NOVEL CHARACTERISTICS OF SMALL NONCODING RNAS IN RAT EPIDIDYMAL EXTRACELLULAR PARTICLES

Running Head: Small noncoding RNAs in rat epididymal particles

Keywords: sncRNA, extracellular vesicles, epididymis, epididymosomes, miRNA, tRNA fragments, CpG island, rat

Ross Gillette[1], Dana L. Sheinhaus[1], Shyamal Waghwala[1], Andrea C. Gore[1][†]

[1] The Center for Molecular Carcinogenesis and Toxicology, Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 78712, USA

Ross Gillette: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1296-8428
Andrea C. Gore: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5549-6793

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: R.G.; Methodology: R.G., D.L.S.; Investigation: R.G., D.L.S.; Formal Analysis: R.G., S.W.; Writing – Original Draft: R.G.; Writing – Review & Editing: R.G., D.L.S., A.C.G.; Funding Acquisition: R.G., A.C.G.

[†] Corresponding Author: andrea.gore@austin.utexas.edu

ABSTRACT

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) released from the epididymal epithelium, referred to as

epididymosomes, impart functional competence on sperm as they transit the epididymis by

merging with sperm and releasing a complex repertoire of molecules. The cargo of epididymal

EVs includes small noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs) that are modified by external factors such as

stress, nutrition, and drug use, which are delivered to sperm and affect offspring development and

outcomes. The rat is an important translational model system for many fields including

reproduction and transgenerational epigenetics, but there is little work on rat epididymosomes and

their sncRNA cargo. To fill this gap in knowledge, in the current study we performed a

comprehensive characterization of an epididymal EV preparation that we refer to as extracellular

particles (EPs) because of the potential presence of other non-vesicular extracellular particles

along with the EVs. EPs were collected from the caput epididymis, isolated, subjected to

verification of the presence of EVs, and sncRNAs were sequenced. We considered nearly all

known categories of small RNA and their fragment sub-types and in the process identified a subset

that did not strictly fit the definition of any known category. These unique small RNAs are

expressed strictly from within the boundaries of CpG islands and have a distinct 19-nt length. Their

functional significance remains unknown, but they have characteristics of RNA fragments that can

associate with the Argonaute/PIWI family of proteins and therefore could have regulatory function

via RNA induced silencing or de novo DNA methylation.

INTRODUCTION

Spermatozoa are incapable of fertilization as they exit the testes [1] and only gain motility

and the capacity for fertilization as they transit the epididymis from the caput to the cauda [2]. This

process requires epididymosomes [3–6], a type of extracellular vesicle (EV) within the

microvesicle category [7–9]. Epididymosomes are released from the epididymal epithelium via

apocrine secretion [10], fuse to spermatozoa [11], aid in their maturation and functionalization

[4,12,13], and mark dead or dying spermatozoa for elimination [14,15]. Epididymosomes carry a

complex repertoire of ions, proteins [16], phospholipids [17], metabolites [18], and small non-

coding RNAs (sncRNA) [4,12,19,20] that are transferred to [21,22] and alter the function of the

spermatozoa or impart functional consequences on the fertilized embryo [23]. Importantly,

sncRNA molecules transferred to spermatozoa have the capacity to alter the epigenetic landscape

of either the spermatozoa or the fertilized embryo and thereby influence subsequent generations

[22,24]. Furthermore, the sncRNA contents of epididymosomes are subject to modification by the

hormonal milieu [25,26] and environmental challenges such as psychosocial or metabolic stress

[26–31], making them a potential vector for intergenerational effects in offspring. This key role

was illuminated by studies showing that early life stress caused behavioral and physiological

changes in the offspring, phenotypes that were recapitulated by microinjection of a small number

of microRNAs into a fertilized egg subsequently used for *in vitro* fertilization [24,32,33].

The sncRNA repertoire of epididymosomes is complex and diverse, with most information

in model systems from mice [34]. The most abundant sncRNA found in mouse epididymosomes

is microRNA (miRNA) followed by smaller proportions of transfer RNA (tRNA) and ribosomal

RNA (rRNA) [29,34,35]. The remainder consists of piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), small nuclear

RNA (snRNA), and small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) [29]. Other species of sncRNA, such as Y

RNA and Vault RNA, have not yet been reported in epididymosomes but should not be ruled out

for inclusion in these complex profiles. Furthermore, there are likely additional sncRNAs yet to

be identified [36].

Much of the knowledge we have on the sncRNA contents of caput epididymosomes, which

are crucial for the final steps of spermatozoa maturation, is from mouse models [29,34,35].

However, there is minimal conservation between the miRNA in caput EVs between mice and

humans [37] and to our knowledge, other classes of sncRNAs have not been directly compared

between species. Very little has been reported on the epididymosomes of rats, which are an

important model system for endocrinology, reproduction, and epigenetic transgenerational

inheritance, and in which behavioral work is considered to better translate to humans [38–40].

Here, we characterized the contents of EPs derived from the caput epididymis of the male rat,

during which we identified what we believe to be a previously undefined class of sncRNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and treatment

All animal experiments were conducted using humane procedures that were approved by

the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas at Austin and in

Accordance with National Institutes of Health (NIH) and Animal Research: Reporting of *In Vivo*

Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines [41]. Three-month old male and female Sprague Dawley rats

were purchased (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN) and shipped to the Animal Resource Center at the

University of Texas at Austin and allowed two weeks to acclimate to the housing facility. All

animals in the colony were housed in a room with consistent temperature (~22° C) and light cycle

(14:10 light:dark), had *ad libitum* access to filtered tap water and a rat chow with minimal

phytoestrogens (Teklad 2019: Envigo, Indianapolis, IN), and housed in cages lined with wood-

chip bedding (Sani-Chips: P.J. Murphy, Montville, NJ). After acclimation, female rats were

observed for vaginal cytology as previously described [42,43] indicating proestrus, and therefore

receptivity. Receptive female rats were randomly paired with an experienced breeder male,

receptivity was confirmed via the observation of lordosis during a mount, and the pair was left

together overnight. The following morning, vaginal cytology was checked for the presence of

sperm and if present, the animal was marked as embryonic day (E1). The pregnant dams were

single-housed and provided nesting material on E18.

On E8, the dams (N = 6) were randomly split into two groups (each N = 3) and fed ¼ Nilla

Wafers™ daily with either 3% DMSO or 1 mg/kg Aroclor 1221 (A1221) (#C-221N,

Accustandard, Lot #072-202-01 - an estrogenic polychlorinated biphenol [44]) from E8 – E18 and

postnatal day (PND) 1 – 21. The original goal of work was to characterize sncRNAs in rat

epididymal EVs, as well as to generate pilot data on effects of the endocrine-disrupting chemical,

A1221. However, no differential expression of sncRNAs was caused by treatment after corrections

for multiple comparisons. Therefore, treatment was not considered or analyzed in any of the data

presented in this manuscript with current work focused solely on characterization of the

composition of EV sncRNAs. Litters were culled to 5 females and 3 males based on median

anogenital index at P1. At PND 21, all pups were weaned and housed in cages of two or three

same-sex animals. Only males were used in the present manuscript and were otherwise

unmanipulated until euthanasia besides weekly handling to acclimate each rat to the experimenters

in order to reduce stress. Females were allocated to other projects.

Sample Collection

At PND 105, one male rat was randomly selected from each of the 6 litters using a random

number generator (N = 1 per litter) and euthanized by rapid decapitation. The testis and epididymis

were removed via a small incision in the scrotum. The epididymis was separated from the testis

and segmented into three portions (caput, corpus, and cauda). The caput of the epididymis was

minced into small pieces with scissors and placed in warm (37° C) M2 culture media (M7167,

Millipore Sigma) with HEPES (5.43 g/L) and 2% exosome depleted fetal bovine serum

(A2720803, ThermoFisher). The slurry was placed on a rocker at room temperature for 30 minutes

to allow sperm and epididymal fluid to suspend in solution, the supernatant was removed and large

tissue chunks were excluded. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 500 X g for 5 minutes

to pellet and remove sperm. The supernatant was again removed, immediately frozen on dry ice,

and stored at -80° C until use (~ 3 mo) which is the preferred method of storage when necessary

[45] and within the timeframe before which substantial effects to EVs are observed [46].

Extracellular Particle Purification and Nucleic Acid Extraction

The buffer supernatant containing extracellular particles from the caput of the epididymis

was thawed on ice for 30 minutes prior to isolation. When fully thawed the buffer was mixed by

pipetting and then filtered with a 0.8 μm syringe filter (Millex-AA, SLAA033DD, Millipore

Sigma) to remove any cells or large cellular debris. Extracellular particles and RNA were

sequentially isolated from the media using the Qiagen exoRNeasy Midi kit [47] (#77144, Qiagen,

Germantown, MD) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 200 μl of media from each

sample was passed through a spin column that selectively binds extracellular vesicles. The column

was washed to remove contaminants and debris, and then eluted in Qiazol lysis buffer (#79306,

Qiagen, Germantown, MD). Chloroform (AAJ67241AP, FischerScientific) was added, mixed by

shaking, and incubated at room temperature to allow phase separation. Phase separation was aided

by centrifugation at 12,000 X g for 15 minutes at 4° C and the aqueous phase was aspirated and

passed through a second membrane spin column that selectively binds RNA. The membrane was

washed to remove contaminants and the sample eluted in 13 ul RNase free water. M2 culture media

and 2% exosome depleted fetal bovine serum was used as a negative control to determine

exogenous contamination and subjected to the same extracellular vesicle and RNA isolation

procedures described above. These negative controls were analyzed via particle analysis and for

resulting nucleic acids to ensure there were no exogenous or contaminating exosomes or nucleic

acids. None were found (**Supplemental Figure S1A**).

Extracellular Particle and RNA Quality Control

An aliquot of the extracellular particles from the same samples described above were isolated using the exoEasy Maxi Kit (76064, Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The resulting EPs from two samples were analyzed using a NanoSight 300 (Malvern Panalytical) in 5 replicates to establish a size distribution of the resulting EPs and to ensure there were no contaminating particles. RNA extracted from isolated EPs was first quantified (ND-1000, ThermoScientific) and diluted for size distribution analysis and quality control using the small RNA (5067-1548, Agilent) and pico RNA kits (5067-1514, Agilent) on a BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent). We found there was no cellular contamination indicated by a lack of 18s and 28s ribosomal RNA [48,49] and that the majority (~80%) of extracted RNA was in the 20-40 bp range, indicative of small RNA molecules (**Supplemental Figure S1B**).

