ASAR lncRNAs control DNA replication timing through interactions with multiple hnRNP/RNA binding proteins.

Mathew J. Thayer[1,*,#], Michael B. Heskett[2,4,#], Leslie G. Smith[1], Paul T. Spellman[2,3], and Phillip A. Yates[1].

[1]Department of Chemical Physiology and Biochemistry
[2] Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics
[3]Cancer Early Detection Advanced Research Center, Knight Cancer Institute
Oregon Health & Science University
3181 S. W. Sam Jackson Park Road
Portland, Oregon 97239, USA
[4]Stanford Cancer Institute
291 Campus Drive, Stanford CA, 94305.

*Corresponding author.

ORCID: 0000-0001-6483-1661

TEL: (503) 494-2447

FAX: (503) 494-7368

Email:thayerm@ohsu.edu

[#]These two authors contributed equally.

Abstract

ASARs are a family of very-long noncoding RNAs that control replication timing on

individual human autosomes, and are essential for chromosome stability. The eight

known ASAR genes express RNAs that remain closely associated with their parent

chromosomes. Analysis of RNA-protein interaction data (from ENCODE) revealed

numerous RBPs with significant interactions with multiple ASAR RNAs, with several

hnRNPs as abundant interactors. An ~7kb domain within the ASAR6-141 RNA shows a

striking density of RBP interaction sites. Genetic deletion and ectopic integration assays

indicate that this ~7kb RNA binding protein domain contains functional sequences for

controlling replication timing of entire chromosomes in *cis*. shRNA-mediated depletion of

HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, and HNRNPUL1 results in

dissociation of ASAR RNAs from their chromosome territories, and disrupts the

synchronous replication that occurs on all autosome pairs, recapitulating the effect of

individual ASAR gene knockouts on a genome-wide scale. Our results further

demonstrate the role that ASARs play during the temporal order of genome-wide

replication, and that ASARs function as essential RNA scaffolds for the assembly of

hnRNP complexes that help maintain the structural integrity of each mammalian

chromosome.

Introduction:

The vast majority of mammalian DNA replicates in homologous regions of chromosome pairs in a highly synchronous manner [1-3]. However, genetic disruption of non-protein coding ASAR ("ASynchronous replication and Autosomal RNA") genes causes a delay in replication timing on individual human chromosomes in *cis*, resulting in highly asynchronous replication between pairs of autosomes [4-7]. There are eight genetically validated ASAR genes located on human chromosomes 1, 6, 8, 9 and 15 [4-7]. All of the known ASAR genes share several distinctive characteristics, including: 1) contiguously transcribed regions of >180 kb, 2) epigenetically regulated Allelic Expression Imbalance (AEI; including mono-allelic expression); 3) Variable Epigenetic Replication Timing (VERT; including asynchronous replication timing); 4) high density of LINE1 (L1) sequences (>30%); and 5) retention of the RNA within their parent chromosome territory. Recently, we used these notable characteristics to identify ASAR gene candidates on every human autosome [5,6].

In addition to genetic disruption assays, ectopic integration of ASAR transgenes into mouse chromosomes has been used as a second functional assay to help define the critical sequences within ASAR genes that control chromosome-wide replication timing [8,9]. For example, ASAR6 RNA expressed from transgenes, ranging in size from an ~180 kb BAC transgene to PCR products (as small as ~3 kb) containing the critical sequences, remain associated with the chromosome territories where they are transcribed [8,9]. These same transgenes cause delayed replication timing (DRT) and delayed mitotic condensation (DMC) of entire chromosomes in *cis* [8,9]. Furthermore, ASAR6 RNA was found to control chromosome-wide replication timing using oligonucleotide mediated RNA

degradation of ASAR6 RNA that was expressed from a BAC transgene integrated into

mouse chromosome 3 [9]. These findings suggest that ASARs represent ubiquitous,

essential, *cis*-acting elements that control mammalian chromosome replication timing via

expression of chromosome associated RNAs. In this report, we identify RNA binding

proteins (RBPs) that are critical for ASAR RNA localization and function.

Chromosome-associated long non-coding RNAs are known to be important for

numerous aspects of chromosome dynamics in diverse eukaryotes from yeast to

mammals [10-14]. The mammalian nucleus is rich in heterogenous nuclear RNA (hnRNA),

which represents >95% of the total RNA Polymerase II output [12,15]. The vast majority of

hnRNA is poorly defined, has been referred to as the "Dark Matter" of the genome [15], and

includes spliced and unspliced intronic sequences, circular RNAs [16], very long intergenic

non-coding RNAs [vlincRNAs; [17]], as well as poorly defined RNAs that include chromatin

associated RNAs [caRNAs; [18]] and repeat rich RNAs [repRNAs [19]] that can be detected

by C0T-1 DNA [which is comprised primarily of LINE and SINE repetitive sequences;

[13,20,21]]. Some of these nuclear RNAs comprise abundant species, yet lack clearly defined

functions, while others are thought to be the by-products of other nuclear processes and

have been collectively called "RNA debris" [12]. The relationship between ASAR RNAs and

these other chromosome-associated RNAs remains poorly defined.

Previous studies have suggested that long nascent transcripts that are detected by

C0T-1 DNA play a dynamic structural role that promotes the open architecture of active

chromosome territories [13,20]. The RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA are predominantly

L1 sequences, and these L1 RNAs remain associated with the chromosome territories

where they are transcribed [20]. A link between C0T-1 RNA and ASAR RNAs is suggested

by the observation that ASAR RNAs contain a high L1 content and remain associated

with their parent chromosome territories [4-7,9]. In addition, deletion and ectopic integration

assays demonstrated that the critical sequences for controlling chromosome-wide

replication timing within *ASAR6* and *ASAR15* map to the antisense strand of L1

sequences located within the ASAR6 and ASAR15 RNAs [9]. In this report we found that

human C0T-1 DNA can detect the chromosome territory localization of ASAR6 RNA that

is expressed from a BAC transgene integrated into a mouse chromosome, indicating that

at least some of the RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA represents ASAR RNA.

Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) represent a large family of

abundant RBPs that contribute to multiple aspects of nucleic acid metabolism. Many of

the proteins that are in hnRNP complexes share general features, but differ in domain

composition and functional properties [reviewed in [22]]. The functions of hnRNPs vary

according to their subcellular localization. Most of the hnRNPs possess conventional

nuclear localization signals and are predominantly present in the nucleus during steady

state, where they function in various RNA metabolic processes including transcription,

splicing, export, and 3' end processing [22,23], and more recently have been implicated in

DNA replication [24]. A direct connection between chromosome territory associated C0T-1

RNA and hnRNPs was established by the observation that siRNA mediated depletion, or

forced expression of dominant interfering mutants, of HNRNPU results in dissociation of

C0T-1 RNA from chromosome territories [13,20,21]. In addition, recent studies have proposed

that abundant nuclear proteins such as HNRNPU nonspecifically interact with "RNA

debris" that creates a dynamic nuclear mesh that regulates interphase chromatin

structure [12,18]. Here, we used publicly available <u>e</u>nhanced <u>C</u>ross <u>L</u>ink <u>I</u>mmuno-

Precipitation (eCLIP) data from ENCODE [25] to identify RBPs, including HNRNPA1,

HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, and HNRNPUL1, that interact with known

ASAR RNAs. We also found that an ~7 kb region within the ~185 kb ASAR6-141 RNA

has a surprisingly high density of interacting RBPs, and that genetic deletion of this ~7kb

"RBP domain" from the endogenous *ASAR6-141* gene results in a delayed replication

timing phenotype that is comparable to deletion of the entire ~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene

located on human chromosome 6. In addition, ectopic integration of transgenes,

expressing the ~7 kb RBP domain, into autosomes or into the inactive X chromosome

results in retention of the RNA within the chromosome territory of the integrated

chromosomes, and results in DRT/DMC, and chromosome structure instability in *cis*.

Taken together, these results indicate that the ~7 kb RBP domain represents a critical

region within the *ASAR6-141* gene that controls replication timing of human chromosome

6.

Because ASARs were identified as critical *cis*-acting elements that control

chromosome-wide replication timing, we tested if ASAR associated RBPs also control

replication timing. We found that shRNA mediated depletion of 9 different ASAR-

associated RBPs (HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1,

HLTF, KHSRP or UCHL5) dramatically altered the normally synchronous replication

timing program of all autosome pairs, recapitulating the effect of individual ASAR gene

knockouts on a genome-wide scale.

Many recent studies have revealed a surprisingly dynamic and stochastic nature of

chromatin in eukaryotic nuclei, which is reminiscent of a multiphase fluid behavior [26-28],

exampled by the 40S hnRNP particle that forms a nuclear biomolecular condensate,

consistent with the participation in liquid–liquid phase separation [LLPS; [23]]. In addition,

disruption of the nuclear LLPS using brief exposure to 1,6-hexanediol results in alterations

of higher order chromatin organization in living cells [29,30]. Here, we found that a brief

exposure of cells to 1,6-hexanediol interfered with the chromosome territory localization

of multiple ASAR RNAs as well as C0T-1 RNA. We also found that new RNA synthesis

is required for recovery of the chromosome territory association of C0T-1 RNA following

1,6-hexanediol exposure. In summary, these results indicate that ASAR RNAs serve as

essential RNA scaffolds for the assembly of hnRNP/RBP complexes that function in

normal chromosome replication timing, and in the maintenance of structural integrity of

each mammalian autosome.

Results

All of the eight genetically validated ASAR genes are subject to AEI and express

lncRNAs that remain associated with the chromosome territories where they are

transcribed [4-7]. Examples of mono-allelic expression and chromosome territory

localization of ASAR6-141 RNA are shown in Figure 1A and 1B, where ASAR6-141 RNA

is within one of the chromosome 6 territories in two different cell types, male HTD114

cells and female GM12878 cells, respectively. We note that the size of the RNA

hybridization signals detected by all ASAR probes are variable, ranging in size from large

clouds that occupy entire chromosome territories, with similarity in appearance to XIST

RNA "clouds" (Fig. 1B), to relatively small sites of hybridization that remain tightly

associated with the expressed alleles [4-7].

