Statistical significance and biological relevance: The case of *Nosema ceranae* and

honey bee colony losses in winter.

Vivian Schüler[1], Yuk-Chien Liu[1,2], Sebastian Gisder[1], Lennart Horchler[1], Detlef Groth[2], Elke

Genersch[1,3] *

[1] Institute for Bee Research, Department of Molecular Microbiology and Bee Diseases, 16540

Hohen Neuendorf, Germany

[2] University of Potsdam, Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Potsdam-Golm, Germany

[3] Freie Universität Berlin, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Institute of Microbiology and

Epizootics, 14163 Berlin, Germany

* Corresponding author: Elke Genersch, Institute for Bee Research, Friedrich-Engels-Str. 32,

16540 Hohen Neuendorf, Germany,

fon: ++49 (0)3303 293833

fax: ++49 (0)3303 293840

E-mail: elke.genersch@hu-berlin.de, elke.genersch@fu-berlin.de

Running title: *N. ceranae* affecting honey bee colonies

Abstract

Managed and wild insect pollinators play a key role in ensuring that mankind is adequately supplied with food. Among the pollinating insects, the managed Western honey bee providing about 90% of commercial pollination is of special importance. Hence, diseases as well as disease causing pathogens and parasites that threaten honey bees, have become the focus of many research studies. The ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* together with deformed wing virus (DWV) vectored by the mite have been identified as the main contributors to colony losses, while the role of the microsporidium *Nosema ceranae* in colony losses is still controversially discussed. In an attempt to solve this controversy, we statistically analyzed a unique data set on honey bee colony health comprising data on mite infestation levels, *Nosema* spp. infections and winter losses continuously collected over 15 years. We used various statistical methods to investigate the relationship between colony mortality and the two pathogens, *V. destructor* and *N. ceranae*. Our multivariate statistical analysis confirmed that *V. destructor* is the major cause of colony winter losses. When using cumulative data sets, we also found a significant relationship between *N. ceranae* infections and colony losses. However, determining the effect size revealed that this statistical significance was of low biological relevance, because the deleterious effects of *N. ceranae* infection are normally masked by the more severe effects of *V. destructor* on colony health and therefore only detectable in the few colonies that are not infested with mites or are infested at low levels.

Keywords: honey bee colony losses, Varroa destructor, mite infestation, Nosema ceranae, Nosema epidemiology, effect size and biological relevance

Introduction

The basis of human nutrition includes agriculturally grown crops and fruits, many of which are dependent on insect pollination for fruit set, seed production, and yield. Managed and wild insect pollinators therefore play a key role in ensuring that mankind is adequately supplied with food (Aizen et al., 2008; Aizen et al., 2009; Garibaldi et al., 2013). As a result, the health and survival of pollinating insects have attracted increasing public and scientific interest and consequently diseases as well as disease causing pathogens and parasites that threaten pollinating insects have become the focus of many research studies. In terms of pollinating insects, the main focus is on the Western honey bee *Apis mellifera*, which is managed by beekeepers for honey production all over the world and provides 90% of the commercial pollination worldwide (Aizen et al., 2008). In terms of pathogens, the focus is on those that threaten the survival of the managed honey bee colonies. The ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* together with deformed wing virus (DWV) vectored by the mite have been identified as the main contributors to colony losses (Dainat et al., 2012; Dainat and Neumann, 2013; Genersch et al., 2010; van Dooremalen et al., 2012). The microsporidium *Nosema ceranae* (*N. ceranae*) has also been implicated in regional colony losses (Botías et al., 2013; Fries et al., 2006; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009; Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007). The threat posed by these pathogens is compounded by the fact that honey bee colonies are usually infected by several pathogens simultaneously, with *V. destructor* (together with DWV) and *Nosema* spp. being the most widespread and therefore often occurring together.

The mite *V. destructor* is an ectoparasite of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*, *A. cerana*) that infests honey bee colonies all over the world (for a recent review on *V. destructor* please see (Traynor et al., 2020)). The life cycle of *V. destructor* in honey bee colonies is divided into two phases, (i) the dispersal phase in which adult female mites parasitize adult bees and use the bees as a means of transport and (ii) the reproductive phase that takes place in the capped brood cell (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). For reproduction, a mature mated female mite enters a brood cell shortly before cell capping and starts laying eggs and raising her offspring

soon the larva has reached the prepupal stage. For feeding, the mother mite punctures a hole in the cuticle of the developing bee. This hole is then the feeding site for the growing mite family and allows access to the pupa's fat body, which serves as nutritional resource (Ramsey et al., 2019). Bees developing from *V. destructor* parasitized pupae show accelerated behavioral maturation, resulting in a shortened phase as nurse bees (Zanni et al., 2018), contribute less to colony productivity, and have a reduced longevity (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). Heavily mite infested colonies are characterized by an increasing rate of emerging bees which are not viable and have crippled wings. Initially, these symptoms were thought to be caused solely by mite parasitization, but it soon became clear, that *V. destructor* is an efficient virus vector (Ball, 1983; Ball, 1989) and that the crippled wings syndrome was caused by a virus, which was then named deformed wing virus (DWV) (Bailey and Ball, 1991; Bowen-Walker et al., 1999). We now know that at least four major variants of DWV exist (de Miranda et al., 2022; Martin et al., 2012; Mordecai et al., 2016; Ongus et al., 2004) and that it is the variant DWV-B that causes most of the symptoms, is more virulent than the DWV-A, and uses *V. destructor* as biological vector (Gisder et al., 2009; Gisder and Genersch, 2021; Gisder et al., 2018; McMahon et al., 2016; Posada-Florez et al., 2019; Yue and Genersch, 2005). Although *V. destructor* itself is sufficient to cause considerable damage to the parasitized pupa and the infested colony, it is the mite-vectored viruses, particularly deformed wing virus (DWV), that exacerbate the damage and link mite infestation to colony losses especially during the winter season (Dainat and Neumann, 2013; Genersch et al., 2010; Martin, 2001; Martin et al., 2012; Martin et al., 1998; McMahon et al., 2016).

Microsporidia are fungal related, obligate intracellular parasites that infect many vertebrate and invertebrate host species (Keeling and Fast, 2002). Two microsporidian species infecting the adult Western honey bee *A. mellifera* are described: *Nosema apis* and *N. ceranae*. While *N. apis* is known as a honey bee-specific pathogen since more than 100 years (Zander, 1909), *N. ceranae* was originally described as pathogen of the Eastern honey bee *Apis cerana* (Fries et al., 1996), but obviously switched host several decades ago and by now is even more prevalent than *N. apis* in many *A. mellifera* populations (Botías et al., 2012;

Chauzat et al., 2007; Higes et al., 2010; Klee et al., 2007; Paxton et al., 2007). However, a

recent study showed that there is still no general replacement of *N. apis* by *N. ceranae*, but

replacement seems to be a rather regional phenomenon (Gisder et al., 2017), presumably

influenced in its dynamics by climatic conditions since *N. ceranae* spores quickly lose their

infectivity when exposed to low temperatures (Fenoy et al., 2009; Gisder et al., 2010; Martin-

Hernandez et al., 2009). From a clinical point of view, there is not much difference between

