Differential modification of the C-terminal tails of different α-tubulins and their importance for microtubule function *in vivo*

Mengjing Bao, Ruth Dörig, Paula Vazquez-Pianzola, Dirk Beuchle, and Beat Suter

Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Switzerland

Summary

1. α-tubulin glutamylation was established in the C-terminal domain of *Drosophila* αTub84B and αTub84D (αTub84B/D). Multiple glutamyl residues were pinpointed in this domain. The female germline α-tubulin, αTub67C, is, however, not glutamylated.

2. *TTLL5* is required for mono- and poly-glutamylation of αTub84B/D.

3. *TTLL5* is required for the proper Kinesin heavy chain (Khc) distribution in the germline, and the kinesin-based refinement of Staufen localization and ooplasmic streaming during late oogenesis.

4. *TTLL5* is needed for the pausing of anterograde trafficking of mitochondria in axons.

Abstract

Microtubules (MTs) are built from α-/β-tubulin dimers and used as tracks by kinesin and dynein motors to transport a variety of cargos, such as mRNAs, proteins, and organelles, within the cell. Tubulins are subjected to several post-translational modifications (PTMs). Glutamylation is one of them, and it is responsible for adding one or more glutamic acid residues as branched peptide chains to the C-terminal tails of both α- and β-tubulin. However, very little is known about the specific modifications found on the different tubulin isotypes *in vivo* and the role of these PTMs in MT transport and other cellular processes *in vivo*. In this study, we found that in *Drosophila* ovaries, glutamylation of α-tubulin isotypes occurred clearly on the C-terminal ends of αTub84B and αTub84D (αTub84B/D). In contrast, the ovarian α-tubulin, αTub67C, is not glutamylated. The C-terminal ends of αTub84B/D are glutamylated at several glutamyl side chains in various combinations. *Drosophila TTLL5* is required for the mono- and poly-glutamylation of ovarian αTub84B/D and with this for the proper localization of glutamylated microtubules. Similarly, proper kinesin distribution in the

germline also depends on *TTLL5* as well as the refining of Staufen localization and the

normal fast ooplasmic streaming with its directional movement, two processes known to

depend on kinesin activities. In the nervous system, the pausing of anterograde axonal

transport of mitochondria is affected by an enzymatically dead mutant of *TTLL5*. Our results

demonstrate *in vivo* roles of *TTLL5* in differential glutamylation of α-tubulins and point to the

in vivo importance of α-tubulin glutamylation for kinesin-dependent processes.

Introduction

Microtubules (MTs) are fundamental cytoskeletal filaments comprising heterodimers of α-

and β-tubulins. Despite their high level of conservation in eukaryotes, MTs are still quite

diverse because cells express different tubulin genes and proteins, which can undergo

several different post-translational modifications (PTMs). Based mainly on *in vitro* assays,

these variants are thought to optimize the MT interaction with different microtubule-

associated proteins (MAPs) and motors.

Drosophila melanogaster possesses four α-tubulin genes. Three of them are expressed

during oogenesis and early embryogenesis, suggesting that they contribute to the formation

of microtubules during these stages. The three genes are *αTub84B*, *αTub84D,* and *αTub67C*

(Kalfayan and Wensink, 1982). *αTub84B* and *αTub84D* differ in only two amino acids. Their

primary sequence in the C-terminal domain is identical, and both are expressed in most

tissues and throughout development. In contrast, *αTub67C* is expressed exclusively during

oogenesis, where it is maternally loaded into the egg and embryo. The primary structure of

αTub67C is distinctively different from αTub84B/D (Theurkauf et al., 1986). These

differences are also apparent in the C-terminal domain and include the last residue,

phenylalanine (Phe, F) in αTub67C and tyrosine (Tyr, Y) in αTub84B/D. *αTub85E* is the

fourth α-tubulin but is mainly expressed in testes but not in ovaries (Kalfayan and Wensink,

1982).

MTs are subjected to several PTMs, including the C-terminal tail glutamylation,

glycylation, and detyrosination / tyrosination. Furthermore, acetylation and phosphorylation

in the more central parts of the tubulins have also been identified (Arce et al., 1975; Eddé et

al., 1990; Hallak et al., 1977; L'Hernault and Rosenbaum, 1985; Magiera and Janke, 2014;

Redeker et al., 1994). Glutamylation is a PTM that adds one or more glutamic acids (Glu, E)

to the side chains of glutamic acid residues in the C-terminal tails of both α and β tubulin,

causing the formation of a branched peptide chain (Eddé et al., 1990; Redeker et al., 1992).

Glycylation is another PTM that adds glycines to the γ-carboxyl group of glutamic acid

residues in the C-terminal region (Redeker et al., 1994). At least in vertebrates, tubulin is

also subjected to a particular cycle of de-tyrosination-tyrosination (Preston et al., 1979). In

this case, the C-terminal Tyr or Phe of α-tubulin is cyclically removed, resulting in a C-

terminal Glu residue, and a new Tyr can be re-added to the new C-term. The enzymes that

catalyze glutamylation, glycylation, and tyrosination belong to the Tubulin-tyrosine-ligase-like

(TTLL) family (Janke et al., 2005). In *Drosophila melanogaster*, this family encompasses 11

genes (flybase.org).

MTs have an intrinsic polarity with a plus and a minus end. On these tracks, kinesin

motors transport cargo to the plus ends, and dynein motors move cargo toward the minus

ends. Evidence for the importance of PTMs of tubulin subunits has already been reported *in*

vitro. Artificial tethering of 10E peptides to the side chains at position E445 of α-tubulin and

E435 of β-tubulin by maleimide chemistry increased the processivity (the run length) of

kinesin motors on tubulin. For Kinesin-1, this was a 1.5-fold increase (Sirajuddin et al.,

2014). In contrast to kinesin, Dynein/dynactin motors preferred a Tyr at the C-term of α-

tubulin to initiate processive transport *in vitro* because the motor favored this isotype as an

attachment point to dock onto the MTs (McKenney et al., 2016). Recent work now also

demonstrated a role for the mouse tubulin glycylation enzymes. *In vitro* fertility assays

showed that the lack of both *TTLL3* and *TTLL8* perturbed sperm motility, causing male

subfertility in mice. Structural analyses further suggested that loss of glycylation decreased

axonemal dynein activity (Gadadhar et al., 2021).

CG31108 is considered to be the homolog of the mammalian *TTLL5* gene and was

therefore named *DmTTLL5 or TTLL5* (Devambez et al., 2017). It is required for α-tubulin

glutamylation in the *Drosophila* nervous system (Devambez et al., 2017). The murine TTLL5

is composed of an N-terminal core tubulin tyrosination ligase-like (TTLL) domain, a cofactor

interaction domain (CID), and a C-terminal receptor interaction domain (RID) (Lee et al.,

2013). The N-terminal core domain was shown to provide the catalytic activity of TTLL5 and

is highly conserved in *Drosophila* TTLL5 (van Dijk et al., 2007, Natarajan et al., 2017) (**Table**

1). According to the FlyBase data, *Drosophila TTLL5* mRNA is expressed at high levels in

ovaries (**Table S1**), even higher than in the nervous system where initial studies were

performed (Devambez et al., 2017). We thus focused on *TTLL5*'s possible functions during

Drosophila oogenesis to shed light on possible *in vivo* roles of glutamylated α-tubulins.

A recent *in vivo* mouse study showed that the absence of polyglutamylation of α-tubulin

but not β-tubulin increased the overall (both anterograde and retrograde) motility of

mitochondria transport in axons (Bodakuntla et al., 2021). However, another study showed

that the vesicular axonal transport was not affected by the absence of MT glutamylation in

Drosophila TTLL5 mutant larvae (Devambez et al., 2017). Given these contradictory results,

we also studied the function of *TTLL5* in neurons.

Our results revealed that *TTLL5* is essential for the normal glutamylation of the ovarian

αTub84B/D. Surprisingly, even in wild-type ovaries, the oogenesis-specific aTub67C was not

glutamylated, suggesting that this gene, *αTub67C,* may have evolved to produce MTs that

contain regions that are less glutamylated. We found that *TTLL5* is required for the normal

distribution of kinesin, for proper cytoplasmic streaming during late oogenesis as well as for

fine-tuning kinesin-based localization of Staufen protein. Furthermore, *TTLL5* has a clear

effect on the pausing of mitochondria during anterograde axonal transport in wing nerves.

Our work, therefore, reveals the *in vivo* importance of glutamylation of specific α-tubulins.

Results

**Specific glutamylation on multiple Glu residues of αTub84B/D, but not αTub67C in
ovaries**

Mass spectrometry was performed to analyze the glutamylation of the C-terminal tails of α-

tubulins *in vivo*. Proteins extracted from ovaries were purified through SDS-PAGE, and α-

tubulin bands were cut out and digested with the protease trypsin-N. C-terminal peptides of

the different α-tubulin isotypes were then analyzed for post-translational modifications by

liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Because the resulting C-terminal

peptides are identical between the αTub84B and αTub84D, we refer to them as αTub84B/D

(**Fig. 1A**). Analyses of wild-type ovarian extracts revealed that the general *Drosophila* α-

tubulins αTub84B/D, but not the ovarian-specific αTub67C, showed glutamylation on their C-

terminal tails (**Fig. 1A**). For αTub84B/D, side chain glutamylation was identified on all four

glutamyl residues in the tail region, Glu443, Glu445, Glu448, and Glu449. The most

extended side chains identified were 3Es in wild-type ovaries **(Fig. 1A,1B)**. According to

FlyBase, *αTub84B+D* mRNAs are 4.7x as highly expressed as *αTub67C*. We identified a

similar ratio (4.6x) of C-terminal peptides by the MS analysis (**Table S2**). Because of the

lower abundance of Tub67C C-terminal peptides, we cannot rule out that rare glutamylation

on the maternal αTub67C exists. Altogether, we conclude that glutamylation of α-tubulin had

a strong preference for the C-terminal domain of αTub84B/D.

Drosophila TTLL5 is required for MT mono- and polyglutamylation of ovarian αTub84B/D.

To study the function of TTLL5, distinct *TTLL5* loss-of-function mutations were created and characterized (**Fig. 2A**). The *TTLL5^{pBac}* and *TTLL5^{Mi}* mutant strains carry a piggyBac and a Minos transposon, respectively, within the open reading frame of *TTLL5*. The *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutant was generated by imprecise excision of the Minos element, which caused the formation of a premature stop codon at gene position 8,132 in the open reading frame (codon position 392). An anti-TTLL5 antibody that cross-reacts with additional proteins in ovarian extracts also recognized a band corresponding to the calculated mass of TTLL5. This band was absent in ovarian extracts prepared from the three *TTLL5* mutants, suggesting that they are protein nulls for *TTLL5* or make only a truncated protein (**Fig. 2B**). A transgene containing the wild-type *Drosophila TTLL5* sequence fused to the Venus fluorescent protein sequence under UAS control (UASP-*Venus::TTLL5*) was also produced as a tool to rescue the phenotypes produced by the *TTLL5* mutations and for overexpression studies (**Fig. 2A**).

