Genetic architectures of postmating isolation and morphology of two highly

diverged rockfishes (genus *Sebastes*)

Nozomu Muto[1], Takuma Kawasaki[2], Ryo Kakioka[3], Atsushi J. Nagano[4,5], Yuta

Shimizu[1], Shu Inose[1], Yohei Shimizu[2], Hiroshi Takahashi[6]

Affiliation:

[1]School of Biological Sciences, Tokai University, 5-1-1-1 Minamisawa, Minami-ku,

Sapporo, Hokkaido 005-8601, Japan.

[2]Mariculture Fisheries Research Institute, Fisheries Research Department, Hokkaido

Research Organization, Muroran, Hokkaido 051-0013, Japan

[3]Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa

903-0213, Japan

[4]Faculty of Agriculture, Ryukoku University, Otsu, Shiga 520-2194, Japan

[5]Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Keio University, Tsuruoka, Yamagata 997-0052,

Japan

[6]Department of Applied Aquabiology, National Fisheries University, Shimonoseki,

Yamaguchi 759-6533, Japan

Address correspondence to: Nozomu Muto

Running title: Postmating isolation in rockfishes

Abstract

Postmating isolation is thought to be an important driver of the late stages of

speciation. However, relatively little is empirically known about the process compared

to other isolating mechanisms that drive the early stages of speciation, especially in

non-model organisms. We characterized the genetic architecture of postmating

isolation between two rockfishes, *Sebastes schlegelii* and *S. trivittatus*, whose

reproductive isolation is complete. We examined transmission ratio distortion (TRD)

patterns of genetic markers in two reciprocal backcross populations. Markers showing

either of the two types of TRD was widespread across the genome, with some of the

distorted markers forming extensive clusters around the recombination coldspots.

These suggest that the postmating isolation effectively prevents gene flow across the

genome and the recombination landscape contributes to the genetic architecture.

Comparisons between two backcross families and two developmental stages showed

little similarity in the distorted markers, suggesting asymmetry and stage-specificity of

the isolation. This may be due to hybrid incompatibility involving maternal factors or

extrinsic selection. The lack of sex-ratio distortion in the mapping families suggested

that Haldane's rule in terms of hybrid inviability does not hold. Additionally, QTL

mapping detected significant QTLs for sex and the morphological traits relevant to

speciation and convergence of rockfishes, including body coloration. Genes in the

melanocortin system, including *agouti-signaling protein 1* (*asip1*) and *melanocortin 1*

receptor (*mc1r*), might underlie the horizontal and vertical color patterns on the body,

respectively. These findings constitute an essential step towards a comprehensive

understanding of speciation and morphological diversification of rockfishes.

Introduction

Speciation is a continuous process whereby gene flow between diverging species

diminishes with reproductive isolation. Existing species pairs can be ordered in a

continuous sequence—a speciation continuum—according to the degree of divergence

(Coyne & Orr, 1989a). Studying the species pairs at different points of the continuum

enables the reconstruction of the order and the rate of evolution of different forms of

reproductive barriers in a given system (Coyne & Orr, 1989a). A widely accepted view

is that premating isolation due to local adaptation or sexual isolation tends to evolve

early in speciation, whereas postmating isolation evolves later, thereby completing

speciation or maintaining separate species (Coyne & Orr, 2004; Schemske, 2010).

However, the latter process is relatively unclear, reflecting lesser attention being paid to

highly diverged species pairs whose reproductive isolation is strong or complete

(Matute & Cooper, 2021).

Postmating isolation may result from reduced fertilization success of heterospecific

gametes (gametic isolation), intrinsic hybrid sterility and inviability (intrinsic postzygotic

isolation), and environmentally-dependent hybrid sterility and inviability (extrinsic

postzygotic isolation) (Coyne & Orr, 2004). Among them, intrinsic postzygotic isolation

is the most intensively studied in terms of both its genetic model and contribution to

speciation; little is known about the other two mechanisms (Rometsch et al., 2020).

Intrinsic postzygotic isolation is caused by negative epistatic interactions involving two

or more genes in hybrids, i.e., Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller Incompatibility (BDMI)

(Maheshwari & Barbash, 2011). Previous empirical studies have shown that the

strength of intrinsic postzygotic isolation, as well as the number of loci involved in

BDMI, often evolve exponentially with divergence ('snowball effect') (Matute et al.,

2010; Stelkens et al., 2010), consistent with theoretical predictions (Orr & Turelli, 2001).

Similarly, intrinsic postzygotic isolation characterizes the isolation of most 'good

species' examined (Coyne & Orr, 2004). However, it is still largely unknown what

factors account for the variations in tempo and mode of evolution of intrinsic

postzygotic isolation across different systems and how its genetic architecture relates

to its efficacy (Coughlan & Matute, 2020). Therefore, understanding how postmating

isolation contributes to the later stages of speciation requires more studies on various

groups of organisms with diverse life histories and degrees of divergence, especially

the understudied non-model organisms, and on gametic and extrinsic postzygotic

isolation.

Postmating isolation between a pair of species can be detected by analyzing the

deviation of marker genotype frequencies from the Mendelian expectations

(transmission ratio distortion, TRD) in hybrid mapping populations, such as backcross

and F_2 generations (Fishman & McIntosh, 2019). Gametic isolation and hybrid

inviability between parental species may cause variations in the fertilization success of

gametes and mortality of progeny in hybrid crosses, resulting in TRD at genetic

markers linked to the underlying loci of these barriers. Importantly, hybrid inviability due

to BDMI is largely masked in F_1, but manifests in later generation hybrids (Orr, 1995;

Orr & Turelli, 2001). Extrinsic hybrid inviability can also be inferred from the patterns of

TRD relative to the rearing conditions. Moreover, mapping the distorted markers on a

reference genome or a linkage map can reveal the genetic architecture of the isolating

barriers (e.g., Brennan et al., 2014). Using TRD as a proxy of postmating isolation is

particularly useful when direct fitness measurement is difficult. For example, gametic

isolation and prenatal hybrid inviability in internally fertilizing animals could be inferred

from TRD in the progeny immediately after parturition, which is otherwise, highly

challenging.

Speciation and associated phenotypic diversification is sometimes accompanied

by recurrent evolution of convergent traits (Schluter, 2000). Convergent traits between

distant lineages often result from independent adaptations to similar ecological

challenges, which in turn can lead to multiple independent speciation events underlain

by a common premating isolating mechanism (Schluter, 2000). Whether and to what

extent they share similar genetic mechanisms varies across systems (Rosenblum et

al., 2014). The similarity in genetic mechanisms of convergence between distant

lineages ranges from independent fixations or introgression of identical mutations to

shared molecular pathways with different nucleotides and genes (Chen et al., 1997;

Evans et al., 2012; Stern, 2013). The first critical step toward understanding the

convergence at the genetic level is to characterize the genetic architecture of the

convergent trait in each lineage.

Rockfishes of the genus *Sebastes* are an ideal system to study speciation and the

associated phenotypic diversification in marine environments. *Sebastes* is a genus

comprising more than 100 species of viviparous marine fishes, most of which diverged

in the last 8 million years (Hyde & Vetter, 2007; Kolora et al., 2021). These species

have colonized diverse habitats from the near-shore rocky reefs of less than 1 m depth

to the deep-sea continental slopes of up to 1,500 m depth (Love et al., 2002; Nakabo &

Kai, 2013). Numerous incipient species pairs hybridizing in nature are scattered across

the phylogeny of the genus, enabling multiple independent studies on the earliest stage

of speciation (Buonaccorsi et al., 2011; Muto et al., 2013; Saha et al., 2017; Schwenke

et al., 2018). Convergence in some morphological traits among independent lineages

has also been suggested either verbally or through phylogenetic comparative methods

(Ingram & Kai, 2014; Kai et al., 2003), providing opportunities to study how those traits

evolved along with the radiation in diverse environments. Furthermore, artificial crosses

can produce fertile F_1 hybrids even between species pairs with no record of natural

hybrids (T. Kawasaki, unpublished data). This feature enables genetic dissections of

postmating isolation and quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis of morphological traits

using later generation hybrids.

Nevertheless, little is known about the speciation and morphological diversification

of rockfishes. Incipient species often occupy habitats of different depths, implying the

role of depth segregation in the early stages of speciation (Ingram, 2011; Longo et al.,

2022; Muto et al., 2019; Shum et al., 2014; Venerus et al., 2013). Genomic regions

presumably related to depth segregation have also been identified (Behrens et al.,

2021; Heras & Aguilar, 2019). However, other possible isolating barriers have not been

well studied. Most importantly, studies on isolating barriers between highly diverged

species with strong reproductive isolation is lacking; thus, how reproductive barriers

develop towards the completion of speciation in *Sebastes* remains elusive.

Furthermore, some convergent morphological characteristics, such as body coloration,

shape, and number of gill rakers, are potentially involved in premating isolation or niche

partitioning within the genus (e.g., Ingram 2011), but its genetic bases have not yet

been examined.

In this study, we primarily aimed to characterize genetic architecture of postmating

isolation between the two rockfishes *S. trivittatus* and *S. schlegelii*, and additionally

those of the morphological difference between the species and sex determination. They

are estimated to have diverged 6–7 million years ago (mya) (Hyde & Vetter, 2007;

Kolora et al., 2021), with no documented record of natural hybridization (Figure 1).

They have partially overlapping geographical distributions in the western Pacific: *S.

trivittatus* is distributed in higher latitudes, from the coasts of Sakhalin Island and the

southern Kamchatka Peninsula to the Yellow Sea; whereas *S. schlegelii* is found from

the coasts of Sakhalin and the southern Kuril Islands to the East China Sea (Nakabo &

Kai, 2013; Parin et al., 2014) (Figure 1). Both species mainly inhabit near-shore rocky

reefs, and it is unknown whether they are segregated by habitat depth or trophic niche.

