1   The ER protein translocation channel subunit Sbh1 controls virulence of *Cryptococcus neoformans*

3   Felipe H. Santiago-Tirado[a,*], Thomas Hurtaux[a], Jennifer Geddes-McAlister[b], Duy Nguyen[c,**],
4   Volkhard Helms[c], Tamara L. Doering[a,#], and Karin Römisch[d,#]
5

6   [a] Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University Medical School, St. Louis,
7   Missouri, USA; fsantiago@nd.edu; thomas.hurtaux@wustl.edu; doering@wustl.edu
8
9   [b] Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada;
10   jgeddesm@uoguelph.ca
11
12   [c] Center for Bioinformatics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, Saarland University,
13   Saarbruecken, Germany; volkhard.helms@bioinformatik.uni-saarland.de
14
15   [d] Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, Saarland University,
16   Saarbruecken, Germany; k.roemisch@mx.uni-saarland.de
17
18   [*] Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN,
19   USA
20
21   [**] Current address: DKFZ Heidelberg, Germany; duy.nguyen@dkfz-heidelberg.de
22
23   [#] Corresponding authors: k.roemisch@mx.uni-saarland.de
24   phone: +49-681-302 2704; ORCID ID 0000-0003-0415-6558
25
26   doering@wustl.edu
27   phone +1-314-747-5597; ORCID ID 0000-0002-5179-7393
28

Running title: Sbh1-dependence of *Cryptococcus neoformans* virulence

Abstract: 222 words (250 max)

Importance: 150 words (150 max)

Other text: 4790 words (excluding references, table notes, figure legends)

Abstract

The fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans* is distinguished by a cell wall-anchored

polysaccharide capsule that is critical for virulence. Biogenesis of both cell wall and capsule relies

on the secretory pathway. Protein secretion begins with polypeptide translocation across the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane through a highly conserved channel formed by three

proteins: Sec61, Sbh1, and Sss1. Sbh1, the most divergent, contains multiple phosphorylation sites,

which may allow it to regulate entry into the secretory pathway in a species- and protein-specific

manner. Absence of *SBH1* causes a cell-wall defect in both *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *C.*

neoformans, although other phenotypes differ. Notably, proteomic analysis showed that when

cryptococci are grown in conditions that mimic aspects of the mammalian host environment (tissue

culture medium, 37 °C, 5% CO_2), a set of secretory and transmembrane proteins is upregulated in

wild-type, but not in Δ*sbh1* mutant cells. The Sbh1-dependent proteins show specific features of

their ER targeting sequences that likely cause them to transit less efficiently into the secretory

pathway. Many also act in cell-wall biogenesis, while several are known virulence factors;

consistent with these observations, the *C. neoformans* Δ*sbh1* mutant is avirulent in a mouse

infection model. We conclude that, in the context of conditions encountered during infection, Sbh1

controls the entry of virulence factors into the secretory pathway of *C. neoformans*, and thereby

regulates fungal pathogenicity.

Importance

Cryptococcus neoformans is a yeast that causes almost 200,000 deaths worldwide each year, mainly

of immunocompromised individuals. The surface structures of this pathogen, a protective cell wall

surrounded by a polysaccharide capsule, are made and maintained by proteins that are synthesized

inside the cell and travel outwards through the secretory pathway. A protein called Sbh1 is part of

the machinery that determines which polypeptides enter this export pathway. We found that when

Sbh1 is absent, both *C. neoformans* and the model yeast *S. cerevisiae* show cell wall defects. Lack

of Sbh1 also changes the pattern of secretion of both transmembrane and soluble proteins, in a

manner that depends on characteristics of their sequences. Notably, multiple proteins that are

normally upregulated in conditions similar to those encountered during infection, including several

needed for cryptococcal virulence, are no longer increased. Sbh1 thereby regulates the ability of this

important pathogen to cause disease.

INTRODUCTION

Cryptococcus neoformans is a haploid budding yeast that is ubiquitous in the environment, so that

spores or dessicated cells are frequently inhaled (1, 2). In healthy individuals these infectious

particles are generally cleared or establish an asymptomatic latent infection (3). If, however, the

host is or becomes severely immunocompromised, the pathogen may grow and disseminate, both

within and outside of host cells (4). Dissemination to the central nervous system results in a

devastating meningitis, which causes almost 200,000 deaths each year worldwide (5).

Like other fungi, *C. neoformans* is protected by a multilayer cell wall, which is composed of a

meshwork of chitin, chitosan, alpha and beta glucans, and mannoproteins (6). This flexible and

dynamic structure responds to and protects the cell from environmental stresses, while

accommodating morphogenesis. An additional role of the cryptococcal wall is to anchor an

elaborate polysaccharide capsule that surrounds the cell (7, 8). This structure, which is unique

among fungal pathogens, is required for cryptococcal virulence (1). Capsule polysaccharides are

also shed from the cell into the surrounding environment, where they act to perturb the host

immune response (9, 10).

The biogenesis of both cell wall and capsule in *C. neoformans* relies on the secretory pathway,

which begins with protein translocation across the ER membrane through the highly conserved

Sec61 channel (11). An N-terminal hydrophobic signal peptide or a transmembrane domain targets

proteins to this channel, which is formed by three proteins: Sec61, Sbh1, and Sss1 in yeast (Fig. 1A)

and Sec61α, Sec61ß, and Sec61γ in mammals (11, 12). *SEC61* and *SSS1* are essential in *S.*

cerevisiae (13, 14). The 10 transmembrane helices of Sec61 form a protein-conducting channel in

the ER membrane, stabilized by Sss1 which clamps around the Sec61 helix-bundle (Fig. 1A) (12,

15, 16). *SBH1* and its paralog *SBH2* are not essential, but deletion of both genes leads to

temperature-sensitivity at 37°C in *S. cerevisiae* (17, 18). Sbh1/Sec61ß is a tail-anchored protein

associated peripherally with the Sec61 channel (19) (Fig. 1A). Its conserved transmembrane domain

is sufficient to rescue the double mutant growth defect at 37°C, while the role of its divergent

cytosolic domain containing multiple phosphorylation sites is poorly understood (18, 20, 21). As is

common for proteins with intrinsically unstructured domains, Sbh1/Sec61ß has multiple interactors:

it binds to ribosomes and mediates association of the Sec61 channel with signal peptidase and signal

recognition particle receptor (22, 23, 24, 25). In mammalian cells, the Sbh1 homolog Sec61ß also

interacts with the Stimulator of Interferon Genes (STING); this interaction is required for STING's

ability to stimulate interferon expression, thus linking the Sec61 channel to the innate immune

response (26). Sec61 with Sss1 can translocate proteins into the ER on its own, but the cytosolic

domain of Sec61ß contacts secretory proteins prior to insertion in the cytosolic vestibule of the

Sec61 channel and the presence of Sec61ß stimulates import (23, 27, 28). Recently, null mutations

in human SEC61B were identified as a cause for polycystic liver disease (29). The mutations led to

a complete biogenesis defect specific for polycystin-1, a large complex transmembrane protein with

a weak secretory signal sequence (29). Collectively, these data have led to the view that

Sbh1/Sec61ß mediates interaction of the Sec61 channel with other proteins in the ER membrane and

that its cytosolic domain aids insertion of specific signal peptides or transmembrane domains into

the Sec61 channel.

Phosphorylation is a frequent biological mechanism for regulation of protein activity or protein-

protein interactions and a systematic analysis identified 63 kinases that play a role in the

pathogenicity of *C. neoformans* (30, 31). One of these, Protein Kinase A (PKA), regulates the

secretion of a subset of virulence factors (32). Regulation of protein secretion may be important

during physiological transitions such as cell differentiation or development. Indeed Sec61ß - the

only subunit of the Sec61 channel that has been found to be phosphorylated so far - is important for

polarization of epithelial cells, and for development of *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos (33, 34,

35, 36). Both mammalian Sec61ß and yeast Sbh1 are phosphorylated at multiple sites in various

combinations (33, 21; phosphosite databases). The phosphorylation sites all lie in the intrinsically

unstructured cytosolic domain, and are not positionally conserved, with one exception: the proline-

flanked site at T5 in *S. cerevisiae* is present in mammals and some birds, although not in lower

vertebrates, invertebrates, or other non-pathogenic yeast species. This suggests that this

phosphorylation site evolved at least twice by convergent evolution and thus likely has an important

function (Fig. 1A, asterisk Fig. 1B) (21).

The 63 kinases required for virulence of *C. neoformans* include many proline-directed kinases (31,

37). When we aligned the N-termini of Sbh1 proteins from non-pathogenic and pathogenic yeast,

we noticed that - with the exception of *Candida albicans* - all pathogen Sbh1 proteins contain one

or more potential proline-flanked phosphorylation site(s) in the N-terminal cytosolic domain,

whereas Sbh1 proteins from non-pathogenic yeast species (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe,*

Kluyveromyces lactis, Yarrowia lipolytica, Pichia pastoris, Hansenula polymorpha) and the *S.*

cerevisiae homolog Sbh2 did not (Fig. 1B, pink; see Supplementary Figure 1 for full alignments).

This led us to hypothesize that these phosphorylation sites in Sbh1 might be connected to virulence

of the respective pathogenic species. In *C. neoformans* Sbh1 the proline-flanked phosphorylation

sites are preceded by a potential PKA phosphorylation site (Fig. 1B, blue) and secretion of a subset

of virulence factors in *C. neoformans* depends on PKA (32). We therefore decided to investigate the

role of Sbh1 and its N-terminal phosphorylation sites in virulence of *C. neoformans.*

We found that, unlike in *S. cerevisiae,* mutations of the N-terminal Sbh1 phosphorylation sites

(individually or in combination) do not affect *C. neoformans* growth in rich medium (YPD), and

that even complete deletion of *SBH1* only inhibits growth when combined with high temperature

and or cell wall stressors. We also observed that in infection-like conditions the deletion mutant

fails to properly regulate protein secretion; we have identified the Sbh1-dependent substrates and

identified common characteristics of their ER-targeting sequences. Notably, the affected proteins

include multiple virulence factors and the mutant is consequently avirulent in a mouse model of

infection. Together, our results show that Sbh1 is critical for secretion of a subset of virulence

factors and other proteins during *C. neoformans* infection, and is therefore essential for its

pathogenicity.

RESULTS

<u>Sbh1 is required for cell wall integrity in *S. cerevisiae* and *C. neoformans*</u>

We first looked to the best characterized system, *S. cerevisiae*. In this organism, both genes that

encode the Sec61 channel beta subunit (*SBH1* and *SBH2*) are required for growth on YPD at 37°C

(Fig. 1C) (17, 18, 21). *S. cerevisiae* lacking *SBH1* and *SBH2* are also resistant to calcofluor white

(Fig. 1D), which suggests either reduced chitin in the cell wall or altered cell wall architecture.

Further, we observed increased extractability of proteins from the cell wall by alkaline buffer

containing dithiotreitol, which also suggests structural defects in the wall (Fig. 1E). While mutation

of the conserved Sbh1 phosphorylation site T5 to A on its own has no effect on *S. cerevisiae* growth

or cell wall integrity (21), mutation of both proline-flanked phophorylation sites to A phenocopied

the absence of Sbh1 in *S. cerevisiae*. Mutation of both residues to phosphomimetic E had no effect

(Fig. 1D, E).

The phenotypes we observed in *C. neoformans* cells lacking their sole *SBH1* gene were quite

distinct from those of *S. cerevisiae*. Specifically, they showed only subtle temperature sensitivity at

37°C on YPD (Fig. 2A, left panel) and no sensitivity to osmotic or cell wall stressors at 30°C (Fig.

2A). At 37°C, however, the mutant cells were hypersensitive to SDS and barely grew on calcofluor

white (Fig. 2A, lower panels; Fig. 2B, top two rows); they were also more sensitive than wild-type

cells to cell wall digestion by lysing enzymes (Fig. 2C) and showed increased sensitivity to

oxidative stress (1 mM H_2O_2; Fig. 2D, top two rows), which is encountered in the setting of

infection. Overall, we conclude that Sbh1 is required for cell wall integrity in both the ascomycete

S. cerevisiae and the basidiomycete *C. neoformans*, although specific manifestations of this

requirement differ (see Discussion).

