Truncated radial glia as a common precursor in the late corticogenesis of gyrencephalic mammals

Running title: Binary fates of tRG in corticogenesis

Authors list

Merve Bilgic[1,2,3], Quan Wu[1,4*],Taeko Suetsugu[1,4], Atsunori Shitamukai[1,5], Yuji Tsunekawa[1,6], Tomomi Shimogori[7], Mitsutaka Kadota[8], Osamu Nishimura[8], Shigehiro Kuraku[8,9], Hiroshi Kiyonari[10], and Fumio Matsuzaki[1,2,4*]

Affiliations

[1]Laboratory for Cell Asymmetry, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

[2]Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology and Development, Department of Animal Development and Physiology, Graduate School for Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

[7]Molecular Mechanisms of Brain Development, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Wako, 17 Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

[8]Laboratory for Phyloinformatics, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

[10]Laboratory for Animal Resources and Genetic Engineering, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

*Corresponding authors

go.quan.8w@kyoto-u.ac.jp

matsuzaki.fumio.2w@Kyoto-u.ac.jp

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Fumio Matsuzaki (matsuzaki.fumio.2w@Kyoto-u.ac.jp)

[3]Present address: The Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.
[4]Present address: Department of Aging Science and Medicine, Medical Innovation Center, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan.
[5] Present address: Department of Human Morphology, Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8558, Japan.
[6]Present address: Division of Molecular and Medical Genetics, Center for Gene and Cell Therapy, The Institute for Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 108-0071, Japan.
[9]Present address: Molecular Life History Laboratory, Department of Genomics and Evolutionary Biology, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan.

Character count (excluding materials and methods, references, and figure legends): 34742

ABSTRACT

The diversity of neural stem cells is a hallmark of the cerebral cortex development in
gyrencephalic mammals, such as Primates and Carnivora. Among them, ferrets are a good
model for mechanistic studies. However, information on their neural progenitor cells (NPC),
termed radial glia (RG), is limited. Here, we surveyed the temporal series of single-cell
transcriptomes of progenitors regarding ferret corticogenesis and, found a conserved diversity
and temporal trajectory between human and ferret NPC, despite the large timescale difference.
We found truncated RG (tRG) in ferret cortical development, a progenitor subtype previously
described in humans. The combination of *in silico* and *in vivo* analyses identified that tRG
differentiate into both ependymal and astrogenic cells. Via transcriptomic comparison, we
predict that this is also the case in humans. Our findings suggest that tRG plays a role in the
formation of adult ventricles, thereby providing the architectural bases for brain expansion.

Keywords:

Single-cell transcriptome / neural stem cell / brain development / ferret / human / neurogenesis
/ truncated radial glia.

INTRODUCTION

A vast diversity of neurons and glia form functional neural circuits during the development of
the cerebral cortex in mammals. These cells are progressively generated from multipotent
neural stem cells, termed radial glia (RG), following genetic processes common across species,
from initially neurons of the deep layers (DL), then neurons of the upper layers (UL), and
finally glial cells, astrocytes, or oligodendrocytes (**Fig. S1A, S1B**; Rowitch and Kriegstein,
2010). These processes are also spatially organized with RG divisions in the ventricular zone
(VZ), IPC-neuron differentiation in the sub-VZ (SVZ), and neuron migration to the cortical
plate in an inside-out manner. In many mammalian phylogenic states, cerebral cortex evolved
to gain an additional germinal layer (outer SVZ (OSVZ); Smart et al, 2002), where extensive
neurogenesis and gliogenesis of oRG occur (Hansen *et al*, 2010; Fietz *et al*, 2010; Reillo *et al*,
2011; Gertz & Kriegstein, 2015) resulting in the amplification of neuronal and glial populations
in the cortex (**Fig. S1**; Rash et al, 2019). On the other hand, a new subtype of NPC in the VZ
has recently been reported in humans and rhesus macaques (deAzevedo et al, 2003;

Nowakowski et al, 2016; Sidman and Rakic, 1973), lacking the basal attachment and is

therefore termed truncated RG (tRG). However, how widely tRG appears in gyrencephalic (or

even lissencephalic) mammal development, what mechanisms underlie their formation, and

what they produce remain unknown. As distinct NPC subtypes may possess different capacities

to generate differentiated progenies (Huang et al, 2020; Rash et al, 2019), it would be critical

to find answers to those questions to understand commonality and diversity in the mammalian

brain evolution.

Genetic manipulation of individual cell types *in vivo* and single-cell transcriptome analysis are

two major and successful approaches in revealing the properties of cells, such as their

proliferation and differentiation. Thus, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of the human

brain during development has been extensively performed (Herring *et al*, 2022; Bhaduri et al,

2020, 2021; Huang et al, 2020; Johnson et al, 2015; Liu et al, 2017; Polioudakis et al, 2019;

Pollen et al, 2015; Zhong et al, 2018). However, the *in vivo* behavior in humans and other

primates and the underlying mechanisms remain less explored owing to the limited

experimental access to the developing primate cortices. Particularly, resources available for the

late human embryonic brain are extremely rare due to ethical challenges. Also, studies using

brain organoids face issues in recapitulating the specification and maturation of cell types

during human brain development (Bhaduri et al, 2020). In this context, the ferret (*Mustela*

putorius furo) is highlighted as a suitable animal model, which compensates for the difficulties

in studying human cortical development. Ferrets are carnivores that develop common

gyrencephalic features, such as the OSVZ and a folded brain, and are frequently used in

mammalian models of brain development and circuit formation because neurogenesis

continues in their early neonatal stages (Chapman & Stryker, 1992; McConnell, 1988; Noctor

et al, 1997; Jackson *et al*, 1989). Since this species is experimentally manipulable, recent

studies have developed *in vivo* gene manipulation and editing technology using *in utero*

electroporation (IUE; Matsui et al, 2013; Kawasaki et al, 2012; Tsunekawa et al, 2016;

timelapse imaging; McConnell, 1995; Chenn & McConnell, 1995). Furthermore, ferrets

showed severe microcephalic phenotypes via *ASPM* (*Abnormal Spindle-like Microcephaly-*

associated gene) knockout (Johnson et al, 2018; Kou et al, 2015), which greatly differs from a

minor phenotype in mouse *ASPM* knockout mutants (Capecchi and Pozner, 2015; Fujimori et

al, 2014; Jayaraman et al, 2016; Pulvers et al, 2010). This remarkable finding suggests the

presence of mechanisms underlying brain enlargement and circuit complexity shared by

gyrencephalic species to some extent. Results from transcriptome profiling of ferret cortical

cells has revealed regional differences in germinal layers and cell-type composition (Johnson et al, 2018; de Juan Romero et al, 2015). However, because of the incomplete genomic information, especially due to the lack of genetic models, the databases with ferret data have been less reliable than those with human or mice data. This has posed a limit for the accurate comparison of single cell transcriptomes between ferrets and humans. Hence, the temporal pattern of molecular signatures of ferret NPC remains largely unexplored at single-cell resolution. Comparison of progenitor subtypes and sequential events at the single-cell transcriptome level regarding development between ferrets and humans will greatly help to recognize common and species-specific mechanisms underlying the construction of a complex brain.

In this study, we comprehensively analyzed the developmental dynamics of progenitor populations during ferret corticogenesis to clarify shared or species-specific mechanisms of corticogenesis in gyrencephalic mammals and found that ferrets generate tRG at late neurogenic and early gliogenic stages like humans and other primates. Analysis of the pseudotime trajectory and temporal histochemical pattern suggested that tRG generate ependymal and astrogenic cell fates. Then, we compared temporal series of ferret and human single cell transcriptomes (Bhaduri et al, 2021; Nowakowski et al, 2017). Remarkably, we found homologous temporal progenitor trajectories irrespective of the large differential corticogenesis timescale. This study combining rich ferret and human transcriptome data with *in vivo* analysis using ferrets emphasizes the value of single-cell ferret transcriptome datasets.

RESULTS

Temporal patterns of neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the cerebral cortex of ferrets

First, we confirmed histochemically the spatial and temporal pattern of RG, oRG, and IPC and the appearance of diverse cortical neurons to determine the period in which samples were to be taken for scRNA-seq (**Fig. S1**). While neurogenesis mostly terminated by P5 in the dorsal cortex (**Fig. S1A, S1B**), oligodendrocyte progenitors of dorsal origin (OLIGO2$^+$) and RG with gliogenic potential (GFAP$^+$) became detectable approximately at E40 and increased in number postnatally (**Fig. S1C, S1D**). Based on these observations, we decided to examine single cell transcriptomes at embryonic days E25, E34, and E40 and postnatal days P5 and P10, which recapitulate neurogenesis and gliogenesis.

Single-cell RNA-seq revealed subtypes of cortical cells in ferrets

To identify the temporal trajectories of cell types in the course of ferret brain development (schematically represented in **Fig. 1A**), we performed single-cell RNA-sequencing of ferret dorsal cortex at the six developmental time points described above (**Fig. 1**). Despite several previous transcriptome studies on the ferret cortex (Johnson et al, 2018; de Juan Romero et al, 2015), information on the ferret genome is incomplete (specifically, poor information regarding the 3′-untranslated region of genes), which has impeded high resolution single-cell transcriptome analyses that can offer an accurate comparison with human datasets. Thus, we first improved the annotations of ferret genomic DNA sequences using Chromium droplet sequencing, which tagged all contigs from a long genomic DNA in a droplet, and constructed new gene models based on the improved genomic annotations (**Table S1, see also Materials and Methods**). We performed scRNA-seq using cell populations isolated in two ways to enrich the progenitor subtypes (**Fig. 1A**): (1) FACS-based sorting of the neural stem cell fraction labeled with an AzamiGreen (AG)-driven *HES5* promoter (Ohtsuka et al, 2006) and (2) collecting cells forming the VZ, SVZ, and intermediate zones (IZ) of cerebral cortices after discarding the cortical plate (CP, **Fig. 1B, S2A**). We combined these two cell populations on all the sampling stages for unbiased clustering. **Figure 1C** shows cell clustering projected in the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) space (preprint: McInnes et al, 2018; Stuart et al, 2019). We characterized 26 transcriptionally distinct clusters from 30,234 ferret cortical cells through corticogenesis and detected up to 2,600 median genes per cell (**Fig. S2B, S2C; Table S1**). After combined clustering of the two collectives of cell populations prepared by independent methods, we re-separated cells into each collective at each stage, and confirmed a reproducibility of clustering between two different collectives (**Fig. S2D**).

We also examined whether cells with high mitochondrial contents affect clustering of ferret single cells, because we have decided to include all cells that had less than 30% of mitochondrial genes in our analysis based on the percentage of reads mapped on the mitochondrial genome; we found that the majority of cells in each cell type had a value less than 5% while some cells contained them in the range between 0% and 10%, up to a maximum of 28% (**Fig, S2B**). We comfirmed that single cells after filtering cells with the threshold of 10% mitochondrial content (28,686 cells in our dataset) and those with the threshold of 30% (**Fig.1C**), provided a similar clustering patterns with each other, both of which 26 clusters (**Fig. S3C**) indicating that the current selection of cells with the mitochondrial contents is appropriate.

Cell clusters were annotated according to their specific gene expression patterns (**Fig. 1D, 1E, S3A, Table S1**) and assigned into ten cell types: RG (early, mid, and late), IPC, OPC, ependymal cells, excitatory cortical neurons (DL and UL), inhibitory neurons (ITN), microglia, endothelial cells, mural cells, and unknown cells (**Fig. 1C**). RG, IPC, and neuronal clusters were aligned according to the neuronal differentiation process in the UMAP plot (**Fig. 1C**). RG cells were classified into three clusters (early, mid, and late) according to their collection stages and also the expression of temporally altered RG markers reported previously (hereafter named temporal markers) (Okamoto et al, 2016; Telley *et al*, 2019). The "early RG" clusters comprised E25 cells while the "mid RG" clusters, mostly E34 cells (**Fig. S3B**). Early and late RG, and IPC were subdivided into three subclusters that expressed different cell cycle markers (**Fig. S3D**).

