Formal Recognition and Classification of Gene Transfer Agents as Viriforms

Roman Kogay[1], Sonja Koppenhöfer[2], J. Thomas Beatty[3], Jens H. Kuhn[4], Andrew S. Lang[2], and

Olga Zhaxybayeva[1,#]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA; [2]Department of

Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada; [3]Department of

Microbiology & Immunology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada;

[4]Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, Department of Clinical Research, National

Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, MD, USA

[#]Correspondence to Olga Zhaxybayeva, olga.zhaxybayeva@dartmouth.edu

Keywords: gene transfer agent; GTA; ICTV; International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses;

viriform; virus classification; virus nomenclature; virus taxonomy

ABSTRACT

Morphological and genetic features strongly suggest that gene transfer agents (GTAs) are

caudoviricete-derived entities that have evolved in concert with cellular genomes to such a

degree that they should not be considered viruses. Indeed, GTA particles resemble caudoviricete

virions but, in contrast to caudoviricetes (or any viruses), GTAs can encapsidate at best only part

of their own genomes, are induced solely in small subpopulations of bacterial host cells and are

transmitted vertically as part of cellular genomes during replication and division. Therefore, the

lifecycles of GTAs are analogous to virus-derived entities found in parasitoid wasps that have

recently been removed from the virosphere and reclassified as viriforms. We evaluated three

distinct, independently exapted GTA groups for which the genetic basis for GTA particle

production has been established. Based on the evidence, we outline a classification scheme for

these viriforms.

INTRODUCTION

In 2021, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) ratified a taxonomic

proposal to formally accept a new operational definition of the term "virus" (Walker et al. 2021;

Koonin et al. 2021; Kuhn et al. 2020). Consequently, the most current version of the

International Code of Virus Classification and Nomenclature (ICVCN) states that viruses are

> " … a type of MGEs [mobile genetic elements] that encode at least one protein that is a
>
> major component of the virion encasing the nucleic acid of the respective MGE and
>
> therefore the gene encoding the major virion protein itself; or MGEs that are clearly
>
> demonstrable to be members of a line of evolutionary descent of such major virion
>
> protein-encoding entities" (ICVCN Rule 3.3) (International Committee on Taxonomy of
>
> Viruses 2022; Kuhn et al. 2020).

This definition also formalized the postulate that some MGEs, long understood by the

general virology community to be distinct from viruses, are indeed distinct. At the time, the

ICTV had already classified viroids and satellite nucleic acids in taxa separate from viral taxa (in

families/genera with names that end with suffixes *-viroidae*/*-viroid* and *-satellitidae*/*-satellite*,

respectively, as opposed to *-viridae*/*-virus*) (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses

2022), and these elements were logically placed into the perivirosphere rather than the

orthovirosphere (Koonin et al. 2021; Kuhn et al. 2020).

The adoption of the new virus definition brought into question the taxonomic standing of

one family: *Polydnaviridae*. Indeed, entities classified into this likely polyphyletic family

fundamentally deviate from MGEs fulfilling the virus definition: "polydna" particles encapsidate

multiple segments of circular double-stranded DNAs that, however, do not encode the entire

"polydna" genomes. Instead, the complete genomes are permanently endogenized into the

"polydna" host (i.e., parasitoid wasp) genomes and inherited vertically. The resultant non-mobile

nonviral entities are used by the wasps to deliver immunomodulatory genes into insects that

serve as prey for the wasps (Drezen et al. 2017; Herniou et al. 2013). "Polydna" entities are

likely evolutionarily derived from various groups of insect viruses, including nudivirids

(Darboux et al. 2019; Drezen et al. 2017; Gauthier et al. 2018; Petersen et al. 2022; Strand and

Burke 2020; Thézé et al. 2011), but, because they have lost the ability to replicate and instead

have been fully exapted by their wasp hosts, they have left the virosphere altogether (Koonin and

Krupovic 2018; Koonin et al. 2021). Consequently, in 2021, the ICTV recognized "polydna"

entities as a new category distinct from viruses called "viriforms" (Koonin et al. 2021; Kuhn et

al. 2020; Walker et al. 2021), and reclassified *Polydnaviridae* as, still likely polyphyletic,

Polydnaviriformidae (Kuhn et al. 2021; Walker et al. 2022). In the ICVCN, viriforms are defined

operationally as

" … a type of virus-derived MGEs that have been exapted by their organismal (cellular)

hosts to fulfill functions important for the host life cycle; or MGEs that are derived from

such entities in the course of evolution" (ICVCN Rule 3.3) (International Committee on

Taxonomy of Viruses 2022; Kuhn et al. 2020).

Importantly, the following comment was added to the Rule 3.3:

"Gene transfer agents (GTAs) and the MGEs previously classified in the family

Polydnaviridae are considered to be viriforms in classification and nomenclature"

(International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses 2022; Kuhn et al. 2020).

GTAs have never been classified. They are analogous but unrelated to

polydnaviriformids in the following ways (reviewed in Lang et al. 2012; Lang et al. 2017). First,

GTAs are encoded by genes endogenized in bacterial and archaeal chromosomes in several

blocks rather than a single genomic segment. Second, GTAs do not preferentially encapsidate

genes encoding the structural proteins of the virion-like GTA particles but instead encapsidate

mostly "random" pieces of host DNA. Last, the proteins used to produce GTA particles have

evolved from viral ancestors. Thus, GTAs have lost the ability to replicate and have become

fully exapted by their cellular hosts. Under specific conditions, GTAs are produced, the cell

lyses, and the released particles mediate horizontal gene transfer (HGT) by delivering their

packaged DNA to other cells, typically those of the same species.

The first GTA discovered, of the alphaproteobacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, was

described in 1974 by Barry Marrs (Marrs 1974). Since that time, distinct functional GTAs have

been described in other alphaproteobacteria, a sulfate-reducing deltaproteobacterium, a

spirochete domestic pig pathogen, and a methanogenic archaeon (Bertani 1999; Guy et al. 2013;

Humphrey et al. 1997; Rapp and Wall 1987). Additionally, clusters of genes homologous to

those encoding the *R. capsulatus* GTA are found in many alphaproteobacterial genomes,

suggesting a wider prevalence of GTA production than presently appreciated (Kogay et al. 2019;

Lang et al. 2002; Lang and Beatty 2007; Shakya et al. 2017). Indeed, some of these bacteria

produce functional GTAs (Biers et al. 2008; Nagao et al. 2015; Tomasch et al. 2018).

