Effects of microplastics mixed with natural particles on *Daphnia magna* populations

Christoph Schür[a, b], Joana Beck[b], Scott Lambert[b], Christian Scherer[b, c], Jörg Oehlmann[b], Martin Wagner[d]*

[a] Department of Environmental Toxicology, Eawag, Überlandstrasse 133, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

[b] Department Aquatic Ecotoxicology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Max-von-Laue-Str. 13, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

[c] Federal Institute of Hydrology, Department Biochemistry and Ecotoxicology, Am Mainzer Tor 1, 56002, Koblenz, Germany

[d] Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Høgskoleringen 5, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

* Corresponding author: Martin Wagner, martin.wagner@ntnu.no, +47 73 41 30 47

Abstract

The toxicity of microplastics on *Daphnia magna* as a key model for freshwater zooplankton is well described. While several studies predict population-level effects based on short-term, individual-level responses, only very few have validated these predictions experimentally. Thus, we exposed *D. magna* populations to irregular polystyrene microplastics and diatomite as natural particle (both ≤ 63 µm) over 50 days. We used mixtures of both particle types at fixed particle concentrations (50,000 particles mL^{-1}) and recorded the effects on overall population size and structure, the size of the individual animals, and resting egg production. Particle exposure adversely affected the population size and structure and induced resting egg production. The terminal population size was 28–42% lower in exposed compared to control populations. Interestingly, mixtures containing diatomite induced stronger effects than microplastics alone, highlighting that natural particles are not *per se* less toxic than microplastics. Our results demonstrate that an exposure to synthetic and natural particles has negative population-level effects on zooplankton. Understanding the mixture toxicity of microplastics and natural particles is important given that aquatic organisms will experience exposure to both. Just as for chemical pollutants, better knowledge of such joint effects is essential to fully understand the environmental impacts of complex particle mixtures.

Environmental Implications

While microplastics are commonly considered hazardous based on individual-level effects, there is a dearth of information on how they affect populations. Since the latter is key for understanding the environmental impacts of microplastics, we investigated how particle exposures affect the population size and structure of *Daphnia magna*. In addition, we used mixtures of microplastics and natural particles because neither occurs alone in nature and joint effects can be expected in an environmentally realistic scenario. We show that such mixtures adversely affect daphnid populations and highlight that population-level and mixture-toxicity designs are one important step towards more environmental realism in microplastics research.

Graphical Abstract

Highlights

- *Daphnia* populations exposed to mixtures of microplastics and diatomite
- Effects on population size, structure, and resting egg production
- Diatomite as natural particle more toxic than microplastics
- Particle mixtures induce negative population-level effects
- Particle mixtures represent more realistic exposure scenario

Keywords

mixture toxicity, particulate matter, population dynamics, suspended matter, suspended solids

Introduction

Microplastics (MP) are ubiquitous pollutants in the aquatic environment (Čerkasova et al. 2023). They can interact with and affect a broad range of species across all levels of biological organization (Triebskorn et al. 2018). Indeed, meta-analyses using species-sensitivity distributions indicate that adverse effects in aquatic species occur at relatively low concentrations typically found in polluted habitats. For instance, Mehinto et al. determined that ambient concentrations of 0.3–5 MP/L (50–900 µm/L) would put 5% of the most sensitive species at risk (Mehinto et al. 2022). This is consistent with other studies (Adam, Von Wyl, and Nowack 2021; Yang and Nowack 2020; Adam, Yang, and Nowack 2018; Takeshita et al. 2022). MPs affect aquatic organisms at all levels of biological organization, ranging from molecular, cellular, and tissue-level effects to impacts on the life history of individuals. Here, numerous reviews are available on aquatic species in general (Rezania et al. 2018; Du et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2019; Elizalde-Velázquez and Gómez-Oliván 2021; Huang et al. 2021; Triebskorn et al. 2018) and zooplankton in particular (Foley et al. 2018; Botterell et al. 2019; Yin et al. 2023). Regarding the latter, the Cladoceran *Daphnia magna* is one of the most studied species due to it ecological role as keystone species and widespread establishment as ecotoxicological model (Castro-Castellon et al. 2022).

Importantly, the current literature on the toxicity of MP in Daphnia species is strongly biased towards acute exposure scenarios, that is, animals being exposed to MP for very short periods, only. This is despite the fact that, due to their short generation time and the environmental persistence of MP, daphnids are exposed continuously over generations and not just intermittently (Rozman and Kalčikova 2021; Yin et al. 2023). Daphnids as r-strategists form large, often short-lived, populations. Population growth rates are high, but quickly reach a carrying capacity limited by space and/or food. Such stressors are then often met with the formation of resting eggs that can resurrect the population once conditions have returned to a more favorable state (Smirnov 2017). Contrary to that, only little information is available on the long-term, multi-generational, or population-level effects of MP on Daphnia species (Yin et al. 2023; Junaid et al. 2023). Another bias in experimental studies is the use of spherical MP that are not representative of particles that are most abundant in the environment, especially plastic fibers and irregular plastic fragments (E. E. Burns and Boxall 2018; Rozman and Kalčikova 2021). Thus, a long-term, continuous exposure to irregularly-shaped MP throughout an individual's lifetime, as well as following generations, is a more realistic scenario (Schür et al. 2020; 2021).

