Coordination of Pickpocket ion channel delivery and dendrite growth in Drosophila sensory neurons

Josephine W. Mitchell[1,2], Ipek Midillioglu[3], Bei Wang[4,5], Chun Han[4,5], and Jill Wildonger[2,3,6*]

[1]Integrated Program in Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706
[2]Biochemistry Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706
[3]Pediatrics Department, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093
[4]Weill Institute for Cell and Molecular Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
[5]Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
[6]School of Biological Sciences, Cell & Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

*Correspondence: jwildonger@ucsd.edu

Abstract

Sensory neurons enable an organism to perceive external stimuli, which is essential for survival. The sensory capacity of a neuron depends on the elaboration of its dendritic arbor and the delivery of sensory ion channels to the dendritic membrane. However, it is not well understood how ion channels are trafficked to sensory dendrites and whether their delivery is coordinated with dendrite growth. We investigated the trafficking of the DEG/ENaC/ASIC ion channel Pickpocket (Ppk) in peripheral sensory neurons in fruit fly larvae. We used CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering to tag endogenous Ppk1 and visualize it live, including monitoring Ppk1 membrane localization via a novel secreted split-GFP approach. Strikingly, Ppk1 is present throughout the membrane of actively growing dendrites, and Ppk1 density scales in proportion to the dendritic membrane, even when dynein-mediated transport to dendrites is disrupted. Our data suggest that Ppk1 is integral to the membrane of growing dendrites and implicate the recycling endosome GTPase Rab11 in the forward trafficking of Ppk1 to dendrites. Together, our results suggest that Ppk channel transport is coordinated with dendrite morphogenesis, thus ensuring proper ion channel levels and distribution in sensory dendrites.

Introduction

An organism's interactions with its environment rely on its ability to sense external stimuli through sensory neurons. Ion channels distributed throughout the dendritic arbor of a sensory neuron rapidly transduce external stimuli into cellular signals. Both the morphology of a sensory neuron's dendritic arbor and the localization of ion channels in the arbor are essential to the establishment of a neuron's receptive field and sensory capacity. While the localization of ion channels to synapses in the central nervous system has been well studied (Bourke et al., 2018), little is known regarding mechanisms that regulate the delivery of ion channels to the dendritic membrane of sensory neurons in the peripheral nervous system. It is also not known whether and how this trafficking may be coordinated with dendrite morphogenesis to establish the proper distribution of ion channels needed for sensing environmental stimuli.

To investigate the relationship between ion channel trafficking and dendrite growth, we used the *Drosophila melanogaster* class IV dendritic arborization (da) neurons as a model. The class IV da neurons function as polymodal nociceptors that detect multiple stimuli (thermal, mechanical, and light) and extend elaborately branched dendritic arbors that cover the larval body wall (Grueber et al., 2002; Hwang et al., 2007; Tracey et al., 2003; Xiang et al., 2010). These neurons are an ideal model to study ion channel delivery in growing sensory dendrites for several reasons. First, during larval development, the class IV da neuron dendrites undergo expansive growth that can be easily visualized live in intact animals due to their superficial location just beneath the transparent larval cuticle and their relatively flat, two-dimensional morphology (Grueber et al., 2003; Parrish et al., 2009). Second, the class IV da neurons have been a powerful *in vivo* model to identify mechanisms of dendrite morphogenesis, including players involved in membrane production and trafficking, the secretory and endosomal networks, molecular motor-based transport, and the cytoskeleton (Jan and Jan, 2010; Singhania and Grueber, 2014). By manipulating known mechanisms of dendrite arbor growth, we can investigate how ion channel trafficking is coordinated with dendrite morphogenesis. Third, the general morphology and function of the class IV neurons is similar to peripheral sensory neurons and nociceptors in other organisms, including the mammalian C- and Aδ-fibers and the worm PVD and FLP neurons (Hall and Treinin, 2011; He et al., 2022; Lumpkin and Caterina, 2007). Thus, studying ion channel trafficking in the class IV da neurons may shed light on conserved mechanisms of ion channel localization in sensory dendrites.

During neuronal morphogenesis, the class IV da neurons express several dendritic ion channels
that have been structurally and functionally characterized, including pickpocket (Ppk); Transient
Receptor Potential (TRP) channels, such as painless; and Piezo (Adams et al., 1998; Coste et
al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012; Tracey et al., 2003; Zhong et al., 2010). Whereas TRP and Piezo
channels are comprised of large multi-pass membrane protein subunits, the Ppk ion channel
subunits are relatively small, two-pass membrane proteins. Their modest size makes
endogenous Ppk channels amenable to manipulation via CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering.
Moreover, the crystal structure of a conserved Ppk ortholog in chickens, ASIC1, has been
solved, and this information is advantageous for the structure-guided manipulation of Ppk (Jasti
et al., 2007). For these reasons we decided to focus on investigating the trafficking of Ppk in the
class IV da neurons.
82
Ppk proteins belong to the large, structurally conserved family of Degenerin/Epithelial Na$^+$
Channel/Acid Sensing Ion Channels (DEG/ENaC/ASICs) whose members in worms, flies, fish,
and mammals carry out a variety of functions ranging from mechanosensation and learning and
memory in the nervous system to salt homeostasis in epithelial cells in the kidney (Bianchi,
2022; Boscardin et al., 2016; Kellenberger and Schild, 2002). In the fly class IV da neurons, the
Ppk channel is composed of two subunits, Pickpocket 1 (Ppk1) and Pickpocket 26 (Ppk26),
which are mutually dependent on each other for membrane expression (Adams et al., 1998;
Gorczyca et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2014; Mauthner et al., 2014). The localization of Ppk1 and
Ppk26 has been characterized using antibodies and fluorescently tagged transgenes, and both
subunits are broadly distributed throughout the developing dendrites of class IV da neurons.
Interestingly, Ppk1 and Ppk26 are expressed from late-embryo to mid-larval stages, which
coincides with a period of rapid dendrite growth (Adams et al., 1998; Darboux et al., 1998;
Gorczyca et al., 2014; Jaszczak et al., 2022; Parrish et al., 2009; Zelle et al., 2013). The timing
of Ppk1 and Ppk26 expression suggests that Ppk channel production and localization may be
coordinated with dendrite growth.
98
To investigate the potential coordination of Ppk1 trafficking and dendrite growth, we used
CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering to tag endogenous Ppk1 and follow its localization in
growing dendrites. We found that in developing neurons, Ppk1 is enriched throughout dendrites
and is also present in axon terminals and at low levels in axons. Using a new split-GFP strategy
to monitor the insertion of proteins into the cell membrane, we visualized Ppk1 membrane
expression live in developing neurons, which revealed the robust, uniform membrane

localization of Ppk1 in the somatodendritic compartment. We found that Ppk1 was present

throughout growing dendrite branches and in actively growing dendrite tips, suggesting that

Ppk1 is part of the nascent membrane that is adding to growing dendrites. In support of this

model, we found that Ppk1 dendritic levels scale in proportion to the amount of dendritic

membrane, even when transport to dendrites is disrupted by perturbing dynein. We also

discovered that the recycling endosome GTPase Rab11 is involved in forward trafficking of

Ppk1 to dendrites, which indicates a role for endosome-mediated trafficking in both dendrite

growth and the delivery of a sensory ion channel. Together, our results suggest that Ppk

channel delivery is coordinated with sensory dendrite morphogenesis, thus revealing a

mechanism to establish proper ion channel levels and distribution throughout sensory dendrites.

Results

Ppk1 is enriched in dendrites and the dendritic membrane in developing sensory

neurons

To visualize the localization of the Ppk ion channel in sensory neurons, we tagged endogenous

Ppk1 with fluorescent proteins. To facilitate the manipulation of *ppk1*, we first replaced the ppk1

gene with an attP "docking site," which enables the reliable and rapid knock-in of new *ppk1*

alleles (*Figure 1A*). We then used this strain to knock-in *ppk1* tagged with one copy of

superfolder GFP (sfGFP) at either the N- or C-terminus (*Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure*

supplement 1; since Ppk1 tagged with GFP at either terminus displayed similar localization, we

used C-terminally tagged Ppk1 for most of our experiments). Excitingly, we observed

fluorescent signal in neurons in live animals with just one copy of GFP attached to Ppk1 (*Figure*

1B). Consistent with previous reports, Ppk1::sfGFP was expressed in the class IV da neurons in

the peripheral nervous system (Adams et al., 1998; Darboux et al., 1998; Grueber et al., 2002).

In the dorsal class IV da neuron called ddaC, Ppk1::sfGFP localized to both dendrites and

axons, but its distribution to and within these compartments differed. Ppk1::sfGFP was enriched

in dendrites, where it appeared to localize predominantly to the dendritic membrane and was

present throughout the dendritic arbor (*Figure 1B*). This distribution matches the previously

reported distribution of Ppk1 based on antibody staining and fluorescently tagged Ppk1

transgenes (Ainsley et al., 2008; Gorczyca et al., 2014; Mauthner et al., 2014). In contrast to

dendrites, the Ppk1::sfGFP signal was dimmer in axons and did not align with the axonal

membrane (*Figure 1B*). In the ventral nerve cord, where the ddaC axons terminate,

Ppk1::sfGFP was present in axon terminals (*Figure 1C*). Altogether, our data indicate that

Ppk1::sfGFP localizes predominantly to dendrites, but that it is also present at low levels in

axons and in axon terminals.

In addition to tagging Ppk1 with sfGFP, we also tagged Ppk1 with mCherry at the C-terminus.

The distribution of Ppk1::mCherry was similar to Ppk1::sfGFP in dendrites and axons (*Figure*

1D). There was, however, a striking difference: unlike Ppk1::sfGFP, Ppk1::mCherry clustered in

bright puncta that concentrated in the cell body, proximal dendrites, and axon (*Figure 1D, G*).

These Ppk1::mCherry puncta were motile and moved at speeds consistent with microtubule-

based transport (*Figure 1E*). This is in contrast with Ppk1::sfGFP, which was rarely visible in

puncta (*Figure 1B*). Interestingly, the Ppk1 partner subunit Ppk26 tagged with the fluorescent

protein Dendra2 also displays a punctate distribution pattern similar to mCherry-tagged Ppk1,

whereas Ppk26 tagged with GFP resembles the more uniform distribution of GFP-tagged Ppk1

(Krämer et al., 2019; Mauthner et al., 2014). Unsure of whether the mCherry tag might direct

Ppk1 to a different compartment than sfGFP, we next generated Ppk1 tagged with both sfGFP

and mCherry (sfGFP::Ppk1::mCherry). The sfGFP and mCherry fluorescent signals of the dual-

tagged sfGFP::Ppk1::mCherry resembled those of the singly tagged Ppk1 proteins (*Figure 1F,*

G). This suggests that the punctate mCherry signal does not reflect a difference in the

localization of mCherry-tagged Ppk1. Rather, the mCherry tag may reveal the localization of

Ppk1 to a compartment(s) where sfGFP does not fluoresce. For example, among other

differences, sfGFP and mCherry have different maturation kinetics and are differentially

sensitive to pH (e.g., GFP fluorescence is quenched by low pH whereas mCherry is not)

(Chudakov et al., 2010). Our comparison of the fluorescent sfGFP and mCherry signals of the

dual-tagged Ppk1 indicates that the identity of the fluorescent protein tag can influence protein

visualization and that using different fluorescent tags may be necessary to visualize the full

potential range of a protein's localization in cells.

The localization of fluorescently tagged Ppk1 to both axons and dendrites raises the question of

where Ppk1 is inserted into the membrane. To monitor the membrane localization of Ppk1, we

initially tagged Ppk1 with superecliptic pHluorin. Superecliptic pHluorin is a pH-sensitive GFP

variant that is often used to monitor the insertion of transmembrane proteins because it

fluoresces in neutral pH environments, such as extracellular space, but has minimal

fluorescence in low pH environments, such as the lumen of a transport vesicle (Miesenböck et

al., 1998). To determine an optimal position at which to add a fluorescent tag such as pHluorin,

we first tagged an extracellular loop of endogenous Ppk1 with sfGFP and compared its

fluorescence to Ppk1 tagged with sfGFP at the N- or C-terminus. We tested two positions in an

extracellular (EC) loop: Site 1 was selected based on the structure of chicken ASIC1, and Site 2

is a position that was previously used to monitor rat ASIC1a membrane insertion via a

haemagglutinin epitope tag (Chen and Gründer, 2007) (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*). We

found that insertion of sfGFP at Site 1 resulted in fluorescence similar to Ppk1::sfGFP, whereas

the insertion of sfGFP at Site 2 led to relatively weak fluorescence (*Figure 2—figure supplement*

1). We then tagged Ppk1 with pHluorin at Site 1 and found that Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] produced

relatively weak fluorescence (*Figure 2—figure supplement 2A*). We next tested the effects of

eliminating Ppk26 on Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] fluorescence and distribution, as the loss of Ppk26

should interfere with the membrane localization of Ppk1. In *ppk26* mutant neurons,

Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] fluorescence noticeably increased in the cell body and decreased in dendrites,

but fluorescence was still clearly visible in patches in dendrites, particularly at dendrite branch

points (*Figure 2—figure supplement 2B*). Given that the membrane localization of Ppk1

depends on Ppk26, the fluorescence pattern of Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] in the *Ppk26* mutant neurons

suggested that Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] might fluoresce in the neutral environment of the endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) as well as in the cell membrane. The potential for Ppk1::pHluorin[EC] to fluoresce

in both the ER and cell membrane limits its use as a tool to monitor the insertion of Ppk1 into

the neuronal membrane.

The weak fluorescent signal of Ppk1::pHluorin[EC], and its ability to fluoresce in the ER, led us to

develop a new split-GFP approach to monitor the membrane insertion of endogenous Ppk1

(*Figure 2A*). This approach is analogous to other recently reported split-GFP techniques to

monitor the localization of transmembrane proteins (Ji et al., 2022; Kamiyama et al., 2021). We

tagged endogenous Ppk1 at Site 1 in the extracellular loop with three copies of the split-GFP

peptide GFP(11). In addition to the extracellular GFP(11) tag, we also tagged the Ppk1 C-

terminus with mCherry, which enabled us to follow Ppk1 localization throughout the neuron

(Ppk1::GFP(11x3)[EC]::mCherry[C-term]). We then expressed a secreted version of GFP(1-10)

(secGFP(1-10)) in fat cells, which released secGFP(1-10) into the hemolymph of the larval open

circulatory system. Thus, Ppk1 tagged with GFP(11) in an extracellular loop will only fluoresce

when Ppk1 is inserted into the cell membrane and encounters secGFP(1-10) (*Figure 2—figure*

supplement 3). As a control, we used a construct in which the extracellular N-terminus of the

single-pass transmembrane protein CD4 is tagged with GFP(11) and the C-terminus is tagged

with tdTomato (GFP(11)[EC]::CD4::tdTomato) (*Figure 2—figure supplement 4*) (Han et al., 2012).

