Composition can buffer protein dynamics within liquid-like condensates.

Stela Jelenic[1,2], Janos Bindics[1], Philipp Czermak[1], Balashankar R Pillai[1,2], Martine Ruer[3],
Carsten Hoege[3], Alex S Holehouse[4], Shambaditya Saha[1,5]

[1]Institute of Molecular Biotechnology of the Austrian Academy of Sciences (IMBA), Vienna
BioCenter (VBC), Dr. Bohr-Gasse 3, 1030 Vienna, Austria.

[2]Vienna BioCenter PhD Program, Doctoral School of the University of Vienna and Medical
University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria.

[3]Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, 01307 Dresden, Germany.

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine,
St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[5]Correspondence: shambaditya.saha@imba.oeaw.ac.at

Summary

Most non-membrane-bound compartments in cells that form via phase separation have

complex composition. Phase separation of individual proteins that form these

compartments has been well documented. However, we know relatively little about how the

dynamics of individual proteins are modulated in multicomponent systems. Here, we used

in vitro reconstitution and in vivo experiments to investigate how complex composition

within a liquid-like 'P granule' compartment affects the dynamics of one of its scaffold

proteins PGL-3. Using mutational analysis and biophysical perturbations, we generated

PGL-3 constructs with reduced alpha-helicity that phase separate in vitro into condensates

with widely varying dynamics. We use these PGL-3 constructs to show that introducing

other P granule components buffers against large change of dynamics within liquid-like

compartments. This dynamics-buffering effect is mediated by weak interactions among two

or more components. Such dynamics-buffering may contribute to robust functional output of

cellular liquid-like compartments.

Introduction

To organize biochemistry, cells assemble compartments with distinct compositions. Many of these compartments are not enclosed within lipid membranes and assemble via liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) of proteins and RNAs from the surrounding cytoplasm or nucleoplasm (Banani *et al*, 2017; Brangwynne *et al*, 2009; Lyon *et al*, 2021). Studies over the past decade have focused on identifying the molecular mechanisms of LLPS within cells. The current model is that LLPS is driven by 'scaffold' proteins and/or RNAs which participate in homotypic or heterotypic interactions with each other to assemble cellular non-membrane-bound compartments (Van Treeck & Parker, 2018; Banani *et al*, 2016, 2017; Lyon *et al*, 2021; Riback *et al*, 2020; Sanders *et al*, 2020; Saha *et al*, 2016). Other macromolecules called 'clients' do not contribute to compartment assembly but concentrate within those compartments and modulate biological function. It is now appreciated that LLPS can be driven by interactions of different types i.e. electrostatic, polar or hydrophobic interactions involving both folded domains and intrinsically disordered regions (Banani *et al*, 2017; Lyon *et al*, 2021). The mechanisms that regulate dynamics of components within these compartments, however, remain unclear.

Macromolecules can phase separate into condensates with different material properties, ranging from liquid-like phases with fast dynamics to dynamically-arrested gels as well as glasses (Banani *et al*, 2017; Jawerth *et al*, 2020). Many liquid-like condensates *in vitro* have also been shown to mature over time, starting as liquid-like droplets that transition into non-dynamic assemblies (Banani *et al*, 2017). The rates of biochemical reactions within biomolecular condensates must depend on the diffusion times of the components. Recent *in vitro* investigations of LLPS for simple two-component systems have shown that the behavior of one component can modulate the dynamics of another within condensates (Boeynaems *et al*, 2019; Rhine *et al*, 2020; Zhang *et al*, 2015; Alshareedah *et al*, 2021). Cellular condensates contain hundreds of different proteins and RNAs (e.g. P granules, P bodies and stress granules (Youn *et al*, 2019; Phillips & Updike, 2022)). How such complex composition affects dynamics of macromolecules in liquid-like compartments remains poorly understood.

P granules are a canonical liquid-like compartment found in the germline of *C. elegans* (Strome & Wood, 1982; Brangwynne *et al*, 2009). mRNA and over 70 proteins are known to concentrate in P granules (Phillips & Updike, 2022). Mutations in P granule proteins are associated with loss of fertility and fecundity in *C. elegans* (Phillips & Updike, 2022; Updike & Strome, 2010). Eight proteins – PGL-1, PGL-3, GLH-1, GLH-2, GLH-3, GLH-4, DEPS-1 and MIP-1 are currently known to be constitutive P granule components i.e., these proteins concentrate within P granules at all stages of germline development (Kawasaki *et al*, 1998, 2004; Spike *et al*, 2008a, 2008b; Price *et al*, 2021; Cipriani *et al*, 2021; Phillips & Updike, 2022). Proteins of the PGL family are specific to the *Caenorhabditis* genus and contain RNA-binding motifs. The GLH- proteins belong to the highly conserved family of DEAD-box RNA helicases. Based on current estimates of the concentrations of these proteins in vivo, the PGL- and GLH- proteins are among the most abundant constitutive components in P granules (Saha *et al*, 2016). In vitro reconstitution experiments have shown that, among the constitutive P granule proteins, only the protein PGL-3 can phase separate into liquid-like condensates in vitro (Saha *et al*, 2016, 201; Hanazawa *et al*, 2011). Two other proteins that concentrate in P granules – MEG-3 and LAF-1 can also phase separate into liquid-like condensates in vitro (Smith *et al*, 2016; Elbaum-Garfinkle *et al*, 2015). This suggests that phase separation of these proteins also contribute to the assembly of P granules at some stages of germline development. The interactions that support phase separation of the PGL-3 protein remain unclear. Structural studies on the orthologous PGL-1 protein revealed two dimerization domains (Aoki *et al*, 2016, 2021). This raises the possibility that PGL-3 also contains similar dimerization domains, and phase separation depends on interactions involving these domains. The PGL-3 protein can phase separate in vitro only at high 'non-physiological' protein concentrations (Saha *et al*, 2016). At physiological concentrations (approx. 1 μM), binding to mRNA facilitates phase separation of the PGL-3 protein (Saha *et al*, 2016). It remains unclear if interactions among the dimerization domains cooperate with mRNA binding to support phase separation of the PGL-3 protein.

Here, we use in vitro reconstitution and in vivo experiments in adult *C. elegans* gonads to investigate how complex composition within the P granule compartment contributes to the dynamics of one of its scaffold proteins PGL-3 (Saha *et al*, 2016; Hanazawa *et al*, 2011). We begin with structure-function analysis for insights into the mechanism of phase

separation of the PGL-3 protein. Using a combination of mutational analysis and

biophysical perturbations, we generated PGL-3 constructs that phase separate in vitro into

condensates with widely varying dynamics. Investigating the effect of other P granule

components on phase separation of PGL-3 constructs, we uncovered a role of complex

composition in buffering against large change of dynamics within cellular liquid-like

compartments. This "dynamics-buffering" effect is distinct from active processes that

depend on ATP hydrolysis, but is mediated by weak interactions among components in

liquid-like compartments.

Results

Homotypic and heterotypic interactions drive phase separation of PGL-3 and mRNA

at physiological concentrations.

We began by investigating the role of different domains in the phase separation properties

of the protein PGL-3 in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 1). Together with other proteins and

mRNA, PGL-3 drives the assembly of the canonical liquid-like P granules in the germline of

C. elegans. Bioinformatic analysis of the 693 residue-long PGL-3 protein predicted that the

N-terminus accounting for ~65% of PGL-3 is predominantly α-helical, while the C-terminus

accounting for ~35% is mostly disordered (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 2a, 2b). Consistent

with the bioinformatics predictions, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy under conditions

that do not support phase separation showed that the PGL-3 N-terminal fragment 1-452 is

predominantly α-helical, while the C-terminal fragment 370-693 is disordered (Fig. 1f).

Intrinsically disordered polypeptides have in many cases been shown to be necessary and

sufficient to drive phase separation. We therefore tested if a recombinantly purified C-

terminal fragment of PGL-3 (370-693), can drive phase separation in vitro. To our surprise,

we did not observe any phase separation even at high protein concentrations up to ~70 μM

(Fig. 1b, c). However, we found that a recombinantly purified N-terminal fragment of PGL-3

(1-452) phase separates into condensates at close to physiological concentrations of PGL-

3 (Fig. 1b, c, Supplementary figure 2e). Similar to full-length PGL-3, condensates of the N

Fig. 1 α-helical N
terminus drives phase
separation of PGL-3.
130
a Cartoon representing
PGL-3 and mutants.
b Bright field micrographs
of PGL-3 (23 μM), PGL-3
(1-452) (22 μM), PGL-3
(370-693) (67 μM) and
PGL-3 (K126E K129E)
(108 μM) in 25 mM
HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM
KCl, 1 mM DTT in absence
of crowding agents. Scale
bar 20 μm.
c Phase diagrams of PGL-
3 and mutants at different
protein and salt (KCl)
concentrations. Blue
circles represent
conditions where phase
separation was observed.
Black circles represent
conditions where phase
separation was absent.
Top row: Phase diagrams
of PGL-3, PGL-3 (370-
693), and PGL-3 (K126E
K129E) in 25 mM HEPES
pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT in
absence of crowding
agents. 5% of the pool of
protein was tagged with
mEGFP in each case.
Bottom row: Phase
diagrams of PGL-3, and
PGL-3 (1-452) in 25 mM
HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM
DTT, 1% PEG 35000. 5%
of the pool of protein was
tagged with mEGFP in
each case.
d Time-lapse fluorescence
micrographs show fusion

of two PGL-3 (1-452) condensates (5% tagged with mEGFP in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1% PEG
35000). Scale bar 10 μm.
e Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching in a condensate of PGL-3 (1-
452) (5% tagged with mEGFP in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1% PEG 35000). Scale bar 5 μm.
Shown below is a plot of average normalized fluorescence in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) of the PGL-3 (1-452)
condensates (n = 24) over time. Error bars represent 1 SD.
f Plot of mean residue ellipticity of PGL-3 and mutants vs. wavelength assayed using circular dichroism (CD)
spectroscopy. Black circles: PGL-3 (3 μM, 10 mM Potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP), Grey circles:
PGL-3 (1-452) (3 μM, 10 mM Potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP), Blue circles: PGL-3 (370-693) (10
μM, 10 mM Potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP). Error bars represent 1 SD among six CD scans.

terminal PGL-3 fragment (1-452) are liquid-like in nature. First, condensates are spherical in

shape (Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Fig. 2d). Second, condensates fuse over time to generate

a larger condensate (Fig. 1d). Third, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

experiments showed that PGL-3 (1-452) molecules intermix rapidly ($t_{1/2}$ = 4 s) within a

condensate (Fig. 1e). We conclude that phase separation of the protein PGL-3 is driven by

an α-helical region, while the disordered region may stabilize condensates by modulating

the driving forces for phase separation.

189
We next investigated how RNA impacts and alters the phase behaviour of PGL-3. It has

been previously shown that the PGL-3 protein cannot phase separate independently at

physiological concentration (Saha *et al*, 2016). At this concentration, sufficiently long mRNA

can trigger phase separation via binding to six arginine-glycine-glycine (RGG) repeats at

the C-terminal disordered region of PGL-3 (Saha *et al*, 2016). We therefore expected that

when the C-terminal disordered region was mixed with RNA, it would promote phase

separation. Unexpectedly, we found that in contrast to full-length PGL-3, mRNA does not

support phase separation of the C-terminal disordered region of PGL-3 (Fig. 2a, b). This

suggests that the N-terminal α-helical region is essential for phase separation. However, we

found that mRNA does not enhance phase separation of the N-terminal α-helical region of

PGL-3 either (Fig. 2a, b).

