Modulation of Spindle Assembly Checkpoint efficiency by cell fate in a chordate embryo

Short title: The SAC in chordate embryos

Marianne Roca[1,2], Lydia Besnardeau[1], Elisabeth Christians[1], Alex McDougall[1], Janet Chenevert[1*], Stefania Castagnetti[1*]

[1] Sorbonne Universités, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement de Villefranche-sur-Mer (LBDV), 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France.

[2] current address: Max Planck Research Group Genetics of Behavior, Max Planck Institute for Neurobiology of Behavior – Caesar, Ludwig-Erhard-Allee 2, Bonn 53175, Germany

* corresponding authors: stefania.castagnetti@imev-mer.fr

Keyword: mitosis, ascidian, spindle assembly checkpoint, embryo, kinetochore, chordate

Abstract

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) is a surveillance system which preserves genome integrity by delaying anaphase onset until all kinetochores are correctly attached to spindle microtubules. Recruitment of SAC proteins to unattached kinetochores generates an inhibitory signal that prolongs mitotic duration. Chordate embryos are atypical in that spindle defects delay mitotic progression only during late embryogenesis and it is not known whether once acquired the SAC is then under the same regulation as in non-chordate embryos. Here we show that in embryos of the chordate *Phallusia mammillata* the SAC is inefficient during the first seven cleavage divisions. From the 8th cell cycle, the SAC becomes able to delay mitotic exit in all cells but its strength, manifest as the degree of mitotic lengthening induced by spindle perturbations, is specific to different cell types and is modulated by cell size showing similarity to SAC control in the early cell cycles of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. The difference in SAC strength requires GSK3 kinase activity. We suggest that in chordates modulation of SAC efficiency in SAC proficient stages depends on cell fate and cell size similarly to the early cell cycles of non-chordate embryos.

Introduction

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), or mitotic checkpoint, is a control mechanism that operates during mitosis to ensure fidelity of chromosome segregation, by delaying anaphase onset until all chromosomes have acquired proper bipolar attachments to spindle microtubules. Loss of SAC function is often associeted with chromosome segregation errors which result in the generation of daughter cells with an abnormal chromosome number, a condition called aneuploidy, deleterious for cell viability and organismal survival (Chunduri and Storchová, 2019; Jia et al., 2013; Musacchio and Salmon, 2007).

Although the SAC has an essential role to ensure genomic stability, the response to spindle defects varies across cell types, from the absence of SAC response in early chordate embryos to the permanent mitotic arrest of HeLa cells. Studies carried out in *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos have attributed this variability to differences in cell size and cell identity. In those embryos the SAC becomes more efficient, delaying mitosis for longer, at each cleavage division following fertilization, as cell volume is reduced (Encalada et al., 2005; Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018). These data can be explained by a model whereby SAC signal generated at kinetochores is diluted in the cytoplasm and the strength of SAC response is influenced by kinetochore-to-cytoplasmic ratio. Consistent with this notion, in *Xenopus* egg extracts, the mitotic checkpoint can be activated by increasing the concentration of added sperm heads(Minshull et al., 1994) and in mouse reduction of oocyte size results in a stronger SAC response during meiosis (Kyogoku and Kitajima, 2017; Lane et al., 2017). However, recent work indicated that cell size does not influence SAC strength in mouse pre-implantation embryos (Vázquez-Diez et al., 2019). Similarly, in *C. elegans* embryos, the germline lineage displays a stronger checkpoint response than somatic cells and this difference cannot be accounted for by a difference in cell size, but was shown to depend on the partitioning of cell fate determinants (Gerhold et al., 2018).

Here we find that in the chordate *P. mammillata* the SAC becomes efficient at the 8th cell cycle and its strength then increases at each cell division. We show that, following SAC acquisition SAC strength is influenced by cell identity, similarly to SAC proficient non-chordate embryos (Gerhold et al., 2018) and we suggest that within same-fated cells SAC strength is then modulated by changes in cell size. In the ventral ectoderm of *P. mammillata* embryos, the difference in SAC activity observed between anterior and posterior cells requires GSK3 activity to enhance SAC strength in anterior cells.

Results and Discussion

We have previously shown that the SAC is inefficient in 2-cell stage embryos of *P. mammillata* where treatment with nocodazole completely depolymerizes microtubules, preventing spindle formation, but induces only a limited mitotic delay (Chenevert et al., 2020). As an active SAC delays mitotic exit, to determine when the SAC becomes functional in *P. mammillata* embryos, we analyzed mitotic duration in the absence of spindle microtubules (Fig S1), from the 2nd to 10th cell cycle following fertilization. Mitotic duration was measured as the time spent between nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB), and nuclear envelope reformation (NER; Fig 1A). Consistent with earlier studies (Dumollard et al., 2017), mitotic duration in control embryos (DMSO) was constant at all analyzed stages (Fig 1B) and lasted on average 10 minutes. As previously observed for 2-cell stage embryos (Chenevert et al., 2020), mitotic duration was briefly delayed in 2- to 64-cell stage embryos treated with nocodazole, compared to DMSO-treated embryos (1.5-fold) (Fig 1B). From the 8th cell cycle, however, in nocodazole-treated embryos mitotic duration was prolonged more extensively at each cell cycle, extending by 2.5-fold (24.5±8.5 minutes) at the 8th cell cycle (128 cell), by 4.5-fold (37.3±14.5 minutes) at the 9th cell cycle (256-cells) and by 6.5-fold (64.3±20 minutes) at the 10th cell cycle (512-cells). These results suggest that the SAC becomes efficient at the 8th cell cycle and that its efficiency increases progressively in the following cell cycles.

