ASAR lncRNAs control DNA replication timing through interactions with multiple hnRNP/RNA binding proteins.

Mathew J. Thayer[1,*,#], Michael B. Heskett[2,4,#], Leslie G. Smith[1], Paul T. Spellman[2,3,5], and Phillip A. Yates[1].

[1]Department of Chemical Physiology and Biochemistry
[2] Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics
[3]Cancer Early Detection Advanced Research Center, Knight Cancer Institute
Oregon Health & Science University
3181 S. W. Sam Jackson Park Road
Portland, Oregon 97239, USA
[4]Stanford Cancer Institute
291 Campus Drive, Stanford CA, 94305.
[5]Current Address: Departments of Medicine and Human Genetics
University of California Los Angeles
Box 951722, 300 Geffen Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1722

*Corresponding author.

ORCID: 0000-0001-6483-1661

TEL: (503) 494-2447

FAX: (503) 494-7368

Email:thayerm@ohsu.edu

[#]These two authors contributed equally.

Abstract

ASARs are a family of very-long noncoding RNAs that control replication timing on individual human autosomes, and are essential for chromosome stability. The eight known ASAR genes express RNAs that remain closely associated with their parent chromosomes. Analysis of RNA-protein interaction data (from ENCODE) revealed numerous RBPs with significant interactions with multiple ASAR RNAs, with several hnRNPs as abundant interactors. An ~7kb domain within the ASAR6-141 RNA shows a striking density of RBP interaction sites. Genetic deletion and ectopic integration assays indicate that this ~7kb RNA binding protein domain contains functional sequences for controlling replication timing of entire chromosomes in *cis*. shRNA-mediated depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, or HNRNPUL1 results in dissociation of ASAR RNAs from their chromosome territories, and disrupts the synchronous replication that occurs on all autosome pairs, recapitulating the effect of individual ASAR gene knockouts on a genome-wide scale. Our results further demonstrate the role that ASARs play during the temporal order of genome-wide replication, and that ASARs function as essential RNA scaffolds for the assembly of hnRNP complexes that help maintain the structural integrity of each mammalian chromosome.

Introduction:

The vast majority of mammalian DNA replicates in homologous regions of chromosome pairs in a highly synchronous manner [1-3]. However, genetic disruption of non-protein coding ASAR ("ASynchronous replication and Autosomal RNA") genes causes a delay in replication timing on individual human chromosomes in *cis*, resulting in highly asynchronous replication between pairs of autosomes [4-7]. There are eight genetically validated ASAR genes located on human chromosomes 1, 6, 8, 9 and 15 [4-7]. All of the known ASAR genes share several distinctive characteristics, including: 1) contiguously transcribed regions of >180 kb, 2) epigenetically regulated Allelic Expression Imbalance (AEI; including mono-allelic expression); 3) Variable Epigenetic Replication Timing (VERT; including asynchronous replication timing); 4) high density of LINE1 (L1) sequences (>30%); and 5) retention of the RNA within their parent chromosome territory. Recently, we used these notable characteristics to identify 68 ASAR gene candidates on every human autosome [5,6].

In addition to genetic disruption assays, ectopic integration of ASAR transgenes into mouse chromosomes has been used as a second functional assay to help define the critical sequences within ASAR genes that control chromosome-wide replication timing [8,9]. For example, ASAR6 RNA expressed from transgenes, ranging in size from an ~180 kb BAC transgene to PCR products (as small as ~3 kb) containing the critical sequences, remain associated with the chromosome territories where they are transcribed [8,9]. These same transgenes cause delayed replication timing (DRT) and delayed mitotic condensation (DMC) of entire chromosomes in *cis* [8,9]. Furthermore, ASAR6 RNA was found to control chromosome-wide replication timing using oligonucleotide mediated RNA

degradation of ASAR6 RNA that was expressed from a BAC transgene integrated into

mouse chromosome 3 [9]. These findings suggest that ASARs represent ubiquitous,

essential, *cis*-acting elements that control mammalian chromosome replication timing via

expression of chromosome associated RNAs. In this report, we identify RNA binding

proteins (RBPs) that are critical for ASAR RNA localization and function.

Chromosome-associated long non-coding RNAs are known to be important for

numerous aspects of chromosome dynamics in diverse eukaryotes from yeast to

mammals [10-14]. The mammalian nucleus is rich in heterogenous nuclear RNA (hnRNA),

which represents >95% of the total RNA Polymerase II output [12,15]. The vast majority of

hnRNA is poorly defined, has been referred to as the "Dark Matter" of the genome [15], and

includes spliced and unspliced intronic sequences, circular RNAs [16], very long intergenic

non-coding RNAs (vlincRNAs; [17]), as well as poorly defined RNAs that include chromatin

associated RNAs (caRNAs; [18]) and repeat rich RNAs (repRNAs [19]) that can be detected

by C0T-1 DNA (which is comprised primarily of LINE and SINE repetitive sequences;

[13,20,21]). Some of these nuclear RNAs comprise abundant species, yet lack clearly defined

functions, while others are thought to be the by-products of other nuclear processes and

have been collectively called "RNA debris" [12]. The relationship between ASAR RNAs and

these other chromosome-associated RNAs remains poorly defined.

Previous studies have suggested that long nascent transcripts that are detected by

C0T-1 DNA play a dynamic structural role that promotes the open architecture of active

chromosome territories [13,20]. The RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA are predominantly

L1 sequences, and these L1 RNAs remain associated with the chromosome territories

where they are transcribed [20]. A link between C0T-1 RNA and ASAR RNAs is suggested

by the observation that ASAR RNAs contain a high L1 content and remain associated

with their parent chromosome territories [4-7,9]. In addition, deletion and ectopic integration

assays demonstrated that the critical sequences for controlling chromosome-wide

replication timing within *ASAR6* and *ASAR15* map to the antisense strand of L1

sequences located within the ASAR6 and ASAR15 RNAs [9]. In this report we found that

human C0T-1 DNA can detect the chromosome territory localization of ASAR6 RNA that

is expressed from a BAC transgene integrated into a mouse chromosome, indicating that

at least some of the RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA represents ASAR RNA.

Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) represent a large family of

abundant RBPs that contribute to multiple aspects of nucleic acid metabolism. Many of

the proteins that are in hnRNP complexes share general features, but differ in domain

composition and functional properties (reviewed in [22]). The functions of hnRNPs vary

according to their subcellular localization. Most of the hnRNPs possess conventional

nuclear localization signals and are predominantly present in the nucleus during steady

state, where they function in various RNA metabolic processes including transcription,

splicing, export, and 3' end processing [22,23], and more recently have been implicated in

DNA replication [24]. A direct connection between chromosome territory associated C0T-1

RNA and hnRNPs was established by the observation that siRNA mediated depletion, or

forced expression of dominant interfering mutants, of HNRNPU results in dissociation of

C0T-1 RNA from chromosome territories [13,20,21]. In addition, recent studies have proposed

that abundant nuclear proteins such as HNRNPU nonspecifically interact with "RNA

debris" that creates a dynamic nuclear mesh that regulates interphase chromatin

structure [12,18]. Here, we used publicly available enhanced Cross Link Immuno-

Precipitation (eCLIP) data from ENCODE [25] to identify RBPs, including HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, and HNRNPUL1, that interact with the known ASAR RNAs. We also found that an ~7 kb region within the ~185 kb ASAR6-141 RNA has a surprisingly high density of interacting RBPs, and that genetic deletion of this ~7kb "RBP domain" from the endogenous *ASAR6-141* gene results in a delayed replication timing phenotype that is comparable to deletion of the entire ~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene located on human chromosome 6. In addition, ectopic integration of transgenes, expressing the ~7 kb RBP domain, into autosomes or into the inactive X chromosome results in retention of the RNA within the chromosome territory of the integrated chromosomes, and results in DRT/DMC, and chromosome structure instability in *cis*. Taken together, these results indicate that the ~7 kb RBP domain represents a critical region within the *ASAR6-141* gene that controls replication timing of human chromosome 6.

Because ASARs were identified as *cis*-acting elements that control chromosome-wide replication timing, we tested if ASAR associated RBPs also control replication timing. We found that shRNA mediated depletion of 9 different ASAR-associated RBPs (HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP or UCHL5) dramatically altered the normally synchronous replication timing program of all autosome pairs, recapitulating the effect of individual ASAR gene knockouts on a genome-wide scale. These results demonstrate the role that ASARs play during the temporal order of genome-wide replication, and indicate that ASAR RNAs serve as essential RNA scaffolds for the assembly of multiple hnRNP/RBP complexes that function to maintain the structural integrity of each mammalian chromosome.

Results

All of the eight genetically validated ASAR genes are subject to AEI and express lncRNAs that remain associated with the chromosome territories where they are transcribed [4-7]. Examples of mono-allelic expression and chromosome territory localization of ASAR6-141 RNA are shown in Figure 1A and 1B, where ASAR6-141 RNA is localized within one of the chromosome 6 territories in two different cell types, male HTD114 cells and female GM12878 cells, respectively. We note that the size of the RNA hybridization signals detected by all ASAR probes are variable, ranging in size from large clouds that occupy entire chromosome territories, with similarity in appearance to XIST RNA "clouds" (Fig. 1B), to relatively small sites of hybridization that remain tightly associated with the expressed alleles [4-7].

