of the epiblast facing the coverslip. Layers of tape were

placed on both extremity of the slide to create a slight volume and to avoid extensive

compression and flattening of the tissue. The position of the primitive streak was

determined to coincide with the posterior midline running from the allantois to the node

(two morphologically distinguishable structures), and streak axis was oriented so that the

proximo-distal axis was oriented along the vertical axis of the image. Most

immunostaining revealed a high concentration of puncta also allowing us to identify the

location of the primitive streak after imaging. Embryos were imaged on a Leica SP8 or a

Zeiss LSM880 laser point scanning confocal using x40 oil immersion objectives, and
images were acquired as Z-stacks with 1μm step.

Segmentation and quantification of fixed embryo staining

Confocal images were analyzed using ImageJ. The primitive streak region was located
and a maximal intensity projection of a minimal thickness (5-10μm) was generated to
observe the apical surface of cells in a selected region of interest at the primitive streak.
ZO-1 localization was generally used as an apical junction marker for segmentation.

Segmentation was performed using the Tissue Analyzer software (Aigouy et al., 2010;
Aigouy et al., 2016), an ImageJ plugin which uses a watershed algorithm to segment the
cell cortex. Briefly, a first membrane segmentation was automatically performed, and then
verified and corrected manually. Cells and junctions were tracked and assigned IDs. Most
cell parameters used were calculated by the software, including; apical surface area, cell
elongation magnitude and orientation, number of junctions per cell, and signal intensities
along junctions. In brief, cell surface elongation is characterized by an axis and
magnitude, and can be represented by a symmetric tensor at the centroid of a cell (images
with red nematic bars). Calculation integrates cell area and shape so that cells of similar
shape with different sizes are assigned the same value of cell elongation (see Aigouy et
al. 2016 for details equations (Aigouy et al., 2016)).

Color-code representations of apical surface area, surface elongation, and junction
number were generated in Tissue Analyzer. Surface elongation index (magnitude)
calculated by the software, was represented as an index from 0 (round) to 1 (line). Surface
elongation and planar polarity of proteins were characterized by an axis and magnitude,
represented by a symmetric tensor at the centroid of a cell (images with red nematic bars).

Intensity measurements of immunostaining are presented for every data as the mean
intensity along single junctions and normalized to the global mean junctional intensity of
corresponding embryos. Anisotropy parameters were calculated from junctional
intensities measured within Tissue Analyzer. Intensities were measured along 3 pixel-

wide lines (~500nm), measurements were extracted from a database created by the
software and used externally for calculations. For each single cell analyzed, the mean
junction intensity was used to calculate per cell, the standard deviation between the
intensity of all junctions, and the junction with the maximal intensity divided by the junction
with the minimal intensity (Max/Min ratio). These two parameters were used to evaluate
the anisotropic accumulation of proteins at apical junctions. Double immunostainings
were used to assess correlative accumulation of proteins, and mean junction intensities
were used to create scatter plots to evaluate the dispersion of the intensity across the
populations, and their correlation coefficients. Generally, measurements taken of
junctions from different embryos were pooled, except for a few examples in which
junctions were grouped by individual cells to evaluate the range of anisotropy in different
cells.

For planar polarity of protein localization at apical junctions, Tissue Analyzer calculates a
planar polarity characterized by an axis and magnitude, and can be represented by a
symmetric tensor at the centroid of a cell (images with red nematic bars). Cell junctions
fluorescent intensity as well as the angles of junction and the geometry of the cell were
used to define the magnitude of polarity as well as the angle (see Aigouy et al. 2016 for
details equations (Aigouy et al., 2016)). For protein accumulation based on junctional
orientation, average junctional intensities were plotted according to junctional orientation
angles which were classified in bins of 15°.

For protein quantifications in $Crb2^{-/-}$ mutants and comparison with wild-type (WT)
embryos, 3-4 embryos of each genotype recovered from 2-3 litters where processed,
immunostained and imaged in parallel using the same parameters, then segmented and
quantified as described above. Measurements are presented as the mean intensity along
single junctions and normalized to the global mean junctional intensity of the pool cohort
of embryos of both genotypes for each proteins. For F-Actin quantification, junctional
values represent the intensity measured at the peripheral cortex and the medial values
the intensity measured in the medial/cytoplasmic area of the same cells.