Using this split-GFP approach, we monitored GFP fluorescence from Ppk1 and CD4 tagged

with GFP(11). Both Ppk1::GFP(11x3)[EC]::mCherry[C-term] and GFP(11)[EC]::CD4::tdTomato exhibited

GFP fluorescence in dendrites and cell bodies (*Figure 2B-D, Figure 2—figure supplement 4*). As

described above, the membrane localization of Ppk1 depends on Ppk26 (Gorczyca et al., 2014;

Guo et al., 2014; Mauthner et al., 2014). In neurons lacking Ppk26, there was no GFP

fluorescent signal from Ppk1::GFP(11x3)[EC]::mCherry[C-term], albeit the mCherry signal was still

visible, indicating that Ppk1 was still produced in the absence of Ppk26 (*Figure 2B*). These

results support the idea that GFP fluorescence results from Ppk1 insertion into the dendritic

membrane.

Next, we examined axons and axon terminals. Strikingly, in neurons expressing Ppk1 tagged

with GFP(11), we observed a distinct boundary of GFP fluorescence between the cell body and

proximal axon, the latter of which was devoid of fluorescent signal (*Figure 2D*). While the

proximal axon and axon shaft lacked GFP fluorescence, we observed GFP fluorescence in the

axon terminals of neurons expressing Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} (*Figure 2E*). The

peripheral axons and ventral nerve cord are wrapped by glia, which form a barrier that is

unlikely to be penetrated by secGFP(1-10) (Banerjee, 2006; Freeman, 2015; Stork et al., 2008).

Thus, the GFP fluorescence from Ppk1 tagged with GFP(11) potentially reflects the trans-

endocytosis of Ppk1 from the somatodendritic compartment to the axon terminals.

In contrast to Ppk1 tagged with GFP(11), CD4 tagged with GFP(11) displayed GFP

fluorescence throughout the proximal axon and axon shaft (*Figure 2D*). This indicates a

difference in the membrane localization of endogenous Ppk1 and CD4. In summary, we have

developed a new assay to monitor the neuronal membrane localization of Ppk1, which we have

used to reveal that Ppk1 is inserted into the somatodendritic membrane and the axon terminal

membrane but not the membrane in the proximal axon or axon shaft.

Ppk1 is present in dendritic branches as they form and extend

The localization of Ppk1 and Ppk channels throughout the dendritic arbor raises the question of

how Ppk1 becomes so broadly localized. One possibility is that Ppk1 is delivered to dendrites at

the same time that the arbor is established, and thus Ppk1 distribution may be coordinated with

dendrite growth. To determine the localization of Ppk1 relative to when they develop, we

examined the early expression of Ppk1. *ppk1* expression is reported to initiate during embryonic

stages, slightly before dendrites emerge (~embryonic stage 16) (Adams et al., 1998; Darboux et

al., 1998; Gorczyca et al., 2014; Jaszczak et al., 2022; Zelle et al., 2013). Indeed, we first

observed Ppk1::sfGFP in cell bodies and proximal axons just prior to dendrite extension, and we

even observe Ppk1::sfGFP in newly emerging dendrites (*Figure 3A*). These data indicate that

Ppk1 is expressed from the very beginning of dendritogenesis.

Next, we asked whether Ppk1 is present in growing dendrites. Since imaging Ppk1::sfGFP

during embryonic stages was technically challenging, we followed the real-time localization of

Ppk1::sfGFP in both newly formed and extending dendrite branches in larvae. Strikingly,

Ppk1::sfGFP was present throughout growing dendrite branches, in both branches that formed

de novo and branches that extended (*Figure 3B*). We imaged Ppk1::sfGFP both continuously

and over a period of several minutes, and at both time scales we consistently observed

Ppk1::sfGFP throughout dendrite branches as they grew and, in some instances, retracted. We

also imaged Ppk1 tagged with GFP(11) and observed GFP fluorescence in growing dendrite

branches, which indicates that Ppk1 is integral to the membrane of growing dendrite tips (*Figure*

3C). Altogether, these data indicate that Ppk1 is present in growing dendrites.

We next asked whether the broad distribution of Ppk1 throughout the dendritic arbor and in

growing dendrites might reflect its ability to readily diffuse in the dendritic membrane. To test

this we carried out fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) with GFP(11)-tagged

Ppk1 and CD4 (Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} and GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato,

respectively). After photobleaching, GFP fluorescence from Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}

recovered gradually over tens of minutes, significantly slower than GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato

(*Figure 3D*). These FRAP results indicate that rapid diffusion of Ppk1 is unlikely to explain its

broad distribution and presence in growing dendrites.

Our findings that Ppk1 is present in growing dendrites raises the question of whether Ppk1, and

its partner subunit Ppk26, are necessary for dendrite growth and whether any change in Ppk

channel activity might perturb dendrite morphogenesis. In neurons lacking both Ppk1 and

Ppk26, dendrite growth was normal (*Figure 3E*). Although the Ppk channel is not essential for

dendrite growth, we found, consistent with previous reports, that dendrite arborization is

reduced when Ppk channel activity is altered by a degenerin mutation in Ppk26, which keeps

the channel in an aberrant open state (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1A*) (Bianchi, 2022; Bianchi

et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2007; Gorczyca et al., 2014). Surprisingly, the loss of Ppk1 enhanced,

rather than suppressed, the reduction in dendrite arborization associated with the mutant Ppk26

(*Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*). This suggests that the Ppk26 degenerin mutant does not

depend on Ppk1 to form a functional channel and that Ppk1 may restrain the activity of the

mutant Ppk26. Our data also suggest that either the mutant Ppk26 may be able to reach the

dendritic membrane independently of Ppk1 or that the dendrite growth defect caused by the

Ppk26 degenerin mutant is due to the aberrant activity of Ppk26 in an internal organelle.

Combined, our results indicate that while Ppk1 and Ppk26 are dispensable for normal dendrite

growth, Ppk channel activity must be tightly regulated to achieve proper dendrite arborization.

Ppk1 levels are not affected by decreasing dendrite arbor size but are reduced when

dendrite length significantly increases

Our data reveal that Ppk1 is present in the membrane of extending dendrite branches. One

potential model based on these data is that Ppk1 is transported to dendrites via the membrane

that grows the dendritic arbor. Since we were not able to visualize the transport of fluorescently

tagged Ppk1 in growing dendrites, we instead considered the predictions of this model. One

prediction of the model that Ppk1 is transported to dendrites with the membrane that grows the

arbor is that the dendritic levels of Ppk1 should be proportional to the amount of dendritic

membrane or, in other words, dendrite size. We tested this by assessing Ppk1 levels when

dendrite arbor size was altered. We took advantage of different conditions that are known to

reduce or increase dendrite growth, acknowledging that these experiments could only serve as

a general test of whether Ppk1 levels are proportional to dendrite arbor size. First, we tested two

different conditions that reduce dendrite growth: knock-down of the ribosomal protein Rpl22 and

overexpression of the ecdysone receptor (EcR) (Lin et al., 2015; Ou et al., 2008). Under both

conditions, Ppk1::sfGFP density in dendrites was similar to controls, supporting the idea that

Ppk1 levels were proportional to arbor size when dendrite growth was reduced by these two

manipulations (*Figure 4A, B*). We then determined whether Ppk1 levels would change when

dendrite growth increased using the overexpression of the actin regulator Rac1 (Emoto et al.,

2004) and the overexpression of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), which regulates cell growth

through the mTOR (mechanistic target of rapamycin) pathway (Parrish et al., 2009). As

previously reported, Rac1 overexpression increased dendrite branch number without affecting

dendrite length, and we found that Ppk1::sfGFP levels were not affected (*Figure 4C*).

Overexpression of PI3K increased both dendrite branch number and dendrite length by

approximately a third, and, in contrast to the other growth-perturbing conditions, Ppk1::sfGFP

levels were reduced by nearly a third (*Figure 4D*). In this overgrowth condition it is possible that

the production of Ppk1::sfGFP is outpaced by dendrite growth. Although this is only a small

sampling of the many conditions that affect dendrite growth, our results suggest that the

dendritic levels of Ppk1 are not generally altered by perturbing dendrite growth.

Ppk1 persists in dendrites when dynein-mediated transport is perturbed

We further tested the model that Ppk1 is transported to dendrites via the membrane that grows

the dendritic arbor by disrupting transport to dendrites. We reasoned that if Ppk1 is trafficked via

the membrane that fuels dendrite growth, then perturbing transport to dendrites should

decrease growth and have a proportional effect on the dendritic levels of Ppk1 (e.g., the density

of Ppk1 should not be affected).

We took several complementary approaches to determine the effects of disrupting dendritic

transport on Ppk1. Most transport to and within dendrites occurs along microtubules. In da

neuron dendrites, nearly all microtubules are oriented with their minus-ends positioned away

from the cell body (Rolls, 2011). Thus, the microtubule minus-end-directed motor dynein is

thought to mediate the majority of transport to dendrites. We perturbed dynein function in

several ways. First, we reduced the levels of the essential dynein subunit dynein light

intermediate chain (Dlic) via RNAi. In *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neurons, we observed a reduction in

dendrite growth as previously reported (Satoh et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2008), but dendritic

Ppk1::sfGFP levels were similar to controls (*Figure 5A*). Consistent with these results, we found

that loss of the dynein co-factor nudE, which also disrupts dendrite morphogenesis (Arthur et

al., 2015), did not affect the dendritic levels of Ppk1::sfGFP (control: 112.77 ± 21.05 arbitrary

units [AU]; *nudE^{39A}/Df(3L)BSC673*: 110.52 ± 42.55 AU, mean ± standard deviation; Student's

unpaired t-test: p=0.8382; not significant). Thus, decreasing dynein activity reduced dendrite

growth, likely reflecting its role in dendritic transport, but Ppk1 levels in dendrites were

unchanged. These results indicate that Ppk1 levels remain proportional to dendrite arbor size in

dynein loss-of-function neurons.

We also disrupted dynein activity by overexpressing dynamitin (dmn), a dynein co-factor and

dynactin complex member. Elevating dmn levels disrupts dynein activity, likely by perturbing

dynein-dynactin interactions (Echeverri et al., 1996; Schroer, 2004). Unlike *Dlic-RNAi* and *nudE*

loss-of-function, the overexpression of *dmn* reduced dendritic levels of Ppk1::sfGFP by

approximately a quarter (*Figure 5B*). Since overexpressed dmn acts as a dominant-negative, it

may exert a stronger, cumulative effect on dynein-mediated transport than *Dlic-RNAi* and the

nudE loss-of-function mutant. Indeed, when we examined younger larvae, neurons

overexpressing *dmn* had normal levels of Ppk1::sfGFP (*Figure 5B*). Such a dose-dependent

effect may also explain why we previously observed reduced dendritic Ppk1 levels in clones of

dlic mutant neurons, which completely lack *dlic* (Zheng et al., 2008). Our results indicate that the

overexpression of *dmn* initially had no effect on Ppk1::sfGFP levels in dendrites but that the

persistent overexpression of *dmn* resulted in the decrease of Ppk1::sfGFP dendritic levels over

time.

Our findings that the dendritic levels of Ppk1 are not affected by either *Dlic-RNAi* or *nudE* loss-

of-function could be interpreted to suggest that Ppk1 is not transported by dynein. To determine

whether dynein plays a role in transporting Ppk1 to dendrites, we took a closer look at neurons

expressing *Dlic-RNAi*. Most ion channels traffic through the Golgi apparatus, which, in the da

neurons, includes both the somatic Golgi and dendritic Golgi "outposts," which are Golgi mini-

stacks found predominantly in the proximal dendritic arbor (Gardiol et al., 1999; Horton and

Ehlers, 2003; Yang and Wildonger, 2020; Ye et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2014). In control neurons,

we found that Ppk1::sfGFP co-localized with somatic Golgi, although we did not detect any

Ppk1::sfGFP at dendritic Golgi outposts (*Figure 5C*). In neurons expressing *Dlic-RNAi*,

Ppk1::sfGFP accumulated at somatic Golgi and could also be observed at dendritic Golgi

outposts. These results suggest that Ppk1 traffics through both somatic Golgi and Golgi

outposts and that Ppk1 is transported away from Golgi by dynein.

In addition to dendritic defects, neurons with altered dynein activity had increased levels of

Ppk1::sfGFP in axons (*Figure 5A, B*). Previous work by our group and others has shown that

Golgi and Golgi outposts mis-localize to axons when dynein activity is altered (Arthur et al.,

2015; Zheng et al., 2008). Given our findings that Ppk1::sfGFP accumulates at Golgi in dynein

loss-of-function neurons, one possibility is that Ppk1::sfGFP "hitchhikes" on Golgi that are

ectopically localized to axons. However, in the axons of *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neurons,

Ppk1::sfGFP was often adjacent to, but did not overlap with, the trans-Golgi marker

GALNT2::TagRFP (*Figure 5D*). Although Ppk1 was not hitchhiking on Golgi, it was still possible

that the mis-localization of Golgi was responsible for the increase in axonal Ppk1 levels. To test

this, we perturbed the localization of Golgi by disrupting the golgin lava lamp (lva), which links

Golgi to dynein but otherwise does not contribute to dynein activity (Papoulas et al., 2004;

Sisson et al., 2000). Consistent with previous reports, we found that the overexpression of

dominant-negative lva resulted in the ectopic axonal localization of Golgi (Ye et al., 2007). Lva

dominant-negative also resulted in an increase in Ppk1::sfGFP levels in axons and the cell body

(*Figure 5E*). Combined, our results suggest that the mis-localization of Golgi in dynein loss-of-

function neurons is sufficient to result in the accumulation of Ppk1 in axons.

Disrupting secretory pathway function impedes the delivery of Ppk1 to the dendritic membrane

Our finding that Ppk1 accumulates at Golgi when dynein function is perturbed indicates that Ppk1 traffics through the Golgi, likely through both the somatic Golgi and Golgi outposts, before being added to the growing dendritic membrane. Based on these data, we hypothesized that interfering with the function of the secretory pathway, which is the predominant source of membrane for dendrite arbor growth and through which membrane proteins traffic, might reduce the dendritic levels of Ppk1. We disrupted secretory pathway function by impeding the budding of cargo-containing vesicles from the ER. We used a transgenic guide RNA (gRNA) that targets *Sec23*, which encodes an essential component of the COPII vesicular coat, in combination with a transgene that expresses Cas9 specifically in the class IV da neurons (Poe et al., 2018). The *Sec23* gRNA targets the first coding exon of *Sec23* and, in combination with Cas9, is predicted to generate a loss-of-function allele by introducing a premature stop in Sec23. Indeed, neurons expressing *Sec23* gRNA and Cas9 had shorter dendrites and fewer branches, consistent with previously published work that *Sec23* loss-of-function reduces dendrite morphogenesis (*Figure 6A*) (Ye et al., 2007).

