1   <u>Title</u> Discovery and Genomic Characterization of a Novel Henipavirus, Angavokely virus, from
2   fruit bats in Madagascar.
3
4   <u>Running Title</u> Novel Henipavirus, AngV, found in Madagascar fruit bat
5

6   <u>Authors</u>
7   Sharline Madera[1], Amy Kistler[2], Hafaliana C. Ranaivoson[3,4,5], Vida Ahyong[2], Angelo
8   Andrianiaina[5], Santino Andry[6], Vololoniaina Raharinosy[4], Tsiry H. Randriambolamanantsoa[4], Ny
9   Anjara Fifi Ravelomanantsoa[5], Cristina M. Tato[2], Joseph L. DeRisi[2,7], Hector C. Aguilar[8], Vincent
10   Lacoste[4], Philippe Dussart[4], Jean-Michel Heraud[4,9], Cara E. Brook[3]
11

<u>Affiliations</u>
[1] Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California, San
Francisco, CA, USA
[2] Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA
[3] Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
[4] Virology Unit, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar
[5] Department of Zoology and Animal Biodiversity, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo,
Madagascar
[6] Department of Entomology, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo, Madagascar
[7] Department of Medicine and Biochemistry & Biophysics, University of California, San
Francisco, CA, USA
[8] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell
University, Ithaca, NY, USA
[9] Virology Department, Institut Pasteur de Dakar, Dakar, Senegal
26

27   <u>Keywords</u> emerging zoonosis, Henipavirus, novel virus, *Eidolon dupreanum*, bat-borne virus,
28   Madagascar
29
30   <u>Research area</u> Virology, Microbial genetics
31
<u>Word count:</u> 4,184
<u>Abstract word count:</u> 242 words
<u>Importance count:</u> 150 words

Abstract

The genus *Henipavirus* (family *Paramyxoviridae*) is currently comprised of seven viruses, four of

which have demonstrated prior evidence of zoonotic capacity. These include the biosafety level

4 agents Hendra (HeV) and Nipah (NiV) viruses, which circulate naturally in pteropodid fruit

bats. Here, we describe and characterize Angavokely virus (AngV), a divergent henipavirus

identified in urine samples from wild, Madagascar fruit bats. We report the near-complete

16,740 nt genome of AngV, which encodes the six major henipavirus structural proteins

(nucleocapsid, phosphoprotein, matrix, fusion, glycoprotein, and L polymerase). Within the

phosphoprotein (P) gene, we identify an alternative start codon encoding the AngV C protein

and a putative mRNA editing site where the insertion of one or two guanine residues encodes,

respectively, additional V and W proteins. In other paramyxovirus systems, C, V, and W are

accessory proteins involved in antagonism of host immune responses during infection.

Phylogenetic analysis suggests that AngV is ancestral to all four previously described bat

henipaviruses—HeV, NiV, Cedar virus (CedV), and Ghanaian bat virus (GhV)—but evolved more

recently than rodent- and shrew-derived henipaviruses, Mojiang (MojV), Gamak (GAKV), and

Daeryong (DARV) viruses. Predictive structure-based alignments suggest that AngV is unlikely to

bind ephrin receptors, which mediate cell entry for all other known bat henipaviruses.

Identification of the AngV receptor is needed to clarify the virus's potential host range. The

presence of V and W proteins in the AngV genome suggest that the virus could be pathogenic

following zoonotic spillover.

<u>Importance</u>

Henipaviruses include highly pathogenic emerging zoonotic viruses, derived from bat, rodent,

and shrew reservoirs. Bat-borne Hendra (HeV) and Nipah (NiV) are the most well-known

henipaviruses, for which no effective antivirals or vaccines for humans have been described.

Here we report the discovery and characterization of a novel henipavirus, Angavokely virus

(AngV), isolated from wild fruit bats in Madagascar. Genomic characterization of AngV reveals

all major features associated with pathogenicity in other henipaviruses, suggesting that AngV

could be pathogenic following spillover to human hosts. Our work suggests that AngV is an

ancestral bat henipavirus which likely uses viral entry pathways distinct from those previously

described for HeV and NiV. In Madagascar, bats are consumed as a source of human food,

presenting opportunities for cross-species transmission. Characterization of novel henipaviruses

and documentation of their pathogenic and zoonotic potential are essential to predicting and

preventing the emergence of future zoonoses that cause pandemics.

Introduction

Henipaviruses (HNVs) belong to a genus of bat-, rodent-, and shrew-borne viruses within the

family *Paramyxoviridae* with demonstrated zoonotic potential. HNVs can manifest extreme

virulence in human hosts, as exemplified by the prototypical HNVs, Hendra virus (HeV), and

Nipah virus (NiV), which cause severe acute respiratory distress and/or encephalitis in humans,

yielding case fatality rates that can exceed 90% (1–3). This high pathogenicity and the lack of

approved HNV therapeutics or vaccines for humans have garnered HeV and NiV classification as

Biological Safety Level 4 (BSL4) agents and WHO priority diseases. Since their discovery in the

1990s, HeV and NiV have periodically spilled over to humans from their reservoir hosts,

pteropodid bats. HeV zoonosis is mediated by spillover to intermediate horse hosts, from which

humans acquire infection(4). NiV can spillover to humans via intermediate transmission

through pig hosts, or directly from bat-to-human, resulting in near-annual outbreaks of fatal

encephalitis in South Asia, where subsequent human-to-human transmission also occurs (2, 5–

7).

Novel HNVs continue to emerge from wildlife hosts and represent ongoing threats to human

health. Initially, the *Henipavirus* genus comprised only HeV and NiV; however, the past two

decades have witnessed the discovery of five new HNVs: bat-borne Cedar virus (CedV) and

Ghanaian bat virus (GhV), rodent-borne Mojiang virus (MojV), and shrew-borne Gamak (GAKV)

and Daeryong viruses (DARV) (8–11). Of these novel HNVs, at least two show evidence of

zoonotic potential: serological data suggests prior human exposure to GhV in West Africa (12),

while MojV was first identified following a human outbreak of severe pneumonia in Chinese

mine workers, all of whom died after infection(9). In addition to their high potential for

pathogenicity, HNVs possess a broad host range that spans at least seven mammalian orders,

including bats (10, 13).

Cross-species viral spillover necessitates effective inter-species transmission, which first

requires a virus to successfully enter the cells of diverse host species. In general, HNVs use the

highly-conserved ephrin family of proteins, both type A and type B, as cell entry receptors (1, 8,

14–16). A notable exception to this pattern is MojV, which does not use ephrin proteins—or the

sialic acid and CD150 receptors common to non-HNV paramyxoviruses—to gain cell entry (14,

17). Indeed, as of yet, the viral entry receptor for MojV—and the closely related GAKV and

DARV—remain unknown. In general, viruses in the genus *Henipavirus* have broad host ranges

and cause high case fatality rates following human spillover, making the characterization of new

HNVs a high public health priority.