Their morphological difference involves several traits important in the contexts of

speciation and convergence of rockfishes, such as body coloration. Using two

reciprocal backcross families (single F_1 male × females of *S. trivittatus* and *S.

schlegelii*), we first constructed a hybrid linkage map. We then explored the TRD of

genetic markers as an approximation of genetic architectures of postmating isolation.

Comparisons of the patterns of TRD between two developmental stages and between

the families revealed stage-specificity and asymmetry of the isolation. This study

signifies the first critical step toward a better understanding of speciation and

morphological diversification of *Sebastes*, which in turn will provide a valuable contrast

to those in well-studied model organisms.

Materials and Methods

Experimental crosses and sampling

Rockfishes are viviparous and have prolonged periods of sperm storage in female

ovaries and gestation (each from one to several months) (Love et al., 2002). A female

releases hundreds of thousands to millions of hatched larvae per spawning. Nutrients

are supplied to the progeny both maternally (matrotrophy) and via yolk (Macfarlane &

Bowers, 1995; Tengfei et al., 2021). The sperm storage and gestation periods of *S.*

schlegelii are 4–6 and 1–2 months, respectively, whereas those of *S. trivittatus* are

unknown (Sasaki, 2003). We artificially inseminated a wild female *S. trivittatus* in

November 2012 using sperm obtained from a wild male *S. schlegelii*, both collected off

Hokkaido, Japan. Parturition of the F_1 yolk-sac larvae occurred ca. seven months from

the insemination. Subsequently, a single male of the F_1 progeny was crossed with a

wild female *S. trivittatus* (different individual from the founder female) and a wild female

S. schlegelii in December 2015. The parturitions occurred ca. six months later. The

resulting backcross families are hereafter referred to as BC_Str and BC_Ssc,

respectively. Artificial inseminations was performed following Kawasaki et al. (2017).

Briefly, a sperm suspension was prepared by mincing testis and sperm reservoirs in

urine obtained from male fish, which were euthanized with tricaine methanesulfonate

(MS222). The suspension was then deposited into the external genital pore of the

female fish. Rearing conditions for the fishes and a schematic diagram of the

experimental design are provided in Appendix S1 and Figure S1. We sampled

approximately 100 progeny of each family at each of the two stages: yolk-sac larvae (0

d after parturition) for genotyping and immature subadult (ca. 270 d: see Figure S1) for

both phenotyping and genotyping. All the females used for the crosses had been

separated from males at least for one year to prevent unintended mating. We could not

use F_1 females for the cross because female rockfishes naturally require a few years

more than males for maturation (Love et al., 2002).

Phenotyping

Independent lineages of *Sebastes* sometimes have strikingly convergent color

patterns, including the presence of vertical bands on the dorsum, a horizontal stripe

along the lateral line, and a horizontal stripe along the dorsal-fin base, but not

necessarily similar colors. Both color and color patterns are often the most important

trait to distinguish sister species of the genus (Ingram, 2011; Longo et al., 2022; Muto

et al., 2019; Shum et al., 2014; Venerus et al., 2013), implying that visual mate

recognition is one of key components of premating isolation in rockfishes. Convergence

in meristic and morphometric traits involves gill-raker number and length, eye size,

body depth and width, pectoral-fin length and width, upper- and lower-jaw lengths, and

head spination (Ingram & Kai, 2014; Kai et al., 2003). Among these traits, eye size and

body depth are associated with habitat depth, whereas number and length of gill rakers

and body size are associated with trophic niche, implying that they are related to

ecological isolation in rockfishes (Ingram, 2011). All of these traits that are potentially

involved in convergence and/or premating isolation were phenotyped in subadults of

the mapping families (Tables S1, S2). Additionally, we phenotyped other morphometric

or meristic traits that often show relatively minor but significant differences between

closely related species of rockfishes (e.g., Muto et al., 2011), thereby exploring a

broader range of potentially relevant traits. Among the phenotyped traits, color-related

traits, head spination, numbers of gill-rakers, lateral-line pores, and fin rays between *S.*

trivittatus and *S. schlegelii*; however, the differences in other traits are unknown

(Nakabo & Kai, 2013). Therefore, for QTL mapping, we only used the traits for which

significant differences were observed between the two backcross families, assuming

that such differences represent the difference between the parental species. As for sex

determination, the XY system controlled by the male master sex-determining gene

amhy was demonstrated for *S. schlegelii*, *S. koreanus*, and *S. pachycephalus* (Song et

al., 2021). The latter two species belong to the same subclade of *Sebastes* with *S.*

schlegelii and *S. trivittatus* (Hyde & Vetter, 2007; Ingram & Kai, 2014)(Figure 1). The

gene *amhy* is present in a ca. 5 kb male-specific region of the chromosome (CHR) 12

in the chromosome-level genome assembly of *S. schlegelii* (He et al., 2019), which we

used as a reference genome in this study.

We measured standard length (SL) and body weight of each subadult fish and took

a digital image of the left side of the body along with a KODAK Color Separation Guide

using Pentax K200D immediately after euthanasia. We kept the distance between the

camera and the fish constant across individuals. The sex of subadults was determined

through visual inspections of the overall appearance of the fresh gonads: males have a

pair of elongated, almost thread-like white gonads, whereas females have partly

rounded orange gonads. The visual inspection was validated for a subset of individuals

via histological observation. The fish was then fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in

70% ethanol.

The body color and the color patterns of each fish were characterized in three

ways using the digital image (Figure S2). First, we coded the presence/absence of the

vertical bands on the dorsum and the horizontal stripe along the lateral line and along

the dorsal-fin base in each fish as binary traits based on visual inspection. The coding

was done twice by the same person for consistency. Second, we quantified the overall

intensities of black pigmentation (supposedly melanophore-based) and yellow

pigmentation (xanthophore-based) on the body by counting the number of

corresponding pixels. Each image was color-corrected by setting the grey patch of the

color separation guide as the neutral grey using the eyedropper tool in the Curves

adjustment implemented in Adobe Photoshop CS6. We then cropped the image by a

polygon defined by 11 landmarks on the body to restrict the analysis to an

unambiguously definable area, excluding the fins, which were sometimes damaged.

We counted the number of pixels on the cropped image that fell within the ranges of

the hue/saturation/brightness (HSB) color space using the Color Threshold function of

ImageJ 1.53c (Schneider et al., 2012); black: H = 0–255, S = 0–255, B = 0–100;

yellow: H = 10–50, S = 0–255, B = 100–200. These ranges were determined by

preliminary visual inspections of the color of the extracted pixels, of a subset of

individuals, under variable combinations of HSB values. Third, we quantified the color

patterns of the fish using the 'patternize' v0.0.2 package (Van Belleghem et al., 2018)

on R v3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020). We cropped the color-corrected digital image by a

polygon defined by six landmarks on the body to restrict the analysis to the upper half

of the flank, where the focal color patterns are expressed. We used the *patRegK*

function to automatically align all images, assign pixel colors to a predefined number of

clusters (K), and extract the color patterns from the image based on the distribution of

each cluster. We analyzed with K = 4–6 (Figure S3). The variation in the extracted

pattern was summarized by a Principal Component Analysis (PCA), performed for each

color cluster of each K using the *patPCA* function. For QTL mapping, we used the PC

scores derived from three color clusters from K = 5, which captured the color pattern

variation most successfully (see Appendix S1 for more detail).

We quantified the overall body shape from the lateral view using geometric

morphometrics. We used tpsDig2 (Rohlf, 2017) to digitize 16 landmarks on the digital

image of each fish (Figure S2). Generalized Procrustes Analysis was performed on

these landmarks using the *gpagen* function implemented in the R package 'geomorph'

v4.0.0 (Adams et al., 2021). The variation in the obtained Procrustes shape

coordinates among individuals was summarized by a PCA using the *gm.prcomp*

function. Linear measurements and counts were made on 34 and 13 traits,

respectively, on the left side of the body of the fixed samples. Methods for measuring

and counting followed Muto et al. (2011, 2019) with the following additions: head

spines, the number of spines on the dorsal aspect of the cranium; lacrimal spines, the

number of spines on the lacrimal.

We $\log_{10}$ transformed SL, weight, all linear measurements, and intensities of

pigmentations. We tested the dependence of each trait on size (SL) and sex in each

family, and the difference between the two families, using either linear regression, the

Wilcoxon's rank-sum test, or the Fisher's exact test, depending on the distribution of

the trait (Tables S1, S2). The traits used for QTL mapping were tested for pairwise

correlations using the *corr.test* function implemented in the R package 'psych' v2.1.6

(Revelle, 2021), with the false discover rate (FDR) correction. We used the residuals of

linear regression of each trait on size or sex for the correlation test, if the trait was

dependent on these variables.

Genotyping

All parental individuals, 137 BC_Str individuals (48 larvae and 89 subadults) and 136

BC_Ssc individuals (48 larvae and 88 subadults) were subjected to genotyping by

double-digest restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD-seq), according to

Peterson et al. (2012) with minor modifications (see Appendix S1 for details). For

subadults of BC_Str and their parents, a single library was prepared and sequenced

with 50-bp single-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system. A single library was

prepared and sequenced with 150-bp paired-end reads on an illumina HiSeq X system

for the remaining individuals. In either case, the sequencing was performed by

Macrogen Japan Corporation (Kyoto, Japan). We used two different sequencing

platforms because the availability of related facilities changed during the study. Only

the forward sequences from the paired-end reads were used for consistency across the

platforms. Parental individuals were sequenced twice or thrice for stringent genotyping.