In the environment, organisms interact with all kinds of natural, non-food particles in addition

to MP, that is, suspended solids consisting of fine organic and inorganic matter typically < 62

μm (Bilotta and Brazier 2008). These naturally occurring particles negatively affect daphnids,

sometimes across generations (Kirk 1991b; 1991a; Robinson, Capper, and Klaine 2010;

Ogonowski et al. 2016; Schür et al. 2020). Nonetheless, as non-selectively filter-feeding

organisms, daphnids are well-adapted to non-food particles. This is achieved through a number

of behavioral and physiological mechanisms, including a reduction in feeding rate,

regurgitation of boluses, and the ability to remove adhering particles from the filtering setae via

the post-abdominal claw (C. W. Burns 1968a; 1968b; Kirk 1991a; Ogonowski et al. 2016).

Since exposure in the environment is never to a single type of particle (synthetic or natural) and

the effects of suspended solids in comparison to MP are often overlooked but important to

benchmark the toxicity of MP (Scherer et al. 2018). Indeed, two recent meta-analyses show that

MP are more toxic than suspended solids but, at the same time, highlight the dearth of

comparative studies and inconsistencies in methodology (M. Ogonowski et al. 2022; Doyle,

Sundh, and Almroth 2022). Thus, exposing animals to both MP and natural particles, alone and

in mixture, is important to increase our understanding of particle toxicity in a more

environmentally relevant setting (Gerdes et al. 2018; 2019).

Since there is little knowledge on the population-level effects of MP in comparison to other

suspended solids, the aim of this study is to quantify the effects of irregular polystyrene MP

and of diatomite as a natural particle on *D. magna* populations. We designed an experiment in

which daphnid populations with a defined age structure and size were continuously exposed

over 50 d to MP and diatomite, either alone or in mixtures, using a constant particle number of

50,000 particles mL^{-1} and constant food levels. These concentrations were not intended to

reflect the environmental levels of plastics or natural particles. They rather serve as a proof of

concept for benchmarking the effects of MP to natural particles as well as for investigating the

impacts of particle mixtures on populations in a more realistic scenario.

Materials and methods

Daphnia culture

Ten *D. magna* individuals were cultured in 1 L of Elendt M4 medium (Elendt and Bias 1990; OECD 2012) at 20 °C with a 16:8 h light:dark cycle. The daphnids were fed with the green algae *Desmodesmus subspicatus*. Algae suspension is was added to the culture vessels thrice a week to achieve a mean food level of 0.2 mg carbon per individual per day (mgC daphnid^{-1} d^{-1}). The medium was fully renewed once a week.

Particle preparation

The irregularly shaped MP were produced from polystyrene coffee-to-go-cup lids obtained from a local bakery. They were rinsed, cut into pieces using scissors, frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground up in a ballmill (Retsch MM400, Retsch GmbH, Germany) at 30 Hz for 30 s. Diatomite (SiO_2) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (CAS: 91053-39-3). Both particle types were sieved to $\leq$ 63 µm using a sediment shaker (Retsch AS 200 basic, Retsch GmbH, Germany) to achieve particles in a size range that daphnids can ingest (0.2–75 µm; Scherer et al. (2018)). Particle size distributions within the measuring margins of the Coulter counter (Multisizer 3, Beckman Coulter, Germany; orifice tube with 100 mm aperture diameter for a particle size range of 2.0–60 mm; measurements in filtrated (< 0.2 µm) 0.98% NaCl solution) are given in the supplementary material (Figure S1). Size distributions for the diatomite size fraction < 2 µm for a suspension prepared with the same method are given in the supplementary materials of Scherer et al. (2019)) but were not measured for this study. Furthermore, Scanning electron microscope images of both particle types were taken using a Hitachi S-4500 scanning electron microscope (supplementary material, Figure S2). For that, 20 µL of each suspension was transferred to the sample holder, dried under a heat lamp, and sputtered with gold before imaging. Additional characterization of similar materials and particle types can be found in Schür et al. (2021) and Scherer et al. (2019). In terms of chemicals composition, the MP contained the chemicals present in the product (i.e., intentionally and non-intentionally added substances) and the diatomite was purified and contained $\leq$ 1% of HCl-soluble matter according to the manufacturer.

Exposure suspensions were prepared by dilution in Elendt M4 medium based on measured particle concentrations of the stock solutions (Multisizer 3, Beckman Coulter) and used throughout the experiment. Previous experiments, described in Schür et al. (2020), showed a good correlation between nominal and measured particle concentrations. A new MP stock

suspension was prepared after day 37. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR)

spectra (FTIR Spectrum Two, PerkinElmer; LiTa03 detector, range: $4000-450$ cm^{-1}) of the raw

plastic material before and after grinding and sieving are given in Figure S3 of the

supplementary material.

