Strongly bactericidal all-oral β-lactam combinations for the treatment of *Mycobacterium*

abscessus lung disease

Dereje A. Negatu,[a,b] Matthew D. Zimmerman,[a] Véronique Dartois,[a, c] Thomas Dick[a, c, d]#

[a] Center for Discovery and Innovation, Hackensack Meridian Health, Nutley, New Jersey, USA

[b] Center for Innovative Drug Development and Therapeutic Trials for Africa (CDT-Africa),

Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

[c] Department of Medical Sciences, Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Nutley, New

Jersey, USA

[d] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA

Running Title: Combination of oral β-lactams against *M. abscessus*

Keywords: Non-tuberculous mycobacteria, NTM, synergy, sulopenem, tebipenem, cefuroxime,

amoxicillin, avibactam

#Address correspondence to Thomas Dick: thomas.dick.cdi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Bioactive forms of oral β-lactams were screened in vitro against *Mycobacterium abscessus* with and without the bioactive form of the oral β-lactamase inhibitor avibactam-ARX1796. Sulopenem was equally active without avibactam, while tebipenem, cefuroxime and amoxicillin required avibactam for optimal activity. Systematic pairwise combination of the four β-lactams revealed strong bactericidal synergy for each of sulopenem, tebipenem and cefuroxime combined with amoxicillin in the presence of avibactam. These all-oral β-lactam combinations warrant clinical evaluation.

MAIN TEXT

M. abscessus lung disease is treated with an oral macrolide (clarithromycin (CLR) or azithromycin) in combination with several, largely underperforming antibiotics including parenteral amikacin, one of the two parenteral β-lactams imipenem (IPM) or cefoxitin (FOX), and tigecycline (1). Patients are often treated for years, until sputum cultures remain negative for 12 months, if culture conversion is achieved. Chemotherapies, complicated by the need to use injectable drugs, are not only long but often toxic (1, 2). Treatment is further tangled by widespread inducible resistance to the oral macrolide component due to the presence of the ribosome methylase gene *erm41* (3-5). In short, there is no reliable cure for *M. abscessus* lung disease. Novel, well tolerated, bactericidal, and importantly, oral treatment options are sorely needed (6, 7).

β-lactams are bactericidal and display overall excellent tolerability profiles (8). However, IPM and FOX, the standard of care carbapenem and cephalosporin, are administered intravenously, limiting their clinical utility given the very long treatment duration required to

control or cure *M. abscessus* lung disease. They also show modest in vitro activity (9, 10),

leading to poor pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic target attainment compared to those

achieved against other bacterial infections.

Different classes of β-lactams, and different members within a class, differentially inhibit the

numerous *M. abscessus* transpeptidases and other enzymes involved in peptidoglycan

synthesis (11-16). Thus, multiple recent reports have demonstrated the potential of

combining two β-lactams to achieve additive and synergistic effects in vitro (17-21) as well

as in vivo (22).

A number of oral β-lactams are in clinical development or in clinical use for other bacterial

infections (23, 24). Furthermore, an oral form (ARX1796) of the β-lactamase inhibitor

avibactam (AVI), inhibiting the major β-lactamase MAB_2875 of *M. abscessus* (9, 25),

recently entered clinical development (26) (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03931876).

Here, our goal was to identify oral β-lactam pairs that exert synergistic bactericidal activity

(with or without oral AVI) and can be repurposed to treat *M. abscessus* lung disease. First, a

collection of the bioactive forms of 22 oral β-lactams, including penems, carbapenems,

cephalosporins and penicillins, was screened at a single concentration of 12.5 µM with and

without the bioactive form of AVI at a fixed concentration of 4 µg/ml (14 µM) to identify

antibiotics with attractive anti-*M. abscessus* growth inhibitory activity (27). Growth

inhibition against the type strain *M. abscessus* susbsp. *abscessus* ATCC19977 was measured

in 7H9 broth using OD_{600} as readout (28). Applying > 80 % growth inhibition as cut-off, we

identified one penem (sulopenem, SUP), one carbapenem (tebipenem, TBP), one

cephalosporin, (cefuroxime, CXM) and two penicillins (ampicillin (AMP) and amoxicillin

(AMX)) as active agents. At 12.5 µM, SUP and CXM were equally active with and without AVI. TBP, AMP and AMX required AVI for activity (Figure 1, Figure S1).