Time-lapse imaging

775
For time lapse imaging, mid- to late-streak stage embryos (E7.5) were dissected with their
yolk sac and ectoplacental cone intact in dissection medium (CO_2 independent DMEM,
10%FBS) at 37°C. Embryos were stabilized in a depression created in a layer of collagen
matrix and imaged in glass-bottom 35mm MatTek dishes. They were placed with their
posterior side facing the objective and culture in 50% rat serum/50% DMEM media in an
incubation chamber at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Embryos were imaged for a total of 3-6h with
Z-stacks of 1μm step acquired every 5min (minimum time-scale possible to keep embryo
and fluorescent signal integrity) on a Leica-SP8 laser point scanning confocal or a Nikon
A1RHD25 high speed resonant scanning confocal with 40x objectives. Imaging usually
focused on regions of interest in the proximo-distal middle of the primitive steak where
the epiblast is the least curved and optimal for image data acquisition and analysis.

Dynamic images analysis and quantification

788
Membrane-GFP from *mT/mG* embryos was used to observed apical constriction
associated with cell ingression at the primitive streak. For all other imaging and
quantification, a ZO-1-GFP Knock-in reporter was used as it generally gave the best
signal-to-noise enabling observation of apical surfaces of epiblast cells. Analyses were
performed in ImageJ and Tissue Analyzer on maximal projections of Z-stacks. Cell
tracking in the plane of the epithelium was performed manually using the Manual Tracking
plugin in ImageJ. To quantify ingression events, small areas of interest in the vicinity of
the primitive streak, corresponding to a ~40μm region along the predicted midline, were
analyzed. All cells were tracked for at least one hour, and constriction and ingression
events were identified to calculate the ratio of ingression events per hour. Ingression
events were considered isolated when the constriction and disappearance of a cell
occurred within 30min or more of the ingression of adjacent neighboring cells, and
clustered when occurring within a 30min window of the ingression of adjacent neighboring
cells (2-4 cells in different clustered events).

For dynamic analysis of apical surfaces, small regions of interest at the primitive streak
were used for segmentation with Tissue analyzer. A first segmentation was automatically

generated by the software, then each time-point manually corrected. Cell parameters,
including apical surface area, surface elongation index and orientation and number of
edges, were extracted from the segmentation database, and graphs were plotted using
GraphPad Prism.

The beginning of cell constriction associated with an ingression event was defined as the
point when cells started to reduce their apical surface area after a period of oscillation,
and so continually until they completely lost their apical domain. The start of constriction
was defined as t0 when the apical surface area, rate of change of surface area and other
parameters were plotted against time. Changes in apical surface area (constriction rate)
was defined as the inverse value of the derivative of apical surface area: $\Delta area(t)=area(t-$
$1) - area(t)$. Oscillations of surface area in epiblast cells away from the primitive streak,
and before the initiation of ingression were compared with the more dramatic changes in
surface area during the constriction period. During ingression, a constriction pulse was
defined as an event in which the contraction rate exceeded one standard deviation above
the mean of the contraction rate of non-ingressing cells (above 0.7 μm^2/min). The number
of pulses, occurrence of pulse and pulse magnitude were quantified manually for each
constriction period of each cell and each pulse. The calculated apical area rate of change
was integrated in the Tissue Analyzer database, and used to generate color-coded movie
representations of surface area, area rate of changes, cell elongation, number of junctions
and junction length. As for fixed embryo analysis, surface elongation index (magnitude)
calculated by the software, is represented as an index from 0 (round) to 1 (line). Surface
elongation is characterized by an axis and magnitude, and is represented by a symmetric
tensor at the centroid of a cell (red nematic bars).

Changes in junction length (shrinkage rate) was defined similarly to cell area changes, as
the inverse value of the derivative of junction length: $\Delta length(t)=length(t-1) - length(t)$. To
analyze and compare junctions length changes over time, correlation of pairs of junctions
were quantified and plot as correlation matrix to illustrate a single cell or correlation
coefficient plot for pool of single cells. The average shrinkage rate of single junctions

during consecutive cell constriction pulses and stable phases were calculated to visualize

the asynchronous and differential shrinkage of junctions during single cell constriction.

Statistics

A range of 3 to 6 embryos were analyzed for each experiment. Samples sizes are detailed

in Figure legends and Supplementary file 1. Error bars on graphs represent s.e.m. P

values corresponds to an unpaired non-parametric Mann-Whitney test for comparison of

pairs of conditions, or one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons. All cells were included

in the statistical analysis. Each embryo was considered a biological replicate. No

randomisation or blind analysis were conducted.

Definition of terms

<u>Start of constriction</u>: the beginning of cell constriction associated with an ingression event

at the primitive streak was defined as the time point when cells started to reduce their

apical surface area after a period of oscillation, and so continually until they completely

lost their apical domain.

<u>End of constriction</u>: the end of constriction was defined as the time point when the

constricting cells lost their apical surface and move out of the plan of the epiblast.

