Haplotype-phased and chromosome-level genome assembly of *Puccinia polysora*, a giga-scale fungal pathogen causing southern corn rust

Junmin Liang[1], Yuanjie Li [1,2], Peter N. Dodds[3], Melania Figueroa[3], Jana Sperschneider[3], Shiling Han[1,2], Clement K.M. Tsui[4,5,6], Keyu Zhang[7], Leifu Li[7], Zhanhong Ma[7], Lei Cai[1,2*]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

[2] College of Life Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[3] Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Agriculture and Food, Canberra, 10 ACT, Australia

[4] Division of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3, Canada

[5] National Centre for Infectious Diseases, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, 308433, Singapore

[6] LKC School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, 308232, Singapore

[7] Department of Plant Pathology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China

Correspondence: Lei Cai, State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China.

Email: cail@im.ac.cn

Abstract

Rust fungi are characterized by large genomes with high repeat content and have two haploid nuclei in most life stages, which makes achieving high-quality genome assemblies challenging. Here, we described a pipeline using HiFi reads and Hi-C data to assemble a gigabase-sized fungal pathogen, *Puccinia polysora* f.sp. *zeae*, to haplotype-phased and chromosome-scale. The final assembled genome is 1.71 Gbp, with ~850 Mbp and 18 chromosomes in each haplotype, being currently one of the two giga-scale fungi assembled to chromosome level. Transcript-based annotation identified 47,512 genes for dikaryotic genome with a similar number for each haplotype. A high level of interhaplotype variation was found with 10% haplotype-specific BUSCO genes, 5.8 SNPs/kbp and structural variation accounting for 3% of the genome size. The *P. polysora* genome displayed over 85% repeat contents, with genome-size expansion and copy number increasing of species-specific orthogroups. Interestingly, these features did not affect overall synteny with other *Puccinia* species having smaller genomes. Fine-time-point transcriptomics revealed seven clusters of co-expressed secreted proteins that are conserved between two haplotypes. The fact that candidate effectors interspersed with all genes indicated

the absence of a "two-speed genome" evolution in *P. polysora*. Genome resequencing of 79

additional isolates revealed a clonal population structure of *P. polysora* in China with low

geographic differentiation. Nevertheless, a minor population differentiated from the major

population by having mutations on secreted proteins including *AvrRppC*, indicating the ongoing

virulence to evade recognition by *RppC*, a major resistance gene in Chinese corn cultivars. The

high-quality assembly provides valuable genomic resources for future studies on disease

management and the evolution of *P. polysora*.

Keywords: rust fungi, *de novo* genome assembly, phasing, population genomics, *AvrRppC*

1 | INTRODUCTION

Rust fungi (Pucciniales) constitute one of the largest orders in the kingdom of fungi, with more

than 8,000 species grouped into 18 families and approximately 170 accepted genera (Zhao et al.

2020, 2021; Aime and McTaggart 2021). Rust fungi are obligate biotrophs, which are usually

recalcitrant to in vitro culturing and are host-specific to particular species, genera, or families of

vascular plants. Their life cycles are diverse and complex, including up to five types of spores

(spermatia, aeciospores, urediniospores, teliospores, and basidiospores) (Zhao et al. 2021).

Spores of the most abundant life stage are dikaryon, which contains two physically separated and

genetically different haploid nuclei. The Pucciniales contains species with the largest known

genomes, with an average haplotype genome size of 380 Mbp estimated by flow cytometry, far

larger than that of all fungi (37.7 Mbp) (Tavares et al. 2014). Based on flow cytometry, the

largest known rust genome (*Uromyces bidentis*) was estimated at up to 2.4 Gbp (Ramos et al.

2015). The repeat contents of rust genomes range from 30% to 91% (Amie et al. 2017; Tobias et

al. 2021). Their dikaryotic nature and highly repetitive sequences pose substantial challenges for

high-quality genome assembly as well as an in-depth understanding of evolution within the rust

group.

In addition to the above intriguing biological features, rust fungi have also received wide

attention for causing major diseases on agricultural and forest crops worldwide. The most

devastating rust pathogen include *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Pst*), *P. graminis* f.sp. *tritici*

(*Pgt*) and *P. triticina* f.sp. *tritici* (*Ptt*), causing three of the world's most serious wheat rust

diseases (Kolmer 2005); *Puccinia sorghi* f.sp. *zeae* (*Psz*) and *P. polysora* f.sp. *zeae* (*Ppz*), also

seriously threaten global crop production by causing common and southern corn rust of maize

(Crouch and Szabo 2011; Ramirez-Cabral et al. 2017); and *Melampsora larici-populina* and

Austropuccinia psidii, two notorious forest pathogens leading to poplar rust and myrtle rust,

respectively (Pinon and Frey 1997; Winzer et al. 2019). Effective strategies for management of

rust disease rely heavily on host resistance breeding programs. In host-rust interactions, rust

fungi deliver specific effectors (avirulence genes, *Avr*) into host cells, which can be recognized

by resistance proteins from resistant plants and trigger defense responses (Dodds and Rathjen

2010). However, rust pathogens can acquire mutations in the *Avr* gene to avoid this recognition

and facilitate the pathogen infection (Cui et al. 2015). For instance, the Ug99 race (TTKSK) of *P.*

graminis evolved virulence to the widely deployed *Sr31* resistance gene in wheat has become a

big threat to global wheat production (Singh et al. 2011). Therefore, understanding the molecular

mechanism of *Avr-R*, or gene-for-gene relationship, is critical for durable disease control. Given

their biotrophic lifestyle, the genetic transformation of rust fungi is difficult and challenging.

Nevertheless, genome sequencing data of rust species has enabled the prediction of candidate

effectors which facilitate the identification of *Avr* genes (Figueroa et al. 2016; Anderson et al.

2016; Maia et al. 2017; Salcedo et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2018; 2020;

Upadhyaya et al 2021).

Early rust genome assemblies were haploid representations and different homologous

haplotypes were collapsed into a consensus assembly, which did not fully capture sequences

from both nuclei. Using single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing technology and

haplotype resolution software, FALCON-Unzip (Chin et al. 2016), allowed higher contiguity and

partially haplotype-phased genomes of a few *Puccinia* species (Miller et al. 2018; Schwessinger

et al. 2018, Wu et al. 2021). Other softwares have been developed to obtain sub-assemblies from

diploid assemblies, such as HaploMerger2 (Huang et al. 2017) and Purge_Haplotigs (Roach et al.

2018), but many duplicate contigs cannot be correctly switched leading to mis-joins in the final

sub-assemblies. Recently, several softwares have been developed to phase two haplotypes from

the diploid genome using Hi-C data, such as TrioCanu (Koren et al. 2018), NuclearPhaser (Duan

et al. 2022) and FALCON-Phase (Kronenberg et al. 2022). Three *Puccinia* species, causing

wheat or oat rust have been assembled to a fully-phased and chromosome level using

NuclearPhaser (Li et al. 2019; Duan et al. 2022; Henningsen et al. 2022). Unlike above rusts,

which have been studied extensively, the genome information of corn rust pathogens is largely

unknown. Since 2012, global maize production has exceeded that of wheat and rice, becoming

the food crop with the highest world production yield (FAO,

https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL), meanwhile, the distribution of the two major rust

diseases (common corn rust and southern corn rust) in corn is projected to expand to temperate

regions with increasing global temperatures (Ramirez-Cabral et al. 2017), which poses a greater

threat to global food safety. A draft genome sequence of common corn rust (*P. sorghi*) was

released in 2016, although it was highly fragmented (Rochi et al. 2016). However, genomic

information for southern corn rust pathogens has not been reported, which seriously hampers

avirulence gene identification and breeding of disease resistance. The genome sizes of recent

phased *Puccinia* species range from ~170 Mbp to 250 Mbp (Li et al. 2019; Miller et al. 2018;

Wu et al. 2021), whereas the genome of *P. polysora* was estimated over gigabase in our

preliminary test (Figure S1), which poses additional challenges for genome assembly.

In this study, we assembled the genome of *P. polysora* to haplotype-resolved and

chromosome-scale levels using a modified haplotype-phasing assembly pipeline. To our

knowledge, the current study and the report on the myrtle rust pathogen, *Austropuccinia psidii*

(Edwards et al. 2022) provide the first giga-scale fungal genome ever assembled to complete-

chromosome level. The *P. polysora* genome was annotated by fine-time-point gene expression

data from germinated spores and infected issues, which supplied robust data for coexpression

analyses of secreted proteins and prediction of candidate secreted effectors. Also, using the

haplotype-phasing genome as a reference, we investigated the genetic and population structure of

P. polysora based on genome sequencing of 79 additional samples from north, south and central

parts of China. The high-quality genome information represents a valuable resource for better

understanding genome evolution, host adaption, and genes involved in host-pathogen

interactions.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Fungal isolates and plant inoculation

The isolate, GD1913, collected from Guangdong province in the 2019 annual rust survey, was

chosen for reference genome sequencing. Based on a preliminary inoculation experiment,

GD1913 represented the most frequent virulence type. Additional 79 isolates, collected from the

south, central, and north China were selected and used for genome resequencing (Table S1). All

isolates were purified by selecting a single pustule from infected leaves and amplified by 2–3

rounds of infection on highly susceptible variety Zhengdan 958. Corn seeds immersed in

Chlormequat chloride (1.5 g/L) were sown in 10-cm wide square pots 10 days before inoculation.

When 10-cm tall, seedling growth was reduced by adding 15 mL maleic hydrazide acid (1.5 g/L)

per pot. Urediniospores were amplified by spraying on 10-day-old corn seedlings. The inoculated

seedlings were incubated with dew at 27°C in the dark for 24h before transferring to a climate-

controlled chamber (12h, 25°C dark period and a 12h, 27°C light period). To prevent airborne

contamination, 75% ethyl alcohol was sprayed on the area after each inoculation and each pot

was protected by a cellophane bag. Once the pots were heavily infected (ca. 15–20 days), spores

were harvested to clean cellophane by scraping them with sterile needles. For long-term storage,

fresh spores were dried (10% relative humidity) in a desiccator for 1 day at 4°C and then

maintained at -80°C or in liquid nitrogen.

2.2 | DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

About 500 mg of fresh urediniospores were ground for 4-5 batches in liquid nitrogen and

genomic DNA was extracted using the lysis buffer and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide

(CTAB) method (Justesen et al. 2002). The integrity of genomic DNA was assessed by Agilent

4200 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, USA). The average insert size of

15 kb PacBio library was concentrated with AMPure PB magnetic beads (Pacific Biosciences,

California, USA) following the manufacturer's instruction. Sequencing of high fidelity (HiFi)

long reads was carried out by the Pacific Bioscience Sequel II platform. For genome

resequencing, ~10 mg fresh urediniospores were placed in 2 mL screw-gap tubes filled with

Lysing Matrix C and ground twice in MP FastPrep-24 TM 5G (Mp Biomedicals, USA) with a

speed setting of 4 for 20 seconds. The paired-end library with 150 bp was prepared and

sequenced using Illumina NovaSeq 6000. All sequencing was performed at Annoroad Gene

Technology Co., Ltd, (Beijing, China).

To generate a chromosome-level and haplotype phased assembly of *P. polysora* genome, a

Hi-C library was generated following in situ ligation protocols. In brief, fresh urediniospores (~

100 mg) of GD1913 were used for crosslinking reaction by 2% formaldehyde at room

temperature for 15 min. After Glycine quenching, the supernatant was removed and spores were

then ground with liquid nitrogen for DNA extraction. The purified DNA was digested with *Mbo*

I restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs Inc. Beijing, China) and was labeled by incubating

with Biotin-14-dATP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and then ligated by T4

DNA Ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). After incubating overnight to

reverse crosslinks, the ligated DNA was sheared into ~350 bp fragments. Finally, the Hi-C

library was quantified by Bioanalyzer and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform

using paired-end 150 cycles.

2.3 | *De novo* assembly, haplotype phasing and Hi-C scaffolding

HiFi reads were assembled by Canu 2.1.1 with -pacbio-hifi (Nurk et al. 2020). The coverage

depth was calculated by genomeCoverageBed in BEDtools (v2.29.2) (Quinlan and Hall 2010)

and small contigs (< 20 kbp) with low coverage (< 2×) were excluded from the further assembly.

