1

Truncated radial glia as a common precursor in the late corticogenesis of gyrencephalic mammals

Running title: Binary fates of tRG in corticogenesis

Authors list

Merve Bilgic[1,2,3], Quan Wu[1,*],Taeko Suetsugu[1], Atsunori Shitamukai[1], Yuji Tsunekawa[1,4],

Mitsutaka Kadota[5], Osamu Nishimura[5], Shigehiro Kuraku[5,6], Tomomi Shimogori[7], Hiroshi

Kiyonari[8] and Fumio Matsuzaki[1,2,*]

Affiliations

[1]Laboratory for Cell Asymmetry, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe,

Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

[2]Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology and Development, Department of Animal

Development and Physiology, Graduate School for Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-

8501, Japan.

[5]Laboratory for Phyloinformatics, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe,

Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

[7]Molecular Mechanisms of Brain Development, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Wako,

Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

[8]Laboratory for Animal Resources and Genetic Engineering, RIKEN Center for Biosystems

Dynamics Research, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan.

*Corresponding authors

quan.wu@riken.jp

fumio.matsuzaki@riken.jp

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the lead contact, Fumio Matsuzaki (fumio.matsuzaki@riken.jp)

Character count (excluding materials and methods, references, and figure legends): 32761

---

[3]Present address: The Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.
[4]Present address: Division of Molecular and Medical Genetics, Center for Gene and Cell Therapy, The Institute for Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 108-0071, Japan.
[6]Present address: Molecular Life History Laboratory, Department of Genomics and Evolutionary Biology, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan.

ABSTRACT

The diversity of neural stem cells is a hallmark of the cerebral cortex development in gyrencephalic mammals, such as Primates and Carnivora. Among them, ferrets are a good model for mechanistic studies. However, information on their neural progenitor cells (NPC), termed radial glia (RG), is limited. Here, we surveyed the temporal series of single-cell transcriptomes of progenitors regarding ferret corticogenesis and, despite the large timescale difference, found a conserved diversity and temporal trajectory between human and ferret NPC. We focused on truncated RG (tRG), a progenitor subtype previously described in humans. Results from time-lapse imaging in the ferret model suggest that tRG are generated from asymmetric apical divisions of RG sister cells. Moreover, the combination of *in silico* and *in vivo* analyses identified that tRG differentiate into both ependymal and astrogenic cells. Via transcriptomic comparison, we predict that this is also the case in humans. Our findings suggest that tRG play a role in the formation of adult ventricles, thereby providing the architectural bases for brain expansion.

Keywords:

Single-cell transcriptome / neural stem cell / brain development / ferret / human / neurogenesis / truncated radial glia.

INTRODUCTION

A vast diversity of neurons and glia form functional neural circuits during the development of the cerebral cortex in mammals. These cells are progressively generated from multipotent neural stem cells, termed radial glia (RG), following genetic processes common across species, from initially neurons of the deep layers (DL), then neurons of the upper layers (UL), and finally glial cells, astrocytes, or oligodendrocytes (**Fig. S1A, S1B**; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). These processes are also spatially organized with RG divisions in the ventricular zone (VZ), IPC-neuron differentiation in the sub-VZ (SVZ), and neuron migration to the cortical plate in an inside-out manner. In many mammalian phylogenic states, cerebral carcinogenesis independently evolved to gain an additional germinal layer (outer SVZ (OSVZ); Smart et al, 2002), where extensive neurogenesis and gliogenesis of oRG occur (Fietz et al, 2010; Hansen et al, 2010) resulting in the amplification of neuronal and glial populations in the cortex (**Fig. S1**; Rash et al, 2019). On the other hand, a new subtype of NPC in the VZ has recently been reported in humans and rhesus macaques (deAzevedo et al, 2003; Nowakowski et al, 2016; Sidman and Rakic, 1973), lacking the basal attachment and is therefore termed truncated RG

(tRG). However, how widely tRG appears in gyrencephalic (or even lissencephalic) mammal

development, what mechanisms underlie their formation, and what they produce remain

unknown. As distinct NPC subtypes may possess different capacities to generate differentiated

progenies (Huang et al, 2020; Rash et al, 2019), it would be critical to find answers to those

questions to understand commonality and diversity in the mammalian brain evolution.

Genetic manipulation of individual cell types *in vivo* and single-cell transcriptome analysis are

two major and successful approaches in revealing the properties of cells, such as their

proliferation and differentiation. Thus, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of the human

brain during development has been extensively performed (Bhaduri et al, 2020, 2021; Huang

et al, 2020; Johnson et al, 2015; Liu et al, 2017; Polioudakis et al, 2019; Pollen et al, 2015;

Zhong et al, 2018). However, the *in vivo* behavior in humans and other primates and the

underlying mechanisms remain less explored owing to the limited experimental access to the

developing primate cortices. Particularly, resources available for the late human embryonic

brain are extremely rare due to ethical challenges. Also, studies using brain organoids face

issues in recapitulating the specification and maturation of cell types during human brain

development (Bhaduri et al, 2020). In this context, the ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*) is

highlighted as a suitable animal model, which compensates for the difficulties in studying

human cortical development. Ferrets are carnivores that develop common gyrencephalic

features, such as the OSVZ and a folded brain, and are frequently used in mammalian models

of brain development and circuit formation because neurogenesis continues in their early

neonatal stages. Since this species is experimentally manipulable, recent studies have

developed *in vivo* gene manipulation and editing technology using *in utero* electroporation

(IUE; Matsui et al, 2013; Kawasaki et al, 2012; Tsunekawa et al, 2016). Furthermore, ferrets

showed severe microcephalic phenotypes via *ASPM* (*Abnormal Spindle-like Microcephaly-*

associated gene) knockout (Johnson et al, 2018; Kou et al, 2015), which greatly differs from a

minor phenotype in mouse *ASPM* knockout mutants (Capecchi and Pozner, 2015; Fujimori et

al, 2014; Jayaraman et al, 2016; Pulvers et al, 2010). This remarkable finding suggests the

presence of mechanisms underlying brain enlargement and circuit complexity shared by

gyrencephalic species to some extent. Results from transcriptome profiling of ferret cortical

cells has revealed regional differences in germinal layers and cell-type composition (Johnson

et al, 2018; de Juan Romero et al, 2015). However, because of the incomplete genomic

information, especially due to the lack of genetic models, the databases with ferret data have

been less reliable than those with human or mice data. This has posed a limit for the accurate

comparison of single cell transcriptomes between ferrets and humans. Hence, the temporal

pattern of molecular signatures of ferret NPC remains largely unexplored at single-cell

resolution. Comparison of progenitor subtypes and sequential events at the single-cell

transcriptome level regarding development between ferrets and humans will greatly help to

recognize common and species-specific mechanisms underlying the construction of a complex

brain.

In this study, we comprehensively analyzed the developmental dynamics of progenitor

populations during ferret corticogenesis to clarify shared or species-specific mechanisms of

corticogenesis in gyrencephalic mammals and found that ferrets generate tRG at late

neurogenic and early gliogenic stages like humans and other primates. Analysis of the

pseudotime trajectory and temporal histochemical pattern suggested that tRG generate

ependymal and astrogenic cell fates. Then, we compared temporal series of ferret and human

single cell transcriptomes (Bhaduri et al, 2021; Nowakowski et al, 2017). Remarkably, we

found homologous temporal progenitor trajectories irrespective of the large differential

corticogenesis timescale. This study combining rich ferret and human transcriptome data with

in vivo analysis using ferrets emphasizes the value of single-cell ferret transcriptome datasets.

RESULTS

Temporal patterns of neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the cerebral cortex of ferrets

First, we confirmed histochemically the spatial and temporal pattern of RG, oRG, and IPC and

the appearance of diverse cortical neurons to determine the period in which samples were to

be taken for scRNA-seq (**Fig. S1**). While neurogenesis mostly terminated by P5 in the

somatosensory area (**Fig. S1A, S1B**), oligodendrocyte progenitors of dorsal origin (OLIGO2$^+$)

and RG with gliogenic potential (GFAP$^+$) became detectable approximately at E40 and

increased in number postnatally (**Fig. S1C, S1D**). Based on these observations, we decided to

examine single cell transcriptomes at embryonic days E25, E34, and E40 and postnatal days

P5 and P10, which recapitulate neurogenesis and gliogenesis.

Single-cell RNA-seq revealed subtypes of cortical cells in ferrets

To identify the temporal trajectories of cell types in the course of ferret brain development

(schematically represented in **Fig. 1A**), we performed single-cell RNA-sequencing of ferret

somatosensory cortex at the six developmental time points described above (**Fig. 1**). Despite

several previous transcriptome studies on the ferret cortex (Johnson et al, 2018; de Juan
Romero et al, 2015), information on the ferret genome is incomplete (specifically, poor
information regarding the 3′-untranslated region of genes), which has impeded high resolution
single-cell transcriptome analyses that can offer an accurate comparison with human datasets.
Thus, we first improved the annotations of ferret genomic DNA sequences using Chromium
droplet sequencing, which tagged all contigs from a long genomic DNA in a droplet, and
constructed new gene models based on the improved genomic annotations (**Table S1, see also**
Materials and Methods). We performed scRNA-seq using cell populations isolated in two
ways to enrich the progenitor subtypes (**Fig. 1A**): (1) FACS-based sorting of the neural stem
cell fraction labeled with an AzamiGreen (AG)-driven *HES5* promoter (Ohtsuka et al, 2006)
and (2) collecting cells forming the VZ, SVZ, and intermediate zones (IZ) of cerebral cortices
after discarding the cortical plate (CP, **Fig. 1B**). We combined these two cell populations on
all the sampling stages for unbiased clustering. **Figure 1C** shows cell clustering projected in
the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) space (preprint: McInnes et al,
2018; Stuart et al, 2019). We characterized 26 transcriptionally distinct clusters from 30,234
ferret cortical cells through corticogenesis and detected up to 2,600 median genes per cell (**Fig.**
S2A, S2B; Table S1). Cell clusters were annotated according to their specific gene expression
patterns (**Fig. 1D, 1E, S2C, Table S1**) and assigned into ten cell types: RG (early, mid, and
late), IPC, OPC, ependymal cells, excitatory cortical neurons (DL and UL), inhibitory neurons
(ITN), microglia, endothelial cells, mural cells, and unknown cells (**Fig. 1C**). Early and late
RG, and IPC were subdivided into three subclusters that expressed different cell cycle markers
(**Fig. S2D**).
154
RG, IPC, and neuronal clusters were aligned according to the neuronal differentiation process
in the UMAP plot (**Fig. 1C**). RG cells were classified into three clusters (early, mid, and late)
according to their collection stages and also the expression of temporally altered RG markers
reported previously (hereafter named temporal markers) (Okamoto et al, 2016; Telley *et al*,
2019). The "early RG" clusters comprised E25 cells while the "mid RG" clusters, mostly E34
cells (**Fig. S2E**).
161
162   Remarkably, we identified a small cluster (409 cells) of *PAX6*-expressing RG subtype, 69% of
163   which expressed *CRYAB* (**Fig. 1F**). *CRYAB*, encoding a molecular chaperone (Yamamoto et
164   al, 2014) is a unique marker for human tRG (Nowakowski et al, 2016); therefore, we designated
165   them as tRG-like cells in ferrets. In contrast, oRG cells failed to be distinguished from

ventricular RG cells (vRG) in ferrets by unbiased clustering alone (see below). HOPX, a typical marker for oRG in human tissues (**Fig. 1G, S2F**; Pollen et al, 2015), has been indeed detected in both oRG and vRG at late stages *in vivo* in ferrets (**Fig. S2F**; Johnson et al, 2018; Kawaue et al, 2019).