96   The recent ICTV recognition of viriforms and the formal establishment of

97 *Polydnaviriformidae* provides an opportunity to initiate a systematic classification of GTAs.

98 Here we outline initial steps to establish such a formal taxonomic scheme for GTA viriforms,

99 focusing specifically on GTAs experimentally documented as being produced by cells and

100 performing gene transfer—and for which the genetic basis of particle production has been

101 established. Simultaneously, we also officially propose this taxonomic scheme to the ICTV for

102 the 2022–2023 proposal cycle.

104 **NOMENCLATURE OF GENE TRANSFER AGENTS AND ASSOCIATED TAXA**

105 Per ICTV rules, virus names are written in lower case (except if a name component is a proper

106 noun), without italics in any part of the name (even if a host species name is part of the name),

107 and ending in the term "virus", which in virus name abbreviations is "V". Examples are measles

108 virus (MeV) and Ebola virus (EBOV). The nomenclature of already classified viriforms

109 (polydnaviriformids) follows these rules, with "virus" being replaced by "viriform" and the

110 abbreviation "V" being replaced with "Vf" (e.g., "Glyptapanteles liparidis bracoviriform" is

111 abbreviated "GlBVf"). We suggest applying these general rules to GTAs, but with "viriform"

112 being replaced by "gene transfer agent" due to the long-established use of this phrase and "Vf"

113 being replaced with "GTA". Therefore, the gene transfer agent produced by *Rhodobacter*

114 *capsulatus* would be called "Rhodobacter capsulatus gene transfer agent" and abbreviated as

115 "RcGTA", consistent with the established use of this abbreviation in the literature.

117 Rules for viriform taxon naming have been established by the ICVCN. Specifically,

"[t]he formal endings for taxon names of viriforms are the suffixes "-*viriformia*" for

realms, "-*viriforma*" for subrealms, "-*viriformae*" for kingdoms, "-*viriformites*" for

subkingdoms, "-*viriformicota*" for phyla, "-*viriformicotina*" for subphyla,

"-*viriformicetes*" for classes, "-*viriformicetidae*" for subclasses, "-*viriformales*" for

orders, "-*viriformineae*" for suborders, "-*viriformidae*" for families, "-*viriforminae*" for

subfamilies, and "-*viriform*" for genera and subgenera" (ICVCN Rule 3.26) (International

Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses 2022; Kuhn et al. 2020)

and

"[a] species name shall consist of only two distinct word components separated by a

space. The first word component shall begin with a capital letter and be identical in

spelling to the name of the genus to which the species belongs. The second word

component shall not contain any suffixes specific for taxa of higher ranks. The entire

species name (both word components) shall be italicized" (International Committee on

Taxonomy of Viruses 2022).

We suggest adding the infix -*gta*- prior to the taxon-specific suffixes for immediate recognition

of GTA-specific taxa (e.g., -*gtaviriform*).

GENE TRANSFER AGENTS CAN BE ASSIGNED TO AT LEAST THREE MAJOR

CLADES

Based on functionally and genetically characterized GTAs, at least three major GTA clades can

be delineated.

Alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs

The best characterized GTA of this clade is RcGTA, the gene transfer agent produced by *R.*

*capsulatu*s (*Pseudomonadota*: *Alphaproteobacteria*: *Rhodobacterales*: *Rhodobacteraceae*) (Yen

et al. 1979), which we designate here as the founding member of one major GTA clade, the

alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs. Homologous GTAs are produced by other bacteria from the

order *Rhodobacterales*: *Dinoroseobacter shibae* (Dinoroseobacter shibae gene transfer agent

[DsGTA]) (Tomasch et al. 2018)*, Ruegeria pomeroyi* (Ruegeria pomeroyi gene transfer agent

[RpGTA]) (Biers et al. 2008), and *Rhodovulum sulfidophilum* (Rhodovulum sulfidophilum gene

transfer agent [RsGTA]) (Nagao et al. 2015). Additionally, genes encoding RcGTA-like GTAs

are conserved in most genomes in the order *Rhodobacterales* and in many genomes of the

alphaproteobacterial orders *Caulobacterales*, *Sphingomonadales*, *Parvibaculales,* and

Hyphomicrobiales (formerly *Rhizobiales*) (Kogay et al. 2019; Lang et al. 2002; Lang and Beatty

2007; Shakya et al. 2017).

RcGTA and RcGTA-like GTA genes are similar in sequence to those of viruses classified

in the uroviricot class *Caudoviricetes* (*Duplodnaviria*: *Heunggongvirae*) (Shakya et al. 2017).

These GTAs are transmitted vertically from a bacterial parent to progeny during cell division

(Lang and Beatty 2007; Shakya et al. 2017), similar to propagation of temperate viruses

("prophages"). However, in contrast to temperate virus genomes, the set of genes required for

production of the GTA particle (the GTA "genome") is not necessarily localized in one region of

the host genome. In the case of RcGTA, known structural and regulatory genes are scattered

across five loci in the *R. capsulatus* genome (Hynes et al. 2016), cumulatively spanning

approximately 20 kilobases (kb). Moreover, cellular regulatory genes are involved in controlling

GTA particle production (Westbye et al. 2017), adding another factor that makes the GTA

genome difficult to differentiate from its host's genome.

RcGTA particles resemble virions of caudoviricetes (Yen et al. 1979) and have been

structurally characterized at high resolution (Bárdy et al. 2020). RcGTA particles have head

diameters of 38 nm and tail lengths of 49 nm. A small percentage of RcGTA particles have $T = 3$

quasi-icosahedral heads, but the capsid shape of most particles is oblate, as they lack the five

hexamers of capsid protein needed to form genuine icosahedral heads. Because of the small head

size, RcGTA particles can only package double-stranded DNA of approximately 4 kb in length

(Yen et al. 1979). The DNA is also encapsidated at 10–25% lower density than typical

caudoviricetes (Bárdy et al. 2020). Both RcGTA particle production and acquisition of the GTA-

packaged DNA by other host cells in the population are controlled by the same cellular

regulatory systems (Westbye et al. 2017). Only 0.1–3.0% of cells produce GTA particles (Fogg

et al. 2012; Hynes et al. 2012), whereas the remaining cells produce a GTA receptor

(Brimacombe et al. 2013).

Compositionally, structural proteins encoded by RcGTA and RcGTA-like GTAs are

biased towards amino acids that are energetically cheaper to produce (Kogay et al. 2020). To

date, such a bias has not yet been associated with viruses. Based on this difference in amino-acid

composition, GTA proteins can be distinguished from their viral homologs using a machine-

learning approach, which is implemented in the publicly available GTA-Hunter program (Kogay

et al. 2019).

