Molecular dynamics simulations reveal membrane lipid interactions of the full-length lymphocyte specific kinase Lck

Dheeraj Prakaash [1, 2], Graham P. Cook [3], Oreste Acuto [4] and Antreas C. Kalli [1, 2] *

[1] Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, United Kingdom.
[2] Astbury Center for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, United Kingdom.
[3] Leeds Institute of Medical Research, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, United Kingdom.
[4] Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.

* Correspondence: a.kalli@leeds.ac.uk

Short title: Simulations of the full-length Lck

Keywords

- Full-length Lck
- Molecular dynamics simulations
- Protein-lipid interactions
- Protein conformation

ABSTRACT

The membrane-bound lymphocyte-specific protein-tyrosine kinase (Lck) triggers T cell antigen receptor signalling to initiate adaptive immune responses. Despite many structure-function studies, the mode of action of Lck and the potential role of plasma membrane lipids in regulating Lck's activity remains elusive. Advances in molecular dynamics simulations of membrane proteins in complex lipid bilayers have opened a new perspective in gathering such information. Here, we have modelled the full-length Lck open and closed conformations available from crystallographic studies and simulated its interaction with the inner leaflet of the T cell plasma membrane. In both conformations, we found that the unstructured unique domain and the structured domains including the kinase interacted with the membrane with a preference for PIP lipids. Interestingly, our simulations suggest that the Lck-SH2 domain interacts with lipids differently in the open and closed Lck conformations, demonstrating that lipid interaction can potentially regulate Lck's conformation and in turn modulate T cell signalling. Additionally, the Lck-SH2 and kinase domain residues that significantly contacted PIP lipids are found to be conserved among the Src family of kinases, thereby potentially representing similar PIP interactions within the family.

INTRODUCTION

Activation of T cells is triggered by the engagement of the T cell receptor (TCR) with antigenic peptides presented by major histocompatibility complexes (pMHC) (Courtney, Lo, & Weiss, 2018; Mariuzza, Agnihotri, & Orban, 2019). Upon pMHC binding, allosteric sites in the extracellular and transmembrane regions of the T cell receptor-CD3 complex (TCR-CD3) (He et al., 2020; Lanz et al., 2021) promote exposure of immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs (ITAMs) in the cytoplasmic tails of CD3/ζ subunits. Further, ITAMs are promptly phosphorylated by Lck. Remarkably, non-activated T cells maintain a sizable fraction of constitutively activated Lck at the plasma membrane that is necessary and sufficient for ITAM phosphorylation upon ligand binding (Nika et al., 2010). Additionally, imaging studies have suggested that Lck conformational states dictate its spatial distribution that may impact TCR-CD3 ITAM phosphorylation (Rossy, Owen, Williamson, Yang, & Gaus, 2013). Phosphorylated ITAMs then provide stable binding sites for the tyrosine kinase ZAP-70 (Hatada et al., 1995; Katz, Novotná, Blount, & Lillemeier, 2017) that is regulated by Lck to propagate signals required for T cell activation (Palacios & Weiss, 2004).

Understanding the role of Lck in molecular detail is important in deciphering the initial phases of T cell activation. To achieve this, it is key to obtain the full-length 3D structure of Lck which remains structurally unresolved until date. The full-length Lck contains the following domains (from the *N* to *C* terminus): the SH4 (first ~10 residues), unique domain (UD; following ~50 residues) both of which are likely to be devoid of secondary structure. The UD is followed by the SH3, SH2, and the kinase domains for which structural data is available. The X-ray crystallographic structure of the Lck-SH2 and SH3 domains combined is available at a resolution of 2.36 Å (PDB:4D8K), and the isolated kinase domain in its active state i.e., phosphorylated at Y394 is available at 1.7 Å resolution (PDB:3LCK) (Yamaguchi & Hendrickson, 1996). However, the structures of the SH4 and UD remain largely unresolved. Nonetheless, NMR data for Lck-UD in solution indicates that it lacks structure and has no significant influence on Lck-SH3 (Briese & Willbold, 2003). In this work, we refer to the SH4 and the UD combined as the 'SH4-U' domain for simplicity. In the SH4 domain, G2, C3 and C5 undergo acylation as a post-translational acylation i.e., myristoylation at G2 (Udenwobele et al., 2017; Wingfield, 2017), and palmitoylation at C3 and C5 (Yurchak & Sefton, 1995). As a result, the acyl chains or lipid tails covalently attached to these residues insert into the hydrophobic core of the membrane and aid in membrane localization of Lck (MD, 1994).

Lipids in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane have been reported to play an important role in interacting with Lck via its SH2 domain and in turn regulating TCR-CD3 signalling (Sheng et al., 2016). In particular, anionic lipids such as phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphate (PI(4,5)P2 or PIP$_2$) and phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP$_3$) were suggested to aid in Lck interaction with the TCR-CD3 in a spatiotemporal manner. Lck-SH2 domain is found to be key to lipid interaction by selectively contacting these PIP lipids via a cationic patch (Sheng et al., 2016). SH2 domains in other tyrosine kinases such as Zap70 have also been reported to direct signalling pathways by binding to PIP lipids (Park et al., 2016). Our previous studies suggested that the TCR-CD3 maintains an anionic lipid environment enriched in PIP lipids with the help of its cytoplasmic region (Prakaash, Cook, Acuto, & Kalli, 2021). Since Lck is also shown to possess high affinity for PIP lipids (Sheng et al., 2016) and its clustering is driven by their open conformational state (Rossy et al., 2013), it is important to understand how the open and closed states of Lck interact with the

89 membrane in molecular detail. This could further aid in our understanding of its interaction with
90 ITAMs of stimulated TCR-CD3 complexes.
91
In this study, we modelled the full-length Lck by predicting the structure of the SH4-U domain and
integrating it with the experimentally resolved structures of the SH2, SH3 and kinase domains.
Further, we performed coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations over a cumulative time of
100 microseconds for each of the open and closed states of Lck in a complex symmetric bilayer
whose lipid headgroup composition resembles the inner leaflet of the T cell plasma membrane
(Zech et al., 2009). From these simulations, we study the conformational dynamics and lipid
interactions of the open and closed conformations of the full-length Lck.
99
100
101 **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**
102
Modelling the full-length Lck in its open and closed states
To obtain a model of the 3D structure of full-length Lck, we first modelled the SH4-U domain since
its structure is unknown. To achieve this, we used two independent 3D structure prediction tools
i.e., I-Tasser (Yang et al., 2015) and Robetta (D. E. Kim, Chivian, & Baker, 2004), and obtained
multiple 3D models from each. Then, the PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench (Buchan & Jones,
2019) was used to calculate the secondary structure of the SH4-U domain (**Fig S1A**). The best 3D
structural models, one from I-Tasser and one from Robetta (representing the highest prediction
confidence score and agreement with secondary structure predictions) were subjected to 250 ns
atomistic molecular dynamics (ATMD) simulations in solution neutralized by 0.15M Na+ and Cl-
ions to allow optimization of the predicted structures. At the end of the ATMD simulations, we used
two criteria to select the best model: (i) agreement with secondary structure predictions, and (ii)
agreement with structural information revealed by an NMR study (P. W. Kim, Sun, Blacklow,
Wagner, & Eck, 2003) where the UD contained a hairpin-like loop region (**Fig 1A left**). This loop
region was found to be responsible for binding CD4 and CD8 co-receptors via a coordinating Zn^{2+}
ion (P. W. Kim et al., 2003), though data have indicated that not all Lck are bound to co-receptors.
Using these criteria, the model derived from the Robetta server was selected. However, due to the
absence of a zinc ion in our model, a disulphide bond was formed. In addition, our model suggests
that residues E10, D11, D12, E15, E21 (**Fig 1A**) form an anionic patch that potentially interact with
cationic regions of the TCR-CD3 cytoplasmic region. Moreover, the D12N mutation was shown to
reduce binding with CD3ε BRS by NMR experiments (Li et al., 2017).
123
Following modelling of the SH4-U domain, the crystal structures of SH2, SH3 (PDB:4D8K), and
kinase domains (PDB:3LCK) along with the SH4-U model were assembled in a linear manner to
model the full-length Lck open conformation (**Fig 1B**) using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al.,
2004). Missing residues located in the linker region were predicted to be unstructured and hence
modelled as loops between the domains using Modeller 9.2 (Webb & Sali, 2014). The structures of
the different domains were assembled sufficiently far from each other to avoid bias in protein-
protein interactions at the beginning of the simulations. Note that the positioning of the SH3 and
SH2 domains relative to each other were not altered and were used as obtained from the crystal
structure (PDB:4D8K).
133