To confirm that the mitotic delay observed from the 8th cell cycle requires SAC activity, we measured mitotic duration in the presence of nocodazole in SAC impaired embryos (Fig 2A). Mutations of three phosphorylation sites in the human SAC protein Mad2 produce a dominant negative form of Mad2 (Mad2-DN) that impairs SAC activity (Fig 2B) (Wassmann et al., 2003a; Wassmann et al., 2003b). We tested the effect of Mad2-DN on mitotic duration during *P. mammillata* development. At the 2-cell stage, overexpression of Mad2-DN did not affect mitotic duration, either in the presence (Fig 2C) or in the absence (Fig 2D) of microtubules. However, both at the 8th and the 9th cell cycles mitotic duration in the presence of nocodazole was significantly reduced by Mad2-DN overexpression (Fig 2D) lasting only 1.5 times longer in nocodazole-treated than in DMSO-treated embryos (128-cell: DMSO = 9.8±1.6 to nocodazole = 15.6±3.5 minutes; 256-cell: 9.9±1.8 to 13.6±2.4 minutes), comparable to mitotic duration in nocodazole treated wild-type early embryos (2- to 64- cell stage). Similarly impairing SAC activity with reversine, a specific inhibitor of Mps1, the kinase that initiates the SAC signaling cascade (Fig 2A)(Santaguida et al., 2010), prevents mitotic lengthening following nocodazole treatment at the 9th cell cycle (Fig 2D). Hence, the SAC is required to

delay mitotic exit from the 8th cell cycle but does not control mitotic progression during the
previous seven divisions.

After the 8th cell cycle, SAC response increases both in strength and variability at each
cell cycle (Fig. 1B). At this stage, most cells in the ascidian embryo are fate-restricted. We then
asked whether SAC strength is a property of different cell identities and therefore analyzed
SAC strength in different cell populations. We observed that at the 9th cell cycle, in the presence
of nocodazole, cells of the anterior ventral ectoderm spent significantly longer in mitosis than
cells of the posterior ventral ectoderm, dorsal ectoderm or notochord (Fig. 3A, B).

To dissect which factors influence this difference in SAC strength we focused on the
ventral ectoderm as those cells are easily accessible, being on the surface of the embryo, and
two SAC behaviors can be observed in the same field of view. We first asked whether the
difference between these two populations could be explained by a difference in cell size. Using
the membrane marker PH-GFP, we measured cell volume and observed that ventral anterior
and posterior ectodermal cells have a similar range of cell volumes (Fig. 3C), excluding that
the difference in mitotic response between these two cell populations is due to a difference in
cell size.

We then asked whether the difference in SAC strength observed in the ventral ectoderm
along the antero-posterior axis is a consequence of the differences in cell fate. We therefore
interfered with patterning along the anterior-posterior axis and analyzed SAC strength at the 9th
cell cycle. In *C*. *intestinalis* the transcription factor FoxA-a is necessary for the acquisition of
anterior ectodermal identity and its overexpression in animal blastomeres from the 16-cell stage
results in posterior cells acquiring anterior identity (Lamy et al., 2006). To induce anterior fate
in the posterior part of the ventral ectoderm we injected *P*. *mammillata* eggs with a plasmid
encoding FoxA-a under the control of the Fog promoter (pFog>FoxA-a) to drive FoxA-a
expression in all animal cells. At the 9th cell cycle, in pFog>FoxA-a injected embryos expression
of the anterior marker sFRP1/5 (Lamy et al., 2006), normally restricted to the anterior pole (Fig
S2A), was extended towards the posterior pole in 34% of embryos and was expressed in all
cells in 66% of embryos. The latter did not elongate at the neurula stage but developed into
round embryos, indicating complete loss of anterior-posterior patterning. At the 9th cell cycle,
mitotic duration was unaffected in pFog>FoxA-a-injected embryos in the presence of DMSO
(Fig S2B). In nocodazole-treated round pFog>FoxA-a-injected embryos (Fig 3D) mitotic
duration was extended by 4.4-fold (11.1 ± 2.6 to 48 ± 21.7 minutes), an increase similar to that
observed in anterior wild-type cells (9.9 ± 2.4 to 49.3 ± 11.9 minutes, 4.8-fold increase). To
confirm that anteriorization of the posterior ectoderm results in an increase in SAC strength,

