In-vivo* detection of cyclic-di-AMP in *Staphylococcus aureus

Running Title: RNA biosensor based detection of cyclic-di-AMP in *S. aureus*

Nagaraja Mukkayyan,[a,b,#] Raymond Poon,[a,b,#] Philipp N. Sander,[c] Li-Yin Lai,[a,b] Zahra

Zubair-Nizami,[a,b] Ming C. Hammond[c,d]* and Som S. Chatterjee[a,b,e]*

[a] Department of Microbial Pathogenesis, School of Dentistry, University of Maryland,

Baltimore, MD.

[b] Institute of Marine and Environmental Technology, Baltimore, MD.

[c] Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

[d] Department of Chemistry and Henry Eyring Center for Cell and Genome Sciences,

University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT.

[e] University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Baltimore, MD.

[#] Equal contributors

* Correspondence: Ming C. Hammond (ming.hammond@utah.edu) and Som S.

Chatterjee (schatterjee@umaryland.edu)

ABSTRACT

Cyclic-di-AMP (CDA) is a signaling molecule that controls various cellular functions

including antibiotic tolerance and osmoregulation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. In this

study, we developed a novel biosensor (*bsuO* P6-4) for *in-vivo* detection of CDA in *S.*

aureus. Our study showed that *bsuO* P6-4 could detect a wide concentration range of

CDA in both laboratory and clinical strains making it suitable for use in both basic and

clinical research applications.

KEYWORDS: Cyclic-di-AMP, biosensors, *Staphylococcus aureus*

Main text

Cyclic-di-AMP (CDA) is a newly discovered second messenger, which is present in bacteria belonging to the phyla firmicutes and actinobacteria (1). Recent studies have demonstrated that CDA plays important roles in regulating vital biological processes such as DNA repair, ion homeostasis, and central carbon metabolism among others (2-5). In addition, CDA has also been implicated in controlling processes that are important for bacterial pathogenesis such as biofilm formation, antibiotic tolerance, and virulence (6-10). CDA mediates its function through binding to its cognate effectors (i.e. proteins and riboswitches) and thereby modifying their function through allosteric changes and/or through altered gene expression. Thus, maintaining the correct concentration of CDA in bacterial cells is critical not only to retaining cellular homeostasis but also to responding to changing environmental needs. This is attained by controlling CDA's synthesis or degradation machinery(s) that is present in bacterial cells.

In *Staphylococcus aureus*, CDA synthesis and degradation are mediated by DacA (diadenylate cyclase) and GdpP (the primary CDA phosphodiesterase) respectively (1). Recent studies have highlighted that increased CDA concentrations promote tolerance to β-lactam antibiotics and allow cell wall restructuring (8, 11). Furthermore, a growing number of contemporary clinical surveillance studies have identified mutations in *gdpP* among β-lactam resistant or non-susceptible natural isolates of *S. aureus* (12-15). Since many of these mutations have been either shown (8) or are predicted to attenuate the function of GdpP, causing increased CDA concentrations in cells, these findings underscored the clinical importance of CDA and highlighted the importance of accurate determination of its concentrations for both basic and clinical research settings.

Detection and quantification of CDA are typically carried out either through HPLC/MS,

indirect ELISA, or dye intercalation assay (16-18). These assays determine CDA's

abundance in a static manner, i.e. in samples containing bacterial cell lysates. Of these,

HPLC/MS despite being a gold standard in the quantification of small molecules such as

CDA requires expensive technical infrastructure and operational expertise. The

operation of ELISA is relatively easy but requires expertise in protein purification. In this

study, we present a novel RNA-based CDA biosensor (*bsuO* P6-4), which can

determine its concentration in live cells through flow cytometric analysis.

bsuO P6-4 is a second-generation CDA biosensor with improved CDA affinity and

signal-to-noise ratio than its predecessor, *yuaA* P1-4 (19). This improvement was

achieved by fusing the pro-fluorescent dye-binding RNA aptamer (Spinach) to the P6

stem instead of the P1 stem (as in *yuaA* P1-4) of the natural CDA binding riboswitch

sequence present in the upstream region of *ydaO*/*yuaA* genes in *Bacillus subtilis* (20)

(Fig.1A). This rational design of *bsuO* P6-4 restored the pseudoknot interaction between

the P1 and P8 stems, which acts as a native stabilizer of the *ydaO*/*yuaA* riboswitch

structure (21-23). Additionally, a modified fluorescent dye binding module, coined as

cpSpinach2 (24) that was circularly permutized to accept a transducer stem was used

for the construction of *bsuO* P6-4 (Fig.1A). Thus, *bsuO* P6-4 consists of two

components, the CDA-binding *yda*O/*yua*A module, and the pro-fluorescent, DFHBI-

binding cpSpinach2 module. Binding of CDA to *bsuO* P6-4 enabled appropriate folding

of the cpSpinach2 module, allowing DFHBI binding and production of a fluorescence

signal (Fig.1B) and thereby enabling detection of CDA.

