Allogeneic testes transplanted into castrated adult medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) are engrafted

and can function over a long period for production of donor-derived offspring by natural

mating

Daichi Kayo[1, 2, *], Shinji Kanda[3], and Kataaki Okubo[1]

1, Department of Aquatic Bioscience, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Science, The

University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan; 2, Present address: Laboratory of M

olecular Ethology, Department of Integrative Life Sciences, Graduate School of Life Science

s, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8577, Japan; 3, Laboratory of Physiology, Atmosph

ere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8564, Japa

n;

*, corresponding author

Daichi Kayo: daichi.kayo.b8@tohoku.ac.jp

Shinji Kanda: shinji@aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Kataaki Okubo: okubo@marine.fs.a.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

Germ cell transplantation protocols generally require induced immunosuppression in the recipient to

avoid rejection of the transplanted cells; cytotoxic drugs may also be used to deprive the recipient

germ cells and create a germ cell niche that allows donor-derived germ cells to thrive. In the present

study, we demonstrate that in medaka, allogeneic adult testicular tissue will engraft in adult recipients

immediately after the rough castration of the recipients and without use of immunosuppressive drugs.

The allografted testes are retained in the recipient body for at least three months and are able to produce

viable gametes that yield offspring after natural mating. Some recipients showed a high frequency

(over 60%) of offspring derived from spermatozoa produced by the transplanted germ cell tissues.

Histological analyses showed that allografted testicular tissues included both germ cells and somatic

cells that had established within an immunocompetent recipient testis. The relative simplicity of this

new approach will benefit investigations of the basic processes of reproductive immunology and will

improve the technique of gonadal tissue transplantation.

Keywords

germ cell transplantation, reproductive immunology, surrogate broodstock, teleost, medaka

Background

Germline transplantation has been used in investigations of many aspects of reproductive biology and reproductive immunology, and has also been successfully applied for selective breeding in livestock and aquaculture, species conservation, and fertility treatment (1-7). A variety of allogeneic or xenogeneic transplantation protocols for gonadal tissues have been developed and used to create potentially superior broodstocks, as insurance against the accidental death of vital broodstocks, and for maintenance of threatened breeds and species. The use of spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) is considered particularly valuable as these cells are present in large numbers in the testes of adult males and are relatively easy to obtain (8, 9).

One of the potential drawbacks of allogeneic transplantation of tissues is the possibility of immunorejection of donor cells and tissues. In SSC transplantation studies in mice and rats, it has been found that the donors and recipients need to be closely related to avoid this potential problem; alternatively, immunodeficient animals can be used as recipients, or the recipients can be treated with drugs to suppress their immune responses (10, 11). Similar results have been reported in teleosts, in which allografted tissues are rejected within a few days or weeks by the immune system of the recipient (12-14). In teleosts, the immunorejection problem can be avoided by transplanting germ cells

into newly hatched recipient larvae whose immune system are immature (15-18). However, this

approach is technically demanding and requires the use of microinjection equipment.

A few sites in the body display "immune privilege" in which an antigenic response is not elicited

by the presence of transplanted cells. The testes are known to have immune privilege and are more

likely to accept transplanted tissues (immune privilege site) and also to be the source of donor cells

(immune privilege tissue) (19). In some domesticated species, such as pigs, goats, and dogs,

transplanted germ cells can be engrafted into recipient testes without the need to suppress the immune

response (4, 20, 21). It has been reported that in fish, transplants of body tissue (scales) are rejected

within a few days to about two weeks, while subcutaneous transplants of testicular tissue are accepted

for six to nine weeks (12-14). While the mechanism of this immune privilege is not completely

understood, it has nevertheless been of value for germ cell transplantation using adult fish as recipients

(22). When transplanting germ cells into an adult fish, it is important to create a germline niche in the

recipient testis. To achieve this, the number of recipient germ cells is reduced by administering

cytotoxic drugs such as busulfan (23-25). One of the problems that still needs to be solved is that the

frequency of offspring derived from donor sperm in the offspring rarely exceeds 40% (26).

The present study was initiated to develop a reliable method for allogeneic testis transplantation in

fish. We chose the model fish species medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) for our analyses as it spawns daily, is

amenable to gene editing, and a surgical method for gonadectomy has also been established (27, 28).

Medaka are immunocompetent as demonstrated by scale transplantation experiments. However, as

described above, the testis is immune privileged and histological analyses of recipient testes after

transplantation showed that they contained donor germ cells and somatic cells. These results indicate

the feasibility of developing a reliable method for creating male surrogate parents to efficiently obtain

donor-derived offspring.

