Temperature sensitivity of the interspecific interaction strength of coastal marine fish communities

Authors:

Masayuki Ushio[1,2,3*], Tetsuya Sado[4], Takehiko Fukuchi[4], Sachia Sasano[5,6], Reiji Masuda[5], Yutaka Osada[7], Masaki Miya[4,*]

Email: MU, ong8181@gmail.com; TS, zacco_evolans@yahoo.co.jp; TF, fukuchi_takehiko0728@yahoo.co.jp; SS, sasano.sachia.75e@gmail.com; RM, masuda.reiji.3w@kyoto-u.ac.jp; YO, ytosada@gmail.com; MM, masaki_miya@me.com

Author Affiliations:

[1]Hakubi Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan,

[2]Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu 520-2113, Japan,

[3]Department of Ocean Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China

[4]Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Chiba 260-8682, Japan,

[5]Maizuru Fisheries Research Station, Kyoto University, Maizuru, Kyoto 625-0086, Japan,

[6]Fisheries Technology Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Ishigaki, Okinawa 907-0451, Japan,

[7]Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

[*]Corresponding authors

Keywords: Community dynamics; Information flow; Environmental DNA; Fish; Interaction network; Quantitative environmental DNA analysis

Data availability statement:

Source scripts for the analyses and figure generations are archived in Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7865958) and publicly available at Github (https://github.com/ong8181/eDNA-BosoFish-network). DNA data is deposited DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA submission ID = DRA014111).

Abstract:

The effects of temperature on interaction strengths are important for understanding and

forecasting how global climate change impacts marine ecosystems; however, tracking and

quantifying interactions of marine fish species is practically difficult especially under field

conditions, and thus, how temperature influences their interaction strengths under field

conditions remains poorly understood. We herein performed quantitative fish environmental

DNA (eDNA) metabarcoding on 550 seawater samples that were collected twice a month

from 11 coastal sites for two years in the Boso Peninsula, Japan, and analyzed eDNA

monitoring data using nonlinear time-series analytical tools. We detected fish-fish

interactions as information flow between eDNA time series, reconstructed interaction

networks for the top 50 frequently detected species, and quantified pairwise, fluctuating

interaction strengths. Although there was a large variation, water temperature influenced

fish-fish interaction strengths. The impact of water temperature on interspecific interaction

strengths varied among fish species, suggesting that fish species identity influences the

temperature effects on interactions. For example, interaction strengths that *Halichoeres*

tenuispinis and *Microcanthus stringatus* received strongly increased with water temperature,

while those of *Engraulis japonicus* and *Girella punctata* decreased with water temperature.

An increase in water temperature induced by global climate change may change fish

interactions in a complex way, which consequently influences marine community dynamics

and stability. Our research demonstrates a practical research framework to study the effects of

environmental variables on interaction strengths of marine communities in nature, which

would contribute to understanding and predicting natural marine ecosystem dynamics.

Introduction

Interspecific interactions are key to understanding and predicting the dynamics of ecological communities (May, 1972; Tang et al., 2014; Wootton and Emmerson, 2005; Wootton and Stouffer, 2015). Theoretical and empirical studies have shown that various properties of interspecific interactions, namely, the number of interactions, sign (positive or negative), strength, and correlations of pair-wise interactions (e.g., predator-prey interactions), influence the dynamics, stability, and diversity of ecological communities in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Allesina et al., 2015; Mougi and Kondoh, 2012; Ratzke et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2014; Ushio, 2022a; Ushio et al., 2018a). Interaction strength is a fundamental property, and previous studies examined the relationship between interaction strengths and ecological community properties (Wootton and Emmerson, 2005; Wootton and Stouffer, 2015). The dominance of weak interactions stabilizes the dynamics of a natural fish community (Ushio et al., 2018a). Interaction strengths have been reported to decrease with increases in the diversity of experimental microbial communities (Ratzke et al., 2020), and this holds true even for more diverse ecological communities under field conditions (Ushio, 2022a).

Environmental variables exert significant effects on interspecific interaction strengths. The effects of temperature on interaction strengths are important for understanding and forecasting the impact of the ongoing global climate change on ecosystems. The relationship between temperature and interaction strengths has been investigated in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems for decades (Adams and Zhang, 2009; Allan et al., 2015; Coley and Aide, 1991; Hein et al., 2014; Kishi et al., 2005; Kordas et al., 2011; Kratina et al., 2012; Rall et al., 2010; Thakur et al., 2017). In terrestrial ecosystems, Coley & Aide (1991) proposed that interactions between plants and insect herbivores were generally stronger in warmer regions, which may result in stronger negative density dependence for tree species and contribute to higher plant diversity in a tropical region (Forrister et al., 2019). The influence of temperature on interaction strengths has also been investigated in other systems: terrestrial arthropods interactions (Rall et al., 2010), fish-fish interactions (Allan et al., 2015; Hein et al., 2014), and fish-prey interactions (Kishi et al., 2005). In addition, Wieczynski *et al*. (2021) showed that species-level functional traits (e.g., body size and shape) may underlie the relationships between temperature and interaction strengths. Therefore, interplays among temperature, interaction strengths, species identity, and community-level function (e.g., primary production) is prevalent, and a more detailed understanding of the complex interactions

among them is critical for predicting ecosystem responses to climate change.

In marine ecosystems, interspecific interactions in ecological communities play a

fundamental role in system dynamics, such as population dynamics, primary production, and

nutrient cycling (Hannisdal et al., 2017; Penn et al., 2019; Ushio et al., 2018a), as well as

ecosystem services, including food supply (Smith et al., 2019). Although many studies have

evaluated the effects of temperature on interaction strengths of marine organisms (Kordas et

al., 2011), most of these studies have been performed targeting relatively immobile or small

organisms (Bertness and Ewanchuk, 2002; Chen et al., 2012) or under laboratory conditions

(e.g., mesocosm experiments; Allan et al., 2015). While the previous studies have provided

invaluable information, it currently remains unclear how temperature influences the strengths

of interspecific interactions of larger, more mobile marine organisms, such as fish, which may

exert strong top-down regulations on ecological communities, especially under field

condition. This may be due to the difficulties associated with detecting and measuring

interaction strengths among multiple, relatively large, mobile species under field conditions;

the identification of quickly-moving fish species and the quantification of their abundance

under field conditions are challenging, and the quantification of their interactions is even

more difficult. Overcoming these difficulties and understanding how temperature influences

the strength of interspecific interactions of marine fish communities will provide insights into

how marine fish communities are assembled, how they may respond to the ongoing and

future global climate change, and how the changes in fish communities may transmit to other

trophic levels.

Environmental DNA (eDNA), defined here as extra-organismal DNA left behind by

macro-organisms (Bohmann et al., 2014), has been attracting increasing attention as an

indirect genetic marker for inferring the presence of species for biodiversity monitoring

(Cristescu and Hebert, 2018; Deiner et al., 2017). A simple protocol for collecting eDNA

samples from aquatic environments facilitates continuous biodiversity monitoring at multiple

sites (Deiner et al., 2017), and eDNA metabarcoding (the simultaneous detection of multiple

species using universal primers and a high-throughput sequencer) provides useful

information on the dynamics of ecological communities (Bálint et al., 2018; Miya, 2022;

Miya et al., 2020; Ushio, 2022a). Recent studies demonstrated that eDNA metabarcoding

combined with frequent water sampling enabled the efficient monitoring of high-diversity

ecological communities (Bista et al., 2017; Djurhuus et al., 2020; Ushio, 2022a). Furthermore,

if eDNA metabarcoding is performed quantitatively (e.g., by including spike-in DNAs; Ushio

et al., 2018b), these data may contain information on species abundance.

More importantly, recent studies have shown that information on interspecific

interactions may be embedded in multispecies eDNA time series, particularly when the data

is "quantitative" (Ushio, 2022a). Advances in nonlinear time series analyses have enabled the

quantification of interspecific interactions only from time series data. For example, transfer

entropy (TE) is a method based on the information theory that quantifies information flow

between two variables (Runge et al., 2012; Schreiber, 2000). Information flow can be an

index of interspecific interactions when applied to ecological data. Convergent cross mapping

(CCM) is a causality detection tool in empirical dynamic modeling (Sugihara et al., 2012),

which is based on the dynamical theory. TE and CCM have more recently been understood

under the information theory framework, and unified information-theoretic causality (UIC)

may also quantify information flow between variables (Osada et al., 2023). In addition, an

improved version of a sequentially-weighted global linear map (S-map), called the multiview

distance regularized (MDR) S-map, enables accurate quantifications of interaction strengths

of a large interaction network even when the number of network nodes exceeds the time

series length (Chang et al., 2021). These advanced statistical tools facilitate the detection and

quantification of interspecific interactions from quantitative, multispecies eDNA time-series

data.

