Ectodermal Wnt signalling, cell fate determination and polarity of the skate gill arch skeleton

Jenaid M. Rees[1], Victoria A. Sleight[2], Stephen J. Clark[3], Tetsuya Nakamura[4] and J. Andrew Gillis[1,5,*]

[1]Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, U.K.

[2]School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, U.K.

[3]Babraham Institute, U.K.

[4]Department of Genetics, Rutgers University, U.S.A.

[5]Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine Biological Laboratory, U.S.A.

*Correspondence: agillis@mbl.edu

The gill skeleton of cartilaginous fishes (sharks, skates, rays and holocephalans) exhibits a striking anterior–posterior polarity, with a series of fine appendages called branchial rays projecting from the posterior margin of the gill arch cartilages. We previously demonstrated in the skate (*Leucoraja erinacea*) that branchial rays derive from a posterior domain of pharyngeal arch mesenchyme that is responsive to Shh signalling from a distal gill arch epithelial ridge (GAER) signalling centre. However, how branchial ray progenitors are specified exclusively within posterior gill arch mesenchyme is not known. Here we show that genes encoding several Wnt ligands are expressed in the ectoderm immediately adjacent to the skate GAER, and that these Wnt signals are transduced largely in the anterior arch environment. Using pharmacological manipulation, we show that inhibition of Wnt signalling results in an anterior expansion of Shh signal transduction in developing skate gill arches, and in the formation of ectopic anterior branchial ray cartilages. Our findings demonstrate that ectodermal Wnt signalling contributes to gill arch skeletal polarity in skate by restricting Shh signal transduction and chondrogenesis to the posterior arch environment and highlights the importance of signalling interactions at embryonic tissue boundaries for cell fate determination in vertebrate pharyngeal arches.

Introduction

The pharyngeal arches of vertebrates are a series of paired columns of tissue that form on either side of the embryonic head. Pharyngeal arches form as iterative outpockets of foregut endoderm contact the overlying surface ectoderm, and this meeting of endoderm and ectoderm generates columns lined laterally by ectoderm, medially by endoderm, and containing a core of mesoderm and neural crest-derived mesenchyme (Graham and Smith, 2001). In fishes, endodermal outpockets fuse with the overlying surface ectoderm, giving rise to the gill slits and the respiratory surfaces of the gills (Gillis and Tidswell, 2017). In amniotes, endodermal outpockets give rise to glandular tissues, such as the tonsils, parathyroid and ultimobranchial glands (Grevellec and Tucker, 2010). The largely neural crest-derived mesenchyme of the pharyngeal arches gives rise to the pharyngeal skeleton – i.e., the skeleton of the jaws and gills in fishes, and of the jaw, auditory ossicles, and larynx in amniotes (Jiang et al., 2002, Kague et al., 2012, Sleight and Gillis, 2020, Couly and Le Douarin, 1990) – with mesenchymal derivatives of each arch receiving patterning and polarity information via signals from adjacent epithelia (Veitch et al., 1999, Gillis et al., 2009a, Couly et al., 2002, Brito et al., 2006). Pharyngeal arches are named according to their ancestral skeletal derivatives: the 1st pharyngeal arch is termed the mandibular arch, the 2nd pharyngeal arch is the hyoid arch, while the caudal pharyngeal arches are collectively termed the gill arches. In fishes, the gill arches give rise to a series of skeletal "arches" that support the lamellae of the gills.

All jawed vertebrates belong to one of two lineages: cartilaginous fishes (sharks, skates, rays and holocephalans) or bony vertebrates (ray- and lobe-fined fishes, with the latter including tetrapods). While the gill arch skeleton of both cartilaginous and bony fishes ancestrally consisted proximally of two principal gill arch cartilages (the epi- and ceratobranchials), the gill arch skeleton of cartilaginous fishes additionally includes a distal series of fine cartilaginous rods called branchial rays (Fig. 1A-C). These rays reflect the clear anteroposterior polarity of the gill arch skeleton of cartilaginous fishes, originating along the posterior margin of the epi- and ceratobranchial cartilages, and curving posteriorly as they project into the interbranchial septum of each arch (Gillis et al., 2009b). Elasmobranch cartilaginous

Figure 1: Overview of skate gill arch skeletal anatomy. Skeletal preparation of a S33 skate embryo in **(A)** ventral and **(B)** lateral view. In **(A')** and **(B')** the gill arches and branchial rays are highlighted, with branchial rays false-coloured red. Note that branchial rays project from the posterior margin of the hyoid and first four gill arches. **(C)** A dissected gill arch with the **(C')** dorsal epibranchial and ventral ceratobranchial gill arch cartilages indicated, and with branchial rays false-coloured red. a–p indicates anterior-posterior axis. br: branchial ray, cb: ceratobranchial, eb: epibranchial, ga1-4: gill arches 1-4, ha: hyoid arch, ma: mandibular arch. Scale bars: 1mm.

88   fishes (sharks, skates and rays) possess five sets of branchial rays, associated with
89   their hyoid and first four gill arches. Holocephalans, on the other hand, possess a
90   single set of branchial rays supporting their hyoid arch-derived operculum.
91
The branchial rays of cartilaginous fishes develop under the influence of the gill arch
epithelial ridge (GAER): a *Sonic hedgehog* (*Shh*)-expressing signalling centre located
within the posterior-distal epithelium of the gill arches (Gillis et al., 2009b; Gillis and
Hall, 2016). As the gill arches undergo a prolonged phase of lateral expansion, Shh
signalling from the GAER is asymmetrically transduced within the posterior arch
environment, as evidenced by posterior expression of *Ptc2* – a Shh co-receptor and
transcriptional readout of Shh signalling (Pearse et al., 2001). This posterior
transduction of Shh signalling, in turn, appears to underlie the anteroposterior polarity
of the gill arch skeleton: in skate, branchial rays derive exclusively from posterior–
distal (Shh-responsive/GAER-adjacent) gill arch mesenchyme, application of
exogenous Shh protein within gill arches is sufficient to induce ectopic branchial ray
formation, and targeted or systemic inhibition of hedgehog signalling using
cyclopamine results in branchial ray deletion (Gillis et al., 2009b; Gillis and Hall, 2016).
However, whether other signalling mechanisms function alongside or in conjunction
with GAER Shh signalling to establish and maintain gill arch skeletal polarity remains
unexplored.
108
Here, we show in the little skate (*Leucoraja erinacea*) that the ectoderm immediately
adjacent to the GAER expresses several genes encoding Wnt ligands. These Wnt
signals are transduced in the anterior arch environment in a pattern that is broadly
complementary to the posterior transduction of GAER Shh signalling. Inhibition of Wnt
signalling in developing skate embryos results in an anterior expansion of Shh
signalling transduction, and in the formation of ectopic branchial rays in the anterior
gill arch. We propose that Wnt signalling from pharyngeal arch ectoderm contributes
to maintenance of anterior–posterior polarity of the skate gill arch skeleton by
repressing Shh signalling and chondrogenesis to the posterior gill arch territory.
118
119
120
121

Results

Wnt gene expression in the skate GAER and GAER-adjacent epithelium

In developing skate gill arches, Shh is expressed in the GAER (Fig. 2A), and branchial rays develop from a GAER-responsive domain of posterior arch mesenchyme (Gillis and Hall, 2016). To further explore the transcriptional environment of the GAER and to discover additional gene expression features that may contribute to gill arch polarity, we conducted a comparative transcriptomic and differential gene expression analysis of GAER and non-GAER regions of the first gill arch of the embryonic skate. Briefly, we manually dissected the **1)** GAER and **2)** non-GAER (control) regions from the first gill arch of stage (S)26 skate embryos (n=5; Fig. 2B–Bi), and we performed RNA extraction, library preparation, and RNAseq analysis on these samples. For the GAER region of the first gill arch, we included the whole distal tip of the arch in our dissection to ensure that the *Shh*-expressing GAER and adjacent Shh-responsive (i.e., *Ptc2*+) tissues were captured for this analysis (Fig. 2C-D). Following *de novo* transcriptome assembly, we tested for differential gene expression between GAER and non-GAER tissues (after first testing for differential expression of *Shh* in the GAER region, to confirm dissection accuracy). We used a false discovery rate of 5% and no log fold-change (LogFC) cut-off to generate a list of 401 genes that were upregulated in the GAER region. We sorted this list for genes encoding signalling pathway components and transcription factors with a log-fold change >2. (Fig. 2E). Differentially expressed genes were subjected to functional enrichment analysis, and this analysis indicated enrichment of Wnt signalling pathway components in GAER region (Fig. 2 Supplement 1 for enrichment analysis). Differentially expressed genes in the GAER region included those encoding the Wnt ligands Wnt2b, Wnt3, Wnt4, Wnt7b and Wnt9, the transmembrane Wnt inhibitors Kremen1 (Mao et al., 2002) and APCDD1 (Shimomura et al., 2010), and the secreted Wnt antagonist Notum (Zhang et al., 2015). We therefore chose to further investigate involvement of the Wnt pathway in GAER signalling by spatially validating the expression of these genes, using the most highly expressed isoforms for mRNA *in situ* hybridization by chain reaction (HCR) probe set design.

