Sex-specific transgenerational effects of diet on offspring life history and physiology

Tara-Lyn Camilleri*[1], Matthew D.W. Piper[1], Rebecca L. Robker[2,3], Damian K. Dowling[1].

[1]School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 3800

[2]School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health, Robinson Research Institute, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia, 5005

[3]School of Biomedical Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 3800

*Corresponding author: Tara-Lyn Camilleri - tara-lyn.carter@monash.edu; Twitter: @TaraLynC

Abstract

Dietary variation in males and females can shape the expression of offspring life histories and physiology. However, the relative contributions of maternal and paternal dietary variation to phenotypic expression of latter generations is currently unknown. We provided male and female *Drosophila melanogaster* diets differing in sucrose concentration prior to reproduction, and similarly subjected grandoffspring to the same treatments. We then investigated the phenotypic consequences of this dietary variation among grandsons and granddaughters. We demonstrate transgenerational effects of dietary sucrose, mediated through the grandmaternal lineage, which mimic the direct effects of sucrose on lifespan, with opposing patterns across sexes; low sucrose increased female, but decreased male, lifespan. Dietary mismatching of grandoffspring-grandparent diets increased lifespan and reproductive success, and moderated triglyceride levels, of grandoffspring, providing

insights into the physiological underpinnings of the complex transgenerational effects on

life histories.

Keywords

Transgenerational effects, sucrose, life history, drosophila

Main

From nematodes to primates, parental environments may shape the phenotypes of their

offspring through non-genetic mechanisms that are either condition dependent or

epigenetic in origin[1–3][4]. Consequently, when individuals are subjected to environmental

heterogeneity prior to reproduction, their exposure to these environments can shape

components of fitness in offspring and subsequent generations (transgenerational effects)[5–

9]. Recent experiments have shown that variation in environmental factors, such as

predation risk and levels of sexual conflict, among parents may catalyse transgenerational

effects that differ in magnitude or direction across sexes, and which may also be lineage

(genotype) specific[10]. Notwithstanding, currently it remains unclear whether such

transgenerational effects are consistently instigated across diverse environmental stresses,

whether they generally act to enhance or depress offspring performance, and whether they

are transferred primarily through maternal or paternal lineages or hinge on interactions

between both.

Nutrition is a pervasive and critical source of environmental variation that shapes

phenotype. Variation in macronutrient balance or caloric content has been shown to confer

direct effects on lifespan, fecundity, and underlying physiology[11–14]. Studies from diverse

species have demonstrated that females and males require different diets to maximise their

fitness[15–19]. Female fitness is maximised on a higher relative protein concentration because

high protein facilitates egg production, while higher relative carbohydrate content for

males provides fuel for attracting and locating a mate[20–24]. Recent studies have also shown

dietary-induced intergenerational effects across a variety of species; for example, changes

to sugar content of the parental diets in fruit flies[25,26] or dietary fat content in mice[27]

induces phenotypic changes in parents that are transmitted to their offspring. Intriguingly,

when the sucrose content of both male and female parents are altered, then parental

contributions to offspring phenotypes may involve complex dam-by-sire interactions that

are non-cumulative and dependent upon the sucrose content of the offspring diet [28]. It is

less clear, however, whether these dietary-mediated parental effects are epigenetic in

origin, and thus inherited across multiple generations [10,25,29–32], and if so, whether

phenotypic consequences for males and females are divergent.

Here, we experimentally tested the capacity for dietary sucrose variation among male and

female fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) to precipitate transgenerational effects on

components of life-history and physiology in their grandoffspring. Flies were administered

one of two diets that varied in the concentration of sucrose (2.5% or 20% sucrose). The diets

were administered using a full factorial design: males and females were each assigned to one

of the two diets prior to reproduction, and then their grandsons and granddaughters were

administered the same dietary treatments. All male-female-grandoffspring dietary

combinations were represented, resulting in female-male and grandparent-grandoffspring diet

combinations that were either matched or mismatched (Figure 1, panels A and B). This

design enabled us to test whether dietary-mediated transgenerational effects exist, to decipher

the relative grandmaternal and grandpaternal contributions, and the capacity for interactions

between grandparental diets and those of the grandoffspring to shape grandoffspring

phenotype, and to determine whether such effects are sex specific.

Figure 1. A) Diet effects lineage. Diet treatments were administered to both parents in the

F0; and they were mated to create the F1 offspring, and received a standard diet (both

males and females for each parent), and F1 offspring were mated with flies outside of the

experiment that received a standard diet. This allowed us to track which F1 sex was

passing on the diet effects to the F2 generation. **B) Experimental design.** The F0

generation was administered either higher (20% of overall solution) or lower (2.5%)

relative sucrose in adulthood, and kept on this diet in sex-specific cohorts for 6 days as

virgins before a subsequent three day cohabitation (on common garden media) that

allowed mating to occur. Male and female F0 flies were combined in all possible diet

combinations. The F1 generation was reared, maintained (6 days again), and cohabited (3

days) on common garden media (an intermediate sucrose content of 5%). The F2

generation was reared from egg-to-adulthood on common garden media, and then

challenged as virgins with either the higher or lower sucrose such that their diet either

matched or mismatched one or both of their grandparents (F0).