Materials and methods

Animals

All medaka used in the study were maintained under a 14 hour light/ 10 hour dark photoperiod (light

on 09:00 to 23:00), with a water temperature of 28°C. The fish were fed 3-4 times per day with live

brine shrimp and commercial pellet food. We used d-rR/TOKYO (d-rR) strain medaka, along with

transgenic strains, and captive-bred wild type medaka. Transgenic medaka expressing GFP in the

neuropeptide B neuron (npb-GFP) were used in a previous study (29). Transgenic medaka consistently

expressing GFP (beta-actin-loxP-GFP, actb-GFP) were obtained from the National Institute for Basic

Biology (strain ID: TG862) via The National BioResouce Project-Medaka (NBRP-medaka). The

ancestor of the captive-bred wild strain medaka was caught in an irrigation channel of a rice field (GPS

coordinates: 32°58'21.9"N 132°58'12.6"E (32.972750, 132.970167); Isawa, Shimanto City, Kochi

Prefecture). This wild strain has been bred and maintained for a number of generations in our

laboratory.

Testis transplantation into recipient males

A few medaka aged 3-5 months for each strain were used as donors; they were deeply anesthetized,

decapitated, and the testes were dissected. Isolated testes were kept in PBS until transplantation.

Twenty-two recipient medaka (aged 2-4 months) were anesthetized using 0.02% MS-222 and their

abdomens were incised using a razor blade. In male medaka, the testis is essentially a single organ

following fusion of bilateral testes during ontogeny (30). The rostral side of the recipient testis was

pinched using forceps, and most of the testicular tissue was removed using another set of forceps. The

isolated donor testis was cut into 1-2 mm pieces which were placed adjacent to the remaining part of

the recipient testis. After implantation, the abdominal incision was sutured with nylon thread. Post-

surgical recovery was carried out by placing the recipient medaka in the 0.8% saline for a few days;

the fish were transferred to a freshwater environment after recovery. The abdomens of the recipient

medaka and of their offspring were photographed using a stereo microscope (M165FC or M205FA,

Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a DFC7000T digital camera (Leica

Microsystems). GFP fluorescence was detected using excitation at 450-490 nm and images captured

at 500-550 nm.

Scale transplantation experiments

The immune responses of the fish strains used here were confirmed by scale transplantation

experiments; actb-GFP strain and captive-bred wild strain medaka (6-7 months old) were used as the

donor strains, and d-rR strain medaka (6-7 months old) were used as the recipients. As a control, we

transplanted scales between siblings of the d-rR strain (4- to 5-months old) that had been maintained

for a number of generations in our laboratory to confirm that body tissue transplants were not rejected

by the immune system of these fish.

Four recipient medaka were anesthetized using 0.02% MS-222. A few donor medaka were deeply

anesthetized and immediately decapitated; 20-23 scales were removed from the donor body and

transplanted into the caudal region around the lateral line of the four recipients (Day 0). The recipients

were kept in a tank throughout the experimental period. The number of engrafted scales on the

recipients was counted each day and the fish were photographed on Days 1, 7, and 10 under an

M205FA stereo microscope equipped with a DFC7000T digital camera. Fluorescent staining was

viewed after 450-490 nm excitation and 500-550 nm emission for GFP, and 540-580 nm and 593-667

nm, respectively for Alizarin red S (ARS).

Vital staining of scales

In the control analysis using d-rR siblings, we stained the scales of donor fish with Alizarin red S

(ARS) (Wako, Osaka, Japan), a vital stain for fishbone (31), to distinguish them from the scales of the

recipient. A few medaka were anesthetized using 0.02% MS-222 and dried with tissue paper. A

saturated solution of ARS (0.1% ARS in PBS) was dropped onto the fish body with a micropipette and

left for ten seconds up to a minute. Medaka with red scales were released into the tank and used as

donors on the following day. Scale transplantation was performed as described above. The stained

scales transplanted into recipients could generally be distinguished from the unstained scales of the

recipient by eye for up to 5 days; after 6 days, it was necessary to use fluorescence to identify donor

scales. The excitation and emission wavelengths for the detection of stained scales were as described

above.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The testis of a recipient that had been transplanted with a testis from an actb-GFP strain fish was

excised (n = 1, recipient #2, 2 months after surgery) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS

for approximately one week; the fixed testis was dehydrated through an ethanol series, cleared with

xylene, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (10 μm thick) were cut and treated with 0.3% H_2O_2 for 30

min, 2% normal goat serum (NGS) for 30 min, and incubated with anti-GFP rabbit polyclonal antibody

(#598, Medical and Biological Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) diluted at 1:500-1:2000 in PBS containing

2% NGS overnight at 4°C. After two washes in PBS, the sections were incubated with biotinylated

goat anti-rabbit IgG (diluted according to the manufacturer's protocol) for 1 hour, and stained using

the VECTASTAIN Elite ABC reagent (VECTASTAIN(R) Elite ABC-HRP Kit, Peroxidase, PK-6101,

Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 1 hour. The horseradish peroxidase-conjugated Avidin-

Biotin Complex was visualized using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and 0.003% H_2O_2. After the DAB

reaction, some sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Results

Adult donor testis transplanted into an adult recipient male is functionally engrafted without

immunosuppression

Four of the ten d-rR males whose testis was replaced with an actb-GFP testis showed strong green

fluorescence in their abdomens at 2 months after surgery (Figure 1a-c). Thus, successful allografts

were present in four of the fish. To determine whether the engrafted testis was functional, we mated

the GFP-positive recipients with d-rR females and assessed the frequency of GFP-positive eggs 2-7

weeks after surgery (Figure 1 d, e, and Table 1). The frequency of GFP-positive eggs was

approximately 9, 18, and 66% for three fish; the fourth fish produced no GFP positive eggs (Table 1).