An *in-vitro* fluorescence assay testing the CDA biosensors revealed a >10-fold higher

affinity of *bsuO* P6-4 compared to its predecessor *yuaA* P1-4 (Fig.1C). In preparation for

in-vivo experiments, a tRNA scaffold was added to flank the 5' and 3' ends of the

biosensors for increased RNA half-life (Table S1) (25). The resultant biosensors were

cloned into a constitutive expression vector and transformed into a wild-type (Wt) *S.*

aureus and its isogenic Δ*gdpP* strain. While both the biosensors were able to report the

higher level of CDA that is characteristic of a Δ*gdpP* strain (8), *bsuO* P6-4 showed

significantly enhanced fluorescence compared to that of *yuaA* P1-4. More importantly,

bsuO P6-4 compared to *yuaA* P1-4 displayed a higher dynamic range of signal (3.73X

vs 1.55X) between Wt and Δ*gdpP* strains making it amenable for detection of a wider

concentration range of CDA (Fig.1D). The reason why *yuaA* P1-4 exhibits higher

background fluorescence than *bsuO* P6-4 *in vivo* is unknown.

Next, we sought to determine whether *bsuO* P6-4 could report different concentrations

of CDA in the cells. For this purpose, isogenic *gdpP* point mutants were created that

displayed varying degrees of GdpP's loss of function that were identified in our previous

study (8). As shown in figure 2, *bsuO* P6-4 was able to detect differing CDA

concentrations in the isogenic strains (Fig.2A), which correlated well (R^2=0.9453) with

the results independently obtained through ELISA assay among the identical strains

(Fig.2B & C). In addition to the isogenic strains, *bsuO* P6-4 was also able to determine

different CDA concentrations in clinical isolates (Fig. S1), which suggested that it could

be used in both laboratory and clinical strains. Our results further showed that the Wt

and Δ*gdpP* strains with *bsuO* P6-4 were also suitable for fluorescent microscopic

analysis (Fig. S2). However, this method was not sensitive enough to differentiate the intermediate concentrations of CDA of the other isogenic strains (data not shown).

In summary, we have developed a novel *bsuO* P6-4 biosensor that is effective in determining different CDA concentrations in live *S. aureus* cells through flow cytometry. The plasmid harboring *bsuO* P6-4 can be transformed into both laboratory and clinical *S. aureus* isolates for reporting CDA concentration. This biosensor-based approach could be used in flux detection of CDA concentrations in future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NIH grants 2R01AI100291 and startup funds provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore, and the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science to SSC and NIH grant R01 GM124589 to MCH. The authors would also like to thank the Charles A. and Lois H. Miller Foundation for their generous gift to purchase the flow cytometer used in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Corrigan RM, Grundling A. 2013. Cyclic di-AMP: another second messenger enters the fray. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:513-24.

2. Corrigan RM, Campeotto I, Jeganathan T, Roelofs KG, Lee VT, Grundling A. 2013. Systematic identification of conserved bacterial c-di-AMP receptor proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:9084-9.

3.	Oppenheimer-Shaanan Y, Wexselblatt E, Katzhendler J, Yavin E, Ben-Yehuda S. 2011. c-di-AMP reports DNA integrity during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. EMBO Rep 12:594-601.

4.	Sureka K, Choi PH, Precit M, Delince M, Pensinger DA, Huynh TN, Jurado AR, Goo YA, Sadilek M, Iavarone AT, Sauer JD, Tong L, Woodward JJ. 2014. The cyclic dinucleotide c-di-AMP is an allosteric regulator of metabolic enzyme function. Cell 158:1389-1401.

5.	Witte G, Hartung S, Buttner K, Hopfner KP. 2008. Structural biochemistry of a bacterial checkpoint protein reveals diadenylate cyclase activity regulated by DNA recombination intermediates. Mol Cell 30:167-78.

6.	Fahmi T, Port GC, Cho KH. 2017. c-di-AMP: An Essential Molecule in the Signaling Pathways that Regulate the Viability and Virulence of Gram-Positive Bacteria. Genes (Basel) 8.

7.	Huynh TN, Luo S, Pensinger D, Sauer JD, Tong L, Woodward JJ. 2015. An HD-domain phosphodiesterase mediates cooperative hydrolysis of c-di-AMP to affect bacterial growth and virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E747-56.

8.	Poon R, Basuino L, Satishkumar N, Chatterjee A, Mukkayyan N, Buggeln E, Huang L, Nair V, Argudin MA, Datta SK, Chambers HF, Chatterjee SS. 2022. Loss of GdpP Function in Staphylococcus aureus Leads to beta-Lactam Tolerance and Enhanced Evolution of beta-Lactam Resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 66:e0143121.

9. Witte CE, Whiteley AT, Burke TP, Sauer JD, Portnoy DA, Woodward JJ. 2013.

Cyclic di-AMP is critical for Listeria monocytogenes growth, cell wall

homeostasis, and establishment of infection. mBio 4:e00282-13.

10. Woodward JJ, Iavarone AT, Portnoy DA. 2010. c-di-AMP secreted by

intracellular Listeria monocytogenes activates a host type I interferon response.

