1   **A dynamic *in vitro* model of Down Syndrome neurogenesis with Trisomy 21 gene dosage**

2   **correction**

5   Prakhar Bansal [1,2,†], Erin. C Banda [2,†], Heather R. Glatt-Deeley [2], Christopher E. Stoddard [3],

6   Jeremy W. Linsley [4,5], Neha Arora [4,5,6], Darcy T. Ahern [1,2,7], Yuvabharath Kondaveeti [2,8], Michael

7   Nicouleau [2,9], Miguel Sabariego-Navarro [10], Mara Dierssen [10,11,12,13], Steven Finkbeiner [4,5,14,15],

8   and Stefan F. Pinter [1,2,16, *]

[1] Graduate Program in Genetics and Developmental Biology, UCONN Health, University of

Connecticut, Farmington, CT, United States

[2] Department of Genetics and Genome Sciences, UCONN Health, University of Connecticut,

Farmington, CT, United States

[3] Cell and Genome Engineering Core, UCONN Health, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT,

United States

[4] Center for Systems and Therapeutics, Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, United States

[5] Taube/Koret Center for Neurodegenerative Disease, Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA

[6] Current: Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States

[7] Current: Intellia Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, United States

[8] Current: Enzerna Biosciences, Morrisville, NC, United States

[9] Current: Early Drug Discovery Unit, Montreal Neurology Institute, McGill University

[10] Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology,

08003 Barcelona, Spain

[11] Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain.

[12] Human Pharmacology and Clinical Neurosciences Research Group, Neurosciences Research

Program, Hospital Del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), 08003 Barcelona, Spain

[13] Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Spain

[14] Departments of Neurology and Physiology, University of California San Francisco, San

Francisco, CA, United States

[15] Neuroscience and Biomedical Sciences Graduate Programs, University of California San

Francisco, San Francisco, United States

[16] Institute for Systems Genomics, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT, United States

†These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author: spinter@uchc.edu

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY

Excess gene dosage from human chromosome 21 (chr21) causes Down syndrome (DS), spanning

developmental as well as acute phenotypes in terminal cell types. Which phenotypes remain

amenable to intervention after development is unknown. To address this question in a model of

DS neurogenesis, we generated trisomy 21 (T21) human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs)

alongside otherwise isogenic euploid controls from mosaic DS fibroblasts, and integrated an

inducible *XIST* transgene on one chr21 copy. Monoallelic chr21 silencing by *XIST* was near-

complete and irreversible in hiPSCs. Differential expression reveals T21 neural lineages and T21

hiPSCs suppress similar translation and mitochondrial pathways, and activate cellular stress

responses. When *XIST* is induced before the neural progenitor stage, T21 dosage correction

mitigates a pronounced skew towards astrogenesis in differentiation. Because our transgene

remained inducible in post-mitotic T21 neurons and astrocytes, we demonstrate *XIST* efficiently

represses genes even after terminal differentiation, which will empower exploration of cell type-

specific T21 phenotypes that remain responsive to chr21 dosage.

INTRODUCTION

As the most prevalent human viable aneuploidy (trisomy 21, T21 in up to 1:800-1:1000 live births), Down syndrome (DS) poses three significant challenges to understanding and addressing the clinical needs of people living with DS [1]. First, our understanding of the developmental etiology underlying cardiac, hematopoietic and neurological defects in DS is still limited [2]. Ever-more sophisticated rodent DS models faithfully carry most of human chromosome 21 (chr21) [3,4] or traverse syntenic boundaries to represent supernumerary mouse chr21 orthologs [5]. While these rodent models capture many important aspects of DS, human T21 induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are serving as an important complementary model for DS development and pre-clinical research [6]. These hiPSC models may prove especially relevant to human-specific aspects of development, for example by reflecting brain region-specific neural cell types [7], intrinsically longer human neuronal maturation [8], and a more rapidly evolving susceptibility to neurodegeneration that may be rooted in human neurodevelopment [9,10].

Second, we lack experimental approaches to systematically distinguish irreversible developmental phenotypes from "acute" cellular phenotypes that may remain amenable to postnatal intervention. Supernumerary chr21 genes, or their rodent orthologs, are present throughout differentiation or development of such DS hiPSC and rodent DS models, respectively. With few exceptions [11], we therefore have an incomplete view of which T21 phenotypes depend on ongoing excess dosage of specific chr21 genes in terminally differentiated cells. This question is particularly relevant to DS-associated neurodegeneration [12].

Third, cellular stress pathways that are chronically and systemically active in DS [13–18] and likely play an important role in DS neurodegeneration [19], are also shared with human trisomies 13 (T13) and 18 (T18) [20], but not with dosage-compensated sex-chromosomal trisomy. The most plausible origin for such common aneuploidy-associated cellular stress is the cumulative excess expression of the many autosomal genes that code for subunits of dosage-sensitive protein complexes. However, this interpretation has not yet been tested formally in the context of an autosomal trisomy, as it requires distinguishing excess expression from the mere presence of the supernumerary chromosome.

A human cellular model with dynamic T21 gene dosage would be instrumental towards

addressing these challenges, across a range of DS-affected cell fates and phenotypes. To

characterize the impact of T21 in hiPSCs and terminally differentiated cell types, we generated

our own dynamic hiPSC model of T21 dosage alongside otherwise isogenic controls from mosaic

DS. Pioneering work by the Lawrence lab demonstrated the utility of the long non-coding RNA

XIST, which endogenously silences the inactive X in females, for T21 dosage correction in DS

hiPSCs [21]. *XIST* RNA spreads from its site of transcription across the entire chromosome *in cis*, to

directly and indirectly recruit orthogonal repressive chromatin complexes to silence genes [22]. Yet,

earlier work in the mouse system indicated that *Xist* could establish gene silencing only during or

upon exiting pluripotency, but not after differentiation [23,24], with rare exception [25,26]. Recent work

by the Lawrence lab shows that human *XIST* can still silence genes up to the neural progenitor

cell (NPC) stage, but technical limitations hindered *XIST* induction in terminal, post-mitotic human

neural cells [27].

Here, we leverage phased allelic expression analysis to demonstrate that our new inducible

T21-*XIST* design enables uniform, near-complete and -irreversible T21 dosage correction in

hiPSCs. *XIST* suppresses T21 *trans*-effects, including cellular stress pathways that are also active

in T21 neural lineages. T21 silencing prior to the NPC stage rescues T21-altered neuro-astroglial

lineage balance that reflects excess gliogenesis previously observed in DS fetal samples [28–32] and

rodent models [33–35]. Because our T21-*XIST* system is also the first to effectively induce *XIST* and

repress chr21 dosage in post-mitotic neurons and astrocytes, this new model of dynamic T21

gene dosage will facilitate identification of cellular pathways and cell types that may present

promising targets for intervention after completed development.

RESULTS

T21 dosage correction by *XIST*-mediated monoallelic silencing in DS hiPSCs

To derive T21 hiPSCs alongside isogenic euploid control lines, we reprogrammed dermal

fibroblasts from a male individual with mosaic DS [36], and screened the resulting hiPSC clones

using copy-number sensitive qPCR [Fig. S1A,B]. Two T21 lines appeared to have a gain on chr17,

a frequent karyotypic abnormality primarily observed in human ESCs [37,38], but featured only two

centromere 17 signals by fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization (FISH) follow-up [Fig. S1C]. We

performed array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH, CytoSNP-850k) to confirm expected

karyotypes for fibroblasts, euploid and T21 hiPSCs, and to resolve segmental (17q) gains in the

two corresponding clones, which were excluded thereafter [Fig. S1D]. Differential probe intensity

analysis of the remaining T21 and euploid hiPSC lines also revealed a large set of heterozygous

SNPs with increased representation in the euploid lines spanning chr21, and a smaller focal set

that was lost in euploid lines [Fig. S1E]. These results suggested one chr21 copy from each parent

is maintained in euploid lines (chr21^M & chr21^P), whereas T21 hiPSCs carry an additional copy

(chr21^{M2}), likely a maternal chromatid retained via non-disjunction in meiosis II [39].

While T21 is stably maintained in hiPSCs after reprogramming [40–42], sporadic T21 loss has

been reported [43,44]. We therefore confirmed the mitotic stability of three chr21 copies by two

independent approaches. First, three independent signals were observed in 94.5% of T21 hiPSCs

by fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization (FISH, *DSCR8* probe), compared to 99% of euploid hiPSCs with

two signals [Fig. S1F]. Second, while targeting a *UBC*-promoter driven doxycycline (dox)-

responsive trans-activator (rTTA3G) to the AAVS1 safe harbor in *PPP1R12C* (HSA19), we

confirmed that all 32/32 independent colonies arising from single cells maintained T21 by copy-

number sensitive qPCR for a *DYRK1A* amplicon [Fig. S1G].

We integrated our TET3G-driven *XIST* cDNA transgene on chr21^P [Fig. 1A], after re-

verifying the karyotypic integrity of rTTA3G clones by aCGH [Fig. S1D]. To this end, we designed

Cas9 guides dependent on a chr21^P-specific protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), targeting an

intergenic site well outside of *DYRK1A* to avoid impacting its expression. We proceeded with

three independent, PCR-screened T21-*XIST* clones, and tested for *XIST* induction by RNA-FISH,

and immuno-fluorescence (IF) staining for ubiquitinated histone 2A lysine 119 (H2AK119ub) and

tri-methylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3), which follow *XIST/Xist* RNA expression on mouse

and human X alike [22]. Of note, because the original fibroblasts were from a male individual with

DS [Fig. S1C], there was no endogenous *XIST* expression, and any *XIST*/H2A119ub/H3K27me3

cloud must arise from the T21-targeted *XIST* transgene on chr21. Indeed, after cessation of acute

dox treatment (3 wk withdrawal, w/d) hiPSCs were negative for *XIST*, underscoring the TET3G

system is not leaky and turns off when dox is withdrawn, whereas virtually all T21-*XIST* hiPSCs

displayed *XIST* clouds and acquired H2A119ub/H3K27me3 signals in the presence of dox [Fig. 1B].

Together, these data illustrate the homogeneity of *XIST* induction, and provide independent

confirmation that this third copy of chr21 is faithfully maintained in hiPSCs.

We next performed mRNA-seq of euploid, T21 and T21-XIST hiPSCs to confirm high

uniformity in pluripotency genes over lineage-specific markers [Fig. S2A], and to quantify T21

dosage correction by *XIST* in the presence, absence or upon withdrawal of dox [Fig. 1A,C], which

tests whether gene silencing is maintained once established. *XIST* was uniformly expressed in all

three T21-XIST clones at 3, 6 and 9 weeks (wks) of dox treatment ("+dox"), and returned to the

("—dox") baseline upon dox withdrawal ["w/d", Fig. 1A,C], consistent with *XIST*-negative FISH in

w/d samples [Fig. 1B].

To accurately measure chr21 dosage not only by standard differential expression analysis

but also chr21 allelic representation, we additionally sequenced euploid and T21 whole genomes

with linked-reads to identify and phase variants. In both euploid and T21 hiPSCs, most variants

can be grouped in large phase blocks (N50 lengths of 1.8 Mb and 2.9 Mb, respectively), including

across chr21, where thousands of heterozygous variants distinguish the *XIST*-bearing (chr21^P)

copy. Allele-specific phasing of mRNA-seq reads indeed reveals that one allele was under-

represented with a mean lesser allele frequency (LAF) of one-third for T21 samples. This is in

keeping with the presence of a single chr21^P copy, and two copies of chr21$^{M/M2}$, whereas the

same chr21^P allele comprised about half of all allelic reads in the D21 samples [Fig. 2A]. In the

absence of dox (-dox), the *XIST*-bearing chr21^P copy (T21-XIST) still represented ~one-third of

variant-mapping reads, but was largely depleted in the +dox and w/d samples, which were dox-

treated (3wks) and collected after another 3 or 6 wks without dox. These data demonstrate that

XIST silences most genes on the chr21^P copy near-completely, and irreversibly, consistent with

our expectations based on mouse *Xist* reports (reviewed in [22]).

Likewise, differential expression analysis across chr21 reveals that nearly all genes with

assessable expression were depleted by ~one-third (log2FC of -0.59 ± 0.15), irrespective of their

linear distance from the *XIST* transgene, and maintained that expression level even after 3-6 wks

of dox withdrawal [Fig. 2B-D]. Altogether, only a very small group of protein-coding genes

retained a LAF > 1/6th (*ADAMTS1, APP, H0Y3Z8, IFNAR1/2, MRPS6, ETS2* and *YBEY*), most likely

due to mis-phased variants. Indeed, these genes were significantly downregulated by the

expected degree (p ≤ 0.1, log2FC -0.59 ± 0.15), except for *IFNAR2* and the adjacent ORF *H0Y3Z8,*

which appeared to escape *XIST*-mediated repression by differential expression (p.adj > 0.1,

log2FC > -0.3). To compare this efficacy to previously reported T21-*XIST* systems [21,27], we

considered the degree of silencing across all mutually assessed chr21 genes in prior reports,

irrespective of adjusted p-value cutoff, as our study included a greater number of samples.