We first sought to identify RBPs that interact with the known ASAR RNAs. Using publicly available eCLIP data for 150 RBPs in K562 and HepG2 cells (ENCODE; [25,31]), we identified RBP interactions within 4 ASAR genes (*ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7,* and *ASAR9-23*). Utilizing the eCLIP peaks previously identified by ENCODE [25] we found significant RBP binding peaks for 99 different RBPs that map within the four ASAR RNAs (Table S1). We also utilized a "region-based" method [26] to identify significant enrichments of eCLiP reads against matched controls in 10 kb windows across the four ASAR genes (Table S2). An example of this analysis is shown in Figure 1C, where *ASAR6-141* displays significant RBP interactions for 35 different RBPs across the transcribed region. Given the contiguous expression (RNAseq from total RNA) across the ~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene in both K562 and HepG2 cell lines (Fig. 1G) and the abundant eCLIP reads from 35 different RBPs in 10 kb windows across the *ASAR6-141* gene (Fig.

1C and 1D; see Table S2), we were surprised to detect an ~7 kb region that contained

virtually all of the significant eCLIP peaks in both cell lines (Fig.1G; and Table S1). The

zoomed in view in Figure 1G shows alignment of 58 independent eCLIP peaks of RBP

interactions within the ~7kb <u>R</u>NA <u>B</u>inding <u>P</u>rotein <u>D</u>omain (RBPD). Figure 1E shows the

23 RBPs with the most abundant peaks of eCLIP reads within the ~7kb RBPD. The eCLIP

peaks associated with the RBPs chosen for shRNA knockdown experiments (see below)

are highlighted in the zoomed in view in Figure 1G.

Disruption of the ~7kb RBPD within ASAR6-141 results in delayed replication.

To determine if the ~7kb RBPD within the *ASAR6-141* gene contains replication timing

activity, we used CRISPR/Cas9 mediated engineering to delete the ~7 kb sequence from

the endogenous locus on human chromosome 6. For this analysis we designed single

guide RNAs (sgRNAs) to unique sequences on either side of the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig.

1G; and Fig. S1). We expressed these sgRNAs in combination with Cas9 in human

HTD114 cells and screened clones for deletion of the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig. S1). We

chose HTD114 cells for this analysis because they maintain mono-allelic expression and

asynchronous replication of both imprinted and random monoallelic genes, they have a

stable karyotype that does not change significantly following transfection, drug selection

and sub-cloning, and knockouts of the eight known ASAR genes, including *ASAR6-141*,

result in DRT/DMC and chromosome structure instability in *cis* [4-9,27,28]. Because *ASAR6-*

141 expression is mono-allelic in the HTD114 cells (see Fig. 1A; and [5]), we isolated clones

that had heterozygous or homozygous deletions of the ~7kb RBPD. We determined which

alleles were deleted based on retention of different base pairs of a heterozygous SNP

located within the ~7kb RBPD (see Fig. S1 and Table S3).

To assay replication timing of homologous chromosome pairs we quantified DNA

synthesis in mitotic cells using a BrdU terminal label assay (Fig. 2A; and [29,30]). In addition,

the HTD114 cells contain a centromeric polymorphism on chromosome 6, which allows

for an unambiguous distinction between the two chromosome 6 homologs ([7-9]; also see

Fig. 2B). For simplicity, we refer to the chromosome 6 with the larger centromere as

CHR6A and to the chromosome 6 with the smaller centromere as CHR6B. From our

previous studies we knew that the chromosome 6 with the smaller centromere is linked

to the expressed allele of *ASAR6-141* [5]. Figure 2B and 2C shows the replication timing

analysis on a mitotic cell where the ~7kb RBPD was deleted from the expressed allele

(CHR6B). Note that CHR6B contains more BrdU incorporation than CHR6A, indicating

later replication of CHR6B (Fig. 2D). Quantification of the BrdU incorporation in CHR6B

and CHR6A in multiple cells indicated that deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the expressed

allele resulted in a significant delay in replication timing (Fig. 2E). This is in contrast to

cells prior to deletion, or in cells containing a deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the silent

allele (CHR6A), where the BrdU incorporation is comparable between CHR6A and

CHR6B (Fig. 2E). In addition, an asynchronous replication pattern was also present in

cells with homozygous deletions of the 7kb RBPD, with CHR6B representing the later

replicating allele (Fig. 2E). Furthermore, we found that the delayed replication associated

with deletion of the ~7kb RBPD on CHR6B is comparable to the delayed replication

associated with deletion of the entire *ASAR6-141* gene from the same chromosome

(CHR6B; Fig. 2E; also see [5]). These results indicate that the ~7kb RBPD contains

functional sequences for controlling replication timing, and further supports the conclusion

that the expressed allele but not the silent allele controls replication timing of human

chromosome 6 [5].

Ectopic integration of transgenes expressing the ~7kb RBPD into autosomes.

To determine if the ~7 kb RBPD is sufficient to induce delayed replication in an

ectopic integration assay, we generated two transgenes containing the ~7kb RBPD, one

in the sense orientation and one in the antisense orientation with respect to the promoter

(Fig. 2F). These transgenes were introduced into mouse cells and individual clones

isolated. We initially screened individual clones for expression of the transgenes using

RNA FISH with the ~7kb RBPD as probe. Because the mouse cells that we used are

female, we included an RNA FISH probe for Xist to serve as positive control for the RNA

FISH assays. This two-color RNA FISH assay allowed us to identify clones with single

sites of transgene expression, and allowed us to directly compare the RNA FISH signals

from the transgenes to that of the endogenous Xist RNA expressed from the inactive X

chromosome. Figure 2 shows examples of the RNA FISH signals in cells expressing

either the sense (Fig. 2G) or antisense (Fig. 2H) transgenes. Large clouds of RNA FISH

hybridization signals that are comparable to Xist RNA hybridization signals were detected

in 4 out of 12 clones expressing the sense transgene (Fig. 2G). In contrast, 0 out of 12

clones containing the antisense transgene expressed RNA FISH hybridization signals

comparable to Xist RNA signals, but instead only small pinpoint sites of RNA FISH

hybridization were detected (Fig. 2H). Quantitation of the nuclear area occupied by the

sense and antisense ~7 kb RNAs is shown in Figure 2I. Note that the mouse cells are

tetraploid for the X chromosome and contain two Xist RNA hybridization signals,

representing two inactive X chromosomes.

To identify the mouse chromosomes that contain the transgenes, we used DNA FISH

using the ~7kb RBPD DNA as probe in combination with the inverted DAPI banding

pattern to identify the mouse chromosomes in metaphase spreads. We then confirmed

the identity of the mouse chromosomes using DNA FISH on metaphase spreads using

the ~7kb RBPD in combination with BAC probes from the chromosomes of interest. For

example, clone ~7kb(+)A5, which contains the sense-strand transgene integrated in

mouse chromosome 5 is shown in Figure S2A. Next, to determine if the RNA expressed

from the ~7kb RBPD sense strand transgene is retained within the chromosome territory

that expresses the transgene, we used RNA-DNA FISH with the ~7kb RBPD as RNA

probe plus a chromosome paint to detect mouse chromosome 5 DNA in clone ~7kb(+)A5.

Figure 2I shows that the ~7kb RBPD RNA is localized within one of the chromosome 5

DNA hybridization signals, indicating that the ~7kb RBPD RNA is retained within the

chromosome 5 territory.

Next to determine if the ~7kb RBPD transgene alters replication timing of mouse

chromosome 5, we visualized DNA synthesis in mitotic cells using the BrdU terminal label

assay (see Fig. 2A; and [29,30]). Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, harvested for

mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation and for DNA FISH using the ~7kb

RBPD transgene to identify the integrated chromosome. Figure 2J shows an example of

this replication-timing assay in a mitotic cell containing the ~7kb RBPD sense strand

transgene integrated into mouse chromosome 5. Note that the chromosome containing

the ~7kb RBPD transgene is delayed in mitotic condensation and contains more BrdU

incorporation than any other chromosome within the same cell. In contrast, integration of

the transgene with the ~7kb RBPD in the antisense orientation did not result in delayed

condensation nor in delayed replication of mouse chromosomes (Fig. 2K).

Depletion of RBPs disrupts the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs.

The results described above identified 99 RBPs expressed in two different cell lines

that interact with four different ASAR RNAs (see Table S1). Next, to determine if the

ASAR associated RBPs function in the chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs,

we analyzed ASAR RNA localization in cells with shRNA depletion of 14 different RBPs.

Figure 3A-J shows examples of antibody staining for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HNRNPC,

HNRNPM, and HLTF in K562 cells expressing either empty vector or vectors expressing

shRNAs to individual RBPs. Quantitation of the immunofluorescence for each RBP in

empty vector versus shRNA expressing cells, indicated a significant depletion of each

RBP (Fig. 3K; also see Fig. S3 for Western blots).

Next, to determine if the nuclear localization of ASAR6-141 RNA was affected by RBP

depletions we used RNA FISH assays in K562 cells expressing shRNAs against the 14

RBPs. For this analysis we used RNA FISH to detected ASAR6-141 RNA, and because

K562 cells are female we also included a probe for XIST RNA as control for the RNA

FISH. Figure 3L shows the expected RNA FISH patterns for both ASAR6-141 and XIST

RNAs in cells expressing empty vector. In contrast, cells expressing shRNAs directed at

HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HNRNPC, HNRNPM, HLTF, HNRNPA1, HNRNPL, KHSRP, or

UCHL5 showed a nearly complete absence of the highly localized nuclear RNA

hybridization signals for ASAR6-141 RNA, and the appearance of large punctate

cytoplasmic hybridization signals (Fig. 3M-U). We also noticed an overall increase in a

diffuse ASAR6-141 RNA nuclear and cytoplasmic hybridization signals. In contrast, cells

expressing shRNAs directed at PTBP1, PTBP2, or MATR3 showed only the typical

nuclear localized hybridization signals for both ASAR6-141 and XIST RNAs (Fig. 3V; and

Fig. S4A-D). In addition, localized nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signals were detected

in all shRNA treated cells (Fig. 3M-V and Fig. S4A-D). However, we detected cytoplasmic

foci of XIST RNA hybridization that colocalized with the ASAR6-141 RNA, and a

significant decrease in the size of the nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signals (Fig. 3W).