<u>Sbh1 N-terminal phosphorylation sites do not affect cell wall integrity in *C. neoformans*</u>

As discussed above, we hypothesized that the N-terminal phosphorylation sites in cryptococcal

Sbh1 were important for protein function. To test this, we first engineered a plasmid to express

wildtype *SBH1* modified with a C-terminal HA tag. The tagged protein effectively complemented

the sensitivity of *sbh1Δ* mutants to cell wall stressors (CFW and SDS, Figure 2B). We then

modified this expression plasmid to encode Sbh1 that was altered to eliminate either the putative

PKA site (Ser8 to Ala), two proline-flanked phosphorylation sites (Ser9 to Ala and Thr12 to Val),

or all three sites. All of these polypeptides were expressed at similar levels, except for the version

with a sole PKA site mutation, which was slightly less abundant (Supplementary Figure 2). We

found that each of the mutated proteins fully complemented growth of *sbh1Δ* cells in the presence

of CFW and also restored growth at 37 °C in the presence of SDS (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, cells

expressing mutant versions of Sbh1 grew slightly better on YPD and were more resistant to SDS

than those expressing the WT protein (Fig. 2B). Each mutant protein also completely

complemented the defective growth of the *sbh1Δ* mutant in the presence of oxidative stress (Fig.

2D). Together, these results suggest that, surprisingly, these phosphorylation sites are not required

for Sbh1 function, as assessed by its role in cell wall integrity.

<u>Sbh1-dependent secretory and transmembrane proteins are induced in conditions that mimic the</u>

<u>host environment</u>

Sbh1 contacts signal peptides in the cytosolic vestibule of the Sec61 channel, so it can both guide

secretory proteins into the channel and control their access to the secretory pathway. To address

whether biogenesis of specific secretory or transmembrane proteins was compromised in the

absence of *C. neoformans* Sbh1, we analyzed the proteomes of wild-type and *sbh1Δ* cells grown in

either rich medium (YPD) at 30°C or in DMEM at 37°C and 5% CO_2. The latter, termed 37D5

below, was chosen to mimic key features of the complex host environment. We detected no

significant differences between wildtype KN99 and *sbh1Δ* strains in YPD at 30°C (Fig. 3),

suggesting no critical role for Sbh1 in those conditions. In 37D5, however, we discovered a set of

proteins that was specifically induced in wild-type cells, but not in the *sbh1Δ* mutant (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Table 1). This set included 32 proteins without secretory pathway targeting

sequences, 21 transmembrane (TM) proteins and 68 proteins with signal peptides (SP) or uncleaved

signal anchors (SA) (Supplementary Table 1). When we analyzed their ER-targeting sequences we

found that the transmembrane domains were slightly more hydrophobic than average for

transmembrane proteins in *C. neoformans*; many also had a strong positively charged patch in close

proximity to one side of the TM domain (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Table 2). Some of the

transmembrane domains of the Sbh1-dependently induced proteins also contained a high number of

prolines and/or glycines in addition to the polybasic stretch adjacent to the transmembrane domain

(Supplementary Table 2). The Sbh1-dependent signal peptides were more heterogeneous, but most

displayed reduced C-region polarity (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Table 2). In addition, they were

suboptimal in various ways which therefore defied bioinformatic analysis: some had limited

hydrophobicity, some contained high numbers of proline and glycine which interfere with alpha-

helix formation, and many had a charge bias problem (either no charge on either side of the

hydrophobic core, or a positive charge C-terminal instead of N-terminal of the hydrophobic core, or

multiple positive charges at the N-terminus of the mature part of the protein) (Supplementary Table

2). Because signal peptides insert as alpha-helices into the hydrophobic lateral gate of the Sec61

channel with the positively charged N-terminus towards the cytosol, any of these features will

reduce translocation efficiency into the ER. We suggest that Sbh1 is required for the biogenesis of

specific secretory and transmembrane proteins under host-like conditions. Our model is that because

the targeting sequences of these proteins are suboptimal for Sec61 channel insertion, they rely on

the enhanced insertion efficiency provided by the presence of Sbh1 (Fig. 4C) (23).

<u>Sbh1 is essential for virulence of *C. neoformans*</u>

The proteome analysis presented above shows that Sbh1 regulates the secretion of proteins that are

induced by host-like conditions. This process is likely important for pathogenesis, as supported by

our observation that *sbh1*Δ cells have a reduced ability to survive within host macrophages (Figure

5, panels A and B). To directly test the role of Sbh1 in virulence, we used a well-established mouse

model for cryptococcal infection. At 14 days after intranasal infection we observed a striking

reduction in both lung and brain fungal burden in *sbh1*Δ-infected animals compared to wild type

(Figure 5, panels C and D). Consistent with this finding, mice infected with wild-type cryptococci

succumbed to infection within 18 days, while mutant-infected animals remained healthy and grew

normally for at least 10 weeks (Figure 5, panels E and F). We conclude that *SBH1* is essential for *C.*

neoformans virulence.

DISCUSSION

In this work we sought to identify factors regulating secretion of virulence-relevant proteins in the

human pathogen *C. neoformans*. We specifically investigated the role of the non-essential ER

protein translocation channel subunit Sbh1. Since the Sbh1 cytosolic domain contacts secretory

signal sequences in the cytosolic vestibule of the channel prior to insertion into the channel it can

potentially aid or restrict protein import into the secretory pathway of specific proteins under

specific growth conditions. This may be regulated by phosphorylation as Sbh1 in all species

contains multiple phosphorylation sites which are only partially positionally conserved (Fig. 1A, B).

In *S. cerevisiae*, absence of *SBH1* and its paralog *SBH2* causes temperature sensitivity, although the

ER translocation defects at the restrictive temperature are modest for most substrates investigated

(Fig. 1C; 38, 18). We hypothesized that this phenotype could relate to defects in the cell wall for

several reasons: other translocation mutants exhibit cell wall defects (39); wall synthesis relies on

secretory proteins; and alterations in this process often yield a temperature-sensitive phenotype (40).

We investigated the effect of *sbh1* mutants on cell wall integrity by assessing growth on calcofluor

white (CFW; Fig 1D) and extractability of cell wall proteins by high pH/dithiotreitol treatment (Fig.

1E). CFW binds to chitin in the yeast cell wall and is toxic (41). *KRE6* encodes a glucosyl hydrolase

required for beta-1,6-glucan synthesis; the deletion mutant is hypersensitive to CFW and is shown

as a control. Cells lacking Sbh1 were slightly resistant to CFW (Fig. 1D), indicating a reduced

amount of chitin in the cell wall, and displayed increased extraction of cell wall proteins (Fig. 1E).

S. cerevisiae cell wall integrity also specifically required the two proline-flanked N-terminal

phosphorylation sites at S3 and T5; mutation of these residues to non-phosphorylatable A

phenocopied the cell wall defects of the deletion mutant (Fig. 1D, E). The *sbh1 S3E/T5E* mutant

behaved like wildtype (Fig. 1D, E). Proline-flanked phosphorylation sites in Sbh1 of other yeast

species occur primarily in human pathogens (Fig. 1B), which suggested to us that phosphorylation

at these sites may play a regulatory role in virulence. The absence of *SBH1* in *C. neoformans*

resulted only in a very modest temperature sensitivity at 37°C (Fig. 1C vs. 2A), although at high

temperature *sbh1Δ C. neoformans* also displayed a cell wall defect (Fig. 2A-C). In contrast to *S.*

cerevisiae, however, mutation of the N-terminal proline-flanked phosphorylation sites of *C.*

neoformans Sbh1 individually or in combination did not phenocopy the cell wall defect (Fig. 2B).

As protein kinase A has been shown to be critical for *C. neoformans* virulence, and *C. neoformans*

Sbh1 also contains a PKA site (Fig. 1B, blue), we also mutated this site alone or in combination

with the proline-flanked sites, but again saw no effect on cell wall integrity (Fig. 2B) (32).

Surprisingly, the altered phosphorylation sites slightly enhanced cell growth, especially notable on

SDS (Fig. 2B). Taken together, our data suggest that Sbh1 is important for efficient secretion of one

or more enzymes or precursors for the cell wall in both *S. cerevisiae* and in *C. neoformans*, but that

the role of the N-terminal proline-flanked phosphorylation sites in this process differs in the two

organisms. Alternatively, the differential effect of the phosphorylation site mutations may reflect

their distinct cell wall compositions: whereas the *S. cerevisiae* cell wall is formed by ß-1,3-glucan,

ß-1,6-glucan, chitin, and mannoproteins, in the *C. neoformans* cell wall most chitin is deacylated to

chitosan, and α-1,3-glucan is a major cell wall component (42, 10).

We next asked which proteins were affected by absence of *SBH1* in *C. neoformans*. Using a

proteomic approach we identified a set of secretory and transmembrane proteins that were induced

under 37D5 conditions in the wild-type strain, but not in the *sbh1Δ* mutant (Fig. 3; Supplementary

Tables S1 and S2). Many of the membrane proteins in this set were characterized by a strong

polybasic patch adjacent to the first transmembrane domain (e.g. CNAG_1854, CNAG_5502,

CNAG_6416), while the signal peptides of the secretory proteins were more heterogeneous, and had

less polar C-regions than average (Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 4A, B). This is reminiscent of the

ER targeting signals identified by Ziska and colleagues in mammalian cells whose ER import was

dependent on the Sec61 channel-interacting protein Sec63 and the ER-lumenal Hsp70 chaperone

BiP (43). In *S. cerevisiae* the Sec63 complex composed of Sec63, Sec62, Sec71, and Sec72

promotes posttranslational protein import into the ER through the Sec61 channel by stabilizing the

lateral gate in a partially open conformation (44, 12). It also plays a role in membrane protein

topogenesis in both yeast and mammalian cells (45, 46). Sec63 is a polytopic membrane protein

with an ER-lumenal J-domain that activates ATP-hydrolysis of the ER-lumenal chaperone BiP

(Kar2 in yeast) (47). Kar2 contributes to protein import into the ER either by directly binding to the

incoming protein or by promoting its folding (48, 49). As proteins dependent on Sbh1 in *C.*

neoformans and proteins dependent on Sec63/BiP in mammalian cells have similar characteristics,

our data suggest that Sbh1 and the Sec63 complex have overlapping functions in promoting ER

import of specific proteins.

Signal peptides generally insert into the Sec61 channel with their N-terminus towards the cytosol

and their N-terminal positive charge (Fig 4B, '+') contributes to this orientation (50). Many of the

Sbh1-dependent signal peptides we identified in *C. neoformans* have no charge bias towards the N-

terminus; in some, the charge bias is even reversed (Supp. Table S2). Sbh1 may help orient such

signal peptides in the cytosolic vestibule of the Sec61 channel with the help of its cytosolic domain.

The polar C-region of signal peptides contains the signal peptidase cleavage site (50). Most of the

Sbh1-dependent signal peptides we identified have a very short C-region (Supp. Table S2) which

may limit signal peptidase access unless the signal peptide is positioned accurately by Sbh1. In

order to efficiently insert into the Sec61 channel the signal peptides of secretory proteins require an

alpha-helical hydrophobic region (Fig 4B, H region, blue) (50). Many of the Sbh1-dependent signal

peptides of *C. neoformans* contain multiple helix-breaking P or G residues within their hydrophobic

helical core regions, and some have very short or very long hydrophobic H-regions (Supp. Table 2).

These features would make it difficult for signal peptides to stably insert into the Sec61 channel

lateral gate. Overexpression of an N-terminally truncated Sbh1 lacking most of its cytosolic domain

complements the temperature-sensitivity and at least some of the translocation defect in Δ*sbh1*

Δ*sbh2 S. cerevisae* (18). Together with our data, this suggests that the Sbh1 transmembrane domain

stabilizes a conformation of the Sec61 lateral gate that favours insertion of the helical hydrophobic

core of signal peptides, even if they are suboptimal.

That Sbh1-dependent secretion is critical for virulence is illustrated by both the reduced intracellular

fitness of *sbh1Δ C. neoformans* in macrophages and the effects in mice. In contrast to infection with

KN99 wildtype *C. neoformans*, which resulted in a high burden in the lungs and death of all mice

by day 18 post infection, all mice infected with *sbh1Δ C. neoformans* survived for 70 days and even

gained weight (Figure 5), showing that the mutant strain was avirulent.