N. apis and *N. ceranae*: Both pathogens frequently cause asymptomatic infections of the

midgut epithelium of adult bees, but infections can also lead to diarrhea (Horchler et al.,

2019). However, the factors causing the switch from asymptomatic to symptomatic infections

are poorly understood (Fries, 1993; Fries, 2010). Symptomatic outbreaks of *Nosema* spp.-

infections are called nosemosis and can be diagnosed by the characteristic fecal spots

visible at the hive entrance and inside the hive (Horchler et al., 2019). These fecal spots

contain millions of infectious spores and drive the fecal-oral transmission of the disease

within the colony, as adult bees cleaning the hive of the spots ingest the spores and become

infected (Bailey, 1967; Bailey and Ball, 1991). Infection in the individual adult bee host is

initiated by germination of the ingested spores in the midgut lumen; germination is followed

by extrusion of the polar tube, mechanical piercing of a cell by this polar tube, and injection of

the sporoplasm into the cell through the polar tube (Bigliardi and Sacchi, 2001; Franzen,

2005). The reproductive cycle within the infected host cell takes about 96 hours (Gisder et

al., 2011), goes through several stages (merogony, sporogony) and ends when the newly

generated spores are released into the gut lumen by the bursting of the cell. These newly

generated spores in the gut lumen are defecated and can infect naïve adult bees when they

try to clean the hive from spore contaminated fecal spots (Bailey, 1955; Bailey, 1967; Bailey

and Ball, 1991).

In 2008, the first study was published suggesting that even asymptomatic *N. ceranae*

infections result in the collapse of honey bee colonies (Higes et al., 2008). Since then,

numerous studies have been published demonstrating an association between *N. ceranae*

infections and colony losses, but there are also many studies that failed to confirm this

association (Botías et al., 2013; Fernández et al., 2012; Fries et al., 2006; Guimarães-
Cestaro et al., 2020; Guzman-Novoa et al., 2011; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009;
Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007; Stevanovic et al., 2011).

Among the studies that did not observe a statistically significant association between *N.*
ceranae infection and colony losses is our long-term longitudinal cohort study on honey bee
health in Northeast Germany, which was initiated in 2005 and is still ongoing (Gisder et al.,
2010; Gisder et al., 2017). In addition to data on colony losses during the winter season and
prevalence of *Nosema* spp.-infection in spring and autumn, *V. destructor* infestation levels
were also determined in each autumn over the entire study period. This unique data set
enabled us to investigate the relationship between colony mortality and the two pathogens
that are most commonly blamed for colony losses, *V. destructor* and *N. ceranae*. Our
multivariate statistical analysis confirmed that *V. destructor* is the major cause of colony
winter losses, although *N. ceranae* infections can also have deleterious effects. However,
these effects are normally masked by the more severe effects of *V. destructor* on colony
health and therefore only detectable in colonies that are not infested with mites or are
infested at low levels. With our results we end a long-running controversy about whether or
not *N. ceranae* is capable to kill entire honey bee colonies and should be considered a
serious threat or even an emerging infectious disease (EID) for honey bees.

Results

Winter mortality

Over the last 15 years, we performed a longitudinal cohort study on honey bee colony health
in the honey bee population in Northeast Germany (Generasch et al., 2010; Gisder et al.,
2010; Gisder et al., 2017). We monitored between 230 and 280 colonies each year
throughout the entire study period and continously collected data on winter mortality as well
as on *Nosema* spp. infection status and *Varroa destructor* infestation levels in the monitored
colonies. The full data set for this study comprises 3502 colonies and is a uniquely solid
basis for analyzing both, the dynamics of winter colony losses and the relation between

Nosema spp.-infection and *V. destructor*-infestation in autumn with colony losses in the

following winter.

Within the study period, the winter colony loss rate varied from 4.8 % as the lowest value in

the winter 2008/2009 to 26.0 % as the highest value in the winter 2016/2017. Using a linear

model, we show that colony winter mortality increased by about 0.5 % per year in the

studied cohort (Fig. 1A), but that this increase between 2005/2006 and 2019/2020 was not

statistically significant (p-value of the F-statistic = 0.223, adjusted R^2 = 0.043). The mean of

the average winter losses was 16.31 % ± 6.56 % (mean ± SD) and therefore statistically

significantly higher (p-value= 0.0023; one-sample t-test) than the empirical threshold for

acceptable winter mortality of 10% (Jacques et al., 2017).

We recently demonstrated that *N. ceranae* infection prevalence in autumn showed a

statistically significant increase between 2005 and 2015 (Gisder et al., 2017). We again

analyzed the dynamics of *N. ceranae* infection prevalence in autumn over the entire study

period of meanwhile 15 years using a linear model and demonstrate a steady increase in *N.*

ceranae infection prevalence which was statistically significant (p-value of the F-statistic =

0.021, adjusted R^2 = 0.295) and increased by about 0.5 % per year (Fig. 1B).

Figure 1: Dynamics of honey bee colony losses and *N. ceranae* infection prevalence
between 2005 and 2020. Honey bee colony winter losses (A) and *N. ceranae* infection
prevalence in autumn (B) were calculated over the study period from 2005 - 2020 with
n=3502. Each data point represents the proportion of dead colonies in spring (A) or the
prevalence of *N. ceranae*-infected colonies in autumn (B) per year. Linear regression models

 were calculated. Their regression lines are shown and their 95% CI (convidence interval) are
highlighted in light red.

Classification tree analysis

We next aimed at identifying the factors responsible for the observed increased and

increasing winter losses in the monitored cohort of honey bee colonies. *V. destructor* has

been identified as the main pathogen driver of winter mortality in many studies, but *N.*

ceranae has also been implicated in colony losses. However, most of these studies are

based on univariate analyses that observe the effect of single explanatory variables on

colony mortality. Hence, data allowing to estimate the relative influence of *V. destructor* and

N. ceranae on colony mortality when both are present in a colony, are lacking. To close this

gap of knowledge, we used our data set comprising data on colony winter losses, *V.*

destructor infestation levels and *Nosema* spp.-infection status and performed a classification

tree analysis (Fig. 2), a tool of recursive partitioning for multivariate data exploration. This

multivariate analysis aimed at identifying if *V. destructor* infestation levels or *Nosema* spp.

infection status in autumn determined the fate of the colony over winter, hence, whether a

colony was prone to collapse over winter or had a realistic chance to survive.

A total of 3492 data sets was available for analysis. In the classification tree (Fig. 2), two

decision points describing the fate of the colony (survival or collapse) were identified. Both

were based on the mite infestation level indicating that the *Nosema* spp.-infection status of

the colonies were not identified by this analysis as decisive factor for colony collapse over

winter. The first decision point divided the analyzed colonies into two groups, one comprising

82% (n = 2861) of the colonies with a mite infestation rate in October of less than eight mites

per 100 bees and a mortality rate of 9.8 %. The remaining 18 % of the colonies (n = 631) had

an infestation level of eight or more mites per 100 bees and a mortality rate of 45.8 %. This

group was further subdivided into two groups, again based on the mite infestation level. 12 %

(n = 430) had an infestation level of eight or more mites but below 21 mites per 100 bees and

a mortality rate of 37.2 %, whereas the other branch comprised the remaining 6 % (n = 201)

of the colonies which were characterized by an infestation level of 21 or more mites per 100

bees and a mortality rate of 64.2 %. This classification tree showed convincingly that over the study period of 15 years the strongest link was between colony winter losses and *V. destructor* infestation level, but not with *N. ceranae* or *N. apis* infection.