Next, we examined the effects of *Sec23* loss-of-function on Ppk1. *Sec23* loss-of-function neurons had significantly less membrane-associated Ppk1 as revealed by a reduction in GFP fluorescence from the dual-tagged Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} (*Figure 6B*). The mCherry fluorescence of Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} was also reduced, but to a lesser extent than the GFP fluorescence. This suggests that disrupting *Sec23* has only a modest effect on Ppk1 levels but has a severe effect on the trafficking of Ppk1 to the neuronal membrane. Consistent with this idea, the dendritic levels of Ppk1::sfGFP were not affected in *Sec23* loss-of-function neurons, although the pattern of Ppk1::sfGFP appeared patchy compared to its typical uniform distribution in control neurons (*Figure 6C*). Notably, both the mCherry fluorescence of Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} and the Ppk1::sfGFP fluorescence appeared greatly increased in the cell bodies (*Figure 6B, C*). Combined, these results suggest that perturbing *Sec23* results in dramatically less membrane-associated Ppk1 and likely causes an accumulation of Ppk1 at an early point during the secretory pathway, likely the ER.

Dendritic levels of Ppk1 are reduced by disrupting Rab11

We next asked what transport carriers might take Ppk1 from Golgi to growing dendrites and play

a role in coordinating ion channel delivery with dendrite growth. We considered Rab11-positive

endosomes for several reasons. First, Rab11 has been implicated in the anterograde trafficking

of ion channels to the dendritic membrane in mammalian neurons (Bowen et al., 2017), and

recent work suggests that Rab11 may affect the trafficking of the Ppk1 partner subunit Ppk26 in

fly da neurons (Krämer et al., 2019). Additionally, Rab11 plays a role in the membrane

localization of ENaC family members in the epithelial cells of mammalian kidneys (Butterworth

et al., 2012; Karpushev et al., 2008; Ware et al., 2020). Rab11 mutants also reduce dendrite

arborization, indicating that it has a role in dendrite growth (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1*)

(Krämer et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2020). These data suggest that Rab11 may play a role in both

the dendritic localization of Ppk1 and dendrite arbor development.

To test whether Rab11 indeed participates in trafficking Ppk1 to dendrites, we perturbed Rab11

function using both *Rab11-RNAi* and a dominant-negative Rab11 construct, Rab11-DN (Rab11-

DN carries an S25N mutation that disrupts GTPase activity). Knocking-down Rab11 with RNAi

decreased dendritic Ppk1 as determined by measuring Ppk1 membrane levels via

immunohistochemistry, Ppk1::sfGFP, and Ppk1::mCherry (Ppk1 membrane expression was

assayed with antibodies rather than GFP(11)-tagged Ppk1 for technical reasons; *Figure 7A-C*).

In neurons expressing *Rab11-RNAi*, the decrease in Ppk1 in dendrites was accompanied by an

accumulation of Ppk1::sfGFP and Ppk1::mCherry in cell bodies (*Figure 7B, C*). Similar to

Rab11-RNAi, the overexpression of Rab11-DN also reduced Ppk1 levels in dendrites as

revealed by quantifying Ppk1 membrane expression via immunohistochemistry and

Ppk1::mCherry (Rab11-DN is tagged with GFP, and thus we were not able to quantify

Ppk1::GFP; *Figure 7D, E*). Like in neurons expressing *Rab11-RNAi*, Ppk1::mCherry

accumulated in the cell body in *Rab11-DN*-expressing neurons (*Figure 7E*). Together, these

results indicate that the disruption of Rab11 leads to a decrease in Ppk1 levels in dendrites and

an accumulation of Ppk1 in the cell body.

Our findings are consistent with the idea that Rab11 participates in transporting Ppk1 from Golgi

to dendrites, but Rab11 also plays a role in the local recycling of ion channels in dendrites

(Hausser and Schlett, 2017). We next asked whether dendritic Ppk1 levels might be regulated

via local recycling. In addition to Rab11, which functions in late recycling endosomes, local

recycling of ion channels depends on the early endosome GTPase Rab5 (Hausser and Schlett,

2017). We found that dendritic Ppk1::mCherry puncta colocalized with Rab5-positive early

endosomes, as did some Ppk1::mCherry puncta in the cell body, which suggests that Rab5 may

play a role in trafficking Ppk1 (*Figure 8A*).

We next analyzed the effects of perturbing Rab5 function on dendritic levels of Ppk1 using a

dominant-negative Rab5 construct, Rab5-DN (Rab5-DN carries a S43N mutation that disrupts

GTPase activity). The overexpression of Rab5-DN did not disrupt dendritic levels of Ppk1 as

measured by quantifying Ppk1 dendritic membrane levels via immunohistochemistry and

Ppk1::mCherry (because Rab5-DN is tagged with YFP, we did not quantify GFP-tagged Ppk1)

(*Figure 8B, C*). Thus, although Rab5-DN reduces dendrite growth similar to Rab11-DN and

Rab11-RNAi (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1, Figure 8—figure supplement 1A*), Rab5-DN has

no effect on dendritic Ppk1 levels. Strikingly, although the dendritic levels of Ppk1::mCherry

were not affected by Rab5-DN, the number of Ppk1::mCherry puncta in the proximal dendrites

and cell body were significantly reduced, and, in many neurons, virtually eliminated (*Figure 8C*).

This suggests that Ppk1::mCherry puncta likely represent Ppk1 in endosomes, specifically early

endosomes whose formation depends on Rab5 function. Indeed, consistent with previous

reports, we observed that fluorescently tagged Rab5-DN appeared diffuse, which differed from

the punctate distribution pattern of fluorescently tagged wild-type Rab5 (*Figure 8—figure*

supplement 1B) (Zhang et al., 2007). While this change in Rab5-DN distribution could reflect its

dissociation from early endosomes, it might also indicate that early endosome formation is

impaired in Rab5-DN-expressing neurons. Overall, our results suggest that Ppk1 traffics through

Rab5-positive endosomes but that this trafficking does not have a significant effect on dendritic

levels of Ppk1. Combined, our results suggest that Rab11 participates in the forward trafficking

of Ppk1 to dendrites at the same time that it promotes dendrite growth.

Discussion

The perception of stimuli by sensory neurons depends on the morphogenesis of a dendritic arbor equipped with ion channels and receptors that will detect sensory inputs. Central outstanding questions have been: How are ion channels and receptors localized to sensory neuron dendrites during development, and how does a sensory neuron properly match ion channel and receptor levels with dendrite arbor size? Sensory dendrites lack synaptic input, which has made it unclear whether known mechanisms of ion channel and receptor trafficking to dendrites with synapses would also regulate the delivery of ion channels and receptors to the asynaptic dendrites of sensory neurons. Our studies of the localization of Ppk1 suggest a model in which sensory neurons likely package ion channels into the membrane that grows the dendritic arbor, thus coordinating the delivery of ion channels with arbor expansion.

Our data reveal that Ppk1 is present throughout the membrane of dendrites as they grow, indicating that Ppk channels are an integral component of both newly formed and extending dendrites. While the localization and function of ion channels in growing axons is well established (Ehlers et al., 1998; Gill et al., 2014; Herkert et al., 1998; Kerstein et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020; Schenk et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2011), little is still known about the localization and function of ion channels in developing dendrites. The timing and breadth of Ppk1 distribution indicates that the class IV da sensory dendrites are likely equipped with the capacity to detect stimuli as soon as dendrites emerge. Indeed, recent work has implicated members of the *C. elegans* DEG/ENaC family in sensing mechanical forces to promote terminal branch growth during arbor formation in PVD neurons (Tao et al., 2022). Although we found that dendrite development occurred normally without Ppk1 and its partner subunit Ppk26, it is nonetheless possible that Ppk channels participate in dendrite growth, possibly in collaboration with another (mechanosensory) ion channel. Class IV da neurons express at least one additional mechanosensory channel, Piezo, whose worm ortholog is only weakly expressed in PVD neurons. In vertebrate neurons, the distribution of ion channels in young dendrites has been reported but is not well characterized, although a rich body of work supports the role of activity in regulating dendrite growth (Craig et al., 1993; Rao et al., 1998; Wong and Ghosh, 2002). Our visualization of fluorescently tagged endogenous Ppk1 provides evidence that ion channels are indeed part of the growing dendritic membrane, similar to the localization of ion channels in axons, and that sensory dendrites thus have a "built in" capacity to detect stimuli.

The presence of Ppk1 in growing dendrites raises the possibility that Ppk channels are

transported to dendrites as part of the membrane that fuels arbor growth. In one test of this

model, we disrupted transport to dendrites by interfering with dynein. Dynein is the predominant

motor for dendritic transport in da neurons, and perturbing dynein function significantly reduces

arbor size (Satoh et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2008). We found that the dendritic levels of

Ppk1::sfGFP remained normally proportional to arbor size in neurons with reduced dynein

activity. This suggests that in dynein loss-of-function neurons, the membrane that does make it

to dendrites has normal levels of Ppk1. The finding that Ppk1::sfGFP accumulates at Golgi in

dynein loss-of-function neurons indicates that dynein normally transports Ppk1 (and Ppk

channels) from Golgi to dendrites. Our results, combined with previous studies (Satoh et al.,

2008), suggest that interfering with dynein function reduces the amount of membrane that is

added to the arbor over time but does not affect the amount of Ppk1 that is packaged into the

membrane destined for dendrites. Thus, interfering with dynein activity perturbs dendrite

arborization but does not affect Ppk1 density. Strikingly, even interfering with translation via the

knockdown of the ribosomal protein RpL22, which decreases dendrite growth, does not affect

dendritic levels of Ppk1. This result is also consistent with the idea that Ppk1 and Ppk channels

are packaged into transport packets at a consistent density even if their subsequent transport

out of the Golgi is disrupted. Moreover, our analysis of Ppk1::sfGFP levels in dendrite growth

mutants, coupled with published reports of Ppk1 or Ppk26 in mutants that affect arbor size, also

indicate that Ppk levels typically remain constant or are only minimally affected despite dramatic

changes in dendrite arbor size (Hu et al., 2020; Rui et al., 2020; Ziegler et al., 2017). The idea

that Ppk1 and Ppk channels are transported to dendrites via the membrane that grows

dendrites suggests a mechanism for the coordination of ion channel levels and dendrite arbor

size.

Once Ppk1 reaches the dendrites, our FRAP analysis of membrane-localized Ppk1

(Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC}) suggests that Ppk channels remain stably inserted into the dendritic

membrane with little baseline turnover. This idea is also supported by our finding that Ppk1

levels are not significantly affected when local protein recycling is disrupted via the expression

of Rab5-DN. Moreover, our FRAP analysis of Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC} did not uncover any hot spots of

fluorescent signal recovery that might indicate areas of ion channel addition, although it is

possible that such sites of insertion are below our level of detection. Recent qRT-PCR analysis

has revealed that Ppk1 mRNA levels drastically decrease after the 2nd instar developmental

stage (Jaszczak et al., 2022), which corresponds to when dendrite growth slows significantly

(Parrish et al. 2009). These data, combined with our FRAP results, suggest that Ppk1 is stably

integrated into the dendritic membrane as dendrites grow expansively, and, once dendrite

growth plateaus, there is little replenishment with newly expressed Ppk1.

To monitor the membrane localization of Ppk1, we used a novel split-GFP approach. These

experiments revealed that Ppk1 is present throughout the somatodendritic membrane but not

the membrane of the proximal axon or axon shaft. The clear demarcation of Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC}

fluorescent signal between the somatodendritic and axonal compartments is consistent with the

presence of a diffusion barrier between these two compartments in the proximal axon of fly

neurons, as was previously supported by studies of the fly ankyrin Ank2 (Jegla et al., 2016;

Leterrier, 2018; Winckler et al., 1999). Although there is a barrier to the diffusion of Ppk1 from

the somatodendritic membrane to the axonal membrane, Ppk1 is not prevented from being

trafficked into the axon to the axon terminal. Given that ensheathing glia likely exclude

secGFP(1-10) (Banerjee, 2006; Freeman, 2015; Stork et al., 2008), the Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC}

fluorescent signal at axon terminals may reflect the trans-endocytosis of Ppk1 from dendrites to

axons. It is not clear what role Ppk1 may play in the axon terminals of class IV da neurons,

although other studies, including in flies, support presynaptic roles for Ppk channels and their

orthologs (Bianchi, 2022; Kellenberger and Schild, 2002; Younger et al., 2013). Additional

studies will be needed to determine whether Ppk1 is preferentially trafficked to one

compartment or the other and whether Ppk1 may be stably inserted into the dendritic, but not

axonal, membrane. Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC} provides a tool to investigate the spatially restricted

membrane expression of Ppk1 and the diffusion barrier between the somatodendritic and

axonal membranes.

Our data indicate that Rab11 plays a role in the transport of Ppk1 to dendrites. Rab11 is an

integral component of recycling endosomes but has also been implicated in the anterograde

trafficking of receptors and ion channels, including ENaCs, in neuronal and non-neuronal cells

(Ang et al., 2004; Bowen et al., 2017; Cresawn et al., 2007; Lock and Stow, 2005; Ware et al.,

2020). Our results implicate Rab11 in the forward transport of Ppk1 in fly da neurons, which

points to the potential conservation of DEG/ENaC/ASIC trafficking pathways across organisms

and cell types. Our results indicate that disrupting Rab11 reduces Ppk1 in dendrites but that

disrupting the function of Rab5, which acts in early endosomes, does not. This suggests that the

effects of perturbing Rab11 on Ppk1 is not due to an effect on Rab11-mediated recycling but is

likely due to a disruption of Rab11-mediated forward trafficking of Ppk1 to dendrites. Consistent

with this model, Ppk1 levels increase in the cell body when Rab11 levels or function are

perturbed. Recently, another group has also found that Ppk26 levels also increase in the cell

bodies of neurons with altered Rab11 (Krämer et al., 2019). It is notable that disrupting Rab11

does not lead to a total loss of Ppk1 from dendrites. This may be due to incomplete perturbation

of Rab11 or Rab11-positive endosomes, or it could indicate a complementary pathway for the

transport of Ppk1 and Ppk channels to dendrites. The pathways that supply membrane and

membrane proteins to dendrites, particularly growing dendrites, are still poorly understood. Our

data suggest that Rab11 and Rab11-positive endosomes may participate in a pathway that

coordinates Ppk ion channel delivery and dendrite arbor expansion.