We also performed testis transplantation using donor npb-GFP medaka that were generated in our

laboratory and had the same genetic background as the recipient fish (Table 1, #5, #6). Two of four

recipients had high frequencies (95% and 100%, respectively) of GFP-positive eggs (Table 1).

Functional allografts produced by transplanting testis from wild-derived medaka into d-rR

recipients

To determine whether testis transplantation can be applied to genetically distant strains, we

transplanted testes from wild-derived medaka into d-rR strain medaka males; this wild-derived strain

and the d-rR strain belong to different subclades (32). We also allografted testes from wild strain

donors to d-rR male recipients (Figure 2 a). Testicular tissues from wild-derived males were

transplanted into eight d-rR males; the recipients were subsequently mated with d-rR females (Figure

2 a,b). Interestingly, black pigmented eggs, which indicates fertilization by sperm from the wild-

derived donor testis, were produced in matings of two of the eight recipients (Figure 2c); all of the

fertilized eggs of one of these recipients (#7) were pigmented, the other produced 9% pigmented eggs

(Table 2).

Transplanted scales are rejected by the immune system of the recipient

The wild-derived medaka strain belongs to a different subclade to the d-rR strain due to geographical

isolation (32). We performed a scale transplantation experiment to confirm that d-rR recipients would

reject somatic tissues from other strains (Figure 3 a-f, and Table 3). Loss of transplanted scales may

be caused by immunorejection or mechanical injury; these two causes can be distinguished by the fact

that mechanical injury during the transplantation process results in the loss of the scales on the day

after transplantation (12). Our analysis of the recipient fish on successive days after scale

transplantation indicated that 10-15 scales derived from wild-derived and actb-GFP strain fish had

been engrafted in recipients. Almost all of the transplanted scales were rejected by days 7 to 9, and all

scales were lost within 12 days. To confirm that the scale transplantation was successful, we performed

vital staining of the scales with ARS in d-rR donors and transplanted these stained scales into d-rR

recipients (Figure 3 g, h). After the loss of some scales on Day 1 due to mechanical injury, most of the

allografted d-rR scales had been accepted at 12 days by the d-rR recipient (Table 3). Donor medaka of

actb-GFP strain were generated from the d-rR strain, and thus the genetic backgrounds of the d-rR

recipients and actb-GFP donors appear to be the same. However, it should be noted that the d-rR strain

is not an inbred strain. Based on the fact that transplanted actb-GFP scales were rejected by the

recipient immune system, we conclude that the genetic backgrounds are sufficiently distant to cause

immunorejection. Our results demonstrate that recipient d-rR strain medaka reject allografted tissues

from donor medaka (actb-GFP strain and wild-derived strain).

Allografted testis is functionally retained in recipients for more than 3 months

To determine the functional longevity of donor-derived testis in recipient medaka, we mated

recipients for up to 13 weeks after surgery (Table 4). One recipient (#2) was sacrificed for abdominal

analysis, and a second (#5) died accidentally; the other recipients were included in this analysis. As

described in Table 4, four individuals (#3, #6, #7, and #8) showed almost equal frequencies of donor-

derived eggs; two males did not produce any donor-derived offspring (Table 1); they have been re-

numbered #1' and #2' in Table 4. This analysis demonstrated that allografted testis remained functional

over an extended period, at least 13 weeks, except for one individual.

Somatic cells derived from the donor testis also engraft into recipient testis

We performed an IHC analysis to detect GFP-expressing cells derived from the recipient testis.

GFP-positive cells (donor-derived cells) were distinguished as DAB positive cells in histological

sections while GFP negative cells (recipient cells) were only stained with hematoxylin (Figure 4a, b).

For the classification of each developmental stage of spermatogenesis, we used the descriptions

provided in previous studies (33, 34). GFP signals were detected in the allografted testis of the

recipient male (Figure 4a, c, d). The recipient testis contained spermatogonia with GFP signals,

indicating that these spermatogonial cells proliferated and supplied the donor-derived germ cells

(Figure 4c). These observations also indicate the reason why some recipients produced donor-derived

offspring over a long period. Interestingly, testicular somatic cells, such as basement membrane (bm)

cells and lobule boundary cells (lbc), had allografted into the recipient testis (Figure 4d). These

observations suggest that the donor-derived testicular tissue probably included Sertoli cells and Leydig

cells that were not immunorejected but integrated into the recipient testis and supported functional

spermatogenesis.

Our testis transplantation analyses demonstrated that transplanted adult donor testis can functionally

engraft into an adult recipient body without any immunosuppression treatment for 13 weeks even

when their genetic backgrounds are different; this behavior contrasts sharply with that of transplanted

scales, which were rejected from the recipient body within 12 days.