<u>Before constriction</u>: defines the time period before cells start their constriction at the

primitive streak. This time-period shows same oscillation characteristics of the apical

surface area as epiblast cells further away from the primitive streak.

<u>Constriction</u>: defines the time-period during which cells reduced their apical surface area

until they completely lose their apical domain. We also use the term for the constriction

rate and constriction pulse.

<u>Contraction/expansion</u>: used to define the rate of change in apical surface area through

time, contraction defining the rate of reduction in area, and expansion a rate of increase

in area. They are defined both as positive value and plotted as rate of change, or in some

cases defined negatively and positively and plotted as constriction rate.

<u>Constriction pulse</u>: defines the time-period during the constriction when the rate of

contraction of the apical surface area exceeds the threshold.

<u>Stable phases</u>: defines the time-period between constriction pulses, when the change in
apical surface area shows minimal variation.
<u>Pulse occurrence</u>: defines the frequency at which constriction pulses are occurring.
<u>Pulse magnitude</u>: defines as the maximum value of constriction rate within each pulse.
<u>Shrinkage</u>: defines the junction reduction in length, Shrinkage rate indicates the change
in junction length through time.
872
873

Acknowledgements

AF and AKH dedicate this manuscript to the memory of our late colleague and mentor
Kathryn V Anderson. Kathryn marveled at the spectacle of mammalian gastrulation and
recognized the insights that genetics and imaging would bring. We thank Andre Le Bivic
for the PatJ antibody, Danelle Devenport for the Celsr1 antibody, Jane McGlade for the
Crumbs2 antibody and Masatoshi Takeichi for the Rock1 antibody. We thank Terry
Lechler for the ZO-1-GFP animals. We thank Zhirong Bao, Alex Joyner, Jen Zallen and
members of the Hadjantonakis lab for stimulating discussions and critical feedback on the
work. We thank the MSKCC (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center) Molecular
Cytology, and the SKI Light Microscopy Instrument Cluster and James Muller for imaging
technical support. This study was supported by the NIH (R01HD094868, R01DK127821,
R01HD086478, and P30CA008748). AF was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from
the MSKCC GMTEC (The Alan and Sandra Gerry Metastasis and Tumor Ecosystems
Center) for part of this work.

Aigouy, B., R. Farhadifar, D.B. Staple, A. Sagner, J.C. Roper, F. Julicher, and S. Eaton. 2010. Cell
flow reorients the axis of planar polarity in the wing epithelium of Drosophila. *Cell*.
142:773-786.

Aigouy, B., D. Umetsu, and S. Eaton. 2016. Segmentation and Quantitative Analysis of Epithelial
Tissues. *Methods Mol Biol*. 1478:227-239.

Alves, C.H., A.S. Sanz, B. Park, L.P. Pellissier, N. Tanimoto, S.C. Beck, G. Huber, M. Murtaza, F.
Richard, I. Sridevi Gurubaran, M. Garcia Garrido, C.N. Levelt, P. Rashbass, A. Le Bivic, M.W.
Seeliger, and J. Wijnholds. 2013. Loss of CRB2 in the mouse retina mimics human retinitis
pigmentosa due to mutations in the CRB1 gene. *Hum Mol Genet*. 22:35-50.

An, Y., G. Xue, Y. Shaobo, D. Mingxi, X. Zhou, W. Yu, T. Ishibashi, L. Zhang, and Y. Yan. 2017. Apical
constriction is driven by a pulsatile apical myosin network in delaminating Drosophila
neuroblasts. *Development*. 144:2153-2164.

Biehler, C., K.E. Rothenberg, A. Jette, H.M. Gaude, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, and P. Laprise. 2021.
Pak1 and PP2A antagonize aPKC function to support cortical tension induced by the
Crumbs-Yurt complex. *Elife*. 10.

Christodoulou, N., and P.A. Skourides. 2015. Cell-Autonomous Ca(2+) Flashes Elicit Pulsed
Contractions of an Apical Actin Network to Drive Apical Constriction during Neural Tube
Closure. *Cell Rep*. 13:2189-2202.

Chuai, M., W. Zeng, X. Yang, V. Boychenko, J.A. Glazier, and C.J. Weijer. 2006. Cell movement
during chick primitive streak formation. *Dev Biol*. 296:137-149.

Chung, S., S. Kim, and D.J. Andrew. 2017. Uncoupling apical constriction from tissue invagination.
Elife. 6.

Coravos, J.S., and A.C. Martin. 2016. Apical Sarcomere-like Actomyosin Contracts Nonmuscle
Drosophila Epithelial Cells. *Dev Cell*. 39:346-358.

