Gene expression analysis of the *Xenopus laevis* early limb bud proximodistal axis.

Hudson, D.T.[1 2], Bromell, J. S[1,3]., Day, R.C.[4], McInnes, T[1], Ward, J.M.[1] and Beck, C.W[1]*.

*Author for correspondence: caroline.beck@otago.ac.nz
1 Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
2 Present address: Oritain Global, Dunedin, New Zealand
3 Present address: Dairy Goat Co-operative, Hamilton, New Zealand
4 Department of Biochemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract

Limb buds develop as bilateral outgrowths of the lateral plate mesoderm and are patterned along three axes. Current models of proximal to distal patterning of early amniote limb buds suggest the presence of two signals, a distal organising signal from the apical epithelial ridge (AER) and an opposing proximal signal, that act early on to form the stylopod and zeugopod. Here, we have used transcriptional analysis of early *Xenopus laevis* hindlimb buds sectioned along the proximal-distal axis, to show support for this model in anamniotes. The distal limb, which contains AER and distal mesenchyme, is transcriptionally distinct from the rest of the limb. Expression of *capn8.3.L*, a novel calpain, was located in cells immediately flanking the AER suggesting a novel role in determining the boundary of this critical signalling centre. The Wnt antagonist Dkk1 was AER-specific in *Xenopus* limbs, which differs from the mesenchymal expression in amniotes. Two transcription factors with no known role in limb development, *sall1* and *zic5,* were expressed in distal mesenchyme. We also describe expression of two proximal genes, *gata5.L* and *tnn.L*, not previously associated with limb development. Differentially expressed genes were associated with Fgf, Wnt and retinoic acid (RA) signalling as well as differential cell adhesion and proliferation. We find evidence to support transcriptional gradients of RA-regulated genes across the early hindlimb, suggesting that proximal RA signals regulate proximal to distal patterning.

Keywords

Retinoic acid, proximal, distal, limb bud, *Xenopus*, development, patterning, apical ectodermal ridge.

1. Introduction

Limb bud development and patterning is best understood in amniotes (recently reviewed in McQueen and Towers 2020[1]). Limbs develop at specific points along the anterior-posterior axis of the embryo, and are comprised of mesenchymal cells surrounded by an epithelial layer. The mesenchymal cells are derived from lateral plate mesenchyme, and contribute the cartilage, dermis, tendons and ligaments of the limb[2]. Muscle and nerve cells migrate in from the dermamyotome and spinal cord respectively, and as such are not directly derived from the limb buds. The early limb bud has three axes which are patterned by signalling centres: proximal-distal, anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral. The proximal to distal axis can be seen in the fore- and hindlimb limb skeletal elements of the stylopod (humerus/femur), zeugopod (radius and ulna/tibia and fibula) and autopod (wrist and hand/ankle and foot).

A key player in proximodistal patterning is a region of epithelial cells established at the boundary between dorsal and ventral limb bud surfaces (the future back and palm of the autopod). These cells establish a signalling centre, the "apical epithelial ridge" or AER, originally demonstrated by the extirpation experiments of Saunders in chickens. Saunders found that the removal of the AER at three days of development resulted in failure of the zeugopod and autopod to form, with the limb truncated at the elbow/knee level. Later AER removal resulted in a failure of just the autopod to form, suggesting that limb skeletal elements are formed in a proximal to distal order[3]. There are two main models for how this patterning is established. The first is the "progress zone" model[4], which proposes that the mesoderm closest to the AER determines cell fate. As the limb bud elongates, the first cells to leave the progress zone, or the influence of the AER, differentiate into the proximal limb (stylopod), with later cells falling out of range forming progressively more distal elements. An alternative model proposes two signals, Fgfs from the AER antagonising a proximal retinoic acid (RA) signal[5]. Supporting this "two-signal" model, the Meis homeobox transcription factors Meis1 and 2 are both RA inducible and expressed proximally in developing limb buds [5]. A more recent model, termed "signal-time" has been proposed from grafting experiments in chicken limb buds[6]. This combines an early two signal Fgf/RA model specifying the proximal limb and transition from stylopod to zeugopod, with an intrinsic, timing dependent mechanism taking over to specify the zeugopod to autopod transition and autopod patterning.

Amphibian limb buds develop quite late compared to those of amniotes. *Xenopus laevis* tadpole hindlimbs become capable of autonomous development at stage 48, just as the tadpole begins to feed and when they are still relatively small (reviewed in Keenan and Beck 2015[7]). Fate maps of the hindlimb bud mesenchyme indicate that there is progressive determination of distal skeletal elements as the limb bud grows[8]. Early on, at stages 48 and 49, only the pelvis and femur (stylopod) are determined, the cells destined to form the zeugopod (tibia fibula) first appear distally at stage 50 and the more proximal elements of the autopod (tarsus) at stage 51. Development of the more distal elements depends on the overlying epithelial cells. A morphological AER can be seen in sections from stage 50, but becomes indistinct by stage 53. The biological AER, *fgf8* expression in the innermost layer of the distal epidermis, persists until stage 54 where it associates with growing digits[9-12]. In addition to patterning by distal *fgf8*, we have previously suggested that an opposing and transient retinoic acid gradient exists across the stage 51 *Xenopus* limb bud mesenchyme proximodistal axis. From stage 50, *aldh1a2*, the rate limiting step in RA synthesis from vitamin A, is expressed proximally, and *cyp26b*, a catabolic enzyme that degrades RA, is expressed distally in the mesenchyme from stage 51[13].

In this study, we set out to map differential expression by comparing transcriptomes across three equal proximodistal segments of the stage 51 *Xenopus laevis* hindlimb bud (Figure 1A). While the limb bud at stage 51 appears externally featureless, Tschumi's fate maps indicate that the future skeletal elements from pelvis to tarsus are already determined (Figure 1B). However, Tschumi's specification maps show that in the absence of the epidermis, no elements of the autopod develop from grafts of the stage 51 limb bud mesenchyme[8], supporting the idea that distal elements require signals from the AER in *Xenopus.* The AER with *fgf8* expression is established[9] and mesenchymal retinoic acid is expected to be produced proximally and broken down distally[13]. Also in the mesenchyme, cartilage condensation of the future femur is visible histologically, although cartilage marker *sox9* is not yet expressed[14-16]. The distal-most blood vessel, the marginal sinus, which sits right under the AER, is forming at this stage[14]. Nerve invasion into the limb is just beginning proximally[14] and muscle migration has not yet commenced[17].

Here, we show that genes involved with cell adhesion, cell proliferation, and three signalling pathways: Wnt, Fgf and retinoic acid, are differentially regulated in association with proximodistal position in these early *Xenopus* limb buds. Furthermore, we identify new genes associated with proximal patterning (*tnn.L, gata5.L*), distal epithelium (*capn8.3.L*) and distal mesenchyme (*sall1*). Finally, by examining genes previously shown to be regulated by

retinoic acid in salamander limb regeneration[18], we identify several retinoic acid-regulated genes with clearly graded expression across the proximodistal axis, supporting a role for retinoic acid in establishing this axis.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Transcriptome analysis of three regions of the early *Xenopus laevis* hindlimb bud proximal to distal axis identified seven clusters of differentially expressed genes.

Limb buds at stage 51 were dissected into three equal parts, along the proximodistal axis, and rapidly frozen on dry ice before extracting RNA. The approximate locations of the cuts are shown in Figure 1A, with each segment measuring approximately 200 μM along the proximal-distal axis, 400 μM across the anterior-posterior axis, and 200 μM along the dorsal-ventral axis. These dissections are not expected to neatly divide the limb bud into future stylopod, zeugopod and autopod. Compared to the mesodermal fate map for this stage, the future autopod and some of the zeugopod would be derived from the distal segment, pelvis and some stylopod from the proximal segment, and stylopod/zeugopod from the medial part ([8], Figure 1B). The AER is expected to be exclusively captured in distal sections, with the ZPA split between distal and medial sections. Regression analysis of mapped transcriptome read counts showed that replicate samples clustered closely together (r=0.98, 0.99 and 1.00 for proximal, medial and distal respectively). Pearson correlations of read count means showed that proximal and medial stage 51 limb bud transcriptomes are more similar to each other (r=0.97) than to distal (r=0.80 to proximal and r=0.65 to medial).
Differentially expressed genes were defined as genes with normalised read counts >log2 fold change +/- 1 or higher between any two limb bud regions (Figure 1C,D), which captured a total of 3682 genes. As expected, the number of differentially expressed genes between proximal and distal was highest (Figure 1C).