201
To investigate the underlying mechanism further, we began by testing if the N-terminal α-

helical region of PGL-3 can self-associate. Our analysis using size exclusion

chromatography followed by multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) showed that this PGL-

3 fragment 1-452 forms a dimer (Supplementary Fig. 2f). Mutation of two residues (K126E

K129E) have been shown to interfere with interactions among the N-termini of PGL-3

molecules (Aoki *et al*, 2021). We mutated these two residues within the full-length PGL-3

protein (K126E K129E) (Fig. 1a) and found that this mutant PGL-3 (K126E K129E) protein

cannot phase separate even at high protein concentrations up to ∼130 μM (Fig. 1b, c).

Addition of mRNA does not trigger phase separation of this protein at physiological

concentrations either (Fig. 2a, b). Taken together, our data is consistent with a model where

association among folded N-termini of PGL-3 molecules is essential for phase separation.

Fig. 2 Homotypic interaction among PGL-3 molecules is essential for mRNA-dependent phase separation.

a Fluorescence micrographs of PGL-3 and mutants (0.5 µM) in presence or absence of total mRNA (10 ng/µL). 5% of the pool of protein was tagged with mEGFP in each case. Buffer: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT. 1% PEG 35,000 was added to PGL-3 (1-452). Scale bar 10 µm.
b Plot of the number of condensates with PGL-3 and mutants in presence or absence of total mRNA. For each condition, number of condensates was scored in nine different fluorescence micrographs (116 µm x 117 µm). Error bars represent 1 SD. t- test was performed to compare the number of condensates of each construct with or without the addition of total mRNA (p values: PGL-3: p = 1.04E-6; PGL-3 (1-452): p = 0.172; PGL-3 (370-693): p = 0.940; PGL-3 (K126E K129E): p = 0.828). The significance score was determined by the following criteria: ns: p > 0.05; ****: p ≤ 0.0001.
c Cartoon showing that at physiological concentrations, mRNA facilitates PGL-3 condensate formation. Association among folded N-termini of PGL-3 molecules is necessary for phase separation.

At physiological concentrations, mRNA facilitates this process by binding to the disordered C-terminus of PGL-3 (Fig. 2c).

α-helicity of PGL-3 correlates with dynamics in condensates in vitro.

P granules have a complex composition, containing mRNA and over forty proteins (Updike & Strome, 2010). It is unclear how dynamics within the P granule phase depends on interactions among those components. Two constitutive P granule proteins, PGL-1 and GLH-1, have been reported to exchange rapidly between the cytoplasm and liquid-like P granules in *C. elegans* gonads (FRAP half-time ($t_{1/2}$) = 7 – 28 s) (Brangwynne *et al*, 2009). Interestingly, in spite of their vastly reduced compositional complexity, we found that condensates generated in vitro with the PGL-3 protein alone show similar dynamics ($t_{1/2}$ = 7 s) (Supplementary Fig. 2c). To investigate the role of other P granule components on dynamics of PGL-3, we added mRNA and recombinantly purified PGL-1 and GLH-1 to condensates of PGL-3 in vitro at physiologically relevant proportions compared to PGL-3 (Saha *et al*, 2016). We found that PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA concentrate within

condensates of PGL-3 in vitro, but have a modest effect on the dynamics of PGL-3 ($t_{1/2}$ = 10

s) (Supplementary Fig. 2c). This suggests that the dynamics of full length PGL-3 are

intrinsic to the protein, and that the influence of complex composition on dynamics is

modest.

In order to address the interactions that drive dynamics within condensates, we began by

probing the dynamics of several PGL-3 constructs within phase-separated condensates in

vitro. The rate at which molecules of two PGL-3 constructs 1-452 and 1-577, that either lack

the disordered C-terminal region entirely or in part, rearrange within condensates are close

to full-length PGL-3 (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 2g, 3a, b). This suggests that interactions

involving the disordered C-terminal region of PGL-3 are not essential for the fast dynamics

within condensates. Therefore, we addressed the role of the N-terminal α-helical region (1-

452) in driving dynamics. In order to avoid engineering mutations that result in significant

misfolding of PGL-3 and concomitant loss of its ability to phase separate, we focused our

mutational analysis close to the junction of the folded N-terminus and the disordered C-

terminus of PGL-3. Surprisingly, we found that a full-length PGL-3 construct (Δ425-452) that

lacks only 27 residues phase separates into condensates that are non-dynamic (Fig. 3a, c).

Sequence analysis of the PGL-3 protein predicts that this region 425-452 spans two α-

helices (one complete helix and fraction of a second helix) (Supplementary Fig. 3d). We

generated a PGL-3 construct (hereafter called 'S1') (Fig. 3a) in which the sequence in the

region, 425-452, is shuffled while keeping the overall amino acid composition unchanged.

We found that S1 phase separates into condensates that are 20- fold less dynamic than

with wild-type PGL-3 (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 3c). Following assembly via phase

separation, the extremely slow dynamics in condensates of S1 does not change

significantly over 24 h (Supplementary Fig. 3f). Taken together, our data suggests that

interactions involving the N-terminal α-helical region of PGL-3 are required for fast

dynamics within condensates.

For more insights into the role of the N-terminal α-helical region in regulating dynamics

within condensates of PGL-3, we investigated the contribution of the region 425-452 further.

CD spectroscopy showed that α-helicity is reduced in the mutant S1 compared to wild-type

PGL-3 (Supplementary Fig. 3g). One possibility is that sequence-shuffling of residues 425-

452 changes the tertiary structure of PGL-3. Interestingly, we found that the mutant S1 can

phase separate at concentrations lower than wild-type PGL-3 (Supplementary Fig. 3e).

Further, mutation of two residues (K126E K129E), that interfere with interactions among the

N-termini of wild-type PGL-3 molecules (Aoki *et al*, 2021), also inhibit phase separation of

the S1 mutant even at high protein concentrations up to ~50 µM (Fig. 3a, Supplementary

Fig. 3c, e). This suggests that, regardless of change in tertiary structure, interactions among

the N-termini of S1 molecules are important for phase separation. In order to address the

consequence of sequence-shuffling of residues 425-452 further, we compared the

structural stability of S1 with wild-type PGL-3. We tested this through thermal unfolding

which monitor α-helical content between 20 °C and 90 °C, using the CD signal at 222 nm

as a measure of α-helical content. We found that wild-type PGL-3 unfolds in two phases as

temperature is increased (T_m = 47 °C and 61 °C) (Fig. 3b). On the other hand, S1 also

unfolds in two phases but sequence-shuffling results in lower thermostability (T_m = 41 °C

and 61 °C) (Fig. 3b). Taken together, our observations on the phase separation behavior of

the S1 mutant raises the possibility that loss of α-helicity of PGL-3 may correlate with

slower dynamics in condensates.

In order to address if dynamics in condensates correlate with structural stability of PGL-3,

we compared the dynamics of condensates formed via phase separation of folded and

unfolded PGL-3 constructs. Heat-induced unfolding of both PGL-3 and S1 is irreversible

(Supplementary Fig. 3h, i). These constructs do not recover lost α-helicity once the

temperature is lowered to 21 °C following unfolding at higher temperatures. Therefore, we

heated mEGFP-tagged PGL-3 and S1 proteins at different temperatures for 10 min followed

by cooling to room temperature and triggering phase separation (by diluting salt (KCl)

concentration to 150 mM). Wild-type PGL-3 does not lose α-helicity when heated to 43.5 °C

(Supplementary Fig. 3h). We observed that PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 43.5 °C generates

condensates that are liquid-like (Fig. 3e). On the other hand, heating S1 to 43.5 °C results

in partial unfolding (Supplementary Fig. 3i), and phase separation of this partially unfolded

S1-mEGFP protein generates solid-like condensates with no observable FRAP recovery

(Fig. 3f). Similarly, heating wild-type PGL-3 to a higher temperature (50 °C) results in partial

unfolding (Supplementary Fig. 3h), and phase separation of this partially unfolded PGL-3-

mEGFP protein generates solid-like condensates with no observable FRAP recovery (Fig.

3e). Taken together, our data suggests that reduced α-helicity of PGL-3 correlates with

slower dynamics in condensates.

Fig. 3 α-helicity of PGL-3
correlates with dynamics in
condensates.
323
a Cartoon representing PGL-3, S1,
S1(K126E K129E) and PGL-3
(Δ425-452). Residues 425-452 of
wild-type PGL-3 are shuffled in
mutants S1 and S1(K126E K129E) .
b Plot of normalized circular
dichroism (CD) spectroscopy signal
at 222 nm with PGL-3 (black circle)
and S1 (blue circle) vs. temperature,
assayed at 5 µM protein
concentration in 25 mM HEPES pH
7.5, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT. Error bars
represent 1 SD among three
independent measurements. Arrows
highlight 43.5 °C and 50 °C –
temperatures where partial unfolding
of PGL-3 begins and ends
respectively.
c-d Time-lapse fluorescence
micrographs show fluorescence
recovery after photobleaching in
condensates of **c** PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-
mEGFP or **d** S1 (5% of the pool of
protein was tagged with mEGFP).
Arrowheads show photobleached
area in condensates of PGL-3
(Δ425-452)-mEGFP. Scale bars 5
µm. Shown below the time-lapse
micrographs are plots of average
normalized fluorescence over time **c**
in bleached regions (1.4 µm x 1.4
µm) of PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP
condensates (n = 8) or **d** in bleached
regions (1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) of S1 (5%
tagged with mEGFP) condensates (n
= 18). Error bars represent 1 SD.
e Time-lapse fluorescence
micrographs show fluorescence
recovery after photobleaching at
room temperature in condensates of
PGL-3-mEGFP (preheated at 43.5

°C or 50 °C). Scale bar 5 µm. Shown next to the micrographs is a plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in
bleached regions (1.4 µm x 1.4 µm) within the condensates of PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 43.5 °C (black circles, n =8) or
50 °C (grey circles, n = 8). Error bars represent 1 SD.
f Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching at room temperature in
condensates of S1-mEGFP (preheated at 43.5 °C). Scale bar 5 µm. Shown next to the micrographs is a plot of average
normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.4 µm x 1.4 µm) within the condensates of S1-mEGFP preheated
at 43.5 °C (n =8). Error bars represent 1 SD.

P granule components buffer against reduced dynamics in condensates.