The crystal structure of the closed conformation of Hck, an Src family member of kinases, resolved
at 1.65 Å (PDB:5H0B) was used as a template to model the Lck closed state using Modeller 9.2
(Webb & Sali, 2014). The resultant homology model of the Lck closed state (containing SH2, SH3,
kinase domains) was then conjoined with the SH4-U model (as shown in **Fig 1A**) to obtain the full-
length Lck (Lck-FL) in its closed state (**Fig 1C**). This modelling used a multiple sequence
alignment of Hck and Lck produced by Clustal Omega (Sievers & Higgins, 2018). The structures of
the SH2, SH3, kinase domains of Lck were also individually aligned with those respective domains
of Hck (**Fig 1D**) using the 'super' aligning method in PyMOL (pymol.org) indicating their structural
similarities i.e., RMSD = 0.582, 1.135, 0.92 Å respectively.
143
144   Finally, to both the Lck-FL open and closed models, post-translational modifications were added to
145   the *N*-terminal residues i.e., G2 was myristoylated and, C3 and C5 were palmitoylated prior to the
146   simulations. The initiator Met1 residue was removed during this process since they are known to be
147   cleaved in mature eukaryotic proteins (16, 17).
148

Fig 1. Model of the SH4-U domain and, the open and closed full-length Lck conformations. (A)
The model of the SH4-U domain (residues 2 to 63) used in this study. The region responsible for
coordinating a Zn^{2+} ion is magnified (left). Residues forming the anionic patch in our model are
shown as red sticks and labelled. PIP lipid binding cationic residues (R39, R45), as suggested in
this study, are shown as blue sticks are located on the opposite side of the anionic patch (middle).
The electrostatic profile of the anionic patch (right) shown was calculated in the ±5 kT/e range and
at pH 7.0 using the PDB2PQR (Dolinsky, Nielsen, McCammon, & Baker, 2004) *and APBS* (Baker,
Sept, Joseph, Holst, & McCammon, 2001) *tools. Electronegative and electropositive regions are*
indicated by red and blue intensities respectively. (B) The model of the open Lck-FL conformation
and (C) the closed Lck-FL conformation used in this study. (D) Isolated SH2, SH3 and kinase
domains aligned to the closed state of Hck (PDB:5H0B).

Membrane association and lipid interaction of the full-length Lck
To assess the association of the Lck-FL models with the membrane (see **Table 1** for membrane
composition), we performed coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations. At the
beginning of these simulations, the post-translational modifications (lipid tails) of both the Lck-FL

open and closed models were made to partially penetrate the membrane surface to mimic the fact that the lipid tails are expected to penetrate the membrane upon binding of Lck to the membrane. 20 individual simulations for 5 μs were performed for both the open and closed models. Calculation of the average distance versus time of the center of mass (COM) of the initial protein model to the COM of the membrane along the vertical (Z) axis showed that both Lck-FL models closely associated with the membrane within 1 μs simulation time (**Fig 2A**). Analysis of Lck interactions with lipids shows an increase in the number of PIP_2 and PIP_3 around the protein in both its open and closed conformations creating an anionic annulus around the protein. This annulus was retained for the remaining time of the simulations (**Fig 2B**). For both models, radial distribution function (RDF) showed that PIP_2 and PIP_3 were preferred by Lck over other lipids (**Fig 2C**). Experimental studies also suggest that the SH2 domain prefers to interact with PIP_3 compared to PI(4,5)P2 (Sheng et al., 2016). Interestingly, these lipid species were found to also cluster around the TCR-CD3 and interact with its cytoplasmic region as observed in our previous studies of the complete TCR-CD3 complex (Prakaash et al., 2021).

Table 1. Summary of CGMD simulations conducted in this study.

Simulations	Membrane	Particles	Simulation box (X × Y × Z axis)	Duration	Replicas
Lck-SH4-U	simple	22134	12 × 12 × 17	1 μs	20
Lck-SH3	simple	25676	12 × 12 × 20	1 μs	20
Lck-SH2	simple	25914	12 × 12 × 20	1 μs	20
Lck-SH2,3,4-U	complex	53576	16 × 16 × 23	5 μs	20
Lck-FL open	complex	83137	19 × 19 × 26	5 μs	20
Lck-FL open mut5	complex	83194	19 × 19 × 26	5 μs	20
Lck-FL closed	complex	46187	16 × 16 × 20	5 μs	20

(Symmetric) membrane composition:
- simple: POPC/POPS/PIP_2/PIP_3 = 72/20/6/2
- complex: POPC/POPE/POPS/Chol/PIP_2/PIP_3 = 12/40/20/20/6/2

Fig 2. Membrane association and lipid interactions of the open and closed full-length Lck
conformations. (A) Association of open and closed conformations of Lck-FL with the membrane is
indicated by the reduction in distance between the center of mass (COM) of Lck-FL and COM of the
membrane versus time. (B) Number of interactions between Lck and lipid headgroups versus time.
The number of headgroup interactions of each phospholipid type is normalized by the number of
lipids of the respective lipid type in the membrane. (C) The radial distribution function (RDF) of all
lipid types around Lck calculated throughout the simulation time. The RDF is normalized by the
total number of lipids in the membrane of that system to enable comparison between the open and
closed conformations of Lck-FL. Note: Simulations of the Lck-FL open and closed systems contain
different number of lipids in the membrane due to different sizes of the membrane.

Given the potential of strong electrostatic interactions between Lck and PIP lipids, and also between
the TCR-CD3 cytoplasmic tails and PIP lipids of the inner leaflet of the membrane (Prakaash et al.,
2021), it is possible that TCR-Lck association and ITAM phosphorylation occurs proximal to the
inner leaflet of the plasma membrane.