Results

Direct and indirect effects of dietary sucrose on grandoffspring lifespan are sex-

specific

The diets of the grandoffspring (F2) flies conferred direct and sex-specific effects on their

lifespan ($F_{1,148} = 369.80$, $p <0.001$, Table S2, Figure 2). Female F2 flies assigned to the

low sucrose diet lived longer than females or males assigned to any other treatment, and

30% longer than females on the high sucrose diet. Females assigned to a high sucrose diet

exhibited the shortest lifespan of any group of flies. In contrast to the large negative effect

of high sucrose on female lifespan, high dietary sucrose conferred a moderate increase in

male lifespan relative to males assigned to a low sucrose diet (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Direct effects of dietary sucrose on the lifespan (± standard error) of F2
granddaughters (F) and grandsons (M). HS indicates a high sucrose diet of 20% (P:C ratio
1:5.3), LS indicates a low sucrose diet of 2.5% (P:C ratio 1:1.4).

The lifespan of F2 flies was also in part mediated by the diets of their grandmothers, with

the pattern of effects differing across F2 males and females ($F_{1,148}$ = 9.35, p <0.01, Table

S2, Figure 3, panel A). The transgenerational effects of sucrose concentration mimicked

the direction of direct effects described above. That is, F2 females descended from

grandmaternal lineages assigned to a low sucrose diet lived longer than those descended

from high sucrose lineages, while the opposite pattern was observed in F2 males, whereby

those descended from high sucrose grandmaternal lineages outlived those from low

sucrose lineages (Figure 3, panel A). Additionally,

Table S2); matching combinations of grandmaternal-grandoffspring dietary sucrose led to

shorter F2 lifespan than mismatched combinations (Figure 3, panel B.

In our experimental design, grandparental flies were manipulated, and F2 phenotypes

measured. This involved transfer of effects across an intermediate generation – the F1

parents. Although the diets of F1 parents were never manipulated (they received a standard

diet of 5% sucrose, an intermediate sucrose content), our experimental design ensured the

grandparental effects were transferred through either male F1 or female F1 flies (but not both,

Figure 1). Thus, we could track whether the sex of the *transferring F1 parents* affected the

pattern and direction of the transgenerational effects. Indeed, the interaction between the sex

of the F2 flies and the sex of the transferring F1 parents affected F2 lifespan ($F_{1,148}$ = 4.44, *p*

<0.05, Table S2); female F2 lived longer if the grandparental dietary treatments were

transferred through F1 females, while male F2 lived longer when the effects were transferred

through F1 males (Figure 3, panel C). The sex of the transferring F1 parent flies also

moderated the direct effects of the F2 diet on F2 lifespan, Table S2, Figure 3, panel D, $F_{1,148}$

= 12.42, *p* <0.001). F2 flies assigned directly to a high sugar diet lived longer if grandparental

dietary treatments were transferred through F1males rather than through females, while F2

flies assigned to a low sugar diet lived longer if grandparental dietary treatments were

transferred through F1 females than males.

Figure 3.

Effects of high sucrose (20% of overall solution) and low sucrose (2.5% of overall solution) on F2 lifespan. Plots show means, and standard error bars. (A) Lifespan of F2 flies (y-axis), their grand dam's diet (colour), their sex (x-axis), (interaction: grand dam diet × F2 sex). (B) Lifespan of F2 flies (y-axis), their grand dam's diet (colour), their diet (x-axis), (interaction: grand dam diet × F2 diet). (C) Lifespan of F2 flies (y-axis), the sex of the parental linage that received a diet treatment, (colour), their sex (x-axis), (interaction: F1 sex × F2 sex). (D) Lifespan of F2 flies (y-axis), the sex of the parental linage that received a diet treatment (colour), their diet (x-axis), (interaction: F1 sex × F2 diet).

Grandoffspring fecundity, viability, and triglycerides are mediated by grand

maternal and grand paternal diets

Fecundity & viability

Direct dietary effects were observed in the F2 generation; F2 Females had higher fecundity

when ingesting the low sucrose than high sucrose diet. These direct effects of diet were,

however, shaped by the grand paternal, but not grand maternal diet (Table S3, $F_1 = 5.49$, p

<0.05). Mismatched combinations of grandpaternal-F2 female diet resulted in F2

granddaughters producing more eggs than matched combinations (Figure 4, panel A).