We wanted to see if similar changes in dynamics are seen in P granules in *C. elegans* worms. To address this, we injected mEGFP-tagged wild-type PGL-3 or S1 proteins into gonads of adult *C. elegans* and investigated their dynamics. Both PGL-3-mEGFP and S1-mEGFP target to perinuclear P granules (Fig. 4a). Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching experiments showed that S1 exchanges between P granules and cytoplasm at rates similar to wild-type PGL-3 (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig 4a). Similarly, when partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP (preheated at 50 °C) is injected into gonads of adult *C. elegans*, this protein concentrates in perinuclear P granules (Fig. 4a) and exchanges between P granules and cytoplasm at rates similar to wild-type PGL-3 (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig 4a). Experiments with microinjected mutant PGL-3 proteins most likely assay the effect of the P granule phase on high non-physiological dose of partially denatured PGL-3 proteins. To test the effect on a physiologically expressed mutant PGL-3 protein, we used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to generate a transgenic strain that expresses the construct PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP from the native *pgl-3* locus. We found that PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP concentrates in perinuclear puncta (Fig. 4c) and shows dynamics similar to wild-type PGL-3-mEGFP (Fig. 4e, Supplementary Fig. 4b, Supplementary Movie 1 and 2). Further, we found that fecundity of the strain expressing PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP is similar to the strain expressing PGL-3-mEGFP (Fig. 4d). This suggests that the P granule phase can prevent slowdown of dynamics and associated loss-of-function resulting from the expression of the aggregation-prone PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP construct. Taken together, our results suggest that the multicomponent P granule phase can prevent slowdown of dynamics associated with reduced α-helicity of PGL-3.

To reconstitute the multicomponent nature of the P granule, we purified and added back other P granule proteins to the PGL-3 phase formed in vitro. Interestingly, addition of merely a few P granule components (mRNA and recombinantly purified PGL-1 and GLH-1) to condensates of S1 in vitro enhances the dynamics of S1 almost 20-fold to match the dynamics observed in condensates of wild-type PGL-3 (Fig. 4f, Supplementary Fig. 4c-e, 5f). Further, we found that dynamics within condensates of S1 can be significantly enhanced simply by adding wild-type PGL-3 protein (Supplementary Fig. 5a). For better

insight into how the PGL-3 protein supports fast dynamics of S1, we added three different

PGL-3 constructs (the N-terminal α-helical fragment 1-452, the C-terminal fragment 370-

693, and the phase separation-incompetent mutant PGL-3 (K126E K129E)) at different

concentrations to condensates of S1. We found that all three PGL-3 constructs concentrate

within condensates of S1 to different degrees (Supplementary Figure 5c) and enhance the

dynamics of S1 (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Further, addition of the phase separation-

incompetent mutant S1 (K126E K129E) to condensates of S1 also enhanced the dynamics

of S1 within condensates (Supplementary Fig. 5a and 5c). Taken together, our data

suggests that enhanced S1 dynamics in condensates can be supported by a wide variety of

interactions involving other P granule components (PGL-1, GLH-1, mRNA) or different parts

of the PGL-3 protein.

Fig. 4 P granule components promote fast dynamics of PGL-3 constructs with reduced α-helicity.

a Fluorescence micrographs of gonads (pachytene region) in *C. elegans* expressing PGL-3-mCherry (shown in magenta) injected with recombinantly-purified PGL-3-mEGFP, S1-mEGFP, or PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 50 °C (shown in green). A single confocal section is shown. Scale bar 5 µm.

b Plot showing average normalized fluorescence signal over time in bleached peri-nuclear regions (1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) in wild-type *C. elegans* gonads (pachytene region) injected with recombinantly purified PGL-3-mEGFP (black circles, n = 46), S1-mEGFP (blue triangles, n = 36), or PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 50 °C (grey circles, n = 37). Error bars represent 1 SD. For each condition, data from 2 different animals injected with a recombinantly purified protein were analyzed. Statistical analysis of the estimated half times of fluorescence recovery is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4a.

c Fluorescence micrograph of gonad (pachytene region) in *C. elegans* expressing PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP (shown in green). A single confocal section is shown. Scale bar 5 µm.

d Plot showing total number of progeny of worms expressing PGL-3-mEGFP (n=19) or PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP (n=17) at 20 °C. Each black circle represents the number of progenies from a single worm. The mean and 1 SD are also indicated. For statistical analysis, T test was performed (p = 0.858). "ns" indicates no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the number of progenies of the two genotype.

e Plot showing average normalized fluorescence signal over time in bleached peri-nuclear regions in *C. elegans* gonads (pachytene region) expressing either PGL-3-mEGFP (black circles, n = 43) or PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP (grey squares, n = 32). Error bars represent 1 SD. For each condition, data from 6 different animals were analyzed. Statistical analysis of the estimated half times of fluorescence recovery is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4b.

f Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching over time in condensates of PGL-3 (5 µM) or S1 (5 µM) (5% of the pool of protein was tagged with mEGFP) in presence of PGL-1 (6.7 µM), GLH-1 (1 µM), and total mRNA (14 ng/µL). Scale bar 5 µm. Shown next to the micrographs is a plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) within the condensates of PGL-3 (black circles, n = 20) or

We next wondered if addition of other P granule components is able to support or rescue a dynamic state of partially unfolded PGL-3 within condensates. In contrast to S1, which generates condensates that are liquid-like, partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP (preheated at 50 °C) phase separates into non-dynamic solid-like condensates in vitro (Fig. 3e). We tested the ability of different components to rescue dynamics of partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP. When P granule components (mRNA and recombinantly purified PGL-1 and GLH-1) are added to partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP at concentrations similar to those used with S1 (5 µM), the PGL-3 protein phase separates predominantly into non-dynamic condensates (Fig. 4g, Supplementary Fig. 4f, g). However, when a lower concentration of the partially unfolded PGL-3 protein is used (1 µM), addition of these P granule components supports its phase separation into liquid-like condensates (Fig. 4g). These results revealed a concentration-dependence to the extent with which unfolded PGL-3 impacts condensate dynamics.

We wondered if, similar to partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP, the dynamics of S1 also depends on its concentration. In the assays in which S1 is mixed with different additional components, 5% of the total pool of S1 is tagged with mEGFP. This allowed us to measure the dynamics of S1 within condensates using FRAP. Following photobleaching, fluorescence recovered in bleached region within condensates in two phases – a fast phase driven predominantly by internal rearrangement of molecules within the condensate, and a slower phase driven by the exchange with the pool of unbleached molecules outside the condensate (Supplementary Fig. 6a-f). We focused on the fast phase of recovery and used single-exponential fits to estimate half-time of internal rearrangement of S1 molecules within condensates of different composition. On addition of other P granule components (PGL-1, GLH-1, mRNA) to different concentrations of S1, we found that the half-time of FRAP recovery of S1 within condensates remains relatively unchanged in spite of almost 5-fold change in mEGFP-fluorescence signal per unit area within the condensates (Fig. 5a).

Assuming that mEGFP-fluorescence reports on the concentration of S1, this observation
suggests that P granule components can buffer the dynamics of S1 close to rates observed
in condensates of wild-type PGL-3 alone (Fig. 5a, d). However, the dynamics of S1 slows
down above a threshold concentration (9 μM in Fig. 5a), suggesting that P granule
components can buffer the dynamics of S1 only within a range of concentrations in
condensates. We wondered if the observed dynamics buffering effect within condensates of
S1 is limited to the specific set of additives PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA. We assayed this by
adding to condensates of S1 the construct PGL-3 (K126E K129E). The mutations K126E
K129E are expected to interfere with interactions among N-termini of S1 and PGL-3 (K126E
K129E) molecules (Aoki *et al*, 2021). We found that addition of this phase separation-
incompetent mutant PGL-3 (K126E K129E) can also buffer dynamics within a 2- fold range
of S1 concentration within condensates (Fig. 5b). However, the buffering is different
compared to when PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA are added. Specifically, the range of
concentrations of S1 in condensates where dynamics is buffered and the associated half-
time of FRAP recovery depend on the additives. We used condensates of similar size for
our analysis (average $\pm$ 1 SD of diameter of condensates are 6.4 $\pm$ 1.7 μm (Fig. 5a) and 5.9
$\pm$ 0.4 μm (Fig. 5b)). Therefore, dynamics buffering here is likely to represent similar
diffusion rates of S1 within condensates.
526
For more insights into how addition of different components rescues slowdown of S1
dynamics within condensates, we added wild-type PGL-3, or different PGL-3 or S1
constructs to condensates of S1. These additives titrated the concentration of S1 within
condensates (tracked by S1-mEGFP fluorescence signal per unit area) over a range
spanning concentration observed with condensates of S1 alone and those in presence of
other P granule components (PGL-1, GLH-1, mRNA). Here, we found that the half-time of
FRAP recovery of S1 correlates with mEGFP-fluorescence signal per unit area within the
condensates (Fig. 5c). This suggests that, regardless of the condensate composition, fast
dynamics generally correlate with smaller concentrations of S1. In control assays, we found
that the dynamics of the wild-type PGL-3 protein does not correlate significantly with either
concentration of PGL-3 or the composition of the condensate (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig.
5b, d).
539

540 **Fig. 5 Dynamics of S1 in**
541 **condensates of different**
542 **composition.**
543
544 Analysis of fluorescence
545 recovery after photobleaching
546 within 1.5 µm x 1.5 µm
547 regions in condensates of
548 different composition. Half-
549 time of fluorescence recovery
550 after photobleaching ($t_{1/2}$) in
551 each case was estimated by
552 fitting the data to a single-
553 exponential curve (see
554 methods section for details).
555 **a** Plot of $t_{1/2}$ vs. mean S1-
556 mEGFP fluorescence intensity
557 per unit area (before
558 photobleaching) within
559 condensates. Each point on
560 the plots represents data from
561 a single photobleached region
562 within a condensate of
563 defined composition which
564 includes 5 µM PGL-1, 0.75
565 µM GLH-1, 11 ng/ µM mRNA
566 and different S1
567 concentrations indicated as
568 follows. Grey plus: 1 µM S1,

569 solid hexagon: 2 µM S1, asterisk 3.5 µM S1, open circle: 5.5 µM S1, solid diamond: 7.5 µM S1, solid triangle: 8 µM S1,
570 open square: 9 µM S1. Ten points are plotted for each composition (seven for 1 µM S1) and buffering range indicates a
571 range of S1 concentrations where $t_{1/2}$ does not differ significantly between different conditions.
572 **b** Plot of t1/2 vs. mean S1-mEGFP fluorescence intensity per unit area (before photobleaching) within condensates. Each
573 point on the plots represents data from a single photobleached region within a condensate of defined composition which
574 includes 5 µM S1 and different concentrations of PGL-3 (K126E K129E) indicated as follows. Solid diamond: 20 µM PGL-
575 3 (K126E K129E), open circle: 15 µM PGL-3 (K126E K129E), asterisk 10 µM PGL-3 (K126E K129E), solid triangle: 5 µM
576 PGL-3 (K126E K129E), Ten points are plotted for each composition (nine for 20 µM PGL-3 (K126E K129E)) and buffering
577 range indicates a range of S1 concentrations where t1/2 does not differ significantly between different conditions.
578 **c** Plot of $t_{1/2}$ vs. mean S1-mEGFP fluorescence intensity per unit area (before photobleaching) within condensates. Each
579 point on the plots represents data from a single photobleached region within a condensate of defined composition as
580 follows. Open circle: S1 alone (10 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged), solid diamond: S1 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-
581 693) (20 µM), solid asterisk: S1 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (K126E K129E) (5 µM), solid circle: S1 (5 µM, 5%
582 mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (1-452) (5 µM), solid triangle: S1 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-1 (6.7 µM) + GLH-1 (1 µM)
583 + total mRNA (14 ng/µL), solid square: S1 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (5 µM), open square: S1 (5 µM, 5%
584 mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (5 µM), grey inverse triangle: S1 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + S1(K126E K129E) (5
585 µM). Ten points are plotted for each composition. All or part of the data were fit using linear regression (R^2 = 0.68, fit of all
586 data; R^2 = 0.84, fit of data on all compositions excluding S1+ S1(K126E K129E)). Data points for S1+ S1(K126E K129E)
587 are highlighted since S1(K126E K129E) is the only construct added to S1 which contains the shuffled 425-452 region.
588 **d** Plot of $t_{1/2}$ vs. mean PGL-3-mEGFP fluorescence intensity per unit area (before photobleaching) within condensates.
589 Each point on the plots represents data from a single photobleached region (1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) within a condensate of
590 defined composition as follows. Open hexagon: PGL-3 alone (10 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged), open square: PGL-3 (5 µM,
591 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (5 µM), solid asterisk: PGL-3 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (K126E
592 K129E) (5 µM), solid diamond: PGL-3 (5 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (20 µM), solid triangle: PGL-3 (5
593 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-1 (6.7 µM) + GLH-1 (1 µM) + total mRNA (14 ng/µL), solid circle: PGL-3 (5 µM, 5%
594 mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (1-452) (5 µM). Ten points are plotted for each composition and R^2 value for a linear regression
595 fit among all compositions is indicated.