Indeed, the distribution log2FC values in our T21-*XIST* indicates more complete gene repression

than in previously published datasets [Fig. S2B].

Comparing differential expression in hiPSCs after 3 wk and 6wk of dox withdrawal, we

find that silencing was maintained in w/d samples, irrespective of the length of original dox

treatment [Fig. 2B, Fig. S2C]. We therefore assessed DNA methylation (DNAme) at promoters,

which reflects stable gene silencing known to remain intact even upon *Xist* deletion in mouse

fibroblasts [45,46]. Excluding likely inactive promoters with high DNAme (>60% methylated),

virtually all chr21 promoter-associated CpG probes of the methylEPIC array (Illumina) increased

from a median of 7% methylated in the absence of dox (-dox) to 41% methylated after ≥3 wks in

+dox [Fig. 2F]. This level is consistent with the presence of a fully methylated alongside two

unmethylated alleles (1/3) and is maintained ≥3 wks after dox withdrawal (w/d, 39% methylated),

which is also reflected in the absence of reactivated genes by differential and allelic expression

[Fig. 2A-E].

We next turned to transcriptome-wide effects of T21 and *XIST*-corrected gene dosage.

While levels of chr21 genes clearly increased in T21 relative to D21 and decreased in T21-XIST

+dox relative to -dox samples, all other chromosomes also responded to chr21 dosage, but

roughly balanced up- and down-regulated genes [Fig. 3A]. Consistently, samples with an effective

chr21 dosage matching euploid samples (D21, and +dox or w/d T21-XIST) segregated from T21

and no-dox T21-XIST samples in PCA [Fig. 3B]. Because our experimental design included the

original, non-transgenic D21 and T21 hiPSCs (198-1/2/5) with all treatments (±dox and w/d), we

were able to observe that their acute +dox treatment triggered expression changes that

correlated to some extent with the T21 dosage effect [Fig. 3C left], which was still significant after

excluding chr21 genes from the correlation [Fig. S3A, left]. This was not unexpected, because

doxycycline can partially impact mitochondrial translation in eukaryotic cells [47], and

mitochondrial pathways are also altered in DS [48–50]. We therefore adjusted for this small dox-

specific effect in our analysis (see methods). We then compared T21 over D21 log2FC expression

changes with the combined (+dox and w/d) *XIST* correction, which revealed a highly significant

and negative Pearson correlation of -0.5 [Fig. 3C, right] that persists even when chr21 genes are

excluded [Fig. S3A, right]. These data indicate that differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

responding to excess chr21 dosage are also changing in the opposite direction when excess chr21

dosage is corrected via *XIST*. This large-scale reversal was also evident in gene-set enrichment

analysis (GSEA), with a dominance of anti-correlated terms [Fig. 3D, top left and bottom right

quadrant], many of which related to apoptosis, stress, ER, mitochondria and translation terms.

Plotting the top three most-concordant terms for each of these categories [Fig. 3E], T21 and

dosage-corrected samples were near-uniformly opposed in biological processes previously

implicated in DS, including apoptosis [17,51,52], oxidative stress via NRF2 [16,53], mitochondria [18,48–50],

and translation [14,54]. Importantly, serine biosynthesis pathways, recently attributed to a general

response to aneuploidy [20] were also highly represented, indicating that serine metabolism

returns to baseline upon T21 dosage correction, despite the continued presence of the

supernumerary chr21 copy.

T21 gene dosage effects in mature neural cell types

To assess T21 gene dosage effects in neural lineages, we applied a well-established

monolayer differentiation protocol that mimics *in vivo* neural development in the establishment

of neurogenic niches (rosettes) and in the production of both mature neurons and astrocytes

[55,56]. We chose this approach as nearly all T21 hiPSC-derived neuronal RNA-seq data reported to-

date was generated from immature neurons in the absence of astrocytes. We performed mRNA-

seq in 10-12 wk neural cultures, obtained 5-6 wks after low-density re-plating of 4 wk NPCs [Fig.

S3B]. T21 neural cultures showed elevated chr21 transcript levels with a peak near the expected

+0.59 log2FC relative to euploid samples [Fig. 4A,B]. As expected, neural and hiPSC DEGs and

GSEA-enriched terms were positively correlated, while neural GSEA terms were largely anti-

correlated in direction with terms enriched in XIST-corrected hiPSCs [Fig. 4C-E], which also

extended to the three most-concordant terms across all comparisons [Fig. S3B]. Overall, we find

XIST-mediated T21 dosage correction is reflected in a majority of significantly enriched terms

differentiating T21 hiPSCs and neural cultures from their euploid controls [Fig. S4].

To assess whether the number of over-represented GSEA terms relating to cell death and

apoptosis in T21 neural cultures manifested in differential neuronal survival, we employed a

recently developed red genetically-encoded cell death indicator (RGEDI) and tracked two

neuronal subtypes by automated longitudinal imaging [Fig. 5A, Fig. S5A-D] [57,58]. Both T21

interneurons (hazard ratio of 4.08) and T21 forebrain neurons (hazard ratio of 2.57)

demonstrated significantly increased cell death (RGEDI/EGFP ratio > 0.15, Holm-corrected

p<0.01, linear mixed model) over ~10 days of single-cell tracking, compared to euploid controls

[Fig. 5B,C]. Additionally, we also assessed live neurons (RGEDI/EGFP ratio < 0.15) and noted that

there was a significant ($p \leq 10^{-8}$) reduction in EGFP signal in T21 compared to euploid controls [Fig.

S5E], that mirrored the down-regulation of ribosome and translation-related GSEA terms in both

T21 hiPSCs and neural cultures [Fig. 4D, Fig. S4].

T21 dosage correction early in neural differentiation rescues neurogenesis

Staining for neuronal MAP2 and astroglial S100B markers, we observed an excess of

astrocytes in the T21 relative to euploid neural differentiations. Both neurons and astrocytes

derive from NPCs [59], and astrogliosis has been reported in DS models [33,34] and fetal samples [28,30,32],

where T21 NPCs prematurely shift from neurogenesis to astrogenesis. To determine whether

XIST-mediated T21-dosage correction could prevent this lineage skew, we first confirmed that

XIST expression and H2AK119ub enrichment would persist in 4 wk NPCs, which was the case for

well over 75% of NPCs derived across three independent clones, treated with +dox as hiPSCs [Fig.

6A]. Indeed, even NPCs derived from untreated T21-*XIST* hiPSCs but receiving dox from the first

day of differentiation ("d0") presented with *XIST* and H2AK119ub foci in ≥75% and ≥65% of all

cells, respectively. Co-staining for MAP2 and S100B on day28 (4 wk) of neural differentiation

revealed a consistent and highly reproducible astrogenic skew in T21 and no-dox T21-XIST

samples relative to isogenic euploid control lines across multiple rounds of differentiation [Fig.

6B]. Remarkably, neurogenesis was fully rescued in neural differentiations from pre-treated as

well as d0+dox treated hiPSCs, across all three independent T21-XIST clones. Because the overall

sum of committed cells expressing either marker did not differ significantly between T21 and

euploid or T21-XIST dosage-corrected samples, we propose that T21 dosage does not suppress

NPC commitment in general (as suggested [27]), but rather advances the shift to astrogenesis,

which is consistent with reports in fetal DS and rodent DS models [30,34].

To test whether this interpretation is replicated *in vivo*, at the very onset of cortical

astrogenesis, we turned to the Ts65Dn model [Fig. S6]. At embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5), the density

of S100B+ positive astrocytes in the developing cortex was significantly elevated in Ts65Dn

embryos over their wildtype litter mates ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, there was no significant

difference in the density of proliferative Ki67$^+$ cells in the subventricular zone [Fig. S6], indicating

the early cortical astrogenesis in Ts65Dn embryos was not associated with delayed commitment

and continued proliferation of neural progenitors, but rather an inherent skew towards

astrogenesis also observed in other brain regions [30,34].

Postmitotic neural cell types still induce *XIST* and attract repressive chromatin marks

Given the high rate of *XIST* induction in T21-XIST NPCs that only received dox at the onset

of differentiation (d0), we next explored whether the *XIST* transgene would remain dox-

responsive in terminally differentiated cells [Fig. 7A]. To this end, we forced NPCs (~4 wk neural

differentiation) out of the cell cycle into terminal differentiation by inhibiting the Notch signaling

pathway for 5 days with gamma-secretase inhibitor DAPT, and added dox a day after completing

DAPT treatment (d33). To confirm DAPT treatment was sufficient to deplete mitotic cells, we also

labeled cells transiting S-phase with nucleotide analog EdU, and co-stained for this label and *XIST*

FISH after two days in +dox media (d35). DAPT-treated NPCs incorporating EdU comprised ≤6%

of the neural population on average, which were rarely EdU/*XIST* double-positive (≤26%),

whereas *XIST* was induced in ≥40% of all EdU⁻ cells (94% of all cells). We conclude that *XIST* was

reliably induced in a sizeable fraction of post-mitotic T21-XIST cells that had terminally

differentiated without dox. We next assessed these post-mitotic cells after 3 to 6 weeks of dox

treatment post-DAPT exposure ("pD") to provide sufficient time for H2AK119ub enrichment. We

included "d0" controls that were treated with the standard 1 μM dox concentration throughout

neural diff, as well as low-dose dox "LD" samples, which were treated with 0.01 µM dox up to

the DAPT exposure, and standard 1 µM dox thereafter, to test whether "pre-marking" the TRE3G

promoter without effective induction through differentiation may render it more responsive in

terminal cell types. On average, over half of d0 and LD cells featured prominent XIST clouds,

followed by ≥ 40% of pD samples, across both c1 and c7 clones and in three independent rounds

of differentiation. As before, H2AK119ub signal lagged slightly behind *XIST*, but consistently

marked 27% - 36% of all cells (58-65% of *XIST+* cells), including MAP2+ neurons and S100B+

astrocytes [Fig. 7C,D].

XIST induction in terminal neurons and astrocytes corrects T21 gene dosage

We next performed single-nuclei RNA-seq, to determine whether such *XIST* induction and

H2AK119ub accumulation in these terminally differentiated neural cell types reflect T21 dosage

correction [Fig. 8]. Transgenic neural differentiations treated with dox from d0 or pD were

collected alongside untreated T21-XIST c7 cells ("T21"), and two euploid lines (198-1/2 or

"D21a/b"). Nuclei distributed across four broad clusters, of which three corresponded to the

expected NPC, neuronal and astroglial populations [Fig. 8A, S7A]. Cell cycle analysis classified the

smaller NPC cluster as S and G2/M phase cells, while all other clusters scored as G1, consistent

with terminally differentiated G0 cells [Fig. 8A, S7A]. We labeled the first three clusters according

to their predominant cell type using cell type markers from [60], and the fourth as "Other" given

lower scores returned by sctype [61]. Due to its proximity to higher-scoring oligodendroglial

progenitor cells (OPC), this fourth cluster probably reflects OPC-derived lineages that

predominantly arose from one of the euploid lines (D21a). Crucially, *XIST* induction in T21-XIST

neural differentiations (d0 & pD) was detected in all clusters with 74-94% of d0+dox treated and

24-58% pD+dox treated cells [Fig. S7A], in agreement with our FISH and IF data [Fig. 7].

To determine whether *XIST* induction repressed T21 gene dosage, we assessed three

complementary metrics. First, we phased single-nuclei RNA-seq reads and summed allele-specific

counts across all chr21 genes to calculate the cumulative paternal allele representation, thereby

mitigating the effect of transcriptional bursts in individual nuclei [Fig. 8B]. As expected, euploid

cells have a significantly higher chr21^P allele fraction compared to T21 cells (0.46 vs. 0.32, Wilcox

p = 1.6x10^{-11}), whereas T21-XIST day0+dox treated cells have a significantly lower chr21^P allele

fraction (0.14, Wilcox p < 2.2x10^{-16}). Crucially, while *XIST*-negative pD (pD-X$^−$) cells show little

reduction in this *XIST*-carrying paternal allele, *XIST*-positive pD cells (pD-X$^+$) reveal significant

repression of this allele (0.19, Wilcox p-value = 1.3x10^{-12}). Separating cells by cell type group [Fig.

S7B] reveals *XIST+* d0 and pD neurons repress the transgene-bearing chr21^P copy most robustly,

with paternal allele fractions of 0.15 and 0.17 (Wilcox p<10^{-4}), respectively.

Second, we compared the normalized expression for each gene on chr21 to the genome-

wide mean without chr21. We then determined the per-cell cumulative distribution of all chr21

genes, as well as the per-gene cumulative distribution by cell type group [Fig. S7C, D], and

aggregated these ratios across all neurons, astrocytes and NPCs [Fig. 8C,D]. Indeed, euploid and

T21-nodox medians fell near the expected 1.0x and 1.5x ratios relative to the genome-wide

mean, indicating chr21 genes are not inherently dosage-compensated. Likewise, *XIST*-negative

pD nuclei failed to differ significantly from the T21-nodox sample (1.5x and 1.3x for the per-cell

and per-gene ratio, respectively). *XIST+* pD nuclei however displayed near-euploid chr21 dosage

medians (ratios of 1.1x and 1.0x, Wilcox p-values ≤ 2.4x10^{-7}), very close to d0 nuclei [Fig. 8C,D].

