CaV1 and CaV2 calcium channels mediate the release of distinct pools of synaptic vesicles

Brian D. Mueller[1#], Sean A. Merrill[1#], Shigeki Watanabe[1], Ping Liu[2], Anish Singh[1], Pablo

Maldonado-Catala[3], Alex Cherry[1], Malan Silva[1], Andres Villu Maricq[3], Zhao-Wen Wang[2], Erik M.

Jorgensen[1*]

[1] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, School of Biological Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake

City, UT

[2] Department of Neuroscience, University of Connecticut Medical School, Farmington, CT

[3] Department of Neurobiology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

* Lead contact

[#] Authors contributed equally towards this work

Abstract

Activation of voltage-gated calcium channels at synapses leads to local increases in

calcium and the fusion of synaptic vesicles. However, presynaptic output will be determined by

the density of calcium channels, the dynamic properties of the channel, the distance to docked

vesicles, and the release probability at the docking site. We demonstrate that at *C. elegans*

neuromuscular junctions two different classes of voltage-gated calcium channels, CaV2 and

CaV1, mediate the release of distinct pools of synaptic vesicles. CaV2 channels are

concentrated in densely packed clusters ~300 nm in diameter with the active zone proteins

Neurexin, α-Liprin, SYDE, ELKS/CAST, RIM-BP, α-Catulin, and MAGI1. CaV2 channels

mediate the fusion of vesicles docked adjacent to the dense projection and are colocalized with

the synaptic vesicle priming protein UNC-13L. By contrast, CaV1 channels are dispersed in the

synaptic varicosity and are coupled to internal calcium stores via the ryanodine receptor. CaV1

and ryanodine receptor mediate the fusion of vesicles docked broadly in the synaptic varicosity

and are colocalized with the vesicle priming protein UNC-13S. Distinct synaptic vesicle pools,

released by different calcium channels, could be used to tune the speed, voltage-dependence,

and quantal content of neurotransmitter release.

Keywords: L-type, N-type calcium channels, super-resolution microscopy, Munc13-1, bMunc13-

2, Ryanodine receptor. NRX-1, SYD-2, SYD-1, ELKS-1, RIMB-1, CTN-1, MAGI-1.

Introduction

Synaptic vesicles fuse to the plasma membrane within the presynaptic bouton in a

domain called the active zone, and the intricate molecular architecture within the active zone

determines the dynamics of the neurotransmitter release (Guzikowski & Kavalali, 2021). Vesicle

fusion is driven by calcium influx and binding to the calcium sensor synaptotagmin on the

synaptic vesicle (Geppert et al., 1994; Littleton, Stern, Schulze, Perin, & Bellen, 1993; Ward,

Weber, & Chapman, 2004). The coupling of fusion sites to calcium channels determines the

transfer function of synapses to depolarizing inputs (Eggermann, Bucurenciu, Goswami, &

Jonas, 2012; Eguchi, Montanaro, le Monnier, & Shigemoto, 2022; Özçete & Moser, 2021;

Rebola et al., 2019), and thus synaptic activity depends on three features of calcium influx: the

dynamic properties of the calcium channel, the concentration of calcium at the fusion site, and

the release probability of the vesicle. These features are dictated by calcium channel type and

location, by the distance to docked vesicles, and by the activity of the priming protein Unc13.

Here, we characterize these features at the *C. elegans* neuromuscular junction.

Voltage-gated calcium channels can be divided into three molecular families: CaV1,

CaV2, and CaV3, each with fundamentally different dynamic properties, including voltage-

sensitive activation and inactivation (Catterall, Perez-Reyes, Snutch, & Striessnig, 2005;

Nowycky, Fox, & Tsien, 1985). Each of these channel classes is primarily associated with

tissue-specific functions: In muscle, CaV1 (L-type) channels mediate contraction and are

coupled to the ryanodine receptor to release internal calcium stores (RyR). In neurons, CaV2

(P/Q, N, and R-type) channels drive synaptic transmission. In neurons and excitable cells, CaV3

(T-type) regulate action potential oscillations and pacemaker frequencies (Dolphin, 2021) These

tissue-specific roles are not exclusive, for example, the CaV1 variants CaV1.3 and CaV1.4 are

associated with neurotransmitter release in hair cells and photoreceptors, respectively (Dolphin

& Lee, 2020).

In the nematode *C. elegans*, each class is encoded by a single gene: CaV1 (*egl-19*),

CaV2 (*unc-2*), CaV3 (*cca-1*), and RyR (*unc-68*). In all animals, CaV2 is the main calcium

channel for synaptic transmission (Richmond, Weimer, & Jorgensen, 2001; Smith et al., 1996;

Tsien, Lipscombe, Madison, Bley, & Fox, 1988; Tsien & Tsien, 1990; Zheng et al., 1995). Unlike

other animals, nematodes lack voltage-gated sodium channels and neurotransmission is

mediated via graded release (Liu, Chen, & Wang, 2014; Liu, Hollopeter, & Jorgensen, 2009). In

unc-2 mutants, which lack the CaV2 channel, the frequency of tonic miniature currents ('minis')

is severely reduced, but some release remains (Richmond et al., 2001; Tong et al., 2017).

Physiological studies suggest CaV1 can also contribute to neurotransmission; CaV1 channel

blockers reduce tonic minis (Tong et al., 2017). However, the role of CaV1 channels at

synapses in *C. elegans* is complicated because CaV1 also contributes to calcium-mediated

action potentials in neurons and is required in the body muscle for viability (Lee, Lobel,

Hengartner, Horvitz, & Avery, 1997; Liu, Kidd, Dobosiewicz, & Bargmann, 2018). Finally, the

ryanodine receptor also contributes to neurotransmission, and is specifically required for

multivesicular release (Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2005).

After the channels close, the concentration of calcium at the site of vesicle fusion will

rapidly decline by diffusion (Dittman & Ryan, 2019). Free calcium will be further depleted by

calcium buffers and calcium pumps (Blaustein, 1988; Eggermann et al., 2012). Because

intracellular calcium is extremely low (0.05 µM), and levels required for fusion are relatively high

(half-maximal 10 µM) (Courtney, Briguglio, Bradberry, Greer, & Chapman, 2018;

Schneggenburger & Neher, 2000), the effective range of calcium around a single voltage-gated

calcium channel is modeled to be only 20 nm for evoked fusion, a 'nanodomain' not much larger

than the diameter of the calcium channel itself (Fedchyshyn & Wang, 2005; Weber et al., 2010).

For synapses to reliably track high frequency action potentials, there must be a large number of

channels to negate the stochastic nature of channel opening, and the channels must be tightly

coupled to the release sites in this nanodomain. Thus, the transfer function not only depends on

the identity of the calcium channel, but also depends on the density of calcium channels and the

distance to the docked vesicle. Nevertheless, some synapses rely on 'loose' coupling to calcium

channels. Calcium microdomains - larger than 100 nm - can drive synaptic vesicle fusion,

suggesting that some calcium signals are more robust and do not require tight physical coupling

(Eggermann et al., 2012; Vyleta & Jonas, 2014). The tuning of the output of the presynapse

then ultimately depends on the spatial and temporal organization of the calcium signal at the

release site (Eggermann et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 2015).

The presence of docked vesicles at release sites and the probability of vesicle fusion

depends on the active zone protein Unc13 (Dittman, 2019; Neher & Brose, 2018). Unc13

tethers vesicles to the active zone membrane through C2B and C2C domains which flank the

MUN domain (Imig et al., 2014; Quade et al., 2019). The central MUN domain interacts with the

SNARE protein syntaxin (Augustin, Rosenmund, Südhof, & Brose, 1999; Lai et al., 2017; Yang

et al., 2015) and promotes the open state of syntaxin to initiate SNARE pairing (Richmond et al.,

2001). Alternative structural isoforms of Unc13 are distinguished by the presence or absence of

a C2A domain at the N-terminus (UNC-13-Long and UNC-13-Short, respectively in *C. elegans*)

(Dittman, 2019). Binding of the C2A domain to the scaffolding protein RIM activates these

Unc13 isoforms (Betz et al., 2001; Hu, Tong, & Kaplan, 2013; Liu et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2006;

Zhou, Stawicki, Goncharov, & Jin, 2013). Unc13 isoforms, which lack a C2A domain, have been

demonstrated to bind ELKS / CAST in flies and mice (Böhme et al., 2016; Kawabe et al., 2017).

In the absence of Unc13, synaptic vesicles fail to dock at release sites (Hammarlund,

Palfreyman, Watanabe, Olsen, & Jorgensen, 2007; Imig et al., 2014; Richmond, Davis, &

Jorgensen, 1999; Siksou et al., 2009). Moreover, binding of DAG and calcium to Unc13

regulates the differential release probabilities of primed vesicles (Basu, Betz, Brose, &

Rosenmund, 2007; Michelassi, Liu, Hu, & Dittman, 2017; Neher & Brose, 2018).

Here, we demonstrate in *C. elegans* that two different classes of voltage-gated calcium

channels, CaV2 (UNC-2) and CaV1 (EGL-19) mediate the release of two physiologically distinct

pools of synaptic vesicles. A third calcium channel, the ryanodine receptor (RyR / UNC-68), is

essential for CaV1-mediated vesicle release. Time-resolved electron microscopy in mutants

demonstrates that these channels mediate fusion of spatially distinct pools of synaptic vesicles

in the same synaptic varicosity. Finally, we use super-resolution fluorescence microscopy to

demonstrate that CaV2 is localized with UNC-13L at the dense projection, and that CaV1 and

RyR colocalize with UNC-13S at distal sites. Altogether, we describe two pools of synaptic

vesicles: (1) The central pool is localized adjacent to the dense projection, vesicles are docked

by UNC-13L, and released by a dense cluster of CaV2 channels. (2) The lateral pool of vesicles

is broadly distributed, docked by UNC-13S, and released by dispersed CaV1 and RyR

channels.

Results

CaV1 and CaV2 calcium channels are required semi-redundantly for nervous system

function

The genome of *C. elegans* contains only a single gene for each major voltage-gated

calcium channel class: CaV1 (*egl-19*), CaV2 (*unc-2*), CaV3 (*cca-1*), and a single calcium-gated

RyR (*unc-68*) (hereafter, referred to by their common names). Loss of the CaV3 T-type channel

does not affect neurotransmitter release in acetylcholine neurons (Liu et al., 2018). However,

loss of any other calcium channel results in impaired neurotransmission (Liu et al., 2005;

Richmond et al., 2001; Tong et al., 2017). Null mutants lacking either CaV2 (*unc-2*(*lj1*)) or RyR

(*unc-68*(*e540*)) are viable, but CaV1 null mutants (*egl-19*(*st556*)) die as embryos due to a loss

of muscle function during morphogenesis (Lee et al., 1997). We rescued the CaV1 null mutant

using a muscle promoter expressed early in development; since this strain lacks CaV1 in the

nervous system, we refer to it as 'CaV1(Δns)'.

To determine whether these channels function cooperatively or in parallel, we tested for

synthetic interactions between mutations of these channel types. The double mutant CaV1(Δns)

RyR is viable, and is no worse than the RyR null, consistent with their coupled function (Figure

1A). However, CaV1(Δns) CaV2(-) double mutants and RyR(-) CaV2(-) double mutants exhibit a

synthetic lethal interaction. These data suggest that calcium influx from CaV1-RyR acts

redundantly, and in parallel, with CaV2 to sustain neurotransmission.

CaV1(Δns) animals are uncoordinated, and the phenotypes are fully rescued by the

expression of CaV1 in the nervous system (Figure 1B). To determine the role of CaV1 on

locomotion, we characterized crawling using worm tracker software. CaV1(Δns) worms travel

shorter distances than wild-type worms, consistent with slower crawling speeds (Figure 1C,D).

In addition, wild-type animals spent longer time and travelled longer distances during forward

bouts (Figure 1E,F). Conversely, CaV1(Δns) worms traveled shorter distances and initiated

reversals more frequently than the wild type (Figure 1G). However, the distance travelled while

backing tended to be shorter in the CaV1(Δns) animals; whereas the rescued animals travelled

longer distances in reverse, about a full body length (Figure 1H). Reversals in all three

genotypes were similar in duration (Figure 1I). These results indicate that CaV1 functions in the

nervous system for speed of locomotion, and also biases the bistable locomotory circuit toward

forward locomotion (Zheng, Brockie, Mellem, Madsen, & Maricq, 1999).

161
CaV2 and CaV1 regulate distinct pools of synaptic vesicles

To determine if CaV1 is playing a direct role in synaptic transmission, as well as the

depolarization state of the locomotory circuit, we recorded synaptic currents at neuromuscular

junctions. Body muscles were voltage-clamped at a holding potential of -60mV, and miniature

postsynaptic currents recorded. Miniature postsynaptic currents ('minis') are caused by the

release of neurotransmitter from one or a few synaptic vesicles. The nematode neuromuscular

junction releases neurotransmitter via graded release (Liu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2009); the

frequency of tonic minis drive calcium action potentials in the muscles (Liu et al., 2011).