Clustering of the 3682 differentially expressed genes into seven clusters best represented the patterns expected across developing limbs (Figure 1D). Cluster 2 is the largest group, containing 934 genes with predominantly distal location, including both homeologs of the AER marker *fgf8*, the ZPA morphogen *shh.L* and distal mesenchyme markers *hoxd13* and *hoxa13.* Cluster 4 contains proximally located genes, including established proximal limb markers *meis1* and *meis2*. More graded expression is seen by genes



Figure 1: Transcriptome analysis of three regions of the early *Xenopus laevis* hindlimb bud proximal to distal axis. A) Schematic of sample preparation. Stage 51 hindlimb buds were divided into three equal sections along the proximal to distal axis, each part is approximately 200 µM wide. Approximate locations of the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) and zone of polarising activity (ZPA) are indicated in red and blue respectively. Location of a potential proximal source of the morphogen retinoic acid is indicated by expression of *Aldh1a2* in green. **B)** Fate map showing the contribution of developing stage 51 *X. laevis* hindlimb bud mesenchyme to skeletal elements of the limb, according to [8]. **C)** Venn diagram of all significantly differentially expressed genes using Venny 2.0[19]. **D)** Dendrogram heatmap showing hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes, selecting for seven clusters.

in clusters 6 (highest proximal, 684 genes) and 1 (highest distal, 258 genes). Cluster 5 has genes that are high in proximal and medial but low distal (388 genes). Cluster 3 is the smallest, with genes that are predominantly expressed in the distal and proximal regions but not the medial (68 genes), and cluster 7 genes are opposite, with highest levels in the medial limb bud (630 genes).

2.2 Genes expressed in the proximal limb bud

Table 1 shows the ten most upregulated genes, ranked by fold change, for proximal vs. distal segments as well as for supplementary data file. Cluster 4 (Figure 1D) groups 672 genes with much higher expression in the proximal limb bud than in either medial or distal

Table 1: The top 10 up-regulated genes ranked by fold change, (FC) in each section of the limb, from proximal to distal.

Proximal > Distal		Proximal > Medial		Medial > Distal	
Gene name	**Log2 FC**	**Gene name**	**Log2 FC**	**Gene name**	**Log2 FC**
irx5.L	10.5	*ocm4.10.L*	8.7	*tbx22.L*	8.7
igsf10.S	9.7	*ocm4.1.L*	8.4	*Xelaev18001303m*	8.5
fshr.L	9.5	*ocm4.4.L*	8.0	*nmu.S*	8.0
gsc.S	9.5	*gata5.S*	7.9	*irx5.L*	7.7
meis2.S	9.5	*ocm4.4.S*	7.8	*meis2.S*	7.7
pax1.L (prov)	9.3	*slc25a4.L*	7.8	*alkal2.L*	7.5
Xelaev18041019m	9.2	*sstr3.L*	7.7	*alkal2.S*	7.1
ankrd55.L	9.0	*myh4.L*	7.4	*myocd.L*	7.1
pkdcc.2.S	9.0	*col21a1.S*	7.2	*sfrp5.S*	7.1
nmu.S	8.8	*ocm4.10.L*	6.8	*fshr.L*	7.0

sections. This group includes *aldh1a2.L* (formerly known as *raldh2*, previously shown to be proximally expressed by us [13]). It also includes several family members of the Meis homeobox transcription factors (*meis3.L, meis3.S, meis2.S, meis2.L, meis1.S*). *Meis1* and *-2* are proximal genes with a well-established functional role in limb patterning in amniotes [20] but *meis3* has not been previously associated with limb development.

Three almost exclusively proximal genes found in Cluster 4 were verified using whole mount in situ hybridisation: *gata5.L, irx5.L* and *tnn.L* (Figure 2). *Gata5* encodes a zinc finger transcription factor associated with heart and liver development in *Xenopus*[21] and has not been previously associated with limb development. *Gata5* reads were almost exclusively in the proximal limb transcriptome (Proximal>Distal Log2 FC 8.1 and 8.0 for L and S forms respectively), and whole mount *in situ* hybridisation confirmed a faint but very localised basal spot of *gata5.L* expression in stage 50 and 51 limb buds, which became clearer at stage 52 (Figure 2 A-C). The related transcription factor Gata6 regulates shh in anterior mouse limb development[22], but this does not fit with the central basal location of *gata5.L* in frogs. *Gata5.L* in embryos (data not shown) showed clear expression in the heart as previously reported[23].

Irx5 encodes a homeobox transcription factor of the Iroquois family. Both L and S forms are expressed at much higher levels in proximal than in distal or medial limb segments, (P>D Log2 FC 10.5 for *irx5.L* and 6.8 for *irx5.S*). *Irx5.L* was the most overrepresented proximal gene (Table 1) and in situ hybridisation for *irx5.L* confirmed this distribution, with expression basal, proximal and increasingly anteriorly localised in hindlimb buds from stage 50 to 52 (Figure 2 D-F). Embryonic expression of *irx5.L* in the brain and eye (data not shown) matched that of previous reports[24], although at this stage the *S* homeolog has the strongest expression[25]. *Irx5* has been previously associated with limb patterning in mammals,

where it has shown it to be functionally redundant with *irx3*. Mouse double *irx3/5* knockouts have missing femur, tibia and digit 1[26], showing that these genes are important for both proximal and anterior limb development. *Irx3.L* and *S* genes show similar expression profiles to *irx5*, with P>D log2 fold change 4.8 for the L form and 6.8 for the S form, suggesting that they may also act together in *Xenopus* limb patterning. In humans, Hamamy syndrome (OMIM 611174, *IRX5*[27]) has no reported limb defect, possibly due to compensation by *IRX3.*

Tenascins are extracellular matrix glycoproteins, and *Xenopus laevis* has a single copy of Tenascin N (*tnn.L*) which is expressed most strongly in the proximal third of the limb bud at stage 51, with almost no read counts in the medial or distal segments (Proximal>Distal Log2 fold change 5.1). Tenascin N was originally identified in zebrafish[28] and is more commonly known as Tenascin-W. It is a chordate-specific gene with no known links to limb development[29]. *Tnn.L* expression was confirmed as proximal in stage 50 to 52 limb buds (Figure 2 G-I) where it appeared to be epithelial, expressed where the limb bud meets the trunk. Expression persists in older limbs but the proximal localisation is lost (data not shown). In tailbud stage embryos, *tnn.L* was expressed only in the anatomical tail bud (data not shown).

2.3 Genes expressed in the distal limb bud

Table 2 shows the ten most upregulated genes, ranked by fold change, for distal vs. proximal, distal vs. medial and medial vs. proximal. Distally expressed genes, with much lower levels of expression in either medial or proximal limb bud thirds are found in cluster 2, which contains 934 genes (Figure 1D). *Fgf8, bmp4, dlx2, dlx3,* and *sp8* all have localised expression in the developing AER of mouse limbs[30-33]. Neither *bmp4.L nor S* were differentially expressed in *Xenopus* st 51 hindlimbs, but *fgf8.S*, *fgf8.L, sp8.L, sp8.S* and the distal-less homeobox genes, *dlx2.S, dlx2.L, dlx3.S, dlx3.L*, as well as the S homeolog of *dlx4*, were all cluster 2 genes. *Bmp2.S* and *bmp7.1.L* also appear in this cluster.

2.3.2 Genes expressed in the distal limb bud epithelium.

Three of the genes expressed in distal stage 51 hindlimb bud were exclusively epithelial. *Capn8.3.L* (D>P Log2 fold change 6.2, cluster 2) encodes a calcium dependent cysteine protease (calpain). Whole mount in situ hybridisation revealed *capn8.3.L* expression in the region of the forming AER at stage 50 and 51, with expression margins becoming very defined at stage 52 and maintained in the distal epithelium of the forming digits until stage 55

Table 2 shows the top 10 most differentially expressed genes (by fold change, or FC) genes in each section of the limb, from distal to proximal.