Coravos, J.S., F.M. Mason, and A.C. Martin. 2017. Actomyosin Pulsing in Tissue Integrity
Maintenance during Morphogenesis. *Trends Cell Biol*. 27:276-283.

Curtin, J.A., E. Quint, V. Tsipouri, R.M. Arkell, B. Cattanach, A.J. Copp, D.J. Henderson, N. Spurr, P.
Stanier, E.M. Fisher, P.M. Nolan, K.P. Steel, S.D. Brown, I.C. Gray, and J.N. Murdoch. 2003.
Mutation of Celsr1 disrupts planar polarity of inner ear hair cells and causes severe neural
tube defects in the mouse. *Curr Biol*. 13:1129-1133.

Ferrer-Vaquer, A., M. Viotti, and A.K. Hadjantonakis. 2010. Transitions between epithelial and
mesenchymal states and the morphogenesis of the early mouse embryo. *Cell Adh Migr*.
4:447-457.

Foote, H.P., K.D. Sumigray, and T. Lechler. 2013. FRAP analysis reveals stabilization of adhesion
structures in the epidermis compared to cultured keratinocytes. *PLoS One*. 8:e71491.

Francou, A., and K.V. Anderson. 2020. The Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) in
Development and Cancer. *Annu Rev Cancer Biol*. 4:197-220.

Hayashi, S., P. Lewis, L. Pevny, and A.P. McMahon. 2002. Efficient gene modulation in mouse
epiblast using a Sox2Cre transgenic mouse strain. *Mech Dev*. 119 Suppl 1:S97-S101.

Heer, N.C., and A.C. Martin. 2017. Tension, contraction and tissue morphogenesis. *Development*.
144:4249-4260.

Huebner, R.J., T. Lechler, and A.J. Ewald. 2014. Developmental stratification of the mammary
epithelium occurs through symmetry-breaking vertical divisions of apically positioned
luminal cells. *Development*. 141:1085-1094.

Ishiuchi, T., and M. Takeichi. 2011. Willin and Par3 cooperatively regulate epithelial apical
constriction through aPKC-mediated ROCK phosphorylation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 13:860-866.

Lecuit, T., and P.F. Lenne. 2007. Cell surface mechanics and the control of cell shape, tissue
patterns and morphogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 8:633-644.

Lim, J., and J.P. Thiery. 2012. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions: insights from development.
Development. 139:3471-3486.

Mahaffey, J.P., J. Grego-Bessa, K.F. Liem, Jr., and K.V. Anderson. 2013. Cofilin and Vangl2
cooperate in the initiation of planar cell polarity in the mouse embryo. *Development*.
140:1262-1271.

Marston, D.J., C.D. Higgins, K.A. Peters, T.D. Cupp, D.J. Dickinson, A.M. Pani, R.P. Moore, A.H. Cox,
D.P. Kiehart, and B. Goldstein. 2016. MRCK-1 Drives Apical Constriction in C. elegans by
Linking Developmental Patterning to Force Generation. *Curr Biol*. 26:2079-2089.

Martin, A.C., and B. Goldstein. 2014. Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular
mechanism driving morphogenesis. *Development*. 141:1987-1998.

Martin, A.C., M. Kaschube, and E.F. Wieschaus. 2009. Pulsed contractions of an actin-myosin
network drive apical constriction. *Nature*. 457:495-499.

Mason, F.M., M. Tworoger, and A.C. Martin. 2013. Apical domain polarization localizes actin-
myosin activity to drive ratchet-like apical constriction. *Nat Cell Biol*. 15:926-936.

Mathiah, N., E. Despin-Guitard, M. Stower, W. Nahaboo, E.S. Eski, S.P. Singh, S. Srinivas, and I.
Migeotte. 2020. Asymmetry in the frequency and position of mitosis in the mouse embryo
epiblast at gastrulation. *EMBO Rep*. 21:e50944.

McDole, K., L. Guignard, F. Amat, A. Berger, G. Malandain, L.A. Royer, S.C. Turaga, K. Branson,
954   and P.J. Keller. 2018. In Toto Imaging and Reconstruction of Post-Implantation Mouse
955   Development at the Single-Cell Level. *Cell*. 175:859-876 e833.

956   Morgani, S.M., and A.K. Hadjantonakis. 2020. Signaling regulation during gastrulation: Insights
957   from mouse embryos and in vitro systems. *Curr Top Dev Biol*. 137:391-431.

958   Muzumdar, M.D., B. Tasic, K. Miyamichi, L. Li, and L. Luo. 2007. A global double-fluorescent Cre
959   reporter mouse. *Genesis*. 45:593-605.