We first sought to identify RBPs that interact with the known ASAR RNAs. Using

publicly available eCLIP data for 150 RBPs in K562 and HepG2 cells (ENCODE; [25,31]),

we identified RBP interactions within 4 ASAR genes (*ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-*

2.7, and *ASAR9-23*). Utilizing the eCLIP peaks previously identified by ENCODE [25] we

found significant RBP binding peaks for 99 different RBPs that map within the four ASAR

RNAs (Table S1). We also utilized a "region-based" method to identify significant

enrichments of eCLiP reads against matched controls in 10 kb windows across the four

ASAR genes ([31]; Table S2). An example of this analysis is shown in Figure 1C, where

ASAR6-141 displays significant RBP interactions for 35 different RBPs across the

transcribed region. Given the contiguous expression (RNAseq from total RNA) across the

~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene in both K562 and HepG2 cell lines (Fig. 1G) and the abundant

eCLIP reads from 35 different RBPs in 10 kb windows across the *ASAR6-141* gene (Fig.

1C and 1D; see Table S2), we were surprised to detect an ~7 kb region that contained

virtually all of the significant eCLIP peaks in both cell lines (Fig.1G; and Table S1). The

zoomed in view in Figure 1G shows alignment of 58 independent eCLIP peaks of RBP

interactions within the ~7kb <u>R</u>NA <u>B</u>inding <u>P</u>rotein <u>D</u>omain (RBPD). Figure 1E shows the

23 RBPs with the most abundant peaks of eCLIP reads within the ~7kb RBPD.

Disruption of the ~7kb RBPD within ASAR6-141 results in delayed replication.

To determine if the ~7kb RBPD within the *ASAR6-141* gene contains replication timing

activity, we used CRISPR/Cas9 mediated engineering to delete the ~7 kb sequence from

the endogenous locus on human chromosome 6. For this analysis we designed single

guide RNAs (sgRNAs) to unique sequences on either side of the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig.

1G; and Fig. S1). We expressed these sgRNAs in combination with Cas9 in human

HTD114 cells and screened clones for deletion of the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig. S1). We

chose HTD114 cells for this analysis because they maintain mono-allelic expression and

asynchronous replication of both imprinted and random monoallelic genes, they have a

stable karyotype that does not change significantly following transfection, drug selection

and sub-cloning, and knockouts of the eight known ASAR genes, including *ASAR6-141*,

result in DRT/DMC and chromosome structure instability in *cis* [4-9,32,33]. Because *ASAR6-*

141 expression is mono-allelic in the HTD114 cells [see Fig. 1A; and [5]], we isolated clones

that had heterozygous or homozygous deletions of the ~7kb RBPD. We determined which

alleles were deleted based on retention of different base pairs of a heterozygous SNP

located within the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig. S1 and Table S3).

To assay replication timing of homologous chromosome pairs we quantified DNA

synthesis in mitotic cells using a BrdU terminal label assay (Fig. 2A; and [34,35]). In addition,

the HTD114 cells contain a centromeric polymorphism on chromosome 6, which allows

for an unambiguous distinction between the two chromosome 6 homologs ([7-9]; also see

Fig. 2B). For simplicity, we refer to the chromosome 6 with the larger centromere as

CHR6A and to the chromosome 6 with the smaller centromere as CHR6B. From our

previous studies we knew that the chromosome 6 with the smaller centromere is linked

to the expressed allele of *ASAR6-141* [5]. Figure 2B and 2C shows the replication timing

analysis on a mitotic cell where the ~7kb RBPD was deleted from the expressed allele

(CHR6B). Note that CHR6B contains more BrdU incorporation than CHR6A, indicating

later replication of CHR6B (Fig. 2D). Quantification of the BrdU incorporation in CHR6B

and CHR6A in multiple cells indicated that deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the expressed

allele resulted in a significant delay in replication timing (Fig. 2E). This is in contrast to

cells prior to deletion, or in cells containing a deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the silent

allele (CHR6A), where the BrdU incorporation is comparable between CHR6A and

CHR6B (Fig. 2E). In addition, an asynchronous replication pattern was also present in

cells with homozygous deletions of the 7kb RBPD, with CHR6B representing the later

replicating allele (Fig. 2E). Furthermore, we found that the delayed replication associated

with deletion of the ~7kb RBPD on CHR6B is comparable to the delayed replication

associated with deletion of the entire *ASAR6-141* gene from the same chromosome

(CHR6B; Fig. 2E; also see [5]). These results indicate that the ~7kb RBPD contains

functional sequences for controlling replication timing, and further supports the conclusion

that the expressed allele but not the silent allele controls replication timing of human

chromosome 6 [5].

Ectopic integration of transgenes expressing the ~7kb RBPD into autosomes.

To determine if the ~7 kb RBPD is sufficient to induce delayed replication in an

ectopic integration assay, we generated two transgenes containing the ~7kb RBPD, one

in the sense orientation and one in the antisense orientation with respect to the promoter

(Fig. 2F). These transgenes were introduced into mouse cells and individual clones

isolated. We initially screened individual clones for expression of the transgenes using

RNA FISH with the ~7kb RBPD as probe. Because the mouse cells that we used are

female, we included an RNA FISH probe for Xist to serve as positive control for the RNA

FISH assays. This two-color RNA FISH assay allowed us to identify clones with single

sites of transgene expression, and allowed us to directly compare the RNA FISH signals

from the transgenes to that of the endogenous Xist RNA expressed from the inactive X

chromosome. Figure 2 shows examples of the RNA FISH signals in cells expressing

either the sense (Fig. 2G) or antisense (Fig. 2H) transgenes. Large clouds of RNA FISH

hybridization signals that are comparable to Xist RNA hybridization signals were detected

in 4 out of 12 clones expressing the sense transgene (Fig. 2G). In contrast, 0 out of 12

clones containing the antisense transgene expressed RNA FISH hybridization signals

comparable to Xist RNA signals, but instead only small pinpoint sites of RNA FISH

hybridization were detected (Fig. 2H). Note that the mouse cells are tetraploid and contain

two Xist RNA hybridization signals.

To identify the mouse chromosomes that contain the transgenes, we used DNA FISH

using the ~7kb RBPD DNA as probe in combination with the inverted DAPI banding

pattern to identify the mouse chromosomes in metaphase spreads. We then confirmed

the identity of the mouse chromosomes using DNA FISH on metaphase spreads using

the ~7kb RBPD in combination with BAC probes from the chromosomes of interest. For

example, clone ~7kb(+)A5, which contains the sense-strand transgene integrated in

mouse chromosome 5 is shown in Figure S2A. Next, to determine if the RNA expressed

from the ~7kb RBPD sense strand transgene is retained within the chromosome territory

that expresses the transgene, we used RNA-DNA FISH with the ~7kb RBPD as RNA

probe plus a chromosome paint to detect mouse chromosome 5 DNA in clone ~7kb(+)A5.

Figure 2I shows that the ~7kb RBPD RNA is localized within one of the chromosome 5

DNA hybridization signals, indicating that the ~7kb RBPD RNA is retained within the

chromosome 5 territory.

Next to determine if the ~7kb RBPD transgene alters replication timing of mouse

chromosome 5, we visualized DNA synthesis in mitotic cells using the BrdU terminal label

assay [see Fig. 2A; and [34,35]]. Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, harvested for

mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation and for DNA FISH using the ~7kb

RBPD transgene to identify the integrated chromosome. Figure 2J shows an example of

this replication-timing assay in a mitotic cell containing the ~7kb RBPD sense strand

transgene integrated into mouse chromosome 5. Note that the chromosome containing

the ~7kb RBPD transgene is delayed in mitotic condensation and contains more BrdU

incorporation than any other chromosome within the same cell. In contrast, integration of

the transgene with the ~7kb RBPD in the antisense orientation did not result in delayed

condensation nor in delayed replication of mouse chromosomes (Fig. 2K).

Depletion of RBPs disrupts the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs.

The results described above identified 99 RBPs expressed in two different cell lines

that interact with four different ASAR RNAs (see Table S1). Next, to determine if the

ASAR associated RBPs function in the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs,

we analyzed ASAR RNA localization in cells with shRNA depletion of 12 different RBPs.

Figure 3A-J shows examples of antibody staining for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HNRNPC,

HNRNPM, and HLTF in K562 cells expressing either empty vector or vectors expressing

shRNAs to individual RBPs. Quantitation of the immunofluorescence for each RBP in

empty vector versus shRNA expressing cells, indicated a significant depletion of each

RBP (Fig. 3K; also see Fig. S3 for Western blots).

Next, to determine if the nuclear localization of ASAR6-141 RNA was affected by RBP

depletions we used RNA FISH assays in K562 cells expressing shRNAs against the 12

RBPs. For this analysis we used RNA FISH to detected ASAR6-141 RNA, and because

K562 cells are female we also included a probe for XIST RNA as control for the RNA

FISH. Figure 3L shows the expected RNA FISH patterns for both ASAR6-141 and XIST

RNAs in cells expressing empty vector. In contrast, cells expressing shRNAs directed at

HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HNRNPC, HNRNPM, HLTF, HNRNPA1, HNRNPL, KHSRP, or

UCHL5 showed a nearly complete absence of the highly localized nuclear RNA

hybridization signals for ASAR6-141 RNA, and the appearance of large punctate

cytoplasmic hybridization signals (Fig. 3M-U). We also noticed an overall increase in a

diffuse ASAR6-141 RNA nuclear and cytoplasmic hybridization signal. In contrast, cells

expressing shRNAs directed at PTBP1, PTBP2, or MATR3 showed only the typical

nuclear localized hybridization signals for both ASAR6-141 and XIST RNAs (Fig. 3V; and

Fig. S4A-D). In addition, localized nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signals were detected

in all shRNA treated cells (Fig. 3M-V and Fig. S4A-D). However, we detected cytoplasmic

foci of XIST RNA hybridization that colocalized with the ASAR6-141 RNA, and a

significant decrease in the size of the nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signals (Fig. 3W).

These observations indicate that at least some of the XIST RNA was dissociated from the

inactive X chromosome and colocalized with ASAR6-141 RNA in large cytoplasmic foci.

Ectopic integration of the ~7kb RBPD transgene into the inactive X chromosome.