tRG emerge around birth during the development of somatosensory cortex in ferrets

The presence of a tRG-like cluster in ferret transcriptomes led us to investigate the properties of the cells in this cluster. To examine their cell morphology, we sparsely labeled NPC by electroporating P0 embryos with an expression vector for enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and found a cell population showing major characteristics of human tRG cells (Nowakowski et al, 2016): expression of CRYAB and PAX6 with an apical endfoot and truncated basal fiber in the VZ during late neurogenesis (**Fig. 2A, 2B**). CRYAB expression emerged shortly prior to birth (day 41), gradually increasing in cell number, and became mostly restricted to tRG-shaped cells in the VZ and SVZ (**Fig. 2C, 2D, S3A**). These CRYAB$^+$ cells were mostly post-mitotic (KI67$^-$) and neither IPC (TBR2$^+$) nor OPC (OLIG2$^+$) at P10, while a small fraction of those cells expressed these markers at P5 (**Fig. 2F–H**). These histochemical observations were consistent with our single-cell transcriptome data (**Fig. S3B–H**). Altogether, we concluded that tRG-like cells in ferrets are equivalent to human tRG cells (as also confirmed by transcriptomic comparison between humans and ferrets, shown later). A difference in the late neurogenic cortex between humans and ferrets is that the VZ and OSVZ are connected by conventional RG cells in ferrets (Fig. S3A), but separated in humans due to the disappearance of conventional RG cells (Nowakowski et al, 2016).

tRG are formed from the apical division of RG sibling cells with a short basal fiber

Continuing with the ferret model, we investigated through time-lapse imaging of cortical slices how tRG are formed (see **Materials and Methods**). We could reconstruct the process of tRG formation from mitotic progenitor cells in four EGFP–labeled lineages. In all cases, vRG cells did not directly differentiate into CRYAB$^+$ tRG. In two cases (**Fig. 3A–C**), we could identify a vRG as a grandmother cell of tRG. This vRG produced the mother IPC of tRG, which bore both an apical endfoot and a short basal fiber and underwent interkinetic nuclear migration (**Fig. 3A**). These mother IPC underwent an asymmetric division to generate a non-CRYAB expressing cell and a CRYAB$^+$ tRG. Fixed and stained sections are shown in **Fig. 3C**.

In the other two cases (**Fig. 3D–F**), the mother IPC of tRG also possessed both an apical endfoot and a short basal fiber (**Fig. 3D**) and generated a tRG by asymmetric apical division. The birth of the mother IPC from their parental vRG could not captured because of the onset of time-lapse imaging after the parental vRG division. Altogether, our data suggest that tRG cells are formed by apical asymmetric division(s) from unique apical IPC with a short basal fiber (Tsunekawa et al, in preparation). We note the possibility that these mother IPC, which asymmetrically generated tRG, had already expressed CRYAB. Indeed, some CRYAB-expressing tRG (approximately 20%) were mitotically active but were neonatally silenced (**Fig. 2F**).

Temporal fates of RG cells are predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis

To understand the relationship between various cortical progenitors in the developing ferret brain, particularly in the origin and fate of ferret tRG, pseudo-time trajectory analysis was performed. Single cells that had been subjected to single-cell transcriptome analyses (from E25 to P10) were unbiasedly ordered along a trajectory based on their transcriptome profiles (**Fig. S4A, S4B**). To simplify our analysis, we first excluded interneurons, microglia, endothelial cells, and excitatory neuronal clusters. Subsequently, 6,000 single cells were randomly selected from the remaining cell population for further analysis. The pseudo-time analysis predicted a reasonable trajectory consisting of three branching points that generated seven states (**Fig. 4A, S4B**). We assigned major cell types for each state based on the cell clusters defined by UMAP analysis (**Fig. 1C, Table S2**). The trajectory successfully predicted cortical development in the somatosensory area, along which $HES1^+$ stem cells shift their features from the earlier to the later stages, generating branches of differentiated cells (**Fig. 4B, 4C, S4D**). Major $HES1^+$ stem cell states (state 1, 3, 5 and 7) contained cells at different stages; thus termed NPC1, 2, 3 and astrogenic, respectively (**Fig. S4C**). NPC1 (mostly from E25) bifurcated into the neuronally differentiated lineage and NPC2 state (**Fig. S4B**), which produced the OPC lineage and NPC3 state at the second branching point. When neurogenesis gradually declined after birth, the NPC3 state, mainly consisting of late RG cells and tRG (**Fig. S4C**), adopted two states, state 6 with an ependymal fate ($FOXJ1^+$, $SPARCL1^+$, $AQP4^+$) and state 7 with astrogenic fate ($FOXJ1^-$, $SPARCL1^+$, $AQP4^+$), as judged by the combination of late fate markers (Liu et al, 2022; Saadoun and Papadopoulos, 2010; Yu et al, 2008, **Fig. 4A, 4D**).

Remarkably, tRG cell population was distributed into three states along the pseudo-time trajectory (**Fig. 4E, 4F**): NPC3 (mainly during E40–P1), astrogenic (P1–P10), and ependymal (P5–P10) states, suggesting that tRG prenatally arise as precursors of ependyma and astroglia in the ferret cortex.

tRG cells possess ependymal and gliogenic potential during cortical development in ferrets

To test the hypothesis that tRG generate both ependymal cells and astroglia populations, we first examined the expression of an ependymal marker, FOXJ1, a master regulator of ciliogenesis, according to CRYAB expression in the VZ. We observed that $CRYAB^{+}$ tRG cells gradually co-expressed FOXJ1, reaching up to 90% co-expression by P10 (**Fig. 5A, 5B**). Similarly, our transcriptome data showed that the fraction of *CRYAB-FOXJ1* double-positive cells increased in the tRG cluster from P1 to P10, whereas other RG clusters maintained low *CRYAB* expression (**Fig. 5C, 5D**). From P5 onwards, differentiating ependymal cells (*CRYAB-FOXJ1* double-positive) accumulated within the VZ (**Fig. 5A**). These cells often align their nuclei in parallel to the ventricular surface, near which nuclear line aggregates are observed more frequently (**Fig. 2B**), and their cell body finally settled on the apical surface with short basal fibers by P14 (**Fig. 5E**). These data indicate that a majority of tRG cells progressively upregulates *FOXJ1* expression to adopt an ependymal cell fate during postnatal development. Concomitantly, adenine cyclase III expression in both primary and multiciliated cells indicated that ciliogenesis progressed postnatally, forming multiciliated ependymal cells on the ventricular surface of the ferret cortex by P35 (**Fig. S5A**).

Moreover, a fraction of tRG belongs to the state 7 (astrogenic state) in the pseudo-time trajectory (**Fig. 4E, 4F**). We examined whether these tRG cells differentiate into astrogenic cells. We chose *FOXJ1* as an ependymal marker and *SPARCL1* as an early astrogenic marker based on a recent study in mice (Liu *et al*, 2022). While *SPARCL1* is expressed in all states generated by NPC2 (**Fig. S5B**), *SPARCL1* expression is highest in astrogenic tRG among the three tRG subgroups, whereas *FOXJ1* expression is nearly exclusive to ependymal tRG (**Fig. 5F**). Furthermore, cells expressing high levels of *SPARCL1* do not express *FOXJ1* (**Fig. 5G, Table S3**). These features of the astrogenic tRG are essentially the same as those of the astrogenic state (state 7) of the pseudo-time trajectory (**Fig. 4D**). Consistently, whereas

FOXJ1$^+$ cells were located close to the apical surface, those expressing *SPARCL1* were observed relatively far from the apical surface in the VZ (**Fig. 5G, S5C, Table S4**). Altogether, our analyses strongly suggest that tRG cells adopt both the ependymal and astrogenic fates.

Ferrets and humans show a homologous developmental transcriptomic profile of progenitor subtypes

Both ferrets and humans are positioned in distinct phylogenetic branches; both represent complex brain features of gyrencephalic mammals. Therefore, we compared our temporal series of ferret single-cell transcriptomes with a previously published human dataset (Nowakowski et al, 2017) to examine which processes are species-specific or common for the two species. We merged the two datasets by pairing mutual nearest neighbor cells (MNN) following canonical correlation analysis (CCA) across species (Stuart and Butler et al, 2019) and found that many cell types, including RG, IPC, OPC, and neurons, were clustered together (**Fig. 6A, 6B, S6A**). Transcriptomic trajectories were homologous across the two species, indicating that the transition and differentiation of progenitors are essentially shared by the two species. Nonetheless, the timescales of cortical development significantly differed: on the UMAP plot, ferret E25 cells closely distributed with human GW8 cells; ferret E34, with human GW11–14; ferret E40–P1, with human GW15–16; and ferret P5–P10, with human GW17–22 (**Fig. 6B**).

Next, we compared the similarities among individual RG subtypes across species. To quantify the features of an RG subtype, we introduced the parameter "genescore" (Bhaduri et al, 2020), which reflected the enrichment and specificity of a marker gene for a given cluster (see **Materials and Methods**). Comparison of genescores of two arbitrary RG subtypes between two species revealed a high correlation in the early and late RG clusters (vRG, and oRG for the human dataset) across species (**Fig. 6C, 6D, Table S5**).

tRG cells also showed a remarkable similarity between the two species (**Fig. 6C, 6D**), as represented by *CRYAB*, *EGR1,* and *CYR61* expression (**Fig. 6E**). To better examine this similarity, we defined the "cluster score" for individual cells as a linear combination of the expression level of marker genes in a cell, which is weighted by its genescore in the human cluster of interest. Calculation of the cluster score for ferret tRG using genescores of the human

tRG cluster resulted in a higher score than any other cluster in both datasets (**Fig. 6F**). These results confirm, via transcriptomics, that the ferret tRG cluster is very close to the human one.

Transcriptional analysis of human tRG subtypes by integration with ferret tRG subtypes

Next, we assessed whether human tRG cells also possessed ependymal and gliogenic potential, as observed in ferret cells. We chose a recently published human dataset at GW25 (Bhaduri et al, 2021) for comparison because the GW25 dataset includes more tRG cells than the previous datasets. After excluding neurons and other cell types from the analysis, we identified respective human clusters for tRG and oRG cells based on their marker gene expression (**Fig. 7A, Table S6**). Then, we merged human and ferret NPCs (**Fig. 7B, 7C**) as described before (**Fig. 6A**). This procedure revealed that human tRG and oRG share similar transcriptomes with ferret tRG and later RG, respectively (**Fig. S7A, S7B**). It is worth noting that early RG in ferrets showed the highest similarity with "OLIG1" in human data, and no cell type in human data corresponded to ferret "midRG," likely because only GW25 cells were used for comparison (**Fig. S7B**).

The integration of ferret and human datasets showed that tRG from both species were mainly distributed into three different clusters: 7, 21, and 28 (**Fig. 7F, S7C**). Cluster 7 cells highly expressed late onset RG genes (such as *APOE*, *FABP7*, *NOTCH2*, and *DBI*; **Fig. S7D**), suggesting that tRG cells in cluster 7 were presumably at a late RG-like state, being not yet committed to neither astrogenic nor ependymal fates (mostly in the NPC 3 state in the ferret pseudo-time trajectory; **Fig 4A, 4E**). In contrast, cells in clusters 21 and 28 highly expressed marker genes for the astrocyte (*GFAP* and *AQP4*), and the ependymal (*FOXJ*1) clusters, respectively (**Fig. S7D, Table S6**). Then, we confirmed that the three states of ferret tRG cells, committed to the astroglia, ependymal fates and uncommitted states, were largely assigned to clusters 21, 28 and 7, respectively (**Fig. 7G**), guaranteeing the reliability of this method. Thus, our results suggested that tRG cells in both humans and ferrets possessed the potential to generate astrocytes and ependymal cells. We note that the proportion of the three types of tRG was different between human and ferret datasets (**Fig. 7D–F**). This may be ascribed to the differences in developmental stages between the two datasets in terms of tRG fate .