Figure 2: Major factors contributing to honey bee colony mortality visualized by a classification tree analysis using the R packages rpart (Therneau and Atkinson, 2019) and rattle (Williams, 2011) with standard settings.

N. ceranae infection and winter mortality

The results from the classification tree analysis were in accordance with previous studies that did also not reveal any relation between colony winter losses and *Nosema* spp. infection (Genersch et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2017; Guzman-Novoa et al., 2011; Invernizzi et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2010), but contradicted other studies repeatedly showing that *N. ceranae* infections cause colony losses (Cepero et al., 2014; Higes et al., 2007; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009; Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007). However, *Nosema* spp.-infections usually show a rather low prevalence in autumn (Bailey and Ball, 1991; Gisder et al., 2017), and hence our data set comprises only few colonies infected with *Nosema* spp. and even less infected with *N. ceranae* for each autumn. We, therefore, speculated that the number of *Nosema* spp. infected colonies per year might be too low to

see a relation between winter mortality and *Nosema* spp. infection and decided to generate

higher numbers for *Nosema* infected colonies by summing the numbers for the individual

years starting with autumn/winter 2005/2006 and ending with the sum of autumn/winter

2005/2006 up to autumn/winter 2019/2020 (Table 1). Forming these cumulative data subsets

and examining time periods instead of annual values, resulted in larger group sizes (n's) and

overall larger numbers of *Nosema* spp. infections, which made statistical analyses more

robust (Table 1). We used a Chi-squared test to calculate the statistical relationship between

infection status and winter mortality and indeed, the analysis started to become significant (p-

value < 0.05) when more than 11 years (2005/2006 up to 2016/2017 resulting in more than

221 *Nosema* spp.-infected colonies among the analyzed 3492 colonies) were considered

(Table 1, Fig. 3). This was the first time that we were able to show a statistically significant

impact of *Nosema* infection on colony losses.

Table 1: Cumulative data subsets for determining the effects of *Nosema* spp.-infection in the
autumn on honeybee colony losses in the following winter.

Cumulative overwintering period	Total number of colonies	Surviving colonies		Collapsed colonies		p[a]
		Nosema positive	*Nosema* negative	*Nosema* positive	*Nosema* negative	
2005	237	21	163	9	44	0.28
2005 - 2006	463	40	356	10	57	0.24
2005 - 2007	682	51	526	14	91	0.15
2005 - 2008	892	62	715	14	101	0.13
2005 - 2009	1072	75	853	17	127	0.14
2005 - 2010	1319	96	1045	22	156	0.09
2005 - 2011	1574	111	1229	26	208	0.16
2005 - 2012	1844	133	1429	29	253	0.33
2005 - 2013	2070	166	1598	35	271	0.27
2005 - 2014	2292	175	1761	38	318	0.33
2005 - 2015	2519	182	1964	39	334	0.21
2005 - 2016	2769	203	2128	53	385	0.02
2005 - 2017	3019	234	2306	66	413	< 0.01
2005 - 2018	3254	240	2491	73	450	< 0.001
2005 - 2019	3502	267	2656	74	505	<0.01

[a] Determined by the χ^2 test

Figure 3: Calculated p-values for the relation between colony losses and Nosema-infection
status using cumulated data for the numbers of infected clonies. Each data point represents
the cumulative number of infected colonies summed over the time window indicated on the x-
axis, while the y-axis gives the respective p-value of the Chi-squared test.

To further support and analyze this impact, we performed a Chi-squared test giving Pearson

residuals using the data cumulated over the entire study period (2005/2006 to 2019/2020) for

Nosema spp. infection (Fig. 4A) and for *N. apis*, *N. ceranae* and mixed infections separately

(Fig. 4B). The black lines in the associations plots (Fig. 4) represent the expected values for

the categories "alive" or "dead" in relation to the infection categories negative or positive (Fig.

4A) or negative and positive for *N. apis*-, *N. ceranae*- or co-infections (Fig. 4B).

Overrepresented categories are represented by rectangles above the base line, while the

rectangles for underrepresented categories are below the base line. Categories with Pearson

residuals above 2.0 are shown in blue and appear only for the combinations "dead" and

"positive for *Nosema* spp.-infection" (Fig. 4A) or "dead" and "positive for *N. ceranae* infection"

(Fig. 4B). These results confirmed that the relation between Nosema infection and winter

colony losses was statistically significant (p-value = 0.007). However, this was only true for

N. ceranae-infections (p-value = 0.002); *N. apis*- or co-infections did not significantly

contribute to winter losses suggesting that *N. ceranae* is indeed more virulent at colony level

than *N. apis*.

Figure 4: Contingency table analysis using association plots based on Chi-squared testing of
the association between *Nosema* spp.-infection and colony mortality (A) and *N. apis*-, *N.*
ceranae and co-infections and colony mortality (B). Overrepresented entries (dead/positive in
A or dead/*N. ceranae* in B) are shown in blue.

Statistical significance vs. biological relevance

P-values are a measure of statistical significance, but are insufficient to show biological

relevance. To analyze the biological relevance of our results, we therefore used the effect

size measure Cohen's ω, which is applicable for two times two and larger contingency tables

and is considered a measure of relevance with values above 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 indicating a

small, medium, and large effect size, respectively (Cohen, 1988). Calculating Cohen's ω for

our data set and the relation between colony losses and *Nosema* spp.- or *N. ceranae*-

infection revealed an effect size below 0.1, hence, a less than small effect (Fig. 5A). These

results indicated that although we showed a statistically significant relationship between

colony losses and *N. ceranae*-infection, these relationships are of minor or no biological

relevance supporting the results of the classification tree analysis (Fig. 2) identifying *V.*

destructor as main factor in colony losses.

Figure 5: Biological relevance of *N. ceranae* infections. (A) Bar plot showing the effect size
Cohen's ω for *Nosema* spp.- and *N. ceranae*-infected colonies on colony mortality. Dashed
lines indicate the conventional definition of Cohen's ω with a value for ω between 0.1 and
0.3 as small, between 0.3 and 0.5 as medium and above 0.5 as large effect (Cohen, 1988).
(B) Bar plot showing the relation between colony mortality, mite infestation level categories
and *N. ceranae*-infection status. Significance levels are indicated by asterisks (n.s., $p \geq 0.05$;
significantly different: *, $0.05 < p < 0.01$; **, $0.01 < p < 0.001$; ***, $0.001 > p$).