Remarkably, we identified a small cluster (409 cells) of *PAX6*-expressing RG subtype, 69% of which expressed *CRYAB* (**Fig. 1F**). *CRYAB*, encoding a molecular chaperone (Yamamoto et al, 2014) is a unique marker for human tRG (Nowakowski et al, 2016); therefore, we designated them as tRG-like cells in ferrets. In contrast, oRG cells failed to be distinguished from ventricular RG cells (vRG) in ferrets by unbiased clustering alone (see below). HOPX, a typical marker for oRG in human tissues (**Fig. 1G, S3E**; Pollen et al, 2015), has been indeed detected in both oRG and vRG at late stages *in vivo* in ferrets (**Fig. S3E**; Johnson et al, 2018; Kawaue et al, 2019).

tRG emerge around birth during the development of somatosensory cortex in ferrets

The presence of a tRG-like cluster in ferret transcriptomes led us to investigate the properties of the cells in this cluster. To examine their cell morphology, we sparsely labeled NPC by electroporating P0 embryos with an expression vector for enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and found a cell population showing major characteristics of human tRG cells (Nowakowski et al, 2016): expression of CRYAB and PAX6 with an apical endfoot and truncated basal fiber in the VZ during late neurogenesis (**Fig. 2A, 2B, Supplementary movie1, 2**). CRYAB expression emerged shortly prior to birth (day 41), gradually increasing in cell number, and became mostly restricted to tRG-shaped cells in the VZ and SVZ (**Fig. 2C, 2D, S4A**). These CRYAB$^+$ cells were mostly post-mitotic (KI67$^-$) and neither IPC (TBR2$^+$) nor

OPC (OLIG2$^+$) at P10, while a small fraction of those cells expressed these markers at P5 (**Fig.**

2E–2G). These histochemical observations were consistent with our single-cell transcriptome

data (**Fig. S4A–F**). Altogether, we concluded that tRG-like cells in ferrets are equivalent to

human tRG cells (as also confirmed by transcriptomic comparison between humans and ferrets,

shown later). A difference in the late neurogenic cortex between humans and ferrets is that the

VZ and OSVZ are connected by conventional RG cells in ferrets (**Fig. S4G**), but separated in

humans due to the disappearance of conventional RG cells (Nowakowski et al, 2016).

Temporal fates of RG cells are predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis

To understand the relationship between various cortical progenitors in the developing ferret

brain, particularly in the origin and fate of ferret tRG, pseudo-time trajectory analysis was

performed. Single cells that had been subjected to single-cell transcriptome analyses (from E25

to P10) were unbiasedly ordered along a trajectory based on their transcriptome profiles (**Fig.**

S5A, S5B). To simplify our analysis, we first excluded interneurons, microglia, endothelial

cells, and excitatory neuronal clusters. Subsequently, 6,000 single cells were randomly selected

from the remaining cell population for further analysis. The pseudo-time analysis predicted a

reasonable trajectory consisting of three branching points that generated seven states (**Fig. 3A,**

S5B). We assigned major cell types for each state based on the cell clusters defined by UMAP

analysis (**Fig. 1C, Table S2**). The trajectory successfully predicted cortical development in the

somatosensory area, along which $HES1^+$ stem cells shift their features from the earlier to the

later stages, generating branches of differentiated cells (**Fig. 3B, 3C, S5D**). Major $HES1^+$ stem

cell states (state 1, 3, 5 and 7) contained cells at different stages; thus termed NPC1, 2, 3 and

astrogenic, respectively (**Fig. S5C**). NPC1 (mostly from E25) bifurcated into the neuronally

differentiated lineage and NPC2 state (**Fig. S5B**), which produced the OPC lineage and NPC3

state at the second branching point. When neurogenesis gradually declined after birth, the

NPC3 state, mainly consisting of late RG cells and tRG (**Fig. S5C**), adopted two states, state 6

with an ependymal fate ($FOXJ1^+$, $SPARCL1^+$, $AQP4^+$) and state 7 with astrogenic fate ($FOXJ1^-$,

$SPARCL1^+$, $AQP4^+$), as judged by the combination of late fate markers (Liu et al, 2022;

Saadoun and Papadopoulos, 2010; Yu et al, 2008, **Fig. 2A, 3D**).

Remarkably, tRG cell population was distributed into three states along the pseudo-time

trajectory (**Fig. 3E, 3F**): NPC3 (mainly during E40–P1), astrogenic (P1–P10), and ependymal

(P5–P10) states, suggesting that tRG prenatally arise as precursors of ependyma and astroglia

in the ferret cortex (see below). Different territories were assigned into these three states in the
UMAP even when we examined the entire tRG population (409 cells) before making a random
selection of 6,000 cells for pseudotime trajectory analysis, ensuring the reliability of this
categorization of tRG (**Fig. 3E**).

tRG cells possess ependymal and gliogenic potential during cortical development in
ferrets
To test the hypothesis that tRG generate both ependymal cells and astroglia populations, we
first examined the expression of an ependymal marker, FOXJ1, a master regulator of
ciliogenesis, according to CRYAB expression in the VZ. We observed that CRYAB$^+$ tRG
cells gradually co-expressed FOXJ1, reaching up to 90% co-expression by P10 (**Fig. 4A,**
4B). Similarly, our transcriptome data showed that the fraction of *CRYAB-FOXJ1* double-
positive cells increased in the tRG cluster from P1 to P10, whereas other RG clusters
maintained low *CRYAB* expression (**Fig. 4C, 4D**). From P5 onwards, differentiating
ependymal cells (*CRYAB-FOXJ1* double-positive) accumulated within the VZ (**Fig. 4A**).
These cells often align their nuclei in parallel to the ventricular surface, near which nuclear
lined aggregates are observed more frequently (between two arrowheads in P5 and P10 in
Fig. 4A, 4B), and their cell body finally settled on the apical surface with short basal fibers
by P14 (**Fig. 4E**). These data indicate that a majority of tRG cells progressively upregulates
FOXJ1 expression to adopt an ependymal cell fate during postnatal development.
Concomitantly, adenine cyclase III expression in both primary and multiciliated cells
indicated that ciliogenesis progressed postnatally, forming multi-ciliated ependymal cells on
the ventricular surface of the ferret cortex by P35 (**Fig. S6A**).

Moreover, a fraction of tRG belongs to the state 7 (astrogenic state) in the pseudo-time
trajectory (**Fig. 3E, 3F**). We examined whether these tRG cells differentiate into astrogenic
cells. We chose *FOXJ1* as an ependymal marker and *SPARCL1* as an early astroglial marker
based on a recent study in mice (Liu *et al*, 2022). While *SPARCL1* is expressed in all states
generated by NPC2 (**Fig. S6B**), *SPARCL1* expression is highest in astrogenic tRG among the
three tRG subgroups, whereas *FOXJ1* expression is nearly exclusive to ependymal tRG (**Fig.**
4F). Furthermore, cells expressing high levels of *SPARCL1* do not express *FOXJ1* (**Fig. 4G,**
Table S3). These features of the astrogenic tRG are essentially the same as those of the
astrogenic state (state 7) of the pseudo-time trajectory (**Fig. 3D**). Consistently, whereas

FOXJ1^{+} cells were located close to the apical surface, those expressing *SPARCL1* were
observed relatively far from the apical surface in the VZ (**Fig. 4H, 4I, S6C, Table S4**).
Altogether, our analyses strongly suggest that tRG cells adopt both the ependymal and
astrogenic fates.

Ferrets and humans show a homologous developmental transcriptomic profile of
progenitor subtypes
Both ferrets and humans are positioned in distinct phylogenetic branches; both represent
complex brain features of gyrencephalic mammals. Therefore, we compared our temporal
series of ferret single-cell transcriptomes with a previously published human dataset
(Nowakowski et al, 2017) to examine which processes are species-specific or common for the
two species. We merged the two datasets by pairing mutual nearest neighbor cells (MNN)
following canonical correlation analysis (CCA) across species (Stuart and Butler et al, 2019)
and found that many cell types, including RG, IPC, OPC, and neurons, were clustered together
(**Fig. 5A, 6B, S7A**). Temporal patterns and variety of neural progenitors during cortical
developments were similar to each other between humans and ferrets at the single cell
transcriptome level. Nonetheless, the timescales of cortical development significantly differed:
on the UMAP plot, ferret E25 cells closely distributed with human GW8 cells; ferret E34, with
human GW11–14; ferret E40–P1, with human GW15–16; and ferret P5–P10, with human
GW17–22 (**Fig. 5B**).

Next, we compared the similarities among individual RG subtypes across species. To quantify
the features of an RG subtype, we introduced the parameter "genescore" (Bhaduri et al, 2020),
which reflected the enrichment and specificity of a marker gene for a given cluster (see
Materials and Methods). Comparison of genescores of two arbitrary RG subtypes between
two species revealed a high correlation in the early and late RG clusters (vRG, and oRG for
the human dataset) across species (**Fig. 5C, 5D, Table S5**).

tRG cells also showed a remarkable similarity between the two species (**Fig. 5C, 5D**), as
represented by a high level of expression for the combination of *CRYAB*, *EGR1,* and *CYR61*
(**Fig. 5E**). To better examine this similarity, we defined the "cluster score" for individual cells
as a linear combination of the expression level of marker genes in a cell, which is weighted by
its genescore in the human cluster of interest. Calculation of the cluster score for ferret tRG

using genescores of the human tRG cluster resulted in a higher score than any other cluster in
both datasets (**Fig. 5F**). These results confirm, via transcriptomics, that the ferret tRG cluster
is very close to the human one.

Transcriptional analysis of human tRG subtypes by integration with ferret tRG subtypes
Next, we assessed whether human tRG cells also possessed ependymal and gliogenic potential,
as observed in ferret cells. We chose a recently published human dataset at GW25 (Bhaduri et
al, 2021) for comparison because the GW25 dataset includes more tRG cells than the previous
datasets. After excluding neurons and other cell types from the analysis, we identified
respective human clusters for tRG and oRG cells based on their marker gene expression (**Fig.
6A, Table S6**). Then, we merged human and ferret NPCs (**Fig. 6B, 6C**) as described before
(**Fig. 5A**). This procedure revealed that human tRG and oRG share similar transcriptomes with
ferret tRG and later RG, respectively (**Fig. S8A, S8B**). It is worth noting that early RG in ferrets
showed the highest similarity with "OLIG1" in human data, and no cell type in human data
corresponded to ferret "midRG," likely because only GW25 cells were used for comparison
(**Fig. S8B**).

The integration of ferret and human datasets showed that tRG from both species were mainly
distributed into three different clusters: 7, 21, and 28 (**Fig. 6F, S8C**). Cluster 7 cells highly
expressed late onset RG genes (such as *APOE*, *FABP7*, *NOTCH2*, and *DBI*; **Fig. S8D**),
suggesting that tRG cells in cluster 7 were presumably at a late RG-like state, being not yet
committed to neither astrogenic nor ependymal fates (mostly in the NPC 3 state in the ferret
pseudo-time trajectory; **Fig 3A, 3E**). In contrast, cells in clusters 21 and 28 highly expressed
marker genes for the astrocyte (*GFAP* and *AQP4*), and the ependymal (*FOXJ*1) clusters,
respectively (**Fig. S8D, Table S6**). Then, we confirmed that the three states of ferret tRG cells,
committed to the astroglia, ependymal fates and uncommitted states, were largely assigned to
clusters 21, 28 and 7, respectively (**Fig. 6G**), guaranteeing the reliability of this method. Thus,
our results raise the possibility that tRG cells in humans possessed the potential to generate
astrocytes and ependymal cells as suggested for ferret tRG. This analysis also reveals that the
proportion of the three subtypes of tRG was different between human and ferret datasets (**Fig.
6D–F**), possibly due to the differences in developmental stages between the two datasets in
terms of tRG fate, while the two presumptively committed tRG are very minor populations in
humans (see Discussion).

Human cortical organoids lack tRG population

Human cortical organoids are a powerful model for understanding cortical development (Eiraku *et al*, 2008). It is, therefore, important to know how developing ferret cortices resemble human cortical organoids especially regarding to features shared by human tissues and ferrets. We then searched tRG cells existing two datasets for cortical organoids (Bhaduri et al., 2020; Herring et al., 2022), focusing on CRYAB-expressing cells (**Fig. S8A-D**). We failed to find organoid-derived CRYAB-expressing cells that overlapped with tRG cells from the human primary tissues (Bhaduri et al., 2020; **Fig. S8C, D**), nor CRYAB-expressing cells present in the dataset (Herring et al., 2022; **Fig. S8E**). Our analyses thus suggest that tRG-like populations seem to be lacking in available organoid datasets.