Female F2 fecundity was also shaped by an interaction between the grand maternal and

grand paternal diets (Table S3, Figure 4, panel B, $F_1 = 14.77$, p <0.05); F2 females that

descended from matched grandmaternal-grandpaternal combinations tended to have lower

fecundity than those arising from mismatched combinations, and in particular F2 females

descended from grandparents that were each assigned to low sucrose diets exhibited lowest

fecundity (Figure 4, panel B). The reproductive success (as gauged by the number of adult

offspring produced) of the F2 females was also shaped by a similar interaction between

grandmaternal and grandpaternal diet, in which the clutch size was lower for F2 females

descended from matched, relative to mismatched, combinations of grandmaternal-

grandpaternal diet (Table S4, Figure 4, panel C, $F_1 = 5.25$, p <0.05).

Figure 4

Effects of high sucrose (20% of overall solution) and low sucrose (2.5% of overall

solution) on female F2 reproductive output. Plots show means, and standard error bars. (A)

Number of eggs laid by F2 flies (y-axis), their grand sire's diet (colour), their diet (x-axis),

(interaction: grand sire diet × F2 diet). (B) Number of eggs laid by F2 flies (y-axis), their

grand sire's diet (colour), their grand dam's diet (x-axis), (interaction: grand sire diet ×

grand dam diet). (C) Number of F3 flies eclosed per vial (y-axis), their grand sire's diet

(colour), their grand dam's diet (x-axis), (interaction: grand sire diet × grand dam diet).

Triglyceride levels

An interaction between the diet of F2 offspring and the grandmaternal diet affected the

triglyceride level of the F2 flies (Table S5, Figure 5, panel A, $F_{1,98}= 8.56$, $p <0.01$). F2 flies

fed high sucrose diets that descended from grandmothers assigned to high sucrose,

exhibited much higher triglyceride levels than F2 flies from any other combination of

grandmaternal-F2 offspring diet (Figure 5, panel A). Similarly, the interaction between F2

diet and grandpaternal diet shaped triglyceride level; however in this case, F2 offspring

assigned to a high sucrose diet and descended from grandfathers assigned to low sucrose,

exhibited much higher triglyceride levels than any other combination of grandpaternal-F2

diet (Table S5, Figure 5, panel B, $F_{1,98}= 12.75$, $p <0.001$).

Figure 5.

Effects of high sucrose (20% of overall solution) and low sucrose (2.5% of overall

solution) on F2 whole body triglyceride (TAG) levels divided by their whole body protein

levels, per fly. Plots show means, and standard error bars. (A) F2 TAG per fly (y-axis),

their grand dam's diet (colour), their diet (x-axis), (interaction: grand dam diet × F2 diet).

(B) F2 TAG per fly (y-axis), their grand sire's diet (colour), their diet (x-axis), (interaction:

grand sire diet × F2 diet).

No direct effect of dietary sucrose on female F0 fecundity

Neither the male, nor female diet, affected egg output of the F0 females (Table S1).

Discussion

Here, we show opposing effects of dietary sucrose on the lifespan of each sex, in *D.*

melanogaster—low sucrose enhances female lifespan, but decreases male lifespan relative

to high sucrose. Notably, these effects were observed in both direct and indirect (i.e.

transgenerational) contexts. Moreover, the dietary-mediated transgenerational effects on

lifespan mimicked the observed direct effects for each sex: a low sucrose grandmaternal

diet conferred elevated F2 female lifespan, but decreased male F2 lifespan, relative to a

high sucrose grandmaternal diet. We also revealed strong effects of specific combinations

of grandparental and grandoffspring diet, and between grandmaternal and grandpaternal

diets, in shaping the measured traits; all of which exhibited a similar pattern—a mismatch

in diet enhanced trait expression in subsequent generations. We highlight inherent

complexity in the nature of the transgenerational effects. The effects are generally sex-

specific, and unexpectedly, are affected by the sex of the transferring F1 parent. Finally,

we note that interactions between grandparental diet and F2 diet affected triglyceride levels

of F2 flies, suggesting that dietary-mediated modifications of triglyceride levels, across

generations, may contribute to the observed transgenerational effects on life history

phenotypes.

Studies investigating sex-specificity of transgenerational effects across a range of taxa

have observed instances in which environmental modification such as dietary challenges,

presence of predators, or behaviour-modifying drugs of the grandparental environment

triggered sex-specific effects on grandoffspring phenotype. Intriguingly, in these cases,

transgenerational effects tend to manifest in the opposite sex to that subjected to the

grandparental treatment; that is, modification of the grandmaternal environment may

enhance or inhibit trait expression among grandsons, or conversely, modification to the

grandpaternal environment may enhance or inhibit trait expression among granddaughters

[10,25,30,32,33]. Our findings are consistent with previous research, revealing opposing

directions of sucrose-mediated grandmaternal effects in each of the sexes. Notably, we

have uncovered further levels of complexity in the nature of the transgenerational effects.