960   Nakaya, Y., and G. Sheng. 2008. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition during gastrulation: an
961   embryological view. *Dev Growth Differ*. 50:755-766.

962   Nishimura, T., H. Honda, and M. Takeichi. 2012. Planar cell polarity links axes of spatial dynamics
963   in neural-tube closure. *Cell*. 149:1084-1097.

964   Petrov, D., I. Dahan, E. Cohen-Kfir, and S. Ravid. 2017. aPKCzeta affects directed cell migration
965   through the regulation of myosin light chain phosphorylation. *Cell Adh Migr*. 11:347-359.

966   Ramkumar, N., and K.V. Anderson. 2011. SnapShot: mouse primitive streak. *Cell*. 146:488-488
967   e482.

968   Ramkumar, N., T. Omelchenko, N.F. Silva-Gagliardi, C.J. McGlade, J. Wijnholds, and K.V.
969   Anderson. 2016. Crumbs2 promotes cell ingression during the epithelial-to-mesenchymal
970   transition at gastrulation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 18:1281-1291.

971   Roh-Johnson, M., G. Shemer, C.D. Higgins, J.H. McClellan, A.D. Werts, U.S. Tulu, L. Gao, E. Betzig,
972   D.P. Kiehart, and B. Goldstein. 2012. Triggering a cell shape change by exploiting
973   preexisting actomyosin contractions. *Science*. 335:1232-1235.

974   Roper, K. 2012. Anisotropy of Crumbs and aPKC drives myosin cable assembly during tube
975   formation. *Dev Cell*. 23:939-953.

976   Rozbicki, E., M. Chuai, A.I. Karjalainen, F. Song, H.M. Sang, R. Martin, H.J. Knolker, M.P.
977   MacDonald, and C.J. Weijer. 2015. Myosin-II-mediated cell shape changes and cell
978   intercalation contribute to primitive streak formation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 17:397-408.

979   Samarage, C.R., M.D. White, Y.D. Alvarez, J.C. Fierro-Gonzalez, Y. Henon, E.C. Jesudason, S.
980   Bissiere, A. Fouras, and N. Plachta. 2015. Cortical Tension Allocates the First Inner Cells of
981   the Mammalian Embryo. *Dev Cell*. 34:435-447.

982   Serrano Najera, G., and C.J. Weijer. 2020. Cellular processes driving gastrulation in the avian
983   embryo. *Mech Dev*. 163:103624.

Sidor, C., T.J. Stevens, L. Jin, J. Boulanger, and K. Roper. 2020. Rho-Kinase Planar Polarization at
Tissue Boundaries Depends on Phospho-regulation of Membrane Residence Time. *Dev*
Cell. 52:364-378 e367.

Simoes, S., G. Lerchbaumer, M. Pellikka, P. Giannatou, T. Lam, D. Kim, J. Yu, D. Ter Stal, K. Al
Kakouni, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, and U. Tepass. 2022. Crumbs complex-directed apical
membrane dynamics in epithelial cell ingression. *J Cell Biol*. 221.

Simoes, S., Y. Oh, M.F.Z. Wang, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, and U. Tepass. 2017. Myosin II promotes
the anisotropic loss of the apical domain during Drosophila neuroblast ingression. *J Cell*
Biol. 216:1387-1404.

Thiery, J.P. 2002. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in tumour progression. *Nat Rev Cancer*.
2:442-454.

Thiery, J.P., H. Acloque, R.Y. Huang, and M.A. Nieto. 2009. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in
development and disease. *Cell*. 139:871-890.

Voiculescu, O., F. Bertocchini, L. Wolpert, R.E. Keller, and C.D. Stern. 2007. The amniote primitive
streak is defined by epithelial cell intercalation before gastrulation. *Nature*. 449:1049-
1052.

Voiculescu, O., L. Bodenstein, I.J. Lau, and C.D. Stern. 2014. Local cell interactions and self-
amplifying individual cell ingression drive amniote gastrulation. *Elife*. 3:e01817.

Williams, M., C. Burdsal, A. Periasamy, M. Lewandoski, and A. Sutherland. 2012. Mouse primitive
streak forms in situ by initiation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition without migration
of a cell population. *Dev Dyn*. 241:270-283.