We processed the ddRAD-seq reads using fastp v0.23.1 (Chen et al., 2018) to

remove adapter sequences and trim the lengths to 50 bp. Reads with one or more

bases with q-values < 20 were discarded. Two individuals of BC_Str subadults and an

individual of BC_Ssc subadults were removed because of the few reads. The trimmed

sequences were mapped to the chromosome-level reference genome assembly of a

male *S. schlegelii* (He et al., 2019) (China National GeneBank Sequence Archive

accession No. CNA0000824), using BWA-mem v0.7.17 (Li, 2013) with a mismatch

penalty of 5. Unmapped reads and reads with a mapping quality < 5 were removed by

Samtools v1.12 (Danecek et al., 2021). The resulting BAM files were used for

identifying SNPs using 'gstacks' implemented in Stacks v2.5.4 (Catchen et al., 2013)

with the default settings. The subsequent filtering steps were performed separately for

each family to maximize the number of usable SNPs in the downstream analyses. We

created a vcf file using 'populations' implemented in Stacks, retaining only the first SNP

of a locus if two or more were detected. Genotypes with read depths < 10 or > 300

were removed, and SNPs were discarded if the resulting genotyping rate per SNP was

< 0.6, using VCFtools v0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011). We then used SnpSift v4.3

(Cingolani et al., 2012) to retain only the SNPs for which the alleles observed in

backcross progeny could be unambiguously determined to be inherited from either *S.*

trivittatus or *S. schlegelii*. This was done by keeping only SNPs for which genotypes of

grandparents and parents conformed to all the following criteria: 1) either homozygous

in both grandparents or heterozygous in only one of the grandparents, 2) heterozygous

in the F_1 male parent, and 3) homozygous in the pure female parent. We then removed

the SNPs genotyped in < 60 BC individuals.

Linkage map and QTL

We first constructed a hybrid linkage map for each family using the R package 'R/qtl'

(Arends et al., 2010) and then a consensus map of the two families using the R

package 'LPmerge' v1.7 (Endelman & Plomion, 2014). The map for each family was

constructed as follows. We removed markers showing deviation of genotype frequency

from the expected even ratio of homozygotes and heterozygotes (hereafter referred to

as single-locus TRD; chi-square test, $p < 0.001$ after FDR correction). Linkage groups

(LGs) were initially formed with a minimum LOD score of 6 and a maximum

recombination fraction (RF) of 0.35. The initial linkage grouping was highly consistent

with the reference genome assembly of *S. schlegelii*, most markers on each LG being

mapped to one of the 24 CHRs of the reference genome. However, deviations from this

pattern were observed; a few markers on each LG mapped to an alternative (non-

syntenic) CHR, and some markers formed an extra 25th LG. Such markers were either

discarded, manually reassigned to another LG, or left unmodified based on

examinations of individual LOD scores and RFs (Appendix S1; Figure S4; Table S3).

Next, we ordered markers in each LG using the *orderMarkers* function. We checked

the quality of the initial order by inspecting the plots of LOD score and RF between

pairs of markers on each LG and corrected putative errors using either the *switch.order*

or the *orderMarkers* function. We also sought better orders for LOD score and

chromosome length using the *ripple* function. To identify problematic markers, we

investigated the change in the length of each LG by eliminating one marker at a time

using the *droponemarker* function. We removed the interior marker whose omission

decreased the length by > 10 centimorgans (cM). We inferred genotyping errors using

the *calc.errorlod* function and removed the genotypes with error LOD scores > 6. We

also removed one outlier individual of each of BC_Str larvae and subadults concerning

the numbers of crossovers. We then used the *ripple* function to search for marker

orders with higher LOD scores. Finally, we compared the LOD score of the resulting

marker order of each LG with that of the alternative order based on the physical

position of markers on the corresponding CHR of the reference genome, and retained

the order with a higher LOD score. If the order based on the physical position was

chosen, we applied the *ripple* function again to search for orders with further higher

LOD scores.

We performed QTL mapping separately for each family based on the consensus

map using the R package 'R/qtl2' v0.24 (Broman et al., 2019). We used family-specific

markers as well as markers shared by the two families. We first inserted

pseudomarkers in the linkage map at intervals of 1 cM. We conducted a genome scan

for each trait using the *scanone* function based on the Haley-Knott regression (Haley &

Knott, 1992). We accounted for the effects of size (SL) or sex by using these variables

as additive covariates if the focal trait was significantly associated with them. Genome

scans for body weight were performed with or without size as a covariate to

differentiate potential QTLs of growth and condition. We conducted 10,000

permutations to estimate the genome-wide significance threshold of LOD score at

alpha = 0.05 using the *scan1perm* function. We estimated a 95% confidence interval

(CI) for each QTL using the *bayes_int* function. We estimated the effect of a QTL by

calculating the proportion of phenotypic variance explained (PVE): PVE = (sum of

squares between genotypes) / (sum of squares total) (e.g., Takahashi et al., 2021). For

the calculation of PVE and the visualization of phenotypic variation by genotype, we

used the residuals of the regression of each trait on size or sex, if the trait was

dependent on these variables; otherwise, we used raw phenotype values.

Genes possibly underlying the QTLs of color-related traits were searched for as

follows. We first identified protein sequences of genes located in the regions of the

reference genome of *S. schlegelii* that corresponded to the 95% CIs of the detected

QTLs by processing the gene annotation of the reference genome using BEDOPS

v2.4.41 (Neph et al., 2012). We then functionally annotated the identified genes using

Pannzer2 (Törönen et al., 2018) and further extracted the genes with the terms

"pigment" or "melan" in their gene ontology (GO) terms, following Gerwin et al. (2021).

We performed blastp searches for the extracted genes to identify putative homologues

in teleosts deposited in the NCBI RefSeq database. The QTLs of morphometric or

meristic traits were not subjected to these procedures since filtering by GO terms is

impractical for these traits.

TRD

We tested two classes of TRD. First, we tested the single-locus TRD of each marker

and assessed the distribution of the distorted markers on the reference genome. We

used both the markers that were or were not filtered out during the construction of the

linkage map for this analysis. Second, we tested non-random associations of

genotypes between pairs of markers. In the present mapping families, the following

two-marker genotypes are possible for a given pair of markers: StSt/StSt, StSt/StSc,

StSc/StSt, and StSc/StSc for BC_Str; ScSc/ScSc, ScSc/StSc, StSc/ScSc, and

StSc/StSc for BC_Ssc, with St and Sc representing alleles from *S. trivittatus* and *S.*

schlegelii, respectively, and genotypes of two markers separated by a slash. Negative

epistatic interaction (BDMI) between alleles at loci near the markers results in

underrepresenting one or more of the two-marker genotypes, which is detectable as

non-random associations of genotypes (Berdan et al., 2021; Pritchard et al., 2011). We

calculated the expected two-marker genotype frequency from the observed genotype

frequency of each marker. We then compared the observed and the expected two-

marker genotype frequencies to detect non-random associations of genotypes using

the chi-square test. For this analysis, we reduced the markers so that the minimum

distance between adjacent markers became minimum 1 cM using the

pickMarkerSubset function in R/qtl. Consequently, a total of 47,694 tests among 316

markers and 59,580 tests among 353 markers were performed for BC_Str and

BC_Ssc, respectively. Distributions of the pairs of markers showing significant non-

random associations of genotypes were assessed on the linkage map rather than the

reference genome since the analyzed markers had been filtered based on their

positions on the linkage map. Both types of TRD were analyzed separately for larvae

and subadults of each family and corrected for FDR using the *p.adjust* function in R.

Results

Linkage map

Genotype data after filtering consisted of 642 and 823 markers for BC_Str and

BC_Ssc, respectively, with 545 markers common between them, and both families

consisted of 135 individuals (87 subadults; 48 larvae). No marker was mapped to the

unanchored sequences of the reference genome assembly. The hybrid linkage maps

constructed separately for each family consisted of 24 LGs, consistent with karyotypes

of both parental species as well as the reference genome (Figure 2) (Arai, 2011). The

consensus map consisted of 898 markers, including 636 markers for BC_Str, 799

markers for BC_Ssc, and 537 shared markers, with a total length of 1413.5 cM and an

average marker interval of 1.6 cM (Table S4). Each LG was syntenic to one of the 24

CHRs of the reference genome, showing high collinearity (Figure S5). Thus, the LGs

were numbered according to the corresponding CHRs. Each LG had a 'recombination

coldspot', where little or no recombination was observed across a wide range of the

corresponding CHR of the reference genome (Figure S5). These regions were

positioned distally on each LG except for that observed in the middle of LG 4,

consistent with 23 acrocentric and one metacentric chromosome in both parental

species (Ida et al., 1982).

TRD

Distributions of genotype frequencies and single-locus TRD across the reference

genome are shown in Figures 3, S6, and S7. Significant TRD at $p < 0.05$ after FDR

correction was observed for a total of 131 markers, most of which showing TRD in only

the subadults of either family (Figure 4; Table S5). In BC_Str, none of the distorted

markers was common in both stages. In BC_Ssc, six distorted markers were shared

between the stages, and one of them ('scaffold6_37022538') additionally showed TRD

in the subadults of BC_Str. This marker, positioned terminally on CHR 6, showed an

interesting pattern of distortion in which heterozygotes and homozygotes were

overrepresented in BC_Str and BC_Ssc, respectively. Only 34.1% of the distorted

markers of BC_Str subadults showed excesses of homozygotes. This largely reflects

the presence of a few CHRs harboring extended regions with excesses of

heterozygotes (see below). In contrast, 100%, 92.6%, and 83.3% of the distorted

markers showed excesses of homozygotes in BC_Str larvae, BC_Ssc larvae, and

BC_Ssc subadults, respectively.

Several extended genomic regions, harboring more than ca. 20 markers with

single-locus TRD in the same direction, were recorded (Figure 3). In BC_Str subadults,

CHR 2 exhibited an excess of heterozygotes across its entire length. Approximately 27

Mb of CHR 6 showed an excess of heterozygotes in BC_Str and, in turn, an excess of

homozygotes in BC_Ssc. CHRs 10 and 14 in BC_Ssc and BC_Str subadults,

respectively, showed excesses of homozygotes across more than ca. 20 Mb. These

regions on CHRs 6, 10, and 14 roughly corresponded to the recombination coldspots

(Figure S5). Smaller clusters of 2–5 distorted markers spanning ca. 1.2–3.8 Mb were

also observed in CHRs 7, 21, and 23 in BC_Str subadults and CHRs 4 and 19 in

BC_Ssc subadults (Figures S6, S7). The remaining markers showing single-locus TRD

were interspersed across the genome without forming a distinct cluster.

