Hecatomb: An End-to-End Research Platform for Viral Metagenomics

Michael J. Roach[1], Sarah J. Beecroft[2], Kathie A. Mihindukulasuriya[3,4], Leran Wang[3,4],

Anne Paredes[3], Kara Henry-Cocks[1], Lais Farias Oliveira Lima[5], Elizabeth A. Dinsdale[1],

Robert A. Edwards[1], Scott A. Handley[3,4*]

1) Flinders Accelerator for Microbiome Exploration, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA,

Australia

2) Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Perth, WA, Australia

3) Department of Pathology & Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine,

St. Louis, MO, USA

4) The Edison Family Center for Genome Sciences & Systems Biology, Washington

University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

5) Biology Department, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, USA

*Corresponding Author: *shandley@wustl.edu*

Keywords:

virome; virus discovery; bioinformatic workflow; viral metagenomics

Abstract

Background: Analysis of viral diversity using modern sequencing technologies offers extraordinary opportunities for discovery. However, these analyses present a number of bioinformatic challenges due to viral genetic diversity and virome complexity. Due to the lack of conserved marker sequences, metagenomic detection of viral sequences requires a non-targeted, random (shotgun) approach. Annotation and enumeration of viral sequences relies on rigorous quality control and effective search strategies against appropriate reference databases. Virome analysis also benefits from the analysis of both individual metagenomic sequences as well as assembled contigs. Combined, virome analysis results in large amounts of data requiring sophisticated visualization and statistical tools.

Results: Here we introduce Hecatomb, a bioinformatics platform enabling both read and contig based analysis. Hecatomb integrates query information from both amino acid and nucleotide reference sequence databases. Hecatomb integrates data collected throughout the workflow enabling analyst driven virome analysis and discovery. Hecatomb is available on GitHub at https://github.com/shandley/hecatomb.

Conclusions: Hecatomb provides a single, modular software solution to the complex tasks required of many virome analysis. We demonstrate the value of the approach by applying Hecatomb to both a host-associated (enteric) and an environmental (marine) virome data set. Hecatomb provided data to determine true- or false-positive viral sequences in both data sets and revealed complex virome structure at distinct marine reef sites.

Background

Viruses parasitize host cell molecular processes and as a result alter host (prokaryotic and eukaryotic) cell physiology. Virus-host interactions can influence organismal physiology and environmental ecosystems. Viruses are also the most dominant entity on the planet with current global estimates as high as 10^{31} viral particles [1,2], and they are omnipresence in all cellular life forms [3]. As such they exert significant influence on their surroundings.

The effect of viruses on human life and society are dramatically demonstrated through phenomena such as global pandemics. However, the true burden of viruses on human health is incredibly varied in terms of breadth and severity. There are many well-known acute viral diseases such as the "common cold" (rhinoviruses, adenoviruses and enteroviruses) [4] which cause tremendous amounts of morbidity, but limited mortality. In contrast, chronic Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection has recently been associated with the onset of multiple sclerosis [5]. Consequential virus-host interactions are not limited to humans. For example, Geminivirus infection of plants has resulted in nearly US$2 billion loss in African cassava production [6]. Similar foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), a highly contagious disease of cloven-hoofed animals, is widespread in Africa with an annual US$2.3 billion negative impact on livestock [7]. Virus 'spillover' infection from animal to human ("zoonotic" viruses) is unfortunately an all too regular event [8]. Viral zoonosis from viruses such as SARS-CoV-2, monkeypox, Ebola and Zika viruses have tremendous negative impacts on human health and society and new zoonotic viruses are constantly emerging presenting a persistent threat to human health [9].

Viral assemblages, often referred to as *viromes*, are also associated with human health

and disease [10]. Stool samples from patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

suffer dysbiosis of microbial populations, having expanded numbers of bacteriophage

(hereafter *phage*) from the order Caudovirales [11–15]. Enteric vertebrate virus expansion

occurs in both rhesus macaques and humans with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

(AIDS) [16,17]. Thus, health is not only influenced by infection with single viruses, but

also viromes. A comprehensive virus analysis workflow enables the analysis of both.

Viruses also influence global ecosystems. For example, the release of intracellular iron

and sulphur from bacteria following lytic phage infection releases nutrients used by

phytoplankton into marine environments via a mechanism called a *viral shunt* [18]. These

phytoplankton are in-turn eaten by higher trophic levels altering the entire marine food

web. Many other aquatic nutrient cycling and biogeochemical processes are attributed,

both directly and indirectly, to viral modification of prokaryotic and protistan assemblages

[19–23]. Terrestrial environment carbon and nutrient cycling are also influenced by

bacteriophage [24–26]. Viral modification of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems

underlies the importance of environmental virome studies to comprehensively understand

climate, ecology and production. Virome analysis tools should enable detailed

interrogation of viruses from any ecosystem broadening our understanding of the global

virome.

Metagenomic sequencing offers a powerful tool to study viral diversity [27]. However,

there are currently many challenges associated with viral metagenomics. While viruses

are the most abundant and diverse biological entity on the planet, they represent a

minority of reference genomes in GenBank, largely due to difficulties associated with

studying them [28]. Recent efforts to populate new viral genomes into reference

databases are slowly closing this gap, and have yielded 10s to 100s of thousands of novel

metagenome-assembled viral genomes [29–35]. Other efforts have yielded many new

high-quality viral genomes by combining the laborious and time-consuming experimental

work with student-learning outcomes [36]. Despite these efforts, there is still a vast

amount of sequence information that remains taxonomically or functionally ill-defined.

These sequences are regularly referred to as "viral dark matter" and poses a significant

barrier to the annotation of viral sequences from metagenomic data (reviewed in [37]).

The success of viral annotation is directly impacted by the size and diversity of the

reference database. Sensitive search algorithms are better able to identify viral

sequences that are only distantly related to reference database sequences. More diverse

databases improve viral sequence annotation, but larger databases are less conducive

to these high sensitivity searches due to increased computational requirements.

Database limitations are further amplified when deciding to query sequences against

amino acid or nucleotide reference databases. Translated searches to amino acid

databases offer superior sensitivity, however, limiting searches solely to amino acid

databases risks missing sequences only available in nucleotide databases.

Another challenge to interpretation of reference based sequence annotation is that viral

metagenomes are often plagued with false positive classifications [38–40]. Viruses share

sequence homology with other domains of life, including 'stolen' genes incorporated from

their hosts' genomes, and repetitive or low-complexity regions that are also found in other

organisms, such as insertion elements or transposons [38–40]. These sequences are

present in reference databases and can result in false-classifications due to shared

sequence similarity across taxonomies. The presence of false-positive classifications

may influence data interpretation. For instance, mis-classification of viral sequences in

clinical samples could lead to incorrect hypotheses about virus-disease associations or

patient diagnosis.　Similarly, an increased false-positive rate in any environment could

lead to over-estimates of species richness and diversity. False-positive taxonomic

assignments are largely unavoidable without highly-curated databases which require

tremendous resources and time at the risk of missing newly discovered viruses which

have yet to make there way through the curation process. Thus, it is important for virome

analysis bioinformatic tools to provide a system to classify the quality of taxonomic

assignments empowering researchers to make informed decisions.