we also removed the vegetal pole of fertilized eggs, which contains the maternal factors
required for cell differentiation and for the invariant cleavage pattern typical of ascidian
development (Fig. 3E)(Nishida, 1996; Nishikata et al., 1999). Such manipulation of the zygote
(pole-ablated) gives rise to embryos in which all cells acquire the anterior ectodermal fate
(Nishida, 1994), as shown by ectopic expression of the anterior marker sFRP1/5 (Lamy et al.,
2006) throughout the embryo (Fig. S2A). Pole-ablated embryos acquire SAC activity at the 8th
cell cycle and in the presence of nocodazole delay mitotic duration by 2.4-fold (DMSO:
10.7±2.9 to nocodazole: 24.3±7.5 minutes), like ventral anterior ectodermal cells in control, un-
manipulated embryos (Fig. S2C). At the 9th cell cycle, we observed greater variability in mitotic
duration in pole-ablated embryos than in anterior ectodermal cells of wild-type embryos, with
several cells exhibiting only a short mitotic delay (Fig. 3F). However, we noticed that
differently from unmanipulated control embryos where the cell volume of anterior ectodermal
cells ranges between $2x10^3$ μm^3 and $4x10^3$ μm^3, in pole-ablated embryos cell volume varied
between, $1.8x10^3$ μm^3 and $8x10^3$ μm^3 (Fig. 3G). The mitotic delay induced by nocodazole was
comparable in control (48±11.9 minutes) and pole ablated (43.5±15.6 minutes) embryos when
considering only cells whose volume was comparable to anterior ectodermal cells
(volume<$4x10^3$ μm^3), while in pole ablated cells larger than $4x10^3 \mu m^3$ the mitotic delay was
significantly shorter (25.3±6.9 minutes, 2.2-fold increase, Fig 3G and Fig S2D). Hence, the
difference in SAC efficiency between anterior and posterior ectodermal cells could be attributed
to a difference in cell identity. Our data also suggest that within same fated cells, the SAC
response is modulated by cell size and is stronger in smaller cells. However, given the
asynchrony in cell division from the 4th cell cycle in *P. mammillata* embryos, it is formally
possible that SAC strength increases at each cell division cycle and larger cells have a weaker
SAC because they are a cell cycle behind due to cell cycle asynchrony.

As anteriorization of posterior cells results in enhancement of SAC strength we then
asked whether posteriorization of the anterior ectoderm would result in a reduction in SAC
efficiency. In the ascidian *Ciona intestinalis*, GSK3 is active in anterior cells and its inhibition
from the onset of gastrulation results in loss of anterior identity in the ectoderm with extension
of the posterior domain (Feinberg et al., 2019). We observed a similar effect in *P. mammillata*
embryos treated with the specific GSK3 inhibitor BIO from the onset of gastrulation (stage 10,
Fig. 4A). BIO-treated embryos did not express the anterior markers FoxF (Fig. 4B) and sFRP1/5
(Fig S2E), and developed into tadpoles with diminished anterior structures (Fig. 4B)(Feinberg
et al., 2019), consistent with the acquisition of posterior identity in the anterior ventral
ectoderm. At the 256-cell stage, BIO-treated embryos maintained their overall shape, allowing

orientation of the embryo along the anterior-posterior axis (Fig. 4B). At this stage, mitotic

duration was slightly extended in posterior ectodermal cells by BIO treatment alone, but no

effect was observed in anterior ectodermal cells (Fig. S2F; wild-type: anterior 11.8±2.9

minutes, posterior 10.7±1 minutes and BIO: anterior 12.1±2.9 minutes, posterior 13.6±3.2

minutes). In the presence of nocodazole, however, (Fig. 4C) mitotic duration was significantly

shorter in anterior cells of the ventral ectoderm in BIO-treated embryos than in untreated

embryos (untreated: 66.4±19.1 minutes, BIO: 50.9±13.9 minutes), while no difference was

observed in posterior ventral ectodermal cells (untreated: 44.7±15.2 minutes, BIO: 43.1±11.3

minutes). Thus, SAC strength is specific to different cell populations. Our results also suggest

that GSK3 might be involved in enhancing SAC efficiency in anterior ectodermal cells. GSK3

activity as a SAC enhancing factor could be direct through phosphorylation of the SAC

machinery and/or the kinetochores to favor their interaction, or indirect through ß-catenin

mediated transcriptional control of SAC regulators. Although further work will be required to

distinguish between these possibilities, work carried out in mammalian cells showed that GSK3

promotes the recruitment of the SAC components Mad2, Bub1 and BubR1 to unattached

kinetochores and favors the formation of the inhibitory complex (Rashid et al., 2018),

supporting a direct role of GSK3 in increasing SAC strength.

Material and Methods

Plasmids and RNAs

The cDNA encoding Mad2-DN, a phosphomimic form of the human Mad2 that acts as

a dominant negative, was provided by Dr K. Wassmann (Wassmann et al., 2003a) and was

cloned into the plasmid pCS2 to allow RNA synthesis using SP6 RNA polymerase (mMessage-

mMachine kit, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). Following in vitro transcription, a poly(A) tail was

added using a polyA-tailing kit (Invitrogen). The Mad2-DN RNA was injected into unfertilized

eggs at a concentration of 8 μg/μl.