We therefore reanalyzed the data, but this time we considered the *V. destructor* infestation

rate of the colonies by analyzing the mortality rate of colonies infected and not infected with

N. ceranae within the mite infestation categories as defined by the classification tree (Fig. 2).

This analysis revealed that *N. ceranae* infection only contributed siginficantly to colony

mortality when the colonies did not harbor detectable *V. destructor* mites or very few mites

(1-7 per 100 bees) in October. Only in these 149 out of 3474 colonies, *N. ceranae* was

significantly correlated with winter mortality (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

Honey bee colony losses and the quest for abiotic and biotic factors causing them are hot

topics in the field of bee research since two decades. While it is widely accepted and

unequivocally substantiated by many studies, that the ectoparasitic mite *V. destructor* and the viruses vectored by the mite play a key role in winter colony losses, the role of *N. ceranae* infections is less clear. There are studies clearly showing detrimental effects of *N. ceranae* infection on honey bee colonies (Botías et al., 2013; Fries et al., 2006; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009; Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007). But there are also numerous monitoring studies that fail to observe such an effect (Fernández et al., 2012; Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2017; Guimarães-Cestaro et al., 2020; Stevanovic et al., 2011). One possible reason for this could be that in monitoring studies the damage caused by the almost ubiquitous infestation of colonies with *V. destructor* masks the effects caused by pathogens with rather low prevalance such as *N. ceranae*. This masking effect is difficult to see through because most observational studies on colony losses include too few colonies and are conducted over too short a time period to observe statistically significant associations for low-prevalence pathogens. Such monitoring studies usually collect data for multiple pathogens enabling to look for the interaction between co-existing pathogens. For example, *N. ceranae*-infections in spring have been shown to correlate statistically significantly with an increased prevalence of *Ascosphaera apis* infections and higher levels of *V. destructor* infestation in summer (Hedtke et al., 2011). However, there are only few data on the relative impact of individual pathogens on colony mortality, although it is widely accepted that colony collapse is a multifactorial process, often likely involving multiple pathogens.

Our data on pathogen load and winter colony mortality, collected continuously over 15 years from a relatively stable cohort of about 25 apiaries contributing ten colonies each is a unique resource to study the role of *N. ceranae* on colony mortality, especially the relative impact of *N. ceranae* infections on overwintering success of honey bee colonies, most of which were concurrently infested by *V. destructor*. With more than 3000 data sets collected over 15 years, we were able to confirm that big data sets and long study durations are key for robust analyses: Only by summing the data for more than 11 years did a statistically significant association between *N. ceranae*-, but not *N. apis*-infection in the autumn and colony losses the following winter become evident. This result indicated that at colony level *N. ceranae* is

indeed more virulent than *N. apis* confirming previous studies on *N. ceranae*-induced colony

losses (Botías et al., 2013; Fries et al., 2006; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009; Martin-

Hernandez et al., 2007). No such relation between *N. ceranae*-infections and colony losses

was observed when the data were analyzed year by year (Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al.,

2017) because the prevalence of *Nosema* spp.-infections in autumn and the mortality rate

among these colonies are usually too low for statistical significance explaining previous

studies that did not support *N. ceranae*-induced colony losses (Fernández et al., 2012;

Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2017; Guimarães-Cestaro et al., 2020; Stevanovic et al.,

2011).

A statistically significant association between *N. ceranae* infection and colony losses was in

accordance with studies that had suggested an increased virulence of *N. ceranae* compared

to *N. apis* and the abilty of *N. ceranae* to cause the collapse of entire colonies (Botías et al.,

2013; Fries et al., 2006; Higes et al., 2008; Higes et al., 2009; Martin-Hernandez et al.,

2007). However, our multivariate data exploration via classification tree analysis had

identified *V. destructor* infestation as the main variable explaining colony losses in our cohort.

A result that is also in accordance with many other studies clearly linking mite infestation to

winter colony mortality (Dainat and Neumann, 2013; Genersch et al., 2010; Martin, 2001;

Martin et al., 2012; Martin et al., 1998; McMahon et al., 2016). Only with a mite infestation

rate in October of less than eight mites per 100 bees, an acceptable winter mortality rate

below 10 % (Jacques et al., 2017) can be reached. In the monitored cohort this was the case

for 82 % of the colonies over the entire duration of the study, leaving 18 % of the cohort

contributing to inacceptably elevated colony losses. Since classification tree analysis is the

method of choice for determining biologically relevant factors and the classification tree

identified mite infestation as the only relevant factor, it was not surprising that determination

of Cohen's ω confirmed that the biological relevance of *N. ceranae* infection for colony losses

is low despite the statistical significance of this association. This clearly shows that for

biological questions the focus should rather not soley lay on statistical significance but more

on the effect size and biological relevance.

We showed that *N. ceranae* infection contributed to colony losses only in those colonies that

were not or low infested by *V. destructor* in autumn. As long as *V. destructor* infestation is the

dominating health problem in honey bee colonies and *N. ceranae* prevalence is low, *N.*

ceranae can be classified as pathogen causing little concern, because its role in colony

losses is marginal. However, the situation might change when the prevalence of *N. ceranae*

reaches a critical point. Since the increase in *N. ceranae* prevalence is continuing ((Gisder

et al., 2017) and this study), it is only a question of time when this point will be reached.

Monitoring not only mite infestation levels in colonies but also *N. ceranae* infection

prevalence in honey bee populations is therefore advisable. Hence, we will continue our

study and continuously calculate the effect size of *N. ceranae* infection on colony losses to

determine the critical prevalence of *N. ceranae* in a honey bee population.

Remarkable is that in an early report on colony collapse due to *N. ceranae* (Higes et al.,

2009) it was explicitly pointed out that mites were absent in all samples indicating a very low

number or even the total absence of *V. destructor* in these collapsed colonies due to efficient

mite control. The absence of *V. destructor* and concomitant presence of *N. ceranae* was the

most convincing argument for *N. ceranae* being the cause of colony collapse in the reported

case. Moreover, for experimentally demonstrating *N. ceranae*-induced colony collapse, the

colonies needed to be tightly controlled for *V. destructor* infestation (Higes et al., 2008).

These studies corroborate our results which indicate that *N. ceranae*-induced colony collapse

only becomes evident in (nearly) mite-free colonies. Hence, the more efficient the mite

control is, the more likely it is that *N. ceranae* induced damages become detectable. But

again, as long as *N. ceranae* prevalence is low this does not pose a serious threat because

N. ceranae is still not a highly virulent pathogen.

Material and Methods

Bee Samples, Field Survey

The data set of this study comprises samples which were collected from autumn 2005 to

spring 2020 in the course of a 15 year longitudinal cohort-study on *Nosema* spp.

epidemiology and honey bee health (Genersch et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al.,

2017). Honey bee samples were collected in autumn and colonies were checked for their

survival in spring of the respective overwintering period (weeks 36 to week 14 of the

following year) from about 23 apiaries which were located in Northeast-Germany (Fig. 6).

Briefly, apiaries participated with ten so called "monitoring colonies" each. Apiaries or

monitoring colonies that dropped out during the study period were substituted by adequate

replacement. Hence, more than half of the apiaries (14 of ~23) participated for more than 9

years and 5 of them even for the entire duration of the study, *i.e.* 15 years. From at least 19

apiaries, samples were provided over a time period of consecutive 5-11 years (Fig. 6). This

resulted in an annual mean of 23.4 ± 2.26 (mean ± SD) apiaries with 9.77 ± 1.25 (mean ±

SD) colonies each, giving an overall count of n = 3502 sampled monitoring colonies which

provide the basis of our analyses.