First, we revealed sex differences in the magnitude of transgenerational effect (the effect

transmitted from dietary-treated F0 flies to F2 flies) are dependent on the sex of the

transferring F1 parent. Second, we observed that the outcomes of transgenerational effects

depend on interactions between the diets of the grandparents and those of the

grandoffspring; dietary mismatching across generations tends to enhance lifespan

(mediated by a grandmaternal-by-grandoffspring interaction) and fecundity (mediated by a

grandpaternal by granddaughter diet interaction). Whether or not these effects are mediated

by underlying triglyceride levels of the experimental flies remains unclear; yet one pattern

was notable, suggestive of a possible transgenerational link between physiology and

lifespan. F2 offspring assigned to a high sucrose treatment, and descended from high

sucrose grandmaternal lineages exhibited the highest triglyceride levels and the shortest

lifespans.

Investigations into life history traits are imperative in assessing the adaptive significance of

transgenerational effects on offspring, given the close link between these traits and lifetime

fitness[5]. Our experiments, across three generations, with the diet challenge also given to

the F2 generation had the requisite power to address these previous knowledge gaps. Our

finding that dietary mismatching (between both grandparents and between grandparents

and grandoffspring) tends to enhance trait expression adds new insight to studies

investigating transgenerational effects of diet, and of transgenerational effects of

environmental change more generally. Previous studies of dietary-mediated

transgenerational effects have tended to focus on changes in metabolite profiles and

physiology across generations, rather than on changes to expression of life history

traits[25,26]. Moreover, these designs typically do not have the requisite power to partition

relative influences of (grand)maternal and (grand)paternal effects on transgenerational

phenotypes, nor the factorial design required to determine whether transgenerational

mismatches enhance or depress performance [9].

The prevailing prediction is that a matching of environment between grandparents and

grandoffspring may augment offspring fitness-related traits, because the matching

environments may allow parents to prime offspring to cope with environments that their

parents faced (anticipatory effects). The evidence for anticipatory effects across contexts

and taxa is, however, mixed and weak[9,29], and many studies that have leveraged

experimental designs with the power to test for these effects have primarily focused on

intergenerational effects (from F0-F1[29]), with very few studies classified as

transgenerational where grandoffspring should have no direct experience of the

grandparental environment[34]. Our study generally revealed patterns that were contrary to

the predicted pattern – dietary mismatching, rather than matching, between grandparents

and F2 offspring tended to augment offspring performance. This begs the question of

whether cross-generational dietary mismatching may be a general phenomenon that

extends across the diets used in our study.

Two recent studies shed some light on this question. Deas et al. (2019) manipulated dietary

quality across three generations (F0 to F2) in *D. melanogaster*, providing flies of each

generation with a 'rich' diet (rich in calories and supplemented with yeast) or a poor diet

(calorie diluted, with no yeast supplementation), in all combinations, and then measuring

phenotypic expression in the grandoffspring (F2). They reported that a mismatch between

the diet quality ("poor vs "good" diet) of granddams and granddaughters led to a faster

development time in the pupal stage of the granddaughters, but this effect did not hold for

the entire development time[35]. This study also focused on females, and therefore was not

able to capture sex specificity in any generation. On the other hand, Camilleri et al. (2022)

tested effects of dietary mismatching of F0 flies and their F1 offspring, manipulating the

diets of parents of each sex and their offspring, and utilising the same sucrose diets used in

the current study. We found that dietary mismatching between parents and F1 offspring led

to an increase in lifespan, and fecundity of the offspring[28]. Here, we advance these

findings by demonstrating that these effects of dietary mismatch are carried over for

multiple generations, are also dependant on the sex of F1 lineage. Because the effects are

unambiguously transgenerational (extending from F0 to F2), they are less likely to result

from differences in condition of the grandparents, suggesting instead possible epigenetic

mechanisms regulating the effects.

In sum, our work uncovers dietary-mediated transgenerational effects that are on the one

hand remarkably consistent across generations – transgenerational effects of sucrose tend

to mimic the direct effects. We have also extended previous work to demonstrate that

dietary mismatching across generations tends to augment phenotype in a manner that is

unlikely to be directly linked to condition-dependence. Future work should focus on

uncovering the ecological and evolutionary significance of these results, and the

underpinning mechanistic drivers. We suggest that a process in which transgenerational

dietary mismatching promotes fitness of future generations could buffer populations from

future changes in environment, and be particularly adaptive for species that live and

depend on ephemeral resources for their source of nutrients. If this is the case, then

populations evolving in fluctuating environments may be more likely to evolve

mechanisms that promote the fitness of offspring encountering novel environments.