The rate of miniature postsynaptic currents (minis/s) compared to the wild type (32.2 +/-

2.1 minis/s) is significantly reduced in each of the single mutants, CaV2 (18.7 +/- 3.5 minis/s),

CaV1(Δns) (18.7 +/- 3.6 minis/s), and RyR (20.9 +/- 1.5 minis/s) (Figure 2A). Because some of

the channel double mutants are synthetic lethal, we acutely blocked CaV1 using nemadipine

(Kwok et al., 2006). The frequency of minis in the wild-type with nemadipine (+nema 18.4 +/- 2

minis/s) was similar to CaV1(Δns) and did not further reduce mini frequency in the CaV1(Δns)

mutant (+nema 19.1 +/- 2.7 mini/s), demonstrating that nemadipine is an effective blocker of

CaV1 and does not block CaV2 nonspecifically. Nemadipine application on the RyR mutant did

not exacerbate the phenotype ('RyR(-) +nema', 20.7 +/- 1.3 mini/s), indicating that RyR and

CaV1 function interdependently at neuromuscular junctions. To determine if CaV1 and CaV2

are required together for all neurotransmitter release, we blocked CaV1 in the CaV2 mutant.

Application of nemadipine almost completely abolished mini frequency in the CaV2 mutant

(+nema 1.7 +/- 0.6 mini/s). We conclude that all vesicle fusion at neuromuscular junctions relies

on CaV1 and CaV2, each contributing about half of the minis. In addition, calcium influx through

CaV1 is not sufficient for vesicle fusion; CaV1 relies on internal calcium stores released by RyR

to fuse synaptic vesicles.

We confirmed these conclusions by making viable double mutants. Similar to the

nemadipine experiments, the rate of minis in the CaV1(Δns) RyR double mutant (19.6 +/- 2

mini/s) is similar to the single CaV1(Δns) and RyR mutants, again suggesting that CaV1 relies

on coupling to RyR to activate neurotransmitter release. To generate strains that bypass the

synthetic lethality with CaV2, we expressed CaV2 in head neurons using a tissue-specific

promoter ('P*unc-17*h') (Hammarlund et al., 2007; Topalidou et al., 2016). Expression of CaV2 in

the head neurons of CaV2 nulls, referred to as 'CaV2(Δnmj)', bypassed the synthetic lethality of

both the CaV1(Δns) CaV2(-) double mutant, and the RyR(-) CaV2(-) double mutant, but the

rescued animals exhibit a synthetic paralyzed phenotype. The mini rates of CaV2(Δnmj)

CaV1(Δns) double mutants (12.8 +/- 2.4 mini/s) and CaV2(Δnmj) RyR double mutants (11.3 +/-

2.1 mini/s) are significantly diminished, but not completely abolished (Extended Data Figure 2A).

The lingering neuronal activity in these strains is likely due to CaV2 expression from head-

rescued CaV2 neurons synapsing onto the muscles (the sublateral cord motor neurons).

Nevertheless, they demonstrate that CaV1 and RyR are in the same pathway, and act in

parallel to CaV2.

RyR is required for multiquantal release

The mode of mini amplitudes represents miniature currents from single vesicle fusions,

and the modal value is similar in all strains (WT 10 pA, CaV1Δns 10pA, RyR 11pA, CaV2 8pA)

regardless of nemadipine treatment (N2+nema 10pA, CaV1Δns+nema 8pA, RyR+nema 10pA,

CaV2+nema 11pA) (Figure 2E, Extended Data Figure 2D). However, the mean amplitude of

miniature currents is reduced in the RyR(-) mutant (15.5 ±0.6 pA) compared to the wild type

(22.3 ±1.9 pA; Figure 2B-D, Extended Data Figure 2B,E). The reduction in mini amplitudes is

likely due to a loss of multiquantal release since the focus of ryanodine receptor function is in

neurons (Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2005).

CaV2 is also functionally coupled to RyR to drive multiquantal release. Mini amplitudes

from CaV2 and RyR can be observed when CaV1 channels are blocked by nemadipine

(22.6 ±2.1 pA, 'wild-type + nemadipine', Fig. 2E). Mini amplitudes solely from CaV2 are

reduced in the absence of RyR (14 +/- 0.9pA, 'RyR + nemadipine'), suggesting that RyR also

responds to calcium from CaV2. Further, fitting the frequency distribution of mini amplitudes to

multiple gaussians indicate that most multiquantal events are driven by RyR (Figure 2F), though

some multiquantal events may be attributed to CaV2 (Figure 2G).

Together, these data demonstrate that CaV2 and CaV1 channels regulate the release of

separate synaptic vesicle pools at neuromuscular junctions. CaV1 requires the ryanodine

receptor for vesicle fusion. Calcium influx through CaV2 is sufficient to fuse vesicles although

neurotransmitter release is amplified by the ryanodine receptor.

CaV2 and CaV1 mediate fusion of separate vesicle pools at single synapses

The physiology data suggest that CaV2 and CaV1 mediate the release of distinct

synaptic vesicle pools onto muscles. To demonstrate these calcium channels regulate spatially

distinct pools at the same synaptic varicosity, time-resolved "flash-and-freeze" electron

microscopy was used to characterize fusing vesicle pools (Watanabe et al., 2013). Transgenic

animals expressing channelrhodopsin in acetylcholine neurons were loaded into a high-

pressure freezing chamber, and stimulated with a 20-ms light pulse to depolarize neurons and

activate synaptic calcium channels. Animals were frozen 50 ms after stimulation; control

animals were treated identically but not stimulated. Frozen samples were fixed by freeze

substitution, embedded in plastic and sectioned for electron microscopy (Watanabe et al.,

2013). Docked vesicles are defined as those in contact with the plasma membrane; docking

was segmented blind to treatment and genotype (Figure 3A,B). The distance from the dense

projection to the docked vesicle is plotted on the X-axis (Figure 3C). Decreases in docked

vesicles after stimulation are assumed to be the result of synaptic vesicle fusion, although

calcium influx could cause some vesicles to undock and return to the cytoplasm (Kusick et al.,

2020).

To identify vesicle fusions associated with particular calcium channels, we analyzed the

distribution of docked vesicles in mutant animals. In unstimulated animals, docked vesicles are

clustered around dense projections, although many are observed at lateral regions extending

hundreds of nanometers from dense projections. Docked vesicles are uniformly depleted after

stimulation in wild-type animals (Figure 3D). Genetic ablation of CaV2 channels reduced vesicle

fusions adjacent to the dense projection; docked vesicles distal to the dense projection still

fused in response to stimulation (Figure 3E). To assay CaV1 function, we analyzed the

hypomorph *egl-19(n582)* to avoid the lethality observed in the double mutants. Mutation of the

CaV1 channel reduced fusion broadly, although significant vesicle fusions were observed within

100 nm of the dense projection (Figure 3F). In the absence of RyR only CaV2 is functional, and

vesicle fusions are only observed in the 33 nm pool —directly adjacent to the dense projection

(Figure 3G). The CaV1 CaV2 double mutant exhibited no change in the number and distribution

of docked synaptic vesicles after stimulation (Figure 3H). Together, these data demonstrate that

C. elegans neuromuscular junctions have two spatially distinct pools of synaptic vesicles: a

central pool dependent on CaV2 calcium channels and a lateral pool dependent on CaV1 and

RyR.

260
CaV2 and CaV1 differentially localized at synapses

The electron microscopy data suggest that CaV1 and CaV2 are localized to spatially

separate areas of the active zone. To localize calcium channels with normal expression levels,

we tagged the endogenous genes and localized the proteins using fluorescence microscopy.

We performed 3-color imaging using dense projection markers as an anatomical fiducial at the

center of the synapse. Because *C. elegans* synaptic varicosities are less than 1µm in diameter,

super-resolution microscopy was required to resolve channel clusters. A segment of the dorsal

nerve cord was imaged, and the region of imaging was restricted to a narrow band to avoid

potential complications by CaV1 expression in muscle. To ensure that the pattern of synapses

in our fluorescence images matched the arrangement of neuromuscular junctions, we

reconstructed 20 µm of the dorsal cord. All imaging was conducted on living, acutely

anesthetized nematodes.

Multiple tagging sites were tested for all genes, but in some cases the tags disrupted

function or the splice isoforms were not expressed in neurons. Therefore, we tagged internal

sites within regions of poor conservation (Extended Data Figure 4A,B). For example, CaV2 was

tagged with HALO (Los et al., 2008) in the second extracellular loop near the N-terminus

(Kurshan et al., 2018; Schwartz & Jorgensen, 2016).

To confirm that the pattern of calcium channels in our fluorescence images matched the

arrangement of dense projections, we reconstructed 20 µm of the dorsal nerve cord from serial

sections for electron microscopy (Figure 4A). CaV2 / UNC-2 channels have been localized to

the dense projection by immuno-electron microscopy in the nematode (Gracheva, Hadwiger,

Nonet, & Richmond, 2008). CaV2 clusters are localized every 1.10 +/- 0.16 µm along the dorsal

cord by super-resolution microscopy, similar to the distribution of dense projections in the

reconstruction (1.02 / µm). To identify proteins associated with CaV2, we tagged multiple active

zone components implicated in scaffolding and release complexes at the dense projection

(Ackermann, Waites, & Garner, 2015; Südhof, 2012). Neurexin (*nrx-1*), Magi (*magi-1*), Syde1

(*syd-1*), Liprin-α (*syd-2*), RIMBP (*rimb-1*), and α-Catulin (*ctn-1*) were tagged with SkylanS. Each

of these proteins is closely associated with CaV2::HALO puncta placing them at the dense

projection as well (Figure 4B).

ELKS clusters in particular are tightly associated with CaV2 clusters (Figure 4C), and will

serve as a synaptic fiducial for CaV2 and CaV1 comparisons. To quantify the distribution of

CaV2 relative to ELKS, an axis was plotted between the two cluster centers, and localizations

collapsed onto this axis. CaV2 localizations along the axis to the ELKS cluster center were

measured and plotted as a histogram (Figure 4D). CaV2 clusters and ELKS clusters are similar

in diameter (297 nm vs 294 nm, respectively). However, only 62% of ELKS localizations are

within a CaV2 cluster (Figure 5A-C); the cluster centers are slightly offset (124nm). The offset

may be because the proteins domains overlap but are not coincident, or alternatively, these

proteins may be perfectly colocalized but differ in our plot due to the positions of the tags on the

proteins; specifically, CaV2 is tagged on the extracellular side, whereas ELKS is tagged at the

C-terminus on the intracellular side. In contrast to the highly concentrated subsynaptic

distribution of CaV2, CaV1 is broadly distributed as dispersed puncta (diameter 869 nm), mostly

separate from ELKS (262 nm from ELKS center to CaV1 center of mass) (Figure 5A,B), and

shares only a 24% overlap with ELKS or CaV2. CaV1 is largely excluded from CaV2 clusters,

but the clusters often abut one another (Figure 5C).

To confirm that the CaV1 localizations are presynaptic and not in the muscle or

epidermis, we generated a HALO-tagged CaV1 under the pan-neuronal synaptotagmin

promotor (P*snt-1*). This construct was inserted in the CaV1(Δns) strain, and fully rescued CaV1

function (Figure 1B-I). For convenience of genetic crosses, we used RIM binding-protein

(RIMBP/RIMB-1) as the dense projection marker. The overexpressed CaV1::HALO tends to be

more punctate than the endogenously tagged protein (Extended Data Figure 5A,B). However,

CaV1 is not colocalized with RIMBP and the distances between clusters are similar to the

endogenously tagged gene (center-to-center CaV1, endogenous tag to ELKS: 262nm;

transgene tag to RIMBP: 378 nm)(Extended Data Figure 5C). To demonstrate that CaV1

clusters are presynaptic, we assayed colocalization with a presynaptic marker. LIN7 / VELI is a

potential CaV1 scaffolding protein via PDZ domain interactions (Butz, Okamoto, & Südhof,

1998; Pym et al., 2017). We expressed LIN7 in acetylcholine motor neurons using the *unc-129*

promotor (Extended Data 5D). CaV1 and LIN7 clusters are closely associated, but not

associated with RIMBP (Extended Data Figure 5E). These data suggest that CaV1 is localized

at presynaptic boutons in a separate domain from CaV2 channels.

If CaV1 and RyR function in the same vesicle fusion pathway, they should be

colocalized (Piggott & Jin, 2021). RyR was tagged with HALO at the N-terminus of the neuronal

isoform (Extended Data Figure 4C) (Marques et al., 2020). RyR localizations were compared to

CaV1 localizations and a dense projection marker, in this case ELKS-Skylan-S (Figure 6A,B).

RyR localizations are diffusely distributed, and lateral to the dense projection (ELKS to RyR

center of mass distance: 393 nm; 25 synapses) (Figure 6C). RyR localizations are correlated

with CaV1 (RyR to CaV1 center of mass: 166 nm). Closer inspection of the images suggests

that RyR and CaV1 are often interdigitated in adjacent zones (Figure 6A). To characterize this

relationship, we performed a nearest neighbor analysis: 94% of RyR localizations are within

100nm of a CaV1 localization (Figure 6D). CaV1 exhibits a slightly broader distribution;

nevertheless, 82% of CaV1 localizations are within 100nm of a RyR channel. The spatial

correlation between CaV1 and RyR is consistent with the functional interactions observed by

physiology and electron microscopy at lateral sites, which is independent of CaV2.

