Ts66Yah, a refined Ts65Dn DS mouse model, unravels genetic interaction between regions homologous to Human chromosome 21 and the Scaf8-Pde10a genetic interval.

Arnaud Duchon[1], Maria del Mar Muñiz Moreno[1], Claire Chevalier[1], Valérie Nalesso[1], Philippe Andre[2], Marta Fructuoso-Castellar[3,4,5,6], Mary Mondino[7], Chrystelle Po[7], Vincent Noblet[7], Marie Christine Birling[2], Marie-Claude Potier[3,4,5,6] and Yann Herault[1,2,*]

[1] Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, INSERM, Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire (IGBMC), department of translational medicine and neurogenetics 1 rue Laurent Fries, 67404 Illkirch Graffenstaden, France
[2] Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, INSERM, CELPHEDIA, PHENOMIN-Institut Clinique de la Souris (ICS), 1 rue Laurent Fries, 67404 Illkirch Graffenstaden, France
[3] Paris Brain Institute ICM, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France.
[4] Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U1127, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France.
[5] Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR7225, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France.
[6] Sorbonne Université, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France.
[7] Université de Strasbourg, CNRS UMR 7357, ICube, FMTS, 67000 Strasbourg, France
*Corresponding author:
Tel.: +33 3 88 65 5657 (Assistant) or 5715 (Direct) Email: herault@igbmc.fr

ABSTRACT

Down syndrome is the consequence of trisomy of human chromosome 21 (Hsa21). The understanding of phenotype-genotype relationships, the identification of driver genes and various proof-of-concepts for therapeutic improvement have benefited from the development of mouse models. The premier model, named Ts(17^{16})65Dn/J (Ts65Dn), corresponds to an additional minichromosome with the *Mir155* to *Zbtb21* region of mouse chromosome 16 (Mmu16), homologous to Hsa21, encompassing around 90 genes, fused to the centromeric part of mouse chromosome 17 (Mmu17). The Ts65Dn line displayed several phenotypes related to the human features found in people with DS. The detailed analysis of the Ts65Dn minichromosome unraveled the presence of 46 trisomic genes from the centromeric region of Mmu17, from *Pisd-ps2/Scaf8* to *Pde10a,* not related to Hsa21. Here, we report the investigation of a new model, Ts66Yah, generated by CrispR/Cas9 to remove the genomic region unrelated to Hsa21 on the minichromosome. Not surprisingly, the sole presence of 3 copies of the *Mir155-Zbtb21* interval in Ts66Yah induces DS related features. However, the loss of certain phenotypes related to increased activity, spatial learning and specific molecular signatures suggest strong genetic interactions between the *Mir155-Zbtb21* and the *Scaf8-Pde10a* interval. Thus, Ts66Yah mice have a stronger construct and face validity for mimicking specific consequences of DS genetic overdosage, certainly more translatable to humans and with potential better proof-of-concept studies for therapeutics. Furthermore, this report is the first to demonstrate genetic interactions between triplicated regions homologous to Hsa21 and others unrelated to Hsa21.

INTRODUCTION

Advanced knowledge of the pathophysiology of Down syndrome (DS), commonly known as trisomy 21, has been acquired from mouse models. Animal research has allowed the identification of several major driver genes linked to the clinical features found in people with DS, such as DYRK1A and CBS, and made possible the pre-clinical validation of therapies with several drug candidates (Duchon and Herault 2016; Herault *et al.* 2017; Nakano-Kobayashi *et al.* 2017; Faundez *et al.* 2018; Neumann *et al.* 2018; Nguyen *et al.* 2018; Marechal *et al.* 2019). Over the last decades, even more complex mouse models have been generated carrying one human chromosome 21 almost complete (O'Doherty *et al.* 2005; Kazuki *et al.* 2020), and various segmental duplications of regions homologous to human chromosome 21 (Hsa21; Hsa for *Homo Sapiens*) making it possible to dissect genotype-phenotype relationships in DS (Herault *et al.* 2017; Duchon *et al.* 2021).

These studies were pioneered and strongly driven by the use of the $Ts(17^{16})65Dn/J$ (Ts65Dn) mouse line. This model developed by Muriel Davidson and Roger Reeves (Davisson *et al.* 1990; Davisson *et al.* 1993; Reeves *et al.* 1995), is commercially available and is widely used for DS research, with more than 500 publications found in the PUBMED database (April 2022). Originally, the $Ts(17^{16})65Dn/J$ line was generated by the irradiation of DBA/2J males, then crossed with C57BL/6J females, with the progeny being checked for chromosomal abnormalities (Davisson et al., 1990; Davisson et al., 1993). Translocations for murine chromosome 16 (Mmu16 for *Mus musculus*) homologous to Hsa21 were then mated with the C57BL/6J x C3H/HeJ F1 hybrid line. The genetic background of B6C3HF1 was selected to obtain large litters and maintain higher transmission of the translocated minichromosome $Ts(17^{16})65Dn/J$, encompassing 90 protein coding genes (PGCs) from *Mir155* to *Zbtb21,* linked to the centromeric part of the Mmu17 chromosome which contains a region non-homologous

to Hsa21, from *Pisd-ps2* to *Pde10a* (for approximately 46 PGCs; (Muñiz Moreno *et al.* 2020)).

The region *Pisd-ps2 to Pde10a* is homologous to the region between *SCA8* and *PDE10A,* found

on human chromosome 6. Due to this rearrangement, the genetic validity of the model has

been a topic of debate for quite some time. On the one hand, the presence of a

supernumerary freely segregating chromosome may contribute to producing additional

phenotypes as compared to models with intrachromosomic duplications (Goodliffe *et al.*

2016; Olmos-Serrano *et al.* 2016); on the other hand, the presence of 60 genes non-

homologous to Hsa21 may have a phenotypic impact not related to DS, and too often

neglected in various studies. Somehow, the effect of this additional triplicated segment has

been set aside and its contribution to Ts65Dn phenotypes remains undetermined.

To solve this dilemma and have a model closer to DS, we developed a new line named

Ts66Yah, derived from the Ts65Dn lineage but no longer carrying the duplicated centromeric

part of Mmu17. Here, we report its first phenotypic and molecular characterization. The

Ts66Yah mouse model was generated using CrispR/Cas9 technology in vivo to remove the

segment of DNA corresponding to the centromeric Mmu17 located on the Ts65Dn

minichromosome. Using comparative genomic hybridization array (a-CGH), we verified that

the CrispR/Cas9 deletion completely removed the segment. Then, we performed the

phenotypic characterization of the new Ts66Yah line using a classical battery of behavioral

tests in young adult mice and compared to Ts65Dn mice produced in parallel. Cohorts of adult

trisomic and control littermates were tested for nesting activity, circadian activity, exploration

of a novel environment in the open field (noted as OF), spontaneous alternation in the Y maze

(noted as Y-maze), object recognition (noted as OR), spatial memory in the Morris water maze

test (noted as MWM), associative memory in fear conditioning (noted as FC). Most of the tests

with significant results were replicated in a second laboratory to check their robustness. We

also performed morphometric analysis based on MRI and μCT scans, respectively for the brain

and the skull, and a high throughput gene expression analysis based on population-based

transcriptome analysis to identify the genetic and functional alterations in the hippocampus

and entorhinal cortex of Ts66Yah and make the comparison with the Ts65Dn model.

RESULTS

Structure of the *Scaf8-Pde10a* proximal region of mouse chromosome 17

Before creating the Ts66Yah model we looked at the *Scaf8-Pde10a* region. We found it quite

rearranged in several mouse lines according to the mouse genome informatics database. In

particular we closely investigated the corresponding segments in the DBA/2J line used to

generate the first Ts65Dn chromosome, and also in C57BL/6J and C3H/HeJ (as a proxy) of the

mouse lines used to breed the Ts65Dn mouse carriers. Overall, the region was globally

conserved in its organization but several loci were affected (Fig. S1A). More precisely, the *Snx9*

locus was different in size in the 3 models, and more perturbed in C3H/HeJ. Remarkably, the

genetic interval encompassing *Tulp4, Tmem181a and Sytl3* were not found in the DBA/2J

genome and other loci for *Rpsh3* and *Rnaset2* were duplicated differently in the 3 genetic

backgrounds (Fig. S1B). Interestingly, the region is well preserved in humans on Chromosome

6 with an organization similar to that of C57BL/6J, except for large inversions near the *Pde10a*

and *Rps6ka2-Rnaset2* genes that occurred during evolution.

Creation, validation, and transmission of the new Ts66Yah minichromosome

Thus, we decided to remove the centromeric segment of Mmu17 located on the Ts65Dn

minichromosome using the CrispR/Cas9 technique. Embryos obtained from in vitro

fertilization, taking sperm from selected fertile Ts65Dn male and wild-type F1B6C3B oocytes,

were injected with CrispR/Cas9 and the pairs of selected gRNAs based on the CRISPOR score

(CONCORDET and HAEUSSLER 2018)(Fig. 1A). One founder carrying the recombined

minichromosome, with the deletion of the centromeric part of Mmu17, was selected in the

progeny and crossed with C57BL/6NCrl females. Two offspring, one male and one female,

were used to start the new colony. The extent of the deletion was characterized by Sanger

sequencing of the PCR fragment encompassing the region deleted from the centromeric part

on Mmu17 to Mmu16 (Fig. 1B-C), leaving a piece of Mmu16 encompassing the *Mir155* to the

end of the telomeric Mmu16 (about 13,856,661 bp). We characterized the new breakpoint

between the genomic base number 3,071,436 on Mmu17 and the base 84,354,894 on Mmu16

(UCSC Genome Browser on Mouse Dec. 2011 (GRCm38/mm10) Assembly).

We confirmed the presence of an independent chromosome on metaphase spreads where we

could clearly see the 40 mouse chromosomes plus one minichromosome in Ts66Yah

embryonic fibroblasts isolated from 14.5 days post coitum (dpc) mutant embryos (Fig. 1D).

We also performed a-CGH to check the copy number of the Mmu16 and Mmu17

chromosomes both in the Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn models (Fig. 1E). We were able to confirm that

the Ts66Yah model indeed lacks the increase in gene dosage for the telomeric part of the

Mmu17 region from *Pisd-ps2* to *Pde10a* seen in the Ts65Dn model (Fig. 1E-F), while the copy

number of the region located on Mmu16 homologous to Hsa21 increased. Moreover, we

checked that the change in the Mmu16 copy number in the Ts66Yah mice was located

upstream of *Mrpl39* (DUCHON *et al.* 2011b)*,* as expected, between two probes targeting the

Mmu16 genetic interval 84,325,686-84,356,080 from the mouse genome assembly

GRCm38/mm10.

We also checked the genetic transmission of the minichromosome. After establishing the line,

we obtained a good transmission rate of about 50% per litter (Table 1). Then, the transmission

rate began to decrease with the number of backcrosses on a pure genetic background

(C57BL/6J, up to N4). We thus decided to maintain the mixed genetic background used for the

Ts65Dn mice, with the sighted C3H (C3B) males crossed with B6J females, namely B6C3B, that

we commonly use in our laboratory. Currently, we have a stable ratio of transmission from

both male and female germlines (Table 1), although not all the Ts66Yah males are fertile. We

hypothesize that the increased fertility of Ts66Yah was a consequence of the selection of

fertile Ts65Dn males when generating the model. To test this, we performed a sperm analysis

to evaluate the quality of the sperm by looking at different parameters such as the

concentration of spermatozoids, their motility, their velocity and progressivity, for both

Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn lines (Fig. S2). As expected, the Ts65Dn mice showed poorer performance

than wild-type littermates for all the sperm parameters analyzed. Similar differences were also

observed in the Ts66Yah male sperm, with lower quality than the wild-type (wt) littermates.

