Intrabacterial regulation of a cytotoxic effector by its cognate metaeffector promotes

Legionella pneumophila **virulence**

Deepika Chauhan,[a]* Ashley M. Joseph,[a] Stephanie R. Shames[a]#

[a]Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506 USA

Running title: Intrabacterial effector regulation by a metaeffector

#Address correspondence to Stephanie R. Shames, sshames@ksu.edu

*Present address: Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary

Medicine, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506

Abstract

Legionella pneumophila is a natural pathogen of unicellular protozoa that can opportunistically infect macrophages and cause Legionnaires' Disease. Intracellular replication is driven by hundreds of bacterial effector proteins that are translocated into infected host cells by a Dot/Icm type IV secretion system. *L. pneumophila* effectors are temporally regulated in part by a unique family of translocated regulatory effectors, termed metaeffectors, which bind and modulate the function of a cognate effector in host cells. We discovered that regulation of the cytotoxic effector SidI by its metaeffector, MesI, is critical for *L. pneumophila* virulence in natural and opportunistic hosts. MesI binds and negatively regulates SidI activity *in vitro* but how dysregulation of SidI impairs *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication is unclear. Using a chromosomally-encoded inducible expression system, we discovered that dysregulation of SidI, via loss of MesI, was toxic to *L. pneumophila.* SidI enzymatic activity was required for toxicity since *L. pneumophila* growth was unaffected by induced expression of a catalytically inactive *sidI* allele. We found MesI translocation into host cells was dispensable for intracellular replication and that MesI-deficient bacteria were rapidly degraded within host cells. Together, our data suggest a unique role for intrabacterial effector regulation by a translocated metaeffector in *L. pneumophila* virulence.

<u>Importance</u>

Legionella pneumophila replicates within phagocytic host cells using hundreds of effector protein

virulence factors, which canonically subvert the function of host proteins and pathways. *L. pneu-*

mophila encodes a unique family of translocated effectors called metaeffectors, which bind and

regulate the function of a cognate effector in host cells. The metaeffector MesI promotes *L. pneu-*

mophila virulence by regulating the cytotoxic effector SidI; however, the MesI regulatory mecha-

nism is poorly understood. We discovered a unique intrabacterial role for MesI in *L. pneumophila*

virulence. When uncoupled from MesI, SidI was toxic to *L. pneumophila in vitro* and triggered

robust bacterial degradation in host cells. Importantly, translocation of MesI was dispensable for

intracellular replication, demonstrating that intrabacterial regulation of SidI contributes to *L.*

pneumophila virulence. These data show a unique and important role for MesI regulation of SidI

within the bacterium, which challenges the dogma that effectors function exclusively within host

cells.

Introduction

Introduction

Legionella pneumophila is ubiquitous in freshwater environments where it parasitizes and repli-

cates within free-living protozoa. Co-evolution with environmental phagotrophs have conferred

on *L. pneumophila* the ability to replicate within mammalian macrophages, which results in a se-

vere inflammatory pneumonia called Legionnaires' Disease (1–3). Within host cells, *L. pneumoph-*

ila replicates within an endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived compartment called the *Legionella-*

containing vacuole (LCV), which avoids endocytic maturation and fusion with lysosomes (4, 5).

Biogenesis of the LCV is facilitated through the activity of over 300 effector proteins, bacterial

virulence factors translocated into host cells through a Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (T4SS)

(6). Dot/Icm-translocated effectors are critical for *L. pneumophila* virulence in natural and oppor-

tunistic hosts (7); however, the role of most effectors remains poorly understood due primarily to

functional redundancy and rarity of virulence phenotypes in laboratory infection models.

Many Gram-negative bacterial pathogens employ effector proteins as part of their virulence

strategy. Canonically, effector proteins function by targeting host proteins and subverting cellular

pathways to the benefit of the pathogen; however, *L. pneumophila* encodes a unique family of

translocated effectors termed metaeffectors, which bind and regulate other *L. pneumophila* effec-

tors within host cells (8, 9). Metaeffectors are a key component of *L. pneumophila* pathogenicity

since several are required for intracellular replication but how most metaeffectors promote viru-

lence is poorly understood.