Separated by cell type group, neurons again demonstrated near-complete dosage compensation

in *XIST+* pD nuclei with median ratios of 0.97x and 0.92x on a per-cell and per-gene basis,

respectively [Fig. 8C,D]. Assessing both metrics across full distributions for each sample, the *XIST*-

negative pD distribution tracked closely with T21-nodox cells, remaining well within its 95%

confidence interval. In contrast, the *XIST+* pD and d0 distributions shifted to the left significantly

(dashed lines, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, K.S. test p-values) towards the euploid distributions for all

cell type groups, irrespective whether normalized expression ratios were averaged over cells or

genes [Fig. S7C, D]. Aggregating these distributions across astrocytes, neurons and NPCs

illustrates *XIST+* d0 and pD nuclei tracked on top of each other, with their 50% percentile ratios

near-identical to euploid nuclei [Fig. S7E,F]. In sum, these data indicate that *XIST* corrects T21

gene dosage in post-mitotic cell types via robust repression its chr21^P copy, especially in neurons,

whereas NPCs mitigate *XIST's* impact to some extent, likely due to continued passage through M

phase.

As our third metric for T21 dosage correction, we performed differential expression
analysis on variance-stabilized data [62], and compared effectively trisomic (*XIST*-negative pD and
nodox) nuclei to euploid (D21a/b), *XIST+* pD and d0 nuclei for each cell type. Indeed, generally
lower expression of chr21 in euploid relative to trisomic nuclei was also observed for *XIST+* pD
and d0 nuclei (Fig. S7G). Due to greater dispersion inherent in single-nuclei RNA-seq, the
moderated effect sizes (avg.log2FC) reflected in variance-stabilized data were smaller than in our
bulk RNA-seq (Fig. 2,4) but enabled comparing euploid to *XIST*-corrected T21 gene dosage.
Interestingly, *XIST* repressed chr21 genes to the near-euploid median, in all except 'Other' cell
types, which showed a small but significant residual excess expression (Wilcox p = 0.0007, Fig.
S8A). While the linear distance to the *XIST* transgene integration site did not correlate with mean
log2(FC) values in any of the d0+dox cell types, there was a distance effect in pD+dox neurons
and NPCs [Fig. S8B,C].

Importantly, differential expression of non-chr21 genes in $XIST^+$ d0 and pD nuclei
correlated strongly with log2(FC) values in euploid relative to effectively trisomic nuclei across all
cell type groups [Fig. 8E], with Pearson coefficients ranging from 0.25-0.46 in all except $XIST^+$ pD
NPCs. GSEA also reflected such transcriptome-wide reversion with strong NES correlations
between *XIST+* d0, pD and euploid conditions in MsigDB Hallmark and canonical pathways that
were significantly enriched (q-value ≤ 0.1) in euploid relative to effectively trisomic nuclei [Fig.
8F]. Again, the correlation in NPCs was lowest across all cell type groups, yet still significant
(R=0.19, p=8.2x10^{-7}). Importantly, the vast majority of MsigDB Hallmark and canonical pathways
significantly enriched in any pairwise comparison (combined Fisher's method p≤1x10^{-4}) followed
the direction of change in the remaining third comparison [Fig. S8D]. In sum, these results
indicate that the degree of chr21 dosage correction in *XIST+* d0 and pD nuclei is sufficient to
revert T21 cells towards the euploid expression profile. We conclude that this new T21-*XIST*
transgene corrects chr21 dosage even in terminal and post-mitotic cell types, which will facilitate
detailed exploration of cellular and transcriptional T21 hallmarks that remain reversible after
completed development.
403
404

DISCUSSION

The relevance of the inducible T21-XIST system presented herein ranges from DS biology and cellular responses to aneuploidy in general, to fundamental questions relating to human *XIST*-mediated gene silencing and escape. In hiPSCs, our T21-XIST system is induced with near-uniformity [Fig. 1] and corrects T21 dosage to euploid levels across chr21 [Fig. 2], which we demonstrate by inclusion of isogenic euploid control hiPSCs lines we derived in parallel from a male donor with mosaic T21 [36]. Leveraging phased sequence variants from linked-read WGS, we can assign mRNA-seq reads allele-specifically to show that chr21 genes derive half of their expression from the chr21^P allele in euploid (D21) cells, and one-third in T21 cells, but nearly extinguish expression from this *XIST*-bearing copy after dox induction [Fig. 2].

XIST-mediated T21 dosage correction extends to genes responding to chr21 dosage on all chromosomes, reflecting a transcriptome-wide reversion of gene expression that moves dox-treated T21-XIST hiPSCs towards their isogenic euploid controls [Fig. 3]. The balanced experimental design and stability of T21 silencing after dox withdrawal (w/d) enabled us to adjust for dox effects that can inhibit mitochondrial translation [47] and selenocysteine incorporation [63]. GSEA reflects the large-scale reversion of T21-responsive cellular components, pathways and functions [Fig. 3], including boosted expression of serine biosynthesis genes. These genes are notable as dermal fibroblast of DS, as well as Patau (T13) and Edwards (T18) syndromes, depend on serine for proliferation [20]. As such genes return to baseline upon correction of T21 dosage [Fig. 3], despite maintenance of the chr21 copy number, metabolic demand for serine, while common to all three viable human autosomal aneuploidies, results from expression of the supernumerary chromosome rather than its presence. We also find resurgent expression of ribosomal protein genes and translation factors in T21-dosage corrected hiPSCs [Fig. 3], which may be due to a resetting of the integrating stress response [14]. Indeed, cellular stress pathways and apoptosis are dampened in response to T21 dosage correction, which is consistent with the previously reported excess of apoptosis [17,51,52], mitochondrial dysfunction [18,48–50] and oxidative stress [16,53] in DS.

In T21-driven expression of neural cultures, we find many of the same terms are enriched by GSEA and concordant with T21 hiPSCs [Fig. 4]. In keeping with a recent DS meta-analysis [64], the interferon response [Fig. S4], as well as mitochondrial pathways and apoptotic terms [Fig.

S3], are particularly exacerbated in T21 neural cultures. Consistent with these results, we

demonstrate impaired survival of T21 forebrain neurons and interneurons relative to isogenic

euploid controls [Fig. 5], as well as reduced translation [Fig. S5]. Because these enriched terms

and related genes responded to *XIST*-mediated T21 dosage correction in hiPSCs, they likely reflect

cellular phenotypes that depend on ongoing excess chr21 expression, and may therefore remain

amenable to T21 dosage correction after completed development.

During early neural differentiation, we observe that T21 NPCs produce an excess of

astrocytes at the expense of neurons [Fig. 6], which is consistent with astrogliosis noted in fetal

DS [28,30,32]. Because *XIST*-mediated T21 silencing remains inducible during differentiation and

persists into the NPC stage, neurogenesis is fully rescued when T21-dosage is corrected [Fig. 6].

This is consistent with a prior report using T21-*XIST* dosage correction [27], but we can attribute

this neurogenic rescue to suppression of a conserved T21 astrogenic bias, rather than slowed

commitment of T21 NPCs [Fig. 6]. We replicate this observation at the onset of cortical

astrogenesis in Ts65Dn embryos (E18.5), which indeed show a significant increase in S100B+ cells

relative to wildtype embryos, while the density of Ki67+ neural progenitors remains unchanged

[Fig. S6]. Several chr21 genes have been suggested to play a role in this astrogenic lineage bias,

including amyloid precursor *APP, S100B*, and *DYRK1A* [30,34]. In addition, it is possible that cellular

stress pathways impact NPC lineage decisions, as seen in rodent models of neurogenesis [65]. For

example, oxidative stress is elevated in DS hiPSC-derived neurons and NPCs [40,66] and also

implicates these and other chr21 genes [16,30,53].

We also examined T21-*XIST* hiPSC-derived mature neural lineages after forcing NPCs to

terminally differentiate and thus commit to terminal neural cell fates via notch-inhibitor DAPT

[Fig. 7A,B]. This more mature (~9-12wk), post-mitotic neural population is composed of

terminally differentiated neurons and astrocytes, as well as residual neural progenitors and some

oligodendroglial lineages [Fig. 8, S6]. Remarkably, a large fraction of this terminal post-mitotic

population can induce *XIST* and attract repressive H2AK119ub on chr21 in response to dox [Fig.

7], regardless of whether they were never exposed to dox prior to cessation of the DAPT

treatment (post-DAPT, "pDAPT/pD"), or were treated with only a low ("LD", 1% of the standard

1 µM) dox concentration prior to DAPT treatment. In contrast, a previously reported T21-*XIST*

system could not be induced at all anymore in post-mitotic monolayer neural cultures [27].

Our results indicate our T21-*XIST* system represents a major improvement, which we

attribute to technical characteristics of transgene expression. First, our system uses a *UBC-rTTA*

construct integrated at the AAVS "safe-harbor" locus, in contrast to prior studies that used EF1a

[21] or CAG promoters [27] at this locus or re-delivered a high-copy *EF1a-rTTA* construct via piggyBac

to NPCs [67]. Second, there may be differences in these human *XIST* cDNA constructs on account

of sequence variation or splicing. And third, we integrated our *XIST* cDNA transgene outside of

DYRK1A, to minimize its impact on *DYRK1A* prior to dox treatment, but also to avoid attracting

gene-body associated DNAme from overlapping transcription, which serves to suppress

intragenic transcription start sites [68]. We suspect this last aspect to have contributed most, but

cannot rule out a confluence of AAVS1 regulation and/or rtTA promoter selection to play a role

as well.

We assessed whether *XIST* induction in terminal, post-mitotic neural cell types ("pD") was

as effective in correcting T21 dosage as it was during differentiation ("d0") using three

independent analytic approaches: chr21^P allele representation, normalized expression across

chr21, and differential expression across the transcriptome [Fig. 8, S6, S7]. The chr21^P allele is

effectively repressed to nearly the same degree in *XIST+* pD as in d0 cells, especially in neurons.

[Fig. 8B,S6]. Likewise, both the median sum of normalized chr21 expression by cell, as well as the

entire distribution of cells, shift toward the euploid mean ratio [Fig. 8, S6]. The same significant

shift is observed when aggregating the mean ratio across cells for individual genes [Fig. 8, S6].

While some residual cycling NPCs retain excess expression in a subset of chr21 genes far (~20

Mbp) from the *XIST* integration site [Fig. S7], both normalized expression and allele-specific

metrics indicate *XIST* represses T21 dosage across all cell type groups, being most effective in

post-mitotic neurons. The transcriptome-wide analysis mirrors these results: differential

expression in *XIST*$^+$ d0 and pD cells correlates significantly with that of euploid cells when

compared to non-induced T21 cells [Fig. 8E]. Likewise, canonical pathways significantly enriched

by GSEA in *XIST*$^+$ d0 and pD cells match and follow the direction of change observed in the euploid

to T21 comparison [Fig. 8, S7]. In sum, these data demonstrate for the very first time that post-

mitotic terminal neural cell types remain responsive to chr21 gene dosage. This motivates several

avenues for future investigation, for example to dissect neuron-intrinsic vs. extrinsic phenotypes

[69] that will be relevant for developing cell-type specific interventions [70], or to identify pathways

that remain amenable to novel therapeutic approaches [14].

Regarding *XIST* biology, our results suggest human neural lineages remain competent to

initiate *XIST*-mediated heterochromatin deposition even non-cycling cells, provided *XIST* can be

induced. Similar developmental plasticity in initiating [25,26], or re-initiating [71,72] *XIST*-mediated

repression was previously reported only for proliferative hematopoietic cell lineages. Our work

therefore suggests *XIST* may be able to re-establish X chromosome inactivation even outside

pluripotency and hematopoiesis, which is relevant to reported X chromosome conformational

changes in the female brain across the estrous cycle [73].

Additionally, prior reports of *XIST* induction in human HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells indicate

that spreading of autosomal gene silencing remains reversible [74] and remains only effective near

its site of integration [75]. Both of these observations may be impacted in part by *XIST* impacting

haploinsufficient genes, which are not uncommon on mammalian autosomes, making whole or

partial trisomy a valuable research tool to better understands the determinants of *XIST* spreading

[76]. In hiPSCs, our T21-XIST system repressed virtually every chr21-linked gene without

measurable impact of its linear distance from the *XIST* integration site across a large span of

expression values, and in irreversible fashion [Fig. 2]. Interestingly, the human inactive X in

pluripotent stem cells frequently undergoes progressive reactivation following loss of *XIST*

expression [77], the order of which we recently showed was determined in part by the distance to

the nearest escapee gene [78]. While our T21-*XIST* dox withdrawal was limited to 3-6 weeks, we

found little evidence of reactivation over this period, indicating that DNA methylation served to

maintain T21 silencing [Fig. 2]. Whether this remarkable epigenetic stability was due to the

paucity of chr21 genes escaping *XIST* to seed potential reactivation, or the levels of *XIST* prior to

dox withdrawal, and to which degree these two aspects are related, represent additional areas

for future investigation.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: T21 hiPSCs induce *XIST* transgene and deposit heterochromatin on one chr21 allele

(A)   Schematic of cell lines and sample collection timepoints and dox treatment conditions. Samples were collected after 3-9 wks of +dox or no-dox treatment, or 3 wk of +dox and 3 or 6 wks of dox withdrawal. A subset of samples was treated with dox for 2mo+ prior to collection/withdrawal.