Plasmids encoding NLS-3Venus, NLS-tomato, PH-tomato and PH-GFP were

previously described (McDougall et al., 2015). Following plasmid linearization with Sfi1,

RNAs were synthetized using T3 RNA polymerase (mMessage-mMachine kit, Invitrogen).

NLS-3Venus and NLS-tomato RNAs were injected in unfertilized eggs at the concentration of

4 μg/μl. PH-tomato and PH-GFP were injected in unfertilized eggs at a concentration of 1 μg/μl.

At these concentrations, these RNAs did not delay mitotic progression and had no effect on
embryonic development.

FoxA-a identified as Phmamm.g00001891 (Brozovic et al., 2018) was amplified from a cDNA
template using the primers: forward cgcTGTACAAGATGATGTTGTCGTCTCCC and reverse
cgcTGTACATTAGTTGGCCGGTACGCA. The FoxA-a coding sequence was used to replace the RFA
cassette in pSP72BSSPE-pFog::Venus-RFA provided by Patrick Lemaire (Roure et al., 2007) by
enzymatic digestion using BsrGI. The pFog>FoxA-a plasmid was injected at a concentration of 35
ng/μl.

Gamete preparation

Dissection of adult animals allowed collection of both sperm and eggs. Dry sperm was
kept at 4°C for a maximum of 3 weeks. Eggs were collected in microfiltered sea water (MFSW)
containing 5 mM TAPS ([tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino]propanesulfonic acid), pH 8.2
(McDougall et al., 2015). The chorion was removed by treatment with 0.1% trypsin in 10 ml
MFSW-TAPS for 1.5-2 hours at room temperature (Sardet et al., 1989) . Dechorionated eggs
were washed in MFSW and kept at 18°C in MFSW with TAPS. Eggs were used within 36 hours
from collection. All glass- and plastic-ware for embryo handling was coated with 0.1% gelatin
and 0.1% formaldehyde (GF) (McDougall et al., 2015).

Prior to fertilization sperm was activated by incubating 9 μl of sperm (from 3 different
animals) in 1 ml of MFSW pH 9.2 for 30 minutes. Activated sperm was added to the eggs at a
ratio of approximately 10 μl of sperm to 5/20 eggs for small cultures or injected eggs, and 100
μl for 10 ml of MFSW for large cultures. Following fertilization eggs were transferred to a fresh
dish to remove excess sperm.

Microinjection

Microinjection of unfertilized eggs was carried out as previously described (Yasuo and
McDougall, 2018) with few changes. Briefly glass capillaries without filament (GC100 T10,
Harvard Apparatus) were used to store the RNA to be injected. Prior to loading, the RNA
solution was centrifuged at 13000 rpm at 10°C for 10 min to pellet impurities that could block
the needle. Capillaries were then filled sequentially with 2 μl of mineral oil, 0.5-1.5 μl RNA or
DNA solution and finally 1 μl of mineral oil and were kept at 4°C for several weeks. Needles
were made by pulling capillaries with a Narishige horizontal puller (PN-30).

Injections were performed using a Leica DM IL LED inverted microscope (10x
objective) in transmitted light. The needle was attached to a NarishigeIM300 injection box and
manipulated using a three-axis hydraulic micromanipulator (Narishige MMO-203 associated
with NarishigeNO-SIX-2 mounting adaptor and Narishige HI-7 pipette holder). Eggs were
immobilized in horizontal injection chambers (Yasuo and McDougall, 2018) filled with MFSW
and injected to 5% of their volume.

Pole ablation

Following fertilization, the cytoplasm of ascidian embryos undergoes significant
rearrangements that lead to the localization of many maternal factors into a transient surface
protrusion known as the contraction pole (Nishida, 1994; Nishida, 1996). Ablation of this pole
was performed by aspiration with a micropipette as previously described (Nishida, 1996). An
aspiration micropipette, formed by breaking the tip of a microinjection needle to obtain a blunt
opening, was connected to a mouth pipette. Eggs were fertilized in a petri dish and immediately
transferred into an injection chamber mounted on an inverted microscope as for microinjection.
The contraction pole was aspirated into the pipette by suction and a quick movement of the
needle perpendicularly to the egg surface sealed the membrane of the zygote. Eggs were then
transferred to a new dish to allow development.

Drug treatments

All drugs were stored in small aliquots at -20°C.
Nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was resuspended in DMSO to obtain
a 33 mM stock solution and was used at a final concentration of 10 μM in MFSW. Reversine
(Axon Medchem, Groningen, The Netherlands) was resuspended in DMSO at 5 mM and used
at a final concentration of 0.5 μM in MFSW. Both drugs were added to MFSW when embryos
reached the analyzed stage and embryos were then maintained in the presence of the drug for
the duration of the experiment.
BIO ((2'Z,3'E)-6-Bromoindirubin-3'oxime, Millipore) was resuspended in DMSO to
obtain a 10 mM stock solution and was then used at a final concentration of 2.5 μM in MFSW.
Embryos were soaked in 2.5 μM BIO, in the dark, from the onset of gastrulation (stage 10,
about 5hpf). Two hours later (about 7 hpf) embryos were treated with 10μM nocodazole and
maintained in the presence of both drugs for the remaining of the experiment.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, embryos were collected at the required stage and fixed overnight in 100% methanol at -20°C. After fixation, embryos were washed 3 times in PBS containing 0.1% triton-X-100 (PBST), then incubated in PBST containing 3% BSA (PBST-BSA) for 1 hour at room temperature (RT) and finally incubated overnight at 4°C in PBST-BSA with the anti-tubulin antibody, DM1A (mouse, Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:500 dilution. After overnight incubation, excess antibody was removed by 3 consecutive washes in PBST. Embryos were then incubated in PBST-BSA with either Alexa fluor 546 or Alexa fluor 488 anti-mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Ely, UK; 1:2000) at RT for 1-2 hours. Following 2 further washes in PBST, embryos were incubated for 10 minutes in PBST containing Hoechst 33342 (5 μg/ml), washed twice and then mounted in citifluor AF1 (Science Services, München, Germany), for imaging with a Leica SP8 inverted confocal microscope using a 40x objective.