Experimental design

The initial daphnid populations consisted of 3 adults (2 weeks old), 5 juveniles (1 week old),

and 8 neonates (< 72 h old) held in 1 L glass vessels containing 900 mL Elendt M4 medium

(OECD 2012). This composition was chosen to start with a population structure that represented

all age groups and to ensure an early onset of reproduction. Each population was kept for 50 d

and fed a constant ration of 0.5 mgC d^{-1} of *D. subspicatus.* All treatment groups were exposed

to a total of 50,000 particles mL^{-1} of varying ratios of MP and diatomite (n = 3, Table 1).

Populations were fed thrice per week, and the medium was fully exchanged with new medium

or particle suspensions on days 7, 14, 21, 28, 37, 42, and 50. During each feeding, vessels were

covered with a lid and gently inverted to re-suspend the particles. With each medium exchange,

populations were sieved, transferred to an hourglass, and photographed. ImageJ (Schindelin et

al. 2012) was used to then quantify living animals (total population size, Figure 1, Figure S4),

the number of resting eggs (Figure 2, Figure S5), body lengths (Figure 3, Figure S6). Resting

eggs are seen as indicators of population stress like insufficient food or high population density

(Smirnov 2017). Individual body lengths were measured from the center of the eye to the base

of the apical spinus (Ogonowski et al. 2016). Body lengths were categorized into three size/age

classes in accordance with Agatz et al. (2015), including neonates ($\leq$ 1400 µm), juveniles

(1400–2600 µm), and adults (> 2600 µm).

Table 1: Ratios and absolute nominal particle concentrations of microplastics and

diatomite in the treatment groups of the population experiment.

Treatment group	Microplastics		Diatomite	
	%	Particles mL^{-1}	%	Particles mL^{-1}
Control	0	0	0	0
MP100	100	50,000	0	0
MP80	80	40,000	20	10,000
MP60	60	30,000	40	20,000

MP50	50	25,000	50	25,000
MP40	40	20,000	60	30,000
MP20	20	10,000	80	40,000
MP0	0	0	100	50,000

Statistical analysis

The data was visualized using R (R Core Team 2021) with RStudio 2021.09.2+382 and the tidyverse package (Wickham et al. 2019). The impact of exposure time and treatment on population sizes (i.e., total number of animals at a given time) and structure was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple comparison test against the corresponding control group for each time point in GraphPad Prism for Mac 9.3.1. The number of resting eggs on day 50 of the experiment was compared against the control group using a one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple comparisons test in GraphPad Prism for Mac 9.3.1. The body length of individuals in each population was compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests. Boxplots are created with the geom_boxplot() function of the ggplot2 package (Wickham 2016) in accordance with Mcgill et al. (1978). Significance levels are indicated by asterisks as follows: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Results

Following the goal to investigate the population-level effects of mixtures of MP and natural particles, the experiment included three main endpoints: population size (i.e., total number of individuals per population at each time point), population structure based on the body lengths of the individuals comprising each population, and the number of resting eggs (*ephippiae*) per population.

Population size

All populations, both exposed to particles and of the control group, grew rapidly with regards to the number of individuals during the first two weeks, with little variability between the three replicates per treatment group (Figure 1). We hypothesize that this is because the available food was sufficient for such small populations coupled with low initial population densities acting as triggers for rapid population growth. All population sizes peaked at day 14, declined from day 21 onwards, and reached their lowest size on day 50.

We observed a concentration-dependent effect in the populations exposed to particles in such that in the phase of rapid decline (days 21–37), daphnid populations exposed to particle mixtures that contained more diatomite had a lower population size (Figure 1, Figure S4). For instance, populations exposed to 100% diatomite (MP0) consisted of significantly fewer animals than the control populations on day 14 and 28 ($p < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA). At the end of the experiment, on day 42 and 50, the size of all populations exposed to MP, diatomite or mixtures thereof was significantly smaller than the control populations ($p < 0.05$). Notably, the terminal population size in all treatments was 28–42% lower compared to control.

Resting egg formation

Resting egg formation occurred in all populations, including controls, after day 14 but to varying degrees (Figure S5). Since the production of resting eggs is a stress response (Smirnov 2017), this indicates a rapid onset of stress caused by increasing population densities and/or decreasing food levels. The particle exposure had a significant effect on the total number of resting eggs produced, with the populations in the MP60 ($p = 0.023$), MP40 ($p = 0.003$), MP20 ($p = 0.011$), and MP0 ($p = 0.008$) groups producing circa 100 ephippiae compared to 70 in the control populations (Figure 2). Similar to the effect on intermediate population sizes, this points towards a stronger effect of diatomite compared to MP.