(B)   Representative images (left) for XIST-FISH, and H2AK119ub and H3K27me3 IF, and image quantification (right). Control panel of XIST-negative cells after 3wk of dox w/d for XIST-FISH is included on the left.

(C)   *XIST* expression in T21-XIST samples from mRNA-seq (VST, variance-stabilized transformed counts, approaching a log-transformation).

Figure 2: *XIST*-mediated silencing extends across entire chr21^P and attracts DNAme

(A)   Representation of M/M2 and P alleles of all protein-coding chr21 genes across dox conditions and genotypes. Thick black line at 0.5 marks expected biallelic expression in euploid (D21) cells, and dashed thin black line expected 1/3rd representation for chr21^P allele in T21 cells.

(B)   Heatmap showing VST counts normalized to the mean count in T21 samples (left panels) for all expressed genes on chr21.

(C)   Distribution of log2(FC) (log2-foldChange) relative to no-dox T21 samples, for all differentially expressed genes (DEGs, p.adj≤0.1). "Combined" represents the differential expression condition used in subsequent figures. Blue and green shaded bands correspond to ±10% and ±0.15 variation from a log2(FC) of -0.59 (dashed vertical line, log2FC expected for complete T21 dosage correction).

(D)   Log2(FC) values across chr21 genes (arranged by position) compared to T21 samples. Bubble colors shaded as indicated in the legend above, bubble size reflects base mean expression.

(E)   As in (B), displaying only genes that maintain a LAF ≥ 1/6th in allelic T21 mRNA-seq in +dox or w/d conditions.

(F)   Distribution of DNAme values from promoter-associated methylEPIC probes on chr21

genes in T21-XIST no-dox, +dox, and w/d. Bimodal distribution medians of probes > and ≤ 60%

methylated displayed on their respective peaks.

Figure 3: Transcriptome-wide response to T21 gene dosage and correction via *XIST*

(A)   Fraction of genes differentially expressed in response to T21 (top, relative to euploid) and

T21-XIST dosage correction (bottom, relative to T21 and no-dox) by direction (increasing,

decreasing, or unchanged, ~0) and by chromosome.

(B)   PCA of all mRNA-seq samples, batch-corrected for timepoint and dox status. Open

symbols for original euploid (198-1/2) and T21 (198-5) lines, filled symbols for T21-XIST transgenic

lines (c1/4/7). Colors indicate effective chr21 dosage as indicated in Figures 1 and 2 (w/d colored

separately to distinguish from +dox T21-XIST samples).

(C)   Overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over the dox effect

(left, non-transgene +dox/no-dox) or T21-XIST dosage correction (right, T21XIST+dox &

withdrawal / T21). Adjusted p-values combined by Fisher's method (C.padj) for all DEGs, and

colored by -log10(C.padj) provided C.padj ≤0.1. Linear regression plotted with the Spearman

correlation coefficient and p-value for all genes.

(D)   Overlap of enriched GSEA terms comparing normalized enrichment scores (NES) for hiPSC

T21/D21 over dosage-corrected T21-XIST/T21. Bubbles correspond to the -log10(combined

pvalue by Fisher's method). Colors denote chosen categories (all with combined pvalue ≤ 0.2).

(E)   Individual significantly-enriched terms (combined p≤0.1) from each of the categories in

(D), selected by concordance measure (Euclidean distance from origin in D). Colors denote NES,

bubble size the individual -log10(p.adj).

Figure 4: Concordant T21 dosage imbalance and transcriptomic response after neural

differentiation

(A)   Heatmap showing VST counts normalized to the mean count in T21 neural samples (left

panels) for all expressed genes on chr21.

(B)   Distribution of log2(fold-change) from chr21 genes comparing neural T21 to D21. Dashed

black line denotes expected log2(FC) of +0.59 (includes all chr21 genes, irrespective of p.adj).

(C)   Overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over neural T21/D21

samples (x-axis). Adjusted p-values were combined and colored as in Fig. 3C, with linear

regression line (dashed, with Spearman coefficient and p-value for all genes with c.padj ≤ 0.1).

(D)   Overlap of enriched GSEA terms comparing normalized enrichment scores (NES) for hiPSC

T21/D21 (y-axis) over neural T21/D21. Bubble plots corresponds to the -log10(combined pvalue

by Fisher's method). Colors denote chosen categories (all with combined pvalue ≤ 0.2).

(E)   As in (D) but combining neural T21/D21 GSEA (x-axis) with GSEA of dosage-corrected T21-

XIST hiPSCs (hiPSC rescue) on the y-axis.

Figure 5: Increased cell death of T21 relative to euploid interneurons and forebrain neurons

(A)   Representative sequence of a TS21 interneuron labeled with RGEDI-P2a-EGFP dying

over the course of imaging and a control neuron surviving during the course of imaging

(scale/width is 10 μm).

(B)   Plot of the cumulative rate of death of TS21 and control interneurons. Step functions

correspond to the mean cumulative death rate across wells per line and the faint lines

represent each well replicate (n = 6 wells each, HR = 4.08, Linear mixed model with Holm

correction, ** p <0.01). C) as in B) for the cumulative rate of death of TS21 and control

forebrain neurons (n = 6 wells each, HR = 2.57, Linear mixed model with Holm correction, ** p

<0.01).

Figure 6: Early astrogenesis at neurogenic expense is suppressed by T21 dosage correction prior

to NPC stage

(A)   Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of H2AK119ub (IF) and XIST (FISH)

signal after neural differentiation from dox treated cells. Dox treatment initiated while cells were

hiPSCs (left top), or on the first day of differentiation ("d0", left bottom).

(B)   Relative lineage commitment to astrocytes (S100b) or neurons (MAP2) in euploid, T21,

and T21-XIST cells under dox treatment. Symbols (Wilcox p-value level, or NS for p > 0.05) above

each boxplot denote significance of median difference from the corresponding euploid (198-1/2)

condition, whereas symbols below each boxplot compare to T21 (198-5) lineage proportions.

(C)   Representative images at d28 of neural differentiation in euploid (left column), trisomic

(center column) and rescued (right column) labeled for neuronal MAP2 (red), astroglial S100B

(green) and H2AK119ub accumulating on chr21 (white). Insets (labeled 1,2) display H2AK119ub

foci in both astrocytes and neurons.

Figure 7: Post-mitotic neurons and astrocytes can induce *XIST* and deposit H2AK119ub after

terminal lineage commitment

(A)   Sample and timeline schematic of XIST induction during (d0+dox) and after terminal

neural differentiation post DAPT treatment (pD+dox). Cell cycle exit of NPCs is assessed by EdU-

labeling of S-phase transiting cells, with co-detection of *XIST* by FISH after 2 days in dox media.

Chr21 Barr body formation (H2AK119Ub) and lineage commitment (MAP2/S100B) are assessed

by IF in 8 wk and 10-12 wk cultures.

(B)   Representative *XIST* (red) and EdU (green) image and quantification of d36 neural

differentiation following 4d of DAPT and 2d of dox treatment. Less than 6% of cells were EdU+

(green), EdU+XIST+ cells represented less than 2% of total cells.

(C,D)   Representative images (C) and quantification (D) of H2AK119ub (yellow) IF and XIST-FISH

(red) signal after neural differentiation from different dox treatments. Bottom pDAPT panel

shows H2AK119ub IF (white) co-stained with MAP2 (red) and S100B (green).

Figure 8: Effective monoallelic repression of chr21 genes by *XIST* induced in terminal neurons

and astrocytes

(A)   Single-nuclei RNA-seq UMAP of terminal 10-12 wk neural cultures (as outlined in Fig. 7A).

Integrated UMAP colored by original clusters, granular and grouped cell types, cell cycle stage,

XIST count categories and samples.

(B)   Paternal allele fraction by cell, aggregated over all chr21 genes. Cells are split by sample

(D21a/b, T21XIST-nodox, d0+dox, pD+dox) and *XIST* status (0, >1 counts denoted "X-" and "X+"),

except for euploid lines (absent XIST transgene, grey). Median paternal allele fraction and

significance of difference in medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value) are denoted
below and above boxplot, respectively.

(C)   Normalized gene expression ratio (chr21 over genome mean excluding chr21) by cell,
aggregated over all chr21 genes. Cells are split as in B (excluding cell type group "Other"), with
median expression ratio and difference in medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value)
denoted above and below boxplot, respectively.

(D)   Normalized expression ratio by chr21 gene, aggregated over cells. Mean ratios per gene
calculated from cells are split as in C. Respective median expression ratios and difference in
medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value) denoted above and below boxplots.

(E)   Differential expression of non-chr21 genes relative to XIST-negative postDAPT and T21-
nodox cells. Sample-averaged log2(FC) values in euploid cells (x-axis) correlate with XIST-positive
d0 and pDAPT log2(FC) values (y-axis), faceted by cell type group. Colors and transparency denote
respectively, ROC power and ROC power of the euploid relative to T21 comparison. Regression
line (black), Pearson coefficient and p-value (Fisher-transformed) denoted on each plot.

(F)   GSEA results of differential expression comparisons depicted in (E), correlating
normalized enrichment scores (NES) and plotted as in (E). Plotted MSigDB gene sets limited to
Hallmark and Canonical pathways subsets significant (q-value ≤ 0.1) in T21/euploid comparison
(x-axis). Colors and transparency denote respectively, -log10-transformed q-values of each
comparison and the euploid to T21 comparison. Regression line (grey), Pearson coefficient and
p-value (Fisher-transformed) denoted on each plot.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1

(A)   Taqman copy number (CN) assay for 21q22 (*DYRK1A*) in GM00260-derived hiPSC clones.

(B)   Taqman CN assay for 17q25 (*SEC14L1*) in GM00260-derived hiPSC clones.

(C)   DNA SureFISH for chr21q, and FastFISH chrX, chr8, chr12, and chr17 centromeres in 198-6/8 with counts and images denoting the dominant staining pattern.

(D)   Summary of CytoSNP850k calls across GM00260 and its reprogrammed hiPSC clones. Calls marked with a red 'x' were common across all lines, including donor fibroblasts. Call marked with a small 'x' were line-specific, except for T21 (denoted by a capital 'X').

(E)   Absolute difference in allele (theta) frequency of CytoSNP850k probes comparing T21 and euploid clones (D21), colored by T21-specific base calls (red/blue) and hetero/homozygosity (grey and yellow, respectively).

(F)   DNA FISH against DSCR8 (Vysis, LSI21) in interphase and metaphase spreads of validated hiPSC clones, with counts and images denoting the dominant staining pattern.

(G)   Taqman CN assay for 21q22 (*DYRK1A*) across all AAVS-rTTA subclones of T21 198-5 hiPSCs.

Figure S2

(A)   Germ layer-specific and pluripotency marker expression across the euploid (198-1/2), T21 (198-5), and transgenic T21-XIST (c1/4/7) hiPSC lines.

(B)   Change in log2-transformed expression relative to corresponding T21 samples in this and the two referenced T21-XIST studies. Mean difference of chr21 gene expression comparing w/d T21-XIST samples and T21 samples. Line type denotes the length of the initial dox treatment, and color the duration of dox w/d.

(C)   Mean difference of chr21 gene expression between w/d T21-XIST and T21 samples. Line type denotes the length of the initial dox treatment, and color the duration of dox w/d.

Figure S3

(A)   Same as Fig. 3C but excluding chr21 genes: overlap of differential expression between hiPSC T21/D21 (y-axis) over the dox effect (top, non-transgene +dox/no-dox) or T21-XIST dosage

correction (T21XIST+dox & withdrawal / T21). Adjusted p-values were combined (C.padj,

calculated using Fisher's method), and colored by -log10(C.padj) provided C.padj ≤0.1 (or grey for

C.padj > 0.1). Linear regression is plotted along with the Spearman correlation coefficient and p-

value for all non-chr21 genes.

(B)   Individual significantly-enriched terms (combined p ≤ 0.1) from each of the categories in

Fig. 4(D,E), selected by a concordance measure (euclidean distance from origin in D). Colors

denote NES, bubble size the individual -log10(p.adj).