Discussion

To maintain homeostasis, cellular liquid-like compartments must be functionally robust against small changes in composition or denaturation of one or more of its components. The mechanisms that provide such robustness remain unclear. A recent study proposed that liquid-liquid phase separation may reduce variation in concentration of components within condensates (Klosin *et al*, 2020). It remains unclear if LLPS can similarly buffer diffusion rate of components within condensates. Recent studies with simple two-component LLPS systems showed that the behavior of one component can influence the dynamics of another within condensates (Boeynaems *et al*, 2019; Rhine *et al*, 2020; Zhang *et al*, 2015; Alshareedah *et al*, 2021). While components are expected to influence the dynamics of each other within multi-component condensates, it is unclear *a priori* how dynamics of biomolecular condensates with complex composition adapt to misfolding/denaturation of one or more component proteins. Here, we show that the liquid-like P granule phase can rescue slow-down of diffusion rate associated with denaturation of a component protein. Such dynamics-buffering may contribute to functional robustness of cellular liquid-like compartments.

In order to understand how composition supports dynamics-buffering in biomolecular condensates, we began by addressing why denaturation of the PGL-3 protein leads to slower dynamics in condensates. One possibility is that the conformational changes of PGL-3 associated with reduced α-helicity facilitate stronger inter-molecular interactions. For instance, loss of α-helicity could increase the valence of "stickers" leading to additional physical crosslinks among PGL-3 molecules (Choi *et al*, 2020). Stronger inter-molecular interactions could inhibit rapid rearrangement of interacting partners within condensates, resulting in slower dynamics. Alternatively, interactions involving unfolded or partially unfolded PGL-3 molecules could generate inter-polypeptide chain entanglements within condensates resulting in slower dynamics. With diffusion of PGL-3 molecules within condensates, more entanglements could develop over time resulting in further decrease in dynamics. Few observations suggest that slower dynamics within PGL-3 condensates result predominantly from stronger inter-molecular interactions in contrast to inter-chain entanglements. First, dynamics of the mutant S1 protein within condensates does not

change significantly over 24 h. Second, the mutations K126E K129E that interfere with

interactions among PGL-3 or S1 molecules (Aoki *et al*, 2021) help enhance dynamics of S1

molecules within condensates. For instance, when added to condensates of S1, both S1

(K126E K129E) and PGL-3 (K126E K129E) help enhance the dynamics of S1 within

condensates. Addition of S1 (K126E K129E) reduces concentration of S1 within

condensates more than addition of PGL-3 (K126E K129E). However, dynamics of S1 within

condensates is faster in spite of higher concentration with PGL-3 (K126E K129E). Taken

together, these observations support the model that slow dynamics of S1 within

condensates depend on interactions among S1 molecules and suggest that interaction

among S1 molecules is stronger than that between wild-type PGL-3 molecules. Stronger

interaction among S1 molecules is further supported by the observation that S1 molecules

can phase separate at lower concentrations compared to wild-type PGL-3 molecules

(Supplementary Fig. 3e).

Our data is consistent with the model that other regions of S1 molecules cooperate with

residues 425-452 (shuffled) to generate stronger inter-molecular interactions. For instance,

addition of the mutant S1 (K126E K129E) enhances dynamics of S1 within condensates in

contrast to maintaining the slower dynamics observed within condensates of S1 alone. This

suggests that the interactions disrupted by the mutations K126E and K129E also contribute

to slow S1 dynamics. One possibility is that interactions involving the residues K126 and

K129 favor S1 conformations that enhance 425-452 (shuffled)-dependent interactions.

Indeed, the mutations K126E K129E have been reported to interfere with interactions

among N-termini of PGL-3 molecules (Aoki *et al*, 2021). While two self-association domains

within the α-helical N-terminus of PGL-3 have been mapped (Aoki *et al*, 2021, 2016),

structural insights into those associations are limited. However, PGL-3 shares significant

sequence similarity with another protein PGL-1. Crystal structures are available for

fragments of the PGL-1 protein that show the two self-association domains at the N-

terminus are predominantly α-helical and globular in nature (Aoki *et al*, 2016, 2021).

Therefore, one possibility is that shuffling the sequence 425-452 of PGL-3 or heat-induced

unfolding of PGL-3 exposes hydrophobic residues that become available to participate in

inter-molecular interactions. This effect may be enhanced within phase separated

condensates if the local solvent environment favors more extended conformations of the

PGL-3 protein, allowing more inter-molecular interactions compared to within the

surrounding dilute phase. Indeed, recent studies reported that a 129- residue long

intrinsically disordered fragment of the human Tau protein or the prion-like low complexity

domain of hnRNPA1 preferentially sample more extended conformations within phase-

separated condensates compared to the surrounding dilute phase (Majumdar *et al*, 2019;

Bremer *et al*, 2021). Taken together, our data suggests that strength of inter-molecular

interactions regulate dynamics within condensates of PGL-3.

It is generally thought that chaperone proteins or RNA helicases counteract slow-down

of dynamics that may result from denaturation of components or inter-

polypeptide/RNA chain entanglements in biomolecular condensates. For instance, the

ATPase-dependent activity of chaperones is required to dissolve aberrant stress granules

that result from aggregation of misfolding-prone proteins (Ganassi *et al*, 2016; Mateju *et al*,

2017). In contrast, we discovered that weak interactions among two or more components

can buffer against large change of dynamics within the P granule phase. This effect is

distinct from active processes that depend on ATP hydrolysis. Specifically, we show that

presence of just three P granule components (PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA) is sufficient to

support a dynamic state of partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP within phase-separated

condensates. In absence of the P granule components, partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP

phase separates into non-dynamic condensates. We found that the ability of P granule

components to support a dynamic state of partially unfolded PGL-3-mEGFP depends on

the relative concentrations and hence stoichiometries of PGL-3 and the P granule

components. This suggests that P granule components support dynamics by participating in

inter-molecular interactions with PGL-3-mEGFP molecules. Similarly, different PGL-3

constructs – PGL-3 (K126E K129E), PGL-3 (1-452) and PGL-3 (370-693) enhanced

dynamics of S1 molecules within condensates to different degrees. This may correlate with

the varying ability of these constructs to interact with S1 molecules. We considered the

specificity of interactions that support fast dynamics within phase separated condensates.

Our data showing that different additives (P granule components, or wild-type PGL-3, or

PGL-3 constructs that differ in terms of their ability to fold or self-associate) can all rescue

slowdown of dynamics of the mutant S1 protein within condensates suggest that fast

dynamics can be supported by competing interactions among two or more components

(Fig. 6). Future investigations will reveal the individual contribution of different P granule

proteins to dynamics buffering. Similar to our data with P granules, interactions among

components could be a potential mechanism of storage of misfolding-prone proteins in non-

aggregated state within the liquid-like nucleolus under stress in vivo (Frottin *et al*, 2019).

We speculate that these interactions that support fast dynamics are weak in nature and

allow fast dissociation rates. Taken together, our study supports the possibility that weak

interactions among two or more components prevent aggregation or large change of

dynamics within cellular liquid-like compartments.

An interesting aspect of the dynamics buffering effect is that the dynamics of S1 remain

relatively unchanged regardless of the change of S1 concentration within condensates. The

buffering capacity depends on the additives i.e. the range of intra-condensate S1

concentrations where dynamics is buffered varies with additives. Once the buffering

capacity is exhausted i.e. beyond a threshold intra-condensate S1 concentration, dynamics

of S1 molecules slow down at higher S1 concentrations. More work is required to

understand the underlying mechanism. One possibility is that dynamics is buffered when

the relative concentration of S1 molecules and additives within condensates remain

unchanged. The "buffered" dynamics of S1 molecules within condensates also vary with

additives. We found that dynamics of S1 molecules is faster in presence of P granule

components (PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA) compared to that with the mutant PGL-3 (K126E

K129E). Future work will reveal if the buffered dynamics depend on the number of

interacting species within condensates (e.g. four when PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA is added

to condensates of S1; two when PGL-3 (K126E K129E) is added to S1 condensates) in

addition to the strength/nature of inter-molecular interactions.

Fig. 6 Weak interactions among two or more
components promote fast dynamics in condensates.
719
Cartoon shows how dynamics in condensates change
with composition. Each condensate contains one or
more of three different macromolecules (depicted as
thread, square and hexagon) at different concentrations.
Homotypic interactions among threads are strong. Other
homotypic and heterotypic interactions are weak.
Dynamics generally correlate inversely with
concentration of strong interactors in condensates.
However, within a range of low concentrations of strong
interactors, heterotypic interactions can buffer, at least in
part, against significant change of dynamics.
731

Many cellular non-membrane-bound compartments have been characterized to contain two

or more phases with distinct biophysical properties (Banani *et al*, 2017; Feric *et al*, 2016;

Jain *et al*, 2016; Lyon *et al*, 2021; Wang *et al*, 2014). Further, within the same liquid-like

phase, diffusion rates of two different components may differ from each other (Boeynaems

et al, 2019; Mathieu *et al*, 2020; Zhang *et al*, 2015). In P granules of *C. elegans* early

embryos, a gel-like phase of MEG proteins is known to associate with the liquid-like phase

of PGL proteins (Wang *et al*, 2014; Smith *et al*, 2016; Putnam *et al*, 2019). The gel-like

phase of MEG proteins is absent from P granules of adult *C. elegans* gonads. Two recent

studies suggest that association of the gel-like MEG phase changes the surface tension of

the liquid-like PGL phase without changing its viscosity or the diffusion rates of PGL

proteins (Folkmann *et al*, 2021; Putnam *et al*, 2019). Taken together, one possibility is that

the dynamics-buffering mechanism that we identified here adds stability to the steady-state

dynamics of liquid-like phases.

An important part of our study is to show that interactions among the α-helical N-terminal

domain of PGL-3 molecules can drive phase separation. Although the unstructured C-

terminus is dispensable for phase separation of PGL-3, it fine-tunes the process. First, full-

length PGL-3 can phase separate at concentrations lower than the α-helical N-terminus 1-

452 alone (Fig. 1c), suggesting that interactions involving the C-terminus enhance the

propensity of phase separation. Second, heterotypic interactions among the unstructured

C-terminus of PGL-3 molecules and mRNA facilitate phase separation of PGL-3 (Saha *et*

al, 2016). Our data is consistent with other studies which found that interactions involving

both folded domains and intrinsically disordered regions of proteins drive liquid-liquid phase

separation to assemble many cellular non-membrane-bound compartments (Guillén-Boixet

et al, 2020; Mittag & Parker, 2018; Yang *et al*, 2020).