Time-Lapse Microscopy

For live imaging, embryos were mounted between GF coated slide and coverslip, using Dow Corning vacuum grease as spacer. In early stages (2- to 16-cell embryos) nuclei were followed by brightfield microscopy while at later stages we relied on the localization of a fluorescent protein, Venus or Tomato, fused to a nuclear localization signal (NLS-3Venus or NLS-Tomato). The NLS protein accumulates in the nucleus during interphase, while from NEB to NER (mitosis) the signal disperses in the cytoplasm. To distinguish individual cells within the embryo, eggs were co-injected with an mRNA encoding the plasma-membrane marker PH-GFP. Following fertilization embryos were maintained in MFSW until they reached the stage of interest and then treated with the different drugs (nocodazole, reversine, BIO) or DMSO and transferred to the appropriate microscope for recording. Live imaging was always performed in a temperature-controlled room set at 19°C. When possible, control and treated embryos were imaged in parallel on the same microscope.

Image acquisition was performed on the Plateforme d'Imagerie Microscopique de Villefranche (PIM). For brightfield imaging, a z-stack covering the entire embryo with a z step of 2 μm was acquired using a Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) Axiovert 100 or Axiovert 200 inverted microscope with bright field optics fitted with a 40x/1.1NA objective and equipped with a CoolSnap Kino camera (Photometrics) and Metamorph acquisition software. Images were acquired every 1-2 minutes. For NLS expressing embryos, a z-stack covering the entire

299   embryo with a z step of 2 μm was acquired using a Leica (Wetzlar, Germany) SP8 inverted

300   confocal microscope fitted with a 40x water immersion objective and 408, 488, and 552 nm

301   lasers and the Leica application suite acquisition software (LASX). Images were acquired every

302   2 minutes.

Image analysis

Images were analyzed using different softwares: Metamorph (Molecular Devices, San

Jose, USA), imageJ-Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012), Imaris (Imaris, BitPlane, Zurich, Switzerland)

and Icy (de Chaumont et al., 2012) with the plugin Z-explorer developed by Christian Rouvière

at LBDV. Presence or absence of nuclei was determined manually by following each cell

overtime through the entire Z stack. At the 512-cell stage, when cells did not exit mitosis for

more than 1 hour before the end of acquisition, we assigned a mitotic duration of 60 minutes.

Approximate cell number was assessed by counting number of nuclei using the Spot tool in

Imaris. Cell volume was determined using the surface tool in Imaris: the cell contour was drawn

in each frame based on the signal from the plasma-membrane associated PH-GFP or PH-

Tomato, the reconstructed cell shape was confirmed using 3D visualization of the embryo and

then the cell volume was retrieved.

In situ hybridization

The sFRP1/5 identified as Phmamm.g0000599 (Brozovic et al., 2018) was amplified

from the clone AHC0AAA17YL13RM1. The FoxF identified as Phmamm.g07919 was

amplified from clone 64A02. After linearization by enzymatic digestion with SalI, an *in situ*

hybridization probe covering the coding sequence, was generated by in vitro transcription using

T7 RNA polymerase (Promega, Charbonnières-les-Bains, France) in presence of Digoxygenin-

labeled nucleotides (DIG RNA Labeling Mix, Roche, Bâle, Switzerland).

In situ hybridization experiments were performed as previously described with few

changes (Paix et al., 2009). Eggs and embryos were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% formaldehyde,

100 mM MOPS, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.6, washed 3 times in PBS, progressively dehydrated in

ethanol (25%, 50%, 75%, 100%, 100%) and stored at −20°C. Fixed eggs and embryos were

then re-hydrated by addition of an equal volume of PBS/0.1% Tween 20 (PBSTw), washed

once in PBSTw and then incubated with 2 µg/ml proteinase K in PBSTw for 25 minutes at

room temperature (RT). After 3 washes in PBSTw, embryos were fixed again in PBS containing