Prediction of ferret oRG-like cells via the identification of cells homologous to human oRG cells

As mentioned before, oRG could not be identified as a separate cluster in the ferret dataset (**Fig.**
1). We attempted to assign oRG-like cells in the ferret dataset using human oRG cells as
anchors via the integration of two datasets by the MNN after CCA (**Fig. 7B**). We could assign
oRG-like cells, which were located near the human oRG cluster (**Fig. 7H**). To assess the degree
of similarity to human oRG, we calculated the oRG score for each ferret oRG-like cell as we
did for tRG (**Fig. 6F**). The assigned oRG-like cells had significantly higher oRG cluster scores
than all other NPCs (**Fig. 7I**). Furthermore, we searched genes that were highly expressed in
oRG-like cells by comparing oRG-like cells and other NPCs in the ferret dataset (**Table S6**).
Consistent with the human dataset (Bhaduri *et al*, 2021), the expression of *HOPX, CLU,* and
CRYM was higher in oRG-like cells than in other ferret NPCs (**Fig. 7J, S7E**). However, human
oRG markers such as *HOPX* and *CLU* were also expressed by vRG and tRG in ferrets (**Fig.**
S2F, S7F). Therefore, oRG at the transcriptome level can only be distinguished by the whole
transcriptome or a combination of several markers, but not by a few marker genes.
342
DISCUSSION
In this study, we made a ferret dataset of single-cell transcriptomes of neural progenitors
covering the entire cortical neurogenenesis and early gliogenic phase, revealing their
diversity and temporal patterns during cortical development. Comparison of these features
between ferrets and humans (Nowakowski *et al*, 2017; Bhaduri *et al*, 2021) indicated at a
high resolution that these two gyrencephalic mammals shared a large proportion of
progenitor variations and their temporal sequences despite their extremely different
neurogenesis timescales. It is remarkable that tRG is conserved between these two
phylogenetically distant species. A ferret model easily allowed us to identify the mode of
tRG generation and its descendant fates and to analyze cell dynamics in tissues. These
findings have not been realized by previous studies on humans, which reexamines the use of
ferrets as a valuable model species to study the neural development of gyrencephalic animals.
355
The origin and fates of tRG revealed through live imaging and single cell transcriptomics
Live imaging of ferret brains indicated that tRG form via asymmetric divisions of RG-like
progenitors with short radial fibers. In ferrets, this type of progenitors is observed as vRG
sibling cells from earlier neurogenic stages (an unpublished observation by Tsunekawa and
Matsuzaki). Our observations suggest that these progenitors change from being neurogenic to
producing tRG around the boundary of the late neurogenic and early gliogenic stages,

contributing to ependymal formation and gliogenesis. Since the sample size was small, further

studies on the process of tRG generation are needed.

We found that ferret (and presumably also human) tRG cells differentiate into ependymal cells

and astrogenic cells. This indicates that tRG is a type of intermediate progenitor that generates

the terminally differentiated cell type (ependymal cells) and astrogenic progenitors. This mode

of ependymal cell formation is likely parallel to that of the bifurcation of ependymal cells and

adult neural stem cells from the same progenitor population in the striatum on the ventral side

(Ortiz-Álvarez *et al*, 2019).

Our intensive cross-species analysis on tRG classified human tRG into three classes that

corresponded to the three subtypes of ferret tRG (**Fig. 7E**). Unlike in ferret brains, the

uncommitted tRG fraction is dominant in GW25 human brains, suggesting that human tRG at

GW25 progresses along the differentiation axis less than ferret tRG at P5–P10, most of which

are already committed to either ependymal or astrogenic states. GW25 is almost at the latest

stages experimentally available in human brains due to ethical reasons. However, ferret tRG

significantly resemble human tRG not only in morphology and transcriptomes, but also in the

presence of the minor human tRG populations of the ependymal and gliogenic states. Human

tRG are highly likely to differentiate into both ependymal and astrogenic cells, which later

populate the white matter. Here, our cross-species analysis highlights the notable role of tRG

as progenitors contributing to the formation of the ependyma and white matter, both of which

are critical for cortical expansion in gyrencephalic mammals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ferret brain tissue samples

Pregnant ferrets were purchased from Marshall BioResources. We also bred them to maintain

a population that is sufficient to regularly get pregnant females in the animal facility of RIKEN

Center for Bioisystems Dynamics Reseach under the license given from Marshall

BioResources. All procedures during animal experiments were performed in accordance with

the legal and institutional ethical regulations of RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics

Research.

Ferret pups are born at day 41 or day 42 of gestation. The day of birth was counted as postnatal

day 0 (P0). Ferret embryos and pups were euthanized prior to brain removal.

Samples used for single cell RNA-sequencing were obtained from 1 or 2 pups for each

developmental stage: embryonic days 25, 34 and 40 and postnatal days 1, 5 and 10. Samples

used for immunohistology-based quantifications were obtained from 2 or 3 pups from different

mothers. Samples used for a time-lapse imaging were obtained from 1 animal per experiment,

which is performed 4 times, independently.

METHOD DETAILS

Genome assembly and gene model construction of the ferret genome

<u>RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing</u>

Total RNA was extracted from the embryonic tissues (Supplementary Table S7) using the

RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). Concentration and length distribution of the RNA were checked

with the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and

the RNA 6000 Nano Kit on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Sequencing libraries

were prepared using 1 μg of total RNA with the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit

(Illumina) and the TruSeq single-index adaptor (Illumina), except for the sample 'gonad and

mesonephros' (see Supplementary Table S7) for which 500 ng of total RNA was used. The

RNA was fragmented at 94°C for either 8 minutes or 2 minutes, which resulted in libraries

with variable insert size distributions (Hara *et al*, 2015). The optimal numbers of PCR cycles

were pre-determined using the KAPA Real-Time Library Amplification Kit (Roche) as

described previously (Tanegashima *et al*, 2018). Libraries were sequenced with the Rapid Run

mode of HiSeq1500 (Illumina) using the HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit ver. 2 (Illumina) and the

HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2-HS (Illumina) to produce paired-end reads of 127 nucleotides. Base

calling was performed with RTA ver. 1.18.64, and the fastq files were generated with bcl2fastq

ver. 1.8.4 (Illumina).

<u>Genomic DNA extraction and Chromium genome library construction</u>

Genomic DNA was extracted from a liver of an adult female ferret using the CHEF Mammalian

Genomic DNA Plug Kit (BioRad, Cat. No. #1703591). In brief, the liver tissue of about 50 mg

was homogenized in PBS (-) with a Dounce tissue grinder on ice, fixed with ethanol, and

subsequently embedded in low melting point agarose gel (BioRad). After the protein digestion

with Proteinase K (QIAGEN, Cat. No. #158920) and RNA digestion with RNase A (QIAGEN,

Cat. No. #158924), the gel plugs were further digested by Agarase (Life Technologies, Cat.

No. #EO0461). DNA was purified by the drop dialysis method using the MF-Millipore

Membrane Filter (Merck Millipore, Cat. No. #VCWP04700). Length distribution of the

genomic DNA was analyzed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, which exhibited an average

length of over 2 Mbp. The Chromium library was constructed using the Chromium Genome

Reagent Kit v1 Chemistry (10x Genomics, Cat No. #PN-120216), and sequencing was

performed with an Illumina HiSeq X to obtain paired-end 151 nt-long reads.

<u>Genome assembly</u>

De novo genome assembly using the Chromium linked-reads was performed with Supernova

ver. 2.0.0 (10x Genomics) with the default parameters, and the resultant sequences were output

with the option 'pseudohap'. Detection and masking of repetitive sequences were performed

using RepeatMasker ver. 4.0.7 (Smit et al, 2013-2015) with NCBI RMBlast ver. 2.6.0+ and the

species-specific repeat library from RepBase ver. 23.01.

<u>Gene model construction</u>

Gene models for gene expression level quantification were constructed in the three following

steps. First, ab initio gene prediction was performed by BRAKER ver. 2.0.5 (Hoff *et al*, 2019).

In this process, GeneMark-ET ver. 4.33 (Lomsadze *et al*, 2014) with the information of spliced

RNA-seq alignment was used for training, and AUGUSTUS ver. 3.3 (Stanke *et al*, 2008) with

the parameters of `UTR=on, species=human` was used for gene prediction. For the

abovementioned training of BRAKER, the RNA-seq reads were aligned to the repeat-masked

genome sequences by STAR ver. 2.5.4a (Dobin *et al*, 2013) with default parameters using the

entire set of RNA-seq reads of various tissue types (Supplementary Table S7). Second, the

information of RNA-seq alignment was directly incorporated into the gene models to improve

the coverage of the 3' UTR region of each gene which is not reliably predicted in ab initio gene

prediction. This computation was performed with HISAT2 ver. 2.1.0 (Kim *et al*, 2019) and

StringTie ver. 1.3.4d (Kovaka *et al*, 2019), whose output GTF file was merged with the gene

models produced by BRAKER using the merge function of StringTie. Finally, the existing

transcript sequences of MusPutFur1.0 available at NCBI RefSeq (GCF_000215625.1) was

incorporated into the gene models by mapping their sequences to the repeat-masked genome

sequences using GMAP ver. 2017-11-15 (Wu & Watanabe, 2005) with the `not report chimeric

alignments` option. The gene name of each locus was adopted from the annotation in RefSeq,

and the gene name of a newly predicted locus was assigned according to the results of a

BLASTX search against the UniProtKB Swiss-Prot database release 2020_01. The assignment

was performed only for genes with a bit score (in the abovementioned BLASTX search) of
greater than 60. When a locus has multiple transcripts, the one with the highest score was
adopted for gene naming. If a transcript estimated by ab initio prediction bridged multiple
genes, they were incorporated into the gene models as separate genes.
467
468 <u>Completeness assessment of assemblies</u>
469 To assess the continuity of the genome assembly and gene space completeness of the gene
470 models, gVolante ver. 1.2.1 (Nishimura *et al*, 2017) was used with the CEGMA ortholog search
471 pipeline and the reference orthologues gene set CVG (Hara *et al*, 2015).
472
***In utero* and postnatal electroporation**
In utero electroporation (IUE) and postnatal electroporation in ferrets was performed as
described previously (Kawasaki *et al*, 2012; Matsui *et al*, 2013; Tsunekawa *et al*, 2016 for IUE;
Borrell, 2010 for postnatal electroporation) with modifications. Briefly, pregnant ferrets or
ferret pups were anesthetized with isoflurane at indicated stages of the development. The
location of lateral ventricles was visualized with transmitted light delivered through an optical
fiber cable. Three μl of plasmid DNA solution was injected into the lateral ventricle at indicated
developmental stages using an injector. Each embryo or pup was placed between the paddles
of electrodes, and was applied a voltage pulse of 45V at the duration from 100 msec to 900
msec (100,0 Pon and 1000,0 Poff) 10 times for in utero electroporation, and under the same
condition except a voltage of 60V for postnatal electroporation (CUY21 electroporator, Nepa
Gene).
485
Plasmids
To assure a stabilized expression of transgenes, we combined expression vectors with a
hyperactive piggyBac transposase system to integrate expression vectors into the genome for
a stable expression (Yusa *et al*, 2011). To enrich neural progenitor cell populations in "AG"
samples used for the single-cell transcriptome analysis, pLR5-Hes5-d2-AzamiGreen (0.5
μg/μl; Hes5 promoter was gifted from Kageyama Laboratory; Ohtsuka *et al*, 2006) was
electroporated at E30 for E40, or at E34 for postnatal samples, together with pCAX-hyPBase
(0.5 μg/μl). Among them, for P1 and P10 samples, pPB-LR5-mCherry (0.5 μg/μl) was also
included in the DNA solution.
To sparsely label the cell cytoplasm for detailed imaging of individual cells on vibratome-cut
thick cortical sections, we have used pPB-LR5-floxstop-EGFP (0.5 μg/μl) combined with a

low concentration of Cre-expressing plasmid (pCAG-Cre, 1 ng/µl). For all IUE experiments,

Fast Green solution (0.1 mg/ml; Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was added into the freshly

prepared mixture of plasmid DNA to visualize the injection.