The concept of robustness is widely understood to be essential for the ability of genetic

circuits to function under a wide variety of perturbations (Félix & Barkoulas, 2015). We

propose that one route to achieving robustness is through condensates that contribute to

spatial and temporal regulation over biochemical reactions. The compositional

heterogeneity-dependent dynamics-buffering mechanism that we identified here could add

robustness to biochemical reactions within condensates against the deleterious

consequences of stresses, short-term effects of chemical modifications, intrinsic mutational

changes, and the problems that arise from accumulating high local concentrations of sticky

molecules. The ability to buffer against these changes to dynamics not only provides

robustness, but these networks of interactions could also provide a source of variation that

enable responsiveness when conditions change.

Materials and Methods

Protein expression and purification

All PGL-3, GLH-1 and PGL-1 constructs were purified recombinantly from insect cells using

a baculovirus infection system. Insect cells were harvested ~72 hours after viral infection

and lysed. Constructs were purified from lysates using a combination of Ni-NTA affinity,

anion exchange and/or size exclusion chromatography as described below.

PGL-3, PGL-1, PGL-3 (370-693) and PGL-3 (K126E K129E): The protocol for purification of

PGL-3-6xHis-mEGFP has been described earlier (Saha et al., 2016). PGL-3-6xHis-RFP,

PGL-1-6xHis-mEGFP, PGL-3 (370-693)-6xHis-mEGFP and PGL-3 (K126E K129E)-6xHis-

mEGFP were purified using the same protocol.

PGL-3 (1-452): Insect cells expressing PGL-3 (1-452)-6xHis-mEGFP were resuspended in

lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 0.3 M L-Arginine, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM

DTT added with protease inhibitor cocktail Complete EDTA-free (Roche) and lysed using

sonicator. The lysates were centrifuged at 21,000 x g in a JS-13.1 rotor (Beckman-Coulter),

and Ni-NTA Agarose resin (Macherey-Nagel) was mixed with the supernatant to capture

PGL-3 protein molecules. The resin was then washed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.3 M

KCl, 20 mM Imidazole. Finally, the PGL-3 molecules were eluted into 25 mM HEPES pH

7.5, 300 mM KCl, 250 mM Imidazole.

In preparation for anion-exchange chromatography, the eluate from Ni-NTA column

was diluted 1:5 in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT and 5 ml of Q Sepharose resin (GE

Healthcare) was mixed to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. After incubation for ~1 h, the

resin was washed with 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT buffers containing 350 mM, 500 mM

and 1 M KCl. The eluates in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT buffers containing 500 mM KCl

and 1 M KCl were pooled together and further purified using size exclusion chromatography

with a TSKgel 5000PW column (Tosoh Bioscience) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 1

mM DTT.

PGL-3 (1-577): Insect cells expressing PGL-3 (1-577)-6xHis-mEGFP were resuspended in

lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.25, 300 mM KCl, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT added with

protease Inhibitor cocktail set III (Calbiochem) and lysed via passage through Avestin

Emulsiflex C5. The lysates were centrifuged at 20000 rpm in a Ti45 rotor (Beckman-

Coulter), and Ni-NTA Agarose resin (Qiagen) was mixed with the supernatant to capture

PGL-3 protein molecules. The resin was then washed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.25, 300

mM KCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT. Finally, the PGL-3 molecules were eluted into 25

mM HEPES pH 7.25, 300 mM KCl, 250 mM Imidazole.

In preparation for anion-exchange chromatography, the eluate from Ni-NTA column

was diluted 1:6 in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT and 5-10 ml of Q Sepharose resin (GE

Healthcare) was mixed to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. After incubation for ~1 h, the

resin was washed with 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT buffers containing 100 mM, 200 mM,

350 mM, 500 mM and 1 M KCl. The PGL-3 molecules eluted into the 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1

mM DTT buffers containing 500 mM KCl and 1 M KCl.

The eluates were further purified using size exclusion chromatography with HiLoad

16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.3 M KCl, 1 mM

DTT. The fractions containing pure PGL-3 (1-577)-6xHis-mEGFP from both runs were

pooled together.

S1 and S1(K126E K129E): Insect cells expressing PGL-3 (S1)-6xHis-mEGFP or PGL-3

(S1(K126E K129E))-6xHis-mEGFP were resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES pH

7.5, 300 mM KCl, 300 mM L-Arginine, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT added with protease

inhibitor cocktail Complete EDTA-free (Roche) and lysed using sonicator. The lysates were

centrifuged at 21,000 x g in a JS-13.1 rotor (Beckman-Coulter), and Ni-NTA Agarose resin

(Macherey-Nagel) was mixed with the supernatant to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. The

resin was then washed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 20 mM Imidazole. Finally, the

PGL-3 molecules were eluted into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 250 mM Imidazole.

Next, 500 units of Benzonase nuclease and 1 mM each of DTT and $MgCl_2$ were added to

the eluate and dialyzed overnight into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM

$MgCl_2$.

In preparation for anion-exchange chromatography, the dialysate was diluted 1:13 in

25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT and 5-10 ml of Q Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) was

mixed to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. After incubation for ~1 h, the resin was washed

with 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 350 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT and finally the PGL-3 molecules were

eluted into 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT.

The eluates were further purified using size exclusion chromatography with a TSKgel

5000PW column (Tosoh Bioscience) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT.

PGL-3 (Δ425-452): Insect cells expressing PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-6xHis-mEGFP were

resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.25, 300 mM KCl, 300 mM L-Arginine, 10

mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ added with protease Inhibitor cocktail set III

(Calbiochem) and Benzonase nuclease) and lysed via passage through Avestin Emulsiflex

C5. The lysates were centrifuged at 20000 rpm in a Ti45 rotor (Beckman-Coulter), and Ni-

NTA Agarose resin (Qiagen) was mixed with the supernatant to capture PGL-3 protein

molecules. The resin was then washed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.25, 1 M KCl, 40 mM

Imidazole, 1 mM DTT. Finally, the PGL-3 molecules were eluted into 25 mM HEPES pH

7.25, 1 M KCl, 250 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT. Next, ~250 units of Benzonase nuclease and

10 mM $MgCl_2$ were added to the eluate and dialyzed overnight into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.25,

1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM $MgCl_2$.

In preparation for anion-exchange chromatography, the dialysate was diluted 1:12 in

25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT and 5-10 ml of Q Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) was

mixed to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. After incubation for ~1 h, the resin was washed

with 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 320 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT and the PGL-3 (Δ425-452) molecules

were eluted into 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT. Finally, the eluate containing

purified PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-6xHis-mEGFP was dialyzed into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M

KCl, 1 mM DTT.

GLH-1: Insect cells expressing GLH-1-6xHis-mEGFP were resuspended in lysis buffer (25

mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT added with protease

inhibitor cocktail Complete EDTA-free (Roche) and lysed using sonicator. The lysates were

centrifuged at 21,000 x g in a JS-13.1 rotor (Beckman-Coulter), and Ni-NTA Agarose resin

(Macherey-Nagel) was mixed with the supernatant to capture PGL-3 protein molecules. The

resin was then washed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 20 mM Imidazole. Finally,

the GLH-1 molecules were eluted into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 250 mM

Imidazole.

In preparation for anion-exchange chromatography, the eluate was diluted 1:6 in 25

mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT and 4 ml of Q Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) was mixed to

capture GLH-1 protein molecules. After incubation for ~30 min, the resin was washed with

25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT buffers containing 200 mM, 250 mM, 300 mM, 350 mM, 400

mM, 500 mM and 1 M KCl. The fractions with 250 to 350 mM KCl were pooled.

Pooled fractions were further purified using size exclusion chromatography with a

HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM

KCl, 1 mM DTT.

Untagged PGL-3, S1, PGL-3 (1-452), PGL-3 (370-693), PGL-3 (K126E K129E), S1 (K126E

K129E), PGL-1 and GLH-1 were purified by cleaving off 6xHis and mEGFP tags from the

C-terminus of the recombinant proteins using 6xHis-tagged TEV protease, followed by

incubation with Ni-NTA Agarose resin to remove 6xHis-tagged mEGFP and TEV protease.

Size exclusion chromatography and multi-angle light scattering

Molecular weight analysis of PGL-3 (1-452) was carried out using an OMNISEC multi-

detector instrument from Malvern Panalytical. All measurements were performed in 25 mM

HEPES, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP at 25°C. Prior to measurement, samples were

centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min. 90 µl of sample at 0.5 mg/ml was injected onto a

Superose 6 10/300 column (Cytiva). The eluate from the column was fed into a refractive

index detector followed by a right-angle light scattering detector (640 nm). Data were

analysed using the OMNISEC software (v11.10) using a refractive index increment (dn/dc)

of 0.185 ml/g. Bovine serum albumin (PierceTM) was used to calibrate the detectors.

Samples were measured in duplicate.

Labeling of proteins with fluorescent dye

In order to generate fluorescently labeled S1, PGL-1 and GLH-1, the proteins were first

dialyzed into buffer containing 1 mM TCEP and then incubated with AlexaFluor 594 C5-

maleimide (Invitrogen) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl (1 M KCl for S1), 1 mM

TCEP for 2 h at room temperature. To remove unbound dye, repeated buffer exchange was

performed with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl (1 M KCl for S1), 1 mM TCEP until no

fluorescence was detected in the flow through.

Preparation of mRNA used in experiments

In order to track the localization of mRNA in our assays, fluorescently labeled mRNA was generated using in vitro transcription. Coding sequence of the act-4 gene from *C. elegans* was cloned to be transcribed from a T7 promoter. To generate in vitro transcribed act-4 mRNA, T7 RNA polymerase (New England BioLabs) was used and ribonucleotide solution mix was doped with 30% Aminoallyl-UTP-Cy5 (Jena Bioscience). The reaction was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C, then treated with DNase II and mRNA was finally purified using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). For all the other experiments, total mouse liver mRNA (Takara) was used.

Imaging and fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments

Phase separation properties of PGL-3 constructs under various conditions were imaged within chambers created by attaching cover glass to glass slide with imaging spacer between them (Grace Bio-Labs SecureSeal, Sigma Aldrich). 4 µL of solution was placed at the center of the imaging chamber, such that the spacer had no contact with the solution. PGL-3 (1-452) (Fig. 1b) was imaged directly on the cover glass (without glass slide), as condensates of PGL-3 (1-452) were not stable when imaged within the glass chamber. Addition of 1% crowding agent PEG-35000 stabilized condensates of this N-terminal fragment of PGL-3 allowing imaging over time (Fig. 1c-e, 2a, 2b, Supplementary Fig. 2d). The surfaces of glass slide and cover glass were passivated through PEG-silanization as described earlier (Alberti et al., 2018).