Methods

Study species and generating experimental flies

We sourced flies from Dahomey, a large laboratory population of *D. melanogaster*,

originally sourced from Benin West Africa[36]. The flies have been maintained in large

population cages, with overlapping generations in the Piper laboratory, Monash

University, Australia, since 2017, and prior to that in the Partridge laboratory, University

College London [37]. Prior to the beginning of the experiment, we collected ~3000 eggs from

the cages, and distributed them into 250mL bottles containing 70mL of food. Food

comprised 5% sucrose (50 grams sucrose, 100 grams yeast, 10 grams agar per 1 litre

solution with an estimated protein to carbohydrate [P:C] ratio of 1:1.9, and 480.9 kcal per

litre (see Supplementary Material Figure S4 for further diet details). Every generation (for

7 generations), adult flies eclosing from multiple bottles were admixed prior to

redistributing the flies across new bottles. To control for potential sources of variation in

their environment, during these 7 generations we strictly controlled both the age of flies at

the time of ovipositioning—all flies were within 24 h of eclosion into adulthood when

producing the eggs that propagated the subsequent generation, and their population density

was 300-320 adult flies within each bottle in each generation.

Dietary treatments

The diet media we used consists of sucrose, autolysed brewer's yeast powder (sourced

from MP Biomedicals SKU 02903312-CF), and agar (grade J3 from Gelita Australia), as

well as preservatives—propionic acid, and nipagin. We prepared two dietary treatments,

differing in relative sucrose concentration; 2.5% sucrose (that we refer to as a lower

sucrose treatment relative to the 5% concentration usually provided to the population of

flies used in this experiment), and 20% sucrose (that we refer to as a higher sucrose

treatment) of overall food solution. The 2.5% sucrose diet contains 25 grams of sucrose,

100 grams of yeast and 10 grams of agar per litre of food prepared, with an estimated P:C

ratio of 1:1.4 and 380.9kcal per litre of food. The 20% sucrose treatment contains 200

grams of sucrose, 100 grams of yeast, and 10 grams of agar per litre of food prepared, with

an estimated P:C ratio of 1:5.3 and 1080.9kcal per litre of food. The diets thus differed not

only in sucrose concentration, but overall macronutrient balance and their total caloric

content, resembling differences typically observed between obesogenic and healthy diets in

humans. The higher sucrose concentration was selected based on preliminary experiments

that we conducted, and which elicited an obese-like phenotype in the flies, consistent with

results from previous work in *D. melanogaster* [11,25,38]. All diets contained 3ml/l of

propionic acid and 30ml/l of a Nipagin solution (100g/l methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate in 95%

ethanol) and were cooked according to the protocol described in Bass *et al.* (2007) [39].

Each vial is 40mL in volume, and contained 7mL of food.

Experimental design

Male and female virgin flies were assigned to one of two of the dietary treatments prior to

mating (we refer to this generation of flies as F0), and then the grandoffspring produced (F2

generation) were also assigned to one of the two treatments. All possible combinations of

grand dam $\times$ grand sire $\times$ grandoffspring diet treatment were represented ($= 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$

combinations). Specifically, we collected 1280 flies of the F0 generation as virgins and

placed them onto either the high sucrose (20%) or the low sucrose (2.5%) diets for the first 6

days of their adult life. They were in vials of 10 flies across 64 vial replicates per treatment,

and per sex (High sucrose: 32 vials of males and 32 vials of females; low sucrose, 32 vials of

males and 32 vials of females, 128 vials in total;1280 flies, 640 of each sex). They were kept

in their respective sexes. We transferred flies to vials containing fresh food of the designated

diet every 48 hours during this 6 day period.

At day 6, we randomly sampled six vials from each treatment, and snap froze (using liquid

nitrogen) the flies of these vials, storing them at -80°C for subsequent measures of

triglyceride levels. Cohorts of flies in the remaining vials then entered a cohabitation phase to

enable female and male F0 flies to mate. Cohorts of males and female flies were combined, in

vials of 10 pairs, in each of all four possible diet combinations: lower sucrose females ×

lower sucrose males; higher sucrose females × higher sucrose males; lower sucrose females ×

higher sucrose males; higher sucrose females × lower sucrose males. During this phase, flies

cohabited for 96 hours. They were transferred to a new vial with fresh food of standard 5%

sucrose diet every 24 hours during this time.

The vials from the 6 day old F0 flies (i.e., the vials from Day 1 of the 96 h cohabitation

phase) were retained, and the eggs that had been laid by females of the respective vials were

trimmed to 80 per vial by removing excess eggs with a spatula. The remaining eggs were left

to develop into adult offspring over 10 days at 25°C (on a 12:12 light/dark cycle in a

temperature-controlled cabinet; Panasonic MLR-352H-PE incubator). These adult flies

constituted the F1 offspring in the experiment, and F1 flies developed on standard 5%

sucrose media. We collected 2080 virgin F1 flies from each of the four combinations of

parental diet treatments, and placed them in sex-specific cohorts of 10 individuals per vial, on

standard 5% sucrose media for 6 days. We then allowed these F1 males and F1 females to

cohabit and mate with male or female *tester* flies (creating 10 pairs per vial) that had been

collected from the same Dahomey stock population (but not subjected to a dietary sucrose

treatment) to create the F2 generation. The diet treatments applied to the F0 flies were thus

transferred to the F2 generation via either F1 males or F2 females, but never through both

sexes. The F1 flies were 6 days of adult age when laying the eggs that produced the F2

generation.