To quantify the effect of *TTLL5* on the glutamylation of the C-terminal tails of α-tubulins, we determined the frequency of C-terminal peptides containing the entire primary sequence and 0, 1, 2, or 3 Glu (E) modifications. This analysis was performed with extracts from wild-type ovaries and the different *TTLL5* mutant ovaries. Wild-type ovaries revealed that 62% of the peptides were modified, 41% with 1E, 14% with 2Es, and 7% with 3Es **(Table 2)**. On the other hand, no glutamylation was observed in *TTLL5^{pBac}* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutants. This clearly shows that *Drosophila TTLL5* is essential for the side chain glutamylation of the C-terminal Glu443, Glu445, Glu448, and Glu449 of αTub84B/D.

We also measured the levels of monoglutamylation of α-tubulin by western blotting using the GT335 antibody. This antibody reacts with the first glutamate on the glutamate side-chain even if it is polyglutamylated (**Fig. 3A**) (Wolff et al., 1992). Note that the GT335 antibody does not reliably detect all mono glutamylated residues in *Drosophila* tubulins because GT335 preferentially recognizes the first Glu attached to a Glu residue in an acidic environment. In mice, the middle Glu in the sequence -G**E**E- of the C-terminal tail of α-tubulin is such an "acidic" site. Once glutamylated, the branched Glu modification can be recognized by GT335 (Bodakuntla et al., 2021). In contrast, in *Drosophila* α-tubulin, both E443 and E445 in the sequence -G**E**G**E**G- are flanked by Glycines on both sides, which does not provide the acidic environment needed for efficient recognition by GT335. The GT335 signal may, therefore, mainly stem from the glutamylation on the consecutive Glu residues E448 and E449 in the sequence -GA**EE**Y. On Western blots from wild-type

extracts, the GT335 antibody produced a band at the expected position, and this band was

reduced in all *TTLL5* mutants **(Fig. 3B)**. The GT335 signals were restored and even

increased in some *TTLL5* mutants upon overexpression of the rescue construct

Venus::TTLL5, showing that the signal is specific and can be used to monitor *TTLL5* activity.

We conclude that *TTLL5* is required for the monoglutamylation of α-tubulin.

The 1D5 antibody recognizes α-tubulin with an -XEE sequence at the C-terminal α-

carboxylate group (with X being a variable residue) (Rüdiger et al., 1999) **(Fig. 3C)**.

Therefore, in principle, all Glu residues E443, E445, E448, E449, and the C-terminal Glu

residues E448/449 can be recognized if they are not followed by another amino acid (such

as Tyr) at their α-carboxylate group. 1D5 does not cross-react with C-terminal Glu residues

E448/449 in tyrosinated α-tubulin. Because *w* control ovaries showed high 1D5 cross-

reactivity with a polypeptide of the predicted size, this, therefore, indicates the presence of

glutamate side chains containing at least two glutamates and possibly C-terminally de-

tyrosinated α-tubulin (Gadadhar et al., 2017; Preston et al., 1979) **(Fig. 3D)**. Consistent with

the MS analysis, the *TTLL5* mutants showed a strongly reduced signal with 1D5, indicating

that loss of *TTLL5* function prevents normal polyglutamylation. The 1D5 signal was again

restored in all *TTLL5* mutants upon expression of the rescue construct. Overexpression of

TTLL5 in *TTLL5[pBac]* and *TTLL5[Mi]* even produced a stronger 1D5 signal and an up-shifted

band, suggesting that α-tubulin gets hyperglutamylated **(Fig. 3D)**. These results reveal that

TTLL5 is essential for the glutamylation of the α-tubulin subunit. The result that the rescue

construct induced the appearance of hyperglutamylated α-tubulin also suggests that, in

normal cases, the endogenous TTLL5 levels are usually limiting in ovaries.

Most of the murine TTLL5 residues involved in α-tubulin glutamylation (Natarajan et al.,

2017) are conserved in *Drosophila* TTLL5 **(Table 1;** Natarajan et al., 2017**)**. Two are known

to be essential for the general enzymatic activity of TTLLs, and two for the interaction with

the C-terminal domain of α-tubulin **(Fig. 3E)**. To determine whether these residues are

indeed needed *in vivo* for the enzymatic activity of *Drosophila TTLL5* towards αTub84B/D,

we used CRISPR/Cas9 to mutate the conserved codons in *Drosophila TTLL5. Drosophila*

TTLL5 residues K282 and E517 correspond to mouse K131 and E336*, respectively.* They

directly interact with ADP/ATP and thus affect general TTLL5 enzymatic activity (Natarajan

et al., 2017). TTLL5[R339] corresponds to mouse TTLL5[R188]*,* which is vital for TTLL5's

glutamylation activity. MmTTLL5[R188] resides in the β6-7 loop, which forms a salt-bridge

interaction with the C-terminal tail of α-tubulin and orients it towards the ATP/ADP-binding

site (van Dijk et al., 2007). Glutamylation of α-tubulin was impaired in all these *TTLL5*

mutants where the conserved residue was replaced **(Fig. 3F)**. Since these mutations did not

abolish the stability of the corresponding mutant protein (**Fig. S1**), our results revealed the

importance of E517, K282, and R399 of *Drosophila* TTLL5 for glutamylation. Most active site

residues of human TTL (Prota et al., 2013; van Dijk et al., 2007) are also conserved between

mammalian and *Drosophila* TTLL5 **(Table 3)**. We also mutated the *Drosophila TTLL5^{P522}*

codon corresponding to human *TTL^{P336}* (and human *TTLL5^{P371}*/mouse *TTLL5^{P371}*), which is

required for anchoring TTL to α-tubulin by forming hydrogen bonds with C-terminal tail

residues of α-tubulin (Prota et al., 2013). However, the importance of *TTLL5^{P371}* for

glutamylation has not been reported in mammalian systems. Surprisingly, P522 turned out to

be essential for glutamylation, revealing P522 as a novel critical residue for the glutamylation

function of TTLL5. We also tested whether the overexpression of *TTLL5* in a wild-type

background affected polyglutamylation similarly. Indeed, *UASP-Venus::TTLL5*

overexpression in ovaries led to hyperglutamylation, as evident from the stronger signal and

the additional upshifted band **(Fig. 3G).** All these results point to *TTLL5's* essential role in

the glutamylation of α-tubulin.

Other PTMs of the C-terminal tail of ovarian α-tubulin

Western blotting showed no or only minor changes in tyrosinated α-tubulin levels in any of

the null or CRISPR/Cas9 generated point mutants, and *TTLL5* overexpression did also not

affect these levels **(Fig. 3B, 3F, 3G).** TTLL5 levels, therefore, do not appear to affect levels

of tyrosinated α-tubulin in ovaries.

Our MS results also detected glycylated C-terminal α-tubulin peptides in ovarian samples.

Such glycylations were observed in αTub84B/D but not in αTub67C **(Fig. S2A, S2B)**. Up to 2

glycines were primarily detected on Glu443, Glu445, Glu448, or Glu449 of αTub84B/D. The

frequency of C-terminal peptides containing Gly (G) modifications was similar or somewhat

higher in *TTLL5* mutants compared to the wild-type control **(Fig. S2C)**, suggesting that

TTLL5 is not needed for this modification or even counteracts it.

Presence of polyglutamylated microtubules in ovaries

We then analyzed the distribution of polyglutamylated microtubules during oogenesis by

immunofluorescence using the 1D5 antibody. Specific 1D5 immunofluorescence signals

were observed in the follicle cells and oocytes throughout the previtellogenic, middle, and

late oogenesis stages (**Fig. 4**). In the stage 10B (S10B) oocyte, the 1D5 signal showed a

slightly biased localization in the cortical region of the oocyte. We observed a robust

reduction of the 1D5 signal in *TTLL5* mutant ovaries, revealing that *TTLL5* is essential for the

appearance of polyglutamylated microtubules in the ovaries and their concentration at the

oocyte cortex in S10B.

Effect of *TTLL5* on the refinement of posterior localization of Staufen

osk mRNA localization, combined with translation control, is essential for targeting Osk

protein expression to its proper posterior compartment in the cell during mid and late

oogenesis (Weil, 2014). Staufen is a protein that associates with *osk* mRNA into a

ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex during *osk* mRNA localization. It therefore also serves as a

proxy for *osk* mRNA localization. Staufen/*osk* mRNA localization requires the

dynein/dynactin-mediated minus-end transport machinery in the early stages of oogenesis.

Subsequently, the Staufen/*osk* mRNA becomes localized to the posterior of the oocyte from

the mid to late stages of oogenesis. This particular Staufen/*osk* mRNA localization phase

within the oocyte depends on kinesin-driven processes including active transport and

ooplasmic streaming in S10B oocytes (Kato and Nakamura, 2012; Lu et al., 2018; Brendza

et al., 2000; Zimyanin et al., 2008).

To determine whether *TTLL5* contributes to these processes, we studied the role of

TTLL5 in the localization of Staufen in ovaries lacking functional TTLL5. In the wild type,

the localization of Staufen appeared very tight on the cortex with little lateral

extension in S10B (**Fig. 5A**). In ovaries lacking functional TTLL5, we observed a

more diffuse localization pattern of Staufen in the S10B egg chambers of all *TTLL5*

null mutants (**Fig. 5B**). Z-stack images revealed a more diffusely spread Staufen signal in

the *TTLL5* null mutants, although this varied more between different samples. The increased

length of the crescent was fully reversed to the regular length in all UAS-*Venus::TTLL5*

rescued strains **(Fig. 5C)**. These results suggest that *TTLL5* is required for tight cortical

accumulation of Staufen in S10 oocytes. We also analyzed the distribution of Venus::TTLL5

during oogenesis. Antibody staining suggested that Venus::TTLL5 strongly accumulated in

the oocyte, especially along the cortex **(Fig. 5C)**. To exclude possible artifacts of the

antibody staining, we also tried to observe the self-fluorescent of the Venus tag. Though the

Venus fluorescence was relatively weak, Venus::TTLL5 also showed a cortical preference in

S10B oocytes, similar to that found by antibody staining (**Fig. S3**). This stronger cortical

accumulation of Venus::TTLL5 coincides also with the region where polyglutamylated

microtubules were enriched at the same stage **(Fig. 4)**.