Figure S4

Comparison of terms over-represented in GSEA across hiPSC T21, neural T21, T21 dosage

correction ("rescue"), and the dox-effect in non-transgenic hiPSCs. Terms from Hallmark, KEGG,

and WikiPathways (WP) are shown. All terms are sorted by hiPSC T21 NES (normalized

enrichment score from GSEA), with a p.adj thresholds of p ≤ 0.1 for hiPSC T21, and additionally

p.adj ≤ 0.2 for the three additional panels (neural T21, T21 rescue, and dox-effect).

Figure S5

(A)   Representative images of TS21 interneuron and euploid control cultures transduced

with hSyn1:RGEDI-P2a-EGFP lentivirus. Scale bar = 100 μm.

(B)   Quantification of the GEDI ratio (mean RGEDI/mean EGFP) across each interneuron per

time point (T21 n= 8810, D21/euploid control n= 15,999). Live/dead threshold of 0.15 (red line)

(C) as in (B), but across each forebrain neuron per time point (T21 n= 30,735, D21/euploid

control n= 24,950).

(D)   Number of cells tracked by segmentation in independent wells of T21 and D21 neurons

across all timepoints.

(E)   Fluorescence intensity signal of RGEDI, EGFP and RGEDI/EGFP ratios in live (RGEDI/EGFP

ratio < 0.15) T21 and euploid control neurons ($p \leq 1 \times 10^8$, linear mixed model with Holm

correction).

(F)   Anti-GFAP (red) and DAPI (blue) staining of cultures from (A) showing abnormal glia

morphology. Scale bar = 300 μm.

Figure S6

(A)   S100B$^+$ cell density and representative images of E18.5 wildtype and Ts65dn cortical slices (100 μm scale bars). Two-tailed, independent samples Student's t-test p ≤ 0.05 (*).

(B)   Ki67$^+$ cell density and representative images of E18.5 wildtype and Ts65dn cortical slices (60 μm scale bars). Two-tailed, independent samples Student's t-test p > 0.05 (n.s.).

Figure S7

(A)   Sample proportions (% nuclei) of assigned cell types, cell cycle stage and *XIST* status faceted by cell type group.

(B)   Paternal allele fraction by cell, aggregated over all chr21 genes, and faceted by cell type group. Cells split by sample (D21a/b, T21XIST-nodox, d0+dox, pD+dox) and *XIST* status (0, >1 counts denoted "X-" and "X+"), except for euploid lines (absent XIST transgene, grey). Median paternal allele fraction and significance of difference in medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value) are denoted below and above boxplot, respectively.

(C)   Left: Normalized gene expression ratio (chr21 over genome mean excluding chr21) by cell, aggregated over all chr21 genes, and faceted by cell type group. Cells are split as in B, with median expression ratio and difference in medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value) denoted above and below boxplot, respectively. Right: empirical cumulative distributions (eCDF) of corresponding panels on the left, colored by sample and XIST-positive cells represented by dashed lines. T21-nodox 95% confidence interval denoted by transparent ribbon (purple). Sample-colored p-values (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, KS-test) denotes significance of distribution of shift from the T21-nodox sample. Grey lines indicate the 50th percentile cell (horizonal), and chr21 to genome-wide mean ratio (vertical 1.0 and 1.5).

(D)   Left: Normalized expression ratio by chr21 gene, aggregated over cells, and faceted by cell type group. Mean ratios per gene calculated from cells are split as in C. Respective median expression ratios and difference in medians relative to T21-nodox cells (Wilcox p-value) denoted above and below boxplots. Right: eCDF of corresponding panels of the left, with lines colored as in C. T21-nodox confidence-interval, sample-colored p-values (KS-test) and grey vertical lines s in C, with the horizontal grey line indicating the 50th percentile chr21 gene.

(E,F) Cumulative distributions aggregating across cell type groups (right panels in C & D),
excluding the "Other" group. Lines, sample-colored p-values (KS-test), grey horizontal and
vertical lines as in C and D, respectively.

(G) Differential expression of chr21 genes relative to XIST-negative postDAPT and T21-nodox
cells. Average log2(FC) values in euploid cells (x-axis) correlate with XIST-positive d0 and pDAPT
log2(FC) values (y-axis), faceted by cell type group. Colors and transparency denote respectively,
ROC power and ROC power of the euploid relative to T21 comparison. Regression line (black),
pearson coefficient and p-value (Fisher-transformed) denoted on each plot.

Figure S8

(A) SC-transformed sample-averaged log2(FC) values relative to XIST-negative T21-nodox
and pDAPT cells faceted by cell type group. Median sample-averaged log2(FC) values of euploid
(D21a/b), and XIST-positive d0+dox and pD+dox samples, and significance of median differences
(Wilcox p-value) from T21 to euploid comparison denoted below and above corresponding
boxplot.

(B) Loess-smoothened SC-transformed log2FC values of differential expression comparisons
(as in A, with 95% confidence intervals) across chr21 coordinates of transcriptional start sites
(TSS, in Mbp).

(C) SC-transformed sample-averaged log2(FC) values of d0+dox and pD+dox comparisons
(colored as in A,B) plotted over the TSS distance from the *XIST* transgene integration site, faceted
by cell type group. Regression lines, 95% confidence interval ribbons, pearson coefficient (R), and
p-value (fisher-transformed R) indicated on each plot, black horizontal line denotes no change.

(D) Normalized enrichment scores (NES, x-axis) of GSEA against Hallmark and Canonical
pathways MSigDB subsets in euploid (center) and XIST-positive d0+dox and pD+dox cells. Plotted
categories (y-axis) limited to significant enrichment across at two comparisons (pairwise Fisher's
method combined p-value ≤ 0.005). Colors and transparency denote respectively, NES sign and -
log10-transformed q-values (capped at 3, q <= 0.001).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Reprogramming, hiPSC validation and culture

Human male fibroblast line (GM00260) mosaic for trisomy 21 (T21) was obtained from the Coriell Institute (Camden, NJ) and reprogrammed by the University of Connecticut's Cell and Genome Engineering Core, using the CytoTune hiPSC 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Genomic DNA from hiPSC clones was screened via duplex Taqman Copy Number (CN) Assay (*DYRK1A* - Hs01748441_cn and RNaseP, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to select euploid and T21 lines, followed by additional screening for Chr17q Taqman CN assay (*SEC14L1*, Hs05476397_cn), and the hPSC Genetic Analysis Kit (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). Interphase and M phase nuclei were also screened via FASTFISH (WiCell, Madison, WI) using Chr 20q (BCL2L1) and centromere enumeration probes for Chr 8, 12, 17, and X, alongside a SureFISH assay for Chr 21q (DSCR8). Selected hiPSC lines were further screened by aCGH (Infinium BeadChip CytoSNP 850K assay, Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the Center for Genome Innovation, Institute for Systems Genomics at the University of Connecticut.

T21 and euploid hiPSCs were cultured on mitotically inactive mouse embryonic fibroblasts (mEFs) in standard hiPSC media in 5% CO_2 at 37°C (80% DMEM/F12, 20% Knockout Serum Replacement, 1% Glutamax, 1% Non-Essential Amino Acids, 0.1% ß-Mercaptoethanol, and 8ng/mL bFGF, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and passaged weekly by manual disruption of 6-10 representative colonies. For hiPSC cultures requiring doxycycline induction, 2 µM doxycycline was added to the culture media.

Neural differentiation

T21 and euploid hiPSCs were passaged manually onto mEFs and in hiPSC medium. On day 2 post-passage, cultures were switched into N2B27 neural differentiation medium (Neurobasal medium (Gibco), 2% B27 (Gibco), 1% N2(DMEM:F12 (Gibco), 25ug/ml insulin (Sigma), 100ug/ml holo-transferrin, 100 µM putrescine, 20nM progesterone, 30nM selenite), 1% ITS-A (Gibco), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco), and 1% Glutamax (Gibco), supplemented with noggin at 500 ng/ml (R&D Systems). Cultures were fed and supplemented with Noggin every other day through

day 10. For neural differentiation with doxycycline induction, 1 µM doxycycline, or 0.01 µM for

low-dose cultures, was added to the culture media. Cultures were fed N2B27 until the

appearance of mature rosette structures (D14-D16). Rosette-stage cultures were passaged

manually 1:1 onto poly-d-lysine (PDL) and laminin-coated tissue culture plates and grown to

confluence. Cells were cultured until d23 and passaged enzymatically with Accutase (Invitrogen)

onto PDL and laminin-coated substrates at $1.9x10^4$ cells/cm^2- $2.2x10^4$ cells/cm^2. At d25,

cultures were switched to Neural Differentiation medium (NDM) (Neurobasal medium (Gibco),

2% B27 (Gibco), 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco)

2mM Glutamax (Gibco) 10 ng/ml brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Peprotech), 10ng/ml

glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, Peprotech), 1 µM cyclic AMP (cAMP, Sigma), and 200

µM ascorbic acid (AA, Sigma). Cells were cultured in NDM until assay endpoint. For DAPT-treated

cultures, DAPT (Tocris Bioscience) was added for 5 days at 10 µM. For EdU labeling, Edu was

added at 5 µM for 24 hours, and detected using the Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Invitrogen).

Doxycycline (1 µM) was added to EdU-labeled, pDAPT cultures at the time of EdU

addition/labeling.

Nucleic acid purification

Genomic DNA was purified from fresh or frozen pelleted cells using three different methods

depending on application. For colony PCR screening, genomic DNA was extracted via standard

high-salt chloroform extraction. For standard PCR, and array hybridization, cell pellets were

resuspended in either cell lysis buffer 1 (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 100 mM NaCl; 10 mM EDTA, pH

8.0; 1% SDS; 200 µg/ml Proteinase K), or cell lysis buffer 2 (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 200 mM NaCl;

10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5% Sarcosyl; 1 mg/mL Proteinase K) and incubated at 55°C for 3 hours to

overnight with optional RNAse A, 10 µg/mL treatment following at 37C for 5 minutes. Genomic

DNA was isolated using Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) (Invitrogen, Waltham,

MA), precipitated with either isopropanol or 300 mM sodium acetate with 2 volumes of 100%

chilled ethanol and 15 minutes at -80C, washed with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in TE buffer.

For linked-read WGS, high molecular weight (HMW) genomic DNA was prepared from fresh or

frozen hiPSC cell pellets, following the protocol listed in the Chromium Genome Reagent Kits v2

User Guide (10x Genomics, Pleasanton, CA), using the MagAttract HMW Kit (Qiagen,

Germantown, MD) with minor modifications. Plasmid and fosmid DNA was purified with either

the Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep kit or ZymoPURE II Plasmid Midiprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA).

Following restriction digests or PCR, DNA purification was performed with the Monarch PCR &

DNA Cleanup kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), or the DNA Clean & Concentrator Kit (Zymo

Research). For RNA isolation, the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used,

followed by cDNA synthesis using the iScript gDNA Clear cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad

Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Gene expression was measured via qRT-PCR using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-

Rad Laboratories) and the ΔCt method. Primer specificity was confirmed by melting curve

analysis, and relative expression was calculated as %GAPDH ($100/2^{\Delta Ct}$). Taqman CN assays were

normalized to 198-1 genomic DNA. HOT FIREPol Probe Universal PCR Mix Plus - no ROX (Solis

BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) was used per manufacturer's instructions, using 4 ng of high-quality

genomic DNA template in 20 µl reactions. The hPSC Genetic Analysis Kit (Stemcell Technologies)

was used following the manufacturer's instructions. All qPCR reactions were performed in

triplicate in a Bio-Rad CFX 96 Real-Time System.

Plasmid construction

For PCR amplifications, either Herculase II polymerase (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), Platinum Taq

High Fidelity (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Advantage 2 polymerase (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan), or

Q5 Hi-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) was used. For cloning reactions except the

large *XIST* insert steps, ligations were performed with T4 DNA ligase, 2,000,000 units/mL (New

England Biolabs) and DH10B homemade chemically competent cells were used at 37°C. For all

cloning with XIST cDNA fragments aside from ligation of oligo not followed by transformation

(which used the same T4 DNA ligase), the TaKaRa DNA Ligation Kit LONG (TaKaRa Bio) was used

along with NEB Stable Competent E. coli (New England Biolabs) with bacterial incubations at 25-

30°C to mitigate instability in initial steps observed at 37°C.

To clone the XIST transgene cDNA in a temporary pUC19 vector, a 10 kb fragment containing

most of XIST exon 1 was cut from a fosmid (WI2-935P22, BACPAC Genomics) with SacII and PshAI.

Linker oligos were used to clone the 10 kb SacII and PshAI fragment into the KpnI and XbaI sites

of pUC19, respectively. The 5'-most end of *XIST* exon 1 was PCR amplified, concurrently adding a

5' PmeI restriction site. The resulting PCR product was digested with PmeI and BtgZI and cloned

in between the PmeI/BtgZI restriction sites of the XIST exon 1-containg plasmid. The 3' end of

exon 1 through exon 6 was amplified from cDNA of Wi-38 cells (AG07217, Coriell Institute), while

adding a 3' SalI restriction site. The resulting PCR was digested with PshAI and SalI and cloned

between the PshAI and SalI sites of the plasmid from the prior step to complete the XIST cDNA

construct. The *UBC* promoter from the FUGW construct [79] (Addgene plasmid #14883) was cloned

into AAVS1-Neo-M2rtTA [80] (Addgene plasmid #60843). The *UBC* promoter was PCR-amplified

with flanking PvuII and FseI sites, and cloned into the AleI and FseI sites on the AAVS1-neo-

M2rtTA plasmid. This rtTA-advanced ORF was replaced with rtTA3G from the pCMV-Tet-3G

plasmid (TaKaRa Bio), by XbaI and XmaI digestion and cloning of three separate fragments to

create the final rtTA vector AAVS1-NEO-UBrtTA-3G.