To confirm the results from the single point screen, we determined the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of SUP, TBP, CXM, and AMX (the early generation penicillin AMP was not followed up) with or without AVI (4 µg/mL). MIC was defined as 90% growth inhibition and derived from dose response curves (28) (Table 1, Figure S2). The MIC of SUP was 2.5 µM and AVI-independent. TBP had an MIC of 4 µM in the presence of AVI. CXM showed a weak AVI dependency, with MICs of 5 µM and 10 µM with and without AVI, respectively. AMX exhibited a unique activity profile with a modest MIC of 25 µM in the presence of AVI but a substantially lower MIC_{50} (concentration inhibiting 50% of growth) of 3 µM, similar to the MIC_{50} of SUP, TBP and CXM (Figure S2). The two injectable comparators IPM and FOX (which are both AVI independent (9)) showed MICs of 20 and 30 µM as reported (9). (Table 1, Figure S2).

To confirm the growth inhibitory activity of SUP, TBP+AVI, CXM+AVI and AMX+AVI in an orthogonal assay, we determined their MIC against *M. abscessus* ATTC19977 using the agar dilution method (29) and found agar MICs in the range of broth MICs (Figure S3), with the exception of AMX which interestingly had a lower agar than liquid MIC (6 µM versus 25 µM).

To determine whether the attractive activity of SUP, TBP+AVI, CXM+AVI and AMX+AVI against the type strain *M. abscessus* susbsp. *abscessus* ATCC19977 was retained against the broader *M. abscessus* complex (30), broth MICs were measured against the reference strains of the two other subspecies *M. abscessus* subsp. *bolletii* CCUG50184T and *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* CCUG48898T, as well as a panel of clinical isolates, including *erm41*

macrolide resistant strains (Table 1, Figure S2). Potency of the active β-lactams was largely

comparable across the three subspecies of the *M. abscessus* complex. Again, CXM activity

was 2 to 3-fold enhanced in the presence of AVI and AMX+AVI displayed modest MIC_{90}

(Table 1), but substantially lower (3 – 4 µM) MIC_{50} (Figure S2).

Next, we measured the bactericidal activity in dose response time-kill experiments (28). *M.*

abscessus ATCC19977 cultures were grown in 7H9 and treated with MIC multiples of the β-

lactams for 5 days (in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI when required), and CFU were measured

by plating samples on 7H10 agar. All four β-lactams achieved pronounced reductions in

viable counts, up to 4-log CFU reduction after 3 days of incubation with 8-fold MIC (Figure

2A). Interestingly, regrowth was observed in most cultures between day 3 and 5 for the oral

β-lactams, and even earlier for the injectables, which we hypothesized was associated with

the limited aqueous stability of β-lactams (31, 32). To test the potential decay hypothesis,

drug concentrations were followed in 7H9 medium over 5 days using high-pressure liquid

chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (33). The lactam ring-

containing drugs (i.e., all study drugs but not AVI) were unstable to various extents, mostly

in line with the extent and timing of regrowth of the cultures (Figure 2B). Half-lives (t ½) of

the β-lactams in 7H9 ranged from ~0.5 days for the markedly unstable IMP used as

comparator (32) to ~5 days for the most stable SUP (Figure 2B). Since β-lactams also

undergo spontaneous and enzymatic hydrolysis in plasma (34), we measured stability of the

four oral β-lactams and AVI in mouse plasma to determine which pair would be most

suitable for in vivo efficacy in murine models of *M. abscessus* infection. We found that TBP,

AMX and AVI have a plasma t ½ ≥ 24h (Figure 2C), indicating that the TBP/AMX+AVI

combinations could be prioritized as a case study to determine how these in vitro bactericidal synergies translate in vivo.

Taken together, these results confirm and extend prior studies showing attractive growth inhibitory and bactericidal anti-*M. abscessus* activity of SUP (35), TBP+AVI (27), CXM+AVI (9) and AMX+AVI (9), suggesting them as repurposing candidates.

To determine potential growth inhibition synergies of the four β-lactams, systematic pairwise checkerboard analyses were carried out with *M. abscessus* ATCC19977 (36). AVI was included at 4 µg/mL in all assays as at least one partner of each dual combination requires the β-lactamase inhibitor (Table 2). Interestingly, the three AMX-containing pairs were synergistic, while the other three were additive (Table 2). Synergistic activity of the AMX-containing pairs was confirmed in checkerboard assays against the broader *M. abscessus* complex and the clinical isolate collection. TBP and CXM combined with AMX retained strong synergistic activity against all tested strains and isolates, while SUP+AMX was additive against some of the isolates (Table 3).