We then collected virgin F2 flies – the grandoffspring of the F0 flies – from each of the four

combinations of F0 diet treatments (per sex), and placed them in their respective sexes in

vials of 10 flies, across vial replicates per treatment per sex (4080 flies, 2040 male, 2040

female). We then assigned these F2 flies, produced by each dietary treatment combination of

F0 flies, to either the lower sucrose or higher sucrose diet. At day 6 of adulthood, we snap

froze F2 flies of six randomly chosen vials per grand dam $\times$ grand sire $\times$ grandoffspring

combination. On the same day, 10 virgin focal F2 flies of each grand dam $\times$ grand sire $\times$

grandoffspring combination and each sex were placed together with 10 age-matched tester

flies of the opposite sex from the Dahomey population, entering into a cohabitation phase of

96 h (during which time the number of eggs laid by females of each vial was assessed). After

96 hours flies were separated again into their respective sexes (in vials of 20 flies), and

assigned back onto either the lower sucrose or higher sucrose diets that they had been on

prior to cohabitation, and a lifespan assay carried out.

Lifespan

We scored the lifespan of experimental flies of the F2 generation. Each vial in the assay

commenced with 20 flies of single sex in each, and we included 10 vial replicates per

treatment (grand dam $\times$ grand sire $\times$ grandoffspring) (3400 flies total, the original amount

collected, minus the snap frozen samples). The number of dead flies per vial was scored three

times per week (Monday, Wednesday, Friday), and surviving flies at each check transferred

to vials with fresh food of the assigned diet treatment—until all flies were deceased. During

the lifespan assay, vials were stored in boxes (of 85 vials per box) that were moved to

randomised locations in a (25°C) control temperature cabinet every few days to decrease the

potential for confounding effects of extraneous sources of environmental variation within the

cabinet from affecting the results.

Fecundity

We measured the egg output of female flies from generations F0 and F2 at eight days

following eclosion, as a proxy of female fecundity. On day eight, female flies oviposited for a

23 hour period, and were then transferred to fresh vials. Day eight was selected because

fecundity over 24 hours at this age has been shown to correlate with total lifetime fecundity

of females in this Dahomey population[39] and early, short term measures of reproduction of

between one and seven days can be used to accurately predict total lifelong fecundity in *D.*

melanogaster [40]. Moreover, data shows that varying the range of sucrose concentrations did

not alter the timing reproductive peaks between treatments [39].

For the F0 generation, we counted eggs from vials, each containing 10 female flies, that had

been mated with 10 male flies, across 2 different sucrose levels (2.5% and 20% sucrose), and

different mate combinations, as above. For the F2 generation, we counted eggs from each

grand dam × grand sire × grandoffspring dietary treatment combination; each combination

was represented by 10 vial replicates, each containing 10 focal females (females from the

experiment) combined with 10 tester male flies. Additionally, we counted the number of

adult flies that eclosed within 10.5 days from the eggs laid by F2 females (a composite of

clutch viability and juvenile developmental speed). F2 females cohabited and mated with

age-matched tester males of the Dahomey population (in the experimental process described

above, rather the standard medium of 5% sucrose), for 24 hours at 6 days of life, and the vials

containing these eggs were left to develop into adult offspring, for 10 days at 25°C; 12:12

light/dark cycle in a temperature-controlled cabinet (Panasonic MLR-352H-PE incubator).

Lipids and protein

Whole-body triglyceride levels were measured in adult flies from the F2 generation (six days

of adult age, corresponding with six days of exposure to the relevant F2 dietary treatment,

prior to mating) and normalized to protein content (full protocols reported in the

Supplementary Material). Three biological replicates per treatment level, with three technical

replicates per biological replicate were used. Five female flies and eight male flies

respectively, were used for each biological replicate in the assay.

Statistical Analyses

We used R (Version 3.6.1) and RStudio (Version 1.2.1335) (R Core Team, 2019) for

statistical analyses. To test the effects of F0 female diet, F0 male diet, F2 diet, and sex on

lifespan, TAG, and F2 offspring production, we fitted linear mixed effects models, using the

R package lme4[41], to the lifespan data for the F2 generation. We use the term lifespan to

denote the age of recorded death for each individual fly within a margin of 72 hours (for

example, a lifespan of 30 days indicates that a fly died between 27-30 days post eclosion). To

test the effects of grand maternal diet, grand paternal diet, grand-offspring diet, and sex on

female fecundity, we fit a linear model to the egg output data for both generations.