Tests for non-random associations of genotypes between pairs of markers on

separate LGs yielded no significant result after FDR correction (all $p > 0.42$), partly

because of numerous tests, making the tests highly conservative. We, therefore,

focused on the marker pairs showing associations at nominal $p < 0.01$ and additional

supports for the associations. The additional supports were the clustering of multiple

marker pairs whereby two or more adjacent markers on a LG (hereafter referred to as a

marker block) showed associations with another marker block on a different LG. Fifty

five such associations (pairs of marker blocks) were found (Figure 5; Table S6);

however, none of these was common across developmental stages or families, i.e.,

none of the associations observed in different stages/families involved marker blocks

with overlapping ranges on both LGs. The numbers of the observed associations were

comparable between the developmental stages in each family (Figure 4).

Sex ratio was slightly male-biased in subadults of BC_Str but did not differ

significantly from parity (female:male = 0.40:0.60, n = 80; χ^2 = 0.89, df = 1, p = 0.35),

and was more even in BC_Ssc (female:male = 0.48:0.52, n = 95; χ^2 = 0.09, df = 1, p =

0.75).

QTL mapping

Thirty-nine morphological traits were subjected to QTL mapping, showing significant

differences between the two families (Figure S8; Tables S1, S2). Significant QTLs with

LOD scores greater than the genome-wide thresholds were detected for 11 and 9 traits

in BC_Str and BC_Ssc, respectively (Figure 2; Table 1; Figures S9, S10). Single

significant QTL for each trait was detected, except for yellow pigmentations of BC_Str,

where two QTLs were detected on different LGs. The 1st and the 3rd color clusters of

the patternize analysis represented the variations in a stripe along the lateral line and

vertical bands on the dorsum, respectively (Figure S3). PC2 scores based on the

geometric morphometrics represented variations in the relative positions of the head

and body (Figure S8). Directions of the QTLs were consistent with the differences

between the parental species except for the following traits: predorsal length and the

3rd color cluster of the patternize analysis in BC_Str; lower caudal-peduncle length and

yellow pigmentations in BC_Ssc. The QTL for a horizontal stripe along the lateral line

exhibited the highest PVE among all morphological traits. In addition, QTL for sex with

a high LOD score was detected on LG 12 in each family, with the position roughly

corresponding to that of the *amhy* gene: 26.4 Mb on the reference genome (Song et

al., 2021), ca. 25 cM on the consensus map (Figure S5).

We found 3–12 candidate genes for each of the QTLs of four color-related traits

(Table S7). These included the following genes that have previously been associated

with body coloration in other teleosts (see Discussion): agouti signaling protein 1

(*asip1*) for the horizontal stripe along the lateral line, solute carrier family 24 member 5

(*slc24a5*) and melanocortin 1 receptor (*mc1r*) for the vertical bands on the dorsum,

tyrosinase (*tyr*) and potassium inwardly rectifying channel subfamily J member 13

(*kcnj13*) for PC 1 scores of the patternize analysis, and *kcnj13* for the intensity of

yellow pigmentations (Figure 2). Candidate genes were not searched for the other

color-related QTLs since their 95% CIs were as wide as the lengths of the mapped LGs

or their directions were inconsistent with the differences between the parental species.

Discussion

General patterns of TRD and postmating isolation

We observed numerous markers showing two classes of TRD: single-locus TRD and

non-random associations of genotypes between pairs of markers. These markers,

presumably linked to the loci that conferred selective mortality of hybrid progeny and

hence the postmating isolation between *S. trivittatus* and *S. schlegelii* (barrier loci),

were widely distributed across the genome and some of them encompassed large

proportions of particular chromosomes. Such a genetic architecture suggests genetic

redundancy and the multifarious nature of the postmating isolation. These features

would strongly reduce gene flow across the genome if the two species did mate,

thereby enabling the postmating isolation to play a critical role in completing speciation

or maintaining distinct gene pools (Coughlan & Matute, 2020; Nosil et al., 2009). Three

of the four extensive genomic regions with single-locus TRD in subadults of one or both

families coincided with the recombination coldspots. This suggests that each of these

three regions represents the isolating effects of one or a few barrier loci affecting broad

surrounding regions due to the tight linkage, rather than the effects of more numerous

and widespread barrier loci. Overall, the combined effects of the recombination

landscape and the effects of individual barrier loci created the observed complex

genetic architecture.

TRD was observed in each of the four samples differing in the developmental

stages and the directions of the crosses, with only a few distorted loci shared between

them (Figure 4). TRD observed in larvae can be ascribed to postmating isolating

barriers acting at the prenatal stage, from the artificial insemination until the parturition,

including gametic isolation and hybrid inviability. TRD in subadults can be based on

hybrid inviability at the postnatal stage from the parturition until sacrifice. In fact, the

distinct genetic architectures observed for larvae and subadults suggest that largely

different isolating mechanisms have been acting at the pre and postnatal stages. On

the other hand, asymmetric isolation has been frequently reported in previous studies

employing reciprocal interspecific crosses, and its primary cause is thought to be hybrid

incompatibility involving uniparentally inherited genetic factors or maternal effects

(Turelli & Moyle, 2007). More discussion on specific mechanisms of TRD in different

samples is provided in section 4.1.2.

We found no significant bias in the sex ratio in either family, suggesting little or no

difference in survival rates between sexes. We also observed no tendency for markers

on the sex chromosome CHR 12 to be more frequently involved in either type of TRD.

These results suggest that, regarding hybrid inviability, the present system does not

obey the two 'rules' concerning reproductive isolation: disproportionate contribution of X

or Z chromosomes to postmating isolation (large X effect) and more severe fitness

reduction of heterogametic sex in hybrid crosses (Haldane's rule) (Coyne & Orr,

1989b). This observation is consistent with homomorphic, recombining sex

chromosomes in *Sebastes* (Arai, 2011; Song et al., 2021; this study), since empirical

support for the two rules mostly came from organisms with heteromorphic sex

chromosomes, around which the relevant theories have been built (Coyne, 2018).

Teleosts generally do not obey Haldane's rule regarding hybrid inviability (reviewed in

Schilthuizen et al., 2011); the present system is considered one of such cases.

We found discordance between the current linkage map and the reference

genome of *S. schlegelii* regarding the assignments of some markers to LGs/CHRs

(Figure S5). Some of the markers also showed non-random associations of genotypes

with markers on another LG (Figure 5). These results are reminiscent of reciprocal

translocations between the parental species. However, reciprocal translocation in

parental species generally has more extensive effects on a hybrid linkage map

whereby LGs involved in the translocation are connected into a single LG via markers

on the translocated region (Farré et al., 2011), which was not observed herein (Figure

S4). We suspect that this discordance resulted from slight errors in our linkage map or

the genome assembly. Further studies will be necessary to clarify whether the

discordance represents some form of chromosomal rearrangements, an important

mechanism of reproductive isolation and adaptive divergence between taxa (e.g.,

Matschiner et al., 2022).

A previous genomic study by Behrens et al. (2021) has identified several genomic

regions showing elevated values of both F_{ST} and D_{XY} between each of the two pairs of

incipient species of *Sebastes* (*S. carnatus*–*S. chrysomelas* and *S. miniatus*–"*S.*

crocotulus"), both pairs belonging to different subclades from the present parental

species. These regions are supposedly involved in adaptive divergence and

reproductive isolation in the presence of gene flow in each pair. The regions were

located at 38.5–39.0 Mb on CHR 3, 18.5–20.0 Mb on CHR 4, 0.5–1.5 Mb on CHR 7,

10.5–11.0 Mb on CHR 9, and 30.0–32.5 Mb on CHR 13 on the same reference

genome as that used in the present study. None of these regions overlaps with the

extended TRD possibly associated with extrinsic selection in the present system (see

below). Instead, these regions except that on CHR 3 coincided with the recombination

coldspots (Figure S5), implicating conserved recombination landscapes across the

phylogeny of rockfishes and their role in determining the genetic architecture of

reproductive isolation (Burri et al., 2015; Nachman & Payseur, 2012).

Possible mechanisms of isolation

Owing to the present cross design and the distinct (asymmetric) genetic architectures

of the two families, we suggest that he most likely isolating mechanisms causing TRD

at the prenatal stage are gametic isolation and hybrid inviability involving maternal

factors. Under the present cross design, both F_1 sperm and embryos of the two families

have been stored in ovaries of reciprocal maternal species during sperm storage and

gestation, with the embryos possessing maternally inherited genetic factors from their

respective maternal species (Figure S1). BDMI involving maternally inherited factors

such as mito-nuclear incompatibility is a predominant mechanism of asymmetric

reproductive isolation in reciprocal hybrid crosses (Turelli & Moyle, 2007). Empirical

evidence that mitochondrial genomes from reciprocal parental populations cause TRD

in distinct sets of chromosomes in reciprocal F_2 crosses has been reported for marine

copepod (Lima et al., 2019). Besides, interactions between maternally expressed

factors and sperm or zygotes within the mother's body can result in asymmetric

reproductive isolation (e.g., Devigili et al., 2018). Notably, such interactions are

predicted to be driven by mother-offspring conflict in viviparous, matrotrophic animals,

including rockfishes (viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis; Zeh & Zeh, 2000). In any

case, the mechanisms of the TRD at the prenatal stage might be genetically complex,

involving epistatic interactions among multiple nuclear loci, as well as the interactions

with maternally inherited or expressed factors.