220   **Figure 1: Boxplots of the population size of *Daphnia magna* exposed to polystyrene**
221   **microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d (B).** C–I represent the
222   population sizes after 7, 14, 21, 28, 37, 42 and 50 d, respectively. Significant differences
223   compared to control populations are indicated by asterisks: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p <
224   0.001. Subfigure A indicates the concentrations of the two particle types in the treatments.

226
Figure 2: Total number of resting eggs produced by *Daphnia magna* populations exposed
to polystyrene microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d (n =
3). Significant differences compared to control populations are indicated by asterisks: * p <
0.05, ** p < 0.01.

Individual body length

We measured the body length of each individual in a population weekly and used this to
describe the population structure by categorizing the daphnids into neonates, juveniles, and
adults. The initial population growth is largely driven by the production of neonates (Figure 3).
As a result of the lower reproduction from day 14 onwards, the population structure shifts
towards juveniles and adults. Particle exposure affected the number of neonates and juveniles
in the populations (Tables S2 and S4): At day 28, populations exposed to diatomite or diatomite
mixed with 20, 40, 60 and 80% MP consisted of significantly fewer neonates. This effect
translated into significantly fewer juveniles in all particle-exposed populations on day 50. The

number of adults was low compared to the other size classes and increased over the course of the experiment without significant impact of the particle exposure. In accordance with the loss of neonates and juveniles, individuals in particle-exposed populations were in many cases significantly larger than in control populations (Kruskal-Wallis tests, Table S6).

Figure 3: Population structure of *Daphnia magna* exposed to polystyrene microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d. Data presented as mean relative

247   ratios of neonates (green), juveniles (blue), and adults (red) compared to the overall population

248   size (n = 3).

Discussion

We exposed *D. magna* populations to 50,000 particles mL^{-1} of either polystyrene MP, diatomite, or mixtures of both over the course of 50 d. Notwithstanding the ratio of MP to diatomite, particle exposure significantly reduced the population size from day 43 onwards and resulted in populations consisting of 28–42% less individuals than control populations at the end of the experiment. This effect on population size is most likely due to particle exposures having a negative impact on reproduction as previously shown by Ogonowski et al. (2016) and Schür et al. (2020), especially after the population size has peaked. The reproductive toxicity of particles is also reflected in the population structure with particle-exposed populations consisting of larger and, thus, older individuals than control populations. In addition, a reduced availability of food contributes to limiting the population growth over the course of the experiment, in such that population growth results in less food being available per individual (Figure 1B). While this affects all treatment groups, less food is available to control individuals (e.g., 5.6 µgC d^{-1} invividual^{-1} at 42 d) than to particle exposed daphnids (7.9 µgC d^{-1} invividual^{-1} at 42 d). Thus, the food limitation did not mask the effect of the particle exposure. Taken together, this demonstrates that mixtures of synthetic and natural particles have negative effects at the population level in *D. magna*.

The fact that MP as well as their mixtures with natural particles affected the population size and structure highlights that the well-documented individual-level toxicity of MP and other particles in daphnids translates into impacts at the population level. While multiple studies predict effects of MP exposures on population growth rates based on individual level responses (e.g., Martins and Guilhermino (2018); Guilhermino et al. (2021)), population and multigeneration effects were recently identified as severe data gaps in a review on the ecotoxicology of MP in Daphnia (Yin et al. 2023). Bosker et al. (2019) reported that exposure to polystyrene beads caused a significant decline in population size and biomass but did not affect the size of individuals or *ephippiae* production. Besides using another type of MP, their general approach was different from ours as they grew populations to holding capacity before starting particle exposure at day 30. This probably reduced the overall stress level induced by continuous particle exposures.

Zebrowski et al. (2022) investigated how the exposure to polystyrene, high-density polyethylene (PE), and the assumed biodegradable polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) affected the growth (measured by population density, i.e.*,* individuals per L) of competing populations of *D. magna*, *Daphnia pulex*, and *Daphnia galeata* under constant food levels (two species were paired in competition). While the outcome of the competition experiments was not affected (the

same species outperformed their competitors as in the particle-free control treatments), two main findings are worth mentioning: (I) The larger *D. magna* did not always outcompete the smaller cladoceran species, but only the smallest *D. galeata*, while *D. pulex* consistently persisted against both other species, and (II) *D. magna* and *D. pulex* populations were affected by polystyrene particle exposure, compared to the respective control groups, while *D. galeata* populations grew very similarly to their control populations. Some of their results hint towards a positive effect of the PHB exposure, about which the authors hypothesize that the material is biodegraded and serves as nutrients for bacteria in the exposure vessel, which subsequently improve the nutritional status of the cladcoerans.

Al-Jaibachi et al. (2019) observed the initial decline but subsequent recovery of daphnid populations in MP-exposed mesocosms, while no effect on other species was observed. Here, high variability and unknown influencing factors from the mesocosm setup impede the comparison between the two studies. Nonetheless, all three studies demonstrate that MP effects also manifest on the population level, which is considered highly relevant for assessing the environmental risks of these particles.