These observations indicate that at least some of the XIST RNA was dissociated from the

inactive X chromosome and colocalized with ASAR6-141 RNA in large cytoplasmic foci.

Ectopic integration of the ~7kb RBPD transgene into the inactive X chromosome.

The results described above indicate that shRNA depletion of 9 different RBPs resulted

in dissociation of ASAR6-141 RNA from its parent chromosome, and resulted in a

decreased nuclear XIST RNA hybridization signal with concomitant colocalization of both

RNAs in cytoplasmic foci (see Fig. 3), suggesting that ASAR6-141 and XIST RNAs are

retained on their respective chromosome territories via similar mechanisms, which is

supported by the large overlap in RBPs associated with both RNAs (Fig. 1E and 1F; and

Table S1). In addition, ectopic integration of *Xist* transgenes into autosomes has been an

instrumental tool in characterization of *Xist* functions, including the ability to delay

replication timing and induce gene silencing [31-33]. Therefore, we next sought to determine

the consequences of ectopic integration of the ASAR6-141 ~7kb RBPD transgene into

the inactive X chromosome. The ectopic integration assays described above involved

random integration of transgenes followed by an RNA FISH screen for clones expressing

the ~7kb RBPD, which included an RNA FISH probe for Xist RNA as positive control.

Therefore, to identify transgene integrations into the inactive X chromosome, we simply

screened an additional 50 clones for colocalization of RNA FISH hybridization signals for

both Xist and the ~7kb RBPD transgene. Figure 4A shows this RNA FISH assay on cells

where the Xist and ~7kb RBPD hybridization signals are colocalized. We note that the

intensity of the Xist RNA FISH signal was enhanced in the region where the ~7kb RBPD

hybridization signal was detected (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the presence of the ~7kb

RBPD RNA promoted preferential localization of Xist RNA in the same region of the X

chromosome territory that contains the ~7kb RBPD RNA.

To confirm that the mouse X chromosome contains the transgene, we used DNA FISH

using the ~7kb RBPD DNA as probe in combination with an X chromosome paint probe

on metaphase spreads. An example of this analysis is shown in Figure S2B and S2C,

and indicates that the ~7kb RBPD transgene is integrated into an X chromosome. During

this analysis we detected DMC on X chromosomes, and the X chromosomes with DMC

contain the ~7kb RBPD transgene (Figs. 4C and 4D).

Next, to determine if the ~7kb RBPD transgene alters replication timing of the inactive

X chromosome, we visualized DNA synthesis in mitotic cells using our BrdU terminal label

assay (see Fig. 2A; and [29,30]). Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, harvested for

mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation and for FISH using the ~7kb RBPD

transgene plus an X chromosome paint probe to identify the integrated chromosome.

Figure 4E-4H shows an example of delayed replication and delayed mitotic condensation

of the X chromosome in a mitotic cell containing the ~7kb RBPD sense transgene

integrated into the inactive X chromosome. We conclude that integration of the 7kb RBPD

transgene is sufficient to cause DRT/DMC on the inactive X chromosome.

Disruption of the chromosome associated localization of ASAR6 and ASAR1-187

RNAs.

To determine if the chromosomal localization of additional ASAR RNAs was also

affected by RBP knockdowns we carried out RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR1-187 and ASAR6

RNAs. For this analysis we used Fosmid probes to detect the ASAR RNAs plus BAC

probes to detect the DNA near the ASAR genes located on chromosomes 1 and 6 (see

Table S3). Figure 5A-5C shows this RNA FISH assay on K562 cells expressing empty

vector, and indicates that the RNA FISH signals for both ASARs are closely associated

with the DNA FISH signals on their respective chromosomes, i.e. ASAR1-187 RNA shows

close association with the chromosome 1 BAC DNA, and ASAR6 RNA shows close

association with the chromosome 6 BAC DNA. In contrast, cells with shRNA depletion of

HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HLTF, KHSRP, or UCHL5

showed a dispersed punctate nuclear pattern for both ASAR RNAs (Fig. 5D-5K). In

addition, large punctate cytoplasmic foci were detected, with the two ASAR RNA

hybridization signals colocalized.

Next, to determine if depletion of RBPs would result in loss of the ASAR RNA

chromosome localization in a second cell type, we carried out RNA-DNA FISH assays on

shRNA depleted HTD114 cells. We chose HTD114 cells because we previously used

these cells to detect the chromosome territory localization of all eight ASAR RNAs and to

measure the synchronous replication timing of five autosome pairs both before and after

ASAR gene disruptions ([4-8,28]; and see Figure 2). Figure 5L-5N shows the RNA-DNA FISH

assay in HTD114 cells expressing empty vector. We again note the tight association of

the ASAR RNAs with the genomic loci where they are transcribed. In contrast, cells

expressing shRNA against HNRNPU show loss of the chromosome associated RNA

hybridization signals for both ASAR RNAs, and instead show diffuse nuclear and

cytoplasmic hybridization, with the presence of large punctate cytoplasmic foci that

hybridize to both ASAR RNA FISH probes (Fig. 5O-5Q). Similar results were obtained

following shRNA depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPUL1,

KHSRP, HLTF, or UCHL5 (Fig. S5). In contrast, expression of shRNAs against SAFB,

SAFB2, SAFB plus SAFB2, CTCF, or MATR3 did not alter the ASAR1-187 or ASAR6

RNA nuclear hybridization signals in HTD114 cells (Fig. S4E-S4J).

Depletion of RBPs causes asynchronous replication on all autosome pairs.

Next, to determine if ASAR associated RBPs function during the normally synchronous

replication timing program that occurs on pairs of homologous chromosomes, we

analyzed DNA synthesis in HTD114 cells depleted for the 9 RBPs implicated in ASAR

RNA localization (HNRNPU, HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPUL1,

KHSRP, HLTF, or UCHL5) and for five RBPs that showed no effect on ASAR RNA

localization (MATR3, PTBP1, PTBP2, SAFB, or SAFB2). Using the BrdU terminal label

assay [29,30] in cells expressing the empty vector, we found that each autosome pair

displays a stereotypical and synchronous BrdU incorporation pattern (Fig. 6A). In

contrast, following HNRNPU depletion, individual mitotic spreads displayed dramatic

differential incorporation of BrdU into pairs of homologous chromosomes, examples of

asynchronous replication timing between every pair of autosomes are shown in Figure

6B. Note that each chromosome pair contains dramatic differential BrdU incorporation

into chromosome homologs, which represents considerable asynchronous replication

timing. Figure 6C and 6D show examples for chromosome pairs 4 and 18 (also see Fig.

S6C). Quantification of the BrdU incorporation in chromosomes 1 and 6 (see Fig. S6) in

multiple cells depleted for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP, UCHL5, or HNRNPA1

indicated that both pairs of chromosomes display significant asynchrony (Fig. 6E and 6F).

In addition, the DRT/DMC phenotype was detected in ~30% of mitotic spreads following

shRNA-mediated depletions (two examples are shown in Fig. 6G and 6H; also see Fig.

S7). Furthermore, shRNA-mediated depletion of HNRNPC, HNRNPL and HNRNPM

resulted in dramatic alterations in chromosome morphology that included asynchronous

replication and abnormal mitotic condensation, including ~10% of mitotic spreads with

one or more chromosomes that displayed the DRT/DMC phenotype (Fig. S7).

Next, to determine the relationship between the RNA species detected by C0T-1 DNA

and ASAR RNAs in RNA FISH assays, we used human C0T-1 DNA as an RNA FISH

probe on mouse cells expressing an *ASAR6* BAC transgene [8]. Because human C0T-1

DNA does not cross hybridize with mouse RNA or DNA in FISH assays [20], we were able

to detect the chromosome territory localized expression of human ASAR6 RNA

expressed from the transgene that is integrated into one of the mouse chromosome 3

homologs using human C0T-1 DNA as RNA FISH probe (Fig. 7A and 7B), indicating that

C0T-1 DNA detects ASAR6 RNA.

Discussion:

We recently identified 68 ASAR candidate genes, and genetically validated five out of five

as controlling replication timing of individual human autosomes, bringing the total of

genetically validated ASAR genes to eight [4-7]. These eight ASAR genes (*ASAR1-187,*

ASAR6, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7, ASAR9-23, ASAR9-24, ASAR9-30 and ASAR15)

display striking epigenetically controlled differential allelic expression and replication

timing, and their RNAs remain localized to their parent chromosome territories [4-7]. In this

report, we used publicly available RNA-protein interaction data from ENCODE to identify

RBPs that interact with multiple ASAR RNAs. One unanticipated observation that came

from this analysis was that an ~7 kb domain within the ~185 kb ASAR6-141 RNA

contained virtually all of the significant peaks of RBP interactions in two different cell lines.

Using genetic deletion and ectopic integration assays we found that this ~7kb RBPD

contains functional sequences for controlling replication timing of entire chromosomes in

cis. We also found that shRNA-mediated depletion of 9 different ASAR-associated RBPs

results in loss of the chromosome territory association of multiple ASAR RNAs. In

addition, we found that depletion of HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM,

HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP, or UCHL5 alters the normally synchronous

replication timing that occurs between pairs of homologous chromosomes resulting in the

DRT/DMC phenotype, recapitulating the effect of individual ASAR gene knockouts on a

genome-wide scale.