In the present study, we collected eDNA samples twice a month from 11 coastal sites at

the southern tip of the Boso Peninsula, central Japan (Fig. 1a) for two years (a total of 550

samples). This region is located on the Pacific side of Honshu Island around 35˚N and is

markedly affected by the warm Kuroshio Current, cold Oyashio Current, and inner-bay water

from Tokyo Bay. These geographic and oceanographic characteristics form latitudinal

temperature gradients, allowing us to investigate temperature effects on interspecific

interactions. We obtained quantitative eDNA metabarcoding data by combining MiFish

eDNA metabarcoding data (relative abundance data) and the eDNA concentration data

obtained through quantitative PCR (qPCR) for one of the most common fish species,

Acanthopagrus schlegelii (e.g., absolute abundance data of *A. schlegelii* eDNA was utilized

as an internal spike-in DNA; see Methods). Based on quantitative, multispecies eDNA

time-series data, pairwise species interactions were detected as information flow between

species using a nonlinear time series analysis (Osada et al., 2023). In addition, interaction

strengths at each time point were quantified for the detected fish-fish interactions using the

MDR S-map method (Chang et al., 2021). As our eDNA time series was taken twice a month,

the interactions detected should also have the same time scale (e.g., the interactions detected

may cause changes in the population size at the same time scale), which means that we tend

to focus on behavior-level interactions (e.g., feeding, vigilance, and schooling) rather than

birth-death process in the present study (except for predation). In addition, the interactions we

detected by the time series analysis could include various types of interactions such as

competition and mutualism that could be involved in the population dynamics. We

hypothesized that (1) a positive relationship exists between temperature and interaction

strengths at the community level, as found in other ecosystems and many types of

interactions, and (2) the relationship between the patterns of temperature and interaction

strengths varies among fish species because of species-specific ecologies and the behavior of

component species.

Materials and Methods

Study sites and environmental observations

We selected 11 sites (Stations [Sts.] 1–11) for seawater sampling along the shoreline of

the southern tip of the Boso Peninsula (Fig. 1a). The 11 stations are located within or

surrounded by rocky shores with intricate coastlines. They are arranged such that the five

stations on the Pacific and Tokyo Bay sides are approximately at the same latitudinal

intervals, with St. 6 in between. The northernmost stations on both sides (Sts. 1 and 11) are

markedly affected by the cold Oyashio Current (Yang et al., 1993) and inner-bay water from

Tokyo Bay (Fujiwara and Yamada, 2002), respectively, while the remaining stations (Sts.

2–10) are markedly affected by the warm Kuroshio Current flowing northward along the

Pacific coast as well as its branches (Soh, 2003). Before seawater sampling at each station,

we measured seawater temperature (˚C) and salinity (‰) using a portable water-quality meter

(WQC-30, Toa DKK, Tokyo, Japan). In addition, we recorded the sampling start time,

latitude/longitude, weather and sea conditions, and turbidity.

Collection of eDNA samples

Detailed information on seawater sampling and on-site filtration is provided in

Supporting Information. We collected seawater samples twice a month (i.e., around the first

and second quarter moons) for two years between August 2017 and August 2019 by casting a

bucket fastened to a rope at the 11 sites. We filtered the collected seawater using a

combination of a filter cartridge and disposable syringe until the final filtration volume

reached 1,000 mL for each replicate and obtained duplicate samples at each site. We added

RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific, DE, USA) into the cartridge to prevent eDNA

degradation. We made a filtration blank (FB) by filtering 500 mL of purified water in the

same manner at the end of each day of water sampling.

Laboratory protocol

Detailed information on the laboratory protocol is provided in Supporting Information.

We thoroughly sterilized the workspace and equipment in the DNA extraction, pre-PCR, and

post-PCR rooms before all experiments. We used filtered pipette tips and conducted all

eDNA extraction, pre-PCR, and post-PCR manipulations in three different dedicated rooms

that were separate from each other to safeguard against cross-contamination.

We extracted eDNA from filter cartridges using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the methods developed by Miya *et al.* (2016) with

slight modifications (Minamoto et al., 2021; Miya and Sado, 2019a). After removing

RNAlater from filter cartridges, they were subjected to lysis using proteinase K. We purified

the collected DNA extracts using the above kit following the manufacturer's protocol and the

final elution volume was set to 200 µL. An extraction blank (EB) was also created during this

process.

We employed two-step PCR for paired-end library preparation using the MiSeq

platform (Illumina, CA, USA). We generally followed the methods developed by Miya et al.

(2015) and subsequently modified by Miya & Sado (2019b). We performed the first-round

PCR (1st PCR) using a mixture of the following six primers: MiFish-U-forward,

MiFish-U-reverse, MiFish-E-forward-v2, MiFish-E-reverse-v2, MiFish-U2-forward, and

MiFish-U2-reverse (Table 1). The 1st PCR amplified a hypervariable region of the

mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene (*ca.* 172 bp; hereafter called the "MiFish sequence"). We also

prepared a 1st PCR blank (1B) during this process, in addition to FB and EB. After the 1st

PCR, PCR products were purified, quantified, diluted to 0.1 ng/µL, and used as templates for

the second-round PCR (2nd PCR).

We performed the 2nd PCR to append dual-indexed sequences and flow cell-binding

sites for the MiSeq platform. We prepared a 2nd PCR blank (2B) during this process in

addition to FB, EB, and 1B. In total, we made 195 blanks (FB = 60, EB = 50, 1B = 50, 2B =

35) to monitor contamination during the on-site filtration, subsequent DNA extraction, and

1st and 2nd PCR of 550 samples.

We pooled each of the individual paired-end libraries in an equal volume, performed

electrophoresis on an agarose gel (Invitrogen, CA, USA), and excised the target amplicons

(*ca*. 370 bp). The concentrations of the size-selected libraries were measured, diluted to

10–12.0 pM, and sequenced on the MiSeq platform using the MiSeq v2 Reagent Kit for 2 ×

150 bp PE (Illumina, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. We performed 21

MiSeq runs (with eDNA samples of other projects) to complete the analysis, which generated

71,892,685 reads in total for the eDNA samples of this project.

Sequence analysis

We performed data preprocessing and analyses of raw MiSeq reads from the MiSeq run

using PMiFish ver. 2.4 (https://github.com/rogotoh/PMiFish; Miya et al. 2020). Detailed

information on sequence data processing is provided in Supporting Information. Forward

(R1) and reverse (R2) reads were merged while discarding short reads (<100 bp) after tail

trimming and paired reads with too many differences (>5 positions) in the aligned region (*ca*.

65 bp). Primer sequences were removed from merged reads and reads without subjecting the

primer sequences to quality filtering to remove low quality reads. Preprocessed reads were

dereplicated, and all singletons, doubletons, and tripletons were removed from subsequent

analyses to avoid false positives (Edgar, 2010). Dereplicated reads were denoised to generate

amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that removed all putatively chimeric and erroneous

sequences (Callahan et al., 2017; Edgar, 2016).

ASVs were subjected to taxon assignments to species names with a sequence identity

of >98.5% with the reference sequences (two nucleotide differences allowed) and a query

coverage of ≥90%. ASVs with identical fish species names were clustered as molecular

operational taxonomic units (MOTUs) following the procedure described in Supporting

Information. MiFish DB ver. 43 was used for taxon assignment, comprising 7,973 species

distributed across 464 families and 2,675 genera. The ASV table was rarefied to the

approximate minimum read number (*ca*. 20,000 reads), which resulted in 10,984,789 reads in

total for the rarefied ASV table. At present, the reference sequences are available for about

70% of 4,500 fish species in Japan. However, due to the unknown degree of intraspecific

variation, using a uniform threshold of 98.5% to delineate species can result in over-splitting

or over-clustering MOTUs. To solve this issue, manual refinement of the taxon assignments

was performed based on the phylogenetic tree. To refine the above taxon assignments,

family-level phylogenies were reproduced from MiFish sequences from MOTUs and

reference sequences (contained in MiFish DB ver. 43) belonging to these families. A total of

103 family-level trees were visually inspected and taxon assignments were revised. The final

list of detected species is provided in Table S1. The negative controls produced negligible

reads and all of the reads were assigned to non-target taxa. Therefore, we discarded the

sequence reads from the negative control samples (see Results and Discussion for details).