Materials and Methods

Fly husbandry and stocks

Fruit flies were maintained at 25˚C on cornmeal-molasses-yeast medium. The generation of new *ppk1* alleles and the *UAS-secGFP(1-10)* flies are described below. *ppk26* strains including *ppk26^{Δ11}*, *UAS-ppk26::mCherry*, and *UAS-ppk26-DEG(A547V)::mCherry* were gifts of Dr. Yuh Nung Jan (UCSF) (Gorczyca et al., 2014). The following alleles and transgenic fly strains from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC), Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC), and individual laboratories were used: *ppk-Cas9* (Poe et al., 2018), *Df(3L)BSC673* (BDSC 26525), *ppk-CD4::tdTomato* (BDSC 35845), *ppk-GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato* (Han et al., 2012), *hsp70-Cre* (BDSC 1092), *DcG-Gal4* (Suh et al., 2006), *UAS-Dcr-2* (BDSC 24650), *UAS-Dlic-RNAi* (VDRC 41686), *UAS-dynamitin* (BDSC 8784), *UAS-EcR-DN* (BDSC 9449), *UAS-GALNT2::TagRFP* (BDSC 65253), *UAS-sfGFP(1-10)* (Bo Huang, UCSF), *UAS-Lva-DN* (BDSC 55055), *nudE^{39A}* (Wainman et al., 2009), *Ppk-Gal4* (BDSC 32078, BDSC 32079), *UASp-Rab5-WT::YFP* (BDSC 24616), *UASp-Rab5-DN[S43N]::YFP* (BDSC 9772), *UAS-GFP::Rab5* (BDSC 43336), *UAS-PI3K* (BDSC 8294), *UAS-Rab11-RNAi* (VDRC 108382), *UAS-Rab11-DN(3-4)::GFP* (Hsiu-Hsiang Lee, National Taiwan University College of Medicine), *UAS-Rac1* (BDSC 6293), *UAS-RpL22 RNAi* (BDSC 34828), *U6-Sec23-gRNA* (BDSC 79400), *w^{1118}*.

Generation of *ppk1* alleles

The endogenous *ppk1* gene (~3.7 kb encompassing the entire *ppk1* transcript) was knocked-out and replaced with an attP site to facilitate the reliable integration of new *ppk1* alleles. The *ppk1^{attP-KO}* strain was generated using CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering and ends-out gene-targeting (Gratz et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2009, 2008). We used two guide RNAs that flank *ppk1* (upstream gRNA: 5'-GTTCTTATATCTAGAGATGT-3', and downstream gRNA: 5'-GTCAAGACTTGAAGAATACTG-3') and a donor template, which contained homology arms surrounding an attP site and 3xP3-DsRed flanked by loxP sites. Candidate strains were identified by DsRed expression in adult eyes, and the *ppk1* locus was analyzed by sequencing genomic DNA from isogenized candidate strains. 3xP3-DsRed was then removed by crossing to flies expressing Cre recombinase. A single strain with the desired replacement of *ppk1* with an attP site was used to generate all knock-in alleles.

Constructs to create knock-in alleles were generated using standard molecular biology

techniques and Gibson Assembly to add molecular tags. Two plasmid backbones were used:

pGE-attB-GMR (Huang et al., 2009), which includes a *GMR-mini-white⁺* cassette to identify

knock-in alleles by red eye color in adults, and *pBSK-attB-3xP3* (this study), which includes a

3xP3-DsRed cassette to identify knock-in alleles by DsRed expression in adult eyes. *pBSK-*

attB-3xP3 was generated by adding an *attB* site and *3xP3-DsRed* to *pBSK*. All the exogenous

sequences (e.g., the product of attB/attP recombination) knocked-into the endogenous *ppk1*

locus were the same regardless of which plasmid backbone was used. New *ppk1* alleles in the

pGE-attB-GMR vector were first subcloned into *pBSK*, modified, and then inserted into *pGE-*

attB-GMR using EcoRI and KpnI. New *ppk1* alleles in the *pBSK-attB-3xP3* plasmid were cloned

directly using Gibson Assembly (*pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk^{WT}* was the starting plasmid for many of

the knock-in alleles). All constructs were verified by sequencing prior to injection. *attB-*

containing plasmids with *ppk1* knock-in alleles were injected into *ppk1^{attP-KO}* embryos expressing

ΦC31 integrase (BestGene Inc., Chino Hills, CA). The *GMR-mini-w⁺* and *3xP3-DsRed* markers

were subsequently removed by crossing to flies expressing Cre recombinase.

The following alleles were generated in this study: First a wild-type knock-in allele (*ppk1^{WT-k'in}*)

was generated by cloning the part of the *ppk1* locus that was eliminated by the replacement

strategy (plasmid *pGE-attB-GMR-ppk1^{WT}*). The resulting *ppk1^{WT-K'in}* flies displayed no overt

phenotypes and restored the normal pattern of *ppk1* expression. sfGFP::Ppk1 and Ppk1::sfGFP

were created by adding one copy of sfGFP and a GGS(x4) linker at the N- or C-terminus,

respectively, of Ppk1 (plasmids *pGE-attB-GMR-sfGFP::ppk1* and *pGE-attB-GMR-ppk1::sfGFP*).

A similar approach was used to generate Ppk1::mCherry and sfGFP::Ppk1::mCherry (plasmids

pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk1::mCherry and *pBSK-attB-3xP3-sfGFP::ppk1::mCherry*). Ppk1::sfGFP^{EC}-

Site 1 and Ppk1::sfGFP^{EC}-Site 2 were created by tagging Ppk1 with one copy of sfGFP at either

extracellular Site 1 (between Asn171 and Ile172) or Site 2 (between Gln204 and Leu205).

sfGFP was flanked on both sides by a GGS(x4) linker (plasmids *pBSK-attB-3xP3-*

ppk1::sfGFP^{EC}-Site 1 and *pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk1::sfGFP^{EC}-Site 2*). Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC} was

created by tagging Ppk1 with three copies of the split-GFP peptide GFP(11) at extracellular Site

1 (between Asn171 and Ile172). The three copies of GFP(11) were flanked on both sides by a

GGS(x4) linker (plasmid *pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}*). Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-}

^{term} was created by tagging Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC} at the C-terminus with one copy of mCherry

connected by a GGS(x4) linker (plasmid *pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}*).

Ppk1::GFP(11x7)^{C-term} was created by tagging Ppk1 with seven copies of GFP(11) at the C-

terminus via a GGS(x4) linker (plasmid *pGE-attB-GMR-ppk1::GFP(11x7)*).

Ppk1::pHluorin[EC]::mScarlet[C-term] and Ppk1::pHluorin[EC]::mCherry[C-term] were created by adding one

copy of superecliptic pHluorin (synthesized as a gene block by GeneWiz, South Plainfield, NJ)

at extracellular Site 1 (between Asn171 and Ile172) and flanked on both sides by a GGS(x4)

linker and tagged at the C-terminus with either one copy of mScarlet-I (synthetic gene block

from GeneWiz) or one copy of mCherry connected with a GGS(x4) linker (plasmids *pGE-attB-*

GMR-ppk1::pHluorin[EC]::mScarlet[C-term] and *pBSK-attB-3xP3-ppk1::pHluorin[EC]::mCherry[C-term]*).

<u>Generation of the UAS-secGFP(1-10) transgenic fly strain</u>

The GFP(1-10) coding sequence, which was synthesized as a gBlock fragment (Integrated DNA

Technologies, Inc.), was PCR-amplified and cloned into the NheI/XbaI sites of *pIHEU-sfGFP-*

LactC1C2 (Sapar et al., 2018). The resulting *pIHEU-secGFP(1-10)* construct contains a signal-

peptide sequence from Adipokinetic hormone fused in-frame before GFP(1-10). The construct

was inserted at the *attP[VK00005]* site (injected by Rainbow Transgenic Flies, Inc).

<u>Fixation and Immunohistochemistry</u>

To visualize Ppk channel expression in larval fillets, wandering third instar larvae were washed

in 1X PBS (phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4), dissected in PHEM buffer (80 mM PIPES pH

6.9, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 7 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EGTA) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1X

PBS with 3.2% sucrose for 20 minutes. For the dissection, larvae were pinned onto a Sylgard

plate with their dorsal trachea facing down and were cut on their ventral side to preserve the

ddaC neurons. After fixation, the dissected fillets were washed 3 times with 1X PBS, quenched

with 50 mM NH_4Cl for 10 minutes, and blocked in blocking buffer composed of 2.5% bovine

serum albumin (BSA; catalog number A9647, Sigma), 0.25% fish-skin gelatin (FSG; catalog

number G7765, Sigma), 10 mM glycine, and 50 mM NH_4Cl for 3 hours at room temperature.

Fillets were incubated in primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4˚C. The next

day, fillets were washed in 1X PBS at room temperature (3 x 30 minutes) and incubated with

secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4˚C. The next day, fillets were washed

in 1X PBS at room temperature (3 x 30 minutes) and mounted onto glass microscope slides

(Fisher Scientific, Selectfrost, 25x75x1.0 mm) with cover glass (Fisher Scientific 24x50-1.5)

using elvanol containing antifade (polyvinyl alcohol, Tris-HCl pH 8.5, glycerol and DABCO,

catalog number 11247100, Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH). All wash and incubation steps were

performed on a nutator. To visualize membrane levels of Ppk1, a rabbit anti-Ppk1 antibody

(1:3000; gift of Yuh Nung Jan, UCSF) (Gorczyca et al., 2014) targeting an extracellular epitope

of Ppk1 was used without detergent in any wash or incubation steps. A fluorescently conjugated

secondary antibody was used: goat anti-rabbit-Dylight 633 (1:500; catalog #35563, Invitrogen).

To visualize Ppk expression in the ventral nerve cord, brains from wandering third instar larvae

were isolated from larval carcasses in 1x PBS. Following fixation (4% paraformaldehyde in 1X

PBS with 3.2% sucrose for 15 minutes), brains were washed (3 X 5 minutes) in 1x PBS and

mounted with the optic lobes facing down. The cover glass was stabilized with four small dots of

vacuum grease spacers in four corners of the slide.

To visualize Ppk1::sfGFP in young ddaC neurons, embryos were collected on grape plates for

several hours and then devitalized in a solution of 50% bleach and 50% H_2O for 2-3 minutes.

The eggshells were washed away by rinsing with H_2O and then placed in a tube containing

equal quantities of n-heptane and fixative (4% paraformaldehyde in 1X PBS) for 10 minutes.

Fixed embryos were washed with 1X PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 (3 x 10 minutes) and then

probed for 2 hours with goat anti-HRP conjugated Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1000, or 0.5 mg/mL,

Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). The anti-HRP antibody recognizes a glycoprotein

epitope that is present throughout the fruit fly nervous system, enabling visualization of virtually

all neuronal membranes. Following incubation with the anti-HRP antibody, the embryos were

washed in 1X PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 (3 x 30 minutes). Embryos were mounted in a

solution of 50% glycerol and 50% 1X PBS on glass microscope slides (Fisher Scientific,

Selectfrost, 25x75x1.0 mm) with cover glass (Global Scientific, 24x50 mm-1.5). All steps were

performed at room temperature.

Imaging

Imaging was performed on either an SP5 or Stellaris laser-scanning confocal microscope (Leica

Microsystems) with sensitive hybrid (HyD) and photomultiplier tube (PMT) detectors using

20×0.7 NA (SP5), 20×0.75 NA (Stellaris), and 40×1.3 NA (SP5 and Stellaris) oil-immersion

objectives. The dorsal class IV da neurons (ddaCs) in abdominal segments A2-A5 of control

and mutant larvae were imaged. For live imaging, individual larvae were placed into a small

drop of 50% glycerol:1X PBS solution that was flanked on both sides by strips of vacuum

grease spacers. The larva was then immobilized by pressing a cover glass on top of the

spacers. The larva was oriented with its dorsal trachea facing up and rolled gently to one side

for optimal positioning of the ddaC neurons. Fixed samples (larval fillets, VNCs) were imaged

using a 40×1.3 NA oil-immersion objective. Images were collected via z-stacks (1024x1024-

pixel resolution, 1 µm per z-step). Movies of Ppk1::sfGFP and Ppk1::mCherry dynamics were
collected in 120 h AEL larvae using a 40×1.3 NA oil-immersion objective at a resolution of 1024
x 256 pixels, zoom 2.5, and a rate of 2-1.35 frames per second, or 0.5-0.74 seconds per frame,
respectively, for a duration of 3 minutes. Movies of Ppk1::GFP(11)^{EC} in growing dendrite tips
were collected in 72 h AEL larvae using a 40×1.3 NA oil-immersion objective at a resolution of
1024 x 256 pixels, zoom 6, and a rate of 0.34 frames per second (2.942 seconds per frame) for
a duration of 3 minutes. For FRAP: First, a pre-bleach z-stack was obtained of the dendrite
region to be bleached, which was a secondary dendrite segment longer than 50 µm without
branch points, visible in a single z-plane, and within 150 µm of the cell body. A 50 µm circular
region of interest (ROI) was centered on the dendrite segment. Next, the Leica FRAP Wizard
was used to bleach the ROI: pre-bleach (10 frames; 0.739 sec/frame), bleach at 100% 488 laser
intensity (10 frames; 0.739 sec/frame), post-bleach (10 frames; 0.739 sec/frame). After
bleaching, z-stacks (z-step size of 0.5 µm) were captured at 1, 3, 5, 10, and 20 minutes post-
bleaching. For all experiments, the same imaging settings were used for control and
experimental conditions. Images and movies were subsequently analyzed using FIJI or
Metamorph.
741
<u>Quantification of Ppk1 signal</u>
Levels of Ppk1 were measured in FIJI using the following reporters: anti-Ppk1 antibody,
Ppk1::sfGFP, Ppk1::mCherry, and Ppk1::sfGFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}. First, maximum intensity
projections of z-stack images were generated. To quantify levels in dendrites, the fluorescence
intensity of three different dendrite branches was quantified by tracing 50-µm lines over
segments close to the cell body and averaging the signal intensity along each segment. The
average intensity of a 50-µm line traced over the background was subtracted from each dendrite
trace. Under non-permeabilizing conditions, anti-Ppk1 signal was weak surrounding the cell
body, and therefore dendrite traces initiated ~10-15 µm away from the cell body. An average
intensity for each neuron was quantified by averaging the intensities of the three dendrite
segments after subtracting the background signal. A similar protocol was used to determine the
fluorescence intensity of Ppk1::sfGFP in axons: a 50-µm line was traced over the axon close to
the cell body and the average intensity of a 50-µm line traced over the background was
subtracted.
756
To measure the extent of Ppk1::sfGFP signal in growing dendrites over time, z-stack images of
Ppk1::sfGFP and CD4::tdTomato taken at two time points 30 sec apart were aligned using the

bUnwarpJ plugin to generate a composite image representing the change in dendrite length and

fluorescent signal over time. Dendrite length was quantified based on the CD4::tdTomato signal,

and the percentage of dendrite that was Ppk1::sfGFP-positive was calculated.