Nonetheless, we were able to isolate 23 fertile males out of the 39 identified in the Ts66Yah

line which is in the range of the published results for Ts65Dn (MOORE *et al.* 2010).

The Ts66Yah mice displayed lower locomotor activity compared to the Ts65Dn mice in many

tests

To study the behavioral phenotypes of both models under the same environmental

conditions, we produced separate cohorts for the two DS models from crosses of wt B6C3B

males with Ts66Yah or Ts65Dn females. Then, we challenged the Ts66Yah, Ts65Dn and wild-

type littermate animals to a battery of behavioral tests.

First, we assessed their adaptation to a novel environment and exploration activity in a

brightly illuminated (120 Lux) square open field. Under this strong light, the animal can choose

to explore the center of the open field and be more exposed, or to stay in a safer position at

the periphery along the walls of the open field. The Ts66Yah mutant mice showed the same

pattern of exploration as their wild-type littermates, with no significant differences between

the two groups for either the distance travelled or the number of rears (Fig. S3A). In contrast,

the Ts65Dn mice travelled further, notably more in the peripheral zone, and performed fewer

rears in the central zone compared to their wild-type littermates. These results therefore

indicate that only the Ts65Dn mutants, but not the Ts66Yah, presented an increase in anxiety-

like behavior, as emphasized by the reduced activity in the center of the arena, and the

increased activity in the peripheral zone of the open field.

We then wondered if the circadian activity of the mutant animals was altered, as reported

previously for the Ts65Dn model (Ruby et al. 2010). We therefore tested the activity of the

mice for 46 hours (2 nights). Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn mutants exhibited a similar pattern of

circadian activity compared to their control littermates (Fig. S3B). However, we observed that

the distance travelled by the Ts65Dn mutants was increased in the light and dark phases

compared to the control mice, whereas the activity level of the Ts66Yah mice was comparable

to the controls. This result was confirmed by the number of rears, which was also increased in

the Ts65Dn line, even in the habituation phase. Similar increases in activity were observed in

the number of Y Maze arm entries (Fig. S3C). Thus, the hyperactivity phenotype detected in

the Ts65Dn mutants in several paradigms was absent in the Ts66Yah.

Robust cognitive deficits in the Ts66Yah mouse models

Several learning and memory deficits have been described in the Ts65Dn mouse line with

unanimity by different teams, and are considered as robust and reproducible phenotypes

(Reeves et al. 1995; Martinez-Cue et al. 2002; Fernandez et al. 2007; García-Cerro et al. 2017;

Aziz et al. 2018; Duchon et al. 2021). Thus, we tested how much those robust phenotypes, so

widely reproduced, were present in the Ts66Yah individuals.

First, we started to analyze alterations linked to the normal innate behavior of rodents with

the nest building task, which is sensitive to hippocampal lesions and is an ancillary parameter

assessed to predict cognitive defects (HELLER *et al.* 2014). The organization of the nest was

scored after one night with a scale from 0, equivalent to the absence of a nest, to 5 when a

full dome is raised. For both lines, most control disomic mice built a nest with a dome (score

higher than 3; Fig. 2A) nevertheless the nesting score was lower for both trisomic lines

compared to the control littermates. Intriguingly, 9 out of 25 Ts66Yah males built a nest with

a score above 3 while only 2 males out of 12 reach this stage in the Ts65Dn. Further

investigation would be needed to confirm this observation.

Then, we assessed spatial working memory by placing the mice in a Y-maze and left them to

freely explore the three arms. The total number of entries to any arm was scored as an index

of locomotor activity. In the Ts66Yah mouse line, the percentage of alternation of the mutant

mice was significantly different from 50% (chance level) but no strong difference was observed

between trisomic and control individuals. On the contrary, there was a strong difference for

the Ts65Dn mutants who showed a lower percentage of spontaneous alternation than their

control littermates, with a percentage of alteration for the mutant group significantly different

from 50% (Fig. 2B).

Afterwards, we evaluated the episodic non-spatial recognition memory using the novel object

recognition (NOR) paradigm with a retention time of 24h. During the acquisition session

(presenting two identical objects), there was a difference in the exploration time between

both Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah mutant animals versus their respective control groups (Fig S4A).

Indeed, both DS model mice spent more time to explore the objects. During the test session,

24 h after the acquisition session, when one of the two familiar objects was replaced by a new

one, both DS mice models explored both objects at the same rate (the discrimination index

was close to 50%) and did not show any preference for the novel object contrary to the control

mice (Fig. 2C). The Y-maze and object recognition phenotypes were reproduced in an

independent group of male and female mice mice bred and tested in a second laboratory,

without any sex effect.(Fig. S5).

In the next step, we focused on the spatial reference memory in the Morris water maze

(MWM) task. Mice must learn how to escape from a circular pool of opaque water by localizing

a hidden platform (PF), set at a single fixed location, using distal spatial cues. Three different

sessions were organized according to the diagram shown in Fig. 3A. First, a standard learning

phase session where a hidden platform is presented was performed, followed by a reversal

session to detect memory flexibility. Both sessions ended with a probe test. Finally, a visible

session was performed to assess the general capacity of the mice to perform the test and

asses any visual or physical impairments. We measured the velocity, thigmotaxis and time

spent by each individual to reach the platform. For the Ts66Yah line (Fig. 3B-I), there was no

significant difference between the mutant and control group for thigmotaxis and swimming

speed; however, the Ts66Yah mutants took longer to reach the platform during the sessions,

indicating poorer performance than the control group. Apart from this, Ts66Yah mice learned

the PF location at the last block of the trial with half the latency as over the first block of the

trial. The same profile was observed in the reversal phase. The retention of place location was

assessed during a single probe trial (PT) with no platform available, 24h after the last training

session. In this probe test, all the mice remembered where the platform was located after the

two learning sessions (learning and reversal phase). As expected, the Ts65Dn mutants (Fig. 3J-

Q) presented an increased level of thigmotaxis compared to the controls and an increased

latency, indicating that the mutants had difficulties in learning the PF location. This conclusion

was supported by the analysis of the reversal phase data. In addition, the Ts65Dn mutants did

not present any preference for the target quadrant, with a level of time exploration close to

25% for both the learning and reversal phases. Efficacy depends on swimming strategies to

search for the PF. Thus, we analyzed the strategies to search for the PF undertaken by each

individual along the test phase. Only the Ts65Dn mice showed a low and constant high level

of non-spatial navigation while the level of spatial strategy increased both in the 2n mice and

in the Ts66Yah animals during learning in the sessions of both the initial learning and reversal

phase but not in Ts65Dn mice (Fig. S4B). In the visible session (Fig. S4B), the Ts66Yah latency

to reach the PF was longer that of the control mice, with a wider distribution. However, the

mean of the latency was definitely less than the mean of the latency in the last session of the

reversal phase. This indicates that the mice did not have any deficit that could have prevented

them from learning and passing the test. Overall, our results confirmed a severe impaired

spatial learning in Ts65Dn mice but not in the Ts66Yah mice.

Finally, both mutant lines were tested for contextual associative memory deficits in the

Pavlovian fear conditioning test. To induce fear responses, the mice were placed in a "fear

context", a context box where they were subjected to an electric shock. When the animals

were re-subjected to the shock 24 h later in the same "fear context", the level of freezing in

all groups was increased compared to the habituation session, indicating that all groups

developed a behavioral fear response during the training session (Fig. S4C). The Ts65Dn

mutants presented a lower level of responses than the control group, especially at the end of

the context session (the last 2 minutes of the session named CONT3). On the contrary, the

Ts66Yah mutants did not show any difference in freezing time compared to the control group.

Thus, we concluded that the hyperactivity of Ts65Dn mutants interfered with this test: the

Ts65Dn mutants would not be subject to a memory failure but instead could not stop moving

during a session lasting 2min.

Identification of discriminating phenotypic variables between Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn

Considering the differences observed in several phenotypic behavioral variables (Table S1) we wanted to assess the importance of each variable for the genotype-based classification using both the Elastic-Net Regularized Generalized Linear Model (GLM-Net) and random forests (RF) with GDAPHEN (see Materials and Methods). First, we found that several of all the variables considered for the analysis (Table S2) were highly correlated (more than 86% correlation) and thus were removed from the respective downstream analysis for both Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah with their respective wild-type controls (Table S3). The statistical classifiers GLM-Net and RF identified several variables that discriminated the trisomic from the control individual in each model separately (Fig. 4). Using both classifiers, the three most important variables to discriminate Ts66Yah versus wild-type individuals were the "sperm concentration", the "sperm:progressive", and the "percentage of time spent in the target quadrant in the 1st probe test" (MWM:PTRev_TQ; GLM-NET; table S5) with the "nesting score" (RF; table S5; Fig. 4A). In addition, the wt and trisomic individuals were easily separated in principal component analysis (PCA; Fig. 4B) with 67% of the variance explained with the 3 first dimensions (Fig. 4C). To discriminate Ts65Dn versus wild-type individuals, the situation was different with both classifiers (Fig. 4D, table S5). The most important variables were also the ""FC:Precue1 (GLM-Net) and the "sperm concentration" (RF), then the "FC:Cont3" for both and in third position "MWM:PT1_TQ" (GLM-Net) with the "FC:Precue1" for RF (table S5; Fig. 4D). About 59% of the variance was explained with the 3 first dimensions of the PCA to separate Ts65Dn and wt individuals (Fig. 4F). Then we analyzed the discriminating variable combining all the genotypes (Table 2, Fig. S6), and we found more behavioral variables to discriminate the wt and trisomic individuals. Apart from the first one, "Sperm:Progressive", the next six variables were all derived from behavior tests with "FC:Hab2", "MWM:PTRev_TQ", "NOR:Test_NO",

"YM:Spont_Alter", "CA:Rears:N2"CA:Locomotion:Light", although the percentage of variance

explained was even lower in the 3 first dimensions, with only 46%. The multiple factor analysis

(MFA) computed the correlation between the qualitative or quantitative variables grouped by

test or ungrouped, and the principal component dimensions. For both DS models, the MFA

and the cosine diagrams highlighted almost the same variables as the main contributors to

differentiate between Ts65Dn or Ts66Yah and their respective wild-types.

Comparison of morphological alterations in the brain and the skull of Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn

mice

To detect brain morphological alterations of specific regions in the two DS models, we

conducted an MRI study. We did not observe statistically significant changes for the whole

brain volume. Nevertheless, to be as accurate as possible, we considered the whole brain

volume and performed a Z-score standardization (Fig. 5 and S7). Globally, we observed that

changes in the morphology of specific brain structures as well as the direction of the changes

(increase or decrease of volume) were the same in both DS lines. However, the amplitude of

the changes was less severe but statistically significant (Table S1) in Ts66Yah than in Ts65Dn

(for example at ventricles, rest of midbrain, fimbria, superior colliculi; Fig. 5 and S7). Principal

component analysis (PCA; Fig. S7) comparing mutants with their respective 2n controls for

both lines, pointed to a more pronounced difference between the Ts65Dn and their controls

than for the Ts66Yah mutants and their controls.

People with DS have very specific craniofacial changes which have also been found in Ts65Dn

(RICHTSMEIER *et al.* 2000; STARBUCK *et al.* 2014). Thus, we investigated the Ts66Yah, searching

for similar craniofacial changes using a landmark based analysis (Table S2, Fig. 5C). PCA

computed on Euclidian distance calculated from well-defined landmarks (Fig. 5D) showed that

control and trisomic mice were well separated in the Ts66Yah line compared to the Ts65Dn

line. The shape differences between groups for skulls and mandibles can be visualized

graphically, showing that the deformation was more pronounced in the Y axis than in the X

axis (Fig. 5E-F) with the Ts65Dn skull smaller in global size and with more pronounced

deformations. Altogether, our brain and skull morphology results demonstrated convergence

with DS features in both Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn but with additional changes due to the presence

of the trisomy of the *Scaf8-Pde10a* genetic interval in Ts65Dn.

The Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn models show a strong tissue specific dysregulation profile in the

entorhinal cortex and hippocampus with differences in functional alterations

The hippocampus (HIP) and the entorhinal cortex (EC) are two brain regions contributing to

novel object recognition (COHEN and STACKMAN 2015; LEAL and YASSA 2015; SCHULTZ *et al.* 2015).

Because NOR is affected in both the Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn DS models (This study; (DUCHON *et*

al. 2021)), we wanted to identify genes and molecular pathways altered in the two models

and the two brain regions. Thus, we analyzed the expression profile in both the Ts66Yah and

Ts65Dn DS models by focusing on the HIP and EC using RNA-Seq. After normalizing the raw

data, we were able to confirm the quality of all the biological replicates as the samples

clustered well as a function of their genotype using PCA clustering and the Euclidian distance

of all the DEGs identified (Fig. S8 A and B). Then, we performed the differential expression

analysis (DEA) and identified 1902 and 2220 DEGs in Ts66Yah HIP and EC respectively, and

1836 and 1691 DEGs in the HIP and EC of Ts65Dn (Fig. S8C, table 3). All the DEGs found in both

tissues were spread along all the chromosomes although the majority of DEGs were in Mmu16

for Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn (Table S8). Interestingly, the misregulation was very specific in the

HIP and the EC, with only 417 and 382 genes found misregulated in both regions (HIP∩EC) in

Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn respectively. First, regarding the Ts66Yah model, the 417 genes identified

commonly dysregulated in both tissues were spread along all the chromosomes and more

than 50% were non-coding and pseudogene genomic elements. Of these, 72 had a tissue-

specific regulatory sense and more than 60% were non-coding and pseudogenes. Moreover,

only 54 genes from Mmu16 were identified as DEGs in both tissues. We found similar results

for Ts65Dn with 382 common genes between the regions and 34 from Mmu16. Of these 45

have a tissue-specific sense of regulation and more than 70% are non-coding and

pseudogenes. Thus, with only around 20% of genes shared between the two brain regions, we

concluded that strong tissue specific dysregulation is found in both the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah

models. More globally, we compared the number of DEGs found in both tissues, in Ts65Dn vs

Ts66Yah (Fig. 6A). We found 272 common DEGs in the HIP and 282 in the EC (28 are trisomic

in Ts65Dn), of these 97 and 105 DEGs follow opposing regulatory senses in both models for

the HIP and the EC, respectively (34 are trisomic in Ts65Dn). Those opposing regulated genes

could very well be responsible for the differences observed in behavioral analyses.

Then, we checked the fold change of trisomic genes along the chromosome regions on Mmu16

and 17. We detected a similar fold change for most of the genes located in the Mmu16 region,

homologous to human chromosome 21, in the HIP and EC (Fig. S9; Table S8). Out of the 133

protein-coding genes (PCG) that are trisomic and located in the segment on Mmu16, 90 and

66 showed compensated expression in the Ts66Yah HIP and EC (67 and 49% of the PC trisomic

genes), whereas 78 and 100 genes were compensated in the Ts65Dn tissues (58 and 75%

respectively; Table 3), thereby supporting previous observations in which approximately half

of the trisomic genes are compensated or partially compensated in partial DS models, with a

strong effect of the tissue/organ analyzed (Aït Yahya-Graison *et al.* 2007; Duchon *et al.* 2021).

As expected with the dose effect, the fold changes of the 51 genes (PCG and non-coding),

located on the proximal part of Mmu17, were around 1.02+/-0.25 and 1.01+/-0.25 (mean+/-

SD) respectively in the Ts66Yah HIP and EC, while they were between 1.54+/-1.22 and 1.63+/-

1.2 in the Ts65Dn Hip and EC. Altogether the spearman correlation comparing TEGs from

MMu16 in the HIP or EC in both models was low (between 26 to 30%) and was completely loss

as expected when looking at TEGs from the centromeric region of the Mmu17 (Fig. S8D).

Nevertheless, a few genes from this centromeric interval of Mmu17, trisomic in Ts65Dn but

not in Ts66Yah, showed a distinct pattern of expression in the Ts66Yah hippocampi. As

expected, 9 genes, *Ezr, Pde10a, Scaf8, Tiam2, Tfb1m, Zdhhc14, Synj2, Serac1* and *Gtf2h5,* were

differentially expressed in Ts65Dn but not in Ts66Yah; 2 genes, *Ccr6* and *Tagap,* upregulated

in Ts65Dn, were downregulated in Ts66Yah. We verified by qRT-PCR the expression of several

genes in the HIP of control and mutant mice. We confirmed the overexpression of *Dyrk1a,*

Sod1 and *Sh3bgr* as expected in both models. We also verified that *Pde10a* and *Ezr* from the

Mmu17 region were only upregulated in the Ts65Dn model HIP (Fig. S10) while *Ccr6* was

downregulated in Ts66Yah and upregulated in the Ts65Dn HIP. Finally, we also identified genes

from Mmu16, syntenic to Hsa21, with an increase of at least 0.5-fold in Ts65Dn compared to

the Ts66Yah HIP, with *Kcne1, Kcnj15, Map3k7cl,* and in the EC with *Sh3bgr, Itgb2l, Ripk4* or

Ripply3, suggesting that the increased dosage of the centromeric Mmu17 genes interfere with

the regulation of these genes.

On the functional side, we identified 323 and 493 pathways altered in the hippocampi of the

Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn models, and 40 and 135 pathways in the EC of both models (Table 3 and

S11). This observation suggested a more profound impact in the hippocampi versus the EC,

and in the Ts65Dn versus the Ts66Yah conditions (Fig. 6 B). In addition, a milder effect was

found in both DS models in the EC samples in comparison with the HIP, and no common

pathway was found between Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah in the EC (Fig. 6 A). Next, we identified

common alterations per brain region in both DS models; in the HIP, 123 common pathways

were altered following the same regulatory sense. Of these, 111 were upregulated involving

mainly the immune system, cell adhesion molecules and signaling pathways. However, only

10 were downregulated linked to RNA splicing and ncRNA processing (Fig. 6C-D, table S11).

The heatmap showing the average number of pathways contributing to meta-pathways with

regulatory orientation for the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah HIP and EC models, supported strong brain

region-specific alteration observed in the DEA in both models (Fig. 6B).   Interestingly, the

samples were clustered by brain regions and not by models. Inside each meta-pathway we

identified those that showed a different intensity in the number of pathways altered or in the

regulatory sense between both DS models. In addition, the majority of altered pathways in

the HIP for both models were upregulated as expected in the light of our results published

previously for the Ts65Dn microarray and Dp1Yey models (DUCHON et al. 2021). In the EC a

lower number of pathways grouped on each meta-pathway were identified compared to the

HIP. As such, the HIP showed a higher number of altered pathways and a higher deregulation

of "host response", "channels", "metabolism" and "cell structure and organelles".

Interestingly the effect was stronger in Ts65Dn compared to Ts66Yah (Fig. 6B). To better

understand the region-specific alterations, we compared the HIP and EC meta-pathway maps

for each model (Fig. 6C) and between the DS models (Fig. 6D). A few meta-pathways, such as

"metabolism", "morphogenesis and development", "channels and location signals" and

"enzyme activity", were strongly affected in both DS models, with slightly different levels in

the HIP and EC (Fig. 6C). Surprisingly the "cell structure and organelle" were more strongly

affected in Ts65Dn than in Ts66Yah, and the "host response" was more affected in both the

Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn HIP but only in the EC of Ts65Dn. Moreover, when we compared the HIP

and the EC alteration map (Fig. 6D) between Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn, we observed that Ts65Dn

showed   stronger   alterations   than   Ts66Yah   in   the   "Host   and   immune   response",

"Morphogenesis", "Metabolism and Cell structure" related pathways in the Hippocampi. In

addition, the alteration in pathways in the EC was higher in the Ts65Dn model considering the

number of total pathways found altered (151 in Ts65Dn, 40 in Ts66Yah) and involved mainly

the "Metabolism", "Enzymes", "Cell structure", "Fibers and Cytoskeleton" and "Host and

Immune response" meta-pathways.

To further understand the nature of the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah phenotype divergence, we built

a regulatory and PPI network, noted RegPPINet, using all the genes identified by gage for

Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah and known to contribute to the synaptic meta-pathway group. After

performing a betweenness based centrality analysis on the joined Ts65Dn-Ts66Yah RegPPINet

we identified three main sub-networks (Fig. 7 A): the "HMC-immune response" gathered

members of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and of the IFN response, and is

almost Ts65Dn specific, whereas the two others, "RHO" and "Morphogenesis", were more

affected in the Ts66Yah model. Furthermore, our network analyses here in Ts66Yah and

Ts65Dn support the role of the DS subnetworks linked to RHOA, SNARE proteins (Vamps and

Sec proteins interactors), DYRK1A and NPY (Fig. S11), which are deeply intertwined, as

previously identified in 7 other DS mouse models (DUCHON *et al.* 2021).

Then we focused on the EC and HIP Ts66Yah RegPPINet. Strikingly, the pathway dysregulation

in the EC unraveled a mild effect of the trisomic model over this tissue structure with 40

pathways affected, mainly morphogenesis, metabolism, cell structure and synaptic meta-

pathways (Fig 6; Fig. S12). The Ts66Yah EC RegPPINet built using all the meta-pathway

alterations revealed several well-connected subnetworks (Fig. S12B) linked mainly to

"morphogenesis", "metabolism" and "host response & defense" (Fig. S12C). Conversely, a

more severe dysregulation with 323 altered pathways was revealed in the HIP (Table 4 and

S11, Fig S13A). Although less functional alteration was found in Ts66Yah than in Ts65Dn, the

stronger changes were found at the level of "Host response & defense" and "Metabolism"

meta-pathways with important relations with genes also belonging to morphogenesis and

synaptic related pathways (Fig. S13D). The network analysis identified several central genes

distributed over all the subnetworks (Fig. S13B, C) highlighting the strong connectivity and

importance of each subnetwork in the Ts66Yah HIP. Additionally, in the most closely

connected subnetwork including the top central genes, we identified *App* and G protein-

coupled receptor 3 for lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) *LPAR3* and *LPAR2*, which were closely

connected (Fig. S13C). Furthermore, most of the genes contributed to the alteration in

different meta-pathways or functionalities due to gene multifunctionality, again pointing out

the relevance of improving our understanding of comorbidity phenotypes in DS (Fig. S13D).

DISCUSSION

Here we report the general characterization of the new Ts66Yah line and compared several

outcomes with the well-known Ts65Dn DS mouse model. Ts66Yah is certainly more genetically

valid for DS studies than Ts65Dn as it does not contain trisomy of the *Scaf8-Pde10a*

centromeric Mmu17 region unrelated to Hsa21. The general transmission of the recombined

Ts66Yah chromosome was similar to the Ts65Dn, showing that the centromeric part of

Mmu17 does not play a major role in the sterility of trisomic males. The male germline

transmission property in the Ts66Yah may have arisen from the construction of the models

and should be monitored to see if it is maintained over generations. Interestingly, sperm

quality was also similar, thus probably the fertility issue is more connected to sperm fertility

as such rather than the parameters of concentration, mobility and velocity checked here.

More importantly, both DS models were found to replicate very classical DS cognitive features.