Prediction of ferret oRG-like cells via the identification of cells homologous to human oRG cells

As mentioned before, oRG could not be identified as a separate cluster in the ferret dataset (**Fig. 1**). We attempted to assign oRG-like cells in the ferret dataset using human oRG cells as anchors via the integration of two datasets by the MNN after CCA (**Fig. 6B**). We could assign oRG-like cells, which were located near the human oRG cluster (**Fig. 6H**). To assess the degree of similarity to human oRG, we calculated the oRG score for each ferret oRG-like cell as we did for tRG (**Fig. 5F**). The assigned oRG-like cells had significantly higher oRG cluster scores than all other NPCs (**Fig. 6I**). Furthermore, we searched genes that were highly expressed in oRG-like cells by comparing oRG-like cells and other NPCs in the ferret dataset (**Table S6**). Consistent with the human dataset (Bhaduri *et al*, 2021), the expression of *HOPX, CLU,* and *CRYM* was higher in oRG-like cells than in other ferret NPCs (**Fig. 6J, S9E**). However, human oRG markers such as *HOPX* and *CLU* were also expressed by vRG and tRG in ferrets (**Fig. S3D, S9F**). Therefore, oRG at the transcriptome level can only be distinguished by the similarity with the whole transcriptome or a combination of several markers, but not by a few marker genes.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide a ferret dataset of single-cell transcriptomes of neural progenitors covering the entire cortical neurogenenesis and early gliogenic phase, revealing their diversity and temporal patterns during cortical development. Comparison of these features between ferrets and humans (Nowakowski *et al*, 2017; Bhaduri *et al*, 2021) indicated at a high resolution that these two gyrencephalic mammals shared a large proportion of progenitor variations and

their temporal sequences despite their extremely different neurogenesis timescales. It is
remarkable that tRG is conserved between these two phylogenetically distant species. A ferret
model allowed us to identify the mode of tRG generation, to predict its descendant fates and to
analyze cell dynamics in tissues. These findings have not been realized by previous studies,
which revaluate ferrets as a valuable model species to study the neural development of
gyrencephalic animals. This comparison could not be performed unless genomic information,
especially genome-wide gene models, was greatly improved; there had been sufficient genomic
information accumulated for ferrets, but mainly for their coding regions. However, to carry out
a large scale of single cell RNA seq quantitatively with minimizing the loss of transcript species,
accurate 3'-UTR sequences are indispensable, because typical single cell RNAseq platforms
are based on oligo-dT-primed cDNA synthesis. We made tremendous efforts to get improved
gene models that include 3'-UTR sequences, making it possible for us to compare single cell
transcriptome of ferret cortical progenitors with a huge volume of single cell transcriptome
datasets with high qualities.
375

The fate of tRG progenies
Our pseudotime trajectory analyses and immunohistochemistry analyses suggested that ferret
tRG cells differentiate into ependymal cells and astrogenic cells. Although the number tRG
cells used in the trajectory analysis was limited (167 cells; **Fig. 3**), three tRG subtypes,
"precommitted", "ependymal" and "astrogenic" tRG, also formed three distinct territories
within the original tRG cluster that consisted of 409 cells on UMAP, reflecting a consistent
heterogeneity within tRG cluster. This mode of ependymal cell formation is likely parallel to
that of the bifurcation of ependymal cells and adult neural stem cells from the same progenitor
population in the striatum on the ventral side (Ortiz-Álvarez *et al*, 2019).
385

Comparison of human with ferrets in corticogenesis
Our cross-species comparative analysis predict that tRG in humans also differentiate into
ependymal and astroglial cells although there is no *in vivo* evidence yet (**Fig. 6E**). Furthermore,
the rare presence of two presumptively committed tRG subgroups in humans makes this
prediction tentative at present. If this prediction is the case, the uncommitted tRG fraction is
dominant in GW25 human brains unlike in ferret brains, suggesting that human tRG at GW25
progresses along the differentiation axis less than ferret tRG at P5–P10, most of which are
already committed to either ependymal or astrogenic states.
While GW25 has been almost at the latest stages experimentally available from human

embryonic brain tissues due to ethical reasons, Herring et al. recently reported a large dataset
that spans late embryonic to postnatal development in human prefrontal cortex, in which we
were not able to detect a tRG population nor other progenitor subtypes, as well as an ependymal
cell type (Herring *et al*, 2022), possibly due to regional differences in the collected samples
from our ferret dataset. Thus, this postnatal dataset does not seem to be appropriate to compare
with our ferret dataset or even human prenatal dataset (Bhaduri et al. 2021) to follow tRG
progenies (**Fig. S9**). More enrichment of datasets in the human lateral cortices at the
developmental stages after GW25 onward are necessary to examine whether our results
obtained from ferrets regarding tRG progeny fates can be generalized.

Commonalities and differences among characteristics of neural progenitors between
human ferrets.

In this study, our transcriptomic data supported the notion that ferrets are a good model
system to find mechanisms commonly (at least in both primates and carnivores) underlying the
cortical development in gyrencephalic mammals. At the same time, we acknowledge the
differences in corticogenesis between ferrets and humans. Most prominently, the time scale of
corticogenesis progression is greatly different between the two species, while the variety of
NPCs and their temporal patterns of appearances and differentiation exhibit similarities. In
addition, the organization of cortical scaffold seems differ from each other; in human
corticogenesis, two major germinal layers, the VZ and OSVZ, become segregated during the
expansion phase of tRG in the neurogenic stage, due to the loss of vRG, which extend from the
ventricular surface to the laminar surface, passing through the OSVZ (Nowakowski *et al*, 2016).
In contrast, ferrets maintain vRG with a long radial fiber in VZ alongside with tRG cells, even
beyond the expansion phase of tRG (**Figure 2A, S4G**).

Models as human cortical development

Our preliminary analysis of two datasets from human cortical organoids suggests the lack
of tRG-like cells and potentially their progeny cell types (**Fig. S8**, Bhaduri et al., 2020; Herring
et al., 2022). This situation might be improved by optimizing culture protocols. If tRG and
ependymal cells, one of tRG progeny, are generated in human organoids, as we found in ferrets,
it would represent a significant advancement in the human organoid model because ependyma
are a fundamental structure for ventricular formation. Further studies using human cortical
organoids are expected to be able to generate tRG, and to examine its progeny fates.
In ferrets, genetic manipulations can be achieved through *in utero* or postnatal

electroporation, as well as via virus-mediated transfer of DNA (Borrell, 2010; Kawasaki *et al*,

2012; Matsui *et al*, 2013; Tsunekawa *et al*, 2016). Thus, it is theoretically possible to disrupt

the *CRYAB* gene *in vivo* in ferrets to investigate its role in tRG and their progeny, including

ependymal cells, and track the tRG lineage. If the *CRYAB* gene is essential to form ependymal

layers, we will be able to explore how the ventricle contributes to cortical folding and

expansion. Despite extensive efforts over a year, we have thus far been unsuccessful in

knocking in and/or knocking out the *CRYAB* gene. Nevertheless, we anticipate that technical

advances will surpass our expectations, both in ferret and human organoids. Taken together,

these functional studies in ferrets as well as in human organoids hold promising insights into

the understanding of the tRG lineage and its contribution to cortical development in the near

future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ferret brain tissue samples

Pregnant ferrets were purchased from Marshall BioResources. We also bred them to maintain

a population that is sufficient to regularly get pregnant females in the animal facility of RIKEN

Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research under the license given from Marshall

BioResources. All procedures during animal experiments were performed in accordance with

the legal and institutional ethical regulations of RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics

Research.

Ferret pups are born at day 41 or day 42 of gestation. The day of birth was counted as postnatal

day 0 (P0). Ferret embryos and pups were euthanized prior to brain removal.

Samples used for single cell RNA-sequencing were obtained from 1 or 2 pups for each

developmental stage: embryonic days 25, 34 and 40 and postnatal days 1, 5 and 10. Samples

used for immunohistology-based quantifications were obtained from 2 or 3 pups from different

mothers. Samples used for a time-lapse imaging were obtained from 1 animal per experiment,

which is performed 4 times, independently.

METHOD DETAILS

Gene model construction of the ferret genome

In this study, we used a ferret genome reference with expanded reference gene annotations with
DDBJ (detail see below). Briefly, gene models were constructed using Chromium by tagging
all fragments from a long genomic DNA in a droplet, so that sequences from long genomic
DNAs could be successfully aligned to cover so far unconnected genomic regions in the NCBI
(NCBI Assembly ID: 286418 (MusPutFur1.0)) database. Detailed information after mapping
is listed in the Supplementary Table S1B.
468
RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing
Total RNA was extracted from the embryonic tissues (Supplementary Table S7) using the
RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). Concentration and length distribution of the RNA were checked
with the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
the RNA 6000 Nano Kit on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Sequencing libraries
were prepared using 1 μg of total RNA with the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit
(Illumina) and the TruSeq single-index adaptor (Illumina), except for the sample 'gonad and
mesonephros' (see Supplementary Table S7) for which 500 ng of total RNA was used. The
RNA was fragmented at 94°C for either 8 minutes or 2 minutes, which resulted in libraries
with variable insert size distributions (Hara *et al*, 2015). The optimal numbers of PCR cycles
were pre-determined using the KAPA Real-Time Library Amplification Kit (Roche) as
described previously (Tanegashima *et al*, 2018). Libraries were sequenced with the Rapid Run
mode of HiSeq1500 (Illumina) using the HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit ver. 2 (Illumina) and the
HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2-HS (Illumina) to produce paired-end reads of 127 nucleotides. Base
calling was performed with RTA ver. 1.18.64, and the fastq files were generated with bcl2fastq
ver. 1.8.4 (Illumina).
485
Genomic DNA extraction and Chromium genome library construction
Genomic DNA was extracted from a liver of an adult female ferret using the CHEF Mammalian
Genomic DNA Plug Kit (BioRad, Cat. No. #1703591). In brief, the liver tissue of about 50 mg
was homogenized in PBS (-) with a Dounce tissue grinder on ice, fixed with ethanol, and
subsequently embedded in low melting point agarose gel (BioRad). After the protein digestion
with Proteinase K (QIAGEN, Cat. No. #158920) and RNA digestion with RNase A (QIAGEN,
Cat. No. #158924), the gel plugs were further digested by Agarase (Life Technologies, Cat.
No. #EO0461). DNA was purified by the drop dialysis method using the MF-Millipore
Membrane Filter (Merck Millipore, Cat. No. #VCWP04700). Length distribution of the
genomic DNA was analyzed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, which exhibited an average

length of over 2 Mbp. The Chromium library was constructed using the Chromium Genome

Reagent Kit v1 Chemistry (10x Genomics, Cat No. #PN-120216), and sequencing was

performed with an Illumina HiSeq X to obtain paired-end 151 nt-long reads.

<u>Genome assembly</u>

De novo genome assembly using the Chromium linked-reads was performed with Supernova

ver. 2.0.0 (10x Genomics) with the default parameters, and the resultant sequences were output

with the option 'pseudohap'. Detection and masking of repetitive sequences were performed

using RepeatMasker ver. 4.0.7 (Smit et al, 2013-2015) with NCBI RMBlast ver. 2.6.0+ and the

species-specific repeat library from RepBase ver. 23.01.

<u>Gene model construction</u>

Gene models for gene expression level quantification were constructed in the three following

steps. First, ab initio gene prediction was performed by BRAKER ver. 2.0.5 (Hoff *et al*, 2019).

In this process, GeneMark-ET ver. 4.33 (Lomsadze *et al*, 2014) with the information of spliced

RNA-seq alignment was used for training, and AUGUSTUS ver. 3.3 (Stanke *et al*, 2008) with

the parameters of `UTR=on, species=human` was used for gene prediction. For the

abovementioned training of BRAKER, the RNA-seq reads were aligned to the repeat-masked

genome sequences by STAR ver. 2.5.4a (Dobin *et al*, 2013) with default parameters using the

entire set of RNA-seq reads of various tissue types (Supplementary Table S7). Second, the

information of RNA-seq alignment was directly incorporated into the gene models to improve

the coverage of the 3' UTR region of each gene which is not reliably predicted in ab initio gene

prediction. This computation was performed with HISAT2 ver. 2.1.0 (Kim *et al*, 2019) and

StringTie ver. 1.3.4d (Kovaka *et al*, 2019), whose output GTF file was merged with the gene

models produced by BRAKER using the merge function of StringTie. Finally, the existing

transcript sequences of MusPutFur1.0 available at NCBI RefSeq (GCF_000215625.1) was

incorporated into the gene models by mapping their sequences to the repeat-masked genome

sequences using GMAP ver. 2017-11-15 (Wu & Watanabe, 2005) with the `not report chimeric

alignments` option. The gene name of each locus was adopted from the annotation in RefSeq,

and the gene name of a newly predicted locus was assigned according to the results of a

BLASTX search against the UniProtKB Swiss-Prot database release 2020_01. The assignment

was performed only for genes with a bit score (in the abovementioned BLASTX search) of

greater than 60. When a locus has multiple transcripts, the one with the highest score was

adopted for gene naming. If a transcript estimated by ab initio prediction bridged multiple

genes, they were incorporated into the gene models as separate genes.

<u>Completeness assessment of assemblies</u>

To assess the continuity of the genome assembly and gene space completeness of the gene

models, gVolante ver. 1.2.1 (Nishimura *et al*, 2017) was used with the CEGMA ortholog search

pipeline and the reference orthologues gene set CVG (Hara *et al*, 2015).