Characterization of Extracellular Particles by Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) were performed at the University of Texas's Center for Biomedical Research Support (CBRS). TEM specimens were pre-fixed in microfuge tubes by adding 0.1 µl of electron microscopy grade 16% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) to 5 µl purified specimen and incubating for 20 minutes at room temperature. Negative staining was performed by applying 5 µl of pre-fixed sample to a glow discharged grid and allowing to adhere for 1-2 minutes, then washed on a drop of molecular grade water, stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate, blotted, and allowed to dry prior to imaging in an FEI BioTwin electron microscope fitted with an AMT 1kx x 1kx camera.

Cryo-EM specimens were applied to a glow-discharged lacey carbon grid. The grid was incubated for 30 seconds at 4° C and blotted with filter paper to leave only a thin film spanning the grid holes. The sample was kept at 100% humidity before plunge-freezing into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot (CBRS, UT Austin). The vitreous sample grids were observed on a Glacios microscope (Thermo Fisher) and images were captured at 200 kV with a 1.97 $Å^2$/pixel Falcon 4

camera. Data were collected using SerialEM version 3.9.0 beta and motion corrected using

cryoSPARC with 60 frames.

Library Preparation, Sequencing, and Quality Control

Library preparation was performed at the University of Texas Genomic Sequencing

Facility (GSAF) using the NEBNext Small RNA library preparation kit (E7330, New England

Biolabs) as previously published [50]. Samples were prepared with 14 cycles of PCR and the final

product was size selected using a 3% gel cassette on the Blue Pippin instrument (Sage Sciences),

with the parameters set to 105-165 bp. Final size selected libraries were checked for quality on

the Agilent BioAnalyzer with High Sensitivity DNA analysis kit (5067-4626, Agilent) to confirm

proper size selection. The Kapa Library Quantification kit for Illumina libraries (KK4602) was

used to determine the loading concentrations prior to sequencing. Samples were sequenced on a

NovaSeq 6000 Single Read, SR50 run, with read counts ranging from 25 to 32 million per sample.

The raw sequence data is publicly accessible (GSA: CRA008039).

Analysis Pipeline

Raw RNA reads were first passed through quality control (FastQC) and checked for read

quality and size distribution, which showed excellent average read quality (avg. PHRED > 36) and

expected read length (50 bp). The raw reads were trimmed for Illumina adaptors (QuasR,

BioConductor) and again passed through quality control (FastQC) to determine per base read

quality and size distribution, which again showed excellent average read quality (avg. PHRED >

36) and an expected read length distribution (~30 nt) based on electrophoresis performed before

library prep. The trimmed reads were aligned (RBowtie, Bioconductor) to the unmasked rat

genome (Rnor v6) with strict matching parameters to ensure reads were aligned to best-hit

locations but were allowed multiple mapping locations (n = 12) due to the promiscuous nature of

small RNA origins. If the alignment parameters were met but a read mapped to multiple locations,

the read was randomly assigned to one of the similar best hit locations. Alignment efficiency was

analyzed for cross-species contamination or PCR primer amplification artifacts and was found to

be highly efficient (~85%). The aligned reads were assigned a feature annotation (Seqmonk, R,

BioConductor), visualized, and analyzed for read count and length distribution. Our annotation

pipeline considered reads aligned to miRNA, piRNA, rRNA, snoRNA, snRNA, tRNA, long non-

coding RNA (lncRNA), vault RNA, and Y RNA. CpG islands were inadvertently included in the

pipeline, although at the time we did not have any expectation of finding sncRNAs aligned with

this category. We also obtained annotation tracks for nested and simple repeats (UCSC genome

database) and microsatellites (UCSC genome database). Reads were assigned an annotation

designation only after considering all other annotation types co-occurring in the same region.

RESULTS

The size distribution of rat caput epididymosomes

Particle tracking (NanoSight 300, Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) was used to

determine the size distribution of the extracellular particles isolated from the caput of the rat

epididymis using the Qiagen exoEasy Maxi kit as described above. The isolated EPs from two

separate samples were analyzed 5 times each. The first sample had a mean EP size of 181.4 nm

(standard error [SE] 3), mode of 152 nm (SE 2.5), and standard deviation of 40.6 nm (SE 1.1) and

the second a mean of 178.7 (SE 0.5), mode of 156.1 (SE 3.8), and standard deviation of 45.7 nm

(SE 1.8). The size distribution and density of the extracellular particles analyzed are shown in

Figure 1. Approximately ~93% of all particles tracked were between 50 and 250 nm in size, which

are characteristic of epididymosomes [4].

The morphology of rat caput EPs

TEM and cryo-EM were used to determine the morphology of the extracellular particles isolated from the caput of the rat epididymis using the Qiagen exoEasy Maxi kit as described above. To increase the concentration of vesicles present in the sample for imaging, the epididymis was thoroughly minced with scissors, and caput biofluid was collected by squeezing the tissue with forceps. The isolated EPs from two separate samples were imaged using either TEM or cryo-EM. TEM allowed us to visualize the composition of the sample, whereas cryo-EM aided in visualization of EVs, including lipid bilayers and internal structures. Both types of samples were composed of a population of intact round particles that possess a clear lipid bilayer/membrane, which is characteristic of extracellular vesicles. Single, double, and multilayer vesicles, characteristic of EV preparations and defined by the presence of one, two, or multiple membranes, respectively, are presented in **Figure 2**. Cryo-EM imaging revealed clear lipid bilayer membranes **(Figure 2C, D)**.

The small RNA contents of rat caput EPs

The sncRNA contents of rat epididymosomes were dominated by reads that aligned to tRNA (79.1%) or piRNA loci (18.1% - **Figure 3A; Tables 1 & 2**). We were surprised at the relatively low abundance of miRNA in rats considering that mice caput EVs primarily consist of miRNA (~60% -- [20,29,34,35]). All other annotation types, including miRNAs, accounted for the remaining 2.8% of the aligned reads. When tRNA and piRNA reads were removed from analysis and aligned reads were analyzed as a proportion of the remaining reads (**Figure 3B**), the largest remaining category belonged to reads that aligned to CpG islands (55.2%), which is not a known unit of sncRNA expression (discussed below). The remaining reads aligned to Y RNA (24.6%), lncRNA (7.5%), miRNA (6.4%), rRNA (4.3%), and snoRNA (1.8%).

222   We analyzed the length of the reads aligned and assigned to each respective sncRNA

223   category to determine if our annotation pipeline performed well and to describe the subspecies of

224   various sncRNAs (**Table 1**). Notably, there was strong consistency in profiles among the six

225   individual male rats (**Table 2**). The two canonical categories with known read lengths were

226   checked first (miRNA and piRNA) and are discussed next.

Few miRNAs are loaded into rat caput EPs compared to mice

The average read length for miRNA (22 nt) was exactly as expected [51,52] and showed a

90-percentile range of 19 – 27 nt (**Figure 3**). They account for only 0.18% of all reads. By contrast,

miRNAs account for ~60% of caput EVs in mice [34]. We set a cut-off of 10 reads per million

(RPM) to determine which miRNA were loaded into caput EPs and identified 15 miRNAs, ten of

which have previously been shown to exist either in sperm or EVs in various models, and five of

which are uncharacterized miRNAs (**Table 3**). This is a far fewer number than those in mouse EVs

(~350) using a similar threshold [20]. Of the 15 miRNAs identified in rat, 7 overlapped with those

reported in mice (miR-143, let-7c, let-7i, miR-26a, miR-99a, miR-143, miR-148 [20]). The most

abundant miRNA we identified in rats, miR-184, did not meet the threshold for abundance in mice

[20]. MiR-143, which is a hallmark of EVs in mice [20] and humans [34], was also found in rat

caput EVs.

piRNAs are abundant and coincide with 5' tRNA fragments

The average read length for piRNA was also as expected (30 nt; [52]) with a 90-percentile

range of 26 – 32 nt (**Figure 3**). We identified 81 piRNA loci that were substantially (> 10 RPM)

expressed across the genome, with clusters on chromosome 1, 2, 10, 13, 14 and 17. piRNAs

represented a substantial proportion (18.1%) of EPs in the rat caput epididymis. This is contrary

to what is found in mouse models (< 0.05%; [34,35]) but not entirely unexpected as rat pachytene

sperm are densely populated with piRNAs [53] depending on the stage of development [54,55]

presumably to control the expression of transposable elements in the germline [56] by directing

the catalyzation of *de novo* DNA methylation to suppress their expression [57]. The reasons for

this discrepancy between rats and mice could be due to a number of reasons. First, this may

represent a fundamental difference in the reproductive biology between the two species. Second,

it may be because the piRNAs that do exist in mouse caput EVs are typically categorized as tRNA-

halves instead of piRNA. We found that approximately 60% (49/81) of the expressed piRNA loci

overlapped with known tRNA loci; these accounted for a significant portion of all piRNA

annotated reads (82.3%). These are a known subclass of piRNAs termed tRNA-derived piRNA

(td-piRNAs; [58]) so we further analyzed their origin and determined that virtually all of these

reads aligned with the 5' end of tRNA loci (98.98%). tRNA derived-piRNA (td-piRNA) have been

described in the testes of marmosets where Piwi proteins were found to bind reads mapping to the

5'-tRNA^{Glu}, 5'-tRNA^{Gly}, and 5'-tRNA^{Val} loci [59]. Therefore, we categorized the tRNA type from

which piRNAs were generated and determined that the majority were derived from either 5'-

tRNA^{Gly} (53.3%) or 5'-tRNA^{Glu} (32.6%) loci; 5'-tRNA^{Lys} (8.5%) and 5'-tRNA^{Val} (2.3%)

originating reads were also identified. It is intriguing that these three identified td-piRNAs account

for three of the four td-piRNAs found here. To our knowledge this is the first evidence that td-

piRNA are in a position poised to be delivered to sperm in the epididymis via EVs. Additionally,

we append 5'-tRNA^{Lys}, the third most abundant td-piRNA in our analysis, as a potentially

significant td-piRNA in rats.

tRNA fragments (tRFs) dominate the cargo of rat caput EPs

We then analyzed other sncRNA categories where read lengths can be variable depending

on how the RNA is processed. In mouse models, nascent sperm in the caput contain few tRFs but

their abundance gradually increases as sperm transit to the cauda [22,60], a pattern that is mirrored

by the EVs found in the same epididymal compartment [34]. By comparison, reads from tRNA

loci dominated the cargo in our rat caput EPs (~79%). The reads aligned to tRNAs had an average

read length of 30 nt and a 90-percentile range of 28 – 31 nt (**Table 1**), suggesting that they are

either tRNA fragments (tRFs) or tRNA-halves, which are 14 – 30 nt and 30 – 40 nt in length,

respectively [61].