For in situ hybridization probes, the PCR product was inserted into pCR-BluntII-TOPO for

cloning and sequencing.

Library preparation for scRNAseq

10x v2 Chromium was performed on dissociated single cells according to the manufacturer's

protocol.

Single cell isolation and sorting of Azamigreen-expressing cells

Cell suspension was prepared as reported previously (Wu *et al*, 2022) with modifications.

Brains were collected at indicated stages, transferred in the ice-cold dissection Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) F12 and meninges were removed. Somatosensory area was

dissected from embryonic or postnatal cortices using an ophthalmic knife (15°) under a

dissection microscope. Sliced tissues were dissociated via enzymatic digestion with papain at

37℃ for 30 - 45 min in ice-cold Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (-) with EDTA (0.1

M). Dissociated cells were centrifuged at 1000xg for 5 min to remove papain by washing with

PBS and were resuspended in 0.375% BSA/HBSS (-), or in the sorting buffer (DMEM F12 +

GlutamaX (Thermo Fisher); 0.1 % of Penicillin/Streptomycin (Millipore); 20 ng/ml human

basic FGF, Peprotech; 1xB27 RA-, Gibco) for cell sorting (see below). Homogenous cell

suspension filtered through 35 µm strainer (Falcon).

To concentrate neural progenitor cell (NPC) populations at the expense of mature neurons, two

methods were used for each sample, except for E25, from which cells were collected using

whole somatosensory area:

1) "T" samples: the cortical plate (CP) of cortical sections were dissected out and a part of the

intermediate zone (IZ) was likely to be included in the discarded region.

2) "AG" samples: brains collected at indicated stages were electroporated in utero by

azamiGreen (AG)-expression vector under the control of Hes5 promoter. The latter method

allows the expression of AG in only NPC by the presence of a degradation signal "d2" in the

vector to degrade AG protein in HES5-negative differentiating progeny. Only "AG" samples

were processed with cell sorting and dissociated cell obtained as described above were placed

in a SH800 cell sorter (SONY) to sort azamigreen-positive cells in 0.375%BSA/HBSS(-)

solution. Cell survival and cell number were quantified by Countess or Countess II (Invitrogen),

prior to an application of single cell isolation using 10x v2 Chromium kit.

Samples from the same developmental stage, except for P10 "T" sample, were born from the

same mother and were collected by applying either of above methods on the same day and

processed in parallel.

<u>Library preparation and Sequencing</u>

Single-cell libraries were generated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell

suspensions were diluted for an appropriate concentration to obtain 3,000 cells per channel of

a 10X microfluidic chip device and were then loaded on the 10X Chromium chips accordingly

to the manufacturer's instructions.

Total cDNA integrity and quality were assessed with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

Libraries were sequenced on the HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina), or the TruSeq PE

Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS, to obtain paired-end 26 nt (Read 1)-98 nt (Read 2) reads.

Cortical slice culture for a time-lapse imaging

Brain slices were prepared as previously described (Shitamukai *et al*, 2011). Briefly, coronal

slices were prepared from E38 ferret embryos or P0 pups, and embedded in 4% low-melting

agarose (UltraPure LMP agarose, Thermo Fischer Scientific) before sectioning with a

vibratome (LinerSlicer, DOSAKA EM, 450 μm). Floating sections were collected and washed

in DMEM/F-12 on ice. For time-lapse imaging, slices were mounted on a filter insert (Millicell

from Millipore) with collagen type Ia. Slices were then incubated in DMEM/F-12 culture

medium containing 1X B-27 (RA-) and N2 supplements, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-

glutamine (without serum) at 37°C with 5% of CO_2 supply, for 1 or 2 hours prior to the live-

imaging in the same culture solution. Slices were maintained for 3 days in culture and the

medium was changed every other day.

Time-lapse imaging

To follow the process of tRG formation, we electroporated E35 embryonic brains with an

EGFP-expressing vector and performed time-lapse imaging of cortical slices in culture from

E38 or P0 for three days, based on the first appearance of tRG (**Fig. 2C**). Imaging was

performed by two-photon microscopes (A1R MP+, Nikon) equipped with a 16X objective lens

(CFI 75 LWD 16XW, Nikon), a MaiTai excitation laser (Spectra Physics) and an incubation

chamber supplied with 5% CO_2, 40% O_2 and 55% N_2. 890 nm of wavelengths was used for

exciting EGFP. Images were taken by 7 µm z-stacks at every 20 min. ND acquisition images
were analyzed and reconstructed by using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, USA). These slices
were fixed right after the end of imaging, and immunostained for CRYAB and EGFP to find
division sequences generating tRG.

Immunostaining of brain slices after time-lapse imaging and observations
Brain slices after a live imaging were fixed for 1 overnight in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in
0.1M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) at 4℃, and coronal sections with 12µm thickness were
made as described in the following section, and were incubated overnight at 4℃ with chicken
anti-EGFP antibody (Aves lab, USA) and mouse anti-CRYAB monoclonal antibody (Abcam,
UK) at 1/1000 dilution in the blocking solution. Fluorescent images were acquired from the
cryostat sections under an FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Japan) and reconstructed
by using Volocity software (PerkinElmar, USA).

Immunohistology and confocal imaging
Ferret brains were removed from embryos or pups and fixed for 1 or 2 overnights, respectively,
in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) at 4℃. P35
ferrets were transcardially perfused with cold PBS, followed by 4% PFA, under deep
anesthesia with isoflurane, then collected brains were post-fixed with 1% PFA. After the
fixation of brains or cortical tissue slices after a live imaging, they were equilibrated in 25%
sucrose overnight before embedding in O.C.T. compound (TissueTek, Sakura) and frozen in
liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were stored at -80℃ prior to a coronal sectioning using a
cryostat (CM3050S Leica Microsystems, 12µm sections). After equilibration at room
temperature, sections were washed in PBS with 0.1% Tween (PBST), followed by a treatment
with an antigen retrieval solution of HistoVT one (Nacalai Tesque) diluted 10 times in milliQ
water, at 70℃ for 20 min. Sections were then blocked 1 hr at the room temperature (RT) in
PBS with 2% Triton-X100 and 2% normal donkey serum (Sigma), followed by an incubation
overnight at 4℃ with primary antibody diluted in the blocking solution. After washing in PBST
three times, sections were treated with appropriate fluorescence-conjugated secondary
antibodies (1:500) along with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 1:1,000) for 1 hr at room
temperature. Sections were washed again prior to mounting with PermaFluor solution (Therma
Fisher Scientific).
Immunostaining of thick ferret brain sections (200 µm) were performed as previously reported
(Tsunekawa et al., 2016). Briefly, ferret brains were fixed in 1%PFA, washed in PB overnight

at 4℃, and embedded in 4% low-melting agarose (UltraPure LMP agarose, Thermo Fischer

Scientific). Embedded brains were sliced coronally at 200 μm thickness by a vibratome

(LinerSlicer, DOSAKA EM) on ice. Floating sections were washed three times with PBST,

treated with the blocking solution for 1 hour at RT and incubated with primary antibodies for

5 or 6 overnights at 4℃ under shaking. Sections were then washed in PBST and treated with

secondary antibodies for 5 or 6 overnights at 4℃ under shaking. After washing, we mounted

brain slices with CUBIC solution 2 to allow the transparency.

Fluorescent images were acquired using an FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Japan).

Somatosensory cortices were captured with 20X or 100X objective lenses. When 100x

objective lens was used, z-stacks of confocal images were taken with an optical slice thickness

1.0 μm or 1.5 μm and MAX-projection images obtained by FiJi are shown.

All the antibodies used in this study are listed in Key Resources Table, and are used at

following concentrations: chicken anti-EGFP, 1:500; mouse anti-Alpha B Crystallin, 1:500;

Rabbit anti-FoxJ1, 1:500; goat anti-Olig2, 1:500; rat anti-Eomes, 1:500; rabbit anti-Pax6,

1:500; mouse anti-Pax6, 1:500; mouse anti Gfap, 1:500; rabbit anti-HopX, 1:500; rat anti-RFP,

1:500; goat anti-adenine cyclase III, 1:250; rat anti-Ctip2, 1:500; mouse anti-Satb2, 1:500, rat

anti-Ki67, 1:500.

Probe preparation

Primers for PCR targeting ferret *CLU* gene were designed with Primer3 ver 0.4.0 software.

Total RNA was isolated from embryonic ferret brain, collected in Trizol. cDNA was generated

from total RNA using the Prime Script 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (Takara) according to the

manufacturer's recommended procedure. Target cDNA was inserted into pCR-TOPOII-Blunt

plasmid for cloning and sequencing using M13F or M13R primers. Anti-sense cRNA probes

were then generated by in vitro transcription using T7 promoter.

In situ **hybridization**

ISH was performed as described previously, with some modifications (Mashiko *et al*, 2012).

Briefly, ferret brains were perfused in 4%PFA in PBS and were fixed at 4%PFA in 0.1M PB,

Fixed brain were incubated in 30% sucrose/4%PFA in 0.1M PB for at least 1 day and were

stored at -80℃ until ISH. Frozen brains were sectioned in coronal plane at 25 μm using cryostat

(CM3050S Leica Microsystems). Sections on slides were post-fixed at 4% PFA in 0.1M PB

and treated with proteinase K (Roche). Sections were hybridized with digoxigenin (DIG)-

labeled probes at 72° C overnight in hybridization solution. Sections were then washed and

blocked with donkey serum and incubated with pre-absorbed DIG antibody, conjugated to

Alkaline phosphatase for 2 hours at room temperature. Color development was performed in

solution containing NBT/BCIP (Roche).

***In situ* hybridization with RNAscope**

To detect mRNA of ferret Sparcl1, RNAscope multiplex fluorescent detection reagents v2 was

used with Sparcl1 probe (Advanced cell diagnostics).   In situ hybridization was performed

according to the manufacturer's instructions. After that sections were block with 3%

milk/PBST and stained with antibody of FOXJ1.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR scRNAseq.

Statistical details including experimental n, statistical tests and significance are reported in

Figure Legends.

Alignment and raw processing of data

Fastq files were obtained from individual samples and were processed using Cell Ranger

pipeline v2. Alignment was done using "Cell Ranger count" function with default parameters

accordingly to manufacturer's instructions to map reads to the ferret reference (MPF_Kobe

2.0.27) or NCBI database (NCBI Assembly ID: 286418 (MusPutFur1.0)). The raw data for

each set of cells within a sample was obtained by cellranger count function and was read using

Seurat "Read10X" function (Seurat v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019), creating a matrix for unique

molecular identified (UMI) counts of each gene within each cell. Detailed information after

mapping is listed in the Supplementary Table S1B.