PIP lipid binding sites
Analysis of the interactions of the Lck-FL open conformation (initial simulation frame shown in **Fig
3A left**) with PIP lipids showed that the SH2 domain made significant contacts via a primary
binding site (K182 > R184 ~ R134 > K135 ~ K179). The SH4-U domain also made significant
contacts by preferring to bind to PIP lipids via R39 and R45, followed by cholesterol interactions
via myristoylated G2, palmitoylated C3 and C5, followed by residues H24, Y25, P26, V44, R45,
D46 **(Fig 3B)**. The SH3 domain made less contact with the membrane, interacting mostly via K84.
Interestingly, the kinase domain of Lck also showed significant PIP lipid interactions; the most
interactive residues were R455, R458, R474, K478 (**Fig 3B**). These residues are situated at the

bottom surface of the C-terminal lobe of the kinase domain and constitute a flat cationic area acting
as a PIP lipid binding site (**Fig S1B**). Furthermore, in our simulations, residues including and
neighbouring A160 were observed to interact with lipids, but not as significantly as K182 and R184
(**Fig 3B**). This observation is consistent with mutation studies which revealed that A160K reduces
dissociation of Lck-SH2 from plasma membrane-mimetic vesicles (Sheng et al., 2016).
219

Fig 3. Snapshots of the initial simulation setup and PIP lipid binding sites. (A) *The initial frames of the simulations of the open and closed conformations of Lck-FL showing the lipid tails of the SH4 domain partially inserted into the membrane at the beginning of simulation. Also, the initial frames of the simulations of the Lck-SH domains combined (SH2, SH3, SH4-U) and of the individual Lck-SH domains where the protein structure is placed in solution ~6 nm away from the membrane.* **(B)** *Normalized lipid interactions of Lck-FL open,* **(C)** *Lck-FL closed,* **(D)** *Lck-FL open when mutated (mut5 i.e., R134A, K135A, K179A, K182A, R184A),* **(E)** *Residues constituting the primary (yellow) and secondary (cyan) PIP lipid binding sites of Lck-SH2 as observed in CGMD simulations of Lck-FL open and closed.* **(F)** *Normalized lipid interactions of the SH4-U, SH3, SH2 domains when individually simulated, and* **(G)** *of the SH4-U, SH3, SH2 domains simulated in conjunction (Lck-SH2,3,4-U).*

In the simulations of the closed Lck-FL, the lipid interaction profile of the SH4-U, SH3, and kinase domains remained fairly similar to the simulations of the open Lck-FL. However, in the closed Lck-FL, the SH2 domain exhibited a distinct PIP lipid binding site (referred hereon as secondary PIP binding site) consisting of residues H148, R219, and R222 (**Fig 3C**). Note that K182 and R184 present in the primary binding site interacted with PIP lipids in both open and closed Lck-FL. However, in the closed Lck-FL, PIP interaction was mostly observed in the secondary binding site via residues H148, R219, R222.

Lck-SH2 adopts a secondary PIP lipid binding site upon mutation of the primary binding site

To investigate the significance of the primary PIP lipid binding site of the open Lck-FL identified above, we mutated its residues i.e., R134A, K135A, K179A, K182A, R184A. This mutation (referred as mut5) in the open Lck-FL led to the loss of PIP interaction via the primary binding site but formed contacts with PIPs via the secondary binding site (**Fig 3D**), thereby resembling the lipid interaction profile of the closed Lck-FL (**Fig 3C**). The lipid interactions and orientations of the other domains remained unaffected by this mutation in the SH2 domain.

The fact that the secondary binding site of Lck-SH2 (H148, R219, R222) is located on the opposite side of the primary binding site (**Fig 3E**) and dominated PIP interaction in the closed Lck-FL suggests that the closed conformation potentially alters the preferred/primary membrane-binding orientation of Lck-SH2 to some degree. This suggests that Lck-SH2 can attain a secondary membrane-bound conformation but is less preferred and potentially weaker. This secondary binding site was observed frequently in the closed state of Lck. It was shown that Lck exhibits lesser membrane binding if its preferred PIP lipid binding site K182/R184 is altered (Sheng et al., 2016). The fact that Lck-membrane binding was reduced and not completely diminished indicates that this secondary PIP lipid binding site may aid membrane association to a certain degree but reduce colocalization with stimulated TCR-CD3 due to change in its orientation. During spatial re-organization of Lck with TCR-CD3 upon activation (Rossy, Williamson, & Gaus, 2012), this alteration of SH2 domain orientation and PIP lipid binding site may also reduce its competence with the preferred open Lck conformation as previously suggested (Hilzenrat et al., 2020; Rossy et al., 2013).

Simulations of the isolated domains reveal similar interaction with PIP lipids

Following our investigation of lipid interactions of the Lck-FL open and closed states, we also simulated the Lck-SH2, SH3, SH4 domains individually to be able to analyse their lipid interactions independently of the influence of the other domains. Given that the kinase domain is the largest domain, constituting greater than half of the Lck-FL sequence, we also simulated the Lck-SH domains combined (Lck-SH2,3,4-U) to assess their lipid interactions without the influence of the kinase domain. In all the individual Lck-SH and SH2,3,4-U simulations, the protein structure was placed ~6 nm away from the bilayer (**Fig 3A**) to allow it to explore all possible orientations in solution before binding to the membrane.

These simulations suggested that R134, K135, K179, K182, R184 of Lck-SH2 were the most interactive residues with PIP lipids, preferring PIP_3 over PIP_2 (**Fig 3F, 3G**) as suggested by previous experimental studies (Sheng et al., 2016) and by our simulations with the Lck-FL models in this study. Lck-SH2 was also found to bind to the membrane within 700 ns of simulation time in all

simulations (**Fig S1C**). The SH3 domain required somewhat more simulation time i.e., 1 μs to bind
to the membrane. R89 of Lck-SH3 was the most interactive residue with PIP lipids in good
agreement with the simulations of the Lck-FL models. Lck-SH4-U made a very small number of
contacts when simulated individually (**Fig 3F**) due to the lack of a strong PIP lipid binding site and
because the myristoylated and palmitoylated lipid tails failed to insert into the membrane in the
majority of the simulations (**Fig S1C**). As a result, we found a significantly larger fraction of SH4-U
unbound to the membrane compared to the SH2 and SH3 (**Fig S1D**). Note that, in some individual
SH4-U simulations where its lipid tails inserted into the membrane, the SH4-U domain stayed
membrane-bound for the rest of the simulation time (**S1 Movie**).
288
In the Lck-SH2,3,4-U simulations, the Lck-SH2 dominated the interactions with PIP lipids (with
R134, K135, K182, R184), while those of SH3 (K84) and SH4-U (R45) were observable but not
significant (**Fig 3G**). This indicated that Lck-SH2 lipid interactions were not influenced by the other
SH domains. Note that, although the protein had achieved a membrane bound state via the SH2
domain (by ~1.5 μs in all simulations) (**Fig S1C bottom**), the SH4 lipid tails had not inserted in the
membrane. The tails were found binding to a small cavity near the SH2-SH3 linker before the
protein attained its membrane-bound state (**Fig S1E**) and did not insert into the membrane despite
lipid binding initiated by the SH2 domain. This is presumably due to strong hydrophobic
interactions between the lipid tails and the SH2-SH3 linker region, and possibly energetically
unfavourable to switch to a membrane inserted state. However, it is important to note that
membrane insertion may be achieved given more simulation time. Consistent with this observation,
in vitro studies have reported that the N-terminal myristoyl group in c-Src binds to the SH3 domain
while in solution and modulates membrane anchoring (Le Roux et al., 2019).
302
Simulations of Lck-FL indicate flexibility of the kinase domain in the open conformation
We deduced the most observed conformations of the membrane bound Lck-FL in its open state
from the CGMD simulations using clustering analysis and with a 0.35 nm RMSD cut-off. In the top
three most observed conformations of Lck-FL, we observed that Y394 and Y505 often switched
positions i.e., in one conformation, Y394 is proximal to the SH2 domain whilst in another
conformation, Y505 is proximal to the SH2 domain (**Fig 4A**). This indicates that the kinase domain
can rotate and re-orient relative to the SH2 domain. We also performed atomistic MD (ATMD)
simulations of the Lck-FL open state in solution (250 ns × 3 replicas) and found similar activity of
the kinase domain, where pY394 and Y505 switched positions alternating their proximity to the
SH2 domain (**Fig 4B**).
313
314   This flexibility of the kinase domain in the Lck-FL open conformation is potentially key to the
315   dynamics of its catalytic activity. Note that, in the ATMD simulations, despite taking up positions
316   near the SH2 domain, neither pY394 nor Y505 contacted the Lck-SH2 PIP lipid binding site (**Fig
317   4C**) suggesting that Lck-SH2 is free to bind to the membrane in the open state, unlike in the closed
318   state where pY505 interacted with some PIP lipid binding residues of Lck-SH2 (**Fig 4D**).
319