We further categorized tRNA reads by aligning them to either the 5' or 3' end of a given

tRNA locus and discovered that 99.35% of all reads aligned to the 5' end (**Figure 4A**). There are

two possible explanations for the overrepresentation of 5'-tRFs. First, rat caput EPs may be

selectively loaded with 5'-tRF fragments; these have actions similar to RNA interference as

governed by miRNAs, which act through the Argonaute pathway [62] to silence endogenous

retroelements in embryonic stem cells and embryos [22]. Alternatively, our observation may be

due to a technical bias in the sequencing library preparation procedure [61]. Libraries are amplified

with PCR during preparation, which can be prematurely aborted if an RNA molecule contains

modified tRNA nucleobases that would be too short for sequencing, thereby excluded, and not

detected during analysis. In order to confirm the dominating presence of 5'-tRFs in rat caput EPs,

a specific analysis pipeline (streamlined platform for observing tRNA – SPOt) would need to be

used to prevent observation bias [63].

Given their size distribution, we were able to further categorize the 5'-tRFs reads, which

show a 28 – 30 nt size distribution indictive of tRF-5c fragments, the longest of the three known

5'-tRFs, or tRNA-halves [64]. Alignment of reads to the tRNA structure (tRNAvis) confirmed

their identity as tRF-5c fragments because reads did not align to the anti-codon stem loop, which

would be characteristic of 5' tRNA-halves [61]. Because of the significant overlap of reads

identified between piRNAs and tRNA loci (presented above), we analyzed the proportion of tRNA

reads that could be accounted for by piRNA loci. We found that the majority of tRNA reads

(~81%) were unique to tRNAs and could not be accounted for by overlapping piRNA loci.

Finally, we analyzed the amino-acid feature that tRNA reads were derived from and found

that there was an over-representation of reads derived from Glycine-tRNAs (81.4%). Reads were

also found to originate from glutamate (7.7%), histidine (6.9%), and lysine (1.7%) tRNA loci

across the genome (**Figure 4B**). Finally, the size distribution for 3' tRNA reads (**Figure 4C**) was

similar to 5' tRNA reads (**Figure 4D**).

rRNA fragments are present in three distinct lengths

rRNA fragments (rRFs) have long been considered RNA degradation or apoptotic by-

products and are generally disregarded for any functional value. Compared to miRNA, piRNA,

and tRFs, little is known about the function or categories of rRFs and they have only recently been

described [65] with nearly no information existing in rats that we could find. Interestingly, rRFs

appear in immunoprecipitations with the Argonaute complex in mice and humans, which suggests

a role for translational regulation [66]. In humans, three distinct categories of rRFs are known with

differential expression in each of the rRNA categories (i.e., 5s, 5.8s, 18s, and 28s): short (18-21

nt), intermediate (24-25 nt), and long (26-33 nt) [65].

Here, we identify the presence of rRFs in rat caput EPs. Reads aligned to rRNA loci had

an average length of 22 nt and a broad 90-percentile range of 17 – 31 nt (**Table 1**). rRNA is

expressed as a 45s pre-rRNA, which contains the transcripts for 18s, 5.8s, and 28s rRNA,

organized as cassettes of tandem repeats on the short arms of chromosomes 3, 11, and 12 of the

rat genome [67]. The rat reference genomes are poorly annotated for these repeating cassettes

because the number of repeats often varies between individuals. Hence, these regions are typically

masked in alignment reference genomes. Nonetheless, there are a number of 5s rRNAs, which are

expressed separately from the 45s pre-rRNA, and 5.8s rRNA loci that are annotated in the rat

reference genome that we analyzed. Approximately 80% of rRNA derived reads aligned to 5.8s

loci (**Figure 5A**). Subcategories of rRFs have been described from human samples where short

(18-19 nt), intermediate (24-25 nt), and long (32-33 nt) rRFs are expressed depending on the rRNA

type (e.g. 5s vs 5.8s) [65]. Our data generally fit the categories described in human samples: 5s

rRNA resulted in either short or long transcripts (**Figure 5B**) whereas 5.8s rRNA resulted in

intermediate fragments (**Figure 5C**). rRFs are sparsely reported in reproductive tissue (Humans,

[68,69]; Bovine, [70]). We believe this is the first report of their presence in caput EP samples,

meriting future studies on a role for rRFs in reproduction.

Fragments of other RNA types are also present in rat caput EPs

Finally, we observed that the Y RNA was present in caput EPs at levels ~4 times that of

miRNA, which is surprising because we are not aware of any reports of Y RNA in epididymal

EVs, although they are found in EVs of other tissue types [71–73]. Full length Y RNAs are ~80 –

110 nt in length [74]. We identified 13 (of 28) Y RNA loci with substantial expression (> 10 reads

per kilobase million – RPKM). These reads demonstrated a sharp peak at 31 nt and a smaller peak

at 22 nt with a 90-percentile range of 22 – 31 nt (**Table 1**). These results suggest that rat caput EVs

include fragments of Y RNA as a part of their sncRNA repertoire.

Small RNA molecules are expressed from within CpG islands

We identified dense clusters of expression that appeared to be contained within the

boundaries of GC-rich CpG islands (**Figure 6A**). CpG islands are typically annotated based on

sequence characteristics defined as longer than 200-bp with a GC content higher than 50% and an

expected-to-observed ratio greater than 0.6 [75]. Many of the first CpG islands were identified at

the 5' end of "housekeeping" genes [76,77] but have since been computationally and

experimentally predicted across the genome, including in inter- and intragenic space [78]. Parts of

CpG islands are sometimes transcribed on the 5' or 3' end of expressed genes and removed during

splicing unless they extend into an exon, including within sncRNAs [78,79]. Because small RNAs

have not previously been ascribed to the boundaries of CpG islands, we meticulously characterized

these features, and further challenged this result by trying to ascribe reads contained with CpG

islands to other surrounding or overlying genomic features.

In total we identified 50 CpG islands with significant expression (>10 RPKM) of small

RNA molecules. We inspected the distribution of reads (SeqMonk) within each of these CpG

islands and were able to rule out the majority of them due to overlapping features that had

canonical expression patterns expected from features like rRNA and piRNA (**Figure 6B**) or tRNA

(**Figure 5C**). These loci were removed from further analyses and the remaining 12 CpG islands

were treated as an annotation category for sncRNAs. We found this category to be the third most

abundant behind tRNA and piRNA reads (**Figure 3A** and **B**), and that the reads derived from CpG

islands had a length distribution pattern that was distinct from all of the other analyzed categories

(**Figure 3C**) with a median length of 19 nt and a 90-percentile range of 15 – 28 nt (**Table 1**). As

expected, the GC content of reads aligned to CpG islands was substantial (~78%) compared to the

average of all aligned reads (~50%).

We calculated a signal-to-noise (s/n) ratio to determine if the reads we observed within

CpG islands were due to random chance [65]. When compared to the number of reads per kilobase

million (RPKM) over a 10 kb rolling window across the entire genome, our identified CpG islands

had a 609 s/n ratio. When compared to all CpG islands across the entire genome, our identified

CpG islands had a 92 s/n ratio. Put simply, the reads contained within the identified CpG islands

were 609 times more abundant than a random 10kb window in the genome and 92 times more

abundant than an average CpG island, indicating that the reads we observed were very unlikely to

be due to random chance.

Because CpG islands are not known units of small RNA expression, we then systematically

considered whether the observed reads were due to other overlying features. We observed that

those CpG islands that contained more piRNA loci appeared to be associated with more reads

(**Figure 7A**). The function of piRNA is RNA-guided silencing of transposable elements,

particularly in the germline before exit from the testis [54,80–82]. Hence, the presence of piRNA

in caput EVs would not be surprising. However, because the read distribution we identified for

other piRNA loci (e.g. **Figure 6B**) was very different from reads identified for CpG islands (e.g.

Figure 6A) we considered that the transcripts we were observing might be secondary piRNAs

generated via the ping-pong cycle wherein piRNAs are amplified by binding expressed mobile
elements [54,83] or byproducts from their generation [84–86]. We therefore analyzed the
relationship between piRNA loci and the reads expressed within CpG islands; when normalized
for the read depth and length of the CpG island (RPKM) the relationship was virtually non-existent
($R^2 = 0.077$, **Table 4**). We quantified the reads that aligned under known piRNA loci and found
that ~48% of the total reads within the boundaries of CpG islands were also associated with piRNA
loci. However, we are hesitant to classify these reads as piRNA because they do not follow the
canonical length distribution associated with primary or secondary piRNA (~30nt) identified here
and as reported elsewhere [87].

The byproducts of secondary piRNA generation are typically ~19-nt, which matches the
length distribution we see here. We consider this the most likely possibility of those considered,
but our observation does not fully match their description. First, a subset of the CpG islands that
we identified were not associated with any known piRNA annotations. Second, the mechanism by
which piRNA biproducts are generated usually results in 19-nt RNA from both strands and broad
areas that are only constrained by the targets that primary and secondary piRNA bind. We therefore
analyzed the source strand of the identified reads and found they are almost exclusively expressed
from a single strand in the orientation of the primary piRNA annotations in the instances there
were any at all (**Table 4**). Third, we looked at overlying repeat elements (LINE, SINE, and LTRs)
and found these CpG islands void of any such annotated repeats. Because piRNA and tRNA are
often associated, we also analyzed the proportion of reads aligning to tRNA loci and found none
of the reads (0%) within CpG islands were expressed from known tRNA loci. In a subset of the
CpG islands we observed, there was an abundance of predicted (eponine) transcriptional start sites
(**Figure 7B**), but this was not always the case (e.g. **Figure 6A**).