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that transplanted allogeneic testicular tissue could engraft in

the body of recipient adult medaka without use of an immunosuppressive treatment. Additionally, we

showed that allografted testicular tissue derived from medaka with a different genetic background was

functional and produced sperm that resulted in fertilized eggs after natural mating. An IHC analysis

also showed that both germ cells and testicular somatic cells were engrafted in allogeneic adult

recipients.

Methods for allogeneic or xenogeneic transplantation of SSCs, which are abundant in the testis,

have been developed in many species. In mammals, SSCs allografted to Sertoli cells of the recipient

migrated into the basement membrane through the blood-testis barrier, a physical barrier between the

blood vessels and the seminiferous tubules (35). Thus, transplanted SSCs can evade immunorejection

and become established in the recipient testis. In the present study, IHC was used to analyze the cellular

structure of the testicular allograft (Figure 4); GFP signals were observed not only in the germ line

cells but also in the testicular somatic cells such as the tunica serosa, tunica albuginea, and interstitial

cells that probably included Sertoli and Leydig cells. These observations suggest that the transplanted

testicular tissue became established and formed blood vessels within the recipient testis. As some

recipients fertilized eggs with donor-derived sperm by natural mating (Tables 1, 2, and 4), then the

sperm derived from the donor testicular tissue must have been released to the efferent duct, which

would have been re-established after the transplantation surgery. It is interesting that the allografted

testicular tissue, which included somatic cells, was accepted by the immunocompetent recipient whose

genetic background was distant to that of the donor (Figure 2; Tables 2 and 4). In domesticated

mammals, such as the pig and goat, it has been reported that allografted testicular cells including

Sertoli cells successfully engraft in a recipient testis without use of immunosuppressive treatment (4,

6). Molecular analyses suggest that the domestication of these species started 9,000-10,000 years ago

(36, 37). Examination of the geographic distribution of mitotypes of Japanese medaka (32) showed

that the wild-derived medaka strain used as a donor in the present study belongs to subclade B-V,

while the d-rR strain belongs to the subclade B-II; the divergence time among the B subclades is

estimated as 0.5-2.3 mya. Our transplantation experiments here demonstrate that allogeneic

transplantation of testicular tissue can succeed even in medaka with divergent genetic backgrounds.

Generally, allografted tissue is rejected by the immune system of the recipient. A previous study of

allogeneic scale transplantation in medaka conformed to this expectation as the allografted scales were

rejected within 7 days (12). We confirmed that the recipient strain used here was immunocompetent

by allografting scales from a wild-derived strain (black scales) and the actb-GFP strain into recipient

d-rR strain fish; scales derived from the genetically distant donor were rejected within 12 days (Figure

3 and Table 3). Although the genetic backgrounds of the recipients (d-rR) and actb-GFP (generated

from d-rR strain) might be expected to be similar, these strains are not inbred and have different genetic

backgrounds. These results of our experiments show that testicular tissue can engraft in allogeneic

individuals whereas somatic tissue, such as scales, are rejected by the immune system. This finding is

consistent with the general consensus that the testis has immune privilege (19). In a previous study on

rainbow trout, testis allografted into subcutaneous tissue was retained for 6-9 weeks but rejected after

9 weeks (13, 14). In the present study, testicular allografts inserted into the abdomen of the recipient

were retained for 13 weeks (Table 4). These results indicate that allografted testicular tissue is more

readily accepted by the recipient than somatic tissues. Teleost species have developed a variety of

reproductive modes such as ovo-viviparity and viviparity (38). These species must have developed

immunological tolerance to accept allogeneic spermatozoa during reproduction. Thus, our results

suggest that modern non-viviparous species may have retained this immunological tolerance of

allogeneic spermatozoa.

The methods for germ cell transplantation in teleosts can be classified into three approaches (22):

primordial germ cell transplantation in fish embryos (39); germ cell transplantation in hatched fish

larvae (16, 18, 40-42); and germ cell transplantation in adult fish (23-26, 43, 44). The latter method,

germ cell transplantation in adult fish, has advantages over the other two approaches for aquaculture

and species preservation. For example, it avoids the time lag between transplantation and sexual

maturity of the recipient. Moreover, it does not require sophisticated techniques and equipment for

microinjection into eggs or larvae. Adult tissue transplantation is relatively easy as it involves a simple

transplantation procedure through the genital duct of the recipient after germ cell extraction from the

donor testis (22, 45, 46). To improve the success rate of germ cell transplantation to allogeneic

individuals, it is considered crucial that the germ cells of the recipient are depleted but that the ability

of the recipient to nurse donor-derived germ cells is maintained, e.g. through use of triploid individuals

(1, 47-49) or *dead end* gene knockdown fish (50). Cytotoxic drugs such as busulfan may be used for

germ cell depletion; use of these drugs adds a relatively short time to recipient preparation (2-4 weeks)

(23-25). However, the study using cytotoxic drug reported that the frequency of offspring derived from

donor sperm generally does not exceed 40% (26). In the present study, some of the recipients that had

received donor testicular tissue immediately after castration showed a high rate (60-100%) of offspring

derived from donor spermatozoa (Tables 1 and 4). This may be due to co-engraftment of germ cells

and somatic cells in the transplanted testicular tissue, and the donor derived testicular tissue may be

able to nurse their own germ cells (Figure 4). Further study on immunological tolerance in the testis

will undoubtedly benefit aquaculture and species preservation.