Science 328:1703-5.

11. Corrigan RM, Abbott JC, Burhenne H, Kaever V, Grundling A. 2011. c-di-AMP is

a new second messenger in Staphylococcus aureus with a role in controlling cell

size and envelope stress. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002217.

12. Argudin MA, Dodemont M, Taguemount M, Roisin S, de Mendonca R, Deplano

A, Nonhoff C, Denis O. 2017. In vitro activity of ceftaroline against clinical

Staphylococcus aureus isolates collected during a national survey conducted in

Belgian hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:56-59.

13. Argudin MA, Roisin S, Nienhaus L, Dodemont M, de Mendonca R, Nonhoff C,

Deplano A, Denis O. 2018. Genetic Diversity among Staphylococcus aureus

Isolates Showing Oxacillin and/or Cefoxitin Resistance Not Linked to the

Presence of mec Genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.

14. Ba X, Kalmar L, Hadjirin NF, Kerschner H, Apfalter P, Morgan FJ, Paterson GK,

Girvan SL, Zhou R, Harrison EM, Holmes MA. 2019. Truncation of GdpP

mediates beta-lactam resistance in clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus. J

Antimicrob Chemother 74:1182-1191.

15. Sommer A, Fuchs S, Layer F, Schaudinn C, Weber RE, Richard H, Erdmann

MB, Laue M, Schuster CF, Werner G, Strommenger B. 2021. Mutations in the

gdpP gene are a clinically relevant mechanism for beta-lactam resistance in meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus lacking mec determinants. Microb Genom 7.

16. Burhenne H, Kaever V. 2013. Quantification of cyclic dinucleotides by reversed-phase LC-MS/MS. Methods Mol Biol 1016:27-37.

17. Underwood AJ, Zhang Y, Metzger DW, Bai G. 2014. Detection of cyclic di-AMP using a competitive ELISA with a unique pneumococcal cyclic di-AMP binding protein. J Microbiol Methods 107:58-62.

18. Zhou J, Sayre DA, Zheng Y, Szmacinski H, Sintim HO. 2014. Unexpected complex formation between coralyne and cyclic diadenosine monophosphate providing a simple fluorescent turn-on assay to detect this bacterial second messenger. Anal Chem 86:2412-20.

19. Kellenberger CA, Chen C, Whiteley AT, Portnoy DA, Hammond MC. 2015. RNA-Based Fluorescent Biosensors for Live Cell Imaging of Second Messenger Cyclic di-AMP. J Am Chem Soc 137:6432-5.

20. Nelson JW, Sudarsan N, Furukawa K, Weinberg Z, Wang JX, Breaker RR. 2013. Riboswitches in eubacteria sense the second messenger c-di-AMP. Nat Chem Biol 9:834-9.

21. Gao A, Serganov A. 2014. Structural insights into recognition of c-di-AMP by the ydaO riboswitch. Nat Chem Biol 10:787-92.

22. Jones CP, Ferre-D'Amare AR. 2014. Crystal structure of a c-di-AMP riboswitch reveals an internally pseudo-dimeric RNA. EMBO J 33:2692-703.

23.   Ren A, Patel DJ. 2014. c-di-AMP binds the ydaO riboswitch in two pseudo-

symmetry-related pockets. Nat Chem Biol 10:780-6.

24.   Su Y, Hickey SF, Keyser SG, Hammond MC. 2016. In Vitro and In Vivo Enzyme

Activity Screening via RNA-Based Fluorescent Biosensors for S-Adenosyl-l-

homocysteine (SAH). J Am Chem Soc 138:7040-7.

25.   Ponchon L, Dardel F. 2007. Recombinant RNA technology: the tRNA scaffold.

Nat Methods 4:571-6.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Construction of the cyclic-di-AMP biosensor *bsuO* P6-4 and its ability to

detect cyclic-di-AMP. A) & B) Schematic representation of the creation and function of

bsuO P6-4. C) & D) Comparison of *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* detection of CDA respectively by

bsuO P6-4 and *yuaA* P1-4.

Figure 2: Ability of *bsuO* P6-4 in detecting varying concentrations of cyclic-di-

AMP in *S. aureus.* A) & B) Detection of CDA in wild-type and isogenic strains of *S.*

aureus which carried GdpP loss of function mutations using flow cytometry and ELISA

assay respectively. C) Correlation of signals obtained in A & B.

Figure 1: Construction of the cyclic-di-AMP biosensor *bsuO* P6-4 and its ability to detect cyclic-di-AMP. A) & B) Schematic representation of the creation and function of *bsuO* P6-4. C) & D) Comparison of *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* detection of CDA respectively by *bsuO* P6-4 and *yuaA* P1-4.

Figure 2: Ability of *bsuO* P6-4 in detecting varying concentrations of cyclic-di-AMP in *S. aureus*. A) & B) Detection of CDA in wild-type and isogenic strains of *S. aureus* which carried GdpP loss of function mutations using flow cytometry and ELISA assay respectively. C) Correlation of signals obtained in A & B.