<u>Quantification of Ppk1::sfGFP and Ppk1::mCherry dynamics</u>

Movies of Ppk1::sfGFP and Ppk1::mCherry dynamics were first stabilized in FIJI using the

Image Stabilizer plugin. Stabilized movies were opened in Metamorph (Molecular Devices, LLC,

San Jose, CA), and kymographs were generated by drawing 50-70 µm line segments along

dendrites and axons. Frequency was quantified by manually counting the number of motile and

stationary puncta (data were normalized to represent the number of puncta in 100 µm and 1

minute). To quantify motility, the tracks on each kymograph were manually divided into three

categories. Puncta that were motile for the duration of the movie were scored as mobile. Some

puncta were both motile and stationary; these puncta were scored as "both." Puncta that did not

move (defined as less than 1 µm) for the duration of the 3-minute movie were scored as

stationary. To quantify directionality, the tracks on the kymograph were manually scored as

anterograde (away from the cell body), retrograde (towards the cell body), or bidirectional

(anterograde and retrograde movement). To quantify velocity, tracks on the kymograph were

traced, and the corresponding data on time and distance were exported to Excel to calculate

velocity.

<u>Quantification of dendrite morphology</u>

Imaris software with Filament Tracer (version 9.7-9.8, Oxford Instruments) was used to quantify

dendrite length and the number of terminal tips. Neurons were analyzed in larvae that were

aged to 72 h AEL unless otherwise mentioned. To capture the entire ddaC dendritic arbor, z-

stacks (1024x1024-pixel resolution, 1 µm per z-step) of neurons expressing fluorescent

membrane markers were captured using a 20×0.7 NA (Leica SP5) 20×0.75 NA (Leica Stellaris)

oil-immersion objectives. Maximum intensity projections of the z-stack images were created in

FIJI, and neighboring neurons were cropped out using the freehand draw tool. These images

were then further processed in FIJI by applying a threshold to eliminate background signal. The

images were imported into Imaris, and Filament Tracer (BitPlane) with automatic detection was

used to quantify total dendrite length and the number of terminal tips. The largest and smallest

diameters of each neuron were manually measured to generate the dendrite start points and

seed points. The thresholds were manually adjusted for the start points and seed points in order

to cover the entire arbor and to reduce background points; seed points were manually added to

segments that were not automatically identified. The filament was edited to remove the axon

segment and to correct misdrawn segments. Measurements generated in Imaris were exported

to Excel for further analysis.

Quantification of FRAP

Analysis was performed in FIJI by creating maximum projections of the z-stacks from each time

point. A line trace through the bleached region was drawn and the average intensity (arbitrary

units; AU) of the center 10 μm was used to quantify the signal recovery over time. To account

for general photobleaching, the signal intensity in the bleached 10 μm section was normalized

by dividing by the average intensity (AU) of a 10 μm segment in a different secondary branch

outside of the bleached region. Average intensity values were exported to Excel for further

analysis. To calculate percent recovery of signal after photobleaching, the normalized average

signal of the 10 μm branched region at 1, 3, 5, 10, and 20 minutes was divided by the initial

signal from the pre-bleach z-stack.

Statistical Analysis

All data were blinded prior to analysis. Statistical analysis was performed in Excel and

GraphPad Prism using a significance level of $p < 0.05$. Outliers were identified using Grubbs'

test and removed. Data were analyzed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally

distributed data were then analyzed for equal variance and significance using either an F-test

and Student's unpaired t-test (two samples) or one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey (multiple

samples). Data sets that were not normally distributed were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U

test (two samples) or Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn test for significance (multiple

samples). Significance levels are represented as follows: not significant (ns), $p > 0.05$; *, p =

0.05-0.01; **, p = 0.01-0.001; ***, p = 0.001-0.0001; and ****, $p < 0.0001$. Data are presented as

the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) unless otherwise noted. In the graphs, *n*

represents a neuron unless otherwise indicated.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Yuh Nung Jan (University of California, San Francisco), Hsiu-Hsiang Lee

(National Taiwan University College of Medicine), Bing Ye (University of Michigan), the

Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537), and the Vienna Drosophila

Resource Center for fly strains and antibodies. We thank Dr. Anjon Audhya and Jennifer Peotter

(University of Wisconsin-Madison) for assistance with the Imaris software. We thank members

of the Wildonger lab for their feedback and suggestions on the project and manuscript; in

particular, we thank Jessica Liang for her contributions to developing the FRAP protocol, Dena

Johnson-Schlitz for technical assistance and advice, and Harriet Saunders for helpful guidance.

We thank the Biochemistry Department and Dr. Aaron Hoskins (University of Wisconsin-

Madison) for generously providing funding and space to support J.M.. This work is supported by

NIH R01NS102385 (J.W.), R01NS099125 (C.H.), and generous funds from the University of

Wisconsin-Madison (J.W.), the University of California, San Diego (J.W.), and Cornell University

(C.H.).

Author Contributions

J.M. and J.W. conceived of the study. J.M. and I.M. conducted experiments and analyzed data.

B.W. and C.H. conceived of the split-GFP approach to identify the membrane insertion of

proteins and designed and generated the UAS-secreted-GFP(1-10) fly strain. J.M. and J.W.

wrote the manuscript with input from all the authors.

References
848

Adams CM, Anderson MG, Motto DG, Price MP, Johnson WA, Welsh MJ. 1998. Ripped Pocket
and Pickpocket, Novel Drosophila DEG/ENaC Subunits Expressed in Early Development
and in Mechanosensory Neurons. *J Cell Biology* 140:143–152. doi:10.1083/jcb.140.1.143

Ainsley JA, Kim MJ, Wegman LJ, Pettus JM, Johnson WA. 2008. Sensory mechanisms
controlling the timing of larval developmental and behavioral transitions require the
Drosophila DEG/ENaC subunit, Pickpocket1. *Dev Biol* 322:46–55.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.003

Ang AL, Taguchi T, Francis S, Fölsch H, Murrells LJ, Pypaert M, Warren G, Mellman I. 2004.
Recycling endosomes can serve as intermediates during transport from the Golgi to the
plasma membrane of MDCK cells. *J Cell Biology* 167:531–543. doi:10.1083/jcb.200408165

Arthur AL, Yang SZ, Abellaneda AM, Wildonger J. 2015. Dendrite arborization requires the
dynein cofactor NudE. *J Cell Sci* 128:2191–2201. doi:10.1242/jcs.170316

Banerjee S. 2006. Axonal Ensheathment and Septate Junction Formation in the Peripheral
Nervous System of Drosophila. *J Neurosci* 26:3319–3329. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5383-
05.2006

Bianchi L. 2022. Ion Channels in Biophysics and Physiology. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1349:165–192.
doi:10.1007/978-981-16-4254-8_9

Bianchi L, Gerstbrein B, Frøkjær-Jensen C, Royal DC, Mukherjee G, Royal MA, Xue J, Schafer
WR, Driscoll M. 2004. The neurotoxic MEC-4(d) DEG/ENaC sodium channel conducts
calcium: implications for necrosis initiation. *Nat Neurosci* 7:1337–1344. doi:10.1038/nn1347

Boscardin E, Alijevic O, Hummler E, Frateschi S, Kellenberger S. 2016. The function and
regulation of acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs) and the epithelial Na+ channel (ENaC):
IUPHAR Review 19. *Brit J Pharmacol* 173:2671–2701. doi:10.1111/bph.13533

Bourke AM, Bowen AB, Kennedy MJ. 2018. New approaches for solving old problems in
neuronal protein trafficking. *Mol Cell Neurosci*. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2018.04.004

Bowen AB, Bourke AM, Hiester BG, Hanus C, Kennedy MJ. 2017. Golgi-independent secretory
trafficking through recycling endosomes in neuronal dendrites and spines. *Elife* 6:e27362.
doi:10.7554/elife.27362

Brown AL, Fernandez-Illescas SM, Liao Z, Goodman MB. 2007. Gain-of-Function Mutations in
the MEC-4 DEG/ENaC Sensory Mechanotransduction Channel Alter Gating and Drug
Blockade. *J Gen Physiology* 129:161–173. doi:10.1085/jgp.200609672

Butterworth MB, Edinger RS, Silvis MR, Gallo LI, Liang X, Apodaca G, Frizzell RA, Fizzell RA,
Johnson JP. 2012. Rab11b regulates the trafficking and recycling of the epithelial sodium
channel (ENaC). *Am J Physiol-renal* 302:F581–F590. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00304.2011

Chen X, Gründer S. 2007. Permeating protons contribute to tachyphylaxis of the acid-sensing
ion channel (ASIC) 1a. *J Physiology* 579:657–670. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2006.120733

Chudakov DM, Matz MV, Lukyanov S, Lukyanov KA. 2010. Fluorescent Proteins and Their
Applications in Imaging Living Cells and Tissues. *Physiol Rev* 90:1103–1163.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00038.2009

Coste B, Xiao B, Santos JS, Syeda R, Grandl J, Spencer KS, Kim SE, Schmidt M, Mathur J,
Dubin AE, Montal M, Patapoutian A. 2012. Piezos are pore-forming subunits of mechanically
activated channels. *Nature* 483:176–181. doi:10.1038/nature10812

Craig AM, Blackstone CD, Huganir RL, Banker G. 1993. The distribution of glutamate receptors
in cultured rat hippocampal neurons: Postsynaptic clustering of AMPA selective subunits.
Neuron 10:1055–1068. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(93)90054-u

Cresawn KO, Potter BA, Oztan A, Guerriero CJ, Ihrke G, Goldenring JR, Apodaca G, Weisz
OA. 2007. Differential involvement of endocytic compartments in the biosynthetic traffic of
apical proteins. *Embo J* 26:3737–3748. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601813

Darboux I, Lingueglia E, Pauron D, Barbry P, Lazdunski M. 1998. A New Member of the
Amiloride-Sensitive Sodium Channel Family inDrosophila melanogasterPeripheral Nervous
System. *Biochem Bioph Res Co* 246:210–216. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1998.8183

Echeverri CJ, Paschal BM, Vaughan KT, Vallee RB. 1996. Molecular characterization of the 50-
kD subunit of dynactin reveals function for the complex in chromosome alignment and
spindle organization during mitosis. *J Cell Biology* 132:617–633. doi:10.1083/jcb.132.4.617

Ehlers MD, Fung ET, O'Brien RJ, Huganir RL. 1998. Splice Variant-Specific Interaction of the
NMDA Receptor Subunit NR1 with Neuronal Intermediate Filaments. *J Neurosci* 18:720–
730. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.18-02-00720.1998

Emoto K, He Y, Ye B, Grueber WB, Adler PN, Jan LY, Jan Y-N. 2004. Control of Dendritic
Branching and Tiling by the Tricornered-Kinase/Furry Signaling Pathway in Drosophila
Sensory Neurons. *Cell* 119:245–256. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.036

Freeman MR. 2015. Drosophila Central Nervous System Glia. *Csh Perspect Biol* 7:a020552.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a020552

Gardiol A, Racca C, Triller A. 1999. Dendritic and Postsynaptic Protein Synthetic Machinery. *J
Neurosci* 19:168–179. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.19-01-00168.1999

Gill I, Droubi S, Giovedi S, Fedder KN, Bury LAD, Bosco F, Sceniak MP, Benfenati F, Sabo SL.
2014. Presynaptic NMDA receptors – dynamics and distribution in developing axons in vitro
and in vivo. *J Cell Sci* 128:768–780. doi:10.1242/jcs.162362

Gorczyca DA, Younger S, Meltzer S, Kim SE, Cheng L, Song W, Lee HY, Jan LY, Jan YN.
2014. Identification of Ppk26, a DEG/ENaC Channel Functioning with Ppk1 in a Mutually
Dependent Manner to Guide Locomotion Behavior in Drosophila. *Cell Reports* 9:1446–1458.
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.034

Gratz SJ, Cummings AM, Nguyen JN, Hamm DC, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J,
O'Connor-Giles KM. 2013. Genome Engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-
Guided Cas9 Nuclease. *Genetics* 194:1029–1035. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.152710

Grueber WB, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2002. Tiling of the Drosophila epidermis by multidendritic sensory
neurons. *Development* 129:2867–78.

Grueber WB, Ye B, Moore AW, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2003. Dendrites of Distinct Classes of
Drosophila Sensory Neurons Show Different Capacities for Homotypic Repulsion. *Curr Biol*
13:618–626. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(03)00207-0

Guo Y, Wang Y, Wang Q, Wang Z. 2014. The Role of PPK26 in Drosophila Larval Mechanical
Nociception. *Cell Reports* 9:1183–1190. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.020

Hall DH, Treinin M. 2011. How does morphology relate to function in sensory arbors? *Trends*
Neurosci 34:443–451. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2011.07.004

Han C, Wang D, Soba P, Zhu S, Lin X, Jan LY, Jan Y-N. 2012. Integrins Regulate Repulsion-
Mediated Dendritic Patterning of Drosophila Sensory Neurons by Restricting Dendrites in a
2D Space. *Neuron* 73:64–78. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.036

Hausser A, Schlett K. 2017. Coordination of AMPA receptor trafficking by Rab GTPases. *Small*
Gtpases 10:419–432. doi:10.1080/21541248.2017.1337546

He J, Li B, Han S, Zhang Y, Liu K, Yi S, Liu Y, Xiu M. 2022. Drosophila as a Model to Study the
Mechanism of Nociception. *Front Physiol* 13:854124. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.854124

Herkert M, Röttger S, Becker C. 1998. The NMDA receptor subunit NR2B of neonatal rat brain:
complex formation and enrichment in axonal growth cones. *Eur J Neurosci* 10:1553–1562.
doi:10.1046/j.1460-9568.1998.00164.x

Horton AC, Ehlers MD. 2003. Dual Modes of Endoplasmic Reticulum-to-Golgi Transport in
Dendrites Revealed by Live-Cell Imaging. *J Neurosci* 23:6188–6199.
doi:10.1523/jneurosci.23-15-06188.2003

Hu C, Kanellopoulos AK, Richter M, Petersen M, Konietzny A, Tenedini F, Hoyer N, Cheng L,
Poon CLC, Harvey KF, Windhorst S, Parrish JZ, Mikhaylova M, Bagni C, Anda FC de, Soba
P. 2020. Conserved Tao kinase activity regulates dendritic arborization, cytoskeletal
dynamics and sensory function in Drosophila. *J Neurosci* 1846–19.
doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1846-19.2020

Huang J, Zhou W, Dong W, Watson AM, Hong Y. 2009. Directed, efficient, and versatile
modifications of the Drosophila genome by genomic engineering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
106:8284–8289. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900641106

Huang J, Zhou W, Watson AM, Jan Y-N, Hong Y. 2008. Efficient Ends-Out Gene Targeting In
Drosophila. *Genetics* 180:703–707. doi:10.1534/genetics.108.090563

Hwang RY, Zhong L, Xu Y, Johnson T, Zhang F, Deisseroth K, Tracey WD. 2007. Nociceptive
Neurons Protect Drosophila Larvae from Parasitoid Wasps. *Curr Biol* 17:2105–2116.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.11.029