Finally, half (6/12) of the identified CpG islands were associated with annotated 5.8s rRNA
loci (**Table 4**). None of the CpG islands we identified were in proximity to known locations of
rRNA cassettes, namely, on the short arms of chromosomes 3, 11, and 12 [67]. Notwithstanding,
the rat genome is poorly annotated for rRNA, so to overcome this shortcoming in the available

annotations we used BLAST to align the raw sequences expressed from CpG islands to the

available rat rRNA sequences: 45s, 32s (which includes the 28s rRNA and 5' sequence between

the transcription start site and 28s), 28s, 18s, and 5.8s. Approximately one third (~34.3%) of all

reads derived from CpG islands aligned to some form of rRNA or precursor rRNA. Of those reads

that aligned to any form of rRNA, the vast majority were derived from the 28s sequence (86.1%),

while the 18s (11.15%), and 5.8s (0.16%) accounted for a small proportion of the reads. The

remaining reads (2.6%) aligned to the external or internal transcribed spacers within the full length

45s rRNA precursor transcript. Given the proximity of these reads to apparent rRNA cassettes, we

cannot rule out that some portion of the observed CpG islands may be a part of the ~30 kb non-

transcribed spacer that separates 45s repeats. But, as the name implies, these portions are up or

downstream of rRNA and should not be transcribed.

415   In summary, while it appears that the CpG islands we identified as expressing 19 nt small

416   RNAs were in the vicinity of heretofore unannotated rRNA or piRNA loci, the reads observed

417   expressed within CpG islands cannot be fully accounted for by either of these designations nor are

418   their expression profiles congruent. Therefore, we believe this is a novel category of small RNA

419   that warrants additional investigation.

421   **DISCUSSION**

422   Epididymosomes from the caput are an essential component of sperm maturation

and acquisition of function [5,88,89]. The proteins transferred to sperm via caput EVs are believed

to assist in sperm-zona binding [16] and the development of motility in sperm [90]. Caput EVs are

also implicated in the control of heritable non-genetic phenotypes, as their sncRNA cargo is altered

by stress [22,26,29], diet [27,30,91], and alcohol consumption [31]. Thus, caput EVs and their

contents play roles in development and the health and behavior of offspring. Support for the role

of sncRNA in transgenerational inheritance is bolstered by experiments in which they are directly

injected into zygotes or sperm [32]. This method has been used to demonstrate inter- or

transgenerational inheritance of metabolic [27,30,92] and behavioral phenotypes [93–95].

Here, we provide the first comprehensive characterization of small RNAs derived from

caput EPs in the rat, and demonstrate quantitative and qualitative aspects unique to this species.

EPs isolated from the caput epididymis match the size range of epididymosomes and their small

RNA contents are dominated by tRFs and piRNA, containing far fewer miRNAs than expected

from other organisms (Mice, [29,34,35]; Humans, [96]). We also identify Y RNA fragments in

caput EPs for the first time in any organism, although they are expressed in EVs of other organs

[71–73]. Finally, we identify a potentially novel small RNA molecule that is expressed from GC-

rich CpG islands that cannot be accounted for by known overlying small RNA features, and which

have a unique size distribution that is distinct from other small RNAs analyzed.

The small RNA contents of EVs from the mouse caput epididymis are primarily miRNAs

(~60% - [29,34,35]), with over 350 expressed [20]. This is in contrast to the rat in which miRNAs

were a small portion (0.18%) of the small RNA complement. There were also substantial species

differences in tRFs, which are the second most abundant category (~30%) in mouse caput EVs

while piRNAs are present at very low levels in mice (<0.05% - [34,35]). Here, we show in rats

that tRFs are by far the most abundant category (~79%) and piRNAs are second most abundant

(~18%). Further work is needed to validate these findings and to understand why there are these

dramatic species differences in the EV sncRNA cargo.

Finally, we believe we have discovered a novel type of small RNA that is expressed from

within the boundaries of CpG islands. Based on the analyses we performed and the data presented

here, we do not believe that alternative sncRNAs (rRNA fragments, piRNAs, or piRNA

amplification byproducts) can account for these 19-nt small RNAs. More specifically, these "CpG

island small RNAs" extend outside of the boundaries of annotated rRNA and piRNA, which

account for only a portion of the observations, and do not abide by their respective canonical length

characteristics. It is possible that these observations could be the 19mer byproduct of piRNA

amplification observed elsewhere [84–86] but there are 3 reasons we do not think this is likely.

First, we observe these reads in EPs while the byproducts of piRNA amplification have only been
found to exist in pre-pachytene spermatocytes and should be derived from both the sense and anti-
sense strands, a characteristic we do not see. If this observation is due to 19mer piRNA
amplification byproducts generated in the epididymis instead of spermatocytes themselves, our
data would suggest that secondary piRNA are produced in the epididymis and they, along with
their byproducts, are actively transported to spermatozoa transiting the epididymis. Second, the
reads we observe are expressly within the boundaries of CpG islands with a GC content not
reported elsewhere. The specificity of the expression loci, and the lack of a high GC content in
other reports on the production of secondary piRNA make their identity as byproducts unlikely.
Finally, we observe expression from multiple CpG islands not linked with known piRNA loci. If
these are indeed the byproduct of piRNA amplification, our data would represent the identification
of novel piRNA loci that would seem to be important for the final steps of sperm maturation.
Mature sperm transiting the epididymis should be transcriptionally quiescent [97–100], and
therefore transposons, which are the presumed target of piRNA, should not be expressed.
Ascertaining a functional role of these small RNAs requires further investigation.

In summary, EPs from the rat caput epididymis carry a complex repertoire of small RNAs
and their contents are substantially different from the mouse. The dominant features we identify
are tRNA fragments and piRNAs derived from tRNA loci. MicroRNAs are poorly represented in
stark contrast to mice. We also report the presence of two known types of small RNA not
previously seen in caput EVs, rRNA fragments and Y RNA fragments, and identify a potentially
novel small RNA we have termed CpG island (CpGi) sRNAs. Considering that epididymal EVs
can transfer their RNA cargo to sperm, these data represent an exciting collection of possibilities
for future research on mechanisms of basic reproductive biology and the complexities of epigenetic
transgenerational inheritance.

Acknowledgement: The authors recognize Mandee Bell and Lindsay M. Thompson for their
assistance with animal husbandry, treatment, and sample collection. We thank Michelle Mikesh

for her assistance in the use of transmission electron microscopy, and Dr. Axel Brilot and Dr. Evan

Schwartz for their assistance in the use of cryo-electron microscopy. We also acknowledge the

excellent work (small RNA library preparation and sequencing) performed by the Genomic

Sequencing and Analysis Facility at UT Austin, Center for Biomedical Research Support. RRID#:

SCR_021713. Finally, we would like to thank Dr. Nicholas Peppas and his laboratory for training,

guidance, and execution of particle tracking analysis and Dr. Blerta Xhemalce for reviewing our

approach and data.

Grant funding: Supported by R35 ES035024 and R21 ES034067 to A.C.G., and PhRMA

Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship to R.G.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The raw sequence data reported in this paper have been deposited in the

Genome Sequence Archive [101] at the National Genomics Data Center [102] that is publicly

accessible at https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa accession GSA: CRA008039.

ARRIVE: The study was carried out according to the ARRIVE guidelines [41].

REFERENCES

1. Hermo, L.; Pelletier, R. -Marc; Cyr, D.G.; Smith, C.E. Surfing the Wave, Cycle, Life History,
and Genes/Proteins Expressed by Testicular Germ Cells. Part 1: Background to
Spermatogenesis, Spermatogonia, and Spermatocytes. *Microsc Res Techniq* 2010, *73*, 241–278,
doi:10.1002/jemt.20783.

2. Cornwall, G.A. New Insights into Epididymal Biology and Function. *Hum Reprod Update*
2009, *15*, 213–227, doi:10.1093/humupd/dmn055.

3. Sullivan, R.; Saez, F. Epididymosomes, Prostasomes, and Liposomes: Their Roles in
Mammalian Male Reproductive Physiology. *Reproduction* 2001, *146*, R21–R35,
doi:10.1530/rep-13-0058.

4. Sullivan, R. Epididymosomes: A Heterogeneous Population of Microvesicles with Multiple
Functions in Sperm Maturation and Storage. *Asian J Androl* 2015, *0*, 0, doi:10.4103/1008-
682x.155255.

5. Martin-DeLeon, P.A. Epididymosomes: Transfer of Fertility-Modulating Proteins to the
Sperm Surface. *Asian J Androl* 2015, *17*, 720–725, doi:10.4103/1008-682x.155538.

6. Martin-DeLeon, P.A. Epididymal SPAM1 and Its Impact on Sperm Function. *Mol Cell*
Endocrinol 2006, *250*, 114–121, doi:10.1016/j.mce.2005.12.033.

7. Fornés, M.W.; Barbieri, A.; Cavicchia, J.C. Morphological and Enzymatic Study of
Membrane-bound Vesicles from the Lumen of the Rat Epididymis. *Andrologia* 1995, *27*, 1–5,
doi:10.1111/j.1439-0272.1995.tb02087.x.

8. Rejraji, H.; Sion, B.; Prensier, G.; Carreras, M.; Motta, C.; Frenoux, J.-M.; Vericel, E.;
Grizard, G.; Vernet, P.; Drevet, J.R. Lipid Remodeling of Murine Epididymosomes and
Spermatozoa During Epididymal Maturation. *Biol Reprod* 2006, *74*, 1104–1113,
doi:10.1095/biolreprod.105.049304.

9. Grimalt, P.; Bertini, F.; Fornes, M.W. High-Affinity Sites for Beta-D-Galactosidase on
Membrane-Bound Vesicles Isolated from Rat Epididymal Fluid. *Arch Andrology* 2009, *44*, 85–
91, doi:10.1080/014850100262245.

10. Hermo, L.; Jacks, D. Nature's Ingenuity: Bypassing the Classical Secretory Route via
Apocrine Secretion. *Mol Reprod Dev* 2002, *63*, 394–410, doi:10.1002/mrd.90023.

11. Oh, J.S.; Han, C.; Cho, C. ADAM7 Is Associated with Epididymosomes and Integrated into
Sperm Plasma Membrane. *Mol Cells* 2009, *28*, 441–446, doi:10.1007/s10059-009-0140-x.