Different UNC-13 isoforms are associated with CaV1 and CaV2

Vesicle docking and SNARE priming requires UNC-13 proteins. Null mutations in *unc-13*

nearly eliminate neurotransmission and vesicle docking in *C. elegans* (Hammarlund et al., 2007;

Richmond et al., 1999). We edited the *unc-13* locus at the common C-terminus to append

Skylan-S to all isoforms ('UNC-13all')(Extended Data 4D). Both CaV2 and CaV1 calcium

channels are tightly associated with UNC-13 (Figure 7A-C). Nearest-neighbor analysis indicates

that 99.7% of CaV2 channels are within 100 nm of an UNC-13 localization, and 89% of CaV1

channels are within 100 nm of an UNC-13 protein (Figure 7D).

The short isoform UNC-13S lacks the RIM-binding domain C2A, and has a diffuse

distribution at synapses (Hu et al., 2013; Weimer et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2013). UNC-13S was

edited at its unique N-terminus to include a Skylan-S tag. UNC-13S does not colocalize with

CaV2 (peak-to-peak 319 nm) but is associated with CaV1 (Figure 8A-C). Nearest neighbor

analysis indicates that 99% of UNC-13S localizations are within 100nm of a CaV1 channel

(Figure 8D), demonstrating that a specialized isoform of UNC-13 docking machinery is localized

to CaV1 calcium channels.

353
Discussion

Calcium channel classes tend to be associated with specific tissue functions: CaV2 (N,

P/Q, R-type) with synaptic transmission, and CaV1 (L-type) channels with muscle contraction.

Here, we demonstrate that both CaV2 and CaV1 channels drive vesicle fusion at *C. elegans*

neuromuscular junctions and mediate the release of different synaptic vesicle pools. In

electrophysiological assays, these pools are genetically separable and perfectly

complementary. CaV2 channels fuse vesicles near the dense projection, whereas CaV1

channels drive vesicle fusion at lateral sites in the same synapses, as revealed by flash-and-

freeze electron microscopy. Super-resolution imaging indicates that CaV2 channels and the

active zone proteins Neurexin, α-Liprin, ELKS, and RIMBP are in tight 300 nm clusters at the

dense projection, and CaV2 is associated with the long isoform of the docking and priming

protein UNC-13L. By contrast, CaV1 is dispersed in the synaptic varicosity and is associated

with the short isoform UNC-13S. Finally, vesicle fusion mediated by CaV1 is dependent on the

ryanodine receptor, presumably by regulating calcium release from internal stores (Figure 9).

368
CaV1 functions at synapses

In *C. elegans* there is only a single L-type channel, encoded by the *egl-19* gene, which

primarily plays a role in muscle (Lee et al., 1997). EGL-19 also generates calcium action

potentials in some neurons (Liu et al., 2018), and thereby can substitute for the absence of

voltage-gated sodium channels in nematodes (Liu et al., 2018). However, L-type channel

inhibitors do not affect evoked release in motor neurons, but rather cause a decrease in the mini

rate (Tong et al., 2017). We demonstrate that null mutations in *egl-19* have reduced rates of

tonic miniature currents, are localized presynaptically, and are involved in fusions of a specific

sub-pool of synaptic vesicles. Together these data demonstrate that this L-type channel is

acting to mediate fusion of synaptic vesicles.

Multiple calcium channels - coupling and voltage-dependence

Based on physiological data alone, it was possible that CaV1 and CaV2 channels could

function at separate synapses. However, the electron microscopy and fluorescence

experiments demonstrate that these channels are localized and functioning at the same

synaptic varicosity. Participation of multiple classes of calcium channels at the same synapse

may function to tune the dynamics of neurotransmission. Differences in voltage-dependent

activation, inactivation, clustering, or distance to docked vesicles could regulate synchronous or

asynchronous release (Dolphin, 2021).

One important difference is that CaV1 channels inactivate more slowly than CaV2

channels and can therefore more accurately report synaptic depolarization (Naranjo, Wen, &

Brehm, 2015; Yu, Yuan, Westenbroek, & Catterall, 2018). For example, CaV1.3 and CaV1.4

mediate vesicle fusion in sensory neurons, and slow inactivation of these channels allows these

synapses to accurately report the depolarization status of the synaptic bouton (McRory et al.,

2004; Platzer et al., 2000). The *C. elegans* CaV1 channel EGL-19 also exhibits slow inactivation

in vivo (Lainé, Ségor, Zhan, Bessereau, & Jospin, 2014), which likely contributes to tonic

miniature currents in a graded fashion (Liu et al., 2018). Continued calcium influx through CaV1

may act to terminate neurotransmission in motor neurons; calcium influx through EGL-19 is

specifically coupled to repolarization via SLO-2 BK potassium channels (Liu et al., 2014).

The most profound difference for CaV1 and CaV2 channels at *C. elegans*

neuromuscular junctions is that they are differentially localized: CaV2 is localized to a large

cluster at the dense projection, CaV1 is distributed broadly in the synapse. Vesicle pools can be

assayed as tightly coupled or loosely coupled to calcium channels based on sensitivity to EGTA

(Dittman & Ryan, 2019; Eggermann et al., 2012). At *C. elegans* neuromuscular junctions, UNC-

13L mediates tight coupling (EGTA-insensitive), whereas UNC-13S mediates loose coupling

(EGTA-sensitive) (Hu et al., 2013). Consistent with these findings, UNC-13L is tightly coupled to

CaV2 channels at dense projections. CaV1 channels are dispersed across the synapse and

localizations are frequently solitary. Nevertheless, CaV1 channels can drive fusion across a

broad distribution of docked vesicles, extending 500 nm from the dense projection.

The requirement of CaV1 for the fusion of this distal pool of vesicles is likely to be

mediated by the ryanodine receptor rather than by CaV1 directly. Calcium influx from CaV1

channels stimulates the release of calcium from the endoplasmic reticulum via the ryanodine

receptor (Bouchard, Pattarini, & Geiger, 2003). In skeletal muscle, CaV1.1 is physically coupled

to RyR1 and voltage-sensing by the calcium channel can gate the ryanodine receptor in the

absence of extracellular calcium (Schneider, 1994). In neurons, it is not clear if ryanodine

receptor activation is physically coupled to CaV1 activation. In *C. elegans*, there is likely no

direct-physical link between CaV1 and RyR since depolarizations in the absence of calcium do

not elicit synaptic vesicle release (Liu et al., 2005). Nevertheless, our data indicate that these

channels are linked spatially and functionally. Nearest neighbor analysis indicates that

essentially all RyR localizations are within 100 nm of a CaV1 channel, and the electrophysiology

and electron microscopy demonstrate that they mediate fusion of the same pool of vesicles.

In contrast to CaV1, CaV2-mediated release is not dependent on RyR but rather is

potentiated by RyR. In the presence of CaV1 blockers, the frequency of miniature currents is not

decreased by loss of the RyR, indicating that CaV2 reliably drives vesicle fusion on its own.

Rather, it is the amplitude of the miniature current that is reduced in the absence of RyR. The

simplest interpretation is that calcium sparks from internal stores are directly acting to fuse

synaptic vesicles (Llano et al., 2000); however, we cannot exclude that potentiation is caused

indirectly by increases in basal cytosolic calcium at synapses. It is possible that increases in

global calcium are acting to increase the probability of vesicle fusion (Galante & Marty, 2003).

The participation of the CaV1 L-type channel EGL-19 in synaptic transmission in

C. elegans is unusual but not unprecedented. CaV1 channels also play a primary role in

synaptic vesicle fusion at graded sensory synapses: CaV1.3 drives neurotransmission in hair

cells and CaV1.4 acts at ribbon synapses in photoreceptors (Schmitz & Witkovsky, 1997;

Zhang, Robertson, Yates, & Everett, 1999). CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 are also expressed broadly in

the brain and function in dendritic spines during synaptic plasticity (Hell et al., 1996, 1993;

Nanou & Catterall, 2018). Ryanodine receptors are also found at vertebrate presynapses

(Bouchard et al., 2003), and potentiate release at GABA neurons in the cerebellum (Galante &

Marty, 2003). CaV1.3 is physically coupled to and activates RyR2 to release calcium stores in

cultured hippocampal neurons (Kim et al., 2007). Recent work indicates that CaV1 channels

and RyR2 must be colocalized to function together at cellular junctions in the cell body of

hippocampal neurons (Sahu et al., 2019).

Moreover, cooperation between CaV2 and CaV1 channels at synapses may be

widespread. Immunofluorescence experiments indicate that both CaV1 and CaV2 channels are

localized together at the same neuromuscular junctions in the fly (Krick et al., 2021), and mouse

CaV1 and CaV2 channels function together at neuromuscular junctions (Katz, Ferro, Weisz, &

Uchitel, 1996; Urbano & Uchitel, 1999). Pharmacological experiments suggest that CaV1 and

CaV2 channels may function together in GABA neurons in the central nervous system

(Goswami, Bucurenciu, & Jonas, 2012; Rey et al., 2020). Vertebrate homologs of the two worm

UNC-13 isoforms, Munc13-1 and bMunc13-2, function together at the same synapses (Kawabe

et al., 2017) and suggests that this organization of synapses into separately regulated pools of

synaptic vesicles may be general.

Methods

Rescue of Lethal Calcium Channel Mutants

Lethal CaV1 / *egl-19(st556)* animals were rescued by Mos-mediated transgenes (*oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b::let-858 3'utr] II*. EG9034 'CaV1 (Δns)') or by extrachromosomal array (*oxEx2017[Pset-18::eGFP_egl-19b::let858utr ; Punc-122::GFP]*. EG8827 'CaV1(Δns) RyR(-)') (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2014, 2008). An *egl-19* minigene was constructed from cDNA and portions of gDNA containing small introns to aid expression. The first exons 1-4 are cDNA, followed by gDNA of exon 5-9, and cDNA of exon 10-17. The minigene was placed downstream from a muscle P*set-18* promoter and inserted directly into the genome by MosSCI (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008).

For the array rescue of CaV1 in muscle, *Pset-18::eGFP_egl-19b::let858utr ; Punc-122::GFP* was microinjected into the gonad of adult hermaphrodite *egl-19(n582) C. elegans.* Array positive animals were selected and crossed with *egl-19(st556)* (RW3563), which rescued lethality but lacked expression in the nervous system (EG8409). The resulting construct *oxTi1047* was crossed into CaV1(-) / *egl-19(st556)* animals (RW3563), which rescued lethality but lacked expression in the nervous system.

To demonstrate that phenotypes in this EG9034/EG8409 were due to loss of nervous system function, we expressed the *egl-19* minigene under the neuron-specific P*snt-1* promoter and inserted the construct into the genome by miniMos (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2014). The resulting *oxTi1049* construct was crossed into the muscle-rescued CaV1(Δns) animals (EG9034) to generate EG9145.

Lethal double mutants of CaV2-RyR (genotype: *unc-2 (lj1); unc-68 (e540)*) and CaV2-CaV1 (genotype: *unc-2 (lj1); egl-19 (st556)*) were rescued by an extrachromosomal array expressing SNAP::CaV2/*unc-2* cDNA in a minimum set of acetylcholine head neurons, using a previously described truncated *unc-17* promoter, referred to as 'P*unc-17*h' (Hammarlund et al., 2007; Topalidou et al., 2016). The extrachromosomal array *oxEx2096* was generated in the *unc-2(lj1)* strain AQ130 and crossed to RyR / *unc-68(e540)* or CaV1 / *egl-19(st556) oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b]* animals to generate double mutants. The resulting strains are lethal without the presence of *oxEx2096[Punc-17h*::SNAP::*unc-2]* and were used in electrophysiology experiments.

Behavioral Experiments

Animals were maintained under standard laboratory conditions. For behavioral experiments, 3

to 6 well-fed, young adult worms were transferred to a 10cm containing standard NGM. Each

assay was recorded for 5 minutes at 8 frames per second using the worm tracking software

WormLab (2019.1.1, MBF Bioscience). The trajectory of each worm was collected using

WormLab and imported into custom written R scripts for analysis. Worms that crawled out of the

field of view during the first 3 minutes were discarded from analysis. Worms whose speed was

lower than 100um/s were excluded as they may have been damaged during transfer, the

number of worms that fell in this category were few and not different between groups. A reversal

was defined as backwards locomotion that lasted more than 4 frames or 500ms.

Generation of CaV2::HALO by CRISPR/cas9

CaV2 was tagged by CRISPR-mediated insertion of HALO coding DNA into the *unc-2*

endogenous genomic locus. A DNA mix containing 1) PCR-generated DNA repair template that

includes the HALO tag with an embedded *Cbr-unc-119(+)* cassette flanked by loxP sites and

33bp homology arms to the cut site, 2) plasmid DNA that directs expression of Cas9 and an

sgRNA (Schwartz & Jorgensen, 2016), and 3) an inducible negative selection plasmid directing

expression of a histamine-gated chloride channel in neurons, pNP403 (Pokala, Liu, Gordus, &

Bargmann, 2014) was injected into the gonads of young adult EG6207 *unc-119(ed3)* animals

(Maduro & Pilgrim, 1995; Schwartz & Jorgensen, 2016; Zhang et al., 2015). Transgenic animals

were selected for expression of *unc-119(+)*, and extrachromosomal-array bearing animals were

selected against by addition of histamine to the media. The *loxP::Cbr-unc-119(+)::loxP* region of

the insertion was excised by injecting pDD104[Peft-3::Cre] and identifying *unc-119(-)* animals

(Dickinson, Ward, Reiner, & Goldstein, 2013). The modified locus introduces HALO-tag within

an unconserved region in the second extracellular loop of CaV2 encoding UNC-2a. The

resulting strain EG9823 (genotype: *unc-119*(ed3); *unc-2*(ox672[HALO])) was subsequently used

to generate CRISPR-mediated insertions of SkylanS tags.