The results described above indicate that shRNA depletion of 9 different RBPs resulted

in dissociation of ASAR6-141 RNA from its parent chromosome, and resulted in a

decreased nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signal with concomitant colocalization of both

RNAs in cytoplasmic foci (see Fig. 3), suggesting that ASAR6-141 and XIST RNAs are

retained on their respective chromosome territories via similar mechanisms, which is

supported by the large overlap in RBPs associated with both RNAs (Fig. 1E and 1F; and

Table S1). In addition, ectopic integration of *Xist* transgenes into autosomes has been an

instrumental tool in characterization of *Xist* functions, including the ability to delay

replication timing and induce gene silencing [36-38]. Therefore, we next sought to determine

the consequences of ectopic integration of the ASAR6-141 ~7kb RBPD transgene into

the inactive X chromosome. The ectopic integration assays described above involved

random integration of transgenes followed by an RNA FISH screen for clones expressing

the ~7kb RBPD, which included an RNA FISH probe for Xist RNA as positive control.

Therefore, to identify transgene integrations into the inactive X chromosome, we simply

screened an additional 50 clones for colocalization of RNA FISH hybridization signals for

both Xist and the ~7kb RBPD transgene. Figure 4A shows this RNA FISH assay on cells

where the Xist and ~7kb RBPD hybridization signals are colocalized. We note that the

intensity of the Xist RNA FISH signal was enhanced in the region where the ~7kb RBPD

hybridization signal was detected (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the presence of the ~7kb

RBPD RNA promoted preferential localization of Xist RNA in the same region of the X

chromosome territory that contains the ~7kb RBPD RNA.

To confirm that the mouse X chromosome contains the transgene, we used DNA FISH

using the ~7kb RBPD DNA as probe in combination with an X chromosome paint probe

on metaphase spreads. An example of this analysis is shown in Figure S2B and S2C,

and indicates that the ~7kb RBPD transgene is integrated into an X chromosome. During

this analysis we detected DMC on X chromosomes, and the X chromosomes with DMC

contain the ~7kb RBPD transgene (Figs. 4C and 4D).

Next, to determine if the ~7kb RBPD transgene alters replication timing of the inactive

X chromosome, we visualized DNA synthesis in mitotic cells using our BrdU terminal label

assay (see Fig. 2A; and [34,35]). Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, harvested for

mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation and for FISH using the ~7kb RBPD

transgene plus an X chromosome paint probe to identify the integrated chromosome.

Figure 4E-4H shows an example of delayed replication and delayed mitotic condensation

of the X chromosome in a mitotic cell containing the ~7kb RBPD sense transgene

integrated into the inactive X chromosome. We conclude that integration of the 7kb RBPD

transgene is sufficient to cause DRT/DMC on the inactive X chromosome.

Disruption of the chromosome associated localization of ASAR6 and ASAR1-187

RNAs.

To determine if the chromosomal localization of other ASAR RNAs was also affected

by RBP knockdowns we carried out RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR1-187 and ASAR6 RNAs

simultaneously. For this analysis we used Fosmid probes to detect the ASAR RNAs plus

BAC probes to detect the DNA near the ASAR genes located on chromosomes 1 and 6

(see Table S3). Figure 5A-5C shows this RNA FISH assay on K562 cells expressing

empty vector, and indicates that the RNA FISH signals for both ASARs are closely

associated with the DNA FISH signals on their respective chromosomes, i.e. ASAR1-187

RNA shows close association with the chromosome 1 BAC DNA, and ASAR6 RNA shows

close association with the chromosome 6 BAC DNA. In contrast, cells with shRNA

depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HLTF, KHSRP, or

UCHL5 showed a dispersed punctate nuclear pattern for both ASAR RNAs (Fig. 5D-5K).

In addition, large punctate cytoplasmic foci were detected, with the two ASAR RNA

hybridization signals colocalized.

Next, to determine if depletion of RBPs would result in loss of the ASAR RNA

chromosome localization in a second cell type, we carried out RNA-DNA FISH assays on

shRNA depleted HTD114 cells. We chose HTD114 cells because we previously used

these cells to detect the chromosome territory localization of all eight ASAR RNAs and to

measure the synchronous replication timing of five autosome pairs both before and after

ASAR gene disruptions ([4-8,33]; and see Figure 2). Figure 5L-5N shows the RNA-DNA FISH

assay in HTD114 cells expressing empty vector. We again note the tight association of

the ASAR RNAs with the genomic loci where they are transcribed. In contrast, cells

expressing shRNA against HNRNPU show loss of the chromosome associated RNA

hybridization signals for both ASAR RNAs, and instead show diffuse nuclear and

cytoplasmic hybridization, with the presence of large punctate cytoplasmic foci that

hybridize to both ASAR RNA FISH probes (Fig. 5O-5Q). Similar results were obtained

following shRNA depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPUL1,

KHSRP, HLTF, or UCHL5 (Fig. S5). In contrast, expression of shRNAs against SAFB,

SAFB2, SAFB plus SAFB2, CTCF, or MATR3 did not alter the ASAR1-187 or ASAR6

RNA nuclear hybridization signals in HTD114 cells (Fig. S4E-S4J).

Depletion of RBPs causes asynchronous replication on all autosome pairs.

Next, to determine if ASAR associated RBPs function during the normally synchronous

replication timing program that occurs on pairs of homologous chromosomes, we

analyzed DNA synthesis in HTD114 cells depleted for the 9 RBPs implicated in ASAR

RNA localization (HNRNPU, HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPUL1,

KHSRP, HLTF, or UCHL5) and for the five RBPs that showed no effect on ASAR RNA

localization (MATR3, PTBP1, PTBP2, SAFB, or SAFB2). Using the BrdU terminal label

assay [34,35] in cells expressing the empty vector, we found that each autosome pair

displays a typical synchronous BrdU incorporation pattern for each autosome pair (Fig.

6A). In contrast, following HNRNPU depletion, individual mitotic spreads displayed

dramatic differential incorporation of BrdU into pairs of homologous chromosomes,

examples of asynchronous replication timing between every pair of autosomes are shown

in Figure 6B. Note that each chromosome pair contains dramatic differential BrdU

incorporation into chromosome homologs, which represents considerable asynchronous

replication timing. Figure 6C and 6D show examples for chromosome pairs 4 and 18 (also

see Fig. S6C). Quantification of the BrdU incorporation in chromosomes 1 and 6 (see Fig.

S6) in multiple cells depleted for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP, UCHL5, or

HNRNPA1 indicated that both pairs of chromosomes display significant asynchrony (Fig.

6E and 6F). In addition, the DRT/DMC phenotype was detected in ~30% of mitotic

spreads following shRNA-mediated depletions (two examples are shown in Fig. 6G and

6H; also see Fig. S7). Furthermore, shRNA-mediated depletion of HNRNPC, HNRNPL

and HNRNPM resulted in dramatic alterations in chromosome morphology that included

asynchronous replication and abnormal mitotic condensation, including ~10% of mitotic

spreads with one or more chromosomes that displayed the DRT/DMC phenotype (Fig.

S7).

A brief exposure to 1,6-hexanediol disrupts ASAR and C0T-1 RNA localization.

Recent studies have found that the 40S hnRNP particle, which contains HNRNPA1

and HNRNPC, forms a nuclear biomolecular condensate, consistent with participation in

LLPS [23]. The shRNA depletion assays described above resulted in mislocalization of

multiple ASAR RNAs into punctate foci, which is suggestive of LLPS. To determine if 1,6-

hexanediol can disrupt the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs we exposed

HTD114 cells to 4% 1,6-hexanediol for 20 minutes, and then processed the cells for RNA-

DNA FISH. Figure 7 shows this analysis and indicates that the chromosome territory

localization of ASAR1-187, ASAR6, and ASAR6-141 RNAs is disrupted in cells exposed

to 1,6-hexanediol, with all three RNAs being detected as diffuse hybridization patterns in

the nucleus and cytoplasm. We note a dramatic increase in the nuclear and cytoplasmic

RNA hybridization signals for all three ASAR RNAs. In addition, washing out the 1,6-

hexanediol and allowing the cells to recover for 4 hours revealed that the ASAR RNAs

regained their normal localization patterns at their respective chromosomal loci (Fig. 7C,

7F, and 7I).

Next, to determine the relationship between the RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA

and ASAR RNAs in RNA FISH assays, we used human C0T-1 DNA as an RNA FISH

probe on mouse cells expressing an *ASAR6* BAC transgene [8]. Because human C0T-1

DNA does not cross hybridize with mouse RNA or DNA in FISH assays [20], we were able

to detect the chromosome territory localized expression of human ASAR6 RNA

expressed from the transgene that is integrated into mouse chromosome 3 using human

C0T-1 DNA as RNA FISH probe (Fig. 8A and 8B), indicating that C0T-1 DNA detects

ASAR6 RNA.

Next to determine if a brief exposure of cells to 1,6-hexanediol can disrupt the

chromosome territory localization of C0T-1 RNA, we exposed GM11687 cells, which are

mouse A9 hybrid cells containing human chromosome 4, to 4% 1,6-hexanediol for 20

minutes and then processed the cells for RNA-DNA FISH. Figure 8 shows this analysis

and indicates that the chromosome territory localization of human C0T-1 RNA is disrupted

in cells exposed to 1,6-hexanediol, and that the human C0T-1 RNA is detected as a

diffuse hybridization pattern in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 8C and 8D). In addition,

washing out the 1,6-hexanediol and allowing the cells to recover for 4 hours revealed that

the human C0T-1 RNA regained its normal chromosome territory localization pattern (Fig.

8E).

Next, to determine if new RNA synthesis is required to reestablish the chromosome

territory localization of human C0T-1 RNA, we treated cells with 1,6-hexanediol for 20

minutes, washed out the 1,6-hexanediol and allowed the cells to recover for 4 hours either

in the presence or absence of Actinomycin D. Figure 8F and 8G shows this analysis and

indicates that human C0T-1 RNA was not detected on the human chromosome territory

in cells treated with 1,6-hexanediol and allowed to recover for 4 hours in the presence of

Actinomycin D. We note that a 4-hour exposure of cells to Actinomycin D without a prior

exposure to 1,6-hexanediol had no effect on the association of C0T-1 RNA to the human

chromosome territory (Fig. 8H). Taken together, these observations indicate 1,6-

hexanediol exposure disrupts the ASAR and C0T-1 RNA localization to chromosome

territories, and that new RNA synthesis is required for the generation of the chromosome

territory localization of C0T-1 RNA.