We included F0 male, F0 female, F2 diets, and F2 sex as fixed effects in each model,

exploring interactions between these factors. We included the vial identification number as a

random effect in the lifespan models. The fecundity models only included one observation

per vial because we counted eggs per vial, and divided by the number of females in the vial

(approx. 10 females); therefore no random effects were included in this model. We used log-

likelihood ratio tests that reduce the full model, via the sequential removal of highest order

terms that did not (significantly) change the deviance of the model, using a p value

significance level of <0.05. The final reduced models (except fecundity measures) were fit by

restricted maximum likelihood, applying type III ANOVA with Kenwood-Roger's F test and

approximation of denominator degrees of freedom. We used sum to zero constraints in all

models, and we visually inspected diagnostic plots for the linear mixed effect models, to

ensure that the assumptions of normality and equal variances were met.

453   Funding

456   Conflicts of Interest

457   The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

459   Author contributions

460   TLC, DKD, MDWP & RLR designed the experiment, TLC planned and carried out the

461   experiment, and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript, and TLC, DKD, MDWP & RLR

462   all contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript. TLC performed statistical

463   analysis under the guidance of DKD.

Acknowledgements

465   The authors are grateful for the help they received in the laboratory from: Pavani
466   Manchanayake, James Wang, Skye Bulka, Natalie Wagan, Rebecca Koch, and Winston
467   Yee. Indispensable guidance with molecular work was provided from Amy Dedman.
468   Additional invaluable assistance was provided by Caleb Carter.

References

1.   Bonduriansky, R., Crean, A. J. & Day, T. The implications of nongenetic
inheritance for evolution in changing environments. *Evol. Appl.* **5**, 192–201 (2012).

2.   Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A. & Low, F. M. Evolutionary and developmental
mismatches are consequences of adaptive developmental plasticity in humans and
have implications for later disease risk. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **374**,
(2019).

3.   Nystrand, M., Cassidy, E. J. & Dowling, D. K. Transgenerational plasticity
following a dual pathogen and stress challenge in fruit flies. (2016).
doi:10.1186/s12862-016-0737-6

4.   Curley, J. P., Mashoodh, R. & Champagne, F. A. Transgenerational epigenetics.
Handb. Epigenetics New Mol. Med. Genet. 359–369 (2017). doi:10.1016/B978-0-
12-805388-1.00024-9

5.   Marshall, D. J. & Uller, T. When is a maternal effect adaptive? *Oikos* **116**, 1957–
1963 (2007).

6.   Mousseau, T. A. & Dingle, H. Maternal Effects in Insect Life Histories. *Annu. Rev.*
Entomol. **36**, 511–534 (1991).

7.   Mousseau, T. A. & Fox, C. W. The adaptive significance of maternal effects. *Trends*
in Ecology and Evolution **13**, 403–407 (1998).

8.   Mousseau, T. A., Uller, T., Wapstra, E. & Badyaev, A. V. Evolution of maternal
effects: past and present. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **364**, 1035–1038 (2009).

9.   Uller, T., Nakagawa, S. & English, S. Weak evidence for anticipatory parental
effects in plants and animals. *J. Evol. Biol.* **26**, 2161–2170 (2013).

10.   Hellmann, J. K., Carlson, E. R. & Bell, A. M. Sex-specific plasticity across
generations II: Grandpaternal effects are lineage specific and sex specific. *J. Anim.*
Ecol. **89**, 2800–2812 (2020).

11.   Camilleri, T.-L., Matthew, |, Piper, D. W., Robker, R. L. & Dowling, D. K. Maternal
and paternal sugar consumption interact to modify offspring life history and
physiology. *Funct. Ecol.* **00**, 1–13 (2022).

12.   Camilleri-Carter, T. L., Dowling, D. K., Robker, R. L. & Piper, M. D. W.
Transgenerational obesity and healthyaging in Drosophila. *Journals Gerontol. - Ser.*
A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. **74**, 1582–1589 (2019).

13.   Dunn, G. A. & Bale, T. L. Maternal high-fat diet promotes body length increases
and insulin insensitivity in second-generation mice. *Endocrinology* **150**, 4999–5009
(2009).

14.   Ivimey-Cook, E. R. *et al.* Transgenerational fitness effects of lifespan extension by
dietary restriction in Caenorhabditis elegans 2 3 4. doi:10.1101/2020.06.24.168922

15.   Brommer, J. E., Fricke, C., Edward, D. A. & Chapman, T. Interactions between
genotype and sexual conflict environment influence transgenerational fitness in
drosophila melanogaster. *Evolution (N. Y).* **66**, 517–531 (2012).

16.   Kokko, H., Brooks, R., Jennions, M. D. & Morley, J. The evolution of mate choice
and mating biases. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **270**,
653–664 (2003).