Generation of Super-Resolution Tags by CRISPR/cas9

Tags for other genes, including *egl-19, unc-68, elks-1, nrx-1, rimb-1, elks-1, syd-2, syd-1, magi-*

1, ctn-1, unc-13, and *unc-13b* were constructed as previously described (Schwartz &

Jorgensen, 2016). A single plasmid containing sgRNA and the repair template, composed of

57bp homology arms and SkylanS (Zhang et al., 2015) containing a *loxP::Cbr-unc-119(+)::loxP*,

was appended by SapTrap plasmid assembly. Each assembled plasmid was mixed with

plasmids to express Cas9 in the germline, and HisCl- in neurons, and injected into the gonads

of young adult EG9823 animals. After selecting for *unc-119(+)* and selecting against

extrachromosomal arrays by histamine application, animals were injected with

pDD104[P*eft-3::Cre*], selected for excision of *loxP::Cbr-unc-119(+)::loxP*, and outcrossed once

before analysis by super-resolution microscopy.

Strains:

All strains were maintained at 22°C on standard NGM media seeded with OP50.

Name	Strain	Genotype
Wild-type	N2	*wild-type*
CaV2(-)	AQ130	*unc-2(lj1) X*
RyR(-)	CB540	*unc-68(e540) V*
CaV1(-) balanced	RW3563	*egl-19(st556) / unc-82(e1323) unc-24(e138am) IV*
EM Wildtype	EG5793	*oxSi91[Punc-17::ChIEF::mCherry::unc-54UTR unc-119(+)] II; unc-119(ed9) III*
EM CaV2(-)	EG6584	*oxSi91[Punc-17::ChIEF::mCherry::unc-54UTR unc-119(+)] II; unc-2(lj1) X*
EM CaV1(-)	EG6585	*oxSi91[Punc-17::ChIEF::mCherry::unc-54UTR unc-119(+)] II; egl-19(n582) IV*
EM CaV2(-) CaV1(-)	EG6586	*oxSi91[Punc-17::ChIEF::mCherry::unc-54UTR unc-119(+)] II; egl-19(n582) IV; unc-2(lj1) X.*
EM RyR(-)	EG6587	*oxSi91[Punc-17::ChIEF::mCherry::unc-54UTR unc-119(+)] II; unc-68(e540) V*
CaV1 muscle rescue array	EG8409	*egl-19(st556) IV; egl-19(oxEx2017[Pset-18::eGFP_egl-19b::let-858-utr ; ccGFP])*
CaV1(Δns) RyR(-)	EG8827	*egl-19(st556) IV; unc-68(e540) V; oxEx2017[Pset-18::eGFP::egl-19b::let-858-utr; Punc-122::GFP]*
CaV1(Δns)	EG9034	*oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b::let-858-3'utr] II; egl-19(st556)*
CaV2(Δnmj) RyR(-)	EG9405	*unc-68(e540) V; unc-2(lj1) X; oxEx2097[Punc-17h::SNAP::unc-2]*
CaV2(Δnmj) CaV1(Δns)	EG9406	*unc-2(lj1) oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b::let-858 3'utr] II; egl-19(st556)IV; unc-2(lj1) X; oxEx2097[Punc-17h::SNAP::unc-2]*
RIMBP-SKYS CaV1-HALO (+ns rescue) Giant Ankryin-SNAP	EG9418	*egl-19(st556) IV; ox704[skylan-s::rimb-1] III; oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b::let-858utr; HygroR(+)], oxTi1055[Psnt-1::HALO::egl-19b; NeoR(+)] II; unc-44(ox708[unc-44::snap]) IV*
CaV2-HALO Liprinα-SKYS	EG9425	*unc-119(ed3) III; unc-2(ox672[HALO]), syd-2(ox715[skylan-s(loxP::Cbr-unc-119(+)::loxP)]) X*
RIMBP-SKYS CaV2-HALO CaV1-SNAP	EG9475	*oxIs322[Cbr-unc-119(+) Pmyo-2::mCherry::histone Pmyo-3::mCherry::histone] II; unc-119(ed3) III; rimb-1(ox704[skylan-s]) III; egl-19(ox728[snap]) IV; unc-2(ox672[HALO::]) X*
αCatulin-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9476	*ctn-1d(ox727[skylan-s]) I; oxIs322[Cbr-unc-119(+) Pmyo-2::mCherry::histone Pmyo-3::mCherry::histone] II; unc-119(ed3) III; egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV; unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X*
NRX-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9588	*egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV; nrx-1(ox719[skylan-s]) V; unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X*
ELKS-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9617	*elks-1(ox747[skylan-s]), egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV; unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X*

ELKS-SKYS CaV1-SNAP RyR-HALO	EG9667	*egl-19(ox728[SNAP]), elks-1(ox747[skylan-s]) IV;* *unc-68(ox721[HALO]) V*
Giant Ankryin -SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9722	*unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X; egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV;* *unc-44(ox802[skylan-s]) IV*
UNC13all-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9723	*unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X; egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV;* *unc-13(ox748[skylan-s]) I*
UNC13short-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG9782	*unc-13(ox814[SKYLAN-S(loxP)]) I; unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X;* *egl-19(ox728[SNAP]) IV*
CaV2-HALO	EG9823	*unc-2(ox672[HALO::unc-2]) X; unc -119(ed3) III*
RIMBP-SKYS CaV1-HALO(+ns rescue) Lin7-SNAP	EG10094	*oxTi1055[Psnt-1::HALO::egl-19b; NeoR(+)]* *oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b::let858utr; HygroR(+)] II; unc-119(ed3)* *rimb-1(ox704[skylan-s]) III; egl-19(st556) IV ;* *oxEx2223[Punc-129::lin-7::SNAPf-tag]*
SYDE-SKYS CaV2-HALO	EG10095	*syd-1(ox723[skylan-s(loxP::Runc-119::loxP)]) II; unc-119(ed3) III;* *unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X*
MAGI-SKYS CaV1-SNAP CaV2-HALO	EG10096	*unc-119(ed3) III; egl-1-19(ox728[snap]),* *magi-1(ox755[skylan-s(loxP::Cbr-unc-119::loxP)]) IV;* *unc-2(ox672[HALO]) X*

Single Molecule Localization Microscopy

Super-resolution images were recorded with a Vutara SR 352 (Bruker Nanosurfaces, Inc.,

Madison, WI) commercial microscope based on single molecule localization biplane technology

(Juette et al., 2008; Mlodzianoski, Juette, Beane, & Bewersdorf, 2009). *C. elegans* expressing

HALO- tagged proteins (Encell, 2013; Mollwitz et al., 2012) were stained for two hours in 50µM

of HTL-JF646, and 50µM of STL-JF549cp, STL-JF549, or STL-JF549pa (Gift from Luke Lavis,

Janelia Farms; (Grimm et al., 2017, 2015)). Early super-resolution experiments were conducted

with JF549-STL or PA-JF549-STL, we later found that a new cell permeable variant cp-JF549-

STL improved labeling of channels. Animals were recovered 12 hours at 15degC on agar

seeded with OP50 bacteria. Live intact animals were anesthetized in 25mM NaN3 and regions

of their dorsal cords that were positioned directly against the cover glass and away from the

intestine were imaged with 640nm excitation power of 10kW/cm2, or 549nm excitation power of

5kW/cm2 SkylanS was imaged by 488nm excitation at 2kW/cm2, while photoactivated by

0.37mW/cm2 405nm light. Images were recorded using a 60x/1.2 NA Olympus water immersion

objective and Hamamatsu Flash4 V1 sCMOS, or 60x/1.3 NA Silicon immersion objective and

Orca Fusion BT SCMOS camera with gain set at 50 and frame rate at 50 Hz. Data was

analyzed by the Vutara SRX software (version 7.0.0rc39). Single molecules were identified by

their brightness frame by frame after removing the background. Identified molecules were

localized in three dimensions by fitting the raw data in a 12x12-pixel region of interest centered

around each particle in each plane with a 3D model function that was obtained from recorded

bead data sets. Fit results were filtered by a density based denoising algorithm to remove

isolated particles. The experimentally achieved image resolution of 40nm laterally (x,y) and 70

nm axially (in z) was determined by Fourier ring correlation. Localizations were rendered as

80nm.

SML Analysis

Localization data was exclusively collected from the dorsal nerve cord, which contains axons

and synapses but no neuronal soma. We performed a 3D reconstruction of C. elegans dorsal

nerve cord to inform region of interest selection from fluorescent images. The orientation of

dorsal cord synapses is predictable. Excitatory acetylcholine neurons and inhibitory GABA

neurons synapse onto muscle arms (Figure 3A). These connections are near the edges of the

cord bundle. Thus, the roll of the animal affects the orientation of the synapse; en face or axial.

For single molecule localization experiments, animals were rolled to ensure en face orientation

of synapses. Synapses that were in focus and en face were analyzed. The average size of a

synapse from the dorsal nerve cord is 579.7nm (SEM +/- 16nm). Thus, super-resolution

analysis regions of interest were narrowed to localizations within 700nm of the dense projection

marker. Localization position data was flattened in the z-dimension due to chromatic

aberrations. A script was used to calculate the center of each probe. To compare the distribution

of probe A to probe B, an angle between the two clusters centers was calculated. The

distribution distances were calculated by measuring the distance along the center-to-center axis

from a probe B to the center of cluster A, and cluster B. Nearest neighbor analysis was done

with knnsearch(). The 95% confidence interval of these distance measurements is considered

the diameter of the cluster. Distribution center and range or "diameter" were reported as (mean ,

95%CI). Proberuler available at https://github.com/bdmscience/proberuler

Electrophysiology

All electrophysiological experiments were completed with young adult hermaphrodites. The

animals were immobilized and dissected as previously described (Ping Liu, Chen, Mailler, &

Wang, 2017). Worm preparation was bathed with an extracellular solution containing (in mM)

NaCl 140, KCl 5, CaCl2 0.5, MgCl2 5, dextrose 11 and HEPES 5 (pH 7.2). Spontaneous

postsynaptic currents (PSCs) at neuromuscular junction were recorded at a holding voltage

of -- 60 mV with a pipette solution containing (in mM) KCl 120, KOH 20, Tris 5, CaCl2 0.25,

MgCl2 4, sucrose 36, EGTA 5, and Na2ATP 4 (pH 7.2). The classic whole-cell recordings were

performed with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and the

Clampex software (version 10, Molecular Devices). Data were filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at

10 kHz. Nemadipine-A (Sigma-Aldrich) was first dissolved in DMSO to make frozen stock

solution (10mM), and was diluted to a final concentration of 10 μM in extracellular solution

before use. Animals were treated for 5 minutes. The frequency and amplitude of minis were

quantified with MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft, Decatur, GA, USA). The amplitudes of evoked

currents were quantified using Clampfit (version 10, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA)

Quantal Modelling

A 5-term gaussian distribution was fit to cumulative frequency distribution of amplitudes per

condition in 1pA bins using MATLAB Curve Fitter (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). The terms

were centered on 9 +/- 2 pA intervals which represent the mode of amplitudes; a single

quantum. (del Castillo & Katz, 1954). The coefficient for the mean of the first gaussian curve

was set to the mode amplitude (9 pA+-2). Every coefficient for subsequent gaussian terms were

set to 9pA+-2 intervals, but the other coefficients were not constrained and allowed to find a

best fit. Area under each curve was calculated using MATLAB trapz().

Flash and Freeze Electron Microscopy

Electron microscopy was performed as previously described (Watanabe et al., 2013).

Freezing was performed on a Leica EMpact2 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). To stimulate

neurotransmission animals were exposed to blue (488nm) LED light for 20ms and frozen 50ms

later. 33nm serial sections were taken and imaged using a Hitachi H-7100 transmission electron

microscope equipped with a Gatan Orius digital camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA). Micrographs

were analyzed in ImageJ using a program for morphological analysis of synapses (Watanabe,

Davis, Kusick, Iwasa, & Jorgensen, 2020). Scripts available at:

https://github.com/shigekiwatanabe/SynapsEM

Dorsal Nerve Cord Reconstruction

Serial sections were cut at 100nm and imaged using JEOL JEM-1400 (JEOL, Peabody, MA)

then annotated and assembled using TrackEM2 in FIJI (Cardona et al., 2012). Specifically, a

wireframe was fit through each process that was suspected to be in the previous micrograph.

Then an outline of the plasma membrane of each process was drawn. We analyzed several

criteria to more specifically determine the specific process name and type: the morphology of

each process and compared to previously published data (J.G. White, E. Southgate, J.N.