Distal>Proximal		Distal>Medial		Medial>Proximal	
Gene name	**Log FC**	**Gene name**	**Log FC**	**Gene name**	**Log FC**
pnoc.L	8.3	*evx2.S*	9.5	*mapk4.S*	5.8
hhipl2.L	8.0	*evx2.L (prov)*	8.1	*XB5727280.S [prov]*	5.8
XB5727280.S [prov]	7.9	*slc38a8.L*	7.5	*LOC108710739*	5.0
Xelaev18000656m	7.8	*foxl1.L*	7.4	*pax9.L*	4.4
bhlhe23.L	7.7	*LOC100491114.S*	6.7	*prdm8.L*	4.3
Xelaev18006692m	7.6	*ocm4.4.L*	6.7	*a2ml1.L*	4.0
zic3.S	7.5	*foxf2.S*	6.4	*zic3.L*	3.9
foxf2.S	7.4	*ocm4.1.L*	6.3	*LOC108718887*	3.8
Xelaev18006694m	7.2	*ocm4.10.L*	6.0	*tacr1.S*	3.7
sp5l.S	7.1	*slc25a4.L*	6.0	*amigo2.L*	3.5

at least (Figure 3 A-E). Closer scrutiny showed that the AER margin cells do not express *Capn8.3.L*, but rather it is expressed either side of the boundary, and appears stronger on the dorsal side. (Figure 3 F,G). *Capn8* is considered a classical calpain and *capn8* is expressed in the gastrointestinal tract of mammals (reviewed in Spinozzi et al 2021[34]). In *Xenopus*, it was identified as a downstream target of Tfap2, expressed throughout the non-neural ectoderm in neurula stage embryos[35], so it may have a role in boundary definition. *Capn* genes have not been previously associated with vertebrate limb development. *Capn8* likely originated in the



Figure 3: Expression of distal epithelial genes in *X. laevis* hindlimb buds. A-0, Whole mount in situ hybridisation, gene expression is marked by dark purple staining. A-G *Capn8.3L* is expressed in the epithelial cells flanking the AER. A-E Dorsal view of limb with distal to the right and posterior uppermost. A: stage 50, B: stage 51, C: stage 52, D: stage 53, E: stage 55 F, G views of the distal limb(s) to show the AER (black arrow), F: stage 53, G: stage 55. H-K expression of *dkk1.S* H: stage 51, dorsal view, I: distal view, J: stage 53 dorsal view, K: distal view. L-O expression of *fgf8* L: stage 51 dorsal view, M, stage 52 dorsal view, N: stage 53 dorsal view, O: stage 53 distal view. Black arrows indicate the AER in distal views, and the approximate position of digit IV is indicated by an asterisk from stage 53. Black stellate cells are melanophores in non-albino tadpoles (B, H-M). Scale bar in O is 250µM and applies to all panels. P, Normalised read counts of *capn8.3.L, dkk.S* and *fgf.S* in the three sections of the stage 51 limb bud transcriptome, red and black indicate biological replicate data.

ancestor of jawed vertebrates[36] where it may have assumed a role in neural crest before being later co-opted into limb development. In *X. laevis*, *capn8* appears to have been duplicated many times: *capn8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4 and 8.5* are clustered together with *capn2.L* on the 5L chromosome and *capn8.1 and 8.6* cluster with *capn2.S* on the 5S chromosome (data from *X. laevis* genome build v9.2 on Xenbase[37]). In the diploid *X. tropicalis, capn8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.5 and 8.6* are clustered together with *capn2* on chromosome 5. *Capn8.2.L* was also a cluster 2 gene, mainly expressed in distal hindlimb samples, albeit at lower levels than *capn8.3L*, suggesting that they could be co-regulated.

Both homeologs of *dkk1* were cluster 2 genes. *Dkk1.S* (distal>proximal Log2 fold change 5.0), encodes dickkopf 1, an extracellular Wnt signalling antagonist that acts upstream of receptor activation. *Dkk1* was first discovered in *Xenopus*[38] and is one of three dickkopf genes. In *Xenopus* hindlimbs, *dkk1* expression is AER-specific from stage 51 (Figure 3 H-K). Expression mimics that of *fgf8*, in the AER (Figure 3 L-O). This is very different from *dkk1* expression in the mouse limb bud, which is mesenchymal, and at the equivalent stage is expressed in two proximal spots, one posterior and slightly overlapping *shh* (ZPA) and one anterior[39,40], with later expression is in the interdigits. *Dkk1* knockout mice are non-viable and have fused and duplicated digits resulting from an expanded AER[41]. In chickens, overexpression of *dkk1* leads to apoptosis of the AER cells and subsequent limb truncation[42]. While this clear difference in expression of *dkk1* in limb buds of amniotes (mesenchyme) and *Xenopus* (AER) is unexpected, a search for *dkk1* enhancers identified a conserved element in the 3' UTR which was able to drive expression of a reporter very specifically in the developing mouse limb bud AER[43]. This element is conserved in fish, and therefore could also be present in *Xenopus*. Conversely, perhaps the mesodermal enhancer has been lost or masked in frogs.

The role of the fibroblast growth factor member Fgf8 in AER function and limb outgrowth in amniotes is well established[44,45] and the expression of *fgf8* in the AER has also been well documented in *Xenopus* [9,10,12] Both *fgf8* homeologs were in cluster 2 and *Fgf8.L* (distal >proximal Log2 fold change 4.3) is shown here for comparison (Figure 3, L-O).

2.3.2 Genes expressed in the distal limb bud mesenchyme

Cluster 2 also contains several genes known to be preferentially expressed in the distal mesenchyme of amniote limb buds, including both homeologs of *fgf10.* Also in cluster 2 are 5' hox transcription factors *hoxa13.S, hoxa13.L, hoxd13.S* and *hoxd13.L*, previously shown to be distally expressed in *Xenopus* hindlimbs[46]. Genes coding for several family

members of the receptor tyrosine kinase/Fgf antagonist Sprouty (Spry) are also found in cluster 2 (*spry4.L, spry4.S, spry1.L, spry1.S* and *spry2.L).* We have previously shown that *X. laevis spry2* was expressed in limb bud AERs, and *spry1* and *spry 4* in limb bud mesenchyme[12].

Two genes encoding transcription factors found in with cluster 2 were validated and further analysed using whole mount *in situ* hybridisation of *Xenopus* hindlimbs. Mutations in the gene coding for the spalt-like zinc finger transcription factor Sall1 are associated with Townes-Brocks syndrome (OMIM #107480). The phenotype includes extra thumbs, radial dysplasia and foot deformities[47] which suggests a role in anterior-posterior limb axis patterning of the autopod and zeugopod. *Sall1.S* reads were almost exclusively found in the distal hindlimb samples (distal>proximal log2 fold change 5.3). Expression of *sall1.S* was localised to the distal mesenchyme at stage 50 and 51 (Figure 4 A,B,E), but from stage 52 the expression was lost from the most distal cells, and was stronger anteriorly and dorsally (Figure 4 C, D, F). *Xenopus* has four *spalt-like* orthologues: *sall1.L sall3.L* and *sall3.S* were also cluster 2 genes, *sall4.L and sall4.S* were found in cluster 1. Previous work by Neff et al revealed the role of *sall4* in *Xenopus* limb regeneration, and stage 53 control limbs appear to have similar expression to *sall1* here[48]. *Sall2* homeologs were not represented in any of the clusters, and read numbers were very low suggesting *Sall2* is not a key player in limb developmental patterning in early *Xenopus* limbs.