17.   Reznick, D. Costs of Reproduction: An Evaluation of the Empirical Evidence. *Oikos*
44, 257 (1985).

18.   Zajitschek, S. R. K., Dowling, D. K., Head, M. L., Rodriguez-Exposito, E. &

Garcia-Gonzalez, F. Transgenerational effects of maternal sexual interactions in

seed beetles. *Heredity (Edinb).* **121**, 282–291 (2018).

19.   Arnqvist, G. & Rowe, L. *Sexual Conflict | Princeton University Press. Monographs*

in Behavior and Ecology (2005).

20.   Blanckenhorn, W. U. *et al.* The costs of copulating in the dung fly Sepsis cynipsea.

Behav. Ecol. **13**, 353–358 (2002).

21.   Crudgington, H. S. & Siva-Jothy, M. T. Genital damage, kicking and early death.

Nature **407**, 855–856 (2000).

22.   Gavrilets, S., Arnqvist, G. & Friberg, U. The evolution of female mate choice by

sexual conflict. *Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* **268**, 531–539 (2001).

23.   Camus, M. F., Huang, C.-C., Reuter, M. & Fowler, K. Dietary choices are

influenced by genotype, mating status, and sex in *Drosophila melanogaster. Ecol.*

Evol. **8**, 5385–5393 (2018).

24.   Reddiex, A. J., Gosden, T. P., Bonduriansky, R. & Chenoweth, S. F. Sex-specific

fitness consequences of nutrient intake and the evolvability of diet preferences. *Am.*

Nat. **182**, 91–102 (2013).

25.   Buescher, J. L. *et al.* Evidence for transgenerational metabolic programming in

Drosophila. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **6**, 1123–1132 (2013).

26.   Öst, A. *et al.* Paternal Diet Defines Offspring Chromatin State and Intergenerational

Obesity. *Cell* **159**, 1352–1364 (2014).

27.   Huypens, P. *et al.* Epigenetic germline inheritance of diet-induced obesity and

insulin resistance. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 497–499 (2016).

28.   Camilleri-Carter, T.-L., Piper, M. D. W., Robker, R. L. & Dowling, D. K. Maternal

and paternal sugar consumption interact to modify offspring life history and

physiology. *bioRxiv* 2021.08.11.456016 (2021). doi:10.1101/2021.08.11.456016

29.   Sánchez-Tójar, A. *et al.* The jury is still out regarding the generality of adaptive

'transgenerational' effects. *Ecol. Lett.* ele.13479 (2020). doi:10.1111/ele.13479

30.   Dew-Budd, K., Jarnigan, J. & Reed, L. K. Genetic and Sex-Specific

Transgenerational Effects of a High Fat Diet in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS One*

11, e0160857 (2016).

31.   Zizzari, Z. V., Straalen, N. M. van & Ellers, J. Transgenerational effects of nutrition
547   are different for sons and daughters. *J. Evol. Biol.* **29**, 1317–1327 (2016).

548   32.   Brynildsen, J. K., Sanchez, V., Yohn, N. L., Carpenter, M. D. & Blendy, J. A. Sex-
549   specific transgenerational effects of morphine exposure on reward and affective
550   behaviors. *Behav. Brain Res.* **395**, (2020).

551   33.   Zizzari, Z. V., Straalen, N. M. van & Ellers, J. Transgenerational effects of nutrition
552   are different for sons and daughters. *J. Evol. Biol.* **29**, 1317–1327 (2016).

553   34.   Emborski, C. & Mikheyev, A. S. Ancestral diet transgenerationally influences
554   offspring in a parent-of-origin and sex-specific manner. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*
555   *Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20180181 (2019).

556   35.   Deas, J. B., Blondel, L. & Extavour, C. G. Ancestral and offspring nutrition interact
557   to affect life-history traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*
558   **286**, 20182778 (2019).

559   36.   Puijk, K. and G. de J. ~-amylases in a population of D. melanogaster from
560   Dahomey. 1972 (1972).

561   37.   Mair, W., Piper, M. D. W. & Partridge, L. Calories Do Not Explain Extension of
562   Life Span by Dietary Restriction in Drosophila. (2005).
563   doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030223

564   38.   Skorupa, D. A., Dervisefendic, A., Zwiener, J. & Pletcher, S. D. Dietary
565   composition specifies consumption, obesity, and lifespan in *Drosophila*
566   *melanogaster*. *Aging Cell* **7**, 478–490 (2008).

567   39.   Bass, T. M. *et al.* Optimization of dietary restriction protocols in Drosophila. *J.*
568   *Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **62**, 1071–1081 (2007).

569   40.   Nguyen, T. T. X. & Moehring, A. J. Accurate Alternative Measurements for Female
570   Lifetime Reproductive Success in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS One* **10**,
571   e0116679 (2015).

572   41.   Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M. & Walker, S. C. Fitting linear mixed-effects
573   models using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, 1–48 (2015).