Similarities were found in behavior phenotypes in the two models for the nesting, object

recognition and spontaneous alternation tests. In particular, defects in object recognition

have been observed in many different labs for the Ts65Dn line and have been replicated in

two independent laboratories for Ts66Yah. Thus, we must consider this phenotype as a very

robust one, due to the trisomy of the region between *Mrpl39* and *Zbtb21* in mouse

chromosome 16. It would be very interesting to see if other phenotypes found in the Ts65Dn

are also reproduced in Ts66Yah. For example, the impairment in spatial learning was found in

the two models during the Morris water maze test but no spatial memory phenotype was

observed in Ts66Yah compared to Ts65Dn. In addition, we found that the Ts66Yah line did not

reproduce the anxiety and hyperactivity phenotypes observed in the open field with the

Ts65Dn line. Similarly, circadian activity was normal in Ts66Yah whereas we found increased

activity for Ts65Dn, as observed previously in light-dark condition (RUBY *et al.* 2010). Although

hyperactivity may be influenced by gene-environment interaction (ITOHARA *et al.* 2015),

increased locomotor activity is common to many mouse mutant models, i.e. 678 significant

genes out of 8641 mutants tested in the International Mouse Phenotyping consortium.

Hyperactivity could be a direct consequence of abnormal involuntary movement or motor

coordination, or indirect consequences, such as associated with stress, being in a novel

environment or being influenced when raised by or in contact with mutant individuals. This

increased activity has a major impact on behavioral testing in Ts65Dn, as shown recently by

the contribution of distance travelled and thigmotaxis to novel object discrimination (SIERRA *et*

al. 2021). This phenomenon should depend on genes located in Mmu17 either directly or

indirectly, because Ts66Yah was not affected. According to the Mouse Genome Informatics

database, mutants from five genes of the region, *Arid1b, Cep43, Nox3, Pde10a,* and *Serac1*,

are linked to activity, motor and stereotypic behavior and are strong candidates for which

overdosage may enhance the activity of the Ts65Dn mouse model. Similarities were also

observed in brain and craniofacial morphologies, with convergence found in both DS models.

Nonetheless, the severity of the trisomy in these two features were slightly different: The

Ts65Dn brain was larger than the Ts66Yah one in many different regions while the shape of

the cranium was affected differently, with more severe brachycephaly and increased mid-face

shortening in Ts66Yah, compared to Ts65Dn, a phenotype closer to human DS features.

Another intriguing result came from the expression analysis in the two brain regions, the HIP

and EC, which are both involved in learning and memory. First, there was little overlap in the

DEGs in the two models, and while some pathways were found dysregulated similarly in the

hippocampus of both models, no real pathway convergence was observed in the EC, with a

more severe impact for Ts65Dn compared to Ts66Yah, presumably due to the trisomy of the

centromeric region of Mmu17.

Altogether the results from learning and behavior, craniofacial and brain morphologies, and

gene expression in two brain regions, highlighted the interference of the *Scaf8-Pde10a*

trisomy in the Ts65Dn phenotypes. Considering the breeding of the two models on F1B6C3B,

it would be highly recommended to genotype for the zygosity of the *Scaf8-Pde10a* region that

can be found as heterozygous for B6 and C3B alleles, or homozygotes for both alleles. In

addition it would be important to control for any recombination event in the small region to

reduce the risk of generating a subline with specific genotype in this region. Interestingly some

gene like EZR, encoded in the Mmu17 interval, is known to interact with the RHOA pathway,

and is able to downregulate RHOA activity. Reversely, RHO can activate EZR activity and lead

to new specific phenotypes during neuritogenesis (YONEMURA *et al.* 2002; MATSUMOTO *et al.*

2014; HATANO *et al.* 2018). *EZR* was found to be a major hub in the top 5 influential genes,

considering both the betweenness index and the base mean expression levels. We may

therefore hypothesize that the EZR overexpression found in the Ts65Dn brain acts on the

downregulation of the RHOA pathway found altered in DS models (DUCHON *et al.* 2021). For

the low hyperactive phenotypes found in Ts66Yah compared to Ts65Dn, *Serac1 Pde10a* and

Ccr6 are interesting candidates whose loss-of-function impaired locomotor activity while the

defect in *Kcne1* induced hyperactivity. This means that the variation in the dose of those genes

may explain the hyperactivity found to be more severe in Ts65Dn than in Ts66Yah.

In the network analysis of both the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah hippocampi, we confirmed the

dysregulation in the immune response, RHOA and morphogenesis pathways, as detected

previously in several DS adult mouse models (DUCHON *et al.* 2021). From this common network,

five proteins, encoded by the Mmu16 region homologous to Hsa21, appeared to have stronger

effects, based on the betweenness centrality index (APP, SOD1, KCNJ6, SYNJ1, ITSN1) while

others had less impact (ATP5o, BACE2, BRWD1, DYRK1A, DSCAM, HLCS, IL10RB, INFAR2,

INFGR2, KCNE1, KCNE2, KCNJ15, MRPL39, MX2, OLIG2), supporting the multigenic dimension

in DS models. Interestingly, genes from other regions homologous to Hsa21 were also

detected in the hippocampal network, like COL6A1, COL6A2 and DNMT3L.

As the altered immune response in DS models has been reported previously (LING *et al.* 2014;

GUEDJ *et al.* 2016; DUCHON *et al.* 2021), we analyzed the gene cross-talk within all the

dysregulated pathways inside the "synaptic" and "host & immune response" meta-pathways.

We found that both meta-pathways were closely connected by protein-protein interaction

between several members and more interestingly, some of the genes had dual synaptic and

host response functionality such as *App, Cldn19, F2rl1* (involved in the behavioral neurological

phenotype)*, Ihh, Trpv1, Nppa, Wnt3a, Isl1,* and a few genes coding for cell adhesion molecules,

such as *H2-Q6* or *H2-Aa*.

Compared with the parental Ts65Dn, the Ts66Yah line revealed the importance of the *Scaf8-*

Pde10a trisomy. Interestingly this region varies quite often in the mouse genetic inbred

backgrounds used to generate and maintain the Ts65Dn minichromosome. Unfortunately, the

follow-up of the alleles in this region has never been done although there was a proposal to

use a SNP in *Snx9* to detect the DBA/2J allele in the Ts65Dn minichromosome (Lᴏʀᴇɴᴢɪ *et al.*

2010). This increased variation may contribute to the fluctuation of the phenotypes observed

from stocks to stocks in the Ts65Dn (Sʜᴀᴡ *et al.* 2020). More importantly, our study

demonstrates that, in mouse models, DS related phenotypes could be altered by change in

genetic dosage of another genomic region, the *Scaf8-Pde10a* genetic interval. Conversely, this

region may change penetrance or expressivity of features in individual with DS. Indeed, the

SCAF8-PDE10A on human chromosome 6 is also subjected to copy number variation according

to the DECIPHER database (Fɪʀᴛʜ *et al.* 2009). In human, the region is rearranged in the 3' part

(Fig S1B) and contains several morbid genes. Notably, ARID1B encodes a subunit of the

chromatin remodeling complex SWI/SNF-A, and is linked with heterozygous dominant

mutation to the Coffin-Siris syndrome (www.orpha.net; ORPHA:1465) and with deletion to the

6q25 haploinsufficiency syndrome (ORPHA: 251056). *SERAC1* deficiency leads to MEGDEL

syndrome with autosomal recessive mutations affecting neonates with hypoglycaemia,

feeding problem, hypotonia, sepsis-like clinical picture without infection (ORPHA: 352328)*.*

Recessive mutations of *GTF2H5*, coding for a subunit of transcription/repair factor TFIIH*,* lead

to trichothiodystrophy (ORPHA:33364). Similarly, *RSPH3* is involved of primary ciliary

dyskinesia (ORPHA:244), and *PDE10A* involved in two infantile movement disorders

(ORPHA:494541 and 494526). Thus, the additional trisomy of *Scaf8-Pde10a* has a strong effect

on the expression of several DS related features in models. This phenomenon of additive

effect due to genetic interaction has been observed in other copy number variation diseases

(Pɪᴢᴢᴏ *et al.* 2019). Overall it highlights the need of complete evaluation of the genetic

background in individual with DS, to define potential interaction with other candidate disease-

associated variants and to get a better understanding of the DS complexity. Our report

introduced a new model with a freely segregating chromosome with a stronger genetic validity

for DS, phenotypic variation in 3 main areas affected in individual with DS. Therefore, we hope

that the DS research community will consider working with the newTs66Yah mouse model for

DS, although this model remains partial DS model since the triplicated *Mrpl39* to *Zbtb21* region

encompass 102/187 of the Hsa21 orthologous protein coding genes. The Ts66Yah model

should be reduce interference with the Mmu17 region unrelated to Hsa21 in Ts65Dn, and

obtain more refine analysis of DS during lifetime, closer to the DS genetic condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the experiments were performed in accordance with the Directive of the European

Parliament: 2010/63/EU, revising/replacing Directive 86/609/EEC and with French Law

(Decreet no. 2013-118 01 and its supporting annexes which came into force on 1 February

2013) relating to the protection of animals used in scientific experimentation. YH was the

principal investigator of this study (accreditation 67-369) in our animal facility (Agreement

C67-218-40). Experimental procedures for the use of animals for research were approved by

the Ministry of National Education, Higher Education and Research and with the agreement

of the local ethical committee Com'Eth (no. 17) under the accreditation number

APAFIS#13127-2018012210465339 v5 and APAFIS#21969-2019091215444738 v3.

Mouse lines

The trisomic Ts65Dn (Ts(17^{16})65Dn) mice, initially obtained from the Jax, was kept in an F1

B6C3B genetic background (with the C3B line as a C$_3$H/HeH congenic line for the BALB/c allele

at the *Pde6b* locus (HOELTER *et al.* 2008). Ts65Dn mice were genotyped according to published

protocols (DUCHON *et al.* 2011a). Two primers were selected on both sides of the breakpoint

to amplify a fragment of 396 bp (with forward primer Fw_wtTs65Dn:

GACTTAGTAAGAGCAAGTGGC and reverse primer Rev_Ts65Dn:

AGGTAGAAAGATGTGAGGACAC), and a third primer was designed on the reverse strand of

chromosome 17 to amplify a fragment of 290 bp (GGGCAACACTGGATCAATC).

The generation of the new mouse line was done at the Mouse Clinical Institute. Briefly, Ts65Dn

male mice were selected and used for in vitro fertilization to produce fertilized eggs that were

injected with two pairs of gRNAs (Fig. 1A) with one pair located on the centromeric region of

Mmu17 and the other in the proximity of the breakpoint in the Ts65Dn minichromosome and

on the Mmu16 region (for details see supplementary information). The recombined

chromosome was selected to propagate upon breeding. As such the full name of the mouse

line should be Ts66YahIcs, shortened here to Ts66Yah.

Ts66Yah mice were genotyped with a specific primer encompassing the new break point

between Mmu17 and Mmu16, with a forward primer Ts66Yah_wt-tg_up

(GGAAATATCGCACTTCACCAA) and a reverse primer Ts66Yah_tg_dw

(CATGGGTCTGTGTGGTTTTCT) to amplify a fragment of 322 bp. A third reverse primer was

designed on the reverse strand of chromosome 16 to amplify a wt fragment of 234 bp

(TCTAGGATCAGTGGGACTTTGT).

Metaphase spread. Fibroblasts obtained from Ts66Yah were treated with 0.02 µg/ml

colcemide for 2 h. Cells were then trypsinized, and the cell pellet was incubated in 0.56 % KCl

for 20 min in 5 % CO_2 at 37 °C (hypotonic shock). Cells were then fixed in methanol-acetic acid

3V/1V for 20 min at room temperature, then washed three times with methanol-acetic acid

and concentrated in a small volume. Drops of cell suspension were then plated on glass slides

at 50 °C. The cells were then allowed to dry and stained with Giemsa 4 % as described

previously (CODNER et al. 2016).