The remaining contigs were examined by BLASTN search (v2.7.2) against the NCBI nt/nr

database (downloaded on May 20, 2021) with E-value set as 1e-10. To move the contaminants

e.g. plant rDNA and chloroplast sequences, we utilized a ContaminantScreening pipeline

(https://github.com/JanaSperschneider/GenomeAssemblyTools/tree/master/ContaminantScreenin

g). Contigs of high coverage and low GC content were defined as potential mitochondrial contigs,

and they were extracted from the assembled output from Canu and searched against the

mitochondrial database from NCBI (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/release/mitochondrion/).

The contigs (63 contigs in Table S2) with perfect matches to mitochondria were also excluded

and they were assembled separately by Canu v2.1.1 to obtain mtDNA. The assembled sequences

were searched against itself database to test the ring formation.

To obtain a haplotype-phased assembly, HaploMerger2 (Huang et al. 2017), a tool to

rebuild both haploid sub-assemblies from the high-heterozygosity diploid genome, was used.

The heterozygosity evaluated by Jellyfish v2.1.3 (Marçais and Kingsford 2011) and

Genomescope.R (Vurture et al. 2017) was 1.08% leading to the identity setting as 95% (Huang et

al. 2017). To better distinguish allelic and non-allelic combinations, the scoring scheme for

alignments was recalculated by a Perl script (lastz_D_Wrapper.pl) that came with HaploMerger2.

The top 22 (in length) contigs accounting for ~10% length of all contigs were assigned to

part1.fasta and others were in part2.fasta. Mis-joins were detected by three rounds and all breaks

were manually checked combined with Hi-C validation. Based on all-vs-all alignment

(hm.new_scaffolds), contigs were assigned separately to haplotype A and haplotype B.

For scaffolding, the raw Hi-C data were trimmed by removing adapters and low-quality bases,

and then two-paired reads were separately mapped to two haplotypes independently using BWA-

MEM v0.7.8 (Li and Durbin 2010). To move experimental artifacts, the alignments went through

the mapping workflow from the Arima Genomics pipeline

(https://github.com/ArimaGenomics/mapping_pipeline/blob/master/01_mapping_arima.sh).

Then SALSA v2.2 (Ghurye et al. 2017) was run to cluster initial contigs into groups. SALSA

scaffolding was performed independently on haplotype A and B contigs. Hi-C contact matrices

for each scaffold were calculated by HiC-Pro (Servant et al. 2015) and contact maps are

visualized by HiCPlotter (Akdemir and Chin 2015). Contig reversal and breaking of mis-joins

were corrected manually according to Hi-C contact map and global alignment resulted from

HaploMerger2 (hm.new_scaffolds). Hicexplorer v3.4.1 (Wolff et al. 2018) was used to calculate

Hi-C links of read pairs to each haplotype. To guide scaffolds to chromosomes, telomeres were

identified by telomere_identification.pl (https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-polysora-

genome/blob/main/Figure-1/telomere_identification.pl). The possible tandem repeats,

(CCTAAA/TTAGGG)n in most filamentous ascomycete fungi (Lue 2021), and other irregular

type reported in closely related rust fungi, (CCCTAA/TTTAGG)n, (CCCCTAA/TTAGGGG)n,

(CCCTAAA/TTTAGGG)n, (CCCTAA/TTAGGG)n, were all tested (Li et al. 2019; Tobias et al.

2021). Finally (CCCTAAA/TTTAGGG)n was identified as the telomere repeat units for *P.*

polysora. The corrected scaffolds were rebuilt to chromosome level according to telomeres. The

centromeres were identified by the cross-link signal based on Hi-C contact map (arrows in

Figure 2B). The completeness of each haplotype was evaluated using BUSCOs of

basidiomycota_odb9 and *Ustilago maydis* as the selected species for AUGUSTUS gene

prediction (Stanke and Morgenstern 2005) in BUSCO v3.0.2 (Simão et al. 2015). The workflow

of chromosome assembly has been illustrated in Figure 1A and the codes and pipelines used for

the assembly pipeline were available in github (https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-polysora-

genome/blob/main/Figure-1/Figure-1A.md).

2.4 | RNA extraction and sequencing

For gene annotation, transcriptome sequencing of *P. polysora* from various infection time points

was performed. Corn seedlings of 18 pots (10 seedlings/pot) were inoculated with 10 mg

spores/mL mixed of Tween 20 (v/v: 0.05%). About five infected leaves were cut off per

biological replicate at 1 day post inoculation (dpi), 2 dpi, 4 dpi, 7dpi, 10 dpi, and 14 dpi. In

addition, germinated spore samples were prepared by placing 30 mg of fresh urediniospores on

the surface of sterile water at 25–28°C in dark for12 hours. Three biological replicates were

performed for each condition. All samples were collected in 4 mL Eppendorf tubes, frozen in

liquid nitrogen before storing at -80°C. Before RNA extraction, samples were ground in liquid

nitrogen and RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Minikit (Qiagen) according to the

manufacturer's protocols (https://www.qiagen.com/us/resources/download.aspx?id=246847e7-

0095-43e4-8d1d-41df3f9153dd&lang=en). After checking the RNA quality by Bioanalyzer,

~350 bp library was constructed and sequenced by Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Annoroad

Gene Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). Before transcriptome assembly, raw reads were

trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014) with the settings: ILLUMINICLIP

2:30:10 LEADING 3, TRAILING 3 SLIDINGWINDOW 4:10 and MINLEN 50. A reference-

guided transcriptome assembly was performed in Trinity v2.8.5 (Grabherr et al. 2011) using

combined reads from germinated spores and infected leaves.

2.5 | Repeats annotation and LTR aging

To compare the repeat contents between *P. polysora* (*Ppz*_GD1913) and other rust species, the

genome data of *Melampsora larici_populina* (*Mlp*_98AG31), *P. sorghi* (*Psz*_RO10H11247), *P.*

coronata (Pca203), *P. graminis* (*Pgt*21-0), *P. triticina* (*Pt*76) and *P. striiformis* (*Pst*104E) were

downloaded from NCBI or MycoCosm (DOE Joint Genome Institute), and *Austropuccina psidii*

(*Ap*_Au_3) was downloaded from European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI. The

repeat contents were identified by a merged library including a self library in RepeatMasker

v4.0.8 (Smit et al. 2015) and a genome-trained library from RepeatModeler v1.0.11 (Smit and

Hubley 2008). The retrotransposons with long terminal repeats (LTR-RTs) of GD1913 were

identified with an in-house pipeline that uses *LTRharvest* (Ellinghaus et al. 2008) packaged in

LTR_retriever v2.9.0 (Ou and Jiang 2018). The classification of LTR-RTs was annotated based

on REXdb (http://repeatexplorer.org) (Neumann et al. 2019) performed by TEsorter (Zhang et al.

2022).The genetic distances (GD) of each pair of LTR-RTs were calculated by *dist.dna* in R

package of *ape*. The insert times (T) of LTR-RTs were estimated following the formula, T =

GD/(2*mutation_rate). We used a mutation rate of 2.0×10^{-8} from a closely related fungus,

Schizophyllum commune (Baranova et al. 2015).

2.6 | Gene prediction and genome annotation

The two haplotypes were annotated independently using Funannotate v1.8.7

(https://github.com/nextgenusfs/funannotate/releases/tag/v1.8.7). A pipeline of core modules was

applied as mask-> train->predict->update-> fix->annotate. The repeats of the assembled genome

were soft-masked according to the results of 2.5. The prediction step (funannotate predict) was

run with transcript evidence from Minimap 2 (Li 2018) RNA-seq alignments and genome-guided

Trinity assemblies. Transcript evidence was aligned to two haplotypes separately and the protein

evidence was aligned to the genome via Diamond (Buchfink et al. 2015)/Exonerate (Slater and

Birney 2005) with the default UniProtKb/SwissProt protein database

(http://legacy.uniprot.org/uniprot/?query=reviewed%3Ayes) from funannotate. The PASA gene

models were parsed to train AUGUSTUS v3.2.3 (Stanke and Morgenstern 2005), snap and

GlimmerHMM. In addition, GeneMark_ES (Lomsadze et al. 2005) was self-trained using two

haplotypes' sequences. All above evidence was combined with default weight settings using

Evidence Modeler (Haas et al. 2008) and filtered by removing genes with short length (< 50 aa),

spanning gaps and transposable elements. The tRNA genes were predicted using tRNAscan-SE

v1.3.1 (Lowe and Chan 2016). Funannotate update command to add UTR data to the predictions

and fix gene models that are in disagreement with the RNA-seq data. Functional annotation was

performed based on available databases including Pfam v34.0) (Finn et al. 2014), InterPro (v86.0)

(Jones et al. 2014), eggNOG (v5.0) (Huerta-Cepas et al. 2019), UniProtKB (v.2021_03),

MEROPS (v12.3) (Rawlings et al. 2016), carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymatic domains

(CAZymes) (Terrapon et al. 2017) and a set of transcription factors based on InterProScan

domains to assign functional annotations.

2.7 | Interhaplotype variation analysis

Small variants including SNPs and indels were identified by mapping trimmed short reads from

DNA against haplotype A with BWA-MEM v0.7.8 (Li and Durbin 2010). After removing PCR

duplicates using Picard v2.18.27 (https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard), the bam file was

input to GATK 4.1.9 (https://github.com/broadinstitute/gatk) to call SNPs. SNPs and Indels were

filtered using gatk VariantFiltration with parameters QD > 2.0 & MQ > 40.0 & FS < 50.0 &

SQR < 3.0 for SNPs and QD > 2.0 & QUAL > 30.0 & FS < 200.0 & ReadPosRankSum>-20.0.

Variants were annotated from genome location and functional impact using SnpEff v4.3

(Cingolani et al. 2012). To estimate structure variations (SVs) between two haplotigs, we aligned

18 chromosomes of haplotype A to their corresponding chromosomes in haplotype B by using

the nucmer program in Mummer 4 (--maxmatch -t 100 -l 100 -c 500) (Marçais et al. 2018). The

delta file was filtered by parameters, delta-filter -i 95 -l 1000. To make sure the filter parameters

acceptable, *show-coords* and *show-aligns* were used to check alignment details. Finally, the

chromosome-pair synteny was visualized by *mummerplot*. In addition, the chromosome-pair

alignments were also analyzed using Assemblytics (http://assemblytics.com/) to estimate SVs of

three major categories, including insertion/deletion, tandem repeats contraction/expansion and

repeats contraction/expansion. The running parameters of Assemblytics were set with the unique

sequence length=10,000, maximum variant size=10,000 and minimum variant size=1. To

identify the orthologous genes between two haplotypes, we used OrthoFinder v2.5.4 with the

default parameters (Emms and Kelly 2015).

2.8 | Prediction of candidate effectors

Secreted proteins were predicted based on two rules 1) the presence of a predicted signal peptide

using SignalP 4.0 (Petersen et al. 2011); and 2) the absence of predicted transmembrane domains

outside the first 60 amino acids (with TMHMM 2.0). To predict candidate effectors, we use

EffectorP 3.0 (Sperschneider and Dodds 2021), which applied two machine learning models

trained on apoplastic and cytoplasmic effectors. The density plots for genes, repeats, and secreted

proteins from chromosomes in two haplotypes were generated using KaryoploteR (Gel and Serra

2017) with the scripts available at https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-polysora-

genome/blob/main/Figure-4/Figure-4B.md. A recent study identified the first effector of *P.*

polysora, *AvrRppC* (Deng et al. 2022). To locate its genome position, we blasted the CDS

sequence of *AvrRppC*[ref] against genome sequences of two haplotypes in this study.

To understand the expression pattern of secreted proteins, we used all expression data from

germinated spores and six time-points to perform a differential expression analysis. The allele

specific mapping of Kallisto v0.48 (Bray et al. 2016) was applied to obtain the expression levels

in transcripts per million (TPM) of genes on both haplotypes. FeatureCounts v1.5.3 (Liao et al.

