Bub1 availability limits the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint signaling strength of human

kinetochores

Chu Chen[1,2], Lauren Humphrey[1], Soubhagyalaxmi Jema[1], Frank Ferrari[1], Ajit P. Joglekar[1,2]

1 - Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann

Arbor, Michigan 48109

2 - Department of Biophysics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Corresponding author: ajitj@umich.edu

Abstract

The Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC) is activated by unattached or laterally attached

kinetochores in a dividing cell. It delays anaphase onset and thus prevents chromosome

missegregation. To minimize chromosome missegregation, the last signaling kinetochore in the

cell must produce the anaphase-inhibitory signal at a high rate to delay anaphase onset.

Additionally, the large number of signaling kinetochores should be prevented from generating an

unnecessarily large signal. How the cell balances these conflicting requirements remains unclear.

Here, we show that the number of SAC proteins recruited by an unattached kinetochore is

inversely correlated with the number of unattached kinetochores in HeLa cells. This correlation

primarily results from the low amount of the protein Bub1, and this protein recruitment shortfall

reduces the signaling activity of the kinetochore enabling chromosome missegregation.

Conversely, Bub1 overexpression results in higher SAC protein recruitment and longer delays.

Thus, the regulation of the signaling activity of unattached kinetochores by mass action kinetics

promotes accurate chromosome segregation.

Running title: Changing signaling strength of the kinetochore

Introduction

To ensure accurate chromosome segregation during mitosis, a dividing cell must not enter anaphase until all of its chromosomes are stably attached to the mitotic spindle. Such conditional entry into anaphase is enforced by a cell cycle control known as the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC). The SAC is activated by unattached or laterally attached kinetochores, which recruit several signaling proteins and produce the 'Mitotic Checkpoint Complex' (MCC) (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021b; Musacchio, 2015). The MCC inhibits the Anaphase-Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) and thus delays anaphase onset (Izawa and Pines, 2015; Sudakin et al., 2001). This delay ensures accurate chromosome segregation by enabling the unattached kinetochores to attach to spindle microtubules.

The relationship between the amount of the MCC and the decreasing number of signaling kinetochores in a dividing cell is likely to influence the effectiveness of the SAC in minimizing chromosome missegregation. This relationship will be especially important in human cells, wherein the number of unattached kinetochores drops by almost two orders of magnitude: from ~ 92 following nuclear envelop break-down (NEBD) to a few or just one in prometaphase. Anaphase must not commence irrespective of the number of unattached kinetochores in the cell, and to meet this condition, the amount of the MCC in the cell must remain above the threshold amount required to prevent anaphase onset. If there is a direct scaling between the number of unattached kinetochores and the amount of MCC in the cell, the nearly 100-fold drop in the number of kinetochores will result in a similar drop in the MCC amount (Clute and Pines, 1999; Dick and Gerlich, 2013). This drop will be problematic if the signaling output of a single kinetochore is insufficient to delay anaphase onset.

A direct scaling between the number of unattached kinetochores and the amount of MCC in the cell can be averted by a simple mechanism. Unattached kinetochores assemble the MCC by recruiting SAC signaling proteins via a well-defined signaling cascade (Figure 1A) (Faesen et al., 2017; Ji et al., 2017; Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021a; London and Biggins, 2014; London et al., 2012; Piano et al., 2021; Primorac et al., 2013; Shepperd et al., 2012). Furthermore, SAC strength is correlated with the amount of SAC signaling proteins that the kinetochores recruit (Collin et al., 2013; Vleugel et al., 2015). Given these two observations, mass action kinetics of SAC protein binding to the kinetochore can avert direct scaling between the number of signaling kinetochores and the cumulative amount of MCC in the cell. As the number of unattached kinetochores drops, the availability of SAC signaling proteins will proportionately increase, enabling the remaining unattached kinetochores to recruit more signaling proteins and generate MCC at a higher rate. In

other words, the signaling strength of each kinetochore will become inversely correlated to the

number of signaling kinetochores in the cell. Such a correlation can be an important mechanism

in approximating switch-like activation of the SAC with respect to the number of signaling

kinetochores (Chen et al., 2019). However, whether this correlation exists in human cells, and if

so, the factors that establish it remains unknown.

Here, we characterize the dependence of the signaling strength of signaling kinetochores on the

number of signaling kinetochores in HeLa cells. For this, we use fluorescence microscopy to

quantify the amount of three SAC signaling proteins in genome-edited HeLa cell lines that express

fluorescently tagged versions of the three proteins. Our data provide new insights into the

regulation of SAC signaling strength of HeLa kinetochores.

Results

Dependence of the copy number of SAC proteins recruited per signaling kinetochore on

the number of signaling kinetochores in the cell

The SAC signaling cascade is activated when the kinetochore protein Knl1 is phosphorylated by

the Mps1 kinase at the 'MELT' motifs, so called because of their consensus amino acid sequence

(Primorac et al., 2013; Tromer et al., 2015; Vleugel et al., 2013). Each MELT motif serves as the

scaffold for recruiting downstream signaling proteins (Chen et al., 2019; Primorac et al., 2013).

Prior studies in human cells have shown that the strength of the SAC is weakly correlated with

the number of MELT motifs in Knl1 and the amount of SAC proteins that they recruit (Vleugel et

al., 2015; Vleugel et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). The cumulative MCC generated is also

correlated with the number of signaling kinetochores (Collin et al., 2013; Dick and Gerlich, 2013).

To better characterize these correlations, we decided to quantify the copy number of SAC proteins

recruited by individual signaling kinetochores to assess their steady state signaling activity. We

selected one protein each from the three-layered recruitment cascade: Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1.

Bub1 recruits BubR1, the Mad1-Mad2 complex, and Cdc20 (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021a; Piano

et al., 2021), BubR1 an essential MCC component, and Mad1 helps catalyze the conformational

change in Mad2 during MCC formation (Mapelli et al., 2007).

To ensure accurate quantification and comparative analysis, we used genome-edited HeLa cells

that express either mNeonGreen-Bub1, mNeonGreen-BubR1, or Mad1-mNeonGreen

["mNeonGreen" is abbreviated as "mNG" henceforth; (Shaner et al., 2013)]. Because the

fluorescent tags are separated from the tagged proteins by flexible linkers, and we have

previously found that N-terminally tagged BubR1 and C-terminally tagged Mad1 are functional in

budding yeast (Aravamudhan et al., 2016; Roy et al., 2022). Because of the similarity of the

domain organizations of Bub1 and BubR1, we expect that Bub1 function will also be unaffected

by the N-terminal tag. In our genome-edited HeLa cells, about half of the total protein is

fluorescently labeled; the remaining protein is unlabeled (Banerjee et al., 2021). Therefore, we

assume that signaling kinetochores recruit approximately equal amounts of the labeled and

unlabeled proteins. In the measurements presented, we double the number of mNG-labeled

proteins to estimate the total number of molecules recruited by the signaling kinetochores.

Our first goal was to characterize the dependence of Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 recruitment per

kinetochore on the number of signaling kinetochores in the cell. To attain this goal, we observed

mitotically arrested cells containing two distinctly different numbers of signaling kinetochores. To

obtain cells with nearly all kinetochores unattached, we released G1/S arrested HeLa cells into

the cell cycle and then arrested them in mitosis using 330 nM nocodazole, a drug that

depolymerizes microtubules (Figure 1B, right). These cells serve as a model for cells right after

NEBD. To obtain mitotic cells with a much smaller number of signaling kinetochores, we released

G1/S arrested HeLa cells into the cell cycle and treated them with 236 nM GSK923295, a small

molecule inhibitor of the mitotic kinesin CENP-E, 1.5 hours prior to imaging (Qian et al., 2010). In

these cells, which model prometaphase, most chromosomes align at the metaphase plate, and

their kinetochores recruit very low amounts of SAC proteins (Figure 1B, left). A smaller, variable

number of chromosomes become stranded at the spindle poles with either unattached or laterally

attached kinetochores that activate the SAC (Figure 1B, left) (Krefman et al., 2015; Kuhn and

Dumont, 2019). We analyzed only those cells containing ~ 10 or less polar chromosomes based

on a visual inspection of the image stacks.