Discussion:

We recently identified 68 ASAR candidate genes, and genetically validated five out of five

as controlling replication timing of individual human autosomes, bringing the total of

genetically validated ASAR genes to eight [4-7]. These eight ASAR genes (*ASAR1-187,*

ASAR6, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7, ASAR9-23, ASAR9-24, ASAR9-30 and ASAR15)

display striking epigenetically controlled allelic expression and replication timing, and their

RNAs remain localized to their parent chromosome territories [4-7]. In this report, we used

publicly available RNA-protein interaction data from ENCODE to identify RBPs that

interact with multiple ASAR RNAs. One unanticipated observation that came from this

analysis was that an ~7 kb domain within the ~185 kb ASAR6-141 RNA contained virtually

all of the significant peaks of RBP interactions in two different cell lines. Using genetic

deletion and ectopic integration assays we found that this ~7kb RBPD contains functional

sequences for controlling replication timing of entire chromosomes in *cis*. We also found

that shRNA-mediated depletion of 9 different ASAR-associated RBPs results in loss of

the chromosome territory association of multiple ASAR RNAs. In addition, we found that

depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF,

KHSRP, or UCHL5 alters the normally synchronous replication timing that occurs

between pairs of homologous chromosomes resulting in the DRT/DMC phenotype,

recapitulating the effect of individual ASAR gene knockouts on a genome-wide scale.

Furthermore, we found that a brief exposure of cells to 1,6-hexanediol disrupts the

chromosome territory localization of multiple ASAR and C0T-1 RNAs, and that new RNA

synthesis is required to restore the chromosome territory localization of C0T-1 RNA.

Previous studies have suggested that C0T-1 RNA plays a dynamic structural role that

promotes the open architecture of active chromosome territories [13,20]. C0T-1 RNA is

predominantly L1 sequences, and these L1 RNAs remain associated with the

chromosome territories where they are transcribed [20]. Additional studies have proposed

that repRNA is an important regulator of the dynamic organization of genomic loci into

membrane-less subcompartments with distinct nuclear functions (reviewed in [19]). Other

studies have proposed that HNRNPU nonspecifically interacts with "RNA debris" to create

a dynamic nuclear mesh that regulates interphase chromatin structure [12,18]. One

important distinction that can be made between ASAR RNAs and these other hnRNAs

(i.e. C0T-1 RNA, repRNA, caRNA, and "RNA debris" [12,13,18-21]) is that ASARs have been

defined genetically, and these other RNAs have not. Thus, we have used both loss of

function and gain of function assays to define the roles that ASAR genes play in

chromosome dynamics, which includes control of chromosome-wide replication timing

and mitotic chromosome condensation [4-8]. We also found that C0T-1 DNA, when used as

an RNA FISH probe, can detect ASAR6 RNA that is expressed and localized to an

individual chromosome territory, suggesting that at least some of the RNA FISH signal

detected by C0T-1 DNA represents ASARs. In addition, we found that a brief exposure

to 1,6-hexanediol disrupts the chromosome territory localization of multiple ASAR RNAs,

suggesting that ASAR RNAs participate in the nuclear LLPS processes that helps to

organize chromosome territories. Given our recent discovery of ASAR RNAs expressed

from every autosome, and encoded by ~3% of the human genome [6], we propose that

ASAR RNAs represent functional "chromosome associated RNA" species that control

chromosome dynamics within mammalian nuclei. Whether or not there are nuclear

functions of other chromosome associated hnRNAs that are independent of ASARs will

require genetic and/or functional validation.

Here we identified several hnRNPs (HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM,

HNRNPU, and HNRNPUL1) as important interaction partners for the chromosome

territory localization of multiple ASAR RNAs. In addition, we found that the SWI/SNF

related transcription factor HLTF, which was recently shown to regulate replication fork

reversal, prevent alternative mechanisms of stress-resistant DNA replication, and

mediates cellular resistance to replication stress [39], is required for the chromosome

territory localization of multiple ASAR RNAs and for the synchronous replication of every

autosome pair. Furthermore, we found that the KH domain (HNRNP<u>K</u> <u>H</u>omology domain)

containing protein KHSRP, and the ubiquitin hydrolase UCHL5 also contribute to the

chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs. We found that depletion of HNRNPA1,

HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP, or UCHL5

proteins resulted in a dramatic genome-wide disruption of the normally synchronous

replication timing program on all autosome pairs. Taken together our results indicate that

the association of ASAR RNAs with multiple hnRNPs in combination with other nuclear

RBPs is an essential step in the regulation of the synchronous replication timing program

on mammalian chromosomes.

References:

1. Mukhopadhyay, R., Lajugie, J., Fourel, N., Selzer, A., Schizas, M., Bartholdy, B., Mar, J., Lin, C.M., Martin, M.M., Ryan, M., et al. (2014). Allele-specific genome-wide profiling in human primary erythroblasts reveal replication program organization. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004319. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004319.

2. Dileep, V., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Single-cell replication profiling to measure stochastic variation in mammalian replication timing. Nature communications *9*, 427. 10.1038/s41467-017-02800-w.

3. Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Dimond, A., Vera, D., Trevilla-Garcia, C., Sasaki, T., Zimmerman, J., Dupont, C., Gribnau, J., Fraser, P., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Allele-specific control of replication timing and genome organization during development. Genome Res *28*, 800-811. 10.1101/gr.232561.117.

4. Donley, N., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2015). ASAR15, A cis-Acting Locus that Controls Chromosome-Wide Replication Timing and Stability of Human Chromosome 15. PLoS Genet *11*, e1004923. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004923.

5. Heskett, M.B., Smith, L.G., Spellman, P., and Thayer, M.J. (2020). Reciprocal monoallelic expression of ASAR lncRNA genes controls replication timing of human chromosome 6. RNA (New York, N.Y.) *26*, 724-738. 10.1261/rna.073114.119.

6. Heskett, M.B., Vouzas, A.E., Smith, L.G., Yates, P.A., Boniface, C., Bouhassira, E.E., Spellman, P.T., Gilbert, D.M., and Thayer, M.J. (2022). Epigenetic control of chromosome-associated lncRNA genes essential for replication and stability. Nature communications *13*, 6301. 10.1038/s41467-022-34099-7.

7. Stoffregen, E.P., Donley, N., Stauffer, D., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2011). An autosomal locus that controls chromosome-wide replication timing and mono-allelic expression. Hum Mol Genet *20*, 2366-2378.

8. Donley, N., Stoffregen, E.P., Smith, L., Montagna, C., and Thayer, M.J. (2013). Asynchronous Replication, Mono-Allelic Expression, and Long Range Cis-Effects of ASAR6. PLoS Genet *9*, e1003423.

9. Platt, E.J., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2018). L1 retrotransposon antisense RNA within ASAR lncRNAs controls chromosome-wide replication timing. J Cell Biol *217*, 541-553. 10.1083/jcb.201707082.

10. Ding, D.Q., Okamasa, K., Katou, Y., Oya, E., Nakayama, J.I., Chikashige, Y., Shirahige, K., Haraguchi, T., and Hiraoka, Y. (2019). Chromosome-associated RNA-protein complexes promote pairing of homologous chromosomes during meiosis in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nature communications *10*, 5598. 10.1038/s41467-019-13609-0.

11. Faber, M.W., and Vo, T.V. (2022). Long RNA-Mediated Chromatin Regulation in Fission Yeast and Mammals. Int J Mol Sci *23*. 10.3390/ijms23020968.

12. Nozawa, R.S., and Gilbert, N. (2019). RNA: Nuclear Glue for Folding the Genome. Trends Cell Biol *29*, 201-211. 10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.003.

13. Creamer, K.M., Kolpa, H.J., and Lawrence, J.B. (2021). Nascent RNA scaffolds contribute to chromosome territory architecture and counter chromatin compaction. Mol Cell. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.07.004.

14. Menon, D.U., and Meller, V.H. (2015). Identification of the Drosophila X
chromosome: The long and short of it. RNA biology *12*, 1088-1093.
10.1080/15476286.2015.1086864.
15. St Laurent, G., Savva, Y.A., and Kapranov, P. (2012). Dark matter RNA: an
intelligent scaffold for the dynamic regulation of the nuclear information
landscape. Frontiers in genetics *3*, 57. 10.3389/fgene.2012.00057.
16. Kristensen, L.S., Andersen, M.S., Stagsted, L.V.W., Ebbesen, K.K., Hansen,
T.B., and Kjems, J. (2019). The biogenesis, biology and characterization of
circular RNAs. Nat Rev Genet *20*, 675-691. 10.1038/s41576-019-0158-7.
17. St Laurent, G., Vyatkin, Y., Antonets, D., Ri, M., Qi, Y., Saik, O., Shtokalo, D., de
Hoon, M.J., Kawaji, H., Itoh, M., et al. (2016). Functional annotation of the vlinc
class of non-coding RNAs using systems biology approach. Nucleic Acids
Research. 2 *44*, 3233-3252. 10.1093/nar/gkw162.
18. Nozawa, R.S., Boteva, L., Soares, D.C., Naughton, C., Dun, A.R., Buckle, A.,
Ramsahoye, B., Bruton, P.C., Saleeb, R.S., Arnedo, M., et al. (2017). SAF-A
Regulates Interphase Chromosome Structure through Oligomerization with
Chromatin-Associated RNAs. Cell *169*, 1214-1227.e1218.
10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.029.
19. Frank, L., and Rippe, K. (2020). Repetitive RNAs as Regulators of Chromatin-
Associated Subcompartment Formation by Phase Separation. J Mol Biol *432*,
4270-4286. 10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.015.
20. Hall, L.L., Carone, D.M., Gomez, A.V., Kolpa, H.J., Byron, M., Mehta, N.,
Fackelmayer, F.O., and Lawrence, J.B. (2014). Stable C0T-1 repeat RNA is
abundant and is associated with euchromatic interphase chromosomes. Cell *156*,
907-919. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.042.
21. Kolpa, H.J., Creamer, K.M., Hall, L.L., and Lawrence, J.B. (2021). SAF-A
mutants disrupt chromatin structure through dominant negative effects on RNAs
associated with chromatin. Mamm Genome. 10.1007/s00335-021-09935-8.
22. Geuens, T., Bouhy, D., and Timmerman, V. (2016). The hnRNP family: insights
into their role in health and disease. Hum Genet *135*, 851-867. 10.1007/s00439-
016-1683-5.
23. Domanski, M., Dedic, E., Pérez, M.E., Cléry, A., Campagne, S., Uldry, A.C.,
Braga, S., Heller, M., Rabl, J., Afanasyev, P., et al. (2022). 40S hnRNP particles
are a novel class of nuclear biomolecular condensates. Nucleic Acids Research.
2 *50*, 6300-6312. 10.1093/nar/gkac457.
24. Connolly, C., Takahashi, S., Miura, H., Hiratani, I., Gilbert, N., Donaldson, A.D.,
and Hiraga, S.I. (2022). SAF-A promotes origin licensing and replication fork
progression to ensure robust DNA replication. J Cell Sci *135*.
10.1242/jcs.258991.
25. Moore, J.E., Purcaro, M.J., Pratt, H.E., Epstein, C.B., Shoresh, N., Adrian, J.,
Kawli, T., Davis, C.A., Dobin, A., Kaul, R., et al. (2020). Expanded
encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. Nature
583, 699-710. 10.1038/s41586-020-2493-4.
26. Laghmach, R., Di Pierro, M., and Potoyan, D.A. (2021). The interplay of
chromatin phase separation and lamina interactions in nuclear organization.
Biophys J *120*, 5005-5017. 10.1016/j.bpj.2021.10.012.