2014) was used to generate read counts for each gene model of secreted protein. Differentially

expressed genes were identified by expression in plants relative to germinated spores (|log fold

change| > 1.5; adjusted $P < 0.1$) using the DESeq2 R package (Love et al. 2014). The average

rlog-transformed values for each gene were used for clustering using the k-means method. The

optimal number of clusters was defined using the elbow bend method

(https://www.delftstack.com/howto/r/k-means-clustering-r) and circular heatmaps were plotted

using the Circlize R package (Gu et al. 2014).

2.9 | Comparative genome analysis

The high quality of *P. polysora* genome provides the opportunity for comparison with other

chromosome-level references, e.g., *Pgt*21-0 (Li et al. 2019), *Pt*76 (Duan et al. 2022) and *Pca*203

(Henningsen et al. 2022). Syntenic gene pairs among *Puccinia* species were identified using the

MCSCAN toolkit (Wang et al. 2012). Figures were plotted using MCscan (Python version).

(https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/wiki/MCscan-(Python-version). Orthofinder v2.5.4 (Emms

and Kelly 2019) was applied to identify the orthogroups of six rust species with available

haplotype genomes. Besides the above three *Puccinia* species and *P. polysora* in this study, the

primary haplotype of *P. striiformis* (*Pst*104E) and *Austropuccinia psidii* (Au_3) were also

included. The phylogenetic relationship of these species was visualized by FigTree v1.4.3

(http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). The gained (+)/lost (−) gene numbers were calculated

by comparing the two most close species according to Orthogroups.GeneCount.tsv in

Orthofinder output.

2.10 | Population genetic analyses

To understand the population differentiation of *P. polysora* in China, 79 isolates were

resequenced. Reads were qualified by Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014) using the

parameters described in 2.4 RNA extraction and sequencing. To assess whether each isolate

comprises a single genotype free of contamination, the distribution of read counts for bi-allelic

SNPs was calculated by R package vcfR v1.8.0 (Knaus and Grunwald 2017) and plotted using

ggplot2 (v2.2.1) (Wickham 2016). The normal distribution of read allele frequencies at

heterozygous positions is expected to rule out contamination from other *Ppz* genotypes and only

the pure isolates were applied in the following population genomic analyses. All clean reads of

pure isolates were mapped to haplotype A and SNPs calling and filtration were performed by

GATK v4.1.9 as described in 2.7 Interhaplotype variation analysis section. Population structure

was detected and quantified using principal component analysis (PCA), which was performed

using Plink v1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007). Pairwise FST values were estimated between geographical

regions using the PopGenome R package (v2.6.1) (Pfeifer et al. 2014). To assess the effects of

variants, the vcf file including selected SNPs was run in SnpEff v4.3 (Cingolani et al. 2012).

Because the sexual stage of *P. polysora* has not been reported, we used the standardized index of

association (*rd*) to test linkage disequilibrium in the Chinese *Ppz* population. We constructed 100

sets of 10,000 random SNPs by samp.ia function from R package *poppr* (Kamvar et al. 2014) to

generate a distribution of *rd* values. The observed *rd* distribution of the Chinese population was

compared to the distribution of 10,000 *rd* values constructed using fully randomly simulated

datasets with 0%, 50%, 75% and 100% linkage.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Chromosome-level genome assembly and haplotype-phasing of *P. polysora*

We generated a total of 53 Gbp of circular consensus reads (32× coverage) from single-molecule real-time sequences on the PacBio Sequel II. A total of 4056 contigs were assembled with a genome size of 1.76 Gbp and a contig N50 of 1.9 Mbp (Table 1). A total of 1627 contigs, accounting for ~2% of the *de novo* assembly size were excluded due to small size, low coverage or high mitochondrial similarity (Table S2). By using Haplomerger2, the remaining contigs were assigned to two haplotypes, A and B, with 613 contigs representing ~862 Mbp and 1321 contigs representing ~852 Mbp, respectively. These contigs were further connected to 173 and 344 scaffolds in haplotypes A and B by Hi-C scaffolding (Table 1), after manual checking of 33 duplicated scaffolds between (17) and within (16) haplotypes (Table S3). By considering both Hi-C contact maps and the all-vs-all alignment from Haplomerger2, 18 super scaffolds were binned for each haplotype manually. The Hi-C contact map showed evidence of a single centromere on each scaffold and all scaffolds contained telomere sequences at either end (Table 1, Figures 1B, 1C), indicating that each haplotype contains 18 chromosomes. This is consistent with the observations of 18 chromosomes in the genome of other *Puccinia* species (Boehm et al. 1992; Li et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2021; Duan et al. 2022). In addition, 15 (6.5 Mbp) and 20 (4.5 Mbp) scaffolds in haplotype A and B respectively could not be correctly connected to any chromosomes due to short length or insufficient Hi-C signal. The 18 chromosomes of haplotype A showed an average of over 80% Hi-C links to haplotype A, suggesting high levels of nuclear phasing (Figure 1D). The chromosomes were numbered according to the synteny with the other *Puccinia* species (see below). In addition, a cyclized mitochondrial sequence (67 Kb) was assembled.

3.2 | Repeats in the supersize genome of *P. polysora*

The completeness of the *Ppz* assembly was assessed based on highly conserved Basidiomycete genes (Badidiomycete_odb9, 1335 BUSCOs), which suggested that the two haplotypes showed a similar level of completeness, with approximately 90% complete BUSCO genes and an additional 3.5% fragmented BUSCOs, respectively (Table 1). However, 126 BUSCO genes were complete in haplotype A but not present in haplotype B. On the contrary, 127 complete BUSCO genes are only present in haplotype B, which suggests interhaplotype variation in *P. polysora*. Considering the two haplotypes in combination, only 11 BUSCOs were missing or

fragmented, resulting in 99% complete BUSCOs. That means we assembled a near-complete

genome of *P. polysora*.

Using the *de novo* library of RepeatMasker and genome-trained library of RepeatModeler,

RepeatMasker detected repeats accounting for 85% of the diploid assembly (both haplotypes),

which is significantly higher than observed in closely related species of *Puccinia* (30%–59%)

(Miller et al. 2018; Schwessinger et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2021), but similar to *Austropuccinia*

psidii (85% estimated in this study but 91% in its original description) (Tobias et al. 2021). The

repeat density and low GC content (~40%) along the two haplotypes were illustrated in Figure

2A. The large percentage of repeats in *P. polysora* was mainly caused by Class I

retrotransposons with long terminal repeats (LTR), with the LTR-Gypsy superfamily most

abundant (33.5%), and LTR-Copia next (17.9%). The TE family composition was similar to

other rust species, except for *A. psidii*, which is LTR-Gypsy dominated with LTR-Copia

accounting for only 1.9% (Figure 2B). In addition, about 20% and 16% of repeats of *P. polysora*

in two haplotypes were unclassified repeat families based on Repbase (Bao et al. 2015).

Since LTR-RTs occupied the majority of the *P. polysora* genome, we examined whether

these repetitive sequences slowly accumulated over time or alternatively were subject to sudden

expansion in the life history of *P. polysora*. A total of 41,634 LTR-RT pairs were extracted. An

LTR-RT burst was estimated at around 1.7 Mya (Figure 2C).

3.3 | High levels of interhaplotype gene content and structural variation

RNA-seq reads from germinated spores and infected corn leaves at 1, 2, 4, 7, 10, and 14 dpi

(Table S4) were pooled and used to generate genome-guided transcriptome assemblies. In total,

we annotated 23,270 and 24,242 gene models on haplotype A and haplotype B, respectively

(Table 2). The protein and CDS sequences are available from

https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-polysora-genome. The gene space of these genes accounts

for only ~4% of the total genome size. The two haplotypes showed a similar level of functional

annotation with about 51% of proteins having at least one functional annotation. Orthofinder

identified a total of 13,057 common orthogroups between the two haplotypes, involving 20,802

genes from haplotype A and 21,519 genes from haplotype B, over 90% in each haplotype. In

addition, 198 and 235 orthogroups were specific to haplotype A or B respectively. About 70% of

haplotype-specific genes have no functional annotations, with the remainder having annotated

functions mainly in RNA mediated transposition, transmembrane amino acid transposition or
ATP binding (Table S5).

By mapping Illumina reads to only haplotype A, we detected a total heterozygous rate of
6.1 variants/kbp, in which the SNP variant rate is dominated (5.8 SNPs/kbp). About 78% of the
SNPs were located in intergenic regions and the rest (22%) were detected in 22,802 protein-
coding genes. The results of Assemblytics suggested that structural variation between haplotype
A and B comprised about 3.0% (26/849 Mbp) of the haploid genome size (Figure 3A). The full
chromosome-pair alignment between two haplotypes is illustrated in Figure 3B, with alignments
of chromsome09 and chromsome15 in Figure 3C and D, showing some insertions/deletions and
inversions, respectively. Among three types of variation, insertions/deletions and repeat
expansions/contractions are more prevalent than tandem expansions/contractions. Besides,
variation with size bins of 500 to 10,000 bp is the most prevalent, which accounts for 2.8%.
Because the maximal variant size was restricted to 10,000 in Assemblytics, the actual difference
between the two haplotypes could be even higher than estimated.

3.4 | Conservation of expression patterns between secreted proteins from two
haplotypes

We predicted 1183 and 1251 secreted proteins on haplotype A and haplotype B, respectively. By
using the machine-learning tool, EffectorP v3.0, about 14% and 27% of all secreted proteins
were predicted as apoplastic and cytoplasmic effectors, respectively (Table 2). The protein
sequences of secreted proteins are available from https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-
polysora-genome. GO enrichment analysis and Interproscan annotation predicted some effectors
involved in hydrolase activity, catalytic activity, protein tyrosine phosphatase activity and metal
ion binding, but most effectors had no homology with known or predicted functions (Table S6,
Figure S2). Then we used gene expression data to predict clusters in the secretome that are
differentially expressed during infection. The elbow bend plot (Figure S4) suggested the total
within-group sum of squares tend to be level off. Therefore, the expression data of secreted
proteins were assigned to seven clusters. Genes in cluster 1 showed high expression in
germinated urediniospores and early infection (1dpi, 2dpi) but low expression at 4dpi and 7dpi
when haustoria form. On the contrary, genes in clusters 2, 3, 4 and 5 showed low expression in
germinated spores, and highest in planta expression at days 1-2 (cluster 2), days 2-7 (cluster 3)
and from days 4-7 (cluster 4) and days 7-14 (cluster 5) Clusters 6 and 7 were more uniform in

expression through these stages, although cluster 6 genes increased later in infection. About 24%

to 67% of the secreted proteins in these clusters were predicted as candidate effectors by

EffectorP (Table S7). A similar set of expression profile clusters were also detected for genes in

haplotype B (Figure 4A). Nevertheless, two haplotypes presented different levels of

carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes). A total of 209 and 298 CAZymes were detected, of

which 9 vs 79 CAZymes were predicted to be secreted in haplotypes A and B, respectively

(Table S7). Among CAZymes subclasses, Glycoside hydrolase (GH) enzymes are abundant,

accounting for 48% (88 GH families) and 42% (126 GH families) of all CAZymes of haplotype

A and haplotype B, respectively. Of these, 51 GH families were predicted to be secreted. The

GH5 (cellulase and other diverse forms being exo-/endo-glucanases and endomannannases)

family (Langsto et al. 2011) was observed to be largely expanded in *P. polysora* as well as other

Puccinia species (Figure 5A). Besides, AA3 (glucose-methanol-choline oxidoreductases), CE4

(chitin and peptidoglycan deacetylases), GH18 (chitinases of classes III and V), CH47(α-

mannosidases), GT2 and GT90 are also abundant in *P. polysora*.

We also investigated other factors potentially associated with infection or plant immune

inhibition, such as transcription factors (TFs) and peptidases. We found most TF families of *P.

polysora* have low abundance except C2H2-type (IPR013087) and CCHC-type (IRP001878)

zinc finger class, which have 87 and 67 members in haplotypes A and B (Figure 4B). In total, we

annotated 286 and 304 proteases in haplotypes A and B, with A01A (aspartic proteases), C19

(ubiquitinyl hydrolases), C26 (gamma-glutamyl hydrolase), S08A (subtilisin-like serine

protease), S09X (glutamyl endopeptidase C), S10 (carboxypeptidase Y), S33 (prolyl

aminopeptidase) and T01A (component peptidases of the proteasome) families expanded in *P.

polysora* as well as in other three *Puccinia* species (Figure 5C). A total of 8 (2.8%) and 30

(10.0%) proteases were predicted to be secreted and these proteases showed a discrete

distribution in each cluster without an obvious clustering pattern (Table S7).