Here we present Hecatomb, a bioinformatics platform designed to address many of these

issues. Hecatomb performs rigorous quality control followed by tiered taxonomic

assignment using MMseqs2 querying sequences against virus-specific and trans-

kingdom amino acid and nucleotide reference databases [41]. Hecatomb also performs

metagenomic assembly and contig taxonomic classification providing simultaneous

analysis of both read and contig based viral annotations. While hecatomb provides pre-

compiled databases and recommended settings, it is easily customizable and extensible.

The primary output of Hecatomb is a comprehensive annotation table containing data

generated throughout the workflow that is designed to be easily merged with sample data

for visualisation and statistical analysis. Hecatomb has been successfully applied to

several viral metagenomics projects and has accelerated the discovery of novel viruses

and characterisation of viral populations [42–46].

Hecatomb is open-source with the project files hosted on GitHub at

github.com/shandley/hecatomb [47], with full support available using GitHub issues.

Documentation and training vignettes are available at hecatomb.readthedocs.io.

Documentation covers installing and optional configuration of the software; detailed

information including databases, and output files; advanced usage cases; an FAQ; and a

tutorial covering some example analyses of the results. Hecatomb is available for

installation from the Bioconda [48] and is distributed under a permissive MIT licence.

Bioconda package information for Hecatomb is available at

anaconda.org/bioconda/hecatomb.

Implementation

An overview of the Hecatomb pipeline is shown in Figure 1. Hecatomb processes reads

through four key modules (Figure 1A). First (module 1), reads are preprocessed to

remove low-quality or contaminating sequences (low-quality sequence, primers,

adapters, host, common laboratory contaminants and duplicates). Second, preprocessed

reads are passed through both a read-based analysis and an assembly module (modules

2 and 3). For taxonomic assignment, Hecatomb uses preprocessed databases

(Supplementary Methods). The final module (module 4) combines information obtained

from both the read-based and assembly modules. Results are stored throughout each

module, primarily as tab-separated value (tsv) files for universal compatibility and easy

data analysis with any framework (e.g. Python, R, Bash, Excel). Emphasis is placed on

data preservation at each stage to provide analysts with as much detail as possible to

inform interpretation of results.

Hecatomb is installed via Conda and it makes liberal use of Conda environments to

ensure portability and ease of installation (Fig 1B). All required and optional software

dependencies are summarised in Table S1 [41,49–59]. Users need only install Conda

which Hecatomb uses to automatically install all dependencies. Conda environments for

jobs are created automatically by Snakemake [60] [49]. The use of isolated Conda

environments for Hecatomb and the individual pipeline jobs minimises package version

conflicts, minimises overhead when rebuilding environments for updated dependencies,

and allows maintenance and customisation of different versions of Hecatomb and its

dependencies without interacting with installed programs and system modules.

A custom built launcher script is included to make running the pipeline as simple as

possible. The launcher populates the required file paths, the default configuration, and

offers a convenient way to modify parameters and customise options. The Snakemake

command generated and runtime configuration is printed to the terminal window for the

user's reference. Accessory scripts are also available from this launcher for installing

reference databases, as well as adding custom host genomes, and combining results

from multiple analyses.

Hecatomb is able to be deployed on an high-performance computing (HPC) cluster and

has makes use of Snakemake profiles for cluster job schedulers (e.g. Slurm, SGE, etc.).

Snakemake uses profiles to submit pipeline jobs to the job scheduler and monitor their

progress. Although optional, using the scheduler is highly recommended as it allows for

more efficient use of HPC resources compared to submitting the whole Hecatomb

pipeline as a local job. Profiles can be created manually, but Hecatomb has been

designed   for   compatibility   with   the   official   Cookiecutter

(https://github.com/cookiecutter/cookiecutter)   profiles   for   Snakemake

(https://github.com/Snakemake-Profiles/doc).

Sequence data preprocessing. Hecatomb can process both single and paired-end

Illumina or MGI sequencing reads as well as long-read technology from PacBio and

Oxford Nanopore platforms. Hecatomb can also process sequences obtained from other

library types with minor modifications to the Hecatomb configuration file and by supplying

library specific adapters or primer sequences. A preprocessing module is also available

for sequencing utilising the round A/B library protocol for viral metagenomics [61]. The

round A/B library protocol enables sequencing of all types of viral genomes (single and

double stranded RNA and DNA viruses) and requires the use of a combination of phased

PCR primers. The preprocessing module in Hecatomb removes these non-biological

sequence contaminants, along with additional common laboratory sequence

contaminants in the UniVec database [62].

For host-associated samples (e.g. stool, saliva, skin swabs from humans or mucus from

corals) Hecatomb implements a host-sequence removal strategy using Minimap2 and a

host reference genome specifically optimised to avoid removing potential viral sequences

[56]. To remove all potentially viral sequences in reference genomes all viral genomes

from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) viral assembly database

(ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/?term=viruses) were downloaded and computationally split

into short fragments with an average length of 85 bases sharing a 30 base overlap using

shred.sh from the BBTools suite [52]. Shredded viral sequences were mapped and

masked from host-reference genomes using bbmap.sh requiring a minimum identity of

90% and at most, 2 insertions and deletions. In addition, low-entropy sequences were

masked from host genomes (entropy = 0.5) using bbmask.sh. This process results in a

set of host-associated reference genomes masked of 'virus-like' and low-entropy

sequences, limiting the likelihood that a real viral sequence will be removed. Pre-

computed masked reference genomes for the following host genomes: human, mouse,

rat, camel, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, dog, cow, macaque, mosquito, pig, rat and tick are

available within Hecatomb using the --host flag. Scripts are provided to generate new

masked host genomes.

For the final stage of the preprocessing module, Hecatomb removes sequence

redundancy by clustering each sample using linclust [63]. Clustering sequences reduces

the number of sequences requiring taxonomic classification to a single, representative

sequence from a cluster of similar sequences. Sequences are clustered requiring a

minimum sequence identity of 97% and 80% alignment coverage of target sequence to

the representative sequence (--min-seq-id 0.97 -c 0.8 --cov-mode 1). Hecatomb

maintains the size of each cluster in the annotation table as well as the counts normalised

to the total number of high-quality reads per individual sample (normalized as percent of

the non-host reads). Clustering settings are also easily adjustable in the Hecatomb

configuration file.

At the end of this process, non-redundant sequences have been removed and the

remaining sequences are free from non-biological (reagent) contaminants and likely host-

sequences. These high-quality sequences are then used for *de novo* metavirome

assembly and read-based annotation.

Metavirome assembly. A unique feature of Hecatomb is that it completes both individual

read and assembly-based analysis. The first step of the metavirome assembly module is

individual sample assemblies using MEGAHIT [53] (Figure 2). Long-reads are not

amenable to using short-read assemblers and are therefore assembled using Canu [54].

Contigs from individual sample assemblies are subsequently assembled into a population

assembly using Flye [64]. Per sample contig abundance are calculated by mapping

individual sample reads to the population assembly. Read counts are reported normalised

to library size and contig length using a variety of measures (reads per kilobase million

(RPKM), fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) and sequences per million (SPM)). SPM

is the same calculation as used for transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) except that the

sequences are not assumed to be transcripts, thus the nomenclature adjustment.