Fig 4. Top three representative conformations of Lck-FL open (A) *from CGMD (top) and* **(B)** *ATMD simulations (bottom) derived from clustering analyses (see main text for details). The kinase domain is made transparent to clarify the positions of Y394 and Y505. Y505 and Y394 are shown in circles to indicate the rotation of the kinase domain relative to the SH2 domain. This is observed by Y505 and Y394 exchanging positions relative to Lck-SH2, in both the membrane associated form of Lck (CGMD) and in solution (ATMD).* **(C)** *Normalized average number of contacts of pY394 and Y505 with Lck-SH2 in the open Lck-FL state.* **(D)** *Normalized average number of contacts of pY505 with Lck-SH2 domain in the Lck-FL closed state in ATMD simulations. Normalization was done by dividing the number of contacts by the number of simulation frames thereby obtaining a scale of 0 to 1.*

Atomistic simulations of the Lck-SH4-U domain reveal its anionic patch

In addition to the open Lck-FL in solution, we performed ATMD simulations of the closed Lck-FL in solution (250 ns × 3 replicas). From these simulations, clustering analyses were performed on the SH4-U domain alone. As a result, we obtained a structure of the most observed conformations of the Lck-SH4-U in the open and closed states of Lck. We then calculated their electrostatic profiles and compared them with the electrostatic profile of the initial SH4-U model obtained earlier in this study (**Fig 5**). This revealed that the SH4-U domain, despite its dynamic nature, maintained an anionic patch (E10, D11, D12, E15, D18, E21) which was independent of the open and closed Lck-FL conformations. Interestingly, this anionic patch was identified on the opposite side of its PIP lipid binding surface (R39, R45) indicating its availability to bind to cationic residues of other proteins, especially those of the BRS motifs of CD3ε and ζ subunits of the TCR-CD3 complex as suggested by NMR experiments (Li et al., 2017).

Fig 5. The electrostatic profiles of the Lck-SH4-U domain *as in the initial model (top), and*
according to clustering analyses after simulating the Lck-FL open state (middle), and the Lck-FL
closed state (bottom). Their electrostatic profiles were calculated in the ±5 kT/e range and at pH 7.0
using the PDB2PQR (Dolinsky et al., 2004) and APBS (Baker et al., 2001) tools. Electronegative
and electropositive regions are indicated by the red and blue intensities respectively. The residues
forming the anionic patch are shown as red sticks and labelled. PIP lipid binding residues (R39,
R45) are shown as blue sticks for reference.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we have revealed lipid interactions of the full-length post-translationally modified Lck
in both its open and closed conformations and highlighted its PIP lipid binding sites. Our key
finding concerning PIP lipid binding was that the SH2 domain adopts a secondary binding site in
the closed state of Lck-FL compared to its open state. Although this secondary binding site may aid
in membrane localization to some degree, it may be less important during spatial organization of
open Lck during T cell activation. Our simulations show that upon membrane binding of Lck, it is
surrounded by a pool of negatively charged lipid headgroups creating an anionic environment,

whereas it is also observed that the TCR-CD3 cytoplasmic tails maintain an anionic lipid environment (Prakaash et al., 2021). This sheds light on the potential significance of lipids during TCR-Lck association.

In this study, we suggest that the residues R134, R135, and K179 contribute to the primary PIP lipid binding site in addition to those previously reported i.e., K182 and R184 (Sheng et al., 2016). Moreover, all five of these residues are found to be conserved among Src family members (**Fig S2A**) indicating that all their SH2 domains are likely to localize to the membrane with the same orientation. The PIP interactions of Lck-SH3, although not significant, consistently interacted via K84. Upon lipid tail insertion into the membrane, the Lck-UD also showed significant interaction with PIPs via R39 and R45. Furthermore, we present a structural model of the Lck-UD that reveals an anionic patch with which it could bind to basic-rich motifs of the TCR-CD3 subunits and aid in TCR-Lck association.

We also found that the kinase domain interacts with PIPs in the membrane via residues R455, R458, R474, K478 which form a cationic patch at the bottom of its C-terminal lobe. These residues, along with other potentially PIP contacting residues, were also found to be conserved among other Src family members (**Fig S2A**) suggesting similar lipid interactions and membrane-bound orientations of the kinase domains in the Src family. Further, the lipid interactions of the kinase domain implies that it is likely to be situated proximal to the membrane surface. Therefore, given that the TCR-CD3 cytoplasmic region is also closely associated with the membrane surface (Prakaash et al., 2021), it is likely that Lck kinase-mediated phosphorylation of TCR-CD3 ITAMs and of other downstream signalling proteins during the initial phase of T cell activation is carried out proximal to the plasma membrane. Similarly, given the conservation of important PIP binding sites in the SH2 and kinase domains, ITAM phosphorylation mediated by other members of the Src family may also occur close to the surface of plasma membrane.

It is also important to consider some limitations of this study. Here, we performed CGMD simulations using the MARTINI forcefield (de Jong et al., 2013) which involved elastic network (EN) restraints (Periole, Cavalli, Marrink, & Ceruso, 2009) within each domain of the open state of Lck in order to maintain their tertiary structures as suggested by experiments (PDB:4D8K, 3LCK). To make the open Lck simulations more realistic, we avoided inter-domain restraints allowing each domain to freely associate with each other. In the closed state of Lck, it is known that the kinase binds to the SH2 and SH3 domains. Therefore, such a configuration was homology modelled based on the structure of the closed state of Hck, a member of the Src kinase family (PDB:5H0B) (Yuki et al., 2017) found to exhibit highest identity with Lck among other Src members (**Fig S2B**). This homology model of Lck was restrained using EN in CGMD simulations to retain its closed conformation. The SH4-U domain was modelled based on secondary structure predictions, validated using ATMD simulations and available experimental evidence, and finally conjoined with the rest of the Lck structure in both open and closed conformations.