In the *TTLL5* point mutants, where specifically the enzymatic activity of TTLL5 was

blocked (*TTLL5^{E517A}*, *TTLL5^{P522A}*, *TTLL5^{R339A}*) the localization pattern of Staufen was not

refined and the Staufen signal remained less tightly focused (**Fig. 5D**). An established

method to quantify the localization of Staufen to the posterior cortex is to measure the length

of the posterior Staufen crescent along the cortex (Lu et al., 2016). A shorter crescent is a

sign of tighter localization. Indeed, we found that the length of the posterior Staufen crescent

was significantly increased in *TTLL5* null and *TTLL5* point mutant ovaries compared to the

control and UAS-*Venus::TTLL5* rescued ovaries (**Fig. 5E**).

We did not observe clear differences in Staufen distribution between the wild type and

TTLL5 mutants in S9 and earlier, previtellogenic stages of oogenesis (**Fig. 5F**). Altogether,

these results suggest that the requirement for *TTLL5* for tight cortical accumulation of

Staufen starts around S10 and the proper refinement of Staufen localization requires

glutamylation of α-tubulin.

The onset of fast ooplasmic streaming requires *TTLL5*

Ooplasmic streaming is a process that contributes to the refinement of the posterior

localization of Staufen (Lu et al., 2016). It is a bulk movement of the oocyte cytoplasm that

circulates and distributes mRNAs and proteins in the oocyte, including the Staufen/*osk*

mRNA RNPs. It allows these mRNAs and proteins to reach their intended position, where

they become anchored. Ooplasmic streaming can be observed in the mid-stage to the late-

stage oocytes. Slow and nondirectional flows initiate at stages 8–9 and are followed by rapid

and circular streaming at S10B (Lu et al., 2016). The onset of the fast streaming phase has

so far been reported to be attributed to 1) microtubule sliding between stably cortically

anchored microtubules and free cytoplasmic microtubules close to the cortex (Lu et al.,

2016) and 2) subcortical dynamic microtubule-mediated cargo transport (Monteith et al.,

2016). Because the final posterior localization refinement of Staufen in S10B oocytes

depends on fast streaming (Lu et al., 2016) and also *TTLL5*, we thus wanted to determine if

TTLL5 contributes to fast ooplasmic streaming.

We followed the streaming flow in real time by measuring vesicle movement in DIC time-

lapse movies (**Mov. S1-S5**). We then tracked the movement of the vesicles along the cortical

region where microtubule sliding and transport occur (**Fig. 6A, 6B**). The analysis of the

kymographs of these tracked vesicles showed that almost all 24 control ovaries had a typical

streaming pattern and that the vesicles were moving unidirectionally parallel to the cortex.

However, *TTLL5*^{MiEx} and *TTLL5*^{E517A} mutant ovaries displayed abnormal streaming patterns.

These abnormal streaming patterns included a partial disruption of streaming especially in

the posterior part of the oocyte and a disordered streaming flow in the oocyte (**Fig. 6C**). The

typical streaming pattern was restored in *TTLL5*^{MiEx} ovaries expressing a *Venus::TTLL5*

rescue construct. We also evaluated streaming flows in oocytes overexpressing

Venus::TTLL5. The higher level of TTLL5 did not seem to severely alter the streaming. We

thus conclude that the onset of fast streaming in S10B oocytes requires *TTLL5.* Again,

because abnormal streaming was observed when the enzymatic glutamylation activity of

TTLL5 was impaired (*TTLL5^{E517A}*), our results suggest that TTLL5 acts on the ooplasmic

streaming through its role in MT glutamylation.

TTLL5 affects kinesin distribution in the late-stage female germline

Both Staufen/*osk* mRNA localization and ooplasmic streaming depend on kinesin-driven

processes (Serbus et al., 2005)(Brendza et al., 2000). We, therefore, examined whether

TTLL5 somehow affects kinesin. The levels of ovarian Kinesin heavy chain (Khc) were

neither affected by lack of *TTLL5* function (**Fig. 7A**) nor by *TTLL5* overexpression (**Fig. 7B**).

Next, we determined the localization of Khc in stage 10B, when the fast streaming starts.

Even though the MT arrays start to disassemble and re-organize in the S10B oocyte, Khc

showed a biased enrichment at the posterior in most of the oocytes in the wild-type control

(**Fig. 7C**). Out of 37 wild-type oocytes, 76% showed a posteriorly enriched Khc signal (**Fig.**

7D). In contrast, Khc distribution showed a posterior oocyte enrichment in only 13% and

14%, respectively, in *TTLL5^{pBac}* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutant egg chambers, respectively (**Fig. 7C**

and 7D). Surprisingly, higher expression of *TTLL5* also led to fewer oocytes (54%) showing

a posterior Khc enrichment (**Fig. 7C and 7D**). These results demonstrate that moderate

levels of *TTLL5* are needed for the proper posterior enrichment of Khc in S10B oocytes.

Khc also showed higher accumulation in the interior of the wild-type oocyte (arrowhead in

Figs. 7C, 7E, and 7G) and this was seen in 76% of the oocytes (**Fig. 7F**). We measured the

fluorescence intensity of the Khc signal along the anterior-posterior (AP) axis of the oocytes

(line in **Fig. 7E**). In wild-type ovaries, the signal intensity showed a peak in the middle part of

the AP axis (**Fig. 7G**), indicating that Khc concentration is higher in the central region of the

oocyte. It is worth noting that in the wild-type control, the peaks did not always appear in the

middle of the AP line (**Fig. S4**), indicating that Khc concentration can increase in different

locations in the inner part of the oocyte. In the *TTLL5* mutants, the inner accumulation of Khc

was strongly reduced or absent (**Fig. 7E, 7G, S4**). Only 19% of *TTLL5^{pBac}* and 14% of

TTLL5^{MiEx} oocytes showed a slight Khc accumulation (**Fig. 7F**). Overexpressing TTLL5 in

wild-type oocytes showed an inner peak of Khc accumulation in only 65%, which is slightly

less than the wild-type control. Interestingly, the higher levels of TTLL5 sometimes led to two

peaks of internal Khc accumulation in the oocyte (**Fig. 7E**, **7G, and S4**). These results show

that *TTLL5* activity affects the proper distribution of Khc in the S10B oocytes and they

suggest that the inner accumulation of Khc might be causally connected to the circular
movement of the fast cytoplasmic streaming.

We also evaluated the localization of the Bicaudal-D (BicD) protein during the early stages
of oogenesis. BicD is the linker that couples diverse cargos to the dynein/dynactin motor and
can thus serve to evaluate dynein transport (Claussen and Suter, 2005). The expression
levels of BicD were not affected by the TTLL5 levels (**Fig. S5A**). Also, BicD localized to the
posterior of the oocytes, and the ratio of the oocytes showing posteriorly localized BicD was
similar in controls and *TTLL5* mutants (**Fig. S5B and S5C**). In conclusion, these results
indicated that in oocytes, fully normal kinesin distribution during late stages requires *TTLL5*.
In contrast, dynein localization in early oogenesis does not appear to depend on *TTLL5*.

Female fertility and ovarian development do not require *TTLL5*

345   The dramatic loss of polyglutamylated ovarian α-tubulin and the phenotypes during late
346   oogenesis in *TTLL5* mutants incited us to analyze whether the morphology of the egg
347   chambers from different stages and female fertility were affected in *TTLL5* mutants.
348   However, the lack of *TTLL5* neither caused a significant decrease in hatching rates, nor
349   distinguishable morphological changes in ovaries.

The glutamylation activity of *TTLL5* modulates the pausing of anterograde axonal
transport of mitochondria

Previous studies in *Drosophila* reported that the absence of α-tubulin glutamylation did not
seem to be detrimental to the nervous system functions tested in *Drosophila* (Devambez et
al., 2017). The wing nerve is an additional, attractive system to monitor organelle transport,
such as the movement of mitochondria (Vagnoni and Bullock, 2016) (Hollenbeck and
Saxton, 2005). We thus used wing nerves to study the role of *TTLL5* and glutamylation for
neuronal transport in the peripheral nervous system by tracking the movement of GFP-
labelled mitochondria (Mito::GFP) in the arch of the L1 region which contains bundles of
axons (Vagnoni and Bullock, 2016) (**Fig. 8A**). The mitochondria transported towards the
thorax (to the right) move by plus-end transport, which is driven by kinesin, whereas
mitochondria transported oppositely are transported by dynein (Vagnoni and Bullock, 2016).
Time-lapse videos of Mito::GFP movements are shown in **Mov. S6**. Mitochondria are usually
transported unidirectionally over a 3 min tracing time. Bidirectionally moving particles were
seen, but only rarely. In these cases, they usually moved a short distance toward one
direction, suddenly turned back, and ran in the opposite direction. A schematic running
pattern is shown in **Fig. 8B.** The start of a run was chosen at the time point when a motile

particle began to move away from a stationary position or when it entered the focal plane,

and the stop of a run was set when the particle terminated moving or moved out of the focal

plane in the given time window. The "pausing" was called when the particle moved at a rate

lower than 0.2 μm/s within the time window of a "run". Particles with speeds higher than 0.2

μm/s were considered "trafficking". Motile mitochondria were manually tracked in both

anterograde and retrograde directions. For the anterograde transport, the run length of

mitochondria was similar for each "run" between wild-type controls and $TTLL5^{E517A}$ (**Fig. 8C**).

However, a significant increase was observed in the net velocity in $TTLL5^{E517A}$ compared to

wild-type controls (**Fig. 8D**). We investigated the reason and found that the absence of

enzymatically active *TTLL5* did not alter the trafficking velocity of mitochondria (**Fig. 8E**), but

dramatically decreased the total pausing time (1.4 times less) of the anterograde transport of

mitochondria (**Fig. 8F**). This suggested that *TTLL5* might not directly affect the trafficking but

rather the pausing of mitochondrial transport. To further study the pausing behavior of

mitochondria, kymographs of individual motile mitochondria were generated. Kymographs of

three anterograde transported mitochondria are shown for both genotypes (**Fig. 8G**). The

pausing of the mitochondria is pointed out by yellow arrowheads. More frequent pausing was

observed in controls compared to the $TTLL5^{E517A}$. By analyzing the pausing number in the

given distance, we identified a 1.5 times more frequent pausing in controls compared to the

$TTLL5^{E517A}$ mutant (**Fig. 8H**). These results indicate that the longer total pausing time in

controls was most likely due to more frequent pausing during anterograde transport. The

effect of the lack of TTLL5 enzymatic activity on retrograde transport was less clear because

we did not observe significant differences measuring the parameters of the retrograde

transport. To sum up, these results suggest that the glutamylation activity of TTLL5 is

needed for controlling the pausing of this anterograde axonal transport.