To determine whether the three synergistic β-lactam pairs also exerted potentiation of bactericidal activity, time-kill experiments were carried out with *M. abscessus* ATCC19977 in 7H9 and the effect of treatment on viability was measured by plating on 7H9 agar (28). To uncover potential bactericidal synergy, we combined SUP, TBP or CXM at their MIC, concentrations that achieve little bactericidal effect (Figure 2A), with AMX at 10 µM (at which the drug inhibits 80 % growth, Figure S2)) and 4 µg/mL AVI. Impressively, each of the three combinations achieved more than 4-log reduction in viable counts after 3 days of treatment (Figure 3). In comparison, 8x MIC of each individual β-lactam was required to achieve a similar degree of killing (Figure 2). Further reducing AMX concentration to 5 or

2.5 µM still achieved a 4-log reduction after 5 days of treatment, reenforcing the notion that

AMX strongly potentiates the bactericidal activity of SUP, TBP and CXM. In addition, the

combinations not only killed effectively at lower concentrations than individual β lactams,

they also prevented the re-growth observed in cultures treated with single drugs (Figure 2A).

In conclusion, four oral β-lactams SUP, TBP, CXM and AMX were identified as bactericidal

against *M. abscessus* at clinically achievable concentrations. TBP, CXM and AMX required

the β-lactamase inhibitor AVI for optimal activity whereas SUP's activity was AVI

independent. Pairwise combinations revealed three novel triple combinations (SUP or TBP or

CXM with AMX plus AVI) showing both bacteriostatic and bactericidal synergy.

Interestingly, all three β-lactam pairs contained AMX, which preferentially targets *M.*

abscessus D,D-carboxypeptidase (14), whereas the carbapenem TBP was shown to inhibit

L,D-transpeptidases Ldt_{Mab1} and Ldt_{Mab2} (13) and D,D-transpeptidases PonA1, PonA2, and

PbpA (11). The specific targets of SUP and CXM have not been identified. However, similar

to TBP, other penems and cephalosporins were also shown to preferentially target L,D- and

D,D-transpeptidases (11-13). The differential inhibition of D,D-carboxypeptidase by AMX

and of L,D- and D,D-transpeptidases by TBP and possibly SUP and CXM may provide the

mechanistic basis for the observed synergistic effects of the AMX-containing β-lactam

couples, since they would inhibit different enzymes of the same cellular process, i.e.

peptidoglycan synthesis. Oral forms of SUP and TBP as well as AVI are currently in clinical

development for other diseases and oral CXM and AMX are approved drugs (Table S1).

Thus, the compounds and combinations identified in this study present drug candidates that

can enter clinical development for *M. abscessus* lung disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Wei Chang Huang (Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan) for providing *M. abscessus* Bamboo, to Jeanette W.P. Teo (Department of Laboratory Medicine, National University Hospital, Singapore) for providing the collection of *M. abscessus* clinical M isolates, and to Sung Jae Shin (Department of Microbiology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea) and Won-Jung Koh (Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea) for providing *M. abscessus* K21. We thank Rubén González del Río and Mónica Cacho-Izquierdo (Global Health Pharma Unit, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos, Madrid, Spain) for valuable discussion. Research reported in this work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01AI132374. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Investigation: D.A.N., M.D.Z.; Writing – Original Draft: D.A.N., T.D.; Writing – Review & Editing: all authors; Funding Acquisition: T.D.; Supervision: V.D., T.D.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Daley CL, Iaccarino JM, Lange C, Cambau E, Wallace RJ, Jr., Andrejak C, Böttger EC, Brozek J, Griffith DE, Guglielmetti L, Huitt GA, Knight SL, Leitman P, Marras TK, Olivier KN, Santin M, Stout JE, Tortoli E, van Ingen J, Wagner D, Winthrop KL. 2020. Treatment of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease: an official ATS/ERS/ESCMID/IDSA clinical practice guideline. Eur Respir J 56. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00535-2020.

2. Daniel-Wayman S, Abate G, Barber DL, Bermudez LE, Coler RN, Cynamon MH, Daley CL, Davidson RM, Dick T, Floto RA, Henkle E, Holland SM, Jackson M, Lee RE, Nuermberger EL, Olivier KN, Ordway DJ, Prevots DR, Sacchettini JC, Salfinger M, Sassetti CM, Sizemore CF, Winthrop KL, Zelazny AM. 2019. Advancing Translational Science for Pulmonary Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Infections. A Road Map for Research. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 199:947-951. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201807-1273PP.