Jan Y-N, Jan LY. 2010. Branching out: mechanisms of dendritic arborization. *Nat Rev Neurosci*
11:316–328. doi:10.1038/nrn2836

Jasti J, Furukawa H, Gonzales EB, Gouaux E. 2007. Structure of acid-sensing ion channel 1 at
1.9 Å resolution and low pH. *Nature* 449:316–323. doi:10.1038/nature06163

Jaszczak JS, DeVault L, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2022. Steroid hormone signaling activates thermal
nociception during Drosophila peripheral nervous system development. *Elife* 11:e76464.
doi:10.7554/elife.76464

Jegla T, Nguyen MM, Feng C, Goetschius DJ, Luna E, Rossum DB van, Kamel B, Pisupati A,
Milner ES, Rolls MM. 2016. Bilaterian Giant Ankyrins Have a Common Evolutionary Origin
and Play a Conserved Role in Patterning the Axon Initial Segment. *Plos Genet* 12:e1006457.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006457

Ji H, Sapar ML, Sarkar A, Wang B, Han C. 2022. Phagocytosis and self-destruction break down
dendrites of Drosophila sensory neurons at distinct steps of Wallerian degeneration. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci* 119:e2111818119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2111818119

Kamiyama R, Banzai K, Liu P, Marar A, Tamura R, Jiang F, Fitch MA, Xie J, Kamiyama D.
2021. Cell-type–specific, multicolor labeling of endogenous proteins with split fluorescent
protein tags in Drosophila. *Proc National Acad Sci* 118:e2024690118.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2024690118

Karpushev AV, Levchenko V, Pavlov TS, Lam V, Vinnakota KC, Vandewalle A, Wakatsuki T,
Staruschenko A. 2008. Regulation of ENaC expression at the cell surface by Rab11.
Biochem Bioph Res Co 377:521–525. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.10.014

Kellenberger S, Schild L. 2002. Epithelial Sodium Channel/Degenerin Family of Ion Channels: A
Variety of Functions for a Shared Structure. *Physiol Rev* 82:735–767.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00007.2002

Kerstein PC, Nichol RH, Gomez TM. 2015. Mechanochemical regulation of growth cone motility.
Front Cell Neurosci 9:244. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00244

Kim SE, Coste B, Chadha A, Cook B, Patapoutian A. 2012. The role of Drosophila Piezo in
mechanical nociception. *Nature* 483:209. doi:10.1038/nature10801

Krämer R, Rode S, Rumpf S. 2019. Rab11 is required for neurite pruning and developmental
membrane protein degradation in Drosophila sensory neurons. *Dev Biol* 451:68–78.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.03.003

Leterrier C. 2018. The Axon Initial Segment: An Updated Viewpoint. *J Neurosci* 38:2135–2145.
doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1922-17.2018

Lin T, Kao H-H, Chou C-H, Chou C-Y, Liao Y-C, Lee H-H. 2020. Rab11 activation by Ik2 kinase
is required for dendrite pruning in Drosophila sensory neurons. *Plos Genet* 16:e1008626.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008626

Lin W-Y, Williams C, Yan C, Koledachkina T, Luedke K, Dalton J, Bloomsburg S, Morrison N,
Duncan KE, Kim CC, Parrish JZ. 2015. The SLC36 transporter Pathetic is required for
extreme dendrite growth in Drosophila sensory neurons. *Gene Dev* 29:1120–1135.
doi:10.1101/gad.259119.115

Liu X, Liu C, Ye J, Zhang S, Wang K, Su R. 2020. Distribution of Acid Sensing Ion Channels in
Axonal Growth Cones and Presynaptic Membrane of Cultured Hippocampal Neurons. *Front*
Cell Neurosci 14:205. doi:10.3389/fncel.2020.00205

Lock JG, Stow JL. 2005. Rab11 in Recycling Endosomes Regulates the Sorting and Basolateral
Transport of E-Cadherin. *Mol Biol Cell* 16:1744–1755. doi:10.1091/mbc.e04-10-0867

Lumpkin EA, Caterina MJ. 2007. Mechanisms of sensory transduction in the skin. *Nature*
445:858–865. doi:10.1038/nature05662

Mauthner SE, Hwang RY, Lewis AH, Xiao Q, Tsubouchi A, Wang Y, Honjo K, Skene JHP,
Grandl J, Tracey WD. 2014. Balboa Binds to Pickpocket In Vivo and Is Required for
Mechanical Nociception in Drosophila Larvae. *Curr Biol* 24:2920–2925.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.038

Miesenböck G, Angelis DAD, Rothman JE. 1998. Visualizing secretion and synaptic
transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* 394:192–195.
doi:10.1038/28190

Ou Y, Chwalla B, Landgraf M, Meyel DJ van. 2008. Identification of genes influencing dendrite
morphogenesis in developing peripheral sensory and central motor neurons. *Neural Dev*
3:16. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-3-16

Papoulas O, Hays TS, Sisson JC. 2004. The golgin Lava lamp mediates dynein-based Golgi
movements during Drosophila cellularization. *Nat Cell Biol* 7:612–618. doi:10.1038/ncb1264

Parrish JZ, Xu P, Kim CC, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2009. The microRNA bantam Functions in Epithelial
Cells to Regulate Scaling Growth of Dendrite Arbors in Drosophila Sensory Neurons. *Neuron*
63:788–802. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.08.006

Poe AR, Wang B, Sapar ML, Ji H, Li K, Onabajo T, Fazliyeva R, Gibbs M, Qiu Y, Hu Y, Han C.
2018. Robust CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Tissue Specific Mutagenesis Reveals Gene
Redundancy and Perdurance in Drosophila. *Genetics* 211:genetics.301736.2018.
doi:10.1534/genetics.118.301736

Rao A, Kim E, Sheng M, Craig AM. 1998. Heterogeneity in the Molecular Composition of
Excitatory Postsynaptic Sites during Development of Hippocampal Neurons in Culture. *J*
Neurosci 18:1217–1229. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.18-04-01217.1998

Rolls MM. 2011. Neuronal polarity in Drosophila: Sorting out axons and dendrites. *Dev*
Neurobiol 71:419–429. doi:10.1002/dneu.20836

Rui M, Bu S, Chew LY, Wang Q, Yu F. 2020. The membrane protein Raw regulates dendrite
pruning via the secretory pathway. *Development* 147:dev191155. doi:10.1242/dev.191155

Sapar ML, Ji H, Wang B, Poe AR, Dubey K, Ren X, Ni J-Q, Han C. 2018. Phosphatidylserine
Externalization Results from and Causes Neurite Degeneration in Drosophila. *Cell Reports*
24:2273–2286. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.095

Satoh D, Sato D, Tsuyama T, Saito M, Ohkura H, Rolls MM, Ishikawa F, Uemura T. 2008.
Spatial control of branching within dendritic arbors by dynein-dependent transport of Rab5-
endosomes. *Nat Cell Biol* 10:1164–1171. doi:10.1038/ncb1776

Schenk U, Verderio C, Benfenati F, Matteoli M. 2003. Regulated delivery of AMPA receptor
subunits to the presynaptic membrane. *Embo J* 22:558–568. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdg059

Schroer TA. 2004. DYNACTIN. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biology* 20:759–779.
doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.012103.094623

Singhania A, Grueber WB. 2014. Development of the embryonic and larval peripheral nervous
system of Drosophila. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biology* 3:193–210. doi:10.1002/wdev.135

Sisson JC, Field C, Ventura R, Royou A, Sullivan W. 2000. Lava Lamp, a Novel Peripheral
Golgi Protein, Is Required for Drosophila melanogaster Cellularization. *J Cell Biology*
151:905–918. doi:10.1083/jcb.151.4.905

Stork T, Engelen D, Krudewig A, Silies M, Bainton RJ, Klambt C. 2008. Organization and
Function of the Blood Brain Barrier in Drosophila. *J Neurosci* 28:587–597.
doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4367-07.2008

Suh JM, Gao X, McKay J, McKay R, Salo Z, Graff JM. 2006. Hedgehog signaling plays a
conserved role in inhibiting fat formation. *Cell Metab* 3:25–34.
doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2005.11.012

Tao L, Coakley S, Shi R, Shen K. 2022. Dendrites use mechanosensitive channels to proofread
ligand-mediated guidance during morphogenesis. *Biorxiv* 2022.02.28.482179.
doi:10.1101/2022.02.28.482179

Tracey WD, Wilson RI, Laurent G, Benzer S. 2003. painless, a Drosophila Gene Essential for
Nociception. *Cell* 113:261–273. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00272-1

Wainman A, Creque J, Williams B, Williams EV, Bonaccorsi S, Gatti M, Goldberg ML. 2009.
Roles of the Drosophila NudE protein in kinetochore function and centrosome migration. *J*
Cell Sci 122:1747–1758. doi:10.1242/jcs.041798

Wang PY, Petralia RS, Wang Y-X, Wenthold RJ, Brenowitz SD. 2011. Functional NMDA
Receptors at Axonal Growth Cones of Young Hippocampal Neurons. *J Neurosci* 31:9289–
9297. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5639-10.2011

Ware AW, Rasulov SR, Cheung TT, Lott JS, McDonald FJ. 2020. Membrane trafficking
pathways regulating the epithelial Na+ channel. *Am J Physiol-renal* 318:F1–F13.
doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00277.2019

Winckler B, Forscher P, Mellman I. 1999. A diffusion barrier maintains distribution of membrane
proteins in polarized neurons. *Nature* 397:698–701. doi:10.1038/17806

Wong ROL, Ghosh A. 2002. Activity-dependent regulation of dendritic growth and patterning.
Nat Rev Neurosci 3:803–812. doi:10.1038/nrn941

Xiang Y, Yuan Q, Vogt N, Looger LL, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2010. Light-avoidance-mediating
photoreceptors tile the Drosophila larval body wall. *Nature* 468:921–926.
doi:10.1038/nature09576

Yang SZ, Wildonger J. 2020. Golgi Outposts Locally Regulate Microtubule Orientation in
Neurons but Are Not Required for the Overall Polarity of the Dendritic Cytoskeleton.
Genetics 215:genetics.302979.2019. doi:10.1534/genetics.119.302979

Ye B, Zhang Y, Song W, Younger SH, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2007. Growing Dendrites and Axons
Differ in Their Reliance on the Secretory Pathway. *Cell* 130:717–729.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.032

Younger MA, Müller M, Tong A, Pym EC, Davis GW. 2013. A Presynaptic ENaC Channel
Drives Homeostatic Plasticity. *Neuron* 79:1183–1196. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.048

Zelle KM, Lu B, Pyfrom SC, Ben-Shahar Y. 2013. The Genetic Architecture of
Degenerin/Epithelial Sodium Channels in Drosophila. *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* 3:441–
450. doi:10.1534/g3.112.005272

Zhang J, Schulze KL, Hiesinger PR, Suyama K, Wang S, Fish M, Acar M, Hoskins RA, Bellen
HJ, Scott MP. 2007. Thirty-One Flavors of Drosophila Rab Proteins. *Genetics* 176:1307–
1322. doi:10.1534/genetics.106.066761

Zheng Y, Wildonger J, Ye B, Zhang Y, Kita A, Younger SH, Zimmerman S, Jan LY, Jan YN.
2008. Dynein is required for polarized dendritic transport and uniform microtubule orientation
in axons. *Nat Cell Biol* 10:1172–1180. doi:10.1038/ncb1777

Zhong L, Hwang RY, Tracey WD. 2010. Pickpocket Is a DEG/ENaC Protein Required for
Mechanical Nociception in Drosophila Larvae. *Curr Biol* 20:429–434.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.12.057

Zhou W, Chang J, Wang X, Savelieff MG, Zhao Y, Ke S, Ye B. 2014. GM130 Is Required for
Compartmental Organization of Dendritic Golgi Outposts. *Curr Biol* 24:1227–1233.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.04.008

Ziegler AB, Thiele C, Tenedini F, Richard M, Leyendecker P, Hoermann A, Soba P, Tavosanis
G. 2017. Cell-Autonomous Control of Neuronal Dendrite Expansion via the Fatty Acid
Synthesis Regulator SREBP. *Cell Reports* 21:3346–3353. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.069

 Figure Legends

1100 **Figure 1. Endogenously tagged Ppk1 is enriched in dendrites but also localizes to axons.**

1101

1102 Images of ddaC neurons and their axon terminals in live 3rd instar larvae (B, D-F) and fixed

1103 ventral nerve cords (VNCs) (C, F). Dashed-outline boxes: Individual 1-µm thick z-plane zoomed-

1104 in views of dendrites and axons; a line indicates the position at which an intensity profile plot

1105 was generated (B, D).

1106

1107 **(A)** Cartoon illustrating the CRISPR-Cas9-engineered *ppk1* locus in which endogenous *ppk1*

1108 was replaced with an attP "docking" site for reliable, rapid knock-in of new *ppk1* alleles.

1109

1110 **(B, C)** Representative images of Ppk1::sfGFP in the cell body, dendrites, and axon (B) and axon

1111 terminals in the VNC (C). CD4::tdTomato marks the axon terminals of the class IV neurons in

1112 the VNC (C). Scale bars, 50 µm (B), 10 µm (C), and 5 µm (dashed-outline boxes, B).

1113

1114 **(D)** Representative image of Ppk1::mCherry. Scale bars, 50 µm and 5 µm (dashed-outline

1115 boxes).

1116

(E) Representative kymographs and quantification of Ppk1::mCherry puncta in dendrites and

axons. Quantification, Ppk1::mCherry puncta density: Mann-Whitney test (p<0.0001); dendrites

(16 dendrite segments, 12 larvae) v. axons (12 axon segments, 9 larvae). In the graph, each

data point represents a dendrite or axon segment. Quantification, Ppk1::mCherry puncta motility

and directionality: dendrites (16 dendrite segments, 12 larvae) and axons (12 axon segments, 9

larvae). Quantification, Ppk1::mCherry puncta velocity: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.8786);

dendrites (15 dendrite segments, 11 larvae) v. axons (12 axon segments, 9 larvae). In the

graph, each data point represents the average velocity of Ppk1::mCherry puncta in a dendrite or

axon segment. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant (p>0.05) and ****p<0.0001.

1126

1127 **(F)** Representative images of dual-tagged sfGFP::Ppk1::mCherry in dendrites, cell body and

1128 axon (top and middle), and axon terminal in the VNC (bottom). Scale bars, 50 µm (top), 5 µm

1129 (middle), 10 µm (bottom).