The zic family of zinc finger transcription factors are orthologues of *Drosophila odd-paired*, with tetrapods, including *Xenopus*, normally have five family members[49,50]. Cluster 2 contains several distally located *zic* genes (*zic1.S, zic2.L, zic2.S, zic3.L, zic3.S, zic5.L* and *zic5.S*). *Zic1* and *zic4* form a tandem pair on chromosomes 5S and 5L, homeologs have very few, mostly distal reads in limb buds. *Zic3* is a singleton in tetrapods, and is expressed distally, and *zic2* and *zic5* form a tandem pair on chromosomes 2S and 2L, and have much higher read counts. *Zic2* has been previously shown to be expressed in distal limb bud mesenchyme in mice[51], but *Zic5* has no known association with limb development. *Zic5.S* (distal>proximal log2 fold change 3.9) expression was validated using whole mount limb in situ hybridisation (Fig 4 G-L). Expression was seen very faintly in distal mesenchyme at stage 51, (Figure 4 G, K) and in two regions at stage 52, a broad expression in the distal anterior and a more restricted stripe in posterior mesoderm (Figure 4H). After stage 52, the expression in posterior mesenchyme was lost, with anterior/dorsal expression maintained in the forming autopod at stage 53-54 (Figure 4 I, J, L).



Figure 4: Expression of two distal mesenchymal genes in *X. laevis* hindlimb buds. A-L Whole mount in situ hybridisation where expression is indicated by dark purple staining. A-F expression of *sall1.S.* A-D dorsal views of the limb with distal to the right and posterior uppermost. A: stage 50, B: stage 51, C: stage 52, D: stage 53. E-F views of the distal limb, the ventral midline of the tadpole running left to right in the centre of the image. G-L expression of zic5.S indicated by dark purple staining. G-J dorsal views of the limb. G: stage 51, H: stage 52, I: stage 53, J: stage 54. K and L views of the distal limb, K: stage 51, L stage 54. Asterisk indicates the approximate location of digit IV in stage 53 and above limb buds. Melanophores are present on some limb buds (A-D, F, G, K) as black stellate cells. Scale bar in L is 250µM and applies to all panels. M, Normalised read counts of *sall1.S and zic5.S* in the three sections of the stage 51 limb bud transcriptome, red and black indicate biological replicate data, where only red can be seen it overlaps completely with black.

2.4 Genes with differential expression across the proximodistal axis were associated with cell adhesion, cell proliferation, as well as Wnt, Fgf and retinoic acid signalling.

We annotated 894 genes with differential expression of log fold change +/- >1.5 between proximal and distal limb bud samples, and analysed these for overrepresented gene ontologies at all levels, compared to all *X. laevis* annotated genes (9414). The complete data for 36 overrepresented GO terms, at all levels, can be found in the supplementary data file, but we will highlight the most pertinent ones here.

2.4.1 Cell adhesion: Differential cell adhesion has been shown to correlate with proximodistal position in early limb buds[52]. In the *Xenopus* stage 51 hindlimb, 56 genes with differential proximodistal expression were associated with the GO term "cell adhesion". Nineteen of these had significantly more reads in the distal third and 34 had more in the proximal third (three genes were removed due to having low read numbers) (Figure 5). Of particular interest is *Agr2.L*, which codes for a thioredoxin domain, secreted, GPI anchored cell surface protein. Agr2 was originally identified as nAG (newt anterior gradient), which is

a ligand for the salamander Prod1 receptor, which plays a role in establishing proximodistal identity in limb regeneration[53].

Additionally, we identified proximodistal differential expression of genes encoding members of the integrin, cadherin, thrombospondin and nidogen families. Six genes encoding integrins, which mediate cell to matrix adhesion, were differentially expressed, with those coding for subunits α8, α11, α2b found at highest levels in the proximal limb bud and α4, β6 and β7 found at highest levels distally. Cadherins (Cdh) are calcium-dependent transmembrane molecules involved in cell to cell adhesion. *Cdh20* was almost exclusively expressed distally, whereas *cdh2* (N-cadherin), *cdh6*, *cdh13*, *cdh15* and *19* were highest in the proximal segment. The cadherin-related desmoglein *dsg2* is found proximally. Protocadherins *pcdh19* and *17* are distal, whereas *pcdhgc5* is proximal.

Thrombospondins (*Thbs1* to *4*) are calcium binding, extracellular matrix proteins implicated in skin wound healing in mammals. In *X. laevis* limb buds, *Thbs*3 and 4 are distal (cluster 2) and *Thbs2* is proximal (cluster 6S/4L). Thrombospondins have been previously implicated in axolotl limb development and regeneration[54] and *X. laevis* limb regeneration[55]. The basement membrane protein Nidogen (Ndg) has two members, *ndg1* is proximal, whereas *ndg2* is distal. In mice, the two nidogens appear redundant, but double knockouts have soft tissue syndactyly resulting from failed interdigital apoptosis[56].

2.4.2 Wnt signalling pathway: The Wnt signalling pathway is most often associated with dorsal-ventral limb patterning, especially the ligand Wnt7a, which helps establish the position of the AER at the dorsal ventral boundary and restricts *lmx1b* to dorsal mesenchyme[57]. It was therefore unexpected to see Wnt pathway genes being significantly over-represented in our proximal-distal analysis. *Dkk1.L and .S,* which code for Wnt pathway antagonists, were the most distally biased genes in this set, are captured in cluster 2, and the AER-specific expression of *dkk1.S* has been previously discussed (Figure 3). Interestingly, *Dkk2.S* shows the opposite polarity, with over 80% of transcripts on the distal limb bud. In *Xenopus* embryos, Dkk2 acts as an activator of canonical Wnt signalling rather than an antagonist[58]: so both expression and function of these two *dkk* genes are in opposition. *Dkk2.S* is a cluster 4 gene, but its homeologue *dkk2.L* falls into cluster 7, as does another family member, *dkk.3.S.* Cluster 7 genes have highest expression in the medial limb bud, which would indicate that there is a Dkk associated with each of the three proximodistal domains in *Xenopus* limbs. Interestingly in mice, *dkk1*, 2 and 3 are all mesenchymal in developing limb buds, with

complementary expression patterns around the time of mesodermal condensation formation[40]. *Xenopus* Dkk3 does not appear to modulate Wnt signalling[58] and instead affects mesoderm induction via interference with TGFβ pathways[59].

Genes encoding the ligands Wnt4, 16 and 11 are more proximal and Wnt10a, 11b and 5a are more distal. Wnt5a is associated with some cases of autosomal dominant Robinow syndrome, a rare form of dwarfism with shortening of the mid and distal parts of the limbs. It is expressed in the mesoderm just under the AER, often referred to as the progress zone, in chicken limb buds[60]. The same expression is seen in mouse limb buds, and *wnt5a* null mice have distally truncated limbs[61]. The effect of Wnt5a on limb proximodistal patterning is mediated through the planar cell polarity pathway rather than canonical Wnt signalling and is dependent on AER Fgf signals[62]. Notum is a Wnt pathway antagonist, with expression highest in the distal limb bud. Expression in the early chicken limb was previously shown to be mainly in the AER[63]. In contrast, secreted frizzled related protein genes, encoding antagonists known as Sfrps, are predominantly expressed in the medial and proximal limb bud. Sfrp are able to antagonise Wnt by mimicking receptors and binding Wnt, but may also have other non-Wnt roles (for review see Nathan et al 2009[64]).

2.4.3 Cell proliferation and response to fibroblast growth factor (Fgf). The role of AER-Fgf signalling in proximodistal vertebrate limb bud patterning is well established, with Fgf8 as the key functional molecule[44,45]. We have already discussed *fgf8* (see Fig 3). Amniotes have additional Fgfs in the AER, such as Fgf4, 9 and 17 [5]. *Fgf4* transcripts were not detected in *Xenopus* hindlimb buds at stage 51, however, *fgf9*, *fgf10* and *fgf16* are additional distal Fgfs (all in cluster 2). Conversely, *fgf7* is proximal, S/L forms are found in cluster 6/4 respectively.

Most of the genes associated with the GO term cell proliferation are located predominantly in the distal limb, including transcripts for growth factors Igf2 and Pdgfc and Fgf receptor coding genes *fgfr2* and *fgfr4*. The remaining two Fgf receptor genes are expressed at similar levels in all three proximodistal regions of the stage 51 limb bud (see supplementary data file). Over 90% of transcripts for cluster 2 genes *Tfap2b* and *fgf9* are in the distal limb. Oddly, the two *X. laevis* homeologs of *sulf2,* encoding heparan sulphate 6-O-endosulfatase enzymes, are expressed in different regions, with the S-form proximal and the L-form distal, as previously confirmed by in situ hybridisation[66]. Sulf2 was also captured in the Wnt signalling GO.