Discussion

PTMs of the C-terminal tail of ovarian α-tubulin

Side chain glutamylation of the C-terminal domain of α-tubulin in *Drosophila* ovaries was

observed using specific antibodies and MS identification. Results from wild-type ovaries and

mutants revealed that *TTLL5* is essential for these modifications. Consistent with results

from mammalian cells and the *Drosophila* nervous system (Devambez et al., 2017; van Dijk

et al., 2007), the complete lack of monoglutamylated tubulin tails in the presumptive null

mutants of *TTLL5* strongly suggests a requirement for *TTLL5* for adding the first branching

Glu to the Glu side chains in the C-term. The robust reduction of polyglutamylated tubulin in

TTLL5 mutants found in ovaries and the nervous system (Devambez et al., 2017) further

shows that *TTLL5* is also needed, directly or indirectly, for polyglutamylation in *Drosophila.*

Mammalian TTLL5 is considered to be an initiator glutamylase and TTLL6 an elongator of

polyglutamylation of α-tubulin (Gadadhar et al., 2017). This appears to be different in

Drosophila ovaries because according to Flybase data, *TTLL6A* and *TTLL6*B are not

expressed in ovaries **(Table S1)** and only a surprisingly small fraction of the *TTLL* gene

family members is expressed. Aside from *TTLL5*, we only found evidence for the expression

of *TTLL4A* and *TTLL12* **(Table S1)**. The predicted mouse homolog of the *Drosophila*

TTLL4A glutamylates β-tubulin (Mahalingan et al., 2020). The function of the mammalian

TTLL12 is poorly studied and its core TTL domain is less conserved than other mouse E-

and G- ligases of the TTLL family (van Dijk et al., 2007). It, therefore, seems possible that

Drosophila TTLL5 itself might also provide the activity to extend the oligo-Glu side chains. A

possibility that cannot easily be tested *in vivo*.

The presence of polyglycylated α-tubulin in ovaries (**Fig. S2**) was unexpected because

the known *Drosophila* polyglycylases (TTLL3A/B) are not expressed in ovaries (Rogowski et

al., 2009) (**Table S1**). Finding that *TTLL5* is not required for this modification in ovaries, it will

be interesting to find out if TTLL4A, TTLL12, or other unknown enzymes perform the

glycylation of α-tubulin in ovaries.

The MS results revealed that glutamylation and glycylation strongly preferred the

substrate αTub84B/D over αTub67C, even though αTub67C is an ovarian-specific α-tubulin.

This points to a possible need for a side-chain modification resistant α-tubulin in the germline

to regulate different transport processes by providing local MT sites with reduced sidechain

glutamylation, possibly to allow for longer processive movement of kinesin motors as was

observed in the absence of glutamylation in the mitochondrial transport assay **(Fig. 8)**.. It will

be interesting to find out whether MT tracks containing specific hypoglutamylated regions

exist in oocytes and whether these perform an important function in large insect oocytes that

use rapid cytoplasmic streaming to localize patterning factors.

Glycylation and glutamylation are found at the same sites in the C-terminal tail of α-

tubulin, and glutamylation seems not to be a prerequisite for glycylation. This provokes the

question of whether glycylation even serves to prevent glutamylation or competes with it and

whether this is used as another level of control of microtubule activity. In *Tetrahymena*, such

a competition has been described. There, Glutamic acid and Glycine ligases competed

for the same sites or affected each other by sterically blocking adjacent sites of tubulins

(Redeker et al., 2005; Wloga et al., 2009). It seems possible that such competition also

exists in fly ovaries.

Glutamylation of microtubules and its functions in late-stage oocytes

The cortical concentration of glutamylated MTs in the oocyte arouse our interest in

investigating the function of MT glutamylation during oogenesis. In stage 10B, it coincides

with the *TTLL5* requirement for the tight posterior localization of Staufen protein and the

rapid ooplasmic streaming that contributes to the tighter localization of Staufen. It thus

appears that glutamylation of MTs is needed for the rapid ooplasmic streaming and through

this process for the localization of Staufen. So far, two kinesin-driven processes are

considered to be the driving forces for the rapid ooplasmic streaming. MT sliding between

stable cortical MTs and cargo transport on subcortical MTs (Lu et al., 2016) (Monteith et al.,

2016). This coincides with our findings that glutamylated microtubules and TTLL5 are slightly

more enriched in this cortical region in S10 (**Fig. 4** and **Fig. 5C**), which appears to be a

prerequisite for the concentration of Khc in the cortical region (**Fig. 7C**). From this, we

propose that glutamylation of the cortical MTs by TTLL5 promotes the kinesin-dependent

MT-based processes in the cortical region, leading to rapid ooplasmic streaming and tight

posterior localization of Staufen, a process that contributes to defining the posterior pole of

the oocyte and egg.

Role of glutamylation of α-tubulin for anterograde axonal transport of mitochondria

The previous study showed that α-tubulin was the only tubulin subunit that can be

glutamylated in the *Drosophila* nervous system and *TTLL5* is required for α-tubulin

glutamylation in the neurons (Devambez et al., 2017). However, the absence of *TTLL5* did

not reveal clear defects in larval neuromuscular junction morphology, larval locomotion

behavior, or vesicular axonal transport in larval neurons. We, therefore, used a different

system, the transport of mitochondria in the adult wing axon to test for a possible function.

This revealed that pauses occurred at higher frequencies during anterograde transport of

mitochondria when there was enough active *TTLL5*. This might indicate that the

glutamylated side chains of α-tubulin act as marks or even obstacles that break or disturb

the anterograde movement of mitochondria. Aside from the peptide side chains, the

considerable negative charge of these modified regions **(Fig. 1B)** could contribute to this.

The previous *Drosophila TTLL5* study mentioned a slight effect on pausing of vesicles

during axonal transport in *TTLL5* mutants had also been noted but turned out to be not

significant (Devambez et al., 2017). On the other hand, a recent study revealed a similar

function of glutamylation of α-tubulin for the transport of mitochondria. The absence of
glutamylation of α-tubulin increased the motility of mitochondria in the mouse neurons but
did not affect their transport velocity. The results led to their hypothesis that glutamylation of
α-tubulin may not directly affect transport but rather affect mitochondrial docking and motor
binding to MT tracks by acting as roadblocks on the MT tracks (Bodakuntla et al., 2021). An
additional comparison with this study is worth mentioning. The mammalian study found that
the motility of both anterograde and retrograde transported mitochondria was strengthened
in the absence of glutamylation of α-tubulin. While our *Drosophila* results showed a selective
effect on mitochondrial motility in plus-end transport. This difference might be due to the
different modification patterns of the C-terminal part of α-tubulin in mammals and *Drosophila*.
In the mammalian system, only one site can be glutamylated but up to 5 Glus can be added
as a side chain on an individual tail (Redeker et al., 1992). In *Drosophila* ovaries, the
glutamylated side chains are shorter with up to 3 Glus, but often more than one side chain
was found on the same C-terminal domain (**Fig. 1B**). It is conceivable that these different
modification patterns alter the physical properties of tubulins such that they affect motors
and cargos in different ways.

Recent studies showed that the recruitment of a microtubule severing protein Katanin to
microtubules was enhanced by the α-tubulin tail glutamylation in *C. elegans* (Szczesna et
al., 2022). Thus, the glutamine residues on tubulins might regulate the pausing of the axonal
transport either by acting as a roadblock on MT tracks or by recruiting severing protein (e.g
katanin) that interrupts the MT tracks. Several mechanisms have been identified to stop
mitochondrial transport in neurons by interfering with Kinesin-1. For instance, blocking the
binding of Kinesin-1 to MTs by increasing Ca^{2+} (Wang and Schwarz, 2009) or abolishing the
interaction between Kinesin-1 and mitochondria by degrading one of the linker proteins, Miro
(Wang et al., 2011). The *in vitro* studies demonstrated that the artificial tethering of glutamyl
peptides to the side chains of MTs affected the function of Kinesin-1 (Sirajuddin et al., 2014).
Combined with our studies in oocytes that connected MT glutamylation with kinesin
localization and kinesin-driven processes, we suggest that the stop of the mitochondrial
transport by tubulin glutamylation in the neurons is caused by stopping kinesin-1.

Physiological relevance of α-tubulin glutamylation

Though without strong physiological defects in flies, our observations still revealed clear
functions of tubulin glutamylation for MT motor-based processes *in vivo.* Even though an
essential function of α-tubulin glutamylation has not emerged from this study, it has become
clear that kinesin-dependent processes are modified or controlled by this modification and
that evolution has adapted the tubulin code to optimize the usage of MTs for different

evolving needs. That *TTLL5* is not essential for survival also makes this protein an

interesting candidate for drugs that can modulate its glutamylation activity to regulate and

possibly increase MT transport in neurodegenerative diseases where MT transport is known

to be affected.

Materials and methods

Drosophila **genetics**

The fly stocks used in this study, MattubGal4 (7062), *TTLL5^{pBac}* (16140), *TTLL5^{Mi}* (32800), and *Df(3R)BSC679* (26531), were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila stock center. The *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutation was made by imprecise excision of the Minos element, which left a 3-base insertion (CTA), which introduced a premature stop codon at the genomic position 8,132 in the open reading frame (polypeptide position 392). The different *TTLL5* point mutations were generated by CRISPR/Cas9. The two *Drosophila* stocks used for *TTLL5* gene editing, *y[1] M{w[+mC]=nos-Cas9.P}ZH-2A w[*]* and *y[1] v[1] P{y[+t7.7]=nos-phiC31\int.NLS}; P{y[+t7.7]=CaryP} attP40* were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (54591) (Port et al., 2014) and (25709), respectively. Target sequences near the K131, R339 R376, and E517 codons were selected to introduce INDELs and the following point mutations: K131A, R339A (b6-7 loop), R376A, and E517A. Phosphorylated and annealed primers (**Table S3A**) needed to construct the corresponding coding sequences for a single guide RNA (sgRNA) were each cloned into the BbsI site of *pCFD5* according to the protocol published on www.crisprflydesign.org. After amplifying the vector in XL1 blue cells, the plasmids were sequenced to verify the correct assembly. Subsequently, they were injected into *attP40* embryos. TTLL5-guide stocks with integrated genes encoding sgRNAs were established *(=v; attP40{v^+, TTLL5-guide}/CyO)*. To obtain INDELs for TTLL5, a three-generation crossing schema was set up. Firstly, *nos-cas9* virgins were crossed with males from the different TTLL5-guide stocks. Secondly, the subsequent single male progeny was crossed with *w; Ly/TM3, Sb* virgins. Thirdly, resulting in single *TM3, Sb* males were again crossed with *w; Ly/TM3, Sb* virgins to establish the stocks. The nature of the mutated *TTLL5* gene in each stock was determined by sequencing. To introduce specific point mutations in the *TTLL5* gene, embryos from a cross with *y w {w^+, nos-cas9}* virgins and TTLL5-guide males were injected with the appropriate template (**Table S3B).** The resulting individual adult males were crossed with *w; Ly / TM3, Sb* virgins, and stocks were established. A mutated *TTLL5* gene was confirmed by restriction digestion and subsequent sequencing. All the *TTLL5* alleles were crossed to *Df(3R)BSC679* to test the effect of the *TTLL5* mutations in hemizygous animals. (Abnormally shaped egg chambers were seen in *TTLL5^{pBac}/Df(3R)BSC679.* But the phenotype disappeared when in combination with specific 2nd chromosomes, for example, *MattubGal4/+ or +/UAS-Venus::TTLL5).* The *UASp-venus::TTLL5* DNA construct was made from the *TTLL5* cDNA amplified by PCR (forward primer: 5′ - GTTCAGATCTATGCCTTCTTCATTGTGTG -3′; reverse primer: 5′ - GAGTCATTCTAGAGCTTCATAGAAATACCTTCTCC- 3′) and inserted into the *pUASP-*

venus vector via Xba I/Bgl II ligation. DNA was inserted into the *attP-58A* landing platform

(24484) to generate the transgenetic *UASp-venus::TTLL5* fly strain. *ApplGal4* and *UAS-

mito::GFP were kindly provided by Simon Bullock's group (Vagnoni et al., 2016).