We hypothesize that the striking defect in virulence of the *sbh1* mutant reflects reduced secretion of

multiple groups of proteins. For a few examples, these could include proteins required for fungal

growth in the host environment (e.g. metabolic genes or proteins involved in cell wall synthesis),

needed for virulence factor production, or directly involved in host damage. These categories may

also interact; for example, mutations that alter cell wall glycan synthesis also result in aberrant

formation of the capsule (7, 8, 51, 52). To explore this idea, we reviewed the polypeptides whose

secretion is most impaired in the absence of Sbh1 (Supplementary Table 1), focusing on proteins

with signal or transmembrane sequences that had been previously implicated in cryptococcal

virulence. We identified eight such proteins, which had exhibited infectivity defects in a large-scale

screen (53) and/or been individually studied and shown to contribute to virulence (Table 1). One of

them, Cig1, has also been detected in the blood of mice infected with *C. neoformans*, leading to the

suggestion that it could serve as a biomarker of infection (32). Notably, all of the corresponding

genes are expressed in human CSF during cryptococcal meningitis, most at higher levels than in

rich medium (Table 1 and (54)). Two proteins in this group (Pbx1(55,56) and Skn1 (57)) act in cell

wall synthesis, so their reduced secretion could contribute to the wall defects and sensitivity to

host-induced stress that we observed in *sbh1* mutant cells. Another is required for capsule display

(Cap60 (58)), although its biochemical function has not been characterized, and two others are

proteases (CNAG_00150 and Mpr1 (59)). Many fungal proteases damage host tissues during

infection (60) and Mpr1 further acts in cryptococcal crossing of the blood-brain barrier (59), which

is required for cryptococcal dissemination to the brain and subsequent lethality. Supporting a role

in infection for this group of proteins, six of the corresponding genes are expressed more highly in

37D5 than in rich medium (one other is modestly decreased); this pattern is particularly striking for

CIG1 and *MPR1*, whose expression levels increase over 100-fold (Table 1) (61). Notably, the

evidence that these proteins are important for cryptococcal virulence derives from studies of

individual gene deletion strains, which completely lack one of these target proteins. The situation in

the *sbh1* mutant is different, in that many proteins are secreted less efficiently, but none (besides

Sbh1) are absent. Nonetheless, the impaired secretion of multiple proteins with key roles in

virulence, together with others in the functional groups above, provides abundant reason for the

inability of *sbh1* to cause disease in a mammalian host.

We conclude that *C. neoformans* Sbh1 is important for biogenesis of virulence factors whose

specific ER-targeting sequences make these proteins dependent on Sbh1 for ER import (Fig. 4C).

Recently, Sec61beta has also been shown to be critical for infection by the important fungal crop

pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae*, suggesting a more general role for Sbh1/Sec61beta in fungal

infection (62). Since the intrinsically unstructured N-terminal part of the Sbh1 cytosolic domain is

not conserved between yeast and mammals, our work may suggest a new specific target for

developing drugs against this important human pathogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>*S. cerevisiae* methods</u>

S. cerevisiae strains are detailed in the Supplemental Methods and were grown on either yeast

peptone dextrose (YPD) or synthetic complete medium without leucine, with or without 10 µg/ml

calcofluor white (Sigma), at 30°C or 37°C, for 3 days. Cell wall proteins were extracted from cells

in early exponential phase in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.4, 10 mM DTT at 37 °C, and TCA-

precipitated for SDS-PAGE analysis as detailed in the Supplemental Methods.

C. neoformans strains, growth conditions, and plasmids

All strains were in the *C. neoformans* serotype A strain KN99α background, as detailed in the

Supplemental Methods, and were grown at 30°C on YPD with 100 µg/mL of nourseothricin or

100 µg/mL G418 as appropriate. After plasmids expressing the native *SBH1* gene or version with

5 HA epitopes at the C-terminus were shown to complement phenotypic defects of the *sbh1*

mutant, the tagged version was mutagenized to modify N-terminal motifs and electroporated into

KN99α and the *sbh1Δ* strains as described in (63) and detailed in the Supplemental Methods.

Because of the heterogeneity in copy number associated with plasmids in *C. neoformans*, at least

three independent colonies were picked and tested for each construct; all exhibited the same

behaviors.

Phenotypic assays and mass spectrometry

Serial dilution plate assays and sensitivity to hypotonic lysis after exposure to lysing enzyme were

assessed as detailed in the Supplementary Methods and (64). Total cellular proteome analysis was

performed as in (65, 66) and the Supplementary Methods. Results were compared to the reference

C. neoformans reference proteome and deposited in the PRIDE partner repository for the

ProteomeXchange Consortium with the data set identifier: PXD013894. A Student's *t*-

test was performed to identify proteins with a significant differential expression (*p-value* < 0.05)

($S_0 = 1$) between samples employing a 5% permutation-based FDR filter.

Infection assays

Fungal survival after engulfment by THP-1 (ATCC #TIB-202) cells *in vitro* was assessed as in

(67), with minor modifications detailed in the Supplemental Methods. For *in vivo* studies, 4-6

week-old female C57BL/6 mice were intranasally inoculated with 5×10^4 cryptococcal cells and

either followed by weight for survival studies or sacrificed at set times for organ burden

measurement, as detailed in the Supplemental Methods. All animal studies were reviewed and

approved by the Animal Studies Committee of Washington University School of Medicine and

conducted according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines for housing and care of

laboratory animals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank William Allen, Bristol University, for help with Fig. 1A; Christina Soromani, Andrea

Ludes, and Nicole Spitzlberger (all former students of the Römisch lab) for data shown in Fig. 1C,

D; Alyssa R. Brunsmann, Daphne Ko, and Liza Loza for qPCR studies; and Jussi Jäntti, VTT

Helsinki, for *S. cerevisiae* strains and plasmids.

KR was funded by core funding of the Saarland University. Work in the Doering lab was supported

by NIH grants AI140979 and AI135012 to TLD. FHS also received support from NIH T32 grant

AI007172 and a Postdoctoral Enrichment Program Award from the Burroughs Wellcome

Fund. JGM is supported by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation (JELF #38798) and the

Canadian Institutes for Health Research (Project Grant). VH was supported by Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft grant He3875/15-1. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Proteomics .Raw data files are available in the PRIDE (Proteomics Identification Database):

Project ID: PXD013894

Username: reviewer78525@ebi.ac.uk

Password: 8ZiMfbKG

REFERENCES

1. Kwon-Chung K, Fraser, JA, Doering TL, Wang Z, Janbon G, Idnurm A, and Bahn YS (2014). *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii,* the etiologic agents of cryptococcosis. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine* 4:a019760.

2. Srikanta D, Santiago-Tirado FH, and Doering TL (2014). *Cryptococcus neoformans*: historical curiosity to modern pathogen. *Yeast* 31:47-60.

3. Montoya MC, Magwene PM, and Perfect JR (2021). Associations between Cryptococcus Genotypes, Phenotypes, and Clinical Parameters of Human Disease: A Review. *J Fungi (Basel)* 7: 260.

4. Gaylord EA, Choy HL, and Doering TL (2020). Dangerous Liaisons: Interactions of *Cryptococcus neoformans* with Host Phagocytes. *Pathogens* 9:891-905.

5. Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, Denning DW, Loyse A, and Boulware DR (2017). Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infectious Dis* 17:873-881.

6. Loza L, and Doering TL (2021). Glycans of the pathogenic yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans* and related opportunities for therapeutic advances. in *Comprehensive Glycoscience* (Barchi, J. ed.), 2nd Ed., Elsevier.

7. Reese AJ, and Doering TL (2003). Cell wall alpha-1,3-glucan is required to anchor the *Cryptococcus neoformans* capsule. *Mol Microbiol* 50:1401-1409.

8. Reese AJ, Yoneda A, Breger JA, Beauvais A, Liu H, Griffith CL, Bose I, Kim MJ, Skau C, Yang S, Sefko JA, Osumi M, Latge JP, Mylonakis E, and Doering TL (2007). Loss of cell wall alpha(1-3) glucan affects *Cryptococcus neoformans* from ultrastructure to virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 63:1385-1398.

9. Agustinho DP, Miller LC, Li LX, and Doering TL (2018). Peeling the onion: the outer layers of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 113:e180040.

10. Wang ZA, Li LX, Doering TL (2018). Unravelling synthesis of the cryptococcal cell wall and

capsule. Glycobiology 28:719-730.

11. Voorhees R and Hegde RS (2016). Toward a structural understanding of co-translational

protein translocation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 41:91-99.

12. Wu X, *et al.* (2019) Structure of the post-translational protein translocation machinery of the

ER membrane. *Nature* 566:136-139.

13. Stirling CJ, Rothblatt J, Hosobuchi M, Deshaies R, Schekman R (1992). Protein translocation

mutants defective in the insertion of integral membrane proteins into the endoplasmic

reticulum. *Mol Biol Cell* 3:129–42.

14. Esnault Y, Blondel M, Deshaies R, Schekman R, Képès F (1993). The yeast SSS1 gene is

essential for secretory protein translocation and encodes a conserved protein of the

endoplasmic reticulum. *EMBO J* 12:4083–93.

15. Esnault, Y, Feldheim, D, Blondel, MO, Schekman, R, and Képès, F (1994). *SSS1* encodes a

stabilizing component of the Sec61 subcomplex of the yeast protein translocation apparatus. *J*

Biol Chem 269:27478–24785.

16. Falcone D, Henderson MP, Nieuwland H, Coughlan CM, Brodsky JL, Andrews DW (2011).

Stability and function of the Sec61 translocation complex depends on the Sss1p tail-anchor

sequence. *Biochem J* 436:291-303.

17. Toikkanen J, Gatti E, Takei K, Saloheimo M, Olkkonen VM, Söderlund H, de Camilli P,

Keränen S (1996). Yeast protein translocation complex: Isolation of two genes SEB1 and

SEB2 encoding proteins homologous to the Sec61ß subunit**.** *Yeast* 12:425-438.

18. Feng D, Zhao X, Soromani C, Toikkanen J, Römisch K, Vembar SS, et al (2007). The

transmembrane domain is sufficient for Sbh1p function, its association with the Sec61

complex, and interaction with Rtn1p. *J Biol Chem* 282:30618-28.

19. Leznicki P, Warwicker J, High S (2011). A biochemical analysis of the constraints of tail-

anchored protein biogenesis. *Biochem J* 436:719-727.

20. Leroux A, Rokeach LA (2008). Interspecies complementation of the translocon beta subunit

requires only its transmembrane domain. PLoS One 3(12):e3880.

21. Soromani C, Zeng N, Hollemeyer K, Heinzle E, Klein M-C, Tretter T, et al (2012). N-

acetylation and phosphorylation of Sec complex subunits in the ER membrane. *BMC Cell Biol*

13:34.

22. Levy R, Wiedmann M, Kreibich G (2001). *In Vitro* binding of Ribosomes to the ß subunit of

the Sec61p protein translocations complex. *J Biol Chem* 276:2340-2346.

23. Kalies K-U, Rapoport TA, Hartmann E (1998). The β subunit of the Sec61 complex facilitates

cotranslational protein transport and interacts with signal peptidase during translocation. *J Cell*

Biol 141:887–94.

24. Antonin W, Meyer HA, Hartmann E (2000). Interactions between Spc2 and other components

of the endoplasmic reticulum translocation sites of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Biol*

Chem 275:34068-72.

25. Jiang Y, Cheng Z, Mandon EC, Gilmore R (2008). An interaction between the SRP receptor

and the translocon is critical during cotranslational protein translocation. *J Cell Biol* 180:1149-

1161.

26. Ishikawa H, Barber GN (2005). STING is an endoplasmic reticulum adaptor that facilitates

innate immune signalling. *Nature* 455:674-679.

27. Laird V, High S (1997). Discrete cross-linking products identified during membrane protein

biosynthesis. *J Biol Chem* 272:1983-1989.

28. Besemer J, Harant H, Wang S, Oberhauser B, Marquardt K, Foster SA, Schreiner EP, de Vries

JE, Dascher-Nadel C, Lindley IJD (2005). Selective inhibition of cotranslational translocation

of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1. *Nature* 436:290-93.