To estimate the number of molecules from the measured mNG signal per kinetochore, we also

imaged HeLa cells exogenously expressing Spc25-mNG under identical imaging conditions and

quantified the Spc25-mNG signal per kinetochore (Figure 1C, right). Spc25 is a subunit of the

Ndc80 complex, and it is known that: (a) the human kinetochore contains ~ 250 molecules of the

Ndc80 complex and (b) the stoichiometry between Ndc80 and Knl1 is 3:2 (Suzuki et al., 2015).

This information allowed us to estimate the number of each SAC protein per Knl1 and relate it to

the number of MELT motifs per Knl1.

We first examined the SAC protein recruitment in cells treated with nocodazole (gray circles in

Figure 1C, left). Using the calibration standard above, we estimate that in cells containing many

signaling kinetochores each Knl1 molecule recruits only 2.3 ± 1.1 Bub1 molecules (mean ± std.

dev., Figure 1D). This number is lower than the previously reported value between four and six, possibly because the expression level of exogenous, labeled Bub1 may have been higher than physiological levels (Vleugel et al., 2015). BubR1 and Mad1 recruitment per kinetochore in nocodazole-treated cells was lower than the Bub1 recruitment (1.5 ± 0.9 and 1 ± 0.9 molecule per Knl1 of BubR1 and Mad1 respectively). Given that Knl1 contains 19 putative MELT motifs with different Bub1- Bub3 binding affinities, these results show that each kinetochore uses only a fraction of its maximal signaling capacity as shown previously (Vleugel et al., 2015; Vleugel et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). This is also the case in budding yeast (Aravamudhan et al., 2016).

We next quantified the recruitment of the three SAC proteins in GSK923295-treated cells containing far fewer signaling kinetochores (orange circles in Figure 1C, left). In these cells, protein recruitment per kinetochore was significantly higher: the number of Bub1 molecules per kinetochore increased to 3.3 ± 1.5; the number of BubR1 and Mad1 molecules per kinetochore was equal to Bub1 (3.5 ± 1.3 and 2.7 ± 1.2 per kinetochore, respectively). Taken together with the data from nocodazole-treated cells, these measurements suggest that the number of SAC proteins recruited per kinetochore is inversely correlated with the number of signaling kinetochores in the cell. Furthermore, all three proteins are recruited in approximately equal amounts. This trend is also consistent with observations of Bub1 and Mad1 recruitment in budding yeast kinetochores (Aravamudhan et al., 2016).

Mad1-Mad2 is recruited to metazoan kinetochores by Bub1 and the RZZ complex (Pereira et al., 2018; Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2018; Silio et al., 2015). To quantify the contribution of the RZZ complex, we depleted *ZW10* using RNA interference (RNAi) and then quantified SAC protein recruitment as before. *ZW10* siRNA treatment resulted in a ~ 25% decrease in Bub1 and BubR1 recruitment, as noted by others (Kops et al., 2005). As expected, Mad1 recruitment was significantly lower in both GSK923295 and nocodazole-treated cells (Figure S1). The number of Mad1 molecules recruited per Bub1 molecule was reduced by ~ 50% revealing the contribution of the RZZ under these conditions. Although the number of Bub1 and BubR1 molecules per kinetochore was also reduced, the two proteins were recruited in approximately equal amounts.

Mps1-mediated phosphorylation within signaling kinetochores is the same in nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells

The observed difference in the recruitment of SAC proteins per kinetochore in the nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells may be due to a change in the phosphoregulation of the

kinetochore. To test this hypothesis, we quantified the net Mps1-mediated phosphorylation within the kinetochore using a recently developed FRET-based sensor of Mps1 kinase activity that is fused to the N-terminus of the kinetochore subunit Spc24 (Kuijt et al., 2020). We modified this sensor to use mNeonGreen/mScarlet-I as the acceptor/donor combination (Figure 2A-B, Figure S2). Since the acceptor and the donor has a fixed 1:1 stoichiometry in the sensor, we used the ratio of the green channel readout and the raw FRET channel readout (without correcting for the cross-excitation of the acceptor fluorescence and the bleed-through of donor fluorescence) as the normalized measurement of the FRET efficiency and hence the net Mps1-mediated phosphorylation.

We confirmed that MPS1sen-KT recruited to unaligned kinetochores in both nocodazole- and GSK923295- treated cells had lower FRET efficiencies (higher activities of Mps1) compared to kinetochores aligned at metaphase plates in GSK923295-treated cells (Figure S2). Importantly, we did not detect any difference in the net Mps1-mediated phosphorylation within unaligned kinetochores in nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells irrespective of the drug concentration used (Figure 2C). Therefore, the difference in the recruitment of SAC proteins per kinetochore in nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells is likely to be due to a competition for the limited pool of SAC protein. This observation contrasts with the previous report that the average localization levels of endogenously tagged HA-mCherry-Bub1 to signaling kinetochores in a CRISPR-Cas9-edited HeLa cell line treated with either 250 nM of GSK923295 or 6.6 µM of nocodazole were not significantly different (Kuijt et al., 2020). One possible explanation is that the much higher concentration of nocodazole in the aforementioned study contributes to a more complete breakdown of spindles and a higher degree of phosphorylation of MELT motifs, whereas in the GSK923295-treated cells, the lack of filtering of based on the number of unaligned chromosomes would lead to similar average numbers of signaling kinetochores in the Nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells. We also cannot rule out the possibility that the differences reflect different Bub1 expression levels or kinase/phosphatase activities in the HeLa cell lines used.

The number of MELT motifs per Knl1 influences the recruitment of Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 to signaling kinetochores

Given that differences in the phosphoregulation of signaling kinetochores cannot explain the observed differences in SAC protein recruitment, we studied the influence of the number of MELT motifs in Knl1 on the recruitment of SAC proteins. For this study, we knocked down endogenous Knl1 using RNAi and replaced it with recombinant, mScarlet-I-tagged versions of Knl1 containing

three or six MELT motifs: Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 or Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 (Figure 3A, top) (Vleugel et al., 2015). As

before, we quantified Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 signals at individual kinetochores in cells treated

with either GSK923295 or nocodazole (Figure 3B). For comparing the amounts of proteins

recruited under these conditions, we used the average mNG-Bub1 fluorescence signal per

kinetochore in nocodazole-treated cells expressing Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 as the basis, because it was

expected to be the lowest signal (Figure 1).

Quantification of protein recruitment revealed interesting trends. In nocodazole-treated cells,

Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 recruited ~ 1.3-fold more mNG-Bub1 per kinetochore compared to Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 (Figure

3B, left, also see Figure S3A). In GSK923295-treted cells, Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 recruited

~ 1.4-fold and 2-fold more mNG-Bub1 per kinetochore than Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 in the nocodazole-treated

cells. These observations indicate that: (1) each Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 likely recruits < 3 Bub1 molecules in

nocodazole-treated cells, which is consistent with our estimation of the number of Bub1 molecules

per Knl1, (2) Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 recruits more Bub1 than Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 under both conditions (Vleugel et

al., 2015), and (3) mNG-Bub1 recruitment per kinetochore is significantly higher for both versions

of Knl1 in the GSK923295-treated cells. The recruitment of Mad1-mNG per kinetochore exhibited

a similar trend as mNG-Bub1 (Figure 3B, right). The difference in mNG-BubR1 recruitment per

kinetochore by the two Knl1 versions was striking: Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 recruited twice as much mNG-

BubR1 per kinetochore as Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 in the nocodazole- and GSK923295-treated cells (Figure 3B,

middle panel).

These observations provide the following insights into the recruitment of SAC proteins to the

kinetochore. First, the number of MELT motifs per Knl1 strongly influences the number of SAC

proteins recruited per kinetochore to a limit. Second, this influence is clearly visible in cells

containing small numbers of unattached kinetochores. The likely factor responsible for the lower-

than-expected recruitment of SAC proteins is their depletion from the cytoplasm. Given that Bub1-

Bub3 is the first protein complex recruited by the MELT motifs (Figure 1A), the expression level

of Bub1 emerges as a critical, limiting factor. Mad1 expression level in the HeLa cells is similar to

that of Bub1 suggesting that it may also limit its own recruitment (Banerjee et al., 2021).

Interestingly, Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 recruits roughly twice as much BubR1 as Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 under both

conditions. The reasons behind this remain unclear; it is possible that BubR1 binds

phosphorylated MELT motifs directly (Zhang et al., 2016).

To test whether the cytoplasmic pool of mNG-Bub1 is depleted in nocodazole-treated cells, we

used Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS). Using FCS, we quantified the cytoplasmic

abundance of the two proteins in cells arrested in metaphase due to MG132 treatment and cells

treated with nocodazole (Methods). These measurements showed that cytosolic concentration of
Bub1 is significantly lower in nocodazole-treated compared to MG132-treated cells (Figure S3B).
In contrast, the concentration of cytoplasmic mNG-BubR1 was not significantly different under the
two conditions. Due to the potential complications associated with the heterodimerization of
Mad1-mNG with untagged, endogenous Mad1 prevented, we did not analyze FCS data for Mad1-
mNG (now shown).

Higher recruitment of SAC proteins to the kinetochore correlates with longer delays in anaphase onset

Whether the higher recruitment of SAC proteins by Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 translates into a stronger SAC
and longer mitotic arrest durations is a key question. Previous studies concluded that the number
of MELT motifs per Knl1 does not influence the duration of SAC-mediated mitotic arrest (Vleugel
et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014). The likely explanation for this finding is that these studies
characterized SAC signaling only in cells treated with high doses of nocodazole. Our
quantification shows that in the nocodazole-treated cells, kinetochores containing Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3
recruit on average only 20% higher amounts of Bub1 and Mad1 compared to kinetochores
containing Knl1$^\Delta$-M3. Therefore, the average duration of the nocodazole-induced mitotic arrest
may have been similar following nocodazole treatment. A further complicating factor is that one
of the studies partially inhibited Mps1 as an experimental convenience, which may have masked
differences in SAC signaling due to the two Knl1 versions (Vleugel et al., 2015).

Based on these considerations, we measured the strength of SAC signaling mediated by Knl1$^\Delta$-
M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 specifically in GSK923295-treated cells. We used time-lapse imaging to
monitor mitotic progression in cells treated with different concentrations of GSK923295 to achieve
different degrees of CENP-E inhibition resulting in different durations of mitotic arrests. For all the
concentrations tested, cells expressing Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 arrested for significantly longer durations
compared to cells expressing Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 (Figure 4A-B). The same trend was observed when these
cells were treated with Taxol (Figure 4C). Thus, the number of MELT motifs in Knl1 can determine
the duration of checkpoint-induced mitotic delays in cells wherein a few signaling kinetochores
sustain the SAC. When combined with the results described in the previous section, this finding
implies that the higher recruitment of Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 per kinetochore is correlated with
longer delays in anaphase onset.

Bub1 over-expression results in higher recruitment of SAC proteins to the kinetochore

A significant fraction of MELT motifs in Knl1 are unoccupied in the GSK923295- and nocodazole-treated cells (Vleugel et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014). Our data also suggest that Bub1 depletion contributes to the lower-than-expected recruitment of SAC proteins. Therefore, we reasoned that the unoccupied MELT motifs will be pressed into action if Bub1 is overexpressed.

We over-expressed mNG-Bub1 using a doxycycline-inducible promoter and quantified the recruitment of Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 per kinetochore in cells treated with GSK923295 using immunofluorescence. As expected, mNG-Bub1 localization at signaling kinetochores was detectable when following doxycycline treatment (micrographs in Figure 5A). To measure the total amount of Bub1 per kinetochore, we fixed and stained these cells with anti-Bub1 antibodies (Methods). Fluorescence quantification confirmed that signaling kinetochores in the doxycycline-treated cells recruited ~35% more Bub1 than signaling kinetochores in untreated cells (Figure 5A, plot on the left). Importantly, signaling kinetochores in the doxycycline-treated cells also recruited 26% more BubR1 (Figure 5A, middle plot). The amount of Mad1 per kinetochore did not change (Figure 5A, right plot). Thus, signaling kinetochores in HeLa cells can recruit more Bub1 and BubR1 if there is sufficient Bub1 is available. However, Mad1 recruitment does not increase likely because of the low abundance of Mad1.

Bub1 overexpression results in longer delays in SAC-mediated anaphase onset, but only cells containing small numbers of signaling kinetochores

To test whether Bub1 overexpression also results in longer delays in anaphase onset, we released either untreated or doxycycline-treated cells from a G1/S block into media containing 18-35 nM GSK923295 and quantified the average time spent in mitosis in each case (Figure 5B, Methods). We found that the doxycycline-treated cells arrested for ~ 145 min on average compared to the untreated cells, which arrested for ~ 94 min (Figure 5B, left, also see Supplementary Videos S1 and S2). To understand the correlation between the expression level of exogenous Bub1 and the mitotic duration, we plotted the mitotic duration against the cellular mNG signal in both cases (the fluorescence values were normalized to the average mNG fluorescence per cell from samples not treated with doxycycline, 5B right). As expected, there was no correlation between the cellular mNG signal and mitotic duration in the untreated cells (gray circles, Pearson's correlation coefficient displayed at the top right of the figure). In contrast,

284 the mitotic duration of cells treated with doxycycline was positively correlated with the mNG-Bub1

285 signal (orange circles in Figure 5B).

286 We also examined whether Bub1 overexpression produces longer delays in anaphase onset in

287 nocodazole-treated cells (Figure 5C). Interestingly, the correlation between the cellular mNG

288 signal and the duration of mitosis was not significant in nocodazole-treated cells (Figure 5C). The

289 likely explanation for this observation is that even with increased Bub1 recruitment, the large

290 number of signaling kinetochores cannot recruit higher amounts of BubR1 because of its depletion

291 (implied by reduced BubR1 recruitment in the nocodazole-treated cells, Figure 1). Because

292 BubR1 recruitment to the kinetochore promotes SAC signaling activity (Banerjee et al., 2021), the

293 Bub1 overexpression cannot promote SAC signaling.

294 These results confirm that the cellular Bub1 abundance is an important determinant of its own

295 recruitment and that of BubR1 to signaling kinetochores. These findings are consistent with

296 results from budding yeast, wherein the low cellular abundance of Bub1 limits its recruitment, and

297 that of Mad1, to signaling kinetochores (Aravamudhan et al., 2016; Roy et al., 2020). Together

298 with our earlier results, this experiment shows that low Bub1 abundance limits the SAC signaling

299 activity of kinetochores in HeLa cells.

301 **Partial depletion of Bub1 and BubR1, but not Mad1, strongly reduces the duration of**

302 **mitosis in cells containing small numbers of unattached kinetochores**

303 Mad1 and Bub1 abundance in HeLa cells is similar (Banerjee et al., 2021), which suggests that

304 Mad1 availability will also put a limit on the maximal MCC production rate. To test this notion, we

305 adopted a different approach. Rather than over-expressing Mad1, we partially knocked it down

306 using an siRNA against mNeonGreen in the genome-edited cell line heterozygous for Mad1-

307 mNG, and then observed its effect on the duration of SAC-induced mitotic delays. We also

308 lowered Bub1 and BubR1 levels using the same strategy. This strategy was adopted for two

309 reasons. First, to increase Mad1 recruitment at kinetochores, Bub1 may also have to be over-

310 expressed alongside Mad1 to provide additional Mad1 binding sites. Second, Mad1 over-

311 expression can weaken the SAC by depleting the Mad2 pool available for MCC formation, which

312 would complicate data interpretation (Barnhart et al., 2011; Chen et al., 1999; Ryan et al., 2012).

313 To confirm that the mNG-labeled protein can be specifically depleted, we treated the three cell

314 lines with mNG siRNA for 48 hours and used immunoblotting to assess the levels of Bub1, BubR1,

315 and Mad1. As expected, the mNG-tagged protein was significantly depleted, whereas the

unlabeled protein was unaffected (Figure 6A). Thus, the mNG siRNA treatment specifically

depletes the mNG-labeled protein and, after ~ 48 hours, reduces overall protein level in the

heterozygous cells by at most 50%. To study the effect of a mild depletion of SAC protein on the

SAC signaling strength of the kinetochore, we treated the three cell lines with mNG siRNA for ~

24 hours and observed the effects of protein depletion on mitotic duration in GSK923295- and

nocodazole-treated cells. Quantification of mNG fluorescence signal from mitotic cells revealed

that the mNG siRNA treated resulted in an average reduction of ~ 50% in the level of the mNG-

labeled protein in all three cell lines (Figure 6B). Because only about half of the total protein is

labeled with mNG, this measured decrease corresponds to an average depletion of ~ 25% in each

case. The siRNA treatment also resulted in a significant decrease in mitotic duration in

GSK923295-treated cells (Figure 6C, left). Partial depletion of either Bub1 or BubR1 had a strong

effect: the average duration of mitosis decreased from ~ 1000 minutes to 300-500 minutes (Figure

6C). We also confirmed that the partial depletion of BubR1 in GSK923295-treated cells resulted

in chromosome missegregation (Supplementary Videos S3 and S4). Mad1 depletion has a

relatively minor effect on mitotic duration: the average time in mitosis decreased from ~ 1000

minutes to 868 minutes (Figure 6C). Interestingly, in nocodazole-treated cells, the partial

depletion of all three proteins did not significantly change the duration of mitosis (Figure 6C, right).

These data show that even a small (~ 25% on average) reduction in Bub1 or BubR1 level results

in a significant decrease in the duration of mitosis in GSK923295-treated cells, but not in

nocodazole-treated cells. However, a similar depletion of Mad1 levels results in a relatively lower

decrease in the duration of mitosis. Consistent with previous report, the partial depletion of Bub1

had a minor effect on the duration of mitosis in nocodazole-treated cells (Morrow et al., 2005).

Discussion

Our results show that the number of signaling kinetochores in a mitotic HeLa cell strongly affects

the recruitment of Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 to signaling kinetochores: the recruitment of each

protein per kinetochore is significantly higher in cells containing a few signaling kinetochores (i.e.,

the GSK923295-treated cells) compared to cells that contain many signaling kinetochores (i.e.,

the nocodazole-treated cells). The main factor responsible for this difference is the depletion of

cytoplasmic Bub1 available for recruitment in cells containing many signaling kinetochores. The

lower recruitment of SAC proteins per kinetochore lowers the signaling strength of the kinetochore

as evidenced by the shorter duration of mitosis in the GSK923295-treated cells expressing Knl1$^{\Delta}$-

M3 as opposed to Knl1$^{\Delta}$-M3-M3. Conversely, Bub1 over-expression increases the recruitment of

Bub1 and BubR1, but not Mad1, and the increased recruitment results in a significant increase in

the mitotic duration only in GSK923295-treated cells. Importantly, this increase is not seen in

nocodazole-treated cells. This observation parallels the sensitivity of the SAC to partial depletion

of Bub1 and BubR1, but not Mad1, only in GSK923295-treated cells. The differential sensitivity of

the SAC arises likely because a small number of signaling kinetochores must sustain the SAC in

the GSK923295-treated cells (Roy et al., 2020). Each kinetochore must recruit SAC proteins

robustly and signal at the maximal rate to maintain the cumulative MCC amount high. In

nocodazole-treated cells, however, the significantly larger number of signaling kinetochores can

maintain a higher cumulative level of MCC despite individual kinetochores signaling at sub-

maximal rates.

The limitation on protein recruitment at signaling kinetochores, partially due to low Bub1 protein

levels, is a major factor behind the inverse correlation between the number of signaling

kinetochores in a cell and the signaling strength of each kinetochore. Importantly, this correlation

is not due to changes in the phosphoregulation of the kinetochore. The inverse scaling is likely to

be biologically significant. Because of it, the many, concurrently signaling kinetochores following

NEBD will not be able to generate MCC at a higher rate, but when the number of signaling

kinetochores is low, the remaining kinetochores will signal more strongly to compensate for the

lower number of signaling kinetochores. An interesting possibility is that synergistic signaling by

the Knl1 phosphodomain under this condition will further strengthen the signaling activity of these

remaining kinetochores (Chen et al., 2019).

Our results also raise important questions requiring further investigation. First, it remains unclear

why kinetochores in HeLa cells and other eukaryotes use only a small fraction of their signaling

strength. One possibility is that this modulation of the signaling strength of the kinetochore

represents a compromise that balances SAC signaling strength with its responsiveness to

silencing mechanisms (Roy et al., 2020). Higher Bub1 expression may also have drawbacks in

other aspects of mitosis and cell biology, in which case its low expression level would represent

a compromise between different cellular objectives. Finally, these results reveal how relatively

small perturbations or imbalance in the expression level of Bub1 and other SAC proteins can

contribute to the high rates of chromosome missegregation commonly observed in cancer cells.

378
379

382 **Acknowledgements**

383 This work was supported by R35-GM126983 from NIGMS to APJ. We thank Dr. Geert Kops for

384 kindly sharing the design details for the Mps1sen-Kt construct, Dr. Iain Cheeseman and Dr. Mara

385 Duncan for feedback on the manuscript and useful discussion.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Henrietta Lacks (HeLa) A12 cells (a kind gift from the Makeyev lab) were grown in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Pen-Strep, 25 mM HEPES buffer, and 1x Gluta-max at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Antibiotics used: 1-2 μg/ml Puromycin or 1 μg/ml Blasticidin as needed. To express recombinant proteins, we modified a bicistronic vector designed by the Makeyev lab as necessary (Khandelia et al., 2011). The modified vectors were transfected into HeLa A12 cells that have the *Loxp*-Blasticidin-*Lox2272* cassette engineered into their genome. Transformed cells were pooled and used for further experimentation. The construction of genome-edited HeLa A12 cell lines has been described in detail previously (Chen et al., 2019). The methodology used to construct the genome-edited HeLa A12 cell lines has been described in detail in (Banerjee et al., 2021). A revised version of this related manuscript is included as a supplementary data file.

Imaging experiments were performed in Fluorobrite media supplemented with FBS, Pen-Strep, HEPES as noted above. G1/S synchronization was achieved using a 2-thymidine block (2.5 mM Thymidine). On the day of imaging, cells were released from the second block and treated with either 330 nM nocodazole or 236 nM GSK923295 ~ 1.5 hour prior to imaging.

Immunoblotting

To acquire unsynchronized HeLa-A12 cells, cells were either scrapped or trypsinized off the surface of dishes. To acquired mitotic HeLa-A12 cells, cells were first synchronized in G1/S with 2.5mM thymidine and then arrested in mitosis with 330nM of nocodazole for 16h before mitotic shake-off. Shook- off mitotic cells were then washed once by phosphate-buffered saline (Gibco), pelleted down, and chilled on ice. Lysis was performed by directly adding 2x Laemmli sample buffer (supplemented by 2-mercaptoethanol, Bio-Rad Laboratories) at a ratio of 1μL per 0.1mg of cell pellets and pipetting up and down. Lysates were boiled immediately afterward for 10min and then chilled on ice. 8μL of supernatant was loaded onto each lane of a 15-well, 0.75-mm SDS-PAGE mini gel.

Primary antibodies used included antiBUBR1 (Bethyl Laboratories A300-995A, 1 : 1000), anti-MAD1 (GeneTex GTX109519, 1 : 2000), anti-CDC20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-13162, 1 : 200), anti-BUB3 (Sigma-Aldrich B7811, 1 : 500), anti-mNeonGreen (ChromoTek 32F6, 1 : 500), anti-GAPDH (Proteintech 60004-1-Ig, 1 : 5000), anti-BUB1 (three antibodies purified from different hosts were used: (1) Bethyl Laboratories A300-373A, 1 : 330, rabbit polyclonal antibody; (2) SB1.3

[55], 1 : 1000, sheep polyclonal antibody; (3) Abcam ab54893, 1 : 200, mouse monoclonal antibody), and anti-DsRed2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc101526, 1 : 5000).

RNA interference

Cells were transfected with siRNA in the morning. 2.5 mM of thymidine was added 8 h later and cells were incubated overnight. The next morning, cells were released from the thymidine block into fresh media. This sequence was then repeated once again and cells were released into FluoroBrite™ DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 9% (by volume) of fetal bovine serum and 1x GlutaMAX and incubated for 6 h before the addition of mitotic drugs. Drugs were left to take effect for 1 h before imaging.

Sense-strand sequences and working concentrations of small interfering RNA duplexes (siRNAs) used in this study include the *BUBR1* siRNA (5'-GAUGGUGAAUUGUGGAAUA-3', 40 nM [96]), *BUB1* siRNA (5'-CGAAGAGUGAUCACGAUUU-3', 40 nM [97]), and the *ZW10* siRNA (5'-UGAUCAAUGUGCUGUUCAA-3', 100 nM [62]). The siRNAs against all five B56 isoforms were taken from the second pool in [98]. Desalted siRNA duplexes modified by double-deoxythymidine overhangs at 3'-ends of both strands were synthesized by Sigma. The AllStar Negative Control siRNA (QIAGEN) was used as the control. All siRNAs were transfected into the cells via Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions.

Live-cell imaging

Cells were plated in a Nunc Lab-Tek II chambered cover glass (Thermo Scientific) or a 35mm cover glass-bottomed dish (MatTek) and treated with drugs and/or siRNAs as described above. For imaging, the chambered cover glass or the cover glass-bottomed dish was loaded into a CU-501 temperature and gas control system (Live Cell Instrument). The sample holder was maintained at 37°C and ventilated by humidified 5% of CO_2 and the objective was maintained at 37°C by a heating band.

With the exception of MPS1sen-KT FRET measurements, imaging was performed on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E/B inverted microscope, with a CFI Plan Apochromat Lambda 100x, 1.45 NA oil objective (Nikon). The microscope was equipped with an H117E1 motorized stage (Prior Scientific) and a NanoScanZ 100 piezo stage (Prior Scientific). A SPECTRA 5-LCR-XA Light Engine (Lumencor) served as the excitation light source. The 475 nm-centered band of excitation light was used for the green channel and the 575/30nm-filtered band of excitation light was used

for the red channel. An ET-EGFP/mCherry filter cube (Chroma Technology) was used as the
dichroic mirror, where the built-in emission filter on the cube has been removed. Emission light in
the red channel was filtered by an ET632/60m (Chroma Technology). Emission light in the green
channel was filtered by an ET525/50m (Chroma Technology). Emission filters were mounted on
a high-speed filter wheel (Prior Scientific) positioned in the light path. Images were acquired with
a iXon3 EMCCD camera (Andor Technology) operating in the conventional CCD mode. Signaling
kinetochores in GSK923295-treated cells were identified by their polar positioning and the
enrichment of localized SAC proteins on them.

The FRET measurement with MPS1sen-KT was also performed on a Nikon Eclipse TiE/B inverted
microscope, with a CFI Plan Apochromat VC 100x, 1.40 NA oil objective (Nikon). The microscope
was equipped with an H117E1 motorized stage (Prior Scientific), a NanoScanZ 100 piezo stage
(Prior Scientific), and an X-Light V2 L-FOV confocal unit with 60μm pinholes (CrestOptics). A
CELESTA Light Engine (Lumencor) served as the excitation laser source. The 477-nm line (at
25% power with an exposure time of 400ms for each frame) was used for both the green and the
FRET channels and the 546-nm line (at 50% power with an exposure time of 400ms for each
frame) was used for the red channel. A ZT488/543rpc (Chroma Technology) was used as the
dichroic mirror. Emission through both the red and the FRET channels was filtered by an
ET605/52m (Chroma Technology) while emission through the green channel was filtered by an
ET525/36m (Chroma Technology). Images were acquired by a Prime 95B 25mm sCMOS camera
(Teledyne Photometrics). Custom MATLAB programs [99] were used to quantify kinetochore-
localized fluorescence signals.

Time-lapse live-cell imaging related to the knockdown-rescue experiments was performed on an
ImageXpress Nano Automated Imaging System (Molecular Devices). A SOLA Light Engine
(Lumencor) served as the excitation light source. Cells were plated on 24-well cell imaging plates
(black plate with treated glass bottom, Eppendorf) and treated with drugs and/or siRNAs
accordingly. Input humidified 5% CO_2 flow was maintained at around 19psi and the environment
chamber was maintained at 37°C. All SAC proteins tagged by mNeonGreen in this chapter
(BUBR1, BUB1, and MAD1) feature inhomogeneous distributions between the cytosol and the
nucleus/nuclear envelope in the prophase (data not shown). In principle, they can indicate the
accurate timing of the nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD) in each cell during the time-lapse
imaging. However, due to the resolution limit and for consistency, we determined mitotic duration
mainly based on cell morphology (from rounding-up at the NEBD to elongation at the anaphase

onset) from transmitted-light images. This was facilitated by the semi-automatic image analysis

pipeline described in Section 2.3.

Immunofluorescence

Hela cells that exogenously expressing mNG-Bub1 were plated on a 12mm coverslip and

synchronized by Thymidine (2.5 mM for 8 hours) followed by RO3306 treatment (15 nM for 20

hr). G2/M arrested cells were washed three times with PBS and released into media containing

GSK923235 (23.6 nM) for 4 hours) to get arrested in Mitosis. For immunolabeling, the cells were

pre-extracted with 0.5% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PHEM (240 mM Pipes, 100 mM HEPES, 8 mM

MgCl2 and 40 mM EGTA) and then fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min. The coverslips were washed

three times with 0.1 M PHEM buffer and blocked for 30 min at room-temperature with 5% Donkey

serum. Next, the coverslips were incubated in anti-Mad1 (1:1000, Sigma M80691), anti-Bub1

(1:500, Thermo A300-373A), or anti-BubR1 (1:1000, Thermo Scientific A300-373A) over night at

4°C. The next day, the coverslips were washed 4 times with 1xPHEM containing 0.05% Tween-

20 and incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies (1:10000 Goat anti-Mouse633,

Invitrogen A21052, 1:10000 Goat anti-Rabbit633, Invitrogen A21071) for 45 min at room

temperature in the dark. Following secondary antibody labeling, the coverslips were washed four

times and mounted in antifade solution (ProLong; Molecular Probes).

Immunofluorescence images were taken on a Nikon inverted microscope equipped with a Crest

X-Light V2 LFOV25 Spinning Disk Confocal head, and Photometric 95B Prime cMOS camera.

For each field of view, 31 Z sections were acquired at 0.2 mm steps using a 100x, 1.4 Numerical

Aperture (NA) Nikon objective.

506
Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS)

The total number of fluorophores in a homogeneous solution is $N_{total} := N_A c V_{total}$, where N_A is the

Avogadro constant, c is the molar concentration of the fluorophore, and V_{total} is the total volume

of the solution. The probability that a specific fluorophore molecule is within the excitation volume

$V_0 (\ll V_{total})$ at any given time is $p_0 := V_0/V_{total} \ll 1$. For freely diffusive fluorophores in a diluted

solution, whether or not a specific fluorophore is within the excitation volume is independent of

each other. Thus, the number of fluorophores inside the excitation volume at any given time N_0

has a binomial distribution $B(N_{total}, p_0)$. Therefore,

$$G_0 := \frac{\sigma_{N_0}^2}{\langle N_0 \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{total}}}\frac{p_0}{p_0} \approx \frac{1}{N_A c V_0}$$

Under a fixed live-cell imaging setup [which includes the microscope (its alignment and the

objective), the wavelength of the excitation light, the thickness of the coverslip (affecting the actual

working distance), and the refractive index of the cytosol], V_0 is fixed. Therefore, G_0 is inversely

proportional to the molar concentration of the fluorophore. The average number (or the variance

of the number) of fluorophores inside the excitation volume observed over a long period should

be close to the theoretical mean $\langle N_0 \rangle$ (or the theoretical variance σ_{N0}^2).

All FCS data were collected on an Alba v5 Laser Scanning Microscope (ISS), connected to an

Olympus IX81 inverted microscope main body [equipped with a UPLSAPO60XW objective (1.2

NA, Olympus)]. A Fianium WL-SC-400-8 laser (NKT Photonics) with an acoustooptic tunable filter

was used to generate excitation pulses at a wavelength of 488nm and a frequency of around

20MHz. Excitation light was further filtered by a Z405/488/561/635rpc quadband dichroic mirror

(Chroma). Emission went through a 655DCSPXR short-pass dichroic mirror (Chroma) and an

FF01-531/40-25 filter (Semrock) and was finally detected by an SPCM-AQRH-15 avalanche

photodiode (Perkin Elmer). The time-correlated single photon counting module to register

detected photon events to excitation pulses was SPC830 (Becker & Hickl). Data acquisition was

facilitated by VistaVision (ISS). The excitation volume (V_0) was calibrated by taking FCS data from

TetraSpeck™ microspheres (0.1µm, Invitrogen) of known concentrations (vary across different

batches).

Quantification of the FRET based on localized fluorescence signals of MPS1sen-KT

Under fixed fluorescence microscopy settings of a given channel (the excitation line and its power,

exposure time, optical components including the objective, filters, dichroic mirrors, the confocal

spinning disk, and the detector in the light path and their alignment, etc.), the kinetochore-localized

fluorescence signal (digital readout) should be proportional to the number of localized mature

fluorescence proteins. The coefficients are designated as g and r_x for mNeonGreen and mScarlet-

I using the excitation light for mNeonGreen, respectively (the subscript "x" stands for cross-

excitation as mScarlet-I is excited by the excitation light for mNeonGreen here). The number of

unbleached mature mNeonGreen and mScarlet-I molecules at a given kinetochore are G and R,

respectively. While measuring FRET using the excitation light for mNeonGreen and optics

settings to detect the emission of mScarlet-I, the bleed-through coefficient for mNeonGreen

fluorescence is designated as . Under a FRET efficiency of ε ($0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, which describes the quenching of mNeonGreen due to FRET), the proximity ratio Θ, a metric of FRET according to the definition in [99], can be expressed as:

$$\Theta = \frac{F}{r_x R + \beta g G(1 - \varepsilon)} - 1$$

where F is the measured raw FRET readout. F can be attributed to three sources: (1) the cross-excitation of mScarlet-I, (2) the bleed-through of mNeonGreen fluorescence, and (3) the actual fluorescence due to FRET (determined by the combined coefficient f for the excited fraction of mNeonGreen under the excitation condition of the FRET channel and the conversion from FRET emission photons reaching the detector to the digital readout, the FRET efficiency, and the effective quantum yield of mScarlet-I that reaches the detector).

$$F = r_x R + \beta g G(1 - \varepsilon) + f G \varepsilon \phi$$

In [64], the authors used the ratio between the mNeonGreen signal (measured from the green channel) and the raw FRET signal (without corrections of the cross-excitation of mScarlet-I and the bleed-through of mNeonGreen's fluorescence) as the metric of FRET:

$$\Gamma = \frac{g G(1 - \varepsilon)}{F}$$

Because MPS1sen-KT competes with endogenous SPC24 to get recruited to the outer kinetochore region, the number of kinetochore-localized sensors is variable for different kinetochores. However, the ratio between kinetochore-localized mNeonGreen and mScarlet-I is always 1 : 1. Ignoring photobleaching (only one z-stack is taken for each cell with a bare minimum amount of exposure) and assuming that maturation of mNeonGreen and mScarlet-I is independent of the kinases' or phosphatases' activity at kinetochores, $\sigma := R/G$ should also be fixed. Hence, we have:

$$\Theta = \frac{f \varepsilon \phi}{r_x \sigma + \beta g G(1 - \varepsilon)}$$

$$x = \frac{g(1 - \varepsilon)}{r_x \sigma + \beta g(1 - \varepsilon) + f \varepsilon \phi}$$

Importantly, both Θ and Γ are dimensionless metrics normalized against the variation in the kinetochore localization of MPS1sen-KT. Under a particular fluorescence microscopy setting, both Θ and Γ have a monotonic and hyperbolic relationship with the FRET efficiency and are interconvertible:

$$\Theta = \frac{g(1-\varepsilon)}{r_x\sigma + \beta g(1-\varepsilon)} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma} - 1$$

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism version 8.

References

Aravamudhan, P., Chen, R., Roy, B., Sim, J., and Joglekar, A.P. (2016). Dual mechanisms regulate
the recruitment of spindle assembly checkpoint proteins to the budding yeast kinetochore. Mol
Biol Cell *27*, 3405-3417.
Banerjee, A., Chen, C., Humphrey, L., Tyson, J.J., and Joglekar, A.P. (2021). BubR1 recruitment to
the kinetochore via Bub1 enhances Spindle Assembly Checkpoint signaling. bioRxiv,
2021.2008.2012.456107.
Barnhart, E.L., Dorer, R.K., Murray, A.W., and Schuyler, S.C. (2011). Reduced Mad2 expression
keeps relaxed kinetochores from arresting budding yeast in mitosis. Mol Biol Cell *22*, 2448-2457.
Chen, C., Whitney, I.P., Banerjee, A., Sacristan, C., Sekhri, P., Kern, D.M., Fontan, A., Kops, G.,
Tyson, J.J., Cheeseman, I.M.*, et al.* (2019). Ectopic Activation of the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint
Signaling Cascade Reveals Its Biochemical Design. Curr Biol *29*, 104-119 e110.
Chen, R.-H., Brady, D.M., Smith, D., Murray, A.W., and Hardwick, K.G. (1999). The Spindle
Checkpoint of Budding Yeast Depends on a Tight Complex between the Mad1 and Mad2 Proteins.
Molecular Biology of the Cell *10*, 2607-2618.
Clute, P., and Pines, J. (1999). Temporal and spatial control of cyclin B1 destruction in metaphase.
Nat Cell Biol *1*, 82-87.
Collin, P., Nashchekina, O., Walker, R., and Pines, J. (2013). The spindle assembly checkpoint
works like a rheostat rather than a toggle switch. Nat Cell Biol *15*, 1378-1385.
Dick, A.E., and Gerlich, D.W. (2013). Kinetic framework of spindle assembly checkpoint signalling.
Nat Cell Biol *15*, 1370-1377.
Faesen, A.C., Thanasoula, M., Maffini, S., Breit, C., Muller, F., van Gerwen, S., Bange, T., and
Musacchio, A. (2017). Basis of catalytic assembly of the mitotic checkpoint complex. Nature.
Izawa, D., and Pines, J. (2015). The mitotic checkpoint complex binds a second CDC20 to inhibit
active APC/C. Nature *517*, 631-634.
Ji, Z., Gao, H., Jia, L., Li, B., and Yu, H. (2017). A sequential multi-target Mps1 phosphorylation
cascade promotes spindle checkpoint signaling. Elife *6*.
Khandelia, P., Yap, K., and Makeyev, E.V. (2011). Streamlined platform for short hairpin RNA
interference and transgenesis in cultured mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *108*, 12799-
12804.
Kops, G.J., Kim, Y., Weaver, B.A., Mao, Y., McLeod, I., Yates, J.R., 3rd, Tagaya, M., and Cleveland,
D.W. (2005). ZW10 links mitotic checkpoint signaling to the structural kinetochore. J Cell Biol *169*,
49-60.
Krefman, N.I., Drubin, D.G., and Barnes, G. (2015). Control of the spindle checkpoint by lateral
kinetochore attachment and limited Mad1 recruitment. Mol Biol Cell.
Kuhn, J., and Dumont, S. (2019). Mammalian kinetochores count attached microtubules in a
sensitive and switch-like manner. J Cell Biol *218*, 3583-3596.
Kuijt, T.E.F., Lambers, M.L.A., Weterings, S., Ponsioen, B., Bolhaqueiro, A.C.F., Staijen, D.H.M.,
and Kops, G. (2020). A Biosensor for the Mitotic Kinase MPS1 Reveals Spatiotemporal Activity
Dynamics and Regulation. Curr Biol *30*, 3862-3870 e3866.
Lara-Gonzalez, P., Kim, T., Oegema, K., Corbett, K., and Desai, A. (2021a). A tripartite mechanism
catalyzes Mad2-Cdc20 assembly at unattached kinetochores. Science *371*, 64-67.

Lara-Gonzalez, P., Pines, J., and Desai, A. (2021b). Spindle assembly checkpoint activation and
silencing at kinetochores. Semin Cell Dev Biol *117*, 86-98.

London, N., and Biggins, S. (2014). Mad1 kinetochore recruitment by Mps1-mediated
phosphorylation of Bub1 signals the spindle checkpoint. Genes Dev *28*, 140-152.

London, N., Ceto, S., Ranish, J.A., and Biggins, S. (2012). Phosphoregulation of Spc105 by Mps1
and PP1 regulates Bub1 localization to kinetochores. Curr Biol *22*, 900-906.

Mapelli, M., Massimiliano, L., Santaguida, S., and Musacchio, A. (2007). The Mad2 conformational
dimer: structure and implications for the spindle assembly checkpoint. Cell *131*, 730-743.

Morrow, C.J., Tighe, A., Johnson, V.L., Scott, M.I., Ditchfield, C., and Taylor, S.S. (2005). Bub1 and
aurora B cooperate to maintain BubR1-mediated inhibition of APC/CCdc20. J Cell Sci *118*, 3639-
3652.

Musacchio, A. (2015). The Molecular Biology of Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Signaling Dynamics.
Curr Biol *25*, R1002-1018.

Pereira, C., Reis, R.M., Gama, J.B., Celestino, R., Cheerambathur, D.K., Carvalho, A.X., and
Gassmann, R. (2018). Self-Assembly of the RZZ Complex into Filaments Drives Kinetochore
Expansion in the Absence of Microtubule Attachment. Curr Biol *28*, 3408-3421 e3408.

Piano, V., Alex, A., Stege, P., Maffini, S., Stoppiello, G.A., Huis In 't Veld, P.J., Vetter, I.R., and
Musacchio, A. (2021). CDC20 assists its catalytic incorporation in the mitotic checkpoint complex.
Science *371*, 67-71.

Primorac, I., Weir, J.R., Chiroli, E., Gross, F., Hoffmann, I., van Gerwen, S., Ciliberto, A., and
Musacchio, A. (2013). Bub3 reads phosphorylated MELT repeats to promote spindle assembly
checkpoint signaling. Elife *2*, e01030.

Qian, X., McDonald, A., Zhou, H.J., Adams, N.D., Parrish, C.A., Duffy, K.J., Fitch, D.M., Tedesco, R.,
Ashcraft, L.W., Yao, B.*, et al.* (2010). Discovery of the First Potent and Selective Inhibitor of
Centromere-Associated Protein E: GSK923295. ACS Med Chem Lett *1*, 30-34.

Rodriguez-Rodriguez, J.A., Lewis, C., McKinley, K.L., Sikirzhytski, V., Corona, J., Maciejowski, J.,
Khodjakov, A., Cheeseman, I.M., and Jallepalli, P.V. (2018). Distinct Roles of RZZ and Bub1-KNL1
in Mitotic Checkpoint Signaling and Kinetochore Expansion. Curr Biol *28*, 3422-3429 e3425.

Roy, B., Han, S.J.Y., Fontan, A.N., Jema, S., and Joglekar, A.P. (2022). Aurora B phosphorylates
Bub1 to promote spindle assembly checkpoint signaling. Curr Biol *32*, 237-247 e236.

Roy, B., Han, S.J.Y., Fontan, A.N., and Joglekar, A.P. (2020). The copy-number and varied strength
of MELT motifs in Spc105 balance the strength and responsiveness of the Spindle Assembly
Checkpoint. Elife *9*.

Ryan, S.D., Britigan, E.M., Zasadil, L.M., Witte, K., Audhya, A., Roopra, A., and Weaver, B.A. (2012).
Up-regulation of the mitotic checkpoint component Mad1 causes chromosomal instability and
resistance to microtubule poisons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *109*, E2205-2214.

Sacristan, C., Ahmad, M.U.D., Keller, J., Fermie, J., Groenewold, V., Tromer, E., Fish, A., Melero,
R., Carazo, J.M., Klumperman, J.*, et al.* (2018). Dynamic kinetochore size regulation promotes
microtubule capture and chromosome biorientation in mitosis. Nat Cell Biol *20*, 800-810.

Shaner, N.C., Lambert, G.G., Chammas, A., Ni, Y., Cranfill, P.J., Baird, M.A., Sell, B.R., Allen, J.R.,
Day, R.N., Israelsson, M.*, et al.* (2013). A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived
from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat Methods *10*, 407-409.

Shepperd, L.A., Meadows, J.C., Sochaj, A.M., Lancaster, T.C., Zou, J., Buttrick, G.J., Rappsilber, J.,
Hardwick, K.G., and Millar, J.B. (2012). Phosphodependent recruitment of Bub1 and Bub3 to
Spc7/KNL1 by Mph1 kinase maintains the spindle checkpoint. Curr Biol *22*, 891-899.
Silio, V., McAinsh, A.D., and Millar, J.B. (2015). KNL1-Bubs and RZZ Provide Two Separable
Pathways for Checkpoint Activation at Human Kinetochores. Dev Cell *35*, 600-613.
Sudakin, V., Chan, G.K., and Yen, T.J. (2001). Checkpoint inhibition of the APC/C in HeLa cells is
mediated by a complex of BUBR1, BUB3, CDC20, and MAD2. J Cell Biol *154*, 925-936.
Suzuki, A., Badger, B.L., and Salmon, E.D. (2015). A quantitative description of Ndc80 complex
linkage to human kinetochores. Nat Commun *6*, 8161.
Tromer, E., Snel, B., and Kops, G.J. (2015). Widespread Recurrent Patterns of Rapid Repeat
Evolution in the Kinetochore Scaffold KNL1. Genome Biol Evol *7*, 2383-2393.
Vleugel, M., Omerzu, M., Groenewold, V., Hadders, M.A., Lens, S.M., and Kops, G.J. (2015).
Sequential multisite phospho-regulation of KNL1-BUB3 interfaces at mitotic kinetochores. Mol
Cell *57*, 824-835.
Vleugel, M., Tromer, E., Omerzu, M., Groenewold, V., Nijenhuis, W., Snel, B., and Kops, G.J.
(2013). Arrayed BUB recruitment modules in the kinetochore scaffold KNL1 promote accurate
chromosome segregation. J Cell Biol *203*, 943-955.
Zhang, G., Lischetti, T., Hayward, D.G., and Nilsson, J. (2015). Distinct domains in Bub1 localize
RZZ and BubR1 to kinetochores to regulate the checkpoint. Nat Commun *6*, 7162.
Zhang, G., Lischetti, T., and Nilsson, J. (2014). A minimal number of MELT repeats supports all the
functions of KNL1 in chromosome segregation. J Cell Sci *127*, 871-884.
Zhang, G., Mendez, B.L., Sedgwick, G.G., and Nilsson, J. (2016). Two functionally distinct
kinetochore pools of BubR1 ensure accurate chromosome segregation. Nat Commun *7*, 12256.
687

688   **Figure 1**

Figure 1 Copy number of SAC proteins per kinetochore in mitotic cells containing different numbers of signaling kinetochores.

(A) A simplified schematic of the MELT motif-mediated recruitment of SAC proteins to the kinetochore. Red arrows signify Mps1-mediated phosphorylation, black lines indicate protein-protein interactions. The RZZ pathway, which is activated by Bub1 (Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2018; Sacristan et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2015), is not shown.

(B) Representative fluorescence micrographs of mitotic HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins treated with GSK923295 (left) and nocodazole (right; scale bar ~ 1.6 µm)

(C) Quantification of the fluorescence signal per signaling kinetochore. Horizontal lines display mean ± 95% confidence intervals (n = 200, 142, 160 in GSK923295-treated cells and 562, 446, and 658 in nocodazole-treated cells for Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 respectively, observations pooled from ≥ 2 technical repeats, $p < 0.0001$ for all three pairs of measurements from Welch's t-test). Right: Spc25-mNG signal per kinetochore quantified from images acquired under identical imaging conditions (n = 178).

(D) The estimated number of molecules per Knl1 calculated by dividing the mean signal per kinetochore for each protein with the mean Spc25-mNG signal per kinetochore as the reference. Error bars represent SEM for the calculated ratio.

Figure 2

Figure 2 Quantification of the Mps1-mediated phosphoregulation of signaling kinetochores.

(A) The modified design of MPS1sen-KT (Kuijt et al., 2020) wherein mNeonGreen/mScarlet-I is used as the.

(B) Representative images of cells expressing MPS1sen-KT. The look-up table for each channel (row) is universal for different groups (column). Scale bar, 10 μm.

(C) A summary of a normalized FRET metric (mNeonGreen signal/FRET signal) in HeLa A12 cells treated with different drugs at various concentrations. Each gray dot represents a single kinetochore measurement. Data were compiled from at least two independent experiments (more than 40 cells in total for each group). Mean values ± 95% confidence intervals are overlaid. Data from cells treated with 45 nM, 90 nM, and 200 nM GSK923295 were pooled together (the mean values are not significantly different from one another) to simplify the presentation. The Welch's ANOVA test [W(DFn,DFd) = 1 .339(2.000,917.0), P= 0.2626] was performed for the first 3 columns (unaligned, signaling kinetochores). The unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (P<0.0001) was performed to compare aligned non-signaling kinetochores with unaligned signaling kinetochores in GSK923295-treated cells.

Figure 3

Figure 3 – Copy number of SAC proteins per Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 in cells containing different numbers of signaling kinetochores.

(A) Schematics of the full-length, endogenous Knl1 and the recombinant Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 versions. The expression of Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 (or Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3) is under the regulation of a constitutive *EF1a* promoter. Therefore, Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 (or Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3) is always present in the stably transfected cells.

(B) Quantification of the fluorescence signal per unaligned/laterally attached kinetochore for the indicated protein. In each case, the signals were normalized using the mean signal for each protein measured in nocodazole-treated cells (mNG-Bub1: n =844 and 602 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 respectively in nocodazole-treated cells and 222 and 120 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 respectively in GSK923295-treated cells, pooled from ≥ 2 technical repeats; mNG-BubR1: n = 278 and 272 in nocodazole-treated cells and 110 and 107 in GSK923295-treated cells, pooled from ≥ 2 technical repeats; Mad1-mNG: n = 182 and 184 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 respectively in nocodazole-treated cells and 52 and 166 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 respectively in GSK923295-treated cells, pooled from ≥ 2 technical repeats). **** equivalent to p-value < 0.0001 according to one-way ANOVA.

Figure 4

Figure 4 – The duration of SAC-induced mitotic delay depends on the number of MELT
motifs in Knl1.

(A) Representative micrographs show mitotic progression of cells with either Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 (top) or
Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 (bottom, scale bar: 10 µm). Time stamps are in minutes.

(B-C) Duration of mitosis for cells treated with the indicated concentration of GSK923295 and
Taxol (left: n = 162 and 26 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 respectively from two technical repeats;
right: n = 235 and 339 for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 respectively, n = 51 and 52 for Allstar siRNA
+ taxol treatment and n = 102 and 88 for KNL1 siRNA + taxol treatment for Knl1$^\Delta$-M3 and Knl1$^\Delta$-
M3-M3 respectively). Welch's t-test was used to compare the sample means (p < 0.0001).

Figure 5

Figure 5 Bub1 over-expression results in higher recruitment of SAC proteins the kinetochore and lengthens the duration of SAC-induced mitotic delay.

(A) Top panel: representative fluorescence micrographs of control (top) cells and cells treated with doxycycline to exogenously express mNG-Bub1. Scale bar ~ 4.92 µm. Bottom panel: quantification of immunofluorescence signal per kinetochore for the indicated proteins in control cells and cells treated with doxycycline to induce exogenous mNG-Bub1 expression. Signal values were normalized with the average signal for the respective protein in doxycycline-treated cells. (n = 344 and 390 for Bub1, 346 and 387 for BubR1, and 234 and 275 for Mad1 in untreated and doxycycline-treated cells respectively, observations pooled from 3 technical repeats). Welch's t-test used to compare sample means (p-value < 0.0001).

(B) Left: Quantification of the duration of mitosis in control and doxycycline-treated cells in media containing 25-37 nM GSK923295 (n= 195 and 187 for untreated and doxycycline-treated cells respectively, from 2 technical repeats, Pearson's correlation coefficient (pcc) for each data set noted in the figure). Horizontal lines represent mean ± 95% confidence intervals on the mean. Right: Correlation between the duration of mitosis and the average cellular level of the exogenously expressed mNG-Bub1. mNG-Bub1 signal per cell was normalized with the average signal measured in control cells.

(C) Left: Quantification of the duration of mitosis in control and doxycycline-treated cells in media containing 330 nM nocodazole (n= 189 and 185 for untreated and doxycycline-treated cells respectively, from 2 technical repeats, Pearson's correlation coefficient (pcc) for each data set noted in the figure). Horizontal lines represent mean ± 95% confidence intervals on the mean. Right: Correlation between the duration of mitosis and the average cellular level of the exogenously expressed mNG-Bub1. mNG-Bub1 signal per cell was normalized with the average signal measured in control cells.

Figure 6 The length of SAC-induced anaphase delays is sensitive to partial depletion of Bub1 and BubR1, but not Mad1.

(A) Western blot analysis of total cell lysates showing that the mNG-tagged SAC protein can be
specifically targeted for RNAi using siRNA targeted against mNG.

(B) Quantification of the mNG-signal per cell under the indicated conditions (For the experiment
involving GSK923295 treatment, n = 50, 126, 130 for mNG-Bub1, mNG-BubR1, and Mad1-
mNG cells respectively treated with Allstar siRNA and 100, 219, and 177 for mNG-Bub1,
mNG-BubR1, and Mad1-mNG cells respectively treated with mNG siRNA; data collected in
two technical repeats). For the experiment involving nocodazole treatment, n = 50, 50, and 50
for mNG-Bub1, mNG-BubR1, and Mad1-mNG cells respectively treated with Allstar siRNA; n
= 100, 85, and 100 for mNG-Bub1, mNG-BubR1, and Mad1-mNG cells respectively treated
with mNG siRNA; data collected from 2 technical repeats).

(C) Quantification of the duration of mitosis for same cells as in B in media containing GSK923295
and nocodazole (for GSK923295-treated cells: $p \leq 0.0001$ based on Ordinary one-way
ANOVA; for nocodazole-treated cells, $p > 0.253$ based on ordinary one-way ANOVA).

(D) Model depicts the combined effect of the number of signaling kinetochores per cell and the
availability of free, cytoplasmic SAC proteins on the signaling strength of individual
kinetochores.

Figure S1

Supplementary Figure S1 Effect of ZW10 RNAi on SAC protein recruitment to kinetochores

(A) Left: Quantification of the fluorescence signal per signaling kinetochore in cells treated with
siRNA against *ZW10* (n = 108, 242, 54 in GSK923295-treated cells and 166, 178, 219 in
nocodazole-treated cells for Bub1, BubR1, and Mad1 respectively, pooled from 2 technical
repeats).

(B) Average signals normalized using the mNG-Bub1 signal per kinetochore Horizontal lines
display the standard error on the mean ratio.

Supplementary Figure S2 Characterization of the MPS1sen-KT.

(A) Using an antibody against DsRed2 (which detects mScarlet-I), we confirmed that MPS1sen-KT (with a theoretical molecular weight of 97.2 kDa) is expressed as a full-length protein with negligible partial degradation in HeLa A12 treated with doxycycline. A Ponceau S staining of the same blot before membrane blocking (left panel) serves as the loading control.

(B-D) Raw fluorescence quantification at signaling kinetochores under various drug treatments in the green (B), red (C), and FRET(D) channels. Each gray dot represents a single kinetochore measurement. "Low GSK923295" represents a GSK923295 concentration of 45 nM - 90 nM. Data were compiled from at least two independent experiments (more than 40 cells in total for each group). Mean values ± 95% confidence intervals are overlaid. The variation across different groups may be attributed to not only various FRET efficiencies (which we intend to quantify) but also day-to-day variations of experimental conditions (e.g., the doxycycline-induced expression

847   of MPS1sen-KT). To cancel the effect of these variations, a normalized metric of the FRET

848   efficiency (mNG/FRET; see Methods) was used in this study.

Figure S3

A

B

Supplementary Figure S3

A mScarlet-I fluorescence signal per kinetochore indicating the amount of recombinant Knl1 versions (noted by the X-axis labels). Minor differences in the incorporation of the recombinant Knl1 cannot explain the observed differences in SAC protein recruitment in each case. Note that the average value for the mScarlet-I-Knl1$^\Delta$-M3-M3 signal was used to normalize the data in each graph.

B Cytoplasmic abundance of mNG-BubR1 and mNG-Bub1 in metaphase-arrested (MG-132-treated) and nocodazole-treated cells as measured by Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS).

Supplementary Videos

Video S1 – Time-lapse imaging of HeLa cells treated with 25 nM GSK923295. Time - hr:min.

Video S2 - Time-lapse imaging of HeLa cells treated with 25 nM GSK923295 and 1 µg/ml of
Doxycycline to exogenously over-express mNG-Bub1. Time - hr:min.

Video S3 - Time-lapse imaging of HeLa cells treated with 330 nM GSK923295. Time - hr:min.

Video S4 - Time-lapse imaging of HeLa cells treated with 25 nM GSK923295 and 1 µg/ml of
Doxycycline to exogenously over-express mNG-Bub1. Time - hr:min.

Video S5 – Time-lapse imaging of genome-edited HeLa cells heterozygous for mNG-Bub1 and
exogenously expressing H2B-mCherry. Cells were released from a G1/S block into media
containing 236 nM GSK923295. Time - hr:min.

Video S6 – Time-lapse imaging of genome-edited HeLa cells heterozygous for mNG-Bub1 and
exogenously expressing H2B-mCherry. Cells were treated with siRNA targeting mNG to partially
deplete BubR1 and released from a G1/S block into media containing 236 nM GSK923295. Time
- hr:min.