The HNV genome consists of six structural proteins: nucleocapsid (N), phosphoprotein (P),
matrix (M), fusion (F), glycoprotein (G), and polymerase (L). In comparison with other members
of the family *Paramyxoviridae,* HNVs have relatively larger genomes (approximately 18kb vs
16kb). This extended length is largely due to several, long 3' untranslated regions (UTR) of the
N, P, F and G mRNAs (18, 19). The genome length of HNVs, like all paramyxoviruses, adheres to
the so-called 'Rule of Six', whereby viral genomes consistently demonstrate polyhexameric
length (20). The 'Rule of Six' is believed to be a requirement for efficient genome replication
under the unique mRNA editing features of the paramyxovirus genome (20). The paramyxovirus
P locus exhibits notable transcription properties that are shared across most members of the
Paramyxoviridae family. The P gene permits the translation of additional accessory proteins
from either gene editing events within the locus (prior to translation) or an overlapping ORF in
the P gene itself. All HNVs, with the exception of CedV, harbor a highly conserved mRNA editing
site at which the insertion of additional guanine residues can result in the translation of
accessory proteins, V and W, involved in viral antagonism and evasion of the host immune
system (1). The HNV P gene also contains an overlapping ORF that allows for the synthesis of a
third accessory protein, C, which is also involved in viral host immune evasion (1).
124
Our lab has previously demonstrated evidence of exposure to henipa-like viruses in serum
collected from three endemic Madagascar fruit bat species (*E. dupreanum, Pteropus rufus,* and
Rousettus madagascariensis) using a Luminex serological assay which identified cross reactivity
to CedV/NiV/HeV-G and -F proteins (21)*.* The most significant antibody binding previously
detected corresponded to the NiV-G antigen for *E. dupreanum* serum and the HeV-F antigen for
P. rufus and *R. madagascariensis* serum, suggesting the potential circulation of multiple HNVs in
the Madagascar fruit bat system (21). Fruit bats, including *E. dupreanum,* are consumed widely
in Madagascar as a source of human food, presenting opportunities for cross-species zoonotic
emergence. This underscores the importance of further characterization of the pathogenic and
zoonotic potential of AngV and other potential HNVs circulating in the Madagascar fruit bat
system. Here, we describe and characterize a novel bat HNV, Angavokely virus (AngV),
recovered from urine samples collected from the Madagascar fruit bat, *E. dupreanum*. Our
work suggests AngV is part of an ancestral group of HNVs that may rely on a novel, non-ephrin-
mediated viral entry pathway.
139
Methods
Ethics Statement
Animal capture and handling and subsequent collection of biological samples were conducted
in strict accordance with the Madagascar Ministry of Forest and the Environment (permit
numbers 019/18, 170/18, 007/19) and guidelines posted by the American Veterinary Medical

Association. Field protocols were approved by the UC Berkeley Animal Care and Use Committee
(ACUC Protocol # AUP-2017-10-10393), as previously described (22).
147
Animal capture, sample collection, and RNA extraction
Fruit bats were captured and processed in part with a long-term study investigating the
seasonal dynamics of potentially zoonotic viruses in Madagascar, as has been previously
described (21–25). Animals were identified morphologically by species, sex, and age class
(juvenile vs. adult), and urine swabs were collected into viral transport medium from any
individual that urinated during handling. Urine swabs were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen in the
field and delivered to -80^{0}C freezers at Institut Pasteur de Madagascar for long-term storage.
Urine specimens from 206 bats were randomly selected for total RNA extraction using the Zymo
Quick RNA/DNA Microprep Plus kit, performed as previously described (22).
157
mNGS library preparation
Total urine RNAs were diluted with nuclease-free H$_2$O, and 5uL of each specimen was used as
input for mNGS library preparation. A 2-fold dilution series of a 25ng/uL stock of HeLa total RNA
(n=8 samples), along with 5 water samples were included and processed in parallel as positive
and negative controls, respectively. Additionally, a 25pg aliquot of External RNA Control
Consortium (ERCC) spike-in mix (Thermo-Fisher) was included in each sample. Dual-indexed
mNGS library preparations for the samples were miniaturized and performed in 384-well
format with NEBNext Ultra II RNAseq library preparation kit (New England Biolabs) reagents.
RNA samples were fragmented for 12 min at 94°C, and 16 cycles of PCR amplification were
performed. Per sample read yields from a small scale iSeq (Illumina) paired-end 2 x 146bp
sequencing run on an equivolume pool of the individual libraries were used to normalize
volumes of the individual mNGS libraries to generate an equimolar pool. Paired-end 2 x 146bp
sequencing of the resulting equimolar library pool was performed on the NovaSeq6000
(Illumina) to obtain approximately 50 million reads per sample.
172
Sequence analysis
Raw reads from urine sample sequencing were first uploaded to the CZBID (v6.8) platform for
host and quality filtering and *de novo* assembly (26). In brief, in the CZBID pipeline, adaptor
sequences were removed with Trimmomatic (v.0.38), and reads were quality filtered (27).
Reads then underwent host-filtration against the Malagasy fruit bat genome, *E. dupreanum*,
using STAR (v 2.7.9a) (28) and a second host-removal step using Bowtie2 (29). After host
filtering, reads were aligned using rapsearch2 (30) and Gsnap (31), and putative pathogen taxa
were identified. Next, reads were assembled using SPADES (v.3.15.3) (32), and all contigs
generated were subject to BLAST analysis against the putative taxa previously identified by

rapsearch2 and Gsnap. We considered samples positive for HNV if the CZBID pipeline produced
at least one contig with an average read depth of two or more, which yielded a BLAST
alignment length >100 nt/aa and an e-value < 0.00001 (BLASTn v2.5.0+) or a bit score >100
(BLASTx v2.5.0+) when queried against an HNV database derived from all HNV genomes
available in NCBI (Accessed July 2021).
187
Genome Annotation and Comparison
One urine sample, collected from an adult female *E. dupreanum* fruit bat in March 2019,
yielded a near full-length HNV genome, which we analyzed in greater depth in subsequent
analyses and annotated as the novel HNV, AngV (Genbank Accession #: ON613535). Nucleotide
BLAST of the AngV genome identified NiV (GenBank Accession #: AF212302) as the top hit for
this novel virus and was subsequently chosen as the reference genome for further analysis. We
aligned AngV to NiV (GenBank Accession #: AF212302) in the program Geneious Prime
(v2020.2.4) and annotated all six major HNV structural genes, and the accessory C ORF, within
the P gene. We identified the putative mRNA editing site within the P gene sequence (spanning
nucleotides 1,225-1,232 of the P gene) and manually added one or two guanine (G) residues to
the 3' end of the conserved HNV mRNA editing site to generate V and W ORFs, respectively,
and their corresponding proteins. We furthered queried all identified transcriptional elements
against publicly available sequences using NCBI BLAST and BLASTx (33). Resulting BLAST and
BLASTx hits were used in phylogenetic analyses as described below.
202
We used the program pySimPlot to scan the whole genome sequence of AngV for nucleotide
sequence identity to the NiV genome (GenBank Accession #: AF212302) and the nucleotide and
amino acid sequences of individual Open Reading Frames (ORFs) contained therein.
Respectively, window size and scanning were specified as 50 and one for nucleotide pairwise
identity and 50 and five for amino acid pairwise identity. Results were visualized using Prism
(9.2.0).
209
Phylogenetic analyses
We constructed 10 Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees to analyze the evolutionary
relatedness of our putative HNV to previously described paramyxoviruses. These included (a)
one amino acid L protein phylogeny comparing the L protein of AngV to all reference L protein
paramyxovirus sequences in NCBI, in addition to the newly-described shrew HNV, GAKV and
DARV (accessed November 2021) and (b) nine amino acid phylogenies comparing each
individual protein annotated in AngV (N, P, C, V, W, M, F, G, L) against the top 50 BLASTx
sequence hits for each protein collapsed on 98% sequence similarity. Distinct outgroups were
applied: (a) Sunshine Coast Virus (GenBank Accession #: YP_009094051.1) for L protein, (b)