Previous studies have suggested that C0T-1 RNA plays a dynamic structural role that

promotes the open architecture of active chromosome territories [13,20]. C0T-1 RNA is

predominantly L1 sequences, and these L1 RNAs remain associated with the

chromosome territories where they are transcribed [20]. Additional studies have proposed

that repRNA is an important regulator of the dynamic organization of genomic loci into

membrane-less subcompartments with distinct nuclear functions (reviewed in [19]). Other

studies have proposed that HNRNPU nonspecifically interacts with "RNA debris" to create

a dynamic nuclear mesh that regulates interphase chromatin structure [12,18]. One

important distinction that can be made between ASAR RNAs and these other hnRNAs

(i.e. C0T-1 RNA, repRNA, caRNA, and "RNA debris" [12,13,18-21]) is that ASARs have been

defined genetically, and these other RNAs have not. Thus, we have used both loss of

function and gain of function assays to define the roles that ASAR genes play in

chromosome dynamics, which includes control of chromosome-wide replication timing

and mitotic chromosome condensation [4-8]. We also found that C0T-1 DNA, when used as

an RNA FISH probe, can detect ASAR6 RNA that is expressed and localized to an

individual chromosome territory, indicating that at least some of the RNA FISH signal

detected by C0T-1 DNA represents ASAR RNA. Given our recent discovery of ASAR

RNAs expressed from every autosome, and encoded by ~3% of the human genome [6],

we propose that ASAR RNAs represent functional "chromosome associated RNA"

species that control chromosome dynamics within mammalian nuclei. Whether or not

there are nuclear functions of other chromosome associated hnRNAs that are

independent of ASARs will require genetic and/or functional validation.

Here we identified several hnRNPs (HNRNPA1, HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM,

HNRNPU, and HNRNPUL1) as important interaction partners for the chromosome

territory localization of multiple ASAR RNAs. In addition, we found that the SWI/SNF

related transcription factor HLTF, which was recently shown to regulate replication fork

reversal, prevent alternative mechanisms of stress-resistant DNA replication, and

mediates cellular resistance to replication stress [34], is required for the chromosome

territory localization of multiple ASAR RNAs and for the synchronous replication of every

autosome pair. Furthermore, we found that the KH domain (HNRNP<u>K</u> <u>H</u>omology domain)

containing protein KHSRP, and the ubiquitin hydrolase UCHL5 also contribute to the

chromosome territory localization of ASAR RNAs. We found that depletion of HNRNPA1,

HNRNPC, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HLTF, KHSRP, or UCHL5

proteins resulted in a dramatic genome-wide disruption of the normally synchronous

replication timing program on all autosome pairs. Taken together our results indicate that

the association of ASAR RNAs with multiple hnRNPs in combination with other nuclear

RBPs is an essential step in the regulation of the synchronous replication timing program

on mammalian chromosomes.

While these studies have demonstrated a role for RBPs in genome-wide chromosome

replication timing, one limitation of these studies is that we only tested 12 out of the ~100

RBPs shown by eCLIP to associate with multiple ASAR RNAs. It is likely that additional

insights into the network of RBP/ASAR interactions could be gained by extending our

replication timing analyses to knockdowns of additional RBPs. Another limitation of these

studies is that all of the RBPs implicated in control of replication timing are known to play

various roles in essential nucleic acid metabolic pathways, including DNA replication,

transcription, splicing, mRNA export, and RNA degradation [22,23]. It is possible that

knocking down these RBPs has an indirect effect on replication related to these other

functions of the RBPs, rather than a direct effect on ASAR function. However, the RBP

knockdowns that disrupt genome-wide synchronous replication also disrupt chromosome

association of multiple ASAR RNAs. Our previous work demonstrated that the ability of

an ectopically integrated ASAR6 transgene to cause delayed replication timing of a

mouse chromosome required the ASAR6 RNA [9]. Hence, we expect that displacement of

ASAR RNA from chromosome territories upon RBP knockdown is a primary cause of the

genome-wide replication timing asynchrony, regardless of whether the displacement of

ASAR RNA from the chromosome is a direct or indirect effect of RBP knockdown. A final

limitation of these studies is that the precise mechanism by which ASARs and RBPs

interact to control replication timing remains unknown. One intriguing possibility that is

consistent with our data is that the ASAR RNAs serve as scaffolds for the assembly of

extensive RNA-protein and protein-protein complexes that form a chromosome-tethered

liquid-liquid phase that facilitates the recruitment of the requisite chromatin modifiers and

DNA replication machinery. In support of this notion, HNRNPA1 and HNRNPC are

components of the 40S hnRNP particle, which forms a nuclear biomolecular condensate

[23]. In addition, HNRNPU and HNRNPUL1 interact with each of the 40S hnRNP particle

subunits [35], suggesting the possibility of extensive protein-protein interactions. ASAR

RNAs normally remain associated exclusively with the chromosome territory from which

they are transcribed, and ASAR RNAs on different chromosomes remain separate. RBP

knockdowns that disrupt synchronous replication timing also release ASAR RNAs from

their chromosome territories, allowing colocalization of ASAR RNAs from different

chromosomes (i.e. ASAR6 and ASAR1-187, see Fig. 5O-Q), often in nuclear and/or

cytoplasmic foci reminiscent of the mixing of liquid-liquid phases. Additional support for

this model is the observation that Xist RNA participates in the assembly of a nuclear

heteromeric condensate essential for gene silencing on the inactive X chromosome [36].

Our observation that the ~7kb RBPD RNA induces DRT/DMC on the inactive X

chromosome, and associates with the Xist RNA cloud (Fig. 4A and 4B), suggests that the

~7kb RBPD RNA interferes with Xist RNA function within the heteromeric condensate.

The formation of liquid-liquid phases via ASAR RNA-RBP interactions is speculative at

this point and remains to be tested directly. A full understanding of the mechanisms by

which ASARs control chromosome replication timing will require an extensive biochemical

and biophysical characterization of the ASAR RNA-protein and protein-protein complexes

and how they interact with the DNA replication machinery.

References:

1. Mukhopadhyay, R., Lajugie, J., Fourel, N., Selzer, A., Schizas, M., Bartholdy, B., Mar, J., Lin, C.M., Martin, M.M., Ryan, M., et al. (2014). Allele-specific genome-wide profiling in human primary erythroblasts reveal replication program organization. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004319. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004319.

2. Dileep, V., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Single-cell replication profiling to measure stochastic variation in mammalian replication timing. Nature communications *9*, 427. 10.1038/s41467-017-02800-w.

3. Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Dimond, A., Vera, D., Trevilla-Garcia, C., Sasaki, T., Zimmerman, J., Dupont, C., Gribnau, J., Fraser, P., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Allele-specific control of replication timing and genome organization during development. Genome Res *28*, 800-811. 10.1101/gr.232561.117.

4. Donley, N., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2015). ASAR15, A cis-Acting Locus that Controls Chromosome-Wide Replication Timing and Stability of Human Chromosome 15. PLoS Genet *11*, e1004923. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004923.

5. Heskett, M.B., Smith, L.G., Spellman, P., and Thayer, M.J. (2020). Reciprocal monoallelic expression of ASAR lncRNA genes controls replication timing of human chromosome 6. RNA (New York, N.Y.) *26*, 724-738. 10.1261/rna.073114.119.

6. Heskett, M.B., Vouzas, A.E., Smith, L.G., Yates, P.A., Boniface, C., Bouhassira, E.E., Spellman, P.T., Gilbert, D.M., and Thayer, M.J. (2022). Epigenetic control of chromosome-associated lncRNA genes essential for replication and stability. Nature communications *13*, 6301. 10.1038/s41467-022-34099-7.

7. Stoffregen, E.P., Donley, N., Stauffer, D., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2011). An autosomal locus that controls chromosome-wide replication timing and mono-allelic expression. Hum Mol Genet *20*, 2366-2378.

8. Donley, N., Stoffregen, E.P., Smith, L., Montagna, C., and Thayer, M.J. (2013). Asynchronous Replication, Mono-Allelic Expression, and Long Range Cis-Effects of ASAR6. PLoS Genet *9*, e1003423.

9. Platt, E.J., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2018). L1 retrotransposon antisense RNA within ASAR lncRNAs controls chromosome-wide replication timing. J Cell Biol *217*, 541-553. 10.1083/jcb.201707082.

10. Ding, D.Q., Okamasa, K., Katou, Y., Oya, E., Nakayama, J.I., Chikashige, Y., Shirahige, K., Haraguchi, T., and Hiraoka, Y. (2019). Chromosome-associated RNA-protein complexes promote pairing of homologous chromosomes during meiosis in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nature communications *10*, 5598. 10.1038/s41467-019-13609-0.

11. Faber, M.W., and Vo, T.V. (2022). Long RNA-Mediated Chromatin Regulation in Fission Yeast and Mammals. Int J Mol Sci *23*. 10.3390/ijms23020968.

12. Nozawa, R.S., and Gilbert, N. (2019). RNA: Nuclear Glue for Folding the Genome. Trends Cell Biol *29*, 201-211. 10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.003.

13. Creamer, K.M., Kolpa, H.J., and Lawrence, J.B. (2021). Nascent RNA scaffolds contribute to chromosome territory architecture and counter chromatin compaction. Mol Cell. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.07.004.