4% formaldehyde (1 h, RT) and washed again to remove formaldehyde. Embryos were then

incubated in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 6× saline sodium citrate (SSC), 5× Denhardt's solution, 1 mg/ml yeast RNA, 0.1% Tween, pH = 6.5/7.5) for 1 h at 65 °C. Probes were added to fresh hybridizations solution at a final concentration of 0.5 ng/μl and hybridization was performed overnight at 65°C. After hybridization, embryos were washed at 65°C twice in 50% formamide, 5× SSC, 1% SDS (30 min), twice in 50% formamide, 2× SSC, 1% SDS (15 min), once in 2× SSC, 0.1% Tween (15 min) and twice in 0.2× SSC, 0.1% Tween (15 min). All solutions were at pH 6.5/7.5. Embryos were then incubated in blocking buffer (0.15 M NaCl, 0.5% BBR, 0.1M Tris pH8) for 1h at RT. Anti-DIG AP antibody (Roche, 1:4000) was added in fresh blocking solution and incubated at 4 °C overnight. After incubation, embryos were washed 5 times in PBSTw (3*10 min, 30 min, 1 h) and then in TBSTw at 4°C overnight. Embryos were washed once with reaction buffer (0.1 M Tris pH 9.5, 50 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1M NaCl, 0.1% Tween) and then the detection reaction was carried out in a buffer containing 3.5 μl/ml of of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (BCIP) (Roche, Bâle, Switzerland). The reaction was stopped with 0.05 M EDTA in PBS and embryos were washed in PBSTw. Embryos were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS, washed and mounted in 80% Glycerol. *In situ* hybridization experiments were imaged using an optical microscope (Zeiss Imager A2) with a 20x objective.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Number of analyzed embryos (N) and cells (n) are reported under each graph. For each experiment at least 3 independent biological repeats were included. For statistical analysis the non-parametric test of Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney was applied. For all comparisons, we used population medians as the mean can be affected by extreme values and is highly impacted by outliers (Gaddis and Gaddis, 1990). However, means and standard deviations are also provided in the text. Statistical tests and graphics were performed using the R software (R Core Team, 2016) and the library "pretty R" (Grosjean and Lemon, 2015) was used to retrieve median, mean and standard deviation.

Box plot represents the distribution of the data using quartiles (Q). Q1, Q2 and Q3 being respectively the values below which lie 25%, 50% and 75% of the data points. Q1 and Q3 form the limits of the box and the median Q2 is indicated by a bolder line. The whiskers represent the range in which most values are found, whereas the values outside represent the outliers.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to E. Houliston, H. Yasuo, C. Hudson, S. Darras, R. Dumollard, P. Lemaire and K. Wassmann for discussion, sharing of reagents or critical reading of the manuscript. We would also like to thank C. Hebras, F. Bekkouche, L. Gilletta, M. Temagoult, S. Benaicha, and S. Schaub for technical assistance. We thank the Service Aquarologie of CRB (IMEV-FR3761) for access to marine facilities and animals. This service is supported by EMBRC-France, whose French state funds are managed by the ANR within the investments for the future program under reference ANR-10-INSB-02.

This research was funded by the CNRS and from PACA region (project Mepanep, 2014_03738).

Author contributions

Conceptualization, S.C. and J.C.; Methodology, S.C., J.C. and A.M.; Investigation, M.R., L.B., A.M., J.C. and S.C.; Writing-Original draft, S.C. and J.C.; Funding Acquisition; S.C.; Resources, A.M. and S.C.; Supervision; E.C., J.C and S.C.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Brozovic, M., Dantec, C., Dardaillon, J., Dauga, D., Faure, E., Gineste, M., Louis, A., Naville, M., Nitta, K. R., Piette, J., et al. (2018). ANISEED 2017: extending the integrated ascidian database to the exploration and evolutionary comparison of genome-scale datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D718–D725.

Chenevert, J., Roca, M., Besnardeau, L., Ruggiero, A., Nabi, D., McDougall, A., Copley, R. R., Christians, E. and Castagnetti, S. (2020). The Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Functions during Early Development in Non-Chordate Embryos. *Cells* 9,.

Chunduri, N. K. and Storchová, Z. (2019). The diverse consequences of aneuploidy. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 21, 54–62.

de Chaumont, F., Dallongeville, S., Chenouard, N., Hervé, N., Pop, S., Provoost, T., Meas-Yedid, V., Pankajakshan, P., Lecomte, T., Le Montagner, Y., et al. (2012). Icy: an open bioimage informatics platform for extended reproducible research. *Nat. Methods* 9, 690–696.

Dumollard, R., Minc, N., Salez, G., Aicha, S. B., Bekkouche, F., Hebras, C., Besnardeau, L. and McDougall, A. (2017). The invariant cleavage pattern displayed by ascidian

embryos depends on spindle positioning along the cell's longest axis in the apical
plane and relies on asynchronous cell divisions. *eLife* 6, e19290.

Encalada, S. E., Willis, J., Lyczak, R. and Bowerman, B. (2005). A Spindle Checkpoint
Functions during Mitosis in the Early Caenorhabditis elegans Embryo. *Mol. Biol. Cell*
16, 1056–1070.

Feinberg, S., Roure, A., Piron, J. and Darras, S. (2019). Antero-posterior ectoderm patterning
by canonical Wnt signaling during ascidian development. *PLOS Genet.* 15, e1008054.