Figure 2: Differential gene expression analysis of GAER and non-GAER gill arch tissues in S26 skate embryos. (A) *Shh* is expressed in the gill arch epithelial ridge (GAER) of the hyoid and first four gill arches of skate embryos. **(B)** Schematic of dissection from gill arch 1 of **(Bi)** the non-GAER region (control, green) and the GAER region (blue, with GAER location indicated by yellow dashed outline), as guided by **(C)** mRNA *in situ* hybridisation for *Shh* on paraffin section. **(D)** The dissected GAER region includes the *Shh*-expressing GAER, as well as adjacent Shh-responsive (i.e., *Ptc2+*) tissues, as indicated by multiplexed mRNA *in situ* hybridisation by chain reaction (HCR) for *Shh* and *Ptc2* on paraffin section. **(E, Ei)** Volcano plot illustrating differential

gene expression between GAER and non-GAER tissues. Genes with >2 logFC and <0.05 false discovery rate (FDR) are highlighted and assigned functional category using colour coding as per key. Genes with >2 logFC are shown in larger point size. Signalling molecules and transcription factors with >2 logFC and <0.05 FDR are labelled (* denotes manual annotation of sequence). Differential expression was determined using edgeR with a general linear model and likelihood ratio test, corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamin-Hochberg method to control the FDR. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis, p–d indicates proximo–distal axis. epi: epithelium, ga1-4: gill arches 1-4, ha: hyoid arch, ma: mandibular arch, mes: mesenchyme. Scale bars; A: 500μm C-D: 50μm.

Figure 2 Supplement 1: Genes significantly upregulated in GAER vs. non-GAER

gill arch tissues in S26 skate embryos. (A) All significantly upregulated genes

(logFC >2, FDR <0.05) tested for interaction using STRING db v11.5, with cluster of

genes encoding Wnt signalling components highlighted. **(B)** Most significantly

enriched terms in GAER region tissues (GO process, KEGG Pathway, InterPro, Pfam

and WikiPathway screened).

To characterise expression of genes encoding Wnt ligands relative to *Shh* expression
in the GAER, we used multiplexed ISH by HCR to visualise transcript localisation in
sections of S26 skate gill arches. We found that while *Wnt7b* was co-expressed
with *Shh* in the cells of the GAER (Fig. 3A), *Wnt2b*, *Wnt3*, *Wnt4* and *Wnt9b* (Fig. 3B–
E) were all expressed predominantly in the epithelium immediately adjacent to the
GAER. We next examined the expression of the Wnt signalling downstream target
genes (Fig. 4) using *Shh* or *Wnt7b* as a marker for the GAER. We found
that *Apcdd1* was expressed in the GAER and anterior–distal mesenchyme (Fig.
4A), *Notum* in the anterior–distal mesenchyme (Fig. 4B) and *Kremen1* in the anterior
(GAER-adjacent) epithelium (Fig. 4C). Finally, broad transcription of *Axin2* throughout
distal gill arch tissues (Fig. 4D) indicates that Wnt signalling within this territory is
occurring through the canonical/β-catenin pathway (Lustig et al., 2002). While these
findings do not allow us to attribute expression of specific transcriptional readouts to
signalling by particular Wnt ligands, our spatial expression data nevertheless indicate
that some Wnt signals emanating from the GAER or GAER-adjacent epithelium are
transduced preferentially within the anterior arch environment, in a pattern that is
largely complimentary to the posterior epithelial and mesenchymal transduction of Shh
signals from the GAER.

Wnt and Shh expression at the ectoderm-endoderm interface of skate gill arches
The epithelium of vertebrate pharyngeal arches derives from both ectoderm and
endoderm, but the specific germ layer origin of the GAER of cartilaginous fishes
(endoderm vs. ectoderm) is not known. In the skate, *Shh* is initially expressed
broadly in the anterior endodermal epithelium of each pharyngeal pouch, which
subsequently gives rise to the posterior endodermal epithelium of each pharyngeal
arch (Gillis and Tidswell, 2017). To test whether the GAER derives from this initially
broad endodermal *Shh* expression domain, we labelled the pharyngeal endoderm of
early skate embryos by microinjecting the lipophilic dye CM-DiI into the pharyngeal
cavity at stage S18. At this stage, the pharyngeal pouches have not yet fused with
the overlying surface ectoderm, allowing for specific CM-DiI labelling of pharyngeal
endodermal epithelium (Fig. 5A,B). Injected embryos were then grown to S25–S29,
by which time the GAER of the hyoid and gill arches is detectable by expression of
Shh (Fig. 5C).

Figure 3: Genes encoding Wnt ligands are expressed in and around the GAER in skate. In S26 skates, (**A, A'**) *Wnt7b* is co-expressed with *Shh* in the GAER. (**B)** *Wnt2b,* (**C**) *Wnt3*, (**D**) *Wnt4* and (**E**) *Wnt9b* are predominantly expressed in the epithelium immediately adjacent the GAER. For ease of visualisation in **A'–E'**, *Shh* expression is not shown but GAER cells are outlined with a green dashed line. Arch tissues are outlined with white dashed lines. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. epi: epithelium, mes: mesenchyme, mc: mesodermal core. Scale bar: 50μm.

Figure 4: Transduction of canonical Wnt signalling in the anterior gill arch territory in skate. In S26 skates, (**A, A'**) *Apcdd1* is expressed in the GAER and in the anterior–distal mesenchyme underlying the GAER. (**B**) *Notum* is expressed in anterior–distal arch mesenchyme. (**C**) *Kremen1* is expressed in the GAER and in GAER-adjacent epithelium. (**D**) Broad *Axin2* expression in the vicinity of *Wnt*-expressing epithelium indicates signalling through the canonical Wnt signalling pathway. ISH by HCR for *Shh* or *Wnt7b* was performed alongside each gene of interest as a marker of the GAER (outlined with magenta dashed line). a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. epi: epithelium, mes: mesenchyme, mc: mesodermal core. Scale bar: 50μm.

Figure 4 Supplement 1: Pharmacological inhibition of Wnt signalling in skate leads to downregulation of Wnt pathway genes. ISH by HCR was performed on sections of S26 skate embryos treated for 72hrs with either DMSO (control) or the canonical Wnt inhibitor IWR1. *Shh* was performed alongside each gene of interest as a marker of the GAER. (**A–A'**) *Axin2* is broadly expressed throughout the distal arch epithelium and mesenchyme in control embryos, with particularly strong expression in the cells of the GAER and in developing gill buds. (**B**) *Axin2* expression is substantially depressed with IWR1 treatment. (**C–C'**) *Apcdd1* is expressed in the cells of the GAER, and within anterior–distal mesenchyme in control embryos, and (**D**) both of these expression domains are reduced with IWR1 treatment. (**E–E'**) *Notum* is expressed within anterior-distal arch mesenchyme in control embryos, and (**F**) expression is completely lost in the anterior-distal arch mesenchyme with IWR1 treatment. All images are representative of experiments performed in triplicate. For ease of visualisation in (**A', B, C', D, E', F**) *Shh* expression is not shown but GAER cells are outlined with green dashed lines and arch tissues are outlined with white dashed lines. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis in all panels. Scale bar: 50 μm.