METHODS

Molecular Modelling

To obtain a model of the 3D structure of Lck-SH4-U, the PSIPRED secondary structure prediction tool (Buchan & Jones, 2019) along with 3D structure predictions by the I-Tasser (Yang et al., 2015) and Robetta (D. E. Kim et al., 2004) servers were used. The sequence of the SH4-U domain was obtained from UniprotKB (P06239). Post-translational acylations/lipid tails were added using CHARMM-GUI (Jo, Kim, Iyer, & Im, 2008). Modeller 9.2 (Eswar et al., 2006; Webb & Sali, 2014) and UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004) were used to conduct modelling of the open Lck-FL. Homology modelling of the closed Lck-FL was conducted based on available structural data of Hck using Modeller 9.2.

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations

All models were coarse-grained using the Martini 2.2 forcefield (Marrink, Risselada, Yefimov, Tieleman, & de Vries, 2007) and the *martinize* script. To coarse-grain the lipid tails along with the rest of the protein, the *martinize* script, and the Martini 2.2 amino acid topology were modified to include published parameters (Atsmon-Raz & Tieleman, 2017), and made publicly available (https://github.com/DJ004/martini_mod).

CGMD simulations were set up using the *Insane* tool (Wassenaar, Ingólfsson, Böckmann, Tieleman, & Marrink, 2015) and Gromacs 5.0. EN restraints (Periole et al., 2009) with a 1000 kJ/mol/nm^2 force constant and 0 to 0.7 nm cut-off distance was applied. However, the restraints were applied only within each domain to maintain their tertiary structure and not between domains to allow unbiased inter-domain interactions. Membrane lipid compositions used to set up each CGMD simulation are shown in Table 1. In all CGMD simulations, each lipid contained one saturated acyl chain and one mono-unsaturated acyl chain, while their headgroup composition is based on the composition of TCR-CD3 activation domains in the T cell plasma membrane (Zech et al., 2009). The solvent was neutralized with 0.15M Na+ and Cl- ions. All systems were energy minimized using the steepest descent algorithm until the maximum force converged to 1000 kJ/mol/nm and equilibrated for 2.5 ns with the protein position-restrained. The equilibrated system was then used to generate differing initial velocities for twenty production simulations run for 5 μs each with a 20 fs time-step. The NPT ensemble was used to conduct equilibration and production simulations. Co-ordinates were saved at 200 ps intervals. A semi-isotropic Parrinello-Rahman barostat (1 bar) (Parrinello & Rahman, 1981) and V-rescale thermostat (323 K) (Bussi, Donadio, & Parrinello, 2007) were used for production simulations along with a 3×10^{-4}/bar compressibility.

Atomistic molecular dynamics (ATMD) simulations

CHARMM-GUI (Jo et al., 2008) was used with the CHARMM36 forcefield (Huang & MacKerell, 2013) to setup ATMD simulations of the initial Lck-SH4-U model, the Lck-FL open model and closed model in solution using the TIP3 water as solvent neutralized with 0.15M Na+ and Cl- ions. All systems were energy minimized using the steepest descent algorithm using Gromacs 2016 until the maximum force converged to 1000 kJ/mol/nm^2, followed by isotropic (NPT) equilibration at 323 K where the protein backbone was position-restrained. The equilibrated system was used to generate differing initial velocities for three production simulations run 250 ns each using a 2 fs time-step. Co-ordinates were saved at 40 ps intervals. The V-rescale thermostat (323 K) (Bussi et al., 2007) and Parrinello-Rahman isotropic barostat (1 bar) (Parrinello & Rahman, 1981) was used

454 with a compressibility of 4.5×10^{-5}/bar. The LINCS algorithm (Hess, Bekker, Berendsen, & Fraaije,
455 1997) applied constraints on hydrogen bond lengths and the Particle Mesh Ewald algorithm
456 (Essmann et al., 1995). Coulombic and van der Waals interactions were defined by a 1.2 nm
457 distance cut-off.
458
Data analysis and visualization
Protein-lipid and protein-protein interactions in CGMD simulations were calculated using the *gmx*
mindist command where a contact was defined by a 0.55 nm distance cut-off. All contact analyses
results represent merged data from all simulation replicates. Clustering analyses used the *gmx*
cluster command and the *gromos* method (Daura et al., 1999) with an RMSD cut-off of 0.35 nm.
For this, all trajectories were concatenated using gmx *trjcat*, the protein was extracted using *gmx*
trjconv and RMSD calculations were run skipping 5 frames for both CGMD and ATMD. Distance
versus time and radial distribution function calculations were done using the *gmx distance* and *gmx*
rdf commands respectively. VMD was used for visualization and rendering (Humphrey, Dalke, &
Schulten, 1996). The APBS (Baker et al., 2001) plugin of PyMOL 2.4 (pymol.org) was used to
calculate electrostatics. Xmgrace (https://plasma-gate.weizmann.ac.il/Grace/) and Matplotlib 3.3
(doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3948793) were used for plotting.
471
472

473 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
474
475 This research was supported by ARC3 and ARC4 supercomputers, part of the High Performance
476 Computing facility at the University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom. A.C.K is funded by the
477 British Heart Foundation grant PG/21/10515. O.A. is funded by the Wellcome Trust grant
478 200844/Z/16/Z. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright
479 licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.
480
481

484
485
Fig S1. Secondary structure prediction of Lck-SH4-U, membrane binding of individual Lck-SH domains, and electrostatics of the kinase domain. (A) Secondary structure prediction of Lck-SH4-U by PSIPRED 4.0 server. **(B)** Residues in the kinase domain forming a flat cationic patch. Their electrostatic profile was calculated using in the ±5 kT/e range and at pH 7.0 using the PDB2PQR and APBS tools. Electronegative and electropositive regions are indicated by red and blue intensities respectively. **(C)** Distance between the center of mass (COM) of protein to COM of membrane in all 20 simulation replicates: SH4-U (cyan), SH3 (green), SH2 (orange), Lck-SH2,3,4-U (maroon). The most observed membrane-bound orientations of the individually simulated Lck-SH domains are also shown. Residues interacting most with the membrane in these simulations are labelled. **(D)** Average number of frames in the individual Lck-SH simulations that the protein stayed unbound (red) or bound (green) to the membrane. **(E)** A snapshot from one of the Lck-SH2,3,4-U simulations highlighting the binding pocket of the SH4 lipid tails (near the SH2-SH3 linker loop region) when they did not insert into the membrane.

A CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) Multiple Sequence Alignment

```
P06241|Fyn   --------------------GCVQCKDKEATKLTEERDGSLN---QSSGYRYGTDPTPQ 36
P12931|Src   --------------------GSNKSKPKDASQ----RRRSLEPAENVHGAGGGAFPASQ 35
P07947|Yes   --------------------GCIKSKENKSPAIKYRPENTPEPVSTS-VSHYGAEPTTV 38
P06239|Lck   ---------GC---------GCSSHPEDDW-------MENIDVCENCHYPIVPLDGK-- 32
P08631|Hck   GGRSSCEDPGCPRDEERAPRMGCMKSKFLQVGG--N-TFSKTETSASPHCPVYVPDPT-- 55
P25911|Lyn   --------------------GCIKSKRKDNLNDDE-VDSKTQPVRNTDRTIYVRDPT-- 36
                                *.   .        . .:

P06241|Fyn   HYPSF-------GV-TSIPNYNNFHAAGGQGLTVFGGVNSSSHTGTLRT----RGGTGVT 84
P12931|Src   TPSKPASADGHRGPSAAFAPA--------AAEPKLFGGFNSSDTVTSPQR--AGPLAGGVT 86
P07947|Yes   SPCP---SSSAKGTAVNFSSLSMTPFGGSSGVTPFGGASSSFSVVPSSY--PAGLTGGVT 93
P06239|Lck   ---------------------------GTLLIRNGSEVRDPLVTYEGSNPPASPLQDN 63
P08631|Hck   ---------------------------STIK--PGPNSHNSNTPGIR----EAGSEDI 80
P25911|Lyn   ---------------------------SNKQ--QRPVPEFHLLPGQRFQTKDPEEQGD 65

P06241|Fyn   LFVALYDYEARTEDDLSFHKGEKFQILNSSEGDWWEARSLTTGETGYIPSNYVAPVDSIQ 144
P12931|Src   TFVALYDYESRTETDLSFKKGERLQIVNNTEGDWWLAHSLSTGQTGYIPSNYVAPSDSIQ 146
P07947|Yes   IFVALYDYEARTTEDLSFKKGERFQIINNTEGDWWEARSIATGKNGYIPSNYVAPADSIQ 153
P06239|Lck   LVIALHSYEPSHDGDLGFEKGEQLRILE-QSGEWWKAQSLTTGQEGFIPFNFVAKANSLE 122
P08631|Hck   IVVALYDYEAIHHEDLSFQKGDQMVVLE-ESGEWWKARSLATRKEGYIPSNYVARVDSLE 139
P25911|Lyn   IVVALYPYDGIHPDDLSFKKGEKMKVLE-EHGEWWKASLSSKREGFIPSNYVAKVNTLE 124
              .:**: *:      **.*.**:::  :::   *:** *:**:  . *:** *:** ::::

P06241|Fyn   AEEWYFGKLGRKDAERQLLSFGNPRGTFLIRESETTKGAYSLSIRDWDDMKGDHVKHYKI 204
P12931|Src   AEEWYFGKITRRESERLLLNAENPRGTFLVRESETTKGAYCLSVSDFDNAKGLNVKHYKI 206
P07947|Yes   AEEWYFGKMGRKDAERLLLNPGNQRGIFLVRESETTKGAYSLSIRDWDEIRGDNVKHYKI 213
P06239|Lck   PEPWFFKNLSRKDAERQLLAPGNTHGSFLIRESESTAGSFSLSVRDFDQNGEVVKHYKI 182
P08631|Hck   TEEWFFKGISRKDAERQLLAPGNMLSFMIRDSETTKGSYSLSVRDYDPRQGDTVKHYKI 199
P25911|Lyn   TEEWFFKDITRKDAERQLLAPGNSAGAFLIRESETLKGSFSLSVRDYDPMHGDVIKHYKI 184
              * *:*  : *:::** ** * * *::*:**:  *::.**: *:*  : * *:****
```
SH2 domain
```
P06241|Fyn   RKLDNGGYYITRAQFETLQQLVQHYSERAAGLCCRLVVPCHKGMPRLTDLSVKTKDVWE 264
P12931|Src   RKLDSGGFYITSRTQFNSLQQLVAYYSKHADGLCHRLTTVCPTSKPQTQGL---AKDAWE 263
P07947|Yes   RKLDNGGYYITTRAQFDTLQKLVKHYTEHADGLCHRLTTVCPTVKPQTQGL---AKDAWE 270
P06239|Lck   RNLDNGGFYISPRITFPGLHELVRHYTNASDGLCTRLSRPCQTQKLPW----WEDEWE 238
P08631|Hck   RTLDNGGFYISPRSTFSTLQELVDHYKKGNDGLCQKLSVPCMSSKPQKPW----EKDAWE 255
P25911|Lyn   RSLDNGGYYISPRITFPCISDMIKHYQKQSDGLCRRLEKACISPKPQKPW----DKDAWE 240
              *.**.**:**:  *  *  .:: :*: *   ***   :*  * :   :*    :* **
```
SH2 domain
```
P06241|Fyn   IPRESLQLIKRLGNGQFGEVWMGTWNGNTKVAIKTLKPGTMSPESFLEEAQIMKKLKHDK 324
P12931|Src   IPRESLRLEVKLGQGCFGEVWMGTWNGTTRVAIKTLKPGTMSPEAFLQEAQVMKKLRHEK 323
P07947|Yes   IPRESLRLEVKLGQGCFGEVWMGTWNGTTKVAIKTLKPGTMMPEAFLQEAQIMKKLRHDK 330
P06239|Lck   VPRETLKLVKRLGAGQFGEVWMGYYNGHTKVAVKSLKQGSMSPDAFLAEANLMKQLQHQR 298
P08631|Hck   IPRESLKLEKKLGAGQFGEVWMATYNKHTKVAVKTMKPGSMSVEAFLAEANVMKTLQHDK 315
P25911|Lyn   IPRESIKLVKKLGAGQFGEVWMGYYNNSTKVAVKTLKPGTMSVQAFLEEANLMKTLQHDK 300
              :***::*   :** * ***** .:*   *:**:*::* *:*   :*  **::** *:*::
```
Kinase domain
```
P06241|Fyn   LVQLYAVVS--EEPIYIVTEYMNKGSLLDFLKDGEGRALKLPNLVDMAAQVAAGMAYIERM 383
P12931|Src   LVQLYAVVS--EEPIYIVTEYMSKGSLLDFLKGETGKYLRLPQLVDMAAQIASGMAYVERM 382
P07947|Yes   LVPLYAVVS--EEPIYIVTEFMSKGSLLDFLKEGDGKYLKLPQLVDMAAQIADGMAYIERM 389
P06239|Lck   LVRLYAVVT--QEPIYIITEYMENGSLVDFLKTPSGIKLTINKLLDMAAQIAEGMAFIEER 357
P08631|Hck   LVKLHAVVT--KEPIYIITEFMAKGSLLDFLKSDEGSKQPLPKLIDFSAQIAEGMAFIEQR 374
P25911|Lyn   LVRLYAVVT--KEPIYIITEFMAKGSLLDFLKSDEGGKVLLPKLIDFSAQIAEGMAYIERK 360
              ** *:***:   :*****:**:*  :****:****  * * :  :*:*::**:* ***::*.

P06241|Fyn   NYIHRDLRSANILVGNGLICKIADFGLARLIEDNEYTARQGAKFPIKWTAPEAALYGRFT 443
P12931|Src   NYVHRDLRAANILVGENLVCKVADFGLARLIEDNEYTARQGAKFPIKWTAPEAALYGRFT 442
P07947|Yes   NYIHRDLRAANILVGENLVCKIADFGLARLIEDNEYTARQGAKFPIKWTAPEAALYGRFT 449
P06239|Lck   NYIHRDLRAANILVSDTLSCKIADFGLARLIEDNEYTAREGAKFPIKWTAPEAINYGTFT 417
P08631|Hck   NYIHRDLRAANILVSASLVCKIADFGLARVIEDNEYTAREGAKFPIKWTAPEAINFGSFT 434
P25911|Lyn   NYIHRDLRAANVLVSESLMCKIADFGLARVIEDNEYTAREGAKFPIKWTAPEAINFGCFT 420
              **:*****:**:**: .  * **:*******:********* :*:***********  :* **

P06241|Fyn   IKSDVWSFGILLTELVTKGRVPYPGMNNREVLEQVERGYRMPCPQDCPISLHELMIHCWK 503
P12931|Src   IKSDVWSFGILLTELTTKGRVPYPGMVNREVLDQVERGYRMPCPPECPESLHDLMCQCWR 502
P07947|Yes   IKSDVWSFGILQTELVTKGRVPYPGMVNREVLEQVERGYRMPCPQGCPESLHELMNLCWR 509
P06239|Lck   IKSDVWSFGILLTEIVTHGRIPYPGMTNPEVIQNLERGYRMVRPDNCPEELYQLMRLCWK 477
P08631|Hck   IKSDVWSFGILLMEIVTYGRIPYPGMSNPEVIRALERGYRMPRPENCPEELYNIMMKCWK 494
P25911|Lyn   IKSDVWSFGILLYEIVTYGKIPYPGRTNADVMSALSQGYRMPRMENCPDELYDIMKMCWK 480
              **********  *:.* *:.**** * *:  :.:**** ** .*:::* **:.

P06241|Fyn   KDPEERPTFEYLQSFLEDYFTATEPQYQPGENL 536
P12931|Src   KEPEERPTFEYLQAFLEDYFTSTEPQYQPGENL 535
P07947|Yes   KDPDERPTFEYIQSFLEDYFTATEPQYQPGENL 542
P06239|Lck   ERPEDRPTFDYLRSVLEDFFTATEGQYQPQP-- 508
P08631|Hck   NRPEERPTFEYIQSVLDDFYTATEGQYQQQP-- 525
P25911|Lyn   EKAEERPTFDYLQSVLDDFYTATEGQYQQQP-- 511
              :  ::****:*:::.*:*::*:** ***
```