Thomson, & S. Brenner, 1986), and the number of synapses. These data allow us to determine

the identity of a process with some certainty.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lexy von Diezmann for development of Proberuler. We thank Luke Lavis for providing

all Janelia Fluor dyes (JF Dyes). Wayne Davis suggested using the early expressing *set-18*

promoter to rescue CaV1. We thank the University of Utah Fluorescence Imaging Core for

instrumentation, and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) for maintaining and distributing

strains to the *C. elegans* community. We thank Patrick McEachern, Matthew Rich, Jessica

Vincent and M. Wayne Davis for their critical reviews of this manuscript. EMJ is an investigator

at Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

FUNDING

Erik Jorgensen

National Science Foundation NeuroNex 2014862

National Institute of Health NIH NINDS R01 NS034307

Sean Merrill

NIH F31 NRSA Predoctoral Fellows grant F31 NS084826

Zhao-wen Wang

National Institute of Health NIH R01 MH085927 and R01 NS109388

Andres Villu Maricq

National Institute of Health NIH R01 NS094421

Author Contributions

BDM SAM EMJ Wrote manuscript

SAM SW BDM ZWW EMJ Designed experiments

SAM SW EMJ Conceived of the project

PMC AVM Performed behavioral recording and analysis

PL Performed electrophysiology

BDM PL Analyzed electrophysiology data

SAM BDM Performed single molecule localization microscopy

BDM LVD designed Proberuler

BDM SAM Analyzed single molecule localization data

SAM AC Performed genetic crosses

SAM AC BDM cloned plasmids

SAM BDM Generated transgenic animals

SW Performed and analyzed time resolved electron microscopy

BDM AS MS annotated serial reconstruction

AS MS Performed serial reconstruction electron microscopy

Table 1: Super-resolution Alleles Generated for this study.

Allele	Gene	Common name	sgRNA	Repair template	Tag	Chr	Terminus
ox672	unc-2	CaV2	pSAM429 (ACAGACCGCCAACCAACCGG)	pSAM593	HALO	X	internal
ox704	rimb-1	RIMBP	TGGGTAAATCGATAAATCG	pSAM514	SKY-S	III	c
ox719	nrx-1	Neurexin	TTTTCTTTGCCACCCCATTC	pSAM534	SKY-S	V	c
ox721	unc-68	RyR	pSAM488 (gattagttagttccaagaaA)	pSAM593h	HALO	V	n
ox727	ctn-1d	α-Catulin	CATCCAATGTAATCGGC	pSAM598	SKY-S	III	c
ox728	egl-19	CaV1	CTTCTCATCCATTGCTC	pSAM604	SNAP	IV	internal
ox729	syd-1	SYDE	GCACTGCGATTCCGAGACAT	pSAM545	SKY-S	II	c
ox730	syd-2	α-Liprin	TTGCTGTAGCTCATatttct	pSAM549	SKY-S	X	n
ox747	elks-1	ELKS/CAST	gagcagtacaatATGGCACC	pSAM550	SKY-S	IV	n
ox748	unc-13all	UNC13all	gctttgaatccaacaaaaaa	pSAM613	SKY-S	I	c
ox803	magi-1	MAGI	aagATGACCGACAAAACAGC	pSAM552	SKY-S	IV	n
ox814	unc-13b	UNC13s	GGAACTGCAAGACTTGGCAC	pSAM684	SKY-S	I	n
ox802	unc-44	Giant Ankyrin	GCTGTTGGTCGTGCTCCCGA	pSAM546	SKY-S	IV	c
ox708	unc-44	Giant Ankyrin	GCTGTTGGTCGTGCTCCCGA	pSAM557	SNAP	IV	c

666 **Table 2: Common names and nomenclature used in this study**

Common name	Mammalian ortholog	*C. elegans* ortholog	*Drosophila* ortholog
CaV2	CaV2.1 CaV2.2 CaV2.3	UNC-2	Cacophony
CaV1/L-type	CaV1.1 CaV1.2 CaV1.3 CaV1.4	EGL-19	DmCa1D
ELKS	ELKS/CAST	ELKS-1	Bruchpilot
RyR	RYR1 RYR2 RYR3	UNC-68	dRyr
UNC13all	Munc13-1 ubMunc13-2 bMunc13-2 Munc13-3	UNC-13L, UNC-13S	Unc13A Unc13B
UNC-13S	bMunc13-2 Munc13-3	UNC-13S	UNC13B
RIMBP	RIMBP	RIMB-1	Rbp
Veli/LIN7	LIN7A	LIN-7	Lin-7
Giant Ankyrin	gAnkB	UNC-44L	AnkG

Neurexin/NRX	Neurexin 1	NRX-1	DNrx
α-Liprin	α-Liprin	SYD-2	Liprin-α
SYDE	SYDE	SYD-1	Syd-1
α-Catulin	α-Catulin	CTN-1	α-Cat
MAGI1	MAGI1	MAGI-1	Magi

668 **Table 3: Summary of strain nomenclature and alleles**

Common name	Usage	CaV2	CaV1	RyR	CaV2 rescue	CaV1 rescue
Wildtype N2 Bristol	ePhys EM behavior	wt	wt	wt	n/a	n/a
CaV1(rescue)	behavior SMLM	wt	*st556*	wt	n/a	*oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b]* *oxTi1049[Psnt-1::HALO::egl-19b]*
CaV1(Δns)	behavior ePhys	wt	*st556*	wt	n/a	*oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b]*
CaV1(Δns) RyR(-)	ePhys	wt	*st556*	e540	n/a	*oxEx2017[Pset-18::eGFP::egl-19b]*
CaV2(Δnmj) CaV1(Δns)	ePhys	*lj1*	*st556*	wt	oxEx2096[P*unc-17h::SNAP::unc-2*]	*oxTi1047[Pset-18::egl-19b]*
CaV2(Δnmj) RyR(-)	ePhys	*lj1*	wt	*e540*	oxEx2096[P*unc-17h::SNAP::unc-2*]	n/a
CaV2(-)	ePhys EM	*lj1*	wt	wt	no	n/a
RyR(-)	ePhys EM	wt	wt	*e540*	n/a	n/a

CaV1(-) CaV2(-)	EM	*lj1*	*n582*	wt	no	no
CaV1(-)	EM	wt	*n582*	wt	n/a	no

669
670

Figure 1. nervous system CaV1 is required for normal behavior and locomotion.
(A) Viability of calcium channel double mutants.
(B) Worm Tracks. Healthy animals were tracked for 5 minutes with a frame rate of 8 frames per
second. The path the animal created was plotted, starting point is indicated, asterisks represent
reversal events.
(C) Total average distance animals travelled per animal during the 5-minute interval by
genotype. Wild-type 71.2 ±9.5 mm. CaV1(Δns) 39.0 ±1.8 mm. CaV1(Δns) +rescue
74.4 ±10.5 mm.
(D) Average speed, including both forward and backward bouts but excluding pauses, for the
duration of the assay. Wild-type 294.9 um/s ± 19.4 um/s. CaV1(Δns) 133.8 um/s ± 6.3 um/s.
CaV1(Δns) +rescue 331.7 um/s ± 13.3 um/s.
(E) Average distance of forward locomotion between reversal events that animals travelled by
genotype. Wild-type 12.2 mm ±2.0 mm. CaV1(Δns) 17.7 mm ±1.7 mm. CaV1(Δns) +rescue
15.3 mm ± 4.0 mm.
(F) Average duration of forward run between reversal events. Wild-type 41.9 ± 8 s. CaV1(Δns)
14.1 ± 1.3 s. CaV1(Δns) +rescue 46.2 ± 10.5 s.
(G) Average number of reversal events per minute exhibited by animals by genotype.
Wild-type 1.9 ± 0.3 events. CaV1(Δns) 3.8 ± 0.5 events. CaV1(Δns) +rescue 1.3 ± 0.3 events.
(H) Average distance travelled in reverse per animal by genotype.
Wild-type 601.9 ± 65.1 um. CaV1(Δns) 413.2 ± 56.8 um. CaV1(Δns) +rescue 1026 ± 111.1 um.
(I) Average duration of reversal run. Wild-type 2.2 +- 0.1 s. CaV1(Δns) 2.4 +/- 0.2 s. CaV1(Δns)
+rescue 2.5 +- 0.2 s.
Wild-type n=11, CaV1(Δns) n=16, CaV1(Δns) +rescue n=13. Error bars reported in SEM.
Genotypes were blinded. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons was used to
calculate p-value. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.005$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0005$
Data available as Source Data 1

Figure 2. CaV2 and CaV1-RyR fuse different vesicle pools.

(A) Spontaneous miniature currents mediated by CaV1 and RyR are inhibited by nemadipine. Wild-type: 32.2 ± 2.1 minis/s n=8, wild type with nemadipine (10 µM): 18.4 ± 2.1 mini/s n=8. CaV1(Δns): 18.7 ± 3.1 minis/s n=9, with nemadipine 19.1 ±2.7 mini/s n=6. RyR(-): 20.9 ± 1.5 minis/s n=7, with nemadipine 20.7 ±1.3 mini/s n=7. CaV2(-): 18.7 ± 3.5 minis/s n=7, with nemadipine 1.7 ± 0.6 mini/s n=9. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test and one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons tests were used to calculate significance.

(B) The ryanodine receptor mediates large amplitude tonic miniature currents. Wild type 22.3 ± 1.9 pA n=8, wild type with nemadipine 22.6 ± 2.1 pA n=8. CaV2(-): 22.1 ± 3.5 pA n=7, CaV2(-) with nemadipine 24.1 ± 3.4 pA n=9. CaV1(Δns): 23.4 ± 3.1 pA n=9, CaV1(Δns) with nemadipine 29.4 ± .9 pA n=6. RyR(-): 15.5 ± 0.6 pA n=7, RyR(-) with nemadipine 14.0 ± 0.9 pA n=7. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test and Welch's t-test were used to calculate significance.

(C) Sample traces of spontaneous release in 0.5mM extracellular calcium.

(D) Cumulative distribution plot of miniature current amplitudes.

(E) Frequency distribution of mini amplitudes of wild-type and ryanodine mutants ± nemadipine, normalized to modal value.

(F) Quantal analysis of post-synaptic amplitudes from wild-type ± nemadipine. The cumulative plot of mini amplitudes was replotted into 1 pA bins and a single quantal mini amplitude distribution was fit with a gaussian at a modal value of 9 ±2 pA. The wild-type distribution of amplitudes was fit with a 5-term convolution of a single quanta (khaki-colored curve). 1-quanta (rust) accounted for 36.2% of fusions. 2-quanta (butterscotch) 28.03%. 3-quanta (violet) 7.64%. 4-quanta (green) 5.42%. 5 or more quanta (blue) 21.45%.

(G) Quantal analysis of post-synaptic amplitudes from RyR(-) ± nemadipine animals. A 5-term gaussian model was fit to the cumulative frequency of amplitudes 1pA bin size (peach). 1-quanta (rust) accounted for 67.7% of fusions. 2-quanta (butterscotch) 25.1%. 3-quanta (violet) 0.2%. 4-quanta (green) 5.4%. 5 or more quanta (blue) 3.4%.

For all recordings, Vm = -60 mV, 0.5 mM calcium. Error bars reported in SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0005. Data available as Source Data 2

Figure 2, Extended Data. The ryanodine receptor acts in parallel to CaV2.
(A) CaV2 and CaV1-RyR contribute additively to tonic release. Muscles were voltage-clamped,
and tonic miniature synaptic currents recorded in 0.5 mM extracellular calcium: wild type: 33 ±4
minis/s, n=8; CaV2(-): 19 ±4 minis/s n=7, CaV1(Δns): 21 ± 3 minis/s n=7, RyR(-): 20 ±4 minis/s
n=9. CaV2(Δnmj) RyR(-): 11 ± 2 minis/s n=7, CaV2(Δnmj) CaV1(Δns): 13 ±2 minis/s n=7,
CaV1(Δns) RyR(-): 20 ±2 minis/s n=7. Welch's t-test was used to calculate significance
(B) RyR is required for large-amplitude spontaneous events. At 0.5mM calcium, wild-type
23 ±2 pA n=8, and CaV2(-): 23 ±2 pA n=7, CaV1(Δns): 21 ±1 pA n=7, RyR(-): 16 ±2 pA n=9.
CaV2(Δnmj) RyR(-): (38 ±3 pA n=7, CaV2(Δnmj) CaV1(Δns): 26 ±3 pA n=7, and CaV1(Δns)
RyR(-): 17 ±2 pA n=7. Welch's t-test was used to calculate significance.
(C) Cumulative distribution plot of mutant amplitudes.
(D) Frequency distribution plot of voltage-gated calcium mutants amplitudes normalized to the
mode.
(E) Frequency distribution plot of ryanodine mutants with reduced amplitudes, normalized to
mode.
Error bars reported in SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0005

Data available as Source Data 2

Figure 3. CaV2 and CaV1-RyR act at distinct vesicle release sites.
(A-B) Docked vesicles (black arrows) are present near dense projections in electron micrograph
of unstimulated animals, but are reduced 50ms after channelrhodopsin stimulation.
(C) Interpretation of docking histograms. The number of synaptic vesicles that fuse due to
stimulation can be determined by comparing the number of synaptic vesicles docked within the
active zone without or with stimulation.
(D-H) Average number of docked vesicles per synapse at a given distance from the dense
projection with, or without light stimulation of channelrhodopsin.
(D) Wild-type animals exhibit fewer docked vesicles at all locations after stimulation. Wild type
(no stimulation), n=26 synapses. Wild type (stimulated) n = 24 synapses.
(E) The CaV2 null mutant *unc-2(lj1)* fuses vesicles greater than 33 nm from the dense projection
but not docked vesicles directly adjacent to the dense projection. CaV2(-) (no stimulation) n=14,
CaV2(-) (stimulated) n=27 synapses.
(F) The CaV1 hypomorphic mutant *egl-19(n582)* exhibits reduced fusions at all distances.
CaV1(-) (no stimulation) n=29 synapses. CaV1(-) (stimulated) n=16 synapses.
(G) The RyR mutant *unc-68(e540)* exhibits fusions adjacent to the dense projection, but lacks
fusions of lateral vesicles. RyR(-) (no stimulation) n=11 synapses. RyR(-) (stimulated) n=17
synapses.
(H) The double mutant CaV1 *egl-19(n582)* and CaV2 *unc-2(lj1)* lack fusion of all docked
vesicles after stimulation. CaV2(-) CaV1(-) (control) n=24 synapses. CaV2(-) CaV1(-)
(stimulated) n=17 synapses. Errors given in SEM, N = 2 animals for each condition.
Micrographs were segmented blind to treatment and genotype.
Data available as Source Data 3