Figure 5: Endodermal origin of the skate GAER. (**A**) Microinjection of CM-DiI into the pharyngeal cavity of skate embryos at S18 results in (**B**) specific labelling of the pharyngeal endoderm. (**C**) *Shh* is a marker of the GAER (dashed yellow line) in CM-DiI-labelled embryos, and (**C'**, **C''**) co-localisation of CM-DiI and *Shh* expression indicates that the cells of the GAER are of endodermal origin. Dashed white outline demarcates GAER-adjacent (ectodermal) epithelium, which is never labelled with CM-DiI. (**C**), (**C'**), and (**C''**) are the same section, imaged sequentially for *Shh* expression and CM-DiI. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. dpi: days post-injection, fg: foregut, nt: neural tube. Scale bars; A: 500μm, B–C: 40μm.

Of 29 embryos analysed, all retained some CM-DiI label within the endodermal lining

of the pharyngeal arches. In 8/29 embryos, we recovered CM-DiI labelling up to and

including the *Shh*-expressing cells of the GAER in one or more pharyngeal arches

(Fig. 5C), indicating endodermal origin of these cells. The remaining 21 embryos did

not have sufficient labelling of pharyngeal epithelia to inform the origin of the GAER

(likely due to label dilution during the long incubation time between injection and

analysis). Importantly, however, no CM-DiI labelling was ever observed in epithelial

cells immediately anterior to the GAER, suggesting that this epithelium is of

ectodermal origin. Together, these observations indicate that the GAER is of

endodermal origin and arises at the ectoderm–endoderm interface during pharyngeal

arch development, and that the *Wnt*-expressing epithelium immediately anterior to

the GAER derives from the ectoderm.

Wnt signalling suppresses GAER Shh signal transduction in the anterior gill

arch

To explore the function of Wnt signalling during skate pharyngeal arch development,

we inhibited canonical Wnt signalling in skate embryos using IWR1, a small molecule

tankyrase inhibitor that antagonises Wnt signalling by stabilising the Axin/β-catenin

destruction complex (Lu et al., 2009, Kulak et al., 2015). Briefly, skate embryos were

maintained in a bath of 50μM IWR1 or vehicle-only control (DMSO) in seawater from

S25 for 72h to assess gene expression changes in response to drug treatment (Fig. 4

Supplement 1), or for 6–8 weeks (until S31/32) to assess effects of Wnt signalling

inhibition on gill arch skeletal patterning, with replacement of drug- or control-seawater

every 48h. IWR1-treated embryos showed a marked reduction in the expression of

Axin2 throughout their gill arches (Fig. 6A–B), as well as a reduction in the expression

of *Apcdd1* in the GAER and anterior–distal gill arch mesenchyme (Fig. 6C–D) and a

complete loss of *Notum* expression in anterior–distal gill arch mesenchyme (Fig. 6E–

F). These findings indicate that our drug treatment effectively supressed canonical

Wnt signalling from the GAER and GAER-adjacent epithelium, including those signals

transduced asymmetrically in the anterior gill arch environment.

Shh is a pro-chondrogenic signal from the GAER in skate gill arches (Gillis et al.,

2009b), and this signal is transduced asymmetrically with the posterior gill arch

Figure 6: Wnt signalling restricts transduction of GAER Shh signalling to the posterior gill arch environment. ISH by HCR on sections of skate embryos treated for 72 hours with (**A,B**) DMSO or (**C,D**) IWR1 shows no difference in *Shh* expression but an expansion of *Ptc2* expression within the epithelium anterior to the *Shh*-expressing GAER. (**E**) Schematic illustration of the mean number of *Ptc2*+ nuclei anterior to the GAER in DMSO control (n=3 embryos; mean = 6.3 cells) or (**F**) IWR1 treated (n=4 embryos; mean = 12.94 cells) embryos, using the mean cell count of two or three sections at equivalent positions in the gill arch from each embryo. (**G**) We find a significant increase in *Ptc2*+ nuclei in the epithelium anterior to the GAER in IWR1-treated embryos compared to control (P= 0.036; t test, t= -3.87, df= 2.74). In **A–F**, *Shh* expression is indicated by green dashed line. Images in **A–D** taken using identical exposure settings. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. Scale bar: 20 μm.

environment (Gillis and Hall, 2016, and see above). To test whether anteriorly
transduced Wnt signalling may act to restrict Shh signal transduction to the posterior
gill arch, we examined expression of *Shh* and *Ptc2* in skate embryos 72h after the
onset of IWR1 treatment. While we observed no noticeable change in the expression
of *Shh* or in the spatial distribution of *Ptc2* transcripts in gill arch mesenchyme with
IWR1 treatment, we did note a qualitative increase in *Ptc2* expression within the
normal mesenchymal domain, and a clear anterior expansion of *Ptc2* expression
within the epithelium adjacent to the GAER. To quantify this epithelial expansion, we
compared the mean number of *Ptc2*+ nuclei anterior to the *Shh*-expressing GAER
(using DAPI staining and counted manually) across two or three sections at equivalent
positions in DMSO control (n=3) or IWR1 (n=4) treated embryos and found a
significant anterior expansion of *Ptc2* expression within the epithelium adjacent to the
GAER in IWR1 treated embryos (p= 0.036). This points to a role for GAER-adjacent
Wnt signalling in restricting the transduction of pro-chondrogenic Shh signalling in the
anterior gill arch territory in skate.
377

Wnt signalling inhibits branchial ray development in the anterior gill arch
environment
Finally, to test for an effect of Wnt inhibition on the gill arch skeleton, we reared skate
embryos in DMSO control seawater or IWR1 from S25–S31/32 (Fig. 7). IWR1-treated
embryos had several distinct phenotypic changes, including a smaller size, a loss of
gill filaments and disrupted fin morphology (the latter consistent with previous findings
of Nakamura et al. 2015 – Fig. 7A-B). We specifically investigated the impact of IWR1
treatment on gill arch skeletal morphology. The skate hyoid and gill arch skeleton
include branchial rays that articulate exclusively with the posterior margin of the gill
arch cartilages (Gillis et al., 2009a – see also Fig. 1). These rays also invariably
develop on the posterior side of the interbranchial muscle plate – a histologically and
molecularly distinct derivative of the paraxial mesodermal core that extends down the
middle of each pharyngeal arch (Daniel, 1934; Graham, 2008; Hirschberger et al.,
2021). Skate embryos reared in DMSO control seawater showed no gill arch skeletal
defects (n=4 embryos; Fig. 7Ai), while embryos reared in IWR1 seawater possessed
conspicuous ectopic branchial rays in the anterior gill arch territory (n= 7/8 embryos;
Fig. 7Bi). These ectopic branchial rays occurred along the entire dorsoventral axis of
the arch and ranged from short cartilage rods located in the anterior–distal gill arch to

Figure 7: Ectopic branchial ray formation upon inhibition of Wnt signalling. (A) In control (DMSO) skate embryos, branchial rays (magnified in **Ai**) articulate exclusively with the posterior margin of the gill arches. **(B)** Embryos reared from S25 to S31/32 in the Wnt inhibitor IWR1 possessed ectopic branchial rays (magnified in **Bi**) that were embedded within connective tissue of the anterior gill arch (ectopic rays present in n=7/8 embryos examined; indicated by red asterisk). a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. Scale bars: 500 μm.

nearly full-length branchial rays that extended much of the way toward the gill arch cartilages. In section, normal branchial rays of skate embryos reared in DMSO control seawater always developed on the posterior side of the mesodermally-derived interbranchial muscle plate of each gill arch: this was evident from histochemical staining and from HCR for the chondrocyte markers *Sox9* and *Col2a1* (Fig. 8A-B). Conversely, in skate embryos reared in IWR1 seawater, ectopic branchial rays were consistently located anterior to the interbranchial muscle plate (Fig. 8C-D). The induction of ectopic branchial rays with IWR1 treatment suggests that Wnt signalling contributes to the maintenance of anteroposterior polarity of the skate gill arch skeleton by repressing chondrogenesis in the anterior gill arch and may do so by restricting transduction of GAER Shh signalling to the posterior gill arch territory.