3.5 | Density of candidate effectors and *AvrRppC* anchoring

Analysis of the local gene density, measured as flanking distances between neighboring genes

showed that the flanking distances in the *P. polysora* genome are generally rather far, with an

average distance between genes of 100 kbp (Figure 4B). Accordingly, the surrounding genomic

context of most genes in *P. polysora* genome is gene-sparse and repeat-rich. Large flanking

distances are not specific to candidate effectors. In line with this pattern, the gene distance

density plots revealed very similar distributions between all genes and candidate effectors,

including the only known *Avr* effector gene, *AvrRppC* (Figure 4B). We further investigated

whether candidate effectors present a different distribution of gene distance density compared to

basidiomycete core ortholog genes of *P. polysora*. The results highlighted that candidate

effectors are not located in peculiar gene-sparse areas, and the flanking distance centers of both

BUSCOs and candidate effectors overlap with that of all genes (Figure 4B).

A previous study identified the avirulence effector, *AvrRppC*, and found six allelic variants

which were named as $AvrRppC^{ref}$, $AvrRppC^{A}$, $AvrRppC^{C}$, $AvrRppC^{E}$, $AvrRppC^{F}$, $AvrRppC^{J}$

(Deng et al. 2022). By searching the CDS sequence of $AvrRppC^{ref}$ against haplotypes A and B

we anchored *AvrRppC* at ~ 9 Mbp on chromosome 14. Although the gene was not present in the

original annotation, there was evidence of transcription from RNA-seq reads at 1 dpi and 7 dpi

(Figure 4C). Additionally, we found the *AvrRppC* allele on Chromosome14B is $AvrRppC^{ref}$ type

whereas the allele in Chromosome 14A represents a new allele type, named $AvrRppC^{1}$ which has

two amino acids changes compared with $AvrRppC^{ref}$ and is different from the other five

previously reported variants.

3.6 | Comparisons of synteny and orthogroups between *P. polysora* and its close

relatives

Although *P. polysora* has a 7–10 times larger genome size than other rust species, the gene

synteny amongst four *Puccinia* species with full chromosome assemblies is well conserved, with

18 chromosomes corresponding to each other clearly without any chromosome rearrangement

(Figure 6A). A few large inversion blocks were detected in chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10

between *Ppz*A and *Pt*76 (Figures 6A, S3). Inversion blocks were also detected in chromosomes 5,

7, 10, 13 between *Pt*76 and *Pca*203, and chromosomes 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 13 between *Pgt*21-0

and *Pca*203 (Figures 6A, S3).

The protein orthologs among four closely related *Puccinia* species were compared based on

haplotype A of each species. The increased and reduced genes in all orthologs between two close

species are labeled in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 6B). It was apparent that the reduced genes

were much more than gained genes when compared from *P. polysora* to other *Puccinia* species.

Therefore, *P. polysora* might experience gene expansion events compared with the other three

species (Figure 6B, Table S8). Among the four species compared, a total of 11,840 orthogroups

were identified. Genes of *P. polysora* were assigned to 7,148 orthogroups which was less than

those of over 8,000 orthogroups for the other three *Puccinia* species. Nevertheless, *P. polysora*

presented more species-specific orthogroups and these orthogroups are featured with a high copy

number of gene duplication (Figure 6C). Based on Eggnog annotation result, the orthogroups of

P. polysora with high copy numbers (>10) are mainly associated with the pathogenicity-related

function, energy metabolism, RNA regulation and heat shock reaction (Table S9).

3.7 | Population genomics analyses suggested lineage divergence and predominant

asexual mode of reproduction

To understand the genetic structure of *P. polysora* in China, we analyzed genome resequencing

data from 79 isolates of *Ppz* collected from across maize-growing regions of China. The analyses

of bi-allelic frequency suggested the expected normal distribution of bi-allelic frequency for

most isolates except GD1922-3 and GX1905-2 (Figure S5) which were excluded from the

analysis. After removal, a total of 7,147,489 whole-genome SNPs were obtained from the

remaining 77 isolates. PCA analysis indicated the presence of two genetic groups, with a major

group consisting of 73 isolates (blue circle in Figure 7B) and a minor group containing only four

isolates (red circle in Figure 7B). However, this separation was independent of the geographic

origin of the isolates (North, Central, and South China). Although higher genetic differentiation

was revealed between North China and South China populations (Fst = 8.1×10^{-4}) than in other

regional pairs (3.9×10^{-4} and 3.6×10^{-4}), all pair-wise *Fst* values were close to zero indicating a

lack of geographic differentiation. We used the standardized index of association, *rd*, to estimate

the linkage disequilibrium of *P. polysora* population in China. The observed *rd* distribution for

all isolates was between the values calculated from simulated datasets with 0% linkage, a sexual

population, and 50% linkage (Figure 7C). The result suggested that, although *Ppz* population in

China showed as a clonal population, its evolutionary history may be influenced by some extent

of sexual reproduction.

Due to limited samples in the minor group, we did not calculate the *F*st between two genetic

groups instead of comparing the highly differentiated SNPs between two genetic clusters. A total

of 32,274 SNPs (Fst > 0.9) were filtered and these SNPs were evenly distributed in 18

chromosomes without region specificity. These variants were annotated in 6,752 protein-coding

genes, of which 367 genes were affected by variants. The 20 genes were highly impacted by

gaining or losing start/stop, and 250 genes showed missense variants affecting amino acid

sequences. The known functional annotations of these 367 genes were mainly related to

transmembrane transport, signal transduction, zinc ion/protein/nucleic acid binding, catalytic

activity and protein kinase activity (Table S10). In addition, 14 of these 367 genes were

annotated as secreted proteins including *AvrRppC*. The allele types of *AvrRppC* varied between

the two genetic groups. In the major group, most isolates (93%) carried allele types of *AvrRppC*[1]

and *AvrRppC*[ref]. A few isolates showed the allele combination containing new allele types,

AvrRppC[1] to *AvrRppC*[5] as well as *AvrRppC*[ref]. Whereas in the minor group, all four isolates

carried alleles of *AvrRppC*[A] and *AvrRppC*[J] (Figure 7D). The CDS and amino acid sequences for

new allele types are listed in Table S11.

4 | DISCUSSION

As rust fungi are dikaryotic pathogens, obtaining their nuclear phased assembly is critical for

pathogenicity studies. In this study, we reported a haplotype-phased and chromosome-scale

genome of *P. polysora* based on HiFi reads and Hi-C data. The 18 chromosomes had telomeres

at both ends and showed high completeness (~90% complete BUSCOs for each nucleus and 99%

complete BUSCOs for two nuclei) and high continuity (N50 of scaffolds = 54 M) as well as over

80% nuclear phasing. These data strongly supported that we generated a high-quality reference

genome for *P. polysora*. In addition, our study supplied a successful case to assemble a gigabase-

sized fungal genome to chromosome level. The assembly pipeline we illustrated in Figure 1 will

inform future genome assembly for other dikaryotic or non-haploid organisms.

4.1 | TEs amplification leads to genome expansion of *P. polysora*, possibly in response

to ancestral host decay

Compared to its close relatives, *P. polysora* has experienced a large genome expansion,

with its genome size of 1.71 Gbp equivalent to 7–10 times of four other *Puccinia* species

assemblies (*Pst*-104E, *Pgt*21-0, *Pt*76, and *Pca*203) (Schwessinger et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019; Wu

et al. 2021; Henningsen et al. 2022). Based on a limited set of rust species, Tavares et al (2014)

inferred that rusts infecting monocot Poaceae hosts have considerably smaller genomes than

rusts infecting dicot Fabaceae hosts (556.6 Mbp on average). Thus, our study supplied an

exception to this observation, indicating it may not be a general model. Gene duplication, repeat

content variation and ploidy variation are common mechanisms to account for the genome

expansion of fungi (Castanera et al. 2016; Sipos et al. 2017; Todd et al. 2017). Although gene

expansion or loss has happened in the evolutionary process from *P. polysora* to other wheat-

associated *Puccinia* species (Figure 6B) and correspondingly the gene number predicted in *Ppz-*

GD1913 (~24000 per haplotype) is much more than those of its two close relatives, *P. coronata*

(~18000) and *P. striiformis* (~14000) (Miller et al. 2018; Schwessinger et al. 2018), the

expanded genes only contribute to a size difference of 7 Mbp and 17 Mbp (Table S12). On the

contrary, repeat analyses suggested that genome expansion of *P. polysora* is due to its large

repeat contents (85% of the total genome), notably a proliferation of LTR-RTs, which is

consistent with the common evidence for rust genome expansion (Tobias et al. 2021). The

evidence of genome expansion has also been detected in other obligate fungi, such as powdery

mildew (PM) fungi (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2020) and symbiotic fungi (Miyauchi

et al. 2020). PM fungi lose carbohydrate-active enzymes, transporters, etc. which are probably

redundant genes in strict parasitism as tradeoffs (Spanu et al. 2010). The low abundance of

PCWDEs and transcription factors in *P. polysora* as well as other *Puccinia* species was in line

with the above pattern (Figure 5) (Duplessis et al. 2011, Miller et al. 2018). Despite the massive

TE proliferation causing a significant enlargement in genome size, *P. polysora* shows very good

gene synteny with other *Puccinia* species (Figure 5). This overall chromosome synteny was

consistent with the dominant synteny detected by comparing BUSCO genes (Henningsen et al.

2022). In addition, Edwards et al (2022) reported that *Austropuccinia psidii*

(Sphaerophragmiaceae, Puccinales), was in parallel with species in Pucciniaceae, Pucciniales,

indicating that conservatism of chromosomal synteny can exist at a family level.

In fungi, TEs have been implicated in coevolution with the host, genome architecture,

plasticity, and adaptation (Lorrain et al. 2021). The "TE-thrust hypothesis" proposed that TEs

can act to generate genetic novelties for organisms leading to speciation or may be related to

adaptation to new challenges, e.g. new host or rapidly changing climate (Oliver and Greene 2012;

Zeh et al. 2009). We detected a TE burst of *P. polysora* at around 1.7 Mya (Figure 2C) and the

phylogenetic tree (Figure 6B) suggested that *P. polysora* speciated earlier than the divergence

time between *P. coronata* and *P. striiformis* (40 Mya) (Aime et al. 2018). So, TE burst of *P.*

polysora may be unlikely for speciation. Most scientists agree that maize originated in central

Mexico and domesticated at 1–0.5 Mya from a wild grass called teosinte (Heerwaarden et al.

2011). At about 1.7 Mya, teosinte but not maize could be the major host of *P. polysora*. However,

during the late Pleistocene, there may be a decline in the distribution of tropical plants, including

teosinte during the cool and drying climate in Mexico (Piperno et al. 2007), leading to a drop in

the effective population size of *P. polysora*. As reviewed by Lorrain et al (2021), host population

dynamics can impact TEs landscapes in Fungi. The de-repressed TEs will cause genome

expansion until they decay by being silenced or mutated by host defenses (Le Rouzic and Cayp

2005). Therefore, the TE burst at ~1.7 Mya could be one of the evolutionary events to support

and maintain the genetic variation of *P. polysora* while going through abiotic stress and

environmental change. Another explanation could be the "nearly neutral theory", in which varied

genomic features (e.g. large genome size, TE content, introns) are not initially harmful but are

passively fixed by mutation or random genetic drift (Lynch and Conery 2003). With more

available genome resources in the future, the relationship between effective genome size and the

adaptative evolution of *P. polysora* needs to be answered.

4.2 | Obligate biotrophic fungi lost the evolutionary feature of "two-speed genome"

The "two-speed genome" concept has been put forward to highlight the over-representation

of effector-like genes in the repeat-rich and gene-sparse genome in many filamentous pathogens

(Dong et al. 2015, Frantzeskakis et al. 2019). These TE-rich invasion/blocks may contribute to

extensive chromosomal reshuffling and the rise of accessory chromosomes (de Jonge et al. 2013).