We used multiple mixtures of MP and diatomite at a fixed numerical concentration to explore a more realistic exposure scenario (i.e., MP as part of a more diverse set of suspended solids) and to investigate whether the mixtures' toxicity is driven by plastic or natural particles. Indeed, our results show that diatomite is more toxic to daphnid populations than MP. With regards to the intermediate population size, resting egg production, and population structure, exposure to pure diatomite induced stronger effects than to pure MP (Figures 1–3). In the treatments with particle mixtures, we often observed a concentration-dependent response with mixtures containing more diatomite being more toxic. This is particularly obvious for the population sizes at days 14–28 and the resting egg production. Accordingly, particle mixtures consisting of more diatomite are more toxic.

The reason for the higher toxicity of diatomite compared to MP may be its porous and spiky structure (Figure S2). Diatomite has biocidal properties (European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) et al. 2020) and its absorptive and abrasive capacities will damage insect cuticles (Korunic 1998) and may injure the digestive system (Scherer et al. 2019). Diatomite is more toxic than MP despite the fact that it has a higher density and is larger than polystyrene particles (2.36 g cm^{-3} according to the manufacturer) and, thus, sediments faster. While we did not investigate the fate or uptake of the particles in this study, a faster settlement probably results in a lower exposure of daphnids to diatomite compared to polystyrene particles. This highlights

the challenges of comparing different particle types in terms of aligning their properties
(Scherer et al. 2019) and keeping their bioavailable concentrations constant.

Diatomite has been used as a natural reference material in previous MP studies. In the
freshwater mollusks *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Lymnea stagnalis*, diatomite was in general not
more toxic than polystyrene MP (Weber, Jeckel, et al. 2021; Weber, von Randow, et al. 2021)
but induced a stronger effect on the antioxidant capacity in the former species (Weber, Jeckel,
and Wagner 2020) at identical numerical concentrations. In *Chironomus riparius* larvae,
diatomite was toxic but less so than polyvinyl chloride MP at identical mass-based
concentrations (Scherer et al. 2019). Since one of the main mechanisms of its toxicity appears
to be the desorption of waxes from the cuticle, arthropods, such as chironomids and daphnids,
may be particularly sensitive to diatomite exposures.

Our study shows that some natural particles can be more toxic than a mixture of natural particles
and MP or MP by themselves. Earlier work compared the effects of the natural particle kaolin
with polystyrene MP similar to those used in this study in a multigenerational study with
daphnids (Schür et al. 2020). There, we found that kaolin had no effect, while MP affected all
recorded endpoints in a concentration-dependent manner with effects increasing over
generations. This shows that transferring findings on one particle type to another is not
straightforward and MP may be more toxic than some but not all natural particles. Particle shape
may play an important role as diatomite is spiky and sharp compared to kaolin which is rather
round. Thus, the toxicity of natural particles will depend on their individual set of
physicochemical properties and cannot be easily generalized without a better mechanistic
understanding (see Scherer et al. (2019) for an in-depth discussion).

Finally, our study was not designed to mimic environmental concentrations of MP or natural
particles. Instead, our aim was to investigate the toxicity of mixtures of both, because this
exposure scenario is more realistic compared to the use of only MP in toxicity studies. Given
that in nature, aquatic organisms will most likely be exposed to natural and synthetic particulate
matter concurrently, a better understanding of the joint toxicity is needed to develop realistic
predictions of environmental impacts.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that an exposure to MP and diatomite alone as well as in mixture has
negative population-level effects in *D. magna*. This corroborates previous predictions based on
individual-level responses. Our findings are relevant because adverse impacts on populations

of a keystone zooplankton species will have ecological consequences. However, the fact that
we used one very high particle concentration only calls for follow-up studies to generate
concentration-response relationships. We used mixtures of plastic and the natural particle
diatomite because we deem this exposure scenario more realistic and found that diatomite is
more toxic than MP. This contradicts the common assumption that natural particles are benign
and highlights that – just as with MP – the toxicity of a particle type depends on its individual
set of physicochemical properties. This calls into question whether general comparisons, such
as MP are more or less toxic than something else, are meaningful. It also highlights the
challenge of finding an adequate reference particle when attempting to perform such
comparisons. Finally, we believe that investigating the mixture toxicity of synthetic and natural
particles is valuable given that aquatic organisms will experience exposure to both. Similar to
chemical pollutants, better knowledge of such joint effects is essential to fully understand the
environmental risks complex particle mixtures pose to aquatic species.

Author contributions

Christoph Schür: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing

Joana Beck: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing

Scott Lambert: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing

Christian Scherer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - review & editing

Jörg Oehlmann: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing

Martin Wagner: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Resources, Project administration, Visualization, Resources, Writing - review & editing

Declaration of interest

Martin Wagner is an unremunerated member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Food Packaging Forum (FPF). He has received travel funding from FPF to attend its annual board meetings and from Hold Norge Rent (Keep Norway Beautiful) to speak at one of their conferences. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research to CS, JO, and MW (02WRS1378I, 03F0789D). The graphical abstract was created with BioRender.