***In utero* and postnatal electroporation**

In utero electroporation (IUE) and postnatal electroporation in ferrets was performed as

described previously (Kawasaki *et al*, 2012; Matsui *et al*, 2013; Tsunekawa *et al*, 2016 for IUE;

Borrell, 2010 for postnatal electroporation) with modifications. Briefly, pregnant ferrets or

ferret pups were anesthetized with isoflurane at indicated stages of the development. The

location of lateral ventricles was visualized with transmitted light delivered through an optical

fiber cable. Three µl of plasmid DNA solution was injected into the lateral ventricle at indicated

developmental stages using an injector. Each embryo or pup was placed between the paddles

of electrodes, and was applied a voltage pulse of 45V at the duration from 100 msec to 900

msec (100,0 Pon and 1000,0 Poff) 10 times for in utero electroporation, and under the same

condition except a voltage of 60V for postnatal electroporation (CUY21 electroporator, Nepa

Gene).

Plasmids

To assure a stabilized expression of transgenes, we combined expression vectors with a

hyperactive piggyBac transposase system to integrate expression vectors into the genome for

a stable expression (Yusa *et al*, 2011). To enrich neural progenitor cell populations in "AG"

samples used for the single-cell transcriptome analysis, pLR5-Hes5-d2-AzamiGreen (0.5

µg/µl; Hes5 promoter was gifted from Kageyama Laboratory; Ohtsuka *et al*, 2006) was

electroporated at E30 for E40, or at E34 for postnatal samples, together with pCAX-hyPBase

(0.5 µg/µl). Among them, for P1 and P10 samples, pPB-LR5-mCherry (0.5 µg/µl) was also

included in the DNA solution.

To sparsely label the cell cytoplasm for detailed imaging of individual cells on vibratome-cut

thick cortical sections, we have used pPB-LR5-floxstop-EGFP combined with a low

concentration of Cre-expressing plasmid. The concentrations used for these plasmids were 0.5

µg/µl or 1.0 µg/µl for a GFP labeling and 1 ng/µl or 10 ng/µl of Cre-expressing plasmid for P0

or P5 samples, respectively (Figure 2A). For all electroporation experiments, Fast Green

solution (0.1 mg/ml; Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was added into the freshly prepared

mixture of plasmid DNA to visualize the injection.

For in situ hybridization probes, the PCR product was inserted into pCR-BluntII-TOPO for

cloning and sequencing.

Library preparation for scRNAseq

10x v2 Chromium was performed on dissociated single cells according to the manufacturer's

protocol.

Single cell isolation and sorting of Azamigreen-expressing cells

Cell suspension was prepared as reported previously (Wu *et al*, 2022) with modifications.

Brains were collected at indicated stages, transferred in the ice-cold dissection Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) F12 and meninges were removed. Somatosensory area was

dissected from embryonic or postnatal cortices using an ophthalmic knife (15°) under a

dissection microscope. Sliced tissues were dissociated via enzymatic digestion with papain at

37℃ for 30 - 45 min in ice-cold Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (-) with EDTA (0.1

M). Dissociated cells were centrifuged at 1000xg for 5 min to remove papain by washing with

PBS and were resuspended in 0.375% BSA/HBSS (-), or in the sorting buffer (DMEM F12 +

GlutamaX (Thermo Fisher); 0.1 % of Penicillin/Streptomycin (Millipore); 20 ng/ml human

basic FGF, Peprotech; 1xB27 RA-, Gibco) for cell sorting (see below). Homogenous cell

suspension filtered through 35 µm strainer (Falcon).

To concentrate neural progenitor cell (NPC) populations at the expense of mature neurons, two

methods were used for each sample, except for E25, from which cells were collected using

whole somatosensory area:

1) "T" samples: the cortical plate (CP) of cortical sections were dissected out and a part of the

intermediate zone (IZ) was likely to be included in the discarded region.

2) "AG" samples: brains collected at indicated stages were electroporated in utero by

azamiGreen (AG)-expression vector under the control of Hes5 promoter. The latter method

allows the expression of AG in only NPC by the presence of a degradation signal "d2" in the

vector to degrade AG protein in HES5-negative differentiating progeny. Only "AG" samples

were processed with cell sorting and dissociated cell obtained as described above were placed

in a SH800 cell sorter (SONY) to sort azamigreen-positive cells in 0.375%BSA/HBSS(-)

solution. Cell survival and cell number were quantified by Countess or Countess II (Invitrogen),

prior to an application of single cell isolation using 10x v2 Chromium kit.

Samples from the same developmental stage, except for P10 "T" sample, were born from the

same mother and were collected by applying either of above methods on the same day and

processed in parallel.

Library preparation and Sequencing

Single-cell libraries were generated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell

suspensions were diluted for an appropriate concentration to obtain 3,000 cells per channel of

a 10X microfluidic chip device and were then loaded on the 10X Chromium chips accordingly

to the manufacturer's instructions.

Total cDNA integrity and quality were assessed with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

Libraries were sequenced on the HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina), or the TruSeq PE

Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS, to obtain paired-end 26 nt (Read 1)-98 nt (Read 2) reads.

Immunohistology and confocal imaging

Ferret brains were removed from embryos or pups and fixed for 1 or 2 overnights, respectively,

in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) at 4°C. P35

ferrets were transcardially perfused with cold PBS, followed by 4% PFA, under deep

anesthesia with isoflurane, then collected brains were post-fixed with 1% PFA. After the

fixation of brains or cortical tissue slices after a live imaging, they were equilibrated in 25%

sucrose overnight before embedding in O.C.T. compound (TissueTek, Sakura) and frozen in

liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were stored at -80°C prior to a coronal sectioning using a

cryostat (CM3050S Leica Microsystems, 12μm sections). After equilibration at room

temperature, sections were washed in PBS with 0.1% Tween (PBST), followed by a treatment

with an antigen retrieval solution of HistoVT one (Nacalai Tesque) diluted 10 times in milliQ

water, at 70°C for 20 min. Sections were then blocked 1 hr at the room temperature (RT) in

PBS with 2% Triton-X100 and 2% normal donkey serum (Sigma), followed by an incubation

overnight at 4°C with primary antibody diluted in the blocking solution. After washing in PBST

three times, sections were treated with appropriate fluorescence-conjugated secondary

antibodies (1:500) along with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 1:1,000) for 1 hr at room

temperature. Sections were washed again prior to mounting with PermaFluor solution (Therma

Fisher Scientific).

Immunostaining of thick ferret brain sections (200 µm) were performed as previously reported

(Tsunekawa et al., 2016). Briefly, ferret brains were fixed in 1%PFA, washed in PB overnight

at 4℃, and embedded in 4% low-melting agarose (UltraPure LMP agarose, Thermo Fischer

Scientific). Embedded brains were sliced coronally at 200 µm thickness by a vibratome

(LinerSlicer, DOSAKA EM) on ice. Floating sections were washed three times with PBST,

treated with the blocking solution for 1 hour at RT and incubated with primary antibodies for

5 or 6 overnights at 4℃ under shaking. Sections were then washed in PBST and treated with

secondary antibodies for 5 or 6 overnights at 4℃ under shaking. After washing, we mounted

brain slices with CUBIC solution 2 to allow the transparency.

Fluorescent images were acquired using an FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Japan).

Somatosensory cortices were captured with 20X or 100X objective lenses. When 100x

objective lens was used, z-stacks of confocal images were taken with an optical slice thickness

1.0 µm or 1.5 µm and MAX-projection images obtained by FiJi are shown.

All the antibodies used in this study are listed in Key Resources Table, and are used at

following concentrations: chicken anti-EGFP, 1:500; mouse anti-Alpha B Crystallin, 1:500;

Rabbit anti-FoxJ1, 1:500; goat anti-Olig2, 1:500; rat anti-Eomes, 1:500; rabbit anti-Pax6,

1:500; mouse anti-Pax6, 1:500; mouse anti Gfap, 1:500; rabbit anti-HopX, 1:500; rat anti-RFP,

1:500; goat anti-adenine cyclase III, 1:250; rat anti-Ctip2, 1:500; mouse anti-Satb2, 1:500, rat

anti-Ki67, 1:500.

Probe preparation

Primers for PCR targeting ferret *CLU* gene were designed with Primer3 ver 0.4.0 software.

Total RNA was isolated from embryonic ferret brain, collected in Trizol. cDNA was generated

from total RNA using the Prime Script 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (Takara) according to the

manufacturer's recommended procedure. Target cDNA was inserted into pCR-TOPOII-Blunt

plasmid for cloning and sequencing using M13F or M13R primers. Anti-sense cRNA probes

were then generated by in vitro transcription using T7 promoter.

In situ **hybridization**

ISH was performed as described previously, with some modifications (Mashiko *et al*, 2012).

Briefly, ferret brains were perfused in 4%PFA in PBS and were fixed at 4%PFA in 0.1M PB,

Fixed brain were incubated in 30% sucrose/4%PFA in 0.1M PB for at least 1 day and were

stored at -80℃ until ISH. Frozen brains were sectioned in coronal plane at 25 µm using cryostat

(CM3050S Leica Microsystems). Sections on slides were post-fixed at 4% PFA in 0.1M PB

and treated with proteinase K (Roche). Sections were hybridized with digoxigenin (DIG)-

labeled probes at 72° C overnight in hybridization solution. Sections were then washed and

blocked with donkey serum and incubated with pre-absorbed DIG antibody, conjugated to

Alkaline phosphatase for 2 hours at room temperature. Color development was performed in

solution containing NBT/BCIP (Roche).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR scRNAseq.

Statistical details including experimental n, statistical tests and significance are reported in

Figure Legends.

Alignment and raw processing of data

Fastq files were obtained from individual samples and were processed using Cell Ranger

pipeline v2. Alignment was done using "Cell Ranger count" function with default parameters

accordingly to manufacturer's instructions to map reads to the ferret reference (MPF_Kobe

2.0.27). The raw data for each set of cells within a sample was obtained by cellranger count

function and was read using Seurat "Read10X" function (Seurat v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019),

creating a matrix for unique molecular identified (UMI) counts of each gene within each cell.

Filtering and normalization

Filtering and normalization were performed using Seurat (v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019). Briefly,

each sample was filtered by removing low-quality cells with unique feature counts less than

200, and genes expressed in less than 3 cells (Seurat function "CreateSeuratObject"). Different

samples were then merged using the Seurat "merge" function. Merged data was further subset

by keeping cells with features (genes) over 200 and less than 5000. Raw UMI counts were then

normalized using "LogNormalize" as normalization method, which divided reads by the total

number of UMIs per cell, then multiplied by 10,000 (Seurat "NormalizeData" function). This

resulted in a total of 30,234 cells and 19,492 genes: E25 (3486 cells), E34AG (3223 cells),

E34T (2260 cells), E40AG (1102), E40T (1581 cells), P1AG (2681), P1T (3641), P5AG (2429),

P5T (2926), P10AG (3010) and P10T (3895).

Single-cell clustering and visualization

Cell clustering was employed to the entire cell population after removing low-quality cells,

using Seurat (v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019). 2,000 highly variable genes were identified and used

for the downstream analysis using Seurat "FindVariableFeatures" function (selection.method

= "vst"), which allowed the calculation of average expression and dispersion for each gene.

Normalized data was then processed for scaling using Seurat function "Scale Data" with

default settings, which also allowed the regression of the batch. Principal component analysis

(PCA) was performed with 2,000 variable genes to reduce dimensionality of the scaled dataset

and 50 PCs were retained (Seurat "RunPCA" function). Clustering was then performed using

graph-based clustering approach ("Find Neighbors" function) using top 20 PCs, which were

selected based on the standard deviation of PCs on the elbowplot created by Seurat function

"ElbowPlot" and based on statistical significance calculated by JackStraw application. Briefly,

cells are embedded in a k-nearest neighbor (KNN) graph based on the Euclidean distance in a

PCA space. Then, this knn graph is used to group cells on a shared nearest neighbor graph

based on calculations of overlap between cells with similar gene expression patterns (Jaccard

similarity). Cells were then clustered by Louvain algorithm implemented in Seurat

"ClusterCells" function (resolution = 0.8, dims = 1:20). Next, we used Seurat "RunUMAP"

function, which resulted in cell clusters being separated in embedding space while preserving

the balance between local and global structure.

Cluster annotations

Cluster markers were obtained using "FindAllMarkers" Seurat function. We tested genes that

showed at least a 0.25-fold difference between the cells in the cluster and all remaining cells,

and that were detected in more than 25% of the cells in the cluster. Clusters, which we called

subtypes, were then annotated by comparing cluster markers to previously identified cell type

markers in the literature for mouse and human datasets. The full list of markers is given under

Table S1. The heatmap in Figure 2D shows the expression data for the top 10 highly expressed

marker genes for each cluster using a downsampling of maximum 500 cells from each cluster.