Pathogens can resolve the evolutionary conflict through rapid evolution of effector genes to

adapt to changing environments but maintain the housekeeping genes in the core genome with a

moderate evolution rate (Presti et al. 2015). However, the situation is clearly different in rust

fungi. There was no signal of effector compartments detected in Oat crown rust, wheat stripe rust

and myrtle rust pathogens when comparing the intergenic distance between all genes and

effectors (Schwessinger et al. 2018; Miller et al. 2018; Tobias et al. 2021). In *P. polysora*, the

numerous TEs are widely dispersed throughout the genome (Figure 2). The intergenic distance

distribution of candidate effectors overlapped with that of all genes and BUSCOs (Figure 7).

This genomic architecture with TEs and genes interspersed was also reported in another

biotrophic fungal group, powdery mildew (PM) fungi (Frantzeskakis et al. 2020). Similarly, AT-

rich isochores or large-scale compartmentalization are also missing in PM fungi (Frantzeskakis

et al. 2020). When compared with other *Puccinia* species, the high repeat content of *P. polysora*

leads to much lower relative gene space (4% in *Ppz* vs 20%–35% for currently reported *Puccinia*

species) but greater intergenic distance expansion (average 100 kbp of *Ppz* vs average 1–2 kbp

for *Pst* and *Pca*) (Figure 4B) (Miller et al. 2018; Schwessinger et al. 2018).

4.3 | Population genomics data accelerate the identification of potential avirulent genes

In the "arms race" between rust species and plants, the *Avr* genes in rust pathogens have been the subject of mutations to avoid the recognition by host resistance genes (Cui et al. 2015). Therefore, monitoring the variation of *Avr* genes in rust populations is a priority for disease management. Based on whole-genome SNPs, we detected two genetic groups of *P. polysora* in China. The highly differentiated SNPs between these two groups suggested mutations in 16 secreted proteins including the only verified avirulence gene, *AvrRppC*. A recent study investigated the allele types of *AvrRppC* in a Chinese *P. polysora* population (Deng et al. 2022). Isolates with allele types of *AvrRppC*^{A}, *AvrRppC*^{F} and *AvrRppC*^{J} could escape the recognition of *RppC* causing southern corn rust but *AvrRppC*^{ref}, *AvrRppC*^{E}, and *AvrRppC*^{C} are avirulent alleles that trigger *RppC*-mediated resistance. In our study, isolates in the major group almost all carried the avirulence allele, *AvrRppC*^{ref} and four isolates in the minor genetic group carried the virulent alleles, *AvrRppC*^{A} and *AvrRppC*^{J} (Figure 7D). These results suggested that differentiation between two genetic groups could be related to virulence evolution. Similarly, this kind of relation was also detected in the wheat stripe rust pathogen (Hubbard et al. 2015). Upadhyaya et al (2021) suggested that avirulent genes of *P. graminis* showed a similar expression pattern. In this study, *AvrRppC* was classified in cluster 4 (Figure 4A) with low expression in germinated spores and early infection but high expression in intermediate infection (7 dpi). Among 14 secreted proteins with *F*st > 0.9 between two genetic groups, FUNA_005021 (cluster 3) and FUNA_001724-T1 (cluster 5) showed similar expression patterns to *AvrRppC* and may be candidate avirulent genes. In other rust species, the rust population could drastically shift towards a wider or new spectrum of virulence over time (Miller et al. 2020; Bai et al. 2021), therefore, performing long-term surveillance as well as developing effective virulence markers are particularly critical for *P. polysora*.

4.4 | Secretome could be an indicator to infer the presence of alternate hosts

Rust fungi have complex life cycles involving asexual as well as sexual reproduction (Figueroa et al. 2020; Zhao et al. 2021). In some species, sexual reproduction is rare or cryptic and it can remain undiscovered. For example, it took a century to discover the alternate host of *P. striiformis* (Jin et al. 2010). In our case, the sexual stage of *P. polysora* is still a mystery; teliospores are rarely found in natural fields and germination tests of teliospores were not successful in past attempts (Cammack 1959). Studies on population genetics of *P. polysora* are very limited, possibly due to the lack of effective molecular markers and the reference genome.

Our data using whole-genome SNPs supported the clonal Chinese population of *P. polysora*,
however, the low *rd* between those from simulated with 0% and 50% linkage suggested the
potential influence of sexual reproduction. The absence of a sexual stage or alternate host may be
reflected by unequal gene numbers in the secretome. A supportive example is two species in
Melampsora. *Melampsora lini* (autoecious, no alternate host, ~800 secreted proteins) was found
to have much less secreted proteins and secreted plant cell wall-degrading enzymes (PCWDEs)
than its close relative, *M. larici-populina* (heteroecious, with both primary and alternate hosts,
~1800 secreted proteins) (Presti et al. 2015). In our case, we identified 2,434 secreted proteins
for *P. polysora*, which was comparable to the secretomes of two heteroecious relatives, *P.*
coronata (~2500) and *P. graminis* (~2500) (Miller et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019). Of course, the
single *Melampsora* example did not allow for making a general conclusion. Varied quality of
genome assemblies and different prediction methods can lead to significant bias in secreted
protein predictions. However, it will be valuable to test this hypothesis with more available high-
quality rust genomes in future studies. If the hypothesis is true, our results may suggest the
existence of alternate hosts that have not been discovered yet for *P. polysora.*

5 | CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we successfully obtained the first nuclear phased and chromosome-scale genome
assembly of the serious fungal pathogen, *P. polysora*. The high-quality genome assembly and
fine-time-point transcriptome facilitate the comparative genomic analyses. The genome
expansion of *P. polysora* is driven by TEs, but this did not affect its gene synteny with close
relatives. TEs insertion in turn led to expanded intergenic distance. The investigation of genome
characteristics and functional features provided a fundamental resource for pathogenicity studies
as well as to understand the evolutionary mechanisms of genome expansion in rust fungi. In
addition, the population genomic data revealed low genetic differentiation in the Chinese *P.*
polysora population, which expanded from south to north in recent decades. However, a minor
genetic group with low frequency suggested the ongoing virulence evolution to evade
recognition by *RppC*, a major resistance gene in Chinese corn cultivars, alarming the need to
excavate additional resistance genes as soon as possible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Xiufeng Liu, Peng Lu, Gongxian Liao, Shikun Pan, Xingshang Lu,
Baoqin Tan, Qiusheng Luo for field diseased sample collection. We also appreciate valuable
suggestions from Dr. Mao Jianfeng (Beijing Forestry University, Beijing) and Dr. Qi Wu
(Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing) before submission. Clement
K.M. Tsui is grateful to CAS PIFI for the award of visiting scientist fellowship.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
The experiment was conceived and managed by Lei Cai and Junmin Liang. Field samples were
collected by Junmin Liang, Yuanjie Li, Zhanhong Ma, Leifu Li and Keyu Zhang. Single-spore
isolation, reproduction, DNA/RNA extraction and other biological materials used for sequencing
were conducted by Junmin Liang and Yuanjie Li. Data analyses were performed by Junmin
Liang. Analysis tools were assisted by Peter N. Dodds, Melania Figueroa and Jana Sperschneider.
Junmin Liang wrote the manuscript and Peter N. Dodds, Jana Sperschneider, Clement K.M. Tsui,
and Lei Cai reviewed the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY. All raw sequence reads (PacBio, Illumina, Hi-C and RNA-seq data)
generated in this study are available both in NCBI and the National Microbiology Data Center
(NMDC, https://nmdc.cn/) under the BioProject of PRJNA881038 and NMDC10018113,
respectively. The accession number for all raw data are listed in Table S13. The accession
number for assembled genome was JAOOQA000000000 in NCBI and NMDC60042795 in
NMDC. Scripts and pipelines used to construct figures and annotation files (gff3 and cds) are
available at https://github.com/jimie0311/Puccinia-polysora-genome.

FUNDING. This work was financially supported by the National Sciences Foundation of China
(NSFC 31972210 and NSFC 31725001) and the National Sciences and Technology Fundamental
Resources Investigation Program of China (2021FY100900).

REFERENCES

1. Aime, M. C., & McTaggart, A. R. (2021). A higher-rank classification for rust fungi, with
notes on genera. *Fungal Systematics and Evolution*, 7, 21–47.

2.   Aime, M. C., Bell, C. D., Wilson, A. W. (2018). Deconstructing the evolutionary complexity
between rust fungi (Pucciniales) and their plant hosts. *Studies in Mycology*, 89,143–152.

3.   Aime, M. C., McTaggart, A. R., Mondo, S. J., & Duplessis, S. (2017). Phylogenetics and
phylogenomics of rust fungi. *Advances in Genetics*, 100, 267–307.

4.   Akdemir, K.C., & Chin, L. (2015). HiCPlotter integrates genomic data with interaction
matrices. *Genome Biololgy,* 16, 198.

5.   Anderson, C., Khan, M. A., Catanzariti, A. M., Jack, C. A., Nemri, A., Lawrence, G. J.,
Upadhyaya, N. M., Hardham, A. R., Ellis, J. G., Dodds, P. N., & Jones, D. A. (2016).
Genome analysis and avirulence gene cloning using a high-density RADseq linkage map of
the flax rust fungus, *Melampsora lini. BMC Genomics,* 17, 667.

6.   Bai, Q., Wan, A. M., Wang, M. N., See, D. R., & Chen, X. M. (2021). Molecular
characterization of wheat stripe rust pathogen (*Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici*) Collections
from Nine countries. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22, 9457.

7.   Bao, W., Kojima, K. K., & Kohany, O. (2015). Repbase update, a database of repetitive
elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mobile DNA*, 6, 4–9.

8.   Baranova, M. A., Logacheva, M. D., Penin, A. A., Seplyarskiy, V. B., Safonova, Y. Y.,
Naumenko, S. A., Klepikova, A. V., Geraso,pv. E. D., Bazykin, G. A., James, T. Y., &
Kondrashov, A. S. (2015). Extraordinary genetic diversity in a wood decay
mushroom. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32, 2775–2783.

9.   Boehm, E. W. A., Wenstrom, J. C., McLaughlin, D. J., Szabo, L. J., Roelfs, A. P., &
Bushnell, W. R. (1992). An ultrastructural pachytene karyotype for *Puccinia graminis* f.sp.
tritici. Canadian Journal of Botany, 70, 401–413.

10.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 2114–2120.

11.   Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., & Pachter, L. (2016). Near optimal probabilistic
RNA-seq quantification, Nature Biotechnology 34, 525–527.

12.   Buchfink, B., Xie, C., & Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nature Methods*, 12, 59.

13.   Cammack, R. H. (1959). Studies on *Puccinia polysora* Underw. III. Description and life
cycle of *P. polysora* in West Africa. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 42,
55–58.

14. Castanera, R., López-Varas, L., Borgognone, A., LaButti, K., Lapidus, A., Schmutz, J.,

Grimwood, J., Pérez, G., Pisabarro, A. G., Grigoriev, I. V., Stajich, J. E., & Ramírez, L.

(2016). Transposable elements versus the fungal genome: impact on whole genome

architecture and transcriptional profiles. *PLoS Genetics*, 12, e1006108.

15. Chen, J., Upadhyaya, N. M., Ortiz, D., Sperschneider, J., Li, F., Bouton, C., ... & Dodds, P.

N. (2017). Loss of *AvrSr50* by somatic exchange in stem rust leads to virulence for *Sr50*

resistance in wheat. *Science*, 358, 1607–1610.

16. Chin, C. S., Peluso, P., Sedlazeck, F. J., Nattestad, M., Concepcion. G. T., Clum, A., Dunn,

C., O'Malley, R., Figueroa-Balderas, R., Morales-Cruz, A., Cramer, G. R., Delledonne, M.,

Luo, C., Ecker, J. R., Cantum D., Ranmk, D, R., & Schatz, M. C. (2016). Phased diploid

genome assembly with single-molecule real-time sequencing. *Nature Methods*. 13, 1050–

1054.

17. Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L. L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S. J., Ruden,

D. M., & Lu, X. Y. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single

nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly (Austin)*, 6, 80–92.

18. Crouch, J. A., & Szabo, L. J. (2011). Real-time PCR detection and discrimination of the

southern and common corn rust pathogens *Puccinia polysora* and *Puccinia sorghi*. *Plant*

Disease, 295, 624–632.