3. Brown-Elliott BA, Vasireddy S, Vasireddy R, Iakhiaeva E, Howard ST, Nash K, Parodi N, Strong A, Gee M, Smith T, Wallace RJ, Jr. 2015. Utility of sequencing the erm(41) gene in isolates of Mycobacterium abscessus subsp. abscessus with low and intermediate clarithromycin MICs. J Clin Microbiol 53:1211-5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02950-14

4. Griffith DE, Daley CL. 2022. Treatment of Mycobacterium abscessus Pulmonary Disease. Chest 161:64-75. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2021.07.035.

5.   Luthra S, Rominski A, Sander P. 2018. The Role of Antibiotic-Target-Modifying and

Antibiotic-Modifying Enzymes in Mycobacterium abscessus Drug Resistance. Front

Microbiol 9:2179. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02179.

6.   Wu ML, Aziz DB, Dartois V, Dick T. 2018. NTM drug discovery: status, gaps and the

way forward. Drug Discov Today 23:1502-1519. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2018.04.001.

7.   Egorova A, Jackson M, Gavrilyuk V, Makarov V. 2021. Pipeline of anti-Mycobacterium

abscessus small molecules: Repurposable drugs and promising novel chemical entities.

Med Res Rev 41:2350-2387. doi: 10.1002/med.21798.

8.   Story-Roller E, Maggioncalda EC, Cohen KA, Lamichhane G. 2018. Mycobacterium

abscessus and β-Lactams: Emerging Insights and Potential Opportunities. Front

Microbiol 9:2273. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02273.

9.   Dubée V, Bernut A, Cortes M, Lesne T, Dorchene D, Lefebvre AL, Hugonnet JE,

Gutmann L, Mainardi JL, Herrmann JL, Gaillard JL, Kremer L, Arthur M. 2015. β-

Lactamase inhibition by avibactam in Mycobacterium abscessus. J Antimicrob

Chemother 70:1051-8. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku510.

10.   Lavollay M, Dubée V, Heym B, Herrmann JL, Gaillard JL, Gutmann L, Arthur M,

Mainardi JL. 2014. In vitro activity of cefoxitin and imipenem against Mycobacterium

abscessus complex. Clin Microbiol Infect 20:O297-300. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12405.

11.   Sayed ARM, Shah NR, Basso KB, Kamat M, Jiao Y, Moya B, Sutaria DS, Lang Y, Tao

X, Liu W, Shin E, Zhou J, Werkman C, Louie A, Drusano GL, Bulitta JB. 2020. First

Penicillin-Binding Protein Occupancy Patterns for 15 β-Lactams and β-Lactamase

Inhibitors in Mycobacterium abscessus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65. doi:

10.1128/AAC.01956-20.

12.   Dousa KM, Kurz SG, Taracila MA, Bonfield T, Bethel CR, Barnes MD, Selvaraju S,

Abdelhamed AM, Kreiswirth BN, Boom WH, Kasperbauer SH, Daley CL, Bonomo RA.

2020. Insights into the l,d-Transpeptidases and d,d-Carboxypeptidase of Mycobacterium

abscessus: Ceftaroline, Imipenem, and Novel Diazabicyclooctane Inhibitors. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother 64. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00098-20.

13.   Kumar P, Chauhan V, Silva JRA, Lameira J, d'Andrea FB, Li SG, Ginell SL, Freundlich

JS, Alves CN, Bailey S, Cohen KA, Lamichhane G. 2017. Mycobacterium abscessus l,d-

Transpeptidases Are Susceptible to Inactivation by Carbapenems and Cephalosporins but

Not Penicillins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00866-17.

14.   Dousa KM, Nguyen DC, Kurz SG, Taracila MA, Bethel CR, Schinabeck W, Kreiswirth

BN, Brown ST, Boom WH, Hotchkiss RS, Remy KE, Jacono FJ, Daley CL, Holland SM,

Miller AA, Bonomo RA. 2022. Inhibiting Mycobacterium abscessus Cell Wall Synthesis:

Using a Novel Diazabicyclooctane β-Lactamase Inhibitor To Augment β-Lactam Action.

mBio 13:e0352921. doi: 10.1128/mbio.03529-21.

15.   Rifat D, Chen L, Kreiswirth BN, Nuermberger EL. 2021. Genome-Wide Essentiality

Analysis of Mycobacterium abscessus by Saturated Transposon Mutagenesis and Deep

Sequencing. mBio 12:e0104921. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01049-21.

16.   Kurepina N, Chen L, Composto K, Rifat D, Nuermberger EL, Kreiswirth BN. 2022.

CRISPR Inhibition of Essential Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis Genes in Mycobacterium

abscessus and Its Impact on β-Lactam Susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

66:e0009322. doi: 10.1128/aac.00093-22.