***2.4.4 Retinoic acid metabolism and signalling*:** Retinoic acid (RA) is proposed as a proximal signal opposing distal Fgf to establish expression domains across forming limb buds[5]. Two GO terms associated with RA were found to be significantly associated with proximal vs. distal gene expression in our stage 51 hindlimbs (Figure 5). Retinoic acid receptor signalling genes were all proximally biased, and included the genes for retinoic acid receptor subunits A and B (*rara, rarb*), and the binding protein Crabp2 (previously characterised in[13]). *Rarb* showed particularly strong proximal bias, and a third RA receptor-encoding gene, *Rarg* was not differentially expressed (see supplementary data file). Genes associated with retinoid metabolism were also over-represented, with both proximal and distally biased expression (Figure 5).

2.6 Retinoic acid down-regulated genes are expressed in the distal hindlimb segment.

Retinoic acid (RA) has been demonstrated to reprogram distal cells to a more proximal fate in chicken limb bud grafts[67], and exposure to RA in regenerating frog limb buds[68] and axolotl limbs[69] results in proximodistal duplications, suggesting RA disrupts pattern along this axis. RA is produced endogenously from vitamin A and can modulate gene transcription via binding to RA nuclear receptors that recognise retinoic acid response elements (RARES) in enhancers[70]. RA reporter activity has been detected in forelimbs, but not hindlimbs, during axolotl development[69]. We previously showed the presence of opposing RA synthesis and catabolic genes in early developing *Xenopus* limb buds. To see if there was any evidence from RA target genes to support a functional role for RA gradients in the early limb bud, we looked at the proximodistal distribution in *Xenopus* stage 51 hindlimb of genes identified as up- or down-regulated by RA treatment in a prior study of axolotl limb regeneration[18]. Nyugen et al identified 101 genes as up-regulated by RA, 14% of these had no recognised homologues. Of the remaining genes, 62% were not significantly differentially expressed across *Xenopus* stage 51 limb buds, and a further 7% were not expressed in limb buds at all. Twenty-seven genes (31%) were differentially expressed in *Xenopus* limb buds (Figure 6) with all clusters represented. Proximally expressed genes were most common (18 genes, clusters 4, 5 and 6) followed by distal genes (13 genes, clusters 1 and 2) and 5 genes were predominantly expressed in the medial region (cluster 7) with one gene lowest in the medial region (cluster 1). Therefore, although many salamander RA up-regulated genes had differential expression across the proximodistal axis in stage 51 frog hindlimb buds, there



Figure 5. Stacked graphs showing relative distribution of read counts for genes in differentially expressed gene ontology gene sets for cell adhesion, Wnt signalling pathway, positive regulation of cell population proliferation, response to fibroblast growth factor, retinoic acid receptor signalling and retinoid metabolism. The percentage of proximal reads is in blue, medial reads in grey and distal in red. Genes with statistically more reads in distal than proximal are on the left and those more proximal on the right, and are ordered alphabetically within each group.

was no consistent pattern observed. However, *meis* genes were consistently found in cluster 4 (proximal genes), as expected from previous work[5], and the related homeobox gene Pbx1 was in cluster 6 (proximal to distal gradient). Our findings of proximal-biased expression for these genes therefore support the role of Meis homeobox transcription factors as RA-regulated mediators of proximal identity. The finding that conditional inactivation of *meis1/2* in mice results limb phocomelia, where proximal elements were missing but distal ones develop normally, further demonstrates the importance of RA regulated genes in limb proximodistal patterning[20].



Figure 6: Genes previously shown to be up regulated by retinoic acid in axolotl limbs do not show consistent expression distributions in *Xenopus* limbs. 100 different genes identified as up-regulated by RA in axolotl limb [18] were identified one of four categories: unknown (no *Xenopus* homologue), not expressed (not found in *Xenopus* stage 51 limb bud), non-significant (expression is not significantly different across the three limb bud sections) or differentially expressed. Homeologs of the 27 differentially expressed genes in the allotetraploid *Xenopus laevis* are found in all seven clusters, cluster number is indicated by coloured number at the base of each graph, read counts are shown on the y-axis and position on the x-axis. P= proximal, M=medial, D=distal. Replicates are represented by red and black dots and where only one dot can be seen, these overlap completely. Coloured boxes are used to group clusters with proximal, distal or medial/terminal expression patterns.

As well as promoting the proximal to distal spatial pattern via upregulation of Meis homeobox proteins, it is possible that RA might also play a role in limiting the expression of



Figure 7: Distal gene expression in stage 51 *Xenopus* hindlimb bud of genes previously shown to be down regulated by retinoic acid in axolotls. Pie chart: 14 different genes identified as down regulated by RA in axolotls [18] were identified as not expressed (not found in *Xenopus* stage 51 limb bud), non-significant (expression is not significantly different across the three limb bud sections) or differentially expressed. Homeologs of the 10 differentially expressed genes in the allotetraploid *Xenopus laevis* are found exclusively in cluster 2. Cluster number is indicated by coloured number at the base of each graph, read counts are shown on the y-axis and position on the x-axis. Replicates are represented by red and black dots and where only one dot can be seen, these overlap completely. Coloured box indicates all genes had distal expression patterns.

distally localised genes via inhibition. Interestingly, of the 14 genes identified in axolotl limb regeneration as down-regulated by RA[18], ten (72%) were differentially expressed across the early *Xenopus* limb buds with all of these falling into cluster 2 indicating predominantly distal expression (Figure 7). A further three genes were present in limbs but not differentially expressed, and one gene was not expressed in limb buds. While distal expression is indicated by inclusion in cluster 2, *hoxa13, lhx2, rgs18, rgs2, spry1 and zic5* had expression that was predominantly distal, whereas *dner* and *lhx9* had more graded distal to proximal expression

and *lmo1* and *msx2,* which both encode transcription factors, were least expressed in the medial region (Figure 7).

3. Conclusions

Our analysis of gene expression across the proximal to distal axis of a developing tadpole hindlimb provides a crude but useful snapshot of the gene regulatory networks and mechanisms that underly the patterning of the vertebrate limb bud. We show that this distal segment, which incorporates the cryptic AER, is most transcriptionally distinct, and describe the novel expression of a calpain encoding gene, *capn8.3.L* in the flanking regions of the AER. We also found expression of two genes (*tnn*.L and *gata5.L*) previously unlinked to limb development, tightly focused in the proximal region. Gene ontology analysis of genes expressed differentially between proximal and distal segments confirmed previous observations of differential cell adhesion, proliferation, Wnt and Fgf signalling as well as RA signalling. Almost all the genes identified as down-regulated by RA in a previous study of axolotl limb regeneration were distally expressed in *Xenopus* early hindlimbs, supporting the idea that positional identity could be conferred by proximal RA and distal Fgf signals in early limb buds, as proposed by others[5,6].

4. Experimental Procedures

4.1 Animal procedures

All animal procedures were approved by University of Otago's Animal Ethics Committee as AEC56/12. *Xenopus* laevis adult females were injected with 500U HCG per 75g bodyweight, into the dorsal lymph sac, to induce egg laying. Eggs were fertilised *in vitro* using fresh testis preparations and jelly removed with 2% cysteine HCl. From stage 47, tadpoles were fed a suspension of spirulina powder and housed in tanks in a recirculating aquarium.

4.2 Sample preparation

Stage 51 hindlimb buds were dissected into distal, medial and proximal thirds, each with a length of approximately 200 μM, using Vannas iridectomy scissors. To do this, six tadpoles at a time were anaesthetised with 1/4000 v/v MS222 (tricaine) in 0.1 x MMR (Marc's modified Ringers: 10 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM KCl, 0.1 mM $MgSO_4.6H_2O$, 0.2 mM

$CaCl_2$, 0.5 mM HEPES, 10 μM EDTA, pH 7.8). The tadpoles were placed onto damp Whatman paper and the distal third of the hindlimb cut off and placed in 1.5 ml sterile Eppendorf tube cap containing 50 μl of lysis buffer, using sharpened Dumont #5 forceps. When all six distal samples had been collected the cap was placed on a tube containing lysis buffer, spun briefly to pellet the tissue, and placed on dry ice. The process was then repeated for medial sections, and finally for proximal sections by detaching the remaining limb tissue from the body wall cleanly. The tadpoles were then rotated onto the other side and the process repeated with fresh caps. The samples for each region were combined by spinning the new caps with the original tubes. Two different sibships of tadpoles were used, and separately prepared "red" and "black" biological replicates from these were each comprised of 30 pooled limb slices per sample.