Sampling of bees was performed essentially as already described (Gisder et al., 2010;

Gisder et al., 2017). Briefly, between calendar week 36 and 38 (late September/ beginning of

October), about 300 in-hive honey bees were sampled from a super above the queen

excluder (Fries et al., 2013) from each monitoring colony. Bee samples were stored at -20 °C

until further analysis.

Figure 6: Map section of Northeast Germany showing the location of the apiaries which participated in the study. The size and color of the circles represent the number of years for which data are available for each apiary (light blue, 12 - 15 years; grey-blue, 9 - 11 years; royal blue, 5 - 8 years; dark blue, 1 - 4 years)

Determination of mite infestation levels

For determining the mite infestation level, *V. destructor* mites were washed from about 150 sampled bees following a standard protocol using a detergent solution (Dietemann et al., 2013). Briefly, the frozen bees were covered with soap and water in a jar. Subsequently, the jar was shaken for 20 seconds and emptied into two stacked sieves with a white nylon cloth between them. All mites were rinsed with plenty of water under high pressure through the upper sieve which has larger aperture (3-4 mm) not allowing bees to pass. All mites were collected on the cloth in the second sieve, which has smaller aperture (<0.5 mm) that no mite fits through. To gain the mite infestation level in %, the number of counted mites was divided by the number of sampled and washed bees multiplied by 100. Unfortunately, mite infestation rate could not be determined in one apiary (ten colonies) in the first year (2005 / 2006) because of an insufficient number of honey bees available. Therefore, the dataset for mite infestation level had to be reduced from 3502 to 3492.

Diagnosis of *Nosema* spp. infection and molecular species differentiation

Diagnosis of *Nosema* spp. infections was performed as already described (Genersch et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2010; Gisder et al., 2017) and in accordance with the "Manual of Standards for Diagnostics and Vaccines" published by the Office International des Epizooties (OIE), the World Organization for Animal Health (Anonymous, 2021). In short, per colony 20 pooled bee abdomens were homogenized and microscopically examined for the presence of spores. Infection levels were determined by counting the number of *Nosema* spp. spores per view field (three technical replicates each) in a hemocytometer (Neubauer-improved, VWR, Darmstadt, Germany) using an inverse microscope (VWR, Darmstadt, Germany) with 100 × magnification. For classification of the infection levels, standard categories were used (Anonymous, 2021): 0 (no spores), 1 (1-10 spores), 2 (11-100 spores), and 3 (more than 100 spores).

Nosema spp.-positive samples were subjected to further molecular species differentiation either via PCR-amplification of a conserved region of the16S rRNA gene followed by RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) analysis of this amplicon (Gisder et al., 2010; Klee et al., 2007) or via a species-specific duplex PCR-protocol taking advantage of species-specific sequence differences in the highly conserved gene coding for the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II largest subunit (Gisder and Genersch, 2013). Molecular differentiation enabled the distinction between single infections with either *N. apis* or *N. ceranae*, or co-infections where both infections are present at the same time (Table 2).

464 Table 2: Data on *Nosema* spp. epidemiology (prevalence of *Nosema* spp.-, *N. apis*-, *N.*
465 *ceranae*- and co-infections) and winter losses collected between autumn 2005 and spring
466 2020

winter season	total no. of colonies analyzed in autumn	no. of colonies between week 36 and 14						colony losses [%]
		colonies alive in spring (survivors)			colonies dead in spring (winter loss)			
		total	*Nosema* positive[a]	*Nosema* negative	total	*Nosema* positive	*Nosema* negative	
2005 / 2006	237	184	21 (16, 4, 1)	163	53	9 (3, 6, 0)	44	22.4
2006 / 2007	226	212	19 (14, 3, 2)	193	14	1 (1, 0, 0)	13	6.2
2007 / 2008	219	181	11 (7, 3, 1)	170	38	4 (3, 1, 0)	34	17.4
2008 / 2009	210	200	11 (6, 5, 0)	189	10	0 (0, 0, 0)	10	4.8
2009 / 2010	180	151	13 (7, 6, 0)	138	29	3 (2, 1, 0)	26	16.1
2010 / 2011	247	213	21 (9,12, 0)	192	34	5 (1, 4, 0)	29	13.8
2011 / 2012	255	199	15 (6, 5, 4)	184	56	4 (1, 2, 1)	52	22.0
2012 / 2013	270	222	22 (4, 18, 0)	200	48	3 (2, 1, 0)	45	17.8
2013 / 2014	226	202	33 (16, 13, 4)	169	24	6 (1, 5, 0)	18	10.6
2014 / 2015	222	172	9 (4, 5, 0)	163	50	3 (0, 3, 0)	47	22.5
2015 / 2016	227	210	7 (5, 2, 0)	203	17	1 (0, 1, 0)	16	7.5
2016 / 2017	250	185	21 (6, 15, 0)	164	65	14 (2, 12, 0)	51	26.0
2017 / 2018	250	209	31 (6, 24, 1)	178	41	13 (1, 10, 2)	28	16.4
2018 / 2019	235	191	6 (1, 5, 0)	185	44	7 (2, 4, 1)	37	18.7
2019 / 2020	248	192	27 (2, 24, 1)	165	56	1 (0, 1, 0)	55	22.6

[a] The numbers of colonies positive for *N. apis*, for *N. ceranae*, and for both (mixed infections)
are given in parentheses.

Statistical Analysis

Data was curated, transformed and presented in spreadsheets for the analysis with the

statistic software R (R Development Core Team, 2021) using the package *openxlsx*

(Schauberger et al., 2021). Data for the map section of Northeast Germany (Fig. 6) were

obtained from the website http://www.gadm.org (Hijmans et al., 2016). The map was created

with R by the use of the following packages: *rnaturalearth* (South, 2017), *raster* (Hijmans,

2022), *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016), *sf* (Pebesma, 2018), *sp* (Bivand et al., 2013; Pebesma and

Bivand, 2005), *rgeos* (Bivand and Rundel, 2021), and *reshape* (Wickham, 2007).

For the winter losses we performed a linear regression model (using R base package *stats*)

and calculated its regression line, its slope, the adjusted R^2 and the F-statistic. To describe

and visualize which variable(s) has (have) the largest share in honey bee colony mortality,

we took advantage of classification tree analysis (decision trees), a tool of recursive

partitioning for multivariate data exploration. We constructed a tree with default settings using

rpart (Therneau and Atkinson, 2019) and *rattle* (Williams, 2011).

To understand the role of *N. ceranae* infection in more detail, we looked at the *Nosema* spp.

infection frequencies using contingency tables and performed chi-squared calculation. The

results were plotted in two-way association plots created by *vcd* (Meyer et al., 2006; Meyer et

al., 2007; Meyer et al., 2020). The obtained results of the significance statistic were further

assessed by strength statistic using the effect size index Cohen's ω (Cohen, 1988), which is

an index for the biological effect size between two categorical variables. Cohen's ω is

calculated as follows:

$$\omega = \sqrt[2]{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(p_{li} - p_{0i})^2}{p_{0i}}}$$

p_{li} = the proportion in cell i posited by the alternate hypothesis and reflects the effect for that

cell; p_{0i} = the proportion in cell i posited by the null hypothesis; m = number of cells [(Cohen,

1988) p. 216 formula 7.2.1]. For the interpretation of Cohen's ω in terms of effect size, there

is a framework of conventional definition saying that $0.1 \leq \omega < 0.3$ is a small, $0.3 \leq \omega < 0.5$ is a

medium and $\omega \geq 0.5$ is a large effect size [(Cohen, 1988) chapter 7.3 p.227].