Supplementary Material

The supplemental data are available ###.

References

Adam, Véronique, Alex Von Wyl, and Bernd Nowack. 2021. "Probabilistic Environmental Risk Assessment of Microplastics in Marine Habitats." *Aquatic Toxicology* 230: 105689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2020.105689.

Adam, Véronique, Tong Yang, and Bernd Nowack. 2018. "Towards an Ecotoxicological Risk Assessment of Microplastics: Comparison of Available Hazard and Exposure Data in Freshwaters." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, November. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4323.

Agatz, Annika, Monika Hammers-Wirtz, Andre Gergs, Tanja Mayer, and Thomas G. Preuss. 2015. "Family-Portraits for Daphnids: Scanning Living Individuals and Populations to Measure Body Length." *Ecotoxicology* 24 (6): 1385–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-015-1490-0.

Al-Jaibachi, Rana, William Bruce Laird, Freya Stevens, and Amanda Callaghan. 2019. "Impacts of Polystyrene Microplastics on *Daphnia magna*: A Laboratory and a Mesocosm Study." *Science of The Total Environment*, 135800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135800.

Bilotta, G.S., and R.E. Brazier. 2008. "Understanding the Influence of Suspended Solids on Water Quality and Aquatic Biota." *Water Research* 42 (12): 2849–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.03.018.

Bosker, Thijs, Gabriel Olthof, Martina G. Vijver, Jan Baas, and S. Henrik Barmentlo. 2019. "Significant Decline of *Daphnia magna* Population Biomass Due to Microplastic Exposure." *Environmental Pollution*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.04.067.

Botterell, Zara L.R., Nicola Beaumont, Tarquin Dorrington, Michael Steinke, Richard C. Thompson, and Penelope K. Lindeque. 2019. "Bioavailability and Effects of Microplastics on Marine Zooplankton: A Review." *Environmental Pollution* 245 (February): 98–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.065.

Burns, Carolyn W. 1968a. "Direct Observations of Mechanisms Regulating Feeding Behavior of *Daphnia*, in Lakewater." *Internationale Revue Der Gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie* 53 (1): 83–100. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19680530104.

———. 1968b. "The Relationship between Body Size of Filter-Feeding Cladocera and the Maximum Size of Particle Ingested." *Limnology and Oceanography* 13 (4): 675–78. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1968.13.4.0675.

Burns, Emily E., and Alistair B.A. Boxall. 2018. "Microplastics in the Aquatic Environment: Evidence for or against Adverse Impacts and Major Knowledge Gaps: Microplastics in the Environment." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 37 (11): 2776–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4268.

Castro-Castellon, Ana T., Alice A. Horton, Jocelyne M.R. Hughes, Cordelia Rampley, Elizabeth S. Jeffers, Gianbattista Bussi, and Paul Whitehead. 2022. "Ecotoxicity of Microplastics to Freshwater Biota: Considering Exposure and Hazard across Trophic Levels." *Science of the Total Environment* 816: 151638. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151638.

Čerkasova, Natalja, Kristina Enders, Robin Lenz, Sonja Oberbeckmann, Josef Brandt, Dieter Fischer, Franziska Fischer, Matthias Labrenz, and Gerald Schernewski. 2023. "A Public Database for Microplastics in the Environment." *Microplastics* 2 (1): 132–46. https://doi.org/10.3390/microplastics2010010.

Doyle, Darragh, Henrik Sundh, and Bethanie Carney Almroth. 2022. "Microplastic Exposure in Aquatic Invertebrates Can Cause Significant Negative Effects Compared to Natural Particles - A Meta-Analysis." *Environmental Pollution* 315: 120434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120434.

Du, Sen, Rongwen Zhu, Yujie Cai, Ning Xu, Pow-Seng Yap, Yunhai Zhang, Yide He, and Yongjun Zhang. 2021. "Environmental Fate and Impacts of Microplastics in Aquatic Ecosystems: A Review." *RSC Advances* 11 (26): 15762–84. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1RA00880C.

Elendt, B. P., and W. R. Bias. 1990. "Trace Nutrient Deficiency in *Daphnia magna* Cultured in Standard Medium for Toxicity Testing. Effects of the Optimization of Culture Conditions on Life History Parameters of *D. magna*." *Water Research* 24 (9): 1157–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(90)90180-E.

Elizalde-Velázquez, Gustavo Axel, and Leobardo Manuel Gómez-Oliván. 2021. "Microplastics in Aquatic Environments: A Review on Occurrence, Distribution, Toxic Effects, and Implications for Human Health." *Science of the Total Environment* 780: 146551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146551.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Maria Anastassiadou, Maria Arena, Domenica Auteri, Alba Brancato, Laszlo Bura, Luis Carrasco Cabrera, et al. 2020. "Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Kieselgur (Diatomaceous Earth)." *EFSA Journal* 18 (3). https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6054.