Figure 8: Repression of cartilage development in the anterior gill arch by Wnt signalling. (A) Section through the gill arch of a control (DMSO) S31 skate embryo, showing that branchial rays develop exclusively on the posterior side of the interbranchial muscle plate, as is evident from histochemical staining and **(B, Bi, Bii)** ISH by HCR for the chondrocyte markers *Sox9* and *Cola2a1*. **(C)** Section through the gill arch of a S31 embryos reared in the canonical Wnt inhibitor IWR1 reveals that ectopic branchial rays form anterior to the interbranchial muscle plate, as evident from histological analysis and **(D, Di, Dii)** ISH by HCR for *Sox9* and *Col2a1*. Red asterisks indicate ectopic branchial rays, and interbranchial muscle plate is outlined with a dashed line. a–p indicates anterior–posterior axis. Scale bars: 50 μm.

Discussion

The molecular mechanisms governing spatial regulation of cell fate decisions are crucial to the establishment and maintenance of anatomical polarity within developing tissues and organs. Here, we show that the GAER signalling centre that forms on the hyoid and gill arches of cartilaginous fishes is of endodermal origin, arising precisely at the boundary of surface ectoderm and pharyngeal endoderm, and that pro-chondrogenic Shh signals from the GAER are transduced preferentially within the posterior gill arch environment. We further show that the ectoderm immediately anterior and adjacent to the GAER is a signalling centre that expresses several Wnt family genes, and that Wnt signals from this centre are transduced preferentially in the anterior arch environment. Pharmacological inhibition of canonical Wnt signalling in skate embryos leads to an expansion of Shh signal transduction within the anterior territory of the gill arch, and to the formation of ectopic anterior branchial ray cartilage. These findings suggest that Wnt–Shh signalling antagonism at the pharyngeal ectodermal–endodermal tissue boundary is a key molecular regulator of cell fate determination and anatomical polarity with the developing gill arch skeleton of the skate (Fig. 9).

Previous analyses have reported the expression of several Wnt genes in developing craniofacial tissues of bony vertebrates (Summerhurst et al., 2008), including expression of *WNT2B* and *WNT9B* in the pharyngeal arch ectoderm of the chick (Geetha-Loganathan et al., 2009), *Wnt9b* in the first and second pharyngeal arches in zebrafish (Jezewski et al., 2008), *Wnt4a* in zebrafish pharyngeal ectoderm (Choe et al., 2013), and Wnt9a and Wnt5b in zebrafish oral epithelium (Dougherty et al., 2013; Rochard et al., 2016). While β-catenin-independent (i.e., non-canonical) Wnt signalling plays a crucial role in epithelial remodelling during the early formation of pharyngeal endodermal pouches in zebrafish (Choe et al., 2013) and in maturation and morphogenesis of the zebrafish oropharyngeal skeleton (Rochard et al., 2016; Ling et al., 2017), canonical Wnt signalling has been implicated in patterning of the pharyngeal arch-derived skeleton in multiple taxa. Blocking canonical Wnt signalling causes disrupted facial cartilage formation, including reductions of Meckel's and ceratohyal cartilages in zebrafish (Alexander et al., 2014) and loss of ectodermal canonical Wnt

Figure 9: Wnt and Shh signalling and cell fate determination in skate gill arches. Shh signalling from the endodermally-derived GAER is transduced within the posterior-distal gill arch (ga) environment, where it promotes the differentiation of cartilaginous branchial rays (br). Wnt signals from ectoderm adjacent to the GAER are transduced within the anterior–distal gill arch environment, where they repress Shh signal transduction and inhibit cartilage formation in the anterior gill arch. a–p indicates the anterior-posterior axis and p–d indicates the proximo–distal axis.

signalling in mouse causes loss of facial bones with hypoplasia of all facial prominences (Reid et al., 2011).   Furthermore, in mouse and human, cleft palate phenotypes may arise as a consequence of mutations in *Wnt3* and *Wnt9b* (Niemann et al., 2004, Juriloff et al., 2006), and perturbation of Wnt/β-catenin signalling has been implicated in CATSHL syndrome, a human developmental disorder involving craniofacial bone malformation and mispatterning of the pharyngeal arches (Sun et al., 2020). Our finding of a role for canonical Wnt signalling in patterning the gill arch skeleton of skate may therefore reflect broadly conserved roles for this pathway in pharyngeal skeletal development across jawed vertebrates, though with the skate's possession of branchial rays offering a unique anatomical readout of anterior–posterior polarity defects that arise within the pharyngeal arch-derived skeleton in response to perturbations.

Our findings are also consistent with previously reported complex and context-dependent roles for Wnt signalling in the regulation of cell fate determination events during vertebrate skeletogenesis. In some instances, Wnt signalling functions to promote skeletogenesis: for example, in chick, misexpression of *WNT5A/5B* promotes early chondrogenesis of limb bud mesenchyme *in vitro* and delays the terminal differentiation of growth plate chondrocytes *in vivo* (Church et al., 2002), and in mouse, canonical WNT signalling from cranial ectoderm induces specification of osteoblast progenitors of cranial dermal bone within underlying mesenchyme (Goodnough et al., 2016). However, in other contexts, Wnt signalling functions to inhibit chondrogenic differentiation or homeostasis. In chick, signalling through WNT3, 4, 7A, 14 or FZ7 have all been shown to inhibit chondrogenesis *in vitro* or *in vivo* (Rudnicki and Brown, 1997), while in mouse conditionally induced haploinsufficiency of the gene encoding the β-catenin degradation complex component APC (i.e., activation of canonical Wnt signalling) results in loss of resting zone chondrocytes and their clonal progeny in developing growth plates (Hallett et al., 2021). In the limb bud, genes encoding WNT ligands are expressed in the ectoderm (Kengaku et al., 1998, Parr et al., 1993, Geetha-Loganathan et al., 2005; Summerhurst et al., 2008), where they function synergistically with FGF signalling from the apical ectoderm ridge to inhibit chondrogenesis of limb bud mesenchyme and to promote soft connective tissue fates (ten Berge et al., 2008). Downstream effectors of Wnt signalling are expressed out-of-phase with Sox9+ digit progenitors in the mouse limb bud, with Wnt signalling

functioning to repress digit chondrogenesis as part of a Turing-like mechanism that underlies periodic patterning of the distal limb endoskeleton (Raspopovic et al., 2014). Our findings therefore demonstrate a striking parallel between developing skate gill arches and tetrapod limb buds, with Wnt signals emanating from ridge-adjacent epithelia functioning to inhibit chondrogenic differentiation, thereby ensuring differentiation of cartilaginous appendages in a spatially controlled manner.

We have previously shown that Shh signalling from the GAER is required for branchial ray chondrogenesis in skate (Gillis and Hall, 2016), and that the application of exogenous SHH protein to the anterior gill arch is sufficient to induce ectopic branchial rays (Gillis et al., 2009b). However, it is unclear whether Shh from the GAER is a direct inducer of chondrogenesis in the posterior arch mesenchyme, or rather induces chondrogenesis indirectly, via a secondary signal (i.e., emanating from the Shh-responsive epithelium or mesenchyme). We have observed that inhibition of Wnt signalling in skate embryos has no effect on the expression of *Shh* in the GAER, but rather results in an anterior expansion of Shh signal transduction in the gill arch ectoderm, which in turn, correlates with ectopic chondrogenesis in the anterior gill arch. This observation indicates that the pro-chondrogenic influence of GAER Shh signalling may be indirect, occurring via a secondary Shh-depending epithelial signal whose expression is typically posteriorly restricted by Wnt signalling from GAER-adjacent ectoderm. The Shh and Wnt signalling pathways share multiple downstream components (reviewed in Ding and Wang, 2017). For example, components of the canonical Wnt signalling pathway can positively regulate Shh signalling via GSK3β, by phosphorylating the downstream component SUFU and promoting the release of SUFU from Gli (Takenaka et al., 2007), and by β-catenin affecting Gli1 transcriptional activity via TCF/LEF (Maeda et al., 2006). It has also been found that Gli3 may function as a downstream effector of the Wnt pathway, and that Wnt signalling represses Shh activity in the dorsal neural tube through the regulation of *Gli3* expression (Alvarez-Medina et al., 2008). Finally, the Shh signalling pathway may regulate Wnt signalling through Gli1 and Gli2, with these factors positively regulating the expression of the secreted Wnt inhibitor *frizzled-related protein-1* (*sFRP-1*) (He et al., 2006). These mechanisms, or others, could account for the apparent cross regulation between Wnt and Shh signalling that we have observed during growth and patterning of skate pharyngeal arches.