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Ministries responsible for Agriculture of the German Federal

States of Brandenburg, Saxonia-Anhalt, Saxonia, Thuringia, and of the Senate of Berlin,

Germany, by a grant from the German Ministry of Agriculture through the Bundesanstalt für

Landwirtschaft und Ernährung (BLE, grant no. 2816SE004), and by a grant of the German

Research Foundation (DFG, GRK2046).

References

Aizen, M.A., L.A. Garibaldi, S.A. Cunningham, and A.M. Klein. 2008. Long-term global trends in crop yield and production reveal no current pollination shortage but increasing pollinator dependency. *Curr. Biol.* 18:1572–1575.

Aizen, M.A., L.A. Garibaldi, S.A. Cunningham, and A.M. Klein. 2009. How much does agriculture depend on pollinators? Lessons from long-term trends in crop production. *Ann. Bot. (Lond.).* 103:1579–1588.

Anonymous. 2021. Nosemosis of honey bees (Infection of honey bees with *Nosema* spp.). *OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals*

Bailey, L. 1955. The infection of the ventriculus of the adult honeybee by *Nosema apis* (Zander). *Parasitology.* 45:86-94.

Bailey, L. 1967. *Nosema apis* and dysentery of the honey bee. *J. Apicult. Res.* 6:121-125.

Bailey, L., and B.V. Ball. 1991. Honey Bee Pathology. Academic Press, New York, London.

Ball, B.V. 1983. The association of *Varroa jacobsoni* with virus diseases of honey bees. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 19:607-613.

Ball, B.V. 1989. *Varroa jacobsoni* as a virus vector. *In* Present status of varroatosis in Europe and progress in the varroa mite control. R. Cavalloro, editor, Udine, Italy. 241-244.

Bigliardi, E., and L. Sacchi. 2001. Cell biology and invasion of the microsporidia. *Microbes Infect.* 3:373-379.

Bivand, R.S., E.J. Pebesma, and V. Gomez-Rubio. 2013. Applied spatial data analysis with R. Springer, 2nd ed., NY.

Bivand, R.S., and C. Rundel. 2021. rgeos: Interface to Geometry Engine - Open Source ("GEOS") (Version 0.5-9). *In* URL: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgeos.

Botías, C., R. Martín-Hernández, L. Barrios, A. Meana, and M. Higes. 2013. *Nosema* spp. infection and its negative effects on honey bees (*Apis mellifera iberiensis*) at the colony level. *Vet. Res.* 44:25.

Botías, C., R. Martín-Hernández, E. Garrido-Bailón, A. González-Porto, A. Martínez-Salvador, P. De La Rúa, A. Meana, and M. Higes. 2012. The growing prevalence of

Nosema ceranae in honey bees in Spain, an emerging problem for the last decade.

Res. Vet. Sci. 93:150-155.

Bowen-Walker, P.L., S.J. Martin, and A. Gunn. 1999. The transmission of deformed wing

virus between honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) by the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa*

jacobsoni Oud. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 73:101-106.

Cepero, A., J. Ravoet, T. Gomez-Moracho, J.L. Bernal, M.J. Del Nozal, C. Bartolome, X.

Maside, A. Meana, A.V. Gonzalez-Porto, D.C. de Graaf, R. Martin-Hernandez, and M.

Higes. 2014. Holistic screening of collapsing honey bee colonies in Spain: a case

study. *BMC Research Notes.* 7:649.

Chauzat, M.P., M. Higes, R. Martin-Hernandez, A. Meana, N. Cougoule, and J.P. Faucon.

2007. Presence of *Nosema ceranae* in French honey bee colonies. *J. Apicult. Res.*

46:127-128.

Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. L. Erlbaum, editor,

Hillsdale, NJ. 215ff.

Dainat, B., J.D. Evans, Y.P. Chen, L. Gauthier, and P. Neumann. 2012. Predictive markers of

honey bee colony collapse. *PLoS ONE.* 7:e32151.

Dainat, B., and P. Neumann. 2013. Clinical signs of deformed wing virus infection are

predictive markers for honey bee colony losses. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 112:278-280.

de Miranda, J.R., L.E. Brettell, N. Chejanovsky, A.K. Childers, A. Dalmon, W. Deboutte, D.C.

de Graaf, V. Doublet, H. Gebremedhn, E. Genersch, S. Gisder, F. Granberg, N.J.

Haddad, R. Kaden, R. Manley, J. Matthijnssens, I. Meeus, H. Migdadi, M.O. Milbrath,

F. Mondet, E.J. Remnant, J.M.K. Roberts, E.V. Ryabov, N. Sela, G. Smagghe, H.

Somanathan, L. Wilfert, O.N. Wright, S.J. Martin, and B.V. Ball. 2022. Cold case: The

disappearance of Egypt bee virus, a fourth distinct master strain of deformed wing

virus linked to honeybee mortality in 1970's Egypt. *Virol. J.* 19:12.

Dietemann, V., F. Nazzi, S.J. Martin, D.L. Anderson, B. Locke, K.S. Delaplane, Q. Wauquiez,

C. Tannahill, E. Frey, B. Ziegelmann, P. Rosenkranz, and J.D. Ellis. 2013. Standard

methods for varroa research. *J. Apicult. Res.* 52:28.

Fenoy, S., C. Rueda, M. Higes, R. Martín-Hernandez, and C. del Aguila. 2009. High-level

resistance of *Nosema ceranae*, a parasite of the honeybee, to temperature and

desiccation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:6886-6889.

Fernández, J.M., F. Puerta, M. Cousinou, R. Dios-Palomares, F. Campano, and L. Redondo.

2012. Asymptomatic presence of *Nosema* spp. in Spanish commercial apiaries. *J.*

Invertebr. Pathol. 111:106–110.

Franzen, C. 2005. How do microsporidia invade cells? *Folia Parasitol.* 52:36–40.

Fries, I. 1993. *Nosema apis* - A parasite in the honey bee colony. *Bee World.* 74:5-19.

Fries, I. 2010. *Nosema ceranae* in European honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *J. Invertebr.*

Pathol. 103:S73-S79.

Fries, I., M.-P. Chauzat, Y.-P. Chen, V. Doublet, E. Genersch, S. Gisder, M. Higes, D.P.

McMahon, R. Martín-Hernández, M. Natsopoulou, R.J. Paxton, G. Tanner, T.C.

Webster, and G.R. Williams. 2013. Standard methods for *Nosema* research. *J.*

Apicult. Res. 52:http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.3891.3852.3891.3814.