Estimation of DNA copy numbers

The nonlinear time series analytical tools used in the present study require quantitative

time-series data. To estimate fish eDNA concentrations, we initially measured the eDNA

concentrations of the most common fish species in the region, Japanese Black Seabream (*A.*

schlegelii), using qPCR, which was detected in 504 out of 550 seawater samples (91.6%). By

dividing *A. schlegelii* sequence reads by the *A. schlegelii* eDNA quantity in each sample, we

estimated the number of sequence reads generated per *A. schlegelii* eDNA copy for each

sample, and we applied the same conversion factor (i.e., sequence reads /*A. schlegelii* eDNA

copy) to other fish species. Note that sequence reads generated per eDNA copy may vary

depending on factors such as the level of PCR inhibition and PCR amplification efficiency;

however, in general, this "internal spike-in DNA" method has been shown to reasonably

estimate the quantity of eDNA concentrations (Ushio, 2022a; Ushio et al., 2018b). More

importantly, species-specific biases that may be introduced in the internal spike-in DNA

method do not cause serious biases in the outcomes of our nonlinear time-series analysis

because it standardizes time series to have a zero mean and a unit variance before analyses

and also only utilizes the fluctuation patterns of time series. When we did not detect any *A.*

schlegelii eDNA by qPCR, we replaced the "zero" value with the minimum eDNA copy

numbers of *A. schlegelii* (0.346 copies/µl DNA). Similarly, when we did not detect any *A.*

schlegelii eDNA sequence reads by metabarcoding, we replaced the "zero" value with the

minimum eDNA sequence reads of *A. schlegelii* (12 reads/sample). These corrections

estimated how many sequence reads were generated per eDNA copy for all samples. Details

are provided in Supporting Information.

Nonlinear time series analysis to detect fish-fish interactions

We detected fish-fish interactions using a nonlinear time series analysis based on

quantitative eDNA time-series data from multiple species and sites. Since the reliable

detection of interspecific interactions requires sufficient information in the target time series,

we selected the top 50 most frequently detected species and excluded other rarer fish species

from the analysis. We quantified information flow between two fish species' eDNA time

series by the "unified information-theoretic causality (UIC)" method (Osada et al., 2023)

implemented in the "rUIC" v0.1.5 package (Osada and Ushio, 2021) of R (R Core Team,

2022). UIC tests the statistical clarity of information flow between variables in terms of TE

(Schreiber, 2000) computed by nearest neighbor regression based on time-delay embedding

of explanatory variables (i.e., cross mapping; Sugihara *et al.* 2012). In contrast to the standard

method used to measure TE, UIC quantifies information flow as follows:

$$TE = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \left(\frac{p\left(y_{t+tp} \mid x_t, x_{t-\tau}, \cdots, x_{t-(E-1)\tau}, z_t\right)}{p\left(y_{t+tp} \mid x_{t-\tau}, x_{t-2\tau}, \cdots, x_{t-(E-1)\tau}, z_t\right)} \right), \# \dots [1]$$

where *x*, *y*, and *z* represent a potential causal variable, effect variable, conditional variable (if

available), respectively. $p(A|B)$ represents conditional probability: the probability of *A*

conditioned on *B*. *t*, *tp*, τ, and *E* represent the time index, time step, a unit of time lag, and the

optimal embedding dimension, respectively. *T* is the total number of points in the

reconstructed state space (this is equivalent to the total number of time points – the optimal

embedding dimension + 1). For example, if *tp* = –1 in Eqn. [1], UIC tests the causal effect

from y_{t-1} to x_t. Optimal *E* was selected by measuring TE as follows:

$$TE = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \left(\frac{p\left(x_{t+tp} \mid y_t, x_t, x_{t-\tau}, \cdots, x_{t-(E-1)\tau}\right)}{p\left(x_{t+tp} \mid y_t, x_t, x_{t-\tau}, \cdots, x_{t-(E_R-1)\tau}\right)} \right), \# \dots [2]$$

where $E_R \ (< E)$ is the optimal embedding dimension of lower dimensional models. In the

present study, the causality time-lag (*tp*) up to –6 (equivalent to three-month time-lag) was

tested. Significance tests were conducted by bootstrapping data after embedding (the

significance levels were set to 0.05). Eqn. [2] is a TE version of simplex projection (Sugihara

and May, 1990). TE measured according to Eqn. [1] gains the advantage of previous causality

tests, *i.e.*, standard TE methods (Runge et al., 2012; Schreiber, 2000) and convergent cross

mapping (CCM) (Sugihara et al., 2012), the algorithm of which is explained in Osada et al.

(2023) and implemented in Osada & Ushio (2021).

By using UIC, we quantified TE between fish eDNA time series. As environmental

variables, water temperature (˚C), salinity (‰), wave height (m), and tide level (cm) were

considered as conditional variables. If the environmental variables had statistically clear

influence on fish eDNA dynamics, they were included in the calculation of TE as z_t in Eqn.

[1]. This means that the effects of the environmental variables on the fish eDNA abundance

were removed in the analysis when detecting interspecific interactions. Importantly, in most

cases, water temperature had statistically clear influence on fish eDNA dynamics and

included as a conditional variable (z_t) in the embedding. In addition, although water

temperature showed clear seasonality in the region (Fig. S1), including water temperature as

a conditional variable (z_t) took the effect of the seasonality in detecting causation into account.

We merged all eDNA time series across the 11 study sites and standardized the eDNA time

series to have zero means and a unit of variance before the analysis. If TE between two fish

eDNA time series was statistically clearly higher than zero, we interpreted it as a sign of an

interspecific interaction. After the detections of interspecific interactions among the top 50

fish species, we visualized the interaction network (i.e., the reconstruction of fish-fish

interaction network). Importantly, UIC quantifies the average information flow between two

time series (see Eqn. [1]), and thus there is only one TE value for each pair of fish species,

which is critically different from interaction strengths quantified by the MDR S-map (see the

following section).

Nonlinear time series analysis to quantify interaction strengths

We quantified fish-fish interaction strengths for the interspecific interactions detected

by UIC. For this purpose, we used an improved version of the sequential locally-weighted

global linear map (S-map) (Sugihara, 1994), called the multiview distance regularized S-map

(MDR S-map) (Chang et al., 2021). Consider a system that has E different interacting

variables, and assume that the state space at time t is given by $x(t) = \{x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_E(t)\}$.

For each target time point t^*, the S-map method produces a local linear model that predicts

the future value $x_1(t^* + p)$ from the multivariate reconstructed state space vector $x(t^*)$. That is,

$$\hat{x}_1(t^* + p) = IS_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{E} \boldsymbol{IS}_j \, x_j(t^*) \# \ldots [3]$$

where $\hat{x}_1(t^* + p)$ is a predicted value of x_1 at time t^*+p, IS_j is a regression coefficient and

interpreted as interaction strength (or called S-map coefficient), and IS_0 is an intercept of the

linear model. The linear model is fit to the other vectors in the state space. However, points

that are close to the target point, $x(t^*)$, are given greater weighting (i.e., locally weighted

linear regression). In the standard S-map, the distances between the target point, $x(t^*)$, and

other points are measured by Euclidean distance. However, Euclidean distance cannot be a

good measure if the dimension of the state space is high, and in this case, it is impossible to

identify nearest neighbors correctly. In the MDR S-map, the distance between the target point

and other points are measured by the multiview distance (Chang et al., 2021), which can be

calculated by ensembling distances measured in various low-dimensional state spaces

(multiview embedding; see Ye and Sugihara, 2016). In addition, to reduce the possibility of

overestimation and to improve forecasting skill, regularization (i.e., ridge regression) is also

applied (Cenci et al., 2019). Chang et al. (2021) showed that the MDR S-map outperformed

other S-map methods and it enables improved estimations of interaction strengths.