Human orthopneumovirus (HRSV, GenBank Accession #: NC_001781) for N, P, M, F, G, L

proteins, and (c) Sendai virus (GenBank Accession #: NP_056872) for gene C.

For each phylogenetic tree, we aligned sequences via the MUSCLE algorithm (v3.8.1551) (34)

and determined the best fit nucleotide or amino acid substitution model for using ModelTest-

NG (35). Phylogenies were then constructed in RAxML-NG (36), using the corresponding best fit

model: JTT (complete L-protein sequence) or LG+G4+F (individual proteins). In accordance with

best practices outlined in the RAxML-NG manual, twenty ML inferences were made on each

original alignment. Bootstrap replicate trees were inferred using Felsenstein's method (37).

MRE-based bootstopping test was applied after every 200 replicates (38), and bootstrapping

was terminated once diagnostic statistics dropped below the threshold value. Bootstrap

support values were drawn on the best-scoring tree.

We additionally computed one Bayesian time-resolved phylogeny, using all 77 full-length HNV

nucleotide sequences available in NCBI, including our newly contributed AngV (GenBank

Accession #: ON613535). As with ML trees, sequences were first aligned in MUSCLE (v3.8.1551)

(34), and the best fit nucleotide substitution model was subsequently queried in ModelTest-NG

(35). We then constructed a Bayesian timetree in the program BEAST2 (39, 40), using the best

fit GTR+I+G4 model inferred for the whole genome alignment from ModelTest-NG and

assuming a constant population prior. Sampling dates corresponded to collection data as

reported in NCBI Virus; we assumed a collection date of 31-July in cases where only year of

collection was reported. We computed trees using both an uncorrelated exponentially

distributed relaxed molecular clock (UCED) and a strict clock but here report results from the

strict clock only as similar results were inferred from both. We ran Markov Chain Monte Carlo

(MCMC) sample chains for 1 billion iterations, checked convergence using TRACER v1.7 (41) and

averaged trees after 10% burn-in using TreeAnnotator v2.6.3 (42) to visualize mean posterior

densities at each node. The resulting phylogeny was visualized in R v.4.0.3 for MacIntosh in the

'ggtree' package (43).

AngV G Protein Structure Modeling

We used the Artificial Intelligence system, AlphaFold, to predict the 3D structure of the AngV

glycoprotein (G) (44). Molecular graphics and analyses of the AngV glycoprotein structure were

performed with UCSF ChimeraX (45). HNV glycoprotein ephrin binding residues were aligned

using the program Geneious Prime (v2020.2.4).

Results

Discovery and prevalence of HNV in Malagasy bats

Urine swab specimens from 206 bats were collected in 8 roosting sites across the island of
Madagascar from 2013 to 2019 (Figure 1). Urine samples were collected during wet and dry
seasons from all three Madagascar fruit bat species, *P. rufus*, *E. dupreanum*, and *R.*
madagascariensis (Table 1). Isolated RNA from urine swab specimens generated an average of
19 million paired-read sequences. In total, 10/206 (4.9%) bats were positive for HNV; all
positive samples were collected from *E. dupreanum* bats (10/106; 9.4%) at the Angavokely cave
roosting site (Table 1). Positive samples were collected in wet and dry seasons from both male
and female adults.
262

Figure 1. Geographic location of sampling sites used in this study. Sampling sites grouped by bat species found
depicted as follows *P. rufus* (pink circles) Ambakoana (−18.51 S, 48.17 E) / Mahabo (-20.46 S, 44.68 E) /
Mahialambo (-18.11 S, 48.21 E) / Makira (-15.11 S, 49.59 E) / Marovitsika (-18.84 S, 48.06 E) roosts; *E. dupreanum*
(green triangles) Angavobe (−18.94 S, 47.95 E) /Angavokely (−18.93 S, 47.76 E) caves; *R. madagascariensis* (orange
squares) Maromizaha cave (−18.96 S, 48.45). Pie charts are size-weighted by total bat population sampled at each
site, corresponding to the legend. The percentage of HNV positive samples is shown for all sampled species and
sites. HNV positive samples were only recovered from the *E. dupreanum* Angavokely site.

Genomic characterization of AngV

We recovered one near-full-length HNV contig (16,740 nt), supported by an average sequencing

depth of 14 reads (Figure 2A), from a urine sample collected from an adult, non-lactating *E.*

dupreanum female in the 2018-2019 wet season (capture date: 15-March 2019). We focused

subsequent genomic analyses on this longest sequence, which we named Angavokely virus

(AngV) after the site of *E. dupreanum* capture.

As with other members of the *Henipavirus* genus, the genome of AngV is organized into 6 open

reading frames (ORF) arranged in the order 3'-N-P-M-F-G-L-5'. AngV shares an average

nucleotide identity of 36% with the NiV reference genome (AF212302) and a varying amino acid

identity that is highest across the ORFs encoding for the nucleocapsid and L polymerase

proteins (Figures 2B, 2C).

Figure 2. AngV genome organization. A. Coding regions for each gene are shown and depicted in color, non-coding intergenic and terminal regions are highlighted in gray. Depicted genes represented as follows: nucleocapsid (N),

288 RNA polymerase (P and L), matrix (M), fusion (F), and glycoprotein (G). Sequencing read depth supporting each
289 position of the recovered genome sequence is plotted below the genomic schematic. Scanning nucleotide (B) and
290 amino acid (C) pairwise identity to Nipah virus (GenBank Accession #: AF212302). Dotted horizontal lines represent
291 average read depth (14.29) or average nucleotide pairwise identity (36%).
292

AngV coding regions

The P gene of AngV follows an organization similar to most members of the *Henipavirus* genus.