Ye, X., and R.A. Weinberg. 2015. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Plasticity: A Central Regulator of Cancer
Progression. *Trends Cell Biol*. 25:675-686.
1007
1008

1009

Figure 1-figure supplement 1: Apical constriction and ingression of epiblast cells in the vicinity

of the mouse primitive streak. **(A)** Snapshots of a time-lapse of membrane-GFP in an *mTmG*

embryo showing apical surface constriction in a single Z plan below the apical membrane (top

row) associated to ingression out of the epiblast layer and integration to the mesoderm seen on

apicobasal Z optical section (bottom row) at the primitive streak (region located on the

schematic). **(B)** Example of cell constricting away from the midline, as the epiblast cells move

toward the primitive streak. **(C)** Example showing cell rearrangement among a cluster of

epiblast cells near the primitive streak. **(D)** Color-coded time-series and position of ingression

events of tracked cells shown in Figure 1d,e. The initial position of a circled group of cells (green

circle) is shown in e; cells are not all neighbors at 0min but ingress together as neighbors (after

165min). Another group of cells (purple circle) who's initial position is shown in f; cells are

neighbors at 0min, but they do not ingress at the same time. Cells are considered to constrict

and ingress as isolated cells when their ingression takes place 30min or more from their

neighbors. White arrow shows the ingression of an isolated cell.

Scale bars A,B,C 10µm; E,F 20µm.

1025

1026

1027

Figure 2,3-figure supplement 1: Details and features of constriction pulses and cell

parameters during constriction. **(A)** Graph of apical surface area and rate of constriction of

single cell B (shown in Figure 3D,E) exhibiting four pulses of constriction (beige regions). **(B)**

Plot showing the apical surface area of several cells at the primitive streak during the total time

of the analysis. 0min marks the beginning of the constriction period. Cells begin with a wide

range of different initial apical surfaces prior to their constriction. **(C)** Heat map plot of the apical

surface area during constriction showing the reduction in area and the pulses of constriction.

Each row represents data for an individual cell. **(D)** Fraction of time cells spend contracting or

expending their apical surfaces revealing equilibrium in lateral epiblast and at the streak before

the constriction period, followed by significantly longer contraction time during constriction. **(E)**

Plot showing the apical surface area and heat map color-code of rate of change of epiblast cells

outside the region occupied by the primitive streak before ingression. Cells exhibit a wide variety

of apical areas, and fluctuate their apical surfaces, with a low rate of contraction and expansion

through time. (Corresponding measurements are shown in D and Figure 2E). **(F)** Frequency

distribution graph showing the proportion of cells undergoing two to four main constriction

pulses. **(G)** Dot plot showing the average magnitude of constriction pulses. **(H)** Matrix heat map

showing the correlation of junction length changes through time of cell B shown in a and 2i,j.

Some junctions show correlation and change their length during the same time when others

show anti-correlation. Each row and column represent a single junction and are color-coded as

in a and 2I,J. Numbers represent the correlation coefficients of each pairs of junction compared.

(I) Dot plot showing the correlation coefficients of junction length changes through time of

junctions from multiple cells. Correlation of junctions shown in H are colored. **(J)** Plot showing

the number of edges during the constriction period. Cells have different initial numbers of edges,

and gradually lose them during the constriction period. **(K)** Plot showing the apical surface

elongation index calculated by Tissue Analyzer, from 0 to 1, with 0 being perfectly round, and 1

corresponding to a line. Cells show fluctuation in their elongation during constriction, but exhibit

no significant trend (trend showed by the linear regressions). **(L)** Plot showing apical surface

orientation in the plane of the epiblast epithelial layer. Cells show fluctuation of orientation axis

during constriction, and do not show significant pattern of elongation.

51 cells quantified from 4 embryos. *$P<0.05$ (Unpaired bilateral Mann-Whitney test). Error bars

represent s.e.m. Scale bar, 10µm

1059

1060

1061

Figure 2-figure supplement 2: Asynchronous apical constriction at the multi-cellular level. **(A)**
Time-series of ZO-1-GFP reporter expression identifying a cluster of epiblast cells exhibiting
apparent stochastic apical constriction and cell ingression. **(B)** Membrane segmentation and
color-coded time-series of apical surface area showing oscillation in apical surfaces in the cell

cluster and asynchronous reduction of apical surfaces during ingression (asterisks). **(C)** Color-

coded time-series and heat map plot of rate of change of apical surface area showing

asynchronous oscillation in area and asynchronous constriction. Note that as one cell in the

middle constricts and ingresses (orange dot), neighboring cells asynchronously change their

apical surfaces; some will constrict and ingress shortly after, while others will only ingress at a

later time point. Each row represents data for an individual cell. Scale bars, 10µm