Cryopreservation methods for the whole testis have been developed in medaka (51). The combined

use of testicular cryopreservation and the present approach for testicular tissue transplantation using

adult recipients and natural mating may make it possible to shorten the time for recovery of larger

numbers of offspring from cryopreserved testes compared to artificial insemination or injection into

larvae. In our IHC analysis, we observed GFP-positive spermatogonia (Figure 4c). In medaka, it takes

at least 5 days for spermatogonia to develop into spermatids and approximately one week for the

spermatids to metamorphose into spermatozoa (52, 53). We mated each recipient used in the analysis

here with three d-rR females for 2-3 weeks. Therefore, spermatogenesis in the donor-derived testis

had sufficient time to complete at least one cycle of maturation before the mating analysis (Table 4).

Our results suggest that the allografted germ cells proliferated in the recipient testis, allowing the

recipient males to produce donor-derived offspring over a prolonged period (13 to 15 weeks). The rate

of success for functional engraftment was approximately 30% in the present study; it will be necessary

to improve this success rate to enable development of a simple, fast, and effective approach for

testicular transplantation into adult recipient fish.

Conclusions

We demonstrated the feasibility of allografting testicular tissue into immunocompetent recipients

whose genetic background was distinctly different to those of the donors; functional engraftment was

achieved after rough castration of the recipient without use of immunosuppressive treatments or

chemical castration of the recipient. Further studies are required to improve our understanding of the

immunological responses after testicular transplantation, and the results of these will be of value for

aquaculture.

Abbreviations

ARS: Alizarin red S, bm: basement membrane, DAB: 3,3-diaminobenzidine, IHC:

immunohistochemistry, lbc: lobule boundary cells, MS-222: Ethyl 3-aminobenzoate

methanesulfonic acid salt, NGS: normal goat serum, PBS: Phosphate Buffered Saline, PFA:

paraformaldehyde, sc: spermatocyte, sg: spermatogonia, sp: spermatozoa, SSC: spermatogonial

stem cells, st: spermatid

Declaration of competing interest

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee of the University of Tokyo. The committee requests the submission of an

animal-use protocol only for use of mammals, birds, and reptiles, in accordance with the Fundamental

Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiment and Related Activities in Academic Research

Institutions under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and

Technology of Japan (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Notice No. 71;

June 1, 2006). Accordingly, we did not submit an animal-use protocol for this study, which used only

teleost fish and thus did not require approval by the committee.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Funding

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

Grant 20K22587 to D.K.

Authors' contributions

D.K. carried out all experimental work, participated in the design of the study, and drafted the

manuscript; S.K. and K.O. helped in the interpretation of the data and preparation the manuscript. All

authors gave final approval for publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Masato Kinoshita, National Institute for Basic Biology, and the National BioResource

Project (NBRP) Medaka for providing the transgenic medaka (strain ID: TG862) in this study.

Tables

Table 1. Results of the mating analysis: surrogate father of d-rR strain allografted with npb-
GFP strain or actb-GFP strain testis.

After surgery (weeks)	2 to 7	2 to 7	2 to 7	2 to 7	2	2 to 7
Individual	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
GFP +	3	70	9	0	20	54
GFP -	30	36	40	78	1	0
%	9.09	66.04	18.37	0.00	95.24	100.00

340 **Table 2. Results of the mating analysis: surrogate father of d-rR strain allografted with wild**
341 **strain testis.**

After surgery (weeks)	6 to 9	6 to 9
Individual	#7	#8
Pigmented	150	10

Non-pigmented	0	97
%	100.00	9.35

343 **Table 3. Results of scale transplantation into a d-rR recipient**

		Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 12	Day 17
Pigmented scale	observed	23	10	10	9	9	8	8	6	6	0	-	-	-
	lost		13	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	6	-	-	-
GFP scale	observed	23	15	15	15	10	7	4	3	2	1	1	0	-
	lost		8	0	0	5	2	3	1	1	1	0	1	-
Alizarin red S positive scale	observed	20	13	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	12	12	12	12
	lost		7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

347 **Table 4. Results of the mating analysis at 13 weeks or more after surgery: surrogate father of d-**
348 **rR strain allografted with actb-GFP strain or wild strain testis.**

After surgery (weeks)	13	13	13	15	13	13
Individual	#1' *	#2' *	#3	#6	#7	#8
GFP + or pigmented eggs	0	0	15	97	86	20
GFP - or non-pigmented eggs	94	17	69	0	0	106
%	0.00	0.00	17.86	100.00	100.00	15.87

*, #1' and #2' were re-numbered because they could not be distinguished as #1 or #4.