AngV harbors alternative start sites which, respectively, encode the P and C proteins, as well as

a conserved putative mRNA editing site common to most paramyxoviruses $A^{4-6}G^{2-3}$ (Figure 3A

and B). AngV shares an identical putative mRNA editing site with the recently discovered HNVs,

MojV and GAKV. Pseudotemplated addition of one or two G residues at the conserved putative

mRNA editing site generates a putative V and W protein, respectively (Figure 3C; W protein in

Supplemental Figure 1). In congruence with members of the *Henipavirus* genus that encode the

conserved putative mRNA editing site, the putative V protein of AngV harbors a unique C-

terminal region that contains a highly conserved cysteine-rich zinc finger domain (Figure 3C).

305
Figure 3. Organization of the P gene of AngV. A. Alternative transcriptional start sites (pink triangle) generate the P
and C protein. Pseudotemplated addition of one or two guanine nucleotides at the putative mRNA editing site
generates a V and W protein, respectively. B. Sequence alignment of the putative mRNA editing site across
members of the *Henipavirus* genus (cRNA depicted). C. Amino acid alignment of the unique C terminal region of
the V protein following the addition of one guanine nucleotide to the putative mRNA editing site. Gray boxes

denote conserved cysteine and histidine residues suggested to directly coordinate bound zinc ions (54). Individual
nucleotides or amino acids are color coordinated if at least 75% conserved at the alignment position. Nucleotide or
amino acid position numbers displayed represent the position within the AngV gene or protein. Virus name
(abbreviation), followed by GenBank Accession #: Angavokely virus (AngV) ON613535; Nipah virus (NiV) AF212302;
Hendra virus (HeV) AF017149; Mojiang virus (MojV) KF278639; Ghanaian bat Henipavirus (GhV) HQ660129;
Daeryong virus (DARV) MZ574409; Gamak virus (GAKV) MZ574407, Cedar virus (CedV) JQ001776. CedV is shown
here only for comparison, as the CedV P protein is not believed to undergo RNA editing or to generate a functional
V protein (8, 54).
319

The length of each ORF in the AngV genome resembles those from previously described HNVs,

with the exception of the gene encoding the glycoprotein (G)—which, at 688 aa, is 56 aa longer

than the longest previously-characterized HNV glycoprotein from GhV (632 aa; Table 2) (15).

BLAST analysis indicates that AngV ORFs for genes encoding the nucleocapsid (N), matrix (M),

and polymerase (L) proteins exhibit the highest nucleotide and amino acid pairwise identity

with other HNVs, with highest similarity shared with the NiV L protein (nt 74.7%, aa 52.2%,

Table 2). In contrast to many emerging viruses, AngV largely exhibits higher nucleotide vs.

amino acid identity with other HNVs (Table 2). The more recently discovered HNVs (MojV,

CedV, GAKV, DARV, GhV) mirror this pattern, showing higher nucleotide vs. amino acid identity

when compared to NiV and HeV (data not shown).

AngV non-coding regions

Examination of all viral intergenic regions (in cRNA orientation) reveals that AngV exhibits the

highly conserved CTT intergenic junction site characteristic of other HNVs, as well as gene stop

and gene start sites with high similarity to those of previously described HNVs (Supplemental

Table 1). We were unable to locate the intergenic junction site and transcriptional start or stop

site in the 5' region of the N ORF for AngV, suggesting that the genomic 3' untranslated regions

(UTR) for AngV have not yet been fully recovered. Comparison of the 5' and 3' UTRs for AngV

with those of other HNVs reveals UTRs of varying lengths within the *Henipavirus* genus

(Supplemental Table 2). Nevertheless, AngV exhibits similar lengths and a nucleotide identity of

roughly 30-40% for the 5' and 3' UTRs of most HNV genes; however, the P gene 3' UTR, the M

gene 5' and 3' UTRs, and the F gene 5' and 3' UTRs, are significantly shorter in AngV compared

with previously described HNVs. Correspondingly, nucleotide identity varies when comparing

this shorter subset of 5' and 3' UTRs for AngV against other HNVs (Supplemental Table 2).

Phylogenetic Analyses

Phylogenetic analysis of complete L protein amino acid sequences across the *Paramyxoviridae*

family places AngV within the *Henipavirus* genus at <0.82 nucleotide substitutions away from

the node distinguishing the family *Paramyxoviridae* from the *Sunviridae* (Figure 4A). AngV

clusters independently within the *Henipavirus* genus and diverges ancestral to all currently

known bat-borne HNVs. Our time-resolved Bayesian phylogeny further corroborates this result,

placing AngV ancestral to all previously described bat-borne HNVs but more recently diverged

than the rodent- and shrew-borne HNVs, MojV, GAKV, and DARV (Figure 4B; Supplemental

Figure 2). We estimate the divergence of the AngV lineage from the rest of the HNV clade at

9,794 years ago (95% HPD 6,519-14,024 years), and the time to the Most Recent Common

Ancestor (MRCA) for the entire HNV genus as 11,195 years ago (95% HPD 7,351-15,905 years).

357
Figure 4. A. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete L protein sequences of members of the family *Paramyxoviridae*.
Tree is rooted with Sunshine Coast Virus (GenBank Accession #: YP_009094051.1) as an outgroup, with outgroup
branch length shrunk for ease of viewing. Novel HNV, AngV, is depicted in green. Subfamilies and genera are

demarcated, excluding those unassigned to subfamily (genera *Scolidonvirus, Cynoglossusvirus, Hoplichthysvirus*).
Bootstrap support is depicted and GenBank Accession numbers displayed next to virus names. Scale bar represents
substitutions per site. B. Time-resolved Bayesian phylogeny computed in BEAST2 incorporating all available
Henipavirus whole genome nucleotide sequences, with the addition of newly discovered GAKV, DARV, and AngV.
Closely-related sequences are collapsed at triangle nodes for NiV and HeV (phylogeny with un-collapsed branches
available in Supplemental Figure 2). 95% HPD intervals around the timing of each branching node are visualized as
red horizontal bars. Posterior support >.9 is indicated by black coloring of the corresponding node, and distinct
Henipavirus species are indicated by colored tip points, with AngV highlighted in yellow for further emphasis. The
estimated time to MRCA for Angavokely virus and the previously-described bat-borne HNVs is 9,794 (95% HPD
6,519 – 14,025) years ago.

In N, P, C, V, W, M and G amino acid phylogenies, the AngV proteins cluster closely with those

of other HNVs (Supplemental Figure 3). Interestingly, in the amino acid phylogeny, the AngV F

protein, like the F proteins of MojV, GAKV, and DARV, localizes ancestral to non-HNV

paramyxoviruses and distinct from the bat-borne HNV clade (Supplemental Figure 3). The AngV

L protein shows the highest amino acid identity to the L protein of rodent-borne Mount Mabu

Lophuromys virus 2 (MMLV-2), a putative Jeilongvirus (46), but is nonetheless nested between

the MojV/GAKV/DARV clade and the bat-borne HNV clade (Supplementary Figure 3).

AngV glycoprotein

We further examined the AngV G protein for conserved structural features and amino acid

residues historically associated with HNV ephrin binding. AlphaFold analysis revealed a six-

bladed β-propeller fold that is characteristic of *Paramyxoviridae* glycoproteins, with each blade

largely composed of 4 antiparallel β-strands (Figure 5A). The β-propeller fold is stabilized by

seven disulfide bonds that are conserved among HNVs (Supplemental Figure 4). This HNV

protein G structure-based alignment reveals that the elongated AngV G protein primarily

results from a lengthy C-terminal tail with an additional 67 aa beyond that of NiV G protein

(Supplemental Figure 4). Similar to the MojV G protein, the AngV G protein lacks previously

described ephrin binding residues (NiV W504, E505, T531, A532, E533, N557, and Y581) (Figure

5B and Supplemental Figure 4) (16, 47, 48).

392
Figure 5. AlphaFold-predicted AngV glycoprotein 3D structure and ephrin binding residue sequence alignment. A.
AlphaFold-predicted 3D structure of AngV glycoprotein. N and C termini are indicated in white. B. Alignment of
HNV ephrin binding residues. The position of previously-described HNV ephrin binding residues are noted by a star,
and residues conserved across most HNVs are highlighted yellow. Amino acid position numbers displayed
represent the position within the AngV or NiV glycoproteins. Virus name (abbreviations) followed by GenBank
Accession #: Angavokely virus (AngV) ON613535; Nipah virus (NiV) AF212302; Hendra virus (HeV) AF017149;
Mojiang virus (MojV) KF278639; Cedar virus (CedV) JQ001776; Ghanaian bat Henipavirus (GhV) HQ660129; Gamak
virus (GAKV) MZ574407; Daeryong virus (DARV) MZ574409.

Discussion

We describe and characterize a novel HNV, AngV, from a urine sample collected from an *E.*

dupreanum Malagasy fruit bat. In this study, urine samples from 206 unique fruit bats were

assessed by metagenomic sequencing, yielding an overall positive HNV detection rate of 4.9%

(10/206) for all bats studied and a HNV prevalence of 9.4% (10/106) for the *E. dupreanum*

hosts. Of all the HNV positive samples, only one sample yielded sufficient reads for assembly of

a complete coding sequence and subsequent genomic analysis. In a 6-year collection period

spanning multiple wet/dry seasons, HNV positive samples were only recovered from *E.*

dupreanum bats in the Angavokely roosting site, despite prior serological evidence of HNV

infection in *P. rufus* and *R. madagascariensis* bats, as well (21). HNV RNA was recovered from *E.*

dupreanum in both wet and dry seasons, though higher sampling intensity throughout the wet

season precludes any conclusions regarding underlying seasonal patterns in these data.

Previous work in this system has suggested a seasonal increase in fruit bat seroprevalence

across the winter low nutrient season, which also overlaps the gestation period for these

synchronously breeding fruit bats (21). In fruit bat systems elsewhere, HNVs are also shed in

urine at higher rates during the nutrient-poor dry seasons for the localities in question (49–51);

in the case of NiV and HeV, these seasonal viral shedding pulses have been linked to zoonotic

spillover.

The recovered genome of AngV exhibits a structural organization characteristic of the

Henipavirus genus and a nucleotide and amino acid identity to HeV and NiV that is comparable

to those shared with the more distantly related HNVs, MojV, GhV and CedV. A limited quantity

of available original sample precluded full genome recovery for AngV (as evidenced by the lack

of the 5' UTR region of the N ORF), which prevented analysis of the extent to which the full

AngV genome may abide by the 'Rule-of-Six', observed by all other members of the

Orthoparamyxovirinae subfamily (52). Phylogenetic analyses of AngV support classification of

this virus as a distinct novel bat-borne *Henipavirus* (L gene amino acid distance <0.82 distance

for the subfamily *Orthoparamyxovirinae*), in accordance to the International Committee on

Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) criteria (19). This novel HNV is estimated to have diverged

approximately 9,800 years ago, prior to the currently known African and Asian bat-borne HNV

lineages but considerably more recently than the estimated mid-to late-Miocene divergence of

E. dupreanum from its sister species, *E. helvum,* on the African continent (53). Recent

characterization of *Betacoronaviruses* in Madagascar fruit bats demonstrates surprising identity

to lineages circulating in West Africa (22), suggesting that, despite their endemism, Malagasy

fruit bats likely experience some form of contact with the African continent. Of the 49 bat

species that inhabit the island nation of Madagascar, nine species are widely distributed across

Africa, Asia, and/or Europe, presenting opportunities for inter-species viral transmission via

island-hopping. Intensified viral sampling of Madagascar's insectivorous bat populations for

HNVs thus represents an important future research priority.

As an ancestral bat-borne HNV, AngV may provide important insight into HNV evolution and

pathogenesis. Similar to other paramyxoviruses, the encoded AngV P gene is able to produce

multiple immunomodulatory protein products (54). One such protein product is the V protein,

thought to be involved in immune evasion and considered a significant determinant of viral

pathogenicity and lethality (55, 56). AngV harbors the highly conserved mRNA editing site and a

predicted ORF that encodes a V protein with a conserved cysteine-rich C-terminus, suggesting

that AngV has the capacity to produce a functional V protein. With the exception of the newly

discovered HNVs in shrews, GAKV and DARV, all HNVs harboring a V protein have previously

demonstrated evidence of human infection, highlighting the potential for AngV to cause

productive infection in humans (1, 9, 12). Further studies are needed to ascertain the virulence

potential and host breadth of this novel virus.

Characterization of the AngV glycoprotein (G) through AlphaFold modeling and structure-based

alignments revealed a similar structural organization to other HNV glycoproteins. Notably, the

AngV glycoprotein surpasses that of GhV as the longest glycoprotein of the *Henipavirus* genus.

Like that of GhV, the AngV glycoprotein harbors a long C terminal extension (Supplemental

Figure 4). It is unclear if the C terminal extension of the AngV glycoprotein has a functional role,

though the C terminal extension of the glycoprotein in GhV is known to play a functional role in

receptor-mediated fusion (15).

Henipavirus host tropism and virulence rely on a myriad of factors, one of which is the HNV

glycoprotein. The previously characterized HNV glycoproteins of NiV, HeV, CedV, and GhV,

utilize members of the ephrinA and ephrinB class family as host-cell receptors for viral entry

into human cells (15–17, 47, 57). However, like MojV, the AngV glycoprotein lacks these well-

conserved ephrin binding residues. Structure-based alignments can shed light on potential

receptor binding residues when characterizing novel viruses. For instance, sequence-based

comparisons of the GhV and NiV glycoproteins were used to predict GhV ephrin binding (12),

which was later confirmed by crystallography (15). Structure-based alignment of the AngV

glycoprotein shows a lack of highly conserved ephrin binding residues, including NiV E533 – a seminal residue for ephrinB2 binding that is conserved across all ephrin binding HNVs. This suggests that, like MojV—and probably DARV and GAKV—the AngV glycoprotein may not bind ephrins, pointing to the possible use of an ancestral viral entry pathway. The growing number of novel HNVs that appear not to rely on ephrin binding for cellular entry could warrant re-evaluation of the existing HNV genus to better reflect conserved function and pathobiology.

This work presents a novel bat-HNV, AngV, identified from a Malagasy fruit bat. AngV joins a growing group of ancestral HNVs with unknown cell-entry receptors. Discovery of the cell surface receptor for AngV represents an important future research priority that will shed light on the breadth of host range for this virus, including its zoonotic potential.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Anecia Gentles and Kimberly Rivera for help in the field and the lab and

acknowledge the Virology Unit at the Institut Pasteur de Madagascar and Maira Phelps of the

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub (CZB) for logistical support. They additionally thank Angela Detweiler,

Michelle Tan, and Norma Neff of the CZB genomics platform for mNGS support. Molecular

graphics and analyses were performed with UCSF ChimeraX, developed by the Resource for

Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with

support from the National Institutes of Health R01-GM129325 and the Office of Cyber

Infrastructure and Computational Biology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (1R01AI129822-01 grant to JMH,

PD, and CEB; 5T32AI007641-19 to SM; R01AI109022 to HAC), DARPA (PREEMPT Program

Cooperative Agreement no. D18AC00031 to CEB), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

(GCE/ID OPP1211841 to CEB and JMH), the Adolph C. and Mary Sprague Miller Institute for

Basic Research in Science (postdoctoral fellowship to CEB), the Branco Weiss Society in Science

(fellowship to CEB), and the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability

Raw and assembled sequencing data are deposited in NCBI Bioproject PRJNA837298. The full

genome of AngV is available in GenBank under Accession # ON613535. All raw data and code

for figures can be obtained in our open-access GitHub repository:

https://github.com/brooklabteam/angavokely-virus

529   References

530   1.   Eaton BT, Broder CC, Middleton D, Wang L-F. 2006. Hendra and Nipah viruses:
531   Different and dangerous. Nat Rev Microbiol 4:23–35.

532   2.   Sharma V, Kaushik S, Kumar R, Yadav JP, Kaushik S. 2019. Emerging trends of
533   Nipah virus: A review. Reviews in Medical Virology 29.

534   3.   Arunkumar G, Chandni R, Mourya DT, Singh SK, Sadanandan R, Sudan P, Bhargava
535   B. 2019. Outbreak investigation of Nipah Virus Disease in Kerala, India, 2018.
536   Journal of Infectious Diseases 219:1867–1878.

537   4.   Murray K, Selleck P, Hooper P, Hyatt a, Gould a, Gleeson L, Westbury H, Hiley L,
538   Selvey L, Rodwell B. 1995. A morbillivirus that caused fatal disease in horses and
539   humans. Science 268:94–7.

540   5.   Hsu VP, Hossain MJ, Parashar UD, Ali MM, Ksiazek TG, Kuzmin I, Niezgoda M,
541   Rupprecht C, Bresee J, Breiman RF. 2004. Nipah virus encephalitis reemergence,
542   Bangladesh. Emerging Infectious Diseases 10:2082–2087.

543   6.   Gurley ES, Montgomery JM, Hossain MJ, Bell M, Azad AK, Islam MR, Molla MAR,
544   Carroll DS, Ksiazek TG, Rota PA, Lowe L, Comer JA, Rollin P, Czub M, Grolla A,
545   Feldmann H, Woodward JL, Breiman RF. 2007. Person-to-Person transmission of
546   Nipah virus in a Bangladeshi community. Emerging Infectious Diseases 13:1031–
547   1037.

548   7.   Luby SP, Gurley ES. 2012. Epidemiology of Henipavirus Disease in Humans, p. 25–
549   40. *In* Lee, B, Rota, PA (eds.), Henipavirus. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,
550   Heidelberg.

551   8.   Marsh GA, de Jong C, Barr JA, Tachedjian M, Smith C, Middleton D, Yu M, Todd S,
552   Foord AJ, Haring V, Payne J, Robinson R, Broz I, Crameri G, Field HE, Wang L-F.
553   2012. Cedar virus: a novel Henipavirus isolated from Australian bats. PLoS
554   Pathogens 8:e1002836.

555   9.   Wu Z, Yang L, Yang F, Ren X, Jiang J, Dong J, Sun L, Zhu Y, Zhou H, Jin Q. 2014.
556   Novel Henipa-like virus, Mojiang paramyxovirus, in rats, China, 2012. Emerging
557   Infectious Diseases 20:1064–1066.

10.   Lee SH, Kim K, Kim J, No JS, Park K, Budhathoki S, Lee SH, Lee J, Cho SH, Cho S, Lee
GY, Hwang J, Kim HC, Klein TA, Uhm CS, Kim WK, Song JW. 2021. Discovery and
genetic characterization of novel paramyxoviruses related to the genus
henipavirus in crocidura species in the republic of Korea. Viruses 13.

11.   Drexler JF, Corman VM, Müller MA, Maganga GD, Vallo P, Binger T, Gloza-Rausch
F, Rasche A, Yordanov S, Seebens A, Oppong S, Adu Sarkodie Y, Pongombo C,
Lukashev AN, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Stöcker A, Carneiro AJB, Erbar S, Maisner A,
Fronhoffs F, Buettner R, Kalko EK v, Kruppa T, Franke CR, Kallies R, Yandoko ERN,
Herrler G, Reusken C, Hassanin A, Krüger DH, Matthee S, Ulrich RG, Leroy EM,
Drosten C. 2012. Bats host major mammalian paramyxoviruses. Nat Commun
3:796.

12.   Pernet O, Schneider BS, Beaty SM, LeBreton M, Yun TE, Park A, Zachariah TT,
Bowden T a., Hitchens P, Ramirez CM, Daszak P, Mazet J, Freiberg AN, Wolfe ND,
Lee B. 2014. Evidence for henipavirus spillover into human populations in Africa.
Nature Communications 5:5342.

13.   Amaya M, Broder CC. 2020. Vaccines to emerging viruses: Nipah and Hendra.
Annual Review of Virology 7:447–473.

14.   Cheliout Da Silva S, Yan L, Dang H v., Xu K, Epstein JH, Veesler D, Broder CC. 2021.
Functional analysis of the fusion and attachment glycoproteins of mojiang
henipavirus. Viruses 13.

15.   Lee B, Pernet O, Ahmed A a., Zeltina A, Beaty SM, Bowden T a. 2015. Molecular
recognition of human ephrinB2 cell surface receptor by an emergent African
henipavirus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 201501690.

16.   Laing ED, Navaratnarajah CK, Cheliout S, Silva D, Petzing SR, Xu Y, Broder CC, Xu K.
2019. Structural and functional analyses reveal promiscuous and species specific
use of ephrin receptors by Cedar virus. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 116:20707–20715.

17.   Rissanen I, Ahmed AA, Azarm K, Beaty S, Hong P, Nambulli S, Duprex WP, Lee B,
Bowden TA. 2017. Idiosyncratic Mòjiang virus attachment glycoprotein directs a

host-cell entry pathway distinct from genetically related henipaviruses. Nature

Communications 8.

18.   Flick R, Walpita P, Czub M. 2006. Nipah and Hendra viral infections, p. 586–589. *In*

Tropical Infectious Diseases. Churchill Livingstone.

19.   Rima B, Buschmann AB-, Dundon WG, Duprex P, Easton A, Fouchier R, Kurath G,

Lamb R, Lee B, Rota P, Wang L, Consortium IR. 2019. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile:

Paramyxoviridae. Journal of General Virology 100:1593–1594.

20.   Calain P, Roux L. 1993. The rule of six, a basic feature for efficient replication of

Sendai virus defective interfering RNA. Journal of Virology 67:4822–4830.

21.   Brook CE, Ranaivoson HC, Broder CC, Cunningham AA, Héraud J-M, Peel AJ, Gibson

L, Wood JLN, Metcalf CJ, Dobson AP. 2019. Disentangling serology to elucidate

henipa- and filovirus transmission in Madagascar fruit bats. Journal of Animal

Ecology 88:1001–1016.

22.   Kettenburg G, Kistler A, Ranaivoson HC, Ahyong V, Andrianiaina A, Andry S, DeRisi

JL, Gentles A, Raharinosy V, Randriambolamanantsoa TH, Ravelomanantsoa NAF,

Tato CM, Dussart P, Heraud J-M, Brook CE. 2022. Full genome Nobecovirus

sequences from Malagasy fruit bats define a unique evolutionary history for this

coronavirus clade. Frontiers in Public Health 10.

23.   Brook CE, Ranaivoson HC, Andriafidison D, Ralisata M, Razafimanahaka J, Héraud J,

Dobson AP, Metcalf CJ. 2019. Population trends for two Malagasy fruit bats.

Biological Conservation 234:165–171.

24.   Ranaivoson HC, Héraud J-M, Goethert HK, Telford III SR, Rabetafika L, Brook CE.

2019. Babesial infection in the Madagascan flying fox, *Pteropus rufus* É. Geoffroy,

1803. Parasites & Vectors 1–13.

25.   Brook CE, Bai Y, Dobson AP, Osikowicz LM, Ranaivoson HC, Zhu Q, Kosoy MY,

Dittmar K. 2015. Bartonella spp. in Fruit Bats and Blood-Feeding Ectoparasites in

Madagascar. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 9.

26.   Kalantar KL, Carvalho T, de Bourcy CFA, Dimitrov B, Dingle G, Egger R, Han J,

Holmes OB, Juan YF, King R, Kislyuk A, Lin MF, Mariano M, Morse T, Reynoso L v.,

Cruz DR, Sheu J, Tang J, Wang J, Zhang MA, Zhong E, Ahyong V, Lay S, Chea S, Bohl

JA, Manning JE, Tato CM, DeRisi JL. 2021. IDseq-An open source cloud-based

pipeline and analysis service for metagenomic pathogen detection and

monitoring. Gigascience 9:1–14.

27.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

28.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,

Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics

29:15–21.

29.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature

9:357–360.

30.   Zhao Y, Tang H, Ye Y. 2012. RAPSearch2: A fast and memory-efficient protein

similarity search tool for next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28:125–

126.

31.   Wu TD, Nacu S. 2010. Fast and SNP-tolerant detection of complex variants and

splicing in short reads. Bioinformatics 26:873–881.

32.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM,

Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A v., Sirotkin A v., Vyahhi N, Tesler G,

Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and

its applications to single-cell sequencing. Journal of Computational Biology

19:455–477.

33.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment

search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215:403–410.

34.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and

high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32:1792–1797.

35.   Darriba Di, Posada D, Kozlov AM, Stamatakis A, Morel B, Flouri T. 2020.

ModelTest-NG: A new and scalable tool for the selection of DNA and protein

evolutionary models. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37:291–294.

36.   Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: A fast,

645   scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

646   Bioinformatics 35:4453–4455.

647   37.   Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the

648   bootstrap. Evolution (N Y) 39:783–791.

649   38.   Pattengale ND, Alipour M, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Moret BME, Stamatakis A. 2010.

650   How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? Journal of Computational Biology

651   17:337–354.

652   39.   Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut

653   A, Drummond AJ. 2014. BEAST 2: A software platform for Bayesian evolutionary

654   analysis. PLoS Computational Biology 10.

655   40.   Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with

656   BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29:1969–1973.

657   41.   Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018. Posterior

658   summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology

659   67:901–904.

660   42.   Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by

661   sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7.

662   43.   Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TTY. 2017. Ggtree: an R Package for

663   visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other

664   associated data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8:28–36.

665   44.   Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O,

666   Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl

667   SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T,

668   Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M,

669   Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli

670   P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold.

671   Nature 596:583–589.

672   45.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH,
673   Ferrin TE. 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators,
674   and developers. Protein Science 30:70–82.

675   46.   Vanmechelen B, Bletsa M, Laenen L, Lopes AR, Vergote V, Beller L, Deboutte W,
676   Korva M, Avšič Županc T, Goüy de Bellocq J, Gryseels S, Leirs H, Lemey P, Vrancken
677   B, Maes P. 2018. Discovery and genome characterization of three new
678   Jeilongviruses, a lineage of paramyxoviruses characterized by their unique
679   membrane proteins. BMC Genomics 19.

680   47.   Guillaume V, Aslan H, Ainouze M, Guerbois M, Fabian Wild T, Buckland R,
681   Langedijk JPM. 2006. Evidence of a potential receptor-binding site on the Nipah
682   virus G protein (NiV-G): Identification of globular head residues with a role in
683   fusion promotion and their localization on an NiV-G structural model. Journal of
684   Virology 80:7546–7554.

685   48.   Negrete OA, Wolf MC, Aguilar HC, Enterlein S, Wang W, Mühlberger E, Su S v.,
686   Bertolotti-Ciarlet A, Flick R, Lee B. 2006. Two key residues in EphrinB3 are critical
687   for its use as an alternative receptor for Nipah virus. PLoS Pathogens 2:0078–0086.

688   49.   Field H, Jordan D, Edson D, Morris S, Melville D, Parry-Jones K, Broos A, Divljan A,
689   McMichael L, Davis R, Kung N, Kirkland P, Smith C. 2015. Spatiotemporal Aspects
690   of Hendra Virus Infection in Pteropid Bats (Flying-Foxes) in Eastern Australia. Plos
691   One 10:e0144055.

692   50.   Páez DJ, Giles J, Mccallum H, Field H, Jordan D, Peel AJ, Plowright RK. 2017.
693   Conditions affecting the timing and magnitude of Hendra virus shedding across
694   pteropodid bat populations in Australia. Epidemiology and Infection 145:3143–
695   3153.

696   51.   Cappelle J, Furey N, Hoem T, Ou TP, Lim T, Hul V, Heng O, Chevalier V, Dussart P,
697   Duong V. 2021. Longitudinal monitoring in Cambodia suggests higher circulation of
698   alpha and betacoronaviruses in juvenile and immature bats of three species.
699   Scientific Reports 11:24145.

52. Hausmann S, Jacques J-P, Kolakofsky D. 1996. Paramyxovirus RNA editing and the
requirement for hexamer genome length. RNA 2:1033–1045.

53. Shi JJ, Chan LM, Peel AJ, Lai R, Yoder AD, Goodman SM. 2014. A deep divergence
time between sister species of eidolon (Pteropodidae) with evidence for
widespread panmixia. Acta Chiropterologica 16:279–292.

54. Douglas J, Drummond AJ, Kingston RL. 2021. Evolutionary history of
cotranscriptional editing in the paramyxoviral phosphoprotein gene. Virus
Evolution 7.

55. Satterfield BA, Cross RW, Fenton KA, Agans KN, Basler CF, Geisbert TW, Mire CE.
2015. The immunomodulating v and W proteins of Nipah virus determine disease
course. Nature Communications 6.

56. Patterson JB, Thomas D, Lewicki H, Billeter MA, Oldstone MBA. 2000. V and C
proteins of measles virus function as virulence factors in vivo. Virology 267:80–89.

57. Negrete OA, Chu D, Aguilar HC, Lee B. 2007. Single amino acid changes in the
Nipah and Hendra virus attachment glycoproteins distinguish ephrinB2 from
ephrinB3 usage. Journal of Virology 81:10804–10814.

| | | By Site | | By Season/Sex | | |
Roost site	Species	Total sampled	Total henipavirus positive	Season	Total sampled # (M,F)	Total henipavirus positive # (M,F)
Ambakoana	*P. rufus*	18	0	wet '13	2 (1,1)	0 (0,0)
				wet '14/'15	3 (3,0)	
				wet '15/'16	2 (2,0)	
				wet '17/'18	2 (2,0)	
				wet '18/'19	9 (6,3)	
Angavobe	*E. dupreanum*	8	0	wet '17/'18	5 (1,4)	0 (0,0)
				dry '18	3 (1,2)	0 (0,0)
Angavokely	*E. dupreanum*	98	10	wet '15/'16	2 (1,1)	0 (0,0)
				wet '17/'18	38 (5,33)	4 (0,4)
				dry '18	11 (8,3)	2 (1,1)
				wet '18/'19	47 (20,27)	4 (2,2)
Mahabo	*P. rufus*	8	0	dry '14	8 (4,4)	0 (0,0)
Mahialambo	*P. rufus*	5	0	wet '18/'19	5 (2,3)	0 (0,0)
Makira	*P. rufus*	2	0	dry '15	2 (2,0)	0 (0,0)
Marovitsika	*P. rufus*	62	0	wet '17/'18	9 (3,6)	0 (0,0)
				dry '18	7 (3,4)	
				wet '18/'19	46 (20,26)	
Maromizaha	*R. madagascariensis*	5	0	wet '13	2 (1,1)	0 (0,0)
				dry '14	1 (0,1)	
				wet '14/'15	2 (2,0)	

737   **Table 2.** Length and pairwise sequence identity of predicted open reading frames of AngV and

738   other HNV.

Gene	AngV length aa	NiV length aa (%nt, %aa)	HeV	MojV	CedV	GAKV	DARV	GhV
N	514	532 (56.4, 48.0)	532 (55.4, 46.7)	539 (55.8, 45.3)	510 (57.5, 48.3)	533 (58.0, 47.5)	574 (55.3, 24.0)	514 (56.2, 48.9)
P	693	709 (47.8, 25.1)	707 (48.1, 24.1)	694 (47.6, 23.6)	737 (42.3, 21.6)	586 (43.8, 22.5)	698 (47.3, 23.2)	870 (42.2, 23.1)
C	173	166 (51.3, 25.7)	166 (51.5, 25.1)	177 (47.6, 22.5)	177 (54.5, 29.8)	184 (47.4, 27.5)	175 (48.1, 24.0)	163 (46.1, 23.1)
V	461	456 (46.9, 22.8)	457 (48.5, 23.6)	464 (47.2, 24.5)		370 (43.0, 22.3)	468 (47.2, 22.2)	621 (24.7, 19.8)
W	412	450 (47.0, 21.3)	448 (45.0, 20.0)	435 (46.5, 22.5)		331 (43.9, 22.7)	437 (45.5, 21.1)	572 (39.3, 16.8)
M	354	352 (60.0, 54.6)	352 (59.5, 54.0)	340 (57.1, 53.4)	360 (56.9, 53.1)	340 (56.1, 50.8)	345 (56.5, 52.3)	343 (56.9, 51.4)
F	539	546 (53.7, 39.4)	546 (51.7, 39.4)	545 (52.2, 40.6)	557 (51.8, 36.3)	565 (53.3, 39.4)	545 (58.8, 40.1)	662 (45.5, 35.4)
G	688	602 (43.5, 21.4)	604 (43.8, 20.4)	625 (43.0, 21.2)	622 (43.3, 19.8)	635 (44.9, 17.8)	628 (44.3, 18.7)	632 (42.5, 19.8)
L	2,259	2,244 (74.7, 52.2)	2,244 (57.6, 51.9)	2,277 (57.5, 51.8)	2,501 (52.4, 44.8)	2,291 (57.7, 51.0)	2,271 (58.8, 51.3)	2,250 (57.0, 49.1)

A

C terminus

N terminus

B

AngV S21   AngV 548   AngV 575   AngV 599
NiV 502   NiV 529   NiV 556   NiV 580

```
        *  *            * * * * *            *        *
AngV  F C H S S G Y   S H Y G     I A A G     I R
NiV   I C W E G Y Y   N Q T A E   T N A Q     Y I
HeV   I C W E G T Y   N Q T A E   T N A Q     Y I
MojV  I C Y G G T Y   N N G G T   Y S I T     Q A
CedV  I C Y G G T Y   D Q L A E   L N T R     T N
GhV   I C Y G G T Y   E Q V A E   S S A R     I T
GAKV  S C K T W N F   K T G N S   Q S I G     G V
DARV  S C S S V G Y   N G E G T   F K I I     G Q
```