Filtering and normalization

Filtering and normalization were performed using Seurat (v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019). Briefly,

each sample was filtered by removing low-quality cells with unique feature counts less than

200, and genes expressed in less than 3 cells (Seurat function "CreateSeuratObject"). Different

samples were then merged using the Seurat "merge" function. Merged data was further subset

by keeping cells with features (genes) over 200 and less than 5000. Raw UMI counts were then

normalized using "LogNormalize" as normalization method, which divided reads by the total

number of UMIs per cell, then multiplied by 10,000 (Seurat "NormalizeData" function). This

resulted in a total of 30,234 cells and 19,492 genes: E25 (3486 cells), E34AG (3223 cells),

E34T (2260 cells), E40AG (1102), E40T (1581 cells), P1AG (2681), P1T (3641), P5AG (2429),

P5T (2926), P10AG (3010) and P10T (3895).

Single-cell clustering and visualization

Cell clustering was employed to the entire cell population after removing low-quality cells,

using Seurat (v3.1.5) (Stuart *et al*, 2019). 2,000 highly variable genes were identified and used

for the downstream analysis using Seurat "FindVariableFeatures" function (selection.method

= "vst"), which allowed the calculation of average expression and dispersion for each gene.

Normalized data was then processed for scaling using Seurat function "Scale Data" with

default settings, which also allowed the regression of the batch. Principal component analysis

(PCA) was performed with 2,000 variable genes to reduce dimensionality of the scaled dataset

and 50 PCs were retained (Seurat "RunPCA" function). Clustering was then performed using

graph-based clustering approach ("Find Neighbors" function) using top 20 PCs, which were

selected based on the standard deviation of PCs on the elbowplot created by Seurat function

"ElbowPlot" and based on statistical significance calculated by JackStraw application. Briefly,

cells are embedded in a k-nearest neighbor (KNN) graph based on the Euclidean distance in a

PCA space. Then, this knn graph is used to group cells on a shared nearest neighbor graph

based on calculations of overlap between cells with similar gene expression patterns (Jaccard

similarity). Cells were then clustered by Louvain algorithm implemented in Seurat

"ClusterCells" function (resolution = 0.8, dims = 1:20). Next, we used Seurat "RunUMAP"

function, which resulted in cell clusters being separated in embedding space while preserving

the balance between local and global structure.

Cluster annotations

Cluster markers were obtained using "FindAllMarkers" Seurat function. We tested genes that

showed at least a 0.25-fold difference between the cells in the cluster and all remaining cells,

and that were detected in more than 25% of the cells in the cluster. Clusters, which we called

subtypes, were then annotated by comparing cluster markers to previously identified cell type

markers in the literature for mouse and human datasets. The full list of markers is given under

Table S1. The heatmap in Figure 2D shows the expression data for the top 10 highly expressed

marker genes for each cluster using a downsampling of maximum 500 cells from each cluster.

Plotting cells onto the UMAP plot by their batch indicated that batches did not influence

clustering (**Fig. 2C**) in accordance with the differential expression of cluster markers (Figure

2D, S2C). When a cluster was enriched based on the developmental stage, the information was

included in the annotation. For example, "early RG" cluster was enriched in E25 samples, and

expressed both common radial glial cell markers shared with other RG clusters in our dataset,

but also expressed early onset-genes reported in mouse studies (Okamoto *et al*, 2016; Telley *et*

al, 2019), such as *HMGA2*, *FLRT3*, *LRRN1* (Table S1). Therefore, we named this cluster as

"early RG" based on its age-dependent properties.

To achieve more precise clustering, cycling cells were identified with known markers

implemented in Seurat package (S genes and G2M genes). Clusters enriched in S genes or

G2M genes were identified, including early_RG2 (S), early_RG3 (G2M), late_RG2 (G2M),

late_RG3 (S), IPC2 (S) and IPC3 (G2M) (Figure S2B). Subtypes of RG and IPC clusters were

further combined to facilitate the data representation (Figure S2C-D).

Differential gene expression analysis

Differential gene expression (DEG) analysis was assessed using "FindMarkers" Seurat

function with default parameters.

Pseudotime analysis

Monocle 2 was used to construct developmental trajectories based on pseudotime ordering of

single cells (Qiu *et al*, 2017; Trapnell *et al*, 2014). We generated a subset of clusters using

"SubsetData" Seurat function using the raw counts. Combined progenitor clusters (F_earlyRG,

F_midRG, F_lateRG, F_tRG, F_IPC, F_OPC) were selected. All clusters known to be

generated from a non-cortical origin including microglia, endothelial and mural cells, were

removed prior the pseudotime analysis. The expression matrix and a metadata file that

contained above cluster information defined by Seurat were used as input for monocle package

(Table S2).

The "differentialGeneTest" function was used to select genes for dimensionality reduction

(fullModelFormulaStr = '~Subtype.combined'). Top 1,000 genes were then applied for cell

ordering. The visualization of the minimum spanning tree on cells was obtained by Monocle2

"plot_complex_cell_trajectory" function. To visualize the ordered cells on Seurat's UMAP

plot, we extracted the branch information for cells and added as metadata of the merged Seurat

object ("AddMetaData" Seurat function).

Processing External scRNA-seq Datasets for comparisons and preprocessing

scRNA-seq data of developing human brain from Nowakowski *et al*. (2017) and from Bhaduri

et al. (2021) were used. All cells included in the analysis and cluster assignments from the

provided matrix were mapped to the cell type assignments provided in the metadata of

Nowakowski et al. dataset and the cell type labels were used as provided by the authors without

modification. For Bhaduri et al. dataset, GW25 sample was used for clustering by Seurat

package as described above. For both, we removed low quality cells and clusters annotated

based on anatomical regions other than somatosensory cortex, and removed cells with less than

200 features. For Bhaduri et al. dataset, we filtered to cells with at least 200 features, less than

6,500 features and less than 5% mitochondrial genes. This resulted in 25,485 cells from around

180,000 cells in Bhaduri et al. dataset, and in 2,673 cells in Nowakowski et al. dataset.

Integrated analysis of human and ferret scRNA-seq datasets

Human datasets published by Bhaduri *et al*. (2021) and Nowakowski *et al*. (2017) were used

for integration analysis with our ferret dataset using Seurat canonical correlation analysis

(Stuart *et al*, 2019). First, a Seurat object was created for all datasets as described previously.

Briefly, each object was individually processed with a normalization and variable features were

identified. For human datasets, we excluded cells or samples obtained from anatomical regions

other than somatosensory cortex. Then, the integration anchors between human and ferret

Seurat objects were identified using "FindIntegrationAnchors" Seurat function with default

settings. The integration was then performed with "IntegrateData" Seurat function. Scaling,

PCA and UMAP dimensional reduction were performed to visualize the integration results.

Cluster correlation analysis between ferret and human clusters.

Correlation analysis was performed as described by Bhaduri *et al*. (2020), with modifications.

Briefly, lists of cluster marker genes obtained by "FindAllMarkers" Seurat function on

individual datasets were extracted prior to an integration (Table S5A), except for Nowakowski

et al dataset, for which the marker gene list was provided. A score based on the specificity and

the enrichment of cluster marker genes was generated (defined as "Genescore"). A genescore

value was obtained by the multiplication of the average fold change that represents the gene

enrichment, with the percentage of the cells expressing the marker in the cluster (pct.1) divided

the percentage of the cells from other clusters expressing the marker (pct.2: specificity). This

function was employed on the marker genes for a human subtype of interest in the space of

marker genes for ferret NPC (RG, IPC, OPC and EP) clusters. Genescores for both ferret and

human were then obtained for all markers shared between human subtype of interest (i.e. oRG)

and ferret NPC subtypes. We then applied cor.test function using Pearson method to estimate

a correlation and the significance of the correlation for the obtained genescores between ferret

and human samples. These resulting values were represented for NPC subtypes on heatmap

plots.

Prediction of oRG-like/tRG-like cells by cross-dataset analysis.

The cell type marker genes for oRG and tRG cell types were extracted from each human

scRNAseq datasets. To predict human oRG-like and tRG-like cells in ferret sample more

precisely, we removed mature neuron, microglia, and endothelia clusters, as well as clusters

assigned as unknown, from individual datasets for an integration between ferret and human

NPC clusters using Seurat package as described in the above section (Stuart *et al*, 2019). After

identification of anchors between human and ferret Seurat objects using

"FindIntegrationAnchors", we extracted the information of each pair of anchors, which

including one ferret and one human cell. If the human cell in the pair belongs to oRG (tRG)

cluster in human dataset, we consider the other ferret cell in the same pair as oRG (tRG)-like

cell.

Cluster score calculation.

Based on a genescore calculated for marker genes as previously described, a cell-type

predictive model was generated. For both datasets, our custom-made R scripts were applied to

generate matrices using either human oRG markers or tRG markers using our integrated

subsets. In the space of matrices reduced with corresponding human marker genes, a score we

defined as "oRG score" or "tRG score" was created by multiplying human genescore for each

marker genes with the expression values of these genes in all cells present in the integrated

subset data. The results were represented by beeswarm and boxplot.

Evaluation of immunolabeled cell number and statistical analysis

The counting frames on images of immunolabeled sections consisted of 150 μm of width

regions of interests (ROIs). The numbers of immunolabeled cells within selected ROIs were

manually counted using the "cell counter" tool of FiJi software (Schindelin *et al*, 2012). The

VZ was identified by its cell density visualized by DAPI, and according to its thickness

measured by a vertical length from the ventricular surface at indicated stages (100 μm at P5,

70 μm at P10). The proportions of immunolabeled cells positive for various markers were

calculated using the summed data counted within all ROIs. The data obtained from lateral

cortices of somatosensory area was averaged from 2 to 3 sections.

For CRYAB-positive cells, we only counted cells with nuclei which were visualized by DAPI

staining. The numbers of positive cells were counted using two or three different animals.

Quantification was followed by Wilcoxon test to assess statistical significance and data on each

section were considered as n = 1.

Quantification of RNAscope in situ hybridization and immunostaining image

Images of RNAscope in situ hybridization and FOXJ1 immunostaining were captured by using

an IX83 inverted microscope (Olympus) equipped with Dragonfly 200 confocal unit (Andor),

Zyla Z4.2 sCMOS camera (Andor), and UPLXAPO 60XO objective lens (Olympus). Imaging

was performed to satisfy Nyquist sampling (xy = 48 nm/pixel by using 2x zoom optic and z =

136 nm interval) and 3D deconvolution was performed by ClearView-GPU™ option of Fusion

software (Andor). Default deconvolution parameter is used except for number of irritation (n

= 24) and mounting media reflection index (RI = 1.46). For quantification of images,

CellProfiler version 4.2.4 (Stirling *et al*, 2021) was used. To detect mRNA dots of RNAscope

in situ hybridization images, a single Z section of deconvolved images were processed by

EnhancingSpeckles module (10 pixels size) and then mRNA dots were detected by

IdentifyPrimaryObjects module, adaptive Otsu two class method, using default parameter

except for size of adaptive window (size = 10). Dot's diameter less than 4 pixels were removed

as a background noise. Cytoplasmic ROIs were generated from smoothed RNAscope in situ

hybridization images by MedianFilter module (window size = 10) and manually drawn seed

ROIs of DAPI staining images by using IdentifySecondaryObjects module (propagation

adaptive Otsu two class method, using default parameter). FoxJ1 immunostaining raw images

were measured by MeasureImageIntensity module. Quantified data were visualized and

clustered by using RStudio (version 2022.07.1+554) with R (version 4.0.3). Each of mRNA

count data and protein staining intensity data was log transformed and normalized, and

hierarchical clustering was done by using a Euclidian distance and complete clustering method

and cut tree to 4 clusters. Each cluster expression was plotted and classified to single or double

positive, or double negative of SPARCL1 and FOXJ1 populations.