27.   Laghmach, R., Di Pierro, M., and Potoyan, D. (2021). A Liquid State Perspective
585   on Dynamics of Chromatin Compartments. Front Mol Biosci *8*, 781981.
586   10.3389/fmolb.2021.781981.

587   28.   Rippe, K. (2022). Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Chromatin. Cold Spring Harb
588   Perspect Biol *14*. 10.1101/cshperspect.a040683.

589   29.   Ulianov, S.V., Velichko, A.K., Magnitov, M.D., Luzhin, A.V., Golov, A.K.,
590   Ovsyannikova, N., Kireev, II, Gavrikov, A.S., Mishin, A.S., Garaev, A.K., et al.
591   (2021). Suppression of liquid-liquid phase separation by 1,6-hexanediol partially
592   compromises the 3D genome organization in living cells. Nucleic Acids
593   Research. 2 *49*, 10524-10541. 10.1093/nar/gkab249.

594   30.   Liu, X., Jiang, S., Ma, L., Qu, J., Zhao, L., Zhu, X., and Ding, J. (2021). Time-
595   dependent effect of 1,6-hexanediol on biomolecular condensates and 3D
596   chromatin organization. Genome Biol *22*, 230. 10.1186/s13059-021-02455-3.

597   31.   Van Nostrand, E.L., Pratt, G.A., Shishkin, A.A., Gelboin-Burkhart, C., Fang, M.Y.,
598   Sundararaman, B., Blue, S.M., Nguyen, T.B., Surka, C., Elkins, K., et al. (2016).
599   Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with
600   enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). Nat Methods *13*, 508-514. 10.1038/nmeth.3810.

601   32.   Chang, B.H., Smith, L., Huang, J., and Thayer, M. (2007). Chromosomes with
602   delayed replication timing lead to checkpoint activation, delayed recruitment of
603   Aurora B and chromosome instability. Oncogene *26*, 1852-1861.

604   33.   Breger, K.S., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2005). Engineering translocations with
605   delayed replication: evidence for cis control of chromosome replication timing.
606   Hum Mol Genet *14*, 2813-2827.

607   34.   Smith, L., Plug, A., and Thayer, M. (2001). Delayed Replication Timing Leads to
608   Delayed Mitotic Chromosome Condensation and Chromosomal Instability of
609   Chromosome Translocations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 13300-13305.

610   35.   Smith, L., and Thayer, M. (2012). Chromosome replicating timing combined with
611   fluorescent in situ hybridization. J Vis Exp *10*, e4400.

612   36.   Minks, J., and Brown, C.J. (2009). Getting to the center of X-chromosome
613   inactivation: the role of transgenes. Biochem Cell Biol *87*, 759-766.

614   37.   Pintacuda, G., Wei, G., Roustan, C., Kirmizitas, B.A., Solcan, N., Cerase, A.,
615   Castello, A., Mohammed, S., Moindrot, B., Nesterova, T.B., and Brockdorff, N.
616   (2017). hnRNPK Recruits PCGF3/5-PRC1 to the Xist RNA B-Repeat to Establish
617   Polycomb-Mediated Chromosomal Silencing. Mol Cell *68*, 955-969.e910.
618   10.1016/j.molcel.2017.11.013.

619   38.   Lee, J.T., Strauss, W.M., Dausman, J.A., and Jaenisch, R. (1996). A 450 kb
620   transgene displays properties of the mammalian X-inactivation center. Cell *86*,
621   83-94.

622   39.   Bai, G., Kermi, C., Stoy, H., Schiltz, C.J., Bacal, J., Zaino, A.M., Hadden, M.K.,
623   Eichman, B.F., Lopes, M., and Cimprich, K.A. (2020). HLTF Promotes Fork
624   Reversal, Limiting Replication Stress Resistance and Preventing Multiple
625   Mechanisms of Unrestrained DNA Synthesis. Mol Cell *78*, 1237-1251.e1237.
626   10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.031.

627   40.   Kruskal, J.B. (1964). Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a
628   nonmetric hypothesis. Psychometrika *29*, 1–27.

629   41.   Zhu, Y., Bye, S., Stambrook, P.J., and Tischfield, J.A. (1994). Single-base
630   deletion induced by benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide at the adenine
631   phosphoribosyltransferase locus in human fibrosarcoma cell lines. Mutat Res
632   *321*, 73-79.
633   42.   Van Nostrand, E.L., Freese, P., Pratt, G.A., Wang, X., Wei, X., Xiao, R., Blue,
634   S.M., Chen, J.Y., Cody, N.A.L., Dominguez, D., et al. (2020). A large-scale
635   binding and functional map of human RNA-binding proteins. Nature *583*, 711-
636   719. 10.1038/s41586-020-2077-3.
637   43.   Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut,
638   P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
639   aligner. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *29*, 15-21.
640   10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
641   44.   Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
642   Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
643   SAMtools. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *25*, 2078-2079.
644   10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
645
646

Figure legends

Figure 1. RNA binding proteins interact with an ~7 kb domain within ASAR6-141 RNA. A) RNA-DNA FISH images of ASAR6-141 expression in six individual HTD114 cells. ASAR6-141 RNA (green; green arrows) and chromosome 6 DNA (chromosome paint, red), and DNA was stained with DAPI. B) RNA-DNA FISH of ASAR6-141 RNA (red, red arrows), CHR6 DNA (chromosome paint, white), and XIST RNA (green, green arrows) visualized within individual GM12878 cells, top and bottom panels represent the same three cells with the nuclear outline drawn in white. C) RNA-protein interaction data (eCLIP from ENCODE) for 120 RBPs expressed in K562 cells for the genomic region that contains ASAR6-141, and ~200 kb of upstream non-transcribed DNA (chromosome 6 140.8 mb-141.6 mb). Each point represents the FDR-corrected p-value of the z-score of log2-ratio between eCLiP vs control for each RBP within a 10kb sliding window. D) Number of 10kb regions with significant enrichments of eCLiP reads vs control within ASAR6-141. E) Histogram of eCLiP peaks count per RBP within ASAR6-141 7kb RBPD. F) Histogram of eCLiP peaks count per RBP within lncRNA XIST. G) Genome browser view of *ASAR6-141* with RNA-seq expression and eCLiP peaks shown in K562 and HepG2. The zoomed in view shows the ~7kb RBPD with the location of the peaks of eCLIP reads that map within the region (see Table S1). The location of sgRNAs are shown with arrows (see Table S3), and the asterisks mark the sgRNAs from [5].

Figure 2. Replication timing in cells with *ASAR6-141 ~7kb* RBPD deletion or ectopic integration. A) Schematic illustration of the BrdU terminal label protocol [35]. Cells were treated with BrdU (green) for 5 hours and then harvested for mitotic cells. B) BrdU incorporation in HTD114 cells containing a heterozygous deletion of the ~7kb RBPD. Cells containing a deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the expressed allele of *ASAR6-141* were exposed to BrdU, harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using a chromosome 6 centromeric probe (red; B). The larger centromere resides on the chromosome 6 with the silent *ASAR6-141* allele (CHR6A), and the smaller centromere resides on the chromosome 6 with the expressed *ASAR6-141* allele (CHR6B). DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). C and D) DAPI staining and BrdU incorporation were quantified by calculating the number of pixels (area x intensity) and displayed as a ratio of BrdU incorporation in CHR6B divided by the BrdU incorporation in CHR6A. E) Quantification of BrdU incorporation in multiple cells with heterozygous (Δ7kb-6B, expressed allele; or Δ7kb-6A, silent allele) or homozygous (Δ7kb-6B/6A) deletions of the ~7kb RBPD. Also shown is the quantification of BrdU incorporation in heterozygous deletions of the entire ~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene from chromosome 6B (Δ185-6B) or 6A (Δ185-6A) [5]. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box) and 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [40]. Values for individual cells are shown as dots. **P = 0.00027 and *P = 0.03. F) Schematic view of the ~7kb RBPD in both the sense and antisense orientation. The promoter used to drive expression is from the ASAR6 gene [9]. G and H) Two color RNA FISH assay for expression of the ~7kb RBPD transgenes. Individual clones were screened for RNA expressed from the ASAR6-141 (~7kb RBPD) transgenes (red, arrows), and RNA hybridization using an Xist probe (green, arrows) was used as positive control. G and H represent examples of cells containing the sense and