CGH. To confirm the increased copy number, we performed a comparative genomic

hybridization (CGH) of the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah models with their wild-type controls.

Comparative analysis was done using DNA extracts from one wild-type and one trisomic

animal that were fluorescently labeled. After labeling, DNA was hybridized to CGH array.

Finally, the slides were scanned and the array images analyzed (for details, see supplementary

information CGH array).

Sperm Analysis

Sperm analysis was done with IVOS (Hamilton Thorne) apparatus. After euthanasia, the vasa

deferentia and cauda epididymis were dissected and sperm was sampled. The quality and

quantity of semen was estimated according to four main parameters: concentration

(millions/ml), motility (%), rapid cells (%) and progressivity (%). For this analysis, sperm was

diluted 20 times in prewarm (37°C) COOK solution (COOK ®, ref K-RVFE-50 COOK France). The

suspension was gently agitated and placed for 3 to 4 minutes in a CO_2 incubator before

analysis with the IVOS system.

Behavior pipeline

We generated several experimental animal cohorts by selecting mice from litters containing a

minimum of two male pups. One cohort was used for nesting activity, working memory in the

Y maze, exploration of a novel environment in the open-field, spatial memory in the Morris

water maze, and circadian activity. Three independent cohorts of animals were used for

sperm, cranio-facial analysis and object recognition memory. Moreover, we also confirmed

some phenotypes in another laboratory with a complete independent group of mice (17 males

and 15 females 2n compared to 11 males and 14 females Ts66Yah). Independently of this line,

a cohort of Ts65Dn mice was also built and assessed in the same behavioral pipeline, in order

to permit direct comparison of both lines.

After weaning, animals were sorted by litters into 39 x 20 x 16 cm cages (Green Line,

Techniplast, Italy) where they had free access to purified water and food (D04 chow diet, Safe,

Augy, France). The temperature was maintained at 23±1 °C and the light cycle was controlled

as 12 h light and 12 h dark (lights on at 7 am). On testing days, animals were transferred to

the antechambers of the experimental room 30 min before the start of the experiment. All

the experiments were performed between 8:00 AM and 4:00 PM. A resting period of 2 days

to 1 week was used between two consecutive tests.

A series of behavioural experiments were conducted on male mice with ages ranging from 1.8

months on starting to 4.5 months for the last test. The tests were administered in the following

order: Circadian activity, nesting, Y-maze, square open field, open field, novel object

recognition, Morris water maze (standard hidden and reversal) and fear conditioning

(contextual and cue). Behavioral experimenters were blinded to the genetic status of the

animals. All the tests were performed with the experimenter out of the animal's sight. Further

experiments for the evaluation of the spontaneous alternation and NOR made in a different

laboratory (Fig. S5), where the two sexes were evaluated.

Circadian activity

Circadian activity (CA) was measured to assess spontaneous activity behavior over the

complete light/dark cycle. The actimeter (Imétronic, Pessac, France) is composed of 8

individual boxes (11 x 21 x 18 cm3), each of them equipped with an array of four parallel

horizontal infrared beams and linked to a computer allowing recordings of photocell beam

breaks, providing automated measures of position and locomotor activity. Mice were put into

cages at 11 am on the first day and removed the next day at 7 pm. The light cycle was

controlled as 12 h light and 12 h dark (lights on at 7 am). The 32 hours of testing were divided

into three different phases: the habituation phase (from 11 am to 7 pm on the first day); the

night/dark phase (from 7 pm on the first day to 7 am on the second day); and the day/light

phase (from 7 am to 7 pm on the second day).

Nesting

This test was performed by placing the mice individually in clean new housing cages two hours

before the dark phase and the results were assessed the next morning. Normal bedding

covered the floor to a depth of 0.5 cm. Each cage was supplied with a 'Nestlet', a 5 cm square

of pressed cotton batting. The nests were assessed on a 5-point scale. 1- The Nestlet was

largely untouched (>90% intact). 2- The Nestlet was partially torn up (50-90% remaining

intact). 3- The Nestlet was mostly shredded but often there was no identifiable nest site: <

50% of the Nestlet remained intact but < 90% was within a quarter of the cage floor area, i.e.

the cotton was not gathered into a nest but spread around the cage. 4- An identifiable but flat

nest: > 90% of the Nestlet was torn up, the material was gathered into a nest within a quarter

of the cage floor area, but the nest was flat with walls higher than mouse body height (curled

up on its side) on less than 50% of its circumference. 5- A (near) perfect nest: > 90% of the

Nestlet was torn up, the nest was a crater, with walls higher than mouse body height for more

than 50% of its circumference (DEACON 2006).

Y-maze

Short-term memory was assessed by recording spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze test

(HUGHES 2004). The Y-maze test is based on the innate preference of animals to explore an arm

that has not been explored previously, a behavior that, if occurring with a frequency greater

than 50%, is called spontaneous alternation behavior (SAB). The maze was made of three

enclosed plastic arms, each 40x9x16cm, set at an angle of 120° to each other in the shape of

a Y. The wall of each arm had a different pattern to encourage SAB. Animals were placed at

the end of one arm (this initial arm was alternated within the group of mice to prevent arm

placement bias), facing away from the center, and allowed to freely explore the apparatus for

8 min under moderate lighting conditions (70 lux in the center-most region). The time

sequences of entries in the 3 arms were recorded, (considering the mouse enters an arm when

all four paws were inside the arm). Alternation was determined from successive entries into

the three arms on overlapping triplet sets in which three different arms are entered. The

number of alternations was then divided by the number of alternation opportunities, namely,

total arm entries minus one. In addition, total entries were scored as an index of locomotor

activity.

Adaptation to a novel environment under stressful conditions

A square open-field (OF) was used to evaluate mice adaptation to a novel environment under

stressful conditions. Mice were tested in an automated square OF (44.3 x 44.3 x 16.8 cm) made

of PVC with transparent walls, a black floor, and covered with translucent PVC (Panlab,

Barcelona, Spain). The open field arena was divided into central and peripheral regions and

was homogeneously illuminated at 150 Lux. Each mouse was placed on the periphery of the

open field and allowed to explore the apparatus freely for 30 min. The distance travelled, the

number of rearing episodes, and the stereotypes in the central and peripheral zones were

recorded over the 30 min test session. Stereotypes is the number of samples where the position of the subject is different from its position during the previous sample and equal to its position during the 2nd sample back in time. The distance is the total distance (cm) travelled in the corresponding zone.

Novel Object Recognition (NOR)

The novel object recognition task is based on the innate tendency of rodents to explore novel objects over familiar ones (BEVINS and BESHEER 2006). This test was done 24 hours after the last OF session performed in the same arena. On Day 1, mice were free to explore 2 identical objects for 10 min. After this acquisition phase, mice returned to their home cage for a 24-hour retention interval. Their memory was evaluated on day 2, using one familiar object (of those already experienced during the acquisition phase) and one novel object which were placed in the arena with the mice free to explore the two objects for a 10 min period. Between trials and subjects, the different objects were cleaned with 70° ethanol to reduce olfactory cues. To avoid a preference for one of the two objects, the new one was different and counterbalanced between the different animal groups and genotypes. Similarly, to avoid a location preference, the emplacement of the novel object compared to the familiar one (left or right) was counterbalanced too. Object exploration was manually scored and defined as the orientation of the nose to the object, allowing a distance < 1 cm. For the retention phase, the percentage of time exploring familiar vs novel objects was calculated to assess memory performance.

Morris Water Maze (MWM)

Spatial learning can be analyzed using the MWM task. This test was designed for the animals

to learn to navigate a swimming tank (150 cm of diameter) filled with opaque water, following

the most direct path to a hidden submerged platform when starting from different, random

locations around the perimeter of the tank. The use of distal cues provides the most effective

strategy to accomplish this task and avoid the aversive effect of cold water (22°C). This ability

is controlled by hippocampal-dependent spatial cognition. The partially trisomic mice were

trained in the standard version of the water maze as previously described (VORHEES and

WILLIAMS 2006; ARBOGAST *et al.* 2015). This standard version contains 2 different phases, a

learning phase (using 7 acquisition sessions) and a probe test (to assess memory

performance). At the end of this standard version, memory flexibility was tested with a

reversal phase, in which the PF was positioned in the opposite quadrant (using 4 acquisition

sessions) and a probe test. Reversal learning in the MWM reveals whether or not animals can

extinguish their initial learning and acquire a direct path to the new goal position. Finally, a

cue session was done to validate the test. All the trials were recorded with a video tracking

system (Ethovision, Wageningen, Netherlands). The swim paths for each mouse in each trial

of the MWM test were categorized manually into one of the following search strategies (see

supplementary information MWM).

Fear conditioning (Contextual and Cued)

To further challenge hippocampus mediated cognitive behaviors we used the fear

conditioning test. Fear conditioning (FC) is an associative learning paradigm for measuring

aversive learning and memory where a neutral conditioned stimulus (CS) such as light and

tone are paired with an aversive unconditioned stimulus (US) such as mild shock to the paw.

Animals associate the spatial context cues with the CS concomitantly. After conditioning, the

CS or the spatial context elicits a central state of fear in the absence of the US, translated into

a reduced locomotor activity or total lack of movement (freezing) response (see

supplementary information FC). Thus, immobility time is used as a measure of

learning/memory performances (CRAWLEY 1999; GOELDNER *et al.* 2009).

Statistical analysis

For each data set, we performed the Shapiro–Wilk test and Quantile-Quantile plots to, analyze

if the data were normally distributed and the Brown-Forsythe test to ascertain the

homogeneity of variances. Statistical significance of differences between groups was inferred

by a T test between genotype or ANOVA for repeated measures (MWM, FC). The post hoc

tests (Tukey Test) were conducted only if F in RMANOVA achieved a 0.05 level. In the case of

datasets where the assumptions of normality or homogeneity of variances were not fulfilled,

we performed the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test. For the NOR, Y-maze and probe test in

MWM, we also performed a one sample t-test on the RI or on the percentage of spontaneous

alternation versus 0, 50% or 25% respectively (hazard). In addition, for the Y-maze and the

NOR, we performed a one sample t test versus 50% (the randomness probability) for the % of

alternation and the sniffing time for the NO, for the two genotypes.

Identify further the explanatory phenotyping variables in the trisomic lines

We developed "Gdaphen" for "Genotype discrimination using phenotypic features" as an R

pipeline that allows the identification of the most important predictive qualitative and

quantitative variables for genotype discrimination in phenotypic based datasets without any

prior hypothesis (available on github https://github.com/YaH44/GDAPHEN). Gdaphen was

based on two classification methods, the first one used the Generalized Linear Model (GLM)

while the second used Random Forest Classification (RFC), to identify the explanatory

phenotypic variables that allow discriminating between the 2 models without forcing the

variables to follow a linear relationship in the comparison between trisomic and wild-type

individuals, as in the first GLM-based one. Moreover, we also searched for another set of

relevant variables to discriminate each model (Ts65Dn versus Ts66Yah) to obtain deeper

understanding of the differences in these mice models. The Gdaphen pipeline was built using

several available R packages (Supplementary information) to identify the discriminating

phenotypic variables according to the genotypes analyzed. Gdaphen takes as input data a

table containing information per animal in the rows and all the variables recorded in each

column. Several variables were recorded and grouped using the same group label to identify

the importance for the discrimination of: i) each variable alone, ii) the overall contribution of

the group. Pre-processing steps were carried out to get the data into shape for the analysis

(see suppl. Material methods), after which Gdaphen was able to first identify the highly

correlated variables (more than a r=0.75) and remove them for downstream analysis. For

example, in the sperm tests several variables measured for the test were highly correlated

with each other. The sperm percentage of rapid cells and the percentage of cell motility

showed a coefficient of correlation r=0.987. Similarly, the percentage of rapid spermatozoa

("Sperm:Rapid_cells ") was 0.97 correlated with the "percentage of progressive cells

("Sperm:Progressive"). Thus, we decided to keep only the two variables: the sperm

concentration ("Sperm:C°", millions/ml)" and the percentage of progressive

cells("Sperm:Progressive").