Data and code availability

Genome assembly and chromium linked-read sequences were deposited in the DDBJ under

accession numbers BLXN01000001–BLXN01022349 and DRA010274. The gene models are

available from Figshare under the DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.12807032. Single-cell RNA-seq

data have been deposited in the DDBJ under the number PSUB013536.

Any information required to obtain and reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available

from the lead contact upon request.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kazuaki Yamaguchi, Rohab F. Abdelhamid, and Chiharu Tanegashima for

sequencing RNA and genomic DNA and all members of the Laboratory of Cell Asymmetry

for providing technical support and helpful discussions. This work was supported by JSPS

KAKENHI [Grant Numbers 18H04003, 17H05779, 19H04791] and RIKEN. RIKEN funds

F.M. M.B. was a RIKEN International Program Associate. Q.W. was supported by a JSPS

Postdoctoral Fellowship and a RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researcher Program.

Author contributions

M.B., Q.W., and F.M. designed experiments. M.K., O.N., Q.W., Y.K., and S.K. constructed

new ferret gene models. M.B. and Q.W. performed scRNA-seq experiments and bioinformatics

analyses. M.B., Y.T., and Q.W. performed molecular biological analyses. M.B., T.S. [Taeko]

and T.S. [Tomomi] performed histological analyses. A.S and Q.W. performed and analyzed

RNA/protein double-tissue staining. T.S. [Taeko] carried out time-lapse imaging. T.S. [Taeko],

Y.T. and H.K. bred the ferrets. M.B., Q.W., A.S. and F.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors

read and approved the final manuscript for submission.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Bhaduri A, Andrews MG, Mancia Leon W, Jung D, Shin D, Allen D, Jung D, Schmunk G,
Haeussler M, Salma J, *et al* (2020) Cell stress in cortical organoids impairs molecular
subtype specification. *Nature* 578(7793): 142–148
Bhaduri A, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Otero-Garcia M, Oh I, Yin R, Eze UC, Nowakowski TJ &
Kriegstein AR (2021) An atlas of cortical arealization identifies dynamic molecular
signatures. *Nature* 598(7879): 200–204
Borrell V (2010) In vivo gene delivery to the postnatal ferret cerebral cortex by DNA

electroporation. *J Neurosci Methods* 186: 186–195

Capecchi MR & Pozner A (2015) ASPM regulates symmetric stem cell division by tuning
Cyclin E ubiquitination. *Nat Commun* 6:8763

Chen EY, Tan CM, Kou Y, Duan Q, Wang Z, Meirelles G V., Clark NR & Ma'ayan A
(2013) Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool.
BMC Bioinformatics 14

deAzevedo LC, Fallet C, Moura-Neto V, Daumas-Duport C, Hedin-Pereira C & Lent R
(2003) Cortical radial glial cells in human fetuses: Depth-correlated transformation into
astrocytes. *J Neurobiol* 55(3): 288–298

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M &
Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15–
21

Fietz SA, Kelava I, Vogt J, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Stenzel D, Fish JL, Corbeil D, Riehn A,
Distler W, Nitsch R, *et al* (2010) OSVZ progenitors of human and ferret neocortex are
epithelial-like and expand by integrin signaling. *Nat Neurosci* 13(6): 690–699

Fujimori A, Itoh K, Goto S, Hirakawa H, Wang B, Kokubo T, Kito S, Tsukamoto S &
Fushiki S (2014) Disruption of Aspm causes microcephaly with abnormal neuronal
differentiation. *Brain Dev* 36(8): 661–669

Hansen D V., Lui JH, Parker PRL & Kriegstein AR (2010) Neurogenic radial glia in the
outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. *Nature* 464(7288): 554–561

Hara Y, Tatsumi K, Yoshida M, Kajikawa E, Kiyonari H & Kuraku S (2015) Optimizing and
benchmarking de novo transcriptome sequencing: From library preparation to assembly
evaluation. *BMC Genomics* 16: 1–12

Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M & Stanke M (2019) Whole-Genome Annotation with
BRAKER. *Methods Mol Biol* 1962: 65–95

Huang W, Bhaduri A, Velmeshev D, Wang S, Wang L, Rottkamp CA, Alvarez-Buylla A,
Rowitch DH & Kriegstein AR (2020) Origins and Proliferative States of Human
Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells. *Cell* 182(3): 594-608.e11

Jayaraman D, Kodani A, Gonzalez DM, Mancias JD, Mochida GH, Vagnoni C, Johnson J,
Krogan N, Harper JW, Reiter JF, *et al* (2016) Microcephaly Proteins Wdr62 and Aspm
Define a Mother Centriole Complex Regulating Centriole Biogenesis, Apical Complex,
and Cell Fate. *Neuron* 92(4): 813–828

Johnson MB, Sun X, Kodani A, Borges-Monroy R, Girskis KM, Ryu SC, Wang PP, Patel K,
Gonzalez DiM, Woo YM, *et al* (2018) Aspm knockout ferret reveals an evolutionary
mechanism governing cerebral cortical size. *Nat 2018 5567701* 556: 370–375

Johnson MB, Wang PP, Atabay KD, Murphy EA, Doan RN, Hecht JL & Walsh CA (2015)
Single-cell analysis reveals transcriptional heterogeneity of neural progenitors in human
cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 18(5): 637–646

de Juan Romero C, Bruder C, Tomasello U, Sanz-Anquela JM & Borrell V (2015) Discrete
domains of gene expression in germinal layers distinguish the development of
gyrencephaly. *EMBO J* 34(14): 1859–1874

Kawasaki H, Iwai L & Tanno K (2012) Rapid and efficient genetic manipulation of
gyrencephalic carnivores using in utero electroporation. *Mol Brain* 5:24

Kawaue T, Shitamukai A, Nagasaka A, Tsunekawa Y, Shinoda T, Saito K, Terada R, Bilgic
M, Miyata T, Matsuzaki F, *et al* (2019) Lzts1 controls both neuronal delamination and
outer radial glial-like cell generation during mammalian cerebral development. *Nat*
Commun 10(1): 2780

Kessaris N, Fogarty M, Iannarelli P, Grist M, Wegner M & Richardson WD (2006)
Competing waves of oligodendrocytes in the forebrain and postnatal elimination of an
embryonic lineage. *Nat Neurosci 2005 92* 9: 173–179

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C & Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol 2019 378* 37: 907–915

Kou Z, Wu Q, Kou X, Yin C, Wang H, Zuo Z, Zhuo Y, Chen A, Gao S & Wang X (2015) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering of the ferret. *Cell Res* 25(12): 1372–1375

Kovaka S, Zimin A V., Pertea GM, Razaghi R, Salzberg SL & Pertea M (2019) Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with StringTie2. *Genome Biol* 20: 1–13

Kuleshov M V., Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, Koplev S, Jenkins SL, Jagodnik KM, Lachmann A, *et al* (2016) Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44: W90–W97

Liu J, Liu W, Yang L, Wu Q, Zhang H, Fang A, Li L, Xu X, Sun L, Zhang J, *et al* (2017) The Primate-Specific Gene TMEM14B Marks Outer Radial Glia Cells and Promotes Cortical Expansion and Folding. *Cell Stem Cell* 21(5): 635-649.e8

Liu J, Wu X & Lu Q (2022) Molecular divergence of mammalian astrocyte progenitor cells at early gliogenesis. *Development* 149(5): dev199985

Lomsadze A, Burns PD & Borodovsky M (2014) Integration of mapped RNA-Seq reads into automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42

Mashiko H, Yoshida AC, Kikuchi SS, Niimi K, Takahashi E, Aruga J, Okano H & Shimogori T (2012) Comparative Anatomy of Marmoset and Mouse Cortex from Genomic Expression. *J Neurosci* 32: 5039–5053

Matsui A, May T, C. YA, S. KS, Mami U, Masaharu O & Tomomi S (2013) BTBD3 Controls Dendrite Orientation Toward Active Axons in Mammalian Neocortex. *Science* 342(6162): 1114–1118

McInnes L, Healy J & Melville J (2018) UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. *arXiv* doi: 1802.03426v3 [PREPRINT]

Nishimura O, Hara Y & Kuraku S (2017) gVolante for standardizing completeness assessment of genome and transcriptome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 33: 3635–3637

Nowakowski TJ, Bhaduri A, Pollen AA, Alvarado B, Mostajo-Radji MA, Di Lullo E, Haeussler M, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Liu SJ, Velmeshev D, *et al* (2017) Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. *Science* 358(6368): 1318–1323

Nowakowski TJ, Pollen AA, Sandoval-Espinosa C & Kriegstein AR (2016) Transformation of the Radial Glia Scaffold Demarcates Two Stages of Human Cerebral Cortex Development. *Neuron* 91(6): 1219–1227

Ohtsuka T, Imayoshi I, Shimojo H, Nishi E, Kageyama R & McConnell SK (2006) Visualization of embryonic neural stem cells using Hes promoters in transgenic mice. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 31(1): 109–122

Okamoto M, Miyata T, Konno D, Ueda HR, Kasukawa T, Hashimoto M, Matsuzaki F & Kawaguchi A (2016) Cell-cycle-independent transitions in temporal identity of mammalian neural progenitor cells. *Nat Commun* 7: 11349

Ortiz-Álvarez G, Daclin M, Shihavuddin A, Lansade P, Fortoul A, Faucourt M, Clavreul S, Lalioti ME, Taraviras S, Hippenmeyer S, *et al* (2019) Adult Neural Stem Cells and Multiciliated Ependymal Cells Share a Common Lineage Regulated by the Geminin Family Members. *Neuron* 102(1): 159-172.e7

Polioudakis D, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Langerman J, Elkins AG, Shi X, Stein JL, Vuong CK, Nichterwitz S, Gevorgian M, Opland CK, *et al* (2019) A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Atlas of Human Neocortical Development during Mid-gestation. *Neuron* 103(5): 785-801.e8

Pollen AA, Nowakowski TJ, Chen J, Retallack H, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Nicholas CR, Shuga

J, Liu SJ, Oldham MC, Diaz A, *et al* (2015) Molecular Identity of Human Outer Radial
Glia during Cortical Development. *Cell* 163(1): 55–67
Pulvers JN, Bryk J, Fish JL, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Arai Y, Schreier D, Naumann R, Helppi
J, Habermann B, Vogt J, *et al* (2010) Mutations in mouse Aspm (abnormal spindle-like
microcephaly associated) cause not only microcephaly but also major defects in the
germline. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107(38): 16595–16600
Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA & Trapnell C (2017) Reversed graph
embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nat Methods* 14(10): 979–982
Rash BG, Duque A, Morozov YM, Arellano JI, Micali N & Rakic P (2019) Gliogenesis in
the outer subventricular zone promotes enlargement and gyrification of the primate
cerebrum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116(14): 7089 LP – 7094
Reillo I & Borrell V (2012) Germinal Zones in the Developing Cerebral Cortex of Ferret:
Ontogeny, Cell Cycle Kinetics, and Diversity of Progenitors. *Cereb Cortex* 22: 2039–
2054
Rowitch DH & Kriegstein AR (2010) Developmental genetics of vertebrate glial–cell
specification. *Nature* 468(7321): 214–222
Saadoun S & Papadopoulos MC (2010) Aquaporin-4 in brain and spinal cord oedema.
Neuroscience 168(4): 1036–1046
Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, *et al* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods 2012 97* 9: 676–682
Shitamukai A, Konno D & Matsuzaki F (2011) Oblique radial glial divisions in the
developing mouse neocortex induce self-renewing progenitors outside the germinal zone
that resemble primate outer subventricular zone progenitors. *J Neurosci* 31: 3683–3695
Sidman RL & Rakic P (1973) Neuronal migration, with special reference to developing
human brain: a review. *Brain Res* 62(1): 1–35
Smart IHM, Dehay C, Giroud P, Berland M & Kennedy H (2002) Unique Morphological
Features of the Proliferative Zones and Postmitotic Compartments of the Neural
Epithelium Giving Rise to Striate and Extrastriate Cortex in the Monkey. *Cereb Cortex*
12(1): 37–53
Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R & Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntenically
mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding. *Bioinformatics* 24: 637–
644
Stirling DR, Swain-Bowden MJ, Lucas AM, Carpenter AE, Cimini BA & Goodman A
(2021) CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. *BMC Bioinformatics*
22
Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, Hao Y, Stoeckius M,
Smibert P & Satija R (2019) Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell*
177(7): 1888-1902.e21
Tanegashima C, Nishimura O, Motone F, Tatsumi K, Kadota M & Kuraku S (2018)
Embryonic transcriptome sequencing of the ocellate spot skate Okamejei kenojei. *Sci*
Data 2018 51 5: 1–6
Telley L, Agirman G, Prados J, Amberg N, Fièvre S, Oberst P, Bartolini G, Vitali I, Cadilhac
C, Hippenmeyer S, *et al* (2019) Temporal patterning of apical progenitors and their
daughter neurons in the developing neocortex. *Science* 364
Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, Livak KJ,
Mikkelsen TS & Rinn JL (2014) The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are
revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 32(4): 381–386
Tsunekawa Y, Terhune RK, Fujita I, Shitamukai A, Suetsugu T & Matsuzaki F (2016)
Developing a de novo targeted knock-in method based on in utero electroporation into

the mammalian brain. *Development* 143(17): 3216–3222

Wu Q, Shichino Y, Abe T, Suetsugu T, Omori A, Kiyonari H, Iwasaki S & Matsuzaki F