antisense transgenes, respectively. I) RNA from the ~7kb RBPD remains localized to
mouse chromosomes. RNA-DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD as RNA FISH probe (red,
arrows), plus a mouse chromosome 5 paint to detect chromosome 5 DNA (green,
brackets). J) Cells containing the sense ~7kb RBPD transgene integrated into mouse
chromosome 5 were exposed to BrdU (green), harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected
to DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (red, arrows). Note that the chromosome that contains
the transgene shows delayed mitotic condensation and more BrdU incorporation than any
other chromosome within the same cell. K) Cells containing the antisense ~7kb RBPD
transgene integrated into mouse chromosomes were exposed to BrdU (green), harvested
for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (red). Note that the
chromosome that contains the transgene shows normal mitotic condensation and only a
small amount of BrdU incorporation. Scale bars are 10 uM (B, G, H) 5 uM (J, K) and 2 uM
(I).
706
Figure 3. Depletion of RBPs results in disruption of the chromosome territory
localization of ASAR6-141 RNA. A-J) shRNA mediated depletion of RBPs. K562 cells
were transfected with empty vector (A, C, E, G, and I) or vectors expressing shRNAs
directed against HNRNPU (B), HNRNPUL1 (D), HNRNPC (F), HNRNPM (H), or HLTF
(J). K) Cells were stained with the appropriate antibodies and quantitation of each RBP
was determined in >25 individual cells. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard
deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers), and
individual cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [40].
L-V) RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR6-141 (green) and XIST (red) RNA. K562 cells were
transfected with empty vector (L) or vectors expressing shRNAs against HNRNPU (M),
HNRNPUL1 (N), HNRNPC (O), HNRNPM (P), HLTF (Q), HNRNPA1 (R), HNRNPL (S),
KHSRP (T), UCHL5 (U), or PTBP1 (V). The red arrows mark the RNA FISH signals for
XIST. The brackets mark cytoplasmic regions that hybridized to both RNA FISH probes.
DNA was stained with DAPI, and Bars are 10 *u*M (A-J and L) or 5 *u*M (M-V). W)
Quantitation of the XIST RNA FISH signals. K562 cells transfected and processed for
RNA FISH as in L-V were analyzed for quantitation of XIST RNA cloud size (pixels: area
X intensity) in >25 individual cells. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation
(dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual
cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [40].
726
Figure 4. Ectopic integration of the *ASAR6-141 ~7kb RBPD* transgene into an
inactive X chromosome. A) Two color RNA FISH assay for expression of the sense
~7kb RBPD transgene plus Xist RNA. Examples of three different cells showing
colocalization of the ASAR RNA and Xist RNA. Individual cells were processed for
expression of the sense strand ~7kb RBPD transgene (left panels, red, arrow) in
combination with RNA FISH for Xist (middle panels, green, arrow). The right panels show
the merged images. The white lines show the path for the pixel intensity profiles used for
panel B. B) Pixel intensity profiles across the Xist RNA hybridization domain showing
enhanced signal intensity over the ~7kb RBPD RNA hybridization domain. C and D)
Delayed mitotic chromosome condensation of the X chromosome that contains the ~7kb
RBPD transgene. An example of a mitotic spread processed for DNA FISH using the
~7kb RBPD as probe (magenta, panel C) plus a mouse X chromosome paint (red, panel

D). The arrows mark the location of the transgene hybridization signal. E-H) Cells containing the ~7kb RBPD transgene integrated into the mouse X chromosome were exposed to BrdU (G, green), harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (E, magenta) plus a mouse X chromosome paint (F, red). The merged images are shown in panel H.

Figure 5. Depletion of RBPs results in disruption of the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs. shRNA mediated depletion of RBPs in K562 cells (A-K) or HTD114 cells (L-Q). Cells were transfected with empty vector (A-C and L-N) or vectors expressing shRNAs directed against HNRNPA1 (D), HNRNPC (E), HNRNPL (F), HNRNPM (G), HNRNPU (H, and O-Q), HLTF (I), KHSRP (J), or UCHL5 (K). Cells were processed for RNA FISH with probes for ASAR6 (red, arrows) and ASAR1-187 (green, arrows). Cells were also processed for DNA FISH using BAC DNA to detect chromosome 1 (CHR1 BAC DNA, magenta) and chromosome 6 (CHR6 BAC DNA, orange; A-C and L-Q). Arrows mark the sites of RNA FISH hybridization and arrowheads mark the sites of DNA hybridization. RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR1-187 (RNA in green, arrows; DNA in magenta and arrowheads), ASAR6 (RNA in red, arrows; DNA in orange and arrowheads). The brackets mark the cytoplasmic regions that hybridized to both RNA FISH probes. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.

Figure 6. Depletion of RBPs results in asynchronous replication on autosome pairs. A) BrdU incorporation in parental HTD114 cells. Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours and processed for BrdU incorporation using an antibody against BrdU. This panel represents chromosomes from multiple mitotic spreads showing representative BrdU incorporation in chromosome pairs. Both homologs of autosome pair were captured from the same mitotic cell, and each pair displays a typical BrdU incorporation pattern that is consistent with synchronous replication timing. B) HTD114 cells were transfected with the HNRNPU shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for five hours, and processed for BrdU incorporation. This panel represents chromosomes from multiple mitotic spreads showing representative BrdU incorporation in pairs of autosomes. Both homologs of autosome pairs were captured from the same mitotic cell, and each pair displays a differential BrdU incorporation pattern that is consistent with asynchronous replication timing. C) Shows two chromosome 4 homologs (CHR4A and CHR4B) side by side. D) Shows the BrdU incorporation in chromosome 18 homologs (CHR18A and CHR18B). For each chromosome pair the inverted DAPI staining (black and white), BrdU incorporation (green), and DAPI staining (blue) are shown. E and F) Quantification of BrdU incorporation in multiple cells depleted for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HTLF, KHSRP, UCHL5, HNRNPA1, MATR3, PTBP1, PTBP2, SAFB and SAFB2. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [40]. G) DRT/DMC on chromosome 6 following HNRNPU depletion. HTD114 cells were transfected with the HNRNPU shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 6 centromeric probe (red). H) DRT/DMC on chromosome 1 following UCHL5 depletion. HTD114 cells were transfected with the UCHL5 shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic

785 cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome
786 1 paint as probe (red). The arrow marks the chromosome 1 with DRT/DMC, and the inset
787 shows only the DAPI staining of the chromosome 1 highlighting the DMC.
788
Figure 7. 1,6 hexanediol disrupts the chromosome territory association of ASAR
RNAs. HTD114 cells were exposed to 4% 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD) for 20 minutes,
washed extensively and allowed to recover for 4 hours in complete media, and processed
for RNA-DNA FISH. A-C) RNA FISH using an ASAR6-141 probe (green, arrows) plus a
BAC probe from chromosome 6 was used to detect chromosome 6 DNA (red
arrowheads). D-F) RNA FISH using an ASAR6 probe (green, arrows) plus a BAC probe
from chromosome 6 was used to detect chromosome 6 DNA (red arrowheads). G-I) RNA
FISH using an ASAR1-187 probe (green, arrows) plus a BAC probe from chromosome 1
was used to detect chromosome 1 DNA (red arrowheads). Panels A, D, and G are
HTD114 cells prior to exposure. B, E, and H) HTD114 cells exposed to 1,6-HD for 20
minutes. C, F and I) HTD114 cells exposed to 1,6-HD for 20 minutes followed by 4 hour
incubation in the absence of 1,6-HD (4hr recovery). DNA was stained with DAPI, and
scale bars are 10 *u*M.
802
Figure 8. Human C0T-1 DNA detects ASAR6 RNA, and 1,6 hexanediol disrupts the
chromosome territory association of C0T-1 RNA. A and B) RNA-DNA FISH on mouse
cells containing an ASAR6 BAC transgene integrated into mouse chromosome 3 [8]. A)
RNA-DNA FISH using an ASAR6 fosmid probe to detect ASAR6 RNA (green; arrows)
plus a mouse chromosome 3 paint probe to detect DNA (red; arrowheads). B) RNA-DNA
FISH using human C0T-1 DNA to detect RNA (green; arrows) plus the *ASAR6* BAC (red
arrowheads) to detect DNA. C-H) RNA-DNA FISH using human C0T-1 DNA as probe for
both RNA (middle panels, green) and DNA (top panels, red). The bottom panels show the
merged images. GM11687 cells were exposed to 4% 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD) for 20
minutes, washed extensively and allowed to recover for 4 hours in complete media. C)
Control GM11687 cells prior to 1,6-HD exposure (Untreated). D) GM11687 cells exposed
to 1,6-HD for 20 minutes. E) GM11687 cells exposed to 1,6-HD for 20 minutes, washed
extensively, and allowed to recover for 4 hours in complete media (4hr recovery). F and
G) GM11687 cells exposed to HD for 20 minutes, washed extensively and allowed to
recover for 4 hours in complete media containing Actinomycin D (4hr recovery +ActD). H)
Control GM11687 cells exposed to Actinomycin D for 4 hours in complete media (4hr
ActD).
820
Figure S1. CRISPR/Cas9 deletion of the ~7kb RBPD. A) Integrative Genomics Viewer
view showing the genomic location of *ASAR6-141*. The genomic locations of RNAseq
and eCLIP reads from HepG2 and K562 (from ENCODE) are shown. The zoomed in view
shows the ~7kb RBPD with the location where all of the peaks of eCLIP reads map within
the region (see Table S1). The location of sgRNAs that flank the ~7kb RBPD are shown.
The location of PCR primers (1-6) and the heterozygous SNP rs17070386 are also shown
(see Table S3). B) Sanger sequencing traces on PCR products generated from genomic
DNA using primers 5 and 6 (see Table S3). In previous studies we generated mouse
monochromosomal hybrid cells containing the two chromosome 6 homologs from
HTD114 [7], and sequencing traces from these two hybrids, contain either chromosome 6A

(CHR6A) or chromosome 6B (CHR6B) are shown below the HTD114 panel. Sequencing
traces from PCR products generated from 4 heterozygous deletions, two with CHR6A
deletions {D6A(7-82-29) and D6A(7-82-13)} and two with CHR6B deletions {D6B(7-18-1)
and D6B(7-63-1)} are shown. C) Junction PCR (primers 1 and 4) on genomic DNA
isolated from heterozygous {D6A(7-82-29), D6A(7-82-13), D6B(7-18-1) and D6B(7-63-1)}
or homozygous deletions {D6B/6A-1 and D6B/6A-2} are shown. Parental HTD114 DNA
was used as control. D) Internal PCR products (primers 5 and 6) on genomic DNA isolated
from heterozygous {D6A(7-82-29), D6A(7-82-13), D6B(7-18-1) and D6B(7-63-1)} or
homozygous deletions {D6B/6A-1 and D6B/6A-2} are shown. Parental HTD114 DNA was
used as control.