Figure 4. Dorsal nerve cord reconstruction and candidate dense projection markers.
(A) 20-micron reconstruction of the wild-type *C. elegans* dorsal nerve cord. Dense projections are
highlighted to compare to superresolution images below. Scale bar 1um, section thickness 100 nm.
(B) CaV2 colocalizes with cytomatrix active zone proteins. Super-resolution images of Skylan-S-
tagged cytomatrix protein homologs in *C. elegans* NRX-1, RIMB-1, SYD-2, SYD-1, MAGI-1, CTN-1,
ELKS-1 compared to CaV2-HALO in the same animal.
(C) ELKS and CaV2 clusters form approximately 1 / um along the dorsal nerve cord from super-
resolution image analysis. Clusters were quantified for over dorsal nerve cords with an average
length of 17.8um, N= 8 animals
(D) Localization plot tool (Proberuler) example diagram of a single ELKS (cyan) and CaV2
(magenta) synapse. Cluster centers are marked by solid lines.
Data available as Source Data 5
780

Figure 4, Extended Data. Tagging sites for calcium channels and UNC-13.
(A-C), Tagging strategies and sites used for CRISPR/Cas9 tagging of the endogenous loci for
CaV2, CaV1, and RyR. Regions with low conservation were targeted for insertion of tags into
the genomic locus of each gene, *unc-2*, *egl-19*, and *unc-68*, respectively.
(D) Tagging strategy at the endogenous locus of *unc-13* CRISPR / Cas9. The C-terminal tag
labels all isoforms of UNC-13. The N-terminal tag labels the UNC-13S isoform. In addition, it will
label a rare transcript UNC-13-LMR (~2% of transcripts), that includes the C2A domain,
sequences upstream of UNC-13S, and all sequences included in UNC-13S (wormbase.org
version ws284).
790

Figure 5. CaV1 is excluded from the dense projection, and dispersed in the active zone.
(A, B) Localization microscopy plots of the dorsal nerve cord. ELKS is tagged with Skylan-S.
The CaV2-HALO ligand is HTL-JF646, and the CaV1-SNAP tag ligand is STL-JF549pa.
(A) CaV2 (magenta) colocalizes with dense projections labeled with ELKS (cyan). CaV1-SNAP
(yellow) is largely excluded from the dense projection; and scattered in the synaptic varicosity.
Scale bar = 1um.
(B) Distributions of CaV2 and CaV1 in a synapse. Dense projections labeled with ELKS (cyan)
colocalize with CaV2 (magenta), but not CaV1 (yellow). Scale bar = 250nm.
(C) Quantitation of protein localizations from multiple synapses. The center of mass of
localizations was calculated from 2D plots. An axis between the centers was fixed and all
localizations collapsed onto the axis. Localizations were combined into 33 nm bins, to match the
electron microscopy analysis, and plotted as the fraction of total localizations. Data were
collected and combined from n=26 synapses, N=5 animals. Data available as Source Data 5
804

Figure 5, Extended Data. Tagged CaV1 expressed in neurons forms clusters at presynaptic boutons.

Comparison of endogenously-tagged CaV1 and neuronally expressed rescue of CaV1.

(A) Endogenous CaV1 tag: Localization microscopy images of dorsal nerve cord with CaV1-SNAP stained with STL-JF549cp (yellow), CaV2-HALO stained with HTL-JF646 (purple), and Giant Ankyrin-SkylanS (red). scale bar = 1um.

(B) Exogenous CaV1 in the CaV1(Δns) strain. In the CaV1(Δns) background, CaV1 was rescued in neurons using a single copy transgene insertion of P*snt-1* promoter driving CaV1-HALO in neurons. Localization microscopy images of dorsal nerve cord. Dorsal cord of animals labelled with neuronal CaV1-HALO stained with HTL-JF646 (purple), Giant Ankyrin-SNAP stained with TMR-Star (red) and RIMBP-SkylanS (cyan). scale bar = 1um.

(C) Neuronal CaV1 colocalizes with Veli/LIN7 expressed in acetylcholine neurons. In the CaV1(Δns) background, CaV1 was rescued in neurons using a single copy transgene insertion of P*snt-1* promoter driving CaV1-HALO in neurons and stained with HTL-JF646. Veli / LIN7 was tagged with SNAP and stained with STL-JF549cp, and was expressed in acetylcholine neurons using the *unc-129* promotor as an extrachromosomal array. scale bar = 1um. Localization microscopy images of dorsal nerve cord. Dense projections are marked by RIMBP-SkylanS.

(D) Single synapse analysis of Veli / LIN7, CaV1, RIMBP. Dense projections are marked by RIMBP-SkylanS. In the CaV1(Δns) background, CaV1 was rescued in neurons using a single copy transgene insertion of the P*snt-1* promoter driving CaV1-HALO, and stained with HTL-JF646. Veli/ LIN7 was expressed in acetylcholine neurons using the P*unc-129* promotor as an extrachromosomal array, and tagged with SNAP and stained with STL-JF549cp and. Scale bar = 250nm.

(E-G) Cumulative distribution plot of P*snt-1*:CaV1-HALO to RIMBP-SkylanS center, and Veli / LIN7 tagged with SNAP to RIMBP-SkylanS center measured from synaptic regions. n=24 synapses, N=5 animals. Data available as Source Data 5

Figure 6. CaV1 and RyR are adjacent.

(A) CaV1 and RyR are adjacent along the dorsal nerve cord, lateral to the dense projection. Animals and HTL-JF646. Scale bar = 1um. CaV1-SNAP is labelled with STL-JF549pa, RyR-HALO is labelled with HTL-JF646, and dense projections are labeled by ELKS-Skylan-S.

(B) RyR and CaV1 colocalize within synapses. Labelling as in 'A'. Scale bar = 250nm.

(C) Distances from CaV1-SNAP localizations to center of ELKS-Skylan-S cluster versus ELKS localizations to ELKS center. Distances from RyR-HALO localizations to center of ELKS-Skylan-S cluster versus ELKS localizations to ELKS center. Distances from CaV1-SNAP localizations to the center of the RyR-HALO cluster versus RyR-HALO localizations to the RyR center. N=5 animals, n=25 synapses.

(D) RyR and CaV1 are adjacent. Left, nearest neighbor analysis was performed on CaV1-SNAP localizations to find the nearest RyR-HALO localization. Right, nearest neighbor distances from RyR-HALO to CaV1-SNAP were calculated. n=5 animals, 25 synapses.

Data available as Source Data 5

Figure 7. UNC-13 isoforms colocalize with CaV2 and CaV1 calcium channels.
Localization microscopy identifies CaV1 and CaV2 associated with 'UNC13all', which labels a C-terminal site common to all UNC-13 isoforms.
(A) UNC-13all colocalizes with CaV1 and CaV2 along the dorsal nerve cord. Proteins are labelled with CaV2-HALO stained with HTL-JF646, CaV1-SNAP stained with STL-JF549, and UNC13all-Skylan-S.
(B) UNC-13all colocalizes with CaV1 and CaV2 within synapses. Staining as in 'A'.
(C) Left, distances from CaV1-SNAP localizations to the center of the CaV2-HALO cluster, and CaV2-HALO localizations to the center of the CaV2-HALO cluster. Middle, distances from UNC13all-Skylan-S localizations to the center of the CaV2-HALO cluster. Right, distances from UNC13all-Skylan-S localizations to the center of the CaV1-SNAP cluster, n=5 animals, 25 synapses.
(D) Left, nearest-neighbor distances between UNC13all and CaV1 and CaV2 localizations. Right, nearest neighbor analysis between UNC13all-SkylanS and CaV2-HALO or CaV1-SNAP measured from synaptic regions, n=5 animals, 25 synapses. Data available as Source Data 5

Figure 8. UNC-13S is associated with CaV1 calcium channels.

Localization microscopy identifies CaV1 associated with UNC13s which labels a n-terminal site common to a short isoform.

(A) UNC-13S localizes with CaV1 along the dorsal cord, but not with CaV2. The endogenous protein tags CaV2-HALO was stained with HTL-JF646 , CaV1-SNAP with STL-JF549, and imaged with Skylan-S-UNC13S using single-molecule localization microscopy.

(B) UNC-13S localizes with CaV1 within synapses. Strain was labelled as in 'A'.

(C) Left, distances from CaV1-SNAP localizations to the center of the CaV2-HALO cluster compared to CaV2-HALO localizations to their own center. Middle, distances from Skylan-UNC13S localizations to the center of the CaV2-HALO cluster. Right, distances from Skylan-UNC13S localizations to the center of the CaV1-SNAP cluster. N=5 animals, 25 synapses

(D) Nearest-neighbor distances of CaV1-SNAP to Skylan-UNC13S localizations. Nearest neighbor analysis of Skylan-UNC13S to CaV1-SNAP measured from synaptic regions. N=5 animals, 25 synapses. Data available as Source Data 5

Figure 9. Two independent release sites for synaptic vesicles.
Voltage-gated calcium channels localize to two distinct zones at the neuromuscular synapse of *C.*
elegans. The CaV2 channel localizes to the dense projection along with ELKS, RIMBP, Neurexin,
Liprin-alpha, SYDE, MAGI1, alpha-Catulin and the SNARE priming protein UNC-13L. CaV2 is
required to fuse synaptic vesicles are docked directly adjacent to the dense projection. The second
channel CaV1 is at a lateral site centered 300nm from the dense projection but can span hundreds
of nanometers. CaV1 requires coupling to RyR to synaptic vesicles at the lateral site. These near
and far pools utilize specific release machinery. Most UNC-13all localizes to the dense projection.
However, some UNC-13 localizes with CaV1 at the lateral site. Isoform specific tagging shows UNC-
13S localized with lateral site.

References

References

Ackermann, F., Waites, C. L., & Garner, C. C. (2015). Presynaptic active zones in invertebrates

and vertebrates. *EMBO Reports*, *16*(8). https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201540434

Augustin, I., Rosenmund, C., Südhof, T. C., & Brose, N. (1999). Munc13-1 is essential for fusion

competence of glutamatergic synaptic vesicles. *Nature*, *400*(6743), 457–461.

https://doi.org/10.1038/22768

Basu, J., Betz, A., Brose, N., & Rosenmund, C. (2007). Munc13-1 C1 Domain Activation Lowers

the Energy Barrier for Synaptic Vesicle Fusion. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *27*(5), 1200.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4908-06.2007

Betz, A., Thakur, P., Junge, H. J., Ashery, U., Rhee, J.-S., Scheuss, V., … Brose, N. (2001).

Functional Interaction of the Active Zone Proteins Munc13-1 and RIM1 in Synaptic Vesicle

Priming. *Neuron*, *30*(1), 183–196. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-

6273(01)00272-0

Blaustein, M. P. (1988). Calcium transport and buffering in neurons. *Trends in Neurosciences*,

11(10), 438–443. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(88)90195-6

Böhme, M. A., Beis, C., Reddy-Alla, S., Reynolds, E., Mampell, M. M., Grasskamp, A. T., …

Sigrist, S. J. (2016). Active zone scaffolds differentially accumulate Unc13 isoforms to tune

Ca2+ channel–vesicle coupling. *Nature Neuroscience*, *19*(10), 1311–1320.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4364

Bouchard, R., Pattarini, R., & Geiger, J. D. (2003). Presence and functional significance of

presynaptic ryanodine receptors. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *69*(6), 391–418.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(03)00053-4

Butz, S., Okamoto, M., & Südhof, T. C. (1998). A Tripartite Protein Complex with the Potential to

Couple Synaptic Vesicle Exocytosis to Cell Adhesion in Brain. *Cell*, *94*(6), 773–782.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81736-5

Cardona, A., Saalfeld, S., Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Preibisch, S., Longair, M., …

Douglas, R. J. (2012). TrakEM2 Software for Neural Circuit Reconstruction. *PLoS ONE*,

7(6), e38011. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038011

Catterall, W. A., Perez-Reyes, E., Snutch, T. P., & Striessnig, J. (2005). International Union of

Pharmacology. XLVIII. Nomenclature and Structure-Function Relationships of Voltage-

Gated Calcium Channels. *Pharmacological Reviews*, *57*(4), 411.

https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.57.4.5

Chen, B., Liu, P., Hujber, E. J., Li, Y., Jorgensen, E. M., & Wang, Z.-W. (2017). AIP limits

neurotransmitter release by inhibiting calcium bursts from the ryanodine receptor. *Nature

Communications*, *8*(1), 1380. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01704-z

Courtney, N. A., Briguglio, J. S., Bradberry, M. M., Greer, C., & Chapman, E. R. (2018).

Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons Utilize Different Ca(2+) Sensors and Sources to

Regulate Spontaneous Release. *Neuron*, *98*(5), 977-991.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.022

del Castillo, J., & Katz, B. (1954). Quantal components of the end-plate potential. *The Journal of*

Physiology, *124*(3), 560.

Dickinson, D. J., Ward, J. D., Reiner, D. J., & Goldstein, B. (2013). Engineering the

Caenorhabditis elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. *Nature*

Methods, *10*(10), 1028–1034. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2641

Dittman, J. S. (2019). Unc13: a multifunctional synaptic marvel. *Current Opinion in*

Neurobiology, *57*, 17–25. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.12.011

Dittman, J. S., & Ryan, T. A. (2019). The control of release probability at nerve terminals. *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience, *20*(3), 177–186. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0111-3

Dolphin, A. C. (2021). Functions of Presynaptic Voltage-gated Calcium Channels. *Function*,

2(1), zqaa027. https://doi.org/10.1093/function/zqaa027

Dolphin, A. C., & Lee, A. (2020). Presynaptic calcium channels: specialized control of synaptic

neurotransmitter release. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *21*(4), 213–229.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0278-2

Eggermann, E., Bucurenciu, I., Goswami, S. P., & Jonas, P. (2012). Nanodomain coupling

between Ca2+ channels and sensors of exocytosis at fast mammalian synapses. *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience, *13*(1), 7–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3125

Eguchi, K., Montanaro, J., le Monnier, E., & Shigemoto, R. (2022). The Number and Distinct

Clustering Patterns of Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels in Nerve Terminals. *Frontiers in*

Neuroanatomy, *16*. Retrieved from

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnana.2022.846615

Encell, L. P. (2013). Development of a Dehalogenase-Based Protein Fusion Tag Capable of

Rapid, Selective and Covalent Attachment to Customizable Ligands. *Current Chemical*

Genomics, *6*(1), 55–71. https://doi.org/10.2174/1875397301206010055

Fedchyshyn, M. J., & Wang, L.-Y. (2005). Developmental Transformation of the Release

Modality at the Calyx of Held Synapse. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *25*(16), 4131.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0350-05.2005

Frøkjær-Jensen, C., Davis, M. W., Sarov, M., Taylor, J., Flibotte, S., LaBella, M., … Jorgensen,

E. M. (2014). Random and targeted transgene insertion in Caenorhabditis elegans using a

modified Mos1 transposon. *Nature Methods*, *11*(5), 529–534.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2889

Frøkjær-Jensen, C., Wayne Davis, M., Hopkins, C. E., Newman, B. J., Thummel, J. M., Olesen,

S.-P., … Jorgensen, E. M. (2008). Single-copy insertion of transgenes in Caenorhabditis

elegans. *Nature Genetics*, *40*(11), 1375–1383. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.248

Galante, M., & Marty, A. (2003). Presynaptic Ryanodine-Sensitive Calcium Stores Contribute to

Evoked Neurotransmitter Release at the Basket Cell-Purkinje Cell Synapse. *The Journal of*

Neuroscience, *23*(35), 11229. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-35-11229.2003

Geppert, M., Goda, Y., Hammer, R. E., Li, C., Rosahl, T. W., Stevens, C. F., & Südhof, T. C.

(1994). Synaptotagmin I: A major Ca2+ sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse.

Cell, *79*(4), 717–727. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90556-8

Goswami, S. P., Bucurenciu, I., & Jonas, P. (2012). Miniature IPSCs in Hippocampal Granule

Cells Are Triggered by Voltage-Gated Ca²⁺ Channels via

Microdomain Coupling. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*(41), 14294.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6104-11.2012

Gracheva, E. O., Hadwiger, G., Nonet, M. L., & Richmond, J. E. (2008). Direct interactions

between C. elegans RAB-3 and Rim provide a mechanism to target vesicles to the

presynaptic density. *Neuroscience Letters*, *444*(2), 137–142.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2008.08.026

Grimm, J. B., Brown, T. A., English, B. P., Lionnet, T., & Lavis, L. D. (2017). *Synthesis of*

Janelia Fluor HaloTag and SNAP-Tag Ligands and Their Use in Cellular Imaging

Experiments. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7265-4_15

Grimm, J. B., English, B. P., Chen, J., Slaughter, J. P., Zhang, Z., Revyakin, A., … Lavis, L. D.

(2015). A general method to improve fluorophores for live-cell and single-molecule

microscopy. *Nature Methods*, *12*(3), 244–250. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3256

Guzikowski, N. J., & Kavalali, E. T. (2021). Nano-Organization at the Synapse: Segregation of

Distinct Forms of Neurotransmission. *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience*, *13*, 796498.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsyn.2021.796498

Hammarlund, M., Palfreyman, M. T., Watanabe, S., Olsen, S., & Jorgensen, E. M. (2007). Open

Syntaxin Docks Synaptic Vesicles. *PLOS Biology*, *5*(8), e198-. Retrieved from

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050198

Hell, J. W., Westenbroek, R. E., Breeze, L. J., Wang, K. K., Chavkin, C., & Catterall, W. A.

(1996). N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-induced proteolytic conversion of postsynaptic class

C L-type calcium channels in hippocampal neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences, *93*(8), 3362–3367. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.8.3362

Hell, J. W., Westenbroek, R. E., Warner, C., Ahlijanian, M. K., Prystay, W., Gilbert, M. M., …

Catterall, W. A. (1993). Identification and differential subcellular localization of the neuronal

class C and class D L-type calcium channel alpha 1 subunits. *Journal of Cell Biology*,

123(4), 949–962. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.123.4.949

Hu, Z., Tong, X.-J., & Kaplan, J. M. (2013). UNC-13L, UNC-13S, and Tomosyn form a protein

code for fast and slow neurotransmitter release in Caenorhabditis elegans. *ELife*, *2*,

e00967. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00967

Imig, C., Min, S.-W., Krinner, S., Arancillo, M., Rosenmund, C., Südhof, T. C., … Cooper, B. H.

(2014). The Morphological and Molecular Nature of Synaptic Vesicle Priming at

Presynaptic Active Zones. *Neuron*, *84*(2), 416–431.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.009

J.G. White, E. Southgate, J.N. Thomson, & S. Brenner. (1986). The structure of the nervous

system of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, *314*(1165), 1–340.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1986.0056

Juette, M. F., Gould, T. J., Lessard, M. D., Mlodzianoski, M. J., Nagpure, B. S., Bennett, B. T.,

… Bewersdorf, J. (2008). Three-dimensional sub–100 nm resolution fluorescence

microscopy of thick samples. *Nature Methods*, *5*(6), 527–529.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1211

Katz, E., Ferro, P. A., Weisz, G., & Uchitel, O. D. (1996). Calcium channels involved in synaptic

transmission at the mature and regenerating mouse neuromuscular junction. *The Journal*

of Physiology, *497*(3), 687–697. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021800

Kawabe, H., Mitkovski, M., Kaeser, P. S., Hirrlinger, J., Opazo, F., Nestvogel, D., … Brose, N.

(2017). ELKS1 localizes the synaptic vesicle priming protein bMunc13-2 to a specific

subset of active zones. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *216*(4), 1143–1161.

https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201606086

Kim, S., Yun, H.-M., Baik, J.-H., Chung, K. C., Nah, S.-Y., & Rhim, H. (2007). Functional

Interaction of Neuronal Cav1.3 L-type Calcium Channel with Ryanodine Receptor Type 2 in

the Rat Hippocampus. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *282*(45), 32877–32889.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M701418200

Kurshan, P. T., Merrill, S. A., Dong, Y., Ding, C., Hammarlund, M., Bai, J., … Shen, K. (2018).

α-Neurexin and Frizzled Mediate Parallel Synapse Assembly Pathways

Antagonized by Receptor Endocytosis. *Neuron*, *100*(1), 150-166.e4.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.007

Kusick, G. F., Chin, M., Raychaudhuri, S., Lippmann, K., Adula, K. P., Hujber, E. J., …

Watanabe, S. (2020). Synaptic vesicles transiently dock to refill release sites. *Nature*

Neuroscience, *23*(11), 1329–1338. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00716-1

Kwok, T. C. Y., Ricker, N., Fraser, R., Chan, A. W., Burns, A., Stanley, E. F., … Roy, P. J.

(2006). A small-molecule screen in C. elegans yields a new calcium channel antagonist.

Nature, *441*(7089), 91–95. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04657

Lai, Y., Choi, U. B., Leitz, J., Rhee, H. J., Lee, C., Altas, B., … Brunger, A. T. (2017). Molecular

Mechanisms of Synaptic Vesicle Priming by Munc13 and Munc18. *Neuron*, *95*(3), 591–

607.e10. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.004

Lainé, V., Ségor, J. R., Zhan, H., Bessereau, J.-L., & Jospin, M. (2014). Hyperactivation of L-

type voltage-gated Ca2+ channels in Caenorhabditis elegans striated muscle can result

from point mutations in the IS6 or the IIIS4 segment of the α1 subunit. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *217*(21), 3805–3814. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.106732

Lee, R. Y., Lobel, L., Hengartner, M., Horvitz, H. R., & Avery, L. (1997). Mutations in the alpha1

subunit of an L-type voltage-activated Ca2+ channel cause myotonia in Caenorhabditis

elegans. *The EMBO Journal*, *16*(20), 6066–6076. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.20.6066

Littleton, J. T., Stern, M., Schulze, K., Perin, M., & Bellen, H. J. (1993). Mutational analysis of

Drosophila synaptotagmin demonstrates its essential role in Ca2+-activated

neurotransmitter release. *Cell*, *74*(6), 1125–1134.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90733-7

Liu, H., Li, L., Nedelcu, D., Hall, Q., Zhou, L., Wang, W., … Hu, Z. (2019). Heterodimerization of

UNC-13/RIM regulates synaptic vesicle release probability but not priming in C. elegans.

ELife, *8*, e40585. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40585

Liu, H., Li, L., Wang, W., Gong, J., Yang, X., & Hu, Z. (2018). Spontaneous Vesicle Fusion Is

Differentially Regulated at Cholinergic and GABAergic Synapses. *Cell Reports*, *22*(9),

2334–2345. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.023

Liu, P., Ge, Q., Chen, B., Salkoff, L., Kotlikoff, M. I., & Wang, Z.-W. (2011). Genetic dissection of

ion currents underlying all-or-none action potentials in C. elegans body-wall muscle cells.

The Journal of Physiology, *589*(1), 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2010.200683

Liu, Ping, Chen, B., Mailler, R., & Wang, Z.-W. (2017). Antidromic-rectifying gap junctions

amplify chemical transmission at functionally mixed electrical-chemical synapses. *Nature*

Communications, *8*(1), 14818. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14818

Liu, Ping, Chen, B., & Wang, Z.-W. (2014). SLO-2 potassium channel is an important regulator

of neurotransmitter release in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Communications*, *5*(1),

5155. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6155

Liu, Q., Chen, B., Yankova, M., Morest, D. K., Maryon, E., Hand, A. R., … Wang, Z.-W. (2005).

Presynaptic ryanodine receptors are required for normal quantal size at the Caenorhabditis

elegans neuromuscular junction. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the*

Society for Neuroscience, *25*(29), 6745–6754. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1730-

05.2005

Liu, Q., Hollopeter, G., & Jorgensen, E. M. (2009). Graded synaptic transmission at the

Caenorhabditis elegans neuromuscular junction. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *106*(26), 10823. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903570106

Liu, Q., Kidd, P. B., Dobosiewicz, M., & Bargmann, C. I. (2018). C. elegans AWA Olfactory
Neurons Fire Calcium-Mediated All-or-None Action Potentials. *Cell*, *175*(1), 57-70.e17.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.018

Llano, I., González, J., Caputo, C., Lai, F. A., Blayney, L. M., Tan, Y. P., & Marty, A. (2000).
Presynaptic calcium stores underlie large-amplitude miniature IPSCs and spontaneous
calcium transients. *Nature Neuroscience*, *3*(12), 1256–1265. https://doi.org/10.1038/81781

Los, G. v, Encell, L. P., McDougall, M. G., Hartzell, D. D., Karassina, N., Zimprich, C., … Wood,
K. v. (2008). HaloTag: A Novel Protein Labeling Technology for Cell Imaging and Protein
Analysis. *ACS Chemical Biology*, *3*(6), 373–382. https://doi.org/10.1021/cb800025k

Lu, J., Machius, M., Dulubova, I., Dai, H., Südhof, T. C., Tomchick, D. R., & Rizo, J. (2006).
Structural Basis for a Munc13–1 Homodimer to Munc13–1/RIM Heterodimer Switch. *PLOS*
Biology, *4*(7), e192-. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040192

Maduro, M., & Pilgrim, D. (1995). Identification and cloning of unc-119, a gene expressed in the
Caenorhabditis elegans nervous system. *Genetics*, *141*(3), 977–988.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/141.3.977

Marques, F., Thapliyal, S., Javer, A., Shrestha, P., Brown, A. E. X., & Glauser, D. A. (2020).
Tissue-specific isoforms of the single C. elegans Ryanodine receptor gene unc-68 control
specific functions. *PLOS Genetics*, *16*(10), e1009102.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009102

McRory, J. E., Hamid, J., Doering, C. J., Garcia, E., Parker, R., Hamming, K., … Snutch, T. P.
(2004). The CACNA1F Gene Encodes an L-Type Calcium Channel
with Unique Biophysical Properties and Tissue Distribution. *The Journal of Neuroscience*,
24(7), 1707. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4846-03.2004