17.   Story-Roller E, Maggioncalda EC, Lamichhane G. 2019. Select β-Lactam Combinations

240   Exhibit Synergy against Mycobacterium abscessus In Vitro. Antimicrobial agents and

241   chemotherapy 63:e02613-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02613-18.

242   18.   Story-Roller E, Galanis C, Lamichhane G. 2021. β-Lactam Combinations That Exhibit

243   Synergy against Mycobacteroides abscessus Clinical Isolates. Antimicrob Agents

244   Chemother 65. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02545-20.

245   19.   Pandey R, Chen L, Manca C, Jenkins S, Glaser L, Vinnard C, Stone G, Lee J, Mathema

246   B, Nuermberger EL, Bonomo RA, Kreiswirth BN. 2019. Dual β-Lactam Combinations

247   Highly Active against Mycobacterium abscessus Complex In Vitro. mBio 10. doi:

248   10.1128/mBio.02895-18.

249   20.   Nguyen DC, Dousa KM, Kurz SG, Brown ST, Drusano G, Holland SM, Kreiswirth BN,

250   Boom WH, Daley CL, Bonomo RA. 2021. "One-Two Punch": Synergistic ß-Lactam

251   Combinations for Mycobacterium abscessus and Target Redundancy in the Inhibition of

252   Peptidoglycan Synthesis Enzymes. Clin Infect Dis 73:1532-1536. doi:

253   10.1093/cid/ciab535.

254   21.   Rimal B, Batchelder HR, Story-Roller E, Panthi CM, Tabor C, Nuermberger EL,

255   Townsend CA, Lamichhane G. 2022. T405, a New Penem, Exhibits In Vivo Efficacy

256   against M. abscessus and Synergy with β-Lactams Imipenem and Cefditoren. Antimicrob

257   Agents Chemother doi:10.1128/aac.00536-22:e0053622.

258   22.   Story-Roller E, Maggioncalda EC, Lamichhane G. 2019. Synergistic Efficacy of β-

259   Lactam Combinations against Mycobacterium abscessus Pulmonary Infection in Mice.

260   Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00614-19.

23.   Mogle BT, Beccari MV, Steele JM, Fazili T, Kufel WD. 2019. Clinical considerations for

oral beta-lactams as step-down therapy for Enterobacteriaceae bloodstream infections.

Expert Opin Pharmacother 20:903-907. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2019.1594774.

24.   Veeraraghavan B, Bakthavatchalam YD, Sahni RD. 2021. Oral Antibiotics in Clinical

Development for Community-Acquired Urinary Tract Infections. Infect Dis Ther

10:1815-1835.

25.   Soroka D, Dubée V, Soulier-Escrihuela O, Cuinet G, Hugonnet JE, Gutmann L, Mainardi

JL, Arthur M. 2014. Characterization of broad-spectrum Mycobacterium abscessus class

A β-lactamase. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:691-6. doi: 10.1007/s40121-021-00509-4.

26.   Gordon EM, Duncton MAJ, Gallop MA. 2018. Orally Absorbed Derivatives of the β-

Lactamase Inhibitor Avibactam. Design of Novel Prodrugs of Sulfate Containing Drugs.

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 61:10340-10344. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b01389.

27.   Kaushik A, Gupta C, Fisher S, Story-Roller E, Galanis C, Parrish N, Lamichhane G.

2017. Combinations of avibactam and carbapenems exhibit enhanced potencies against

drug-resistant Mycobacterium abscessus. Future Microbiol 12:473-480. doi:

10.2217/fmb-2016-0234.

28.   Negatu DA, Beuchel A, Madani A, Alvarez N, Chen C, Aragaw WW, Zimmerman MD,

Laleu B, Gengenbacher M, Dartois V, Imming P, Dick T. 2021. Piperidine-4-

Carboxamides Target DNA Gyrase in Mycobacterium abscessus. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother 65:e0067621. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00676-21.

29.   Anonymous. 2000. EUCAST Definitive Document E.DEF 3.1, June 2000: Determination

of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antibacterial agents by agar dilution.

Clin Microbiol Infect 6:509-15. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0691.2000.00142.x.

30.   Johansen MD, Herrmann JL, Kremer L. 2020. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria and the rise

of Mycobacterium abscessus. Nat Rev Microbiol 18:392-407. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-

0331-1.

31.   Brouwers R, Vass H, Dawson A, Squires T, Tavaddod S, Allen RJ. 2020. Stability of β-

lactam antibiotics in bacterial growth media. PLoS One 15:e0236198. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0236198.