14. Menon, D.U., and Meller, V.H. (2015). Identification of the Drosophila X
chromosome: The long and short of it. RNA biology *12*, 1088-1093.
10.1080/15476286.2015.1086864.
15. St Laurent, G., Savva, Y.A., and Kapranov, P. (2012). Dark matter RNA: an
intelligent scaffold for the dynamic regulation of the nuclear information
landscape. Frontiers in genetics *3*, 57. 10.3389/fgene.2012.00057.
16. Kristensen, L.S., Andersen, M.S., Stagsted, L.V.W., Ebbesen, K.K., Hansen,
T.B., and Kjems, J. (2019). The biogenesis, biology and characterization of
circular RNAs. Nat Rev Genet *20*, 675-691. 10.1038/s41576-019-0158-7.
17. St Laurent, G., Vyatkin, Y., Antonets, D., Ri, M., Qi, Y., Saik, O., Shtokalo, D., de
Hoon, M.J., Kawaji, H., Itoh, M., et al. (2016). Functional annotation of the vlinc
class of non-coding RNAs using systems biology approach. Nucleic Acids
Research. 2 *44*, 3233-3252. 10.1093/nar/gkw162.
18. Nozawa, R.S., Boteva, L., Soares, D.C., Naughton, C., Dun, A.R., Buckle, A.,
Ramsahoye, B., Bruton, P.C., Saleeb, R.S., Arnedo, M., et al. (2017). SAF-A
Regulates Interphase Chromosome Structure through Oligomerization with
Chromatin-Associated RNAs. Cell *169*, 1214-1227.e1218.
10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.029.
19. Frank, L., and Rippe, K. (2020). Repetitive RNAs as Regulators of Chromatin-
Associated Subcompartment Formation by Phase Separation. J Mol Biol *432*,
4270-4286. 10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.015.
20. Hall, L.L., Carone, D.M., Gomez, A.V., Kolpa, H.J., Byron, M., Mehta, N.,
Fackelmayer, F.O., and Lawrence, J.B. (2014). Stable C0T-1 repeat RNA is
abundant and is associated with euchromatic interphase chromosomes. Cell *156*,
907-919. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.042.
21. Kolpa, H.J., Creamer, K.M., Hall, L.L., and Lawrence, J.B. (2021). SAF-A
mutants disrupt chromatin structure through dominant negative effects on RNAs
associated with chromatin. Mamm Genome. 10.1007/s00335-021-09935-8.
22. Geuens, T., Bouhy, D., and Timmerman, V. (2016). The hnRNP family: insights
into their role in health and disease. Hum Genet *135*, 851-867. 10.1007/s00439-
016-1683-5.
23. Domanski, M., Dedic, E., Pérez, M.E., Cléry, A., Campagne, S., Uldry, A.C.,
Braga, S., Heller, M., Rabl, J., Afanasyev, P., et al. (2022). 40S hnRNP particles
are a novel class of nuclear biomolecular condensates. Nucleic Acids Research.
2 *50*, 6300-6312. 10.1093/nar/gkac457.
24. Connolly, C., Takahashi, S., Miura, H., Hiratani, I., Gilbert, N., Donaldson, A.D.,
and Hiraga, S.I. (2022). SAF-A promotes origin licensing and replication fork
progression to ensure robust DNA replication. J Cell Sci *135*.
10.1242/jcs.258991.
25. Moore, J.E., Purcaro, M.J., Pratt, H.E., Epstein, C.B., Shoresh, N., Adrian, J.,
Kawli, T., Davis, C.A., Dobin, A., Kaul, R., et al. (2020). Expanded
encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. Nature
583, 699-710. 10.1038/s41586-020-2493-4.
26. Van Nostrand, E.L., Pratt, G.A., Shishkin, A.A., Gelboin-Burkhart, C., Fang, M.Y.,
Sundararaman, B., Blue, S.M., Nguyen, T.B., Surka, C., Elkins, K., et al. (2016).

579    Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with
580    enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). Nat Methods *13*, 508-514. 10.1038/nmeth.3810.
581 27. Chang, B.H., Smith, L., Huang, J., and Thayer, M. (2007). Chromosomes with
582    delayed replication timing lead to checkpoint activation, delayed recruitment of
583    Aurora B and chromosome instability. Oncogene *26*, 1852-1861.
584 28. Breger, K.S., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2005). Engineering translocations with
585    delayed replication: evidence for cis control of chromosome replication timing.
586    Hum Mol Genet *14*, 2813-2827.
587 29. Smith, L., Plug, A., and Thayer, M. (2001). Delayed Replication Timing Leads to
588    Delayed Mitotic Chromosome Condensation and Chromosomal Instability of
589    Chromosome Translocations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *98*, 13300-13305.
590 30. Smith, L., and Thayer, M. (2012). Chromosome replication timing combined with
591    fluorescent in situ hybridization. J Vis Exp *10*, e4400.
592 31. Minks, J., and Brown, C.J. (2009). Getting to the center of X-chromosome
593    inactivation: the role of transgenes. Biochem Cell Biol *87*, 759-766.
594 32. Pintacuda, G., Wei, G., Roustan, C., Kirmizitas, B.A., Solcan, N., Cerase, A.,
595    Castello, A., Mohammed, S., Moindrot, B., Nesterova, T.B., and Brockdorff, N.
596    (2017). hnRNPK Recruits PCGF3/5-PRC1 to the Xist RNA B-Repeat to Establish
597    Polycomb-Mediated Chromosomal Silencing. Mol Cell *68*, 955-969.e910.
598    10.1016/j.molcel.2017.11.013.
599 33. Lee, J.T., Strauss, W.M., Dausman, J.A., and Jaenisch, R. (1996). A 450 kb
600    transgene displays properties of the mammalian X-inactivation center. Cell *86*,
601    83-94.
602 34. Bai, G., Kermi, C., Stoy, H., Schiltz, C.J., Bacal, J., Zaino, A.M., Hadden, M.K.,
603    Eichman, B.F., Lopes, M., and Cimprich, K.A. (2020). HLTF Promotes Fork
604    Reversal, Limiting Replication Stress Resistance and Preventing Multiple
605    Mechanisms of Unrestrained DNA Synthesis. Mol Cell *78*, 1237-1251.e1237.
606    10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.031.
607 35. Britton, S., Dernoncourt, E., Delteil, C., Froment, C., Schiltz, O., Salles, B., Frit,
608    P., and Calsou, P. (2014). DNA damage triggers SAF-A and RNA biogenesis
609    factors exclusion from chromatin coupled to R-loops removal. Nucleic Acids
610    Research. 2 *42*, 9047-9062. 10.1093/nar/gku601.
611 36. Pandya-Jones, A., Markaki, Y., Serizay, J., Chitiashvili, T., Mancia Leon, W.R.,
612    Damianov, A., Chronis, C., Papp, B., Chen, C.K., McKee, R., et al. (2020). A
613    protein assembly mediates Xist localization and gene silencing. Nature *587*, 145-
614    151. 10.1038/s41586-020-2703-0.
615 37. Kruskal, J.B. (1964). Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a
616    nonmetric hypothesis. Psychometrika *29*, 1–27.
617 38. Zhu, Y., Bye, S., Stambrook, P.J., and Tischfield, J.A. (1994). Single-base
618    deletion induced by benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide at the adenine
619    phosphoribosyltransferase locus in human fibrosarcoma cell lines. Mutat Res
620    *321*, 73-79.
621 39. Van Nostrand, E.L., Freese, P., Pratt, G.A., Wang, X., Wei, X., Xiao, R., Blue,
622    S.M., Chen, J.Y., Cody, N.A.L., Dominguez, D., et al. (2020). A large-scale
623    binding and functional map of human RNA-binding proteins. Nature *583*, 711-
624    719. 10.1038/s41586-020-2077-3.

625   40.   Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut,
626   P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
627   aligner. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *29*, 15-21.
628   10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
629   41.   Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
630   Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
631   SAMtools. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *25*, 2078-2079.
632   10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

633
634   **Figure legends**
635
Figure 1. RNA binding proteins interact with an ~7 kb domain within ASAR6-141
RNA. A) RNA-DNA FISH images of ASAR6-141 expression in six individual HTD114
cells. ASAR6-141 RNA (green; green arrows) and chromosome 6 DNA (chromosome
paint, red), and DNA was stained with DAPI. B) RNA-DNA FISH of ASAR6-141 RNA (red,
red arrows), CHR6 DNA (chromosome paint, white), and XIST RNA (green, green arrows)
visualized within individual GM12878 cells, top and bottom panels represent the same
three cells with the nuclear outline drawn in white. C) RNA-protein interaction data (eCLIP
from ENCODE) for 120 RBPs expressed in K562 cells for the genomic region that
contains ASAR6-141, and ~200 kb of upstream non-transcribed DNA (chromosome 6
140.8 mb-141.6 mb). Each point represents the FDR-corrected p-value of the z-score of
log2-ratio between eCLiP vs control for each RBP within a 10kb sliding window. D)
Number of 10kb regions with significant enrichments of eCLiP reads vs control within
ASAR6-141. E) Histogram of eCLiP peaks count per RBP within ASAR6-141 7kb RBPD.
F) Histogram of eCLiP peaks count per RBP within lncRNA XIST. G) Genome browser
view of *ASAR6-141* with RNA-seq expression and eCLIP peaks shown in K562 and
HepG2. The zoomed in view shows the ~7kb RBPD with the location of the peaks of
eCLIP reads that map within the region (see Table S1). The eCLIP peaks for the RBPs
used in the shRNA knockdown experiments are indicated and highlighted with arrows
and red bars. The location of sgRNAs are shown with arrows (see Table S3), and the
asterisks mark the sgRNAs from [5].
656
Figure 2. Replication timing in cells with *ASAR6-141 ~7kb* RBPD deletion or ectopic
integration. A) Schematic illustration of the BrdU terminal label protocol [30]. Cells were
treated with BrdU (green) for 5 hours and then harvested for mitotic cells. B) BrdU
incorporation in HTD114 cells containing a heterozygous deletion of the ~7kb RBPD.
Cells containing a deletion of the ~7kb RBPD from the expressed allele of *ASAR6-141*
were exposed to BrdU, harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using a
chromosome 6 centromeric probe (red; B). The larger centromere resides on the
chromosome 6 with the silent *ASAR6-141* allele (CHR6A), and the smaller centromere
resides on the chromosome 6 with the expressed *ASAR6-141* allele (CHR6B). DNA was
stained with DAPI (blue). C and D) DAPI staining and BrdU incorporation were quantified
by calculating the number of pixels (area x intensity) and displayed as a ratio of BrdU
incorporation in CHR6B divided by the BrdU incorporation in CHR6A. E) Quantification of
BrdU incorporation in multiple cells with heterozygous (Δ7kb-6B, expressed allele; or
Δ7kb-6A, silent allele) or homozygous (Δ7kb-6B/6A) deletions of the ~7kb RBPD. Also