The images were acquired with an inverted microscope (Olympus IX83) equipped with a spinning-disk scan head (4,000 rpm, Yokogawa CSU-W1), a sCMOS camera (pixel size 11um, Prime 95B Teledyne Photometrics), and a 100x/1.4 oil-immersion objective (Olympus UPLSAPO). For imaging and FRAP experiments, we used Coherent OBIS lasers of wavelengths 405nm (FRAP), 488 nm, 561 nm, and 640 nm. Single confocal plane was imaged over time for all FRAP experiments.

Phase separation properties of the PGL-3 constructs PGL-3 (1-577), PGL-3 (Δ425-452) and FRAP experiments of preheated PGL-3-mEGFP and S1-mEGFP were imaged within flow chambers created by attaching cover glass to glass slide with two double-sided tapes (~60

μm thick) positioned parallel to each other. The surface of the cover glass was passivated

through PEG-silanization or coating with lipids as described earlier (Alberti et al., 2018). An

inverted spinning-disk confocal imaging system was used, fitted with diode-pumped solid-

state LASERs (wavelength 488 nm and 561 nm), Olympus UplanSApochromat 100x 1.4

NA oil-immersion objective, Yokogawa CSU-X1 (5000 rpm) spinning-disk scan head, and

Andor iXon DU-897 BV back illuminated EMCCD camera.

Image analysis

All image analysis was conducted using the Fiji image-processing package (http://fiji.sc/Fiji).

Images used for analysis of FRAP experiments were corrected for camera noise and

uneven illumination error. Intensities of the bleached areas were corrected for bleaching

and normalized to prebleached image. FRAP data was fitted to single exponential function

using Matlab (Supplementary figure 5e). All fits had R^2 value of 0.92 or higher.

FRAP data for the construct S1(K126E K129E) was acquired with the same spinning-disk

confocal imaging system as all other constructs, but a different FRAP filter was used. To

account for any difference in signal intensity resulting from the use of a different FRAP filter,

we compared the fluorescence intensities of S1-mEGFP, PGL-3-mEGFP and a uniformly

green fluorescent slide (Chroma Autofluorescent Plastic Slides) in images acquired using

the two different FRAP filters. This analysis using three different samples all gave similar

values of correction factor around 2.6. We used this correction factor to normalize the

intensities of S1(K126E K129E) and plotted the results in Fig. 5c.

Phase separation assays

For phase separation assays, different recombinantly-purified PGL-3 constructs were used,

and phase separation was triggered by diluting salt (KCl) concentration to either 150 mM or

100 mM in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. Following phase separation, condensates of

PGL-3 (1-452) dissolved rapidly when incubated within glass-based imaging chambers.

Addition of 1% crowding agent PEG-35000 stabilized condensates of this N-terminal

fragment of PGL-3 allowing imaging over time.

In some cases, PGL-3 constructs were preheated at higher temperatures (43.5 °C or 50 °C)

for 10 min, followed by incubation at room temperature for 10 mins and phase separation

via dilution of salt concentration. Incubation at higher temperatures was carried out in a

temperature-controlled water bath or PCR thermocycler. Heating untagged wild-type PGL-3

and the mutant S1 protein at 50 °C or 43.5 °C respectively resulted in aggregation. In

contrast, presence of mEGFP tag reduced the extent of heat-induced aggregation to a large

extent. Therefore, we used mEGFP-tagged PGL-3 constructs in these assays. Following

incubation at 43.5 °C or 50 °C, we centrifuged the samples (5 min at 20,000 g) to separate

the aggregates from the pool of soluble protein in the supernatant. To account for loss of

protein via aggregation, we remeasured the concentration of protein in the supernatant and

used this pool of mEGFP-tagged PGL-3 constructs for our assays.

At physiological concentrations (approx. 1 μM), the PGL-3 protein is unable to phase

separate into condensates in vitro (Saha *et al*, 2016). At these concentrations, mRNA

promotes phase separation of PGL-3 (Saha *et al*, 2016). To assay for mRNA-dependence

of condensate assembly, it is therefore essential to use physiological concentrations of wild-

type or mutant PGL-3 proteins (Figure 2). However, these condensates are generally too

small to assay rate of internal rearrangement of PGL-3 molecules within condensates using

fluorescence recovery after photobleaching experiments. Therefore, to generate large

condensates for measuring internal rearrangement of wild-type or mutant PGL-3 molecules,

we primarily used higher concentrations of these proteins in vitro (binding to RNA is not

essential for phase separation at these concentrations). However, to mimic the in vivo P

granule phase as closely as possible, we generally added constituent proteins in proportion

to their in vivo abundance (Saha *et al*, 2016) as described below.

In assays investigating phase separation of two or more components (i.e. PGL-3, S1,

different PGL-3 constructs, PGL-1, GLH-1 or mRNA), the components were mixed with

each other before phase separation was triggered by diluting the salt (KCl) to final

concentration of 100 mM in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. In experiments where we

studied the correlation between concentration of S1 or PGL-3 in condensates and their half-

time of FRAP recovery: 1) all tested mixtures contained the same concentration of S1 (5

μM) or PGL-3 (5 μM) and 5% of the protein pool of S1 or PGL-3 was mEGFP-tagged; 2)

Image acquisition settings were the same for all the experimental groups: 50 ms exposure;

488-laser power set to 100%; bleaching power set to 100%.

We used fluorescently labeled PGL-3 constructs, PGL-1, GLH-1 or act-4 mRNA to check if

they colocalize with S1 or PGL-3 in condensates. All components were mixed with each

other before phase separation was triggered by diluting the salt (KCl) to final concentration

of 100 mM in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. In these experiments, 5% of the pool of

PGL-3 or S1 proteins was fluorescently labeled. 100% of the other components in the mix

were labeled with a fluorescent probe. Colocalization of different PGL-3 constructs with

wild-type PGL-3 or S1 was tested by adding the different proteins in equal amounts (final

concentration of each component was 5 µM). In experiments where colocalization of

different P granule components with PGL-3 or S1 was assayed, concentration (or

concentration ratio) of the different components were the same as in FRAP experiments

(6.7 µM PGL-1, and 14 ng/ µL mRNA with 5 µM S1 or 5 µM PGL-3; 1 µM GLH-1 with 5 µM

PGL-3; 2 µM GLH-1 with 10 µM S1).

1008

1009   ***C. elegans* strains, maintenance and transgenic strain construction**

1010   *C. elegans* strains were maintained on plates containing nematode growth media seeded

1011   with OP50 bacteria using standard methods (Brenner, 1974). The strains TH561, TH623

1012   and SSA130 were generated using CRISPR/Cas9-based technology (Table 1). Strains

1013   were verified by sequencing of the modified locus.

1014

1015   **Table 1. *C. elegans* strains used in this study.**

C. elegans strain number	Genotype	Guide RNA sequence	Source
SSA27	N2 (wild-type)	-	CGC
TH561	*pgl-3(dd29[pgl-3::mEGFP]) V*	5'GAAGGCTTAGGAACCTCCAC3'	This study
TH623	*pgl-3(knu99[pgl-3::mCherry]) V*	5'GGATTCTTTGGTGGATCCCG3' 5'TTCTTTGGTGGATCCCGTGG3'	This study (generated by InVivo Biosystems)
SSA130	*pgl3(sds130[pgl-3(Δ425-452)::mEGFP]) V*	5'GATCACAGAGTTCTACGGAT3'	This study

1016

Fecundity assay

Fecundity assay

We assayed fecundity of the worms TH561 (*pgl-3::mEGFP*) and SS130 (*pgl-3(Δ425-*

452)::mEGFP). These animals were grown and maintained at 20 °C throughout the

experiment. L4 worms were singled for each strain. Each of these worms was transferred to

a fresh plate every 24 h for five days. On the fourth day after transfer to a fresh plate, the

number of progenies from each animal was scored. The total number of progenies for each

worm was calculated and shown in Fig. 4d.

1024

Microinjection of recombinant proteins into *C. elegans* gonads

Recombinant PGL-3 constructs were microinjected into gonads of young adult *C. elegans*

to study 1) their colocalization with P granules, and 2) the effect of the P granule phase on

their dynamics. To study colocalization, mEGFP-tagged PGL-3 constructs were injected

into gonads of worms expressing PGL-3-mCherry (strain TH623) from the native locus (to

track P granules). Concentrations of injected proteins: PGL-3-mEGFP (10 μM), S1-mEGFP

(6 μM) and PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 50 °C (30 μM). To minimize the effect of

fluorescent tag on dynamics, FRAP experiments were conducted on N2 worms

microinjected with mEGFP-tagged PGL-3 constructs. Concentration of injected proteins:

PGL-3-mEGFP (20 μM), S1-mEGFP (25 μM) and PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 50 °C (30

μM). Worms were immobilized using 2 mM levamisole and imaged immediately following

injections.

1037

Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy

CD spectroscopy of PGL-3 constructs was carried out in a quartz cuvette (0.5 mm

pathlength) using Chirascan Plus spectrometer (Applied Photophysics) equipped with TC1

temperature controller (Quantum Northwest). To measure the change in α- helical content

of PGL-3 at different temperatures (data presented in Fig. 3b), the temperature of the

cuvette was varied from 20 °C to 90 °C in 2 °C steps. At each temperature, the system was

allowed to equilibrate for 180 s before measurement of CD signal between 200 and 260

nm. To test if unfolding of PGL-3 constructs at higher temperature is reversible once the

temperature is lowered (data presented in Supplementary Fig. 3h and i), CD signal was

measured initially at 21 °C, followed by measurements at a higher temperature (43.5 °C or

50 °C) and once again after the temperature was lowered back to 21 °C. At each

temperature, the system was allowed to equilibrate for 15 min before measurement of CD

signal between 200 and 260 nm.

1051

Statistical analysis

Two-tailed t test was performed for comparing two groups of data (number of condensates

with or without addition of mRNA in Fig 2b; number of progeny in Fig 4d; estimated half

time of recovery of fluorescence signal for bleached P granules in TH561 (*pgl-3::mEGFP*)

and SSA130 (*pgl-3(Δ425-452)::mEGFP*) in Supplementary Fig. 4b; estimated half time of

recovery of fluorescence signal for bleached condensates of S1 and PGL-3 with addition of

PGL-1, GLH-1 and mRNA in Supplementary Fig. 4c). One way ANOVA was performed for

comparing three groups of data (estimated half time of recovery of fluorescence signal for

bleached P granules for injected proteins: PGL-3, PGL-3 preheated at 50 °C and S1 in

Supplementary Fig. 4a). p values are indicated in the figure legends. Statistical tests were

performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.4.3 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California

USA). To estimate the half time of recovery for above mentioned statistical analysis, the

data points for normalized fluorescence signal for individual P granule/condensate were fit

using R (RStudio Version 1.2.1335) to the following equation: $F_t = (F_0 + F_\infty*(t/t_{1/2}))/(1 + t/t_{1/2})$

where F_t is fluorescence signal at time t, F_0 is fluorescence signal immediately after

photobleaching (minimal fluorescence signal), F_∞ is maximal recovered fluorescence signal

and $t_{1/2}$ is half time of fluorescence recovery (Yguerabide *et al*, 1982). Only high-confidence

fits [i.e., goodness of fit (p < 1E-11)] were used for statistical tests.