Distal, medial and proximal samples for each replicate were homogenised with an OMNI TH handheld 5 mm tip probe in 400 μl lysis buffer (Qiagen) for 20 seconds. RNA was extracted using a Qiagen RNeasy mini kit and on-column DNAse I according to the manufacturer's instructions.

4.3 Transcriptome sequencing, processing, read mapping and quantification

Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit was used with 1 μg of each total RNA for the construction of sequencing libraries using standard Illumina protocols. Paired-end sequencing reads were generated by Illumina HiSeq at the Otago Genomics Facility, and data provided as FastQ files. Raw data has been deposited in NCBI Gene expression omnibus (GEO) as GSE179158. Illumina adapter sequences were trimmed from reads and low-quality quality sequences were removed using trimmomatic (version 0.39)[71]. HISAT2 (version 2.2.1)[72] was used to align samples was used to quantify. A HISAT2 index was built from the *X. laevis* transcriptome, genome, and gene models from Xenbase (version 9.2)[73] using the "hisat2-build" command. Samples were aligned using the "hisat2" command, converted to BAM files and sorted using samtools (version 1.10)[74]. Sorted files were quantified using the featureCounts (version 2.0.1)[75] which returned a combined quantification file for all samples.

4.4 Differential expression

The combined quantification file from featureCounts was loaded into R using read.table. The table was made into a DESeq object using the DESeq2[76] command "DESeqDataSetFromMatrix" (options: colData = data.frame(position = factor(position)),

design = ~ position), where position identified what portion of the limb bud a sample came from. The resulting DESeq object was filtered for transcripts with low counts (counts >= 10). The counts table output was made to be a DESeq object with DESeq2's command "DESeqDataSetFromTximport" (options: colData = samples, design = ~ position). This DESeq object was also filtered for transcripts with low counts (counts >= 10). Differential expression analysis was performed with the DESeq2 package. Conditions (proximal, medial and distal segments of limb) were compared using DESeq2's Wald test. The command "DESeq" was used for this with default options. Differential analysis results were filtered for an adjusted p-value < 0.05, and log2 fold change +/-1. Full data can be found in the supplementary data file.

4.4 Read clustering

For each limb segment, the normalised counts from replicates were averaged, and the resulting matrix clustered using the package "ComplexHeatmap" [77] using the command "Heatmap, option: row_km = 7". Rows were clustered using Pearson distance. A full list of genes in each cluster can be found in the supplementary data file.

4.5 In situ hybridisation

Gata5.L, irx5.L, tnn.L, sall1.S, zic5.S, capn8.3.L and *dkk1.S* were amplified from reverse transcribed limb bud cDNA and blunt cloned into the EcoRV site of pBSIIKS+. Primers can be found in Table 3. *Fgf8* probe was previously described[78] with modifications for limb buds from[13]. Images were captured using a Leica FluoIII microscope using Leica LS software, with embryos submerged in PBSA on a 2% noble agar lined petri dish and LED lighting. Adobe photoshop CC 2019 was used to assemble figures.

4.6 GO Analysis

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed on significantly differently expressed genes for the proximal vs. distal contrast, with a cut-off of log2 +/- 1.5. The clusterProfiler package[79] and the *X. laevis* genome wide annotation database [80] were used for this. Prior to analysis, gene names were annotated with Entrez IDs using the "GenePageLaevisEntrezGeneUnigeneMapping.txt" file from Xenbase. The "enrichGO" command (options: OrgDb = org.Xl.eg.db, ont = "BP", readable = TRUE) provided enrichment analysis for the genes contained in the proximal vs. distal set. All GO levels were

included, and 36 terms were significantly over-represented (PAdj <0.05). Full data for GO can be found the supplementary data file.

4.7 Analysis of Retinoic acid regulated genes.

Supplemental data from[18] that identified genes up and down regulated in axolotl limb regeneration supplemental table was manually cross-referenced with DE genes in this study. Genes that did not map to one of the seven clusters, or that had fewer than 100 reads across all samples were eliminated. Read counts of genes both differentially expressed across the proximodistal axis of the *Xenopus* limb bud and regulated by RA in axolotls were plotted for proximal, medial and distal using ggplot2[81] in R and were grouped according to cluster.

4.8 Data availability

Raw RNA-Seq fastq files, the HISAT2/featureCounts table, and a file of significant differential expression is available at NCBI GEO with accession GSE179158. Read counts, differential expression, a list of genes in each cluster, and gene ontology terms can be found in the supplementary data file.

Table 3: Primers used to amplify cDNA for *in vitro* transcription, to generate in situ hybridisation probes.

	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Size (bp)
Tnn1.L	CAAGGCTTTAGTGGGCCAGA	TCTTCAGTGCTGCCATCAGG	1451
Gata5.L	CAATGGGCCCTTGCAGTTAT	CTTCTGTTCCCCAGTGAGGT	2095
Irx5.L	CTTTGAAGGCGTGGCTTAAC	TCTCTGCCAAGGACCAGAGT	1674
Sall1.S	GCCTGGAAGTCATGAGCAGA	GCTGGGACAAATGGGAACTC	1010
Zic5.S	CGGGATGACGTACTTCGTTT	CACGCCTCTCCTCTTCCATA	1074
Capn8.3.L	CTGGTGCCTGACCCAGTATT	CACATCATGGCGGTACAAAG	2411
Dkk1.S	TCATCCTGCCATTGTGGTTA	GTTCAGGGAAGACCAGAGCA	1297

Acknowledgements.

This work was supported by a University of Otago Research grant to CWB and by the Department of Zoology. We are grateful for the excellent support and maintenance of our *Xenopus* colony provided by Nikita Woodhead. Sequencing was carried out at the Otago Genomics Facility.

DTH: formal analysis, data curation, visualisation. JSB and JMW: molecular cloning, in situ hybridisation. RCD: library preparation and sequencing, editing. TM: formal analysis. CWB:

conceptualisation, sample collection and RNA extraction, writing, editing, visualisation and supervision.

References

1. McQueen C, Towers M. Establishing the pattern of the vertebrate limb. *Development*. Sep 11 2020;147(17). https://doi.org10.1242/dev.177956.
2. Pearse RV, 2nd, Scherz PJ, Campbell JK, Tabin CJ. A cellular lineage analysis of the chick limb bud. *Dev Biol*. Oct 15 2007;310(2):388-400. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.08.002.
3. Saunders JW, Jr. The proximo-distal sequence of origin of the parts of the chick wing and the role of the ectoderm. *J Exp Zool*. Aug 1948;108(3):363-403. https://doi.org10.1002/jez.1401080304.
4. Summerbell D, Lewis JH, Wolpert L. Positional information in chick limb morphogenesis. *Nature*. Aug 24 1973;244(5417):492-6. https://doi.org10.1038/244492a0.
5. Mercader N, Leonardo E, Piedra ME, Martinez AC, Ros MA, Torres M. Opposing RA and FGF signals control proximodistal vertebrate limb development through regulation of Meis genes. *Development*. Sep 2000;127(18):3961-70.
6. Saiz-Lopez P, Chinnaiya K, Campa VM, Delgado I, Ros MA, Towers M. An intrinsic timer specifies distal structures of the vertebrate limb. *Nat Commun*. Sep 18 2015;6:8108. https://doi.org10.1038/ncomms9108.
7. Keenan SR, Beck CW. Xenopus Limb bud morphogenesis. *Dev Dyn*. Mar 2016;245(3):233-43. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.24351.
8. Tschumi PA. The growth of the hindlimb bud of Xenopus laevis and its dependence upon the epidermis. *J Anat*. Apr 1957;91(2):149-73.
9. Christen B, Slack JM. FGF-8 is associated with anteroposterior patterning and limb regeneration in Xenopus. *Dev Biol*. Dec 15 1997;192(2):455-66. https://doi.org10.1006/dbio.1997.8732.
10. Endo T, Tamura K, Ide H. Analysis of gene expressions during Xenopus forelimb regeneration. *Dev Biol*. Apr 15 2000;220(2):296-306. https://doi.org10.1006/dbio.2000.9641.
11. Jones TE, Day RC, Beck CW. Attenuation of bone morphogenetic protein signaling during amphibian limb development results in the generation of stage-specific defects. *J Anat*. Nov 2013;223(5):474-88. https://doi.org10.1111/joa.12098.
12. Wang YH, Beck CW. Distal expression of sprouty (spry) genes during Xenopus laevis limb development and regeneration. *Gene Expr Patterns*. May 2014;15(1):61-6. https://doi.org10.1016/j.gep.2014.04.004.
13. McEwan J, Lynch J, Beck CW. Expression of key retinoic acid modulating genes suggests active regulation during development and regeneration of the amphibian limb. *Dev Dyn*. May 2011;240(5):1259-70. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.22555.
14. Tarin D, Sturdee AP. Early limb development of Xenopus laevis. *J Embryol Exp Morphol*. Oct 1971;26(2):169-79.