Finally, it remains to be determined whether antagonistic ectodermal Wnt and
endodermal Shh signalling is a derived mechanism of cell fate determination and
pharyngeal skeletal patterning in cartilaginous fishes, or a conserved feature of the
pharyngeal arches of jawed vertebrates. In bony vertebrates, a Shh-expressing
posterior endodermal margin (PEM) promotes proliferative expansion of the second
(hyoid) pharyngeal arch (Wall and Hogan, 1995; Richardson et al., 2012; Rees and
Gillis, 2022). In bony fishes, the hyoid arch gives rise to an operculum that is supported
by a series of dermal bones, and this operculum functions as a protective cover for
the gills that arise from the posterior pharyngeal arches, whereas in amniotes, the
hyoid arch expands caudally and ultimately fuses with the cardiac eminence to enclose
the cervical sinus and close the surface of the neck (Richardson et al., 2012).
Amniotes lack homologues of the opercular series of bony fishes or the cartilaginous
branchial rays of cartilaginous fishes, respectively, but fossil evidence points the
operculate condition of bony fishes as ancestral for jawed vertebrates (Dearden et al.,
2019). Whether juxtaposed Wnt- and Shh-expressing epithelia influence fate
determination (e.g., dermal bone vs. connective tissue) and patterning within the
opercular series of bony fishes is not known. Further comparative work between bony
and cartilaginous fishes with disparate pharyngeal skeletal architectures and tissue
compositions will permit inference of ancestral functions and interactions of epithelial
signals during vertebrate pharyngeal arch skeletal development.

Materials and Methods

Embryo harvesting

Skate (*L. erinacea)* embryos were obtained from the Marine Resources Center at the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, MA, U.S.A., were reared as described in Gillis *et al.* (2012) and staged according to Ballard *et al.* (1993) and Maxwell et al. (2008). Skate embryos were euthanised with an overdose of MS-222 (1g/L in seawater), and all embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Thermofisher) as per Gillis *et al.* (2012).

Sectioning and histochemical staining

Embryos were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 7μm as described in O'Neill et al. (2007). Sectioned embryos were stained with Masson's Trichrome as per Witten and Hall (2003) or Mayer's Hematoxylin and Eosin by clearing in two rinses of Histosol (National Diganostics), rehydration through serial dilutions of ethanol, staining for 15 minutes in Mayer's Haematoxylin (Sigma), rinsing in running tap water for 20 minutes, rinsing briefly in 95% ethanol, and staining in 0.1% w/v Eosin Y (Sigma) in 95% ethanol for 2 minutes. Slides were then washed briefly in 95% ethanol, washed briefly with 100% ethanol, cleared with Histosol, and mounted with permount (Sigma).

Lineage tracing

Endodermal lineage tracing was performed by microinjection of CellTracker™ CM-DiI (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3'3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate; Thermofisher) into the pharyngeal cavity of stage (S)18 skate embryos with a pulled glass needle. CM-DiI was prepared as per Gillis *et al.* (2012). Labelled embryos were then grown to S25–29, euthanised, fixed, embedded, and sectioned as described above, and CM-DiI localisation was assessed relative to the GAER based on mRNA *in situ* hybridisation for *Shh* (see below).

mRNA *in situ* hybridisation

Chromogenic mRNA in situ hybridisation (ISH) was performed on paraffin sections and in wholemount as described in O'Neill *et al.* (2007), with modifications according to Gillis *et al.* (2012). An ISH probe against skate *Shh* (GenBank EF100667) was

generated by *in vitro* transcription using standard methods. Following ISH, wholemounts were rinsed, post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and graded into 75% glycerol for imaging, while slides were rinsed in PBS, post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and coverslipped with DAPI-Fluoromount® G (SouthernBiotech). Third-generation mRNA ISH by chain reaction (HCR) was performed as per the Choi *et al* (2018) protocol for formaldehyde fixed, paraffin-embedded sections, with modifications as per Criswell and Gillis (2020). Probes, buffers, and hairpins were purchased from Molecular Instruments (Los Angeles, California, USA). HCR probe set lot numbers are as follows: skate *Shh* (Lot PRA753), *Ptc2* (Lot PRA754), *Wnt2b* (Lot PRE300), *Wnt3* (Lot PRG814), *Wnt4* (Lot PRE301), *Wnt7b* (Lot PRE302), *Wnt9b* (Lot PRE303), *Notum* (Lot PRG817), *Kremen1* (Lot PRG816), *Axin2* (Lot PRG818), *Apcdd1* (Lot PRG815), *Col2a1* (Lot PRB574) and *Sox9* (Lot PRB571). All mRNA ISH experiments were replicated, at minimum, in biological triplicate.

Pharmacological manipulations

For systemic inhibition of canonical Wnt signalling, experimental and control skate embryos were reared at 15°C in petri dishes containing 50μM IWR1 (Selleck chemicals) in artificial seawater or artificial seawater containing an equivalent volume of vehicle (DMSO) only, respectively. IWR1 has been used previously to inhibit canonical Wnt signalling in skate embryos by Nakamura et al. (2015) and in shark embryos by Thiery et al. (2022). For these experiments, IWR1 was diluted to working concentration from a 25mM stock solution in DMSO. Skate embryos were reared in IWR1- or vehicle-containing seawater from S25 until S31/32, with drug or control seawater changes every 48 hours. Once embryos reached the desired stage, they were euthanised, fixed and processed for wholemount skeletal preparation according to the protocol of Gillis et al. (2009a) or paraffin histology as described above.

RNA-Seq analysis of differentially expressed genes in the GAER region

GAER or non-GAER ("control") regions of gill arch 1 were manually dissected from skate embryos at S26 using tungsten needles and flash frozen in lysis buffer using liquid nitrogen. In all cases, GAER and control sample pairs were collected from the same arch within the same embryos, or from opposite arches within the same embryo. RNA was extracted from each sample using the RNAaqueous®-Micro Kit. cDNA was