The TRD at the postnatal stage may also be explained by multiple factors,

including intrinsic hybrid inviability due to BDMI involving maternally inherited factors

and environmentally-dependent (extrinsic) inviability. The former can have a common

mechanistic and genetic basis with that acting at the prenatal stage. For instance, mito-

nuclear incompatibility may disrupt the metabolic function of mitochondria essential to

all developmental stages (Burton & Barreto, 2012). In fact, five loci showing significant

excess of homozygotes on CHRs 1, 4, 7, and 13 were shared between the two stages

in BC_Ssc. These may represent loci that confer fitness effects across the

developmental stages via intrinsic asymmetric hybrid inviability. The remaining distorted

loci that were not shared with the prenatal stage are considered to have been caused

by stage-specific intrinsic hybrid inviability or extrinsic inviability.

Extrinsic hybrid inviability occurs when ecologically divergent species produce

hybrids with intermediate phenotypes, and the hybrid suffers reduced fitness in the

parental environments (Nosil, 2012). This can be facilitated by mito-nuclear

incompatibility (Wang et al., 2021). The present study was not specifically designed to

examine this process. Nonetheless, ca. 20 Mb region of CHR 6 showing heterozygote

excess in BC_Str and homozygote excess in BC_Ssc suggest additive selection

against *S. trivittatus* alleles, implying extrinsic hybrid inviability under an environment

where *S. trivittatus* is less fit than *S. schlegelii* (Rundle & Whitlock, 2001). The pattern

also implicates immigrant inviability, a class of premating isolation whereby immigrants

of parental species suffer reduced fitness in their non-native habitats (Nosil, 2012).

Additionally, regions with homozygote excess in BC_Ssc subadults (e.g., CHR 10) or

heterozygote excess in BC_Str subadults (e.g., CHR 2) with no concurrent TRD in the

other family could also be associated with extrinsic selection against *S. trivittatus*

alleles with dominance effects. A possible environmental factor underlying the extrinsic

selection is water temperature. Species distribution models for *S. schlegelii* have

suggested that water temperature is the most important environmental variable

determining the natural distribution of the species, and it prefers water temperatures of

3–20 ºC (Chen et al., 2021). Although the thermal tolerance of *S. trivittatus* is unknown,

it is reasonable to assume that this species is adapted to colder waters than *S.*

schlegelii, given the more northern distributional of the former. On the other hand, the

water temperatures of the tanks were 3.1–21.3 ºC in the present study (see Appendix

S1). These temperatures are within the range tolerated by *S. schlegelii* but may have

deviated from that by *S. trivittatus*, thus, selecting against *S. trivittatus* alleles at

relevant loci.

The terminal locus ('scaffold6_37022538') of the distorted region of CHR 6

additionally showed TRD in larvae, although the distortion in BC_Str larvae was not

statistically significant (Figure 3; Table S5). The directions of the distortion were the

same between stages in each family (heterozygote excess in BC_Str; homozygote

excess in BC_Ssc), suggesting that the extrinsic selection may have acted at the

prenatal stage as well. Effects of ambient thermal conditions on prenatal viability of

offspring via mother's body temperature of viviparous snake have been previously

documented (Lourdais et al., 2004).

QTLs for morphological traits and sex determination

Among the morphological traits with significant QTLs detected, the following traits have

been previously suggested to be related to convergence and/or of rockfishes: orbit

diameter, number of gill-rakers on the lower arch, head spination, intensities of

pigmentations, stripe along the lateral line, and dark bands on the dorsum. The color

clusters based on the patternize analysis are also considered among such traits since

these are the quantitative representations of the color patterns. The lack of

concordance between the two crosses should be treated with caution; although this

may be explained by the dominance of the QTLs, the relatively small sample sizes or

alleles segregating within the species may also be responsible. Nonetheless, the

present study constitutes the first step toward understanding the genetic bases of the

traits at the individual gene level, which will, in turn, provide insights into the traits'

evolutionary significance and histories within and across lineages (e.g., Kokita et al.,

2021; Kratochwil et al., 2018). Below we discuss the results for the color-related traits

whose candidate genes could be identified, focusing on the genes that have previously

been associated with colorations in other teleosts. We subsequently discuss the QTL

for sex determination.

Horizontal stripes and vertical bands on the body are the most common color

patterns in teleosts, and their genetic bases have been investigated in a handful of

model systems. In African cichlids, regulatory changes of Agouti-related peptide 2

(*agrp2*), a member of the Agouti gene family, is associated with variation in horizontal

stripes, facilitating convergence across the radiations (Kratochwil et al., 2018; Urban et

al., 2021). We instead identified another member of the Agouti gene family, *asip1*, as a

candidate gene for the horizontal stripe in the present system. Members of the Agouti

gene family are part of the melanocortin system and act as antagonists of melanocortin

receptors; both Asip1 and Agrp2 mainly antagonize one of five melanocortin receptors,

Mc1r (Cal et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2010). The convergence of the horizontal stripe in

rockfishes and African cichlids may have different genetic bases (*asip1* vs. *agrp2*) but a

common downstream molecular pathway (melanocortin system, via Mc1r). Activation of

Mc1r induces cAMP production, which eventually leads to melanin synthesis (Cal et al.,

2017). Mc1r is also involved in melanophore proliferation and melanosome dispersion

(Singh & Nüsslein-Volhard, 2015). Any of these three processes can be involved in

color patterning.

The QTL for PC 1 scores based on the 1st color cluster of the patternize analysis

was located on LG 12. Since the scores primarily represented the horizontal stripe

along the lateral line (Figure S3), the results suggest that the horizontal stripe in the

present system is associated with multiple genomic regions. Interestingly, the QTL on

LG 12 included a candidate gene *tyr*, which encodes a key enzyme of melanin

synthesis activated by Mc1r via cAMP (Cal et al., 2017). The horizontal stripe in

rockfishes thus might be controlled by changes in multiple genes in the melanin

synthesis pathway modulated by the melanocortin system. In addition, this QTL for PC

1 scores of the patternize analysis partially overlapped with that of the intensity of

yellow pigmentations, and the overlapping region encompassed *kcnj13*, which encodes

a potassium channel conserved across vertebrates. Such possible associations of

kcnj13 with both the intensities of yellow pigmentations (supposedly representing

xanthophores) and the color pattern is consistent with the known features of the gene.

The potassium channel encoded by *kcnj13* is involved in the repulsion between

melanophores and xanthophores during color pattern formation in zebrafish *Danio*

rerio, and the divergence in *kcnj13* may have caused the color pattern diversification in

the genus *Danio* (Podobnik et al., 2020).

For the vertical bands, we identified *mc1r* as a candidate gene, suggesting that the

melanocortin system is associated with the vertical as well as horizontal color patterns

in rockfishes. Alternatively, another candidate gene, *slc24a5*, may be a key component

of the formation of the vertical bands. The gene *slc24a5* encodes a cation exchanger

that is essential to melanin synthesis (Lamason et al., 2005), and it was included in one

of several QTLs for vertical bars detected in an F_2 cross between African cichlids

Pseudotropheus cyaneorhabdos and *Chindongo demasoni* (Gerwin et al., 2021).

Moreover, the upregulation of *slc24a5* (but not *mc1r*) was associated with the formation

of vertical bars in an African cichlid *Haplochromis latifasciatus* (Liang et al., 2020).

The present result implicated a common sex-determination system for *S. schlegelii*

and *S. trivittatus*, i.e., male-determination by *amhy*. The role of *amhy* has been

originally demonstrated for *S. schlegelii* and additionally confirmed in *S.*

pachycephalus, and *S. koreanus* (Song et al., 2021). Under the present cross design,

amhy inherited from the male F_0 *S. schlegelii* segregated in each backcross family.

Besides, a highly significant QTL for sex was detected on LG 12 in each family, with

CIs encompassing the presumed position of *amhy*. Taken together, *amhy* from F_0 *S.*

schlegelii successfully determined sex in both families despite the contrasting degrees

of genetic contributions from *S. schlegelii* and *S. trivittatus*, implying that the sex of *S.*

trivittatus is also controlled by this gene. The sex-determination role of *amhy* might be

conserved across the members of the northwest Pacific subclade of the genus, to

which the aforementioned four species belong (Figure 1).

Concluding remarks

We provided a snapshot of postmating isolation in the speciation continuum of

rockfishes at the point where reproductive isolation is complete in nature. It is most

likely characterized by 1) the involvement of tens of loci across the genome, 2)

asymmetry of the underlying loci concerning the direction of the crosses, and 3)

multifarious mechanisms including asymmetric gametic isolation and hybrid inviability

due to interaction with maternal factors, and extrinsic selection. Future studies

employing direct observations of the isolating mechanisms at the phenotypic level, and

comparisons with other pairs of species at various points in the speciation continuum,

will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the speciation in rockfishes.

Besides, several limitations of the present study should also be noted. First, some of

the observed TRD may reflect isolating mechanisms that have evolved after the

completion of reproductive isolation. Second, the slight difference in rearing conditions

for the two families could have affected the results. Third, some of the TRD that were

unique to larvae of each family might be false positives. This is because markers

showing TRD in larvae should also do so in subadults unless the TRD in larvae is

counterbalanced by selective mortality at the postnatal stage. Finally, TRD may occur

due to mechanisms other than reproductive isolation between parental species, such

as inbreeding depression and BDMI involving alleles segregating within species

(Corbett-Detig et al., 2013; Plough & Hedgecock, 2011). These issues can be partly

addressed by careful control of rearing conditions and appropriate cross designs such

as reciprocal F_2 crosses.

Funding

734 This work was supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (grant no.

735 19K16217); The Yanmar Environmental Sustainability Support Association (KI019078);

736 and The Hokusui Society Foundation.

738 **Acknowledgments**

739 We thank K. Tsuboi (Tokai University) and the staff of Mariculture Fisheries Research

740 Institute, Hokkaido Research Organization for their help in phenotyping and fish

741 husbandry, S. Kondo (Ryukoku University) for help in genotyping. This study was

742 carried out in compliance with the guidelines for the care and use of animals for

743 scientific purposes at Tokai University.