In a comprehensive evolutionary analysis of homologs of the large subunit of the DNA

packaging terminase enzyme (TerL, encoded by the *g2* gene in the RcGTA genome), RcGTA

and RcGTA-like GTAs form a clade closely related to, but distinct from, duplodnavirians

(Esterman et al. 2021). To illustrate the relationships of alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs to each

other and to their closest viral homologs, we reconstructed evolutionary histories of their TerL

proteins and the HK97-like major capsid proteins (HK97-MCP, encoded by the *g5* gene in the

GTA genome, is the hallmark protein that defines the virus realm *Duplodnaviria* (Koonin et al.

2020)). Consistent with an earlier analysis (Esterman et al. 2021), RcGTA and RcGTA-like

GTAs formed a clade closely related to, but distinct from, caudoviricetes (**Figure 1**), with a few

exceptions that are likely artefacts of phylogenetic reconstruction.

Specifically, in the TerL phylogeny (**Figure 1A**), all viral homologs except one

(Caulobacter virus Sansa) are separated from GTA proteins (with a solid bootstrap support of

81%). Caulobacter virus Sansa groups with one GTA sequence from a bacterium of the order

Sphingomonadales (with a low bootstrap support of 50%), whereas all other GTAs of bacteria

classified in *Sphingomonadales* group together (with a strong bootstrap support of 96%). We

hypothesize that the phylogenetic placement of the Caulobacter virus Sansa TerL is due to the

long-branch attraction artefact (Felsenstein 1978). We searched for a maximum-likelihood tree in

which caudoviricete- and GTA-derived TerLs were required to group separately from each other

and compared that tree to the tree depicted in **Figure 1A**. We found that the likelihoods of the

two trees are not significantly different (approximately unbiased [AU] test; p-value = 0.555),

confirming that the placement of the Caulobacter virus Sansa sequence within the GTA

sequences is unreliable.

In the HK97-MCP phylogeny (**Figure 1B**), GTAs and most caudoviricetes are separated

by a branch with 63% bootstrap support. Several caudoviricetes that group within GTAs are

located on long branches, are situated outside of well-supported groups of GTAs from several

alphaproteobacterial orders and have very low bootstrap support for their placements. It is

therefore likely that the positions of these viral homologs are unreliable. To test this hypothesis,

we identified a maximum-likelihood phylogeny among trees in which GTAs and caudoviricetes

were required to be separated by a branch. The likelihoods of this tree and the phylogeny shown

in **Figure 1B** are not significantly different (AU test; p-value = 0.534). Therefore, these viruses

are likely positioned in different places in trees reconstructed from different bootstrap replicates,

which would lead to their artificial (and poorly supported) basal positions with the GTA

homologs on the tree shown in **Figure 1B**.

In the **Figure 1** trees, GTA branches have shorter lengths than their caudoviricete

counterparts, conforming with the reported slower evolutionary rate of GTAs compared to

viruses (Shakya et al. 2017). Additionally, on both phylogenetic trees, GTAs from

alphaproteobacteria of different orders form separate groups with very high support, supporting

vertical inheritance of most GTA genes (Lang et al. 2002; Lang and Beatty 2007; Shakya et al.

2017).

Together, these results justify the classification of RcGTA and three RcGTA-like GTAs

in a common viriform taxon: family *Rhodogtaviriformidae* (from *Rhodobacterales*, infix -*gta*-,

and family-specific suffix -*viriformidae*). For now, we argue that at least four rhodogtaviriformid

genera, each for GTAs of bacteria classified in distinct genera included in *Rhodobacterales*,

ought to be established:

• *Dinogtaviriform* (named after DsGTA host genus *Dinoroseobacter*, infix *-gta-*, and genus-

specific suffix *-viriform*) to include one new species, *Dinogtaviriform tomaschi* (species

epithet to honor GTA researcher Jürgen Tomasch, who was instrumental in the discovery of

DsGTA) for DsGTA;

• *Rhodobactegtaviriform* (named after RcGTA host genus Rhodobacter, infix *-gta-*, and genus-

specific suffix *-viriform*) to include one new species, *Rhodobactegtaviriform marrsi* (species

epithet to honor GTA researcher Barry Marrs, who first discovered GTAs and coined the

term "gene transfer agent") for RcGTA;

• *Rhodovulugtaviriform* (named after RsGTA host genus *Rhodovulum*, infix *-gta-*, and genus-

specific suffix *-viriform*) to include one new species, *Rhodovulugtaviriform kikuchii* (species

epithet to honor GTA researcher Yo Kikuchi, who was instrumental in the discovery of

RsGTA) for RsGTA; and

• *Ruegerigtaviriform* (named after RpGTA host genus *Ruegeria*, infix *-gta-*, and genus-specific

suffix *-viriform*) to include one new species, *Ruegerigtaviriform cheni* (species epithet to

honor GTA researcher Feng Chen, who was instrumental in the discovery of RpGTA) for

RpGTA.

Alphaproteobacterial type II GTAs

There was a lag between discovery of these elements and their recognitions as bona fide GTAs.

Phage-like particles, originally referred to as bacteriophage-like particles (BLPs), that contained

heterogenous DNA from *Bartonella* host genomes were first characterized in *B. henselae*

(Anderson et al. 1994), and noted to be similar in structure to particles produced by *B.*

bacilliformis (Umemori et al. 1992). These *B. bacilliformi* particles were subsequently shown to

also contain heterogeneous genomic DNA fragments, but attempts to demonstrate their gene

transfer ability were not successful (Barbian and Minnick 2000). Functionality of the particles

produced by *Bartonella* for gene transfer (Bartonella gene transfer agent [BaGTA]) was

eventually demonstrated by work on *B. henselae* (*Pseudomonadota*: *Alphaproteobacteria*:

Hyphomicrobiales: *Bartonellaceae*) (Guy et al. 2013). BaGTA genes were initially proposed to

be located within a single cluster of 12 genes spanning approximately 14 kb (Guy et al. 2013).

However, a subsequent screen for genes essential for BaGTA functionality identified a total of

29 genes located within a larger (approximately 79-kb-long) region (Québatte et al. 2017).