Western blotting

Western blotting performed with ovarian extracts was carried out with 10-20 pairs of ovaries

for each genotype. Newly hatched females of the indicated genotypes were collected and

crossed with *w* males in fresh vials with ample yeast food for two to three days. Fully

developed ovaries were then dissected and collected. The samples were directly lysed in

Laemmli buffer, boiled at 95°C for 10 min, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The following

primary antibodies were used: mouse 1D5 (1:500, Synaptic System), mouse GT335 (1:200,

Adipogen), mouse DM1A (1:1,000, Sigma), mouse tyrosinated α-tubulin (1:1,000, Sigma),

rabbit GFP (1:2,000, Sigma), rabbit GAPDH (1:1,000, GeneTex), rabbit TTLL5 (1:500,

GenScript), mouse BicD (1B11 plus 4C2, 1:5) (Suter and Steward, 1991), rabbit KHC

(1:1,000, cytoskeleton). Secondary antibodies were HRP-linked goat anti-mouse (1:1,0000,

GE Healthcare) and HRP-linked goat anti-rabbit (1:10,000, GE Healthcare). Antibodies were

incubated in 5% non-fat milk PBST (PBS with 0.1 % Triton X-100) and washed with PBST.

Chemiluminescence detection was done with the Amersham imager 600 (GE Healthcare).

Mass Spectrometry

Proteins were extracted from the ovaries of 100 3-day-old, well-fed females of each

genotype, crossed to wild-type males, and proteins were boiled and run on SDS-PAGE. The

gel was then stained with Coomassie Blue. The gel pieces containing the α-tubulin region

were cut, alkylated, and digested by trypsin N (ProtiFi) for 3 hours at 55°C. C-terminal

peptides of α-tubulin were analyzed by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS/MS (PROXEON

coupled to a QExactive mass spectrometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were

searched against the UniProtKB *Drosophila* database using Pipeline, PEAKS, Easyprot and

MSfragger as search engines. Peptides were shown by PSM value (peptide spectrum

match). To avoid repetition of total PSM quantification, we searched only for one type of

PTM in an analysis per time. Total PSM indicates the sum of PSMs of primary C-terminal

peptides with either glutamylation or glycylation modifications or non-modified peptides.

Ooplasmic streaming in living oocytes

Three days old females were kept together with wild-type males under non-crowding

conditions at 25°C on food containing dry yeast. The determination of ooplasmic streaming

was based on the method of Lu and colleagues (Lu et al., 2016). Ovaries were directly

dissected in 10 S halocarbon oil (VWR), and egg chambers were teased apart in the oil drop

on 24×50 mm coverslips (VWR). Living Stage 10B oocytes were directly observed and

imaged under the 60×1.4 NA oil immersion objective on a Nikon W1 LIPSI spinning disk with

a 2x Photometrics Prime BSI CMOS camera. Time-lapse images were acquired every 2s for

2 min under bright-field (BF) controlled by Nikon Elements software. Flow tracks were

generated by kymographs using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) plugin Multi Kymograph

(HTTPS:// imagej.net/ Multi _Kymograph).

Immunostaining and confocal microscopy

Ovaries were dissected in 1× PBS and fixed in buffer (200 µl 4% formaldehyde (Sigma), 600

ul Heptane (Merck Millipore), 20 ul DMSO (Sigma) for each group) for 20 min. Ovaries were

then blocked in 2% milk powder in PBST (PBS, 0.5% Triton X-100). Samples were

incubated with rabbit anti-Staufen (1:2,000) (St Johnston et al., 1991), mouse anti-BicD

(1B11 plus 4C2, 1:5) (Suter and Steward, 1991), rabbit anti-Khc (1:150, Cytoskeleton), rabbit

anti-GFP (1:200, Sigma) and mouse anti-1D5 (1:150, Synaptic System) at 4°C overnight,

and incubated with secondary Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse Cy3 (1:400, Jackson

ImmonoResearch) and Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit 488 (1:400, Life technologies Thermo

Fischer) at room temperature for 3h. DNA and nuclei were stained using Hoechst 33528

(Thermo Fischer Scientific) for 10 min. Mounted samples were imaged with a Leica TCS

SP8 confocal microscope equipped with a 63×1.40 oil lens and controlled by the Las X

software (Leica). Images of single focal planes and 12 µm Z projection were acquired. The

crescent length of Staufen was processed by the Fuji segmented line tool based on a single

plane. The data were shown as means ± SEM by Prism 5 (GraphPad software). The

statistical significance was determined by an unpaired two-tailed non-parametric t-test

(Mann-Whitney test). For the Khc intensity analysis, the intensity of fluorescence in the

oocyte was plotted and the intensity charts were generated using Fiji's "Analyze-Plot profile"

function.

Mitochondrial transport in *Drosophila* wing neurons

Mitochondrial transport in *Drosophila* wing neurons was tracked as described (Vagnoni and

Bullock, 2016). In brief, 1-2 days old flies were anesthetized with CO_2 and immobilized in a

custom-built chamber formed of two No.1.5 24×50 mm coverslips (VWR). Imaging of wings

was performed with a Nikon W1 LIPSI spinning disk microscope equipped with a 2x

Photometrics Prime BSI CMOS camera and a 60×1.4 NA oil immersion lens. Time-lapse

video of a single focal plane was acquired with an acquisition rate of 0.5 frame/s for 3 min for

Mito::GFP using a 488 nm laser.

Quantification of the movements was performed in Fiji. At least 7 wings of each genotype

were tracked for quantification. A region of the wing arch of the L1 vein was selected and

straightened with the Straighten plugin (Eva Kocsis, NIH, MD). For each "run", the total

trafficking distance of more than 2 µm was defined as "motile mitochondria". To exclude the

oscillatory movements of mitochondria, a minimal instant velocity between each frame was

defined at 0.2 µm/s. Transported mitochondria were manually tracked by the manual

tracking plugin (Fabrice Cordelières, Institute Curie, France). Kymographs of individual

mitochondria were produced using the Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) plugin Multi Kymograph

(HTTPS:// imagej.net/ Multi _Kymograph). Run length, instant velocity values, and total

running, trafficking & pausing times were exported into Excel for analysis. The data are

shown as means ± SEM by Prism 5 (GraphPad). The statistical significance was determined

with the student's t-test.

Sequence alignment

Sequences were aligned by CLC Sequence Viewer 8.0 software (Qiagen). The UniProtKB

accession numbers are as follows: *HsTTL*: Q8NG68; *HsTTLL5*: Q6EMB2; *MmTTLL5*:

Q8CHB8; *DmTTLL5*: Q9VBX5;

Acknowledgments

We thank Simon L. Bullock (Cambridge, UK) for *ApplGal4* and *UAS-mito::GFP* fly lines,

Michel Steinmetz (Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland), and the Carsten Janke (Institute

Curie, France) laboratory members for discussions and suggestions. We also thank the

University of Bern (Switzerland) Mass Spectrometry center members Sophie Braga Lagache

and Natasha Buchs for performing the MS experiments and Manfred Heller and Anne-

Christine Uldry for peptide analysis and discussion of the results.

Support

This work was financially supported by the project grants 31003A_173188 and

310030_205075 from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF; https://www.snf.ch/en)

to BS. Equipment support was by an equipment grant from SNF (316030_150824) to BS

and by the University of Bern (https://www.unibe.ch). Additional salary and material support

were received from the University of Bern (https://www.unibe.ch) to BS. The funders had no

role in the study design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or manuscript

preparation.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: Mengjing Bao, Beat Suter, and Maria Paula Vazquez-Pianzola

conceived the project and designed the experiments. Mengjing Bao, Beat Suter, Ruth Dörig,

and Dirk Beuchle performed the experiments and analyzed the data; Mengjing Bao and Beat

Suter wrote the manuscript.

Reference

Arce, C.A., J.A. Rodriguez, H.S. Barra, and R. Caputo. 1975. Incorporation of L-tyrosine, L-
phenylalanine, and L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine as single units into rat brain tubulin.
Eur J Biochem. 59:145-149.
Bodakuntla, S., X. Yuan, M. Genova, S. Gadadhar, S. Leboucher, M.C. Birling, D. Klein, R.
Martini, C. Janke, and M.M. Magiera. 2021. Distinct roles of α- and β-tubulin
polyglutamylation in controlling axonal transport and in neurodegeneration. *EMBO J*.
40:e108498.
Bré, M.H., V. Redeker, M. Quibell, J. Darmanaden-Delorme, C. Bressac, J. Cosson, P.
Huitorel, J.M. Schmitter, J. Rossler, T. Johnson, A. Adoutte, and N. Levilliers. 1996.
Axonemal tubulin polyglycylation probed with two monoclonal antibodies: widespread
evolutionary distribution, appearance during spermatozoan maturation and possible
function in motility. *J Cell Sci*. 109 (Pt 4):727-738.
Brendza, R.P., L.R. Serbus, J.B. Duffy, and W.M. Saxton. 2000. A function for kinesin I in
the posterior transport of oskar mRNA and Staufen protein. *Science*. 289:2120-2122.
Claussen, M., and B. Suter. 2005. BicD-dependent localization processes: from Drosophila
development to human cell biology. *Ann Anat*. 187:539-553.
Devambez, I., J. van Dijk, S. Benlefki, S. Layalle, Y. Grau, K. Rogowski, M.L. Parmentier,
and L. Soustelle. 2017. Identification of DmTTLL5 as a Major Tubulin Glutamylase in
the Drosophila Nervous System. *Sci Rep*. 7:16254.
Eddé, B., J. Rossier, J.P. Le Caer, E. Desbruyères, F. Gros, and P. Denoulet. 1990.
Posttranslational glutamylation of alpha-tubulin. *Science*. 247:83-85.
Gadadhar, S., G. Alvarez Viar, J.N. Hansen, A. Gong, A. Kostarev, C. Ialy-Radio, S.
Leboucher, M. Whitfield, A. Ziyyat, A. Touré, L. Alvarez, G. Pigino, and C. Janke.
2021. Tubulin glycylation controls axonemal dynein activity, flagellar beat, and male
fertility. *Science*. 371.
Gadadhar, S., S. Bodakuntla, K. Natarajan, and C. Janke. 2017. The tubulin code at a
glance. *J Cell Sci*. 130:1347-1353.
Hallak, M.E., J.A. Rodriguez, H.S. Barra, and R. Caputto. 1977. Release of tyrosine from
tyrosinated tubulin. Some common factors that affect this process and the assembly
of tubulin. *FEBS Lett*. 73:147-150.
Hollenbeck, P.J., and W.M. Saxton. 2005. The axonal transport of mitochondria. *J Cell Sci*.
118:5411-5419.
Janke, C., K. Rogowski, D. Wloga, C. Regnard, A.V. Kajava, J.M. Strub, N. Temurak, J. van
Dijk, D. Boucher, A. van Dorsselaer, S. Suryavanshi, J. Gaertig, and B. Eddé. 2005.