- 🟨 primary PIP binding site (SH2)
- 🟦 secondary PIP binding site (SH2)
- 🟪 significant contacts with PIPs (Kinase)
- ⬜ potential contact sites with PIPs (Kinase)

(Lck-PIP interactions were observed via these sites but not as significantly as those highlighted in magenta)

B

Percent Identity Matrix - created by Clustal2.1

Phylogenetic tree

	Fyn	Src	Yes	Lck	Hck	Lyn
P06241|Fyn	100.00	70.88	73.63	57.52	60.48	57.65
P12931|Src	70.88	100.00	75.52	54.76	58.05	54.65
P07947|Yes	73.63	75.52	100.00	56.26	62.03	57.20
P06239|Lck	57.52	54.76	56.26	100.00	66.33	63.49
P08631|Hck	60.48	58.05	62.03	66.33	100.00	72.22
P25911|Lyn	57.65	54.65	57.20	63.49	72.22	100.00

499
500
Fig S2. Multiple sequence alignment and the identity of the full-length Lck with other
members of the Src family of kinases. (A) Multiple sequence alignment, obtained by Clustal
Omega, highlighting the residues in the SH2 and kinase domains that mediated Lck-PIP
interactions. These residues are observed to be conserved. Note that the myristoylated G2 residue is
considered as the first residue, hence all residues are shifted one position behind i.e., 'n-1'. Refer
Fig 3E to visualize the primary and secondary PIP lipid binding sites of Lck-SH2. The figure shown

on the right is a cartoon representation of the kinase domain with significant PIP contacting residues shown as magenta speheres, and potentially contacting residues shown as grey sticks. **(B)** Schematic phylogenetic tree showing the evolution of Src family of kinases and their identity matrix (calculated in %). This suggests that Lck is most similar to Hck compared to other Src family members. The protein sequences were obtained from Uniprot (whose IDs are listed beside the respective protein names).

S1 Movie. CGMD simulation displaying insertion of myristoylated and palmitoylated lipid tails of the SH4 domain into the membrane. Phospholipid tails are shown as transparent grey spheres and their headgroups as transparent coloured spheres (POPC: brown, POPE: purple, PIP$_2$: orange, PIP$_3$: red). The myristoylated and palmitoylated residues are shown as yellow and red ball and sticks respectively. Lck-SH4-U backbone is shown as white bonds, and its PIP lipid binding residues R39, R45 are shown as blue surfaces.

REFERENCES

Atsmon-Raz, Y., & Tieleman, D. P. (2017). Parameterization of Palmitoylated Cysteine, Farnesylated Cysteine, Geranylgeranylated Cysteine, and Myristoylated Glycine for the Martini Force Field. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, *121*(49), 11132–11143. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b10175

Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J., & McCammon, J. A. (2001). Electrostatics of nanosystems: Application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *98*(18), 10037–10041. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.181342398

Briese, L., & Willbold, D. (2003). Structure determination of human Lck unique and SH3 domains by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *BMC Structural Biology*, *3*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6807-3-3

Buchan, D. W. A., & Jones, D. T. (2019). The PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench: 20 Years On. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(W1), W402–W407. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31251384/

Bussi, G., Donadio, D., & Parrinello, M. (2007). Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *126*(1), 014101. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2408420

Courtney, A. H., Lo, W. L., & Weiss, A. (2018, February 1). TCR Signaling: Mechanisms of Initiation and Propagation. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*. Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2017.11.008

Daura, X., Gademann, K., Jaun, B., Seebach, D., Van Gunsteren, W. F., & Mark, A. E. (1999). Peptide folding: When simulation meets experiment. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, *38*(1–2), 236–240. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1521-3773(19990115)38:1/2<236::aid-anie236>3.0.co;2-m

de Jong, D. H., Singh, G., Bennett, W. F. D., Arnarez, C., Wassenaar, T. A., Schäfer, L. V., … Marrink, S. J. (2013). Improved Parameters for the Martini Coarse-Grained Protein Force Field. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *9*(1), 687–697. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300646g

Dolinsky, T. J., Nielsen, J. E., McCammon, J. A., & Baker, N. A. (2004). PDB2PQR: an automated pipeline for the setup of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKH381

Essmann, U., Perera, L., Berkowitz, M. L., Darden, T., Lee, H., & Pedersen, L. G. (1995). A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *103*(19), 8577–8593. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.470117

Eswar, N., Webb, B., Marti-Renom, M. A., Madhusudhan, M. S., Eramian, D., Shen, M.-Y., … Sali, A. (2006). Comparative protein structure modeling using Modeller. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, *Chapter 5*, Unit-5.6. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi0506s15

Hatada, M. H., Lu, X., Laird, E. R., Green, J., Morgenstern, J. P., Lou, M., … Karas, J. L. (1995). Molecular basis for interaction of the protein tyrosine kinase ZAP-70 with the T-cell receptor. *Nature*, *377*(6544), 32–38. https://doi.org/10.1038/377032a0

He, Y., Agnihotri, P., Rangarajan, S., Chen, Y., Kerzic, M. C., Ma, B., … Orban, J. (2020). Peptide–MHC Binding Reveals Conserved Allosteric Sites in MHC Class I- and Class II-Restricted T Cell Receptors (TCRs). *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *432*(24), 166697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.10.031

Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J. C., & Fraaije, J. G. E. M. (1997). LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *18*(12), 1463–1472. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199709)18:12<1463::AID-JCC4>3.0.CO;2-H