12. Zhou, W.; Iuliis, G.N.D.; Dun, M.D.; Nixon, B. Characteristics of the Epididymal Luminal
Environment Responsible for Sperm Maturation and Storage. *Front Endocrinol* 2018, *9*, 59,
doi:10.3389/fendo.2018.00059.

13. Frenette, G.; Lessard, C.; Sullivan, R. Polyol Pathway along the Bovine Epididymis. *Mol
Reprod Dev* 2004, *69*, 448–456, doi:10.1002/mrd.20170.

14. Sutovsky, P.; Moreno, R.; Ramalho-Santos, J.; Dominko, T.; Thompson, W.E.; Schatten, G.
A Putative, Ubiquitin-Dependent Mechanism for the Recognition and Elimination of Defective
Spermatozoa in the Mammalian Epididymis. *J Cell Sci* 2001, *114*, 1665–1675,
doi:10.1242/jcs.114.9.1665.

15. D'Amours, O.; Frenette, G.; Bordeleau, L.-J.; Allard, N.; Leclerc, P.; Blondin, P.; Sullivan,
R. Epididymosomes Transfer Epididymal Sperm Binding Protein 1 (ELSPBP1) to Dead
Spermatozoa During Epididymal Transit in Bovine1. *Biol Reprod* 2012, *87*, Article 94, 1-11,
doi:10.1095/biolreprod.112.100990.

16. Nixon, B.; Iuliis, G.N.D.; Hart, H.M.; Zhou, W.; Mathe, A.; Bernstein, I.R.; Anderson, A.L.;
Stanger, S.J.; Skerrett-Byrne, D.A.; Jamaluddin, M.F.B.; et al. Proteomic Profiling of Mouse
Epididymosomes Reveals Their Contributions to Post-Testicular Sperm Maturation. *Mol Cell
Proteomics* 2019, *18*, S91–S108, doi:10.1074/mcp.ra118.000946.

17. Girouard, J.; Frenette, G.; Sullivan, R. Comparative Proteome and Lipid Profiles of Bovine
Epididymosomes Collected in the Intraluminal Compartment of the Caput and Cauda
Epididymidis. *Int J Androl* 2011, *34*, e475–e486, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2011.01203.x.

18. Kalluri, R.; LeBleu, V.S. The Biology, Function, and Biomedical Applications of Exosomes.
Science 2020, *367*, doi:10.1126/science.aau6977.

19. Belleanne, C.; Calvo, zequiel; Caballero, J.; Sullivan, R. Epididymosomes Convey Different
Repertoires of MicroRNAs Throughout the Bovine Epididymis1. *Biol Reprod* 2013, *89*, Article
30, 1-11, doi:10.1095/biolreprod.113.110486.

20. Reilly, J.N.; McLaughlin, E.A.; Stanger, S.J.; Anderson, A.L.; Hutcheon, K.; Church, K.;
Mihalas, B.P.; Tyagi, S.; Holt, J.E.; Eamens, A.L.; et al. Characterisation of Mouse
Epididymosomes Reveals a Complex Profile of MicroRNAs and a Potential Mechanism for
Modification of the Sperm Epigenome. *Sci Rep-uk* 2016, *6*, 31794, doi:10.1038/srep31794.

21. Caballero, J.N.; Frenette, G.; Belleannée, C.; Sullivan, R. CD9-Positive Microvesicles
Mediate the Transfer of Molecules to Bovine Spermatozoa during Epididymal Maturation. *Plos
One* 2013, *8*, e65364, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065364.

22. Sharma, U.; Conine, C.C.; Shea, J.M.; Boskovic, A.; Derr, A.G.; Bing, X.Y.; Belleannee, C.;
Kucukural, A.; Serra, R.W.; Sun, F.; et al. Biogenesis and Function of TRNA Fragments during
Sperm Maturation and Fertilization in Mammals. *Science* 2016, *351*, 391,
doi:10.1126/science.aad6780.

23. Conine, C.C.; Sun, F.; Song, L.; Rivera-Pérez, J.A.; Rando, O.J. Small RNAs Gained during
Epididymal Transit of Sperm Are Essential for Embryonic Development in Mice. *Dev Cell* 2018,
46, 470-480.e3, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.024.

24. Gapp, K.; Jawaid, A.; Sarkies, P.; Bohacek, J.; Pelczar, P.; Prados, J.; Farinelli, L.; Miska, E.;
Mansuy, I.M. Implication of Sperm RNAs in Transgenerational Inheritance of the Effects of
Early Trauma in Mice. *Nat Neurosci* 2014, *17*, 667–669, doi:10.1038/nn.3695.

25. Suryawanshi, A.R.; Khan, S.A.; Joshi, C.S.; Khole, V.V. Epididymosome-Mediated
Acquisition of MMSDH, an Androgen-Dependent and Developmentally Regulated Epididymal
Sperm Protein. *J Androl* 2012, *33*, 963–974, doi:10.2164/jandrol.111.014753.

26. Rodgers, A.B.; Morgan, C.P.; Bronson, S.L.; Revello, S.; Bale, T.L. Paternal Stress Exposure
Alters Sperm MicroRNA Content and Reprograms Offspring HPA Stress Axis Regulation. *J
Neurosci* 2013, *33*, 9003--9012, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0914-13.2013.

27. Chen, Q.; Yan, M.; Cao, Z.; Li, X.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, J.; Feng, G.; Peng, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhang,
Y.; et al. Sperm TsRNAs Contribute to Intergenerational Inheritance of an Acquired Metabolic
Disorder. *Science* 2016, *351*, 397, doi:10.1126/science.aad7977.

28. Fullston, T.; Teague, E.M.C.O.; Palmer, N.O.; DeBlasio, M.J.; Mitchell, M.; Corbett, M.;
Print, C.G.; Owens, J.A.; Lane, M. Paternal Obesity Initiates Metabolic Disturbances in Two
Generations of Mice with Incomplete Penetrance to the F2 Generation and Alters the
Transcriptional Profile of Testis and Sperm MicroRNA Content. *Faseb J* 2013, *27*, 4226–4243,
doi:10.1096/fj.12-224048.

29. Short, A.; Fennell, K.; Perreau, V.; Fox, A.; O'Bryan, M.; Kim, J.H.; Bredy, T.; Pang, T.;
Hannan, A. Elevated Paternal Glucocorticoid Exposure Alters the Small Noncoding RNA Profile
in Sperm and Modifies Anxiety and Depressive Phenotypes in the Offspring. *Translational
Psychiatry* 2016, *6*.

30. Barbosa, T. de C.; Ingerslev, L.R.; Alm, P.S.; Versteyhe, S.; Massart, J.; Rasmussen, M.;
Donkin, I.; Sjögren, R.; Mudry, J.M.; Vetterli, L.; et al. High-Fat Diet Reprograms the
Epigenome of Rat Spermatozoa and Transgenerationally Affects Metabolism of the Offspring.
Mol Metab 2015, *5*, 184–197, doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2015.12.002.

31. Rompala, G.R.; Mounier, A.; Wolfe, C.M.; Lin, Q.; Lefterov, I.; Homanics, G.E. Heavy
Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure Alters Small Noncoding RNAs in Mouse Sperm and
Epididymosomes. *Frontiers Genetics* 2018, *9*, 32–32, doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00032.

32. Rassoulzadegan, M.; Grandjean, V.; Gounon, P.; Vincent, S.; Gillot, I.; Cuzin, F. RNA-
Mediated Non-Mendelian Inheritance of an Epigenetic Change in the Mouse. *Nature* 2006, *441*,
469–474, doi:10.1038/nature04674.

33. Chan, J.C.; Morgan, C.P.; Leu, N.A.; Shetty, A.; Cisse, Y.M.; Nugent, B.M.; Morrison, K.E.;
Jašarević, E.; Huang, W.; Kanyuch, N.; et al. Reproductive Tract Extracellular Vesicles Are

Sufficient to Transmit Intergenerational Stress and Program Neurodevelopment. *Nat Commun*
2020, *11*, 1499, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15305-w.

34. Trigg, N.A.; Eamens, A.L.; Nixon, B. The Contribution of Epididymosomes to the Sperm
Small RNA Profile. *Reproduction* 2019, *1*, R209–R223, doi:10.1530/rep-18-0480.

35. Hutcheon, K.; McLaughlin, E.A.; Stanger, S.J.; Bernstein, I.R.; Dun, M.D.; Eamens, A.L.;
Nixon, B. Analysis of the Small Non-Protein-Coding RNA Profile of Mouse Spermatozoa
Reveals Specific Enrichment of PiRNAs within Mature Spermatozoa. *Rna Biol* 2017, *14*, 1776–
1790, doi:10.1080/15476286.2017.1356569.

36. Wei, H.; Zhou, B.; Zhang, F.; Tu, Y.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, B.; Zhai, Q. Profiling and Identification
of Small RDNA-Derived RNAs and Their Potential Biological Functions. *Plos One* 2013, *8*,
e56842, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056842.

37. Sipilä, P.; Björkgren, I. Segment-Specific Regulation of Epididymal Gene Expression.
Reproduction 2016, *152*, R91–R99, doi:10.1530/rep-15-0533.

38. Wei, Y.; Schatten, H.; Sun, Q.-Y. Environmental Epigenetic Inheritance through Gametes
and Implications for Human Reproduction. *Hum Reprod Update* 2015, *21*, 194–208,
doi:10.1093/humupd/dmu061.

39. Ellenbroek, B.; Youn, J. Rodent Models in Neuroscience Research: Is It a Rat Race? *Dis
Model Mech* 2016, *9*, 1079–1087, doi:10.1242/dmm.026120.

40. Burns, S.B.; Szyszkowicz, J.K.; Luheshi, G.N.; Lutz, P.-E.; Turecki, G. Plasticity of the
Epigenome during Early-Life Stress. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2018, *77*, 115–132,
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.09.033.

41. Sert, N.P. du; Ahluwalia, A.; Alam, S.; Avey, M.T.; Baker, M.; Browne, W.J.; Clark, A.;
Cuthill, I.C.; Dirnagl, U.; Emerson, M.; et al. Reporting Animal Research: Explanation and
Elaboration for the ARRIVE Guidelines 2.0. *Plos Biol* 2020, *18*, e3000411,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000411.

42. Goldman, J.M.; Murr, A.S.; Cooper, R.L. The Rodent Estrous Cycle: Characterization of
Vaginal Cytology and Its Utility in Toxicological Studies. *Birth Defects Res Part B Dev
Reproductive Toxicol* 2007, *80*, 84–97, doi:10.1002/bdrb.20106.