682     Tubulin polyglutamylase enzymes are members of the TTL domain protein family.
683      *Science*. 308:1758-1762.
684 Kalfayan, L., and P.C. Wensink. 1982. Developmental regulation of Drosophila alpha-tubulin
685      genes. *Cell*. 29:91-98.
686 Kato, Y., and A. Nakamura. 2012. Roles of cytoplasmic RNP granules in intracellular RNA
687      localization and translational control in the Drosophila oocyte. *Dev Growth Differ*.
688      54:19-31.
689 L'Hernault, S.W., and J.L. Rosenbaum. 1985. Chlamydomonas alpha-tubulin is
690      posttranslationally modified by acetylation on the epsilon-amino group of a lysine.
691      *Biochemistry*. 24:473-478.
692 Lee, G.S., Y. He, E.J. Dougherty, M. Jimenez-Movilla, M. Avella, S. Grullon, D.S. Sharlin, C.
693      Guo, J.A. Blackford, Jr., S. Awasthi, Z. Zhang, S.P. Armstrong, E.C. London, W.
694      Chen, J. Dean, and S.S. Simons, Jr. 2013. Disruption of Ttll5/stamp gene (tubulin
695      tyrosine ligase-like protein 5/SRC-1 and TIF2-associated modulatory protein gene) in
696      male mice causes sperm malformation and infertility. *J Biol Chem*. 288:15167-15180.
697 Lu, W., M. Lakonishok, A.S. Serpinskaya, D. Kirchenbüechler, S.C. Ling, and V.I. Gelfand.
698      2018. Ooplasmic flow cooperates with transport and anchorage in. *J Cell Biol*.
699      217:3497-3511.
700 Lu, W., M. Winding, M. Lakonishok, J. Wildonger, and V.I. Gelfand. 2016. Microtubule-
701      microtubule sliding by kinesin-1 is essential for normal cytoplasmic streaming in
702      Drosophila oocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 113:E4995-5004.
703 Magiera, M.M., and C. Janke. 2014. Post-translational modifications of tubulin. *Curr Biol*.
704      24:R351-354.
705 Mahalingan, K.K., E. Keith Keenan, M. Strickland, Y. Li, Y. Liu, H.L. Ball, M.E. Tanner, N.
706      Tjandra, and A. Roll-Mecak. 2020. Structural basis for polyglutamate chain initiation
707      and elongation by TTLL family enzymes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 27:802-813.
708 McKenney, R.J., W. Huynh, R.D. Vale, and M. Sirajuddin. 2016. Tyrosination of α-tubulin
709      controls the initiation of processive dynein-dynactin motility. *Embo j*. 35:1175-1185.
710 Monteith, C.E., M.E. Brunner, I. Djagaeva, A.M. Bielecki, J.M. Deutsch, and W.M. Saxton.
711      2016. A Mechanism for Cytoplasmic Streaming: Kinesin-Driven Alignment of
712      Microtubules and Fast Fluid Flows. *Biophys J*. 110:2053-2065.
713 Natarajan, K., S. Gadadhar, J. Souphron, M.M. Magiera, and C. Janke. 2017. Molecular
714      interactions between tubulin tails and glutamylases reveal determinants of
715      glutamylation patterns. *EMBO Rep*. 18:1013-1026.
716 Pilling, A.D., D. Horiuchi, C.M. Lively, and W.M. Saxton. 2006. Kinesin-1 and dynein are the
717      primary motors for fast transport of mitochondria in Drosophila motor axons. *Mol Biol
718      Cell*. 17:2057-2068.
719 Port, F., H.M. Chen, T. Lee, and S.L. Bullock. 2014. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for
720      efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
721      U S A*. 111:E2967-2976.
722 Preston, S.F., G.G. Deanin, R.K. Hanson, and M.W. Gordon. 1979. The phylogenetic
723      distribution of tubulin:tyrosine ligase. *J Mol Evol*. 13:233-244.
724 Prota, A.E., M.M. Magiera, M. Kuijpers, K. Bargsten, D. Frey, M. Wieser, R. Jaussi, C.C.
725      Hoogenraad, R.A. Kammerer, C. Janke, and M.O. Steinmetz. 2013. Structural basis
726      of tubulin tyrosination by tubulin tyrosine ligase. *J Cell Biol*. 200:259-270.
727 Redeker, V., N. Levilliers, J.M. Schmitter, J.P. Le Caer, J. Rossier, A. Adoutte, and M.H. Bré.
728      1994. Polyglycylation of tubulin: a post-translational modification in axonemal
729      microtubules. *Science*. 266:1688-1691.
730 Redeker, V., N. Levilliers, E. Vinolo, J. Rossier, D. Jaillard, D. Burnette, J. Gaertig, and M.H.
731      Bré. 2005. Mutations of tubulin glycylation sites reveal cross-talk between the C
732      termini of alpha- and beta-tubulin and affect the ciliary matrix in Tetrahymena. *J Biol
733      Chem*. 280:596-606.
734 Redeker, V., R. Melki, D. Promé, J.P. Le Caer, and J. Rossier. 1992. Structure of tubulin C-
735      terminal domain obtained by subtilisin treatment. The major alpha and beta tubulin
736      isotypes from pig brain are glutamylated. *FEBS Lett*. 313:185-192.

Rogowski, K., F. Juge, J. van Dijk, D. Wloga, J.M. Strub, N. Levilliers, D. Thomas, M.H. Bré,
A. Van Dorsselaer, J. Gaertig, and C. Janke. 2009. Evolutionary divergence of
enzymatic mechanisms for post-translational polyglycylation. *Cell*. 137:1076-1087.
Rogowski, K., J. van Dijk, M.M. Magiera, C. Bosc, J.C. Deloulme, A. Bosson, L. Peris, N.D.
Gold, B. Lacroix, M. Bosch Grau, N. Bec, C. Larroque, S. Desagher, M. Holzer, A.
Andrieux, M.J. Moutin, and C. Janke. 2010. A family of protein-deglutamylating
enzymes associated with neurodegeneration. *Cell*. 143:564-578.
Rüdiger, A.H., M. Rüdiger, J. Wehland, and K. Weber. 1999. Monoclonal antibody ID5:
epitope characterization and minimal requirements for the recognition of
polyglutamylated alpha- and beta-tubulin. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 78:15-20.
Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S.
Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V.
Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, and A. Cardona. 2012. Fiji: an open-source
platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*. 9:676-682.
Serbus, L.R., B.J. Cha, W.E. Theurkauf, and W.M. Saxton. 2005. Dynein and the actin
cytoskeleton control kinesin-driven cytoplasmic streaming in Drosophila oocytes.
Development. 132:3743-3752.
Sirajuddin, M., L.M. Rice, and R.D. Vale. 2014. Regulation of microtubule motors by tubulin
isotypes and post-translational modifications. *Nat Cell Biol*. 16:335-344.
St Johnston, D., D. Beuchle, and C. Nüsslein-Volhard. 1991. Staufen, a gene required to
localize maternal RNAs in the Drosophila egg. *Cell*. 66:51-63.
Suter, B. 2018. RNA localization and transport. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech*.
1861:938-951.
Suter, B., and R. Steward. 1991. Requirement for phosphorylation and localization of the
Bicaudal-D protein in Drosophila oocyte differentiation. *Cell*. 67:917-926.
Szczesna, E., E.A. Zehr, S.W. Cummings, A. Szyk, K.K. Mahalingan, Y. Li, and A. Roll-
Mecak. 2022. Combinatorial and antagonistic effects of tubulin glutamylation and
glycylation on katanin microtubule severing. *Dev Cell*. 57:2497-2513.e2496.
Theurkauf, W.E., H. Baum, J. Bo, and P.C. Wensink. 1986. Tissue-specific and constitutive
alpha-tubulin genes of Drosophila melanogaster code for structurally distinct proteins.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 83:8477-8481.
Vagnoni, A., and S.L. Bullock. 2016. A simple method for imaging axonal transport in aging
neurons using the adult Drosophila wing. *Nat Protoc*. 11:1711-1723.
van Dijk, J., K. Rogowski, J. Miro, B. Lacroix, B. Eddé, and C. Janke. 2007. A targeted
multienzyme mechanism for selective microtubule polyglutamylation. *Mol Cell*.
26:437-448.
Wang, X., and T.L. Schwarz. 2009. The mechanism of Ca2+ -dependent regulation of
kinesin-mediated mitochondrial motility. *Cell*. 136:163-174.
Wang, X., D. Winter, G. Ashrafi, J. Schlehe, Y.L. Wong, D. Selkoe, S. Rice, J. Steen, M.J.
LaVoie, and T.L. Schwarz. 2011. PINK1 and Parkin target Miro for phosphorylation
and degradation to arrest mitochondrial motility. *Cell*. 147:893-906.
Weil, T.T. 2014. mRNA localization in the Drosophila germline. *RNA Biol*. 11:1010-1018.
Wloga, D., D.M. Webster, K. Rogowski, M.H. Bré, N. Levilliers, M. Jerka-Dziadosz, C. Janke,
S.T. Dougan, and J. Gaertig. 2009. TTLL3 Is a tubulin glycine ligase that regulates
the assembly of cilia. *Dev Cell*. 16:867-876.
Wolff, A., B. de Néchaud, D. Chillet, H. Mazarguil, E. Desbruyères, S. Audebert, B. Eddé, F.
Gros, and P. Denoulet. 1992. Distribution of glutamylated alpha and beta-tubulin in
mouse tissues using a specific monoclonal antibody, GT335. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 59:425-
432.
Zimyanin, V.L., K. Belaya, J. Pecreaux, M.J. Gilchrist, A. Clark, I. Davis, and D. St Johnston.
2008. In vivo imaging of oskar mRNA transport reveals the mechanism of posterior
localization. *Cell*. 134:843-853.
789

Table 1) Conservation between mammalian *TTLL5 and Drosophila* TTLL5

Residues labeled in red are critical residues for α-tubulin glutamylation by murine TTLL5 and are conserved in human and *Drosophila* TTLL5 (van Dijk et al., 2007, Natarajan et al., 2017).