32.   Rominski A, Schulthess B, Müller DM, Keller PM, Sander P. 2017. Effect of β-lactamase

production and β-lactam instability on MIC testing results for Mycobacterium abscessus.

J Antimicrob Chemother 72:3070-3078. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx284.

33.   Gold B, Zhang J, Quezada LL, Roberts J, Ling Y, Wood M, Shinwari W, Goullieux L,

Roubert C, Fraisse L, Bacqué E, Lagrange S, Filoche-Rommé B, Vieth M, Hipskind PA,

Jungheim LN, Aubé J, Scarry SM, McDonald SL, Li K, Perkowski A, Nguyen Q, Dartois

V, Zimmerman M, Olsen DB, Young K, Bonnett S, Joerss D, Parish T, Boshoff HI,

Arora K, Barry CE, 3rd, Guijarro L, Anca S, Rullas J, Rodríguez-Salguero B, Martínez-

Martínez MS, Porras-De Francisco E, Cacho M, Barros-Aguirre D, Smith P, Berthel SJ,

Nathan C, Bates RH. 2022. Identification of β-Lactams Active against Mycobacterium

tuberculosis by a Consortium of Pharmaceutical Companies and Academic Institutions.

ACS Infect Dis 8:557-573. doi: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.1c00570.

34.   Smith PW, Zuccotto F, Bates RH, Martinez-Martinez MS, Read KD, Peet C, Epemolu O.

2018. Pharmacokinetics of β-Lactam Antibiotics: Clues from the Past To Help Discover

Long-Acting Oral Drugs in the Future. ACS Infectious Diseases 4:1439-1447. doi:

10.1021/acsinfecdis.8b00160.

35.   Dousa KM, Nguyen DC, Kurz SG, Taracila MA, Bethel C, Bonomo RA. 2020. 1572.

Combination Cefuroxime and Sulopenem is active in vitro against Mycobacterium

abscessus.   Open   Forum   Infectious   Diseases   7:S785-S785.   doi:

10.1093/ofid/ofaa439.1752.

36.   Aziz DB, Teo JWP, Dartois V, Dick T. 2018. Teicoplanin – Tigecycline Combination

Shows Synergy Against Mycobacterium abscessus. Frontiers in Microbiology 9. doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2018.00932.

37.   Yee M, Klinzing D, Wei JR, Gengenbacher M, Rubin EJ, Dick T. 2017. Draft Genome

Sequence of Mycobacterium abscessus Bamboo. Genome Announc 5. doi:

10.1128/genomeA.00388-17.

38.   Dick T, Shin SJ, Koh WJ, Dartois V, Gengenbacher M. 2020. Rifabutin Is Active against

Mycobacterium abscessus in Mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64. doi:

10.1128/AAC.01943-19.

39.   Aziz DB, Low JL, Wu ML, Gengenbacher M, Teo JWP, Dartois V, Dick T. 2017.

Rifabutin Is Active against Mycobacterium abscessus Complex. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother 61. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01943-19.

40.   Anonymous. 2000. EUCAST Definitive Document E.Def 1.2, May 2000: Terminology

relating to methods for the determination of susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial

agents. Clin Microbiol Infect 6:503-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0691.2000.00149.x.

TABLES

Table 1. Activity of SUP, TBP, CXM and AMX without and with 4 µg/mL AVI against *M. abscessus* complex strains.[a]