We decided to use two different classifiers to answer two different questions: I) a GLM or

GLM-Net model that will allow us to identify which phenotypic variables or "predicting

variables" are able to discriminate due to the fact that their linear combination influences the

value of the dependent variable response; II) a random forest, noted RF, unsupervised

algorithm that will be able to identify relevant phenotypic variables for the discrimination

even though they may not originate from a linear distribution or exponential distribution

family or have a linear relationship. Both functions are taken from the caret R and nnet R

packages.

This method is able to deal with groups of both qualitative and quantitative variables recorded

from the same individuals. The MFA performs a normalization or "weighting" on each group

by dividing all the variables belonging to the group by the first eigenvalue from the principal

component analysis (PCA) of the group. Then a PCA on all the weighted variables is applied so

we can identify the correlation between the grouped or ungrouped qualitative or quantitative

variables, the principal component dimensions, and identify the individual coordinates of each

observation on the PCA dimensions. The method is implemented using the MFAmix function

from the PCAmixdata R package. Moreover, we chose a vectorization visualization approach

like that implemented in PCAmixdata where we included the cosine similarity distance to

further highlight the parameters that follow the same trajectory as Genotype. Consequently,

these parameters contribute to the separation of the individual data on the same dimensions

defined by their cosine similarity distance. We analyzed three different numbers of phenotypic

predictor variables: i) all phenotypic variables; ii) the phenotypic variables left after removing

the highly correlated ones (correlation higher than 75%); and iii) the phenotypic variables

contributing more than a 30% in the discrimination after running the MFA analysis using all

the variables and observing the correlation between the quantitative ungrouped phenotypic

variables with the main three dimensions of the PCA. Our reasoning is to try to decrease the

noise added by variables that do not strongly contribute to the discrimination, decrease the

complexity of the model and the calculations, and increase the power on the discrimination

since a lower number of variables are considered. Then we calculated the variance of the data

that we were able to explain using the first 10 dimensions and the accuracy of the models to

answer how well they can correctly predict each individual observation in the class of the

dependent variable. We ran the Gdaphen pipeline to perform the genotype discrimination

analyses on: 1) Ts65Dn mutants and controls; 2) Ts66Yah mutants and their respective

controls; 3) Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah mutants and their respective control phenotypic data. In all

these analyses, the model built using the phenotypic predictor variables known to contribute

more than 30% to the discrimination was always able to explain a higher percentage of the

variance in the data.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

A dedicated cohort of animals at age 102 +/- 7 days was anesthetized and perfused with 30

ml of room temperature 1X Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) supplemented with 10% (% w/v)

heparine and 2mM of ProHance Gadoteridol (Bracco Imaging, Courcouronnes, France)

followed by 30ml of 4% PFA supplemented with 2mM of ProHance Gadoteridol. Then the brain

structure was dissected and kept in PFA 4% 2mM ProHance overnight at 4°C. The next day,

each specimen was transferred into 1X PBS 2mM ProHance until imaging. Just prior to

imaging, the brains were removed from the fixative and placed in plastic tubes (internal

diameter 1 cm, volume 13 mL) filled with a proton-free susceptibility-matching fluid

(Fluorinert® FC-770, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Images of excised brains were acquired on

a 7T BioSpec animal MRI system (Bruker Biospin MRI GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany).

Morphological MRI images were compared across groups with a region-based analysis. The

resulting region-based volume estimations were averaged out for each animal before the

statistical analysis (see supplementary information MRI).

Morphometrics

Mice used for the craniofacial study were sacrificed at 18 weeks of age and carcasses were

skinned, eviscerated and store in Ethanol 96%. Cranium morphology was assessed using the

Quantum µCT scanner (Perkin Elmer, Whaltham, USA). All scans were performed with an isotropic

voxel size of 20 µm, 160 µA tube current and 90 kV tube voltage. We applied a common approach

to shape analysis named geometric morphometrics GM using the Geomorph software

package in the R statistical computing environment (ADAMS AND OTÁROLA-CASTILLO 2013). This

approach used the coordinates of 39 relevant cranial landmarks that were recorded using

Landmark software (Institute for Data Analysis and Visualization (IDAV) group at the University

of California, Davis, Table S3). This step permitted recording the relative positions of

morphological points, boundary curves and surfaces as the basis of shape quantification. A

generalized Procrustes analysis was then used to superimpose the specimens on a common

coordinate system by holding their position, size and orientation constant. From the

Procrustes-aligned coordinates, a set of shape variables was obtained which can be used in

multivariate statistical analyses. Graphical methods were used to visualize patterns of shape

variation. For example, the shape differences between groups could be visualized graphically

by obtaining the average landmark coordinates for each group and the overall mean, and

plotting the differences as thin-plate spline transformation grids. Taking a different approach,

the principal component analysis (CPA) is a mathematical procedure that transforms a number

of correlated variables into a number of uncorrelated variables. This permitted visualizing

patterns of shape variation in shape space.

859
QRT-PCR

cDNA synthesis was performed using the SuperScript® VILO™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, CA). PCRs were performed with TaqMan® Universal Master Mix II and pre-optimized

TaqMan® Gene Expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA),

consisting of a pair of unlabeled PCR primers and a TaqMan® probe with an Applied

Biosystems™ FAM™ dye label on the 5' end and minor groove binder (MGB) and

nonfluorescent quencher (NFQ) on the 3' end (listed in Supplementary Table 4). mRNA

expression profiles were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR using TaqMan TM universal

master mix II with UNG in a realplex II master cycler, Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany). The

complete reactions were subjected to the following program of thermal cycling: 1 cycle of 2

minutes at 50°C; 1 cycle of 10 minutes at 95°C; 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute

at 60°C. The efficiencies of the TaqMan assays were checked using cDNA dilution series from

extracts of hippocampal sample. Normalization was performed by amplifying 4 housekeeping

genes (*Gnas, Pgk1, Actb* and *Atp5b*) in parallel and using the GeNorm procedure to correct the

variations of the amount of source RNA in the starting material (39). All the samples were

tested in triplicate.

876
Gene expression analyses

The hippocampus and entorhinal cortex from 6 Ts65Dn and 6 control littermates and 6

Ts66Yah and 5 control littermates were isolated and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA

was prepared using an RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Sample quality was checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer

(Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). All the procedures and the analysis are

detailed in the supplementary information.

The preparation of the libraries was done by the GenomEast platform, a member of the

'France Génomique' consortium (ANR-10-INBS-0009), using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA

Sample Preparation Guide - PN 15031048. Total RNA-Seq libraries were generated from a

minimum of 150-300 ng of total RNA using TruSeq Stranded Total RNA LT Sample Prep Kit with

Ribo-Zero Gold (Illumina, San Diego, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The molecules extracted from the biological material were polyA RNA. Whole genome

expression sequencing was performed by the platform using Illumina Hiseq 4000 and

generating single end RNA-Seq reads of 50 bps length. The raw sequenced reads were aligned

by Hisat2 against the GRCm38.v99 mouse assembly. 55385 ENSEMBL Gene Ids were

quantified aligning with the GRCm38.v99 assembly. HTSeq-count was used to generate the

raw counts. The downstream analyses were carried on with in-house bash scripts and R

version 3.6 scripts using FCROS (Dembele et al., 2014) and DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) packages

to identify the DEGs. Raw reads and normalized counts have been deposited in GEO (Accession

No. GSExxxxx).

We performed the functional differential analysis (Luo *et al.* 2009) and grouped all the

pathways into 25 functional categories (noted meta-pathways). Then, to assess gene

connectivity we built a minimum fully connected protein-protein interaction (PPI) network

(noted MinPPINet) of genes known to be involved in the synaptic function as they were

associated with synaptic pathways via the GO (Ashburner *et al.* 2000) and KEGG databases

(Esling *et al.* 2015). Regulatory information was also added to build the final RegPPINet. We

used the betweenness centrality analysis to identify hubs, keys for maintaining the network

communication flow.

Identification of central genes in the occurrence of behavioral phenotypes

To further study the genotype-phenotype relationship in those models we combined the

behavioral results and the RNA-Seq data to identify central genes altered in the models and

linked to the phenotypes observed using the genotype-phenotype databases GO, KEGG and

DisGeNET.

First, we downloaded the list of experimentally validated genes known to be involved in

hyperactivity or locomotion behavior from the human disease database DIsGeNET (dataset:

Hyperactive behavior, C0424295 with 1263 genes) and annotated the genes with a high

confidence ortholog in mouse. We added all the mouse genes involved in GO genesets linked

to locomotion or motor behavior (18 GO terms: GO:0007626, GO:0008344, GO:0031987,

GO:0033058, GO:0035641, GO:0040011, GO:0040012, GO:0040013, GO:0040017,

GO:0043056, GO:0045475, GO:0090325, GO:0090326, GO:0090327, GO:1904059,

GO:1904060, GO:0036343, GO:0061744). Then, we queried our RNA-Seq data for these genes

to identify those found deregulated in the datasets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the members of the research group, of the IGBMC laboratory and of

the ICS for their help in brain morphometric analysis. We extend our thanks to the animal

caretakers of the ICS who are in charge of mice well-being. This work was supported by the

Jérôme Lejeune foundation. We acknowledge the Sisley-d'Ornano and Jérôme Lejeune

Foundations that awarded MF with a postdoctoral fellowship.

This work was supported by the National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS), the French

National Institute of Health and Medical Research (INSERM), the University of Strasbourg

(Unistra), French government funds through the "Agence Nationale de la Recherche" in the

framework of the Investissements d'Avenir program [ANR-10-IDEX-0002-02, ANR-10-LABX-

0030-INRT, ANR-10-INBS-07 PHENOMIN to YH] and also provided by the Joint Programming

Initiative Neurodegenerative Diseases (JPND) multinational research project HEROES (ANR-

17-JPCD-0003). This project received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020

research and innovation program under grant agreement GO-DS21 No 848077. The funders

had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation

of the manuscript.

937
938

REFERENCES

Arbogast, T., M. Raveau, C. Chevalier, V. Nalesso, D. Dembele *et al.*, 2015 Deletion of the App-Runx1 region in mice models human partial monosomy 21. Disease Models & Mechanisms 8**:** 623-634.

Ashburner, M., C. A. Ball, J. A. Blake, D. Botstein, H. Butler *et al.*, 2000 Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet 25**:** 25-29.

Aziz, N. M., F. Guedj, J. L. A. Pennings, J. L. Olmos-Serrano, A. Siegel *et al.*, 2018 Lifespan analysis of brain development, gene expression and behavioral phenotypes in the Ts1Cje, Ts65Dn and Dp(16)1/Yey mouse models of Down syndrome. Dis Model Mech 11.

Aït Yahya-Graison, E., J. Aubert, L. Dauphinot, I. Rivals, M. Prieur *et al.*, 2007 Classification of human chromosome 21 gene-expression variations in Down syndrome: impact on disease phenotypes. Am J Hum Genet 81**:** 475-491.

Bevins, R. A., and J. Besheer, 2006 Object recognition in rats and mice: a one-trial non-matching-to-sample learning <p>task to study 'recognition memory. Nat Protoc 1**:** 1306-1311.