To generate the final targeting vector, pTRE3G (TaKaRa Bio) was used as the backbone, following

excision of an XbaI fragment to reduce the size of the vector via removal of the SV40 small intron,

NLS, and SV40 polyA sequences. The 5' homology arm for chr21 was generated by PCR with EcoRI

sites on each primer using the genomic DNA of the destination cell line as the template. This PCR

product was ligated into the EcoRI sites of the pTRE3G vector to make pTRE3G-5P. Next a fusion

PCR product was generated, harboring a BGH polyA amplified from pl452 [81] and the 3' homology

arm using overlapping PCR from the genomic DNA of the destination cell line. This PCR product

was cloned into the PstI and BamHI sites of the pTRE3G-5P to make pTRE3G-5P3P. Next a loxP-

flanked PGK-puro-TK fragment was removed from vector pl452-puroTK by XhoI digest. The

fragment was cloned into the XhoI sites of the vector pTRE3G-5P3P. This pl452-puroTK vector

was derived from plasmids pl452 and plasmid pLCA.66/2272 [82] (Addgene plasmid #22733) by

removing the PGK-puroTK cassette with AgeI and BbsI and cloning that fragment into pl452 using

the same enzymes. To add the *XIST* cDNA construct, this CMV-puroTK-TRE3G vector was digested

with MluI and SbfI, and a phosphorylated linker oligo was ligated to purified, digested backbone,

creating a SacI-compatible overhang. Following purification, this vector was ligated to the SbfI-

SacI fragment containing the *XIST* cDNA construct, to create the TRE3G-XIST cDNA targeting

vector.

hiPSC targeting and screening

Targeting was performed using a Lonza Nucleofector 4D (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) with primary

cell kit P3 and program CD-150. AAVS1-Neo-ubrtTA was targeted to chr21 of the T21 cell

line 198-5. Two ug of each AAVS1-targeting TALEN [83] was nucleofected along with 6 ug of AAVS1

integration vector. Cells were plated on mitotically inactivated DR4 MEFs (ATCC, Manassas,

Virginia) post-nucleofection. After 96 hours, cells were cultured with G418 at a concentration of

25 ug/ml. Selection was done for a total of 6 days. Resulting colonies were isolated and screened

for targeting. cDNAs from targeted clones were tested for expression of rtTA by RT-qPCR. AAVS1

TALEN-L (Addgene plasmid #59025) and AAVS1 TALEN-R (Addgene plasmid #59026) were used

for targeting the UBrtTA. Integrants were screened using (AAVS1 scr F/Neo R and AAVS1 3'scr

R/rtTA F). For targeting XIST transgene via CRISPR, guides designed to target the chr21P copy

without cutting the chr21$^{M/M2}$ alleles were cloned into PX459V2 (Addgene plasmid #48139) at

the BbsI site by annealing and ligating overlapping oligos. Two ug each this CRISPR guide plasmid

was used along with 6 ug XIST cDNA targeting vector. Cells were plated on DR4 MEFs and after

96 hours, puromycin was added to the culture at a concentration of 0.5 ug/ml for a total of 4

days. Resulting colonies were isolated and genotyped for targeting by PCR. Clones were verified

by sequencing across the target to verify the loss of the targeted allele.

Immunofluorescence and *XIST* RNA-FISH

For *XIST* RNA FISH, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X and 2mM ribonucleoside vanadyl

complex (RVC) in CSK Buffer (10mM PIPES pH 6.8, 100mM NaCl, 3mM MgCl2, 300nM sucrose),

fixed in 4% PFA in PBS, then dehydrated through a series of ethanol washes (70%,80%, 90%, and

100%). Digoxigenin-labeled XIST probe hybridization was performed overnight in a humidity

chamber at 42°C. Anti-digoxigenin antibody (ThermoFisher; 700772) was hybridized for 1 hour at

RT. Secondary antibody hybridization (Alexa Fluor; Invitrogen; 1:500) followed for 1 hour at RT.

Nuclear DNA was counter-stained with Hoechst 33342 dye. Slides were imaged with an EVOS FL

Auto2 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For immunofluorescence cells were grown in Nunc Lab-Tek II glass chamberslides coated with

poly-d-lysine and laminin (for neural differentiation) or permanox chamberslides with MEFs

(iPSCs). Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for

10 minutes at room temperature (RT), rinsed with PBS 3 times for 5 minutes and then

permeabilized in 1% Triton-X in PBS for another 10 minutes. Samples were blocked in blocking

buffer containing PBS, 0.1% Triton-X, 5% BSA and 2% normal goat serum (MilliporeSigma). Cells

were placed in antibodies diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C in a humidity chamber.

Primary antibodies were used as follows: MAP2 (Abcam; ab5392; 1:10,000), S100B (Sigma; s2532;

1:1000), H2AK119ub (Cell Signaling; 8240, 1:1600). Following washes in 0.1% Triton-X in PBS, 3

times for 5 minutes, cells were labeled with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies at 1:500 for 1 hour

at RT. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342and slides preserved in Prolong Gold

Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific). IF and FISH automated image quantification was

performed using a custom CellProfiler pipeline [84].

Cytogenomics, DNA methylation, Linked-read WGS and mRNA sequencing

For cytogenomic analysis, genomic DNA was labeled and hybridized to the Infinium BeadChip

CytoSNP 850K array (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. For

DNAme analysis, genomic DNA was bisulfite-converted using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo

Research, Irvine, CA), then labeled and hybridized using the Infinium Methylation EPIC BeadChip

Kit (Illumina) following standard protocol of each manufacturer. Both types of arrays were

scanned on a NextSeq 550 system (Illumina).

HMW genomic DNA was sequenced to ~30x coverage on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina), following

library preparation on the 10X Genomics Linked-Read platform at the Center for Genome

Innovation, Institute for Systems Genomics at the University of Connecticut. For standard mRNA-

seq, libraries were prepared using the Illumina stranded mRNA Kit and 100bp paired-ends reads

were sequenced to an average depth pf 40 million reads/replicate on the NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina,

San Diego, CA).

Single-nuclei RNA sequencing

Neural cultures were treated with TrypLE (Life Technologies) for 4 minutes at 37C, and cells counted with Trypan blue on the Countess Automated Cell Counter. Nuclei isolation was performed with the Nuclei Isolation Kit: Nuclei EZ Prep (Sigma-Aldrich) with some modifications. Briefly, cells were centrifuged at 1330 rpm for 3.5 minutes, media aspirated, and resuspended in Nuclei PURE Lysis Buffer containing 1M DTT, 10% Triton X-100 and RNAsin. Nuclei were centrifuged at 500g and 4C for 5 minutes in a swing-bucket rotor centrifuge, and lysis buffer was aspirated. Nuclei resuspended in Nuclei PURE Sucrose Cushion buffer and RNAsin were pelleted at 500g and 4C for 5 minutes, resuspended again in 25% iodixanol solution (280ul of Nuclei PURE Sucrose Cushion buffer containing RNAsin + 200ul of 60% iodixanol), and under-layered by corresponding 30% and 35% iodixanol solutions. Nuclei traverse the 25% and 30% layers of this density gradient upon centrifugation (3166g at 4C for 30 minutes), and were collected from the 30% to 35% interface in volume of 300 μl. Resuspended in PURE Storage Buffer (1ml) and (5ul) of RNAsin, nuclei were pelleted at 3166g for 15 minutes at 4C, resuspended in remaining 100ul, and counted again. Isolated nuclei were stored at -80 until fixation. Nuclei fixation was performed according to the Evercode Fixation v2 protocol (Parse Biosciences). Nuclei were counted after fixation and barcoded using the Evercode WT mini v2, following the manufacturer's instructions. Sublibraries were quantified using the Agilent Tapestation, pooled in proportion to their respective nuclei counts, and sequenced on MiSeq v2 and NextSeq 550 v2.5 mid-output kits (Illumina).

Expression and DNA methylation analysis

The FASTQ files were quality controlled using FASTQC, reads were filtered and adapter-trimmed was done using BBDuk [85]. Expression quantification was performed using Salmon [86], and differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 [87]. Gene set enrichments were performed using the GSEA function from the R package clusterProfiler [88] on the following gene sets: Biocarta, GO (Biological Processes, Cellular Components, and Molecular Functions), KEGG, Pathway Interaction Database, Reactome, and WikiPathways. For DNAme analysis, the MethylEPIC IDAT files were processed using the R package minfi and its preprocessIllumina

function to obtain methylation β-values [89]. Promoter probes were defined as having one of the

following designations in the array manifest file: TSS1500, TSS200, or 5_UTR.

Phased allelic RNA-seq analysis

The 10X Genomics Long Ranger pipeline was used to align reads, and call and phase SNPs, indels,

and structural variants from linked-read WGS reads. The processed FASTQs from above were

aligned using HISAT2 to the hg38 human reference genome [90]. Relevant chr21 SNPs were

extracted from the Long Ranger output and used to get haplotype gene expression counts from

aligned RNA-seq bam files using the phASER software package [91]. While this provided reliable

intragenic phasing, for intergenic phasing on chr21 we relied on the imbalanced haplotype gene

expression in the T21, compared to its isogenic euploid controls. The higher expressed haplotype

was designated as the major (duplicated or chr21$^{M/M2}$) haplotype and the other as the minor

haplotype (chr21^P).

Single-nuclei RNA-seq analysis

Fastq files were processed using the split-pipe pipeline (Parse Biosciences), and imported to

Seurat [92]. We excluded nuclei with over 10% reads mapping to the mitochondrial genome, fewer

than 2500 mapped reads, fewer than 1500 genes detected, or over 7500 genes detected.

Following normalization and cell cycle scoring, the data were SC-transformed [62] while regressing

out the mitochondrial read fraction, and samples integrated. UMAPs were projected using the

first 30 principal components, and clusters labeled under default settings. Cell types were

identified and grouped using markers from [60] and sctype [61]. Allelic counts from single nuclei were

phased as described above, and aggregated across all chr21 genes to mitigate the impact of

transcriptional bursts. Chr21 dosage by cell (aggregating across chr21 genes), or by gene

(aggregating across cells) was performed on counts-per-million (cpm) normalized expression. For

differential expression analysis, Seurat functions PrepSCTFindMarkers and FindMarkers using the

ROC test were applied to compare euploid, *XIST*$^+$ d0 and pD cells to *XIST*$^-$ T21 cells by cell type

group. GSEA was performed as for bulk RNA-seq while limiting gene terms to Hallmark and

canonical pathways (KEGG, Reactome, wikipathways, PID, biocarta) of MSigDB.

Animals

Ts(1716)65Dn (Ts65Dn; strain #005252) mice were obtained through crossings of a B6EiC3Sn a/A-

Ts (1716)65Dn (Ts65Dn) female to F1 hybrid B6C3F1/J males purchased from The Jackson

Laboratory. Genotyping was performed by amplifying genomic DNA obtained from the mouse

tail as described in [20]. The colony of Ts65Dn mice was maintained in the Animal Facilities in the

Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB, Barcelona, Spain). Mice received chow and water ad

libitum in controlled laboratory conditions with temperature maintained at 22 ± 1oC and

humidity at 55 ± 10% on a 12h light/dark cycle (lights off 20:00h). The control group consisted of

wild-type (WT) euploid littermates. All experimental procedures were approved by the local

ethical committee (Comité Ético de Experimentación Animal del PRBB (CEEA-PRBB); procedure

number MDS-12-1464P3), and met the guidelines of the local (law 32/2007) and European

regulations (EU directive n° 86/609, EU decree 2001-486) and the Standards for the use of

Laboratory Animals n° A5388-01 (NIH).

1025

Slice immunohistochemistry, imaging and analysis

Breeding pairs were established so that vaginal plugs could be checked twice daily. The presence

of a vaginal plug was designated as E0.5. A 10% weight gain at E10 was used to confirm

pregnancy. Male and female embryos were collected from pregnant trisomic dams at E18.5,

chilled, decapitated immediately and processed for fluorescent immunohistochemical staining.