Figure S2. Identification of mouse chromosomes containing the ~7kb RBPD
transgene. A) DNA FISH on mouse chromosomes from clone ~7kb(+)A5. Mitotic spread
showing DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (magenta; arrow) and a mouse chromosome
5 BAC (RP24-107D17; red; arrowheads). B and C) DNA FISH on mouse chromosomes
from clone ~7kb(+)X1. Mitotic spread showing DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD
(magenta; arrows) and a mouse X chromosome paint (red).

Figure S3. RBP protein levels in cells expressing shRNAs. K562 or HTD114 cells
were transfected with empty vector (-) or shRNA against RBPs and cell extracts were
processed for Western Blots using antibodies (Table S4) as indicated: A and B) HNRNPU
(green); C) UCHL5 (green); D and E) HNRNPC (green); F and I) HNRNPL (green); G and
H) HNRNPM (green); J and K) KHSRP (green); L) HNRNPUL1 (green); M) HLTF (green);
N) SAFB2 (green); O) PTBP1 (red); or P) HNRNPA1 (green). Tubulin (red) was used as
loading control, and size markers are indicated.

Figure S4. RNA FISH on cells transfected with shRNAs that did not disrupt ASAR
localization. A-D) K562 cells were transfected with empty vector (A) or shRNA
expression vectors for MATR3 (B), PTBP1 (C), or PTBP2 (D). Cells were processed for
RNA FISH using probes for ASAR6-141 (green; arrows) or XIST (red; arrows). E-J)
HTD114 cells were transfected with empty vector (E) or shRNA expression vectors for
SAFB (F), SAFB2 (G), SAFB plus SAFB2 (H), CTCF (assayed for only ASAR6 RNA) (I),
or MATR3 (J). DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.

Figure S5. Disruption of the chromosome territory localization of ASAR1-187 and
ASAR6 RNAs following shRNA depletion of RBPs. HTD114 cells were transfected
with empty vector (A) or shRNA expression vectors for HNRNPA1 (B), HNRNPC (C),
HNRNPL (D), HNRNPM (E), HNRNPUL1 (F), KHSRP (G), HLTF (H), or UCHL5 (I). Cells
were processed for RNA FISH using Fosmid probes (see Table S3) for ASAR1-187
(green, arrow) and ASAR6 (red, arrow). A) DNA FISH hybridization signals for
chromosome 1 (CHR1 BAC DNA; magenta) and chromosome 6 (CHR6 BAC DNA;
orange) are indicated by arrowheads. The brackets mark cytoplasmic regions that
hybridized to both ASAR RNA FISH probes. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars
are 10 *u*M.

Figure S6. Replication timing in cells transfected with shRNA expression vectors.
A and B) HTD114 cells were transfected with PTBP1 shRNA expression vector, exposed
to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation
(green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 1 (A, red) or 6 (B, magenta) centromeric
probe. Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, processed for BrdU incorporation using
an antibody against BrdU. The ratio of BrdU incorporation in chromosome 1 and
chromosome 6 homologs are shown in the bottom panels of A and B, respectively. C)
HTD114 cells were transfected with shRNA expression vector for HNRNPU, exposed to
BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green)
and DNA FISH using centromeric probes for chromosome 1 (red) or 6 (magenta). The
ratio of BrdU incorporation in chromosome homologs for 1, 6, 12, and 18 are shown in
the bottom panels. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.
888
Figure S7. Replication timing alterations in shRNA depleted cells. HTD114 cells
were transfected with shRNA expression vectors for HNRNPA1 (A), HNRNPC (B),
HNRNPL C), HNRNPM (D), HLTF (E) KHSRP (F) HNRNPU (G) or UCHL5 (H), exposed
to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation
(green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 1 centromeric probe (A and G; red, arrows)
or chromosome 1 paint (B, C, D, E F and H; red, arrows) plus a chromosome 6
centromeric probe (A-H; magenta, arrows). The brackets mark chromosomes with
DRT/DMC. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.
897
898
899 **Table S1. The location of significant peaks of eCLIP reads that map within ASAR**
900 **and XIST genes.** The location of significant, fold enrichment and log10(P value), eCLIP
901 reads, from K562 and HepG2, that mapped within ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7,
902 ASAR9-23, and XIST.
903
904 **Table S2. eCLIP reads for 120 RBPs in 10 kb windows within ASAR genes.** The FDR-
905 corrected p-value of the z-score of log2-ratio between eCLiP vs control for each RBP in
906 10kb windows within ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7, and ASAR9-23, from K562
907 and HepG2 cells.
908
909 **Table S3. Fosmids, BACS, PCR primers, and sgRNA sequences.**
910
911 **Table S4. shRNA and antibodies.**
912

STAR Methods

Cell culture

HTD114 cells are a human *APRT* deficient cell line derived from HT1080 cells [41]. K562

cells were obtained from ATCC, and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone). Mouse C2C12 cells were used for the transgene

integration assays, transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the

manufacturer's recommendations, and clones containing transgene integrations were

isolated following selection in media containing G418. All cells were grown in DMEM

(Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), and were grown in a

humidified incubator at 37°C in a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere.

eCLIP analysis

eCLIP data was obtained from [42]. Given that non-coding genes and TLs are expressed

at a lower level than coding genes, a sensitive filtering approach was used as

recommended by the authors [42]. We filtered out peaks containing an eCLIP peak p-value

greater than p=0.05, and filtered out peaks containing a fold enrichment value less than

two.

<u>*Identification of TL*</u>

Nuclear-enriched, strand-specific, ribo-minus, total-RNA sequencing libraries were first

aligned to the hg19 reference genome using default parameters with STAR [43]. Next

SAMtools [44] was used to remove duplicate and low quality (<=MAPQ20) reads. TLs were

defined using a strategy of sequential merging of strand-specific, contiguous intergenic

reads, as performed in [6]．Utilizing strand-specific reads, stranded reads separated by

1,000 bp or less were merged to create contigs, and the contigs merged again while

allowing for gaps of 7 kb to allow for regions that are not uniquely mappable due to

presence of full-length LINE elements. TL were then classified above a minimum cutoff

length of 50 kb of strand specific, contiguous expression.

shRNA depletion of RBPs

Using   Lipofectamine   2000   (Invitrogen),   according   to   the   manufacturer's

recommendations, we co-transfected HTD114 cells with plasmids encoding puromycin

resistance (PGK-PURO) and shRNAs against 14 different RBPs (Table S4). 24h after

transfection, cells were plated in media containing 10 ug/ml puromycin (Millipore/Sigma),

and 24h-48h following puromycin selection cells were processed for Western blot, RNA-

DNA FISH or for replication timing assays (see below).

Western blot analysis.

Cells were pelleted, rinsed once in PBS, and lysed by resuspension in RIPA Solubilization

Buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA,1% NP-40 or 1% Triton X-

100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS). Protein concentration was determined via the

Qubit Protein BR Assay kit (Invitrogen, A50669) on a Qubit 4 Fluorometer. Lysates from

HTD114 (20 μg) or K562 (25 μg) cell lines in 1X Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad, 161-

0737) with 5% β-mercaptoethanol were loaded onto 10% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast

Tris-Glycine-SDS polyacrylamide gels, and run in 1X SDS PAGE running buffer (Bio-Rad,

161-0732) at 100 Volts for 1.25 hours. Proteins were transferred to either NitroBind

nitrocellulose (GE, EP4HY00010) or Immobilon-FL PVDF (Millipore, IPFL00010)

membranes in Tris-Glycine transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol

pH 8.3) at 100 V for one hour.

For protein detection, membranes were blocked for one hour in 50% AquaBlock (Arlington

Scientific, PP82P-500-QT-1582) in PBS (AquaBlock-P) and probed with specific

antibodies (Table S4) in AquaBlock-P with 0.1% Tween 20 (AquaBlock-PT) at 4 °C

overnight. The membranes were subjected to four 5-minutes washes in PBS with 0.1%

Tween 20 (PBS-T) at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with secondary

antibodies in AquaBlock-PT for one hour at room temperature, and subjected to four

washes in PBS-T as described above. Antibody labeling was detected using an Azure

Sapphire Biomolecular Imager.

Immunofluorescence:

Cells were allowed to attach to poly-L lysing coated glass slides for 2 hours. Cells were

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4 for 10 min at room temperature, then

washed 3X with ice-cold PBS. Cells were Permeabilized for 10 min with PBS containing

either 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST), and washed 3X with PBS at room temperature. Prior to

antibody addition, slides were incubated for 30 minutes with 10% serum from the species

the secondary antibody was raised in. Slides were incubated in diluted primary antibody

in 1% BSA in PBST in a humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. Slides were washed three

times in PBS, 5 min each wash. Slides were incubated with secondary antibody in 1%

BSA for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Slides were wash three times with PBS for

5 min each in the dark.

CRISPR/Cas9 engineering

Using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's recommendations, we co-transfected HTD114 cells with plasmids encoding GFP, sgRNAs and Cas9 endonuclease (Origene). Each plasmid encoded sgRNA was designed to bind at the indicated locations (Fig. S1). 48h after transfection, cells were plated at clonal density and allowed to expand for 2-3 weeks. The presence of deletions was identified by PCR using the primers described in Figure S1. The single cell colonies that grew were analyzed for heterozygous deletions by PCR (Fig. S1). We used retention of a heterozygous SNP to identify the disrupted allele, and homozygosity at the SNP confirmed that cell clones were homogenous.

PCR analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from tissue culture cells using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen). PCR was performed in a 12.5µL volume using 50-100ng of genomic DNA, 1x Standard Taq Buffer (New England Biolabs, Inc.), 200µM each deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 0.2µM of each primer, and 3 units of Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Inc.) under the following reaction conditions: 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by 30-40 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55-62°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute, with a final extension time of 10 minutes at 72°C. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed under ultraviolet light illumination. Sequencing of PCR products was carried out at the Vollum Institute DNA Sequencing Core facility.