Gaddis, G. M. and Gaddis, M. L. (1990). Introduction to biostatistics: Part 2, Descriptive
statistics. *Ann. Emerg. Med.* 19, 309–315.

Galli, M. and Morgan, D. O. (2016). Cell size determines the strength of the spindle assembly
checkpoint during embryonic development. *Dev. Cell* 36, 344–352.

Gerhold, A. R., Poupart, V., Labbé, J.-C. and Maddox, P. S. (2018). Spindle assembly
checkpoint strength is linked to cell fate in the Caenorhabditis elegans embryo. *Mol.*
Biol. Cell 29, 1435–1448.

Grosjean, P. and Lemon (2015). prettyR: Pretty Descriptive Stats.

Jia, L., Kim, S. and Yu, H. (2013). Tracking spindle checkpoint signals from kinetochores to
APC/C. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 38, 302–311.

Kyogoku, H. and Kitajima, T. S. (2017). Large Cytoplasm Is Linked to the Error-Prone
Nature of Oocytes. *Dev. Cell* 41, 287-298.e4.

Lamy, C., Rothbächer, U., Caillol, D. and Lemaire, P. (2006). Ci-FoxA-a is the earliest
zygotic determinant of the ascidian anterior ectoderm and directly activates Ci-
sFRP1/5. *Development* 133, 2835–2844.

Lane, S. I. R., Morgan, S. L., Wu, T., Collins, J. K., Merriman, J. A., ElInati, E., Turner, J. M.
and Jones, K. T. (2017). DNA damage induces a kinetochore-based ATM/ATR-
independent SAC arrest unique to the first meiotic division in mouse oocytes. *Dev.*
Camb. Engl. 144, 3475–3486.

McDougall, A., Chenevert, J., Pruliere, G., Costache, V., Hebras, C., Salez, G. and
Dumollard, R. (2015). Chapter 17 - Centrosomes and spindles in ascidian embryos
and eggs. In *Methods Cell Biol.* (ed. Basto, R.) and Oegema, K.), pp. 317–339.
Academic Press.

Minshull, J., Sun, H., Tonks, N. K. and Murray, A. W. (1994). A MAP kinase-dependent
spindle assembly checkpoint in Xenopus egg extracts. *Cell* 79, 475–486.

Musacchio, A. and Salmon, E. D. (2007). The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time.
Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8, 379–393.

Nishida, H. (1994). Localization of determinants for formation of the anterior-posterior axis
in eggs of the ascidian Halocynthia roretzi. *Development* 120, 3093–3104.

434 Nishida, H. (1996). Vegetal egg cytoplasm promotes gastrulation and is responsible for
435    specification of vegetal blastomeres in embryos of the ascidian Halocynthia roretzi.
436    *Development* 122, 1271–1279.

437 Nishikata, T., Hibino, T. and Nishida, H. (1999). The centrosome-attracting body,
438    microtubule system, and posterior egg cytoplasm are involved in positioning of
439    cleavage planes in the ascidian embryo. *Dev. Biol.* 209, 72–85.

440 Paix, A., Yamada, L., Dru, P., Lecordier, H., Pruliere, G., Chenevert, J., Satoh, N. and Sardet,
441    C. (2009). Cortical anchorages and cell type segregations of maternal
442    postplasmic/PEM RNAs in ascidians. *Dev. Biol.* 336, 96–111.

443 R Core Team, R. C. T. (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

444 Rashid, M. S., Mazur, T., Ji, W., Liu, S. T. and Taylor, W. R. (2018). Analysis of the role of
445    GSK3 in the mitotic checkpoint. *Sci. Rep.* 8,.

446 Roure, A., Rothbächer, U., Robin, F., Kalmar, E., Ferone, G., Lamy, C., Missero, C., Mueller,
447    F. and Lemaire, P. (2007). A Multicassette Gateway Vector Set for High Throughput
448    and Comparative Analyses in Ciona and Vertebrate Embryos. *PLoS ONE* 2,.

449 Santaguida, S., Tighe, A., D'Alise, A. M., Taylor, S. S. and Musacchio, A. (2010). Dissecting
450    the role of MPS1 in chromosome biorientation and the spindle checkpoint through the
451    small molecule inhibitor reversine. *J. Cell Biol.* 190, 73–87.

452 Sardet, C., Speksnijder, J., Inoue, S. and Jaffe, L. (1989). Fertilization and ooplasmic
453    movements in the ascidian egg. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* 105, 237–249.

454 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
455    Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source
456    platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682.

457 Vázquez-Diez, C., Paim, L. M. G. and FitzHarris, G. (2019). Cell-Size-Independent Spindle
458    Checkpoint Failure Underlies Chromosome Segregation Error in Mouse Embryos.
459    *Curr. Biol.* 29, 865-873.e3.

460 Wassmann, K., Liberal, V. and Benezra, R. (2003a). Mad2 phosphorylation regulates its
461    association with Mad1 and the APC/C. *EMBO J.* 22, 797–806.

462 Wassmann, K., Niault, T. and Maro, B. (2003b). Metaphase I arrest upon activation of the
463    Mad2-dependent spindle checkpoint in mouse oocytes. *Curr. Biol. CB* 13, 1596–1608.