Importantly, the MDR S-map quantifies interaction strength at each time point (i.e., the

maximum possible number of interaction strength values for each fish-fish interaction is the

number of time points – the optimal embedding dimension + 1). As in the UIC analysis, we

included temperature or other environmental variables as conditional variables if they had

statistically clear influence on eDNA dynamics of a particular fish species. In the present

study, we implemented the MDR S-map in our custom R package, "macam" v0.0.10 (Ushio,

2022b) and used it for computation.

Quantification of the temperature sensitivity of fish species interactions

After reconstructing fish interaction networks and quantifying interaction strengths, we

analyzed the relationships among fish species interaction strengths and biotic and abiotic

variables. We performed all analyses using R v4.2.1 (R Core Team, 2022). Generalized

additive mixed models (GAMMs) were performed using the "mgcv" package of R (Wood,

2004). We visualized results with "ggplot2" (Wickham, 2009) and "cowplot" (Wilke, 2017).

In the present study, we used the term "statistical clarity" instead of "statistical significance"

to avoid misinterpretations, according to the recommendations by Dushoff et al. (2019).

In the first analysis, the relationships between fish-fish interaction strengths and the

characteristics of the study sites were analyzed by GAMM. In the analysis, in-strength

(interaction strengths that a species receives) and out-strength (interaction strengths that a

species gives) were separately calculated. In GAMM, in-strength or out-strength were an

explained variable, and an environmental or ecological variable was an explaining variable.

Explaining variables included water temperature, species richness, total fish eDNA

concentrations, salinity, tide level, and wave height. A gamma distribution was assumed as

the error distribution, the "log" function was used as a link function, and the study site and

fish species were used as a random effect (i.e., in R, `gamm(abs(IS) ~`

`s(explaining_variable), family = Gamma(link="log"), random = list(site = ~1,`

`fish_species = ~1))`, where `s()` indicates a smoothing term). The biological assumption

behind this modeling is that explaining variables, such as water temperature, may linearly or

nonlinearly influence fish species interactions. Moreover, the effects of explaining variables

may randomly vary depending on the study site and fish species. The effect was considered to

be statistically clear at $P < 0.05$.

In the second analysis, we focused on the effects of water temperature on the

interaction strengths of each fish. In the analysis, GAMM was used again. A gamma

distribution was assumed as the error distribution and the "log" function was used as a link

function, and the study site was used as a random effect (i.e., in R, `gamm(abs(IS) ~`

`s(explaining_variable), family = Gamma(link="log"), random = list(site = ~1)),`

where `s()` indicates a smoothing term). GAMM was separately performed for each fish

species. We also analyzed the effects of other properties on the interaction strengths of each

fish species, which are provided in the Supporting Information. Again, the effect was

considered to be statistically clear at $P < 0.05$.

Code and data availability

Source scripts for the analyses and figure generations are archived in Zenodo

(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7865958) and publicly available at Github

(https://github.com/ong8181/eDNA-BosoFish-network). DNA data is deposited DDBJ

Sequence Read Archive (DRA submission ID = DRA014111).

Results and Discussion

Taxonomic diversity and dynamics of fish eDNA

Our multiple MiSeq runs generated 71,892,685 reads in total for the eDNA samples, of which 98% were assigned to fish species, and detected 1,130 molecular operational taxonomic units (MOTUs). We inspected their family-level phylogenies to increase the accuracy of taxonomic assignments, recognizing 856 MOTUs across 33 orders, 167 families, and 466 genera (Table S1). This taxonomic diversity was similar to that of the local fauna (948 species across 33 orders, 158 families, and 493 genera) compiled from a literature survey and museum collections (Table S2). The negative controls produced negligible reads (177 ± 665 reads [mean $\pm$ S.D.]), which accounted for *ca*. 0.1% of the positive sample reads. Moreover, all of the reads were assigned to non-target taxa, such as fish species that had never been observed in the study region and freshwater fish species (possibly contaminated from the lab). Therefore, we conclude that any contaminations in our experiments were negligible, and we discarded the sequence reads from the negative control samples.

Sequence reads in the rarefied ASV table were converted to estimated eDNA concentrations by using the eDNA concentrations of a common fish species detected across most samples (Japanese Black Seabream, *A. schlegelii*) (i.e., an analog of the internal spike-in DNA method; see Methods and Fig. S1). The converted ASV table included 23,863 detections and we selected the top 50 most frequently detected species for our time-series analysis. The detection frequencies of these 50 species ranged between 148 and 532 with a mean of 296, and their total detection frequencies reached 14,793 (62.0% of the total detection frequency).

Fish eDNA concentrations and fish species richness showed a clear intra-annual pattern (i.e., seasonality; Fig. 1b, c), which were higher in warmer months (e.g., between July and October) than in colder months (e.g., between January and March) at all sites. Total eDNA concentrations and species richness positively correlated with water temperature (Fig. S2). Seasonal changes in eDNA concentrations were consistent with the patterns of seasonal occurrences in tropical and subtropical fish species in the Boso Peninsula, to which they are transported by the warm Kuroshio Current, settling on the coastal waters during the warmer months and subsequently disappearing during the colder months (Saito, 2019; Senou et al., 2006).

Reconstruction of the fish species interaction network

We reconstructed fish species interaction networks based on the quantitative,

multispecies fish eDNA time series. Regarding the 50 frequently detected fish species, we

quantified pairwise information flow between fish species. Figure 2 shows reconstructed fish

interaction networks for the 50 frequently detected fish species in the Boso Peninsula. At the

regional scale, the linear correlations among water temperature, total eDNA concentrations,

interaction strengths, the number of interactions, and species richness was all statistically

clear ($P < 0.05$; Fig. S3) except for the linear correlation between water temperature and

mean interaction strengths ($P > 0.05$). The interaction strengths became weak as species

richness increased, which is consistent with a previous study (Ratzke et al., 2020; Ushio,

2022a), suggesting that understanding the causes and effects of weak interactions is key to

understanding the maintenance of species-rich communities.

Most of the statistically clear information flow may be interpreted from the viewpoint

of fish-fish behavior-level interspecific interactions (Table S3 and Supporting Discussion),

which is convincing considering the time resolution of our eDNA time series (but see the

section "*Potential limitations of the present study*" below). The largest information flow was

detected from *Pseudoblennius marmoratus* to *Pseudolabrus eoethinus* (Table S3). These fish

species have overlapping habitats, and thus, they may interact. Bidirectional information flow

was detected between *P. eoethinus* and *Gymnothorax kidako*. They are both carnivorous fish

species and may conduct joint hunting. *Chaenogobius annularis* and *Takifugu niphobles* are

often found together in the sand between reefs. *T. niphobles* frequently dive in the sand, and

benthos and mysids that are dug up during the dive may be prey for *C. annularis*. Further

discussions about the detected information flow are described in Supporting Information

(Table S3 and Supplementary Discussion).

Interaction strengths and environmental variables

We investigated how interaction strengths (i.e., regression coefficients estimated by the

MDR S-map) changed with environmental variables (e.g., water temperature) and ecological

properties (e.g., species richness and total eDNA concentration) at the community level (Figs.

3 and S4). The in-strengths and out-strengths of fish species interactions were statistically

clearly associated with water temperature, species richness, and total eDNA concentration

(GAMM, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 3 and Table S4) except for the effects on species richness on the

in-strengths (Fig. 3b). In-strengths of the fish-fish interactions increased with water

temperature (Fig. 3a), supporting our first hypothesis while out-strengths of the interactions

showed an opposite pattern (Fig. 3d), which might suggest there is a difference in the

temperature dependence between the in-strengths and out-strengths of the interactions.

Indeed, water temperature may influence fish physiological activity (Claireaux et al., 2006;

Kishi et al., 2005; Oyugi et al., 2012) often in a complex way, and thus, the community-level

influence of water temperature may also be complex as they should arise from the

individual-level influence of water temperature on fish. Interaction strengths were also

statistically clearly influenced by species richness and total eDNA concentrations (except for

Fig. 3b); the interaction strengths decreased with increasing species richness and total eDNA

concentration. The effects of salinity, tide and wave were less clear, although the effects were

statistically clear except for the effects of tide level on the out-strength (Figs. S4 and S6).

Overall, environmental and ecological variables influenced the interaction strengths

statistically clearly, but large variations remained unexplained (Figs. S5–S6), suggesting that

other factors (e.g., fish ecology, nutrient, and physiological status) may influence the

interaction strengths.