M. abscessus strain	*erm41*[d] sequevar	CLR susceptibility	SUP		TBP		CXM		AMX		IPM[b]	FOX[b]	CLR[c]
			MIC	MIC+	MIC	MIC+	MIC	MIC+	MIC	MIC+	MIC	MIC	MIC
Reference strains													
subsp. *abscessus* ATCC19977	T28	Resistant	2.5	2.0	25.0	4.0	10.0	5.0	>100	25.0[a]	20.0	30.0	1.6
subsp. *bolletii* CCUG50184T	T28	Resistant	3.5	2.0	30.0	5.0	20.0	10.0	>100	40.0	30.0	30.0	5.0
subsp. *massiliense* CCUG48898T	Deletion	Sensitive	7.0	5.0	40.0	7.0	30.0	10.0	>100	100.0	40.0	45.0	0.4
Clinical isolates[e]													
subsp. *abscessus* Bamboo	C28	Sensitive	3.0	2.5	25.0	4.0	10.0	8.0	>100	40.0	20.0	35.0	0.4
subsp. *abscessus* K21	C28	Sensitive	6.3	5.0	40.0	4.0	30.0	20.0	>100	100.0	25.0	40.0	0.5
subsp. *abscessus* M9	T28	Resistant	3.0	2.5	35.0	3.5	10.0	5.0	>100	40.0	15.0	35.0	2.5
subsp. *abscessus* M199	T28	Resistant	3.0	2.5	25.0	3.0	12.5	8.0	>100	75.0	20.0	35.0	6.0
subsp. *abscessus* M337	T28	Resistant	2.0	2.2	30.0	3.0	10.0	8.0	>100	60.0	15.0	30.0	3.0
subsp. *abscessus* M404	C28	Sensitive	3.5	2.5	30.0	3.5	20.0	7.0	>100	40.0	20.0	35.0	0.4
subsp. *abscessus* M422	T28	Resistant	2.5	2.0	25.0	3.0	10.0	5.0	>100	40.0	12.5	35.0	1.5
subsp. *bolletii* M232	T28	Resistant	2.5	2.0	40.0	3.5	15.0	8.0	>100	50.0	15.0	40.0	2.0
subsp. *bolletii* M506	C28	Sensitive	2.5	2.0	30.0	3.5	15.0	8.0	>100	70.0	18.0	35.0	0.4
subsp. *massiliense* M111	Deletion	Sensitive	4.0	3.5	35.0	4.0	15.0	10.0	>100	100.0	30.0	35.0	0.4

[a] Cultures were treated with increasing concentrations of β lactams without ('MIC') or with 4 µg/ml AVI ('MIC+') (27). Values present the concentrations that achieved 90% inhibition of growth and are the means of three independent experiments. Note that AMX + AVI achieved 80% inhibition of growth at ~10 µM (Figure S2).

[b] The clinically used parenteral comparators IPM and FOX were only tested alone as AVI does not affect activity of these β lactams (Figure 1) (9).

[c] CLR, assay control. Note increased MIC values for CLR resistant strains.

334 ^{d} *erm41*, ribosome methylase gene conferring inducible CLR resistance. 'C28' and 'deletion'

335 sequevars are inactive *erm41* alleles and susceptible to CLR. The 'T28' sequevar is functional

336 and confers inducible resistance to CLR (3).

337 ^{e} *M. abscessus* Bamboo (37), K21 (38) and M strains (39) were reported previously.

Table 2. Checkerboard growth inhibition analysis of pairwise combinations of SUP, TBP, CXM, and AMX in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI against *M. abscessus* ATCC19977.

β-lactams	MIC [µM]		FICI [c]	Interpretation [d]
	Alone [a]	Comb [b]		
SUP	4.0	0.5	0.61	Additive
TBP	2.5	1.2		
SUP	4.0	0.8	0.60	Additive
CXM	5.0	2.0		
SUP	4.0	0.5	0.37	Synergy
AMX	25.0	6.0		
TBP	2.5	1.5	0.80	Additive
CXM	5.0	1.0		
TBP	2.5	0.5	0.44	Synergy
AMX	25.0	6.0		
CXM	5.0	0.8	0.28	Synergy
AMX	25.0	3.0		

[a] MIC of single drugs, with 4 µg/mL AVI in the case of TBP, CXM and AMX.

[b] MIC of the combination (all in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI as at least one partner drugs require AVI for activity).

[c] Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index, calculated using the concentration at which at least 90% growth inhibition of the cultures was observed. FICI = [(concentration of drug A in combination / concentration of drug A alone) + (concentration of drug B in combination / concentration of drug B alone)].

[d] FICI, ≤ 0.5, synergistic; 0.5 to 1.0, additive; > 1.0 to < 2, indifferent; ≥ 2.0, antagonistic (40).

The experiment was repeated once yielding similar results.

Table 3. Checkerboard growth inhibition analysis of SUP+AMX, TBP+AMX and CXM+AMX in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI against *M. abscessus* complex strains.