745 **Author Contributions**

746 NM, TK, YoS, and HT conceived the study. TK and YoS performed the crosses and

747 oversaw the fish husbandry. NM, TK, YuS, SI, YoS, and HT performed the phenotyping.

748 NM, RK, and AJN performed the genotyping. NM and RK performed the analyses. NM

749 wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

751 **Data Availability**

752 Sequence data have been deposited in Sequence Read Archive in DDBJ/EMBL-

753 EBI/NCBI under accession number DRA014198. Genotype and phenotype data and

754 linkage maps are available from Dryad.

757 **References**

758 Adams, D. C., Collyer, M., Kaliontzopoulou, A., & Baken, E. (2021). *Geomorph:*

759  *Software for geometric morphometric analyses. R package version 4.0.*

760  https://cran.r-project.org/package=geomorph.

761 Arai, R. (2011). *Fish Karyotypes: A check list.* Springer.

762 Arends, D., Prins, P., Jansen, R. C., & Broman, K. W. (2010). R/qtl: High-throughput

763  multiple QTL mapping. *Bioinformatics, 26*(23), 2990–2992.

764  https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq565

765 Behrens, K. A., Girasek, Q. L., Sickler, A., Hyde, J., & Buonaccorsi, V. P. (2021).

766  Regions of genetic divergence in depth-separated *Sebastes* rockfish species pairs:

Depth as a potential driver of speciation. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*(17), 4259–4275.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16046

Berdan, E. L., Fuller, R. C., & Kozak, G. M. (2021). Genomic landscape of reproductive

isolation in *Lucania* killifish: The role of sex loci and salinity. *Journal of Evolutionary*

Biology, *34*(1), 157–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13725

Brennan, A. C., Hiscock, S. J., & Abbott, R. J. (2014). Interspecific crossing and genetic

mapping reveal intrinsic genomic incompatibility between two *Senecio* species that

form a hybrid zone on Mount Etna, Sicily. *Heredity*, *113*(3), 195–204.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.14

Broman, K. W., Gatti, D. M., Simecek, P., Furlotte, N. A., Prins, P., Sen, Ś., Yandell, B.

S., & Churchill, G. A. (2019). R/qtl2: Software for mapping quantitative trait loci with

high-dimensional data and multiparent populations. *Genetics*, *211*(2), 495–502.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301595

Buonaccorsi, V. P., Narum, S. R., Karkoska, K. A., Gregory, S., Deptola, T., & Weimer,

A. B. (2011). Characterization of a genomic divergence island between black-and-

yellow and gopher *Sebastes* rockfishes. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*(12), 2603–2618.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05119.x

Burri, R., Nater, A., Kawakami, T., Mugal, C. F., Olason, P. I., Smeds, L., Suh, A.,

Dutoit, L., Bureš, S., Garamszegi, L. Z., Hogner, S., Moreno, J., Qvarnström, A.,

Ružić, M., Sæther, S.-A., Sætre, G.-P., Török, J., & Ellegren, H. (2015). Linked

selection and recombination rate variation drive the evolution of the genomic

landscape of differentiation across the speciation continuum of *Ficedula* flycatchers.

Genome Research, *25*(11), 1656–1665. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.196485.115

Burton, R. S., & Barreto, F. S. (2012). A disproportionate role for mtDNA in

Dobzhansky–Muller incompatibilities? *Molecular Ecology, 21*(20), 4942–4957.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12006

Cal, L., Suarez-Bregua, P., Cerdá-Reverter, J. M., Braasch, I., & Rotllant, J. (2017).

Fish pigmentation and the melanocortin system. *Comparative Biochemistry and*

Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, *211*, 26–33.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.06.001

Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W. A. (2013).

Stacks: An analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(11),

3124–3140. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12354

Chen, L., DeVries, A. L., & Cheng, C.-H. C. (1997). Convergent evolution of antifreeze
glycoproteins in Antarctic notothenioid fish and Arctic cod. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences, *94*(8), 3817–3822.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.8.3817
Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., & Gu, J. (2018). fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ
preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(17), i884–i890.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560
Chen, Y., Shan, X., Ovando, D., Yang, T., Dai, F., & Jin, X. (2021). Predicting current
and future global distribution of black rockfish (*Sebastes schlegelii*) under changing
climate. *Ecological Indicators*, *128*, 107799.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107799
Cingolani, P., Patel, V. M., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Land, S. J., Ruden, D. M., & Lu, X.
(2012). Using *Drosophila melanogaster* as a Model for Genotoxic Chemical
Mutational Studies with a New Program, SnpSift. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *3*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2012.00035
Corbett-Detig, R. B., Zhou, J., Clark, A. G., Hartl, D. L., & Ayroles, J. F. (2013). Genetic
incompatibilities are widespread within species. *Nature*, *504*(7478), 135–137.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12678
Coughlan, J. M., & Matute, D. R. (2020). The importance of intrinsic postzygotic
barriers throughout the speciation process. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
Society B: Biological Sciences, *375*(1806), 20190533.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0533
Coyne, J. A. (2018). "Two Rules of Speciation" revisited. *Molecular Ecology*, *27*(19),
3749–3752. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14790
Coyne, J. A., & Orr, H. A. (1989a). Patterns of speciation in *Drosophila. Evolution*,
43(2), 362–381. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1989.tb04233.x
Coyne, J. A., & Orr, H. A. (1989b). Two rules of speciation. In *Speciation and its*
consequences (pp. 180–207). Sinauer Associates.
Coyne, J. A., & Orr, H. A. (2004). *Speciation*. Sinauer Associates.
Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A.,
Handsaker, R. E., Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., Durbin, R., &
1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The variant call format and
VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(15), 2156–2158.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O.,

Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve

years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, *10*(2), giab008.

https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

Devigili, A., Fitzpatrick, J. L., Gasparini, C., Ramnarine, I. W., Pilastro, A., & Evans, J.

P. (2018). Possible glimpses into early speciation: The effect of ovarian fluid on

sperm velocity accords with post-copulatory isolation between two guppy

populations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *31*(1), 66–74.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13194

Endelman, J. B., & Plomion, C. (2014). LPmerge: An R package for merging genetic

maps by linear programming. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(11), 1623–1624.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu091

Evans, C. W., Hellman, L., Middleditch, M., Wojnar, J. M., Brimble, M. A., & Devries, A.

L. (2012). Synthesis and recycling of antifreeze glycoproteins in polar fishes.

Antarctic Science, *24*(3), 259–268. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102012000119

Farré, A., Lacasa Benito, I., Cistué, L., de Jong, J. H., Romagosa, I., & Jansen, J.

(2011). Linkage map construction involving a reciprocal translocation. *Theoretical*

and Applied Genetics, *122*(5), 1029–1037. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-

1507-2

Fishman, L., & McIntosh, M. (2019). Standard Deviations: The biological bases of

transmission ratio distortion. *Annual Review of Genetics*, *53*(1), 347–372.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043905

Gerwin, J., Urban, S., Meyer, A., & Kratochwil, C. F. (2021). Of bars and stripes: A

Malawi cichlid hybrid cross provides insights into genetic modularity and evolution of

modifier loci underlying colour pattern diversification. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*(19),

4789–4803. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16097

Haley, C. S., & Knott, S. A. (1992). A simple regression method for mapping

quantitative trait loci in line crosses using flanking markers. *Heredity*, *69*(4), Article 4.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1992.131

He, Y., Chang, Y., Bao, L., Yu, M., Li, R., Niu, J., Fan, G., Song, W., Seim, I., Qin, Y.,

Li, X., Liu, J., Kong, X., Peng, M., Sun, M., Wang, M., Qu, J., Wang, X., Liu, X., …

Qi, J. (2019). A chromosome-level genome of black rockfish, *Sebastes schlegelii*,

provides insights into the evolution of live birth. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *19*(5),

1309–1321. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13034

Heras, J., & Aguilar, A. (2019). Comparative transcriptomics reveals patterns of

adaptive evolution associated with depth and age within marine rockfishes

(*Sebastes*). *Journal of Heredity*, *110*(3), 340–350.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esy070

Hyde, J. R., & Vetter, R. D. (2007). The origin, evolution, and diversification of

rockfishes of the genus *Sebastes* (Cuvier). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*,

44(2), 790–811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.12.026

Ida, H., Iwasawa, T., & Kamitori, M. (1982). Karyotypes in eight species of *Sebastes*

from Japan. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology*, *29*, 162–168.

https://doi.org/10.11369/jji1950.29.162

Ingram, T. (2011). Speciation along a depth gradient in a marine adaptive radiation.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *278*(1705), 613–618.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1127

Ingram, T., & Kai, Y. (2014). The geography of morphological convergence in the

radiations of Pacific *Sebastes* rockfishes. *The American Naturalist*, *184*(5), E115–

E131. https://doi.org/10.1086/678053

Kai, Y., Nakayama, K., & Nakabo, T. (2003). Molecular phylogenetic perspective on

speciation in the genus *Sebastes* (Scorpaenidae) from the Northwest Pacific and the

position of *Sebastes* within the subfamily Sebastinae. *Ichthyological Research*,

50(3), 239–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10228-003-0163-9

Kawasaki, T., Yohei, S., Tatsunari, M., Hiramatsu, N., & Todo, T. (2017). Development

of artificial insemination techniques for viviparous black rockfish (*Sebastes*

schlegelii). *Aquaculture Science*, *65*(1), 73–82.

Kokita, T., Ueno, K., Yamasaki, Y. Y., Matsuda, M., Tabata, R., Nagano, A. J., Mishina,

T., & Watanabe, K. (2021). Gudgeon fish with and without genetically determined

countershading coexist in heterogeneous littoral environments of an ancient lake.