1070

Acknowledgments

We thank A.A. Hyman, R.V. Pappu, A. Goloborodko for feedback on the manuscript and

helpful discussions. We thank A.A. Hyman and C. Hoege for the *C. elegans* strains TH623

and TH561. We thank Jana Sipkova, Anoop Kumar Yadav and Felicitas Arlt for help with

image analysis and technical support. S.S. initiated this study as a postdoctoral fellow in the

lab of A.A. Hyman. We thank the services and facilities at Vienna BioCenter for support -

IMBA BioOptics Facility for support with imaging and image analysis; IMBA Molecular

Biology Service for providing reagents for cloning and recombinant protein purification, and

sequencing of constructs; VBCF Protein Technologies for help with recombinant protein

expression and performing SEC-MALS experiment; IMBA Protein Chemistry Facility for

verification of recombinant proteins using mass spectrometry; VBCF Computational Biology

Training (A. Aszódi) for help with generating scripts for curve fitting. N2 strain was provided

by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40

OD010440). S.J., J.B., P.C., B.R.P., and S.S. are funded by the Austrian Academy of

Sciences. A.S.H. is scientific advisor at Dewpoint Therapeutics.

1086

1087 **Author contribution:** Conceptualization, S.J. and S.S.; Methodology, S.J., A.S.H. and

1088 S.S.; Investigation, S.J., J.B., P.C., B.R.P., M.R., C.H., S.S.; Writing – Original Draft, S.J.

1089 and S.S.; Writing – Review & Editing, S.J., A.S.H., and S.S.; Funding Acquisition, S.S.;

1090 Resources, A.S.H. and S.S.; Supervision, S.S.

1091

References

Alshareedah I, Thurston GM & Banerjee PR (2021) Quantifying viscosity and surface tension of multicomponent protein-nucleic acid condensates. *Biophysical Journal* 120: 1161–1169

Aoki ST, Kershner AM, Bingman CA, Wickens M & Kimble J (2016) PGL germ granule assembly protein is a base-specific, single-stranded RNase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*

Aoki ST, Lynch TR, Crittenden SL, Bingman CA, Wickens M & Kimble J (2021) C. elegans germ granules require both assembly and localized regulators for mRNA repression. *Nat Commun* 12: 996

Banani SF, Lee HO, Hyman AA & Rosen MK (2017) Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* 18: 285–298

Banani SF, Rice AM, Peeples WB, Lin Y, Jain S, Parker R & Rosen MK (2016) Compositional Control of Phase-Separated Cellular Bodies. *Cell* 166: 651–663

Boeynaems S, Holehouse AS, Weinhardt V, Kovacs D, Van Lindt J, Larabell C, Van Den Bosch L, Das R, Tompa PS, Pappu RV, *et al* (2019) Spontaneous driving forces give rise to protein-RNA condensates with coexisting phases and complex material properties. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116: 7889–7898

Brangwynne CP, Eckmann CR, Courson DS, Rybarska A, Hoege C, Gharakhani J, Jülicher F & Hyman AA (2009) Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* 324: 1729–1732

Bremer A, Farag M, Borcherds WM, Peran I, Martin EW, Pappu RV & Mittag T (2021) Deciphering how naturally occurring sequence features impact the phase behaviors of disordered prion-like domains Biophysics

Brenner S (1974) The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 77: 71–94

Choi J-M, Holehouse AS & Pappu RV (2020) Physical Principles Underlying the Complex Biology of Intracellular Phase Transitions. *Annual review of biophysics* 49: 107–133

Cipriani PG, Bay O, Zinno J, Gutwein M, Gan HH, Mayya VK, Chung G, Chen J-X, Fahs H, Guan Y, *et al* (2021) Novel LOTUS-domain proteins are organizational hubs that recruit C. elegans Vasa to germ granules. *Elife* 10: e60833

Elbaum-Garfinkle S, Kim Y, Szczepaniak K, Chen CC-H, Eckmann CR, Myong S & Brangwynne CP (2015) The disordered P granule protein LAF-1 drives phase separation into droplets with tunable viscosity and dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112: 7189–7194

Félix M-A & Barkoulas M (2015) Pervasive robustness in biological systems. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 16: 483–496

Feric M, Vaidya N, Harmon TS, Mitrea DM, Zhu L, Richardson TM, Kriwacki RW, Pappu RV
& Brangwynne CP (2016) Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar
Subcompartments. *Cell*: 1–13

Folkmann AW, Putnam A, Lee CF & Seydoux G (2021) Regulation of biomolecular
condensates by interfacial protein clusters. *Science* 373: 1218–1224

Frottin F, Schueder F, Tiwary S, Gupta R, Körner R, Schlichthaerle T, Cox J, Jungmann R,
Hartl FU & Hipp MS (2019) The nucleolus functions as a phase-separated protein
quality control compartment. *Science* 365: 342–347

Ganassi M, Mateju D, Bigi I, Mediani L, Poser I, Lee HO, Seguin SJ, Morelli FF, Vinet J,
Leo G, *et al* (2016) A Surveillance Function of the HSPB8-BAG3-HSP70 Chaperone
Complex Ensures Stress Granule Integrity and Dynamism. *Molecular cell* 63: 796–
810

Guillén-Boixet J, Kopach A, Holehouse AS, Wittmann S, Jahnel M, Schlüßler R, Kim K,
Trussina IREA, Wang J, Mateju D, *et al* (2020) RNA-Induced Conformational
Switching and Clustering of G3BP Drive Stress Granule Assembly by Condensation.
Cell 181: 346-361.e17

Hanazawa M, Yonetani M & Sugimoto A (2011) PGL proteins self associate and bind RNPs
to mediate germ granule assembly in C. elegans. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 192:
929–937

Jain S, Wheeler JR, Walters RW, Agrawal A, Barsic A & Parker R (2016) ATPase-
Modulated Stress Granules Contain a Diverse Proteome and Substructure. *Cell* 164:
487–498

Jawerth L, Fischer-Friedrich E, Saha S, Wang J, Franzmann T, Zhang X, Sachweh J, Ruer
M, Ijavi M, Saha S, *et al* (2020) Protein condensates as aging Maxwell fluids.
Science 370: 1317–1323

Kawasaki I, Amiri A, Fan Y, Meyer N, Dunkelbarger S, Motohashi T, Karashima T,
Bossinger O & Strome S (2004) The PGL family proteins associate with germ
granules and function redundantly in Caenorhabditis elegans germline development.
Genetics 167: 645–661

Kawasaki I, Shim YH, Kirchner J, Kaminker J, Wood WB & Strome S (1998) PGL-1, a
predicted RNA-binding component of germ granules, is essential for fertility in C.
elegans. *Cell* 94: 635–645

Klosin A, Oltsch F, Harmon T, Honigmann A, Jülicher F, Hyman AA & Zechner C (2020)
Phase separation provides a mechanism to reduce noise in cells. *Science* 367: 464–
468

Lyon AS, Peeples WB & Rosen MK (2021) A framework for understanding the functions of
biomolecular condensates across scales. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 22: 215–235

Majumdar A, Dogra P, Maity S & Mukhopadhyay S (2019) Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation
Is Driven by Large-Scale Conformational Unwinding and Fluctuations of Intrinsically
Disordered Protein Molecules. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 10: 3929–
3936

Mateju D, Franzmann TM, Patel A, Kopach A, Boczek EE, Maharana S, Lee HO, Carra S,
Hyman AA & Alberti S (2017) An aberrant phase transition of stress granules
triggered by misfolded protein and prevented by chaperone function. *The EMBO*
journal 36: 1669–1687

Mathieu C, Pappu RV & Taylor JP (2020) Beyond aggregation: Pathological phase
transitions in neurodegenerative disease. *Science* 370: 56–60

Mittag T & Parker R (2018) Multiple Modes of Protein-Protein Interactions Promote RNP
Granule Assembly. *Journal of molecular biology* 430: 4636–4649

Phillips CM & Updike DL (2022) Germ granules and gene regulation in the Caenorhabditis
elegans germline. *Genetics* 220: iyab195

Price IF, Hertz HL, Pastore B, Wagner J & Tang W (2021) Proximity labeling identifies
LOTUS domain proteins that promote the formation of perinuclear germ granules in
C. elegans. *Elife* 10: e72276

Putnam A, Cassani M, Smith J & Seydoux G (2019) A gel phase promotes condensation of
liquid P granules in Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 26: 220–
226

Rhine K, Makurath MA, Liu J, Skanchy S, Lopez C, Catalan KF, Ma Y, Ha T, Chemla YR &
Myong S (2020) ALS/FTLD-Linked Mutations in FUS Glycine Residues Cause
Accelerated Gelation and Reduced Interactions with Wild-Type FUS. *Molecular cell*

Riback JA, Zhu L, Ferrolino MC, Tolbert M, Mitrea DM, Sanders DW, Wei M-T, Kriwacki
RW & Brangwynne CP (2020) Composition-dependent thermodynamics of
intracellular phase separation. *Nature* 581: 209–214

Saha S, Weber CA, Nousch M, Adame-Arana O, Hoege C, Hein MY, Osborne Nishimura E,
Mahamid J, Jahnel M, Jawerth L, *et al* (2016) Polar Positioning of Phase-Separated
Liquid Compartments in Cells Regulated by an mRNA Competition Mechanism. *Cell*
166: 1572-1584.e16

Sanders DW, Kedersha N, Lee DSW, Strom AR, Drake V, Riback JA, Bracha D, Eeftens
JM, Iwanicki A, Wang A, *et al* (2020) Competing Protein-RNA Interaction Networks
Control Multiphase Intracellular Organization. *Cell* 181: 306-324.e28

Smith J, Calidas D, Schmidt H, Lu T, Rasoloson D & Seydoux G (2016) Spatial patterning
of P granules by RNA-induced phase separation of the intrinsically-disordered
protein MEG-3. *eLife* 5: e21337

Spike C, Meyer N, Racen E, Orsborn A, Kirchner J, Kuznicki K, Yee C, Bennett K & Strome
S (2008a) Genetic analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans GLH family of P-granule
proteins. *Genetics* 178: 1973–1987

Spike CA, Bader J, Reinke V & Strome S (2008b) DEPS-1 promotes P-granule assembly
and RNA interference in C. elegans germ cells. *Development (Cambridge, England)*
135: 983–993

Strome S & Wood WB (1982) Immunofluorescence visualization of germ-line-specific
cytoplasmic granules in embryos, larvae, and adults of Caenorhabditis elegans.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
79: 1558–1562

Updike D & Strome S (2010) P granule assembly and function in Caenorhabditis elegans
germ cells. *Journal of andrology* 31: 53–60

Van Treeck B & Parker R (2018) Emerging Roles for Intermolecular RNA-RNA Interactions
in RNP Assemblies. *Cell* 174: 791–802

Wang JT, Smith J, Chen B-C, Schmidt H, Rasoloson D, Paix A, Lambrus BG, Calidas D,
Betzig E & Seydoux G (2014) Regulation of RNA granule dynamics by
phosphorylation of serine-rich, intrinsically disordered proteins in C. elegans. *eLife* 3:
449–23

Yang P, Mathieu C, Kolaitis R-M, Zhang P, Messing J, Yurtsever U, Yang Z, Wu J, Li Y,
Pan Q, *et al* (2020) G3BP1 Is a Tunable Switch that Triggers Phase Separation to
Assemble Stress Granules. *Cell* 181: 325-345.e28

Yguerabide J, Schmidt JA & Yguerabide EE (1982) Lateral mobility in membranes as
detected by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. *Biophys J* 40: 69–75

Zhang H, Elbaum-Garfinkle S, Langdon EM, Taylor N, Occhipinti P, Bridges AA,
Brangwynne CP & Gladfelter AS (2015) RNA Controls PolyQ Protein Phase
Transitions. *Molecular cell* 60: 220–230

1228

1229

Supplementary Information

1231

Supplementary Figure 1

SDS-PAGE of recombinantly-purified
proteins used in this study. Four SDS-
PAGE gels are shown (gel 1: lanes 1-13;
gel 2: lanes 14-20; gel 3: lanes 21-23, gel
4: lanes 24-26). Lane 21 is not adjacent
to lanes 22 and 23 in gel 3; lanes 24, 25
and 26 represent non-adjacent lanes in
gel 4.