464 Yasuo, H. and McDougall, A. (2018). Practical Guide for Ascidian Microinjection: Phallusia
465    mammillata. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1029, 15–24.

467
468
469

471
Figure 1: Nocodazole treatment induces a prolonged delay in mitotic progression from
the 8ᵗʰ cell cycle.

A) Representative images of 4- (top), 64- (middle) and 256-cell (bottom) embryos treated with

DMSO (left) or with 10 μM nocodazole (right). Asterisks indicate nuclei. NEB is considered

as time 0 and time before or after NEB (minutes) is indicated on each image. For early stages

(2-16 cell) nuclei were visualized by brightfield video microscopy. See also Movie 1. For later

stages (32-512 cell), nuclei were visualized with the fluorescent label NLS-3xVenus and plasma

membrane with PH domain-GFP; see also Movie 2. Dotted lines indicate cell periphery.

Fluorescence images are projections of the confocal Z stack covering the whole cell. **B)**

Quantification of mitotic duration in DMSO (light grey) or nocodazole (dark grey) treated

embryos from 2nd to 10th cell cycle (2- to 512-cell). Representative brightfield images of *P.*

mammillata embryos are presented below the plot for all analyzed stages. Nocodazole was

added as soon as embryos reached the analyzed stage. Each dot represents a cell. Boxes

represent $25\text{-}75^{th}$ percentiles, and the median is shown. Number of analyzed embryos (N) and

cells (n) is given for each stage. Test of Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney performed using all cells:

non-significant (ns), p-value ≤ 0.05 (*), ≤ 0.01 (**), ≤ 0.001 (***), ≤ 0.0001 (****). Scale bars

are 10 μm in A and 30 μm in B.

Figure 2: SAC activity is acquired at the 8ᵗʰ cell cycle.

A) Schematic representation of mitotic chromosomes and their association with spindle microtubules (top). SAC proteins, Mps1, Mad1 and Mad2 localize to an unattached kinetochore, leading to SAC activation and inhibition of APC/C activity (middle). Treatment with the Mps1 inhibitor, reversine, or overexpression of Mad2-DN impairs SAC activity resulting in premature mitotic exit (bottom). **B)** Alignment of Mad2 sequence from human and *P. mammillata*. The serine residues mutated in the Mad2-DN construct are indicated in red. **C)** Quantification of mitotic duration in DMSO and **D)** in nocodazole treated embryos at 2-, 128- and 256-cell stage in wild-type embryos (black) or following SAC impairment by either overexpression of Mad2-DN (green) or treatment with reversine (magenta). Drugs (nocodazole

and reversine) were added when embryos reached the analyzed stage. Boxes are as in Figure
1B. See also Movie 3 and 4.

Figure 3: SAC efficiency is stronger in anterior ectodermal cells.

A) Ventral, middle and dorsal views of cell mask stained 256-cell stage embryo, indicating

areas where mitotic duration was analyzed for anterior and posterior ectodermal cells: ventral

anterior (orange) and posterior (blue) ectoderm, notochord (red) and dorsal ectoderm (grey). **B)**

Mitotic duration in ventral anterior ectoderm (orange), ventral posterior ectoderm (blue),

notochord (red) and dorsal cells (grey) of nocodazole treated wild type 256-cell stage embryos.

C) Cell volume of ventral anterior (orange) and posterior (blue) ectodermal cells in 256-cell

stage embryos in the presence of either DMSO (grey) or nocodazole (black). **D)** Top: schematic

representation of FoxA-a expression in wild type and pFog>FoxA-a over-expressing embryos.

Green circles represent FoxA-a expressing nuclei. Bottom: mitotic duration in ventral anterior

ectoderm (orange), ventral posterior ectoderm (blue) and pFog>FoxA-a overexpressing

embryos (green) at the 9th cell cycle in the presence of nocodazole. **E)** Schematic representation

of non-manipulated (left) and pole-ablated (right) fertilized eggs and resultant embryos. **F)**

Mitotic duration in the presence of nocodazole for wild type ventral anterior (orange) and

posterior (blue) ectodermal cells and cells from pole-ablated embryos (green) at the 9th cell

cycle. **G)** Mitotic duration in pole-ablated embryos and anterior ectodermal cells treated with

nocodazole as a function of cell volume at the 9th cell cycle. For B), C), D) and F) boxes are as

in Figure 1B. See also Figure S2. Scale bar is 30μm.

Figure 4: GSK3 enhances SAC activity in anterior ectodermal cells.

A) Schematic representation of test used to analyze impact of GSK3 activity on mitotic duration. **B)** Representative images of control (left) and BIO-treated (right) 256-cell stage embryos (7 hpf), expressing NLS-Tomato (magenta) and PH-GFP (green), late neurula embryos showing the expression pattern of the anterior marker FoxF (11 hpf) and tadpoles (18 hpf). **C)** Mitotic duration in ventral anterior (orange) and posterior (blue) ectodermal cells of control (DMSO) embryos and BIO-treated embryos at the 9th cell cycle in the presence of nocodazole. Box plot in C is as in Figure 1B. Scale bar is 30μm.