M. abscessus strain	SUP+AMX MIC [µM] [a]					TBP+AMX MIC [µM] [a]					CXM+AMX MIC [µM] [a]				
	SUP Alone	AMX Alone	SUP Comb	AMX Comb	FICI [b]	TBP Alone	AMX Alone	TBP Comb	AMX Comb	FICI [b]	CXM Alone	AMX Alone	CXM Comb	AMX Comb	FICI [b]
Reference strains															
subsp. *abscessus* ATCC19977	2.5	25.0	0.5	7.0	0.48	4.0	25.0	0.4	7.0	0.38	6.3	25	0.5	4.0	0.24
subsp. *bolletii* CCUG50184T	2.5	50	0.7	10.0	0.48	4.0	50.0	1.0	4.0	0.33	8.0	50.0	1.5	6.3	0.31
subsp. *massiliense* CCUG48898T	4.0	100	1.0	25.0	0.50	8.0	100.0	1.0	10.0	0.22	12.5	100.0	1.5	25	0.37
Clinical isolates															
subsp. *abscessus* Bamboo	2.5	50.0	0.5	10.0	0.40	4.5	40.0	0.5	5.0	0.24	8.0	50.0	1.5	5.0	0.29
subsp. *abscessus* K21	5.0	100.0	1.0	25.0	0.45	5.0	100.0	1.5	12.5	0.43	12.5	100.0	1.5	6.3	0.18
subsp. *abscessus* M9	2.5	50.0	0.5	10.0	0.40	4.0	50.0	0.8	6.3	0.33	6.3	50.0	0.8	12.5	0.38
subsp. *abscessus* M199	2.5	100.0	0.5	25.0	0.45	4.5	80.0	0.5	10.0	0.24	8.0	100.0	1.5	10.0	0.29
subsp. *abscessus* M337	2.0	75.0	0.4	25.0	0.53	4.5	75.0	0.8	12.5	0.34	8.0	75.0	1.5	10.0	0.32
subsp. *abscessus* M404	2.0	50.0	0.4	12.5	0.45	4.5	50.0	0.8	6.3	0.30	8.0	50.0	1.0	5.0	0.23
subsp. *abscessus* M422	2.5	50.0	0.5	10.0	0.40	4.5	50.0	0.5	6.0	0.23	8.0	50.0	1.5	5.0	0.29
subsp. *bolletii* M232	2.5	50.0	0.8	12.5	0.57	4.5	50.0	1.0	6.3	0.35	8.0	50.0	2.0	6.3	0.38
subsp. *bolletii* M506	2.5	75.0	0.5	15.0	0.40	4.5	75.0	0.5	10.0	0.24	8.0	80.0	1.5	10.0	0.31
subsp. *massiliense* M111	2.5	100.0	0.8	25.0	0.57	4.5	75.0	0.8	12.5	0.34	8.0	100.0	1.5	8.0	0.27

[a] Alone and Comb as described in Table 2.

[b] FICI, ≤ 0.5, synergistic; 0.5 to 1.0, additive (40).

 FIGURES LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Single point growth inhibition screen of β-lactams with and without 4 µg/mL AVI against

M. abscessus ATCC19977. A collection of the bioactive forms of 22 oral β-lactams was screened

at 12.5 µM. Percent growth inhibition is shown. Dashed line, 80% growth inhibition. CLR was

included as positive control, IPM and FOX as clinically used parenteral comparators. The

experiment was carried out twice yielding similar results. Compound sources, oral prodrug forms

(if applicable) and clinical status are described in Table S1.

Fig. 2. Dose response time-kill curves of SUP, TBP+AVI, CXM+AVI and AMX-AVI against

M. abscessus ATCC19977 and drug stability in culture medium and mouse plasma. (A) Time

concentration kill curves. Cultures of *M. abscessus* ATCC19977 were treated with MIC (Table

1) and multiples of MIC of SUP (alone), TBP, CXM, AMX (in combination with 4 ug/mL AVI)

for 5 days and viability of the cultures was monitored by CFU determination. CLR was included

as assay control. IPM and FOX were included as clinically used parenteral comparators. (B)

Stability of the β-lactams tested in (A) and AVI in 7H9 broth over a 5-day incubation period at

37ºC. Percent remaining was calculated relative to time 0 concentration (10 µM). Half-life was

estimated from the decay curves. (C) Mouse plasma stability of the four oral β-lactams and AVI

over 1-day incubation period. Experiments in (A) were performed twice independently,

generating similar data, and one representative set is shown. Experiments in (B) and (C) were

carried out twice independently and means and standard deviations are shown.

Fig. 3. Time-kill curves of SUP+AMX, TBP+AMX and CXM+AMX in the presence of 4

µg/mL AVI against *M. abscessus* ATCC19977. Red curves: Cultures of *M. abscessus*

ATCC19977 were treated with 1x MIC of SUP, TBP or CXM (Table 1) with or without 10, 5 or

2.5 µM AMX in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI for 5 days. Note, 10 µM AMX suppresses growth

by 80% (Figure S2). Bacterial viability was monitored by CFU determination. Blue curves:

treatment of cultures with 10, 5, 2.5 µM AMX alone in the presence of 4 µg/mL AVI. LOD,

limit of detection (100 CFU/mL). The experiment was carried out twice independently,

generating similar results, and one representative set of plots is shown.

% Growth inhibition at 12.5 µM