1130

1131 **(G)** Representative images of the cell body and proximal dendrites of Ppk1::sfGFP,

1132 Ppk1::mCherry, and sfGFP::Ppk1::mCherry. Scale bar, 10 µm.

1133 **Figure 1 – Supplement 1. Effects of tagging endogenous Ppk1 on Ppk1 levels.**

1134

Representative images and quantification of membrane-expressed Ppk1, recognized by anti-

Ppk1 antibodies (top) and sfGFP-tagged Ppk1 (bottom) in w^{1118} control (12 larvae, 36 neurons),

heterozygous N-terminally tagged Ppk1 (sfGFP::Ppk1) (11 larvae, 33 neurons), and

heterozygous C-terminally tagged Ppk1 (Ppk1::sfGFP) (11 larvae, 33 neurons). Quantification,

Ppk1 membrane levels: One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey: w^{1118} v. *sfGFP::Ppk1*

(p=0.2570), w^{1118} v. *Ppk1::sfGFP* (p=0.9097), *Ppk1::sfGFP* v. *sfGFP::Ppk1* (p=0.4802).

Quantification, sfGFP-tagged Ppk1: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.1707). In the graphs, each

data point represents the average signal intensity per larva (2-3 neurons per larva). Data are

plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant (p>0.05). AU: arbitrary units. Scale bar, 50 µm.

Figure 2. Membrane localization of Ppk1 visualized in live larvae with the secreted split-

GFP system.

1146

1147 Images of ddaC neurons in live 3rd instar larvae (B-D) and fixed ventral nerve cords (VNCs) (E).

1148 Dashed-outline boxes: zoomed-in views of dendrite branches (B, C) and cell bodies (D) from the

1149 control neuron shown in panel B.

1150

1151 **(A)** Cartoon of secreted-split-GFP approach to label Ppk1 when it is inserted into the neuronal

1152 membrane. Secreted GFP(1-10) [secGFP(1-10)] is expressed by the fat bodies, which secrete

1153 secGFP(1-10) into the hemolymph that circulates throughout the larva. When secGFP(1-10)

1154 encounters and binds GFP(11) incorporated into an extracellular loop of ppk1, GFP is

1155 reconstituted and fluoresces.

1156

(B-D) Representative images of GFP and mCherry fluorescence in neurons expressing either

Ppk1 dual-tagged with 3 copies of GFP(11) extracellularly (EC) and mCherry at the C-terminus

[Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}] (B-D) or a control construct comprised of the single-pass

transmembrane protein CD4 tagged extracellularly with one copy of GFP(11) and intracellularly

with tdTomato [GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato] (D). UAS-secGFP(1-10) expression was driven in

the fat bodies by DcG-Gal4. GFP fluorescence reveals that ppk1 is present in the neuronal

membrane of the dendrites (B, C) and cell body (B, D) but not the axon, even though mCherry

reveals that Ppk1 is present in axons (B, D). In contrast, GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato displays

reconstituted GFP signal in both the cell body and the axon (D). Loss of *ppk26* (Δppk26:

ppk26$^{Δ11/Δ11}$) results in an absence of GFP fluorescence from Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}

(B). Panel C shows a single 1-µm thick z-plane image of a dendrite segment from the neuron in

panel B; the line indicates the position at which the intensity profile plot was generated. AU:

arbitrary units. Solid arrowheads: cell body (B); open arrowheads: cell body-axon boundary (D).

Scale bars, 50 µm (B, solid-outline boxes), 10 µm (B, dashed-outline boxes; D), and 5 µm (C).

1171

1172 **(E)** Representative images of Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} in axon terminals in the VNC.

1173 Scale bars, 10 µm. Solid arrowheads: mCherry signal in the axons terminating in the VNC.

1174 **Figure 2 – Supplement 1. Endogenous Ppk1 tagged with sfGFP at two different sites in an**

1175 **extracellular loop.**

1176

Representative images of ddaC neurons expressing Ppk1 tagged with one copy of sfGFP at two

different extracellular (EC) sites, Site 1 (between Asn171 and Ile172) and Site 2 (between

Gln204 and Leu205). Ppk1 tagged with sfGFP at Site 1 showed similar fluorescent signal as

Ppk1 tagged with sfGFP at the N- or C- terminus; thus, Site 1 was used for the insertion of

additional tags [superecliptic pHluorin and GFP(11)]. Site 2 is located six amino acids

downstream of the position at which a haemagglutinin (HA) tag was inserted (between F147

and K148) in rASIC1a (Chen and Gründer, 2007). On the right, a cartoon shows the crystal

structure of an individual cASIC1 subunit; ASIC is comprised of three subunits (PDB: 2QTS;

Jasti et al., 2007). The sites that correspond to where sfGFP was inserted in fly ppk1 are

indicated (the fly amino acid sequences are shown). The locations of Site 1 and Site 2 were

predicted by aligning the amino acid sequences of ppk1 and cASIC1.

Figure 2 – Supplement 2. Ppk1 tagged extracellularly with pHluorin.

1189

1190　**(A)** Representative image of a ddaC neuron expressing Ppk1 tagged extracellularly (EC) with

1191　one copy of pHluorin at Site 1 and with mCherry at the C-terminus (Ppk1::pHluorin^{EC}::mCherry^{C-}

1192　^{term}). The neuron is heterozygous for *Ppk1::pHluorin^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}*. Scale bar, 50 µm.

1193

(B) Representative images of ddaC neurons expressing Ppk1 tagged extracellularly with one

copy of pHluorin at Site 1 and with mScarlet at the C-terminus (Ppk1::pHluorin^{EC}::mScarlet^{C-term})

in *w^{1118}* control and *ppk26* null (Δppk26: *ppk26$^{Δ11/Δ11}$*) larvae. The neurons are homozygous for

Ppk1::pHluorin^{EC}::mScarlet^{C-term}. Scale bar, 50 µm.

Figure 2 – Supplement 3. Characterization of a split-GFP approach to label membrane-

expressed Ppk1.

1200

1201 **(A)** There is no GFP fluorescence in animals expressing *DcG-Gal4* and *UAS-secGFP(1-10)* in

1202 the absence of a protein tagged with GFP(11).

1203

1204 **(B)** Without the *DcG-Gal4* driver, there is no GFP fluorescence in animals expressing *UAS-*

1205 *secGFP(1-10)* and *Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}*.

1206

1207 **(C)** There is no GFP fluorescence from Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} when GFP(1-10) is

1208 expressed in ddaC neurons by *ppk-Gal4*. The GFP(11x3) tag is positioned in an extracellular

1209 loop of Ppk1 and does not encounter cytosolic GFP(1-10). The red channel contains both the

1210 mCherry tag on the C-terminus of Ppk1 and a CD4::tdTomato membrane marker (*ppk-*

1211 *CD4::tdTomato*).

1212

1213 **(D)** There is GFP signal from Ppk1 tagged at the C-terminus with GFP(11) [Ppk1::GFP(11x7)$^{C-}$

1214 ^{term}] when GFP(1-10) is expressed in ddaC neurons by *ppk-Gal4*. The GFP(11x7) tag is on the

1215 intracellular Ppk1 C-terminus, which enables GFP(11x7) to interact with cytosolic GFP(1-10).

1216

1217 Scale bar, 50 µm.

1218 **Figure 2 – Supplement 4. Characterization of conditions that allow for GFP fluorescence**

1219 **of GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato.**

1220

1221 **(A)** There is no GFP fluorescence from GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato in the absence of

1222 secGFP(1-10).

1223

1224 **(B)** There is no GFP fluorescence from GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato when GFP(1-10) is

1225 expressed in ddaC neurons by *ppk-Gal4*. The GFP(11) tag is positioned at the extracellular N-

1226 terminus of CD4 and does not encounter cytosolic GFP(1-10).

1227

1228 **(C)** There is GFP signal from GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato when *DcG-Gal4* drives expression of

1229 *UAS-secGFP(1-10)*. A zoomed-in view of the cell body and proximal axon of this neuron is

1230 shown in Figure 2D.

1231

1232 *GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato* is expressed in the class IV neurons under the control of the *ppk*

1233 enhancer (*ppk-GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato)*. Blue arrowheads point to the cell body. Scale bar,

1234 50 µm.

1235 **Figure 3. Ppk1 is present in actively growing dendrites but the Pickpocket channel is not**

1236 **required for dendrite growth.**

1237

1238 Images of ddaC neurons in fixed embryos (A) and live 3rd instar larvae (B-E).

1239

1240 **(A)** Ppk1::sfGFP in the cell body and axon of a ddaC neuron in a late-stage embryo. The ddaC

1241 neuron is part of a cluster of sensory neurons, which are marked by anti-HRP. Arrowheads point

1242 to Ppk1::sfGFP in nascent dendrites. Scale bar, 10 μm.

1243

(B) Quantification of the distribution of Ppk1::sfGFP in growing dendrite branches over 30

minutes. Top graph: In growing branches, Ppk1::sfGFP signal was quantified as a percentage of

the branch length marked by CD4::tdTomato (de novo: 11 branches, 4 neurons; existing: 18

branches, 5 neurons). Ppk1::sfGFP was present along the entire length of the branch. Bottom

graph: In 30 minutes, both newly formed and existing branches grew equivalent lengths (de

novo: 11 branches, 4 neurons; existing: 19 branches, 5 neurons).

1250

1251 **(C)** Still images (top) and kymographs (bottom) from a 3-minute movie of GFP fluorescence

1252 from Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC} in a growing dendrite tip marked by CD4::tdTomato. Arrowheads point

1253 to initial and final locations of dendrite growth. Genotype: *Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}/DcG-Gal4; UAS-*

1254 *secGFP(1-10)/ppk-CD4::tdTomato*. Scale bars, 3 μm.

1255

(D) Representative images and quantification of FRAP of GFP in neurons expressing

Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} and GFP(11)^{EC}::CD4::tdTomato (both genotypes: 8 neurons,

8 larvae). Quantification, percent recovery: Student's unpaired t-test, 1 min (p=0.5417), 3 min

(p=0.0108), 5 min (p=0.0064); 10 min (p<0.0001); 20 min (p=0.0021). In the image montage,

dashed lines represent the bleached region, which was within 50-150 μm of the cell body. The

GFP signal in a 10 μm section centered in the bleached region was quantified at each of the

indicated time points. Scale bar, 10 μm.

1263

1264 **(E)** Representative images and quantification of control neurons (*w^{1118}*; 12 neurons, 5 larvae)

1265 and neurons lacking both Ppk1 and Ppk26 [Δppk1 (*ppk1$^{attP-KO/attP-KO}$*); Δppk26 (*ppk26$^{Δ11/Δ11}$*); 8

1266 neurons, 4 larvae]. Quantification, dendrite length: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.9783).

1267 Quantification, dendrite tip number: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.2592). The neuronal

1268 membrane is marked by CD4::GFP (*ppk-CD4::GFP*). Scale bar, 100 μm.

1269

1270   In the graphs, each data point represents either a dendrite branch (B) or a neuron (E), and data

1271   are plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant ($p>0.05$), *$p<0.05$, **$p=0.01$-0.001, and

1272   ****$p<0.0001$.

1273 **Figure 3 – Supplement 1. Aberrant Pickpocket channel activity disrupts dendrite**

1274 **morphogenesis.**

1275

1276 Images of ddaC neurons in live 3rd instar larvae (120 h AEL). Neuron morphology was

1277 visualized with CD4::GFP (*ppk-CD4::GFP*).

1278

(A) Representative images of control neurons and neurons overexpressing wild-type Ppk26

(*UAS-Ppk26::mCherry*) or Ppk26 with the degenerin mutation [*UAS-Ppk26-*

DEG(A457V)::mCherry]. *Ppk-Gal4* drove expression of the *UAS-Ppk26* constructs and was also

included in the *w^{1118}* control. The overexpression of Ppk26 with the degenerin mutation resulted

in variable morphologies ranging from neurons with very short primary dendrites and no axon

(left) to neurons with short primary and secondary dendrites (middle) to neurons with a severely

reduced dendritic arbor (right).

1286

(B) Representative images of neurons in larvae lacking Ppk1 (Δppk1: *ppk1$^{attP-KO/attP-KO}$*) and, as

indicated, overexpressing wild-type Ppk26 (*UAS-Ppk26::mCherry*) or Ppk26 with the degenerin

mutation [*UAS-Ppk26-DEG(A457V)::mCherry*]. *Ppk-Gal4* drove expression of the *UAS-Ppk26*

constructs and was also included in the Δppk1 control. In the absence of Ppk1, the

overexpression of Ppk26 with the degenerin mutation resulted in the loss of ddaC neurons or

ddaC neurons with a small cell body with no discernable axon or dendrites.

1293

1294 Scale bars, 100 μm and 25 μm (dashed-outline boxes).

1295 **Figure 4. Ppk1 levels are not affected by decreasing dendrite arbor size but are reduced**

1296 **when dendrite length increases.**

1297

1298 Images of ddaC neuron morphology in live 2nd instar larvae (72 h AEL). The dendritic membrane

1299 is marked by CD4::tdTomato, unless otherwise noted. Zoomed inset images show Ppk1::sfGFP

1300 in segments of dendrite in live 3rd instar larvae (120 h AEL).

1301

(A) Representative images and quantification of control and *Rpl22-RNAi*-expressing neurons.

Quantification, dendrite length: Student's unpaired t-test ($p<0.0001$); control (13 neurons, 6

larvae) v. *Rpl22-RNAi* (13 neurons, 5 larvae). Dendrite length of *Rpl22-RNAi*-expressing

neurons was quantified using Ppk1::sfGFP because the CD4::tdTomato signal was too dim to

analyze in these mutants. Quantification, dendrite tip number: Mann-Whitney test ($p<0.0001$);

control (13 neurons, 6 larvae) v. *Rpl22-RNAi* (13 neurons, 5 larvae). Quantification,

Ppk1::sfGFP, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.8602$); control (20 neurons, 9 larvae) v.

Rpl22-RNAi (27 neurons, 14 larvae).

1310

(B) Representative images and quantification of control and *EcR-DN*-expressing neurons.

Quantification, dendrite length: Student's unpaired t-test ($p<0.0001$); control (15 neurons, 9

larvae) v. *EcR-DN* (15 neurons, 7 larvae). Quantification, dendrite tip number: Student's

unpaired t-test ($p<0.0001$); control (15 neurons, 9 larvae) v. *EcR-DN* (15 neurons, 7 larvae).

Quantification, Ppk1::sfGFP, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.2318$); control (24

neurons, 10 larvae) v. *EcR-DN* (23 neurons, 10 larvae).

1317

(C) Representative images and quantification of control neurons and neurons over-expressing

Rac1 (*Rac1* O/E). Quantification, dendrite length: Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.7618$); control

(15 neurons, 10 larvae) v. *Rac1* O/E (14 neurons, 5 larvae). Quantification, dendrite tip number:

Student's unpaired t-test ($p<0.0001$); control (15 neurons, 10 larvae) v. *Rac1* O/E (14 neurons, 5

larvae). Quantification, Ppk1::sfGFP, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.0791$); control (20

neurons, 9 larvae) v. *Rac1* O/E (20 neurons, 9 larvae).