Supplementary Figure 2

a Sequence of PGL-3 with secondary structure highlighted in different colors as predicted by the web-based PSIPRED 4.0 server. Dark grey β strand, blue: α helix, light grey: coil. (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) **b** Plot of disorder probability across the length of PGL-3, as predicted by the web-based PrDOS (Protein DisOrder prediction System) server with false-positive rate of 5.0% (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/). **c** Plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within condensates of PGL-3 alone (black circles, 10 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 18), or PGL-3 (blue circles, 5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 21) in presence of PGL-1 (6.7 μM), GLH-1 (1 μM) and total mRNA (14 ng/μL). Error bars represent 1 SD. **d** Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery over time after a condensate of PGL-3 (1-452) (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) was photobleached. Scale bar 5 μm. Below is shown a plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in 11 condensates of PGL-3 (1-452) (21 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) that were photobleached. Error bars represent 1 SD. **e** Bright field micrograph of PGL-3 (1-452) (5 μM) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT. Scale bar 20 μm. **f** Representative plot of size exclusion chromatography and multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) data for PGL-3 (1-452). Black: relative refractive index response (Y-axis, left), Grey: molecular weight in kDa (Y- axis, right). Measurements were performed in 25 mM HEPES, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP. Average molecular weight of PGL-3 (1-452) estimated from SEC-MALS is 109.5 (±1.4) kDa (n = 2). Expected molecular weight based on amino acid sequence is 50.5 kDa, suggesting that PGL-3 (1-452) exists as a dimer. **g** Plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within condensates of PGL-3 (black circles, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 18), PGL-3 (1-452) (blue circles, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 24) and PGL-3 (1-577)-mEGFP (grey circles, bleached region 1.4 μm x 1.4 μm, n = 8). Error bars represent 1 SD.

Supplementary Figure 3

a Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery over time after a 1.4 µm x 1.4 µm area within a condensate of PGL-3 (1-577)-mEGFP (20 µM) was photobleached. Scale bar 5 µm.

b Plot showing average normalized fluorescence of bleached region (1.4 µm x 1.4 µm) within PGL-3 (1-577)-mEGFP condensates (n=8) over time. Error bars represent 1 SD.

c Bright-field micrographs of S1 (8 µM) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT and S1 (K126E K129E) (50 µM) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT. Scale bar 20 µm.

d Sequence of PGL-3 (412-455) with secondary structure highlighted in different colors as predicted by the web-based PSIPRED 4.0 server. Light blue: α helix, grey: coil. (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/). Residues 425-452 (shuffled in S1) are highlighted within open black box.

e Phase diagrams of PGL-3, S1 and S1(K126E K129E) at different protein and salt (KCl) concentrations in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. Blue circles represent conditions where phase separation was observed. Black circles represent conditions where phase separation was absent. 5% of the pool of protein was tagged with mEGFP in each case.

f Plot showing average normalized fluorescence of bleached regions

(1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) within condensates of S1 (10 µM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) over time. Condensates were assayed at different times after assembly via phase separation and incubation at 20 °C (Black circles: immediately following assembly (n = 16), blue triangles: 2 h post-assembly (n = 14), grey squares: 24 h post-assembly (n = 11). Error bars represent 1 SD.

g Plot of mean residue ellipticity of PGL-3 and S1 vs. wavelength assayed using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. Black circles: PGL-3 (3 µM, 10 mM Potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP), Blue triangles: S1 (3 µM, 10 mM Potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP). Error bars represent 1 SD among six CD scans.

h-i Plots of circular dichroism signal vs. wavelength of **h** PGL-3 (3 µM) and **i** S1 (3 µM) in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M KCl and 1 mM DTT at different temperatures. Error bars represent 1 SD among six CD scans. Black circles: 21 °C, blue squares: 43.5 °C, blue triangles: 21 °C (after preheating at 43.5 °C), grey squares: 50 °C, grey triangles: 21 °C (after preheating at 50 °C).

Supplementary Figure 4

a-c Plots with estimated half times of recovery with statistical analysis for three experiments. Each black circle represents estimated half time (s) for one bleached P granule/condensate. The mean and 1 SD are also indicated. Half times were estimated by fitting the data points for normalized fluorescence signal for individual P granule/condensate to the equation: $F_t = (F_0 + F_\infty*(t/t_{1/2}))/(1 + t/t_{1/2})$. Only points with very confident fit (p<1E-11) were considered for the statistical analysis (described in more detail in Materials and Methods section). "ns" indicates no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the half times of recovery. Experiments were as following: **a** Bleaching of the P granules in live animals of N2 strain after PGL-3 (n = 46), preheated PGL-3 (n = 29) or S1 (n = 7) protein injection (Fig. 4b); One way ANOVA performed to compare the groups with following p values: p(PGL-3 vs. preheated PGL-3) = 0.241; p(PGL-3 vs. S1) = 0.926; p(preheated PGL-3 vs. S1) = 0.417 ; **b** Bleaching of the P granules in live animals in the strains expressing PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP (n = 19) or PGL-3-mEGFP (n = 19) (Fig. 4b). T test performed to compare the two groups with resulting p value p = 0.205 **c** Bleaching of the condensates of PGL-3 (5 µM)

(n=21) or S1 (5 µM) (n=20). 5% of the pool of protein was tagged with mEGFP) in presence of PGL-1 (6.7 µM), GLH-1 (1 µM), and total mRNA (14 ng/µL). T test performed to compare the two groups with resulting p value p = 0.104

d-g Fluorescence micrographs show concentration of PGL-1, GLH-1 and act-4 mRNA (in vitro transcribed) within condensates of PGL-3 **d**, S1 **e**, PGL-3-mGFP **f** and PGL-3-mEGFP preheated at 50 °C **g**. To track condensates of PGL-3 and S1 in **d-e**, 5% of the pool of PGL-3 or S1 proteins used were tagged with RFP, mEGFP or Alexa 594. Fluorophores used to track PGL-1 (mEGFP or Alexa 594), GLH-1 (mEGFP or Alexa 594), and mRNA (Cy5) are specified in each case. Scale bars **d-f** 20 µm, **g** 10 µm.

Supplementary Figure 5

a Plot of average normalized S1-mEGFP fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within the condensates of defined composition as follows. Black circles: S1 alone (10 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) (n = 18), light green triangle: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (20 μM) (n = 24), blue square: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (1-452) (5 μM) (n = 16), light blue hexagon: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (5 μM) (n = 20), green triangle: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (5 μM) (n = 11), orange diamond: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (K126E K129E) (5 μM) (n = 17), magenta open circle: S1 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + S1 (K126E K129E) (5 μM) (n = 13). Error bars represent 1 SD.

b Plot of average normalized PGL-3-mEGFP fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within the condensates of defined composition as follows. Black circles: PGL-3 alone (10 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) (n = 18), light green triangle: PGL-3 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (20 μM) (n = 12), blue square: PGL-3 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (1-452) (5 μM) (n = 28), green triangle: PGL-3 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (370-693) (5 μM) (n = 13), orange diamond: PGL-3 (5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged) + PGL-3 (K126E K129E) (5 μM) (n =12). Error bars represent 1 SD.

c-d Fluorescence micrographs show concentration of PGL-3-mEGFP,

PGL-3 (1-452)-mEGFP, PGL-3 (370-693)-mEGFP, PGL-3 (K126E K129E)-mEGFP or S1(K126E K129E)-mEGFP within condensates of S1 **c** or PGL-3 **d**. To track condensates of S1 and PGL-3 in **c-d**, 5% of the pool of S1 or PGL-3 proteins used were tagged with Alexa 594 or RFP. Scale bar 10 μm.

e A representative plot of normalized PGL-3-mEGFP fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within a condensate and corresponding single exponential fit. FRAP data was fitted to the single exponential function: y = a * (1 - exp(-b*x)). R^2 values were $\geq$ 0.92 for all fits.

f Plot of average normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 μm x 1.5 μm) within condensates of PGL-3 alone (black circles, 10 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 18), PGL-3 in presence of PGL-1 (6.7 μM), GLH-1 (1 μM) and total mRNA (14 ng/μL) (grey triangles, 5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 21), S1 alone (blue circle, 10 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n =18), or S1 in presence of PGL-1 (6.7 μM), GLH-1 (1 μM) and total mRNA (14 ng/μL) (light blue triangle, 5 μM, 5% mEGFP-tagged, n = 21). Error bars represent 1 SD.

Supplementary Figure 6

a Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching over time in condensates of PGL-3 (10 µM) or S1 (10 µM) (5% of the pool of protein was tagged with mEGFP). Scale bars 5 µm.

b Cartoon defining position with respect to a condensate. This position scheme was used to generate fluorescence-profile plots shown in **c** and **d**.

c-d Profile plots mapping the fluorescence signal at different positions within a condensate of PGL-3 **c** or S1 **d**. Plots shows fluorescence signal in selected area (see **b** at different time points. Over time, fluorescence recovers within bleached regions through a combination of internal rearrangement of molecules within condensates and exchange of molecules between condensates and surroundings. Colors represent different recovery phases: blue for time before bleaching, grey for phase I and black for phase II. Phase I is a fast phase of fluorescence recovery that promotes homogenization of fluorescence signal within the condensate predominantly via internal rearrangement of

molecules. Phase II is a slower phase of fluorescence recovery that depend predominantly on additional replenishment of unbleached molecules from the surroundings. Different time points are shown as follows. **c** Blue circle: -1 s (before bleaching), grey circle: 0 s (moment of bleaching), grey diamond: 10 s, grey triangle: 40 s, grey square: 80 s, black diamond: 260 s, black square: 620 s, black triangle: 920 s after bleaching. **d** Blue circle: -1 s (before bleaching), grey circle: 0 s (moment of bleaching), grey diamond: 150 s, grey triangle: 250 s, grey square: 395 s, grey reverse triangle: 876 s, black square: 1236 s, black triangle: 1536 s after bleaching.

e-f Plots of average normalized fluorescence over time in bleached regions (1.5 µm x 1.5 µm) within the condensates of PGL-3 (n = 9) **e** or S1 (n=9) **f** imaged as described in **a**. Error bars represent 1 SD.

Supplementary Movies

Supplementary Movie 1
Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching of P granules in live *C. elegans* (pachytene region) expressing PGL-3-mEGFP. Time stamp and scale bar (3 µm) indicated (related to Fig. 4e).

Supplementary Movie 2
Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs show fluorescence recovery after photobleaching of P granules in live *C. elegans* (pachytene region) expressing PGL-3 (Δ425-452)-mEGFP. Time stamp and scale bar (3 µm) indicated (related to Fig. 4e).