We also investigated how temperature influenced the interaction strength of individual

fish species. Figure 4 shows how interaction strengths among fish species changed with water

temperature. For visualization purpose, we show fish species of which interaction strengths

changed with water temperature highly statistically clearly ($P < 0.0001$). The in-strengths of

several fish species clearly increased at higher water temperatures: for example, *Halichoeres*

tenuispinis, *Macrocanthus strigatus*, *Pempheris schwenkii*, *Stethojulis interrupta terina*, and

Thalassoma cupido (Fig. 4a). On the other hand, in-strengths of some fish species and

out-strengths decreased at higher water temperatures: for example, in-strengths of *Ditrema*

temminckii temminckii, *Engraulis japonicus*, and *Girella punctata*, and out-strengths of five

fish species (Fig. 4a,b). These results support our second hypothesis that the relationship

between the patterns of temperature and interaction strengths varies among fish species.

These results suggest that, although water temperature may have strong influences on

fish-fish interaction strengths in general, the sign of temperature effect (i.e., positive or

negative) can vary depending on fish species and environmental conditions. Previous studies

showed that fish physiological activities, such as feeding rates, growth rates, and swimming

speed, were influenced by water temperature (Claireaux et al., 2006; Kishi et al., 2005; Oyugi

et al., 2012). In addition, the direction (i.e., positive or negative) of the temperature effects on

fish metabolic activities may be species-specific (Oyugi et al., 2012), and this species

specificity may underlie the species-specific effects of temperature on the interaction

strength.

Potential limitations of the present study and future perspectives

The present results showing that the strengths of fish-fish interactions depend on water

temperature rely on several assumptions that were not fully investigated, and thus, careful

interpretations and discussions are required. First, the extent to which fish eDNA

concentrations accurately represent fish abundance is an open question. Previous studies

demonstrated that the quantity of eDNA may be a proxy of fish abundance and/or biomass

(i.e., a positive correlation between the quantity of eDNA and fish abundance/biomass)

(Takahara et al., 2012; Thomsen et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2016). Our nonlinear time

series analysis used the information embedded in fluctuations in time series, and time series

are always standardized before the analysis. Therefore, species-specific differences in the

copy numbers of the genetic marker and eDNA release rates, which may bias the estimation

of absolute abundance, do not cause serious biases in the reconstruction of the networks and

estimations of interaction strengths. However, accurate estimations of the absolute abundance

of fish will improve the accuracy of analyses, and the integration of eDNA concentration data,

knowledge on eDNA dynamics (i.e., release and degradation rates), and the hydrodynamic

modeling of ocean water flow will be a promising approach (e.g., as in Fukaya et al., 2021).

Another limitation is that the reconstructed interaction network was not fully validated

in the present study. Although previous studies demonstrated that network reconstructions

based on a time series analysis work reasonably well and show meaningful patterns (Runge et

al., 2019; Sugihara et al., 2012; Ushio, 2022a; Ushio et al., 2018a),

experiment/observation-based validations of the reconstructed network have not yet been

performed. Potential causal interactions between fish species may be reasonably interpreted

(Supplementary Discussion); however, developing a framework to efficiently validate

"previously unknown" interactions detected by eDNA data and a nonlinear time series

analysis is an important future direction.

Third, although we showed that temperature effects on interaction strength are

statistically clear and common in the Boso peninsula coastal region, the range of water

temperature in the present analysis was still not broad and there is currently no evidence that

we can generalize these results to other regions. Nonetheless, the advantages of the eDNA

method are the low cost and minimal time and labor needed for field sampling as well as the

potential scalability of the library preparation process (Ushio et al., 2022). Therefore,

frequent (Ushio, 2022a) and large spatial-scale ecological monitoring (e.g., ANEMONE DB;

https://db.anemone.bio/) is possible, which will provide a more detailed understanding of the

temperature-interaction strength relationships of fish on a larger spatial scale.

Lastly, other environmental conditions may covary with temperature and influence

fish-fish interactions in a species-specific way (Figs. S7 and S8). Although the effect of

temperature on the interaction strengths was the strongest at the community level among the

environmental variables (Table S4), there is a possibility that the temperature effect we

observed might not be direct. For example, water temperature and oxygen concentration

covary and both directly/indirectly affect fish physiology (Salvatteci et al., 2022). Because

direct field manipulations to validate our results are challenging, robust conclusions about the

temperature-sensitivity of fish interactions may only be made by integrating results from

different approaches (e.g., small-scale lab experiment- and time series-based causal analysis).

In nature, multiple environmental variables are continuously changing, and the interaction

strengths may fluctuate through time and space being affected by the environmental variables,

as shown in previous studies (Ushio, 2022a; Ushio et al., 2018a). Conversely, interactions

detected and quantified under a controlled environment might not necessarily be observed

under field conditions. Our research framework that enables the detection and quantification

of interactions in nature provides a complementary view about fish-fish interactions, which

would play a critical role in understanding the effects of temperature on fish-fish interactions.

Implications for fish community assembly and the effect of global climate change

Water temperature has significant influences on marine community composition and

diversity at the global spatial scale and historical time scale (Tittensor et al., 2010; Yasuhara

and Deutsch, 2023), but the mechanism of temperature effects on fish community assembly is

not fully understood. Recent studies have shown that temperature (and oxygen) plays an

important role in determining fish body size at the historical time scale (Salvatteci et al.,

2022; Yasuhara and Deutsch, 2022). As fish body size plays a critical role in interspecific

interactions such as predator-prey interactions (e.g., predator-prey mass ratio; Nakazawa et

al., 2011), temperature-induced body size changes may influence interspecific interactions at

a longer time scale. On the other hand, our study showed that temperature may induce

changes in fish-fish interactions at a relatively short time scale (weeks to months), perhaps

via temperature effects on the physiological activity of fish individuals. These suggest that

temperature effects on fish community assembly involve effects at different time scales, and

thus, integrating results from different temporal (and spatial) scales are necessary to

understand fish community assembly processes in nature.

In addition, our study revealed that temperature effects on fish-fish interactions depend

on fish species identity. This suggests that, even in the same habitat, the

temperature-sensitivity of fish-fish interactions is variable and fish species-specific, and that

consequences of changing temperature in the community assembly process may be complex.

For example, increased water temperature strengthens interactions received by *Halichoeres*

tenuispinis and *Microcanthus stringatus* (Fig. 4), which may destabilize the population

dynamics of these species. In contract, increased water temperature may exert the opposite

effects on *Engraulis japonicus* and *Girella punctata* (Fig. 4), that is, weakened interactions

and stabilized population dynamics. How these varying responses to temperature change, or

"response diversity" (Ross et al., 2023), influences overall community dynamics remains

unclear. Our study provides a practical framework to quantify response diversity using time

series (the S-map method calculates the first derivative as an interaction strength, and it is an

analog of the additive model-based method proposed by Ross et al. 2023), and quantifying

species-specific responses to environmental changes and their diversity would be key to

predicting the community-level responses under climate change.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that the strengths of fish species interactions changed

with water temperature under field conditions. For several fish species, species interactions

were intensified in warmer water and for some other fish species, species interactions were

weakened in warmer water. This may change the correlation and distribution of interaction

strengths in a community, which may consequently influence community dynamics and

stability in a complex way (Allesina et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2014; Ushio et al., 2018a).

Therefore, a more detailed understanding of the effects of environmental conditions on

species interactions under field conditions will be required to improve our capability to

understand, forecast, and even manage natural ecological communities and dynamics, which

is particularly important under ongoing global climate change. Developments and

improvements in eDNA techniques and statistical analyses are still required; however,

because eDNA analysis is potentially applicable to any type of organisms even if they are

difficult to be detected using traditional methods, our framework integrating an eDNA

analysis and advanced statistical analyses pave a way to understand and forecast dynamics of

various ecological communities under field conditions.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Komai, R.O. Gotoh, T. Sunobe, and K. Takiguchi for assisting with the

bimonthly collection of eDNA samples. This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (B)

Grant Number 20H03323, the Hakubi Project in Kyoto University, and The Hong Kong

University of Science and Technology Startup Funding to MU, and JSPS KAKENHI (B)

Grant Numbers JP19H03291, JP22H02691, and MEXT OGAP Project Grant Number

JPMXD0618068274 to MM.