Calculations for RPKM, FPKM, and SPM are summarised in Supplementary Methods and

an explanation is available in [65]. Taxonomic assignment of contigs in the population

assembly is accomplished using MMseqs2 [41], queried against the secondary nucleotide

database. Contig properties (e.g. length, GC-content) are combined with taxonomic

assignments and sample abundance estimates into a final table. This contig table is

merged with data obtained through the read based analysis to supplement contig

mapping data with read-based taxonomic assignments and individual read properties.

Read-based annotation. Taxonomy (and functional information when available) is

assigned using an iterative query strategy against both amino acid and nucleotide

reference databases (Figure 3A). This strategy is designed to minimise false-positive viral

annotations while maintaining sensitivity and runtime performance. All queries are carried

out using MMseqs2 [41]. The strategy starts with a translated query of all sequences

against a database of all viral (taxonomy ID: 10239) amino acid sequences in UniProtKB

[66] clustered at 99% identity to reduce redundancy and target database size. Any

sequence that matches a known viral protein is subsequently cross-checked against the

complete multi-kingdom UniClust50 amino acid database [67]. The use of the well-

annotated UniClust50 database enables functional as well as taxonomic annotation. This

two-step query strategy captures all potential viral sequences in the first step, reducing

the number of queries required in the secondary confirmatory step against the larger

multi-kingdom database. The MMseqs2 searches can be time-consuming. Options are

provided to use the default slower high-sensitivity parameters (--start-sens 1 --sens-steps

3 -s 7), or fast search parameters (-s 4.0) that yield greatly improved runtime performance.

Sequences not identified as viral-like using translated queries to the amino acid database

are subject to a similar iterative search using untranslated queries against a viral

nucleotide sequence database consisting of all viral sequences in GenBank clustered at

100% identity to remove redundancy. This primary search is followed by a secondary

confirmatory query against a polymicrobial nucleotide database containing representative

RefSeq genomes from bacteria (n = 14,933), archaea (n = 511), fungi (n = 423), protozoa

(n = 90) and plant (n = 145) genomes [68]. This iterative strategy enables sequence

queries to target databases to be run on commodity hardware while still having

representation of a broad diversity of non-viral kingdoms to minimise false-positive

annotations.

Following secondary translated and untranslated searches Hecatomb augments

sequence annotations using the lowest common ancestor (LCA) 2b-LCA algorithm

described in [69]. This approach provides conservative taxonomic assignments at lower-

nodes of the tree when similarity is found across a heterogeneous collection of

taxonomies. However, the LCA algorithm fails when crossing higher taxonomic levels.

For example, sequences with similarity to both bacterial and viral taxa have a LCA of

"root" in the NCBI tree, while viruses from distinct viral domains are assigned to "virus

root". Hecatomb detects these instances and instead of classifying them to the root

lineages refactors to the top-hit annotation. While this sometimes results in the

reclassification of sequences to a non-virus lineage (e.g. if the tophit was bacterial) this

novel approach provides additional information about sequences with ambiguous

taxonomic assignments. This can be useful for instance in the identification of prophage

regions which remains a challenging area of research [70,71].

Outputs. Hecatomb output files are described in Supplementary Methods. Output tables

are all tab-separated value (.tsv) files to ensure ease of use with data analysis. This

tabular format is universally compatible with commonly used research software and

programming languages such as Python, R, Excel or Bash and is easily merged with data

from external sources, such as viral Baltimore classifications, International Committee on

Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) taxonomy, or other external sources. The read annotation

file is designed to acquire, preserve and organise data obtained throughout the pipeline

with both study specific sample information as well as external data sources (Figure 3B).

The process of investigating and removing false-positive annotations in viral

metagenomes can be complex, but the abundance of alignment metrics in this file is

designed to empower researchers to perform this step quickly and easily.

Results

Re-evaluation of a mammalian host-associated enteric virome. Hecatomb's data

structure (Figure 3B) integrates a large amount of information about individual sequences

including taxonomic lineages, alignment statistics (e.g. E-values, percent identity,

alignment length) and data from external virus information resources (e.g. Baltimore

classification). To assess how this data structure can be used to evaluate the content of

a complex virome we reanalysed a previously published data set (95 samples) obtained

from stool samples collected from SIV-infected rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*)

(NCBI BioProject accession: PRJEB9503) [16]. Sequence data were generated using the

Illumina MiSeq 2×250 bp paired-end protocol on libraries of total nucleic acid (DNA and

cDNA to enable detection of both RNA and DNA viruses) extracted from stool samples.

This data set was selected as it contains sequences from viruses from multiple Baltimore

classifications (RNA and DNA genomes) that infect a variety of cell types (e.g. animal and

plant). In addition, the original study identified differences in enteric virus abundances

associated with SIV infection, enabling a comparative quantitative benchmark to evaluate

Hecatomb with previously published results.

For the reevaluation study, Hecatomb was run using default parameters. Hecatomb's

taxonomic assignments classified sequences into phylogenetically diverse groups (Figure

4A). Bacteriophage from the family Microviridae and the order Caudovirales,

(Siphoviridae, Myoviridae and Podoviridae), were the most abundantly classified viral

sequence in the study. Hecatomb also identified a large number of sequences belonging

to the Picornaviridae and Adenoviridiae, viral families regularly associated with

gastrointestinal disease. Picronaviruses and adenoviruses were also identified in the

original study with several adenoviruses having their full genomes sequenced as well as

plaque purified [72]. Hecatomb also classified sequences belonging to a diverse set of

viruses typically associated with infection of plants and protists (Figure S1).

Hecatomb assigns NCBI taxonomy [73] using MMseqs2 [41] to query metagenomic

sequences to relevant reference sequence databases. Taxonomic assignments relying

on sequence similarity are dependent on the thresholds chosen. A permissive threshold

risks increasing the rate of false-positives, while a stringent threshold may result in an

increased rate of false-negatives. A perfectly accurate threshold is unlikely to exist,

particularly given the high-variability in evolutionary histories across all viral types. In this

case, plots and additional statistical analysis can prove useful in evaluating true- and

false-positive viral annotations. Hecatomb collects alignment statistics (e.g. e-values,

percent identity, alignment length, etc.) in the taxonomic assignment module and

organises these data to assist in the identification of both true and false-positive

taxonomic classifications.

As an example of how the alignment statistics can be used to evaluate true- or false-

positive taxonomic assignments we examined percent identity and alignment lengths of

the four viral families identified in the original study (Circoviridae, Picornaviridae,

Adenoviridae and Parvoviridae). Hecatomb also annotated sequences to these same 4

viral families using both translated queries to amino acid (aa) databases and untranslated

queries to nucleotide (nt) databases (Figure 4B).