	K131　　　β6-7 loop (R188)　　　R225　　　E366 N368
***Mm* TTLL5**	TRKDR—KPVASSRGRG[1]VY---VRLYVL---LLEVNLSP
***Hs* TTLL5**	TRKDR—KPVASSRGRG VY---VRLYVL---LLEVNLSP
	K282　　　β6-7 loop (R339)　　　R376　　　E517 N519
***Dm* TTLL5**	TRKDR--KPAASSRGRG I F---LRVYVL---LLEI NLSP

[1] The critical domain of the β6-7 loop is highlighted with a yellow background.

Table 2) Role of *TTLL5* for the glutamylation of the C-terminal domain of αTub84B/D.

Frequency of glutamylated C-terminal peptides (containing the entire primary sequence) in *w* controls, *TTLL5^{pBac},* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutants containing modifications of 1E, 2E, or 3Es.

	w[1]	*TTLL5^{pBac}* (%E mod)	*TTLL5^{MiEx}*
+1	41	0	0
+2	14	0	0
+3	7	0	0
Total Glu modifications[2]	62	0	0

[1] The total peptide numbers (n) were indicated by the values of total PSM (peptide spectrum match) of the full primary C-terminal αTub84B/D sequence. The n for *w, TTLL5^{pBac},* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* were 56, 29, and 7, respectively.
[2] Total Glu modifications show the sum of the three frequencies. The ratio was quantified based on the glutamylated C-terminal αTub84B/D PSM values divided by total PSM.

Table 3) Conservation between *TTL* and *TTLL5*

Many critical residues of human TTL (red) are conserved in *Drosophila,* mouse, and human TTLL5 (Prota et al., 2013).

	R202　　　R222　　　D318　　　E331 N333 P336
***Hs* TTL**	IRSW—VLRTA-----FDFM—LI EVNGAPA
	R376　　　R398　　　D504　　　E517 N519 P522
***Dm* TTLL5**	LRVY---I VRLA-----FDIL----LLEI NL SPS
	R225　　　R247　　　D353　　　E366 N368 P371
***Mm* TTLL5**	VRLY---LARFA-----FDVL---LLEVNL SPS
	R225　　　R247　　　D353　　　E366 N368 P371
***Hs* TTLL5**	VRLY---LARFA-----FDVL---LLEVNL SPS

 Figure legends

 Fig. 1 Mass spectrometry analysis of glutamylation of the C-terminal domains of

Drosophila α-tubulins. A) Schematic representation showing how the C-terminal regions of

αTub84B/D can be modified by glutamic acid residues added to the side chains of E443,

E445, E448, and E449 in _Drosophila_ ovaries. B) The C-terminal peptides containing the

complete primary sequence were analyzed. The structure of the different C-terminal tail

peptides of αTub84B/D modified by glutamylation is shown starting with G442. Different

combinations of Glu side chain modifications were found added at positions E443, E445,

E448, and E449 of αTub84B/D. Schemes were drawn by biorender.com.

Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the _Drosophila TTLL5_ gene, TTLL5, TTLL5^{MiEx}, and

Venus::TTLL5 proteins. A) The _TTLL5_ gene structure is based on the Flybase data for the

CG31108-RA transcript. The positions of the loss-of-function mutations are marked on the

TTLL5 gene. Grey triangles: transposon insertions. Grey asterisks: codons targeted for

generating InDels and point mutations. All _TTLL5_ alleles were analyzed as hemizygous

animals over _Df(3R)BSC679_, which removes the region of the _TTLL5_ gene. B) Western blot

from the soluble fraction of ovarian extracts. _w_ (_TTLL5^{+}/$^{+}$_), which contains two wild-type

copies of _TTLL5_, expressed the highest levels of TTLL5. The TTLL5 signal is strongly

reduced or abolished in the null mutants _TTLL5^{pBac}/_, _TTLL5^{Mi}/_ and _TTLL5^{MiEx}/_ compared to

_w_. The hemizygous _TTLL5^{+}_ ovaries (_TTLL5^{+}/_) expressed less TTLL5 than _w._ The signal of

an unspecific band (labeled with *), produced after a short exposure, was used as the

loading control. In the following, we will refer to the genotypes as _w_ for the control (_TTLL5^{+}/$^{+}$_)

and _TTLL5^{allele}_ for the hemizygous mutants _(TTLL5^{allele}/ $^{-}$)._

Fig. 3 _TTLL5_ is required for the glutamylation of α-tubulin in ovaries. A), C) Sites on

αTub84B/D, expected to be recognized by the antibody against monoglutamylation (A),

GT335, and by the 1D5 antibody (C, recognizing polyglutamylation), respectively. B), D) The

glutamylation signal, produced by western blotting with the GT335 and 1D5 antibodies,

respectively, is lower in _TTLL5^{pBac}, TTLL5^{Mi}_, and _TTLL5^{MiEx}_ than in the _w_ control but is

restored and even elevated in mutant ovaries that were rescued by

MattubGal4>Venus::TTLL5. The up-shifted bands recognized by 1D5 can be seen in the

rescued _TTLL5^{pBac}_ and _TTLL5^{Mi}_ mutants. The total tyrosinated α-tubulin levels seemed

unaffected by the TTLL5 levels. α-tubulin and GAPDH served as loading controls. E) The

genotypes and rationale of the _TTLL5_ alleles generated by CRISPR/Cas9 are listed. The

selected residues are predicted to be either important for the glutamylation or tyrosination

function based on the alignment shown in **Tables 3** and **4**. F) Western blotting shows that

the polyglutamylation signal is absent in all point mutants. *w* with two copies of *TTLL5$^+$* is the

wild-type control and the hemizygous *TTLL5$^{TRK282>KGK282}$* still contains the crucial K282

residue and behaves like the control. 1D5 signals relative to the loading controls decrease

slightly in the hemizygous situation with only one *TTLL5$^+$* copy. G) Stronger up-shifted bands

were observed with the polyglutamylation antibody (1D5) upon *Venus::TTLL5*

overexpression in wild-type ovaries. Total α-tubulin levels were similar in samples with

excessive expression of *TTLL5*. GAPDH was a loading control. All mutant *TTLL5* alleles

were analyzed as hemizygous animals over *Df(3R)BSC679*. The genotypes for rescues

were *MattubGal4>UAS-Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^{alleles}/Df(3R)BSC679.* The genotypes for

Venus::TTLL5 overexpression were *MattubGal4>UAS-Venus::TTLL5.*

Fig. 4 Spatial distribution of polyglutamylated microtubules in the oocyte. Confocal

micrographs showing representative oocytes and follicle cells from early to late stages of *w*

controls and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutants. Polyglutamylation (1D5) signals are shown in magenta and

Hochest in blue. A signal is seen in follicle cells and oocytes from previtellogenic to late-

stage oocytes. For the S10B oocytes, a high-power magnification for the posterior half is

shown, too. At this stage, a slightly biased 1D5 signal intensity was seen in the cortical

region of the oocyte. The 1D5 signal is virtually absent in the *TTLL5* mutants. Imaging

conditions and confocal microscope settings were identical for the two genotypes. The

genotype of the control was *w.* Genotypes for *TTLL5^{MiEx}* were *TTLL5^{MiEx}/Df(3R)BSC679*.

Fig. 5 Effect of *TTLL5* on Staufen localization refinement in oocytes. A)-D) Confocal

micrographs showing S10B oocytes of *w* controls and *TTLL5^{pBac}, TTLL5^{Mi},* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}*

mutants (A, B), *TTLL5^{pBac}, TTLL5^{Mi},* and *TTLL5^{MiEx}* mutants rescued by *Mattub4>UASP-*

Venus::TTLL5 (C), and *w* controls, *TTLL5^{E517A}, TTLL5^{P522A},* and *TTLL5^{R339A}* mutants (D). Anti-

Staufen is shown in green, anti-GFP in red, and Hoechst in blue. E) Quantification of the

Staufen crescent length along the posterior cortex based on A-D) *** p<0.005. z stack:

12μm. F) Confocal micrographs showing previtellogenic and S9 egg chambers of *w*

controls, *TTLL5^{pBac}, TTLL5^{MiEx},* and *TTLL5^{E517A}* mutants. Staufen signal is shown in green,

and Hoechst in blue. Scale Bar: 25μm. *TTLL5^{MiEx}* and *TTLL5^{E517A}* were hemizygous over

Df(3R)BSC679. The genotype for *TTLL5^{pBac}* was *MattubGal4/+; TTLL5^{pBac}/Df(3R)BSC679.*

The genotypes of the rescued animals were *MattubGal4/UAS-Venus::TTLL5; TTLL5*

^{alleles}/Df(3R)BSC679.

Fig. 6 Role of *TTLL5* in fast ooplasmic streaming. A) Example of an S10B oocyte used

for particle flow measurements based on time-lapse movies of ooplasmic streaming

(Mov.S1-S5). Scale Bar: 25 μm. B) Representative kymographs of particles in oocytes of *w*

controls, *TTLL5^MiEx* mutants, *TTLL5^E517A* mutants, and *TTLL5^MiEx* mutants rescued by

overexpression of the *UASP-Venus::TTLL5* transgene. *UASP-Venus::TTLL5* overexpression

in the wild-type background is also shown. Kymographs were generated along a line close to

the cortex on one side of the ooplasm (region marked by a yellow line in A). 2 min time-lapse

movies were taken. For the mutants, two Kymographs are shown from two different flies

each. 100% of the S10B control oocytes analyzed (n=17) showed circular unidirectional

streaming. On the contrary, 76% *TTLL5^MiEx* oocytes showed an abnormal streaming pattern

(n=21). 100% of *TTLL5^E517A* oocytes showed an abnormal streaming pattern (n=10). 71 % of

the rescued oocytes showed circular unidirectional streaming (n=7). The genotype of the

control was *w* or *+/Df(3R)BSC679.* Genotypes for *TTLL5^MiEx* and *TTLL5^E517A* were both over

Df(3R)BSC679. The genotype of the rescued flies was *MattubGal4/UAS-Venus::TTLL5;*

TTLL5^MiEx/Df(3R)BSC679. The genotype of *TTLL5* overexpression (5 oocytes) was

MattubGal4/UAS-Venus::TTLL5. C) Schematic representation of different streaming patterns

observed. When *TTLL5* was sufficient, the particles showed a unidirectional streaming

pattern. When *TTLL5* is insufficient or inactive, the direction of streaming was disordered, or

the streaming was partially disrupted.