15. Miura S, Hanaoka K, Togashi S. Skeletogenesis in Xenopus tropicalis: characteristic bone development in an anuran amphibian. *Bone*. Nov 2008;43(5):901-9. https://doi.org10.1016/j.bone.2008.07.005.

16. Satoh A, Suzuki M, Amano T, Tamura K, Ide H. Joint development in Xenopus laevis and induction of segmentations in regenerating froglet limb (spike). *Dev Dyn*. Aug 2005;233(4):1444-53. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.20484.

17. Martin BL, Harland RM. A novel role for lbx1 in Xenopus hypaxial myogenesis. *Development*. Jan 2006;133(2):195-208. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.02183.

18. Nguyen M, Singhal P, Piet JW, et al. Retinoic acid receptor regulation of epimorphic and homeostatic regeneration in the axolotl. *Development*. Feb 15 2017;144(4):601-611. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.139873.

19. Oliveros JC. Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams. 2007-2015. p. https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html.

20. Delgado I, Lopez-Delgado AC, Rosello-Diez A, et al. Proximo-distal positional information encoded by an Fgf-regulated gradient of homeodomain transcription factors in the vertebrate limb. *Sci Adv*. Jun 2020;6(23):eaaz0742. https://doi.org10.1126/sciadv.aaz0742.

21. Haworth KE, Kotecha S, Mohun TJ, Latinkic BV. GATA4 and GATA5 are essential for heart and liver development in Xenopus embryos. *BMC Dev Biol*. Jul 28 2008;8:74. https://doi.org10.1186/1471-213X-8-74.

22. Kozhemyakina E, Ionescu A, Lassar AB. GATA6 is a crucial regulator of Shh in the limb bud. *PLoS Genet*. Jan 2014;10(1):e1004072. https://doi.org10.1371/journal.pgen.1004072.

23. Jiang Y, Evans T. The Xenopus GATA-4/5/6 genes are associated with cardiac specification and can regulate cardiac-specific transcription during embryogenesis. *Dev Biol*. Mar 15 1996;174(2):258-70. https://doi.org10.1006/dbio.1996.0071.

24. Rodriguez-Seguel E, Alarcon P, Gomez-Skarmeta JL. The Xenopus Irx genes are essential for neural patterning and define the border between prethalamus and thalamus through mutual antagonism with the anterior repressors Fezf and Arx. *Dev Biol*. May 15 2009;329(2):258-68. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.02.028.

25. Session AM, Uno Y, Kwon T, et al. Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog Xenopus laevis. *Nature*. Oct 20 2016;538(7625):336-343. https://doi.org10.1038/nature19840.

26. Li D, Sakuma R, Vakili NA, et al. Formation of proximal and anterior limb skeleton requires early function of Irx3 and Irx5 and is negatively regulated by Shh signaling. *Dev Cell*. Apr 28 2014;29(2):233-40. https://doi.org10.1016/j.devcel.2014.03.001.

27. Bonnard C, Strobl AC, Shboul M, et al. Mutations in IRX5 impair craniofacial development and germ cell migration via SDF1. *Nat Genet*. May 13 2012;44(6):709-13. https://doi.org10.1038/ng.2259.

28. Weber P, Montag D, Schachner M, Bernhardt RR. Zebrafish tenascin-W, a new member of the tenascin family. *J Neurobiol*. Apr 1998;35(1):1-16.

29. Tucker RP, Degen M. The Expression and Possible Functions of Tenascin-W During Development and Disease. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2019;7:53. https://doi.org10.3389/fcell.2019.00053.

30. Ahn K, Mishina Y, Hanks MC, Behringer RR, Crenshaw EB, 3rd. BMPR-IA signaling is required for the formation of the apical ectodermal ridge and dorsal-ventral patterning of the limb. *Development*. Nov 2001;128(22):4449-61. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.128.22.4449.

31. Bell SM, Schreiner CM, Waclaw RR, Campbell K, Potter SS, Scott WJ. Sp8 is crucial for limb outgrowth and neuropore closure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Oct 14 2003;100(21):12195-200. https://doi.org10.1073/pnas.2134310100.

32. Bulfone A, Kim HJ, Puelles L, Porteus MH, Grippo JF, Rubenstein JL. The mouse Dlx-2 (Tes-1) gene is expressed in spatially restricted domains of the forebrain, face and limbs in midgestation mouse embryos. *Mech Dev*. Mar 1993;40(3):129-40. https://doi.org10.1016/0925-4773(93)90071-5.

33. Gorivodsky M, Lonai P. Novel roles of Fgfr2 in AER differentiation and positioning of the dorsoventral limb interface. *Development*. Nov 2003;130(22):5471-9. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.00795.

34. Spinozzi S, Albini S, Best H, Richard I. Calpains for dummies: What you need to know about the calpain family. *Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom*. May 2021;1869(5):140616. https://doi.org10.1016/j.bbapap.2021.140616.

35. Luo T, Zhang Y, Khadka D, Rangarajan J, Cho KW, Sargent TD. Regulatory targets for transcription factor AP2 in Xenopus embryos. *Dev Growth Differ*. Aug 2005;47(6):403-13. https://doi.org10.1111/j.1440-169X.2005.00809.x.

36. Macqueen DJ, Wilcox AH. Characterization of the definitive classical calpain family of vertebrates using phylogenetic, evolutionary and expression analyses. *Open Biol*. Apr 9 2014;4:130219. https://doi.org10.1098/rsob.130219.

37. Bowes JB, Snyder KA, Segerdell E, et al. Xenbase: a Xenopus biology and genomics resource. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Jan 2008;36(Database issue):D761-7. https://doi.org10.1093/nar/gkm826.

38. Glinka A, Wu W, Delius H, Monaghan AP, Blumenstock C, Niehrs C. Dickkopf-1 is a member of a new family of secreted proteins and functions in head induction. *Nature*. Jan 22 1998;391(6665):357-62. https://doi.org10.1038/34848.

39. Grotewold L, Theil T, Ruther U. Expression pattern of Dkk-1 during mouse limb development. *Mech Dev*. Dec 1999;89(1-2):151-3. https://doi.org10.1016/s0925-4773(99)00194-x.

40. Monaghan AP, Kioschis P, Wu W, et al. Dickkopf genes are co-ordinately expressed in mesodermal lineages. *Mech Dev*. Sep 1999;87(1-2):45-56. https://doi.org10.1016/s0925-4773(99)00138-0.

41. Mukhopadhyay M, Shtrom S, Rodriguez-Esteban C, et al. Dickkopf1 is required for embryonic head induction and limb morphogenesis in the mouse. *Dev Cell*. Sep 2001;1(3):423-34. https://doi.org10.1016/s1534-5807(01)00041-7.

42. Grotewold L, Ruther U. The Wnt antagonist Dickkopf-1 is regulated by Bmp signaling and c-Jun and modulates programmed cell death. *EMBO J*. Mar 1 2002;21(5):966-75. https://doi.org10.1093/emboj/21.5.966.