Michelassi, F., Liu, H., Hu, Z., & Dittman, J. S. (2017). A C1-C2 Module in Munc13 Inhibits
Calcium-Dependent Neurotransmitter Release. *Neuron*, *95*(3), 577-590.e5.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.015

Mlodzianoski, M. J., Juette, M. F., Beane, G. L., & Bewersdorf, J. (2009). Experimental
characterization of 3D localization techniques for particle-tracking and super-resolution
microscopy. *Opt. Express*, *17*(10), 8264–8277. https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.17.008264

Mollwitz, B., Brunk, E., Schmitt, S., Pojer, F., Bannwarth, M., Schiltz, M., … Johnsson, K.
(2012). Directed Evolution of the Suicide Protein O^6-Alkylguanine-DNA Alkyltransferase
for Increased Reactivity Results in an Alkylated Protein with Exceptional Stability.
Biochemistry, *51*(5), 986–994. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi2016537

Nakamura, Y., Harada, H., Kamasawa, N., Matsui, K., Rothman, J. S., Shigemoto, R., …
Takahashi, T. (2015). Nanoscale Distribution of Presynaptic Ca2+ Channels and Its Impact
on Vesicular Release during Development. *Neuron*, *85*(1), 145–158.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.11.019

Nanou, E., & Catterall, W. A. (2018). Calcium Channels, Synaptic Plasticity, and Neuropsychiatric Disease. *Neuron*, *98*(3), 466–481. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.017

Naranjo, D., Wen, H., & Brehm, P. (2015). Zebrafish CaV2.1 Calcium Channels Are Tailored for Fast Synchronous Neuromuscular Transmission. *Biophysical Journal*, *108*(3), 578–584. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2014.11.3484

Neher, E., & Brose, N. (2018). Dynamically Primed Synaptic Vesicle States: Key to Understand Synaptic Short-Term Plasticity. *Neuron*, *100*(6), 1283–1291. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.024

Nowycky, M. C., Fox, A. P., & Tsien, R. W. (1985). Three types of neuronal calcium channel with different calcium agonist sensitivity. *Nature*, *316*(6027), 440–443. https://doi.org/10.1038/316440a0

Özçete, Ö. D., & Moser, T. (2021). A sensory cell diversifies its output by varying Ca2+ influx-release coupling among active zones. *The EMBO Journal*, *40*(5), e106010. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020106010

Piggott, C. A., & Jin, Y. (2021). Junctophilins: Key Membrane Tethers in Muscles and Neurons. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, *14*, 141. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnmol.2021.709390

Platzer, J., Engel, J., Schrott-Fischer, A., Stephan, K., Bova, S., Chen, H., … Striessnig, J. (2000). Congenital Deafness and Sinoatrial Node Dysfunction in Mice Lacking Class D L-Type Ca2+ Channels. *Cell*, *102*(1), 89–97. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00013-1

Pokala, N., Liu, Q., Gordus, A., & Bargmann, C. I. (2014). Inducible and titratable silencing of Caenorhabditis elegans neurons in vivo with histamine-gated chloride channels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(7), 2770–2775. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400615111

Pym, E., Sasidharan, N., Thompson-Peer, K. L., Simon, D. J., Anselmo, A., Sadreyev, R., … Kaplan, J. M. (2017). Shank is a dose-dependent regulator of Cav1 calcium current and CREB target expression. *ELife*, *6*, e18931. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.18931

Quade, B., Camacho, M., Zhao, X., Orlando, M., Trimbuch, T., Xu, J., … Rizo, J. (2019). Membrane bridging by Munc13-1 is crucial for neurotransmitter release. *ELife*, *8*, e42806. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42806

Rebola, N., Reva, M., Kirizs, T., Szoboszlay, M., Lőrincz, A., Moneron, G., … DiGregorio, D. A. (2019). Distinct Nanoscale Calcium Channel and Synaptic Vesicle Topographies Contribute to the Diversity of Synaptic Function. *Neuron*, *104*(4), 693-710.e9.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.014

Rey, S., Maton, G., Satake, S., Llano, I., Kang, S., Surmeier, D. J., … Collin, T. (2020). Physiological involvement of presynaptic L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels in GABA release of cerebellar molecular layer interneurons. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, *155*(4), 390–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15100

Richmond, J E, Weimer, R. M., & Jorgensen, E. M. (2001). An open form of syntaxin bypasses the requirement for UNC-13 in vesicle priming. *Nature*, *412*(6844), 338–341. https://doi.org/10.1038/35085583

Richmond, Janet E, Davis, W. S., & Jorgensen, E. M. (1999). UNC-13 is required for synaptic vesicle fusion in C. elegans. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*(11), 959–964. https://doi.org/10.1038/14755

Sahu, G., Wazen, R.-M., Colarusso, P., Chen, S. R. W., Zamponi, G. W., & Turner, R. W. (2019). Junctophilin Proteins Tether a Cav1-RyR2-KCa3.1 Tripartite Complex to Regulate Neuronal Excitability. *Cell Reports*, *28*(9), 2427-2442.e6. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.075

Schneggenburger, R., & Neher, E. (2000). Intracellular calcium dependence of transmitter release rates at a fast central synapse. *Nature*, *406*(6798), 889–893. https://doi.org/10.1038/35022702

Schneider, M. F. (1994). Control of Calcium Release in Functioning Skeletal Muscle Fibers. *Annual Review of Physiology*, *56*(1), 463–484. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.56.030194.002335

Schwartz, M. L., & Jorgensen, E. M. (2016). SapTrap, a Toolkit for High-Throughput CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Modification in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics*, *202*(4), 1277–1288. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.184275

Siksou, L., Varoqueaux, F., Pascual, O., Triller, A., Brose, N., & Marty, S. (2009). A common molecular basis for membrane docking and functional priming of synaptic vesicles. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *30*(1), 49–56. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06811.x

Smith, L. A., Wang, X., Peixoto, A. A., Neumann, E. K., Hall, L. M., & Hall, J. C. (1996). A Drosophila Calcium Channel α1 Subunit Gene Maps to a Genetic Locus Associated with Behavioral and Visual Defects. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *16*(24), 7868. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-24-07868.1996

Südhof, T. C. (2012). The Presynaptic Active Zone. *Neuron*, *75*(1), 11–25. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.012

Tong, X.-J., López-Soto, E. J., Li, L., Liu, H., Nedelcu, D., Lipscombe, D., … Kaplan, J. M.

(2017). Retrograde Synaptic Inhibition Is Mediated by α-Neurexin Binding to the α2δ

Subunits of N-Type Calcium Channels. *Neuron*, *95*(2), 326-340.e5.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.018

Topalidou, I., Cattin-Ortolá, J., Pappas, A. L., Cooper, K., Merrihew, G. E., MacCoss, M. J., &

Ailion, M. (2016). The EARP Complex and Its Interactor EIPR-1 Are Required for Cargo

Sorting to Dense-Core Vesicles. *PLOS Genetics*, *12*(5), e1006074.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006074

Tsien, R W, Lipscombe, D., Madison, D. v, Bley, K. R., & Fox, A. P. (1988). Multiple types of

neuronal calcium channels and their selective modulation. *Trends in Neurosciences*,

11(10), 431–438. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(88)90194-4

Tsien, Richard W, & Tsien, R. Y. (1990). Calcium channels, stores, and oscillations. *Annual

Review of Cell Biology*, *6*(1), 715–760.

Urbano, F. J., & Uchitel, O. D. (1999). L-Type calcium channels unmasked by cell-permeant Ca

2+ buffer at mouse motor nerve terminals. *Pflügers Archiv European Journal of

Physiology*, *437*(4), 523–528. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004240050813

Vyleta, N. P., & Jonas, P. (2014). Loose Coupling Between Ca $^{2+}$ Channels and Release

Sensors at a Plastic Hippocampal Synapse. *Science*, *343*(6171), 665–670.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244811

Ward, T., Weber, T., & Chapman, E. (2004). Reconstitution of Ca2+-Regulated Membrane

Fusion by Synaptotagmin and SNAREs. *Science*, *304*(5669), 435–438.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097196

Watanabe, S., Davis, M. W., Kusick, G. F., Iwasa, J., & Jorgensen, E. M. (2020). SynapsEM:

Computer-Assisted Synapse Morphometry. *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience*, *12*.

Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnsyn.2020.584549

Watanabe, S., Liu, Q., Davis, M. W., Hollopeter, G., Thomas, N., Jorgensen, N. B., &

Jorgensen, E. M. (2013). Ultrafast endocytosis at Caenorhabditis elegans neuromuscular

junctions. *ELife*, *2*, e00723. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00723

Weber, A. M., Wong, F. K., Tufford, A. R., Schlichter, L. C., Matveev, V., & Stanley, E. F.

(2010). N-type Ca2+ channels carry the largest current: implications for nanodomains and

transmitter release. *Nature Neuroscience*, *13*(11), 1348–1350.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2657

Weimer, R. M., Gracheva, E. O., Meyrignac, O., Miller, K. G., Richmond, J. E., & Bessereau, J.-

L. (2006). UNC-13 and UNC-10/Rim Localize Synaptic Vesicles to Specific Membrane

Domains. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *26*(31), 8040.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2350-06.2006

Yang, X., Wang, S., Sheng, Y., Zhang, M., Zou, W., Wu, L., … Ma, C. (2015). Syntaxin opening
by the MUN domain underlies the function of Munc13 in synaptic-vesicle priming. *Nature*
Structural & Molecular Biology, *22*(7), 547–554. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3038

Yu, H., Yuan, C., Westenbroek, R. E., & Catterall, W. A. (2018). The AKAP Cypher/Zasp
contributes to β-adrenergic/PKA stimulation of cardiac CaV1.2 calcium channels. *Journal*
of General Physiology, *150*(6), 883–889. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201711818

Zhang, X., Chen, X., Zeng, Z., Zhang, M., Sun, Y., Xi, P., … Xu, P. (2015). Development of a
reversibly switchable fluorescent protein for super-resolution optical fluctuation imaging
(SOFI). *ACS Nano*, *9*(3), 2659–2667. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn5064387

Zheng, W., Feng, G., Ren, D., Eberl, D. F., Hannan, F., Dubald, M., & Hall, L. M. (1995).
Cloning and characterization of a calcium channel alpha 1 subunit from Drosophila
melanogaster with similarity to the rat brain type D isoform. *The Journal of Neuroscience*,
15(2), 1132. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-02-01132.1995

Zheng, Y., Brockie, P. J., Mellem, J. E., Madsen, D. M., & Maricq, A. v. (1999). Neuronal Control
of Locomotion in C. elegans Is Modified by a Dominant Mutation in the GLR-1 Ionotropic
Glutamate Receptor. *Neuron*, *24*(2), 347–361.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80849-1

Zhou, K., Stawicki, T. M., Goncharov, A., & Jin, Y. (2013). Position of UNC-13 in the active zone
regulates synaptic vesicle release probability and release kinetics. *ELife*, *2*, e01180.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01180

1226

A

viability	+	+	−	+	+	−	+	−	+	+
genotype										
CaV2	+	CaV2(-)	+	+	+	CaV2(-)	CaV2(Δnmj)	CaV2(-)	CaV2(Δnmj)	+
CaV1	+	+	CaV1(-)	CaV1(Δns)	+	+	+	CaV1(Δns)	CaV1(Δns)	CaV1(Δns)
RyR	+	+	+	+	RyR(-)	RyR(-)	RyR(-)	+	+	RyR(-)
strain	N2	AQ130	RW3563	EG9034	CB540	n/a	EG9405	n/a	EG9406	EG8827

B

wild-type   CaV1(Δns)   CaV1(Δns) +ns rescue   5 mm

C Distance **D** Speed **E** Forward Distance **F** Forward Duration **G** Reversal Frequency **H** Reversal Distance **I** Reversal Duration

A dense projection — no stim

100 nm

B dense projection — 50ms after stim

100 nm

C

docked vesicles/synapse

#SV no stim

#SV fused

#SV after stim

distance from dense projection (nm)

D

□ no stim
■ 50 ms after stim

wild-type

docked vesicles per synapse

distance from dense projection (nm)

E

CaV2(-)
unc-2(lj1)

docked vesicles per synapse

distance from dense projection (nm)

F

CaV1(-)
egl-19(n582)

docked vesicles per synapse

distance from dense projection (nm)

G

RyR(-)
unc-68(e540)

docked vesicles per synapse

distance from dense projection (nm)

H

CaV1(-); CaV2(-)
unc-2(lj1); egl-19(n582)

docked vesicles per synapse

distance from dense projection (nm)

A reconstructed dorsal nerve cord

acetylcholine neurons, GABA neurons, and all dense projections

acetylcholine neurons and all dense projections

acetylcholine process 1
acetylcholine process 2
acetylcholine process 3
GABA process 1
RID
acetylcholine dense projection
gaba dense projection

1um

B

dense projection markers	CaV2	merge
NRX		
RIMBP		
α-Liprin		
SYDE		
MAGI1		
α-Catulin		
ELKS		

C

clusters / um

CaV2   ELKS-1

D CaV2 distance to ELKS-center (nm)

CaV2 localizations

cluster center-to-center axis

0 nm   95nm

ELKS center of mass

CaV2 center of mass

A CaV2

B CaV1

C RyR

D UNC-13