Fig. 7 Role of *TTLL5* for kinesin expression and distribution in ovaries A), B) Khc levels

remained unchanged between *w* control, *TTLL5* deficient mutants, rescued *TTLL5* mutants,

and *TTLL5* overexpressing ovaries. Anti-GFP antibodies reveal the expression of

Venus:TTLL5. GAPDH served as the loading control. C) E) Confocal micrographs showing

the Khc distribution in stage 10B oocytes. C) White arrowheads point to the posterior

enrichment of Khc along the cortical region in the wild-type control and TTLL5

overexpressing oocytes. D) Blind quantification of the posterior enrichment of Khc. The

frequency of oocytes with posterior enrichment is shown graphically and numerically. n=

number of oocytes evaluated. E) A white line was drawn along the anterior-posterior axis of

the oocyte using Fiji. The lines start at the nurse cell oocyte border on the left and end at the

posterior follicle cells. The line width was 50 units. G) Intensity charts were plotted based on

the line drawn along the AP axis in E). The orange arrowheads in the oocytes and the

intensity charts point to the regions showing higher Khc accumulation in the inner region of

the oocyte. F) Fraction of the oocytes that showed inner hyperaccumulation of Khc were

quantified. n= number of oocytes evaluated. The percentage of oocytes showing inner

enrichment of Khc is shown graphically and numerically. Judging Khc distribution was done

blindly by two persons. The fractions were taken from the mean values obtained from the

two persons. Scale Bar: 25µm. The Khc signal is shown in green, and Hoechst in blue. The

genotype for controls was *w* or *+/Df(3R)BSC679.* Genotype for *TTLL5^pBac*: *MattubGal4/+,*

TTLL5^{pBac}/Df(3R)BSC679; *TTLL5^{MiEx}: TTLL5^{MiEx}/Df(3R)BSC679*. Genotype for *TTLL5*

overexpression: *MattubGal4/UAS-Venus::TTLL5.*

Fig. 8 Role of *TTLL5* in the transport of mitochondria in the wing nerves. A)

Representative still image of GFP-labelled mitochondria in axons of the L1 vein of both

control and *TTLL5^{E517A}* wings. The position of the L1 region is indicated in the picture above.

B) Scheme of the transport behavior of the mitochondria in the wing neuron. See the main

text for a detailed description. The schema was drawn by biorender.com. C-F) Mean values

of run length, net velocity, trafficking velocity, and pausing time ratio in the control and the

TTLL5^{E517A} mutant for both anterograde and retrograde transport. C) The run length is the

sum of the distances traveled by individual mitochondria in a trafficking state. D) The net

velocity indicates run distance divided by run time. The run time is the sum of the total

pausing time plus the total trafficking time. E) Trafficking velocity indicates the mean of the

instant velocity of the trafficking mitochondria. F) Pausing time ratio was calculated as total

pausing time divided by run time. Total pausing time was the sum of the time when the

mitochondria were pausing. G) Kymographs were generated from 3 representative

mitochondria in the control and the *TTLL5^{E517A}* mutant. Yellow arrowheads indicate the

pauses of selected mitochondria over the 3 min time window. H) The mean values of

pausing frequency. Pausing frequency indicates the number of pauses divided by run length.

Mitochondria were quantified from 7 control fly wings and 8 *TTLL5^{E517A}* fly wings. The

numbers of mitochondria (n) analyzed for the anterograde control, anterograde *TTLL5^{E517A}*,

retrograde control, and retrograde *TTLL5^{E517A}* were 46, 36, 25, and 21, respectively. ***

$p < 0.005$, ** $p < 0.01$. Genotypes for controls were a mixture of *ApplGal4;UAS-*

Mito::GFP;+/Df(3R)BSC679 and *ApplGal4;UAS-Mito::GFP;TTLL5^{E517A}/+*. Genotypes for

TTLL5^{E517A} mutants were *ApplGal4; UAS-Mito::GFP; TTLL5^{E517A}/Df(3R)BSC679*.

Fig. 1

A

α-tubulin isotypes in *Drosophila* ovaries

E-residues were found added at the αTub84B/D positions E443, E445, E448 and E449.

B

Glutamylation on E residues in the C-terminal domain of αTub84B/D

GEGEGAEEY
E

GEGEGAEEY
E

GEGEGAEEY
E

GEGEGAEEY
E

GEGEGAEEY
EE

GEGEGAEEY
EE

GEGEGAEEY
EE

GEGEGAEEY
EE

GEGEGAEEY
EEE

GEGEGAEEY
EEE

GEGEGAEEY
EEE

GEGEGAEEY
EEE

GEGEGAEEY
E   E

GEGEGAEEY
E   EE

GEGEGAEEY
E   E

GEGEGAEEY
E   EE

GEGEGAEEY
EEE

GEGEGAEEY
E   E   E

Fig. 2

A

B

Fig. 3

A

G D G 443 E G 445 E G A 448 E 449 E Y
anti-GT335 ◀ ▶ anti-GT335

B

Lanes: w (control) | TTLL5^pBac | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^pBac | w (control) | TTLL5^Mi | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^Mi | w (control) | TTLL5^MiEx | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^MiEx

130– GFP
55– ◀ GT335 ◀ ◀
55– Tyr-α-tubulin
35– GAPDH

C

G D G 443 E G 445 E G A 448 E 449 E Y
anti-1D5

D

Lanes: w (control) | TTLL5^pBac | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^pBac | w (control) | TTLL5^Mi | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^Mi | w (control) | TTLL5^MiEx | Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^MiEx

130– GFP
55– 1D5
55– α-tubulin
35– GAPDH

E

Residues of *Drosophila TTLL5*	Function	Corresponding residues in mammalian TTLL5 or TTL	Mutations
E517	ATP/ADP binding	E336 of MmTTLL5 / E331 of HsTTL	*WLLE517>S* / *E517A*
K282	ATP/ADP binding	K131 of MmTTLL5	*K282 stop codon* / *TRK282D>N* / *TRK282>KGK*
R339	(In β6-7 loop) stabilizes tubulin to the active site of TTLL5	R188 of MmTTLL5	*R339A*
P522	(Conserved residue of human TTL) anchors TTL to α-tubulin	P336 of HsTTL	*P522A*

F

Lanes: w (*positive control*) | TTLL5^WLLE717>S | TTLL5^E517A | TTLL5^K282 stop codon | TTLL5^KTRK282D>N | TTLL5^R339A | TTLL5^P522A | TTLL5^TRK282>KGK (*positive control*)
ATP/ADP | ATP/ADP | Glu | Tyr

55– 1D5
55– Tyr-α-tubulin
35– GAPDH

G

Lanes: w (control) | Venus::TTLL5 o.e.

130– GFP
55– 1D5
35– GAPDH
55– Tyr-α-tubulin
55– α-tubulin

Fig. 4

previtellogenic stages

S8

S9

S10B

DNA | 1D5

Fig. 5

A — *w* (control) — Z stack

B — *TTLL5^{pBac}* — Z stack — *TTLL5^{MiEx}* — *TTLL5^{Mi}*

C — Venus::TTLL5

D — *TTLL5^{E517A}* — *TTLL5^{P522A}* — *TTLL5^{R339A}*

DNA | Staufen | GFP

E

Cresent length (μm)

	mean length (μm)	(n)
w (control)	40	66
TTLL5^{pBac}	53	19
Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^{pBac}	40	17
TTLL5^{Mi}	50	26
Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^{Mi}	35	24
TTLL5^{MiEx}	50	20
Venus::TTLL5;TTLL5^{MiEx}	39	20
TTLL5^{E517A}	50	21
TTLL5^{R339A}	47	15
TTLL5^{P522A}	47	16

***

F — previtellogenic stages — S9

w (control)

TTLL5^{pBac}

TTLL5^{MiEx}

TTLL5^{E517A}

DNA | Staufen

Fig. 6

A

B

control

$TTLL5^{MiEx}$
1
2

$TTLL5^{E517A}$
1
2

$Venus::$
$TTLL5;$
$TTLL5^{MiEx}$

$Venus::$
$TTLL5$ o.e.

10 µm

2 min

C

TTLL5 sufficient

TTLL5 insufficient / inactive

Fig. 7

A

130-　GFP
130-　Khc
97-
35-　GAPDH

B

130-　GFP
130-
97-　Khc
55-　α-tubulin

C | posterior enrichment

w (control)

TTLL5^pBac

TTLL5^MiEx

Venus::TTLL5 o.e.

DNA　Khc

E | inner accumulation

w (control)

TTLL5^pBac

TTLL5^MiEx

Venus::TTLL5 o.e.

anterior　posterior

Khc

G

anterior　posterior

D | Khc in the posterior

Posterior enriched
Non-enriched

n=37　11　18　13

76　13　14　54 (%)

control　TTLL5^pBac　TTLL5^MiEx　Venus::TTLL5 o.e.

F | Khc in the inner part

Inner accumulated
Non-accumulated

n=37　11　18　13

76　19　14　65 (%)

control　TTLL5^pBac　TTLL5^MiEx　Venus::TTLL5 o.e.

Fig. 8

A L1

anterograde

10 µm

B traffick | pause | traffick | pause | traffick

run (≥ 2µm)

C run length

Run length (µm)

| anterograde | retrograde |

20.8 20.9 15.2 16.8 (µm)

control TTLL5^E517A control TTLL5^E517A

D net velocity

Run length / Run time (µm/s)

| anterograde | retrograde |

**

0.23 0.29 0.35 0.34 (µm/s)

control TTLL5^E517A control TTLL5^E517A

E trafficking velocity

Trafficking velocity (µm/s)

| anterograde | retrograde |

0.41 0.43 0.53 0.51 (µm/s)

control TTLL5^E517A control TTLL5^E517A

F pausing time ratio

Total pausing time / Run time (%)

| anterograde | retrograde |

***

44.7 32.0 38.6 36.4 (%)

control TTLL5^E517A control TTLL5^E517A

G anterograde

control #1 #2 #3

TTLL5^E517A #1 #2 #3

3 min

10 µm

H pausing frequency

Pauses / Run distance (pauses/µm)

| anterograde | retrograde |

**

0.49 0.32 0.34 0.34 (pauses/µm)

control TTLL5^E517A control TTLL5^E517A