Author contributions

611   All authors agreed to the submission. MU and MM conceived the idea and designed the

612   research; MM, TS, and TF collected the samples, performed eDNA metabarcoding and

613   analyzed sequence data; SS and RM performed quantitative PCR analysis; MU compiled

614   data; MU and YO analyzed data; MU and MM wrote the first draft and completed the final

615   manuscript with contributions from all coauthors.

References:

Adams JM, Zhang Y. 2009. Is there more insect folivory in warmer temperate climates? A latitudinal comparison of insect folivory in eastern North America. *J Ecol* **97**:933–940.

Allan BJM, Domenici P, Munday PL, McCormick MI. 2015. Feeling the heat: the effect of acute temperature changes on predator–prey interactions in coral reef fish. *Conserv Physiol* **3**:cov011. doi:10.1093/conphys/cov011

Allesina S, Grilli J, Barabás G, Tang S, Aljadeff J, Maritan A. 2015. Predicting the stability of large structured food webs. *Nat Commun* **6**:7842. doi:10.1038/ncomms8842

Bálint M, Pfenninger M, Grossart H-P, Taberlet P, Vellend M, Leibold MA, Englund G, Bowler D. 2018. Environmental DNA Time Series in Ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* **33**:945–957. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2018.09.003

Bertness MD, Ewanchuk PJ. 2002. Latitudinal and climate-driven variation in the strength and nature of biological interactions in New England salt marshes. *Oecologia* **132**:392–401. doi:10.1007/s00442-002-0972-y

Bista I, Carvalho GR, Walsh K, Seymour M, Hajibabaei M, Lallias D, Christmas M, Creer S. 2017. Annual time-series analysis of aqueous eDNA reveals ecologically relevant dynamics of lake ecosystem biodiversity. *Nat Commun* **8**:14087. doi:10.1038/ncomms14087

Bohmann K, Evans A, Gilbert MTP, Carvalho GR, Creer S, Knapp M, Yu DW, Bruyn M de. 2014. Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity monitoring. *Trends Ecol Evol* **29**:358–367. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.04.003

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. 2017. Exact sequence variants should replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *Isme J*.

Cenci S, Sugihara G, Saavedra S. 2019. Regularized S-map for inference and forecasting with noisy ecological time series. *Methods Ecol Evol* **10**:650–660. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13150

Chang C-W, Miki T, Ushio M, Lu H-P, Shiah F-K, Hsieh C. 2021. Reconstructing large networks with time-varying interactions. *ArXiv210204069 Q-Bio*.

Chen B, Landry MR, Huang B, Liu H. 2012. Does warming enhance the effect of microzooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton in the ocean? *Limnol Oceanogr* **57**:519–526. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.2.0519

Claireaux G, Couturier C, Groison A-L. 2006. Effect of temperature on maximum swimming speed and cost of transport in juvenile European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). *J Exp Biol* **209**:3420–3428. doi:10.1242/jeb.02346

Coley PD, Aide TM. 1991. A comparison of herbivory and plant defenses in temperate and tropical broad-leaved forests. New York: Wiley & Sons.

Cristescu ME, Hebert PDN. 2018. Uses and Misuses of Environmental DNA in Biodiversity Science and Conservation. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **49**:209–230. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062306

Deiner K, Bik HM, Mächler E, Seymour M, Lacoursière-Roussel A, Altermatt F, Creer S, Bista I, Lodge DM, de Vere N, Pfrender ME, Bernatchez L. 2017. Environmental

660   DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities.
661   *Mol Ecol* **26**:5872–5895. doi:10.1111/mec.14350

662   Djurhuus A, Closek CJ, Kelly RP, Pitz KJ, Michisaki RP, Starks HA, Walz KR,
663   Andruszkiewicz EA, Olesin E, Hubbard K, Montes E, Otis D, Muller-Karger FE,
664   Chavez FP, Boehm AB, Breitbart M. 2020. Environmental DNA reveals seasonal
665   shifts and potential interactions in a marine community. *Nat Commun* **11**:254.
666   doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14105-1

667   Dushoff J, Kain MP, Bolker BM. 2019. I can see clearly now: Reinterpreting statistical
668   significance. *Methods Ecol Evol* **10**:756–759. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13159

669   Edgar RC. 2016. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon
670   sequencing. *bioRxiv*.

671   Edgar RC. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
672   *Bioinformatics* **26**:2460–2461.

673   Forrister DL, Endara M-J, Younkin GC, Coley PD, Kursar TA. 2019. Herbivores as drivers of
674   negative density dependence in tropical forest saplings. *Science* **363**:1213 LP – 1216.
675   doi:10.1126/science.aau9460

676   Fujiwara T, Yamada Y. 2002. Inflow of oceanic water into Tokyo Bay and generation of a
677   subsurface hypoxic water mass. *J Geophys Res Oceans* **107**:13-1-13–9.
678   doi:10.1029/2000JC000749

679   Fukaya K, Murakami H, Yoon S, Minami K, Osada Y, Yamamoto S, Masuda R, Kasai A,
680   Miyashita K, Minamoto T, Kondoh M. 2021. Estimating fish population abundance
681   by integrating quantitative data on environmental DNA and hydrodynamic modelling.
682   *Mol Ecol* **30**:3057–3067. doi:10.1111/mec.15530

683   Hannisdal B, Haaga KA, Reitan T, Diego D, Liow LH. 2017. Common species link global
684   ecosystems to climate change: dynamical evidence in the planktonic fossil record.
685   *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* **284**. doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.0722

686   Hein CL, Öhlund G, Englund G. 2014. Fish introductions reveal the temperature dependence
687   of species interactions. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* **281**:20132641.
688   doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2641

689   Kishi D, Murakami M, Nakano S, Maekawa K. 2005. Water temperature determines strength
690   of top-down control in a stream food web. *Freshw Biol* **50**:1315–1322.
691   doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01404.x

692   Kordas RL, Harley CDG, O'Connor MI. 2011. Community ecology in a warming world: The
693   influence of temperature on interspecific interactions in marine systems. *J Exp Mar
694   Biol Ecol*, Global change in marine ecosystems **400**:218–226.
695   doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2011.02.029

696   Kratina P, Greig HS, Thompson PL, Carvalho-Pereira TSA, Shurin JB. 2012. Warming
697   modifies trophic cascades and eutrophication in experimental freshwater communities.
698   *Ecology* **93**:1421–1430. doi:10.1890/11-1595.1

699   May RM. 1972. Will a Large Complex System be Stable? *Nature* **238**:413–414.
700   doi:10.1038/238413a0

Minamoto T, Miya M, Sado T, Seino S, Doi H, Kondoh M, Nakamura K, Takahara T,

Yamamoto S, Yamanaka H, Araki H, Iwasaki W, Kasai A, Masuda R, Uchii K. 2021.
An illustrated manual for environmental DNA research: Water sampling guidelines
and experimental protocols. *Environ DNA* **3**:8–13. doi:10.1002/edn3.121
Miya M. 2022. Environmental DNA Metabarcoding: A Novel Method for Biodiversity
Monitoring of Marine Fish Communities. *Annu Rev Mar Sci* **14**:161–185.
doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-041421-082251
Miya M, Gotoh RO, Sado T. 2020. MiFish metabarcoding: a high-throughput approach for
simultaneous detection of multiple fish species from environmental DNA and other
samples. *Fish Sci* **86**:939–970. doi:10.1007/s12562-020-01461-x
Miya M, Minamoto T, Yamanaka H, Oka S, Sato K, Yamamoto S, Sado T, Doi H. 2016. Use
of a filter cartridge for filtration of water samples and extraction of environmental
DNA. *J Vis Exp* e54741–e54741. doi:10.3791/54741
Miya M, Sado T. 2019a. DNA extraction from a filter cartridgeEnvironmental DNA
Sampling and Experimental Manual Version 2.1. Otsu, Japan: eDNA Methods
Standardization Committee, The eDNA Society. pp. 31–42.
Miya M, Sado T. 2019b. Multiple species detection using MiFish primersEnvironmental
DNA Sampling and Experimental Manual Version 2.1. Otsu, Japan: eDNA Methods
Standardization Committee, The eDNA Society. pp. 55–92.
Miya M, Sato Y, Fukunaga T, Sado T, Poulsen JY, Sato K, Minamoto T, Yamamoto S,
Yamanaka H, Araki H, Kondoh M, Iwasaki W. 2015. MiFish, a set of universal PCR
primers for metabarcoding environmental DNA from fishes: detection of more than
230 subtropical marine species. *R Soc Open Sci* **2**:150088. doi:10.1098/rsos.150088
Mougi A, Kondoh M. 2012. Diversity of interaction types and ecological community stability.
Science **337**:349–351.
Nakazawa T, Ushio M, Kondoh M. 2011. Scale Dependence of Predator – Prey Mass Ratio：
Determinants and Applications In: Belgrano A, editor. The Role of Body Size in
Multispecies Systems. Academic Press. pp. 269–302.
doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-386475-8.00007-1
Osada Y, Ushio M. 2021. R package for Unified Information-theoretic Causality.
doi:10.5281/zenodo.5163234
Osada Y, Ushio M, Michio K. 2023. A unified framework for nonparametric causality
detection. *bioRxiv* 2023.04.20.537743. doi:10.1101/2023.04.20.537743
Oyugi DO, Cucherousset J, Robert Britton J. 2012. Temperature-dependent feeding
interactions between two invasive fishes competing through interference and
exploitation. *Rev Fish Biol Fish* **22**:499–508. doi:10.1007/s11160-011-9243-5
Penn JL, Weber T, Chang BX, Deutsch C. 2019. Microbial ecosystem dynamics drive
fluctuating nitrogen loss in marine anoxic zones. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **116**:7220 LP –
7225. doi:10.1073/pnas.1818014116
R Core Team. 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Rall BC, Vucic-Pestic O, Ehnes RB, Emmerson M, Brose U. 2010. Temperature,
predator–prey interaction strength and population stability. *Glob Change Biol*
16:2145–2157. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02124.x