1005

DNA FISH

Mitotic chromosome spreads were prepared as described previously [34]. After RNase

(100µg/ml) treatment for 1h at 37°C, slides were washed in 2XSSC and dehydrated in an

ethanol series and allowed to air dry. Chromosomal DNA on the slides was denatured at

75°C for 3 minutes in 70% formamide/2XSSC, followed by dehydration in an ice-cold

ethanol series and allowed to air dry. BAC and Fosmid DNAs were labeled using nick

translation (Vysis, Abbott Laboratories) with Spectrum Orange-dUTP, Spectrum Aqua-

dUTP or Spectrum Green-dUTP (Vysis). Final probe concentrations varied from 40-60

ng/µl. Centromeric probe cocktails (Vysis) and/or whole chromosome paint probes

(Metasystems) plus BAC or Fosmid DNAs were denatured at 75°C for 10 minutes and

prehybridized at 37°C for 10 minutes. Probes were applied to denatured slides and

incubated overnight at 37°C. Post-hybridization washes consisted of one 3-minute wash

in 50% formamide/2XSSC at 40°C followed by one 2-minute rinse in PN (0.1M Na_2HPO_4,

pH 8.0/2.5% Nonidet NP-40) buffer at RT. Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold

antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen) and viewed under UV fluorescence (Olympus).

1021

RNA-DNA FISH

Cells were plated on Poly-L-Lysine coated (Millipore Singa) glass microscope slides at

~50% confluence and incubated for 4 hours in complete media in a 37°C humidified CO_2

incubator. Slides were rinsed 1X with sterile RNase free PBS. Cell Extraction was carried

out using ice cold solutions as follows: Slides were incubated for 30 seconds in CSK buffer

(100mM NaCl/300mM sucrose/3mM $MgCl_2$/10mM PIPES, pH 6.8), 10 minutes in CSK

buffer/0.1% Triton X-100, followed by 30 seconds in CSK buffer. Cells were then fixed in

4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes and stored in 70% EtOH at -20°C until use.

Just prior to RNA FISH, slides were dehydrated through an EtOH series and allowed to

air dry. Denatured probes were prehybridized at 37°C for 10 min, applied to non-

denatured slides and hybridized at 37°C for 14-16 hours. Post-hybridization washes

consisted of one 3-minute wash in 50% formamide/2XSSC at 40°C followed by one 2-

minute rinse in 2XSSC/0.1% TX-100 for 1 minute at RT. Slides were then fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS for 5 minutes at RT, and briefly rinsed in 2XSSC/0.1% TX-100

at RT. Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen) and

slides were viewed under UV fluorescence (Olympus). Z-stack images were generated

using a Cytovision workstation. After capturing RNA FISH signals, the coverslips were

removed, the slides were dehydrated in an ethanol series, and then processed for DNA

FISH, beginning with the RNase treatment step, as described above.

1041

Chromosome replication timing assay

The BrdU replication timing assay was performed as described previously on

exponentially dividing cultures and asynchronously growing cells [35]. Mitotic chromosome

spreads were prepared and DNA FISH was performed as described above. The

incorporated BrdU was then detected using a FITC-labeled anti-BrdU antibody (Roche).

Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen), and viewed

under UV fluorescence. All images were captured with an Olympus BX Fluorescent

Microscope using a 100X objective, automatic filter-wheel and Cytovision workstation.

Individual chromosomes were identified with either chromosome-specific paints,

centromeric probes, BACs or by inverted DAPI staining. Utilizing the Cytovision

workstation, each chromosome was isolated from the metaphase spread and a line drawn

along the middle of the entire length of the chromosome. The Cytovision software was

used to calculate the pixel area and intensity along each chromosome for each

fluorochrome occupied by the DAPI and BrdU (FITC) signals. The total amount of

fluorescent signal in each chromosome was calculated by multiplying the average pixel

intensity by the area occupied by those pixels. The BrdU incorporation into human

chromosomes containing CRISPR/Cas9 modifications was calculated by dividing the total

incorporation into the chromosome with the deleted chromosome divided by the BrdU

incorporation into the non-deleted chromosome within the same cell. Boxplots were

generated from data collected from 8-12 cells per clone or treatment group. Differences

in measurements were tested across categorical groupings by using the Kruskal-Wallis

test [40] and listed as P-values for the corresponding plots.

1064

1065

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Mathew Thayer (thayerm@ohsu.edu)

Materials availability statement

All reagents generated in this study will be made freely available upon request.

Acknowledgments:

M.J.T. was supported by NIH NIGMS (R01GM114162 and R01GM130703).

M.B.H was supported by NIH NCI 4K00CA245677-03

Author contributions:

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

FIG 1

FIG 2

A G₁ / M / Mitotic Harvest / G₂ / S / BrdU

B BrdU / CHR6 cen / DAPI — 6B, 6A / CHR6B / CHR6A

C DAPI / BrdU — CHR6B — p, q / DAPI / BrdU — CHR6A — p, q — Incorporation vs Distance

D

	Chromosome 6		
	CHR6B	CHR6A	ratio
	Pixels	Pixels	6B/6A
DAPI	20,060	21,594	0.92
BrdU	7,302	2,214	3.18

E Ratio of BrdU incorporation (CHR6B/CHR6A) — HTD114 / Δ7kb-6B ** / Δ7kb-6A * / Δ7kb-6B/6A ** / Δ185kb-6B ** / Δ185kb-6A *

F promoter / 7 kb RBP domain — sense or antisense

G ASAR6-141 RNA (sense) / Xist RNA

H ASAR6-141 RNA (antisense) / Xist RNA

I Cell#1 / Cell#2 / Cell#3 / Cell#4 — ASAR6-141 RNA / Chr5 DNA / merge

J ASAR6-141 DNA / BrdU

K ASAR6-141 DNA / BrdU

FIG 5

A

CHR1 CHR2 CHR3 CHR4 CHR5

CHR6 CHR7 CHR8 CHR9 CHR10 CHR11
t(3;11)

CHR12 CHR13 CHR14 CHR15 CHR16 CHR17

CHR18 CHR19 CHR20 CHR21 CHR22 CHRX CHRY

B Fig 6

CHR1 CHR2 CHR3 CHR4 CHR5

CHR6 CHR7 CHR8 CHR9 CHR10 CHR11
t(3;11)

CHR12 CHR13 CHR14 CHR15 CHR16 CHR17

CHR18 CHR19 CHR20 CHR21 CHR22 CHRX CHRY

C CHR4A CHR4B

D CHR18A CHR18B

E Chromosome 1

Ratio of BrdU incorporation

P < 0.0001   P > 0.1

HTD114, empty vector, shHNRNPU, shHNRNPUL1, shHLTF, shKHSRP, shUCHL5, shHNRNPA1, shMATR3, shPTBP1, shPTBP2, shSAFB, shSAFB2

F Chromosome 6

Ratio of BrdU incorporation

P < 0.0001   P > 0.1

HTD114, empty vector, shHNRNPU, shHNRNPUL1, shHLTF, shKHSRP, shUCHL5, shHNRNPA1, shMATR3, shPTBP1, shPTBP2, shSAFB, shSAFB2

G G2 DNA synthesis

CHR6 cen
BrdU
DAPI

H CHR1 paint
BrdU
DAPI

A

ASAR6 RNA
DAPI

mChr3 DNA
DAPI

merge

Fig 8

B

hC0T-1 RNA
DAPI

ASAR6 DNA
DAPI

merge

C

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

D

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

E

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

F

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

G

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

H

hC0T-1 DNA

hC0T-1 RNA

merge

Untreated

20 min
4% 1,6-HD

20 min 4% 1,6-HD
4hr recovery

20 min 4% 1,6-HD
4hr recovery +ActD

4hr ActD

Fig S1

B SNP analysis of rs17070386 within deleted region (primers 5 plus 6)

HTD114

D6A(7-82-29)

D6B(7-18-1)

CHR6A

D6A(7-82-13)

D6B(7-63-1)

CHR6B

C

Junction PCR
Primers 1 and 4

D

Internal PCR
Primers 5 and 6

A

Fig S2

Chr5 BAC
~7kb RBPD

B

~7kb RBPD

C

ChrX paint
~7kb RBPD

Fig S3

A

CHR1 cen
CHR6 cen
BrdU
DAPI

1A

6A

6B
1B

shPTBP1

| CHR1A | | CHR1B | |
| CHR6A | | CHR6B | |

Chromosome 1			
	1A	1B	
	Pixels	Pixels	ratio
DAPI	36176	42097	0.86
BrdU	4240	6700	1.6

Chromosome 6			
	6A	6B	
	Pixels	Pixels	ratio
DAPI	27324	26316	1.0
BrdU	4664	4263	1.1

B

CHR6 cen
BrdU
DAPI

Fig S6

1A
6B
1B
6A

shPTBP1

| CHR1A | | CHR1B | |
| CHR6A | | CHR6B | |

Chromosome 1			
	1A	1B	
	Pixels	Pixels	ratio
DAPI	27404	38646	0.71
BrdU	3751	4240	0.89

Chromosome 6			
	6A	6B	
	Pixels	Pixels	ratio
DAPI	23256	23865	0.98
BrdU	4718	4668	1.0

C

6B
4A
1
18B
4B
18A
6A
6C
12B
1B
12B
1A

CHR1 cen
CHR6 cen
BrdU
DAPI

CHR1A	CHR1B		CHR6A	CHR6C
			CHR6B	
CHR12A	CHR12B		CHR18A	CHR18B

Chromosome 1					
	1A	1B			
	Pixels	Pixels	ratio		
DAPI	27885	35054	0.8		
BrdU	4536	0	∞		

Chromosome 6					
	6A	6B	6C	ratios		
	Pixels	Pixels	Pixels	6A:6B	6A:6C	6B:6C
DAPI	20930	18767	24534	1.1	0.85	0.77
BrdU	4492	4064	3418	1.1	1.3	1.19

Chromosome 12			Chromosome 18		
12A	12B	ratio	18A	18B	ratio
Pixels	Pixels		Pixels	Pixels	
DAPI	19700	14423	1.36	10354	11773	0.88
BrdU	2646	123	21.5	4713	245	19.2