References

1.   Brinster RL, Avarbock MR. Germline transmission of donor haplotype following spermatogonial
transplantation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1994;91(24):11303-7.
2.   Schlatt S, Foppiani L, Rolf C, Weinbauer G, Nieschlag E. Germ cell transplantation into X-
irradiated monkey testes. Human Reproduction. 2002;17(1):55-62.
3.   Izadyar F, Den Ouden K, Stout T, Stout J, Coret J, Lankveld D, et al. Autologous and homologous
transplantation of bovine spermatogonial stem cells. Reproduction. 2003;126(6):765-74.
4.   Honaramooz A, Megee SO, Dobrinski I. Germ cell transplantation in pigs. Biology of
reproduction. 2002;66(1):21-8.
5.   Ryu B-Y, Orwig KE, Avarbock MR, Brinster RL. Stem cell and niche development in the
postnatal rat testis. Developmental biology. 2003;263(2):253-63.
6.   Honaramooz A, Behboodi E, Megee SO, Overton SA, Galantino-Homer H, Echelard Y, et al.
Fertility and Germline Transmission of Donor Haplotype Following Germ Cell Transplantation in
Immunocompetent Goats1. Biology of reproduction. 2003;69(4):1260-4.
7.   Kim Y, Selvaraj V, Dobrinski I, Lee H, McEntee MC, Travis AJ. Recipient preparation and mixed
germ cell isolation for spermatogonial stem cell transplantation in domestic cats. Journal of andrology.
2006;27(2):248-56.
8.   Yoshizaki G, Fujinuma K, Iwasaki Y, Okutsu T, Shikina S, Yazawa R, et al. Spermatogonial
transplantation in fish: a novel method for the preservation of genetic resources. Comparative Biochemistry
and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics. 2011;6(1):55-61.
9.   Ogawa T. Spermatogonial transplantation: the principle and possible applications. Journal of
molecular medicine. 2001;79(7):368-74.
10.   Zhang Z, Renfree MB, Short RV. Successful intra-and interspecific male germ cell
transplantation in the rat. Biology of reproduction. 2003;68(3):961-7.
11.   Kanatsu-Shinohara M, Ogonuki N, Inoue K, Ogura A, Toyokuni S, Honjo T, et al. Allogeneic
offspring produced by male germ line stem cell transplantation into infertile mouse testis. Biology of
reproduction. 2003;68(1):167-73.

12.   Matsuzaki T, Shima A. Number of major histocompatibility loci in inbred strains of the fish
Oryzias latipes. Immunogenetics. 1989;30(3):226-8.

13.   Hayashi M, Sakuma D, Yoshizaki G. Production of functional sperm by subcutaneous auto-
grafting of immature testes in rainbow trout. Molecular Reproduction and Development. 2018;85(2):155-
62.

14.   Yoshinaga TT, Kfoury Júnior JR, Butzge AJ, Olio RL, Hernandez-Blazquez FJ, Oliveira Carreira
AC, et al. Testicular subcutaneous allografting followed by immunosuppressive treatment promotes
maintenance of spermatogonial cells in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Fish & Shellfish
Immunology. 2021;112:108-15.

15.   Manning MJ, Nakanishi T. 4 - The Specific Immune System: Cellular Defenses. Fish Physiology.
15: Academic Press; 1996. p. 159-205.

16.   Octavera A, Yoshizaki G. Production of donor-derived offspring by allogeneic transplantation of
spermatogonia in Chinese rosy bitterling. Biology of reproduction. 2019;100(4):1108-17.

17.   Yoshizaki G, Yazawa R. Application of surrogate broodstock technology in aquaculture.
Fisheries Science. 2019;85(3):429-37.

18.   Duangkaew R, Kezuka F, Ichida K, Boonanuntanasarn S, Yoshizaki G. Aging- and temperature-
related activity of spermatogonial stem cells for germ cell transplantation in medaka. Theriogenology.
2020;155:213-21.

19.   Streilein JW. Ocular immune privilege: therapeutic opportunities from an experiment of nature.
Nature Reviews Immunology. 2003;3(11):879-89.

20.   Honaramooz A, Behboodi E, Blash S, Megee SO, Dobrinski I. Germ cell transplantation in goats.
Molecular Reproduction and Development. 2003;64(4):422-8.

21.   Kim Y, Turner D, Nelson J, Dobrinski I, McEntee M, Travis AJ. Production of donor-derived
sperm after spermatogonial stem cell transplantation in the dog. Reproduction. 2008;136(6):823-31.

22.   Lacerda S, Costa G, Campos-Junior P, Segatelli T, Yazawa R, Takeuchi Y, et al. Germ cell
transplantation as a potential biotechnological approach to fish reproduction. Fish physiology and
biochemistry. 2013;39(1):3-11.

23.   Majhi SK, Hattori RS, Rahman SM, Suzuki T, Strüssmann CA. Experimentally induced
depletion of germ cells in sub-adult Patagonian pejerrey (*Odontesthes hatcheri*). Theriogenology.
2009;71(7):1162-72.

24.   Lacerda SM, Batlouni SR, Costa GM, Segatelli TM, Quirino BR, Queiroz BM, et al. A new and
fast technique to generate offspring after germ cells transplantation in adult fish: the Nile tilapia
(*Oreochromis niloticus*) model. PloS one. 2010;5(5):e10740.