Fries, I., F. Feng, A. daSilva, S.B. Slemenda, and N.J. Pieniazek. 1996. *Nosema ceranae* n

sp (Microspora, Nosematidae), morphological and molecular characterization of a

microsporidian parasite of the Asian honey bee *Apis cerana* (Hymenoptera, Apidae).

Eur. J. Protistol. 32:356-365.

Fries, I., R. Martin-Hernandez, A. Meana, P. Garcia-Palencia, and M. Higes. 2006. Natural

infections of *Nosema ceranae* in European honey bees. *J. Apicult. Res.* 45:230-233.

Garibaldi, L.A., I. Steffan-Dewenter, R. Winfree, M.A. Aizen, R. Bommarco, S.A.

Cunningham, C. Kremen, L.G. Carvalheiro, L.D. Harder, O. Afik, I. Bartomeus, F.

Benjamin, V. Boreux, D. Cariveau, N.P. Chacoff, J.H. Dudenhöffer, B.M. Freitas, J.

Ghazoul, S. Greenleaf, J. Hipólito, A. Holzschuh, B. Howlett, R. Isaacs, S.K. Javorek,

C.M. Kennedy, K. Krewenka, S. Krishnan, Y. Mandelik, M.M. Mayfield, I. Motzke, T.

Munyuli, B.A. Nault, M. Otieno, J. Petersen, G. Pisanty, S.G. Potts, R. Rader, T.H.

Ricketts, M. Rundlöf, C.L. Seymour, C. Schüepp, H. Szentgyörgyi, H. Taki, T.

Tscharntke, C.H. Vergara, B.F. Viana, T.C. Wanger, C. Westphal, N. Williams, and

A.M. Klein. 2013. Wild pollinators enhance fruit set of crops regardless of honey bee

abundance. *Science*. 339:1608-1611.

Genersch, E., W. von der Ohe, H. Kaatz, A. Schroeder, C. Otten, R. Büchler, S. Berg, W.

Ritter, W. Mühlen, S. Gisder, M. Meixner, G. Liebig, and P. Rosenkranz. 2010. The

German bee monitoring project: a long term study to understand periodically high

winter losses of honey bee colonies. *Apidologie*. 41:332-352.

Gisder, S., P. Aumeier, and E. Genersch. 2009. Deformed wing virus: replication and viral

load in mites (*Varroa destructor*). *J. Gen. Virol.* 90:463-467.

Gisder, S., and E. Genersch. 2013. Molecular differentiation of *Nosema apis* and *Nosema*

ceranae based on species–specific sequence differences in a protein coding gene. *J.*

Invertebr. Pathol. 113:1-6.

Gisder, S., and E. Genersch. 2021. Direct evidence for infection of *Varroa destructor* mites

with the bee-pathogenic deformed wing virus variant B, but not variant A, via

fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis. *J. Virol.* 95:e01786-01720.

Gisder, S., K. Hedtke, N. Möckel, M.C. Frielitz, A. Linde, and E. Genersch. 2010. Five-year

cohort study of *Nosema* spp. in Germany: Does climate shape virulence and

assertiveness of *Nosema ceranae*? *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76:3032-3038.

Gisder, S., N. Möckel, D. Eisenhardt, and E. Genersch. 2018. *In vivo* evolution of viral

virulence: switching of deformed wing virus between hosts results in virulence

changes and sequence shifts. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20:4612-4628.

Gisder, S., N. Möckel, A. Linde, and E. Genersch. 2011. A cell culture model for *Nosema*

ceranae and *Nosema apis* allows new insights into the life cycle of these important

honey bee pathogenic microsporidia. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13:404-413.

Gisder, S., V. Schüler, L.L. Horchler, D. Groth, and E. Genersch. 2017. Long-term temporal

trends of *Nosema* spp. infection prevalence in Northeast Germany: Continuous

spread of *Nosema ceranae*, an emerging pathogen of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*),

but no general replacement of *Nosema apis*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 7:301.

Guimarães-Cestaro, L., T.S. Maia, R. Martins, M.L.T.M.F. Alves, I.P. Otsuk, D. Message, and

E.W. Teixeira. 2020. *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia: Nosematidae) does not cause

collapse of colonies of Africanized *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in tropical

climate. *Sociobiology.* 67:408-416.

Guzman-Novoa, E., M.M. Hamiduzzaman, M.E. Arechavaleta-Velasco, G. Koleoglu, P.

Valizadeh, and A. Correa-Benitez. 2011. *Nosema ceranae* has parasitized

Africanized honey bees in Mexico since at least 2004. *J. Apicult. Res.* 50:167-169.

Hedtke, K., P.M. Jensen, A.B. Jensen, and E. Genersch. 2011. Evidence for emerging

parasites and pathogens influencing outbreaks of stress-related diseases like

chalkbrood. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 108:167-173.

Higes, M., P. Garcia-Palencia, R. Martin-Hernandez, and A. Meana. 2007. Experimental

infection of *Apis mellifera* honeybees with *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia). *J.

Invertebr. Pathol.* 94:211-217.

Higes, M., R. Martín-Hernández, C. Botías, E. Garrido Bailón, A.V. González-Porto, L.

Barrios, M.J. del Nozal, J.L. Bernal, J.J. Jiménez, P. García Palencia, and A. Meana.

2008. How natural infection by *Nosema ceranae* causes honeybee colony collapse.

Environ. Microbiol. 10:2659-2669.

Higes, M., R. Martin-Hernandez, E. Garrido-Bailon, A.V. Gonzalez-Porto, P. Garcia-Palencia,

A. Meana, M.J. Del Nozal, R. Mayo, and J.L. Bernal. 2009. Honeybee colony collapse

due to *Nosema ceranae* in professional apiaries. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1:110-113.

Higes, M., R. Martín-Hernández, and A. Meana. 2010. *Nosema ceranae* in Europe: an

emergent type C nosemosis. *Apidologie.* 41:375-392.

Hijmans, R.J. 2022. raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling (Version 3.5-15). *In*

Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster.

Hijmans, R.J., N. Garcia, J. Wieczorek, A. Rala, A. Maunahan, and J. Kapoor. 2016. GADM

database of Global Administrative Areas., University of California Berkeley (Access

Year 2022).

Horchler, L., S. Gisder, O. Boecking, and E. Genersch. 2019. Diagnostic value of faecal

643   spots on and in honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) hives. *Berl. Münch. Tierärztl. Wochenschr.*

644   132:41-48.

645 Invernizzi, C., C. Abud, I.H. Tomasco, J. Harriet, G. Ramallo, J. Campá, H. Katz, G. Gardiol,

646   and Y. Mendoza. 2009. Presence of *Nosema ceranae* in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*)

647   in Uruguay. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 101:150-153.

648 Jacques, A., M. Laurent, E. Consortium, M. Ribière-Chabert, M. Saussac, S. Bougeard, G.E.

649   Budge, P. Hendrikx, and M.-P. Chauzat. 2017. A pan-European epidemiological

650   study reveals honey bee colony survival depends on beekeeper education and

651   disease control. *PLoS ONE.* 12:e0172591.

652 Keeling, P.J., and N.M. Fast. 2002. Microsporidia: Biology and evolution of highly reduced

653   intracellular parasites. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 56:93–116.