19. Cui, H., Tsuda, K., & Parker, J. E. (2015). Effector-triggered immunity: from pathogen

perception to robust defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology,* 66, 487–511.

20. de Jonge, R., Bolton, M. D., Kombrink, A., van den Berg, G. C. M., Yadeta, K. A., Thomma,

B. P. H. J. (2013). Extensive chromosomal reshuffling drives evolution of virulence in an

asexual pathogen. *Genome Research*, 23,1271–1282.

21. Deng, C., Leonard, A., Cahill, J. M., Meng, L., Li, Y.R., Thatcher, S. Li, X. Y., Zhao, X. D.,

Du, W. J., Li, Z., Li, H. M., Llaca, V., Fengler, K., Marshall, L., Harris, C., Tabor, G., Li, Z.

M., Tian, Z. Q., Yang, Q. H., Chen, Y. H., Tang, J. H., Wang, X. T., Hao, J. J., Yan, J. B.,

Lai, Z. B., Fei, X. H., Song, W. B., Lai, J. S., Zhang, X. C., Shu, G. P., Wang, Y. B., Chang,

Y. X., Zhu, W. L., Xiong, W., Sun, J., Li, B. L., & Ding, J. Q. (2022).The RppC-AvrRppC

NLR-effector interaction mediates the resistance to southern corn rust in maize. *Molecular*

Plant, 15, 904–912.

22. Dodds, P. N., & Rathjen, J. P. (2010). Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-
pathogen interactions. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 11, 539–548.

23. Dong, S., Raffaele, S., & Kamoun, S. (2015). The two-speed genomes of filamentous
pathogens: waltz with plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 35, 57–65.

24. Duan, H., Jones, A. W., Hewitt, T., Mackenzie, A., Hu, Y., Sharp, A., Lewis, D., Mago, R.,
Upadhyaya, N. M., Rathjen, J. P, Stone, W. A., Schwessinger, B., Figueroa, M., Dodds, P.
N., Periyannan, S., & Sperschneider J. (2022). Identification and correction of phase
switches with Hi-C data in the Nanopore and HiFi chromosome-scale assemblies of the
dikaryotic leaf rust fungus *Puccinia triticina*. *Current Biology*, 23, 84.

25. Duplessis S, Cuomo CA, Lin YC, Aerts A, Tisserant E, Veneault-Fourrey C., Joly, D. L.,
Hacquard, S., Amselem, J., Cantarel, B. L., Chiu, R., Coutinho, P. M., Feau, N., Field, M.,
Frey, P., Gelhaye, E., Goldberg, J., Grabherr, M. G., Kodira, C. D., Kohler, A., Kües, U.,
Lindquist, E. A., Lucas, S. M., Mago, R., Mauceli, E., Morin, E., Murat, C.,Pangilinan, J. L.,
Park, R., Pearson, M., Quesneville, H., Rouhier, N., Sakthikumar, S., Salamov, A. A.,
Schmutz, J., Selles, B., Shapiro, H., Tanguay, P., Tuskan, G. A., Henrissat, B., Van de, Peer.
Y., Rouze, P., Ellis, J. G., Dodds, P. N., Schein, J. E., Zhong, S., Hamelin, R. C., Grigoriev,
I. V., Szabo, L. J., & Martin, F. (2011). Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the
genomic analysis of rust fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108,
9166–9171.

26. Edwards, R. J., Dong, C. M., Park, R. F., Tobias, P. A. (2022) A phased chromosome-level
genome and full mitochondrial sequence for the dikaryotic myrtle rust pathogen,
Austropuccinia psidii. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.22.489119

27. Ellinghaus, D., Kurtz, S. & Willhoeft, U. (2008). *LTRharvest*, an efficient and flexible
software for *de novo* detection of LTR retrotransposons. BMC Bioinformatics 9, 18.

28. Emms, D.M. & Kelly, S. (2019). OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for
comparative genomics. *Genome Biology*, 20, 1–14.

29. Figueroa, M., Dodds, P. N., & Henningsen, E. C. (2020). Evolution of virulence in rust
fungi–multiple solutions to one problem. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 56, 20–27.

30. Figueroa, M., Upadhyaya, N. M, Sperschneider, J., Park, R. F., Szabo, L. J., Steffenson, B.,
Eills, J. G., Dodds, P. N. (2016). Changing the game: using integrative genomics to probe

virulence mechanisms of the stem rust pathogen *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. *Frontiers in*
Plant Science, 7, 205.

31. Finn, R. D., Bateman, A., Clements, J., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Heger, A.,
Hetherington, K., Holm, L., Mistry, J., Sonnhammer, E. L., Tate, J., & Punta, M. (2014).
Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Research,* 42, D222–D230.

32. Frantzeskakis, L., Barsoum, M., Panstruga, R., Kusch, S., Kiss, L., Takamatsu, S., &
Panstruga, R. (2019). The *Parauncinula polyspora* draft genome provides insights into
patterns of gene erosion and genome expansion in powdery mildew fungi. *MBio*, 10, 1–17.

33. Frantzeskakis, L., Pietro, A. D., Rep, M., Schirawski, J., Wu, C. H., & Panstruga, R. (2020).
Rapid evolution in plant–microbe interactions—a molecular genomics perspective. *New*
Phytologist, 225, 1134–1142.

34. Gel, B., & Serra, E. (2017). karyoploteR: an R/Bioconductor package to plot customizable
genomes displaying arbitrary data. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3088–3090.

35. Ghurye, J., Pop, M., Koren, S., Bickhart, D., & Chin, C. S. (2017). Scaffolding of long read
assemblies using long range contact information. *BMC genomics*, 18, 527.

36. Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., Adiconis,
X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., Chen, Z., Mauceli, E., Hacohen, N., Gnirke, A.,
Rhind, N., di Palma, F., Birren, B. W., Nusbaum, C., Lindblad-Toh, K., Friedman, N., &
Regev, A. (2011). Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-
Seq data. *Nature biotechnology*, 29, 644–652.

37. Gu, Z. (2014). Circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. *Bioinformatics*
30, 2811–2812.

38. Haas, B. J., Salzberg, S. L., Zhu, W., Pertea, M., Allen, J. E., Orvis, J., White, O., Buell, C.
R., & Wortman, J. R. (2008). Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using
EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. Genome biology, 9,
R7.

39. Henningsen, E. C., Hewitt, T., Dugyala, S., Nazareno, E. S., Gilbert, E., Li, F., Kianian, S. F,
Steffenson, B. J., Dodds, P. N., Sperschneider, J., & Figueroa, M. (2022). A chromosome-
level, fully phased genome assembly of the oat crown rust fungus *Puccinia coronata* f. sp.
avenae: a resource to enable comparative genomics in the cereal rusts. *G3-Genes Genomes*
Genetics, 12(8), jkac149.

40. Huang, S. F., Kang, M. J., & Xu, A. L. (2017). HaploMerger2: rebuilding both haploid sub-
assemblies from high-heterozygosity diploid genome assembly. *Bioinformatics*, 33,2577–
2579.

41. Hubbard, A., Lewis, C. M., Yoshida, K., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. H., de Vallavieille-Pope, C.,
Thomas, J., Kamoun, S., Bayles, R., Uauy, C., & Saunders, D. G. (2015). Field
pathogenomics reveals the emergence of a diverse wheat yellow rust population. *Genome*
Biology, 16, 23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0590-8

42. Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Heller, D., Hernández-Plaza, A., Forslund, S. K., Cook, H.,
Mende, D. R., Letunic, I., Rattei, T., Jensen, L. J., von Mering, C., & Bork, P. (2019).
eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource
based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1), D309–D314.

43. Jin, Y., Szabo, L. J., & Carson, M. (2010). Century-old mystery of *Puccinia striiformis* life
history solved with the identification of *Berberis* as an alternate host. *Phytopathology*. 100,
432–435.

44. Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H. Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., McWilliam, H.,
Maslen, J., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., Pesseat, S., Quinn, A. F., Sangrador-Vegas, A.,
Scheremetjew, M., Yong, S. Y., Lopez, R., & Hunter, S. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-
scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 30(9), 1236–1240.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

45. Justesen, A. F., Ridout, C. J., & Hovmøller, M. S. (2002). The recent history of *Puccinia*
striiformis f. sp. *tritici* in Denmark as revealed by disease incidence and AFLP markers.
Plant pathology, *51*, 13–23.

46. Kamvar, Z N., Tabima, J. F., & Grünwald, N. J. (2014) Poppr: an R package for genetic
analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ,* 2,
e281.

47. Knaus, B. J., & Grünwald, N, J. (2017). vcfr: a package to manipulate and visualize variant
call format data in R. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 17, 44–53.

48. Kolmer, J. A. (2005). Tracking wheat rust on a continental scale. *Current Opinion in Plant*
Biology, 8, 441–449.

49. Le Rouzic, A., & Capy, P. (2005). The first steps of transposable elements invasion:
Parasitic strategy vs. genetic drift. *Genetics* 169 (2), 1033–1043.

50. Li, F., Upadhyaya, N. M., Sperschneider, J., Matny, O., Nguyen-Phuc, H., Mago, R., Raley,
C., Miller, M. E., Silverstein, K., Henningsen, E., Hirsch, C. D., Visser, B., Pretorius, Z. A.,
Steffenson, B. J., Schwessinger, B., Dodds, P. N., & Figueroa, M. (2019). Emergence of the
Ug99 lineage of the wheat stem rust pathogen through somatic hybridisation. *Nature*
communications, 10, 5068. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12927-7

51. Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics,* 34,
3094–3100.

52. Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2010) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 589–595.

53. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., & Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose
program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 923–930.

54. Lomsadze, A., Ter-Hovhannisyan, V., Chernoff, Y. O., & Borodovsky, M. (2005). Gene
identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm, *Nucleic Acids*
Research, 33, 6494–6506.

55. Lorrain, C., Feurtey, A., Mller, M., Haueisen, J., & Stukenbrock, E. (2021). Dynamics of
transposable elements in recently diverged fungal pathogens: lineage-specific transposable
element content and efficiency of genome defences. *G3-Genes Genomes Genetics,* 11,
jkab068.

56. Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, 15, 550.

57. Lowe, T. M., & Chan, P. P. (2016). TRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context
for analysis of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44, W54 –W57.

58. Lue, N. F. (2021). Duplex telomere-binding proteins in fungi with canonical telomere
repeats: new lessons in the rapid evolution of telomere proteins. *Frontier in Genetics*,
12,638790.

59. Lynch, M., & Conery, J. S. (2003). The origins of genome complexity. *Science,* 302, 1401–
1404.

60. Maia, T., Badel, J. L., Marin-Ramirez, G., Rocha, C. M., Fernandes, M. B., da Silva, J. C, de
Azevedo-Junior, G. M., Brommonschenkel, S. H. (2017). The *Hemileia vastatrix* effector
HvEC016 suppresses bacterial blight symptoms in coffee genotypes with the SH1 rust
resistance gene. *New Phytologist*, 213,1315–1329.

61. Marçais, G., Delcher, A. L., Phillippy, A. M., Coston, R., Salzberg, S.L., & Zimin, A.
(2018). MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. *PLoS Computational*
Biology, 14, e1005944.

62. Marçais, M., & Kingsford, C. (2011). A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel
counting of occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics,* 27, 764–770.