While the statistics underlying sequence similarity searches are well understood, the

application of thresholds to those statistics to infer taxonomy and function are more

nebulous. Therefore, Hecatomb provides some additional guidelines to aid with the

determination of true positives compared to false positives. For example, a quadrant

system can be used to evaluate individual per family (or other taxonomic level)

assignments (Figure 4B). Sequences in the upper two quadrants are highly similar to

sequences in the reference databases over short (upper left, quartile 1 (Q1)) or long

(upper right, Q2) alignment lengths, while sequences in the lower two quadrants have low

similarity over short (lower left, Q3) or long (lower right, Q4) alignment lengths. For this

analysis we arbitrarily selected 70% identity to represent the cut-off between low and

high-identity for translated (aa database) and 90% identity for untranslated (nt database)

alignments. Translated alignment length is reported in nucleotide base pairs rather than

amino acid length. Therefore, a cutoff of 150 base pairs for both translated and

untranslated alignment lengths was chosen (Figure 4B). Using this framework it is clear

that there are many query sequences with high-identity (both short and long alignments)

to sequences in both the aa and nt reference databases for the 4 families of previously

identified animal viruses (Figure S2).

There were also a large number of query sequences classified as having statistically

significant sequence similarity to reference sequences from viruses of protists (Figure

4B). Mimiviridae, that infect Acanthamoeba, and Phycodnaviridae, that infect algae, are

both dsDNA viruses with large genomes [74]. While it is conceivable that these viruses

may exist in the stool samples of rhesus macaques via water or food, using the quadrant

framework there is little or no evidence of high-identity alignments to any sequence in

either the aa or nt databases (Figure 4B, Figure S2). Hecatomb does not automatically

remove sequences from these families as they would be common in environmental

datasets. There is evidence for short and long low identity alignments (quadrant 4) to both

Phycodnaviridae and Mimiviridae reference sequences. Thus, these sequences should

be analysed using additional metrics (i.e. E-values, abundance across samples, etc.) to

determine if these represent potentially novel viral sequences. This would not have been

possible using stringent E-value filtering prior to data analysis.

Hecatomb also quantifies the normalised number of sequences (percent of host-removed

reads) at each taxonomic depth. The normalised percent abundances per sample can be

evaluated as the number of sequences assigned to a taxonomy per sample enabling

statistical comparisons. The original study found evidence for four families of animal

viruses (Circoviridae, Picornaviridae, Adenoviridae and Parvoviridae) in stool samples

obtained from macaques infected with SIV or uninfected controls. The abundance of

sequences from each viral family were similar between SIV-infected and uninfected

macaques early in the study, but the abundance increased significantly as SIV-infection

progressed while remaining the same in uninfected control animals. Evaluation of the

normalised abundances for each of these four viral families using Hecatomb confirmed

the findings of the original analysis (Figure 4C).

There were several viral families represented only using untranslated alignment to

Hecatombs nucleotide database, including the Herpesviridae (Figure 5). All of the

sequences assigned to the Herpesviridae aligned to only three target GenBank entries

(Figure 5B). One entry (AF191073) dominated the similarities. All three were assigned a

taxonomy with very low E-values suggesting statistically significant alignments (Figure

5C). However, all three of these entries belong to a single type of herpesvirus, Stealth

virus 1 clone 3B43 [75]. The Stealth virus 1 genome was originally described as

containing sections of both bacterial and viral genes. The three Stealth virus sequences

identified by Hecatomb are identical to the bacterial segments when queried against the

NCBI nt database (Figure 5D), suggesting that they are bacterial in origin. Very few

sequences were found with alignments to the viral portion of the Stealth Virus 1 genome,

which would be expected due to the random, shotgun sequencing process. This suggests

that these sequences were called viral by hecatomb due to their similarity to a bacterial

region of a viral genome, but that they are more likely bacterial false-positive

contamination. Indeed, the original study identified Herpesviridae and many other false-

positive sequences that were only removed following computationally-expensive blastn

and blastx searches of the Non-Redundant nucleotide and protein databases [76].

Evaluation of an environmental dataset. We assessed Hecatomb's ability to analyse

non-human associated viromes by processing a previously studied coral reef dataset

(NCBI BioProject accession: PRJNA595374) [77,78]. The dataset consists of

metagenomic sequencing (Illumina MiSeq, paired 2x250) of both seawater and coral

mucus from inner and outer sections of a Bermuda reef system. The original studies

identified statistically significant differences in bacterial compositions between the coral

mucus and seawater microbiomes and the coral mucus microbiomes from the inner and

outer reefs. However, the viruses were not described in the original study. To further

interrogate the viruses in these samples, study sequences were downloaded from SRA

and run through Hecatomb using the fast parameters (--fast). Of the top 20 most abundant

viral families, 10 are bacteriophages (Figure 6A). The relative abundance of viral families

are mostly higher in inner reef samples compared to outer reef samples with exceptions

such as Herelleviridae, Adintoviridae, Inoviridae, and unclassified Cressdnaviricota.

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and a subsequent

analysis of variance (ANOVA) confirmed a non-homogenous distribution across samples

and groups (p = 0.053) (Figure 6B). Inner reef samples cluster closely together whereas

outer reef samples appear to be far more varied. To examine compositional differences

at each site, we performed permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) of Bray-

Curtis dissimilarity. We find that samples differ based on position (inner vs. outer reef: p

= 0.001) and on the combined position and source (reef and mucus: p = 0.001), but not

on source alone (inner vs. outer mucus: p = 0.185).

We calculated similarity percentage (SIMPER) between inner and outer samples, and

between the outer reef samples only to identify viruses distinct to each group. SIMPER

analysis identified many viral species that were significantly more abundant in inner reef

samples, but none that were more abundant in the outer reef samples (Figure S3). In

particular, Hecatomb classified reads to over 20 species of Synechococcus phage as

being associated with outer reef samples. Viruses that contributed the largest fold

differences included a phage that infects Verrucomicrobia (a mucin-degrading bacteria),

and Namao virus (a Mimiviridae protozoan virus) which might infect Symbiodinium–

coral's endosymbiotic dinoflagellate.

When comparing outer reef coral mucus with outer reef water samples, we identified eight

viruses that were more abundant in the reef water samples, with a phage that infects

Halomonas bacteria as the largest fold difference (Figure S4). The largest fold differences

observed in the coral samples included a Pyramimonas algae virus, a Vibrio phage, a

Rhizobium phage, and a Pseudomonas phage.

Discussion

Virome sequencing is the premier approach to evaluate the viral content of both host-derived and environmental samples. In the broadest terms, virome sequencing is used to answer two questions: i) What individual viruses are present in a sample or set of samples? ii) How does virome composition compare between groups of samples? The answers to these questions can be used to evaluate different biological questions. For example, knowing what individual viruses are present in a sample can be useful for identifying etiological agents of infectious disease. In contrast, analysis of the total virome or collection of viruses within a sample can be used to characterise ecological niches between groups. Both types of studies are dependent on effective computational tools not only to identify and classify viral reads within a metagenome, but also to assist in interpretation of complex virome data in association with study data.