1072

Figure 4-figure supplement 1: Complementary accumulation of Crumbs2 and Myosin2B, and anisotropy of apical components at the primitive streak. **(A)** ZO-1 immunostaining on fixed E7.5 embryo showing the apical surface of epiblast cells in the posterior. **(B)** High magnification view

of a single cell stained for Myosin2B (magenta) and Crumbs2 (green) showing strong Crumbs2

accumulation on one junction (arrowhead) and predominant Myosin accumulation on other

junctions. White dashed line and arrow indicate the membrane intensity measurement shown in

the plot. The junction with the highest level of Crumbs2 (arrowhead) has the lowest level of

Myosin, whereas Myosin predominates (and Crumbs is reduced) at the rest of the junctions. **(C)**

ZO-1 immunostaining used for cell apical membrane identification and segmentation, allows

quantification of junctional intensity of staining, and determination of a number of parameters,

including apical surface area, surface elongation and protein planar polarity. **(D)** Staining F-

actin, Rock1, PatJ and pMLC exhibiting different patterns of accumulation at apical cell-cell

junctions, and a membrane-GFP reporter more homogeneously present at the apical

membrane. **(E)** Plots of anisotropy parameters, junctional Standard Deviation and Max/Min.

Proteins exhibit a range of distributions and anisotropy levels, some like Crumbs2 and ppMLC

show a high level of anisotropy, others like PatJ and F-Actin show moderate anisotropy, and

some like aPKC pMLC and Myosin2B show a lower level of anisotropy closer to the level of the

negative control membrane-GFP. Error bars represent s.e.m.

1092

1093

Figure 4-figure supplement 2: Apical proteins show anisotropy accumulation but no planar

polarity. **(A)** Immunostaining for Myosin2B, Crumbs2, ppMLC and Celsr1 with associated

polarity visualization (red tensor) calculated after membrane segmentation, showing the

orientation (orientation of the tensor) and amplitude of polarity (length of the tensor). **(B)**

Junctional protein intensity plotted as a function of junctional angle. 0° is parallel to the left/right

axis and 90° is parallel to the proximal/distal axis.

The apical proteins described previously and measured here (Myosin2B, Crumbs2, ppMLC, ZO-

1 and aPKC) do not show planar polarity, red bars in A showing polarity of intensity are not

oriented in specific axis, and intensity in B show no bias at a particular angle. By contrast, the

PCP protein Celsr1 exhibits evident polarity, red bars tend to be vertically oriented, and intensity

in B shows a significant increase in Celsr1 levels at edges oriented parallel to the left/right axis.

Celsr1 preferentially accumulates at horizontal junctions (left-right embryo orientation). Error

bars represent s.e.m.

1107

1108

Figure 5-figure supplement 1: Correlation of protein distributions at apical junctions. **(A)** Co-

immunostaining of ppMLC and F-Actin reveals a tendency to accumulate on the same junctions.

Note colocalization of fluorescent signal on most junctions (arrowheads). Scatter plot on the

right showing elevated intensity correlation of the two proteins. Each point represented the

mean intensity at a single junction. **(B)** Co-immunostaining of pMLC and PatJ reveals that they

tend to accumulate on different junctions. Note junctions where Myosin accumulation

predominates (arrows), and junctions where Crumbs2 predominates (arrowheads).

Corresponding scatter plot shows more spread distribution and no correlation. **(C)**

Immunostaining for ppMLC simultaneously revealed by two distinct secondary antibodies (Alexa

488 and 647) as positive control, and corresponding scatter plot showing strong junctional

intensity correlation after membrane segmentation. **(D)** Scatter plots showing the correlation of

intensity of other pairs of proteins. **(E)** Correlation coefficients associated with scatter plots

shown in A,B,C,D and Figure 5. Error bars represent s.e.m.

1122

1123

Figure 5-figure supplement 2: Junctional correlation of proteins at the single cell level. **(A)**

Example of scatter plot showing the junctional intensity correlation of ppMLC and Rock1 in a

cohort of cells. Each symbol represents one junction, grouped by cells (color coded) with

respective correlation trends. Plot on the right shows only the correlation trend for a larger

number of cells. **(B)** Correlation coefficients of ppMLC and Rock1 from plot shown in A, as well

as F-actin and ppMLC, and F-actin and Rock1. Each dot represents the coefficient of correlation

of junctions intensity of a single cell. % show the distribution of correlation coefficients in the

different quarters. **(C)** Example of junctional intensity correlation of single cells for Crumbs2 and

Myosin2B, depicting a mix of cells with correlation, some with no correlation, and some with

anti-correlation. **(D)** Correlation coefficients reflecting the scatter plot shown in C, showing a

broad distribution, from correlation (+1) to anti-correlation (-1). % show the distribution of

correlation coefficients. **(E)** Example of junctional intensity correlation of single cells for PatJ and

pMLC, depicting a mix of cells with correlation, some with no correlation, and some with anti-

correlation. **(F)** Correlation coefficients reflecting the scatter plot shown in C, showing a broad

distribution, from correlation (+1) to anti-correlation (-1). % show the distribution of correlation

coefficients.