Plotting cells onto the UMAP plot by their batch indicated that batches did not influence

clustering (**Fig. 2C**) in accordance with the differential expression of cluster markers (Figure

2D, S2C). When a cluster was enriched based on the developmental stage, the information was

included in the annotation. For example, "early RG" cluster was enriched in E25 samples, and

expressed both common radial glial cell markers shared with other RG clusters in our dataset,

but also expressed early onset-genes reported in mouse studies (Okamoto *et al*, 2016; Telley *et*

al, 2019), such as *HMGA2*, *FLRT3*, *LRRN1* (Table S1). Therefore, we named this cluster as

"early RG" based on its age-dependent properties.

To achieve more precise clustering, cycling cells were identified with known markers

implemented in Seurat package (S genes and G2M genes). Clusters enriched in S genes or

G2M genes were identified, including early_RG2 (S), early_RG3 (G2M), late_RG2 (G2M),

late_RG3 (S), IPC2 (S) and IPC3 (G2M) (Figure S2B). Subtypes of RG and IPC clusters were

further combined to facilitate the data representation (Figure S2C-D).

Differential gene expression analysis

Differential gene expression (DEG) analysis was assessed using "FindMarkers" Seurat

function with default parameters.

Pseudotime analysis

Monocle 2 was used to construct developmental trajectories based on pseudotime ordering of

single cells (Qiu *et al*, 2017; Trapnell *et al*, 2014). We generated a subset of clusters using

"SubsetData" Seurat function using the raw counts. Combined progenitor clusters (F_earlyRG,

F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_OPC) were selected. All clusters known to be

generated from a non-cortical origin including microglia, endothelial and mural cells, were

removed prior the pseudotime analysis. The expression matrix and a metadata file that

contained above cluster information defined by Seurat were used as input for monocle package

(Table S2).

The "differentialGeneTest" function was used to select genes for dimensionality reduction

(fullModelFormulaStr = '~Subtype.combined'). Top 1,000 genes were then applied for cell

ordering. The visualization of the minimum spanning tree on cells was obtained by Monocle2

"plot_complex_cell_trajectory" function. To visualize the ordered cells on Seurat's UMAP

plot, we extracted the branch information for cells and added as metadata of the merged Seurat

object ("AddMetaData" Seurat function).

Processing External scRNA-seq Datasets for comparisons and preprocessing

scRNA-seq data of developing human brain from Nowakowski *et al*. (2017), from Bhaduri *et*

al. (2021) were used for cross-species comparisons (**Figures 5, 6, S7-S9**). All cells included in

the analysis and cluster assignments from the provided matrix were mapped to the cell type

assignments provided in the metadata of Nowakowski et al. dataset and the cell type labels

were used as provided by the authors without modification. For Bhaduri et al. dataset, GW25

sample was used for clustering by Seurat package as described above. For both, we removed

low quality cells and clusters annotated based on anatomical regions other than somatosensory

cortex, and removed cells with less than 200 features. For Bhaduri et al. dataset, we filtered to

cells with at least 200 features, less than 6,500 features and less than 5% mitochondrial genes.

This resulted in 25,485 cells from around 180,000 cells in Bhaduri et al. dataset, and in 2,673

cells in Nowakowski et al. dataset.

For organoid datasets, Herring et al. (2022) and Bhaduri et al., (2021) were used (**Figs. S8, S9**)

with default settings in Seurat. The data driven from organoids at 5-months, 9-months, 12-

months and organoids at 3-weeks, 5-weeks, 8-weeks, 10-weeks were used for Herring and

Bhaduri datasets, respectively.

Integrated analysis of human and ferret scRNA-seq datasets

Human datasets published by Bhaduri *et al*. (2021) and Nowakowski *et al*. (2017) were used

for integration analysis with our ferret dataset using Seurat canonical correlation analysis

(Stuart *et al*, 2019). First, a Seurat object was created for all datasets as described previously.

Briefly, each object was individually processed with a normalization and variable features were

identified. For human datasets, we excluded cells or samples obtained from anatomical regions

other than somatosensory cortex. Then, the integration anchors between human and ferret

Seurat objects were identified using "FindIntegrationAnchors" Seurat function with default

settings. The integration was then performed with "IntegrateData" Seurat function. Scaling,

PCA and UMAP dimensional reduction were performed to visualize the integration results.

Cluster correlation analysis between ferret and human clusters.

Correlation analysis was performed as described by Bhaduri *et al*. (2020), with modifications.

Briefly, lists of cluster marker genes obtained by "FindAllMarkers" Seurat function on

individual datasets were extracted prior to an integration (Table S5A), except for Nowakowski

et al dataset, for which the marker gene list was provided. A score based on the specificity and

the enrichment of cluster marker genes was generated (defined as "Genescore"). A genescore

value was obtained by the multiplication of the average fold change that represents the gene

enrichment, with the percentage of the cells expressing the marker in the cluster (pct.1) divided

the percentage of the cells from other clusters expressing the marker (pct.2: specificity). This

function was employed on the marker genes for a human subtype of interest in the space of

marker genes for ferret NPC (RG, IPC, OPC and EP) clusters. Genescores for both ferret and

human were then obtained for all markers shared between human subtype of interest (i.e. oRG)

and ferret NPC subtypes. We then applied cor.test function using Pearson method to estimate

a correlation and the significance of the correlation for the obtained genescores between ferret

and human samples. These resulting values were represented for NPC subtypes on heatmap

plots.

Prediction of oRG-like/tRG-like cells by cross-dataset analysis.

The cell type marker genes for oRG and tRG cell types were extracted from each human

scRNAseq datasets. To predict human oRG-like and tRG-like cells in ferret sample more

precisely, we removed mature neuron, microglia, and endothelia clusters, as well as clusters

assigned as unknown, from individual datasets for an integration between ferret and human

NPC clusters using Seurat package as described in the above section (Stuart *et al*, 2019). After

identification of anchors between human and ferret Seurat objects using

"FindIntegrationAnchors", we extracted the information of each pair of anchors, which

including one ferret and one human cell. If the human cell in the pair belongs to oRG (tRG)

cluster in human dataset, we consider the other ferret cell in the same pair as oRG (tRG)-like

cell.

Cluster score calculation.

Based on a genescore calculated for marker genes as previously described, a cell-type

predictive model was generated. For both datasets, our custom-made R scripts were applied to

generate matrices using either human oRG markers or tRG markers using our integrated

subsets. In the space of matrices reduced with corresponding human marker genes, a score we

defined as "oRG score" or "tRG score" was created by multiplying human genescore for each

marker genes with the expression values of these genes in all cells present in the integrated

subset data. The results were represented by beeswarm and boxplot.

Evaluation of immunolabeled cell number and statistical analysis

The counting frames on images of immunolabeled sections consisted of 150 μm of width

regions of interests (ROIs). The numbers of immunolabeled cells within selected ROIs were

manually counted using the "cell counter" tool of FiJi software (Schindelin *et al*, 2012). The

VZ was identified by its cell density visualized by DAPI, and according to its thickness

measured by a vertical length from the ventricular surface at indicated stages (100 μm at P5,

70 μm at P10). The proportions of immunolabeled cells positive for various markers were

calculated using the summed data counted within all ROIs. The data obtained from lateral

cortices of somatosensory area was averaged from 2 to 3 sections.

For CRYAB-positive cells, we only counted cells with nuclei which were visualized by DAPI

staining. The numbers of positive cells were counted using two or three different animals.

Quantification was followed by Wilcoxon test to assess statistical significance and data on each

section were considered as n = 1.

Quantification of RNAscope in situ hybridization and immunostaining image

Images of RNAscope in situ hybridization and FOXJ1 immunostaining were captured by using

an IX83 inverted microscope (Olympus) equipped with Dragonfly 200 confocal unit (Andor),

Zyla Z4.2 sCMOS camera (Andor), and UPLXAPO 60XO objective lens (Olympus). Imaging

was performed to satisfy Nyquist sampling (xy = 48 nm/pixel by using 2x zoom optic and z =

136 nm interval) and 3D deconvolution was performed by ClearView-GPU™ option of Fusion

software (Andor). Default deconvolution parameter is used except for number of irritation (n

= 24) and mounting media reflection index (RI = 1.46). For quantification of images,

CellProfiler version 4.2.4 (Stirling *et al*, 2021) was used. To detect mRNA dots of RNAscope

in situ hybridization images, a single Z section of deconvolved images were processed by

EnhancingSpeckles module (10 pixels size) and then mRNA dots were detected by

IdentifyPrimaryObjects module, adaptive Otsu two class method, using default parameter

except for size of adaptive window (size = 10). Dot's diameter less than 4 pixels were removed

as a background noise. Cytoplasmic ROIs were generated from smoothed RNAscope in situ

hybridization images by MedianFilter module (window size = 10) and manually drawn seed

ROIs of DAPI staining images by using IdentifySecondaryObjects module (propagation

adaptive Otsu two class method, using default parameter). FoxJ1 immunostaining raw images

were measured by MeasureImageIntensity module. Quantified data were visualized and

clustered by using RStudio (version 2022.07.1+554) with R (version 4.0.3). Each of mRNA

count data and protein staining intensity data was log transformed and normalized, and

hierarchical clustering was done by using a Euclidian distance and complete clustering method

and cut tree to 4 clusters. Each cluster expression was plotted and classified to single or double

positive, or double negative of SPARCL1 and FOXJ1 populations.

Data and code availability

Genome assembly and chromium linked-read sequences were deposited in the DDBJ under

accession numbers BLXN01000001–BLXN01022349 and DRA010274. The gene models are

871 **Acknowledgements**

872 We thank Kazuaki Yamaguchi, Rohab F. Abdelhamid, and Chiharu Tanegashima for

873 sequencing RNA and genomic DNA and all members of the Laboratory of Cell Asymmetry

874 for providing technical support and helpful discussions. We also thank an anonymous reviewer

875 1 of Review Commons for his/her constructive suggestions. This work was supported by JSPS

876 KAKENHI [Grant Numbers 18H04003, 17H05779, 19H04791] and RIKEN. RIKEN funds

877 F.M. M.B. was a RIKEN International Program Associate. Q.W. was supported by a JSPS

878 Postdoctoral Fellowship and a RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researcher Program.

880 **Author contributions**

881 M.B., Q.W., and F.M. designed experiments. M.K., O.N., Q.W., Y.K., and S.K. constructed

882 new ferret gene models. M.B. and Q.W. performed scRNA-seq experiments and bioinformatics

883 analyses. M.B., Y.T., and Q.W. performed molecular biological analyses. M.B., T.S. [Taeko]

884 and T.S. [Tomomi] performed histological analyses. A.S and Q.W. performed and analyzed

885 RNA/protein double-tissue staining. T.S. [Taeko] carried out time-lapse imaging. T.S. [Taeko],

886 Y.T. and H.K. bred the ferrets. M.B., Q.W., A.S. and F.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors

887 read and approved the final manuscript for submission.

889 **Competing interests statement**

890 The authors declare no competing interests.

892 # References

893 Bhaduri A, Andrews MG, Mancia Leon W, Jung D, Shin D, Allen D, Jung D, Schmunk G,

894  Haeussler M, Salma J, *et al* (2020) Cell stress in cortical organoids impairs molecular

895  subtype specification. *Nature* 578(7793): 142–148

896 Bhaduri A, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Otero-Garcia M, Oh I, Yin R, Eze UC, Nowakowski TJ &

897  Kriegstein AR (2021) An atlas of cortical arealization identifies dynamic molecular

signatures. *Nature* 598(7879): 200–204

Borrell V (2010) In vivo gene delivery to the postnatal ferret cerebral cortex by DNA electroporation. *J Neurosci Methods* 186: 186–195

Capecchi MR & Pozner A (2015) ASPM regulates symmetric stem cell division by tuning Cyclin E ubiquitination. *Nat Commun* 6:8763

Chapman B & Stryker MP (1992) Origin of orientation tuning in the visual cortex. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2: 498–501

Chenn A & McConnell SK (1995) Cleavage orientation and the asymmetric inheritance of Notch1 immunoreactivity in mammalian neurogenesis. *Cell* 82: 631–641

DeAzevedo LC, Fallet C, Moura-Neto V, Daumas-Duport C, Hedin-Pereira C & Lent R (2003) Cortical radial glial cells in human fetuses: Depth-correlated transformation into astrocytes. *J Neurobiol* 55(3): 288–298

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M & Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15–21

Eiraku M, Watanabe K, Matsuo-Takasaki M, Kawada M, Yonemura S, Matsumura M, Wataya T, Nishiyama A, Muguruma K & Sasai Y (2008) Self-organized formation of polarized cortical tissues from ESCs and its active manipulation by extrinsic signals. *Cell Stem Cell* 3: 519–532

Fietz SA, Kelava I, Vogt J, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Stenzel D, Fish JL, Corbeil D, Riehn A, Distler W, Nitsch R, *et al* (2010) OSVZ progenitors of human and ferret neocortex are epithelial-like and expand by integrin signaling. *Nat Neurosci* 13(6): 690–699