We discovered that regulation of the effector SidI (Lpg2504) by its cognate metaeffector,

MesI (Lpg2505), is critical for *L. pneumophila* virulence (10). Like most *L. pneumophila* effectors,

loss of SidI has no effect on virulence in laboratory infection models; however, loss-of-function

mutation in *mesI* (Δ*mesI*) uniquely attenuates *L. pneumophila* virulence in amoebae, macrophages,

and mouse models of Legionnaires' Disease only when SidI is produced (10). SidI is a cytotoxic

glycosyl hydrolase that inhibits eukaryotic mRNA translation (11, 12). MesI binds SidI with high

affinity, suppresses its toxicity, and blocks SidI-mediated translation inhibition *in vitro* (12). The

requirement for MesI is relieved when *sidI* is deleted (Δ*sidI*); however, a single amino acid substi-

tution that renders SidI non-toxic and catalytically inactive (R453P) is also sufficient to restore

replication of MesI-deficient *L. pneumophila* (10). These data have provided key biochemical in-

sights into the MesI regulatory mechanism but how MesI regulation of SidI promotes intracellular

replication is still unclear.

In this study, we made the surprising discovery that MesI promotes *L. pneumophila* viru-

lence by regulating SidI intrabacterially. SidI was toxic to *L. pneumophila* when uncoupled from

MesI *in vitro*. We found that MesI translocation was dispensable for *L. pneumophila* intracellular

replication and MesI-deficient bacteria are rapidly degraded in host cells. These data suggest a

unique and important role for interkingdom effector regulation in *L. pneumophila* virulence.

Results

Overexpression of *sidI* impairs *L. pneumophila* growth *in vitro*. We discovered that the *L. pneu-*

mophila metaeffector MesI promotes bacterial intracellular replication by regulating its cognate

effector SidI (10), but how SidI dysregulation attenuates *L. pneumophila* virulence is unclear. A *L.*

pneumophila Δ*mesI* mutant is impaired for intracellular replication but virulence is restored by *sidI*

chromosomal deletion (Δ*sidI*Δ*mesI*) or catalytic inactivation (*sidI*R453PΔ*mesI*). We initially validated

that dysregulation of SidI impairs *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication plasmid-based genetic

complementation of the Δ*sidI* mutation. We infected primary bone marrow-derived macrophages

(BMDMs) from *Nlrc4*-/- mice, which are permissive to flagellated *L. pneumophila* (13, 14). Indeed,

induced expression of *sidI* from a complementing plasmid severely attenuated *L. pneumophila*

replication within BMDMs (**Fig 1A**). Interestingly, we had to induce *sidI* expression at the time of

infection since we were unable to culture *L. pneumophila* Δ*sidI*Δ*mesI* (p*sidI*) on inducing media.

Inducible plasmid-based genetic complementation is an established technique to fulfill molecular

Koch's postulates and we routinely culture *L. pneumophila* on inducing media (10, 12, 15–17). We

hypothesized that overexpression of SidI adversely affects bacterial physiology. Indeed, *L. pneu-*

mophila harboring p*sidI* was unable to replicate in broth under inducing conditions but not in the

absence of induction (**Fig 1B**). Impaired growth resulted from SidI enzymatic activity since in-

duced the catalytically inactive *sidI*R453P allele did not affect *L. pneumophila* growth (**Fig 1B**). Our

initial interpretation was that dysregulation of SidI does not adversely affect *L. pneumophila* phys-

iology since *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* is not attenuated for growth *in vitro* (10). However, *sidI* expres-

sion in broth grown bacteria is ~ 3-fold lower than expression during intracellular replication (18),

which may be insufficient to impair *L. pneumophila* growth. Induced expression of an effector gene

has not previously been associated with impaired bacterial growth and these data suggest that

SidI enzymatic activity is deleterious to *L. pneumophila* when stoichiometrically uncoupled from

MesI.