29. Besse W, Dong K, Choi J, Punia S, Fedeles SV, Choi M, Gallagher AR, Huang EB, Gulati A,

Knight J, ManeS, Tahvanainen E, Tahvanainen P, Sanna-Cherchi S, Lifton RP, Watnick T, Pei

YP, Torres VE, Somlo S (2017). Isolated polycystic liver disease genes define effectors of

polycystin-1 function. *J Clin Invest* 127:1772-85.

30. Ubersax JA, Ferrell JE jr (2007). Mechanisms of specifity in protein phosphorylation. *Nature*

8:530-542.

31. Lee KT, So YS, Yang DH, Jung KW, Chio J, et al. (2016). Systematic functional analysis of

kinases in the fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Nature Communications* 7:12766.

32. Geddes JMH, Croll D, Caza M, Stoynov N, Foster LJ, Kronstad JW (2015). Secretome

profiling of *Cryptococcus neoformans* reveals regulation of a subset of virulence-associated

proteins and potential biomarkers by protein kinase A. *BMC Microbiology* 15:206.

33. Gruss OJ, Feick P, Frank R, Dobberstein B (1999). Phosphorylation of components of the ER

translocation site. *Eur J Biochem* 260:785-793.

34. Choi SY, Fogelgren B, Zuo, Z, Juang L, McKenna S, Lingappa VR, Lipschutz JH (2012).

Exocyst Sec10 is involved in basolateral protein translation and translocation in the

endoplasmic reticulum. *Nepron Exp Nephrol* 120:e133-e139.

35. Washiyama M, Koizumi N, Fujii M, Watanabe Y (2014). Sec61ß regulates barrier functions of

tight junctions through expression of claudin-4 in MDCK cells. *Biol Pharm Bull* 37:979-986.

36. Valcarcel R, Weber U, Jackson DB, Benes V, Ansorge W, Bohmann D, Mlodzik M (1999).

Sec61beta, a subunit of the protein translocation cannel, is required during Drosophila

development. *J Cell Sci* 112:4389-96.

37. Kannan N, Neuwald AF (2005). Evolutionary constraints associated with functional specificity

of the CMGC protein kinases MAPK, CDK, GSK, SRPK, DYRK, and CK2alpha. *Protein Sci*

13:2059-77.

38. Finke K, Plath K, Panzner S, Prehn S, Rapoport TA, Hartmann E, Sommer T (1996). A second

trimeric complex containing homologs of the Sec61p complex functions in protein transport

across the ER membrane of *S. cerevisiae*. *EMBO J* 15:1482-94.

39. Barbieri G, Simon J, Lupusella CR, Pereira F, Elia F, Meyer H, Schuldiner M, Hanes SD,

Nguyen D, Helms V, Römisch K (2023) Sbh1/Sec61ß promotes ER translocation of proteins

with suboptimal targeting sequences and is fine-tuned by phosphorylation. biorxiv

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.18.492448

40. Wright, C. M., Fewell, S. W., Sullivan, M. L., Pipas, J. M., Watkins, S. C., and Brodsky, J. L.

(2007) The Hsp40 molecular chaperone Ydj1p, along with the protein kinase C pathway,

affects cell-wall integrity in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **175**, 1649-1664

41. Roncero C, Valdivieso MH, Ribas JC, Durán (1988). Isolation and characterization of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants resistant to Calcofluor white. J Bacteriol 170:1950-54.

42. Lesage G, Bussey H (2006). Cell wall assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol Mol

Biol Reviews 70:317-343.

43. Ziska A, Tatzelt J, Dudek J, Paton AW, Paton JC, Zimmermann R, Haßdenteufel S (2019). The

signal peptide plus a cluster of positive charges in prion protein dictate chaperone-mediated

Sec61-channel gating. *Biol Open* 8(3). pii:bio040691.

44. Itskanov S, Park E (2019). Structure of the posttranslational Sec protein translocation channel

complex from yeast. *Science* 363:84-87.

45. Mades A, Gotthardt K, Awe K, Stieler J, Döring T, Füser S, Prange R (2012). Role of human

Sec63 in modulating the steady-state levels of multi-spanning membrane proteins. *PLoS One*

7:e49243.

46. Jung SJ, Kim JE, Reithinger JH, Kim H (2014). The Sec62-Sec63 translocon facilitates

translocation of the C-terminus of membrane proteins. *J Cell Sci* 127:4270-78.

47. Vembar SS, Jonikas MC, Hendershot LM, Weissman JS, Brodsky JL (2010). J domain co-

chaperone specificity defines the role of BiP during protein translocation. J Biol Chem

285:22484-94.

48. Matlack KE, Misselwitz B, Plath K, Rapoport TA (1999). BiP acts as a molecular ratchet

during posttranslational transport of prepro-alpha factor across the ER membrane. *Cell* 97:

553-564.

49. Allen WJ, Collinson I, Römisch K (2019). Post-translational protein transport by the Sec

complex. Trends Biochem Sci pii:S0968-0004(19)30056-8.

50. Hegde RS, Bernstein HD (2006). The surprising complexity of signal sequences. *Trends*

Biochem Sci 31:563-571.

51. Banks, I. R., Specht, C. A., Donlin, M. J., Gerik, K. J., Levitz, S. M., and Lodge, J. K. (2005) A

chitin synthase and its regulator protein are critical for chitosan production and growth of the

fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Eukaryot Cell* **4**, 1902-1912

52. Baker, L. G., Specht, C. A., Donlin, M. J., and Lodge, J. K. (2007) Chitosan, the deacetylated

form of chitin, is necessary for cell wall integrity in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Eukaryot Cell* **6**,

855-867

53. Liu OW, Chun CD, Chow ED, Chen C, Madhani HD, Noble SM (2008). Systematic genetic

analysis of virulence in the fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Cell 135:174-188.

54. Chen, Y., Toffaletti, D. L., Tenor, J. L., Litvintseva, A. P., Fang, C., Mitchell, T. G.,

McDonald, T. R., Nielsen, K., Boulware, D. R., Bicanic, T., and Perfect, J. R. (2014) The

Cryptococcus neoformans transcriptome at the site of human meningitis. *MBio* **5**, e01087-

01013

55. Liu OW, Kelly MJ, Chow ED, Madhani HD (2007). Parallel beta-helix proteins required for

accurate capsule polysaccharide synthesis and virulence in the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

Eukaryot Cell 6:630-640.

56. Kumar P, Heiss C, Santiago-Tirado FH, Black I, Azadi P, Doering TL (2014). Pbx proteins in

Cryptococcus neoformans cell wall remodeling and capsule assembly. *Eukaryot Cell*

13:560-571.

565 57. Gilbert NM, Donlin MJ, Gerik KJ, Specht CA, Djordjevic JT, Wilson CF, Sorrell TC, Lodge

566  JK (2010). *KRE* genes are required for beta-1,6-glucan synthesis, maintenance of capsule

567  architecture and cell wall protein anchoring in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol Microbiol*

568  76:517-534.

569 58. Chang YC, Kwon-Chung KJ (1998). Isolation of the third capsule-associated gene, *CAP60*,

570  required for virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Infect Immun* 66:2230-2236.

571 59. Vu K, Tham R, Uhrig JP, Thompson GR 3rd, Na Pombejra S, Jamklang M, Bautos JM, Gelli A

572  (2014). Invasion of the central nervous system by *Cryptococcus neoformans* requires a

573  secreted fungal metalloprotease. *MBio* 5: e01101-01114.

574 60. Monod M, Capoccia S, Lechenne B, Zaugg C, Holdom M, Jousson O (2002). Secreted

575  proteases from pathogenic fungi. *Int J Med Microbiol* 292:405-419.

576 61. Maier EJ, Haynes BC, Gish SR, Wang ZA, Skowyra ML, Marulli AL, Doering TL, Brent MR

577  (2015). Model-driven mapping of transcriptional networks reveals the circuitry and dynamics

578  of virulence regulation. *Genome Res* 25: 690-700.

579 62. Wei YY, Liang S, Zhang YR, Lu JP, Lin FC, Liu XH (2020). MoSec61ß, the beta subunit of

580  Sec61, is involved in fungal development and pathogenicity, plant immunity, and ER-phagy in

581  Magnaporthe oryzae. *Virulence* 11:1685-1700.

582 63. Skowyra ML, Doering TL (2012). RNA interference in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Methods*

583  *Mol Biol* 845:165–86.

584 64. Gerik KJ, Donlin MJ, Soto CE, Banks AM, Banks IR, Maligie MA, Selitrennikoff CP, Lodge

585  JK (2005). Cell wall integrity is dependent on the *PKC1* signal transduction pathway in

586  *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol Microbiol* 58:393–408.

587 65. Geddes JM, Caza M, Croll D, Stoynov N, Foster LJ, Kronstad JW (2016). Analysis of the

588  protein kinase A-regulated proteome of *C. neoformans* identifies a role for the ubiquitin-

589  proteasome pathway in capsule formation. MBio 7:e01862-15.

66. Ball B, Geddes-McAlister J (2019). Quantitative Proteomic Profiling of *Cryptococcus*

neoformans. *Curr Protocols Microbiology* 55:e94.

67. Santiago-Tirado FH, Peng T, Yang M, Hang HC, Doering TL (2015). A single protein S-acyl

transferase acts through diverse substrates to determine cryptococcal morphology, stress

tolerance, and pathogenic outcome. *PLoS Pathog* 11(5):e1004908.

68. Cadieux B, Lian T, Hu G, Wang J, Biondo C, Teti G, Liu V, Murphy M, Creagh, AL, and

Kronstad JW (2013). The Mannoprotein Cig1 supports iron acquisition from heme and

virulence in the pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *J Infect Dis* 207:1339-1347.

TABLE 1

Gene ID	Gene name	Protein function	SP or TM	WT/*sbh1Δ* proteomics [log2-fold]	Virulence citations	24 h/0 h RNA-seq
CNAG_00150		serine endoptidase, cell wall	SP1-23	5.24	53	1.68
CNAG_01653	*CIG1*	cytokine-inducing glycoprotein	SP1-19	4.00	68	385.34
CNAG_01172	*PBX1*	beta-helix containing protein	SP1-18	3.68	55,53,56	0.78
CNAG_04735	*MPR1*	extracellular metalloproteinase (Mep1)	SP1-19	3.44	53, 59	122.79
CNAG_00897	*SKN1*	glucosidase	TM134-58	3.04	57	3.43
CNAG_02030		glyoxal oxidase	SP1-21	2.79	53	0.97
CNAG_00600	*CAP60*	capsule-associated protein	SP1-33	2.65	58	1.97
CNAG_01331			SP1-18	2.62	53	13.45

Table 1. Sbh1-dependent proteins involved in cryptococcal virulence.

These proteins meet the following criteria: their expression in 37D5 was at least two-fold higher in

WT compared to *sbh1Δ* cells; they contain an SP or TM domain; and they are required for normal

C. neoformans virulence in mouse infections. Note that this is likely a subset of such proteins, as

most cryptococcal proteins have not been tested for roles in virulence; other candidates that meet

the first two criteria are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Proteomics results are shown as the ratio

of expression in WT versus *sbh1Δ* cells in 37D5. RNA-seq results are shown as the ratio of gene

expression at 24 h versus 0 h in 37D5 (61). Expression of a subset of these genes (CNAG_04735,

CNAG_02030, CNAG_00897) was measured by qPCR; these studies confirmed that it is not

affected by loss of *SBH1* (Supplementary Figure 3).

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1: Sbh1 is required for cell wall integrity in *S. cerevisiae*. A) Structure of the Sec61

channel of *S. cerevisiae* (modified from 12; PDB 6ND1). The N-terminal half of Sec61 is shown in

blue, C-terminal half in green, Sss1 in grey, and Sbh1 in magenta. The intrinsically unstructured N-

terminal 38 amino acids of Sbh1 that were not visible in the cryo-EM structure were drawn in by

hand. P, phosphorylation sites; dashed lines, position of the ER membrane; arrow, direction of

protein transport. **B)** Alignment of the poorly conserved N-termini of Sbh1 proteins from *S.*

cerevisiae (Sbh1, Sbh2) and the following pathogenic fungi: Cryn *Cryptococcus neoformans*, Sjap

Schizosaccharomyces japonicus, Hcap *Histoplasma capsulatum*, Bder *Blastomyces dermatitidis*,

Cpos *Coccidioides posadasii*, Afum *Aspergillus fumigatus*, Anig *Aspergillus niger*, Bfuc

Botryotinia fuckeliana, Sbra *Sporothrix brasiliensis*. Except for Sbh2, only regions containing S or

T residues with flanking prolines (pink) are shown. The conserved proline-flanked T5 in *S.*

cerevisiae Sbh1 is indicated by an asterisk; other confirmed phosphorylation sites in *S. cerevisiae*

Sbh1 are shown in grey; and the PKA site in *C. neoformans* Sbh1 is in blue. *Schizosaccharomyces*

cryophilus, *Schizosaccharomyces octoporus*, *Candida albicans*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*,

Kluyveromyces lactis, *Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Pichia pastoris*, and *Hansenula polymorpha* Sbh1

proteins were also screened, but do not contained potential proline-flanked phosphorylation sites.