Fujimori A, Itoh K, Goto S, Hirakawa H, Wang B, Kokubo T, Kito S, Tsukamoto S & Fushiki S (2014) Disruption of Aspm causes microcephaly with abnormal neuronal differentiation. *Brain Dev* 36(8): 661–669

Gertz CC & Kriegstein AR (2015) Neuronal Migration Dynamics in the Developing Ferret Cortex. *J Neurosci* 35: 14307–14315

Hansen D V., Lui JH, Parker PRL & Kriegstein AR (2010) Neurogenic radial glia in the outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. *Nature* 464(7288): 554–561

Hara Y, Tatsumi K, Yoshida M, Kajikawa E, Kiyonari H & Kuraku S (2015) Optimizing and benchmarking de novo transcriptome sequencing: From library preparation to assembly evaluation. *BMC Genomics* 16: 1–12

Herring CA, Simmons RK, Freytag S, Poppe D, Moffet JJD, Pflueger J, Buckberry S, Vargas-Landin DB, Clément O, Echeverría EG, *et al* (2022) Human prefrontal cortex gene regulatory dynamics from gestation to adulthood at single-cell resolution. *Cell* 185: 4428-4447.e28

Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M & Stanke M (2019) Whole-Genome Annotation with BRAKER. *Methods Mol Biol* 1962: 65–95

Huang W, Bhaduri A, Velmeshev D, Wang S, Wang L, Rottkamp CA, Alvarez-Buylla A, Rowitch DH & Kriegstein AR (2020) Origins and Proliferative States of Human Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells. *Cell* 182(3): 594-608.e11

Jackson CA, Peduzzi d. J & Hickey TL (1989) Visual cortex development in the ferret. I. Genesis and migration of visual cortical neurons. *J Neurosci* 9: 1242–1253

Jayaraman D, Kodani A, Gonzalez DM, Mancias JD, Mochida GH, Vagnoni C, Johnson J, Krogan N, Harper JW, Reiter JF, *et al* (2016) Microcephaly Proteins Wdr62 and Aspm Define a Mother Centriole Complex Regulating Centriole Biogenesis, Apical Complex, and Cell Fate. *Neuron* 92(4): 813–828

Johnson MB, Sun X, Kodani A, Borges-Monroy R, Girskis KM, Ryu SC, Wang PP, Patel K, Gonzalez DiM, Woo YM, *et al* (2018) Aspm knockout ferret reveals an evolutionary mechanism governing cerebral cortical size. *Nat 2018 5567701* 556: 370–375

Johnson MB, Wang PP, Atabay KD, Murphy EA, Doan RN, Hecht JL & Walsh CA (2015)
Single-cell analysis reveals transcriptional heterogeneity of neural progenitors in human
cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 18(5): 637–646

de Juan Romero C, Bruder C, Tomasello U, Sanz-Anquela JM & Borrell V (2015) Discrete
domains of gene expression in germinal layers distinguish the development of
gyrencephaly. *EMBO J* 34(14): 1859–1874

Kawasaki H, Iwai L & Tanno K (2012) Rapid and efficient genetic manipulation of
gyrencephalic carnivores using in utero electroporation. *Mol Brain* 5:24

Kawaue T, Shitamukai A, Nagasaka A, Tsunekawa Y, Shinoda T, Saito K, Terada R, Bilgic
M, Miyata T, Matsuzaki F, *et al* (2019) Lzts1 controls both neuronal delamination and
outer radial glial-like cell generation during mammalian cerebral development. *Nat
Commun* 10(1):2780

Kessaris N, Fogarty M, Iannarelli P, Grist M, Wegner M & Richardson WD (2006)
Competing waves of oligodendrocytes in the forebrain and postnatal elimination of an
embryonic lineage. *Nat Neurosci 2005 92* 9: 173–179

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C & Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome alignment
and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol 2019 378* 37: 907–
915

Kou Z, Wu Q, Kou X, Yin C, Wang H, Zuo Z, Zhuo Y, Chen A, Gao S & Wang X (2015)
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering of the ferret. *Cell Res* 25(12): 1372–1375

Kovaka S, Zimin A V., Pertea GM, Razaghi R, Salzberg SL & Pertea M (2019)
Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with StringTie2. *Genome
Biol* 20: 1–13

Liu J, Liu W, Yang L, Wu Q, Zhang H, Fang A, Li L, Xu X, Sun L, Zhang J, *et al* (2017) The
Primate-Specific Gene TMEM14B Marks Outer Radial Glia Cells and Promotes
Cortical Expansion and Folding. *Cell Stem Cell* 21(5): 635-649.e8

Liu J, Wu X & Lu Q (2022) Molecular divergence of mammalian astrocyte progenitor cells
at early gliogenesis. *Development* 149(5): de

Lomsadze A, Burns PD & Borodovsky M (2014) Integration of mapped RNA-Seq reads into
automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42

Mashiko H, Yoshida AC, Kikuchi SS, Niimi K, Takahashi E, Aruga J, Okano H & Shimogori
T (2012) Comparative Anatomy of Marmoset and Mouse Cortex from Genomic
Expression. *J Neurosci* 32: 5039–5053

Matsui A, May T, C. YA, S. KS, Mami U, Masaharu O & Tomomi S (2013) BTBD3
Controls Dendrite Orientation Toward Active Axons in Mammalian Neocortex. *Science
(80-)* 342(6162): 1114–1118

McConnell SK (1988) Fates of visual cortical neurons in the ferret after isochronic and
heterochronic transplantation. *J Neurosci* 8: 945–974

McConnell SK (1995) Constructing the cerebral cortex: Neurogenesis and fate determination.
Neuron 15: 761–768

McInnes L, Healy J & Melville J (2018) UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection for Dimension Reduction. *arXiv* doi: 1802.

Nishimura O, Hara Y & Kuraku S (2017) gVolante for standardizing completeness
assessment of genome and transcriptome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 33: 3635–3637

Noctor SC, Scholnicoff NJ & Juliano SL (1997) Histogenesis of Ferret Somatosensory
Cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 387: 179–193

Nowakowski TJ, Bhaduri A, Pollen AA, Alvarado B, Mostajo-Radji MA, Di Lullo E,
Haeussler M, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Liu SJ, Velmeshev D, *et al* (2017) Spatiotemporal
gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex.
Science (80-) 358(6368): 1318–1323

Nowakowski TJ, Pollen AA, Sandoval-Espinosa C & Kriegstein AR (2016) Transformation
of the Radial Glia Scaffold Demarcates Two Stages of Human Cerebral Cortex
Development. *Neuron* 91(6): 1219–1227
Ohtsuka T, Imayoshi I, Shimojo H, Nishi E, Kageyama R & McConnell SK (2006)
Visualization of embryonic neural stem cells using Hes promoters in transgenic mice.
Mol Cell Neurosci 31(1): 109–122
Okamoto M, Miyata T, Konno D, Ueda HR, Kasukawa T, Hashimoto M, Matsuzaki F &
Kawaguchi A (2016) Cell-cycle-independent transitions in temporal identity of
mammalian neural progenitor cells. *Nat Commun* 7:11349
Ortiz-Álvarez G, Daclin M, Shihavuddin A, Lansade P, Fortoul A, Faucourt M, Clavreul S,
Lalioti ME, Taraviras S, Hippenmeyer S, *et al* (2019) Adult Neural Stem Cells and
Multiciliated Ependymal Cells Share a Common Lineage Regulated by the Geminin
Family Members. *Neuron* 102(1): 159-172.e7
Polioudakis D, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Langerman J, Elkins AG, Shi X, Stein JL, Vuong CK,
Nichterwitz S, Gevorgian M, Opland CK, *et al* (2019) A Single-Cell Transcriptomic
Atlas of Human Neocortical Development during Mid-gestation. *Neuron* 103(5): 785-
801.e8
Pollen AA, Nowakowski TJ, Chen J, Retallack H, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Nicholas CR, Shuga
J, Liu SJ, Oldham MC, Diaz A, *et al* (2015) Molecular Identity of Human Outer Radial
Glia during Cortical Development. *Cell* 163(1): 55–67
Pulvers JN, Bryk J, Fish JL, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Arai Y, Schreier D, Naumann R, Helppi
J, Habermann B, Vogt J, *et al* (2010) Mutations in mouse Aspm (abnormal spindle-like
microcephaly associated) cause not only microcephaly but also major defects in the
germline. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107(38): 16595–16600
Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA & Trapnell C (2017) Reversed graph
embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nat Methods* 14: 979–982
Rash BG, Duque A, Morozov YM, Arellano JI, Micali N & Rakic P (2019a) Gliogenesis in
the outer subventricular zone promotes enlargement and gyrification of the primate
cerebrum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116(14): 7089 LP – 7094
Rash BG, Duque A, Morozov YM, Arellano JI, Micali N & Rakic P (2019b) Gliogenesis in
the outer subventricular zone promotes enlargement and gyrification of the primate
cerebrum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116(14)
Reillo I & Borrell V (2012) Germinal Zones in the Developing Cerebral Cortex of Ferret:
Ontogeny, Cell Cycle Kinetics, and Diversity of Progenitors. *Cereb Cortex* 22: 2039–
2054
Reillo I, De Juan Romero C, García-Cabezas MÁ & Borrell V (2011) A role for intermediate
radial glia in the tangential expansion of the mammalian cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex*
21: 1674–1694
Rowitch DH & Kriegstein AR (2010) Developmental genetics of vertebrate glial–cell
specification. *Nature* 468(7321): 214–222
Saadoun S & Papadopoulos MC (2010) Aquaporin-4 in brain and spinal cord oedema.
Neuroscience 168(4): 1036–1046
Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, *et al* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods 2012 97* 9: 676–682
Shitamukai A, Konno D & Matsuzaki F (2011) Oblique radial glial divisions in the
developing mouse neocortex induce self-renewing progenitors outside the germinal zone
that resemble primate outer subventricular zone progenitors. *J Neurosci* 31: 3683–3695
Sidman RL & Rakic P (1973) Neuronal migration, with special reference to developing
human brain: a review. *Brain Res* 62(1): 1–35

Smart IHM, Dehay C, Giroud P, Berland M & Kennedy H (2002) Unique Morphological Features of the Proliferative Zones and Postmitotic Compartments of the Neural Epithelium Giving Rise to Striate and Extrastriate Cortex in the Monkey. *Cereb Cortex* 12(1): 37–53

Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R & Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding. *Bioinformatics* 24: 637–644

Stirling DR, Swain-Bowden MJ, Lucas AM, Carpenter AE, Cimini BA & Goodman A (2021) CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. *BMC Bioinformatics* 22

Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, Hao Y, Stoeckius M, Smibert P & Satija R (2019) Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* 177(7): 1888-1902.e21

Tanegashima C, Nishimura O, Motone F, Tatsumi K, Kadota M & Kuraku S (2018) Embryonic transcriptome sequencing of the ocellate spot skate Okamejei kenojei. *Sci Data 2018 51* 5: 1–6

Telley L, Agirman G, Prados J, Amberg N, Fièvre S, Oberst P, Bartolini G, Vitali I, Cadilhac C, Hippenmeyer S, *et al* (2019) Temporal patterning of apical progenitors and their daughter neurons in the developing neocortex. *Science* 364

Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, Livak KJ, Mikkelsen TS & Rinn JL (2014) The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 32(4): 381–386

Tsunekawa Y, Terhune RK, Fujita I, Shitamukai A, Suetsugu T & Matsuzaki F (2016) Developing a de novo targeted knock-in method based on in utero electroporation into the mammalian brain. *Development* 143(17): 3216–3222

Wu Q, Shichino Y, Abe T, Suetsugu T, Omori A, Kiyonari H, Iwasaki S & Matsuzaki F (2022) Selective translation of epigenetic modifiers affects the temporal pattern and differentiation of neural stem cells. *Nat Commun 2022 131* 13: 1–18

Wu TD & Watanabe CK (2005) GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* 21: 1859–1875

Yamamoto S, Yamashita A, Arakaki N, Nemoto H & Yamazaki T (2014) Prevention of aberrant protein aggregation by anchoring the molecular chaperone αB-crystallin to the endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 455: 241–245

Yu X, Ng CP, Habacher H & Roy S (2008) Foxj1 transcription factors are master regulators of the motile ciliogenic program. *Nat Genet* 40(12): 1445–1453

Yusa K, Zhou L, Li MA, Bradley A & Craig NL (2011) A hyperactive piggyBac transposase for mammalian applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 1531–1536

Zheng K, Wang C, Yang J, Huang H, Zhao X, Zhang Z & Qiu M (2018) Molecular and Genetic Evidence for the PDGFRα-Independent Population of Oligodendrocyte Progenitor Cells in the Developing Mouse Brain. *J Neurosci* 38: 9505–9513

Zhong S, Zhang S, Fan X, Wu Q, Yan L, Dong J, Zhang H, Li L, Sun L, Pan N, *et al* (2018) A single-cell RNA-seq survey of the developmental landscape of the human prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 555(7697): 524–528

Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. (2013-2015) *RepeatMasker Open-4.0*. Available at: http://www.repeatmasker.org.