25.   Nóbrega RH, Greebe CD, Van De Kant H, Bogerd J, De França LR, Schulz RW. Spermatogonial
stem cell niche and spermatogonial stem cell transplantation in zebrafish. PloS one. 2010;5(9):e12808.

26.   Majhi SK, Hattori RS, Rahman SM, Strüssmann CA. Surrogate Production of Eggs and Sperm
by Intrapapillary Transplantation of Germ Cells in Cytoablated Adult Fish. PloS one. 2014;9(4):e95294.

27.   Kayo D, Zempo B, Tomihara S, Oka Y, Kanda S. Gene knockout analysis reveals essentiality of
estrogen receptor β1 (Esr2a) for female reproduction in medaka. Scientific Reports. 2019;9(1):8868.

28.   Kayo D, Oka Y, Kanda S. Examination of methods for manipulating serum 17β-Estradiol (E2)
levels by analysis of blood E2 concentration in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). General and comparative
endocrinology. 2020;285:113272.

29.   Hiraki-Kajiyama T, Yamashita J, Yokoyama K, Kikuchi Y, Nakajo M, Miyazoe D, et al.
Neuropeptide B mediates female sexual receptivity in medaka fish, acting in a female-specific but
reversible manner. eLife. 2019;8:e39495.

30.   Kobayashi T, Matsuda M, Kajiura-Kobayashi H, Suzuki A, Saito N, Nakamoto M, et al. Two
DM domain genes, DMY and DMRT1, involved in testicular differentiation and development in the medaka,
Oryzias latipes. Developmental Dynamics. 2004;231(3):518-26.

31.   Bensimon-Brito A, Cardeira J, Dionísio G, Huysseune A, Cancela ML, Witten PE. Revisiting in
vivo staining with alizarin red S - a valuable approach to analyse zebrafish skeletal mineralization during
development and regeneration. BMC Developmental Biology. 2016;16(1):2.

32.   Takehana Y, Nagai N, Matsuda M, Tsuchiya K, Sakaizumi M. Geographic variation and diversity
of the cytochrome b gene in Japanese wild populations of medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. Zoological science.
2003;20(10):1279-91.

33.   Grier H. Sperm development in the teleost *Oryzias latipes*. Cell and tissue research.
1976;168(4):419-31.

34.   Shimizu Y, Shibata N, Yamashita M. Spermiogenesis without preceding meiosis in the hybrid
medaka between *Oryzias latipes* and *O. curvinotus*. Journal of Experimental Zoology. 1997;279(1):102-12.

35.   Takashima S, Kanatsu-Shinohara M, Tanaka T, Takehashi M, Morimoto H, Shinohara T. Rac
mediates mouse spermatogonial stem cell homing to germline niches by regulating transmigration through
the blood-testis barrier. Cell stem cell. 2011;9(5):463-75.

36.   Naderi S, Rezaei H-R, Pompanon F, Blum MG, Negrini R, Naghash H-R, et al. The goat
domestication process inferred from large-scale mitochondrial DNA analysis of wild and domestic
individuals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2008;105(46):17659-64.

37.   Giuffra E, Kijas JMH, Amarger V, Carlborg Ö, Jeon J-T, Andersson L. The Origin of the
Domestic Pig: Independent Domestication and Subsequent Introgression. Genetics. 2000;154(4):1785-91.

38.   Lodé T. Oviparity or viviparity? That is the question…. Reproductive biology. 2012;12(3):259-
64.

39.   Ciruna B, Weidinger G, Knaut H, Thisse B, Thisse C, Raz E, et al. Production of maternal-zygotic
mutant zebrafish by germ-line replacement. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2002;99(23):14919-24.

40.   Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G, Takeuchi T. Generation of live fry from intraperitoneally transplanted
primordial germ cells in rainbow trout. Biology of reproduction. 2003;69(4):1142-9.

41.   Morita T, Kumakura N, Morishima K, Mitsuboshi T, Ishida M, Hara T, et al. Production of donor-
derived offspring by allogeneic transplantation of spermatogonia in the yellowtail (*Seriola quinqueradiata*).
Biology of reproduction. 2012;86(6):176, 1-11.

42.   Seki S, Kusano K, Lee S, Iwasaki Y, Yagisawa M, Ishida M, et al. Production of the medaka
derived from vitrified whole testes by germ cell transplantation. Scientific reports. 2017;7(1):1-11.

43.   Majhi SK, Hattori RS, Yokota M, Watanabe S, Strüssmann CA. Germ cell transplantation using
sexually competent fish: an approach for rapid propagation of endangered and valuable germlines. PloS
one. 2009;4(7):e6132.

44.   Silva MA, Costa GMJ, Lacerda SMSN, Brandão-Dias PFP, Kalapothakis E, Silva Júnior AF, et
al. Successful xenogeneic germ cell transplantation from Jundia catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*) into adult Nile
tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) testes. General and comparative endocrinology. 2016;230-231:48-56.

45.   Nagler JJ, Cloud JG, Wheeler PA, Thorgaard GH. Testis transplantation in male rainbow trout
(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Biology of reproduction. 2001;64(2):644-6.