Codner, G. F., L. Lindner, A. Caulder, M. Wattenhofer-Donze, A. Radage *et al.*, 2016 Aneuploidy screening of embryonic stem cell clones by metaphase karyotyping and droplet digital polymerase chain reaction. BMC Cell Biol 17**:** 30.

Cohen, S. J., and R. W. Stackman, 2015 Assessing rodent hippocampal involvement in the novel object recognition task. A review. Behav Brain Res 285**:** 105-117.

Concordet, J. P., and M. Haeussler, 2018 CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. Nucleic Acids Res 46**:** W242-W245.

Crawley, J. N., 1999 Behavioral phenotyping of transgenic and knockout mice: experimental design and evaluation of general health, sensory functions, motor abilities, and specific behavioral tests. Brain Research 835**:** 18-26.

Davisson, M. T., C. Schmidt and E. C. Akeson, 1990 Segmental trisomy of murine chromosome 16: a new model system for studying Down syndrome. Prog Clin Biol Res 360**:** 263-280.

Davisson, M. T., C. Schmidt, R. H. Reeves, N. G. Irving, E. C. Akeson *et al.*, 1993 Segmental trisomy as a mouse model for Down syndrome. Prog Clin Biol Res 384**:** 117-133.

Deacon, R. M. J., 2006 Digging and marble burying in mice: simple methods for in vivo identification of biological impacts. Nature Protocols 1**:** 122-124.

Duchon, A., M. Del Mar Muñiz Moreno, S. M. Lorenzo, M. P. S. de Souza, C. Chevalier *et al.*, 2021 Multi-influential genetic interactions alter behaviour and cognition through six main biological cascades in Down syndrome mouse models. Hum Mol Genet.

Duchon, A., and Y. Herault, 2016 DYRK1A, a Dosage-Sensitive Gene Involved in Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Is a Target for Drug Development in Down Syndrome. Front Behav Neurosci 10**:** 104.

Duchon, A., M. Raveau, C. Chevalier, V. Nalesso, A. J. Sharp *et al.*, 2011a Identification of the translocation breakpoints in the Ts65Dn and Ts1Cje mouse lines: relevance for modeling Down syndrome. Mamm Genome 22**:** 674-684.

Duchon, A., M. Raveau, C. Chevalier, V. Nalesso, A. J. Sharp *et al.*, 2011b Identification of the translocation breakpoints in the Ts65Dn and Ts1Cje mouse lines: relevance for modeling down syndrome. Mammalian Genome 22**:** 674-684.

Esling, P., F. Lejzerowicz and J. Pawlowski, 2015 Accurate multiplexing and filtering for high-throughput amplicon-sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 43**:** 2513-2524.

Faundez, V., I. De Toma, B. Bardoni, R. Bartesaghi, D. Nizetic *et al.*, 2018 Translating molecular advances in Down syndrome and Fragile X syndrome into therapies. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 28**:** 675-690.

Fernandez, F., W. Morishita, E. Zuniga, J. Nguyen, M. Blank *et al.*, 2007 Pharmacotherapy for cognitive impairment in a mouse model of Down syndrome. Nat Neurosci 10**:** 411-413.

Firth, H. V., S. M. Richards, A. P. Bevan, S. Clayton, M. Corpas *et al.*, 2009 DECIPHER: Database of
Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources. Am J Hum
Genet 84**:** 524-533.
García-Cerro, S., N. Rueda, V. Vidal, S. Lantigua and C. Martínez-Cué, 2017 Normalizing the gene dosage
of Dyrk1A in a mouse model of Down syndrome rescues several Alzheimer's disease
phenotypes. Neurobiol Dis 106**:** 76-88.
Goeldner, C., D. Reiss, J. Wichmann, B. L. Kieffer and A.-M. Ouagazzal, 2009 Activation of nociceptin
opioid peptide (NOP) receptor impairs contextual fear learning in mice through glutamatergic
mechanisms. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory 91**:** 393-401.
Goodliffe, J. W., J. L. Olmos-Serrano, N. M. Aziz, J. L. Pennings, F. Guedj *et al.*, 2016 Absence of Prenatal
Forebrain Defects in the Dp(16)1Yey/+ Mouse Model of Down Syndrome. J Neurosci 36**:** 2926-
2944.
Guedj, F., J. L. Pennings, L. J. Massingham, H. C. Wick, A. E. Siegel *et al.*, 2016 An Integrated
Human/Murine Transcriptome and Pathway Approach To Identify Prenatal Treatments For
Down Syndrome. Sci Rep 6**:** 32353.
Hatano, R., A. Takeda, Y. Abe, K. Kawaguchi, I. Kazama *et al.*, 2018 Loss of ezrin expression reduced the
susceptibility to the glomerular injury in mice. Sci Rep 8**:** 4512.
Heller, H. C., A. Salehi, B. Chuluun, D. Das, B. Lin *et al.*, 2014 Nest building is impaired in the Ts65Dn
mouse model of Down syndrome and rescued by blocking 5HT2a receptors. Neurobiol Learn
Mem 116**:** 162-171.
Herault, Y., J. M. Delabar, E. M. C. Fisher, V. L. J. Tybulewicz, E. Yu *et al.*, 2017 Rodent models in Down
syndrome research: impact and future opportunities. Dis Model Mech 10**:** 1165-1186.
Hoelter, S. M., C. Dalke, M. Kallnik, L. Becker, M. Horsch *et al.*, 2008 "Sighted C3H" mice - a tool for
analysing the influence of vision on mouse behaviour? Frontiers in Bioscience 13**:** 5810-5823.
Hughes, R. N., 2004 The value of spontaneous alternation behavior (SAB) as a test of retention in
pharmacological investigations of memory. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 28**:** 497-505.
Itohara, S., Y. Kobayashi and T. Nakashiba, 2015 Genetic factors underlying attention and impulsivity:
mouse models of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, pp. 46-51. Current Opinion in
Behavioral Sciences.
Kazuki, Y., F. J. Gao, Y. Li, A. J. Moyer, B. Devenney *et al.*, 2020 A non-mosaic transchromosomic mouse
model of down syndrome carrying the long arm of human chromosome 21. Elife 9.
Leal, S. L., and M. A. Yassa, 2015 Neurocognitive Aging and the Hippocampus across Species. Trends
Neurosci 38**:** 800-812.
Ling, K. H., C. A. Hewitt, K. L. Tan, P. S. Cheah, S. Vidyadaran *et al.*, 2014 Functional transcriptome
analysis of the postnatal brain of the Ts1Cje mouse model for Down syndrome reveals global
disruption of interferon-related molecular networks. BMC Genomics 15**:** 624.
Lorenzi, H., N. Duvall, S. Cherry, R. Reeves and R. Roper, 2010 PCR prescreen for genotyping the Ts65Dn
mouse model of Down syndrome. Biotechniques 48**:** 35-38.
Luo, W., M. S. Friedman, K. Shedden, K. D. Hankenson and P. J. Woolf, 2009 GAGE: generally applicable
gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 10**:** 161.
Marechal, D., V. Brault, A. Leon, D. Martin, P. L. Pereira *et al.*, 2019 Cbs overdosage is necessary and
sufficient to induce cognitive phenotypes in mouse models of Down syndrome and interacts
genetically with Dyrk1a. Hum Mol Genet.
Martinez-Cue, C., C. Baamonde, M. Lumbreras, J. Paz, M. T. Davisson *et al.*, 2002 Differential effects of
environmental enrichment on behavior and learning of male and female Ts65Dn mice, a model
for Down syndrome. Behav Brain Res 134**:** 185-200.
Matsumoto, S., S. Fujii, A. Sato, S. Ibuka, Y. Kagawa *et al.*, 2014 A combination of Wnt and growth factor
signaling induces Arl4c expression to form epithelial tubular structures. EMBO J 33**:** 702-718.
Moore, C. S., C. Hawkins, A. Franca, A. Lawler, B. Devenney *et al.*, 2010 Increased male reproductive
success in Ts65Dn "Down syndrome" mice. Mamm Genome 21**:** 543-549.

Muñiz Moreno, M. D. M., V. Brault, M. C. Birling, G. Pavlovic and Y. Herault, 2020 Modeling Down
syndrome in animals from the early stage to the 4.0 models and next. Prog Brain Res 251**:** 91-
143.
Nakano-Kobayashi, A., T. Awaya, I. Kii, Y. Sumida, Y. Okuno *et al.*, 2017 Prenatal neurogenesis induction
therapy normalizes brain structure and function in Down syndrome mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 114**:** 10268-10273.
Neumann, F., S. Gourdain, C. Albac, A. D. Dekker, L. C. Bui *et al.*, 2018 DYRK1A inhibition and cognitive
rescue in a Down syndrome mouse model are induced by new fluoro-DANDY derivatives. Sci
Rep 8**:** 2859.
Nguyen, T. L., A. Duchon, A. Manousopoulou, N. Loaëc, B. Villiers *et al.*, 2018 Correction of cognitive
deficits in mouse models of Down syndrome by a pharmacological inhibitor of DYRK1A. Dis
Model Mech 11.
O'Doherty, A., S. Ruf, C. Mulligan, V. Hildreth, M. L. Errington *et al.*, 2005 An aneuploid mouse strain
carrying human chromosome 21 with Down syndrome phenotypes. Science 309**:** 2033-2037.
Olmos-Serrano, J. L., H. J. Kang, W. A. Tyler, J. C. Silbereis, F. Cheng *et al.*, 2016 Down Syndrome
Developmental Brain Transcriptome Reveals Defective Oligodendrocyte Differentiation and
Myelination. Neuron 89**:** 1208-1222.
Pizzo, L., M. Jensen, A. Polyak, J. A. Rosenfeld, K. Mannik *et al.*, 2019 Rare variants in the genetic
background modulate cognitive and developmental phenotypes in individuals carrying
disease-associated variants. Genetics in Medicine 21**:** 816-825.
Reeves, R. H., N. G. Irving, T. H. Moran, A. Wohn, C. Kitt *et al.*, 1995 A mouse model for Down syndrome
exhibits learning and behaviour deficits. Nat Genet 11**:** 177-184.
Richtsmeier, J., L. Baxter and R. Reeves, 2000 Parallels of craniofacial maldevelopment in Down
syndrome and Ts65Dn mice. Dev Dyn 217**:** 137-145.
Ruby, N., F. Fernandez, P. Zhang, J. Klima, H. Heller *et al.*, 2010 Circadian locomotor rhythms are normal
in Ts65Dn "Down syndrome" mice and unaffected by pentylenetetrazole. J Biol Rhythms 25**:**
63-66.
Schultz, H., T. Sommer and J. Peters, 2015 The Role of the Human Entorhinal Cortex in a
Representational Account of Memory. Front Hum Neurosci 9**:** 628.
Shaw, P. R., J. A. Klein, N. M. Aziz and T. F. Haydar, 2020 Longitudinal neuroanatomical and behavioral
analyses show phenotypic drift and variability in the Ts65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome.
Dis Model Mech 13.
Sierra, C., I. De Toma, L. L. Cascio, E. Vegas and M. Dierssen, 2021 Social Factors Influence Behavior in
the Novel Object Recognition Task in a Mouse Model of Down Syndrome. Front Behav Neurosci
15**:** 772734.
Starbuck, J. M., T. Dutka, T. S. Ratliff, R. H. Reeves and J. T. Richtsmeier, 2014 Overlapping trisomies for
human chromosome 21 orthologs produce similar effects on skull and brain morphology of
Dp(16)1Yey and Ts65Dn mice. Am J Med Genet A 164A**:** 1981-1990.
Vorhees, C. V., and M. T. Williams, 2006 Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and
related forms of learning and memory. Nat Protoc 1**:** 848-858.
Yonemura, S., T. Matsui and S. Tsukita, 2002 Rho-dependent and -independent activation mechanisms
of ezrin/radixin/moesin proteins: an essential role for polyphosphoinositides in vivo. J Cell Sci
115**:** 2569-2580.