63. Miller, M. E., Nazareno, E. S., Rottschaefer, S. M., Riddle, J., Dos Santos Pereira, D., Li, F.,
Nguyen-Phuc, H., Henningsen, E. C., Persoons, A., Saunders, D., Stukenbrock, E., Dodds, P.
N., Kianian, S. F., & Figueroa, M. (2020). Increased virulence of *Puccinia coronata* f. sp.
avenae populations through allele frequency changes at multiple putative *Avr* loci. *PLoS*
genetics, 16, e1009291. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009291

64. Miller, M. E., Zhang, Y., Omidvar, V., Sperschneider, J., Schwessinger, B., Raley, C.,
Palmer, J. M., Garnica, D., Upadhyaya, N., Rathjen, J., Taylor, J. M., Park, R. F., Dodds, P.
N., Hirsch, C. D., Kianian, S. F., & Figueroa, M. (2018). *De Novo* Assembly and Phasing of
Dikaryotic Genomes from Two Isolates of *Puccinia coronata* f. sp. *avenae*, the Causal
Agent of Oat Crown Rust. *mBio*, 9, e01650-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01650-17

65. Miyauchi, S., Kiss, E., Kuo, A., Drula, E., Kohler, A., Sánchez-García, M., Morin, E.,
Andreopoulos, B., Barry, K. W., Bonito, G., Buée, M., Carver, A., Chen, C., Cichocki, N.,
Clum, A., Culley, D., Crous, P. W., Fauchery, L., Girlanda, M., Hayes, R. D., ...,Martin, F.
M. (2020). Large-scale genome sequencing of mycorrhizal fungi provides insights into the
early evolution of symbiotic traits. *Nature Communication,* 11, 5125.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18795-w

66. Neumann, P., Novák, P., Hoštáková, N., & Macas, J. (2019) Systematic survey of plant
LTR-retrotransposons elucidates phylogenetic relationships of their polyprotein domains and
provides a reference for element classification. *Mobile DNA* 10, 1.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-018-0144-1

67. Nurk, S., Walenz, B. P., Rhie, A., Vollger, M. R., Logsdon, G. A., Grothe, R., ... & Koren, S.
(2020). HiCanu: accurate assembly of segmental duplications, satellites, and allelic variants
from high-fidelity long reads. *Genome research*, *30*, 1291–1305.

68. Oliver, K. R.,& Greene, W. K. (2012). Transposable elements and viruses as factors in
adaptation and evolution: an expansion and strenthening of the TE thrust hypothesis.
Ecology and Evolution, 2, 2912–2933.

69. Ou, S., & Jiang, N. (2018). LTR_retriever: A Highly Accurate and Sensitive Program for
Identification of Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposons. *Plant Physiology*, 176, 1410–
1422.

70. Petersen, T. N., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., & Nielsen, H. (2011). SignalP 4.0:
discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nature Methods*, 8, 785–786.

71. Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbuerger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Lercher, M. J. (2014). PopGenome:
An Efficient Swiss Army Knife for Population Genomic Analyses in R. *Molecular Biology*
and Evolution, 31, 1929–1936.

72. Pinon, J., & Frey, P. (1997). Structure of *Melampsora larici-populina* populations on wild
and cultivated poplar. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 103,159–173.

73. Piperno, D. R., Moreno, J. E., Iriarte, J., Holst, I., Lachniet, M., Jones, J. G., Tanere, A. J., &
Castanzo, R. (2007). Late Pleistocene and Holocene environmental history of the Iguala
Valley, Central Balsas Watershed of Mexico. *Proceedings of National of American of*
Sciences. 104, 11874–11881.

74. Presti, L. L., Lanver, D., Schweizer, G., Tanaka, S., Liang, L., & Tollot, M. (2015). Fungal
effectors and plant susceptibility. *Annual Review of Plant biology,* 66, 513–545.

75. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M., Bender, D., maller, J.m
Sklar, P., Bakker, P. I. W. D., & Daly, M. J (2007). Plink: a tool set for whole-genome
association and population-based linkage analyses. The *American Journal of Human*
Genetics, 81, 559–575.

76. Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 26, 841–842.

77. Ramirez-Cabral, N. Y. Z., Kumar, L., & Shabani, F. (2017). Global risk levels for corn rusts
(*Puccinia sorghi* and *Puccinia polysora*) under climate change projections. Journal of
Phytopathology, 165, 563–574.

78. Ramos, A. P., Tavares, S., Tavares, D., Silva, M. D. C, Loureiro, J., & Talhinhas, P. (2015).
Flow cytometry reveals that the rust fungus, *Uromyces bidentis* (Pucciniales), possesses the
largest fungal genome reported-2489Mbp. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 16, 1006–1010.

79. Rawlings, N. D., Barrett, A. J., & Finn, R. (2016). Twenty years of the Merops database of
proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44, D343–
D350.

80. Roach, M. J., Schmidt, S. A., & Borneman, A R. (2018). Purge Haplotigs: allelic contig
ressignment for third-gen diploid genome assemblies. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 19, 460.

81. Rochi, L., Diéguez, M. J., Burguener, G., Darino, M. A., Pergolesi, M. F., Ingala, L. R.,
Cuyeu, A. R., Turjanski, A., Kreff, E. D., & Sacco, F. (2018). Characterization and
comparative analysis of the genome of *Puccinia sorghi* Schwein, the causal agent of maize
common rust. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 112, 31–39.

82. Salcedo, A., Rutter, W., Wang, S., Akhunova, A., Bolus, S., Chao, S., Anderson, N., De
Soto, M. F., Rouse, M.,Szabo, L., Bowden, R. L., Dubcovsky. J., & Akhunov, E. (2017).
Variation in the *AvrSr35* gene determines *Sr35* resistance against wheat stem rust race Ug99.
Science, 358, 1604–1606.

83. Schwessinger, B., Sperschneider, J., Cuddy, W. S., Garnica, D. P., Miller, M. E., Taylor, J.
M., Dodds, P. N., Figueroa, M., Park, R. F., & Rathjen, J. P. (2018). A near-complete
haplotype-phased genome of the dikaryotic wheat stripe rust fungus *Puccinia striiformis* f.
sp. *tritici* reveals high interhaplotype diversity. *mBio*, 9, e0227517.

84. Servant, N., Varoquaux, N., Lajoie, B. R., Viara, E., Chen, C. J., Vert, J. P., Heard, E.,
Dekker, J., & Barillot, E. (2015). HiC-Pro: an optimized and flexible pipeline for Hi-C data
processing. *Genome Biology*, 16, 259.

85. Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V. & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015).
BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
orthologs. *Bioinformatics,* 31, 3210–3212.

86. Singh, R. P., Hodson, D. P., Huerta-Espino, J., Jin, Y., Bhavani, S., Njau, P., Herrera-
Foessel, S., Singh, P. K., Singh, S., & Govindan, V. (2011). The emergence of Ug99 races
of the stem rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. *Annual Review of*
Phytopathology, 49, 465–481.

87. Sipos, G., Prasanna, A. N., Walter, M. C., O'Connor, E., Bálint, B., Krizsán, K., Kiss, B.,
Hess, J., Varga. T., Slot, J., Riley, R., Bóka, B., Rigling, F., Barry, K., Lee, J., Mihaltcheva,
S., LaButti, K., Lipzen, A., Moloney, N. M., Sperisen, C., Kredics, L., Vágvölgyi, C.,
Patrignani, A., Fitzpatrick, D., Nagy, I., Soyle. S., Anderson, J. B., Grigoriev, I. V.,
Güldener, U., Münsterkötter, M., & Nagy, L. G. (2017). Genome expansion and lineage-
specific genetic innovations in the forest pathogenic fungi *Armillaria*. Nature Ecology &
Evolution, 1, 1931–1941.

88. Slater, G. S. C., & Birney, E. (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for biological
sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 6, 1–11.

89. Smit, A., & Hubley, R. (2008). RepeatModeler open 1.0. Institute for Systems Biology,
Seattle, WA. http://www.repeatmasker.org/

90. Smit, A., Hubley, R., & Green, P. (2015). RepeatMasker open 4.0. 2013–2015. Institute for
Systems Biology, Seattle, WA. http://www.repeatmasker.org/

91. Spanu, P. D., Abbott, J. C., Amselem, J., Burgis, T. A., Soanes, D. M., Stüber, K., ... &
Panstruga, R. (2010). Genome expansion and gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal
tradeoffs in extreme parasitism. *Science*, 330,1543–1546.

92. Sperschneider, J., & Dodds, P. N. (2022). EffectorP 3.0: Prediction of Apoplastic and
Cytoplasmic Wffectors in Fungi and Oomycetes. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interaction*, 35,
146–156.

93. Stanke, M., & Morgenstern, B. (2005). AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in
eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33, W465–W467.

94. Tavares, D., Romos, A. P., Pires, A. S., Azomjeora, H. G., Caldeirinha, P., Link, T.,
Abranches, R., Silva, M. do. C., Voegele, R. T., Loureiro, J., & Talhinhas, P. (2014).
Genome size analyses of Pucciniales reveal the largest fungal genomes. *Frontiers in Plant*
Sceiences, 5, 422.

95. Terrapon, N., Lombard, V., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M., Henrissat, B. (2017). The CAZy
Database/the Carbohydrate-Active Enzyme (CAZy) Database: Principles and Usage
Guidelines. In: Aoki-Kinoshita, K. (eds) A Practical Guide to Using Glycomics Databases.
Springer, Tokyo.

96. Tobias, P. A., Schwessinger, B., Deng, C.H., Wu, C., Dong, C. M., Sperschneider, J., Jones,
A. Lou, Z. Y., Zhang, P., Sandhu, K., Smith, G. R., Tibbits, J., Chagne, D., & Park, R. F.
(2021). *Austropuccinia psidii*, causing myrtle rust, has a gigabase-sized genome shaped by
transposable elements. *G3*, 11, jkaa015.

97. Todd, R. T., Forche, A., & Selmecki, A. (2017). Ploidy Variation in Fungi: Polyploidy,
Aneuploidy, and Genome Evolution. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 5,10.

98. Upadhyaya, N. M., Mago, R., Panwar, V., Hewittm, T., Luo, M., Chen, J., Sperschneider, J.,
Nguyen-Phuc, H., Wang, A.H., Ortiz, D., Hac, L., Bhatt, D., Li, F., Zhang, J. P., Ayliffe, M.,
Figueroa, M., Kanyuka, K., Wllis, J. G., & Dodds, P. N. (2021). Genomics accelerated

isolation of a new stem rust avirulence gene–wheat resistance gene pair. *Nature*

Plants, 7, 1220–1228.

99. van Heerwaarden, J., Doebley, J., Briggs, W. H., Glaubitz, J. C., Goodman, M. M., de Jesus

Sanchez Gonzalez, J., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2011). *Proceedings of National of American of*

Sciences 108, 1088–1092.

100.Vurture, G. W., Sedlazeck, F. J., Nattestad, M., Underwood, C. J., Fang, H., Gurtowski, J.,

& Schatz, M. C. (2017). GenomeScope: fast reference-free genome profiling from short

reads. *Bioinformatics,* 14,2202–2204.

101.Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., Lee, T. H., Jin, H., Marler, B.,

Guo, H., Kissinger, J. C., & Paterson, A. H. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and

evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, e49.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1293

102.Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer-

Verlag.

103.Winzer, F. L., Berthon, K. A., Carnegie, A. J., Pegg, G. S., & Leishman, M. R.

(2019). *Austropuccinia psidii* on the move: survey based insights to its geographical

distribution, host species, impacts and management in Australia. *Biological Invasions.* 21,

1215–1225.

104.Wolff, J., Bhardwaj, V., Nothjunge, S., Richard, G., Renschler, G., Gilsbach, R., Manke, T.,

Backofen, R., Ramírez, F., & Grüning, B. A. (2018). Galaxy HiCExplorer: a web server for

reproducible Hi-C data analysis, quality control and visualization. Nucleic Acids

Research, 46, W11–W16.

105.Wu, J. Q., Song, L., Ding, Y., Dong, C. M., Hasan, M., & Park, R. (2021). A chromosome-

scale assembly of the wheat leaf rust pathogen *Puccinia triticina* provides insights into

structural variations and genetic relationship with haplotype resolution. *Frontiers in*

Microbiology, 12, 704253.

106.Zeh, D. W., Zeh, J. A., & Ishida, Y. (2009). Transposable elements and an epigenetic basis

for punctuated equilibria. *BioEssays,* 31, 715–726.

107.Zhang, R. G., Li, G. Y., Wang, X. L., Dainat J., Wang, Z. X., Ou, S. J., & Ma, Y. P. (2022).

TEsorter: An accurate and fast method to classify LTR-retrotransposons in plant

genomes, *Horticulture Research*, 9, uhac017, https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac017

108.Zhao, P., Qi, X. H., Crous, P. W., Duan, W. J., & Cai, L. (2020). Gymnosporangium species
on Malus: species delineation, diversity and host alternation. *Persoonia*, 45, 68–100.
109.Zhao, P., Zhang, Z. F., Hu, D. M., Tsui, K. M., Qi, X. H., Phurbu, D., Gafforov, Y., & Cai,
L. (2021). Contribution to rust flora in China I, tremendous diversity from natural reserves
and parks. *Fungal Diversity*, 110, 1–58.