Ecology and Evolution, *11*(19), 13283–13294. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8050

Kolora, S. R. R., Owens, G. L., Vazquez, J. M., Stubbs, A., Chatla, K., Jainese, C.,

Seeto, K., McCrea, M., Sandel, M. W., Vianna, J. A., Maslenikov, K., Bachtrog, D.,

Orr, J. W., Love, M., & Sudmant, P. H. (2021). Origins and evolution of extreme life

span in Pacific Ocean rockfishes. *Science*, *374*(6569), 842–847.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg5332

Kratochwil, C. F., Gerwin, J., Woltering, J. M., Meyer, A., Hulsey, C. D., Liang, Y.,

Urban, S., Machado-Schiaffino, G., & Henning, F. (2018). Agouti-related peptide 2

facilitates convergent evolution of stripe patterns across cichlid fish radiations.

Science, 362(6413), 457–460. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao6809

Kulmuni, J., Butlin, R. K., Lucek, K., Savolainen, V., & Westram, A. M. (2020). Towards

the completion of speciation: The evolution of reproductive isolation beyond the first

barriers. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,*

375(1806), 20190528. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0528

Lamason, R. L., Mohideen, M.-A. P. K., Mest, J. R., Wong, A. C., Norton, H. L., Aros,

M. C., Jurynec, M. J., Mao, X., Humphreville, V. R., Humbert, J. E., Sinha, S.,

Moore, J. L., Jagadeeswaran, P., Zhao, W., Ning, G., Makalowska, I., McKeigue, P.

M., O'Donnell, D., Kittles, R., … Cheng, K. C. (2005). SLC24A5, a putative cation

exchanger, affects pigmentation in zebrafish and humans. *Science, 310*(5755),

1782–1786. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116238

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with

BWA-MEM. *ArXiv, 1303.3997v2*, 1–3.

Liang, Y., Gerwin, J., Meyer, A., & Kratochwil, C. F. (2020). Developmental and cellular

basis of vertical bar color patterns in the East African cichlid Fish *Haplochromis*

latifasciatus. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 8(62), 1–15.

Lima, T. G., Burton, R. S., & Willett, C. S. (2019). Genomic scans reveal multiple

mito‐nuclear incompatibilities in population crosses of the copepod *Tigriopus*

californicus. Evolution, 73(3), 609–620. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13690

Longo, G. C., Harms, J., Hyde, J. R., Craig, M. T., Ramón-Laca, A., & Nichols, K. M.

(2022). Genome-wide markers reveal differentiation between and within the cryptic

sister species, sunset and vermilion rockfish. *Conservation Genetics, 23*(1), 75–89.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-021-01397-4

Lourdais, O., Shine, R., Bonnet, X., Guillon, M., & Naulleau, G. (2004). Climate affects

embryonic development in a viviparous snake, *Vipera aspis. Oikos, 104*(3), 551–

560. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12961.x

Love, M. S., Yoklavich, M. M., & Thorsteinson, L. K. (2002). *The rockfishes of the*

northeast Pacific. University of California Press.

Macfarlane, R., & Bowers, M. (1995). Matrotrophic viviparity in the yellowtail rockfish

Sebastes flavidus. Journal of Experimental Biology, 198(5), 1197–1206.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.198.5.1197

Maheshwari, S., & Barbash, D. A. (2011). The Genetics of Hybrid Incompatibilities.

Annual Review of Genetics, *45*(1), 331–355. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-

110410-132514

Matschiner, M., Barth, J. M. I., Tørresen, O. K., Star, B., Baalsrud, H. T., Brieuc, M. S.

O., Pampoulie, C., Bradbury, I., Jakobsen, K. S., & Jentoft, S. (2022). Supergene

origin and maintenance in Atlantic cod. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *6*(4), Article 4.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01661-x

Matute, D. R., Butler, I. A., Turissini, D. A., & Coyne, J. A. (2010). A test of the snowball

theory for the rate of evolution of hybrid incompatibilities. *Science*.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193440

Matute, D. R., & Cooper, B. S. (2021). Comparative studies on speciation: 30 years

since Coyne and Orr. *Evolution*, *75*(4), 764–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14181

Muto, N., Kai, Y., & Nakabo, T. (2011). Genetic and morphological differences between

Sebastes vulpes and *S. zonatus* (Teleostei: Scorpaeniformes: Scorpaenidae).

Fishery Bulletin, *109*, 429–439.

Muto, N., Kai, Y., & Nakabo, T. (2019). Taxonomic review of the *Sebastes vulpes*

complex (Scorpaenoidei: Sebastidae). *Ichthyological Research*, *66*(1), 9–29.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10228-018-0641-8

Muto, N., Kai, Y., Noda, T., & Nakabo, T. (2013). Extensive hybridization and

associated geographic trends between two rockfishes *Sebastes vulpes* and *S.*

zonatus (Teleostei: Scorpaeniformes: Sebastidae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,

26(8), 1750–1762. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12175

Nachman, M. W., & Payseur, B. A. (2012). Recombination rate variation and

speciation: Theoretical predictions and empirical results from rabbits and mice.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *367*(1587),

409–421. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0249

Nakabo, T., & Kai, Y. (2013). Sebastidae. In *Fishes of Japan, with pictorial keys to*

species. (3rd edition, pp. 565–595, 1519–1522). Tokai University Press.

Neph, S., Kuehn, M. S., Reynolds, A. P., Haugen, E., Thurman, R. E., Johnson, A. K.,

Rynes, E., Maurano, M. T., Vierstra, J., Thomas, S., Sandstrom, R., Humbert, R., &

Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A. (2012). BEDOPS: High-performance genomic feature

operations. *Bioinformatics*, *28*(14), 1919–1920.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts277

Nosil, P. (2012). *Ecological Speciation.* Oxford Univ. Press.

Nosil, P., Harmon, L. J., & Seehausen, O. (2009). Ecological explanations for

(incomplete) speciation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *24*(3), 145–156.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.011

Orr, H. A. (1995). The population genetics of speciation: The evolution of hybrid

incompatibilities. *Genetics*, *139*(4), 1805–1813.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/139.4.1805

Orr, H. A., & Turelli, M. (2001). The evolution of postzygotic isolation: Accumulating

Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities. *Evolution*, *55*(6), 1085–1094.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00628.x

Parin, N. V., Evseenko, S. A., & Vasil'eva, E. D. (2014). *Fishes of Russian Seas:*

Annotated Catalogue. KMK.

Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012).

Double Digest RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and

genotyping in model and non-model species. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(5), e37135.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037135

Plough, L. V., & Hedgecock, D. (2011). Quantitative trait locus analysis of stage-

specific inbreeding depression in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Genetics*,

189(4), 1473–1486. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.131854

Podobnik, M., Frohnhöfer, H. G., Dooley, C. M., Eskova, A., Nüsslein-Volhard, C., &

Irion, U. (2020). Evolution of the potassium channel gene Kcnj13 underlies colour

pattern diversification in *Danio* fish. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20021-6

Pritchard, V. L., Dimond, L., Harrison, J., S Velázquez, C., Zieba, J. T., Burton, R. S., &

Edmands, S. (2011). Interpopulation hybridization results in widespread viability

selection across the genome in *Tigriopus californicus*. *BMC Genetics*, *12*(1), 54.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-12-54

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R

Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Revelle, W. (2021). *psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and*

Personality Research. (2.1.6). Northwestern University. https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=psych

Rohlf, F. J. (2017). *TpsDig, digitize landmarks and outlines, version 2.31.* Department

of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook.

http://www.sbmorphometrics.org/soft-dataacq.html

Rometsch, S. J., Torres-Dowdall, J., & Meyer, A. (2020). Evolutionary dynamics of pre-

and postzygotic reproductive isolation in cichlid fishes. *Philosophical Transactions of*

the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *375*(1806), 20190535.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0535

Rosenblum, E. B., Parent, C. E., & Brandt, E. E. (2014). The Molecular basis of

phenotypic convergence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*,

45(1), 203–226. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091851

Rundle, H. D., & Whitlock, M. C. (2001). A genetic interpretation of ecologically

dependent isolation. *Evolution, 55*(1), 198–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-

3820.2001.tb01284.x

Saha, A., Johansen, T., Hedeholm, R., Nielsen, E. E., Westgaard, J.-I., Hauser, L.,

Planque, B., Cadrin, S. X., & Boje, J. (2017). Geographic extent of introgression in

Sebastes mentella and its effect on genetic population structure. *Evolutionary*

Applications, *10*(1), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12429

Sasaki, M. (2003). *Sebastes schlegelii*. In *Fisheries and Aquatic Life in Hokkaido* (pp.

188–193). The Hokkaido Shimbun Press.

Schemske, D. W. (2010). Adaptation and the origin of species. *The American*

Naturalist, *176*(S1), S4–S25. https://doi.org/10.1086/657060

Schilthuizen, M., Giesbers, M. C. W. G., & Beukeboom, L. W. (2011). Haldane's rule in

the 21st century. *Heredity*, *107*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.170

Schluter, D. (2000). *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. Oxford Univ. Press.

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25

years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), Article 7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Schrader, M., & Travis, J. (2008). Testing the viviparity-driven-conflict hypothesis:

Parent-offspring conflict and the evolution of reproductive isolation in a Poeciliid fish.

The American Naturalist, *172*(6), 806–817. https://doi.org/10.1086/592999

Schwenke, P. L., Park, L. K., & Hauser, L. (2018). Introgression among three rockfish

species (*Sebastes* spp.) in the Salish Sea, northeast Pacific Ocean. *PLOS ONE,*

13(3), e0194068. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194068

Shum, P., Pampoulie, C., Sacchi, C., & Mariani, S. (2014). Divergence by depth in an

oceanic fish. *PeerJ, 2*, e525. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.525

1034 Singh, A. P., & Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (2015). Zebrafish stripes as a model for vertebrate

1035  colour pattern formation. *Current Biology*, *25*(2), R81–R92.