(2022) Selective translation of epigenetic modifiers affects the temporal pattern and

differentiation of neural stem cells. *Nat Commun 2022 131* 13: 1–18

Wu TD & Watanabe CK (2005) GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for

mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* 21: 1859–1875

Yamamoto S, Yamashita A, Arakaki N, Nemoto H & Yamazaki T (2014) Prevention of

aberrant protein aggregation by anchoring the molecular chaperone αB-crystallin to the

endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 455: 241–245

Yu X, Ng CP, Habacher H & Roy S (2008) Foxj1 transcription factors are master regulators

of the motile ciliogenic program. *Nat Genet* 40(12): 1445–1453

Yusa K, Zhou L, Li MA, Bradley A & Craig NL (2011) A hyperactive piggyBac transposase

for mammalian applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 1531–1536

Zheng K, Wang C, Yang J, Huang H, Zhao X, Zhang Z & Qiu M (2018) Molecular and

Genetic Evidence for the PDGFRα-Independent Population of Oligodendrocyte

Progenitor Cells in the Developing Mouse Brain. *J Neurosci* 38: 9505–9513

Zhong S, Zhang S, Fan X, Wu Q, Yan L, Dong J, Zhang H, Li L, Sun L, Pan N, *et al* (2018)

A single-cell RNA-seq survey of the developmental landscape of the human prefrontal

cortex. *Nature* 555(7697): 524–528

Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. (2013-2015) *RepeatMasker Open-4.0.* Available at:

http://www.repeatmasker.org.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

1041

Figure 1 - Single-cell RNA-seq reveals ferret transcriptome signatures and the cell types.

A Schematic representing cortical development and emergence of diverse neural progenitors

in humans and ferrets. Progenitors sequentially generate DL- and subsequent UL-neurons, and

finally glial cells, and form ependymal cells. RG and oRG have been morphologically and

positionally identified in both humans and ferrets while tRG have been only reported in humans.

VZ, ventricular zone; ISVZ, inner subventricular zone; OSVZ, outer subventricular zone; IZ,

intermediate zone; DL, deep layer; UL, upper layer; CP, cortical plate; NEP cell,

neuroepithelial cell; Mn, migrating neuron; and EP cell, ependymal cell.

B Schematic representing the experimental design and time points used to build the

transcriptome atlas of developing somatosensory cortex of ferrets. Single cells were isolated

using 10X Chromium (see Materials and Methods).

C UMAP visualization showing cells colored by Seurat clusters and annotated by cell types

(as shown in **C, D**).

D Heatmap showing expression profiles of cluster marker genes based on log fold-change

values. Cells were grouped by Seurat clustering (transverse). Cell types were assigned

according to the expression of marker and differentially expressed genes in each cluster. Early

RG was defined by the expression of *HMGA2, LDHA,* and *LIX1*; and late RG, by *PTN, ALDOC,*

and *FABP7*. OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cell; ITN, interneuron; and EN, endothelial cells.

Other cell type abbreviations are shown in (A) and the main text. Color bar matches the Seurat

clusters in (**C**). The ten most enriched representative genes in each cluster are shown, with

typical marker genes noted in (**E–G**).

E Normalized expression levels of representative marker genes of different cell types projected

onto the UMAP plot in (**C**). MG, microglia.

F Expression pattern of *CRYAB*, a marker for tRG, only described in humans and other primates.

G The expression pattern of *HOPX*, a marker for oRG in humans and other primates in the

UMAP plot.

1068

Figure 2 - Truncated tRG emerges during postnatal cortical development in ferrets.

A Representative images showing the cellular features of tRG in the ferret at P0, VZ stained

for GFP (red) and CRYAB (green). Ferret embryonic RG were sparsely labelled with a GFP-

expressing plasmid at E30 via IUE. MAX projection was performed on a 30 μm vibratome

section with 5 μm interval for each z-image. Scale bar, 50 μm.

B Expression patterns of CRYAB (green) and PAX6 (red) in ferret germinal zones during

postnatal development (P1, P5, and P10) (cryosection thickness = 12 μm).

C Developmental profile of CRYAB expression in ferret cortices. Immunostaining for CRYAB

(green) and DAPI (gray) on cryosections at E32, E38, P3, P5, and P10 (cryosection thickness

= 12 μm).

D Quantification of CRYAB$^+$ cells among all nuclei (DAPI) in VZ strips through

immunostaining, indicating a great increase in CRYAB-expressing cells (strip width = 150 μm;

n = 3 for P5; n = 2 for P10; Wilcoxon rank-sum test *p*-value = 6.574e-08).

E–G Expression of other markers in CRYAB$^+$ cells. Representative images are shown with

MAX projection. Below each double staining image, quantification of KI67-, TBR2-, or

OLIG2-expressing cells among the CRYAB$^+$ cell population in the VZ is shown (n = 2 for P5;

n = 2 for P10 for each staining except n = 3 for TBR2 staining). Box and whisker plots indicate

ranges (lines) and upper and lower quartiles (box) with the median.

1087

Figure 3 - Generation of ferret tRG by asymmetric division.

A–C Time-lapse imaging of an EGFP-labeled vRG cell, which produced a parental IPC that

asymmetrically divided into tRG (see also the time-lapse movie 1). (**A**) Sequential snapshots.

The time below each snapshot strip indicates the time (hour) passed after the slice culture was

started. The white arrowheads indicate ancestral vRG (pink). The vRG generated an IPC (white

arrows and blue cell body) at 8:00, which underwent an interkinetic nuclear migration (8:00–

48:00), and generated a CRYAB$^+$ daughter (blue) by an asymmetric division (48:00). Open

arrows indicate the other daughter (light blue) of the IPC. (**B**) Schematic of the time-lapse

imaging in (**A**). Colors represent cells as in (**A**). (**C**) The cultured slice was fixed at 84:00 and

stained for EGFP (green), CRYAB (red), and FOXJ1 (light blue). EGFP staining shows that

FOXJ1+cell has a short basal fiber. Scale bar, 50 μm.

D–F Time-lapse imaging of an EGFP-labeled IPC forming a tRG. An apically attached IPC

(white arrows, blue cell body) with a short basal fiber produced a tRG cell (blue). (**D**)

Sequential snapshots showing that an IPC formed tRG through apical division at 13:20 as in

(**A**, **B**). tRG sibling cells are marked by light blue color and open arrows. (**E**) Schematic

representation of tRG formation recorded in (**D**). Cells are marked by the same colors as in (**D**).

(**F**) Immunostaining of a cryostat section from a fixed slice stained for CRYAB (red) and EGFP

(green).

1106

Figure 4 - Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.

A Pseudo-time trajectory tree of progenitor cells in the ferret developing cortex (assembled
with Monocle v2). Cellular distribution at each state is the same as in the UMAP plot shown
in Fig. 1C. Cell types representing each state and their gene markers are shown next to each
state (see below).

B Trajectory trees split by collection stages (AG samples are shown).

C Distribution of cells expressing marker genes for stem cell states (*HES1* and *HMGA2*) and
the neurogenic state (*EOMES*) along trajectories. Color densities indicate the log-normalized
unique molecular identifier count for each gene.

D Normalized expression of four genes: *SPARCL1, AQP4, CRYAB,* and *FOXJ1* in the state 6
and state 7 cells in the pseudotime trajectory tree (Fig. 4A). The combination of expression
levels of the four markers gene discriminates state 6 (ependymal) and state 7 astrogenic. See
the text for details.

E Distribution of tRG cells along the tree and tRG-focused UMAP visualization. The tRG cells
(n = 162) were found on the three states, NPC3 (9.3%; 15 cells), ependymal-tRG (61.1%; 99
cells), and astrogenic-tRG (29.6%; 48 cells).

F Composition of the three types of tRG in (D) by the collection stage (AG and T samples are
combined). No tRG cells were collected from E25 and E34.

1125

Figure 5 - tRG adopt both ependymal cells and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

A Immunostaining of 12 μm cortical cryosections with CRYAB (green) and FOXJ1 (red),
focusing on the VZ at E40, P3, P5, P10, P14, and P35. Scale bar, 50 μm.

B Percentage of FOXJ1 expression in CRYAB-expressing cells (n = 3 for P5; n = 2 for P10;
Wilcoxon rank sum test *p*-value = 1.749e-05).

C Number of cells expressing both *CRYAB* and *FOXJ1* in the mid and late RG, or tRG clusters
shown in Fig. 1C (with unique molecular identifier counts higher than 0.25). Colored bars
indicate the stages of sample collection. *CRYAB-* and *FOXJ1*-expressing cells increased over
time only in the tRG cluster.

D Normalized expression levels of *FOXJ1* in each cell in the indicated ferret clusters in Fig.
1C.

E Cortical origin and shape of FOXJ1-expressing ependymal cells indicated with white arrows.
Staining for FOXJ1 at P14 after labeling cortical progenitors with an mCherry-expressing

vector via IUE at E30. The maximum projection images with 1 μm z-step size are shown.

Cryosection thickness = 12 μm; scale bar = 20 μm.

F Normalized expression of *SPARCL1* and *FOXJ1* in each cell in ferret tRG clusters separated

by the pseudo-time trajectory analysis (see Table S3).

G Relationship between *SPARCL1* and *FOXJ1* transcripts in individual cells within each tRG

cluster. Within the 15 cells that were classified in state 5 tRG, only one expressed *FOXJ1*

mRNA. **Statistics?**

H Immunostaining of a P10 cortical tissue for FOXJ1 protein and S*PARCL1* mRNA.

I Cells within the VZ in (**H**) were clustered into four classes by FOXJ1 protein± and S*PARCL1*

mRNA±. Cluster A: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1-/-, B: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1 +/-, C: S*PARCL1*/FOXJ1-/+,

D: S*PARCL1*/ FOXJ1 +/+ (Fig. S5C, S5D, Table S4). See **Materials and Methods** for

clustering. Scale bar = 20 μm.

1151

Figure 6 − Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human.