43. Hilz, E.N.; Lee, H.J. Estradiol and Progesterone in Female Reward-Learning, Addiction, and
Therapeutic Interventions. *Front Neuroendocrin* 2022, 101043,
doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2022.101043.

44. Connor, K.; Ramamoorthy, K.; Moore, M.; Mustain, M.; Chen, I.; Safe, S.; Zacharewski, T.;
Gillesby, B.; Joyeux, A.; Balaguer, P. Hydroxylated Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) as
Estrogens and Antiestrogens: Structure-Activity Relationships. *Toxicol Appl Pharm* 1997, *145*,
111--123, doi:10.1006/taap.1997.8169.

45. Yuan, F.; Li, Y.-M.; Wang, Z. Preserving Extracellular Vesicles for Biomedical
Applications: Consideration of Storage Stability before and after Isolation. *Drug Deliv* 2021, *28*,
1501–1509, doi:10.1080/10717544.2021.1951896.

46. Gelibter, S.; Marostica, G.; Mandelli, A.; Siciliani, S.; Podini, P.; Finardi, A.; Furlan, R. The
Impact of Storage on Extracellular Vesicles: A Systematic Study. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2022, *11*,
e12162, doi:10.1002/jev2.12162.

47. Buschmann, D.; Kirchner, B.; Hermann, S.; Märte, M.; Wurmser, C.; Brandes, F.; Kotschote,
S.; Bonin, M.; Steinlein, O.K.; Pfaffl, M.W.; et al. Evaluation of Serum Extracellular Vesicle
Isolation Methods for Profiling MiRNAs by Next-generation Sequencing. *J Extracell Vesicles*
2018, *7*, 1481321, doi:10.1080/20013078.2018.1481321.

48. Musante, L.; Tataruch, D.; Gu, D.; Benito-Martin, A.; Calzaferri, G.; Aherne, S.; Holthofer,
H. A Simplified Method to Recover Urinary Vesicles for Clinical Applications and Sample
Banking. *Sci Rep-uk* 2014, *4*, 7532, doi:10.1038/srep07532.

49. Luo, X.; Jean-Toussaint, R.; Sacan, A.; Ajit, S.K. Differential RNA Packaging into Small
Extracellular Vesicles by Neurons and Astrocytes. *Cell Commun Signal* 2021, *19*, 75,
doi:10.1186/s12964-021-00757-4.

50. Herbert, Z.T.; Thimmapuram, J.; Xie, S.; Kershner, J.P.; Kolling, F.W.; Ringelberg, C.S.;
LeClerc, A.; Alekseyev, Y.O.; Fan, J.; Podnar, J.W.; et al. Multisite Evaluation of Next-
Generation Methods for Small RNA Quantification. *J Biomol Techniques Jbt* 2020, *31*, 47–56,
doi:10.7171/jbt.20-3102-001.

51. Ruvkun, G. Glimpses of a Tiny RNA World. *Science* 2001, *294*, 797–799,
doi:10.1126/science.1066315.

52. Marzec, M. Size Does Matter: PiRNA and MiRNA Targeting. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2021, *47*,
287–288, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2021.12.001.

53. Stermer, A.R.; Reyes, G.; Hall, S.J.; Boekelheide, K. Small RNAs in Rat Sperm Are a
Predictive and Sensitive Biomarker of Exposure to the Testicular Toxicant Ethylene Glycol
Monomethyl Ether. *Toxicol Sci* 2019, *169*, 399–408, doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfz041.

54. Aravin, A.A.; Sachidanandam, R.; Girard, A.; Fejes-Toth, K.; Hannon, G.J. Developmentally
Regulated PiRNA Clusters Implicate MILI in Transposon Control. *Science* 2007, *316*, 744–747,
doi:10.1126/science.1142612.

55. Smorag, L.; Zheng, Y.; Nolte, J.; Zechner, U.; Engel, W.; Pantakani, D.V.K. MicroRNA
Signature in Various Cell Types of Mouse Spermatogenesis: Evidence for Stage-specifically
Expressed MiRNA-221, -203 and -34b-5p Mediated Spermatogenesis Regulation. *Biol Cell*
2012, *104*, 677–692, doi:10.1111/boc.201200014.

56. Newkirk, S.J.; Lee, S.; Grandi, F.C.; Gaysinskaya, V.; Rosser, J.M.; Berg, N.V.; Hogarth,
C.A.; Marchetto, M.C.N.; Muotri, A.R.; Griswold, M.D.; et al. Intact PiRNA Pathway Prevents
L1 Mobilization in Male Meiosis. *Proc National Acad Sci* 2017, *114*, E5635–E5644,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1701069114.

57. Peng, J.C.; Lin, H. Beyond Transposons: The Epigenetic and Somatic Functions of the Piwi-
PiRNA Mechanism. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2013, *25*, 190–194, doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2013.01.010.

58. Honda, S.; Kawamura, T.; Loher, P.; Morichika, K.; Rigoutsos, I.; Kirino, Y. The Biogenesis
Pathway of TRNA-Derived PiRNAs in Bombyx Germ Cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017, *45*, 9108–
9120, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx537.

59. Hirano, T.; Iwasaki, Y.W.; Lin, Z.Y.-C.; Imamura, M.; Seki, N.M.; Sasaki, E.; Saito, K.;
Okano, H.; Siomi, M.C.; Siomi, H. Small RNA Profiling and Characterization of PiRNA
Clusters in the Adult Testes of the Common Marmoset, a Model Primate. *Rna* 2014, *20*, 1223–
1237, doi:10.1261/rna.045310.114.

60. Stanger, S.J.; Bernstein, I.R.; Anderson, A.L.; Hutcheon, K.; Dun, M.D.; Eamens, A.L.;
Nixon, B. The Abundance of a Transfer RNA-Derived RNA Fragment Small RNA
Subpopulation Is Enriched in Cauda Spermatozoa. *Exrna* 2020, *2*, 17, doi:10.1186/s41544-020-
00058-x.

61. Shen, Y.; Yu, X.; Zhu, L.; Li, T.; Yan, Z.; Guo, J. Transfer RNA-Derived Fragments and
TRNA Halves: Biogenesis, Biological Functions and Their Roles in Diseases. *J Mol Med* 2018,
96, 1167–1176, doi:10.1007/s00109-018-1693-y.

62. Gagnon, K.T.; Corey, D.R. Argonaute and the Nuclear RNAs: New Pathways for RNA-
Mediated Control of Gene Expression. *Nucleic Acid Ther* 2012, *22*, 3–16,
doi:10.1089/nat.2011.0330.

63. Grelet, S.; McShane, A.; Hok, E.; Tomberlin, J.; Howe, P.H.; Geslain, R. SPOt: A Novel and
Streamlined Microarray Platform for Observing Cellular TRNA Levels. *Plos One* 2017, *12*,
e0177939, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177939.

64. Kumar, P.; Anaya, J.; Mudunuri, S.B.; Dutta, A. Meta-Analysis of TRNA Derived RNA
Fragments Reveals That They Are Evolutionarily Conserved and Associate with AGO Proteins
to Recognize Specific RNA Targets. *Bmc Biol* 2014, *12*, 78, doi:10.1186/s12915-014-0078-0.

65. Cherlin, T.; Magee, R.; Jing, Y.; Pliatsika, V.; Loher, P.; Rigoutsos, I. Ribosomal RNA
Fragmentation into Short RNAs (RRFs) Is Modulated in a Sex- and Population of Origin-
Specific Manner. *Bmc Biol* 2020, *18*, 38, doi:10.1186/s12915-020-0763-0.

66. Guan, L.; Grigoriev, A. Computational Meta-Analysis of Ribosomal RNA Fragments:
Potential Targets and Interaction Mechanisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021, *49*, gkab190-,
doi:10.1093/nar/gkab190.

67. Sasaki, M.; Nishida, C.; Kodama, Y. Characterization of Silver-Stained Nucleolus Organizer
Regions (Ag-NORs) in 16 Inbred Strains of the Norway Rat, Rattus Norvegicus. *Cytogenet*
Genome Res 1986, *41*, 83–88, doi:10.1159/000132208.

68. Goodrich, R.J.; Anton, E.; Krawetz, S.A. Spermatogenesis, Methods and Protocols. *Methods*
Mol Biology 2012, 385–396, doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-038-0_33.

69. Johnson, G.D.; Sendler, E.; Lalancette, C.; Hauser, R.; Diamond, M.P.; Krawetz, S.A.
Cleavage of RRNA Ensures Translational Cessation in Sperm at Fertilization. *Mhr Basic Sci*
Reproductive Medicine 2011, *17*, 721–726, doi:10.1093/molehr/gar054.

70. Almiñana, C.; Tsikis, G.; Labas, V.; Uzbekov, R.; Silveira, J.C. da; Bauersachs, S.;
Mermillod, P. Deciphering the Oviductal Extracellular Vesicles Content across the Estrous
Cycle: Implications for the Gametes-Oviduct Interactions and the Environment of the Potential
Embryo. *Bmc Genomics* 2018, *19*, 622, doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4982-5.

71. Kowalski, M.P.; Krude, T. Functional Roles of Non-Coding Y RNAs. *Int J Biochem Cell*
Biology 2015, *66*, 20–29, doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2015.07.003.

72. Driedonks, T.A.P.; Hoen, E.N.M.N.-'t Circulating Y-RNAs in Extracellular Vesicles and
Ribonucleoprotein Complexes; Implications for the Immune System. *Front Immunol* 2019, *9*,
3164, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.03164.

73. Driedonks, T.A.P.; Mol, S.; Bruin, S. de; Peters, A.-L.; Zhang, X.; Lindenbergh, M.F.S.;
Beuger, B.M.; Stalborch, A.-M.D. van; Spaan, T.; Jong, E.C. de; et al. Y-RNA Subtype Ratios in
Plasma Extracellular Vesicles Are Cell Type- Specific and Are Candidate Biomarkers for
Inflammatory Diseases. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2020, *9*, 1764213,
doi:10.1080/20013078.2020.1764213.

74. Wolin, S.L.; Steitz, J.A. Genes for Two Small Cytoplasmic Ro RNAs Are Adjacent and
Appear to Be Single-Copy in the Human Genome. *Cell* 1983, *32*, 735–744, doi:10.1016/0092-
8674(83)90059-4.