shown is the quantification of BrdU incorporation in heterozygous deletions of the entire
~185 kb *ASAR6-141* gene from chromosome 6B (Δ185-6B) or 6A (Δ185-6A) [5]. Box plots
indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box) and
5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual cells (single points). P values were
calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [37]. Values for individual cells are shown as dots.
**P = 0.00027 and *P = 0.03. F) Schematic view of the ~7kb RBPD in both the sense and
antisense orientation. The promoter used to drive expression is from the ASAR6 gene [9].
G and H) Two color RNA FISH assay for expression of the ~7kb RBPD transgenes.
Individual clones were screened for RNA expressed from the ASAR6-141 (~7kb RBPD)
transgenes (red, arrows), and RNA hybridization using an Xist probe (green, arrows) was
used as positive control. G and H represent examples of cells containing the sense and
antisense transgenes, respectively. I) Quantitation of the size (pixels = area x intensity)
of the RNA FISH signals expressed from the 7 kb sense (7 kb S) or antisense (7 kb AS)
transgenes are shown. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation (dotted
line), 25th, 75th percentile (box) and 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual
cells (single points). P value of 0.006 was calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [37].
Values for individual cells are shown as dots. J) RNA from the ~7kb RBPD remains
localized to mouse chromosomes. RNA-DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD as RNA FISH
probe (red, arrows), plus a mouse chromosome 5 paint to detect chromosome 5 DNA
(green, brackets). K) Cells containing the sense ~7kb RBPD transgene integrated into
mouse chromosome 5 were exposed to BrdU (green), harvested for mitotic cells, and
subjected to DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (red, arrows). Note that the chromosome
that contains the transgene shows delayed mitotic condensation and more BrdU
incorporation than any other chromosome within the same cell.   L) Cells containing
the antisense ~7kb RBPD transgene integrated into mouse chromosomes were exposed
to BrdU (green), harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using the ~7kb
RBPD (red). Note that the chromosome that contains the transgene shows normal mitotic
condensation and only a small amount of BrdU incorporation. Scale bars are 10 uM (B,
G, H) 5 uM (K, L) and 2 uM (J).

Figure 3. Depletion of RBPs results in disruption of the chromosome territory
localization of ASAR6-141 RNA. A-J) shRNA mediated depletion of RBPs. K562 cells
were transfected with empty vector (A, C, E, G, and I) or vectors expressing shRNAs
directed against HNRNPU (B), HNRNPUL1 (D), HNRNPC (F), HNRNPM (H), or HLTF
(J). K) Cells were stained with the appropriate antibodies and quantitation of each RBP
was determined in >25 individual cells. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard
deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers), and
individual cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [37].
L-V) RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR6-141 (green) and XIST (red) RNA. K562 cells were
transfected with empty vector (L) or vectors expressing shRNAs against HNRNPU (M),
HNRNPUL1 (N), HNRNPC (O), HNRNPM (P), HLTF (Q), HNRNPA1 (R), HNRNPL (S),
KHSRP (T), UCHL5 (U), or PTBP1 (V). The red arrows mark the RNA FISH signals for
XIST. The brackets mark cytoplasmic regions that hybridized to both RNA FISH probes.
DNA was stained with DAPI, and Bars are 10 *u*M (A-J and L) or 5 *u*M (M-V). W)
Quantitation of the XIST RNA FISH signals. K562 cells transfected and processed for
RNA FISH as in L-V were analyzed for quantitation of XIST RNA cloud size (pixels: area

X intensity) in >25 individual cells. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation
(dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual
cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [37].
720
Figure 4. Ectopic integration of the *ASAR6-141 ~7kb RBPD* transgene into an
inactive X chromosome. A) Two color RNA FISH assay for expression of the sense
~7kb RBPD transgene plus Xist RNA. Examples of three different cells showing
colocalization of the ASAR RNA and Xist RNA. Individual cells were processed for
expression of the sense strand ~7kb RBPD transgene (left panels, red, arrow) in
combination with RNA FISH for Xist (middle panels, green, arrow). The right panels show
the merged images. The white lines show the path for the pixel intensity profiles used for
panel B. B) Pixel intensity profiles across the Xist RNA hybridization domain showing
enhanced signal intensity over the ~7kb RBPD RNA hybridization domain. C and D)
Delayed mitotic chromosome condensation of the X chromosome that contains the ~7kb
RBPD transgene. An example of a mitotic spread processed for DNA FISH using the
~7kb RBPD as probe (magenta, panel C) plus a mouse X chromosome paint (red, panel
D). The arrows mark the location of the transgene hybridization signal. E-H) Cells
containing the ~7kb RBPD transgene integrated into the mouse X chromosome were
exposed to BrdU (G, green), harvested for mitotic cells, and subjected to DNA FISH using
the ~7kb RBPD (E, magenta) plus a mouse X chromosome paint (F, red). The merged
images are shown in panel H.
738
Figure 5. Depletion of RBPs results in disruption of the chromosome territory
localization of ASAR RNAs. shRNA mediated depletion of RBPs in K562 cells (A-K) or
HTD114 cells (L-Q). Cells were transfected with empty vector (A-C and L-N) or vectors
expressing shRNAs directed against HNRNPA1 (D), HNRNPC (E), HNRNPL (F),
HNRNPM (G), HNRNPU (H, and O-Q), HLTF (I), KHSRP (J), or UCHL5 (K). Cells were
processed for RNA FISH with probes for ASAR6 (red, arrows) and ASAR1-187 (green,
arrows). Cells were also processed for DNA FISH using BAC DNA to detect chromosome
1 (CHR1 BAC DNA, magenta) and chromosome 6 (CHR6 BAC DNA, orange; A-C and L-
Q). Arrows mark the sites of RNA FISH hybridization and arrowheads mark the sites of
DNA hybridization. RNA-DNA FISH for ASAR1-187 (RNA in green, arrows; DNA in
magenta and arrowheads), ASAR6 (RNA in red, arrows; DNA in orange and arrowheads).
The brackets mark the cytoplasmic regions that hybridized to both RNA FISH probes.
DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.
752
Figure 6. Depletion of RBPs results in asynchronous replication on autosome
pairs. A) BrdU incorporation in parental HTD114 cells. Cells were exposed to BrdU for
five hours and processed for BrdU incorporation using an antibody against BrdU. This
panel represents chromosomes from multiple mitotic spreads showing representative
BrdU incorporation in chromosome pairs. Both homologs of autosome pair were captured
from the same mitotic cell, and each pair displays a typical BrdU incorporation pattern
that is consistent with synchronous replication timing. B) HTD114 cells were transfected
with the HNRNPU shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for five hours, and
processed for BrdU incorporation. This panel represents chromosomes from multiple
mitotic spreads showing representative BrdU incorporation in pairs of autosomes. Both

homologs of autosome pairs were captured from the same mitotic cell, and each pair displays a differential BrdU incorporation pattern that is consistent with asynchronous replication timing. C) Shows two chromosome 4 homologs (CHR4A and CHR4B) side by side. D) Shows the BrdU incorporation in chromosome 18 homologs (CHR18A and CHR18B). For each chromosome pair the inverted DAPI staining (black and white), BrdU incorporation (green), and DAPI staining (blue) are shown. E and F) Quantification of BrdU incorporation in multiple cells depleted for HNRNPU, HNRNPUL1, HTLF, KHSRP, UCHL5, HNRNPA1, MATR3, PTBP1, PTBP2, SAFB and SAFB2. Box plots indicate mean (solid line), standard deviation (dotted line), 25th, 75th percentile (box), 5th and 95th percentile (whiskers) and individual cells (single points). P values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test [37]. G) DRT/DMC on chromosome 6 following HNRNPU depletion. HTD114 cells were transfected with the HNRNPU shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 6 centromeric probe (red). H) DRT/DMC on chromosome 1 following UCHL5 depletion. HTD114 cells were transfected with the UCHL5 shRNA expression vector, exposed to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 1 paint as probe (red). The arrow marks the chromosome 1 with DRT/DMC, and the inset shows only the DAPI staining of the chromosome 1 highlighting the DMC.