Virome analysis is almost entirely dependent on sequence similarity queries against reference sequence databases. Historically, there have been two approaches to accomplishing this. The first is 'brute force' wherein all unclassified sequences are queried against a comprehensive, multi-kingdom reference sequence database (e.g. NCBI nt or nr). This approach relies on the search algorithm (e.g. BLAST, DIAMOND [79]) to pick the best or lowest-common ancestor of a group of hits to provide a final taxonomic assignment to an unknown query sequence. Hecatomb takes a different approach by first capturing all 'potentially viral' sequences by first querying sequences against a viral sequence database. These 'potentially viral' sequences typically represent only a small fraction of the full metagenomic data making subsequent computation more tractable. To confirm viral taxonomic assignment, all potentially viral sequences are cross-checked

against a curated small transkingdom reference database containing genomic

representatives from all kingdoms of life. Hecatomb completes this iterative search

approach using translated searches against amino acid databases as well as

untranslated searches against nucleotide databases, combining the results of each to

ensure detection of viral sequences is database independent. This iterative search

strategy uses databases orders of magnitude smaller than comprehensive, multi-kingdom

databases (such as nt and nr) increasing computational efficiency without limiting viral

detection.

Hecatombs' design philosophy recognizes that there are no 'perfect' databases or search

algorithms. Both the brute force and iterative search approaches against comprehensive

or curated databases will result in different rates of true/false positives/negatives. Instead,

Hecatomb relies on providing a compiled and rich set of data for search result evaluation.

We used this strategy to reassess the virome composition of SIV-infected and uninfected

rhesus macaques [16]. The original study used an iterative approach, but relied on

comprehensive, transkingdom databases (NCBI nt and nr) and identified associations

between four families of animal viruses (Circoviridae, Picornaviridae, Adenoviridae and

Parvoviridae) and SIV-infection. The new Hecatomb trans-kingdom database is 6 orders

of magnitude smaller than GenBank nt (5.0×10^6 versus 1.3×10^{12}) which results in a

significant reduction in computational time and resources. Hecatomb identified the same

four viral families and their relationship to SIV mediated disease (Figure 4C). Similar to

our analysis of these samples using Hecatomb, the original study also classified a number

of sequences to the Mimiviridae and Phycodnaviridae. Statistical comparison of these

sequences between groups (e.g. SIV-infected vs. uninfected) did not reveal any

significant associations thus they were not discussed further. However, new evaluation

of results from Hecatomb indicates that there were likely false-positive classifications

reported in the original analysis (Figure 4B). This highlights how coordinated data such

as alignment statistics and taxonomy can be powerful tools for virome evaluation.

We were also able to evaluate the viromes of environmental (non-host associated)

viromes. This analysis was primarily designed to identify compositional changes in

viromes between reef types (inner or outer) and within coral mucosa and the surrounding

water from a previously published metagenomic data set [77,78]. The original study

identified elevated levels of Pelagibacter, Synechococcus, and unclassified Rickettsiales

in inner reef samples compared to outer reef samples. Indeed, we found many elevated

Synechococcus phages and other cyanophages in inner reef samples. However, we

found only a few Mimiviridae viruses that were elevated which might be associated with

Pelagibacter and unclassified Rickettsiales, despite Pelagibacter being identified as the

most abundant genus in the original study. It's possible that Synechococcus and other

cyanobacteria growth rates are high, and that this is offset by greater viral activity (a viral

shunt) that results in nutrient cycling to other microbes in the reef system. Heterotrophic

bacteria and archaea are significant sources of fixed-nitrogen in coral reefs (reviewed in

[80]), so viral activity of cyanobacteria would therefore be beneficial to the entire reef

ecosystem by supplying both organic nitrogen, and by feeding these nitrogen-fixing

bacteria.

The inner reef coral mucus and reef water viromes clustered tightly suggesting that there

was little difference in these viromes. The consistency in viral compositions between coral

mucus and reef water samples of the inner reef systems is interesting and suggests an

equilibrated flux of viral particles between coral mucus microbiomes and the surrounding

reef water. Conversely, differences were observed in viral abundances of outer reef

samples, and most were found to be species that were more elevated in coral mucus

compared to reef water samples. The greater differences in viral compositions between

the outer reef coral mucus and water samples could indicate that the greater exchange

of water between the reef system and open ocean may be depleting viruses from this

ecosystem. Furthermore, the greater thermal stability and reduced particulate load (from

terrestrial runoff) results in a reduced turnover of coral mucus in the outer reef samples

(described in [77,78]), which may also contribute to the higher relative abundances of

viruses in inner reef systems in general.

Conclusions

Virome analysis is complex and requires efficient computational tools to generate analyst

friendly results. Hecatomb provides a comprehensive and computationally efficient

solution for both read- and assembly-based viral annotation and virome analysis. The

pipeline is delivered with a convenient and easy-to-use front end and is compatible with

different sequencing technologies. Hecatomb's comprehensive collection of data

throughout the running of the pipeline, in particular the collection of alignment statistics,

empowers identification and interrogation of viral taxonomic assignments. We

demonstrate Hecatomb's utility for rapid processing and analysis of viral metagenomes

with a well-studied validation gut viral metagenome dataset. We also demonstrate its

utility for mining regular metagenome samples for virome analysis by analysing an

existing environmental dataset.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: All authors have confirmed consent for publication.

Availability of data and materials:

Project name: Hecatomb

Project home page: github.com/shandley/hecatomb

Project documentation: hecatomb.readthedocs.io

Operating system: Linux

Programming language: Python

Other requirements: Conda

Licence: MIT

Restrictions to use by non-academics: None

The reanalysis with Hecatomb utilised pre-existing datasets which are available under

the NCBI BioProject accessions PRJEB9503 for the macaque SIV dataset [16] and

PRJNA595374 for the coral reef dataset [77,78]. The Hecatomb annotations are

available at doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6388251, and all commands used for analysing the

results are available at

gist.github.com/beardymcjohnface/3d3245b2bf6d9544c524f412037d5065.

Competing interests: The authors have no competing interests.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by grants from the NIH

(RC2 DK116713 and U01 AI151810) awarded to RAE and SAH.

Author's contributions: MJR, RAE, and SAH conceived the pipeline and data

structures. KAM, LW, and AP provided suggestions about the pipeline and data

visualisations. MJR, SJB, RAE, and SAH coded the pipeline. KH-C contributed to

documentation and analysis. MJR and SAH performed the analysis and interpretation.

LFOL, RAE, and EAD helped with interpretation of results. MJR, RAE, and SAH drafted

the original manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Chandni Desai and Barry Hykes for their

thoughtful commentary regarding the design philosophy of Hecatomb, and Sarah Giles,

Susie Grigson, Bhavya Papudeshi, Vijini Mallawaarachchi, and Laura Inglis for

feedback on the manuscript. The support provided by Flinders University for HPC

research resources is acknowledged.

List of abbreviations:

AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

SIV: simian immunodeficiency virus

HPC: high-performance computing

NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information

RPKM: reads per kilobase million

FPKM: fragments per kilobase million

SPM: sequences per million

LCA: lowest common ancestor

ICTV: International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses

PERMANOVA: permutational analysis of variance

PCoA: principal coordinate analysis

ANOVA: analysis of variance

SIMPER: similarity percentag

References

References

1. Hendrix RW, Smith MC, Burns RN, Ford ME, Hatfull GF. Evolutionary relationships
among diverse bacteriophages and prophages: all the world's a phage. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. Elsevier; 1999;96:2192–7.