1140

1141

Figure 6,7-figure supplement 1: Cellular and molecular defects in *Crumbs2* mutant embryos.

(A) Low magnification of ZO-1 staining show in the posterior epiblast in WT and *Crumbs2*

mutants at E8.0. (B) ZO-1 immunostaining showing the apical surface of WT and *Crumbs2*

mutant embryos at E7.5. Segmentation and color code of apical surface areas and surface

elongation reveal normal size and shape of apical surface area in *Crumbs2* mutants at E7.5. **(C)**

Immunostaining for Myosin2B at E7.5, showing reduced intensity in *Crumbs2* mutant embryos

compared to WT. Graph shows significantly reduced Myosin2B intensity at junctions. **(D)**

Immunostaining for aPKC and Rock1 in WT and *Crumbs2* mutants at E7.5 (intensity

quantifications shown in Figure 7C).

***P<0.001 (Unpaired bilateral Mann-Whitney test). Error bars represent s.e.m.

Video S1. Time-lapse imaging of a membrane-GFP reporter (recombined *mT/mG*)

expressing embryo at embryonic day E7.5, showing apical constriction (right panel)

associated to ingression, as cells move out of the epiblast epithelial layer basally to join

the underlying mesoderm (left panel). Images were denoised and deconvolved, and

represent single planes of optical sections and apical cell surfaces. The time-lapse

spans 70min with 10min interval between frames.

Video S2. Time-lapse imaging of the posterior of a ZO-1-GFP reporter expressing

embryo in the vicinity of the primitive streak at embryonic day E7.5. Tracking of

individual cells reveals lateral epiblast cells converging toward the midline prior to their

ingression. Cells around the midline (middle row) do not exhibit extensive movement in

the plane of the epithelium. Movie of 240min with 5min interval between frames.

Video S3. Time-lapse of ZO-1-GFP showing rearrangement of cells in the epiblast near

the primitive streak (top panel, 125min), and cell apical constriction and ingression away

from the primitive streak, as the epiblast flows (to the left side) toward the midline

(bottom panel, 55min). 5min interval between frames.

Video S4. Time-lapse of ZO-1-GFP highlighting 3 cells apically constricting and

ingressing in the primitive streak region. 60min total with 5min interval between frames.

Video S5. Time-lapse of ZO-1-GFP highlighting a single cell at the primitive streak

apically constricting. Membrane segmentation is performed over time such that different

1177 parameters can be followed and quantified, including the apical surface area, a cell's

1178 elongation and orientation, and the number of junctions. 95min total with 5min interval

1179 between frames.

1180

1181 **Video S6**. Segmentation of time-lapse showing color-code of cell apical surface (left

1182 panel), constriction rate (middle panel) and junction length (right panel) of a cell A

1183 shown in Figure 2. 40min total with 5min interval between frames.

1184

1185 **Video S7.** Time-lapse of ZO-1-GFP showing a cell cluster at the primitive streak. Most

1186 of the cells gradually constrict and ingress, and cells tracked after segmentation and

1187 color-coded for apical surface area and changes in surface area. Images were denoised

1188 and deconvolved. 115min with 5min interval between frames.

1189

A sagittal view

mid/late-streak
E7.5

extraembryonic

PS

apical surface

objective

Epiblast
Mesoderm
Endoderm

Node

B posterior view

PS

apical surface

Node

Midline

C 40-60 μm

Constriction
Elongation

apical   basal

Ingression

D Mid/late-streak (E7.5)

Prox

ZO1-GFP   140 cells

45 cells

Distal   0 min   120 min

Right   Left

E Cumulative tracks and
ingression position (0 to 240 min)

PS (~40μm)

F % Ingression events

cells/h   isolated   2 cells   3 cells   4 cells

G Apical constriction and cell ingression at the primitive streak (PS)

ZO1-GFP

0 min   15 min   30 min   45 min

A Cell A — Shrinkage; Junction length; *μm* 10 / 0

B Junction length (*μm*); Junction; Shrinkage rate (*μm/min*); Time (min); Shrinkage pulse

C Mean junction shrinkage rate (*μm/min*); Cell constriction pulses; Stable phases; *

D Junctions from cell B; Junction length (*μm*); Time (min); Junction shrinkage rate; Junctions; *μm/min*; Constriction pulse

E Junctions shrinkage rate (*μm/min*); Constriction pulse

F Constriction; Constriction; Constriction