1324

(D) Representative images and quantification of control neurons and neurons over-expressing

PI3K (*PI3K* O/E). Quantification, dendrite length: Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.0001$); control

(12 neurons, 5 larvae) v. *PI3K* O/E (12 neurons, 6 larvae). Quantification, dendrite tip number:

Student's unpaired t-test ($p=0.0002$); control (12 neurons, 5 larvae) v. *PI3K* O/E (12 neurons, 6

larvae). Quantification, Ppk1::sfGFP, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test (p<0.0001); control (26

neurons, 14 larvae) v. *PI3K* O/E (22 neurons, 13 larvae).

1331

Control genotype: w^{1118}; *ppk-Gal4*. Experimental genotypes: *ppk-Gal4* was used to express the

indicated construct. *CD4::tdTomato* and *Ppk1::sfGFP* included as indicated. Experiments to

analyze the effects of *Rpl22-RNAi* and *Rac1 over-expression* were run together; the controls for

these experiments are the same. In the graphs, each data point represents a neuron, and data

are plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant (p>0.05), ***p=0.001-0.0001, and ****p<0.0001.

AU: arbitrary units. Scale bars, 50 μm.

Figure 5. Ppk1 persists in dendrites when dynein-mediated transport is disrupted, and

dynein plays a role transporting Ppk1 away from Golgi.

1340

1341 Images of ddaC neurons in live 3rd instar larvae. Dashed-outline boxes: zoomed-in views of

1342 dendrite branches, cell bodies, and axons.

1343

1344 **(A)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::sfGFP in control (21 neurons, 11 larvae)

1345 and *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neurons (21 neurons, 11 larvae). Quantification, dendrites: Student's

1346 unpaired t-test (p=0.7756). Quantification, axons: Mann-Whitney test (p<0.0001). Scale bars, 50

1347 µm and 5 µm (dashed-outline boxes).

1348

(B) Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::sfGFP in control neurons and neurons

over-expressing *dmn* (O/E *dmn*). Quantification, dendrites, 72 h AEL: Mann Whitney

(p=0.1417); control (16 neurons, 6 larvae) v. O/E *dmn* (14 neurons, 6 larvae). Quantification,

dendrites, 120 h AEL: Student's unpaired t-test (p<0.0001); control (20 neurons, 12 larvae) v.

O/E *dmn* (24 neurons, 14 larvae). Quantification, axons, 120 h AEL: Mann-Whitney test

(p<0.0001); control (20 neurons, 12 larvae) v. O/E *dmn* (23 neurons, 14 larvae). Scale bars, 50

µm and 5 µm (dashed-outline boxes).

1356

1357 **(C)** Representative images of Ppk1::sfGFP and GALNT2::TagRFP in control and *Dlic-RNAi*-

1358 expressing neurons. In *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neurons, Ppk1::sfGFP (green arrowheads)

1359 accumulates in Golgi in the cell body and Golgi outposts, marked by GALNT2::TagRFP

1360 (magenta arrowheads). Scale bars, 10 µm (solid-outline boxes; dashed-outline boxes, cell body)

1361 and 5 µm (dashed-outline boxes, dendrites).

1362

1363 **(D)** Representative images of Ppk1::sfGFP and GALNT2::TagRFP in the axons of control and

1364 *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neurons. Zoomed-in images of an axon of a *Dlic-RNAi*-expressing neuron

1365 shows that the Ppk1::sfGFP puncta (green arrowheads) do not colocalize with ectopic Golgi

1366 marked by GALNT2::TagRFP (magenta arrowheads). Scale bars, 10 µm and 5 µm (dashed-

1367 outline boxes).

1368

1369 **(E)** Representative images of GALNT2::TagRFP and Ppk1::sfGFP in the axons of control and

1370 Lva-DN-expressing neurons. In Lva-DN, GALNT2::TagRFP mislocalizes to axons (blue

1371 arrowheads) and axonal Ppk1::sfGFP levels increase. Quantification, Ppk1::sfGFP, axons:

1372   Student's unpaired t-test ($p<0.0001$); control (17 neurons, 6 larvae) v. Lva-DN (16 neurons, 7

1373   larvae). Scale bar, 10 μm.

1374

Control genotypes: *w[1118]*; *ppk-Gal4*. Experimental genotypes: *w[1118]*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Dlic-RNAi*

UAS-Dicer (A, C, D), *w[1118]*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-dmn* (B), *w[1118]*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Lva-DN* (E). *UAS-*

GALNT2::TagRFP and *Ppk1::sfGFP* included as indicated (A-E). In the graphs, each data point

represents a neuron and data are plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant ($p>0.05$) and

****$p<0.0001$. AU: arbitrary units.

Figure 6. Disrupting secretory pathway function reduces both dendrite growth and
membrane-expressed Ppk1.

1382

1383 Images of ddaC neurons in live 3rd instar larvae. Dashed-outline boxes: zoomed-in views of
1384 dendrite branches.

1385

1386 **(A)** Representative images and quantification of neuron morphology in control (12 neurons, 6
1387 larvae) and *Sec23-gRNA*-expressing (12 neurons, 5 larvae) neurons. The neuronal membrane
1388 is marked by CD4::tdTomato. Quantification, dendrite length: Mann-Whitney test (p<0.0001).
1389 Quantification, dendrite tip number: Student's unpaired t-test (p<0.0001). Scale bar, 100 μm.

1390

(B) Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term} in control
and *Sec23-gRNA*-expressing neurons. Quantification, reconstituted GFP, dendrites: Student's
unpaired t-test (p<0.0001); control (18 neurons, 8 larvae) v. *Sec23-gRNA* (12 neurons, 8
larvae). Quantification, mCherry, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.0039); control (18
neurons, 8 larvae) v. *Sec23-gRNA* (14 neurons, 8 larvae). Quantification, mCherry, axons:
Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.0002); control (17 neurons, 8 larvae) v. *Sec23-gRNA* (13
neurons, 8 larvae). Scale bars, 50 μm and 10 μm (dashed-outline boxes).

1398

1399 **(C)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::sfGFP in control and *Sec23-gRNA*-
1400 expressing neurons. Quantification, dendrites: Student's unpaired t-test (p=0.1414); control (26
1401 neurons, 15 larvae) v. *Sec23-gRNA* (20 neurons, 11 larvae). Quantification, axons: Student's
1402 unpaired t-test (p<0.0001); control (26 neurons, 15 larvae) v. *Sec23-gRNA* (16 neurons, 10
1403 larvae). Scale bars, 50 μm and 10 μm (dashed-outline boxes).

1404

Control genotypes: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Cas9*. Experimental genotype: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Cas9 U6-Sec23-gRNA*.
ppk-CD4::tdTomato, *Ppk1::GFP(11x3)^{EC}::mCherry^{C-term}*, and *Ppk1::sfGFP* included as indicated
(A-C). In the graphs, each data point represents a neuron, and data are plotted as mean ± SEM.
n.s.=not significant (p>0.05), **p=0.01-0.001, ***p=0.001-0.0001, and ****p<0.0001. AU:
arbitrary units.

Figure 7. Normal dendritic levels of Ppk1 rely on Rab11.

1411

1412 Images of ddaC neurons in 3rd instar larvae, fixed (A, D) or live (B, C, E). Dashed-outline boxes:

1413 zoomed-in images of cell bodies.

1414

1415 **(A)** Representative images and quantification of membrane-expressed Ppk1, recognized by

1416 anti-Ppk1 antibodies, in control (18 neurons, 6 larvae) and *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}*-expressing

1417 neurons (18 neurons, 6 larvae).

1418

1419 **(B)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::sfGFP in the dendrites and cell bodies of

1420 control (23 neurons, 18 larvae) and *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}*-expressing neurons (24 neurons, 12

1421 larvae).

1422

1423 **(C)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::mCherry in the dendrites of control (20

1424 neurons, 10 larvae) and *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}*-expressing neurons (23 neurons, 11 larvae).

1425

1426 **(D)** Representative image and quantification of membrane-expressed Ppk1, recognized by anti-

1427 Ppk1 antibodies, in control (19 neurons, 6 larvae) and *Rab11-DN*-expressing neurons (18

1428 neurons, 6 larvae). In ddaC neurons expressing Rab11-DN, Ppk1 immunostaining in the cell

1429 body was frequently observed (blue arrowhead).

1430

1431 **(E)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::mCherry in control (28 neurons, 12

1432 larvae) and *Rab11-DN*-expressing neurons (28 neurons, 14 larvae).

1433

Control genotype: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Gal4*. Experimental genotypes: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Rab11-*

RNAi^{108382} (A-C) and *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Rab11-DN::GFP* (D, E). *Ppk1::sfGFP* or

Ppk1::mCherry included as indicated (A-E). -Tx: no Triton X-100 (non-permeabilizing

conditions). In the graphs, each data point represents a neuron, and data are plotted as mean ±

SEM. Quantification: Student's unpaired t-test. ****$p < 0.0001$. AU: arbitrary units. Scale bars, 50

μm and 10 μm (dashed-outline boxes).

Figure 7 – Supplement 1. Disrupting Rab11 levels or activity causes a reduction in

dendrite arbor growth.

1442

Representative images of ddaC neurons in 72 h AEL larvae and quantification of dendrite length

and dendrite tip number in control neurons (w^{1118}; 14 neurons, 6 larvae) and neurons over-

expressing *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}* (16 neurons, 7 larvae) or *Rab11-DN* (15 neurons, 7 larvae).

Quantification, dendrite length: One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey; w^{1118} v. *Rab11-*

RNAi^{108382} (p<0.0001), w^{1118} v. *Rab11-DN* (p<0.0001), *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}* v. *Rab11-DN*

(p<0.0001). Quantification, dendrite tips: One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey: w^{1118} v. *Rab11-*

RNAi^{108382} (p<0.0001), w^{1118} v. *Rab11-DN* (p<0.0001), *Rab11-RNAi^{108382}* v. *Rab11-DN*

(p<0.0001). In the graphs, each data point represents a neuron, and data are plotted as mean ±

SEM. The expression of *UAS-Rab11-RNAi^{108382}* and *UAS-Rab11-DN* was driven by *ppk-Gal4*,

and *ppk-Gal4* was included in the w^{1118} control. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Figure 8. Ppk1::mCherry puncta colocalize with Rab5::GFP, but dendritic levels of Ppk1

do not depend on Rab5 function.

1455

1456 Images of ddaC neurons in 3rd instar larvae, live (A, C) or fixed (B). Dashed-outline boxes:

1457 zoomed-in views of dendrite branch and cell bodies.

1458

1459 **(A)** Representative images of Ppk1::mCherry with Rab5::GFP. Arrowheads: Colocalized signal.

1460 Zoomed-in view of Ppk1::mCherry and Rab5::GFP in a dendrite branch. Line trace is through

1461 the punctum highlighted by the arrowhead. Zoomed-in view of Ppk1::mCherry and Rab5::GFP

1462 in the cell body and proximal dendrites.

1463

1464 **(B)** Representative images and quantification of membrane-expressed Ppk1, recognized by

1465 anti-Ppk1 antibodies, in control neurons (14 neurons, 6 larvae) and neurons over-expressing

1466 wild-type *Rab5* (Rab5-WT; 19 neurons, 6 larvae) or *Rab5-DN* (16 neurons, 6 larvae).

1467 Quantification: one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey; control v. *Rab5-WT* (p=0.1323), control v.

1468 *Rab5-DN* (p=0.1562), and *Rab5-WT* v. *Rab5-DN* (p=0.9999).

1469

1470 **(C)** Representative images and quantification of Ppk1::mCherry in control (20 neurons, 10

1471 larvae) and *Rab5-DN*-expressing neurons (20 neurons, 10 larvae). Quantification,

1472 Ppk1::mCherry levels, dendrite: Student's t-test (p=0.1782). Quantification, Ppk1::mCherry

1473 puncta number: Mann-Whitney test (p<0.0001). Ppk1::mCherry puncta were quantified in

1474 dendrites within 70 μm of the cell body.

1475

Control genotype: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Gal4*. Experimental genotype: *w^{1118}*; *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Rab5-DN::YFP*

(B, C). *UAS-Rab5::GFP*, *UAS-Rab5::YFP* (Rab5-WT OE), and *Ppk1::mCherry* included as

indicated (A-C). -Tx: no Triton X-100 (non-permeabilizing conditions). In the graphs, each data

point represents a neuron, and data are plotted as mean ± SEM. n.s.=not significant (p<0.05)

and ****p<0.0001. AU: arbitrary units. Scale bars, 50 μm and 10 μm (dashed-outline boxes).

Figure 8 – Supplement 1. Rab5-WT and Rab5-DN show a different pattern of distribution,

and Rab5-DN reduces dendritic arbor morphogenesis.

1483

1484 **(A)** Representative images of control ddaC neurons and ddaC neurons expressing Rab5-WT

1485 and Rab5-DN (*ppk-Gal4 UAS-Rab5-WT::YFP* and *ppk-Gal4 UAS-Rab5-DN::YFP*). The

1486 neuronal membrane is marked by CD4::tdTomato (*ppk-CD4::tdTomato*). Scale bar, 100 µm.

1487

1488 **(B)** Representative images showing the distribution of Rab5-WT::YFP and Rab5-DN::YFP in

1489 ddaC neurons from fixed larval fillets with zoomed-in images of the cell bodies (dashed-outline

1490 boxes). The neuronal membrane is marked by CD4::tdTomato (ppk-CD4::tdTomato). Scale bar,

1491 50 µm and 10 µm (dashed-outline box).

Figure 1

Figure 1 – Supplement 1

Figure 2

Figure 2 – Supplement 1

Ppk1::sfGFP^{EC}

Site 1

Site 2

sfGFP
Site 1: QNGN$_{171}$ISDL

sfGFP
Site 2: LLSQ$_{204}$LNPP

Figure 2 – Supplement 2

Figure 2 – Supplement 3

Figure 2 – Supplement 4

Figure 3

Figure 3 – Supplement 1

A

Control	O/E Ppk26::mCherry	O/E Ppk26-DEG(A457V)::mCherry		
CD4::GFP		Short 1° dendrites 4 out of 22 neurons	1° and 2° dendrites 6 out of 22 neurons	12 out of 22 neurons

B

Δppk1			
Control	O/E Ppk26::mCherry	O/E Ppk26-DEG(A457V)::mCherry	
CD4::GFP		No CIV neuron 9 out of 15 neurons	Cell body only 6 out of 15 neurons

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 7 – Supplement 1

Figure 8

Figure 8 – Supplement 1

A

| Control | O/E Rab5-WT::YFP | O/E Rab5-DN::YFP |

CD4::tomato

B

| O/E Rab5-WT::YFP | O/E Rab5-DN::YFP |

Rab5-WT::YFP

CD4::tomato

Rab5-DN::YFP

CD4::tomato