Ratzke C, Barrere J, Gore J. 2020. Strength of species interactions determines biodiversity
and stability in microbial communities. *Nat Ecol Evol*.
doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1099-4

Ross SRP-J, Petchey OL, Sasaki T, Armitage DW. 2023. How to measure response diversity.
Methods Ecol Evol **n/a**. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.14087

Runge J, Bathiany S, Bollt E, Camps-Valls G, Coumou D, Deyle E, Glymour C, Kretschmer
M, Mahecha MD, Muñoz-Marí J, Nes EH van, Peters J, Quax R, Reichstein M,
Scheffer M, Schölkopf B, Spirtes P, Sugihara G, Sun J, Zhang K, Zscheischler J. 2019.
Inferring causation from time series in Earth system sciences. *Nat Commun* **10**:1–13.
doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10105-3

Runge J, Heitzig J, Petoukhov V, Kurths J. 2012. Escaping the Curse of Dimensionality in
Estimating Multivariate Transfer Entropy. *Phys Rev Lett* **108**:258701.
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.258701

Saito H. 2019. The Kuroshio: its recognition, scientific activities and emerging issues.
Kuroshio current: Physical, biogeochemical, and ecosystem dynamics In: Nagai T,
Saito H, Suzuki K, Takahashi M, editors. Kuroshio Current: Physical,
Biogeochemical, and Ecosystem Dynamics, Geophysical Monograph Series.
Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Salvatteci R, Schneider RR, Galbraith E, Field D, Blanz T, Bauersachs T, Crosta X, Martinez
P, Echevin V, Scholz F, Bertrand A. 2022. Smaller fish species in a warm and
oxygen-poor Humboldt Current system. *Science* **375**:101–104.
doi:10.1126/science.abj0270

Schreiber T. 2000. Measuring Information Transfer. *Phys Rev Lett* **85**:461–464.
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.461

Senou H, Matsuura K, Shinohara G. 2006. Checklist of Fishes in the Sagami Sea with
Zoogeographical Comments on Shallow Water Fishes Occurring along the Coastlines
under the Influence of the Kuroshio Current. 国立科学博物館専報 389–542.

Smith P, Adams J, Beerling DJ, Beringer T, Calvin KV, Fuss S, Griscom B, Hagemann N,
Kammann C, Kraxner F, Minx JC, Popp A, Renforth P, Vicente Vicente JL, Keesstra
S. 2019. Land-management options for greenhouse gas removal and their impacts on
ecosystem services and the sustainable development goals. *Annu Rev Environ Resour*
44:255–286. doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033129

Soh W. 2003. Transport processes deduced from geochemistry and the void ratio of surface
core samples, deep sea Sagami Bay, central Japan. *Prog Oceanogr*, Long-Term
Monitoring of Sedimentary Processes in Central Sagami Bay Japan **57**:109–124.
doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(03)00054-5

Sugihara G. 1994. Nonlinear Forecasting for the Classification of Natural Time Series. *Philos*
Trans R Soc Math Phys Eng Sci **348**:477–495. doi:10.1098/rsta.1994.0106

Sugihara G, May R, Ye H, Hsieh C, Deyle E, Fogarty M, Munch S. 2012. Detecting causality
in complex ecosystems. *Science* **338**:496–500. doi:10.1126/science.1227079

Sugihara G, May RM. 1990. Nonlinear forecasting as a way of distinguishing chaos from
measurement error in time series. *Nature* **344**:734–41. doi:10.1038/344734a0

Takahara T, Minamoto T, Yamanaka H, Doi H, Kawabata Z. 2012. Estimation of fish biomass
using environmental DNA. *PLOS ONE* **7**:e35868. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035868

Tang S, Pawar S, Allesina S. 2014. Correlation between interaction strengths drives stability
in large ecological networks. *Ecol Lett* **17**:1094–100. doi:10.1111/ele.12312

Thakur MP, Künne T, Griffin JN, Eisenhauer N. 2017. Warming magnifies predation and
reduces prey coexistence in a model litter arthropod system. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*
284:20162570. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2570

Thomsen PF, Kielgast JOS, Iversen LL, Wiuf C, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MTP, Orlando L,
Willerslev E. 2012. Monitoring endangered freshwater biodiversity using
environmental DNA. *Mol Ecol* **21**:2565–2573.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05418.x

Tittensor DP, Mora C, Jetz W, Lotze HK, Ricard D, Berghe EV, Worm B. 2010. Global
patterns and predictors of marine biodiversity across taxa. *Nature* **466**:1098–1101.
doi:10.1038/nature09329

Ushio M. 2022a. Interaction capacity as a potential driver of community diversity. *Proc R Soc*
B Biol Sci **289**:20212690. doi:10.1098/rspb.2021.2690

Ushio M. 2022b. macam: A collection of miscellaneous functions for ecology in R.

Ushio M, Furukawa S, Murakami H, Masuda R, Nagano AJ. 2022. An efficient early-pooling
protocol for environmental DNA metabarcoding. *Environ DNA* **4**:1212–1228.
doi:10.1002/edn3.337

Ushio M, Hsieh C, Masuda R, Deyle ER, Ye H, Chang C-W, Sugihara G, Kondoh M. 2018a.
Fluctuating interaction network and time-varying stability of a natural fish community.
Nature **554**:360–363.

Ushio M, Murakami H, Masuda R, Sado T, Miya M, Sakurai S, Yamanaka H, Minamoto T,
Kondoh M. 2018b. Quantitative monitoring of multispecies fish environmental DNA
using high-throughput sequencing. *Metabarcoding Metagenomics* **2**:e23297.
doi:10.3897/mbmg.2.23297

Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

Wieczynski DJ, Singla P, Doan A, Singleton A, Han Z-Y, Votzke S, Yammine A, Gibert JP.
2021. Linking species traits and demography to explain complex temperature
responses across levels of organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **118**.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2104863118

Wilke CO. 2017. cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2."

Wood SN. 2004. Stable and efficient multiple smoothing parameter estimation for
generalized additive models. *J Am Stat Assoc* **99**:673–686.