A UMAP visualization of integrated human (n = 2,672; left) and ferret (n=30,234; right) single-

cell datasets colored according to the different clusters. The names of clusters from human and

ferret cells begin with "H" and "F," respectively.

B Homologous temporal pattern of transcriptomic characters of progenitors and their progenies

between ferrets and humans. Corresponding neurogenic stages between ferrets and humans can

be assigned by cell distribution at homologous positions in UMAP plots. Gliogenic RG cells

(a subtype of the "late_RG" group and OPC in Figure 1**B**) were first distinguished

transcriptionally at ferret E40 and at human GW14, as pointed out by arrows.

C, D Correlation coefficient (**C**) and significance (**D**) between indicated clusters of ferrets and

humans, calculated by marker gene scores.

E Normalized expression levels of the indicated genes in humans and ferrets from each

progenitor cluster.

F tRG scores of the indicated clusters are presented as box and whisker plots for humans and

ferrets. These represent the range without outliers (lines) and upper and lower quartiles with

the median (box). Outliers are represented as points outside the box. Outliers are 1.5-fold larger

or smaller than interquartile range from the third or first quartile, respectively.

1169

Figure 7 - Identification of tRG subtypes in humans and oRG in ferrets.

A UMAP visualization of human brain cells colored by cell type and identified by marker genes

(data from Bhaduri et al, 2021).

B Schematic of the integration strategy of human and ferret subtypes.

C UMAP visualization of integrated ferret and human datasets colored by different clusters.

D, E Identification of three tRG subtypes in ferrets (D) and humans (E). The red dots highlight

the indicated tRG subtypes named after pseudotime trajectory analysis in Fig. 4.

F Distribution of humans (left) and ferrets (right) tRG in the integrated dataset. Human and

ferret tRG were identified from the separated dataset.

G Distribution of ferret tRG subtypes (identified by the pseudo-time analysis) in the integrated

dataset.

H Identification of oRG-like cells in ferret (left) and oRG cells in human (right), all highlighted

as red dots.

I oRG scores for oRG-like cells and other NPCs in ferrets. Box and whisker plots indicate

ranges (lines) and upper and lower quartiles with the median (box).

J The expression levels of *CRYM*, *CLU*, and *HOPX* in oRG-like cells and other NPCs in ferrets.

1186

 Supplementary Figure Legends

 Figure S1 - Temporal pattern of neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the cerebral cortex of

 ferrets.

 A Coronal sections of the somatosensory cortices immunostained for RG (PAX6, red), IPC

 (TBR2, green), and OPC (OLIG2, cyan) from early to late neurogenesis at E25, E32, E36, E40,

 P5, and P10. Scale bars = 100 μm. The position of the image strip at each stage is shown in the

 corresponding dorsal hemisphere image above the strip.

 B Immunostaining for CTIP2 (green) and SATB2 (red) at the same developmental stages as in

 (**A**), showing the onset of DL- (E25 or earlier) and UL-neurogenesis (E32–E34). Scale bars =

 100 μm.

 C Immunostaining for GFAP, a marker showing gliogenic potential, in cortical germinal layers

 at E32, E36, E40, P5, and P10. Gliogenic progenitors emerge around E40, while mature

 astrocytes with a typical astrocytic morphology appear later at approximately P10 onward in

 the outside of the germinal layers (Reillo & Borrell, 2012).

 D, E mCherry labeling of the dorsal cortex at E30 showing that a population of OLIG2^{+}

 oligodendrocyte precursors are generated in the cerebral cortex by P10.

 E As reported for both mice and humans (Zheng *et al*, 2018; Rash *et al*, 2019; Huang *et al*,

 2020; Kessaris *et al*, 2006). Arrowheads in the cropped images of the left panels (E area in Fig.

 S1**D**) indicate cells that co-express mCherry, PAX6, and OLIG2 in the OSVZ. Scale bars = 20

 μm.

 Figure S2 - Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

 A Violin plots showing the number of genes and mRNAs per cell in each sample and time

 point.

 B Table comparing quality-control metrics of an alignment with either MusPutFur 1.0 (UCSC

 gene models) or MusPutFur 2.60 (this study) using E34 samples. The total number of genes

 detected and median genes per cell were higher with MusPutFur 2.60.

 C Dotplot showing average expression and percentage of expression of representative marker

 genes for individual cell types in the merged dataset. The largest cluster was composed of UL

 neurons, and their four clusters (Fig. 1**C**) were combined as a single "UL" group. P.E.,

 percentage of expressing cells per cell type; A.E., average expression per cell type.

 D Heatmap showing the expression level of cell cycle marker genes based on log fold-change

 values. *PCNA*, *DUT*, *SIVA1,* and *TYMS* are markers of the S-phase; *UBE2C*, *CDCA4*, *CENPF,*

and *CCNB1* are markers of the G2M-phase. Three subclusters among early RG, late RG, and
IPC clusters expressed different markers for cell-cycle states. The color bar at the top indicates
Seurat clusters matching those in Fig. 1**C**.
E Percentage of each cell type in the merged dataset (bottom half) and percentage of each stage
of collection in a cell type (top half).
F Immunostaining for CRYAB[+] tRG (green) and HOPX[+] RG (red) together with DAPI on P1
and P10 germinal layers.
1228

Figure S3 - Development of ferret tRG during postnatal cortical development.
A Cellular features of a tRG cell in cortex labelled with GFP at embryonic stages via IUE.
Vibratome sections at P0 and P4 (thickness = 200 μm) were immunostained for CRYAB
(green) and GFP (red). CRYAB[+] truncated basal fibers spanned up to the SVZ.
B Pie charts showing the percentage of *CRYAB* expression in the ferret clusters of the merged
transcriptome dataset of all stages (Fig. 1). Cells were counted as positive if unique molecular
identifier counts for *CRYAB* were > 1 using Seurat "WhichCells" function (slot = "counts").
C Number of cells in the tRG clustered by age annotated in the ferret transcriptome dataset
(Fig. 1).
D Number of *CRYAB*-expressing cells in RG clusters of the transcriptome dataset (Fig. 1).
CRYAB expression was undetectable at E25. *CRYAB*-expressing cells in the midRG and lateRG
subtypes did not change in all stages (cell numbers: 58, 46, 68, 55, and 61 at E34, E40, P1, P5,
and P10, respectively), while the number of *CRYAB*^{+} tRG cells increased after birth (cell
numbers: 0, 21, 50, 91, and 118 at E34, E40, P1, P5, and P10, respectively).
E–G Violin plots indicating the normalized expression of *CRYAB* (**E**), *TBR2* or *EOMES* (**F**),
and *OLIG2* (**G**) in the ferret clusters shown in Fig. 1.
1245

Figure S4 - Temporal fates of RG cells identified by pseudo-time trajectory analysis
A Ferret cells used for pseudo-time trajectory analysis were lined up along the stretched
pseudo-time axis. Expression levels of markers for typical cell types are also shown along the
pseudo-time axis: *LIX1* and *HMGA2* for early RG, *EOMES* and *NEUROG2* for IP, *RGS20*, and
PTN for late RG, *PDGFR* and *OLIG2* for glial cells, and *FOXJ1* and *FAM216B* for ependymal
cells.
B Branching trees labelled by pseudo-time score. Color scale indicates log10 (pseudo-time
+0.1) values. Each state is named after the major cell type.

C The composition of each state is shown by clusters defined in the UMAP plot (Fig. 1).

Percentages for clusters were calculated from all cells in each state.

D Branching trees split by UMAP clusters (Fig. 1).

1257

Figure S5 - Ferret tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets

A Onset of ciliogenesis in the developing cortex of ferrets, shown via staining for ADENINE

CYCLASE III (cyan) along the VZ surface at E40, P5, P10, and P35. Scale bars = 10 μm.

B Normalized expression of *SPARCL1*/cell in the major cell types shown in Fig. 1**C**.

C Plots showing *SPARCL1* mRNA count (left) and normalized FOXJ1 protein levels (right) in

each cell clustered into individual classes (**A–D**) within the VZ shown in Fig. 5**H** (Table S4).

The box and whisker plots indicate the range (lines) and the upper and lower quartiles with the

median (box). **Statistics?**

D Distances of each cell (its center of gravity) from the apical surface in the individual clusters

(**A–D**), as indicated by the box and whisker plots in (**C**). **Statistics?**

1268

Figure S6 - Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human

A UMAP visualization of integrated ferret (n = 30,234) and human (n = 2,672) single-cell

datasets colored according to the different clusters. The names of clusters from human and

ferret cells begin with "H" and "F," respectively.

B Expression patterns of the indicated genes in each cluster. Circle color indicates the

expression level of each gene. Circles size indicates the percentage of cells expressing the gene

in the indicated cluster.

1276

Figure S7 - Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans

A, B Matrices of correlations between two arbitrary RG subtypes from humans and ferrets by

calculating marker gene scores as described for Fig. 6. (**A**) Correlations and (**B**) *p*-values

between pairs in human and ferret clusters are shown. The early RG in ferrets showed the

highest similarity with "OLIG1" in human data. This might be because of two reasons; (1) we

included human cells from GW25 only and no human early RG in this dataset, and (2) cells in

"OLIG1" highly expressed genes related with cell proliferation, as the early RG also did (Table

S6). We did not observe any cell types in human data corresponding to the ferret "midRG"

with the same reason described above.

C Distribution of human and ferret tRGs in the integrated dataset. The number in the X-axis

indicates the cluster number identified by the UMAP in Fig. 7C.

D Expression pattern of the subtype maker genes in the three tRG-enriched clusters (7, 21, and

28).

E Expression patterns of *CRYM*, *CLU*, and *HOPX* in oRG cells and other NPCs in humans.

F *In situ* hybridization of *CLU* at the ferret cortex showed its expression in all VZ, ISVZ, and

OSVZ at P5.

1293

Figure.1. Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

Figure 2. Emergence of ferret tRG during post-natal cortical development.

Figure 3. Emergence of ferret tRG during late embryonic cortical development.

A culture from E38 for 3 days (IUE@E38)

EGFP

0:00   8:00(1st div)   26:20   40:00   48:00(2nd div)   52:00   last(84:00)

red:RG
blue:RG sibling cell

light blue:tRG sibling cell
blue:tRG

C

green: EGFP
red: Cryab
blue: Foxj1

red: Cryab
blue: Foxj1

Bar=50 μm

B

RG   1st division   RG+IPC   IPC   2nd division   Cryab(+) tRG

RG   sibling cell division

D culture from P0 for 3 days (IUE@E36)

EGFP

00:00   02:20   13:20
(1st division)   14:00   38:20   60:00

Bar=50 μm

F

Cryab

Cryab
EGFP

Bar=50 μm

E

IPC   1st division   Cryab(+) tRG

Figure 4. Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.

Figure 5. tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

Figure 6. Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human.

Figure 7. Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans.

Figure S1. Development of the ferret cerebral cortex.

Figure S2. Cell types in developing ferret cerebral cortex.

Figure S3. Development of ferret tRG during post-natal cortical development.

A

B Percentage of cell number in scRNAseq

F_tRG 68% F_EP 40%
F_lateRG 5% F_midRG 4%
F_IPC 1% F_OPC 1%

■ *CRYAB* + ■ *CRYAB* -

C tRG cluster by Age

D *CRYAB*-expressing cells by Age

E *CRYAB*

F *EOMES (TBR2)*

G *OLIG2*

Figure S4. Temporal fates of RG cells predicted by pseudo-time trajectory analysis.

Figure S5. tRG adopt both ependymal and astrogenic fates in ferrets.

A

B

C **D**

Figure S6. Comparison of molecular identity of RG subtypes between ferret and human.

A

B

Figure S7. Comparison of the tRG and oRG subtypes between ferrets and humans.