46.   Cloud JG. Cryopreservation and transplantation of sexually immature gonads of rainbow trout.
Fish physiology and biochemistry. 2003;28(1):459-62.

47.   Ogawa T, Dobrinski I, Brinster R. Recipient preparation is critical for spermatogonial
transplantation in the rat. Tissue and Cell. 1999;31(5):461-72.

48.   Kanatsu-Shinohara M, Morimoto H, Shinohara T. Fertility of male germline stem cells following
spermatogonial transplantation in infertile mouse models. Biology of reproduction. 2016;94(5):112, 1-11.

49.   Okutsu T, Shikina S, Kanno M, Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G. Production of trout offspring from
triploid salmon parents. Science. 2007;317(5844):1517-.

50.   Yoshizaki G, Takashiba K, Shimamori S, Fujinuma K, Shikina S, Okutsu T, et al. Production of
germ cell-deficient salmonids by dead end gene knockdown, and their use as recipients for germ cell
transplantation. Molecular Reproduction and Development. 2016;83(4):298-311.

51.   Kenji Murata MK, Kiyoshi Naruse. Medaka: Biology, Management, and Experimental Protocols.
Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2019 2019/11/4. 368 p.

52.   Michibata H. The Role of Spermatogonia in the Recovery Process from Temporary Sterility
Induced by Gamma-Ray Irradiation in the Teleost *Oryzias latipes*. Journal of Radiation Research.
1976;17(3):142-53.
53.   Saiki A, Tamura M, Matsumoto M, Katowgi J, Watanabe A, Onitake K. Establishment of in vitro
spermatogenesis from spermatocytes in the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. Development, growth &
differentiation. 1997;39(3):337-44.

Figure legends

Figure 1.

The appearance and functionality of testicular tissue allografted into the abdomen of a recipient.

(a-c) Representative images of a recipient male that received testicular tissue derived from an actb-

GFP strain male. Bright field image of the intact abdomen (a), bright field image of the incised

abdomen (b), and fluorescence image of the incised abdomen (c); scale bar, 1 mm. (d-e) Representative

images of the eggs fertilized by the recipient male that had been transplanted with testicular tissue of

an actb-GFP strain male. Bright field image (d) and fluorescence image (e); scale bar, 1 mm

Figure 2.

Functional allografts of testicular tissue from donor medaka with a different genetic background

to the recipients.

(a) An outline of the surgical procedure used here. (b) An outline of the mating scheme used here.

Pigmented eggs are produced following fertilization by spermatozoa of wild-derived strain germ cells.

Non-pigmented eggs result from fertilization with d-rR strain sperm. (c) Representative image of eggs

fertilized by a recipient that had been transplanted with testicular tissue from a wild-derived medaka

strain; arrowhead, pigmented egg resulting from fertilization with a wild-derived spermatozoon; scale

bar, 1 mm

Figure 3.

Allografted scales were immunologically rejected by the recipient.

(a, c, e) Representative bright field images of scales from a donor (actb-GFP strain and wild strain)

transplanted into a recipient (d-rR strain). Day 1 (a), Day 7 (c), Day 10 (e); arrowhead indicates

transplanted scale. (b, d, f) Representative fluorescence images of scales transplanted into a recipient.

Day 1 (b), Day 7 (d), Day 10 (f); arrowhead indicates transplanted scale. asterisk, autofluorescence

originating from a scale on the recipient. (g, h) Representative images of a donor (d-rR strain) whose

scales were vital stained with ARS and transplanted into a d-rR strain recipient: bright field image (g),

and fluorescence image (h) on Day 10. Arrowhead, transplanted scale. Scale bar, 1 mm

Figure 4.

Allografted testicular tissue including somatic cells became established within the recipient testis.

(a-c) Representative images from the IHC analysis after DAB and hematoxylin staining. DAB positive

cells are donor-derived (actb-GFP strain) cells. (a) Testis of individual #2 (GFP positive recipient).

The boxed area is magnified in panel (c). Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) GFP negative recipient. No DAB

positive signals are seen in any section. Scale bar, 100 μm. (c) Magnified image of boxed area in panel

(a). sg, spermatogonia; sc, spermatocyte; st, spermatid; sp, spermatozoa. Scale bar, 50 μm. (d)

Representative image of the IHC analysis after DAB staining but without hematoxylin staining. The

upper panel shows a section of the testis of individual #2. bm, basement membrane; lbc, lobule

boundary cells. The lower panel shows a section of a GFP negative recipient testis. Scale bar, 50 μm.

Figure 1

Figure 2

a
donor: wild strain   recipient: d-rR strain

dissect out the donor testis

discard
the recipient testis

implant the fragmented testis
in recipient after rough castration

b
mating with d-rR female

recipient: d-rR strain   d-rR strain female

chimera testis

non-pigmented oocytes
non-pigmented eggs
pigmented eggs

c

Figure 3

Figure 4

a recipient (#2)

b recipient (GFP -)

c recipient (#2)
sc
st
sg
sp

d recipient (#2)
bm
lbc

recipient
(GFP -)