Figure 1 - Single-cell RNA-seq reveals ferret transcriptome signatures and the cell types.

A Schematic representing cortical development and emergence of diverse neural progenitors in humans and ferrets. Progenitors sequentially generate DL- and subsequent UL-neurons, and finally glial cells, and form ependymal cells. RG and oRG have been morphologically and positionally identified in both humans and ferrets while tRG have been only reported in humans. VZ, ventricular zone; ISVZ, inner subventricular zone; OSVZ, outer subventricular zone; IZ, intermediate zone; DL, deep layer; UL, upper layer; CP, cortical plate; NEP cell, neuroepithelial cell; Mn, migrating neuron; and EP cell, ependymal cell.

B Schematic representing the experimental design and time points used to build the transcriptome atlas of developing somatosensory cortex of ferrets. Single cells were isolated using 10X Chromium (see Materials and Methods).

C UMAP visualization showing cells colored by Seurat clusters and annotated by cell types (as shown in **C, D**).

D Heatmap showing expression profiles of cluster marker genes based on log fold-change values. Cells were grouped by Seurat clustering (transverse). Cell types were assigned according to the expression of marker and differentially expressed genes in each cluster. Early RG was defined by the expression of *HMGA2, LDHA,* and *LIX1*; and late RG, by *PTN, ALDOC,* and *FABP7*. OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cell; ITN, interneuron; and EN, endothelial cells. Other cell type abbreviations are shown in (A) and the main text. Color bar matches the Seurat clusters in (**C**). The ten most enriched representative genes in each cluster are shown, with typical marker genes noted in (**E–G**).

E Normalized expression levels of representative marker genes of different cell types projected onto the UMAP plot in (**C**). MG, microglia.

F Expression pattern of *CRYAB*, a marker for tRG, only described in humans and other primates.

G The expression pattern of *HOPX*, a marker for oRG in humans and other primates in the UMAP plot.

Figure 2 - Truncated tRG emerges during postnatal cortical development in ferrets.

A Representative images showing the cellular features of tRG and aRG in VZ at P0, and P5, respectively, stained for GFP (red) and CRYAB (green). RG cells were sparsely labelled with a GFP-expressing plasmid at E30 via IUE for P0 samples, and at P3 for P5 samples. MAX

projection was performed on a 30 μm vibratome section with 5 μm or 2.5 μm interval for each

z-image at P0 or P5, respectively. Scale bar, 50 μm.

B Expression patterns of CRYAB (green) and PAX6 (red) in ferret germinal zones during

postnatal development (P1, P5, and P10) (cryosection thickness = 12 μm).

C Developmental profile of CRYAB expression in ferret cortices. Immunostaining for CRYAB

(green) and DAPI (gray) on cryosections at E32, E38, P3, P5, and P10 (cryosection thickness

= 12 μm).

D Quantification of CRYAB$^+$ cells among all nuclei (DAPI) in VZ strips through

immunostaining, indicating a great increase in CRYAB-expressing cells (strip width = 150 μm;

n = 3 for P5; n = 2 for P10; Wilcoxon rank-sum test p-value = 6.574e-08).

E–G Expression of other markers in CRYAB$^+$ cells. Representative images taken with a 100X-

objective are shown with MAX projection. The border of VZ is shown with a white line. Below

each double staining image, quantification of KI67-, TBR2-, or OLIG2-expressing cells among

the CRYAB$^+$ cell population in the VZ is shown (n = 2 for P5; n = 2 for P10 for each staining

except n = 3 for TBR2 staining). Box and whisker plots indicate ranges (lines) and upper and

lower quartiles (box) with the median. Scale bars, 20 μm.

1142

1143

Figure 3 - **Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.**

A Pseudo-time trajectory tree of progenitor cells in the ferret developing cortex (assembled

with Monocle v2). Cellular distribution at each state is the same as in the UMAP plot shown

in Fig. 1C. Cell types representing each state and their gene markers are shown next to each

state (see below).

B Trajectory trees split by collection stages (AG samples are shown).

C Distribution of cells expressing marker genes for stem cell states (*HES1* and *HMGA2*) and

the neurogenic state (*EOMES*) along trajectories. Color densities indicate the log-normalized

unique molecular identifier count for each gene.

D Normalized expression of three genes: *SPARCL1, CRYAB,* and *FOXJ1* in the state 6 and

state 7 cells in the pseudotime trajectory tree (Fig. 3A). The combination of expression levels

of the four markers gene discriminates state 6 (ependymal) and state 7 (astrogenic). See the

text for details.

E Distribution of tRG cells along the tree and tRG-focused UMAP visualization. The tRG cells

(n = 162) were found on the three states, NPC3 (9.3%; 15 cells), ependymal-tRG (61.1%; 99

cells), and astrogenic-tRG (29.6%; 48 cells).

F Composition of the three types of tRG in (D) by the collection stage (AG and T samples are

combined). No tRG cells were collected from E25 and E34.

1162

Figure 4 - tRG adopt both ependymal cells and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

A Immunostaining of 12 μm cortical cryosections with CRYAB (green) and FOXJ1 (red),

focusing on the VZ at E40, P3, P5, P10, P14, and P35. Scale bar, 50 μm. CRYAB and FOXJ1

double positive nuclear rows are shown with arrowheads at P5 and P10 when this nuclear

alignment is visible.

B Percentage of FOXJ1 expression in CRYAB-expressing cells (n = 3 for P5; n = 2 for P10;

Wilcoxon rank sum test p-value = 1.749e-05). Images with merged channels in A are shown

with the same color codes, antibodies and scale bars as A.

C Number of cells expressing both *CRYAB* and *FOXJ1* in the mid and late RG, or tRG clusters

shown in Fig. 1C (with unique molecular identifier counts higher than 0.25). Colored bars

indicate the stages of sample collection. *CRYAB*- and *FOXJ1*-expressing cells increased over

time only in the tRG cluster.

D Normalized expression levels of *FOXJ1* in each cell in the indicated ferret clusters in Fig.

1C.

E Cortical origin and shape of FOXJ1-expressing ependymal cells indicated with white arrows.

Staining for FOXJ1 at P14 after labeling cortical progenitors with an mCherry-expressing

vector via IUE at E30. The maximum projection images with 1 μm z-step size are shown.

Cryosection thickness = 12 μm; scale bar = 20 μm.

F Normalized expression of *SPARCL1* and *FOXJ1* in each cell in ferret tRG clusters separated

by the pseudo-time trajectory analysis (see Table S3).

G Relationship between *SPARCL1* and *FOXJ1* transcripts in individual cells within each tRG

cluster. Within the 15 cells that were classified in state 5 tRG, only one expressed *FOXJ1*

mRNA. Pearson relationship analysis was performed using cells from state 6 and 7 (R=0.19 p

value=0.018).

H Immunostaining of a P10 cortical tissue for FOXJ1 protein and S*PARCL1* mRNA.

I Cells within the VZ in (**H**) were clustered into four classes by FOXJ1 protein± and S*PARCL1*

mRNA±. Cluster A: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1-/-, B: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1 +/-, C: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1-/+,

D: S*PARCL1*/ FOXJ1 +/+ (Fig. S5C, S5D, Table S4). See **Materials and Methods** for

clustering. Scale bar = 20 μm.

1192

Figure 5 – Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human.

A UMAP visualization of integrated human (n = 2,672; left) and ferret (n=30,234; right) single-

cell datasets colored according to the different clusters. The names of clusters from human and

ferret cells begin with "H" and "F," respectively.

B Homologous temporal pattern of transcriptomic characters of progenitors and their progenies

between ferrets and humans. Corresponding neurogenic stages between ferrets and humans can

be assigned by cell distribution at homologous positions in UMAP plots. Gliogenic RG cells

(a subtype of the "late_RG" group and OPC in Figure 1**B**) were first distinguished

transcriptionally at ferret E40 and at human GW14, as pointed out by arrows.

C, D Correlation coefficient (**C**) and significance (**D**) between indicated clusters of ferrets and

humans, calculated by marker gene scores.

E Normalized expression levels of the indicated genes in humans and ferrets from each

progenitor cluster.

F tRG scores of the indicated clusters are presented as box and whisker plots for humans and

ferrets. These represent the range without outliers (lines) and upper and lower quartiles with

the median (box). Outliers are represented as points outside the box. Outliers are 1.5-fold larger

or smaller than interquartile range from the third or first quartile, respectively.

1210

Figure 6 - Identification of tRG subtypes in humans and oRG in ferrets.

A UMAP visualization of human brain cells colored by cell type and identified by marker genes

(data from Bhaduri et al, 2021).

B Schematic of the integration strategy of human and ferret subtypes.

C UMAP visualization of integrated ferret and human datasets colored by different clusters.

D, E Identification of three tRG subtypes in ferrets (D) and humans (E). The red dots highlight

the indicated tRG subtypes named after pseudotime trajectory analysis in Fig. 3.

F Distribution of humans (left) and ferrets (right) tRG in the integrated dataset. Human and

ferret tRG were identified from the separated dataset.

G Distribution of ferret tRG subtypes (identified by the pseudo-time analysis) in the integrated

dataset.

H Identification of oRG-like cells in ferret (left) and oRG cells in human (right), all highlighted as red dots.

I oRG scores for oRG-like cells and other NPCs in ferrets. Box and whisker plots indicate ranges (lines) and upper and lower quartiles with the median (box).

J The expression levels of *CRYM*, *CLU*, and *HOPX* in oRG-like cells and other NPCs in ferrets.

     Supplementary Figure Legends

     Figure S1 - Temporal pattern of neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the cerebral cortex of

     ferrets.

     A. Coronal section of the dorsal cortices immunostained for RG (PAX6, red), IPC (TBR2,

     green), and OPC (OLIG2, cyan) from early to late neurogenesis at E25, E32, E36, E40, P5,

     and P10. Scale bars = 100 μm. The position of the image strip at each stage is shown in the

     corresponding dorsal hemisphere image above the strip. The approximative boundaries of

     dorsal cortex area used for single-cell RNA sequencing are highlighted with dotty line

     segments in the dorsal cortex hemisphere above each strip.

     B. Immunostaining for CTIP2 (green) and SATB2 (red) at the same developmental stages as

     in (**A**), showing the onset of DL- (E25 or earlier) and UL-neurogenesis (E32–E34). Scale bars

     = 100 μm.

     C. Immunostaining for GFAP, a marker showing gliogenic potential, in cortical germinal

     layers at E32, E36, E40, P5, and P10. Gliogenic progenitors emerge around E40, while mature

     astrocytes with a typical astrocytic morphology appear later at approximately P10 onward in

     the outside of the germinal layers (Reillo & Borrell, 2012).

     D, E. mCherry labeling of the dorsal cortex at E30 showing that a population of OLIG2$^+$

     oligodendrocyte precursors are generated in the cerebral cortex by P10.

     E. As reported for both mice and humans (Zheng *et al*, 2018; Rash *et al*, 2019b; Huang *et al*,

     2020; Kessaris *et al*, 2006). Arrowheads in the cropped images of the left panels (E area in Fig.

     S1**D**) indicate cells that co-express mCherry, PAX6, and OLIG2 in the OSVZ. Scale bars = 20

     μm.

     Figure S2 - Quality-control metrics for the single cell RNA sequencing dataset.

     A. The brain region used for the cellular isolation for a scRNA-seq are shown. Dotty lines

     indicate the approximative boundaries where the cut was made to obtain the cortical slices.

     B. Violin plots showing the number of genes, mRNAs and the percentage of mitochondrial

     genes per cell in each sample and time point.

     C. Table comparing quality-control metrics of an alignment with either MusPutFur 1.0 (UCSC

     gene models) or MusPutFur 2.60 (this study) using E34 samples. The total number of genes

     detected and median genes per cell were higher with MusPutFur 2.60.

     D. Separate UMAP plots for each cell collection series that was prepared by different methods,

     after merged clustering. Left is "AG" sample: FACS-based sorting of the neural stem cell

1262 fraction labeled with an AzamiGreen (AG)-driven *HES5* promoter (Ohtsuka et al, 2006), right

1263 is "T" samples: cells forming the VZ, SVZ, and intermediate zones (IZ) of cerebral cortices

1264 after discarding the cortical plate. E25 cells, which were mostly RG, were not collected by cell

1265 sorting and put it between AG and T in UMAP plots.

1266

Figure S3 - Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

A. Dotplot showing average expression and percentage of expression of representative marker

genes for individual cell types in the merged dataset. The largest cluster was composed of UL

neurons, and their four clusters (Fig. 1**C**) were combined as a single "UL" group. P.E.,

percentage of expressing cells per cell type; A.E., average expression per cell type.