Homologs of BaGTA genes (BaGTA-like GTAs) were found in the genomes of multiple species

of *Bartonella* (Berglund et al. 2009; Guy et al. 2013; Tamarit et al. 2018). BaGTA genes are

located near an active virus-derived origin of replication and next to genes encoding secretion

systems (Guy et al. 2013). As a result, the region of the genome containing BaGTA and these

secretion-system genes are amplified and packaged more often than other genomic regions (Guy

et al. 2013; Québatte et al. 2017). These findings led to the hypothesis that BaGTA and BaGTA-

like GTAs have been maintained due to their mediation of HGT of secretion-system and toxin

genes, thereby enabling *Bartonella* bacteria to adapt to diverse hosts (Guy et al. 2013). However,

actual GTA-mediated DNA transfer among bacterial cells has only been demonstrated for *B.*

henselae (Guy et al. 2013). There, BaGTA production is restricted to a distinct subpopulation of

fast-growing cells, which comprise about 6% of the total population (Québatte et al. 2017), and

the uptake of BaGTA-packaged DNA was proposed to be limited to cells undergoing division

(Québatte et al. 2017).

There are some discrepancies in the literature regarding the structure of BaGTA particles,

suggesting some bacteria might release additional phage-like particles. The *B. henselae* particles

were originally reported as particles without tails or with short non-contractile tails with a head

diameter of 40 nm (Anderson et al. 1994). The head diameter of the *B. bacilliformis* particles

was originally measured at 40 nm (Umemori et al. 1992) and subsequently 80 nm (Barbian and

Minnick 2000). Those of *B. grahamii* were reported as possessing long non-contractile tailss and

icosahedral heads of 50–70 nm or 80 nm and tails of 100 nm (Berglund et al. 2009). Although

BaGTA particles are potentially able to package the entire main structural gene cluster of 12

genes, they cannot package all 29 genes required for BaGTA production due to a capacity of 14

kb (Berglund et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 1994; Guy et al. 2013; Lang et al. 2017).

In the TerL phylogeny, BaGTA-like homologs are separated from almost all

caudoviricetes by longer branches (with 100% bootstrap support; **Figure 2A**). Two caudoviricete

homologs (Sulfitobacter phage pCB2047-C and Sulfitobacter phage NYA-2014a) group together

and are nested within the BaGTA-like group (with 84% bootstrap support). We hypothesize that

the *terL* gene was horizontally transferred from GTAs to these caudoviricetes, with similar HGT

events documented between RcGTA-like GTAs and caudoviricetes infecting bacteria of the

Rhodobacterales (Zhan et al. 2016). In the HK97-MCP phylogeny, BaGTA homologs are

located on shorter branches than their caudoviricete counterparts and are separated from

caudoviricete homologs with 100% bootstrap support (**Figure 2B**).

Together, these results justify the classification of BaGTA and BaGTA-like GTAs in a

common viriform taxon, family *Bartogtaviriformidae* (from *Bartonella*, infix -*gta*-, and family-

specific suffix -*viriformidae*). For now, we argue that at least one bartogtaviriformid genus ought

to be established: *Bartonegtaviriform* (named after BaGTA host genus *Bartonella*, infix -*gta*-,

and genus-specific suffix -*viriform*) including one new species, *Bartonegtaviriform andersoni*

(species epithet to honor GTA researcher Burt <u>Anderson</u>, who first discovered BaGTA particles

(<u>Anderson et al. 1994</u>)) for BaGTA.

GTAs of spirochaetes

A GTA originally called <u>v</u>irus of <u>S</u>erpulina <u>h</u>yodysenteriae 1 (VSH-1) was identified in

Brachyspira (formerly *Serpulina*) *hyodysenteriae* (*Spirochaetota*: *Spirochaetia*: *Brachyspirales*:

Brachyspiraceae) (<u>Humphrey et al. 1997</u>). In accordance with the nomenclature rules established

here, we suggest renaming this GTA to Brachyspira hyodysenteriae gene transfer agent

(BhGTA). The structural gene cluster responsible for production of BhGTA particles—i.e., the

BhGTA "genome"—is 16.3 kb in length (<u>Matson et al. 2005</u>).

BhGTA particles have a head diameter of 45 nm and a flexible non-contractile tail of 65

nm (<u>Humphrey et al. 1997</u>). Like other GTAs, BhGTAs is unable to package and transfer its

entire genome, given the limiting capacity of 7.5 kb (<u>Humphrey et al. 1997</u>; <u>Matson et al. 2005</u>).

Restriction enzyme digests of the packaged DNA and the range of marker genes that can be

transferred by BhGTA particles suggest that they package any region of the *B. hyodysenteriae*

genome (<u>Humphrey et al. 1997</u>) without an obvious bias for the genomic region that encodes

BhGTA. The proportion of *B. hyodysenteriae* cells in a population that naturally produce and

release BhGTA particles has not been quantified. However, BhGTA particle production can be

induced by DNA-damaging agents, such as mitomycin C and antibiotics (<u>Stanton et al. 2008</u>),

and this induction results in large-scale lysis of cells. BhGTA particles are capable of

transferring antimicrobial resistance genes within the bacterial population (<u>Stanton et al. 2008</u>),

pointing at possible selective advantages of maintaining the capability of BhGTA particle

production.

Homologs of genes in the BhGTA genome were found in the genomes of other members

of the genus *Brachyspira*, but there is no gene synteny in their organization (Motro et al. 2009).

Unlike in rhodogtaviriformids and bartogtaviriformids, an endolysin-encoding gene is the only

gene in the BhGTA genome that has a significant sequence similarity to caudoviricete genes in

the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Reference Sequence (RefSeq)

database (accessed in May 2022). Some genes encoding the BhGTA particle proteins were

experimentally validated, and the particles structurally resemble those of caudoviricetes (Matson

et al. 2005). Therefore, the absence of their homologs in the viral RefSeq database is likely due

to the limited sampling of the virosphere. Yet, the *B. hyodysenteriae* genome encodes a single

copy of an annotated *terL* gene, which is located outside of the currently delineated BhGTA

genome. Homologs of this *terL* gene are also present in a single copy in genomes of other

Brachyspira bacteria that encode BhGTA-like MCPs. These homologs are highly conserved,

with pairwise amino-acid identities of 81–100%. In a phylogenetic tree, the *Brachyspira* TerLs

are separated from all caudoviricete TerLs by a longer branch (with 100% bootstrap support;

Figure 3). Although the role of this TerL homolog in the BhGTA lifecycle has not been

experimentally validated, the presence of the encoding gene as the only identifiable *terL* in the

Brachyspira genomes, its high degree of conservation within the *Brachyspira* genus, and its

divergence from the related caudoviricete sequences support its potential involvement in the

packaging of DNA into the BhGTA particles.

Together, these results justify the classification of BhGTA and BhGTA-like GTAs in a

common viriform taxon, family *Brachygtaviriformidae* (form *Brachyspira*, infix -*gta*-, and

family-specific suffix -*viriformidae*). For now, we argue that at least one brachygtaviriformid

genus ought to be established: *Brachyspigtaviriform* (named after BhGTA host genus

Brachyspira, infix -*gta*-, and genus-specific suffix -*viriform*) to include one new species,

Brachyspigtaviriform stantoni (species epithet to honor GTA researcher Thaddeus Stanton, who

first discovered BhGTA particles (Humphrey et al. 1997)) for BhGTA.

Independent origins of the three GTAs

Genes from the genomes of these three GTAs are either not homologous or too divergent to have

significant sequence similarity in BLASTP searches of the encoded proteins. For example,

pairwise amino-acid identity of TerLs, which is one of the most conserved GTA and

caudoviricete proteins, is 14–20% among RcGTA, BaGTA, and BhGTA. Nevertheless, a

sophisticated sequence-similarity search established the homology among known TerL proteins

that include RcGTA, BaGTA, and putative BhGTA TerLs (Esterman et al. 2021). The

evolutionary history of RcGTA-like, BaGTA-like, putative BhGTA-like TerLs, and their closest

known caudoviricete homologs (**Figure 4**) demonstrates that GTA-like TerLs appear in three

distinct clades within viral TerLs. Based on this phylogenetic evidence, we propose that these

three GTA clades are a result of three independent exaptation events. Therefore, just like viruses

(which are classified in at least six unrelated realms), GTA viriforms are polyphyletic.

DISCUSSION

Based on the evolutionary differences between GTA and caudoviricete genes encoding well-

conserved proteins and on morphological differences of GTA particles, we propose three

families for these GTAs. The greatest number of functionally confirmed and putative GTAs are

in the alphaproteobacterial type I clade, which, for now, is proposed to be a family

Rhodogtaviriformidae that includes at least four genera. The members of this family are

currently restricted to a single cellular order (*Rhodobacterales*). The TerLs and MCPs of these

RcGTA-like GTAs and alphaproteobacterial type II GTAs (*Bartogtaviriformidae*) are clearly

distinguishable from each other and their caudoviricete homologs and evolve much slower

(**Figure 1** and **Figure 2**) (Esterman et al. 2021; Shakya et al. 2017). The spirochaete GTAs

(*Brachygtaviriformidae*) are more difficult to distinguish from caudoviricetes due to a lack of

available viral representatives in GenBank for experimentally validated BhGTA genes. However,

the putative BhGTA TerL and its *Brachyspira* bacteria homologs also evolve relatively more

slowly and form a well-supported cluster distinct from caudoviricetes lineages (**Figure 3**). As in

the case with the experimentally validated RcGTA, the "genome" of BhGTA is also likely

dispersed across multiple loci.

Analyses of environmental samples and genome sequences suggest the existence of a

large number of GTAs, especially those related to the rhodogtaviriformids (Biers et al. 2008; Fu

et al. 2010; McDaniel et al. 2010; Zhao et al. 2009). In a genome-wide screen of 1,423

alphaproteobacterial genomes, 57.5% were found to encode RcGTA-like "genomes", which are

often annotated as either intact or incomplete prophages (Kogay et al. 2019). The great majority

of RcGTA-like genes in alphaproteobacterial genomes are associated with bacteria for which a

GTA-based gene-transfer activity has not been documented, and it is possible that some of these

RcGTA-like genes may not be expressed to produce functional particles. Therefore, we have

restricted our proposal to those GTAs that have been shown to be functional. However, we

speculate that at least some (and perhaps many) of these GTA-like gene clusters will be shown to

produce functional GTAs that will need to be classified.

Based on the evolutionary history of TerL proteins (**Figure 4**), it is likely that the

proposed three GTA families had distinct virus progenitors. Since the exaptation events,

however, the three families have evolved as part of the host genomes (Esterman et al. 2021; Lang

et al. 2002; Lang and Beatty 2007; Shakya et al. 2017), in the case of the rhodogtaviriformids for

hundreds of millions of years (Shakya et al. 2017). As a result, GTAs effectively became a

component of cellular genomes, integrated into cellular regulatory circuits that also control

processes, such as, motility, quorum sensing, extracellular polysaccharide synthesis, and biofilm

formation (Lang et al. 2017; Shimizu et al. 2022). There is also mounting evidence that GTA

genes experience selective pressures to be maintained in their host genomes (Kogay et al. 2020;

Lang et al. 2012). Although the fitness benefits associated with GTA production remain to be

elucidated, the time is now ripe to have the known GTAs officially recognized and classified as

specific viriforms. We recognize this step as the initiation of a taxonomic framework that

undoubtedly will rapidly expand and change in the future.

METHODS

To identify alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs, we searched for GTA-like sequences in 1,248

complete alphaproteobacterial genomes extracted from the NCBI RefSeq database (accessed in

October 2020) using GTA-Hunter (Kogay et al. 2019). We identified 503 genomes that

contained at least six RcGTA homologs in the same genetic neighborhood and had both *g2*

(encoding TerL) and *g5* (encoding HK97-MCP) genes. To remove redundancy, we clustered

genomes into the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using an average nucleotide identity

threshold of 95%. From all genomes within an OTU, we selected one genome with the largest

number of GTA genes. This strategy resulted in 290 representative GTAs selected for further

analysis. We identified the closest viral homologs of the TerL and HK97-MCP proteins from

these GTAs by conducting a BLASTP search (Altschul et al. 1997) of the RefSeq database

(accessed in March 2021) (O'Leary et al. 2016), using TerL and HK97-MCP proteins from

representative GTAs as queries, an e-value cutoff of 0.001, and query coverage of at least 50%.

Retrieved viral homologs with identical amino acid sequences were removed from further

analyses. For both proteins, we aligned amino acid sequences of GTA and virus homologs using

MAFFT v7.455 with *-linsi* option (Katoh and Standley 2013). We reconstructed phylogenetic

trees using IQ-TREE v2 (Minh et al. 2020), identifying the best substitution matrix using the

built-in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Branch support values were assessed using

1,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates and a hill-climbing nearest-neighbor interchange search for

optimal trees (Hoang et al. 2018). Additionally, for both protein phylogenies, we reconstructed a

phylogenetic tree in IQ-TREE v2 (Minh et al. 2020) using a tree search that was constrained by

requiring all GTAs and all viruses to be separated by a branch. We compared the resultant trees

in unconstrained and constrained searches using the AU test (Shimodaira 2002), as implemented

in the IQ-TREE v2 program.

To identify alphaproteobacterial type II GTAs, we used the BaGTA TerL and HK97-

MCP sequences (accession numbers WP_034448260.1 and WP_011181178.1, respectively) as

queries in a BLASTP search against the 57 complete *Bartonella* genomes extracted from the

RefSeq database (accessed in May 2022) to identify their cellular homologs. We restricted our

search only to matches for which BaGTA TerL and HK97-MCP homologs are in the same

genomic neighborhood (defined as being within 5 kb of each other). In genomes with multiple

matches to the query protein, we retained only the homolog with the highest BLASTP bit score.

We clustered redundant genomes using a 95% average nucleotide identity (ANI) threshold and

randomly selected one representative from each cluster for phylogenetic analysis. We identified

caudoviricete homologs by conducting a BLASTP search (e-value cutoff of 0.001, and query

coverage of at least 50%) against viral RefSeq database (accessed in May 2022), and performed

phylogenetic reconstructions, as described above for alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs.

To identify GTAs of spirochaetes, we used BhGTA's MCP sequence (GenBank

accession number WP_012671344.1) as a query in a BLASTP search (with an e-value cutoff of

0.001 and query coverage of at least 50%) against the 13 complete *Brachyspira* genomes

extracted from the RefSeq database (accessed in May 2022). Similarly, we used TerL of *B.*

hyodysenteriae (GenBank accession number WP_012671469.1) as a query in a BLASTP search

(with an e-value cutoff of 0.001 and query coverage of at least 50%) against the same set of 13

genomes. We identified caudoviricete homologs by doing BLASTP search (e-value cutoff of

0.001 and query coverage of at least 50%) against viral RefSeq database (accessed in May 2022).

We clustered genomes using a 95% ANI threshold and randomly selected one representative

from each cluster for phylogenetic analysis. We performed phylogenetic reconstructions as

described above for the alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs.

To reconstruct the phylogeny that includes all three clades of GTAs, we combined all

TerL homologs extracted in the above described procedures into one dataset. We aligned the

TerL sequences using the MAFFT v7.455 with -dash option (Rozewicki et al. 2019), and

trimmed the obtained alignment using ClipKIT with -gappy option (Steenwyk et al. 2020). We

computed the phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v2. To reconstruct the phylogeny that includes

all three clades of GTAs, we combined all TerL homologs extracted in the above-described

procedures into one dataset. We aligned the TerL sequences using MAFFT v7.455 with -dash

option (Rozewicki et al. 2019), and trimmed the obtained alignment using ClipKIT with -gappy

option (Steenwyk et al. 2020). We computed the phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v2 (Minh et

al. 2020) as described above. We rooted the tree using a larger TerL phylogeny presented in

(Esterman et al. 2021).

We visualized all phylogenetic trees in iTOL v6 (Letunic and Bork 2021).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Anya Crane and Jiro Wada (NIH/NIAID/DCR/IRF-Frederick) for editing the

manuscript and figures, respectively.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part through Laulima Government Solutions, LLC, prime contract

with the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) under Contract No.

HHSN272201800013C; J.H.K. performed this work as an employee of Tunnell Government

Services (TGS), a subcontractor of Laulima Government Solutions, LLC, under Contract No.

HHSN272201800013C. This work was also supported by the Simons Foundation Investigator in

Mathematical Modeling of Living Systems award #327936 (O.Z.), the Canadian Natural

Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) award RGPIN 2018-03898 (J.T.B.),

NSERC award RGPIN-2017-04636 (A.S.L.). S.K. was partially supported by funding from the

Memorial University of Newfoundland School of Graduate Studies.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

481 Amino acid sequence alignments in FASTA format and phylogenetic trees in Newick format for

482 trees in **Figures 1–4** are available in **Supplementary_Data.zip** file.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Phylogenies of (A) large terminase (TerL) subunits and (B) HK97 major capsid

protein (HK97-MCP) sequences of rhodogtaviriformids and their closest known

caudoviricete homologs. Alphaproteobacterial type I gene transfer agent (GTA)

(rhodogtoviriformid) lineages are shown in orange. Caudoviricete lineages that are nested within

GTA lineages are shown in dashed black lines. Other caudoviricete lineages are shown in solid

black lines. Bootstrap support values are shown only for selected branches. Scale bars represent

substitutions per site. DsGTA, Dinoroseobacter shibae gene transfer agent; GTA, gene transfer

agent; RcGTA, Rhodobacter capsulatus gene transfer agent; RpGTA, Ruegeria pomeroyi gene

transfer agent; RsGTA, Rhodovolum sulfidophilum, gene transfer agent.

Figure 2. Phylogenies of (A) large terminase (TerL) subunits and (B) HK97 major capsid

protein (HK97-MCP) sequences of bartogtaviriformids and their closest known

caudoviricete homologs. Alphaproteobacterial type II gene transfer agent (GTA)

(bartogtaviriformid) lineages are shown in blue. Caudoviricete lineages are shown in black. Two

nearly identical caudoviricete lineages that are nested within GTA lineages are shown in dashed

black lines. A bootstrap support value is shown only for the branch separating GTA and

caudoviricete sequences. Scale bars indicate substitutions per site. BaGTA, Bartonella gene

transfer agent; GTA, gene transfer agent.

Figure 3. Phylogeny of the putative large terminase (TerL) subunits of

brachygtaviriformids and their closest known caudoviricete homologs. *Brachyspira* gene

transfer agent (GTA) lineages are shown in purple. Caudoviricete lineages are shown in black. A

bootstrap support value is shown only for the branch separating GTA and caudoviricete

sequences. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site. BhGTA, Brachyspira hyodysenteriae gene

transfer agent; GTA, gene transfer agent.

Figure 4. Phylogeny of the large terminase (TerL) subunits of three major clades of GTAs

and their closest known caudoviricete homologs. This tree includes all TerL homologs from

Figures 1A, 2A, and 3, using their color codings. Bootstrap support values are shown only for the

branches separating three GTA clades and their closest caudoviricete sequences. Scale bar

indicates substitutions per site.. GTA, gene transfer agent.

REFERENCES

Altschul, S. F., et al. (1997), 'Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein

517   database search programs', *Nucleic Acids Res,* 25 (17), 3389-402.