Foley, Carolyn J., Zachary S. Feiner, Timothy D. Malinich, and Tomas O. Höök. 2018. "A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Exposure to Microplastics on Fish and Aquatic Invertebrates." *Science of The Total Environment* 631–632 (August): 550–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.046.

Gerdes, Zandra, Markus Hermann, Martin Ogonowski, and Elena Gorokhova. 2018. "A Serial Dilution Method for Assessment of Microplastic Toxicity in Suspension."

———. 2019. "A Novel Method for Assessing Microplastic Effect in Suspension through Mixing Test and Reference Materials." *Scientific Reports* 9 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47160-1.

Guilhermino, Lúcia, Alexandra Martins, Sara Cunha, and José O. Fernandes. 2021. "Long-Term Adverse Effects of Microplastics on *Daphnia magna* Reproduction and Population Growth Rate at Increased Water Temperature and Light Intensity: Combined Effects of Stressors and Interactions." *Science of The Total Environment* 784 (August): 147082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147082.

Huang, Wei, Biao Song, Jie Liang, Qiuya Niu, Guangming Zeng, Maocai Shen, Jiaqin Deng, Yuan Luo, Xiaofeng Wen, and Yafei Zhang. 2021. "Microplastics and Associated Contaminants in the Aquatic Environment: A Review on Their Ecotoxicological Effects, Trophic Transfer, and Potential Impacts to Human Health." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 405: 124187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124187.

Junaid, Muhammad, Shulin Liu, Guanglong Chen, Hongping Liao, and Jun Wang. 2023. "Transgenerational Impacts of Micro(Nano)Plastics in the Aquatic and Terrestrial Environment." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 443: 130274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.130274.

Kirk, Kevin L. 1991a. "Suspended Clay Reduces *Daphnia* Feeding Rate: Behavioural Mechanisms." *Freshwater Biology* 25 (2): 357–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1991.tb00498.x.

———. 1991b. "Inorganic Particles Alter Competition in Grazing Plankton: The Role of Selective Feeding." *Ecology* 72 (3): 915–23. https://doi.org/10.2307/1940593.

Korunic, Zlatko. 1998. "Diatomaceous Earths, a Group of Natural Insecticides." *Journal of Stored Products Research* 34 (2–3): 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(97)00039-8.

Martins, Alexandra, and Lúcia Guilhermino. 2018. "Transgenerational Effects and Recovery of Microplastics Exposure in Model Populations of the Freshwater Cladoceran *Daphnia magna* Straus." *Science of The Total Environment* 631–632: 421–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.054.

Mcgill, Robert, John W. Tukey, and Wayne A. Larsen. 1978. "Variations of Box Plots." *The American Statistician* 32 (1): 12–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1978.10479236.

Mehinto, Alvine C., Scott Coffin, Albert A. Koelmans, Susanne M. Brander, Martin Wagner, Leah M. Thornton Hampton, Allen G. Burton, et al. 2022. "Risk-Based Management Framework for Microplastics in Aquatic Ecosystems." *Microplastics and Nanoplastics* 2 (1): 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43591-022-00033-3.

OECD. 2012. *Test No. 211:* Daphnia Magna *Reproduction Test*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/book/9789264185203-en.

Ogonowski, Martin, Martin Wagner, Bjørn Rogell, Marte Haave, and Amy Lusher. 2022. "What Is Particular about Microplastics? A Meta-Analysis of the Toxicity of Microplastics and Suspended Sediments." Preprint. Ecology. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.01.514507.

Ogonowski, Martin, Christoph Schür, Åsa Jarsén, and Elena Gorokhova. 2016. "The Effects of Natural and Anthropogenic Microparticles on Individual Fitness in *Daphnia magna*." *PLOS ONE* 11 (5): e0155063. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155063.

R Core Team. 2021. "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing." Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
Rezania, Shahabaldin, Junboum Park, Mohd Fadhil Md Din, Shazwin Mat Taib, Amirreza
Talaiekhozani, Krishna Kumar Yadav, and Hesam Kamyab. 2018. "Microplastics Pollution in
Different Aquatic Environments and Biota: A Review of Recent Studies." *Marine Pollution
Bulletin* 133: 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.05.022.
Robinson, Sarah E., Neil A. Capper, and Stephen J. Klaine. 2010. "The Effects of Continuous and
Pulsed Exposures of Suspended Clay on the Survival, Growth, and Reproduction of *Daphnia
magna*." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 29 (1): 168–75.
https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4.
Rozman, Ula, and Gabriela Kalčikova. 2021. "Seeking for a Perfect (Non-Spherical) Microplastic
Particle – The Most Comprehensive Review on Microplastic Laboratory Research." *Journal
of Hazardous Materials*, 127529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127529.
Scherer, Christian, Annkatrin Weber, Scott Lambert, and Martin Wagner. 2018. "Interactions of
Microplastics with Freshwater Biota." In *Freshwater Microplastics*, 153–80. The Handbook
of Environmental Chemistry. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_8.
Scherer, Christian, Raoul Wolf, Johannes Völker, Friederike Stock, Nicole Brennhold, Georg
Reifferscheid, and Martin Wagner. 2019. "Toxicity of Microplastics and Natural Particles in
the Freshwater Dipteran *Chironomus riparius*: Same Same but Different?" *Science of The
Total Environment* 711: 134604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134604.
Schindelin, Johannes, Ignacio Arganda-Carreras, Erwin Frise, Verena Kaynig, Mark Longair, Tobias
Pietzsch, Stephan Preibisch, et al. 2012. "Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image
Analysis." *Nature Methods* 9 (7): 676–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.
Schür, Christoph, Carolin Weil, Marlene Baum, Jonas Wallraff, Michael Schreier, Jörg Oehlmann,
and Martin Wagner. 2021. "Incubation in Wastewater Reduces the Multigenerational Effects
of Microplastics in *Daphnia magna*." *Environmental Science & Technology*, acs.est.0c07911.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07911.
Schür, Christoph, Sebastian Zipp, Tobias Thalau, and Martin Wagner. 2020. "Microplastics but Not
Natural Particles Induce Multigenerational Effects in *Daphnia magna*." *Environmental
Pollution* 260: 113904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113904.
Smirnov, N. N. 2017. *Physiology of the Cladocera*. Second edition. London, United Kingdom; San
Diego, CA, United States: Elsevier/AP, Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier.
Takeshita, Kazutaka M., Yuichi Iwasaki, Thomas M. Sinclair, Takehiko I. Hayashi, and Wataru Naito.
2022. "Illustrating a Species Sensitivity Distribution for Nano- and Microplastic Particles
Using Bayesian Hierarchical Modeling." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 41 (4):
954–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5295.
Triebskorn, Rita, Thomas Braunbeck, Tamara Grummt, Lisa Hanslik, Sven Huppertsberg, Martin
Jekel, Thomas P. Knepper, et al. 2018. "Relevance of Nano- and Microplastics for Freshwater
Ecosystems: A Critical Review." *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 110: 375–92.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.11.023.
Wang, Wenfeng, Hui Gao, Shuaichen Jin, Ruijing Li, and Guangshui Na. 2019. "The Ecotoxicological
Effects of Microplastics on Aquatic Food Web, from Primary Producer to Human: A
Review." *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 173: 110–17.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.01.113.
Weber, Annkatrin, Nina Jeckel, and Martin Wagner. 2020. "Combined Effects of Polystyrene
Microplastics and Thermal Stress on the Freshwater Mussel *Dreissena polymorpha*." *Science
of The Total Environment* 718: 137253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137253.
Weber, Annkatrin, Nina Jeckel, Carolin Weil, Simon Umbach, Nicole Brennholt, Georg Reifferscheid,
and Martin Wagner. 2021. "Ingestion and Toxicity of Polystyrene Microplastics in Freshwater
Bivalves." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, etc.5076.
https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5076.
Weber, Annkatrin, Marvin von Randow, Anna-Lisa Voigt, Marcus von der Au, Emily Fischer, Björn
Meermann, and Martin Wagner. 2021. "Ingestion and Toxicity of Microplastics in the
Freshwater Gastropod *Lymnaea stagnalis*: No Microplastic-Induced Effects Alone or in
Combination with Copper." *Chemosphere* 263: 128040.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128040.

Wickham, Hadley. 2016. "ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis." Springer-Verlag New York.
https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan,
Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, et al. 2019. "Welcome to the Tidyverse." *Journal of*
Open Source Software 4 (43): 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.
Yang, Tong, and Bernd Nowack. 2020. "A Meta-analysis of Ecotoxicological Hazard Data for
Nanoplastics in Marine and Freshwater Systems." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*
39 (12): 2588–98. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4887.
Yin, Jiang, Yaoyue Long, Weiyi Xiao, Dan Liu, Qindong Tian, Ya Li, Change Liu, Liqiang Chen, and
Ying Pan. 2023. "Ecotoxicology of Microplastics in *Daphnia*: A Review Focusing on
Microplastic Properties and Multiscale Attributes of Daphnia." *Ecotoxicology and*
Environmental Safety 249: 114433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.114433.
Zebrowski, Marcin Lukasz, Ewa Babkiewicz, Aleksandra Błażejewska, Szymon Pukos, Julia
Wawrzeńczak, Wojciech Wilczynski, Jacek Zebrowski, Mirosław Ślusarczyk, and Piotr
Maszczyk. 2022. "The Effect of Microplastics on the Interspecific Competition of Daphnia."
Environmental Pollution 313: 120121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120121.
562