B. Percentage of sample collection stages in each cell type (top half) and percentage of each

cell type in the merged dataset (bottom half).

C. UMAP visualization of clusters split by their sample collection methods (AG or T) at each

sampling stage.

D. Heatmap showing the expression level of cell cycle marker genes based on log fold-change

values. *PCNA*, *DUT*, *SIVA1,* and *TYMS* are markers of the S-phase; *UBE2C*, *CDCA4*, *CENPF,*

and *CCNB1* are markers of the G2M-phase. Three subclusters among early RG, late RG, and

IPC clusters expressed different markers for cell-cycle states. The color bar at the top indicates

Seurat clusters matching those in Fig. 1**C**.

E. Immunostaining for CRYAB[+] tRG (green) and HOPX[+] RG (red) together with DAPI on P1

and P10 germinal layers.

1283

Figure S4 - Development of ferret tRG during postnatal cortical development.

A. Cellular features of a tRG cell in cortex labelled with GFP at embryonic stages via IUE.

Vibratome sections at P0 and P4 (thickness = 200 μm) were immunostained for CRYAB

(green) and GFP (red). CRYAB[+] truncated basal fibers spanned up to the SVZ.

B. Pie charts showing the percentage of *CRYAB* expression in the ferret clusters of the merged

transcriptome dataset of all stages (Fig. 1). Cells were counted as positive if unique molecular

identifier counts for *CRYAB* were > 1 using Seurat "WhichCells" function (slot = "counts").

C. Number of cells in the tRG clustered by age annotated in the ferret transcriptome dataset

(Fig. 1).

D. Number of *CRYAB*-expressing cells in RG clusters of the transcriptome dataset (Fig. 1).

CRYAB expression was undetectable at E25. *CRYAB*-expressing cells in the midRG and lateRG

subtypes did not change in all stages (cell numbers: 58, 46, 68, 55, and 61 at E34, E40, P1, P5, and P10, respectively), while the number of $CRYAB^+$ tRG cells increased after birth (cell numbers: 0, 21, 50, 91, and 118 at E34, E40, P1, P5, and P10, respectively).

E–G. Violin plots indicating the normalized expression of *CRYAB* (**E**), *TBR2* or *EOMES* (**F**), and *OLIG2* (**G**) in the ferret clusters shown in Fig. 1.

Figure S5 - Temporal fates of RG cells identified by pseudo-time trajectory analysis

A. Ferret cells used for pseudo-time trajectory analysis were lined up along the stretched pseudo-time axis. Expression levels of markers for typical cell types are also shown along the pseudo-time axis: *LIX1* and *HMGA2* for early RG, *EOMES* and *NEUROG2* for IP, *RGS20*, and *PTN* for late RG, *PDGFR* and *OLIG2* for glial cells, and *FOXJ1* and *FAM216B* for ependymal cells.

B. Branching trees labelled by pseudo-time score. Color scale indicates log10 (pseudo-time +0.1) values. Each state is named after the major cell type.

C. The composition of each state is shown by clusters defined in the UMAP plot (Fig. 1). Percentages for clusters were calculated from all cells in each state.

D. Branching trees split by UMAP clusters (Fig. 1).

Figure S6 - Ferret tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets

A. Onset of ciliogenesis in the developing cortex of ferrets, shown via staining for ADENINE CYCLASE III (cyan) along the VZ surface at E40, P5, P10, and P35. Scale bars = 10 μm.

B. Normalized expression of *SPARCL1*/cell in the major cell types shown in Fig. 1**C**.

C. Plots showing *SPARCL1* mRNA count (left) and normalized FOXJ1 protein levels (right) in each cell clustered into individual classes (**A–D**) within the VZ shown in Fig. 4**H** (Table S4). The box and whisker plots indicate the range (lines) and the upper and lower quartiles with the median (box).

D. Distances of each cell (its center of gravity) from the apical surface in the individual clusters (**A–D**), as indicated by the box and whisker plots in (**C**). Cluster C and D cells are significantly located on the apical surface compared to cluster B cells. Data normality was analyzed by Anderson-Darling test and statical significance was calculated by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

Figure S7 - Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human

A. UMAP visualization of integrated ferret (n = 30,234) and human (n = 2,672) single-cell

datasets colored according to the different clusters. The names of clusters from human and

ferret cells begin with "H" and "F," respectively.

B. Expression patterns of the indicated genes in each cluster. Circle color indicates the

expression level of each gene. Circles size indicates the percentage of cells expressing the gene

in the indicated cluster.

1335

Figure S8– The absence of a tRG cluster in human organoid datasets.

A. UMAP visualization of human organoid-driven cells colored by the original annotations for

the cell line (left), age (middle) or state (right). Human organoid dataset from Bhaduri et al.,

2020 was used. Bhaduri dataset contained organoids generated from 4 different lines, which

showed a variability in terms of cell distribution on UMAP while overall temporal and

differentiation axes were recapitulated.

B. UMAP visualization of cells by clusters (left), original cluster annotations (middle), or by

the normalized expression level of *CRYAB* (right). Red circles on UMAP highlight the group

of cells expressing *CRYAB*. *CRYAB* expression was restricted to two out of four cell lines.

CRYAB was expressed in clusters 26 and 30 enriched in the YH10 line, and cluster 29 enriched

in the13234 cell line.

C-D. UMAP visualization of integrated primary and organoid datasets from Bhaduri et al.,

2020. Cells are colored by the originated dataset (left), primary tRG cluster (middle) or

organoid dataset (right). Black circles were used to indicate the overlap of the region where

human primary tRG was found. Human tRG did not overlap with organoid cells. We found

that CRYAB-expressing organoid clusters 26 and 30 overlapped with "oRG/astrocyte" clusters

of primary tissues.

E. UMAP visualization of cells derived from Herring et al., 2022 human organoid dataset,

colored by clusters (left) or by the normalized expression level of *CRYAB* (right).

1355

Figure S9 - Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans

A, B Matrices of correlations between two arbitrary RG subtypes from humans and ferrets by

calculating marker gene scores as described for Fig. 5. (**A**) Correlations and (**B**) *p*-values

between pairs in human and ferret clusters are shown. The early RG in ferrets showed the

highest similarity with "OLIG1" in human data. This might be because of two reasons; (1) cells

only at GW25 without early RG were used for comparison, and (2) cells in "OLIG1" highly

expressed genes related with cell proliferation, as the early RG also did (Table S6). We did not

observe any cell types in human data corresponding to the ferret "midRG" with the same reason

described above.

C Distribution of human and ferret tRGs in the integrated dataset. The number in the X-axis

indicates the cluster number identified by the UMAP in Fig. 6C.

D Expression pattern of the subtype maker genes in the three tRG-enriched clusters (7, 21, and

28).

E Expression patterns of *CRYM*, *CLU*, and *HOPX* in oRG cells and other NPCs in humans.

F *In situ* hybridization of *CLU* at the ferret cortex showed its expression in all VZ, ISVZ, and

OSVZ at P5.

1372

1373

Figure.1. Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

Figure 2. Emergence of ferret tRG during post-natal cortical development.

Figure 3. Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.

Figure 4. tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

A

| E40 | P3 | P5 | P10 | P14 | P35 |

CRYAB
FOXJ1

B FOXJ1/CRYAB expressing cell fraction

FOXJ1+ cells in CRYAB+ cells (150 μm width in VZ)

P5, P10

- CRYAB+FOXJ1+
- CRYAB+FOXJ1-

C *FOXJ1/CRYAB*-expressing cells in scRNA-seq

Cell number

F_mid/lateRG, F_tRG

- E34
- E40
- P1
- P5
- P10

D *FOXJ1*-expressing cells in scRNA-seq

Expression Level

F_earlyRG, F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_EP, F_OPC

E EP cell shape
DAPI FOXJ1 RFP
P14 (IUE at E30)

F

SPARCL1

mRNA expression Level

NPC3 tRG (state5), EP-tRG (state6), astro-tRG (state7)

FOXJ1

NPC3 tRG (state5), EP-tRG (state6), astro-tRG (state7)

G

SPARCL1 mRNA

R = -0.19, p = 0.018

- state5 tRG
- state6 tRG
- state7 tRG

FOXJ1 protein

H

SPARCL1 mRNA FOXJ1 protein DAPI

I

A: *SPARCL1*/FOXJ1, -/-
B: *SPARCL1*/FOXJ1, +/-
C: *SPARCL1*/FOXJ1, -/+
D: *SPARCL1*/FOXJ1, +/+

Figure 5. Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and

genescore of a cluster marker = average Log Fold Change × $\dfrac{\text{marker+cell percentage in the cluster of interest}}{\text{marker+cell percentage in the other clusters}}$

Figure 6. Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans.

Figure S1. Development of the ferret cerebral cortex.

A PAX6 TBR2 OLIG2

B CTIP2 SATB2 DAPI

C GFAP

D IUE at E30
pCAX-hyPBase
LR5-CAG-mCherry
↓
Fixation &
staining at P10

E OSVZ

Figure S2. Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

A

cortical regions used for scRNAseq

removal of posterior and anterior regions → removal of the medial cortex and ventral telencephalon → P10 / P5 / P1

B

number of genes/cell — number of mRNAs/cell — percentage of mitochondrial genes

C

	Reference	Estimated Number of Cell	Median Genes per Cell	Number of Reads	Reads Mapped to Transcriptome	Total Genes Detected	Median UMI Counts
E34_AG	NCBI	3,226	953	137,673,935	30.70%	14,562	2,153
E34_AG	This study	3,223	1,763	137,673,935	58.20%	17,886	3,983
E34_T	NCBI	2,260	1,182	107,508,876	29.90%	14,445	3,096
E34_T	This study	2,265	2,195	107,508,876	56.40%	17,838	5,863

D

AG — E25 — T

UMAP_2 / UMAP_1

Legend:
- F_Upper Layer1
- F_lateRG
- F_IPC1
- F_ITN
- F_Microglia1
- F_Upper Layer2
- F_IPC2
- F_midRG
- F_earlyRG1
- F_RGall
- F_OPC
- F_Deep Layer
- F_earlyRG2
- F_Endothelial1
- F_IPC3
- F_Upper Layer3
- F_Mural
- F_Ependymal
- F_Microglia2
- F_Endothelial2
- F_unknown

Figure S3. Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

Figure S4. Development of ferret tRG during post-natal cortical development.

A Percentage of cell number in scRNAseq

F_tRG 68% F_EP 40%
F_lateRG 5% F_midRG 4%
F_IPC 1% F_OPC 1%

■ *CRYAB* + ■ *CRYAB* -

B tRG cluster by Age
Cell number in scRNAseq
■ E40 ■ P1 ■ P5 ■ P10

C *CRYAB*-expressing cells by Age
Cell number in scRNAseq
■ E34 ■ E40 ■ P1 ■ P5 ■ P10
F_midRG& F_lateRG   F_tRG

D *CRYAB* — Expression Level
F_earlyRG, F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_EP, F_OPC

E *EOMES (TBR2)* — Expression Level
F_earlyRG, F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_EP, F_OPC

F *OLIG2* — Expression Level
F_earlyRG, F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_EP, F_OPC

G P0   P4
GFP CRYAB
CRYAB

Figure S5. Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.

Figure S6. tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

A

DAPI ADENINE CYCLASE III

E40   P5   P10   P35

B

SPARCL1- expressing cells

C

SPARCL1 mRNA

FOXJ1 protein

A: SPARCL1/FOXJ1, -/-
B: SPARCL1/FOXJ1, +/-
C: SPARCL1/FOXJ1, -/+
D: SPARCL1/FOXJ1, ÷/÷

D

**** p<0.0001

Figure S7. Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human.

A

B

Figure S8. single cell analysis of cortical organoid dataset (Bhaduri et al., 2020)

Integrated organoid and primary datasets (Bhaduri et al., 2020)

C

- human_primary
- organoid

pri
- organoid

original IDs of primary dataset

- Astrocyte
- Cajal Retzius
- Deep Layer
- DeepLayer
- early
- earlyRG
- Endothelial
- glycolyticneurons
- glycolyticRG
- hindbrainAstrocyte
- hindbrainRG
- Interneuron
- IPC−div1
- IPC−div2
- IPC−new
- IPC/newborn
- late
- Layer IV
- lowquality
- MatureIPC
- MGE2
- Microglia
- Mural
- Newborn
- OPC
- oRG
- oRG/Astrocyte
- Outlier
- panNeuron
- panRG
- Parietal and Temporal
- SST−MGE1
- tRG
- Unknown
- Upper Layer
- UpperLayer
- vRG
- NA

D

primary tissue tRG (red)   all organoid cells (red)   integrated clusters   organoid clusters

Herring et al., 2022 organoid dataset

Figure S9. Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans.