1   **TITLE:**   **"A dynamic *in vitro* model of Down Syndrome neurogenesis with Trisomy 21**

2   **gene dosage correction"**

Prakhar Bansal [1,2,7], Erin. C Banda [2,7], Heather R. Glatt-Deeley [2], Christopher E. Stoddard [3], Darcy T.

Ahern [1,2], Yuvabharath Kondaveeti [2,4], Michael Nicouleau [5] and Stefan F. Pinter [1,2,6] *

[1] Graduate Program in Genetics and Developmental Biology, UCONN Health, University of

Connecticut, Farmington, CT, United States

[2] Department of Genetics and Genome Sciences, UCONN Health, University of Connecticut,

Farmington, CT, United States

[3] Cell and Genome Engineering Core, UCONN Health, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT,

United States

[4] Current: Enzerna Biosciences, Morrisville, NC

[5] Current: Early Drug Discovery Unit, Montreal Neurology Institute, McGill University

[6] Institute for Systems Genomics, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT, United States

[7] These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author: spinter@uchc.edu

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY

Excess gene dosage from human chromosome 21 (HSA21), due to trisomy or translocation of

HSA21 material, causes Down syndrome (DS). Trisomy 21 (T21) results in a large number of

developmental and ongoing cellular phenotypes, raising the critical question of whether some of

the latter may remain amenable to intervention after development. To generate an *in vitro* model

of DS neurogenesis with dynamic T21 dosage, we derived T21 human induced pluripotent stem

cells (hiPSCs) alongside otherwise isogenic euploid controls from mosaic DS fibroblasts, and

equipped one distinct HSA21 copy with a new inducible *XIST* transgene. Phased genotyping

enables us to apply this unique biological resource to allelic transcriptome analyses that

demonstrate that T21 silencing by *XIST* is largely complete and irreversible in hiPSCs. Differential

expression analysis reveals T21 neural lineages and hiPSCs share suppressed translation and

mitochondrial pathways, and activate cellular stress responses. When *XIST* is induced prior to the

neural progenitor stage, T21 dosage correction suppresses a pronounced skew towards excessive

astrogenesis in T21 neural differentiation. Because our *XIST* transgene remains inducible in post-

mitotic T21 neurons and astrocytes, this dynamic model of HSA21 gene dosage will empower

systematic exploration of cellular and transcriptional T21 phenotypes that depend on acute T21

gene dosage in differentiated cell types.

KEYWORDS:

INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS), the most prevalent human viable aneuploidy (trisomy 21, T21 in up to 1:700 live births) poses three significant challenges to understanding and addressing the clinical needs of people living with DS [1]. First, our understanding of the developmental etiology underlying cardiac, hematopoietic and neurological defects in DS is still limited [2]. Ever-more sophisticated rodent DS models faithfully carry most of human chromosome 21 (HSA21) [3] or traverse syntenic boundaries to represent supernumerary mouse HSA21 orthologs [4]. While these rodent models capture many important aspects of DS, human trisomy 21 (T21) induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are increasingly serving as an important complementary model for DS development and pre-clinical research [5]. These hiPSC models may prove especially relevant to human-specific aspects of development, for example by reflecting brain region-specific neural cell types [6] and intrinsically extended human neuronal maturation [7].

Second, there is lack of approaches to systematically distinguish irreversible developmental phenotypes from "acute" cellular phenotypes that may remain amenable to postnatal intervention. Because supernumerary HSA21 genes or their rodent orthologs are present throughout differentiation or development of such DS hiPSC and rodent DS models, respectively, we have an incomplete view of which cellular DS phenotypes depend on ongoing excess HSA21 dosage in terminally differentiated cells. This questions is particularly relevant to DS-associated neurodegeneration [8].

Third, cellular stress pathways that are chronically and systemically active in DS [9–14] and likely play an important role in DS neurodegeneration [15], are also shared with human trisomies 13 (T13) and 18 (T18) [16], but not with dosage-compensated sex-chromosomal trisomy. The most plausible origin for such common aneuploidy-associated cellular stress is that it results from compound excess expression of the many autosomal genes that code for subunits of dosage-sensitive protein complexes. However, this interpretation has not yet been tested formally in the context of an autosomal trisomy, as it requires distinguishing excess expression from the mere presence of the supernumerary chromosome.

A human cellular model with dynamic T21 gene dosage could be instrumental towards addressing these challenges, across a range of DS-affected cell fates and phenotypes. To

characterize the impact of T21 in hiPSCs and terminally differentiated cell types, we generated

such a dynamic hiPSC model of T21 dosage alongside otherwise isogenic controls from mosaic

DS. Pioneering work by the Lawrence lab demonstrated the utility of the long non-coding RNA

XIST, which endogenously silences the inactive X in females, for T21 dosage correction in DS

hiPSCs [17,18]. *XIST* RNA spreads from its site of transcription across the entire chromosome *in cis*,

to directly and indirectly recruit orthogonal repressive chromatin complexes to silence genes [19].

Earlier work in the mouse system indicated that *Xist* could establish gene silencing only when

during or upon exiting pluripotency, but not after differentiation [20,21], with rare exception [22,23].

Recent work by the Lawrence lab shows that human *XIST* can still silence genes up to the neural

progenitor cell (NPC) stage, but technical limitations hindered *XIST* induction in terminal, post-

mitotic human neural cells [24]. Another system induced *XIST* in human T21 astroglial progenitors

via high expression of the doxycycline (dox)-responsive reverse tet-trans-activator (rtTA) re-

delivered at the NPC stage, but only achieved partial T21 repression [25].

Here, we leverage phased allelic expression analysis to demonstrate that our new inducible

T21-*XIST* design enables uniform, near-complete -and irreversible T21 dosage correction in

hiPSCs, and remains inducible in terminal neural cell fates. *XIST* suppresses T21 *trans*-effects,

including cellular stress pathways that are also active in T21 neural lineages. T21 silencing prior

to the neural progenitor cell (NPC) stage rescues T21-altered neuro-astroglial lineage balance

that reflects excess gliogenesis previously observed in DS fetal samples [26–30] and rodent models

[31–35]. Because our T21-*XIST* system is also the first to effectively induce *XIST* in post-mitotic

neurons and astrocytes, this model of dynamic T21 gene dosage will facilitate identification of

cellular pathways that may present promising targets for intervention after completed

development.

RESULTS

To derive T21 hiPSCs alongside isogenic euploid control lines, we reprogrammed dermal

fibroblasts from a male individual with mosaic DS [36], and screened the resulting hiPSC clones

using copy-number sensitive qPCR [Fig. S1A,B]. Two T21 lines appeared to have a gain on HSA17,

a frequent karyotypic abnormality primarily observed in human ESCs [37,38], but featured only two

centromere 17 signals by fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization (FISH) follow-up [Fig. S1C]. We

performed array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH, CytoSNP-850k) to confirm expected

karyotypes for fibroblasts, euploid and T21 hiPSCs, and to resolve segmental (17q) gains in the

two corresponding clones, which were excluded thereafter [Fig. S1D]. Differential probe intensity

analysis of the remaining T21 and euploid hiPSC lines also revealed a large set of heterozygous

SNPs with increased representation in the euploid lines spanning HSA21, and a smaller focal set

that was lost in euploid lines [Fig. S1E]. These results suggested one HSA21 copy from each parent

was maintained in euploid lines (M & P), whereas T21 hiPSCs carried an additional copy (M2), in

likely a maternal chromatid retained via non-disjunction in meiosis II [39].

While T21 is stably maintained in hiPSCs after reprogramming [40–42], sporadic T21 loss has

been reported [43,44]. We therefore confirmed the mitotic stability of three HSA21 copies by two

independent approaches. First, three independent signals are observed in 94.5% of T21 hiPSCs

by fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization (FISH, *DSCR8* probe), compared to 99% of euploid hiPSCs with

two signals [Fig. S1F]. Second, while targeting a *UBC*-promoter driven doxycycline (dox)-

responsive trans-activator (rTTA3G) to the AAVS1 safe harbor in *PPP1R12C* (HSA19), we

confirmed that all 32/32 independent colonies arising from single cells maintained T21 by copy-

number sensitive qPCR for a *DYRK1A* amplicon [Fig. S1G].

We integrated our TET3G-driven *XIST* cDNA transgene on HSA21^P [Fig. 1A], after re-

verifying the karyotypic integrity of rTTA3G clones by aCGH [Fig. S1D]. To this end, we designed

Cas9 guides dependent on a HSA21^P-specific protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), targeting an

intergenic site well outside of *DYRK1A* to avoid impacting its expression. We proceeded with

three independent, PCR-screened T21-*XIST* clones, and tested the efficacy of *XIST* induction by

RNA-FISH and immuno-fluorescence (IF) staining. Ubiquitinated histone 2A lysine 119

(H2AK119ub) and tri-methylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3) follow *XIST/Xist* RNA expression

on mouse and human X alike [19]. Of note, because the original fibroblasts were from a male

individual with DS, there is only a single X in these hiPSCs [Fig. S1C], and any *XIST*,

H2A119ub/H3K27me3 clouds arise from the T21-targeted *XIST* transgene. Indeed, hiPSCs without

acute dox treatment (3 wk withdrawal, w/d) are negative for XIST, underscoring the TET3G

system is not leaky and turns off when dox is withdrawn, whereas virtually all T21-*XIST* hiPSCs

display *XIST* clouds and acquire H2A119ub/H3K27me3 signals in the presence of dox [Fig. 1B].

Together, these data illustrate the homogeneity of *XIST* induction, and provide additional

confirmation that this third copy of HSA21 is faithfully maintained in hiPSCs.

We next performed mRNA-seq of euploid, T21 and T21-XIST hiPSCs to confirm high

uniformity in pluripotency genes over lineage-specific markers [Fig. S2A], and to quantify T21

dosage correction by *XIST* in the presence, absence or upon withdrawal of dox [Fig. 1C,D], which

tests whether silencing is maintained once established. *XIST* is uniformly expressed in all three

T21-XIST clones at 3, 6 and 9 weeks (wks) of dox treatment ("+dox"), and returns to the ("-dox")

baseline upon dox withdrawal ["w/d", Fig. 1D], consistent with *XIST*-negative FISH in w/d samples

[Fig. 1B].

To accurately measure HSA21 dosage not only by standard differential expression analysis

but also HSA21 allelic representation, we additionally sequenced euploid and T21 whole

genomes (WGS) with linked-reads to identify and phase variants. In both euploid and T21 hiPSCs,

most variants can be grouped in large phase blocks (N50 lengths of 1.8 Mb and 2.9 Mb,

respectively), including across HSA21, where thousands of heterozygous variants distinguish the

XIST-bearing (HSA21^P) copy. Because all cell lines carry a single HSA21^P copy, with one (D21) or

two (T21) HSA21$^{M/M2}$ copies, allele-specific phasing of mRNA-seq reads indeed reveals most

genes on HSA21 to express an average lesser allele frequency (LAF) of ~one-half for euploid (D21)

and ~one-third for T21 samples. Likewise, the *XIST*-bearing HSA21^P copy (T21-XIST) is represented

in ~one-third of variant-mapping reads in the absence of dox (-dox), but is largely depleted in the

+dox and w/d samples, which were dox-treated (3wks) and collected after another 3 or 6 wks

without dox [Fig. 2A]. These data suggest that *XIST* silences most genes on the HSA21^P copy near-

completely, and irreversibly, which is consistent with our expectations based on mouse *Xist*

reports (reviewed in [19]).

Likewise, differential expression analysis across all of HSA21 reveals the vast majority

genes with assessable expression are depleted by ~one-third (log2FC of -0.59, ±0.15), irrespective

of their linear distance from the *XIST* transgene, and maintain that expression level even after 3-

6 weeks of dox withdrawal [Fig. 2B-D]. Altogether, only a very small group of protein-coding

genes appears to retain a LAF > 1/6th (*ADAMTS1, APP, H0Y3Z8, IFNAR1/2, MRPS6, ETS2* and *YBEY*),

although most are significantly downregulated by the expected degree (p ≤ 0.1, log2FC -0.59, ±

0.15). Only *IFNAR2* and adjacent ORF *H0Y3Z8* also appear to escape *XIST*-mediated repression by

differential expression (p.adj > 0.1, log2FC > -0.3). To compare this efficacy to previously reported

T21-*XIST* systems [18,24,25], we considered the degree of silencing across all mutually assessed

HSA21 genes in prior reports, irrespective of adjusted p-value cutoff, as our study included a

greater number of samples. Indeed, we find that the distribution log2FC values in our T21-*XIST*

indicates more complete gene repression than what was observed in prior reports [Fig. S2B].

While we cannot rule out that this improved efficiency is due to some variation in the 13.9 kb

XIST cDNA transgene, TET3G promoters or applied dox concentration, we suspect that our

intergenic integration site may play an outsized role in magnitude and uniformity of transgene

induction.

Comparing differential expression in hiPSCs after 3 wk and 6wk of dox withdrawal, we

find that silencing is maintained in w/d samples, irrespective of the length of original dox

treatment [Fig. 2B, Fig. S2C]. We next assessed DNA methylation (DNAme, by Illumina methylEPIC

arrays) in T21-XIST samples, where essentially all CpGs at HSA21 promoters with low DNAme (all

≤ 60% methylated with a median ≤ 8%) increase in DNAme to approximately the overall level

expected if 1 out of 3 alleles was fully methylated [Fig. 2F, all ≤ 60% methylated promoters with

medians of ~40% methylated for +dox]. This level is maintained after dox withdrawal (w/d), which

is consistent with the absence of reactivated genes by differential and allelic expression [Fig. 2A-

E].

We next turned to transcriptome-wide effects of T21 and *XIST*-corrected gene dosage.

While levels of HSA21 genes clearly increased in T21 relative to D21, and decreased in T21-XIST

+dox relative to -dox samples, all other chromosomes also responded to HSA21 dosage, albeit in

balanced fashion [Fig. 3A]. Consistently, samples with an effective HSA21 dosage matching

euploid samples (D21, and +dox or w/d T21-XIST) segregate from T21 and no-dox T21-XIST

samples in PCA [Fig. 3B]. Because our experimental design included the original, non-transgenic

D21 and T21 hiPSCs (198-1/2/5) with all treatments (±dox and w/d), we were able to observe

that their acute +dox treatment triggered expression changes that correlated with the T21

dosage effect [Fig. 3C top], which was still significant after exclusion of HSA21 genes from the

correlation [Fig. S3A, left]. This was not unexpected, because doxycycline can partially impact

mitochondrial translation in eukaryotic cells [45], and mitochondrial pathways are also altered in

DS [46–48]. We therefore adjusted for this small dox-specific effect in our analysis (see methods),

and compared these T21 over D21 log2FC expression changes with the combined (+dox and w/d)

XIST correction, revealing a highly significant and negative Pearson correlation of -0.5 [Fig. 3C,

bottom] that persists even when HSA21 genes are excluded [Fig. S3A, right]. These data indicate

that differentially expressed genes (DEGs) responding to excess HSA21 dosage are also changing

in the opposite direction when excess HSA21 dosage is corrected via *XIST*. This large-scale

reversal was also evident in gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA), with a dominance of anti-

correlated terms [Fig. 3D, top left and bottom right quadrant], many of which related to

apoptosis, stress, ER, mitochondria and translation terms. Plotting the top three most-

concordant terms for each of these categories [Fig. 3E], T21 and dosage-corrected samples are

near uniformly opposed in biological processes previously implicated in DS, including apoptosis

[13,49,50], oxidative stress via NRF2 [12,51], mitochondria [14,46–48], and translation [10,52]. Importantly,

serine biosynthesis pathways, recently attributed to a general response to aneuploidy [16] were

also highly represented, indicating that serine metabolism returns to baseline upon T21 dosage

correction, despite the continued presence of the supernumerary HSA21 copy.

To assess T21 gene dosage effects in neural lineages, we applied a well-established

monolayer differentiation protocol that mimics *in vivo* neural development in the establishment

of neurogenic niches (rosettes) and in the production of both mature neurons and astrocytes

[53,54]. Use of this protocol was advantageous as most T21 hiPSC-derived neuronal RNA-seq to-date

was generated in immature neurons. We performed mRNA-seq in 10-12 wk neural cultures,

obtained 5-6 wks after low-density re-plating of 4 wk NPCs [Fig. S3B]. T21 neural cultures show

elevated HSA21 transcript levels with a peak at the expected +0.59 log2FC relative to euploid

samples [Fig. 4A,B]. As expected, neural and hiPSC DEGs and GSEA-enriched terms were

positively correlated, while neural GSEA terms were largely anti-correlated in direction with

terms enriched in XIST-corrected iPSCs [Fig. 4C-E], which also extended to the three most-

concordant terms across all comparisons [Fig. S3B]. Overall, we find *XIST*-mediated T21 dosage

correction to apply to a majority of significantly enriched terms differentiating T21 iPSCs and

neural cultures from their euploid controls [Fig. S4].

Staining for neuronal MAP2 and astroglial S100B markers, we noted an excess of

astrocytes in the T21 relative to euploid neural differentiations. Both neurons and astrocytes

derive from NPCs [55], and astrogliosis has been reported in DS models [31,33,34] and fetal samples

[26,28,30], where T21 NPCs prematurely shift from neurogenesis to astrogenesis. To determine

whether *XIST*-mediated T21-dosage correction could prevent this lineage skew, we first

confirmed that *XIST* expression and H2AK119ub enrichment would persist in 4 wk NPCs, which is

the case for well over 75% of NPCs derived across three independent clones, treated with +dox

as hiPSCs [Fig. 5A]. Indeed, even NPCs derived from untreated T21-*XIST* hiPSCs but receiving dox

from the first day of differentiation ("d0") have *XIST* and H2AK119ub foci in ≥75% and ≥65% of

all cells, respectively. Co-staining for MAP2 and S100B on day28 (4 wk) of neural differentiation

revealed a consistent and highly reproducible astrogenic skew in T21 and no-dox T21-XIST

samples relative to isogenic euploid control lines across multiple rounds of differentiation [Fig.

5B]. Remarkably, neurogenesis was fully rescued in neural differentiations from pre-treated as

well as d0+dox treated hiPSCs, across all three independent T21-XIST clones. Because the overall

sum of committed cells expressing either marker did not differ significantly between T21 and

euploid or T21-XIST dosage-corrected samples, we propose that T21 dosage does not suppress

NPC commitment in general, as suggested recently [24], but rather advances the shift to

astrogenesis, in line with prior reports [28,34].

Given the high rate of *XIST* induction in T21-XIST NPCs that only received dox at the onset

of differentiation (d0), we next explored whether the *XIST* transgene would remain dox-

responsive in terminally differentiated cells. To this end, we forced NPCs out of the cell cycle and

into terminal differentiation by inhibiting the Notch signaling pathway for 5 days (with gamma-

secretase inhibitor DAPT. Two days after completing this DAPT treatment, neural cultures were

treated with dox. To confirm DAPT treatment was sufficient to deplete mitotic cells, we also

labeled cells transiting S-phase with nucleotide analog EdU and co-stained for this label and *XIST*

FISH after 4 days of +dox exposure. DAPT-treated NPCs incorporating EdU comprise only ≤ 6% of

the neural population on average, whereas *XIST* is induced in ≥ 44% of cells [Fig. 6A]. Only ≤ 2%

are double-positive for Edu and *XIST* [Fig. 6A]. Edu incorporation therefore lacks any statistically

significant association with *XIST* induction, and may in fact be mildly anti-correlated, as only 26%

of Edu⁺ are also *XIST* ⁺ compared to ≥40% of Edu⁻ cells. We therefore conclude *XIST* is reliably

induced in sizeable fraction of post-mitotic T21-XIST cells that had not received dox prior to DAPT.

Finally, we assessed terminally differentiated cells that were treated with dox for 3 to 6

weeks after DAPT exposure ("pDAPT") to provide sufficient time for H2AK119ub enrichment. We

included "d0" controls that were treated with the standard 1 uM dox concentration throughout

neural diff, as well as low-dox "LD" samples, which were treated with 0.01 uM dox up to the DAPT

exposure, and standard 1 uM dox thereafter, to test whether "pre-marking" the TRE3G promoter

without effective induction may render it more responsive in terminal cell types. On average,

over half of d0 and LD cells featured prominent XIST clouds, followed by ≥ 40% of pDAPT samples,

across both c1 and c7 clones and in three independent rounds of differentiation. As before,

H2AK119ub signal lagged somewhat behind *XIST*, but consistently marked 27% - 36% of all cells

(58-65% compared to *XIST*), including MAP2-positive neurons and S100B-positive astrocytes [Fig.

6B]. We conclude, that our new T21-XIST transgene enables analysis of HSA21 dosage correction

in terminal and post-mitotic cell types, which will facilitate exploration of cellular and

transcriptional T21 hallmarks that may remain reversible after completed development.

DISCUSSION

The relevance of the inducible T21-XIST system presented herein extends from DS biology

and cellular responses to aneuploidy in general, to fundamental questions relating to human

XIST-mediated gene silencing and escape. In hiPSCs, our T21-XIST system is induced with near-

uniformity [Fig. 1], and corrects T21 dosage to euploid levels across HSA21 [Fig. 2], which we

demonstrate by inclusion of isogenic euploid control hiPSCs lines we derived in parallel from this

male donor with mosaic DS [36]. Leveraging phased sequence variants from linked-read WGS, we

can assign mRNA-seq reads allele-specifically to show that HSA21 genes derive half of their

expression from the HSA21ᴾ allele in euploid (D21) cells, and one-third in T21 cells, but nearly

extinguish expression from this *XIST*-bearing copy after dox induction [Fig. 2]. A small number of

genes retain some HSA21^P representation after dox, but are nonetheless significantly reduced in

differential expression to near-euploid levels.

This T21 dosage correction extends to genes responding to HSA21 dosage on other

chromosomes, reflecting a transcriptome-wide reversion of gene expression that moves dox-

exposed T21-XIST hiPSCs closer to their isogenic euploid controls [Fig. 3]. The balanced

experimental design and stability of T21 silencing after dox withdrawal (w/d) enable us to adjust

for dox effects that can inhibit mitochondrial translation [45] and selenocysteine incorporation [56].

GSEA reflects the large-scale reversion of T21-responsive cellular components, pathways and

functions [Fig. 3], including boosted expression of serine biosynthesis genes. These genes are

notable as dermal fibroblast of DS, as well as Patau (T13) and Edwards (T18) syndromes, all

depend on serine for proliferation [16]. Because these genes return to baseline upon correction of

T21 dosage [Fig. 3], despite maintenance of the HSA21 copy number, metabolic demand for

serine, while common to all three viable human autosomal aneuploidies, likely results from

expression of the supernumerary chromosome rather than its presence. We also find resurgent

expression of ribosomal protein genes and translation factors in T21-dosage corrected hiPSCs

[Fig. 3], which may be due to resetting the integrating stress response [10]. Indeed, cellular stress

pathways and apoptosis are dampened in response to T21 dosage correction, which is consistent

with the previously reported excess of apoptosis [13,49,50], mitochondrial dysfunction [14,46–48] and

oxidative stress [12,51] in DS.

In T21-driven expression of neural cultures, we find many of the same terms are enriched

by GSEA and concordant with T21 hiPSCs [Fig. 4]. In particular, T21-impacted mitochondrial

pathways and apoptotic terms [Fig. S3], as well as interferon response [Fig. S4], are exacerbated

in T21 neural cultures. Because these enriched terms and related genes responded to *XIST*-

mediated T21 dosage correction in hiPSCs, they likely reflect cellular phenotypes that depend on

ongoing excess HSA21 expression, and may therefore remain amenable to T21 dosage correction

after completed development.

In early neural differentiation, we observe that T21 NPCs produce an excess of astrocytes

at the expense of neurons [Fig. 5], which is consistent with astrogliosis noted in fetal DS [26,28,30].

Because *XIST*-mediated T21 silencing remains uniform into the NPC stage, neurogenesis is fully

rescued when T21-dosage is corrected prior to, and even during, neural differentiation [Fig. 5].

This is consistent with a prior report using T21-XIST dosage correction [24], but we can attribute

this neurogenic rescue to suppression of a conserved T21 astrogenic bias, rather than slowed

commitment of T21 NPCs [Fig. 6]. Several HSA21 genes have been suggested to play a role in this

astrogenic lineage bias, including amyloid precursor *APP, S100B*, and *DYRK1A* [28,34]. In addition, it

is possible that cellular stress pathways, such as oxidative stress that is elevated in DS hiPSC-

derived neurons and NPCs [40,57] and also implicates these and other HSA21 genes [12,28,51], impact

NPC lineage decisions, as seen in rodent models of neurogenesis [58].

We also examined T21-XIST hiPSC-derived mature neural lineages after forcing NPCs to

terminally differentiate and thus commit to either neuronal or astroglial cell fates via notch-

inhibitor DAPT. This more mature (~9-12wk), post-mitotic neural population [Fig. 6A], is

composed of a near equal number of terminally differentiated neurons and astrocytes [Fig. 5B].

Remarkably, a large fraction of these terminal post-mitotic cells remains able to express *XIST* and

deposit H2AK119ub on HSA21 in response to dox [Fig. 6B], regardless of whether they were never

exposed to dox prior to cessation of the DAPT treatment (post-DAPT, "pDAPT"), or were treated

with only a low (1% of the standard 1 uM) dox concentration prior to DAPT treatment. In contrast,

a previous T21-XIST system failed to be induced at all in post-mitotic monolayer neural cultures

[24], and another two reports could not assess *XIST* induction in terminal post-mitotic cells for

technical reasons [18,25].

Our results indicate our T21-*XIST* system represents a major improvement over these

prior systems [Fig. S2B], though several technical characteristics of transgene expression may

have contributed to this improvement. First, our system uses a *UBC-rTTA* construct integrated at

the AAVS "safe-harbor" locus, in contrast to prior studies that used EF1a [18] or CAG promoters [24]

at this locus, or re-delivered a high-copy *EF1a-rTTA* construct via piggyBac to NPCs [25]. Second,

there may be differences in the three individually-derived human *XIST* cDNA constructs on

account of sequence variation or splicing. And third, our *XIST* cDNA transgene was targeted

outside of *DYRK1A* to minimize its impact on *DYRK1A* prior to dox treatment, but also to avoid

attracting gene-body associated DNAme from overlapping transcription that serves to suppress

intragenic transcription start sites [59]. We suspect this last aspect to have contributed most, but

cannot rule out a confluence of AAVS1 regulation and/or rtTA promoter selection to play a role
as well.

Regarding *XIST* biology, our results suggest human neural lineages remain competent to
initiate *XIST*-mediated heterochromatin deposition even in the absence of DNA replication,
provided *XIST* can be induced. Apart from above mentioned reports on proliferative neural
progenitors [24,25], similar developmental plasticity in initiating [22,23], or re-initiating [17,60] *XIST*-
mediated repression was previously reported only for proliferative hematopoietic cell lineages.
Additionally, prior repots of *XIST* induction in human HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells indicate that
spreading of autosomal gene silencing remains reversible [61], and remains most effective near its
site of integration [62]. Both of these observations may be impacted in part by *XIST* repressing
haploinsufficient genes, which are not uncommon on mammalian autosomes, making whole or
partial trisomy a valuable research tool to better understands determinants of *XIST* spreading [63].
Indeed, our T21-XIST system repressed virtually every HSA21-linked gene without measurable
impact of its linear distance from the *XIST* integration site, across a large span of expression
values, and in irreversible fashion [Fig. 2]. Interestingly, the human inactive X in pluripotent stem
cells frequently undergoes progressive reactivation following loss of *XIST* expression [64], the order
of which we recently showed was determined in part by the distance to the nearest escapee gene
[65]. While our T21-XIST dox withdrawal was limited to 3-6 weeks, we found little evidence of
reactivation over this period, indicating that DNA methylation served to maintain T21 silencing
[Fig. 2]. Whether this remarkable epigenetic stability was due to the paucity of HSA21 genes
escaping XIST to seed potential reactivation, or the level of *XIST* prior to dox withdrawal, and to
which degree these two aspects are related, represent productive areas for future work.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: T21 hiPSCs induce *XIST* transgene and deposit heterochromatin on one HSA21 allele

(A)   Schematic of the TRE3G-XIST cDNA transgene integrated on HSA21[P]

(B)   Representative images (left) for XIST-FISH, and H2AK119ub and H3K27me3 IF, and image

quantification (right). A control panel of XIST negative 3wk w/d images for XIST-FISH are included.

(C)   Schematic of cell lines and sample collection timepoints and dox treatment conditions.

Samples were collected after 3-9 wks of +dox or no-dox treatment, or 3 wk of +dox and 3 or 6

wks of dox withdrawal. A subset of samples was treated with dox for 2mo+ prior to

collection/withdrawal.

(D)   *XIST* expression in T21-XIST samples from mRNA-seq (VST, variance-stabilized

transformed counts, approaching a log-transformation).

Figure 2: *XIST*-mediated silencing extends across entire HSA21[P] and attracts DNAme

(A)   Representation of M/M2 and P alleles of all protein-coding HSA21 genes across dox

conditions and genotypes. Thick black line at 0.5 marks expected biallelic expression in euploid

(D21) cells, and dashed thin black line expected $1/3^{rd}$ representation for HSA21[P] allele in T21 cells.

(B)   Heatmap showing VST counts normalized to the mean count in T21 samples (left panels)

for all expressed genes on HSA21.

(C)   Distribution of log2(FC) (log2-foldChange) relative to no-dox T21 samples, for all

differentially expressed genes (DEGs, p.adj ≤ 0.1). "Combined" represents the differential

expression condition used in subsequent figures. Dashed black line represents the -0.59 log2FC

expected for complete T21 dosage correction. Blue and green shaded bands correspond to ±10%

and ±0.15 variation from a log2(FC) of -0.59.

(D)   Log2(FC) values across HSA21 genes (arranged by position) compared to T21 samples.

Bubble colors shaded as indicated in the legend above, bubble size reflects base mean

expression.

(E)   As in (B) but only displaying the genes with that maintain a LAF ≥ $1/6^{th}$ in allelic T21 mRNA-

seq in +dox or w/d conditions.

(F)   Distribution of DNAme values from promoter-associated methylEPIC probes on HSA21

genes in T21-XIST no-dox, +dox, and w/d.

Figure 3: Transcriptome-wide response to T21 gene dosage and correction via *XIST*

(A)   Fraction of genes differentially expressed in response to T21 (top, relative to euploid) and

T21-XIST dosage correction (bottom, relative to T21 and no-dox) by direction (increasing,

decreasing, or unchanged, ~0) and by chromosome.

(B)   PCA of all mRNA-seq samples, batch-corrected for timepoint and dox status. Open

symbols for original euploid (198-1/2) and T21 (198-5) lines, closed symbols for T21-XIST

transgenic lines (c1/4/7). Colors indicate effective HSA21 dosage as indicated in Figures 1 and 2

(w/d colored separately to distinguish from +dox T21-XIST samples).

(C)   Overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over the dox effect

(top, non-transgene +dox/no-dox) or T21-XIST dosage correction (T21XIST+dox & withdrawal /

T21). Adjusted p-values were combined (C.padj, calculated using Fisher's method) for all DEGs,

and colored by $-\log_{10}(C.padj)$ provided C.padj ≤0.1 (or grey for C.padj > 0.1). Linear regression is

plotted along with the Spearman correlation coefficient and p-value for all genes.

(D)   Overlap of enriched GSEA terms comparing normalized enrichment scores (NES) for hiPSC

T21/D21 over dosage-corrected T21-XIST/T21. Bubble plots corresponds to the $-\log_{10}$(combined

pvalue by Fisher's method). Colors denote chosen categories (all with combined pvalue ≤ 0.2).

(E)   Individual significantly-enriched terms (combined $p \leq 0.1$) from each of the categories in

(D), selected by a concordance measure (euclidean distance from origin in D). Colors denote NES,

bubble size the individual $-\log_{10}(p.adj)$.

Figure 4: Concordant T21 dosage imbalance and transcriptomic response after neural differentiation

(A)   Heatmap showing VST counts normalized to the mean count in T21 neural samples (left

panels) for all expressed genes on HSA21.

(B)   Distribution of log2(fold-change) from HSA21 genes comparing neural T21 to D21. Dashed

black line denotes expected log2(FC) of +0.59 (all HSA21 genes shown, irrespective of p.adj).

(C) Overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over neural T21/D21

samples (x-axis). Adjusted p-values were combined and colored as in Fig. 3C, with linear

regression plotted (Spearman coefficient and p-value for all genes).

(D) Overlap of enriched GSEA terms comparing normalized enrichment scores (NES) for hiPSC

T21/D21 (y-axis) over neural T21/D21. Bubble plots corresponds to the -log10(combined pvalue

by Fisher's method). Colors denote chosen categories (all with combined pvalue ≤ 0.2).

(E) As in (D) but combining neural T21/D21 GSEA (x-axis) with GSEA of dosage-corrected T21-

XIST hiPSCs (hiPSC rescue) on the y-axis.

Figure 5: Early astrogenesis at neurogenic expense is suppressed by T21 dosage correction prior

to NPC stage

(A) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of H2AK119ub (IF) and XIST (FISH)

signal after neural differentiation from dox treated cells. Dox treatment was either started while

cells were hiPSCs (top), or on the first day of differentiation ("d0", bottom).

(B) Relative lineage commitment to astrocytes (s100b) or neurons (MAP2) in euploid, T21,

and T21-XIST cells under different dox treatments. Error bars represent the standard error of the

mean based on the number of rounds of differentiation.

(C) Representative images at D28 of neural differentiation in euploid (left column), trisomic

(center column) and rescued (right column) labeled for neuronal MAP2 (red), astroglial S100B

(green) and H2AK119ub accumulating on HSA21 (white). Insets display H2AK119ub foci are sen

in astrocytes and neurons alike.

Figure 6: T21-XIST transgene remains inducible and attracts H2AK119ub in post-mitotic

neurons and astrocytes

(A) *XIST* (red) and EdU (green) quantification on D36 of neural differentiation (4 days

following completion of DAPT treatment and beginning dox treatment). Less than 6% of

cells were EdU+ (green), EdU+XIST+ cells represented less than 2% of total cells.

(B) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of H2AK119ub (yellow) IF and XIST-

FISH (red) signal after neural differentiation from different dox treatments.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1

(A)   Taqman copy number (CN) assay for 21q22 (*DYRK1A*) in GM00260-derived hiPSC clones.

(B)   Taqman CN assay for 17q25 (*SEC14L1*) in GM00260-derived hiPSC clones.

(C)   DNA SureFISH for chr21q, and FastFISH chrX, chr8, chr12, and chr17 centromeres in 198-

6/8 with counts and images denoting the dominant staining pattern.

(D)   Summary of CytoSNP850k calls across GM00260 and its reprogrammed hiPSC clones. Calls

marked with a red 'x' were common across all lines, including donor fibroblasts. Call marked with

a small 'x' were line-specific, except for T21 (denoted by a capital 'X').

(E)   Absolute difference in allele (theta) frequency of CytoSNP850k probes comparing T21 and

euploid clones (D21), colored by T21-specific base calls (red/blue) and hetero/homozygosity

(grey and yellow, respectively).

(F)   DNA FISH against DSCR8 (Vysis, LSI21) in interphase and metaphase spreads of validated

hiPSC clones, with counts and images denoting the dominant staining pattern.

(G)   Taqman CN assay for 21q22 (*DYRK1A*) across all AAVS-rTTA subclones of T21 198-5 hiPSCs.

Figure S2

(A)   Germ layer-specific and pluripotency marker expression across the euploid (198-1/2),

T21 (198-5), and transgenic T21-XIST (c1/4/7) hiPSC lines.

(B)   Change in log2-transformed expression relative to corresponding T21 samples in this and

the two referenced T21-XIST studies. Mean difference of HSA21 gene expression comparing w/d

T21-XIST samples and T21 samples. Line type denotes the length of the initial dox treatment, and

color the duration of dox w/d.

(C)   Mean difference of HSA21 gene expression comparing w/d T21-XIST samples and T21

samples. Line type denotes the length of the initial dox treatment, and color the duration of dox

w/d.

Figure S3

(A)   Same as Fig. 3C but excluding HSA21 genes: overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over the dox effect (top, non-transgene +dox/no-dox) or T21-XIST dosage correction (T21XIST+dox & withdrawal / T21). Adjusted p-values were combined (C.padj, calculated using Fisher's method), and colored by -log10(C.padj) provided C.padj ≤0.1 (or grey for C.padj > 0.1). Linear regression is plotted along with the Spearman correlation coefficient and p-value for all non-HSA21 genes.

(B)   Individual significantly-enriched terms (combined p ≤ 0.1) from each of the categories in Fig. 4(D,E), selected by a concordance measure (euclidean distance from origin in D). Colors denote NES, bubble size the individual -log10(p.adj).

Figure S4

Comparison of terms over-represented in GSEA across hiPSC T21, neural T21, T21 dosage correction ("rescue"), and the dox-effect in non-transgenic hiPSCs. Terms from Hallmark, KEGG, and WikiPathways (WP) are shown. All terms are sorted by hiPSC T21 NES (normalized enrichment score from GSEA), with a p.adj thresholds of p ≤ 0.1 for hiPSC T21, and additionally p.adj ≤ 0.2 for the three additional panels (neural T21, T21 rescue, and dox-effect).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Reprogramming, hiPSC validation and culture

Human male fibroblast line (GM00260) mosaic for trisomy 21 (T21) was obtained from the Coriell Institute (Camden, NJ) and reprogrammed by the University of Connecticut's Cell and Genome Engineering Core, using the CytoTune hiPSC 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Genomic DNA from hiPSC clones was screened via duplex Taqman Copy Number (CN) Assay (*DYRK1A* - Hs01748441_cn and RNaseP, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to select euploid and T21 lines, followed by additional screening for Chr17q Taqman CN assay (*SEC14L1*, Hs05476397_cn), and the hPSC Genetic Analysis Kit (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). Interphase and M phase nuclei were also screened via FASTFISH (WiCell, Madison, WI) using Chr 20q (BCL2L1) and centromere enumeration probes for Chr 8, 12, 17, and X, alongside a SureFISH assay for Chr 21q (DSCR8). Selected hiPSC lines were further screened by aCGH (Infinium BeadChip CytoSNP 850K assay, Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the Center for Genome Innovation, Institute for Systems Genomics at the University of Connecticut.

T21 and euploid hiPSCs were cultured on mitotically inactive mouse embryonic fibroblasts (mEFs) in standard hiPSC media in 5% CO_2 at 37°C (80% DMEM/F12, 20% Knockout Serum Replacement, 1% Glutamax, 1% Non-Essential Amino Acids, 0.1% ß-Mercaptoethanol, and 8ng/mL bFGF, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and passaged weekly by manual disruption of 6-10 representative colonies. For hiPSC cultures requiring doxycycline induction, 2µM doxycycline was added to the culture media.

Neural differentiation

T21 and euploid hiPSCs were passaged manually onto mEFs and in hiPSC medium. On day 2 post-passage, cultures were switched into N2B27 neural differentiation medium (Neurobasal medium (Gibco), 2% B27 (Gibco), 1% N2(DMEM:F12 (Gibco), 25ug/ml insulin (Sigma), 100ug/ml holo-transferrin, 100uM putrescine, 20nM progesterone, 30nM selenite), 1% ITS-A (Gibco), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco), and 1% Glutamax (Gibco), supplemented with noggin at 500 ng/ml (R&D Systems). Cultures were fed and supplemented with Noggin every other day through

day 10. For neural differentiation with doxycycline induction, 1 µM doxycycline ,or 0.01 µM for

low-dose cultures, was added to the culture media. Cultures were fed N2B27 until the

appearance of mature rosette structures (D14-D16). Rosette-stage cultures were passaged

manually 1:1 onto poly-d-lysine (PDL) and laminin-coated tissue culture plates and grown to

confluence. Cells were cultured until D23 and passaged enyzmatically with Accutase (Invitrogen)

onto PDL and laminin-coated substrates at 1.9x10^4/cm2- 2.2x10^4. At D25, cultures were

switched to Neural Differentiation medium (NDM) (Neurobasal medium (Gibco), 2% B27 (Gibco),

1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco) 2mM Glutamax

(Gibco) 10 ng/ml brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Peprotech), 10ng/ml glial-derived

neurotrophic factor (GDNF; Peprotech), 1uM cyclic AMP (cAMP;Sigma), and 200uM ascorbic acid

(AA; Sigma). Cells were cultured in NDM until assay endpoint. For DAPT-treated cultures, DAPT

(Tocris Bioscience) was added for 5 days at 10uM.

Nucleic acid purification

Genomic DNA was purified from fresh or frozen pelleted cells using three different methods

depending on application. For colony PCR screening, genomic DNA was extracted via standard

high-salt chloroform extraction. For standard PCR, and array hybridization, cell pellets were

resuspended in either cell lysis buffer 1 (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 100 mM NaCl; 10 mM EDTA, pH

8.0; 1% SDS; 200 µg/ml Proteinase K), or cell lysis buffer 2 (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 200 mM NaCl;

10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5% Sarcosyl; 1 mg/mL Proteinase K) and incubated at 55°C for 3 hours to

overnight with optional RNAse A, 10 ug/mL treatment following at 37C for 5 minutes. Genomic

DNA was isolated using Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) (Invitrogen, Waltham,

MA), precipitated with either isopropanol or 300 mM sodium acetate with 2 volumes of 100%

chilled ethanol and 15 minutes at -80C, washed with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in TE buffer.

For linked-read WGS, high molecular weight (HMW) genomic DNA was prepared from fresh or

frozen iPS cell pellets, following the protocol listed in the Chromium Genome Reagent Kits v2

User Guide (10x Genomics, Pleasanton, CA), using the MagAttract HMW Kit (Qiagen,

Germantown, MD) with minor modifications.

Plasmid and fosmid DNA was purified with either the Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep kit or ZymoPURE II

Plasmid Midiprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). Following restriction digests or PCR, DNA

purification was performed with the Monarch PCR & DNA Cleanup kit (New England Biolabs,

Ipswich, MA), or the DNA Clean & Concentrator Kit (Zymo Research). For RNA isolation, the

PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used, followed by cDNA synthesis using the

iScript gDNA Clear cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Gene expression was measured via qRT-PCR using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-

Rad Laboratories) and the ΔCt method. Primer specificity was confirmed by melting curve

analysis, and relative expression was calculated as %GAPDH ($100/2^{\Delta Ct}$). Taqman CN assays were

normalized to 198-1 genomic DNA. HOT FIREPol Probe Universal PCR Mix Plus - no ROX (Solis

BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) was used per manufacturer's instructions, using 4 ng of high-quality

genomic DNA template in 20 ul reactions. The hPSC Genetic Analysis Kit (Stemcell Technologies)

was used following the manufacturer's instructions. All qPCR reactions were performed in

triplicate in a Bio-Rad CFX 96 Real-Time System.

Plasmid construction

For PCR amplifications, either Herculase II polymerase (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), Platinum Taq

High Fidelity (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Advantage 2 polymerase (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan), or

Q5 Hi-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) was used. For cloning reactions except the

large *XIST* insert steps, ligations were performed with T4 DNA ligase, 2,000,000 units/mL (New

England Biolabs) and DH10B homemade chemically competent cells were used at 37°C. For all

cloning with XIST cDNA fragments aside from ligation of oligo not followed by transformation

(which used the same T4 DNA ligase), the TaKaRa DNA Ligation Kit LONG (TaKaRa Bio) was used

along with NEB Stable Competent E. coli (New England Biolabs) with bacterial incubations at 25-

30°C to mitigate instability in initial steps observed at 37°C.

To clone the XIST transgene cDNA in a temporary pUC19 vector, a 10 kb fragment containing

most of XIST exon 1 was cut from a fosmid (WI2-935P22, BACPAC Genomics) with SacII and PshAI.

Linker oligos were used to clone the 10 kb SacII and PshAI fragment into the KpnI and XbaI sites

of pUC19, respectively. The 5'-most end of *XIST* exon 1 was PCR amplified, concurrently adding a

5' PmeI restriction site. The resulting PCR product was digested with PmeI and BtgZI and cloned

in between the PmeI/BtgZI restriction sites of the XIST exon 1-containg plasmid. The 3' end of

exon 1 through through exon 6 was amplified from cDNA of Wi-38 cells (AG07217, Coriell

Institute), while adding a 3' SalI restriction site. The resulting PCR was digested with PshAI and

SalI and cloned between the PshAI and SalI sites of the plasmid from the prior step to complete

the XIST cDNA construct.

The *UBC* promoter from the FUGW construct [66] (Addgene plasmid #14883) was cloned into

AAVS1-Neo-M2rtTA [67] (Addgene plasmid #60843). The *UBC* promoter was PCR-amplified with

flanking PvuII and FseI sites, and cloned into the AleI and FseI sites on the AAVS1-neo-M2rtTA

plasmid. This rtTA-advanced ORF was replaced with rtTA3G from the pCMV-Tet-3G plasmid (

TaKaRa Bio), by by XbaI and XmaI digestion and cloning of three separate fragments to create

the final rtTA vector AAVS1-NEO-UBrtTA-3G.

To generate the final targeting vector, pTRE3G (TaKaRa Bio) was used as the backbone, following

excision of an XbaI fragment to reduce the size of the vector via removal of the SV40 small intron,

NLS, and SV40 polyA sequences. The 5' homology arm for Ch21 was generated by PCR with EcoRI

sites on each primer using the genomic DNA of the destination cell line as the template. This PCR

product was ligated into the EcoRI sites of the pTRE3G vector to make pTRE3G-5P. Next a fusion

PCR product was generated, harboring a BGH polyA amplified from pl452 [68] and the 3' homology

arm using overlapping PCR from the genomic DNA of the destination cell line. This PCR product

was cloned into the PstI and BamHI sites of the pTRE3G-5P to make pTRE3G-5P3P. Next a loxp-

flanked PGK-puro-TK fragment was removed from vector pl452-puroTK by XhoI digest. The

fragment was cloned into the XhoI sites of the vector pTRE3G-5P3P. This pl452-puroTK vector

was derived from plasmids pl452 and plasmid pLCA.66/2272 [69] (Addgene plasmid #22733) by

removing the PGKpuroTK with AgeI and BbsI and cloning that fragment into pl452 using the same

enzymes. To add the XIST cDNA construct, this CMV-puroTK-TRE3G vector was digested with

MluI and SbfI, and a phosphorylated linker oligo was ligated to purified, digested backbone,

creating a SacI-compatible overhang. Following purification, this vector was ligated to the SbfI-

SacI fragment containing the *XIST* cDNA construct, to create the TRE3G-XIST cDNA targeting

vector.

hiPSC targeting and screening

Targeting was performed using a Lonza Nucleofector 4D (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) with primary

cell kit P3 and program CD-150. AAVS1-Neo-ubrtTA was targeted to HSA21 of the T21 cell

line 198-5. Two ug of each AAVS1-targeting TALEN [70] was nucleofected along with 6 ug of AAVS1

integration vector. Cells were plated on mitotically inactivated DR4 MEFs (ATCC, Manassas,

Virginia) post-nucleofection. After 96 hours, cells were cultured with G418 at a concentration of

25 ug/ml. Selection was done for a total of 6 days. Resulting colonies were isolated and screened

for targeting. cDNAs from targeted clones were tested for expression of rtTA by RT-qPCR. AAVS1

TALEN-L (Addgene plasmid #59025) and AAVS1 TALEN-R (Addgene plasmid #59026) were used

for targeting the UBrtTA. Integrants were screened using (AAVS1 scr F/Neo R and AAVS1 3'scr

R/rtTA F). For targeting XIST transgene via CRISPR, guides designed to target the HSA21P copy

without cutting the HSA21$^{M/M2}$ alleles were cloned into PX459V2 (Addgene plasmid #48139) at

the BbsI site by annealing and ligating overlapping oligos. Two ug each this CRISPR guide plasmid

was used along with 6 ug XIST cDNA targeting vector. Cells were plated on DR4 MEFs and after

96 hours, puromycin was added to the culture at a concentration of 0.5 ug/ml for a total of 4

days. Resulting colonies were isolated and genotyped for targeting by PCR. Clones were verified

by sequencing across the target to verify the loss of the targeted allele.

Immunofluorescence and *XIST* RNA-FISH

For *XIST* RNA FISH,cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X and 2mM ribonucleoside vanadyl

complex (RVC) in CSK Buffer (10mM PIPES pH 6.8, 100mM NaCL, 3mM MgCl2, 300nM sucrose),

fixed in 4% PFA in PBS, then dehydrated through a series of ethanol washes (70%,80%, 90%, and

100%). Digoxigenin-labeled XIST probe hybridization was performed overnight in a humidity

chamber at 42°C. Anti-digoxigenin antibody (ThermoFisher; 700772) was hybridized for 1 hour at

RT. Secondary antibody hybridization (Alexa Fluor; Invitrogen; 1:500) followed for 1 hour at RT.

Nuclear DNA was counter-stained with Hoechst 33342 dye. Slides were imaged with an EVOS FL

Auto2 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). [71]

For immunofluorescence cells were grown in Nunc Lab-Tek II glass chamberslides coated with

poly-d-lysine and laminin (for neural differentiation) or permanox chamberslides with MEFs

(iPSCs). Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for

10 minutes at room temperature (RT), rinsed with PBS 3 times for 5 minutes and then

permeabilized in 1% Triton-X in PBS for another 10 minutes. Samples were blocked in blocking

buffer containing PBS, 0.1% Triton-X, 5% BSA and 2% normal goat serum (MilliporeSigma). Cells

were placed in antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C in a humidity chamber.

Primary antibodies were used as follows: MAP2 (Abcam; ab5392; 1:10,000), S100B (Sigma; s2532;

1:1000), H2AK119ub (Cell Signaling; 8240, 1:1600). Following washes in 0.1% Triton-X in PBS, 3

times for 5 minutes, cells were labeled with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies at 1:500 for 1 hour

at RT. Nuclei were counterstained withHoechst 33342and slides preserved in Prolong Gold

Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific). IF and FISH automated image quantification was

performed using a custom CellProfiler pipeline [71].

Cytogenomics, DNA methylation, Linked-read WGS and mRNA sequencing

For cytogenomic analysis, genomic DNA was labeled and hybridized to the Infinium BeadChip

CytoSNP 850K array (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. For

DNAme analysis, genomic DNA was bisulfite-converted using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo

Research, Irvine, CA), then labeled and hybridized using the Infinium Methylation EPIC BeadChip

Kit (Illumina) following standard protocol of each manufacturer. Both types of arrays were

scanned on a NextSeq 550 system (Illumina).

HMW genomic DNA was sequenced to ~30x coverage on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina), following

library preparation on the 10X Genomics Linked-Read platform at the Center for Genome

Innovation, Institute for Systems Genomics at the University of Connecticut. For standard mRNA-

seq, libraries were prepared using the Illumina stranded mRNA Kit and 100bp paired-ends reads

were sequenced to an average depth pf 40 million reads/replicate on the NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina,

San Diego, CA).

Expression and DNA methylation analysis

The FASTQ files were quality controlled using FASTQC, reads were filtered and adapter-trimmed

was done using BBDuk [72]. Expression quantification was performed using Salmon [73], and

differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 [74]. Gene set enrichments were

performed using the GSEA function from the R package clusterProfiler [75] on the following gene

sets: Biocarta, GO (Biological Processes, Cellular Components, and Molecular Functions), KEGG,

Pathway Interaction Database, Reactome, and WikiPathways. For DNAme analysis, the

MethylEPIC IDAT files were processed using the R package minfi and its preprocessIllumina

function to obtain methylation β-values [76]. Promoter probes were defined as having one of the

following designations in the array manifest file: TSS1500, TSS200, or 5_UTR.

Phased allelic RNA-seq analysis

The 10X Genomics Long Ranger pipeline was used to align reads, and call and phase SNPs, indels,

and structural variants from linked-read WGS reads. The processed FASTQs from above were

aligned using HISAT2 to the hg38 human reference genome [77]. Relevant HSA21 SNPs were

extracted from the Long Ranger output and used to get haplotype gene expression counts from

aligned RNA-seq bam files using the phASER software package [78]. While this provided reliable

intragenic phasing, for intergenic phasing on chr21 we relied on the imbalanced haplotype gene

expression in the T21, compared to its isogenic euploid controls. The higher expressed haplotype

was designated as the major (duplicated or HSA21$^{M/M2}$) haplotype and the other as the minor

haplotype (HSA21^{P}).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the University of Connecticut's Cell and Genome Engineering Core and Center for

Genome Innovation for reprogramming, as well as mRNA-seq, CytoSNP, linked-read WGS and

methylEPIC core services, respectively. This work was supported by grants from the LuMind IDSC

Foundation and the NIH (R35GM124926) to S.F.P.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

No competing interests

REFERENCES

732
1.   Capone, G. T., Chicoine, B., Bulova, P., Stephens, M., Hart, S., Crissman, B., *et al.* Co-
occurring medical conditions in adults with Down syndrome: A systematic review toward
the development of health care guidelines. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **176**, 116–133
(2018).
2.   Antonarakis, S. E., Skotko, B. G., Rafii, M. S., Strydom, A., Pape, S. E., Bianchi, D. W., *et al.*
Down syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* **6**, 1–20 (2020).
3.   Kazuki, Y., Gao, F. J., Li, Y., Moyer, A. J., Devenney, B., Hiramatsu, K., *et al.* A non-mosaic
transchromosomic mouse model of down syndrome carrying the long arm of human
chromosome 21. *Elife* **9**, 1–29 (2020).
4.   Herault, Y., Delabar, J. M., Fisher, E. M. C., Tybulewicz, V. L. J., Yu, E. & Brault, V. Rodent
models in Down syndrome research: impact and future opportunities. *Dis. Model. Mech.*
10, 1165–1186 (2017).
5.   Bhattacharyya, A. Advancing knowledge of down syndrome brain development and
function with human stem cells. *Am. J. Intellect. Dev. Disabil.* **25**, 90–92 (2020).
6.   Suzuki, I. K. & Vanderhaeghen, P. Is this a brain which i see before me? Modeling human
neural development with pluripotent stem cells. *Development (Cambridge)* vol. 142
3138–3150 (2015).
7.   van den Ameele, J., Tiberi, L., Vanderhaeghen, P. & Espuny-Camacho, I. Thinking out of
the dish: what to learn about cortical development using pluripotent stem cells. *Trends*
Neurosci. **37**, 334–42 (2014).
8.   Wiseman, F. K., Al-Janabi, T., Hardy, J., Karmiloff-Smith, A., Nizetic, D., Tybulewicz, V. L. J.,
et al. A genetic cause of Alzheimer disease: Mechanistic insights from Down syndrome.
Nature Reviews Neuroscience vol. 16 564–574 (2015).
9.   Rosi, S. & Frias, E. S. The Integrated Stress Response: A Central Memory Switch in Down
Syndrome. *Cell Metab.* **31**, 15–17 (2020).
10.   Zhu, P. J., Khatiwada, S., Cui, Y., Reineke, L. C., Dooling, S. W., Kim, J. J., *et al.* Activation of
the ISR mediates the behavioral and neurophysiological abnormalities in down
syndrome. *Science (80-.).* **366**, 843–849 (2019).

11.   Tramutola, A., Lanzillotta, C., Arena, A., Barone, E., Perluigi, M. & Di Domenico, F.
762   Increased Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Signaling Contributes to the Accumulation of
763   Protein Oxidative Damage in a Mouse Model of Down's Syndrome. *Neurodegener. Dis.*
764   **16**, 62–68 (2016).

765   12.   Zamponi, E., Zamponi, N., Coskun, P., Quassollo, G., Lorenzo, A., Cannas, S. A., *et al.* Nrf2
766   stabilization prevents critical oxidative damage in Down syndrome cells. *Aging Cell* **17**,
767   e12812 (2018).

768   13.   Helguera, P., Pelsman, A., Pigino, G., Wolvetang, E., Head, E. & Busciglio, J. ets-2
769   promotes the activation of a mitochondrial death pathway in Down's syndrome neurons.
770   *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 2295–303 (2005).

771   14.   Helguera, P., Seiglie, J., Rodriguez, J., Hanna, M., Helguera, G. & Busciglio, J. Adaptive
772   downregulation of mitochondrial function in down syndrome. *Cell Metab.* **17**, 132–40
773   (2013).

774   15.   Di Domenico, F., Coccia, R., Cocciolo, A., Murphy, M. P., Cenini, G., Head, E., *et al.*
775   Impairment of proteostasis network in Down syndrome prior to the development of
776   Alzheimer's disease neuropathology: Redox proteomics analysis of human brain.
777   *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Basis Dis.* **1832**, 1249–1259 (2013).

778   16.   Hwang, S., Cavaliere, P., Li, R., Zhu, L. J., Dephoure, N. & Torres, E. M. Consequences of
779   aneuploidy in human fibroblasts with trisomy 21. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2014723118
780   (2021).

781   17.   Chiang, J. C., Jiang, J., Newburger, P. E. & Lawrence, J. B. Trisomy silencing by XIST
782   normalizes Down syndrome cell pathogenesis demonstrated for hematopoietic defects in
783   vitro. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, (2018).

784   18.   Jiang, J., Jing, Y., Cost, G. J., Chiang, J.-C., Kolpa, H. J., Cotton, A. M., *et al.* Translating
785   dosage compensation to trisomy 21. *Nature* **500**, 296–300 (2013).

786   19.   Pinter, S. F. A Tale of Two Cities: How Xist and its partners localize to and silence the
787   bicompartmental X. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **56**, 19–34 (2016).

788   20.   Wutz, A. & Jaenisch, R. A shift from reversible to irreversible X inactivation is triggered
789   during ES cell differentiation. *Mol. Cell* **5**, 695–705 (2000).

790   21.   Kohlmaier, A., Savarese, F., Lachner, M., Martens, J., Jenuwein, T. & Wutz, A. A
791   chromosomal memory triggered by Xist regulates histone methylation in X inactivation.
792   *PLoS Biol* **2**, E171 (2004).

793   22.   Savarese, F., Flahndorfer, K., Jaenisch, R., Busslinger, M. & Wutz, A. Hematopoietic
794   precursor cells transiently reestablish permissiveness for X inactivation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*
795   **26**, 7167–77 (2006).

796   23.   Agrelo, R., Souabni, A., Novatchkova, M., Haslinger, C., Leeb, M., Komnenovic, V., *et al.*
797   SATB1 defines the developmental context for gene silencing by Xist in lymphoma and
798   embryonic cells. *Dev. Cell* **16**, 507–516 (2009).

799   24.   Czermiński, J. T. & Lawrence, J. B. Silencing Trisomy 21 with XIST in Neural Stem Cells
800   Promotes Neuronal Differentiation. *Dev. Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.12.015.

801   25.   Kawatani, K., Nambara, T., Nawa, N., Yoshimatsu, H., Kusakabe, H., Hirata, K., *et al.* A
802   human isogenic iPSC-derived cell line panel identifies major regulators of aberrant
803   astrocyte proliferation in Down syndrome. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 730 (2021).

26.   Guidi, S., Bonasoni, P., Ceccarelli, C., Santini, D., Gualtieri, F., Ciani, E., *et al.* Neurogenesis

impairment and increased cell death reduce total neuron number in the hippocampal
region of fetuses with Down syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* **18**, 180–197 (2008).

27.   Zdaniuk, G., Wierzba-Bobrowicz, T., Szpak, G. M. & Stępień, T. Astroglia disturbances
during development of the central nervous system in fetuses with Down's syndrome.
Folia Neuropathol. **49**, 109–114 (2011).

28.   Lu, J., Esposito, G., Scuderi, C., Steardo, L., Delli-Bovi, L. C., Hecht, J. L., *et al.* S100B and
APP promote a gliocentric shift and impaired neurogenesis in down syndrome neural
progenitors. *PLoS One* **6**, (2011).

29.   Lu, J., Lian, G., Zhou, H., Esposito, G., Steardo, L., Delli-Bovi, L. C., *et al.* OLIG2 over-
expression impairs proliferation of human Down syndrome neural progenitors. *Hum.*
Mol. Genet. **21**, 2330–2340 (2012).

30.   Guidi, S., Giacomini, A., Stagni, F., Emili, M., Uguagliati, B., Bonasoni, M. P., *et al.*
Abnormal development of the inferior temporal region in fetuses with Down syndrome.
Brain Pathol. **28**, 986–998 (2018).

31.   Holtzman, D. M., Santucci, D., Kilbridge, J., Chua-Couzens, J., Fontana, D. J., Daniels, S. E.,
et al. Developmental abnormalities and age-related neurodegeneration in a mouse
model of Down syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**, 13333–13338 (1996).

32.   Mori, T., Koyama, N., Arendash, G. W., Horikoshi-Sakuraba, Y., Tan, J. & Town, T.
Overexpression of human S100B exacerbates cerebral amyloidosis and gliosis in the
Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* **58**, 300–314 (2010).

33.   Trazzi, S., Fuchs, C., Valli, E., Perini, G., Bartesaghi, R. & Ciani, E. The amyloid precursor
protein (APP) triplicated gene impairs neuronal precursor differentiation and neurite
development through two different domains in the ts65dn mouse model for down
syndrome. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 20817–20829 (2013).

34.   Kurabayashi, N., Nguyen, M. D. & Sanada, K. DYRK1A overexpression enhances STAT
activity and astrogliogenesis in a Down syndrome mouse model. *EMBO Rep.* **16**, 1548–
1562 (2015).

35.   Stagni, F., Giacomini, A., Emili, M., Uguagliati, B., Bonasoni, M. P., Bartesaghi, R., *et al.*
Subicular hypotrophy in fetuses with Down syndrome and in the Ts65Dn model of Down
syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* **29**, 366–379 (2019).

36.   Lubiniecki, A. S., Blattner, W. A., Martin, G. M., Fialkow, P. J., Dosik, H., Eatherly, C., *et al.*
SV40 T-antigen expression in cultured fibroblasts from patients with Down syndrome and
their parents. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **31**, 469–477 (1979).

37.   Laurent, L. C., Ulitsky, I., Slavin, I., Tran, H., Schork, A., Morey, R., *et al.* Dynamic changes
in the copy number of pluripotency and cell proliferation genes in human ESCs and iPSCs
during reprogramming and time in culture. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**, 106–118 (2011).

38.   Taapken, S. M., Nisler, B. S., Newton, M. A., Sampsell-Barron, T. L., Leonhard, K. A.,
McIntire, E. M., *et al. Karotypic abnormalities in human induced pluripotent stem cells*
and embryonic stem cells. *Nature Biotechnology* vol. 29 313–314 (2011).

39.   Papavassiliou, P., Charalsawadi, C., Rafferty, K. & Jackson-Cook, C. Mosaicism for trisomy
21: A review. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **167**, 26–39 (2015).

40.   Weick, J. P., Held, D. L., Bonadurer, G. F., Doers, M. E., Liu, Y., Maguire, C., *et al.* Deficits
in human trisomy 21 iPSCs and neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 9962–7
(2013).

41. Hibaoui, Y., Grad, I., Letourneau, A., Sailani, M. R., Dahoun, S., Santoni, F. A., *et al.*
Modelling and rescuing neurodevelopmental defect of Down syndrome using induced
pluripotent stem cells from monozygotic twins discordant for trisomy 21. *EMBO Mol.*
Med. **6**, 259–77 (2014).
42. Murray, A., Letourneau, A., Canzonetta, C., Stathaki, E., Gimelli, S., Sloan-Bena, F., *et al.*
Brief Report: Isogenic Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines From an Adult With Mosaic
Down Syndrome Model Accelerated Neuronal Ageing and Neurodegeneration. *Stem Cells*
33, 2077–2084 (2015).
43. MacLean, G. A., Menne, T. F., Guo, G., Sanchez, D. J., Park, I.-H., Daley, G. Q., *et al.*
Altered hematopoiesis in trisomy 21 as revealed through in vitro differentiation of
isogenic human pluripotent cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 17567–17572 (2012).
44. Inoue, M., Kajiwara, K., Yamaguchi, A., Kiyono, T., Samura, O., Akutsu, H., *et al.*
Autonomous trisomic rescue of Down syndrome cells. *Lab. Investig.* **99**, 885–897 (2019).
45. Moullan, N., Mouchiroud, L., Wang, X., Ryu, D., Williams, E. G., Mottis, A., *et al.*
Tetracyclines disturb mitochondrial function across eukaryotic models: A call for caution
in biomedical research. *Cell Rep.* **10**, 1681–1691 (2015).
46. Izzo, A., Mollo, N., Nitti, M., Paladino, S., Calì, G., Genesio, R., *et al.* Mitochondrial
dysfunction in down syndrome: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Mol.*
Med. **24**, 2 (2018).
47. Valenti, D., Braidy, N., De Rasmo, D., Signorile, A., Rossi, L., Atanasov, A. G., *et al.*
Mitochondria as pharmacological targets in Down syndrome. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **114**,
69–83 (2018).
48. Parra, V., Altamirano, F., Hernández-Fuentes, C. P., Tong, D., Kyrychenko, V., Rotter, D.,
et al. Down Syndrome Critical Region 1 Gene, Rcan1, Helps Maintain a More Fused
Mitochondrial Network. *Circ. Res.* **122**, e20–e33 (2018).
49. Wolvetang, E. ., Bradfield, O. ., Hatzistavrou, T., Crack, P. ., Busciglio, J., Kola, I., *et al.*
Overexpression of the chromosome 21 transcription factor Ets2 induces neuronal
apoptosis. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **14**, 349–356 (2003).
50. Hu, Y., Lehrach, H. & Janitz, M. Apoptosis screening of human chromosome 21 proteins
reveals novel cell death regulators. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **37**, 3381–3387 (2010).
51. Noll, C., Tlili, A., Ripoll, C., Mallet, L., Paul, J. L., Delabar, J. M., *et al.* Dyrk1a activates
antioxidant NQO1 expression through an ERK1/2-Nrf2 dependent mechanism. *Mol.*
Genet. Metab. **105**, 484–488 (2012).
52. Liu, Y., Borel, C., Li, L., Müller, T., Williams, E. G., Germain, P. L., *et al.* Systematic
proteome and proteostasis profiling in human Trisomy 21 fibroblast cells. *Nat. Commun.*
2017 81 **8**, 1–15 (2017).
53. Banda, E. & Grabel, L. Directed Differentiation of Human Embryonic Stem Cells into
Neural Progenitors. in *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* vol. 1307 289–298
(2014).
54. Banda, E., McKinsey, A., Germain, N., Carter, J., Anderson, N. C. & Grabel, L. Cell Polarity
and Neurogenesis in Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Neural Rosettes. *Stem Cells Dev.* **24**,
1022–1033 (2015).
55. Molofsky, A. V., Krencick, R., Ullian, E. M., Tsai, H. -h., Deneen, B., Richardson, W. D., *et*
al. Astrocytes and disease: a neurodevelopmental perspective. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 891–907

(2012).

56. Tobe, R., Naranjo-Suarez, S., Everley, R. A., Carlson, B. A., Turanov, A. A., Tsuji, P. A., *et al.* High Error Rates in Selenocysteine Insertion in Mammalian Cells Treated with the Antibiotic Doxycycline, Chloramphenicol, or Geneticin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 14709–14715 (2013).

57. Briggs, J. A., Sun, J., Shepherd, J., Ovchinnikov, D. A., Chung, T.-L., Nayler, S. P., *et al.* Integration-Free Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Model Genetic and Neural Developmental Features of Down Syndrome Etiology. *Stem Cells* **31**, 467–478 (2013).

58. Beckervordersandforth, R. Mitochondrial Metabolism-Mediated Regulation of Adult Neurogenesis. *Brain Plast.* **3**, 73–87 (2017).

59. Neri, F., Rapelli, S., Krepelova, A., Incarnato, D., Parlato, C., Basile, G., *et al.* Intragenic DNA methylation prevents spurious transcription initiation. *Nat. 2017 5437643* **543**, 72–77 (2017).

60. Wang, J., Syrett, C. M., Kramer, M. C., Basu, A., Atchison, M. L. & Anguera, M. C. Unusual maintenance of X chromosome inactivation predisposes female lymphocytes for increased expression from the inactive X. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 201520113 (2016) doi:10.1073/pnas.1520113113.

61. Chow, J. C., Hall, L. L., Baldry, S. E. L., Thorogood, N. P., Lawrence, J. B. & Brown, C. J. Inducible XIST-dependent X-chromosome inactivation in human somatic cells is reversible. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 10104–9 (2007).

62. Kelsey, A. D., Yang, C., Leung, D., Minks, J., Dixon-McDougall, T., Baldry, S. E. L., *et al.* Impact of flanking chromosomal sequences on localization and silencing by the human non-coding RNA XIST. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 1–16 (2015).

63. Loda, A., Brandsma, J. H., Vassilev, I., Servant, N., Loos, F., Amirnasr, A., *et al.* Genetic and epigenetic features direct differential efficiency of Xist-mediated silencing at X-chromosomal and autosomal locations. *Nat. Commun. 2017 81* **8**, 1–16 (2017).

64. Wutz, A. Epigenetic Alterations in Human Pluripotent Stem Cells: A Tale of Two Cultures. *Cell Stem Cell* **11**, 9–15 (2012).

65. Bansal, P., Ahern, D. T., Kondaveeti, Y., Qiu, C. W. & Pinter, S. F. Contiguous erosion of the inactive X in human pluripotency concludes with global DNA hypomethylation. *Cell Rep.* **35**, 109215 (2021).

66. Lois, C., Hong, E. J., Pease, S., Brown, E. J. & Baltimore, D. Germline transmission and tissue-specific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral vectors. *Science* **295**, 868–872 (2002).

67. DeKelver, R. C., Choi, V. M., Moehle, E. A., Paschon, D. E., Hockemeyer, D., Meijsing, S. H., *et al.* Functional genomics, proteomics, and regulatory DNA analysis in isogenic settings using zinc finger nuclease-driven transgenesis into a safe harbor locus in the human genome. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1133–42 (2010).

68. Liu, P., Jenkins, N. A. & Copeland, N. G. A Highly Efficient Recombineering-Based Method for Generating Conditional Knockout Mutations. *Genome Res.* **13**, 476–484 (2003).

69. Chen, S. X., Osipovich, A. B., Ustione, A., Potter, L. A., Hipkens, S., Gangula, R., *et al.* Quantification of factors influencing fluorescent protein expression using RMCE to generate an allelic series in the ROSA26 locus in mice. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **4**, (2011).

70. Zhu, Z., González, F. & Huangfu, D. The iCRISPR Platform for Rapid Genome Editing in

Human Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Methods Enzymol.* **546**, 215–250 (2014).

71.   Mcquin, C., Goodman, A., Chernyshev, V., Kamentsky, L., Cimini, A., Karhohs, K. W., *et al.*
Cellprofiler 3.0. *PLoS Biol.* 1–17 (2018).

72.   Bushnell, B. BBTools.

73.   Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).

74.   Love, M. I., Huber, W., Anders, S., Tusher, V., Banks, E., Fennell, T., *et al.* Moderated
estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*
15, 550 (2014).

75.   Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal
enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innov.* **2**, 100141 (2021).

76.   Aryee, M. J., Jaffe, A. E., Corrada-Bravo, H., Ladd-Acosta, C., Feinberg, A. P., Hansen, K. D.,
et al. Minfi: A flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of
Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1363–1369 (2014).

77.   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 907–
915 (2019).

78.   Castel, S. E., Mohammadi, P., Chung, W. K., Shen, Y. & Lappalainen, T. Rare variant
phasing and haplotypic expression from RNA sequencing with phASER. *Nat. Commun.* **7**,
1–6 (2016).

Figure 3

(A) **Expression relative to 198–5**

Row Norm.
VST Count   −1.0 −0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0

T21   D21

Protein
Coding

lncRNA

Pseudo-
gene

Samples

(B) **Log2(FC) Neural T21/D21**

density

log2(FC)

**Correlation between hiPSC & neural T21/D21
gene expression**

(C)

−log10
(c.padj)   1 2 3 4 5

NA

$R = 0.13$, $p < 2.2e−16$

log2FC(hiPSC T21 vs. D21)

log2FC(Neural T21 vs. D21)

(D) **T21 hiPSC and Neural Term Overlap**

NES (hiPSC T21/D21)

NES (Neural T21/D21)

Category
- apoptosis
- serine
- stress
- ER
- mitochondria
- ribosome
- translation
- other

(E) **T21 hiPSC Rescue and Neural Term Overlap**

NES (hiPSC Rescue)

NES (Neural T21/D21)

Category
- apoptosis
- serine
- stress
- ER
- mitochondria
- ribosome
- translation
- other

Figure 6