2. Mushegian AR. Are There 1031 Virus Particles on Earth, or More, or Fewer? J
Bacteriol [Internet]. 2020;202. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00052-20

3. Koonin EV, Dolja VV, Krupovic M, Varsani A, Wolf YI, Yutin N, et al. Global
organization and proposed megataxonomy of the virus world. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev
[Internet]. American Society for Microbiology; 2020;84. Available from:
https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/MMBR.00061-19

4. Heikkinen T, Järvinen A. The common cold. Lancet. Elsevier; 2003;361:51–9.

5. Bjornevik K, Cortese M, Healy BC, Kuhle J, Mina MJ, Leng Y, et al. Longitudinal
analysis reveals high prevalence of Epstein-Barr virus associated with multiple
sclerosis. Science. science.org; 2022;375:296–301.

6. Patil BL, Fauquet CM. Cassava mosaic geminiviruses: actual knowledge and
perspectives. Mol Plant Pathol. 2009;10:685–701.

7. Casey-Bryars M, Reeve R, Bastola U, Knowles NJ, Auty H, Bachanek-Bankowska K,
et al. Waves of endemic foot-and-mouth disease in eastern Africa suggest feasibility of
proactive vaccination approaches. Nat Ecol Evol. 2018;2:1449–57.

8. Prempeh H. Foot and mouth disease: the human consequences [Internet]. BMJ.
2001. p. 565–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.322.7286.565

9. Grange ZL, Goldstein T, Johnson CK, Anthony S, Gilardi K, Daszak P, et al. Ranking
the risk of animal-to-human spillover for newly discovered viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A [Internet]. 2021;118. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002324118

10. Liang G, Bushman FD. The human virome: assembly, composition and host
interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19:514–27.

11. Norman JM, Handley SA, Baldridge MT, Droit L, Liu CY, Keller BC, et al. Disease-
specific alterations in the enteric virome in inflammatory bowel disease. Cell.
2015;160:447–60.

12. Pérez-Brocal V, García-López R, Nos P, Beltrán B, Moret I, Moya A. Metagenomic
Analysis of Crohn's Disease Patients Identifies Changes in the Virome and Microbiome
Related to Disease Status and Therapy, and Detects Potential Interactions and
Biomarkers. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2015;21:2515–32.

13. Fernandes MA, Verstraete SG, Phan TG, Deng X, Stekol E, LaMere B, et al. Enteric

Virome and Bacterial Microbiota in Children With Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn Disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; 2019;68:30–6.

14. Clooney AG, Sutton TDS, Shkoporov AN, Holohan RK, Daly KM, O'Regan O, et al. Whole-Virome Analysis Sheds Light on Viral Dark Matter in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;26:764–78.e5.

15. Liang G, Conrad MA, Kelsen JR, Kessler LR, Breton J, Albenberg LG, et al. Dynamics of the Stool Virome in Very Early-Onset Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2020;14:1600–10.

16. Handley SA, Desai C, Zhao G, Droit L, Monaco CL, Schroeder AC, et al. SIV Infection-Mediated Changes in Gastrointestinal Bacterial Microbiome and Virome Are Associated with Immunodeficiency and Prevented by Vaccination. Cell Host Microbe. Elsevier; 2016;19:323–35.

17. Monaco CL, Gootenberg DB, Zhao G, Handley SA, Ghebremichael MS, Lim ES, et al. Altered Virome and Bacterial Microbiome in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Associated Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19:311–22.

18. Poorvin L, Rinta-Kanto JM, Hutchins DA, Wilhelm SW. Viral release of iron and its bioavailability to marine plankton. Limnol Oceanogr. Wiley; 2004;49:1734–41.

19. Wilhelm SW, Suttle CA. Viruses and Nutrient Cycles in the Sea [Internet]. BioScience. 1999. p. 781–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1313569

20. Fuhrman JA. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. Nature. 1999;399:541–8.

21. Wommack KE, Colwell RR. Virioplankton: viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev [Internet]. Am Soc Microbiol; 2000; Available from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mmbr.64.1.69-114.2000

22. Weinbauer MG. Ecology of prokaryotic viruses. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2004;28:127–81.

23. Suttle CA. Viruses in the sea. Nature. 2005;437:356–61.

24. Emerson JB, Roux S, Brum JR, Bolduc B, Woodcroft BJ, Jang HB, et al. Host-linked soil viral ecology along a permafrost thaw gradient. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3:870–80.

25. Trubl G, Jang HB, Roux S, Emerson JB, Solonenko N, Vik DR, et al. Soil Viruses Are Underexplored Players in Ecosystem Carbon Processing. mSystems [Internet]. 2018;3. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00076-18

26. Starr EP, Nuccio EE, Pett-Ridge J, Banfield JF, Firestone MK. Metatranscriptomic reconstruction reveals RNA viruses with the potential to shape carbon cycling in soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116:25900–8.

27. Dutilh BE, Cassman N, McNair K, Sanchez SE, Silva GGZ, Boling L, et al. A highly
abundant bacteriophage discovered in the unknown sequences of human faecal
metagenomes. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4498.

28. Krishnamurthy SR, Wang D. Origins and challenges of viral dark matter. Virus Res.
Elsevier; 2017;239:136–42.

29. Camarillo-Guerrero LF, Almeida A, Rangel-Pineros G, Finn RD, Lawley TD.
Massive expansion of human gut bacteriophage diversity. Cell. Elsevier;
2021;184:1098–109.e9.

30. Nayfach S, Páez-Espino D, Call L, Low SJ, Sberro H, Ivanova NN, et al.
Metagenomic compendium of 189,680 DNA viruses from the human gut microbiome.
Nat Microbiol. nature.com; 2021;6:960–70.

31. Nayfach S, Roux S, Seshadri R, Udwary D, Varghese N, Schulz F, et al. A genomic
catalog of Earth's microbiomes. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39:499–509.

32. Soto-Perez P, Bisanz JE, Berry JD, Lam KN, Bondy-Denomy J, Turnbaugh PJ.
CRISPR-Cas System of a Prevalent Human Gut Bacterium Reveals Hyper-targeting
against Phages in a Human Virome Catalog. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;26:325–35.e5.

33. Gregory AC, Zablocki O, Zayed AA, Howell A, Bolduc B, Sullivan MB. The Gut
Virome Database Reveals Age-Dependent Patterns of Virome Diversity in the Human
Gut. Cell Host Microbe. 2020;28:724–40.e8.

34. Paez-Espino D, Roux S, Chen I-MA, Palaniappan K, Ratner A, Chu K, et al. IMG/VR
v.2.0: an integrated data management and analysis system for cultivated and
environmental viral genomes [Internet]. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019. p. D678–86.
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1127

35. Tisza MJ, Buck CB. A catalog of tens of thousands of viruses from human
metagenomes reveals hidden associations with chronic diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A [Internet]. 2021;118. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023202118

36. Hanauer DI, Graham MJ, SEA-PHAGES, Betancur L, Bobrownicki A, Cresawn SG,
et al. An inclusive Research Education Community (iREC): Impact of the SEA-PHAGES
program on research outcomes and student learning. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
National Acad Sciences; 2017;114:13531–6.