654 Klee, J., A.M. Besana, E. Genersch, S. Gisder, A. Nanetti, D.Q. Tam, T.X. Chinh, F. Puerta,

655   J.M. Ruz, P. Kryger, D. Message, F. Hatjina, S. Korpela, I. Fries, and R.J. Paxton.

656   2007. Widespread dispersal of the microsporidian *Nosema ceranae*, an emergent

657   pathogen of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera. J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 96:1-10.

658 Martin-Hernandez, R., A. Meana, P. Garcia-Palencia, P. Marin, C. Botias, E. Garrido-Bailon,

659   L. Barrios, and M. Higes. 2009. Effect of temperature on the biotic potential of

660   honeybee microsporidia. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:2554-2557.

661 Martin-Hernandez, R., A. Meana, L. Prieto, A.M. Salvador, E. Garrido-Bailon, and M. Higes.

662   2007. Outcome of colonization of *Apis mellifera* by *Nosema ceranae. Appl. Environ.*

663   *Microbiol.* 73:6331-6338.

664 Martin, S.J. 2001. The role of Varroa and viral pathogens in the collapse of honeybee

665   colonies: a modelling approach. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 38:1082-1093.

666 Martin, S.J., A.C. Highfield, L. Brettell, E.M. Villalobos, G.E. Budge, M. Powell, S. Nikaido,

667   and D.C. Schroeder. 2012. Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic

668   mite. *Science.* 336:1304-1306.

Martin, S.J., A. Hogarth, J. van Breda, and J. Perrett. 1998. A scientific note on *Varroa*

jacobsoni Oudemans and the collapse of *Apis mellifera* colonies in the United

Kingdom. *Apidologie*. 29:369-370.

McMahon, D.P., M.E. Natsopoulou, V. Doublet, M. Fürst, S. Weging, M.J.F. Brown, A.

Gogol-Döring, and R.J. Paxton. 2016. Elevated virulence of an emerging viral

genotype as a driver of honeybee loss. *Proc. R. Soc. B*. 283:20160811.

Meyer, D., A. Zeileis, and K. Hornik. 2006. The Strucplot Framework: Visualizing Multi-Way

Contingency Tables with vcd. *J. Stat. Software*. 17:1-48.

Meyer, D., A. Zeileis, and K. Hornik. 2007. Residual-based shadings for visualizing

(conditional) independence. *J. Comput. Graph. Stat.* 16:507-525.

Meyer, D., A. Zeileis, and K. Hornik. 2020. vcd: Visualizing Categorical Data (Version 1.4-8).

In Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vcd.

Mordecai, G.J., L. Wilfert, S.J. Martin, I.M. Jones, and D.C. Schroeder. 2016. Diversity in a

honey bee pathogen: first report of a third master variant of the Deformed Wing Virus

quasispecies. *Int. Soc. Microb. Ecol.* . 10:1264-1273.

Ongus, J.R., D. Peters, J.-M. Bonmatin, E. Bengsch, J.M. Vlak, and M.M. van Oers. 2004.

Complete sequence of a picorna-like virus of the genus *Iflavirus* replicating in the mite

Varroa destructor. *J. Gen. Virol.* 85:3747-3755.

Paxton, R.J., J. Klee, S. Korpela, and I. Fries. 2007. *Nosema ceranae* has infected *Apis*

mellifera in Europe since at least 1998 and may be more virulent than *Nosema apis*.

Apidologie. 38:558-565.

Pebesma, E.J. 2018. Simple features for R: Standardized support for spatial vector data. *R J.*

10:439-446.

Pebesma, E.J., and R.S. Bivand. 2005. Classes and methods for spatial data in R. *R News*.

5:9-13.

Posada-Florez, F., A.K. Childers, M.C. Heerman, N.I. Egekwu, S.C. Cook, Y. Chen, J.D.

Evans, and E.V. Ryabov. 2019. Deformed wing virus type A, a major honey bee

pathogen, is vectored by the mite *Varroa destructor* in a nonpropagative manner. *Sci.*

Rep. 9:12445.

R Development Core Team. 2021. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.

R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org.

Ramsey, S.D., R. Ochoa, G. Bauchan, C. Gulbronson, J.D. Mowery, A. Cohen, D. Lim, J.

Joklik, J.M. Cicero, J.D. Ellis, D. Hawthorne, and D. vanEngelsdorp. 2019. *Varroa*

destructor feeds primarily on honey bee fatbody tissue and not hemolymph. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 116:1792-1801.

Rosenkranz, P., P. Aumeier, and B. Ziegelmann. 2010. Biology and control of *Varroa*

destructor. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 103:S96-S119.

Schauberger, P., A. Walker, L. Braglia, J. Sturm, J.M. Garbuszus, and J.M. Barbone. 2021.

openxlsx: Read, Write and Edit xlsx Files (Version 4.2.5). *In* Retrieved from

https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=openxlsx.

South, A. 2017. rnaturalearth: World Map Data from Natural Earth (Version 0.1.0). *In*

Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rnaturalearth.

Stevanovic, J., Z. Stanimirovic, E. Genersch, S.R. Kovacevic, J. Ljubenkovic, M. Radakovic,

and N. Aleksic. 2011. Dominance of *Nosema ceranae* in honey bees in the Balkan

countries in the absence of symptoms of colony collapse disorder. *Apidologie.* 42:49-

58.

Therneau, T., and B. Atkinson. 2019. rpart: Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees

(Version 4.1-15). *In* Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rpart.

Traynor, K.S., F. Mondet, J.R. de Miranda, M. Techer, V. Kowallik, M.A.Y. Oddie, P.

Chantawannakul, and A. McAfee. 2020. *Varroa destructor*: A complex parasite,

crippling honey bees worldwide. *Trends Parasitol.* 36:592-606.

van Dooremalen, C., L. Gerritsen, C. Bram, J.J.M. van der Steen, F. van Langevelde, and T.

Blacquière. 2012. Winter survival of individual honey bees and honey bee colonies

depends on level of *Varroa destructor* infestation. *PLoS ONE.* 7:e36285.

Wickham, H. 2007. Reshaping data with the reshape package. *J. Stat. Softw.* 21.

Wickham, H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer, New York.

Williams, G.J. 2011. Data Mining with Rattle and R: The art of excavating data for knowledge

discovery. Springer.

Williams, G.R., D. Shutler, and R.E.L. Rogers. 2010. Effects at Nearctic north-temperate

latitudes of indoor versus outdoor overwintering on the microsporidium *Nosema*

ceranae and western honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 104:4-7.

Yue, C., and E. Genersch. 2005. RT-PCR analysis of *Deformed wing virus* in honeybees

(*Apis mellifera*) and mites (*Varroa destructor*). *J. Gen. Virol.* 86:3419-3424.

Zander, E. 1909. Tierische Parasiten als Krankheitserreger bei der Biene. *Münchener*

Bienenzeitung. 31:196-204.

Zanni, V., L. Değirmenci, D. Annoscia, R. Scheiner, and F. Nazzi. 2018. The reduced brood

nursing by mite-infested honey bees depends on their accelerated behavioral

maturation. *J. Insect Physiol.* 109:47-54.