518   Anderson, B., et al. (1994), 'Bacteriophage-like particle of *Rochalimaea henselae*', *Mol*

519   *Microbiol,* 13 (1), 67-73.

520   Barbian, K. D. and Minnick, M. F. (2000), 'A bacteriophage-like particle from *Bartonella*

521   *bacilliformis*', *Microbiology (Reading),* 146 (Pt 3), 599-609.

522   Bárdy, P., et al. (2020), 'Structure and mechanism of DNA delivery of a gene transfer agent', *Nat*

523   *Commun,* 11 (1), 3034.

524   Berglund, E. C., et al. (2009), 'Run-off replication of host-adaptability genes is associated with

525   gene transfer agents in the genome of mouse-infecting *Bartonella grahamii*', *PLoS Genet,*

526   5 (7), e1000546.

527   Bertani, G. (1999), 'Transduction-like gene transfer in the methanogen *Methanococcus voltae*', *J*

528   *Bacteriol,* 181 (10), 2992-3002.

529   Biers, E. J., et al. (2008), 'Occurrence and expression of gene transfer agent genes in marine

530   bacterioplankton', *Appl Environ Microbiol,* 74 (10), 2933-9.

531   Brimacombe, C. A., et al. (2013), 'Quorum-sensing regulation of a capsular polysaccharide

532   receptor for the *Rhodobacter capsulatus* gene transfer agent (RcGTA)', *Mol Microbiol,*

533   87 (4), 802-17.

534   Darboux, I., Cusson, M., and Volkoff, A. N. (2019), 'The dual life of ichnoviruses', *Curr Opin*

535   *Insect Sci,* 32, 47-53.

536   Drezen, J.-M., et al. (2017), 'Endogenous viruses of parasitic wasps: variations on a common

537   theme', *Curr Opin Virol,* 25, 41-48.

Esterman, E. S., et al. (2021), 'Evolution of DNA packaging in gene transfer agents', *Virus Evol,*

7 (1), veab015.

Felsenstein, Joseph (1978), 'Cases in which parsimony or compatibility methods will be

positively misleading', *Syst Biol,* 27 (4), 401-10.

Fogg, P. C. M., Westbye, A. B., and Beatty, J. T. (2012), 'One for all or all for one:

heterogeneous expression and host cell lysis are key to gene transfer agent activity in

Rhodobacter capsulatus', *PLoS One,* 7 (8), e43772.

Fu, Yunyun, et al. (2010), 'High diversity of *Rhodobacterales* in the subarctic North Atlantic

Ocean and gene transfer agent protein expression in isolated strains', *Aquat Microb Ecol,*

59, 283–93.

Gauthier, J., Drezen, J.-M., and Herniou, E. A. (2018), 'The recurrent domestication of viruses:

major evolutionary transitions in parasitic wasps', *Parasitology,* 145 (6), 713-23.

Guy, L., et al. (2013), 'A gene transfer agent and a dynamic repertoire of secretion systems hold

the keys to the explosive radiation of the emerging pathogen *Bartonella*', *PLoS Genet,* 9

(3), e1003393.

Herniou, E. A., et al. (2013), 'When parasitic wasps hijacked viruses: genomic and functional

evolution of polydnaviruses', *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci,* 368 (1626), 20130051.

Hoang, D. T., et al. (2018), 'UFBoot2: improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap approximation', *Mol*

Biol Evol, 35 (2), 518-22.

Humphrey, S. B., et al. (1997), 'Purification and characterization of VSH-1, a generalized

transducing bacteriophage of *Serpulina hyodysenteriae*', *J Bacteriol,* 179 (2), 323-9.

Hynes, A. P., et al. (2012), 'DNA packaging bias and differential expression of gene transfer

agent genes within a population during production and release of the *Rhodobacter*

capsulatus gene transfer agent, RcGTA', *Mol Microbiol,* 85 (2), 314-25.

Hynes, A. P., et al. (2016), 'Functional and evolutionary characterization of a gene transfer

agent's multilocus "genome"', *Mol Biol Evol,* 33 (10), 2530-43.

International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2022), 'ICTV Code. The International Code

of Virus Classification and Nomenclature (ICVCN). March 2021.

https://talk.ictvonline.org/information/w/ictv-information/383/ictv-code'.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., et al. (2017), 'ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic

estimates', *Nat Methods,* 14 (6), 587-89.

Katoh, K. and Standley, D. M. (2013), 'MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability', *Mol Biol Evol,* 30 (4), 772-80.

Kogay, R., et al. (2020), 'Selection for reducing energy cost of protein production drives the GC

content and amino acid composition bias in gene transfer agents', *mBio,* 11 (4), e01206-

20.

Kogay, R., et al. (2019), 'Machine-learning classification suggests that many

alphaproteobacterial prophages may instead be gene transfer agents', *Genome Biol Evol,*

11 (10), 2941-53.

Koonin, E. V. and Krupovic, M. (2018), 'The depths of virus exaptation', *Curr Opin Virol,* 31, 1-

8.

Koonin, E. V., et al. (2021), 'Viruses defined by the position of the virosphere within the

replicator space', *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev,* 85 (4), e0019320.

Koonin, E. V., et al. (2020), 'Global organization and proposed megataxonomy of the virus

world', *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev,* 84 (2), e00061-19.

Kuhn, J. H., et al. (2020), 'Expand, amend, and emend the International Code of Virus

Classification and Nomenclature (ICVCN; "the Code") and the Statutes to clearly define

the remit of the ICTV. International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV)

TaxoProp 2020.005G.R.Code_and_Statute_Change.

https://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_official_taxonomy_updates_since_the_8th_report/m/

general-2008/11061'.

Kuhn, J. H., et al. (2021), 'Rename the family *Polydnaviridae* (as *Polydnaviriformidae*), rename

the genus *Bracovirus* (as *Bracoviriform*) and rename all polydnaviriformid species to

comply with the newly ICTV-mandated binomial format. International Committee on

Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) TaxoProp

2021.006D.R.Polydnaviriformidae_1renfam_3rensp.

https://talk.ictvonline.org/files/ictv_official_taxonomy_updates_since_the_8th_report/m/

animal-dna-viruses-and-retroviruses/13248'.

Lang, A. S. and Beatty, J. T. (2007), 'Importance of widespread gene transfer agent genes in α-

proteobacteria', *Trends Microbiol,* 15 (2), 54-62.