Figure Legends

FIGURE 1 Chromosome-level assembly of GD1913 isolate of *Puccinia polysora*. (A) The

dikaryotic phasing pipeline and switch strategies for duplicated contigs. Duplicated contigs were

checked based on the all-vs-all sequence alignment from HaploMerger 2 (Huang et al. 2017). (B)

Hi-C-based contig anchoring. The heat map showed the density of Hi-C interactions within

haplotype A. The 18 chromosomes are highlighted by blue squares with arrows pointed at

centromeres. (C) Schematic representation of assembled chromosomes for GD1913 of each

haplotype. (D) Percentage of Hi-C links of each chromosome of haplotype A to either haplotype

A (blue) or haplotype B (red).

FIGURE 2 Genomic features of *P. polysora* f.sp. *zeae* isolate, GD1913 and repeat comparison

analyses with its close relatives. (A) Genomic landscape of 18 chromosomes for haplotype A

(hapA) and haplotype B (hapB). From outer to inner circles: (i) Chromosomes, (ii) Repeat

density, (iii) Gene density, (iv) Candidate effector density, (v) Guanine-cytosine (GC) content.

The tracks from ii to iv are estimated by non-overlapping 100 kbp sliding windows. (B)

Comparison of genome size (two nuclei) and repeat contents among *Puccinia polysora* f.sp. *zeae*

(*Ppz*_GD1913) and other rust species, *Melampsora larici-populina* (*Mlp*_98AG31),

*Austropuccinia psidii (Ap*_Au_3*), Puccinia sorghi* f.sp. *zeae (Psz*_RO10H11247*), Pucccnia*

coronata f.sp. *avenae (Pca_203)*, *Puccinia graminis* f.sp. *tritici (Pgt*_21-0*)*, *Puccinia triticina*

f.sp. *tritici (Ptt_76)* and *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici (Pst*_104E*)*. (C) The insertion time (Mya)

distribution of intact LTR-RTs in *P. polysora*.

FIGURE 3 The interhaplotype variation of *Ppz*-GD1913 isolate. (A) Summary of interhaplotype

variation between haplotype A and B using Assemblytics. Six types of specific variation were

illustrated by schematic plot and each bar chart indicates the number of bases categorized to each

type. (B) Synteny plot of haplotype A and B using Mummer. (C) and (D) Full-chromosome

alignments of chromosomes 9 and 15 showing insertion/deletion and inversion (arrows) between

haplotypes.

FIGURE 4 Analyses of gene expression and gene distance of predicted secretome of *P. polysora*.

(A) Clustering analysis of gene expression of secretome on two haplotypes. Heatmaps show rlo-

transformed expression values. Cluster numbers are shown outside the graphs, and tracks

represent gene expression in germinated spores (GS), and infected tissues at 1 dpi, 2 dpi, 4 dpi, 7

dpi, 10 dpi and 14 dpi. (B) Hexplots for closest-neighbor gene distance density of *P. polysora* in

haplotype A (left) and haplotype B (right). Circle dots represent two gene categories, BUSCOs (yellow) and predicted candidate effectors (red). The black dots represent the distribution of the avirulent gene, *AvrRppC.* (C) Gene and repeat density as well as log2TPM (transcripts per million) of candidate effectors from chromosomes 14A and 14B. The red and blue points represent expression values of candidate effectors at 1 dpi and 7dpi. Positions and protein sequences of *AvrRppC* genes are presented.

FIGURE 5 Functional annotation of CAZymes, transcription factors and Merops proteases of *Puccinia polysora* GD1913 (*Ppz*-GD1913) and its comparison with other *Puccinia* species. (A) CAZyme families comparison of *P. polysora* (*Ppz,* GD1913), *P. triticina* (*Ptt, Pt76*), *P. graminis* (*Pgt*, *Pgt*21-0) and *P. coronata* (*Pca*, *Pca*203). Heat maps showing gene numbers annotated in the following classes: auxiliary activities (AA), carbohydrate-binding modules (CBM), carbohydrate esterases (CE), glycoside hydrolases (GH), glycosyltransferases (GTs), and polysaccharide lyases (PL). Expanded families of AA1, CE4, GH18, GH5, GT2 and GT90 were highlighted in red. (B) Percentages of genes from *P. polysora* are predicted to encode members of various fungal transcription factor classes based on InterProScan and Eggnog annotation. (C) Merops families comparison of *Ppz*, *Ptt*, *Pgt* and *Pca*. Heat map showing gene numbers annotated in the classes of aspartic acid (A), cysteine(C), peptidase inhibitors (I), metalloprotease (M), serine protease (S), and threonine protease (T) which were labeled in the right of the heat map and expanded families were highlighted in red.

FIGURE 6 Comparative analyses of macro-synteny and orthogroups among *Puccinia. polysora* f. sp. *zeae* (*Ppz*GD1913A and *Ppz*GD1913B) and its close relatives, *Austropuccinia psidii* (Au_3), *P. triticina* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pt*76), *P. graminis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*21-0) and *P. coronata* f.sp *avenae* (*Pca*203). (A) Macro-synteny of four species in *Puccinia* with available haplotype-phased and chromosome-scale genome. Only the chromosomes from haplotype A/primary haplotype were compared. Grey lines are homologous proteins identified by MCscan Python version with default parameters and black lines present the genome inversions between species. For better visualization, the genome size ratio of *Ppz*B:*Ppz*A:*Pt*76:*Pca*203:*Pgt*21-0 = 0.2:0.2:1:1:1. (B) Phylogenetic relationship of *P. polysora* and other rust species was inferred by 1454 single-copy orthologue genes. The numbers indicated the sum of gained (+) and lost (−) genes from all orthogroups by comparing the two most close species. The typical symptoms

caused by each species are listed accordingly. (C) Distribution of species-specific orthogroups

with varied ranges of gene copy number among four *Puccinia* species.

FIGURE 7 Population genomics analyses of *P. polysora* from China. (A) Geographic

distribution of 79 *P. polysora* isolates collected from north, central and south of China. (B)

Principal component analysis of Chinese *P. polysora* population showing two genetic groups. (C)

Linkage disequilibrium test of Chinese *P. polysora* population. (D) *AvrRppC* genotypes of *P.*

polysora isolates in minor (red) and major (blue) genetic groups.

Supplementary files

Table S1 Information of 79 isolates from China used for genome resequencing.

Table S2 Contig information removed from the final assembly due to low-quality assessment.

Table S3 Treatments for duplicate contigs between/within haplotype A and haplotype B

Table S4 Sample information and data used for transcript analyses.

Table S5 Functional annotation of specific orthologs between two haplotypes.

Table S6 GO and IPR annotation for predicted candidate effectors.

Table S7 Features of secreted proteins in different expression clusters of *P. polysora* GD1913.

Table S8 Proteome comparison of four *Puccinia* species.

Table S9 GO annotation of top 42 *P. polysora* specific orthogroups with high gene copy number.

Table S10 Table S10 Variants impact of SNPs located in 367 genes with Fst > 0.9 between two

groups.

Table S11 The CDS and amino acid sequences of *AvrRppC* gene.

Table S12 Comparison of Gene number and gene length among three *Puccinia* species.

Table S13 NMDC numbers for sequencing raw data used in this study.

Figure S1 Genome size estimation of *Ppz*-GD1913 using 90 Gb illumina short reads.

Figure S2 GO enrichment analysis of secreted proteins on two haplotypes.

Figure S3 Pair-wise synteny of *P. polysora* and three close relatives.

Figure S4 The elbow bend plot.

Figure S5 The bi-allele distribution of 79 isolates used for population genomics. Two isolates

(GD1922-3 and GX1905-2) in red squares are removed from the final analysis due to abnormal

distribution.

（A）

（B）

（C）

(A)

(B)

(C)

Chr14A_*AvrRppC*¹:MDLFRLIFTLIL**F**VHFIISTRAHQEWMIGT**C**SRQYVKGVYIETNPGPGDSVKIINTTQGEQKTIEICDRNKNTIKELAPGKEFQVEAQHITLDGLVRYLIIRVP
Chr14B_*AvrRppC*ʳᵉᶠ:MDLFRLIFTLIL**C**VHFIISTRAHQEWMIGT**R**SRQYVKGVYIETNPGPGDSVKIINTTQGEQKTIEICDRNKNTIKELAPGKEFQVEAQHITLDGLVRYLIIRVP

(A)

AA1

CE4

GH18

GH5

GT2

GT90

Ppz *Ptt* *Pgt* *Pca*

AA
CBM21
CE

GH

GT

PL

(B)

TF_Bromodomain
Winged_helix_repressor-db
OB-fold
Znf_AN1
PAS_domain
SNU66/SART1
KilA_N/APSES_HTH
Ecd
DNA-bd_RFX
Ste12
Cro/C1-type_HTH
TFIIH_C1-like_domain
Znf_MYND
TATAbox_DNA-bd
ARID-db
TF_HSF
Znf_CCCH
Znf_CCHC
Znf_BED
CS_DNA-bd
TEA/ATTS_domain
HMG_domain
APSES-bd_domain
Zn2-C6_fun-type_DNA-bd
SANT/Myb
TF_MADSbox
Homeobox_domain
Znf_GATA
Forkhead_domain
Znf_C2H2
bHLH
bZIP

Percentage of total genes (%)

(C)

A01A

C19
C26

M67

S08A

S09X
S10

S33

T01A

Ppz *Ptt* *Pgt* *Pca*

A

C

I

M

S

T

(A)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

*Ppz*GD1913B

*Ppz*GD1913A

*Pt*76

*Pca*203

*Pgt*21-0

(B)

P. triticina (*Pt*76)

↑ -7150/+5634

P. graminis (*Pgt*21-0)

↑ -6160/+7227

P. striiformis (*Pst*104E)

↑ -6524/+8055

P. coronata (*Pca*203)

↑ -10170/+7954

P. polysora (*Ppz*GD1913)

↑ -11468/+10822

A. psidii (*Ap*_Au_3)

(C)

(A)

North China

Central China

South China

(B)

(C)

(D)

Table 1 Assembly information and completeness evaluation of *Puccinia polysora* f.sp. *tritici,* GD1913.

Parameters	before haplotype-phased	
No. of contigs	4056	
No. of bases (Gbp)	1.76	
Size of longest contig (Mbp)	14.7	
N50 (Mbp) of contigs	1.9	
	after Hi-C scaffolding	
	Haplotype A	Haplotype B
No. of scaffolds	173	344
Size of scaffolds (Mbp)	862	855
Size of longest scaffold (Mbp)	31	39
N50 (Mbp) of scaffolds	13.2	9.5
	after Hi-C contact map binning	
Total size (Mbp)	856	855
No. of chromosomes	18	18
No. of unplaced scaffolds	15	20
Size of chromosomes (Mbp)	849	850
Size of longest chromosome (Mbp)	70.5	70.3
GC content	40.1%	40.0%
Complete BUSCOs	89.7%	89.7%
Complete and Single-copy BUSCOs	88.6%	88.6%
Complete and Duplicated BUSCOs	1.1%	1.1%
Fragmented BUSCOs	3.5%	3.5%
Missing BUSCOs	6.8%	6.8%

Table 2 Genes, orthogroups, and effectors for two haplotypes of *Puccinia polysora* f.sp. *tritici,* GD1913.

	haplotype A	haplotype B
No. of genes	23270	24242
No.(%) of genes located in 18 chromosomes	23038 (99.0%)	24080 (99.3%)
No.(%) of genes located in unplaced scaffolds	232 (1.0%)	162 (0.7%)
Mean gene length (bp)	1509	1480
% of genome covered by genes	4.1	4.2
No. of orthogroups on A and B	13057	
No. of genes in orthogroups	19815	20428
No. of secreted proteins	1183	1251
No. of apoplastic effectors	169	190
No. of cytoplasmic effectors	314	341
No. of effectors in orthogroups	463	501
No. of haplotype-specific effectors	20	30