1036  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.013

1037 Song, W., Xie, Y., Sun, M., Li, X., Fitzpatrick, C. K., Vaux, F., O'Malley, K. G., Zhang,

1038  Q., Qi, J., & He, Y. (2021). A duplicated *amh* is the master sex-determining gene for

1039  *Sebastes* rockfish in the Northwest Pacific. *Open Biology*, *11*(7), 210063.

1040  https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.210063

1041 Stelkens, R. B., Young, K. A., & Seehausen, O. (2010). The accumulation of

1042  reproductive incompatibilities in African cichlid fish. *Evolution*, *64*(3), 617–633.

1043  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00849.x

1044 Stern, D. L. (2013). The genetic causes of convergent evolution. *Nature Reviews*

1045  *Genetics*, *14*(11), 751–764. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3483

1046 Takahashi, T., Nagano, A. J., & Sota, T. (2021). Mapping of quantitative trait loci

1047  underlying a magic trait in ongoing ecological speciation. *BMC Genomics*, *22*(1),

1048  615. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07908-4

1049 Tengfei, D., Yongshuang, X., Haixia, Z., Li, Z., Qinghua, L., Xueying, W., Jun, L.,

1050  Shihong, X., Yanfeng, W., Jiachen, Y., Lele, W., Yunong, W., & Guang, G. (2021).

1051  Multiple fetal nutritional patterns before parturition in viviparous fish *Sebastes*

1052  *schlegelii* (Hilgendorf, 1880). *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *7*.

1053  https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2020.571946

1054 Törönen, P., Medlar, A., & Holm, L. (2018). PANNZER2: A rapid functional annotation

1055  web server. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *46*(W1), W84–W88.

1056  https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky350

1057 Turelli, M., & Moyle, L. C. (2007). Asymmetric postmating isolation: Darwin's corollary

1058  to Haldane's rule. *Genetics*, *176*(2), 1059–1088.

1059  https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.065979

1060 Urban, S., Nater, A., Meyer, A., & Kratochwil, C. F. (2021). Different sources of allelic

1061  variation drove repeated color pattern divergence in cichlid fishes. *Molecular Biology*

1062  *and Evolution*, *38*(2), 465–477. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa237

1063 Van Belleghem, S. M., Papa, R., Ortiz-Zuazaga, H., Hendrickx, F., Jiggins, C. D., Owen

1064  McMillan, W., & Counterman, B. A. (2018). patternize: An R package for quantifying

1065  colour pattern variation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(2), 390–398.

1066  https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12853

Venerus, L. A., Ciancio, J. E., Riva-Rossi, C., Gilbert-Horvath, E. A., Gosztonyi, A. E.,

& Garza, J. C. (2013). Genetic structure and different color morphotypes suggest

the occurrence and bathymetric segregation of two incipient species of *Sebastes* off

Argentina. *Naturwissenschaften*, *100*(7), 645–658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-

013-1061-2

Wang, S., Ore, M. J., Mikkelsen, E. K., Lee-Yaw, J., Toews, D. P. L., Rohwer, S., &

Irwin, D. (2021). Signatures of mitonuclear coevolution in a warbler species

complex. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-

021-24586-8

Zeh, D. W., & Zeh, J. A. (2000). Reproductive mode and speciation: The viviparity-

driven conflict hypothesis. *BioEssays*, *22*(10), 938–946.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-1878(200010)22:10<938::AID-BIES9>3.0.CO;2-9

Zhang, C., Song, Y., Thompson, D. A., Madonna, M. A., Millhauser, G. L., Toro, S.,

Varga, Z., Westerfield, M., Gamse, J., Chen, W., & Cone, R. D. (2010). Pineal-

specific agouti protein regulates teleost background adaptation. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *107*(47), 20164–20171.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014941107

Tables

TABLE 1 Significant QTLs for body coloration, shape, counts, and sex. The 'Direction' column indicates whether *S. trivittatus*

(Str) or *S. schlegelii* (Ssc) alleles cause higher trait values (for continuous traits) or the presence of the focal trait (for binary

traits). Asterisks indicate allelic effects in the direction expected from the divergence between the parental species.

Trait	Family	LG	Pos (cM)	Pos (Mb)[a]	LOD	CI lower	CI upper	PVE	Direction
Coloration									
Color cluster 1: PC1	BC_Str	12	0.0	0.7	3.00	0.0	26.2	14.6	Ssc*
Color cluster 3: PC1	BC_Str	16	60.8	25.8	3.36	51.6	60.8	16.6	Str
Black pigmentation	BC_Str	5	11.0	1.3	2.77	0.0	45.9	6.2	Ssc*
Yellow pigmentation	BC_Str	5	34.1	11.4	3.21	0.0	47.4	12.2	Str*
Yellow pigmentation	BC_Str	12	15.0	16.7	3.31	0.0	18.5	11.8	Str*
Yellow pigmentation	BC_Ssc	22	58.0	23.4	5.86	43.9	60.5	22.9	Ssc
Stripe along lateral line	BC_Ssc	1	51.0	29.6	9.27	40.8	51.5	46.9	Str*
Dark bands on dorsum	BC_Ssc	2	35.3	23.0	3.41	17.5	48.7	19.0	Str*
Shape									
GM: PC2	BC_Str	1	40.8	27.2	3.05	37.8	60.2	15.8	Str*
Orbit diameter	BC_Ssc	15	6.0	12.9	3.25	0.0	54.0	6.4	Ssc*
Interorbital width	BC_Ssc	13	2.0	2.7	3.75	0.0	51.6	4.2	Ssc*
Lower peduncle length	BC_Str	12	35.6	32.8	3.19	29.2	42.5	5.7	Ssc*
Lower peduncle length	BC_Ssc	15	24.9	23.9	3.04	0.0	54.0	5.0	Str
Predorsal length	BC_Str	20	37.0	6.8	2.70	11.5	51.3	2.2	Ssc
Anal-fin base length	BC_Str	24	24.0	12.1	2.51	0.8	39.6	17.4	Str*
Anal-fin 2nd spine length	BC_Str	1	51.0	29.6	4.84	43.9	60.2	10.5	Str*

Counts									
Gill rakers on lower arch	BC_Ssc	3	23.0	32.3	2.78	0.0	60.5	15.5	Str*
Pectoral-fin rays	BC_Ssc	11	37.0	17.5	2.79	0.0	58.3	14.7	Ssc*
Spines on head	BC_Str	11	54.0	21.5	3.42	0.0	60.2	16.7	Str*
Sex	BC_Str	12	25.2	26.1	7.71	15.4	29.2	49.6	NA
Sex	BC_Ssc	12	21.0	27.6	20.80	18.5	23.2	94.9	NA

1088   [a] Based on the marker position on the reference genome assembly of *S. schlegelii*. For the QTL on pseudomarker, the

1089   physical position of the nearest marker is provided.

1090   Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; cM: centimorgan; GM, geometric morphometrics; LG, linkage group; Mb, megabase;

1091   PVE, per cent variance explained.

1092 **Figures**

1093

FIGURE 1 Phylogenetic relationship, overall appearance, and distribution ranges of *S.*
trivittatus and *S. schlegelii*. Only the northwest Pacific subclade of the genus *Sebastes*
is shown. Asterisks indicate the species for which sex determination by the *amhy* gene
on chromosome 12 has been demonstrated. Images are of the specimens deposited in
Kyoto University under the following registration numbers: *S. trivittatus*, FAKU 200925;
S. schlegelii, FAKU 201558, kindly provided by Yoshiaki Kai.
(120 mm width)
1101

FIGURE 2 Consensus linkage maps and 95% confidence intervals of positions of QTLs

for coloration (blue bars), shape (orange), counts (red), and sex (grey): a, PC2 scores

based on geometric morphometrics; b, Anal-fin 2nd spine length; c, black pigmentation;

d, yellow pigmentation; e, head spination; f, PC1 scores based on color cluster 1

derived from patternize analysis; g, lower peduncle length; h, sex; i, PC1 scores based

on color cluster 3; j, predorsal length; k, anal-fin base length; l, stripe along lateral line;

m, dark bands on dorsum; n, gill-rakers on lower arch; o, pectoral-fin rays; p,

interorbital width; q, orbit diameter. Arrows indicate positions of the nearest markers of

primary candidate genes of color-related traits discussed in the main text. The

difference in the length of each linkage group between families is due to the presence

of markers unique to either family (see also Table S4).

(180 mm width)

1116

1117

FIGURE 3 Genotype frequencies and transmission ratio distortion of markers on selected chromosomes, shown on the reference genome assembly of *S. schlegelii*. For each marker, frequencies of homozygotes (left column) and *p* values as $-\log_{10}p$ from the chi-square tests for deviations of genotype frequencies from Mendelian expectations corrected for false discovery rates (FDR) (right column) are given. Dotted line indicates FDR-corrected *p* = 0.05.

(135 mm width)

1126

1127

FIGURE 4 Venn diagrams for the number of markers showing significant single-locus

transmission ratio distortion (TRD) and the number of pairs of marker blocks (groups of

two or more adjacent markers) on separate linkage groups (LGs) showing non-random

associations of genotypes. The non-random associations of genotypes between pairs

of marker blocks were regarded as common across samples of different developmental

stages/families if the associations in different samples involved marker blocks with

overlapping ranges on both LGs (none observed in the present study).

(80 mm width)

1136

1137

FIGURE 5 Possible epistatic interactions between pairs of markers on separate linkage

groups (LGs). Each link represents a pair of marker blocks showing a non-random

association of genotypes (chi-square test; nominal $p < 0.01$). Blue and yellow links

correspond to the associations observed in larvae and subadults, respectively. The

outer and inner circles represent each LG of the consensus linkage map and marker

positions used for this analysis, respectively. Red ticks indicate the markers assigned

to different (non-syntenic) LGs/chromosomes on the consensus linkage map and on

the reference genome assembly of *S. schlegelii*.

(80 mm width)