Lang, A. S., Taylor, T. A., and Beatty, J. T. (2002), 'Evolutionary implications of phylogenetic

analyses of the gene transfer agent (GTA) of *Rhodobacter capsulatus*', *J Mol Evol,* 55

(5), 534-43.

Lang, A. S., Zhaxybayeva, O., and Beatty, J. T. (2012), 'Gene transfer agents: phage-like

elements of genetic exchange', *Nat Rev Microbiol,* 10 (7), 472-82.

Lang, A. S., Westbye, A. B., and Beatty, J. T. (2017), 'The distribution, evolution, and roles of

Ggne transfer agents in prokaryotic genetic exchange', *Annu Rev Virol,* 4 (1), 87-104.

Letunic, I. and Bork, P. (2021), 'Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for

phylogenetic tree display and annotation', *Nucleic Acids Res,* 49 (W1), W293-w96.

Marrs, B. (1974), 'Genetic recombination in *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*', *Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A,* 71 (3), 971-3.

Matson, E. G., et al. (2005), 'Identification of genes of VSH-1, a prophage-like gene transfer

agent of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*', *J Bacteriol,* 187 (17), 5885-92.

McDaniel, L. D., et al. (2010), 'High frequency of horizontal gene transfer in the oceans',

Science, 330 (6000), 50.

Minh, B. Q., et al. (2020), 'IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic

inference in the genomic era', *Mol Biol Evol,* 37 (5), 1530-34.

Motro, Y., et al. (2009), 'Identification of genes associated with prophage-like gene transfer

agents in the pathogenic intestinal spirochaetes *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*, *Brachyspira

pilosicoli* and *Brachyspira intermedia*', *Vet Microbiol,* 134 (3-4), 340-5.

Nagao, N., et al. (2015), 'The gene transfer agent-like particle of the marine phototrophic

bacterium *Rhodovulum sulfidophilum*', *Biochem Biophys Rep,* 4, 369-74.

O'Leary, N. A., et al. (2016), 'Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status,

taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation', *Nucleic Acids Res,* 44 (D1), D733-45.

Petersen, J. M., et al. (2022), 'The naked truth: An updated review on nudiviruses and their

relationship to bracoviruses and baculoviruses', *J Invertebr Pathol,* 189, 107718.

Québatte, M., et al. (2017), 'Gene transfer agent promotes evolvability within the fittest

subpopulation of a bacterial pathogen', *Cell Syst,* 4 (6), 611-21.e6.

Rapp, B. J. and Wall, J. D. (1987), 'Genetic transfer in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*', *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci U S A, 84 (24), 9128-30.

Rozewicki, J., et al. (2019), 'MAFFT-DASH: integrated protein sequence and structural

alignment', *Nucleic Acids Res,* 47 (W1), W5-w10.

Shakya, M., Soucy, S. M., and Zhaxybayeva, O. (2017), 'Insights into origin and evolution of α-

proteobacterial gene transfer agents', *Virus Evol,* 3 (2), vex036.

Shimizu, T., et al. (2022), 'Persulfide-responsive transcription factor SqrR regulates gene transfer

and biofilm formation via the metabolic modulation of cyclic di-GMP in *Rhodobacter*

capsulatus', *Microorganisms,* 10 (5).

Shimodaira, H. (2002), 'An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection', *Syst Biol,*

51 (3), 492-508.

Stanton, T. B., et al. (2008), 'Collateral effects of antibiotics: carbadox and metronidazole induce

VSH-1 and facilitate gene transfer among *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* strains', *Appl*

Environ Microbiol, 74 (10), 2950-6.

Steenwyk, J. L., et al. (2020), 'ClipKIT: a multiple sequence alignment trimming software for

accurate phylogenomic inference', *PLoS Biol,* 18 (12), e3001007.

Strand, M. R. and Burke, G. R. (2020), 'Polydnaviruses: evolution and function', *Curr Issues Mol*

Biol, 34, 163-82.

Tamarit, D., et al. (2018), 'Origin and evolution of the *Bartonella* gene transfer agent', *Mol Biol*

Evol, 35 (2), 451-64.

Thézé, J., et al. (2011), 'Paleozoic origin of insect large dsDNA viruses', *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A, 108 (38), 15931-5.

Tomasch, J., et al. (2018), 'Packaging of *Dinoroseobacter shibae* DNA into gene transfer agent

particles is not random', *Genome Biol Evol,* 10 (1), 359-69.

Umemori, E., et al. (1992), 'A phage in *Bartonella bacilliformis*', *Microbiol Immunol,* 36 (7),

731-6.

Walker, P. J., et al. (2021), 'Changes to virus taxonomy and to the International Code of Virus

Classification and Nomenclature ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of

Viruses (2021)', *Arch Virol,* 166 (9), 2633-48.

--- (2022), 'Changes to virus taxonomy and to the International Code of Virus Classification and

Nomenclature ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2022)',

Arch Virol, In preparation.

Westbye, A. B., Beatty, J. T., and Lang, A. S. (2017), 'Guaranteeing a captive audience:

coordinated regulation of gene transfer agent (GTA) production and recipient capability

by cellular regulators', *Curr Opin Microbiol,* 38, 122-29.

Yen, H. C., Hu, N. T., and Marrs, B. L. (1979), 'Characterization of the gene transfer agent made

by an overproducer mutant of *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*', *J Mol Biol,* 131 (2), 157-

68.

Zhan, Y., et al. (2016), 'A novel roseobacter phage possesses features of podoviruses,

siphoviruses, prophages and gene transfer agents', *Sci Rep,* 6, 30372.

Zhao, Y., et al. (2009), 'Gene transfer agent (GTA) genes reveal diverse and dynamic

Roseobacter and *Rhodobacter* populations in the Chesapeake Bay', *ISME J,* 3 (3), 364-

73.

Figure 1

A

Rhodobacterales
GTAs

Caulobacterales
GTAs

RpGTA
DsGTA
RcGTA
RsGTA

Hyphomicrobiales
GTAs

96
93
81
50

Sphingomonadales
GTAs

0.5

Caudoviricetes

B

Sphingomonadales
GTAs

Caulobacterales
GTAs

Hyphomicrobiales
GTAs

Rhodobacterales
GTAs

RpGTA
DsGTA
RcGTA
RsGTA

0.5

Caudoviricetes

Figure 2

Figure 3

Brachyspira GTAs
BhGTA
100
Caudoviricetes
0.5

Figure 4

Alphaproteobacterial type I GTAs
Alphaproteobacterial type II GTAs
GTAs of spirochaetes
Caudoviricetes

1.0