For full alignments of all Sbh1 protein sequences see Supplementary Figure 1. **C)** Wildtype *SBH1*

SBH2 S. cerevisiae and the indicated mutants were grown on YPD plates at the indicated

temperatures for 3 days. **D)** The Δ*sbh1*Δ*sbh2 S. cerevisiae* strain was transformed with pRS415

without an insert *(*vector*)*, wildtype *SBH1*, or the indicated phosphorylation site mutants and grown

on SC without leucine containing 10 μg/ml calcofluor white at 30°C. A Δ*kre6* mutant which is

unable to grow on calcofluor white and its isogenic wildtype were included as controls. Note that

these are in a different strain background compared to the *SBH1* strain. **E)** The indicated strains,

including the untransformed Δ*sbh1*Δ*sbh2 S. cerevisiae* strain (Δ*sbh1/2*), were grown to early

exponential phase and cell-wall proteins were extracted by high pH/DTT, resolved by SDS-PAGE

(size range shown is roughly 10-150 kD), and visualized by Coomassie staining.

FIGURE 2: Sbh1 is required for cell wall integrity at high temperature in *C.neoformans*.

A) Serial 10-fold dilutions of wild-type (KN99) and Δ*sbh1* cells were grown at the temperatures

shown on YPD alone or containing 1 mg/ml calcofluor white (CFW) or 0.02% SDS (SDS). **B)**

Wild type (KN99) or *sbh1*Δ mutant cells were transformed with vector alone (vec) or plasmids

encoding HA-tagged Sbh1 (SBH1), or Sbh1 with mutations in the indicated phosphorylation sites

and then diluted as in panel A and grown at the indicated conditions. **C)** Cells of the strains

indicated were treated with lysing enzymes (see Methods) for the times shown and then assessed

for % lysis with two-way ANOVA with mixed-effects analysis. * indicates $P<0.05$, # indicates

$P=0.25$. **D)** The indicated strains were grown as above in the presence of 1 mM H_2O_2 or 1mM

$NaNO_2$ on YNB (pH 5) at 37°C. All experiments are representative of at least three biological

replicate studies.

FIGURE 3: *C. neoformans* Sbh1 is required for the induction of secretory and

transmembrane proteins in conditions that mimic the host. Proteomic analysis of wildtype and

*sbh1*Δ *C. neoformans* grown in YPD at 30°C or in 37D5. Samples were analyzed in quadruplicate.

Gene IDs of known virulence factors are indicated by asterisks.

FIGURE 4: Secretory and transmembrane proteins that require Sbh1 for induction contain

specific ER-targeting sequences. A) Characterization of Sbh1-dependent transmembrane

domains. Top, schematic of a typical transmembrane domain (TMD) with features specific for

Sbh1-dependent TMDs highlighted in red. Hydrophobicity (left) and charges within 10 amino acids

of TMDs (right) were analyzed for TMDs of Sbh1-dependently induced proteins (candidates, red)

compared to all transmembrane proteins in the *C. neoformans* proteome (black). **B)**

Characterization of Sbh1-dependent signal peptides. The schematic on top shows a typical signal

peptide (SP) with features specific for Sbh1-dependent SPs highlighted in red. Black triangle:

signal peptidase cleavage site. SPs of Sbh1-dependently induced secretory proteins (red) were

analyzed for N-region charge, H-region hydrophobicity and length, and C-region polarity and

compared to all signal peptides in the *C. neoformans* proteome (black). The only difference found

was in C-region polarity, shown in the graph. **C)** Model for Sbh1 function in *C. neoformans*. Under

rich medium conditions, proteins with Sbh1-dependent ER targeting sequences (magenta) play only

a minor role for vegetative growth (left), and most proteins imported into the ER have Sbh1-

independent targeting sequences (shaded grey). During infection (right), however, Sbh1-dependent

ER import (magenta) becomes essential.

FIGURE 5: The *C. neoformans* sbh1Δ mutant is defective in growth in conditions that mimic

the host or within host cells and is avirulent in mouse infection. (A) Fungi of the indicated

strains were incubated in tissue culture medium (5% CO_2, 37 °C) for 1, 18, or 30 h and then plated

on YPD for enumeration of colony-forming units (CFU; shown normalized to the 1 h CFU value).

Shown are mean and SEM values from 4 independent experiments. (B) Cells were incubated for 1

h with differentiated THP-1 cells (MOI = 1), during which time fungi were engulfed by the host

cells. Samples were then vigorously washed to remove free cryptococci and host cells were lysed to

measure CFU either immediately or after 30 h of incubation. % change was calculated as [(Final

CFU – Initial CFU) / (Initial CFU)] x 100]. Shown are mean and SEM values from 2 independent

experiments. For (A) and (B), * indicates $p < 0.05$ for *sbh1Δ* compared to KN99. (C, D) Total

fungal burden at 14 days post-infection with the indicated strains, measured in lung (C) and brain

(D). Each symbol represents colony forming units (CFU) for one mouse; mean and standard

deviation are also shown. Dotted line, original inoculum. (E) Mouse survival after intranasal

infection with 5×10^4 cells of KN99 wildtype (solid line), *sbh1Δ* (dashed line) or

sbh1Δ complemented with wildtype *SBH1* (dotted line). (F) Weight (mean and SD) of the mice

from Panel E over time after infection. Any mouse that decreased to less than 80% of its initial

weight (indicated by dashed lines) was humanely sacrificed and considered not to survive the

infection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS (word doc)

TABLE S1 (Excel file): Protein expression analysis in KN99 wild-type and *sbh1*Δ *C.*

***neoformans* grown in YPD at 30°C or DMEM at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$.**

Proteins are ordered by degree of Sbh1-dependence (log$_2$ of wild-type/*sbh1*Δ LFQ intensity).

Where present, ER targeting sequences are indicated by amino acid numbers. SP, signal peptides;

SA, uncleaved signal anchors; TM, first transmembrane domain. Ambiguous predictions due to

limited hydrophobicity (TM) or suboptimal signal peptide parameters (SP) are indicated by '?'.

TABLE S2 (word doc): Signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins induced in

DMEM in KN99 wildtype *C. neoformans*. Amino acid sequences of TM domains, signal

peptides, and signal anchors are shown including the flanking 10 amino acids on either side for TM

or the first 10 amino acids of the mature domains for SP. Positively charged amino acid residues

are highlighted in red.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1: Alignments of full Sbh1 amino acid sequences. Protein

sequences were extracted from Uniprot and aligned using Clustal Omega. (A) Sbh1 orthologues

with N-terminal, proline-flanked S or T. Sbh1 = *S. cerevisiae*, proline-flanked N-terminal

phosphorylation sites highlighted in yellow; Cryn *Cryptococcus neoformans*, Sjap

Schizosaccharomyces japonicus, Hcap *Histoplasma capsulatum*, Bder *Blastomyces dermatitidis*,

Cpos *Coccidioides posadasii*, Afum *Aspergillus fumigatus*, Anig *Aspergillus niger*, Bfuc

Botryotinia fuckeliana, Sbra *Sporothrix brasiliensis*. (B) Sbh1 orthologues without N-terminal,

proline-flanked S or T. *S. cerevisiae* Sbh1 is shown for comparison; Sbh2 = *S. cerevisiae* paralogue

without N-terminal, proline-flanked S or T; Scry, *Schizosaccharomyces cryophilus*, Soct

Schizosaccharomyces octoporus, Cal *Candida albicans*, Spom *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, Klac

Kluyveromyces lactis, Ylip *Yarrowia lipolytica*, Ppas *Pichia pastoris*, Hpol *Hansenula*

polymorpha.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2: Expression of wild type and phosphorylation mutants of

Sbh1. Shown is an anti-HA immunoblot of wildtype or *sbh1Δ* cryptococcal cells expressing either

vector alone (vec) or the indicated HA-tagged proteins. 20 ug of lysate were resolved on a 4 – 20%

gradient gel and transferred onto PDVF membrane, which was stained with Ponceau S. This blot is

representative of three independent experiments. The numbers and lines on the left represent the

protein ladder. The Sbh1-5XHA protein is ~16kDa.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3: qRT-PCR analysis of selected genes in WT, *sbh1* mutant,

and complemented strains grown in conditions that mimic the host (DMEM, 37°C, 5% CO_2,

24 h). For each gene indicated, the plot shows mean and standard deviation of results from three

biological replicates, each done in technical triplicate and normalized to *ACT1* expression. There

were no significant differences in gene expression for any pairwise comparison between strains (all

$P > 0.2$ by unpaired T-test).

Figure 1

A

	YPD	1 M NaCl	1 M KCl	0.01% SDS	0.1% CFW

30 °C — KN99, *sbh1*Δ

37 °C — KN99, *sbh1*Δ

B

————————— 37 °C —————————

KN99 + vec
*sbh1*Δ + vec
*sbh1*Δ + *SBH1*
*sbh1*Δ + S8A
*sbh1*Δ + S9A,T12V
*sbh1*Δ + S8,9A,T12V

YPD	0.1% CFW	0.02% SDS

C

D

————————— 37 °C —————————

KN99 + vec
*sbh1*Δ + vec
*sbh1*Δ + *SBH1*
*sbh1*Δ + S8A
*sbh1*Δ + S9A,T12V
*sbh1*Δ + S8,9A,T12V

YNB	1 mM H₂O₂	1 mM NaNO₂

Figure 3

Figure 5

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1: Alignments of full Sbh1 amino acid sequences.

Protein sequences were extracted from Uniprot and aligned using Clustal Omega. (A) Sbh1 orthologues with N-terminal, proline-flanked S or T. Sbh1 = *S. cerevisiae*, proline-flanked N-terminal phosphorylation sites highlighted in yellow; Cryn *Cryptococcus neoformans*, Sjap *Schizosaccharomyces japonicus*, Hcap *Histoplasma capsulatum*, Bder *Blastomyces dermatitidis*, Cpos *Coccidioides posadasii*, Afum *Aspergillus fumigatus*, Anig *Aspergillus niger*, Bfuc *Botryotinia fuckeliana*, Sbra *Sporothrix brasiliensis*. (B) Sbh1 orthologues without N-terminal, proline-flanked S or T. *S. cerevisiae* Sbh1 is shown for comparison; Sbh2 = *S. cerevisiae* paralogue without N-terminal, proline-flanked S or T; Scry, *Schizosaccharomyces cryophilus*, Soct *Schizosaccharomyces octoporus*, Cal *Candida albicans*, Spom *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, Klac *Kluyveromyces lactis*, Ylip *Yarrowia lipolytica*, Ppas *Pichia pastoris*, Hpol *Hansenula polymorpha*.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2: Expression of wild type and phosphorylation mutants of Sbh1.

Shown is an anti-HA immunoblot of wildtype or *sbh1*Δ cryptococcal cells expressing either vector

alone (vec) or the indicated HA-tagged proteins. 20 ug of lysate were resolved on a 4 – 20% gradient

gel and transferred onto PDVF membrane, which was stained with Ponceau S. This blot is

representative of three independent experiments. The numbers and lines on the left represent the

protein ladder. The Sbh1-5XHA protein is ~16kDa.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3: qRT-PCR analysis of selected genes in WT, *sbh1* mutant, and complemented strains grown in host-like conditions (DMEM, 37°C, 5% CO_2, 24 h). For each gene indicated, the plot shows mean and standard deviation of results from three biological replicates, each done in technical triplicate and normalized to *ACT1* expression. There were no significant differences in gene expression for any pairwise comparison between strains (all $P > 0.2$ by unpaired T-test).