Hilzenrat, G., Pandžić, E., Yang, Z., Nieves, D. J., Goyette, J., Rossy, J., … Gaus, K. (2020). Conformational States Control Lck Switching between Free and Confined Diffusion Modes in T Cells. *Biophysical Journal*, *118*(6), 1489–1501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2020.01.041

Huang, J., & MacKerell, A. D. (2013). CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: Validation based on comparison to NMR data. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *34*(25), 2135–2145. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23354

Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., & Schulten, K. (1996). VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics*, *14*(1), 33–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5

Jo, S., Kim, T., Iyer, V. G., & Im, W. (2008). CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *29*(11), 1859–1865. https://doi.org/10.1002/JCC.20945

Katz, Z. B., Novotná, L., Blount, A., & Lillemeier, B. F. (2017). A cycle of Zap70 kinase activation and release from the TCR amplifies and disperses antigenic stimuli. *Nature Immunology*, *18*(1), 86–95. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3631

Kim, D. E., Chivian, D., & Baker, D. (2004). Protein structure prediction and analysis using the Robetta server. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*(Web Server issue). https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKH468

Kim, P. W., Sun, Z. Y. J., Blacklow, S. C., Wagner, G., & Eck, M. J. (2003). A zinc clasp structure tethers Lck to T cell coreceptors CD4 and CD8. *Science*, *301*(5640), 1725–1728. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1085643

Lanz, A.-L., Masi, G., Porciello, N., Cohnen, A., Cipria, D., Prakaash, D., … Acuto, O. (2021). Allosteric activation of T cell antigen receptor signaling by quaternary structure relaxation. *Cell Reports*, *36*(2), 109375. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2021.109375

Le Roux, A. L., Mohammad, I. L., Mateos, B., Arbesú, M., Gairí, M., Khan, F. A., … Pons, M. (2019). A Myristoyl-Binding Site in the SH3 Domain Modulates c-Src Membrane Anchoring. *IScience*, *12*, 194–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISCI.2019.01.010

Li, L., Guo, X., Shi, X., Li, C., Wu, W., Yan, C., … Xu, C. (2017). Ionic CD3−Lck interaction regulates the initiation of T-cell receptor signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *114*(29), E5891–E5899. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701990114

Mariuzza, R. A., Agnihotri, P., & Orban, J. (2019). The structural basis of T-cell receptor (TCR) activation: An enduring enigma. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Inc. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.REV119.009411

Marrink, S. J., Risselada, H. J., Yefimov, S., Tieleman, D. P., & de Vries, A. H. (2007). The MARTINI Force Field: Coarse Grained Model for Biomolecular Simulations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, *111*(27), 7812–7824. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp071097f

MD, R. (1994). Myristylation and palmitylation of Src family members: the fats of the matter. *Cell*, *76*(3), 411–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90104-X

Nika, K., Soldani, C., Salek, M., Paster, W., Gray, A., Etzensperger, R., … Acuto, O. (2010).

Constitutively active lck kinase in T cells drives antigen receptor signal transduction. *Immunity*, *32*(6), 766–777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.05.011

Palacios, E. H., & Weiss, A. (2004). Function of the Src-family kinases, Lck and Fyn, in T-cell development and activation. *Oncogene*, *23*(48), 7990–8000. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208074

Park, M.-J., Sheng, R., Silkov, A., Jung, D.-J., Wang, Z.-G., Xin, Y., … Cho, W. (2016). SH2 Domains Serve as Lipid-Binding Modules for pTyr-Signaling Proteins. *Molecular Cell*, *62*(1), 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.027

Parrinello, M., & Rahman, A. (1981). Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *52*(12), 7182–7190. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.328693

Periole, X., Cavalli, M., Marrink, S.-J., & Ceruso, M. A. (2009). Combining an Elastic Network With a Coarse-Grained Molecular Force Field: Structure, Dynamics, and Intermolecular Recognition. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *5*(9), 2531–2543. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct9002114

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., & Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, *25*(13), 1605–1612. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084

Prakaash, D., Cook, G. P., Acuto, O., & Kalli, A. C. (2021). Multi-scale simulations of the T cell receptor reveal its lipid interactions, dynamics and the arrangement of its cytoplasmic region. *PLOS Computational Biology*, *17*(7), e1009232. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1009232

Rossy, J., Owen, D. M., Williamson, D. J., Yang, Z., & Gaus, K. (2013). Conformational states of the kinase Lck regulate clustering in early T cell signaling. *Nature Immunology*, *14*(1), 82–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2488

Rossy, J., Williamson, D. J., & Gaus, K. (2012). How does the kinase Lck phosphorylate the T cell receptor? Spatial organization as a regulatory mechanism. *Frontiers in Immunology*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00167

Sheng, R., Jung, D. J., Silkov, A., Kim, H., Singaram, I., Wang, Z. G., … Cho, W. (2016). Lipids regulate Lck protein activity through their interactions with the Lck Src homology 2 domain. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *291*(34), 17639–17650. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.720284

Sievers, F., & Higgins, D. G. (2018). Clustal Omega for making accurate alignments of many protein sequences. *Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society*, *27*(1), 135–145. https://doi.org/10.1002/PRO.3290

Udenwobele, D. I., Su, R. C., Good, S. V., Ball, T. B., Shrivastav, S. V., & Shrivastav, A. (2017, June 30). Myristoylation: An important protein modification in the immune response. *Frontiers in Immunology*. Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00751

Wassenaar, T. A., Ingólfsson, H. I., Böckmann, R. A., Tieleman, D. P., & Marrink, S. J. (2015). Computational lipidomics with insane: A versatile tool for generating custom membranes for molecular simulations. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *11*(5), 2144–2155. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00209

Webb, B., & Sali, A. (2014). Protein structure modeling with MODELLER. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, *1137*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0366-5_1

Wingfield, P. (2017). N-Terminal Methionine Processing. *Current Protocols in Protein Science*, *88*, 6.14.1. https://doi.org/10.1002/CPPS.29

Yamaguchi, H., & Hendrickson, W. A. (1996). Structural basis for activation of human lymphocyte kinase Lck upon tyrosine phosphorylation. *Nature*, *384*(6608), 484. https://doi.org/10.1038/384484a0

Yang, J., Yan, R., Roy, A., Xu, D., Poisson, J., & Zhang, Y. (2015). The I-TASSER Suite: protein structure and function prediction. *Nature Methods*, *12*(1), 7–8.

https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3213

Yuki, H., Kikuzato, K., Koda, Y., Mikuni, J., Yuri, T., Kukimoto-Niino, M., … Honma, T. (2017).

Activity cliff for 7-substituted pyrrolo-pyrimidine inhibitors of HCK explained in terms of

predicted basicity of the amine nitrogen. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, *25*(16), 4259–

4264. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BMC.2017.05.053

Yurchak, L. K., & Sefton, B. M. (1995). Palmitoylation of either Cys-3 or Cys-5 is required for the

biological activity of the Lck tyrosine protein kinase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, *15*(12),

6914–6922. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.15.12.6914

Zech, T., Ejsing, C. S., Gaus, K., Wet, B. de, Shevchenko, A., Simons, K., & Harder, T. (2009).

Accumulation of raft lipids in T-cell plasma membrane domains engaged in TCR signalling.

The EMBO Journal, *28*(5), 466. https://doi.org/10.1038/EMBOJ.2009.6