Figure 7. Human C0T-1 DNA detects ASAR6 RNA. A and B) RNA-DNA FISH on mouse cells containing an ASAR6 BAC transgene integrated into mouse chromosome 3 [8]. A) RNA-DNA FISH using an ASAR6 fosmid probe to detect ASAR6 RNA (green; arrows) plus a mouse chromosome 3 paint probe to detect DNA (red; arrowheads). B) RNA-DNA FISH using human C0T-1 DNA to detect RNA (green; arrows) plus the *ASAR6* BAC (red arrowheads) to detect DNA.

Figure S1. CRISPR/Cas9 deletion of the ~7kb RBPD. A) Integrative Genomics Viewer view showing the genomic location of *ASAR6-141*. The genomic locations of RNAseq and eCLIP reads from HepG2 and K562 (from ENCODE) are shown. The zoomed in view shows the ~7kb RBPD with the location where all of the peaks of eCLIP reads map within the region (see Table S1). The location of sgRNAs that flank the ~7kb RBPD are shown. The location of PCR primers (1-6) and the heterozygous SNP rs17070386 are also shown (see Table S3). B) Sanger sequencing traces on PCR products generated from genomic DNA using primers 5 and 6 (see Table S3). In previous studies we generated mouse monochromosomal hybrid cells containing the two chromosome 6 homologs from HTD114 [7], and sequencing traces from these two hybrids, contain either chromosome 6A (CHR6A) or chromosome 6B (CHR6B) are shown below the HTD114 panel. Sequencing traces from PCR products generated from 4 heterozygous deletions, two with CHR6A deletions {D6A(7-82-29) and D6A(7-82-13)} and two with CHR6B deletions {D6B(7-18-1) and D6B(7-63-1)} are shown. C) Junction PCR (primers 1 and 4) on genomic DNA isolated from heterozygous {D6A(7-82-29), D6A(7-82-13), D6B(7-18-1) and D6B(7-63-1)} or homozygous deletions {D6B/6A-1 and D6B/6A-2} are shown. Parental HTD114 DNA was used as control. D) Internal PCR products (primers 5 and 6) on genomic DNA isolated from heterozygous {D6A(7-82-29), D6A(7-82-13), D6B(7-18-1) and D6B(7-63-1)} or

808 homozygous deletions {D6B/6A-1 and D6B/6A-2} are shown. Parental HTD114 DNA was
809 used as control.
810
Figure S2. Identification of mouse chromosomes containing the ~7kb RBPD
transgene. A) DNA FISH on mouse chromosomes from clone ~7kb(+)A5. Mitotic spread
showing DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD (magenta; arrow) and a mouse chromosome
5 BAC (RP24-107D17; red; arrowheads). B and C) DNA FISH on mouse chromosomes
from clone ~7kb(+)X1. Mitotic spread showing DNA FISH using the ~7kb RBPD
(magenta; arrows) and a mouse X chromosome paint (red).
817
Figure S3. RBP protein levels in cells expressing shRNAs. K562 or HTD114 cells
were transfected with empty vector (-) or shRNA against RBPs and cell extracts were
processed for Western Blots using antibodies (Table S4) as indicated: A and B) HNRNPU
(green); C) UCHL5 (green); D and E) HNRNPC (green); F and I) HNRNPL (green); G and
H) HNRNPM (green); J and K) KHSRP (green); L) HNRNPUL1 (green); M) HLTF (green);
N) SAFB2 (green); O) PTBP1 (red); or P) HNRNPA1 (green). Tubulin (red) was used as
loading control, and size markers are indicated.
825
Figure S4. RNA FISH on cells transfected with shRNAs that did not disrupt ASAR
localization. A-D) K562 cells were transfected with empty vector (A) or shRNA
expression vectors for MATR3 (B), PTBP1 (C), or PTBP2 (D). Cells were processed for
RNA FISH using probes for ASAR6-141 (green; arrows) or XIST (red; arrows). E-J)
HTD114 cells were transfected with empty vector (E) or shRNA expression vectors for
SAFB (F), SAFB2 (G), SAFB plus SAFB2 (H), CTCF (assayed for only ASAR6 RNA) (I),
or MATR3 (J). DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.
833
Figure S5. Disruption of the chromosome territory localization of ASAR1-187 and
ASAR6 RNAs following shRNA depletion of RBPs. HTD114 cells were transfected
with empty vector (A) or shRNA expression vectors for HNRNPA1 (B), HNRNPC (C),
HNRNPL (D), HNRNPM (E), HNRNPUL1 (F), KHSRP (G), HLTF (H), or UCHL5 (I). Cells
were processed for RNA FISH using Fosmid probes (see Table S3) for ASAR1-187
(green, arrow) and ASAR6 (red, arrow). A) DNA FISH hybridization signals for
chromosome 1 (CHR1 BAC DNA; magenta) and chromosome 6 (CHR6 BAC DNA;
orange) are indicated by arrowheads. The brackets mark cytoplasmic regions that
hybridized to both ASAR RNA FISH probes. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars
are 10 *u*M.
844
Figure S6. Replication timing in cells transfected with shRNA expression vectors.
A and B) HTD114 cells were transfected with PTBP1 shRNA expression vector, exposed
to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation
(green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 1 (A, red) or 6 (B, magenta) centromeric
probe. Cells were exposed to BrdU for five hours, processed for BrdU incorporation using
an antibody against BrdU. The ratio of BrdU incorporation in chromosome 1 and
chromosome 6 homologs are shown in the bottom panels of A and B, respectively. C)
HTD114 cells were transfected with shRNA expression vector for HNRNPU, exposed to
BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU incorporation (green)

and DNA FISH using centromeric probes for chromosome 1 (red) or 6 (magenta). The
ratio of BrdU incorporation in chromosome homologs for 1, 6, 12, and 18 are shown in
the bottom panels. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.

Figure S7. Replication timing alterations in shRNA depleted cells. HTD114 cells
were transfected with shRNA expression vectors for HNRNPA1 (A), HNRNPC (B),
HNRNPL (C), HNRNPM (D), HLTF (E), KHSRP (F), HNRNPU (G), or UCHL5 (H),
exposed to BrdU for 5 hours, harvested for mitotic cells, and processed for BrdU
incorporation (green) and DNA FISH using a chromosome 1 centromeric probe (A and G;
red, arrows) or chromosome 1 paint (B, C, D, E, F and H; red, arrows) plus a chromosome
6 centromeric probe (A-H; magenta, arrows). The brackets mark chromosomes with
DRT/DMC. DNA was stained with DAPI, and scale bars are 10 *u*M.

Table S1. The location of significant peaks of eCLIP reads that map within ASAR
and XIST genes. The location of significant, fold enrichment and log10(P value), eCLIP
reads, from K562 and HepG2, that mapped within ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7,
ASAR9-23, and XIST.

Table S2. eCLIP reads for 120 RBPs in 10 kb windows within ASAR genes. The FDR-
corrected p-value of the z-score of log2-ratio between eCLiP vs control for each RBP in
10kb windows within ASAR1-187, ASAR6-141, ASAR8-2.7, and ASAR9-23, from K562
and HepG2 cells.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and
will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Mathew Thayer (thayerm@ohsu.edu)

Materials availability statement

All reagents generated in this study will be made freely available upon request.

Acknowledgments:

M.J.T. was supported by NIH NIGMS (R01GM114162 and R01GM130703).

M.B.H was supported by NIH NCI 4K00CA245677-03

Author contributions:

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Cell culture

HTD114 cells are a human *APRT* deficient cell line derived from HT1080 cells [38]. K562 cells were obtained from ATCC, and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone). Mouse C2C12 cells were used for the transgene integration assays, transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's recommendations, and clones containing transgene integrations were isolated following selection in media containing G418. All cells were grown in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), and were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C in a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere.

eCLIP analysis

eCLIP data was obtained from [39]. Given that non-coding genes and TLs are expressed at a lower level than coding genes, a sensitive filtering approach was used as recommended by the authors [39]. We filtered out peaks containing an eCLIP peak p-value greater than p=0.05, and filtered out peaks containing a fold enrichment value less than two.

<u>*Identification of TL*</u>

Nuclear-enriched, strand-specific, ribo-minus, total-RNA sequencing libraries were first aligned to the hg19 reference genome using default parameters with STAR [40]. Next SAMtools [41] was used to remove duplicate and low quality (<=MAPQ20) reads. TLs were defined using a strategy of sequential merging of strand-specific, contiguous intergenic reads, as performed in [6]. Utilizing strand-specific reads, stranded reads separated by 1,000 bp or less were merged to create contigs, and the contigs merged again while allowing for gaps of 7 kb to allow for regions that are not uniquely mappable due to presence of full-length LINE elements. TL were then classified above a minimum cutoff length of 50 kb of strand specific, contiguous expression.

shRNA depletion of RBPs

Using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's recommendations, we co-transfected HTD114 cells with plasmids encoding puromycin resistance (PGK-PURO) and shRNAs against 14 different RBPs (Table S4). 24h after transfection, cells were plated in media containing 10 ug/ml puromycin (Millipore/Sigma), and 24h-48h following puromycin selection cells were processed for Western blot, RNA-DNA FISH or for replication timing assays (see below).

Western blot analysis.