Wootton JT, Emmerson M. 2005. Measurement of interaction strength in nature. *Annu Rev*
Ecol Evol Syst **36**:419–444. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175535

Wootton KL, Stouffer DB. 2015. Many weak interactions and few strong; food-web
feasibility depends on the combination of the strength of species' interactions and
their correct arrangement. *Theor Ecol*. doi:10.1007/s12080-015-0279-3

Yamamoto S, Minami K, Fukaya K, Takahashi K, Sawada H, Murakami H, Tsuji S,
Hashizume H, Kubonaga S, Horiuchi T, Hongo M, Nishida J, Okugawa Y, Fujiwara A,

Fukuda M, Hidaka S, Suzuki KW, Miya M, Araki H, Yamanaka H, Maruyama A,
Miyashita K, Masuda R, Minamoto T, Kondoh M. 2016. Environmental DNA as a
'snapshot' of fish distribution: A case study of Japanese jack mackerel in Maizuru bay,
Sea of Japan. *PLOS ONE* **11**:e0149786. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149786

Yang S-K, Nagata Y, Taira K, Kawabe M. 1993. Southward intrusion of the intermediate
Oyashio water along the east coast of the Boso Peninsula, Japan II. Intrusion events
into Sagami Bay. *J Oceanogr* **49**:173–191. doi:10.1007/BF02237287

Yasuhara M, Deutsch CA. 2023. Tropical biodiversity linked to polar climate. *Nature*
614:626–628. doi:10.1038/d41586-023-00392-8

Yasuhara M, Deutsch CA. 2022. Paleobiology provides glimpses of future ocean. *Science*.
doi:10.1126/science.abn2384

Ye H, Sugihara G. 2016. Information leverage in interconnected ecosystems: Overcoming the
curse of dimensionality. *Science* **353**:922 LP – 925.

Ushio, M. et al. Interspecific interactions of marine fish communities

842 **Tables**

843 **Table 1. Primer sequences used in the present study**

Primer information	Primer sequence (5´– 3´)[1,2,3,4,5]	Length
1st PCR primers		
MiFish-U-forward	*ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN GTCGGTAAAACTCGTGCCAGC	60
MiFish-U-reverse	*GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN CATAGTGGGGTATCTAATCCCAGTTTG	67
MiFish-E-forward-v2	*ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN RGTTGGTAAATCTCGTGCCAGC	61
MiFish-E-reverse-v2	*GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN GCATAGTGGGGTATCTAATCCTAGTTTG	63
MiFish-U2-forward	*ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN GCCGGTAAAACTCGTGCC	57
MiFish-U2-reverse	*GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT* NNNNNN CATAGGAGGGTGTCTAATCCCCGTTTG	67
2nd PCR primers		
2nd-PCR-forward	<u>AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACAC</u> **XXXXXXXX** *ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT*	70
2nd-PCR-reverse	<u>CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGAT</u> **XXXXXXXX** *GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT*	66

[1]Normal characters indicate target-specific universal primers (i.e., MiFish primers).

[2]The six random bases (Ns) in the middle of the 1st PCR primers were appended to enhance cluster separation on flow cells.

[3]Italic characters indicate Illumina sequencing primers.

[4]**X** indicates index sequences to identify each sample.

[5]Underlined characters indicate P5/P7 adapter sequences for Illumina sequencing.

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Study sites and overall dynamics of environmental DNA (eDNA)

concentrations and the number of fish species detected. (**a**) Study sites in the Boso

Peninsula. The study sites are influenced by the Kuroshio current (red arrow; left panel) and

distributed along the coastal line in the Boso Peninsula (right panel). (**b**) Total eDNA copy

numbers estimated by quantitative eDNA metabarcoding (see Methods for detail). (**c**) Fish

species richness detected by eDNA metabarcoding. Points and lines indicate raw values and

LOESS smoothing lines, respectively. The line color indicates the sampling site. Warmer

colors generally correspond to study sites with a higher mean water temperature.

Figure 2. Interaction networks of the fish community in the Boso Peninsula coastal

region. The "average" interaction network reconstructed by quantifying information transfer

between eDNA time series. Transfer entropy (TE) was quantified by leveraging all eDNA

time series from multiple study sites to draw this network. Only information flow larger than

80% quantiles (i.e., strong interaction) was shown as interspecific interactions for

visualization. The edge color indicates scaled transfer entropy values, and fish illustration

colors represent their ecology (e.g., habitat and feeding behavior). Node colors and node sizes

indicate the fish family and fish abundance (total eDNA copy numbers of the fish species),

respectively.

Figure 3. Dependence of interaction strengths on biotic and abiotic variables (50

dominant fish species and 11 study sites were leveraged). The panels show the overall

effects of biotic and abiotic variables on interaction strengths of the 50 dominant fish species:

Effects of (**a**, **d**) water temperature, (**b**, **e**) species richness, and (**c**, **f**) total eDNA copy

numbers. The *y*-axis indicates the effects of the variables on fish-fish interaction strengths

quantified by the MDR S-map method. **a**–**c** show the effects on the species interactions that a

focal species receives (i.e., In-strength), and **d**–**f** show the effects on the species interactions

that a focal species gives (i.e., Out-strength). The line indicates the average effects estimated

by the general additive model (GAM), and the grey region indicates 95% confidential

intervals. LME and GAM indicate the statistical clarity of the linear mixed model portion and

GAM portion, respectively. Detailed statistical results and raw data are shown in Table S4

and Fig. S5, respectively.

Figure 4. Temperature dependence of fish species interactions at the species level. a and

b show temperature effects on fish species interactions quantified by the MDR S-map method.

Note that the MDR S-map enables quantifications of interaction strengths at each time point,

and thus the number of data points is large. (**a**) Points indicate the species interactions that a

focal species (indicated by the strip label and fish image) receives (i.e., In-strength). (**b**)

Points indicate the species interactions that a focal species (indicated by the strip label and

fish image) gives (i.e., Out-strength). For **a** and **b**, only fish species of which interactions are

statistically clearly affected by water temperature are shown (to exclude fish species with

relatively weak temperature effects, $P < 0.0001$ was used as a criterion here). Point color

indicates the study site. Gray line is drawn by GAM (the study sites were averaged for

visualization purpose).

Figure 1 | Study sites and overall dynamics of environmental DNA (eDNA) concentrations and the number of fish species detected. (**a**) Study sites in the Boso Peninsula. The study sites are influenced by the Kuroshio current (red arrow; left panel) and distributed along the coastal line in the Boso Peninsula (right panel). (**b**) Total eDNA copy numbers estimated by quantitative eDNA metabarcoding (see Methods for detail). (**c**) Fish species richness detected by eDNA metabarcoding. Points and lines indicate raw values and LOESS smoothing lines, respectively. The line color indicates the sampling site. Warmer colors generally correspond to study sites with a higher mean water temperature.

Figure 2 | Interaction networks of the fish community in the Boso Peninsula coastal region. The "average" interaction network reconstructed by quantifying information transfer between eDNA time series. Transfer entropy (TE) was quantified by leveraging all eDNA time series from multiple study sites to draw this network. Only information flow larger than 80% quantiles (i.e., strong interaction) was shown as interspecific interactions for visualization. TE values were scaled from 0 to 10. The edge color indicates scaled transfer entropy values, and fish illustration colors represent their ecology (e.g., habitat and feeding behavior). Node colors and node sizes indicate the fish family and fish abundance (total eDNA copy numbers of the fish species), respectively.

Figure 3 | Dependence of interaction strengths on biotic and abiotic variables (50 dominant fish species and 11 study sites were leveraged). The panels show the overall effects of biotic and abiotic variables on interaction strengths of the 50 dominant fish species: Effects of (**a**, **d**) water temperature, (**b**, **e**) species richness, and (**c**, **f**) total eDNA copy numbers. The y-axis indicates the effects of the variables on fish-fish interaction strengths quantified by the MDR S-map method. **a-c** show the effects on the species interactions that a focal species receives (i.e., In-strength), and **d-f** show the effects on the species interactions that a focal species gives (i.e., Out-strength). The line indicates the average effects estimated by the general additive model (GAM), and the grey region indicates 95% confidential intervals. LME and GAM indicate the statistical clarity of the linear mixed model portion and GAM portion, respectively. Detailed statistical results and raw data are shown in Table S4 and Fig. S5, respectively.

Figure 4 | Temperature dependence of fish species interactions at the species level. **a** and **b** show temperature effects on fish species interactions quantified by the MDR S-map method. Note that the MDR S-map enables quantifications of interaction strengths at each time point, and thus the number of data points is large. (**a**) Points indicate the species interactions that a focal species (indicated by the strip label and fish image) receives (i.e., In-strength). (**b**) Points indicate the species interactions that a focal species (indicated by the strip label and fish image) gives (i.e., Out-strength). For **a** and **b**, only fish species of which interactions are statistically clearly affected by water temperature are shown (to exclude fish species with relatively weak temperature effects, $P < 0.0001$ was used as a criterion here). Point color indicates the study site. Gray line is drawn by GAM (the study sites were averaged for visualization purpose).