1083

1084

1085

1086 **FIGURE LEGEND**

1087

Fig. 1. Generation and validation of the new Ts66Yah mouse model.

A. Schematic representation of the deletion produced in Ts65Dn using CrispR/Cas9 and two

pairs of gRNA. B. Sequence electropherogram and PCR amplification products from the

genotyping of Ts66Yah mice. C. Genomic sequence of the new junction found in the deleted

minichromosome of Ts66Yah mice. D. Example of metaphase spread showing the presence of

an additional minichromosome in Ts66Yah fibroblast. E. Comparative genomic hybridization

(log2) of genomic DNA from Ts66Yah mice versus wild-type (AMADID 027411. Agilent

Technologies 180K probes) compared to Ts65Dn mice (NimbleGen mouse HD2

oligonucleotide arrays 2 100K probes). F. Schematic representation of the Ts66Yah and

Ts65Dn minichromosomes.

1098

Fig. 2. Nesting activities and wroking memories display similar changes in Ts66Yah and

Ts65Dn models.

A. Mice with trisomy showed deficits in building a nest (score below 3) while a majority of 2n

mice were able to build a complete 3D nest (score above 3) (22 2n. 25 Ts66Yah and 15 2n. 12

Ts65Dn). B. Although there was no significant difference int the percentage of alternation

between 2n mice and Ts66Yah mice, level was significantly above 50% (chance level) only in

2n and not inTs66Yah mice. Conversely, the Ts65Dn line showed a strong difference between

genotypes, with a significant lower % of alteration for the trisomic group as compared to

chance level, as well as a reduced spontaneous alternation as compared to 2n mice. C. In the

NOR, the discrimination index analysis indicated that Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn mice were not able

to distinguish the novel object (RI was close to 0). Overall, these tests indicated that working

and episodic memories were similarly impaired in both DS mouse models, Ts66Yah and

Ts65Dn. Box plots with the median and quartiles. * $p<0.05$. **$p<0.01$. ***$p<0.001$. One

sample T test result versus 0 was indicated in the graphic for RI.

Morris Water Maze

1113

Fig. 3. Different effects on spatial learning and memory in the Morris water maze between

Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn mice.

A. Schematic representation of the test. B and J. Both trisomic mice (22 2n. 22 Ts66Yah and

20 2n. 18 Ts65D) exhibited a delay in acquisition during the learning phase of the test, resulting

in increased latency to find the PF. In addition, the Ts65Dn mice presented increased

thigmotaxic behavior (C and K) while velocity was stable regardless of the genotype (D and L).

In the probe test (H and P), only the Ts65Dn mice did not present increased exploration of the

target quadrant, indicating a clear deficit in reference memory not observed in Ts66Yah mice.

During the reversal phase, the augmented latency to find the OF was close to the significant

level for the Ts66Yah mice (E), whereas the difference was clearly increased for the Ts65Dn

mice. M. There was no difference in velocity (D,L and L,O) for both lines and the thigmotaxic

behavior was not found in the Ts66Yah mice compared to Ts65Dn (C,F versus K,N). For the

reversal probe test, once again only the Ts65Dn mice did not present preferences for the

target quadrant (I.Q). The MWM result indicated a robust deficit in reference memory for the

Ts65Dn mice which was not observed in Ts66Yah mice. Box plots with the median and

quartiles * p<0.05. **p<0.01. ***p<0.001. One sample T test result versus 25% is indicated in

the graph for PT.

1131

Fig 4: Identification of the strongest phenotypic variables contributing to the genotype discrimination in the Ts66Yah (A-C) and Ts65Dn (D-F) models. A-D. Importance of each explanatory phenotypic variable in the genotype discrimination. The explanatory variables selected were those known to contribute more than 30% to the genotype discrimination. These variables were identified using a principal component analysis (PCA) after applying a multi-factor analysis (MFA) of mixed data implemented using the MFAmix function from the

PCAmixdata R package. The relevance of these variables to the genotype discrimination was

analyzed using two different statistical classifiers: a Generalized Linear Model noted GLM and

Random forest noted RF, both functions contained in the caret R package. All measures of

importance are scaled to obtain a maximum value of 100 for the variable contributing most

to the discrimination in the comparison of Ts66Yah DS mutants versus Wild-types. With GLM

and RF we can identify the importance of each variable to discriminate each genotype. B-C.

3D-PCA plots showing the individual animals clustering on the 3D space based on the PCA

analyses performed with all the phenotypic variables and colored based on genotype and

model as follows: in dark blue the Ts66Yah wild-types and in medallion yellow Ts66Yah DS

mutants. C-F. Individual component map. The distribution in 2D space of the individual

observation coordinates calculated based on the PCA analysis performed after the MFA

implemented using the MFAmix function from the PCAmixdata R package.

Fig. 5: Comparing morphological changes in the brain (MRI) and skull (CT scans) of the Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn. We analyzed different brain regions/structures taking into

consideration the whole brain volume. A. the Z-score was calculated as the mean of control

(wt) mice minus the mean of transgenic mice divided by each type of wt and trisomic (Ts).

Changes were similar between the Ts66Yah (2n: n=6. 7, Ts: n=7) and Ts65Dn (2n: n=5, Ts n=6)

although the amplitude of the changes was less drastic in Ts66Yah than in Ts65Dn. B. PCA

analysis indicated that Ts65Dn were more affected than their respective wt compared to the

Ts66Yah line. C. The landmarks used for craniofacial analysis. D-F. Cranio-facial analysis. D.

ACP analysis after generalized Procrustes indicated that for cranial skull and mandibular, the

2n group (n=13) and the Ts66Yah (n=10) group were well separated while the Ts65Dn (n=15)

were less well separated from their control 2n littermates (n=16). E and F. the shape

differences between the means of groups was visualized graphically by obtaining the average

landmark coordinates for each group and the overall mean and plotting the differences as

thin-plate spline transformation grids for the 2 axes. The XY axis was less affected than the YZ

axis for both skull and mandible.

Fig. 6. Functional analysis of expressed genes and pathways altered in DS model Ts66Yah compared to DS model Ts65Dn. A. Venn diagrams showing in the left panel the DEGs found in common between the Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn hippocampi (HIP) in the upper part and the entorhinal cortex (EC) in the lower one. The shared DEGs correspond to 14%, 14%, 12% and 16% of the total DEGs identified for the Ts66Yah HIP, Ts65Dn HIP, Ts66Yah EC and the Ts65Dn EC , respectively. Right panel highlighting the model specific and common pathways altered between Ts66Yah and Ts65Dn HIP samples in the upper part and the EC datasets of both the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah models in the lower part. The percentage of shared pathways reached 23% and 38% of the total altered pathways in Ts65Dn hippocampi compared to Ts66Yah hippocampi and none were found in common between the models for the EC region**. B.** Heatmap representation of the number and regulation sense of the meta-pathways found in the Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah HIPand EC. The color key breaks represent the number of pathways within the meta-pathways. The minus or pink color represent down regulated pathways, the white color indicates that no pathway was found in the meta-pathway and the purple or positive numbers stand for up regulated pathways respectively. C. Scatter-plot showing the inter-tissue comparison of the percentage of pathways included on each meta-pathway (group of pathways) normalized by the total number of unique pathways per meta-pathway for Ts66Yah (upper panel) and the Ts65Dn (lower panel) on the x-axis and y-axis representing the HIP and the EC for the Ts66Yah or Ts65Dn models, respectively. D. Similar representation showing the inter-model comparison with the percentage of pathways included on each meta-pathway (group of pathways) normalized by the total number of unique pathways per meta-pathway found in the HIP of Ts66Yah (x-axis) in comparison with Ts65Dn (y-axis).

1190

Fig. 7. Central Protein-protein interaction and regulatory gene connectivity network

(RegPPINets) involved in the synaptic meta-pathway identified in Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah,

highlighting the top 30 genes identified by betweenness centrality analysis. The central

networks (A and B) were extracted from RegPPINet. These networks were built by querying

STRING for all the DEGs identified by gage as contributing to brain synaptic alteration and

selecting the PPIs with a medium confidence score (CS), CS = 0.4 from all sources of evidence.

Then, the network was further annotated with regulatory information using REACTOME (See

Supplementary Materials and Methods). A. Central RegPPINets of genes involved in the

synaptic meta-pathway identified in Ts65Dn and Ts66Yah, highlighting the main subnetworks

found. B. The same central RegPPINets highlighting in color from red to yellow the proteins

more central for the communication flow over the network identified by the centrality analysis

using the betweenness index.

1203

1204 **TABLES**

1205

Genetic Background	Time / event	Transmission rate	Nb of individuals
B6C3B	Nov-Dec 2017	53%	30
	Jan-Apr 2018	52%	119
	Aug-Dec 2018	32%	112
B6J	1st backcross	56%	61
	2nd backcross	29%	17
	3rd backcross	35%	17
	4th backcross	24%	21

1211 **Table 1: Transmission of the Ts66Yah minichromosome in the B6C3B and B6J genetic**

1212 **backgrounds**

1213

1214

1215

Variable	Ts65Dn		Ts66Yah	
	2n	DS	2n	DS
GLMNET				
Sperm:Progressive	17.6	27.5	15.7	15.7
FC:Hab2	10.1	19.1	18.0	10.1
MWM:PTRev_TQ	5.2	22.4	8.4	5.2
NOR:Test_NO_%	12.1	25.9	12.3	12.1
NOR:Test_NO_T	0.3	19.1	12.5	0.3
YM:Spont_Alter	8.1	17.6	7.1	7.1
CA:Rears:N2	25.1	29.0	50.0	25.1
CA:Locomotion:Light	3.6	2.4	3.5	2.4
CA:Locomotion:Hab	0.0	0.0	11.2	23.7
OF:Sterotypies	22.1	21.7	21.7	100.0
OF:Rears	6.4	40.8	15.4	6.4
Random Forest				
Sperm:Progressive	30.3	58.2	39.6	18.0
FC:Hab2	50.0	13.9	13.4	19.5
MWM:PTRev_TQ	10.9	29.7	13.9	6.9
NOR:Test_NO_%	11.6	16.6	29.6	25.1
NOR:Test_NO_T	48.4	15.1	12.6	41.5
YM:Spont_Alter	34.0	31.1	15.5	21.9
CA:Rears:N2	27.9	72.4	25.3	13.6
CA:Locomotion:Light	24.4	14.0	8.5	21.0
CA:Locomotion:Hab	21.5	33.3	1.5	6.6
OF:Sterotypies	75.7	47.3	0.0	100.0
OF:Rears	18.5	5.3	15.4	5.1

Table 2. Discriminating variables identified from the GLM-Net and RF analysis combining the Ts66Yah &Ts65Dn data.

	Ts65Dn EC	Ts65Dn HC	Ts66Yah EC	Ts66Yah HC
Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) identified by FCROS	1691	1836	2220	1902
Genes from the Mmu17 centromeric genes				
Expressed genes	53	53	53	53
Upregulated Trisomic (TEGs)	41	37	7	10
Genes from the Mmu16 homologous region to Hsa21				
Expressed genes	94	94	94	94
Upregulated Trisomic (TEGs)	81	85	82	84
Number of GAGE KEGG and GOs (CC.BP.MF) terms dis-regulated in the trisomic models (FDR<0.1)	135	493	40	323
Number of GAGE KEGG and GOs upregulated in the trisomic models (FDR<0.1)	95	459	1	260

1239

1240 **Table 3: Summary of the differential expression analysis and differential functional analysis**

1241 **for each DS model dataset**.

1242