37. Pargin E, Roach M, Skye A, Edwards R, Giles S. The human gut virome:
Composition, colonisation, interactions, and impacts on human health [Internet]. OSF
Preprints. 2022. Available from: https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/s9px2

38. Rosseel T, Pardon B, De Clercq K, Ozhelvaci O, Van Borm S. False-positive results
in metagenomic virus discovery: a strong case for follow-up diagnosis. Transbound
Emerg Dis. Wiley; 2014;61:293–9.

39. Skewes-Cox P, Sharpton TJ, Pollard KS, DeRisi JL. Profile hidden Markov models
for the detection of viruses within metagenomic sequence data. PLoS One.
journals.plos.org; 2014;9:e105067.

40. Ponsero AJ, Hurwitz BL. The Promises and Pitfalls of Machine Learning for
Detecting Viruses in Aquatic Metagenomes. Front Microbiol. frontiersin.org;
2019;10:806.

41. Steinegger M, Söding J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for
the analysis of massive data sets. Nat Biotechnol. nature.com; 2017;35:1026–8.

42. Roach M, Cantu A, Vieri MK, Cotten M, Kellam P, Phan M, et al. No Evidence
Known Viruses Play a Role in the Pathogenesis of Onchocerciasis-Associated Epilepsy.
An Explorative Metagenomic Case-Control Study. Pathogens [Internet]. 2021;10.
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10070787

43. Hesse RD, Roach M, Kerr EN, Papudeshi B, Lima LFO, Goodman AZ, et al. Phage
Diving: An Exploration of the Carcharhinid Shark Epidermal Virome. Viruses.
Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute; 2022;14:1969.

44. Adiliaghdam F, Amatullah H, Digumarthi S, Saunders TL, Rahman R-U, Wong LP,
et al. Human enteric viruses autonomously shape inflammatory bowel disease
phenotype through divergent innate immunomodulation. Sci Immunol.
2022;7:eabn6660.

45. Kim AH, Armah G, Dennis F, Wang L, Rodgers R, Droit L, et al. Enteric virome
negatively affects seroconversion following oral rotavirus vaccination in a longitudinally
sampled cohort of Ghanaian infants. Cell Host Microbe. 2022;30:110–23.e5.

46. Mihindukulasuriya KA, Mars RAT, Johnson AJ, Ward T, Priya S, Lekatz HR, et al.
Multi-Omics Analyses Show Disease, Diet, and Transcriptome Interactions With the
Virome. Gastroenterology. 2021;161:1194–207.e8.

47. Roach M, Handley S, Edwards R, SarahBeecroft, Roach M, henr, et al.
shandley/hecatomb: v1.1.0 [Internet]. 2022. Available from:
https://zenodo.org/record/7042227

48. Grüning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, Chapman BA, Rowe J, Tomkins-Tinch CH, et al.
Bioconda: sustainable and comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences. Nat
Methods. nature.com; 2018;15:475–6.

49. Roach MJ, Pierce-Ward NT, Suchecki R, Mallawaarachchi V, Papudeshi B, Handley
SA, et al. Ten simple rules and a template for creating workflows-as-applications
[Internet]. OSF Preprints. 2022. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/8w5j3

50. Köster J, Rahmann S. Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.
Bioinformatics [Internet]. academic.oup.com; 2012; Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-abstract/28/19/2520/290322

51. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics. academic.oup.com; 2018;34:i884–90.

52. Bushnell B. BBMap: A fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner [Internet]. Lawrence
Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States); 2014 Mar. Report No.:
LBNL-7065E. Available from: https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1241166

53. Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node
solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
Bioinformatics. academic.oup.com; 2015;31:1674–6.

54. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. Canu: scalable
and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation.
Genome Res. genome.cshlp.org; 2017;27:722–36.

55. Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads
using repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. nature.com; 2019;37:540–6.

56. Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics.
academic.oup.com; 2018;34:3094–100.

57. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. academic.oup.com;
2009;25:2078–9.

58. Shen W, Ren H. TaxonKit: A practical and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit. J Genet
Genomics. Elsevier; 2021;48:844–50.

59. Shen W, Le S, Li Y, Hu F. SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for
FASTA/Q File Manipulation. PLoS One. journals.plos.org; 2016;11:e0163962.

60. Mölder F, Jablonski KP, Letcher B, Hall MB, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Sochat V, et al.
Sustainable data analysis with Snakemake. F1000Res. 2021;10:33.

61. Finkbeiner SR, Holtz LR, Jiang Y, Rajendran P, Franz CJ, Zhao G, et al. Human
stool contains a previously unrecognized diversity of novel astroviruses. Virol J.
Springer; 2009;6:161.

62. Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau DC, et al. Database
resources of the national center for biotechnology information. Nucleic Acids Res.
2022;50:D20–6.

63. Steinegger M, Söding J. Clustering huge protein sequence sets in linear time. Nat
Commun. 2018;9:2542.

64. Kolmogorov M, Bickhart DM, Behsaz B, Gurevich A, Rayko M, Shin SB, et al.
metaFlye: scalable long-read metagenome assembly using repeat graphs. Nat
Methods. 2020;17:1103–10.

65. Zhao Y, Li M-C, Konaté MM, Chen L, Das B, Karlovich C, et al. TPM, FPKM, or
Normalized Counts? A Comparative Study of Quantification Measures for the Analysis
of RNA-seq Data from the NCI Patient-Derived Models Repository. J Transl Med.
2021;19:269.

66. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2021;49:D480–9.

67. Mirdita M, von den Driesch L, Galiez C, Martin MJ, Söding J, Steinegger M. Uniclust
databases of clustered and deeply annotated protein sequences and alignments.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:D170–6.

68. Kitts PA, Church DM, Thibaud-Nissen F, Choi J, Hem V, Sapojnikov V, et al.
Assembly: a resource for assembled genomes at NCBI. Nucleic Acids Res.
2016;44:D73–80.

69. Hingamp P, Grimsley N, Acinas SG, Clerissi C, Subirana L, Poulain J, et al.
Exploring nucleo-cytoplasmic large DNA viruses in Tara Oceans microbial
metagenomes. ISME J. 2013;7:1678–95.

70. Roach MJ, McNair K, Giles SK, Inglis L, Pargin E, Decewicz P, et al. Philympics
2021: Prophage Predictions Perplex Programs [Internet]. bioRxiv. 2021 [cited 2022 May
12]. p. 2021.06.03.446868. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/biorxiv/early/2021/06/03/2021.06.03.446868

71. Inglis LK, Edwards RA. How Metagenomics Has Transformed Our Understanding of
Bacteriophages in Microbiome Research. Microorganisms. Multidisciplinary Digital
Publishing Institute; 2022;10:1671.

72. Abbink P, Maxfield LF, Ng'ang'a D, Borducchi EN, Iampietro MJ, Bricault CA, et al.
Construction and evaluation of novel rhesus monkey adenovirus vaccine vectors. J
Virol. 2015;89:1512–22.

73. Schoch CL, Ciufo S, Domrachev M, Hotton CL, Kannan S, Khovanskaya R, et al.
NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools. Database
[Internet]. 2020;2020. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/database/baaa062

74. Sun T-W, Yang C-L, Kao T-T, Wang T-H, Lai M-W, Ku C. Host Range and Coding
Potential of Eukaryotic Giant Viruses. Viruses [Internet]. 2020;12. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/v12111337

75. Martin WJ. Bacteria-related sequences in a simian cytomegalovirus-derived stealth
virus culture. Exp Mol Pathol. 1999;66:8–14.

76. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.
BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:421.

77. Lima LFO, Alker A, Papudeshi B, Morris M, Edwards R, de Putron S, et al. Coral

and Seawater Metagenomes Reveal Key Microbial Functions to Coral Health and
Ecosystem Functioning Shaped at Reef Scale. 2021;

78. Lima LFO, Weissman M, Reed M, Papudeshi B, Alker AT, Morris MM, et al.
Modeling of the Coral Microbiome: the Influence of Temperature and Microbial Network.
MBio [Internet]. 2020;11. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02691-19

79. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. Nat Methods. 2015;12:59–60.

80. Rädecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, Wild C. Nitrogen cycling in
corals: the key to understanding holobiont functioning? Trends Microbiol. 2015;23:490–
7.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Hecatomb pipeline and implementation

(**A**) The Hecatomb pipeline is divided into four modules. Sequencing reads for each

sample undergo preprocessing and clustering (*orange*); quality trimmed reads for each

sample undergo assembly and assemblies for each sample are coalesced into a single

assembly (*green*); clustered reads undergo annotation using viral and multi-kingdom

protein databases and clustered reads not annotated by the protein search are annotated

using viral and multi-kingdom nucleotide databases (*blue*); read-based annotations are

combined with the assembly to provide contig annotations (*pink*). The assembly stages–

green and *pink*–can optionally be skipped. (**B**) Hecatomb takes in command line

arguments, data, configuration parameters and outputs both results for analysis and run

information. Hecatomb interacts with the job scheduler in high-performance computing

(HPC) environments. Hecatomb distributes individual tasks to the job queue. Command-

line arguments, *grey*; files, *yellow*; Conda environments, *blue*; scripts/programs, *green*;

workload manager, *pink*.

Figure 2: Metavirome Assembly

(**1**) High-quality kmer-normalised sequences from individual samples are assembled

using either MEGAHIT or Canu. (**2**) The sequences for each sample are mapped to their

respective assemblies. (**3**) The unmapped reads from all samples are pooled together.

(**4**) The pooled unmapped reads are assembled using either MEGAHIT or Canu. (**5**) The

contigs from all sample assemblies and the unmapped reads assembly are combined

together. **(6)** Overlapping contigs are joined together using Flye using the subassemblies

algorithm.

Figure 3: Read-based annotation

(a) Iterative taxonomic annotation strategy. All alignments are completed using

MMSeqs2. **(1)** High-quality representative sequences are queried against a viral amino

acid (aa, *green*) sequence database. **(2)** Potentially viral sequences are subjected to a

secondary, confirmatory query against a multi-kingdom amino acid sequence database.

(3) Representative sequences that do not match a known viral amino acid are subjected

to an untranslated query to a viral nucleic acid sequence database (nt, *purple*) **(4)** followed

by a secondary, confirmatory query against a multi-kingdom nucleotide database **(5)**.

Sequences that have been classified as either viral (*blue*) or nonviral (*pink*) in either the

translated (aa database) or untranslated (nt database) queries are combined into a final

taxonomy table. **(b)** Read annotation data structure. **(1)** Read Annotations are generated

using the clustered sequences (seqtable.fasta). **(2)** The clustered sequence IDs are

unpacked to yield the sample ID, the number of reads that sequence represents, and the

percent of host-removed reads that sequence represents. **(3)** The alignment metrics from

the annotation module are joined into the read annotations using the sequence ID as the

primary key. **(4)** Taxonomic annotations are calculated and joined into the read

annotations again using the sequence ID. **(5)** ICTV viral classifications are joined into the

read annotations by the Taxonomic Family annotation. **(6)** Sample metadata can be

joined into the read annotation table using the sample ID as the primary key. **(7)** The read

annotation table with sample metadata can be quickly and easily analysed.

Figure 4: Reanalysis of rhesus macaque stool viromes

(A) Abundance of reads classified by viral Phylum (colour) and Type (shape). Phyla

represented by fewer than 1,000 reads were excluded. **(B)** Percent identity and alignment

lengths of all sequences classified for the 4 animal viruses identified in the previous study

and two viruses of protists. Horizontal (70% identity) and vertical (150 base alignment

length) dashed lines indicate a user-defined quadrant space. Each point represents an

individual sequence colored by classification method (aa = classified via a translated

search to an amino acid database, nt = classified via an untranslated search to a

nucleotide database). Panels A and B represent data obtained from all 95 samples in the

study. **(C)** Comparison of the number of sequences in SIV-infected and uninfected

samples. Significance determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. * = $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq$

0.01, *** $P \leq 0.001$, **** $P \leq 0.0001$.

Figure 5: Ambiguous classification of bacterial sequences as Herpesviridae

(A) Percent identity and alignment length of all sequences assigned to the Herpesviridae.

Note, there are no reads that were assigned using a translated search to an amino acid

(aa) database. **(B)** Representation of GenBank accessions assigned to the

Herpesviridae. **(C)** Summary of e-values for the 3 Herpesviridae accessions. **(D)**

Summary counts of the taxonomic hits using blastn to the NCBI nucleotide (nt) database

for each accession.

Figure 6: Reanalysis of Coral Reef Metagenomes

(a) The 20 most abundant viral families across coral reef samples. The sum of percent

reads for each sample type are shown for each viral family. Viral families have been

ordered and coloured by their Phyla. Points have been colored by sample type with inner

and outer reef water samples coloured light- and dark- blue respectively, and inner and

outer coral mucus samples colored light- and dark-green respectively. **(b)** Principle

coordinate analysis (PCoA) of viral species abundance. Inner and outer reef water

samples are coloured light blue and dark blue respectively. Inner and outer coral mucus

samples are coloured light and dark green respectively. The Vegan package was used to

calculate a bray-curtis distance matrix from the viral species counts, followed by

multivariate dispersions with betadisp, and an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) identified a

non-homogenous distribution (P = 0.053). Ellipses for sample groups are drawn at 95%

confidence levels for multivariate t-distribution.

Figure S1: Taxonomic subsets of virus types

Viral families present in the 95-sample SIV reanalysis study **(A)** Plant viruses, and **(C)**

Protist viruses

Figure S2: Sequence per Quadrant Evaluation

Percentage of reads per quadrant in Figure 5. **(A)** translated (aa reference database) and

(B) untranslated (nt reference database)

Figure S3: Viral abundance for inner and outer reef samples

Viruses more abundant by Similarity Percentage (SIMPER) analysis in inner reef samples

are colored red. Viral species constituting 95% of variance that are significantly different

(p<0.05, log2 fold difference > 2) are shown. Infinite values are capped at an absolute

log2 fold difference of 5.

Figure S4: Viral abundance for outer reef coral mucus and outer reef water

samples

Viruses more abundant by Similarity Percentage (SIMPER) analysis in outer reef coral

mucus samples and outer reef water samples are coloured red and blue respectively.

Viral species constituting 95% of variance that are significantly different (p<0.05, log2 fold

difference > 1) are shown. Infinite values are capped at an absolute log2 fold difference

of 5.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6