S. cerevisiae strains and growth conditions

NY179 (*SBH1 SBH2 MAT* a *leu2-3,112 ura3-52*), H3223 (MAT a *KanMx::sbh1 leu2-3,112 ura3-52 GAL*[+]), H3203 (MAT a *HphMx::sbh2 leu2-3,112 ura3-52 GAL*[+]), and H3231 (MAT a *KanMx::sbh1 HphMx::sbh2 leu2-3,112 ura3-52 GAL*[+]) were gifts from Jussi Jäntti and used to characterize Sbh1p phosphorylation sites (Toikkanen et al., 1996; Feng et al., 2007). *SBH1* cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid was kindly provided by Jussi Jäntti (Helsinki University, Finland). The QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, UK) was used to introduce single or multiple base mutations in *SBH1.* Substitutions were verified by sequencing. Mutated *sbh1* was excised from pCR2.1-TOPO plasmids using EcoRV/BamHI, and subcloned into pRS415. For growth assays cells were grown on YPD or synthetic complete medium without leucine and with or without 10 µg/ml calcofluor white (Sigma) at 30°C or 37°C for 3 days.

S. cerevisiae cell wall extraction

Cells were grown in synthetic complete medium without leucine to early exponential phase and 10 OD_{600} harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 100 µl 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.4, 10 mM DTT, and incubated for 15 min at 37°C shaking at 800 rpm in a Thermomixer (Eppendorf). Samples were chilled for 1 min on ice, followed by sedimentation of the cells in a refrigerated Eppendorf centrifuge at maximal speed for 1 min. 90 µl of each supernatant were transferred to a new tube, carefully avoiding the pellet, and centrifuged again as above. 80 µl of each supernatant were transferred to a fresh tube and proteins precipitated by adding an equal volume of ice-cold 20 % trichloroacetic acid. Samples were mixed thoroughly and incubated on ice for 30 min. Proteins were sedimented by centrifugation at 4°C for 15 min and the pellets washed with ice-cold acetone, dried, and resuspended in 20 µl 2x SDS sample buffer by heating for 10 min to 95°C with agitation. Proteins were resolved on 4-14% Bis-Tris gels (NuPage) run in MOPS buffer and revealed by Coomassie staining.

C. neoformans strains and growth conditions

All strains used were in the *C. neoformans* serotype A strain KN99a background. The *sbh1Δ* deletion in this background used here was obtained from a library of partial gene deletions (generated by the Madhani group (Liu et al, 2008)) and available from the Fungal Genetics Stock Center at Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS) and confirmed by PCR. Fungal stocks were maintained at -80°C and grown at 30°C on yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) media with antibiotics as appropriate (100 µg/mL of nourseothricin (clonNAT, WERNER BioAgents, Germany) or 100 µg/mL G418 (Geneticin, Life Technologies, USA)).

SBH1 plasmid construction

For plasmid construction, the *SBH1* gene with its promoter and terminator sequences was amplified and cloned into ApaI/SpeI-digested pIBB103 (Skowyra and Doering, 2012). In parallel, a similar fragment lacking the terminator sequences and stop codon was amplified and cloned

into ApaI/SpeI-digested pFS5XHA (pIBB103 containing 5 HA epitopes followed by the *TRP1* terminator; this study). After both versions were confirmed to complement phenotypic defects, the tagged version was used for all subsequent analyses. This construct was used as template for mutagenesis of the N-terminal motifs of *SBH1* by using overlapping primers containing the codon changes and the forward and reverse primers used above. The resulting product was digested, cloned into pFS5XHA, and the whole insert sequenced to confirm mutations. These plasmids, together with an empty plasmid control, were electroporated into KN99a and the *sbh1∆* strains as detailed below.

Electroporation of *C. neoformans*
Plasmid transformation was done by electroporation as described in (Skowyra and Doering, 2012). In brief, *Cryptococcus* cells patched on YPD agar plates with appropriate antibiotics were transferred into 5 ml of YPD liquid medium with antibiotic and cells were grown overnight at 30°C with shaking at 250 rpm. The overnight culture was diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.05 in 50 ml of fresh YPD medium (note that this OD_{600} value was measured in a multiplate reader and was not corrected for pathlength). After two doubling times the cells were transferred into conical tubes and sedimented, washed twice with cold dH_2O, and resuspended thoroughly in 50 ml cold EB buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, and 270 mM Sucrose) with 4 mM DTT. After incubation on ice for 15 min, the cells were pelleted, washed with 50 ml EB buffer without DTT, and resuspended in 1 ml EB buffer for counting. Cells were adjusted to 300 million cells per 100 µl, mixed with at least 1 µg of DNA (in no more than 1/10 of the total volume) and the mixture transferred into ice-cold 2 mm gap cuvettes. A Biorad electroporator was used with the following settings: V = 500v, R = infinity ohms, C = 25µF; the time constant should be 15 – 25 ms. Immediately after electroporation, 900 µl YPD without antibiotics was added and the cell suspension was transferred to a culture tube and incubated at 30°C for 3-4 h for recovery before various dilutions were spread on YPD plates with antibiotics to select transformants. Because of the heterogeneity in copy number associated with plasmids in *C. neoformans*, at least three independent colonies were picked and tested for each construct. All exhibited the same behaviors.

Phenotypic analysis
For stress plating, strains to be tested were grown overnight in YPD with antibiotic as appropriate, diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.05 in fresh YPD, and grown for two doublings. The cultures were then adjusted to 2×10^9 cells/ml, serially diluted (10-fold) and 5-µl aliquots were spotted onto the phenotyping plates described in the text. These plates did not include antibiotics because in combination with stressors they inhibit cell growth. Plates were incubated at 30°C and 37°C for 3-4 days.

The assay for sensitivity to lysing enzymes was adapted from (Gerik et al., 2005). Briefly, overnight cultures of wild type and mutant strains in YPD medium were washed once with PBS and once with citrate buffer (10 mM, pH 6.0). Cells were resuspended in citrate buffer and the OD_{600}

was normalized to 1.0/ml with the same buffer. 1 ml aliquots were then subjected to centrifugation and the pellets (one per time point) were resuspended in a solution of lysing enzyme (final concentration 25 mg/ml; Sigma, L-1412) and incubated at 37°C with gentle agitation. At each desired timepoint one aliquot per strain was sedimented and resuspended in the same volume of distilled water (in the absence of lysis the OD_{600} should remain ~1.0). The assay was performed independently three times.

<u>Mass spectrometry analysis</u>
For total cellular proteome analysis, cells were collected by centrifugation at 3,500 rpm for 10 min and the pellets were washed twice with cold PBS before being subjected to acetone precipitation and enzymatic digestion (Geddes et al., 2016; Ball and Geddes-McAlister, 2019). For secretome analysis, supernatant fractions from *C. neoformans* WT and *sbh1Δ* cell cultures were collected and filtered using 0.22 µM syringe filters and subjected to in-solution trypsin digestion (Ball and Geddes-McAlister, 2019). Digestion was stopped by the addition of 10% v/v trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and the acidified peptides were desalted and purified according to the standard protocol (Rappsilber et al., 2007). Approximately 50 µg of sample was loaded onto StageTips and stored at 4°C until LC-MS/MS measurement.

For LC-MS/MS measurement, samples were analyzed by nanoflow liquid chromatography on an EASY-nLC 1200 system (ThermoFisher Scientific) on-line coupled to a Q Exactive HF-X quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). An in-line 75 µm x 50 cm PepMap RSLC EASY-Spray column filled with 2 µm C18 beads (ThermoFisher Scientific) separated peptides using linear gradients from 3% to 20% Buffer B (80% acetonitrile) over 18 min and from 20% to 35% Buffer B over 31 minutes, followed by a steep 2 min ramp to 100% Buffer B for 9 min in 0.1% Formic acid at a constant flow of 250 nl/min.

Raw files were analyzed together using MaxQuant software (version 1.6.0.26) (Cox & Mann, 2008). The derived peak list was searched with the built-in Andromeda search engine (Cox et al., 2011) against the reference *C. neoformans* H99 proteome downloaded from Uniprot (http://www.uniprot.org/) (Aug. 18, 2018; 7,430 sequences). The following parameters were included: trypsin enzyme specificity with a maximum of two missed cleavages, a minimum peptide length of seven amino acids, fixed modifications, including carbamidomethylation of cysteine, and variable modifications, including, methionine oxidation and N-acetylation of proteins and split by taxonomic ID. Peptide spectral matches were filtered using a target-decoy approach at a false-discovery (FDR) of 1% with a minimum of two peptides required for protein identification. Relative label-free quantification (LFQ) and match between runs were enabled; the MaxLFQ algorithm used a minimum ratio count of 1 (Cox et al., 2014). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited in the PRIDE partner repository for the ProteomeXchange Consortium with the data set identifier: PXD013894.

Statistical analysis of the MaxQuant-processed data was performed using the Perseus software environment (version 1.6.2.2) (Tyanova et al., 2016). Data were prepared by filtering for reverse database matches, contaminants, and proteins only identified by site, followed by $\log_2$ transformation of LFQ intensities. Filtering for valid values (three of four replicates in at least one group) was performed, and missing values were imputed from the normal distribution (width, 0.3; downshift, 1.8 standard deviations). A Student's t-test was performed to identify proteins with a significant differential expression ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$) ($S_0 = 1$) between samples employing a 5% permutation-based FDR filter.

In vitro macrophage survival assay
Fungal survival after engulfment by THP-1 (ATCC #TIB-202) cells _in vitro_ was assessed as in (Santiago-Tirado et al., 2015) with only minor modifications: First, since the cryptococcal strains were grown in G418 for plasmid maintenance, they were washed extensively with PBS to remove all traces of drug before being opsonized, adjusted to an MOI of 10, and added to the THP-1 cells. Second, for CFU determination, 0.1% Triton-X100 (which had no effect on the cryptococcal cells) was used instead of SDS to lyse the THP-1 cells.

Infection studies
All animal studies were reviewed and approved by the Animal Studies Committee of Washington University School of Medicine and conducted according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines for housing and care of laboratory animals. Strains to be tested were cultured overnight in YPD medium, collected by centrifugation, washed in PBS, and diluted to 10^6 cells/ml in PBS for intranasal inoculation (50 µl) into 4-6 week-old female C57BL/6 mice (National Cancer Institute) that had been anesthetized with a combination of ketaset-HCl and xylazine. Initial inocula were plated to confirm CFUs. To assess long-term survival, infected animals were weighed 1 h post-infection and at least every other day afterwards. Mice were sacrificed if their weight fell below 80% of peak (an outcome which in this protocol precedes any signs of disease) or at the end of the study (10 weeks). To measure organ burden, infected mice were monitored as above for 14 days, at which point lungs and brains were harvested from all mice, homogenized in PBS, and serial dilutions of the homogenate plated on YPD agar for enumeration of CFU.

REFERENCES

Ball B, Geddes-McAlister J (2019). Quantitative Proteomic Profiling of _Cryptococcus neoformans_. _Curr Protocols Microbiology_ 55:e94.

Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagarj N, Mann M (2014). Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantitation by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. Mol Cell Proteomics 13:2513-26.

Cox J, Mann M (2008). MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized ppb-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide quantification. *Nature Biotechnol* 26:1367-72.

Cox J, Neuhauser N, Michalski A, Scheltema RA, Olsen JV, Mann M (2011). Andromeda: A peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. J Proteome Res 10:1794-805.

Feng D, Zhao X, Soromani C, Toikkanen J, Römisch K, Vembar SS, et al (2007). The trans-membrane domain is sufficient for Sbh1p function, its association with the Sec61 complex, and interaction with Rtn1p. *J Biol Chem* 282:30618-28.

Geddes JM, Caza M, Croll D, Stoynov N, Foster LJ, Kronstad JW (2016). Analysis of the protein kinase A-regulated proteome of *C. neoformans* identifies a role for the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in capsule formation. MBio 7:e01862-15.

Gerik KJ, Donlin MJ, Soto CE, Banks AM, Banks IR, Maligie MA, Selitrennikoff CP, Lodge JK (2005). Cell wall integrity is dependent on the *PKC1* signal transduction pathway in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol Microbiol* 58:393–408.

Liu OW, Chun CD, Chow ED, Chen C, Madhani HD, Noble SM (2008). Systematic genetic analysis of virulence in the fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Cell 135:174-188.

Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007). Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using Stage Tips. Nat Protoc 2:1896-906.

Santiago-Tirado FH, Peng T, Yang M, Hang HC, Doering TL (2015). A single protein S-acyl transferase acts through diverse substrates to determine cryptococcal morphology, stress tolerance, and pathogenic outcome. *PLoS Pathog* 11(5):e1004908.

Skowyra ML, Doering TL (2012). RNA interference in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Methods Mol Biol* 845:165–86.

Toikkanen J, Gatti E, Takei K, Saloheimo M, Olkkonen VM, Söderlund H, de Camilli P, Keränen S (1996). Yeast protein translocation complex: Isolation of two genes SEB1 and SEB2 encoding proteins homologous to the Sec61ß subunit**.** *Yeast* 12:425-438.

Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, Mann M, Cox J (2016). The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of proteomics data. Nat Methods 13:731-40.

WT/mutant LFQ intensity (log2)	Protein IDs	Gene name	SP/TM	Protein function	Name
8.8143	J9VF18	CNAG_00776	SP1-22	mannoprotein MP88	MP88
8.4916	J9VVE4	CNAG_06000	TM466-89	glycoprotein, cellular response to dithiothreitol	
7.0869	J9VG30	CNAG_00164	SP1-25	alginate lyase-domain containing	
6.9625	J9VPN6	CNAG_05312	SP1-19	? (indicates note in orthology column at right)	
6.6036	J9VT92	CNAG_01231	SP1-20	agmatinase	
6.2588	J9VPI7	CNAG_05252	SP1-20	chaperone regulator	
6.2478	J9VL08	CNAG_00985	TM97-116	long chronological lifespan protein2	
6.2119	T2BNM4	CNAG_01314	SP1-20	vacuolar protein	
6.1804	J9VJA3	CNAG_05264	SP1-19	alpha-amylase	AMYA
5.8967	J9VSA9	CNAG_04869	SP1-17	carboxylic ester hydrolase	Pnb1
5.6773	J9VW39	CNAG_06459	SP1-18	vacuolar membrane protein	
5.6758	J9VXY3	CNAG_04753	?TM50-69	Gluconolactonase	
5.3417	J9VPL1	CNAG_03055	no	bud site selection protein 20	
5.2365	J9VDC2	CNAG_00150	SP1-23	serine endoptidase, cell wall	
5.1449	J9VND2	CNAG_01230	SP1-19	chitin deacetylase	Cda2
4.9877	J9VPM2	CNAG_05293		glycogenin glucosyltransferase	
4.8937	J9VPM4	CNAG_05893	SP1-16		
4.7309	J9VRE4	CNAG_04291	SP1-17	glycosyl hydrolase	
4.6703	J9VTM7	CNAG_06659	SP1-25	beta-hexosaminidase	Hex1
4.6317	J9VKV6	CNAG_01040	SP1-21	carboxypeptidase	
4.4586	J9VQU8	CNAG_04111	SP1-23	mannose-binding lectin	
4.3625	J9VWV9	CNAG_05502	TM136-60	DUF1996 domain-containing protein	
4.2684	J9VYJ6	CNAG_01519	no	endonuclease/exonuclease/phosphatase	
4.2591	J9VXD3	CNAG_01865	no	?	
4.2549	J9VMD9	CNAG_07629	SP1-21	vacuolar endopolyphosphatase	
4.2532	J9VXN6	CNAG_05595	SP1-18	Galactose oxidase, central domain	
4.2345	J9VFT3	CNAG_00074	TM95-120	protein PNS1	
4.1512	J9VTX0	CNAG_05731	SP1-23	glyoxal oxidase	
4.1332	T2BPG1	CNAG_04380	SP1-18	peptidase	
4.0744	J9VH90	CNAG_04033	SP1-23	alpha-1,3-glucosidase	
4.0743	J9VWV6	CNAG_04373	SP1-20	alginate lyase	
4.0351	J9VHN6	CNAG_02775	SP1-19		
4.0037	J9VUB8	CNAG_01653	SP1-19	cytokine-inducing glycoprotein	Cig1
4.0020	J9VR64	CNAG_04944	SP1-19	?	
3.9778	J9VIM6	CNAG_00290	SP1-22	amino peptidase	
3.9757	J9VTF2	CNAG_07856	SP1-19	Arylsulfotransferase	Bwc3
3.9754	J9VP43	CNAG_03940	no	elongator complex protein 2	
3.9452	J9VSR4	CNAG_01379	no		
3.9350	J9VPG8	CNAG_04085	no	oxidoreductase	
3.9177	J9VMR8	CNAG_00839	no	mitochondrial protein	

3.8630	J9W153	CNAG_01601	no	lipase	
3.8398	J9VS87	CNAG_02376	no	Identified spore protein 2	Isp2
3.8362	J9W1L8	CNAG_01778	SP1-24	?	
3.8205	J9VSY2	CNAG_03281	TM182-204	glycine-rich RNA binding protein	
3.8149	J9VPJ0	CNAG_03078	?TM79-101	type I phosphodiesterase/nucleotide pyrophosphatase	
3.7808	J9VDQ8	CNAG_00264	SP1-19	endonuclease	
3.7610	J9VVL8	CNAG_04785	no	stress-response A/B barrel domain protein	
3.7446	J9VH79	CNAG_00601	SP1-15	glycosyl hydrolase	Cel1
3.7070	J9VNQ8	CNAG_03829	no	L-carnitine dehydratase/bile acid-inducible protein F	
3.6826	J9VHV6	CNAG_00830	no	nuclear protein	
3.6756	J9VL58	CNAG_01172	SP1-18	beta-helix containing protein	Pbx1
3.6750	J9VMS8	CNAG_00854	TM29-46	sterol isomerase	Erg2
3.6410	J9VQ85	CNAG_03413	SP1-23	alginate lyase	
3.5829	J9VQD1	CNAG_03223	SP1-19	?	
3.5379	T2BPK2	CNAG_04782	TM32-51	phosphoesterase	
3.5342	J9VRC7	CNAG_06967	TM56-78	phytase	
3.5229	J9VQD3	CNAG_02189	SP1-21	alpha-amylase	
3.5128	J9W2F6	CNAG_06081	SP1-20	glucose oxidase	
3.5115	J9VHL5	CNAG_02811	no	small subunit ribosomal protein S29	
3.5046	J9VH59	CNAG_00581	SP1-19	saccharopepsin, vacuole	
3.4800	J9VZG6	CNAG_01854	TM77-99	heparinase familyII/III protein	
3.4553	J9VKN2	CNAG_02708	SP1-29	prenyl-cys oxidase/farnesyl lyase	
3.4399	J9VXZ9	CNAG_04735	SP1-19	extracellular metalloproteinase	Mep1
3.4072	J9VSG1	CNAG_02283	TM49-68	glucoamylase	
3.3827	J9VPB4	CNAG_00862	no	Rhodanese domain protein	
3.3725	J9VID8	CNAG_02754	no	40S ribosomal protein S12	
3.3661	J9VRT9	CNAG_02494	TM551-73	carboxypeptidase D	
3.3486	J9VWM8	CNAG_04269	SP1-16	peptide hydrolase	
3.3272	J9VK64	CNAG_02860	SP1-22	endo-1,3-beta-glucanase	Ebg1
3.3229	J9VTZ8	CNAG_00919	SP1-21	carboxypeptidase	
3.3117	J9VHM9	CNAG_02989	no	PX domain-containing protein	
3.2661	J9VH24	CNAG_02980	SA29-51	dipeptidase	
3.2309	J9VRU3	CNAG_07771	SP1-17	peptidase	
3.2288	J9VMZ0	CNAG_06745	no	histone H3	H3
3.1790	J9VV13	CNAG_02282	SP1-19	carboxypeptidase	
3.1102	J9VEG3	CNAG_00250	SP1-19	glyco-hydro-cc-domain-containing	
3.0441	J9VLU5	CNAG_00897	TM134-58	glucosidase	Skn1
2.9957	J9VJM5	CNAG_03063	no		
2.9897	J9W1W6	CNAG_05567	SA7-25	Ser/Thr-phosphatase	
2.9866	J9VNI6	CNAG_01169	SP1-18	aspartyl aminopeptidase	
2.9524	J9W0V0	CNAG_06351	TM72-92	protein transporter SEC61 subunit beta	

2.9507	J9VRJ3	CNAG_04346	no	dihydrodipicolinate synthase	
2.9155	J9VFF9	CNAG_00699	SP1-21	transmembrane receptor	Lpi15
2.8897	P82476	CNAG_01239	SP1-18	chitin deacetylase	Cda3
2.8672	J9W0T8	CNAG_06336	TM130-52	glucan-1,3-beta-glucosidase	
2.8603	J9VTM0	CNAG_01081	?SP1-19		
2.8252	J9VLJ9	CNAG_01019	no	superoxidase dismutase (Cu-Zn)	Sod1
2.7889	J9VVQ4	CNAG_02030	SP1-21	glyoxal oxidase	
2.7768	J9VMX6	CNAG_06760	no		
2.7690	J9W4A3	CNAG_05644	no	2-Nitropropane dioxygenase	
2.7662	J9VV30	CNAG_06107	no	G protein beta subunit-like	
2.7145	J9W0L4	CNAG_04625	SP1-17	cerevisin/proteinase B	
2.6784	J9VR40	CNAG_07442	SP1-20	phosphatidylglycerol/-inositol transfer prot.	
2.6511	J9VM07	CNAG_00600	SP1-33	capsular-associated protein	Cap60
2.6280	J9W1Z7	CNAG_05610	no	ER to Golgi transport	
2.6245	J9VQB6	CNAG_01331	SP1-18	?	
2.6241	J9VS16	CNAG_07782	SP1-24	oxidoreductase	
2.6115	Q6URB0	CCP1	no	cytochrome C peroxidase	
2.5874	J9W104	CNAG_06416	TM71-93	dipeptidyl aminopeptidase	Dap2
2.5518	J9VEK1	CNAG_00309	TM47-69	membrane protein	
2.5193	J9VQW9	CNAG_02592	no	thioredoxin reductase GliT	
2.5033	J9VV60	CNAG_02225	SP1-15	glucan-1,3-beta-glucosidase	Exg104
2.4889	J9VX79	CNAG_01794	no	2-hydroxyacid dehydrogenase	
2.4732	J9VLH9	CNAG_00407	SP1-16	glyoxal oxidase	
2.4372	J9VHI2	CNAG_00711	no	NTP transf 9 domain protein	
2.4025	J9VPN7	CNAG_03185	no	low temperature-responsive protein	
2.3984	J9VIH3	CNAG_02702	TM100-121	chloride channel	
2.3928	J9VQN4	CNAG_03353	?SP1-24		
2.3459	J9VPI7	CNAG_05252	SP1-20	chaperone regulator (also DMEM)	
2.3016	J9VJR6	CNAG_05193	TM345-67	ERGIC protein2	
2.2927	J9VPG5	CNAG_03146	SP1-25	alpha-amylase	
2.2897	J9VTG3	CNAG_03486	SP1-37	peptidyl-prolyl-isomerase	
2.2444	J9VRG7	CNAG_06694	no	5-hydroxy-isourate hydrolase	Uro2
2.1823	J9VTR9	CNAG_01637	TM30-50	COPII coat, Erv4	
2.1594	J9VL10	CNAG_01233	SP1-24	SH3-domain containing protein	
2.1586	J9VF55	CNAG_00588	SP1-16	ricinB-type lectin domain protein	
2.1216	J9VTM7	CNAG_06659	SP1-25	beta-hexosaminidase (also DMEM)	
2.0402	J9VTW8	CNAG_04130	no	Zn2 C6 domain protein	
2.0393	J9VWV6	CNAG_04373	SP1-20	alginate lyase (also DMEM)	
1.7358	J9VTW6	CNAG_05726	SA18-37	EMC3, ER	
1.7160	J9VSY5	CNAG_01307	SA 7-30	ER, Bap31	
-1.7660	J9VTS5	CNAG_01022	no	MATH domain protein	