Cells were pelleted, rinsed once in PBS, and lysed by resuspension in RIPA Solubilization Buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA,1% NP-40 or 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS). Protein concentration was determined via the Qubit Protein BR Assay kit (Invitrogen, A50669) on a Qubit 4 Fluorometer. Lysates from HTD114 (20 μg) or K562 (25 μg) cell lines in 1X Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad, 161-0737) with 5% β-mercaptoethanol were loaded onto 10% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Tris-Glycine-SDS polyacrylamide gels, and run in 1X SDS PAGE running buffer (Bio-Rad,

161-0732) at 100 Volts for 1.25 hours. Proteins were transferred to either NitroBind
nitrocellulose (GE, EP4HY00010) or Immobilon-FL PVDF (Millipore, IPFL00010)
membranes in Tris-Glycine transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol
pH 8.3) at 100 V for one hour. All primary antibodies are described in Table S4.
For protein detection, membranes were blocked for one hour in 50% AquaBlock (Arlington
Scientific, PP82P-500-QT-1582) in PBS (AquaBlock-P) and probed with specific
antibodies (Table S4) in AquaBlock-P with 0.1% Tween 20 (AquaBlock-PT) at 4 °C
overnight. The membranes were subjected to four 5-minutes washes in PBS with 0.1%
Tween 20 (PBS-T) at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with secondary
antibodies in AquaBlock-PT for one hour at room temperature, and subjected to four
washes in PBS-T as described above. Antibody labeling was detected using an Azure
Sapphire Biomolecular Imager.
953
Immunofluorescence:
Cells were allowed to attach to poly-L lysing coated glass slides for 2 hours. Cells were
fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4 for 10 min at room temperature, then
washed 3X with ice-cold PBS. Cells were Permeabilized for 10 min with PBS containing
either 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST), and washed 3X with PBS at room temperature. Prior to
antibody addition, slides were incubated for 30 minutes with 10% serum from the species
the secondary antibody was raised in. Slides were incubated in diluted primary antibody
in 1% BSA in PBST in a humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. Slides were washed three
times in PBS, 5 min each wash. Slides were incubated with secondary antibody in 1%
BSA for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Slides were wash three times with PBS for
5 min each in the dark. All primary antibodies are described in Table S4.
965
CRISPR/Cas9 engineering
Using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's
recommendations, we co-transfected HTD114 cells with plasmids encoding GFP,
sgRNAs and Cas9 endonuclease (Origene). Each plasmid encoded sgRNA was designed
to bind at the indicated locations (Fig. S1). 48h after transfection, cells were plated at
clonal density and allowed to expand for 2-3 weeks. The presence of deletions was
identified by PCR using the primers described in Figure S1. The single cell colonies that
grew were analyzed for heterozygous deletions by PCR (Fig. S1). We used retention of a
heterozygous SNP to identify the disrupted allele, and homozygosity at the SNP confirmed
that cell clones were homogenous.
976
PCR analysis
Genomic DNA was isolated from tissue culture cells using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen).
PCR was performed in a 12.5µL volume using 50-100ng of genomic DNA, 1x Standard
Taq Buffer (New England Biolabs, Inc.), 200µM each deoxynucleotide triphosphates,
0.2µM of each primer, and 3 units of Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Inc.)
under the following reaction conditions: 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by 30-40 cycles of
95°C for 30 seconds, 55-62°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute, with a final
extension time of 10 minutes at 72°C. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels,
stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed under ultraviolet light illumination.

Sequencing of PCR products was carried out at the Vollum Institute DNA Sequencing
Core facility. All PCR primers are described in Table S3.
988
DNA FISH
Mitotic chromosome spreads were prepared as described previously [29]. After RNase
(100µg/ml) treatment for 1h at 37°C, slides were washed in 2XSSC and dehydrated in an
ethanol series and allowed to air dry. Chromosomal DNA on the slides was denatured at
75°C for 3 minutes in 70% formamide/2XSSC, followed by dehydration in an ice-cold
ethanol series and allowed to air dry. BAC and Fosmid DNAs were labeled using nick
translation (Vysis, Abbott Laboratories) with Spectrum Orange-dUTP, Spectrum Aqua-
dUTP or Spectrum Green-dUTP (Vysis). Final probe concentrations varied from 40-60
ng/µl. Centromeric probe cocktails (Vysis) and/or whole chromosome paint probes
(Metasystems) plus BAC or Fosmid DNAs were denatured at 75°C for 10 minutes and
prehybridized at 37°C for 10 minutes. Probes were applied to denatured slides and
incubated overnight at 37°C. Post-hybridization washes consisted of one 3-minute wash
in 50% formamide/2XSSC at 40°C followed by one 2-minute rinse in PN (0.1M Na_2HPO_4,
pH 8.0/2.5% Nonidet NP-40) buffer at RT. Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold
antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen) and viewed under UV fluorescence (Olympus). All BAC
and Fosmid probes are described in Table S3.
1005
RNA-DNA FISH
Cells were plated on Poly-L-Lysine coated (Millipore Singa) glass microscope slides at
~50% confluence and incubated for 4 hours in complete media in a 37°C humidified CO_2
incubator. Slides were rinsed 1X with sterile RNase free PBS. Cell Extraction was carried
out using ice cold solutions as follows: Slides were incubated for 30 seconds in CSK buffer
(100mM NaCl/300mM sucrose/3mM $MgCl_2$/10mM PIPES, pH 6.8), 10 minutes in CSK
buffer/0.1% Triton X-100, followed by 30 seconds in CSK buffer. Cells were then fixed in
4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes and stored in 70% EtOH at -20°C until use.
Just prior to RNA FISH, slides were dehydrated through an EtOH series and allowed to
air dry. Denatured probes were prehybridized at 37°C for 10 min, applied to non-
denatured slides and hybridized at 37°C for 14-16 hours. Post-hybridization washes
consisted of one 3-minute wash in 50% formamide/2XSSC at 40°C followed by one 2-
minute rinse in 2XSSC/0.1% TX-100 for 1 minute at RT. Slides were then fixed in 4%
paraformaldehyde in PBS for 5 minutes at RT, and briefly rinsed in 2XSSC/0.1% TX-100
at RT. Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen) and
slides were viewed under UV fluorescence (Olympus). Z-stack images were generated
using a Cytovision workstation. After capturing RNA FISH signals, the coverslips were
removed, the slides were dehydrated in an ethanol series, and then processed for DNA
FISH, beginning with the RNase treatment step, as described above. All BAC and Fosmid
probes are described in Table S3.
1026
Chromosome replication timing assay
The BrdU replication timing assay was performed as described previously on
exponentially dividing cultures and asynchronously growing cells [30]. Mitotic chromosome
spreads were prepared and DNA FISH was performed as described above. The
incorporated BrdU was then detected using a FITC-labeled anti-BrdU antibody (Roche).

Coverslips were mounted with Prolong Gold antifade plus DAPI (Invitrogen), and viewed
under UV fluorescence. All images were captured with an Olympus BX Fluorescent
Microscope using a 100X objective, automatic filter-wheel and Cytovision workstation.
Individual chromosomes were identified with either chromosome-specific paints,
centromeric probes, BACs or by inverted DAPI staining. Utilizing the Cytovision
workstation, each chromosome was isolated from the metaphase spread and a line drawn
along the middle of the entire length of the chromosome. The Cytovision software was
used to calculate the pixel area and intensity along each chromosome for each
fluorochrome occupied by the DAPI and BrdU (FITC) signals. The total amount of
fluorescent signal in each chromosome was calculated by multiplying the average pixel
intensity by the area occupied by those pixels. The BrdU incorporation into human
chromosomes containing CRISPR/Cas9 modifications was calculated by dividing the total
incorporation into the chromosome with the deleted chromosome divided by the BrdU
incorporation into the non-deleted chromosome within the same cell. Boxplots were
generated from data collected from 8-12 cells per clone or treatment group. Differences
in measurements were tested across categorical groupings by using the Kruskal-Wallis
test [37] and listed as P-values for the corresponding plots. All BAC and Fosmid probes are
described in Table S3.
1050
Quantification and statistical analysis
P-values were generated for eCLiP data using the "region-based" method described in
[26]. For 10kb sliding windows across the genome the log2-Ratio was calculated between
the number of reads in the eCliP sample and matched control, and P-values were
generated using the Python scipy.stats function "zscore". FDR correction was
performed using the Python Statsmodels function "fdrcorrection".
1057

A

CHR1 CHR2 CHR3 CHR4 CHR5
CHR6 CHR7 CHR8 CHR9 CHR10 CHR11 t(3;11)
CHR12 CHR13 CHR14 CHR15 CHR16 CHR17
CHR18 CHR19 CHR20 CHR21 CHR22 CHRX CHRY

B

CHR1 CHR2 CHR3 CHR4 CHR5
CHR6 CHR7 CHR8 CHR9 CHR10 CHR11 t(3;11)
CHR12 CHR13 CHR14 CHR15 CHR16 CHR17
CHR18 CHR19 CHR20 CHR21 CHR22 CHRX CHRY

C

CHR4A CHR4B

D

CHR18A CHR18B

E

Ratio of BrdU incorporation

Chromosome 1

P < 0.0001

P > 0.1

HTD114, empty vector, shHNRNPU, shHNRNPUL1, shHLTF, shKHSRP, shUCHL5, shHNRNPA1, shMATR3, shPTBP1, shPTBP2, shSAFB, shSAFB2

F

Ratio of BrdU incorporation

Chromosome 6

P < 0.0001

P > 0.1

HTD114, empty vector, shHNRNPU, shHNRNPUL1, shHLTF, shKHSRP, shUCHL5, shHNRNPA1, shMATR3, shPTBP1, shPTBP2, shSAFB, shSAFB2

G

G2 DNA synthesis

CHR6 cen
BrdU
DAPI

H

CHR1 paint
BrdU
DAPI