synthesised from extracted RNA according to the Smart-seq2 protocol (Picelli et al.,
2014) and libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT kit (Illumina). Prior to
sequencing, barcoded libraries were pooled in equal proportions and checked for
quality, insert size and quantity using Qubit 2.0, and Agilent2100. Sequencing of the
20 libraries generated was conducted by Novogene on an Illumina Hi-Seq-XTen
generating 150bp paired-end reads. The Cambridge Service for Data-Driven
Discovery (CSD3) high performance computer was used for cleaning, normalisation,
quality assessment, assembly, abundance quantification and annotation, as per
(Hirschberger et al., 2021). Raw reads were cleaned to remove adapter contamination
using Trim Galore v0.4.0 (parameters: --paired -q 20 –nextera), for quality (Phred
score 20) and minimum read length (149bp) using ea-utils tool fastq-mcf (parameters:
-q 20 -l 100) (Aronesty, 2011). Prior to assembly, all libraries were normalised using
Trinity v2.6.6 *in silico* read normalisation (Haas et al., 2013) (parameters: --JM 100G
--max_cov 75). Trinity v2.6.6 was used to assemble the normalised reads
(parameters: --seqType fq --max_memory 250G --CPU 50 --min_contig_length 450)
(Nishimura et al., 2017, Langmead et al., 2009, Marcais and Kingsford, 2011). Basic
Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to compare the assembly to the
Uniprot protein database with an e-value cut-off of e-20 to search for sequence
similarity (Altschul et al., 1990). Transcript abundance was estimated using Salmon,
an alignment-free quantification method, via Trinity v2.6.6 utilities (Haas et al., 2013,
Patro et al., 2017). A matrix was built for each of the libraries of gene-level abundance
estimates (raw counts) using the Trinity abundance_estimates_to_matrix.pl script.
MDS principal component analysis was used to check for batch effects and outliers.
Individual embryo origin was a batch effect and so was accordingly accounted for in
the additive general linear model. Differentially expressed genes between control and
GAER tissue samples were identified using the edgeR_3.28.0 package in R version
3.6.2 (Robinson et al., 2010) using a generalised linear model likelihood ratio test
(Chen, 2008) using a false discovery rate of 5% and no log fold-change (LogFC) cut-
off. See supplementary information for assembly statistics, differential expression
analysis and all R scripts used.
706
707 Significantly upregulated genes in the S26 GAER tissue (logFC>2, FDR<0.05) were
708 extracted and annotated using blastx sequence similarity searches against the
709 Swissprot/Uniprot database (taxid: *Gallus gallus*). Annotation IDs were inputted into

the STRING (v11.5) webserver and tested for predicted protein-protein interactions. In the protein-protein interaction analysis, line thickness was set to show confidence, and a medium confidence threshold was set. The interaction network was then tested for functional enrichment against a series of databases (Gene Ontology Biological Process, KEGG Pathways, WikiPathways, Pfam Domains and InterPro Domains) using the default whole genome as the background. Results from the functional enrichment were downloaded and Rstudio (GGplot2) was used to generate dot plots to visualise the most significantly enriched terms.

Differentially upregulated genes with a log-fold change over 2 were manually screened for genes involved in known signalling pathways and transcription factors to generate a list for candidate gene validation by ISH. Wnt family members were highly upregulated in the GAER region so were validated as candidate markers for this region through third-generation ISH. Transcripts for probes in skate were based on the most highly expressed isoforms.

Imaging and figure preparation

Images were taken on a Zeiss Axioscope.A1 compound microscope with a Zeiss colibri 7 fluorescence LED light source using a Zeiss Axiocam 305 colour or 503 mono camera and ZenPro software or a Leica M165FC stereomicroscope, a Leica DFC7000 T camera and LAS X software. All figures were assembled using Fiji and Adobe creative cloud, with some images flipped or colours inverted for clarity and consistency where needed.

Acknowledgements

With thanks to Dr Kate Criswell and Dr Christine Hirschberger for advice, and to the University of Cambridge Wellcome PhD Programme in Developmental Mechanisms. The authors were funded by a Wellcome PhD studentship (214953/Z/18/Z) to JMR, and by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (UF130182 and URF\R\191007) and Royal Society Research Grant (RG140377) to JAG.

Data Accessibility

All data and R scripts for analysis have been deposited on Figshare:

10.6084/m9.figshare.19615779

RNA sequencing data are available at NCBI-SRA under BioProject ID: PRJNA825354

Biosample accessions: SAMN27512544, SAMN27512545, SAMN27512546,
SAMN27512547, SAMN27512548, SAMN27512549, SAMN27512550,
SAMN27512551, SAMN27512552, SAMN27512553, SAMN27512554,
SAMN27512555, SAMN27512556, SAMN27512557, SAMN27512558,
SAMN27512559, SAMN27512560, SAMN27512561, SAMN27512562,
SAMN27512563

Probes were purchased from Molecular Instruments (Los Angeles, California, USA).
This included the following: for skate *Shh* (Lot PRA753), *Ptc2* (Lot PRA754), *Fgf8* (Lot
PRA755), *Dusp6* (Lot PRA756), *Wnt2b* (Lot PRE300), *Wnt3* (Lot PRG814), *Wnt4* (Lot
PRE301), *Wnt7b* (Lot PRE302), *Wnt9b* (Lot PRE303), Notum (Lot PRG817), *Kremen1*
(Lot PRG816), *Axin2* (Lot PRG818), *Apcdd1* (Lot PRG815), *Col2a1* (Lot PRB574) and
Sox9 (Lot PRB571).

References

ALEXANDER, C., PILOTO, S., LE PABIC, P. & SCHILLING, T. F. 2014. Wnt
signaling interacts with bmp and edn1 to regulate dorsal-ventral patterning
and growth of the craniofacial skeleton. *PloS Genet,* 10**,** e1004479.

ALTSCHUL, S. F., GISH, W., MILLER, W., MYERS, E. W. & LIPMAN, D. J. 1990.
Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol,* 215**,** 403-10.

ALVAREZ-MEDINA, R., CAYUSO, J., OKUBO, T., TAKADA, S. & MARTI, E. 2008.
Wnt canonical pathway restricts graded Shh/Gli patterning activity through the
regulation of Gli3 expression. *Development,* 135**,** 237-47.

ARONESTY, E. 2011. *Command-line tools for processing biological sequencing data*
[Online]. Available: https://github.com/ExpressionAnalysis/ea-utils [Accessed
January 2020].

BALLARD, W. W., MELLINGER, J. & LECHENAULT, H. 1993. A series of normal
stages for development of Scyliorhinus canicula, the lesser spotted dogfish
(Chondrichthyes: Scyliorhinidae). *Journal of Experimental Zoology,* 267**,** 318-
336.

BRITO, J. M., TEILLET, M. A. & LE DOUARIN, N. M. 2006. An early role for sonic
hedgehog from foregut endoderm in jaw development: ensuring neural crest
cell survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 103**,** 11607-12.

CHEN, Y. 2008. *edgeR: differential analysis of sequence read count data* [Online].
Available:
https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/edgeR/inst/doc
/edgeRUsersGuide.pdf [Accessed].

CHOE, C. P., COLLAZO, A., TRINH LE, A., PAN, L., MOENS, C. B. & CRUMP, J. G.
2013. Wnt-dependent epithelial transitions drive pharyngeal pouch formation.
Dev Cell, 24**,** 296-309.

CHOI, H. M. T., SCHWARZKOPF, M., FORNACE, M. E., ACHARYA, A.,
ARTAVANIS, G., STEGMAIER, J., CUNHA, A. & PIERCE, N. A. 2018. Third-
generation in situ hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative,
sensitive, versatile, robust. *Development,* 145.

CHURCH, V., NOHNO, T., LINKER, C., MARCELLE, C. & FRANCIS-WEST, P.
2002. Wnt regulation of chondrocyte differentiation. *J Cell Sci,* 115**,** 4809-18.

COULY, G., CREUZET, S., BENNACEUR, S., VINCENT, C. & LE DOUARIN, N. M.

2002. Interactions between Hox-negative cephalic neural crest cells and the

foregut endoderm in patterning the facial skeleton in the vertebrate head.

Development, 129**,** 1061-73.

COULY, G. & LE DOUARIN, N. M. 1990. Head morphogenesis in embryonic avian

chimeras: evidence for a segmental pattern in the ectoderm corresponding to

the neuromeres. *Development,* 108**,** 543-58.

CRISWELL, K. E. & GILLIS, J. A. 2020. Resegmentation is an ancestral feature of

the gnathostome vertebral skeleton. *Elife,* 9.

DANIEL, J. F. 1934. *The elasmobranch fishes,* Berkeley,Calif., University of

California press.

DEARDEN, R. P., STOCKEY, C. & BRAZEAU, M. D. 2019. The pharynx of the

stem-chondrichthyan Ptomacanthus and the early evolution of the

gnathostome gill skeleton. *Nat Commun,* 10**,** 2050.

DING, M. & WANG, X. 2017. Antagonism between Hedgehog and Wnt signaling

pathways regulates tumorigenicity. *Oncol Lett,* 14**,** 6327-6333.