-2.0254	J9VMA4	CNAG_00691	SA30-47	?	
-2.0657	J9VWI4	CNAG_04217	no	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (ATP)	
-2.1161	J9VKY8	CNAG_01000	no	nuclear pore complex protein Nup155	
-2.2177	J9VN55	CNAG_02131	no	iron-sulfur cluster assembly protein	Isa1
-2.2858	J9VGI4	CNAG_03753	no	dolichol-phosphate mannosyltransferase	
-2.3175	J9VQ01	CNAG_03321	no	vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 9	
-2.4100	J9VZ46	CNAG_07598	no	importin-alpha export receptor	Cse1
-2.5312	J9VWV1	CNAG_04368	no	CD2 antigen cytoplasmic tail-binding protein 2	
-2.5770	J9VDV4	CNAG_00081	no	Pre-mRNA-processing factor 6	
-2.6249	J9VIX5	CNAG_03871	no	phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase	
-2.8171	J9VL09	CNAG_02567	no		
-2.9067	J9VKS6	CNAG_00148	no	Sec16	
-3.0856	J9VIF5	CNAG_07799	TM504-23	ABC transporter	
-3.1202	J9VTH7	CNAG_01126	no	guanine nucleotide exchange protein for ADP- robosylation factor	Sec7
-3.1426	J9VXG9	CNAG_05512	?TM588-608		
-3.2426	J9VN30	CNAG_02701	no	BAG domain protein	
-4.4577	J9W005	CNAG_05616	TM52-79	ABC transporter	
-4.5663	J9W155	CNAG_07943	TM138-60	ER protein, regulation of Ca++ entry	

Signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins induced in DMEM in KN99 (wild-type) *C. neoformans*

Transmembrane Domains (TM)

```
CNAG_06336          AQQKARSRKR   WFILLGGIILAVAAVVGIVVGVVV   SQVNKNDDDN
CNAG_01854          GFQPKKKRNK   WLWIGLPVLLICIILAAVLGGVL   GSRASNDNKD
CNAG_04782          PPRWRYLRSF   LPVIVLILLFFFLSSYSLLSH   SKSPAAKQH
CNAG_00309          SHNNVRSGTS   FAVRVTIATLIFLWTLLNINLVI   SPFRQNSSISDL
CNAG_00074          RMNPRKRVNG   IIPLILFIAAVIGFAVVSGIAIHSFV   QVNGLGGGMG
CNAG_00985          IKRNRIQTTG   VTMNLVLLAIFFFPLAFAQFGHF   FQQFGPFGG
CNAG_00897          DITSARGWAN   ALTLGILAGGGVMLFAGYPIISFYY   GDSNSSGANTSG
CNAG_00854          SASSTRCLKK   WSVRSLLVALLVSFYIWA   NTINHKFYILDPPS
CNAG_06967          RERSATRLTS   VLSRICIAFLIIVPTLAFAACYF   GRTTLDKVRTW
CNAG_02494          KGGNTDWEAW   YNAIFAFLVLGILVSIAGLYFYF   RRKPVSYRSRISLK
CNAG_02283          HRYRRVVIKG   LLLCLLILSAIVIRVSLGLW   PHYLNSRSVRFEH
CNAG_05502          KKRGCYPSTRRGR   LWFWGLIALTILAIVVIVAVCASVI   PKNNSSSDAT
CNAG_06416          RTRPRSKSRK   ILAILVAIIAFAGTIGVLAASGY   SAVPSFSSKGG
CNAG_06000          RHSILPPQPT   MLPLSLLPGLLALAPL ARAQVTATFPNAGAT
CNAG_06760          YRFSYTPTSP   LLFLYSALTHNPHKIHY   DQDWSVSKEE
CNAG_03078          RRGRGWITISG   FSLPKPLLYLLAIPPLLILINLIAS   TYNSARPHSHY
CNAG_03353          DRETILRPLR   LTGLLGGYNIFAFAC   GGGVSGQAGAVAL
CNAG_02592          SLVTKAIMSTTK   IDLLIIGGGPAGL   SAAITFSRLRRSC
CNAG_04753          GAKVLSWKSK   LVYAGFTMGALATLAYTASHIL   PSSSIAQASHL
CCP1                PSAPRSSNTG   YIFAGLGVAAVGAAYYFY   GTGRTEHDSTNKA
CNAG_02989          ARAEGQVGDLP   FLRFMFHQFLLPFPFLTA   APPTFWSAKVQPF
CNAG_00830          KFKGNNSSSSVEG   LLFMAFAAVQFLFWRVFM   RLDYQCPPHLTFT
CNAG_03063          SLSTNAGLPS   LQIIIPASSTVTLFIAFQ   PSVSLPPFPLSPL
CNAG_04085          RTVDWKTAKIG   IIGLGSIGSHLAFLLSSIGAT   SILYHSRRPSLHAA
CNAG_02702          RLIRRTLEEGE   AYVVISLVGVIIGVSAALISII   TTWLSDIKLG
CNAG_05193          VIRERTTSLYQ   FLIRLAGVVGGVWTVAAFALRV   FNRAQREVSK
CNAG_01637          TMEDVKIKTRT   GALLTFISLSIILTSVMLEFI   DYRRIHLEPS
```

Signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins induced in DMEM in KN99 (wild-type) *C. neoformans*

Signal Anchors (SA)

```
CNAG_05293            MSLPNA   FVTLLTTSSYLPGALVLLHALH   DLHPAPRDFQ
CNAG_02980        HLPSNRRRTS   ILLSLLALLLLLAAGLSLALVV   KHRHNEPTDFLE
CNAG_05567            MTARQT   IIIVSVVSLLLLYLFVHHI   TSSLEPSLPNAP
CNAG_05726        DLYLDPSIRD   WVLIPITLIMLLVGVLRHYV   TQFLNSAPKKQ
CNAG_01307            MTLYYS   ICFALLMSELSLFCTIVCPMPFAI   RKKMFHFLSE
```

Signal Peptides (SP)

```
CNAG_04735               MRSSALIALLPFLATLTAA   RPHHREDKHS
CNAG_02225                MRLLIPFFIVPLAIA   RALPAFPTVD
CNAG_04869               MRWLNIFPLLLPFVADA   SPLQPRRQAT
CNAG_05264              MPVLSNLISFLPFLAIAHA   ATSDEWRSRS
CNAG_00699              MLVNNLFYLIAALVTSAFVVA   QDDGWHIDYV
CNAG_02189              MLVHQAALALIPLFALLPVYA   LDADAMRSRS
CNAG_02030              MTSLNMATLLALLPLLSLVVA   SPSPTPQDGT
CNAG_03146           MLCCFCHRSLSVFNCLFVLRSPTRA   SLGKIEIPLL
CNAG_00581               MKTSAILIAALSAAASVEA   GIHRMKLEKQ
CNAG_07771               MRARLLALLGLSGSVWA   TPALFTVEDM
CNAG_00407                MYSAILLSLLPLLAAA   HRGPSRNLPN
CNAG_04269                MLITPAILALPLLASA   VPTAREQLAF
CNAG_00290             MPSLLLPLILHLLSSLTNTAAA   AAVPPSSPAL
CNAG_00919              MCSKVVSAVLLAFALGSVI   EAAREPHGLR
CNAG_00264               MKLLPLALVVASTLPSALS   WGAAGHEMVA
CNAG_02775               MFALKSILVTSLITSTALA   HFTLDYPQRS
CNAG_03486 MNISHPIPTTMASRSFLSLLVALFVAICFVLSPGADA   AKGPVITNKV
CNAG_00264               MKLLPLALVVASTLPSALS   WGAAGHEMVA
CNAG_02189              MLVHQAALALIPLFALLPVYA   LDADAMRSRS
CNAG_07442              MKLVPLLIPFIATTVTASLA   GEALSWASQL
CNAG_04625               MRFTAASLLLLPLAALA   SPIAQPSLAP
CNAG_00150            MVAFHASSALLSFALLATGFANA   FLSDDIKRGSDS
CNAG_00250              MLAANLISLVLVLPTLALA   RHNSPFNGHSPS
```

Signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins induced in DMEM in KN99 (wild-type) *C. neoformans*

```
CNAG_00776                   MISKVAVGAAAALMAGVANVNA  QVTATGTMGPTN
CNAG_00588                       MLFFATLLPLLALVSA  APFTKRYTGAKI
CNAG_00164                   MTMLLGAFISSMICLLSHIPTAFA  FGLQNYPNLFF
CNAG_00601                        MLFPALALLCPVLVA  AHGQLSWVQVGTGP
CNAG_04033                    MIRSSLLWLTIIATLALLSLTLA  VKSEDFKQCSQ
CNAG_02860                    MLVSNVVFPLFTLSFAVPAVSA  MRLNPAHAKR
CNAG_02708             MPPPSLTSSSTRFRVGFFVLLLAVSLSLT  HFTPSFTSHRS
CNAG_01314                     MRFPLLLPFAALELPIPTAP  LEWKQANFLS
CNAG_01040                    MWSKILTTALLVFALGSVVGA  ARDPLGLRGRR
CNAG_01233                   MLPTFPRPISISSLLISFIHVSSI  TVVASTAAPA
CNAG_01172                       MLFPLSLLLHLTSTVAA  ATTKCLVSGDQD
CNAG_00600       MPPQSFPLTVAPQTQRLAIRAALAILGLFILRA  LFSSSKSPEEIQSH
CNAG_07629                     MRSRLLASLFTLALSVASSEA  ISSTGQMPLNG
CNAG_05567                  MTARQTIIIVSVVSLLLLYLFVHHITSS  LEPSLPNAPSRD
CNAG_01230                     MIPSTAAALLTLTAGAAFA  HTGCGGHEIGR
CNAG_01169                      MAKASVVLFLSATTRSLA  RYLMKASIPFPP
CNAG_05252                     MLPTTLFFLLTLALLGGCLA  ESLYSVLGVRK
CNAG_05893                         MKYALFALALAGAVSA  QSNSTANSTESILI
CNAG_05312                      MSSLHHLVPLLFLIAPALS  QYTATYSPSSLP
CNAG_03413                    MSPFLTAALLSTLLSFVPSPVSA  VTLESIIDAYGLS
CNAG_01331                      MLFGTLAYLALTITYGYS  LTVPQAHRETLE
CNAG_03223                     MHSTAIIASFLASLALSNA  IKVESPNKDTV
CNAG_04111                   MRRNPTLSLLSLTALTAISGVNA  AEPEDSLTSVI
CNAG_04944                     MRSPGPSLLLLAALPLASA  THLSNKLQDKV
CNAG_04291                      MLLPVITPLLLAAASYA  QQSTPRVLVYSAT
CNAG_07782                    MTKSLYAFFSIILLFSVSSSVAA  SPQAQHHQHAMASS
CNAG_01231                    MYGSLGVSILLMAATPIVLA  KIQEGGGYSQ
CNAG_07856                    MLLPLIISTAIGVAPFARA  YLVNSSDYSSG
CNAG_06659                 MLFNGLLEAVSLSLPFFASPSPLSA  KPDINVVPLPRH
CNAG_05731                   MLSPLTLLHALSLAALAALPAQA  QLANTFQYVGLSGVSA
CNAG_01653                      MIFNRFTFTAAMAASAASA  ISVQRRAQITD
CNAG_04380                       MVNAHILTVLSILPLSLA  TLPEPDPHNGLSL
```

Signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins induced in DMEM in KN99 (wild-type) *C. neoformans*

```
CNAG_02282                    MRTSPHTCVLLLCAASAIA   TPQHQAPIQLP
CNAG_06459                    MRSLSVFAIAICARSAVA   GQSPFTFGRAPQ
CNAG_04373                    MLTSIIAALPLLASLPLSSA   YAVSDDAALES
CNAG_05595                   MFAVAALASLLSAIAVKA   VPCVQFDSSWNL
CNAG_01778              MFDFIITFFIAAAILAAVMALSVA   ITLPFAGALVRW
CNAG_06081                   MLAPLALLPLLSLALPQVSA   DTRPGGLPHN
CNAG_01239                    MYGHLSLSALSLFAVVAA   APFRESWLQPRD
CNAG_02592       MPSLVTKAIMSTTKIDLLIIGGGPAGLSAA   ITFSRLRRSC
CNAG_01081                    MKVIVLGASGFIGHRVALA   FVQAGHFVWG
CNAG_01601              MYIPGPLRLSSYFLPFISSPSPPAQS   SPDTRTISFKP
```