43. Lieven O, Knobloch J, Ruther U. The regulation of Dkk1 expression during embryonic development. *Dev Biol*. Apr 15 2010;340(2):256-68. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.01.037.

44. Lewandoski M, Sun X, Martin GR. Fgf8 signalling from the AER is essential for normal limb development. *Nat Genet*. Dec 2000;26(4):460-3. https://doi.org10.1038/82609.

45. Moon AM, Capecchi MR. Fgf8 is required for outgrowth and patterning of the limbs. *Nat Genet*. Dec 2000;26(4):455-9. https://doi.org10.1038/82601.

46. Christen B, Beck CW, Lombardo A, Slack JM. Regeneration-specific expression pattern of three posterior Hox genes. *Dev Dyn*. Feb 2003;226(2):349-55. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.10231.

47. Townes PL, Brocks ER. Hereditary syndrome of imperforate anus with hand, foot, and ear anomalies. *J Pediatr*. Aug 1972;81(2):321-6. https://doi.org10.1016/s0022-3476(72)80302-0.

48. Neff AW, King MW, Mescher AL. Dedifferentiation and the role of sall4 in reprogramming and patterning during amphibian limb regeneration. *Dev Dyn*. May 2011;240(5):979-89. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.22554.

49. Aruga J, Kamiya A, Takahashi H, et al. A wide-range phylogenetic analysis of Zic proteins: implications for correlations between protein structure conservation and body plan complexity. *Genomics*. Jun 2006;87(6):783-92. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ygeno.2006.02.011.

50. Houtmeyers R, Souopgui J, Tejpar S, Arkell R. The ZIC gene family encodes multi-functional proteins essential for patterning and morphogenesis. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. Oct 2013;70(20):3791-811. https://doi.org10.1007/s00018-013-1285-5.

51. Pan H, Gustafsson MK, Aruga J, Tiedken JJ, Chen JC, Emerson CP, Jr. A role for Zic1 and Zic2 in Myf5 regulation and somite myogenesis. *Dev Biol*. Mar 1 2011;351(1):120-7. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.12.037.

52. Wada N. Spatiotemporal changes in cell adhesiveness during vertebrate limb morphogenesis. *Dev Dyn*. May 2011;240(5):969-78. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.22552.

53. da Silva SM, Gates PB, Brockes JP. The newt ortholog of CD59 is implicated in proximodistal identity during amphibian limb regeneration. *Dev Cell*. Oct 2002;3(4):547-55. https://doi.org10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00288-5.

54. Whited JL, Lehoczky JA, Austin CA, Tabin CJ. Dynamic expression of two thrombospondins during axolotl limb regeneration. *Dev Dyn*. May 2011;240(5):1249-58. https://doi.org10.1002/dvdy.22548.

55. Pearl EJ, Barker D, Day RC, Beck CW. Identification of genes associated with regenerative success of Xenopus laevis hindlimbs. *BMC Dev Biol*. Jun 23 2008;8:66. https://doi.org10.1186/1471-213X-8-66.

56. Bose K, Nischt R, Page A, Bader BL, Paulsson M, Smyth N. Loss of nidogen-1 and -2 results in syndactyly and changes in limb development. *J Biol Chem*. Dec 22 2006;281(51):39620-9. https://doi.org10.1074/jbc.M607886200.

57. Riddle RD, Ensini M, Nelson C, Tsuchida T, Jessell TM, Tabin C. Induction of the LIM homeobox gene Lmx1 by WNT7a establishes dorsoventral pattern in the vertebrate limb. *Cell*. Nov 17 1995;83(4):631-40. https://doi.org10.1016/0092-8674(95)90103-5.

58. Wu W, Glinka A, Delius H, Niehrs C. Mutual antagonism between dickkopf1 and dickkopf2 regulates Wnt/beta-catenin signalling. *Curr Biol*. Dec 14-28 2000;10(24):1611-4. https://doi.org10.1016/s0960-9822(00)00868-x.

59. Pinho S, Niehrs C. Dkk3 is required for TGF-beta signaling during Xenopus mesoderm induction. *Differentiation*. Dec 2007;75(10):957-67. https://doi.org10.1111/j.1432-0436.2007.00185.x.

60. Dealy CN, Roth A, Ferrari D, Brown AM, Kosher RA. Wnt-5a and Wnt-7a are expressed in the developing chick limb bud in a manner suggesting roles in pattern formation along the proximodistal and dorsoventral axes. *Mech Dev*. Oct 1993;43(2-3):175-86. https://doi.org10.1016/0925-4773(93)90034-u.

61. Parr BA, Shea MJ, Vassileva G, McMahon AP. Mouse Wnt genes exhibit discrete domains of expression in the early embryonic CNS and limb buds. *Development*. Sep 1993;119(1):247-61. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.119.1.247.

62. Gao B, Ajima R, Yang W, et al. Coordinated directional outgrowth and pattern formation by integration of Wnt5a and Fgf signaling in planar cell polarity. *Development*. Apr 13 2018;145(8). https://doi.org10.1242/dev.163824.

63. Saad K, Otto A, Theis S, et al. Detailed expression profile of all six Glypicans and their modifying enzyme Notum during chick embryogenesis and their role in dorsal-ventral patterning of the neural tube. *Gene*. Apr 20 2017;609:38-51. https://doi.org10.1016/j.gene.2017.01.032.

64. Nathan E, Tzahor E. sFRPs: a declaration of (Wnt) independence. *Nat Cell Biol*. Jan 2009;11(1):13. https://doi.org10.1038/ncb0109-13.

65. Martin GR. The roles of FGFs in the early development of vertebrate limbs. *Genes Dev*. Jun 1 1998;12(11):1571-86. https://doi.org10.1101/gad.12.11.1571.

66. Wang YH, Beck C. Distinct patterns of endosulfatase gene expression during Xenopus laevis limb development and regeneration. *Regeneration (Oxf)*. Feb 2015;2(1):19-25. https://doi.org10.1002/reg2.27.

67. Tamura K, Yokouchi Y, Kuroiwa A, Ide H. Retinoic acid changes the proximodistal developmental competence and affinity of distal cells in the developing chick limb bud. *Dev Biol*. Aug 15 1997;188(2):224-34. https://doi.org10.1006/dbio.1997.8627.

68. Maden M. Vitamin A and pattern formation in the regenerating limb. *Nature*. Feb 25 1982;295(5851):672-5. https://doi.org10.1038/295672a0.

69. Monaghan JR, Maden M. Visualization of retinoic acid signaling in transgenic axolotls during limb development and regeneration. *Dev Biol*. Aug 1 2012;368(1):63-75. https://doi.org10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.05.015.

70. Cunningham TJ, Duester G. Mechanisms of retinoic acid signalling and its roles in organ and limb development. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. Feb 2015;16(2):110-23. https://doi.org10.1038/nrm3932.

71. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. Aug 1 2014;30(15):2114-20. https://doi.org10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

72. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat Methods*. Apr 2015;12(4):357-60. https://doi.org10.1038/nmeth.3317.

73. Karimi K, Fortriede JD, Lotay VS, et al. Xenbase: a genomic, epigenomic and transcriptomic model organism database. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Jan 4 2018;46(D1):D861-D868. https://doi.org10.1093/nar/gkx936.

74. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. Aug 15 2009;25(16):2078-9. https://doi.org10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

75. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. Apr 1 2014;30(7):923-30. https://doi.org10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656.

76. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(12):550. https://doi.org10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

77. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics*. Sep 15 2016;32(18):2847-9. https://doi.org10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313.

78. Pownall ME, Tucker AS, Slack JM, Isaacs HV. eFGF, Xcad3 and Hox genes form a molecular pathway that establishes the anteroposterior axis in Xenopus. *Development*. Dec 1996;122(12):3881-92. https://doi.org10.1242/dev.122.12.3881.

79. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS*. May 2012;16(5):284-7. https://doi.org10.1089/omi.2011.0118.

80. Carlson M. org.Xl.eg.db: Genome wide annotation for Xenopus. R package version 3.8.2. 2019.

81. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis New York: Springer-Verlag; 2016.