DOUGHERTY, M., KAMEL, G., GRIMALDI, M., GFRERER, L., SHUBINETS, V.,

ETHIER, R., HICKEY, G., CORNELL, R.A. & LIAO, E.C. 2013. Distinct

requirements for wnt9a and irf6 in extension and integration mechanisms

during zebrafish palate morphogenesis. *Development*, 140, 76-81.

GEETHA-LOGANATHAN, P., NIMMAGADDA, S., ANTONI, L., FU, K., WHITING, C.

J., FRANCIS-WEST, P. & RICHMAN, J. M. 2009. Expression of WNT

signalling pathway genes during chicken craniofacial development. *Dev Dyn,*

238**,** 1150-65.

GEETHA-LOGANATHAN, P., NIMMAGADDA, S., PROLS, F., PATEL, K., SCAAL,

M., HUANG, R. & CHRIST, B. 2005. Ectodermal Wnt-6 promotes Myf5-

dependent avian limb myogenesis. *Dev Biol,* 288**,** 221-33.

GILLIS, J. A., DAHN, R. D. & SHUBIN, N. H. 2009a. Shared developmental

mechanisms pattern the vertebrate gill arch and paired fin skeletons. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A, 106**,** 5720-4.

GILLIS, J. A., DAHN, R. D. & SHUBIN, N. H. 2009b. Chondrogenesis and homology

of the visceral skeleton in the little skate, Leucoraja erinacea (Chondrichthyes:

Batoidea). *J Morphol,* 270**,** 628-43.

GILLIS, J. A. & HALL, B. K. 2016. A shared role for sonic hedgehog signalling in
patterning chondrichthyan gill arch appendages and tetrapod limbs.
Development, 143**,** 1313-7.

GILLIS, J. A., MODRELL, M. S., NORTHCUTT, R. G., CATANIA, K. C., LUER, C. A.
& BAKER, C. V. 2012. Electrosensory ampullary organs are derived from
lateral line placodes in cartilaginous fishes. *Development,* 139**,** 3142-6.

GILLIS, J. A., RAWLINSON, K. A., BELL, J., LYON, W. S., BAKER, C. V. &
SHUBIN, N. H. 2011. Holocephalan embryos provide evidence for gill arch
appendage reduction and opercular evolution in cartilaginous fishes. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A, 108**,** 1507-12.

GILLIS, J. A. & TIDSWELL, O. R. 2017. The Origin of Vertebrate Gills. *Curr Biol,* 27**,**
729-732.

GOODNOUGH, L. H., DINUOSCIO, G. J. & ATIT, R. P. 2016. Twist1 contributes to
cranial bone initiation and dermal condensation by maintaining Wnt signaling
responsiveness. *Dev Dyn,* 245**,** 144-56.

GRAHAM, A. 2008. Deconstructing the pharyngeal metamere. *J Exp Zool B Mol Dev*
Evol, 310, 336-344.

GRAHAM, A. & SMITH, A. 2001. Patterning the pharyngeal arches. *Bioessays,* 23**,**
54-61.

GREVELLEC, A. & TUCKER, A. S. 2010. The pharyngeal pouches and clefts:
Development, evolution, structure and derivatives. *Semin Cell Dev Biol,* 21**,**
325-32.

HAAS, B. J., PAPANICOLAOU, A., YASSOUR, M., GRABHERR, M., BLOOD, P. D.,
BOWDEN, J., COUGER, M. B., ECCLES, D., LI, B., LIEBER, M.,
MACMANES, M. D., OTT, M., ORVIS, J., POCHET, N., STROZZI, F.,
WEEKS, N., WESTERMAN, R., WILLIAM, T., DEWEY, C. N., HENSCHEL,
R., LEDUC, R. D., FRIEDMAN, N. & REGEV, A. 2013. De novo transcript
sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for
reference generation and analysis. *Nat Protoc,* 8**,** 1494-512.

HALLETT, S. A., MATSUSHITA, Y., ONO, W., SAKAGAMI, N., MIZUHASHI, K.,
TOKAVANICH, N., NAGATA, M., ZHOU, A., HIRAI, T., KRONENBERG, H. M.
& ONO, N. 2021. Chondrocytes in the resting zone of the growth plate are
maintained in a Wnt-inhibitory environment. *Elife,* 10.

HE, J., SHENG, T., STELTER, A. A., LI, C., ZHANG, X., SINHA, M., LUXON, B. A. &
XIE, J. 2006. Suppressing Wnt signaling by the hedgehog pathway through
sFRP-1. *J Biol Chem,* 281**,** 35598-602.
HIRSCHBERGER, C., SLEIGHT, V. A., CRISWELL, K. E., CLARK, S. J. & GILLIS,
J. A. 2021. Conserved and unique transcriptional features of pharyngeal
arches in the skate (Leucoraja erinacea) and evolution of the jaw. *Mol Biol*
Evol, 38**,** 4187-4204.
JEZEWSKI, P. A., FANG, P. K., PAYNE-FERREIRA, T. L. & YELICK, P. C. 2008.
Zebrafish Wnt9b synteny and expression during first and second arch, heart,
and pectoral fin bud morphogenesis. *Zebrafish,* 5**,** 169-77.
JIANG, X., ISEKI, S., MAXSON, R. E., SUCOV, H. M. & MORRISS-KAY, G. M.
2002. Tissue origins and interactions in the mammalian skull vault. *Dev Biol,*
241**,** 106-16.
JURILOFF, D. M., HARRIS, M. J., MCMAHON, A. P., CARROLL, T. J. & LIDRAL, A.
C. 2006. Wnt9b is the mutated gene involved in multifactorial nonsyndromic
cleft lip with or without cleft palate in A/WySn mice, as confirmed by a genetic
complementation test. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol,* 76**,** 574-9.
KAGUE, E., GALLAGHER, M., BURKE, S., PARSONS, M., FRANZ-ODENDAAL, T.
& FISHER, S. 2012. Skeletogenic fate of zebrafish cranial and trunk neural
crest. *PloS One,* 7**,** e47394.
KENGAKU, M., CAPDEVILA, J., RODRIGUEZ-ESTEBAN, C., DE LA PENA, J.,
JOHNSON, R. L., IZPISUA BELMONTE, J. C. & TABIN, C. J. 1998. Distinct
WNT pathways regulating AER formation and dorsoventral polarity in the
chick limb bud. *Science,* 280**,** 1274-7.
KULAK, O., CHEN, H., HOLOHAN, B., WU, X., HE, H., BOREK, D., OTWINOWSKI,
Z., YAMAGUCHI, K., GAROFALO, L. A., MA, Z., WRIGHT, W., CHEN, C.,
SHAY, J. W., ZHANG, X. & LUM, L. 2015. Disruption of Wnt/beta-Catenin
Signaling and Telomeric Shortening Are Inextricable Consequences of
Tankyrase Inhibition in Human Cells. *Mol Cell Biol,* 35**,** 2425-35.
LANGMEAD, B., TRAPNELL, C., POP, M. & SALZBERG, S. L. 2009. Ultrafast and
memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome.
Genome Biol, 10**,** R25.

LING, I. T., ROCHARD, L. & LIAO, E. C. 2017. Distinct requirements of wls, wnt9a,
wnt5b and gpc4 in regulating chondrocyte maturation and timing of
endochondral ossification. *Dev Biol*, 421, 219-232.

LU, J., MA, Z., HSIEH, J. C., FAN, C. W., CHEN, B., LONGGOOD, J. C., WILLIAMS,
N. S., AMATRUDA, J. F., LUM, L. & CHEN, C. 2009. Structure-activity
relationship studies of small-molecule inhibitors of Wnt response. *Bioorg Med*
Chem Lett, 19**,** 3825-7.

LUSTIG, B., JERCHOW, B., SACHS, M., WEILER, S., PIETSCH, T., KARSTEN, U.,
VAN DE WETERING, M., CLEVERS, H., SCHLAG, P. M., BIRCHMEIER, W.
& BEHRENS, J. 2002. Negative feedback loop of Wnt signaling through
upregulation of conductin/axin2 in colorectal and liver tumors. *Mol Cell Biol,*
22**,** 1184-93.