75. Gardiner-Garden, M.; Frommer, M. CpG Islands in Vertebrate Genomes. *J Mol Biol* 1987,
196, 261–282, doi:10.1016/0022-2836(87)90689-9.

76. Cooper, D.N.; Gerber-Huber, S. DNA Methylation and CpG Suppression. *Cell Differ Dev*
1985, *17*, 199–205, doi:10.1016/0045-6039(85)90488-9.

77. Bird, A.P. CpG-Rich Islands and the Function of DNA Methylation. *Nature* 1986, *321*, 209–
213, doi:10.1038/321209a0.

78. Medvedeva, Y.A.; Fridman, M.V.; Oparina, N.J.; Malko, D.B.; Ermakova, E.O.;
Kulakovskiy, I.V.; Heinzel, A.; Makeev, V.J. Intergenic, Gene Terminal, and Intragenic CpG
Islands in the Human Genome. *Bmc Genomics* 2010, *11*, 48–48, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-48.

79. Hackenberg, M.; Previti, C.; Luque-Escamilla, P.L.; Carpena, P.; Martínez-Aroza, J.; Oliver,
J.L. CpGcluster: A Distance-Based Algorithm for CpG-Island Detection. *Bmc Bioinformatics*
2006, *7*, 446–446, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-446.

80. Aravin, A.; Gaidatzis, D.; Pfeffer, S.; Lagos-Quintana, M.; Landgraf, P.; Iovino, N.; Morris,
P.; Brownstein, M.J.; Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S.; Nakano, T.; et al. A Novel Class of Small
RNAs Bind to MILI Protein in Mouse Testes. *Nature* 2006, *442*, 203–207,
doi:10.1038/nature04916.

81. Girard, A.; Sachidanandam, R.; Hannon, G.J.; Carmell, M.A. A Germline-Specific Class of
Small RNAs Binds Mammalian Piwi Proteins. *Nature* 2006, *442*, 199–202,
doi:10.1038/nature04917.

82. Carmell, M.A.; Girard, A.; Kant, H.J.G. van de; Bourc'his, D.; Bestor, T.H.; Rooij, D.G. de;
Hannon, G.J. MIWI2 Is Essential for Spermatogenesis and Repression of Transposons in the
Mouse Male Germline. *Dev Cell* 2007, *12*, 503–514, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2007.03.001.

83. Aravin, A.A.; Sachidanandam, R.; Bourc'his, D.; Schaefer, C.; Pezic, D.; Toth, K.F.; Bestor,
T.; Hannon, G.J. A PiRNA Pathway Primed by Individual Transposons Is Linked to De Novo
DNA Methylation in Mice. *Mol Cell* 2008, *31*, 785–799, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.09.003.

84. Berninger, P.; Jaskiewicz, L.; Khorshid, M.; Zavolan, M. Conserved Generation of Short
Products at PiRNA Loci. *Bmc Genomics* 2011, *12*, 46–46, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-46.

85. Oey, H.M.; Youngson, N.A.; Whitelaw, E. The Characterisation of PiRNA-Related 19mers
in the Mouse. *Bmc Genomics* 2011, *12*, 315–315, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-315.

86. Ichiyanagi, T.; Ichiyanagi, K.; Ogawa, A.; Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S.; Nakano, T.; Chuma,
S.; Sasaki, H.; Udono, H. HSP90α Plays an Important Role in PiRNA Biogenesis and
Retrotransposon Repression in Mouse. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014, *42*, 11903–11911,
doi:10.1093/nar/gku881.

87. Beyret, E.; Liu, N.; Lin, H. PiRNA Biogenesis during Adult Spermatogenesis in Mice Is
Independent of the Ping-Pong Mechanism. *Cell Res* 2012, *22*, 1429–1439,
doi:10.1038/cr.2012.120.

88. Sullivan, R.; Frenette, G.; Girouard, J. Epididymosomes Are Involved in the Acquisition of
New Sperm Proteins during Epididymal Transit. *Asian J Androl* 2007, *9*, 483–491,
doi:10.1111/j.1745-7262.2007.00281.x.

89. Caballero, J.; Frenette, G.; D'Amours, O.; Belleannée, C.; Lacroix-Pepin, N.; Robert, C.;
Sullivan, R. Bovine Sperm Raft Membrane Associated Glioma Pathogenesis-Related 1-like
Protein 1 (GliPr1L1) Is Modified during the Epididymal Transit and Is Potentially Involved in
Sperm Binding to the Zona Pellucida. *J Cell Physiol* 2012, *227*, 3876–3886,
doi:10.1002/jcp.24099.

90. Eickhoff, R.; Baldauf, C.; Koyro, H.-W.; Wennemuth, G.; Suga, Y.; Seitz, J.; Henkel, R.;
Meinhardt, A. Influence of Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor (MIF) on the Zinc Content
and Redox State of Protein-Bound Sulphydryl Groups in Rat Sperm: Indications for a New Role
of MIF in Sperm Maturation. *Mhr Basic Sci Reproductive Medicine* 2004, *10*, 605–611,
doi:10.1093/molehr/gah075.

91. Fullston, T.; Teague, E.M.C.O.; Palmer, N.O.; DeBlasio, M.J.; Mitchell, M.; Corbett, M.;
Print, C.G.; Owens, J.A.; Lane, M. Paternal Obesity Initiates Metabolic Disturbances in Two
Generations of Mice with Incomplete Penetrance to the F2 Generation and Alters the
Transcriptional Profile of Testis and Sperm MicroRNA Content. *The FASEB Journal* 2013, *27*,
4226–4243.

92. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Shi, J.; Tuorto, F.; Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Liebers, R.; Zhang, L.; Qu, Y.;
Qian, J.; et al. Dnmt2 Mediates Intergenerational Transmission of Paternally Acquired Metabolic
Disorders through Sperm Small Non-Coding RNAs. *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, *20*, 535–540,
doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0087-2.

93. Rodgers, A.B.; Morgan, C.P.; Leu, N.A.; Bale, T.L. Transgenerational Epigenetic
Programming via Sperm MicroRNA Recapitulates Effects of Paternal Stress. *Proc National*
Acad Sci 2015, *112*, 13699–13704, doi:10.1073/pnas.1508347112.

94. Short, A.K.; Yeshurun, S.; Powell, R.; Perreau, V.M.; Fox, A.; Kim, J.H.; Pang, T.Y.;
Hannan, A.J. Exercise Alters Mouse Sperm Small Noncoding RNAs and Induces a
Transgenerational Modification of Male Offspring Conditioned Fear and Anxiety. *Transl*
Psychiat 2017, *7*, e1114–e1114, doi:10.1038/tp.2017.82.

95. Wang, Y.; Chen, Z.-P.; Hu, H.; Lei, J.; Zhou, Z.; Yao, B.; Chen, L.; Liang, G.; Zhan, S.; Zhu,
X.; et al. Sperm MicroRNAs Confer Depression Susceptibility to Offspring. *Sci Adv* 2021, *7*,
eabd7605, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd7605.

96. Belleannée, C.; Calvo, E.; Thimon, V.; Cyr, D.G.; Légaré, C.; Garneau, L.; Sullivan, R. Role
of MicroRNAs in Controlling Gene Expression in Different Segments of the Human Epididymis.
Plos One 2012, *7*, e34996, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034996.

97. Kierszenbaum, A.L.; Tres, L.L. Structural and Transcriptional Features of the Mouse
Spermatid Genome. *J Cell Biology* 1975, *65*, 258–270, doi:10.1083/jcb.65.2.258.

98. Hecht, N.B. Molecular Mechanisms of Male Germ Cell Differentiation. *Bioessays* 1998, *20*,
555–561, doi:10.1002/(sici)1521-1878(199807)20:7<555::aid-bies6>3.0.co;2-j.

99. Steger, K. Transcriptional and Translational Regulation of Gene Expression in Haploid
Spermatids. *Anat Embryol* 1999, *199*, 471–487, doi:10.1007/s004290050245.

100. Fischer, B.E.; Wasbrough, E.; Meadows, L.A.; Randlet, O.; Dorus, S.; Karr, T.L.; Russell,
S. Conserved Properties of Drosophila and Human Spermatozoal MRNA Repertoires. *Proc*
Royal Soc B Biological Sci 2012, *279*, 2636–2644, doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0153.

101. Chen, T.; Chen, X.; Zhang, S.; Zhu, J.; Tang, B.; Wang, A.; Dong, L.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, C.;
Sun, Y.; et al. The Genome Sequence Archive Family: Toward Explosive Data Growth and
Diverse Data Types. *Genom Proteom Bioinform* 2021, *19*, 578–583,
doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2021.08.001.

102. Xue, Y.; Bao, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, W.; Xiao, J.; He, S.; Zhang, G.; Li, Y.; Zhao, G.; Chen,
R.; et al. Database Resources of the National Genomics Data Center, China National Center for
Bioinformation in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021, *50*, D27–D38, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab951.

103. Weng, Q.; Wang, Y.; Xie, Y.; Yu, X.; Zhang, S.; Ge, J.; Li, Z.; Ye, G.; Guo, J. Extracellular
Vesicles-Associated TRNA-Derived Fragments (TRFs): Biogenesis, Biological Functions, and
Their Role as Potential Biomarkers in Human Diseases. *J Mol Med* 2022, 1–17,
doi:10.1007/s00109-022-02189-0.

104. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*; R Foundation for
Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, v4.2.0; 2022.

105. Phanstiel, D.H. *Sushi: Tools for Visualizing Genomics Data*; v1.34.0; 2022.

106. Corral-Vazquez, C.; Salas-Huetos, A.; Blanco, J.; Vidal, F.; Sarrate, Z.; Anton, E. Sperm
MicroRNA Pairs: New Perspectives in the Search for Male Fertility Biomarkers. *Fertil Steril*
2019, *112*, 831–841, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.07.006.

107. Sun, J.; Zhao, Y.; He, J.; Zhou, Q.; El-Ashram, S.; Yuan, S.; Chi, S.; Qin, J.; Huang, Z.; Ye,
M.; et al. Small RNA Expression Patterns in Seminal Plasma Exosomes Isolated from Semen
Containing Spermatozoa with Cytoplasmic Droplets versus Regular Exosomes in Boar Semen.
Theriogenology 2021, *176*, 233–243, doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.09.031.