Fetal heads were immersed in Paraformaldehyde 4% (PFA) (Sigma Aldrich) and the brain was

dissected 24 hours later and immersed again in PFA o/n. Brains were cryoprotected by immersion

in 30% sucrose solution and embedded in OCT for posterior histological processing. Embryos

heads were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) and embedded tissue

was frozen rapidly and either stored at − 80 °C or immediately sectioned into 20-µm thick frozen

sections using a cryostat (Leica CM3050S). Histological sections were placed in Superfrost® slides

and placed at -20ºC. We processed two sections at three different coordinates (+1.81/2.52/3.15

from Bregma). Slices were fixed with methanol and incubated with blocking buffer (Tris-Buffered

Saline, TBS, with 0,5% Triton-X 100 and 6% normal donkey serum). Primary antibodies were

diluted in TBS ++ (TBS with 0,1% Triton-X 100 and 6% normal donkey serum): anti-S100b (Rabbit,

1:1000; Synaptic systems, #287003) and anti-Ki67 (Rabbit, 1:500; Abcam, ab15580). Slices were

incubated with primary antibodies overnight. After three washes with TBS, sections were

incubated with the following secondary antibodies diluted in TBS ++: goat anti-rabbit (1:500;

Alexa 594, Invitrogen A11012) and goat anti-rabbit (1:500; Alexa 488, A-11008)   . Slices were

washed with TBS three times and incubated ten minutes with Hoechst 33342. Finally, sections

were mounted on glass slides using an aqueous mounting media, Mowiol.

To quantify the density of S100B cells six sections per animal were imaged using a Leica

TCS SP5 inverted scanning laser microscope (Leica Microsystems). 15 - 16-µm thick z-stacks (512

x 512 resolution, 8 bits) of each region of interest (ROI) in the cortex of embryos, were acquired

using a 20X objective (N.A = 0.8). After imaging, labeled cells were manually counted using ImageJ

(NIH, Bethesda). Cell counting was performed using the Cell Counter plugin on ImageJ software

(NIH, Bethesda) in a maximum projection of all z-stacks (1 µm step size). Cell counts from the

cortex were processed first by image, then by coordinate (two images were taken from each

coordinate), then by animal and finally by genotype. To quantify the density of Ki67 cells three

sections per animal were imaged in the same form than S100B but imaging the ventricular zone

of the cortex, the area with higher proliferation. An area of 100 μm^2 was delimited to normalize

across sections and due to the high density of cells in this area. Outliers in data sets from both

euploid and trisomic mice were statistically determined using a calculation of interquartile range

(IQR). All data points outside of the IQR fences were excluded from analysis without bias. All

variables were assessed with a two-tailed, independent samples Student's t test, and passed both

the Shapiro-Wilks normality test and an equal variance test.

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the LuMind IDSC Foundation and the NIH

(R35GM124926) to S.F.P. We are grateful to Gordon Carmichael and James Li for helpful

comments on our manuscript. We also thank the University of Connecticut's Cell and Genome

Engineering Core and Center for Genome Innovation for reprogramming, as well as mRNA-seq,

CytoSNP, linked-read WGS and methylEPIC core services, respectively. Support for work

conducted at the Gladstone institutes (S.F.) came from R01 AG064579 with additional support

from R01 LM013617, RF1 NS128800 and the JSRM Foundation. Work at the Centre for Genomic

Regulation (M.D.), was supported by the Fondation Jérôme Lejeune #2002, Fundació La Marató

De TV3 202212-30-31-32, and Agencia Estatal de Investigación (PID2019- 110755RB-I00/AEI /

10.13039/501100011033). We acknowledge support of the Spanish Ministry of Science and

Innovation to the EMBL partnership, the Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa and the CERCA

Programme / Generalitat de Catalunya, and the FPU fellowship (FPU19/04789) from Ministerio

de Universidades (to M.S.N.) The CIBER of Rare Diseases is an initiative of the ISCIII.

1084

1085

1086 **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

1087 No competing interests

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

REFERENCES

1. Capone, G. T., Chicoine, B., Bulova, P., Stephens, M., Hart, S., Crissman, B., *et al.* Co-occurring medical conditions in adults with Down syndrome: A systematic review toward the development of health care guidelines. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **176**, 116–133 (2018).

2. Antonarakis, S. E., Skotko, B. G., Rafii, M. S., Strydom, A., Pape, S. E., Bianchi, D. W., *et al.* Down syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* **6**, 1–20 (2020).

3. Kazuki, Y., Gao, F. J., Li, Y., Moyer, A. J., Devenney, B., Hiramatsu, K., *et al.* A non-mosaic transchromosomic mouse model of down syndrome carrying the long arm of human chromosome 21. *Elife* **9**, 1–29 (2020).

4. Kazuki, Y., Gao, F. J., Yamakawa, M., Hirabayashi, M., Kazuki, K., Kajitani, N., *et al.* A transchromosomic rat model with human chromosome 21 shows robust Down syndrome features. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **109**, 328–344 (2022).

5. Herault, Y., Delabar, J. M., Fisher, E. M. C., Tybulewicz, V. L. J., Yu, E. & Brault, V. Rodent models in Down syndrome research: impact and future opportunities. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **10**, 1165–1186 (2017).

6. Bhattacharyya, A. Advancing knowledge of down syndrome brain development and function with human stem cells. *Am. J. Intellect. Dev. Disabil.* **25**, 90–92 (2020).

7. Suzuki, I. K. & Vanderhaeghen, P. Is this a brain which i see before me? Modeling human neural development with pluripotent stem cells. *Development (Cambridge)* vol. 142 3138–3150 (2015).

8. van den Ameele, J., Tiberi, L., Vanderhaeghen, P. & Espuny-Camacho, I. Thinking out of the dish: what to learn about cortical development using pluripotent stem cells. *Trends Neurosci.* **37**, 334–42 (2014).

9. Cookson, M. R. Evolution of Neurodegeneration. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, R753–R761 (2012).

10. Shabani, K. & Hassan, B. A. The brain on time: Links between development and neurodegeneration. *Dev.* **150**, (2023).

11. Altafaj, X., Martín, E. D., Ortiz-Abalia, J., Valderrama, A., Lao-Peregrín, C., Dierssen, M., *et al.* Normalization of Dyrk1A expression by AAV2/1-shDyrk1A attenuates hippocampal-dependent defects in the Ts65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **52**, 117–127 (2013).

12. Wiseman, F. K., Al-Janabi, T., Hardy, J., Karmiloff-Smith, A., Nizetic, D., Tybulewicz, V. L. J., *et al.* A genetic cause of Alzheimer disease: Mechanistic insights from Down syndrome. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* vol. 16 564–574 (2015).

13. Rosi, S. & Frias, E. S. The Integrated Stress Response: A Central Memory Switch in Down Syndrome. *Cell Metab.* **31**, 15–17 (2020).

14. Zhu, P. J., Khatiwada, S., Cui, Y., Reineke, L. C., Dooling, S. W., Kim, J. J., *et al.* Activation of the ISR mediates the behavioral and neurophysiological abnormalities in down syndrome. *Science (80-.).* **366**, 843–849 (2019).

15. Tramutola, A., Lanzillotta, C., Arena, A., Barone, E., Perluigi, M. & Di Domenico, F. Increased Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Signaling Contributes to the Accumulation of Protein Oxidative Damage in a Mouse Model of Down's Syndrome. *Neurodegener. Dis.* **16**, 62–68 (2016).

16. Zamponi, E., Zamponi, N., Coskun, P., Quassollo, G., Lorenzo, A., Cannas, S. A., *et al.* Nrf2
stabilization prevents critical oxidative damage in Down syndrome cells. *Aging Cell* **17**,
e12812 (2018).
17. Helguera, P., Pelsman, A., Pigino, G., Wolvetang, E., Head, E. & Busciglio, J. ets-2
promotes the activation of a mitochondrial death pathway in Down's syndrome neurons.
J. Neurosci. **25**, 2295–303 (2005).
18. Helguera, P., Seiglie, J., Rodriguez, J., Hanna, M., Helguera, G. & Busciglio, J. Adaptive
downregulation of mitochondrial function in down syndrome. *Cell Metab.* **17**, 132–40
(2013).
19. Di Domenico, F., Coccia, R., Cocciolo, A., Murphy, M. P., Cenini, G., Head, E., *et al.*
Impairment of proteostasis network in Down syndrome prior to the development of
Alzheimer's disease neuropathology: Redox proteomics analysis of human brain.
Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Basis Dis. **1832**, 1249–1259 (2013).
20. Hwang, S., Cavaliere, P., Li, R., Zhu, L. J., Dephoure, N. & Torres, E. M. Consequences of
aneuploidy in human fibroblasts with trisomy 21. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2014723118
(2021).
21. Jiang, J., Jing, Y., Cost, G. J., Chiang, J.-C., Kolpa, H. J., Cotton, A. M., *et al.* Translating
dosage compensation to trisomy 21. *Nature* **500**, 296–300 (2013).
22. Pinter, S. F. A Tale of Two Cities: How Xist and its partners localize to and silence the
bicompartmental X. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **56**, 19–34 (2016).
23. Wutz, A. & Jaenisch, R. A shift from reversible to irreversible X inactivation is triggered
during ES cell differentiation. *Mol. Cell* **5**, 695–705 (2000).
24. Kohlmaier, A., Savarese, F., Lachner, M., Martens, J., Jenuwein, T. & Wutz, A. A
chromosomal memory triggered by Xist regulates histone methylation in X inactivation.
PLoS Biol **2**, E171 (2004).
25. Savarese, F., Flahndorfer, K., Jaenisch, R., Busslinger, M. & Wutz, A. Hematopoietic
precursor cells transiently reestablish permissiveness for X inactivation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*
26, 7167–77 (2006).
26. Agrelo, R., Souabni, A., Novatchkova, M., Haslinger, C., Leeb, M., Komnenovic, V., *et al.*
SATB1 defines the developmental context for gene silencing by Xist in lymphoma and
embryonic cells. *Dev. Cell* **16**, 507–516 (2009).
27. Czermiński, J. T. & Lawrence, J. B. Silencing Trisomy 21 with XIST in Neural Stem Cells
Promotes Neuronal Differentiation. *Dev. Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.12.015.
28. Guidi, S., Bonasoni, P., Ceccarelli, C., Santini, D., Gualtieri, F., Ciani, E., *et al.* Neurogenesis
impairment and increased cell death reduce total neuron number in the hippocampal
region of fetuses with Down syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* **18**, 180–197 (2008).
29. Zdaniuk, G., Wierzba-Bobrowicz, T., Szpak, G. M. & Stępień, T. Astroglia disturbances
during development of the central nervous system in fetuses with Down's syndrome.
Folia Neuropathol. **49**, 109–114 (2011).
30. Lu, J., Esposito, G., Scuderi, C., Steardo, L., Delli-Bovi, L. C., Hecht, J. L., *et al.* S100B and
APP promote a gliocentric shift and impaired neurogenesis in down syndrome neural
progenitors. *PLoS One* **6**, (2011).
31. Lu, J., Lian, G., Zhou, H., Esposito, G., Steardo, L., Delli-Bovi, L. C., *et al.* OLIG2 over-
expression impairs proliferation of human Down syndrome neural progenitors. *Hum.*

Mol. Genet. **21**, 2330–2340 (2012).

32. Guidi, S., Giacomini, A., Stagni, F., Emili, M., Uguagliati, B., Bonasoni, M. P., *et al.*
Abnormal development of the inferior temporal region in fetuses with Down syndrome.
Brain Pathol. **28**, 986–998 (2018).

33. Holtzman, D. M., Santucci, D., Kilbridge, J., Chua-Couzens, J., Fontana, D. J., Daniels, S. E.,
et al. Developmental abnormalities and age-related neurodegeneration in a mouse
model of Down syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**, 13333–13338 (1996).

34. Kurabayashi, N., Nguyen, M. D. & Sanada, K. DYRK1A overexpression enhances STAT
activity and astrogliogenesis in a Down syndrome mouse model. *EMBO Rep.* **16**, 1548–
1562 (2015).

35. Stagni, F., Giacomini, A., Emili, M., Uguagliati, B., Bonasoni, M. P., Bartesaghi, R., *et al.*
Subicular hypotrophy in fetuses with Down syndrome and in the Ts65Dn model of Down
syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* **29**, 366–379 (2019).

36. Lubiniecki, A. S., Blattner, W. A., Martin, G. M., Fialkow, P. J., Dosik, H., Eatherly, C., *et al.*
SV40 T-antigen expression in cultured fibroblasts from patients with Down syndrome and
their parents. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **31**, 469–477 (1979).

37. Laurent, L. C., Ulitsky, I., Slavin, I., Tran, H., Schork, A., Morey, R., *et al.* Dynamic changes
in the copy number of pluripotency and cell proliferation genes in human ESCs and iPSCs
during reprogramming and time in culture. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**, 106–118 (2011).

38. Taapken, S. M., Nisler, B. S., Newton, M. A., Sampsell-Barron, T. L., Leonhard, K. A.,
McIntire, E. M., *et al. Karotypic abnormalities in human induced pluripotent stem cells*
and embryonic stem cells. *Nature Biotechnology* vol. 29 313–314 (2011).

39. Papavassiliou, P., Charalsawadi, C., Rafferty, K. & Jackson-Cook, C. Mosaicism for trisomy
21: A review. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* **167**, 26–39 (2015).