MAEDA, O., KONDO, M., FUJITA, T., USAMI, N., FUKUI, T., SHIMOKATA, K.,
ANDO, T., GOTO, H. & SEKIDO, Y. 2006. Enhancement of GLI1-
transcriptional activity by beta-catenin in human cancer cells. *Oncol Rep,* 16**,**
91-6.

MAO, B., WU, W., DAVIDSON, G., MARHOLD, J., LI, M., MECHLER, B. M.,
DELIUS, H., HOPPE, D., STANNEK, P., WALTER, C., GLINKA, A. &
NIEHRS, C. 2002. Kremen proteins are Dickkopf receptors that regulate
Wnt/beta-catenin signalling. *Nature,* 417**,** 664-7.

MARCAIS, G. & KINGSFORD, C. 2011. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient
parallel counting of occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics,* 27**,** 764-70.

MAXWELL, E. E., FROBISCH, N. B. & HEPPLESTON, A. C. 2008. Variability and
conservation in late chondrichthyan development: ontogeny of the winter
skate (Leucoraja ocellata). *Anat Rec (Hoboken),* 291**,** 1079-87.

NAKAMURA, T., KLOMP, J., PIERETTI, J., SCHNEIDER, I., GEHRKE, A. R. &
SHUBIN, N. H. 2015. Molecular mechanisms underlying the exceptional
adaptations of batoid fins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 112**,** 15940-5.

NIEMANN, S., ZHAO, C., PASCU, F., STAHL, U., AULEPP, U., NISWANDER, L.,
WEBER, J. L. & MULLER, U. 2004. Homozygous WNT3 mutation causes
tetra-amelia in a large consanguineous family. *Am J Hum Genet,* 74**,** 558-63.

NISHIMURA, O., HARA, Y. & KURAKU, S. 2017. gVolante for standardizing
completeness assessment of genome and transcriptome assemblies.
Bioinformatics, 33**,** 3635-3637.

O'NEILL, P., MCCOLE, R. B. & BAKER, C. V. 2007. A molecular analysis of
neurogenic placode and cranial sensory ganglion development in the shark,
Scyliorhinus canicula. *Dev Biol,* 304**,** 156-81.

PARR, B. A., SHEA, M. J., VASSILEVA, G. & MCMAHON, A. P. 1993. Mouse Wnt
genes exhibit discrete domains of expression in the early embryonic CNS and
limb buds. *Development,* 119**,** 247-61.

PATRO, R., DUGGAL, G., LOVE, M. I., IRIZARRY, R. A. & KINGSFORD, C. 2017.
Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression.
Nat Methods, 14**,** 417-419.

PEARSE, R. V., 2ND, VOGAN, K. J. & TABIN, C. J. 2001. Ptc1 and Ptc2 transcripts
provide distinct readouts of Hedgehog signaling activity during chick
embryogenesis. *Dev Biol,* 239**,** 15-29.

PICELLI, S., FARIDANI, O. R., BJORKLUND, A. K., WINBERG, G., SAGASSER, S.
& SANDBERG, R. 2014. Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-
seq2. *Nat Protoc,* 9**,** 171-81.

RASPOPOVIC, J., MARCON, L., RUSSO, L. & SHARPE. J. 2014. Modeling digits.
Digit patterning is controlled by a Bmp-Sox9-Wnt Turing network modulated
by morphogen gradients. *Science*, 345, 566-570.

REES, J. M. & Gillis, J. A. 2022. Distinct proliferative and middle ear skeletal-
patterning functions for SHH-expressing epithelia in the chick hyoid arch. *Dev*
Biol, 489, 98-108.

REID, B. S., YANG, H., MELVIN, V. S., TAKETO, M. M. & WILLIAMS, T. 2011.
Ectodermal Wnt/beta-catenin signaling shapes the mouse face. *Dev Biol,* 349**,**
261-9.

RICHARDSON, J., SHONO, T., OKABE, M. & GRAHAM, A. 2012. The presence of
an embryonic opercular flap in amniotes. *Proc Biol Sci,* 279**,** 224-9.

ROBINSON, M. D., MCCARTHY, D. J. & SMYTH, G. K. 2010. edgeR: a
Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene
expression data. *Bioinformatics,* 26**,** 139-40.

ROCHARD, L., MONICA, S. D., LING, I. T. C., KONG, Y., ROBERSON, S.,
HARLAND, R., HALPERN, M. & LIAO, E. C. 2016. Roles for Wnt pathway
genes wls, wnt9a, wnt5b, frzb and gpc4 in regulating convergent-extension
during zebrafish palate morphogenesis. *Development*, 143, 2541-2547.

RUDNICKI, J. A. & BROWN, A. M. 1997. Inhibition of chondrogenesis by Wnt gene
expression in vivo and in vitro. *Dev Biol,* 185**,** 104-18.
SHIMOMURA, Y., AGALLIU, D., VONICA, A., LURIA, V., WAJID, M., BAUMER, A.,
BELLI, S., PETUKHOVA, L., SCHINZEL, A., BRIVANLOU, A. H., BARRES,
B. A. & CHRISTIANO, A. M. 2010. APCDD1 is a novel Wnt inhibitor mutated
in hereditary hypotrichosis simplex. *Nature,* 464**,** 1043-7.
SLEIGHT, V. A. & GILLIS, J. A. 2020. Embryonic origin and serial homology of gill
arches and paired fins in the skate, Leucoraja erinacea. *Elife,* 9**,**
2020.07.02.183665.
SUMMERHURST, K., STARK, M., SHARPE, J., DAVIDSON, D. & MURPHY, P.
2008. 3D representation of Wnt and Frizzled gene expression patterns in the
mouse embryo at embryonic day 11.5 (Ts19). *Gene Expr Patterns,* 8**,** 331-48.
SUN, X., ZHANG, R., CHEN, H., DU, X., CHEN, S., HUANG, J., LIU, M., XU, M.,
LUO, F., JIN, M., SU, N., QI, H., YANG, J., TAN, Q., ZHANG, D., NI, Z.,
LIANG, S., ZHANG, B., CHEN, D., ZHANG, X., LUO, L., CHEN, L. & XIE, Y.
2020. Fgfr3 mutation disrupts chondrogenesis and bone ossification in
zebrafish model mimicking CATSHL syndrome partially via enhanced
Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. *Theranostics,* 10**,** 7111-7130.
TAKENAKA, K., KISE, Y. & MIKI, H. 2007. GSK3beta positively regulates Hedgehog
signaling through Sufu in mammalian cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun,*
353**,** 501-8.
TEN BERGE, D., BRUGMANN, S. A., HELMS, J. A. & NUSSE, R. 2008. Wnt and
FGF signals interact to coordinate growth with cell fate specification during
limb development. *Development,* 135**,** 3247-57.
THIERY, A.P., STANDING, A.S., COOPER, R.L. & FRASER, G.J. 2022. An
epithelial signalling centre in sharks supports homology of tooht
morphogenesis in vertebrates. *eLife*, 11, e73173.
VEITCH, E., BEGBIE, J., SCHILLING, T. F., SMITH, M. M. & GRAHAM, A. 1999.
Pharyngeal arch patterning in the absence of neural crest. *Curr Biol,* 9**,** 1481-
4.
WALL, N. A. & HOGAN, B. L. 1995. Expression of bone morphogenetic protein-4
(BMP-4), bone morphogenetic protein-7 (BMP-7), fibroblast growth factor-8
(FGF-8) and sonic hedgehog (SHH) during branchial arch development in the
chick. *Mech Dev,* 53**,** 383-92.

WITTEN, P. E. & HALL, B. K. 2003. Seasonal changes in the lower jaw skeleton in

male Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.): remodelling and regression of the kype

after spawning. *J Anat,* 203**,** 435-50.

ZHANG, X., CHEONG, S. M., AMADO, N. G., REIS, A. H., MACDONALD, B. T.,

ZEBISCH, M., JONES, E. Y., ABREU, J. G. & HE, X. 2015. Notum is required

for neural and head induction via Wnt deacylation, oxidation, and inactivation.

Dev Cell, 32**,** 719-30.