108. Alves, M.B.R.; Celeghini, E.C.C.; Belleannée, C. From Sperm Motility to Sperm-Borne
MicroRNA Signatures: New Approaches to Predict Male Fertility Potential. *Frontiers Cell Dev*
Biology 2020, *8*, 791, doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.00791.

109. Liu, W.-M.; Pang, R.T.K.; Chiu, P.C.N.; Wong, B.P.C.; Lao, K.; Lee, K.-F.; Yeung, W.S.B.
Sperm-Borne MicroRNA-34c Is Required for the First Cleavage Division in Mouse. *Proc*
National Acad Sci 2012, *109*, 490–494, doi:10.1073/pnas.1110368109.

839
840

FIGURES

Figure 1.

The size distribution and density of the extracellular vesicles from two separate samples are

shown as analyzed by nano-particle tracking (NanoSight 300). The red dashed lines indicate the

boundaries of size for canonical epididymosomes (50 – 250 nm [4]).

Figure 2.

849
850
851   Results from imaging EPs from the rat caput are shown. **A, B)** Representative TEM images of
852   our EP preparation. Red arrows point to several cup-shaped vesicles, typical of EV morphology.
853   **C)** Cryo-EM image of our EP preparation with an arrow pointing towards a single vesicle. **D)**
854   Arrows point towards multilayer vesicles.

Figure 3.

Results from sequencing small RNAs derived from EVs in the rat caput are shown. **A)** The
proportion of small RNA reads assigned to each of 10 annotations in our analysis; tRNA and
piRNA account for the 97.2% of small RNA in caput EVs while miRNAs only account for
0.177%. **B)** The remaining small RNA reads are shown as a proportion of the residual 2.8% not
assigned to tRNA or piRNA loci. CpG islands, which are not a known unit of small RNA
expression, account for the majority (55.2%) of the remaining reads. **C)** The size distribution of 4
well-defined sncRNAs are shown together with the one undefined category (CpG islands); the
latter has a unique size profile (19 nt). The size distribution for piRNA (29-31 nt) and miRNA
(22 nt) are exactly as expected [51,52]. Reads aligned to tRNA (30 - 31 nt) and rRNA (primary
peak at 22 nt and small peaks at 18 nt and 30 nt) both demonstrate expected size specific
fragmentation [65,103].

869

870
Detailed analysis of tRNA reads is shown. **A)** Reads align to the 5' end of tRNA loci almost
exclusively. **B)** 5'-tRNA^{Gly} is the primary tRNA fragment found in rat caput extracellular
vesicles, followed by a substantially smaller proportion of 5'-tRNA^{Glu} and 5'-tRNA^{His}. **C-D)** The
size distribution of 3' and 5' tRNA reads are shown, respectively. Reads from the 3' end of
tRNA are slightly longer and have a broader distribution.

Figure 5.

877
Reads that aligned to annotated rRNA loci are shown by subcategory. The rat genome is poorly
annotated for rRNA, so the available 5s and 5.8s loci were used for categorization. **A)** The
majority of rRNA reads aligned to 5.8s rRNA. **B-C)** The size distribution for reads aligned to 5s
and 5.8s rRNA show profiles indicative of rRFs that are distinct from one another. **B)** 5s rRFs
show a bimodal distribution with peaks at 18 and 30 nt. **C)** 5.8s rRFs show a single peak at 22 nt.

Figure 6

884
The log transformed density of reads is shown across three CpG islands with different
characteristics. Feature annotations are shown below the x-axis and are indicated by color as
follows: Light blue designates a CpG island; Green = piRNA, Black = predicted transcriptional
start sites, Pink = 5.8s rRNA, and orange = tRNA. The density of reads is indicated by a heat
map from black (minimum) to red (maximum) scaled to each respective y-axis. Plots were
generated from BedGraph files with R (v4.2.0 [104]) and the Sushi package (v1.34.0,
http://bioconductor.riken.jp/packages/3.1/bioc/html/Sushi.html [105]). **A)** Reads are shown
expressed from within the boundaries of a CpG island on chromosome 6. The reads within the 3'
CpG island were not associated with the piRNA (green), while the 5' CpG island had no other
overlying features. **B)** Reads were identified within a CpG island on chromosome 7 but the
majority of them aligned to a piRNA (green) and rRNA (pink) feature. **C)** Reads were identified
within a CpG island on chromosome 13 but they aligned exclusively to piRNA and tRNA loci
and are demonstrative of their typical expression profiles. The CpG islands from **B & C** (and all
other CpG islands such as these) were removed from the analysis of small RNAs expressed from
CpG islands. The two in **A** are representative of those that were used for further analysis.

Figure 7

901
The log transformed reads from a cluster of 8 CpG islands on chromosome 14 are shown with
the primary overlying features indicated by color and label below the x-axis. Light blue
designates the CpG islands. Pink = 5.8s rRNA, Black = predicted transcriptional start sites,
Green = piRNA. The density of reads is indicated by a heat map from black (minimum) to red
(maximum) scaled to each respective y-axis. Plots were generated from BedGraph files with R
(v4.2.0 [104]) and the Sushi package (v1.34.0,
http://bioconductor.riken.jp/packages/3.1/bioc/html/Sushi.html [105]). **A)** The boundaries of the
reads shown are clearly demarcated by CpG islands and could not be attributable to overlying
features such as rRNA or piRNA. **B)** A detailed view of the largest CpG island in the
chromosome 14 cluster. The reads are not associated with either the rRNA or piRNA features
within the CpG island and are unique from those found elsewhere (Figure 5B & C).

TABLES

Table 1

Class	% of Total	Mode	Median	Mean	SD	90% Range (nt)
tRNAs	79.124	30	30	30.19	1.18	28 - 31
piRNA	18.125	30	30	29.6	2.5	26 - 32
CpG Islands	1.518	19	19	19.89	3.75	15 - 28
Y RNA	0.676	31	31	28.33	3.89	22 - 31
lncRNA	0.207	22	22	22.27	4.98	15 - 33
miRNA	0.177	22	22	22.04	2.34	19 - 27
rRNA	0.12	22	22	22.65	4.06	17 - 31
Vault RNA	0.001	18	19	22.99	8.02	14 - 41
snRNA	0.003	16	16	18.05	3.85	15 - 26
snoRNA	0.051	27	24	23.44	5.12	15 - 32

916
917 Descriptive statistics of the proportion and read length for each annotated small RNA category
918 are shown, with the mode, median, mean, standard deviation, and length range from which 90
919 percent of the reads are found. Data are a composite of the 6 individuals.

920 **Table 2**

Class	All (%)	OPA16A (%)	OPA21C (%)	OPA24A (%)	OPA31A (%)	OPA22A (%)	OPA23B (%)
tRNAs	79.124	76.084	78.923	80.168	81.334	79.366	78.128
piRNA	18.125	20.219	18.729	17.272	16.739	17.463	18.705
CpG islands	1.518	2.567	1.273	1.155	1.042	1.518	1.796
Y RNA	0.676	0.404	0.627	0.903	0.487	0.993	0.673
lncRNA	0.207	0.255	0.169	0.184	0.153	0.241	0.300
miRNA	0.177	0.251	0.146	0.172	0.135	0.224	0.207
rRNA	0.120	0.177	0.087	0.092	0.075	0.130	0.097
Vault RNA	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
snRNA	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.004
snoRNA	0.051	0.040	0.044	0.051	0.032	0.060	0.088

922
923
924 Distribution of the percentages represented by the small RNA categories in the six individual rat
925 caput epididymis EP samples (individual rat identifiers, with the prefix OPA, are provided).
926 There was considerable consistency across the six individuals.

927 **Table 3**

Name	Reads per Million	Found in Sperm	Found in EVs
miR-1b	16.2	--	--
miR-7a-1	19.7	[106]	[107]
miR-7a-2	19.9	[106]	[107]
miR-let-7c	10.0	[106]	[107]
miR-let-7i	11.7	[106]	[107]
miR-26a	11.7	[108]	--
miR-99a	87.8	[109]	--
miR-143	29.5	[22]	[22]
miR-148a	93.0	[106]	[107]
miR-184	493.7	[106]	[107]
AABR07005004.2	16.0		
AABR07029907.2	34.7		
AABR07057421.1	11.9		
AABR07064716.2	34.2		
AABR07064724.1	22.1		

Fifteen miRNA were identified as having substantial (> 10 RPM) expression in rat caput EVs, 10
of which are annotated and 5 that are putative. This is far fewer than expected based on mouse
experiments [34]. The majority of miRNAs identified have previously been reported in either
sperm or EVs, except for miR-1b. A miRNA considered a hallmark of caput EVs (miR-143) was
also identified in our rat data set.

Table 4.

Chromosome	Start Location	End Location	Length (nt)	Read Count	RPKM	piRNA Loci	pRNA Protein Loci	rRNA Binding Protein Loci	rRNA Loci
Chr 1	11,903,555	11,916,838	13,283	112,070	63.16	19	1	0	1
Chr 1	11,961,297	11,975,108	13,811	278,633	151.02	27	1	0	1
Chr 5	91,124,274	91,141,016	16,742	341,585	152.72	26	1	0	1
Chr 6	30,627,068	30,633,859	6,791	177,949	196.15	13	0	0	2
Chr 6	31,047,175	31,047,676	501	10,347	154.59	0	0	0	0
Chr 6	31,048,983	31,050,286	1,303	3,282	18.85	1	0	0	0
Chr 14	46,517,594	46,518,401	807	113,831	1,055.86	1	0	0	0
Chr 14	46,521,126	46,527,599	6,473	170,612	197.30	10	0	1	0
Chr 14	46,588,206	46,589,034	828	39,955	361.21	0	0	1	0
Chr 14	46,590,294	46,591,521	1,227	2,562	15.63	1	0	1	0
Chr 14	46,631,880	46,656,213	24,333	429,235	132.04	44	0	1	1
Chr 14	46,679,234	46,688,547	9,313	160,403	128.93	17	0	0	1

936 The genomic coordinates (Rnor v6 – Chromosome, Start Location, End Location) of 12 CpG
937 islands found to have substantial expression (> 10 RPKM) of ~19 nt small RNA transcripts are
938 shown along with the length of the CpG island, raw read count, and length-corrected read count
939 (RPKM) found within each. The number of features found overlapping each CpG island is also
940 shown.