40. Weick, J. P., Held, D. L., Bonadurer, G. F., Doers, M. E., Liu, Y., Maguire, C., *et al.* Deficits
in human trisomy 21 iPSCs and neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 9962–7
(2013).

41. Hibaoui, Y., Grad, I., Letourneau, A., Sailani, M. R., Dahoun, S., Santoni, F. A., *et al.*
Modelling and rescuing neurodevelopmental defect of Down syndrome using induced
pluripotent stem cells from monozygotic twins discordant for trisomy 21. *EMBO Mol.*
Med. **6**, 259–77 (2014).

42. Murray, A., Letourneau, A., Canzonetta, C., Stathaki, E., Gimelli, S., Sloan-Bena, F., *et al.*
Brief Report: Isogenic Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines From an Adult With Mosaic
Down Syndrome Model Accelerated Neuronal Ageing and Neurodegeneration. *Stem Cells*
33, 2077–2084 (2015).

43. MacLean, G. A., Menne, T. F., Guo, G., Sanchez, D. J., Park, I.-H., Daley, G. Q., *et al.*
Altered hematopoiesis in trisomy 21 as revealed through in vitro differentiation of
isogenic human pluripotent cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 17567–17572 (2012).

44. Inoue, M., Kajiwara, K., Yamaguchi, A., Kiyono, T., Samura, O., Akutsu, H., *et al.*
Autonomous trisomic rescue of Down syndrome cells. *Lab. Investig.* **99**, 885–897 (2019).

45. Csankovszki, G., Panning, B., Bates, B., Pehrson, J. R. & Jaenisch, R. Conditional deletion
of Xist disrupts histone macroH2A localization but not maintenance of X inactivation.
Nat. Genet. **22**, 323–324 (1999).

46. Csankovszki, G., Nagy, A. & Jaenisch, R. Synergism of Xist Rna, DNA Methylation, and

Histone Hypoacetylation in Maintaining X Chromosome Inactivation. *J. Cell Biol.* **153**,
773–784 (2001).

47.   Moullan, N., Mouchiroud, L., Wang, X., Ryu, D., Williams, E. G., Mottis, A., *et al.*
Tetracyclines disturb mitochondrial function across eukaryotic models: A call for caution
in biomedical research. *Cell Rep.* **10**, 1681–1691 (2015).

48.   Izzo, A., Mollo, N., Nitti, M., Paladino, S., Calì, G., Genesio, R., *et al.* Mitochondrial
dysfunction in down syndrome: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Mol.*
Med. **24**, 2 (2018).

49.   Valenti, D., Braidy, N., De Rasmo, D., Signorile, A., Rossi, L., Atanasov, A. G., *et al.*
Mitochondria as pharmacological targets in Down syndrome. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **114**,
69–83 (2018).

50.   Parra, V., Altamirano, F., Hernández-Fuentes, C. P., Tong, D., Kyrychenko, V., Rotter, D.,
et al. Down Syndrome Critical Region 1 Gene, Rcan1, Helps Maintain a More Fused
Mitochondrial Network. *Circ. Res.* **122**, e20–e33 (2018).

51.   Wolvetang, E. ., Bradfield, O. ., Hatzistavrou, T., Crack, P. ., Busciglio, J., Kola, I., *et al.*
Overexpression of the chromosome 21 transcription factor Ets2 induces neuronal
apoptosis. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **14**, 349–356 (2003).

52.   Hu, Y., Lehrach, H. & Janitz, M. Apoptosis screening of human chromosome 21 proteins
reveals novel cell death regulators. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **37**, 3381–3387 (2010).

53.   Noll, C., Tlili, A., Ripoll, C., Mallet, L., Paul, J. L., Delabar, J. M., *et al.* Dyrk1a activates
antioxidant NQO1 expression through an ERK1/2-Nrf2 dependent mechanism. *Mol.*
Genet. Metab. **105**, 484–488 (2012).

54.   Liu, Y., Borel, C., Li, L., Müller, T., Williams, E. G., Germain, P. L., *et al.* Systematic
proteome and proteostasis profiling in human Trisomy 21 fibroblast cells. *Nat. Commun.*
2017 81 **8**, 1–15 (2017).

55.   Banda, E. & Grabel, L. Directed Differentiation of Human Embryonic Stem Cells into
Neural Progenitors. in *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* vol. 1307 289–298
(2014).

56.   Banda, E., McKinsey, A., Germain, N., Carter, J., Anderson, N. C. & Grabel, L. Cell Polarity
and Neurogenesis in Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Neural Rosettes. *Stem Cells Dev.* **24**,
1022–1033 (2015).

57.   Linsley, J. W., Shah, K., Castello, N., Chan, M., Haddad, D., Doric, Z., *et al.* Genetically
encoded cell-death indicators (GEDI) to detect an early irreversible commitment to
neurodegeneration. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–14 (2021).

58.   Chang, Y. H., Linsley, J., Lamstein, J., Kalra, J., Epstein, I., Barch, M., *et al.* Single cell
tracking based on Voronoi partition via stable matching. *Proc. IEEE Conf. Decis. Control*
2020-**Decem**, 5086–5091 (2020).

59.   Molofsky, A. V., Krencick, R., Ullian, E. M., Tsai, H. -h., Deneen, B., Richardson, W. D., *et*
al. Astrocytes and disease: a neurodevelopmental perspective. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 891–907
(2012).

60.   Burke, E. E., Chenoweth, J. G., Shin, J. H., Collado-Torres, L., Kim, S.-K., Micali, N., *et al.*
Dissecting transcriptomic signatures of neuronal differentiation and maturation using
iPSCs. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 462 (2020).

61.   Ianevski, A., Giri, A. K. & Aittokallio, T. Fully-automated and ultra-fast cell-type

identification using specific marker combinations from single-cell transcriptomic data.
Nat. Commun. 2022 131 **13**, 1–10 (2022).

62.   Hafemeister, C. & Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-
seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 1–15 (2019).

63.   Tobe, R., Naranjo-Suarez, S., Everley, R. A., Carlson, B. A., Turanov, A. A., Tsuji, P. A., *et al.*
High Error Rates in Selenocysteine Insertion in Mammalian Cells Treated with the
Antibiotic Doxycycline, Chloramphenicol, or Geneticin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 14709–14715
(2013).

64.   de Toma, I., Sierra, C. & Dierssen, M. Meta-analysis of transcriptomic data reveals
clusters of consistently deregulated gene and disease ontologies in Down syndrome.
PLOS Comput. Biol. **17**, e1009317 (2021).

65.   Beckervordersandforth, R. Mitochondrial Metabolism-Mediated Regulation of Adult
Neurogenesis. *Brain Plast.* **3**, 73–87 (2017).

66.   Briggs, J. A., Sun, J., Shepherd, J., Ovchinnikov, D. A., Chung, T.-L., Nayler, S. P., *et al.*
Integration-Free Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Model Genetic and Neural
Developmental Features of Down Syndrome Etiology. *Stem Cells* **31**, 467–478 (2013).

67.   Kawatani, K., Nambara, T., Nawa, N., Yoshimatsu, H., Kusakabe, H., Hirata, K., *et al.* A
human isogenic iPSC-derived cell line panel identifies major regulators of aberrant
astrocyte proliferation in Down syndrome. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 730 (2021).

68.   Neri, F., Rapelli, S., Krepelova, A., Incarnato, D., Parlato, C., Basile, G., *et al.* Intragenic
DNA methylation prevents spurious transcription initiation. *Nat. 2017 5437643* **543**, 72–
77 (2017).

69.   Mizuno, G. O., Wang, Y., Shi, G., Wang, Y., Sun, J., Papadopoulos, S., *et al.* Aberrant
Calcium Signaling in Astrocytes Inhibits Neuronal Excitability in a Human Down Syndrome
Stem Cell Model. *Cell Rep.* **24**, 355–365 (2018).

70.   Fernández-blanco, Á. & Dierssen, M. Rethinking Intellectual Disability from Neuro- to
Astro-Pathology. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**, 1–20 (2020).

71.   Wang, J., Syrett, C. M., Kramer, M. C., Basu, A., Atchison, M. L. & Anguera, M. C. Unusual
maintenance of X chromosome inactivation predisposes female lymphocytes for
increased expression from the inactive X. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 201520113 (2016)
doi:10.1073/pnas.1520113113.

72.   Chiang, J. C., Jiang, J., Newburger, P. E. & Lawrence, J. B. Trisomy silencing by XIST
normalizes Down syndrome cell pathogenesis demonstrated for hematopoietic defects in
vitro. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, (2018).

73.   Rocks, D., Shukla, M., Ouldibbat, L., Finnemann, S. C., Kalluchi, A., Rowley, M. J., *et al.*
Sex-specific multi-level 3D genome dynamics in the mouse brain. *Nat. Commun. 2022*
131 **13**, 1–17 (2022).

74.   Chow, J. C., Hall, L. L., Baldry, S. E. L., Thorogood, N. P., Lawrence, J. B. & Brown, C. J.
Inducible XIST-dependent X-chromosome inactivation in human somatic cells is
reversible. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 10104–9 (2007).

75.   Kelsey, A. D., Yang, C., Leung, D., Minks, J., Dixon-McDougall, T., Baldry, S. E. L., *et al.*
Impact of flanking chromosomal sequences on localization and silencing by the human
non-coding RNA XIST. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 1–16 (2015).

76.   Loda, A., Brandsma, J. H., Vassilev, I., Servant, N., Loos, F., Amirnasr, A., *et al.* Genetic and

epigenetic features direct differential efficiency of Xist-mediated silencing at X-
chromosomal and autosomal locations. *Nat. Commun. 2017 81* **8**, 1–16 (2017).

77.   Wutz, A. Epigenetic Alterations in Human Pluripotent Stem Cells: A Tale of Two Cultures.
Cell Stem Cell **11**, 9–15 (2012).

78.   Bansal, P., Ahern, D. T., Kondaveeti, Y., Qiu, C. W. & Pinter, S. F. Contiguous erosion of
the inactive X in human pluripotency concludes with global DNA hypomethylation. *Cell*
Rep. **35**, 109215 (2021).

79.   Lois, C., Hong, E. J., Pease, S., Brown, E. J. & Baltimore, D. Germline transmission and
tissue-specific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral vectors. *Science* **295**, 868–
872 (2002).

80.   DeKelver, R. C., Choi, V. M., Moehle, E. A., Paschon, D. E., Hockemeyer, D., Meijsing, S. H.,
et al. Functional genomics, proteomics, and regulatory DNA analysis in isogenic settings
using zinc finger nuclease-driven transgenesis into a safe harbor locus in the human
genome. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1133–42 (2010).

81.   Liu, P., Jenkins, N. A. & Copeland, N. G. A Highly Efficient Recombineering-Based Method
for Generating Conditional Knockout Mutations. *Genome Res.* **13**, 476–484 (2003).

82.   Chen, S. X., Osipovich, A. B., Ustione, A., Potter, L. A., Hipkens, S., Gangula, R., *et al.*
Quantification of factors influencing fluorescent protein expression using RMCE to
generate an allelic series in the ROSA26 locus in mice. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **4**, 537–547
(2011).

83.   Zhu, Z., González, F. & Huangfu, D. The iCRISPR Platform for Rapid Genome Editing in
Human Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Methods Enzymol.* **546**, 215–250 (2014).

84.   Mcquin, C., Goodman, A., Chernyshev, V., Kamentsky, L., Cimini, A., Karhohs, K. W., *et al.*
Cellprofiler 3.0. *PLoS Biol.* 1–17 (2018).

85.   Bushnell, B. BBTools.

86.   Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).

87.   Love, M. I., Huber, W., Anders, S., Tusher, V., Banks, E., Fennell, T., *et al.* Moderated
estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*
15, 550 (2014).

88.   Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal
enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innov.* **2**, 100141 (2021).

89.   Aryee, M. J., Jaffe, A. E., Corrada-Bravo, H., Ladd-Acosta, C., Feinberg, A. P., Hansen, K. D.,
et al. Minfi: A flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of
Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1363–1369 (2014).

90.   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 907–
915 (2019).

91.   Castel, S. E., Mohammadi, P., Chung, W. K., Shen, Y. & Lappalainen, T. Rare variant
phasing and haplotypic expression from RNA sequencing with phASER. *Nat. Commun.* **7**,
1–6 (2016).

92.   Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W. M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., *et al.* Integrated
analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587.e29 (2021).

1362

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 5

A

T21 (198-5)
Imaging Hours Elapsed
48 60 72 84 96 108

EGFP

RGEDI

Overlay

Euploid/D21 (198-1)
Imaging Hours Elapsed
48 60 72 84 96 108

EGFP

RGEDI

Overlay

B Interneurons
HR: 4.08

T21 198-5

Euploid 198-1

**

Cumulative Rate of Death
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0.00

Time Elapsed (hours)
0 50 100 150 200 250

C Forebrain Neurons
HR: 2.57

T21 198-5

Euploid 198-1

**

Cumulative Rate of Death
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0.00

Time Elapsed (hours)
0 50 100 150 200 250

Figure 6

Figure 7