MesI rescues the SidI-mediated growth defect *in vitro*. MesI suppresses SidI toxicity in yeast

(10); thus, we hypothesized that MesI also suppresses SidI toxicity in *L. pneumophila*. To test this

hypothesis, we generated *L. pneumophila* strains that enable tightly controlled inducible expres-

sion of the *sidI-mesI* locus from its endogenous position in the chromosome. We inserted the *araC-*

araBAD (araBAD) promoter and an in-frame *3xflag* epitope tag directly upstream of *sidI* in the

chromosome of WT, Δ*mesI*, and *sidI*R453P Δ*mesI L. pneumophila* strains (**Fig 2A**). Kinetics and abun-

dance of 3xFLAG-SidI fusion protein production was consistent between strains and only ob-

served under arabinose-inducing conditions (**Fig 2B**). We observed a tightly controlled increase

in araBAD-mediated *sidI* expression relative to endogenous levels (**Fig 2C**). The ~4-fold increase

in *sidI* expression from the araBAD promoter is more physiologically relevant than the >10-fold

increase in expression from the P*tac* promoter (**Fig 2C**) (18). Thus, we have established *L. pneu-*

mophila strains in which we can control expression of *sidI* and *mesI* in their native stoichiometry

and endogenous locus in the chromosome.

We leveraged our *L. pneumophila* araBAD strains (**Fig 2A**) to test the hypothesis that stoi-

chiometric production of MesI abrogates SidI-mediated growth attenuation. We quantified rep-

lication our *L. pneumophila* araBAD strains were under inducing and non-inducing conditions and

found that induced expression of *sidI,* but not the inactive *sidI*R453P allele, significantly attenuated

bacterial growth only when uncoupled from *mesI* in broth culture (**Fig 3A**) and within BMDMs

(**Fig 3B**). Thus, the SidI-mediated growth defect is suppressed by MesI.

MesI suppresses SidI intrabacterial toxicity. SidI impairs *L. pneumophila* growth, but it is unclear

whether SidI is bacteriostatic or bactericidal. SidI is toxic to yeast (11); thus, we tested the hypoth-

esis that SidI is bactericidal when uncoupled from MesI. *L. pneumophila* araBAD strains (**Fig 2A**)

were grown for 24 h under arabinose inducing or non-inducing conditions in broth and viability

was evaluated by LIVE/DEAD viability staining and confocal microscopy (**Fig 4**). Very few dead

bacteria were observed under non-inducing conditions or when induced *sidI* expression was cou-

pled with *mesI* (araBAD-WT) (**Fig 4**). However, significantly more dead bacteria were observed

when enzymatically active *sidI* was uncoupled from *mesI* under inducing conditions (**Fig 4**). These

data suggest that SidI toxicity is conserved across the kingdoms of life and suppressed by MesI.

Intrabacterial regulation of SidI by MesI is sufficient for *L. pneumophila* virulence. Based on

our observation that SidI is toxic to *L. pneumophila* when uncoupled from MesI, we hypothesized

that MesI intrabacterial regulation of SidI is sufficient for *L. pneumophila* virulence. We tested this

by evaluating whether an intrabacterially-retained MesI mutant could complement the Δ*mesI* mu-

tation. We generated an allele of *mesI* lacking 10 amino acids from its extreme carboxy terminus,

which are dispensable for SidI binding and contains the putative E-block Dot/Icm translocation

signal (19, 20), and cloned it into a complementing plasmid downstream of a *3xflag* epitope tag

(p*mesI*Δ10). We evaluated 3xFLAG-MesIΔ10 translocation using Western blot to visualize its abun-

dance in saponin-soluble or -insoluble lysate fractions from *L. pneumophila*-infected cells, a

technique routinely used to evaluate effector secretion since saponin solubilizes eukaryotic mem-

branes but minimally lyses *L. pneumophila* (21, 22). HEK293 FcγRII cells were infected with anti-

body-opsonized *L. pneumophila* harboring either p*mesI* or p*mesI*Δ10. 3xFLAG-MesIΔ10 was not

translocated since it was retained in the insoluble fraction (pellet) and not present in saponin-

soluble lysate fractions (**Fig 5A**). As expected, wild-type MesI was secreted into host cells since it

was present within saponin-soluble lysate fractions (**Fig 5A**). Isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH)

and β-actin were used as a bacterial lysis and loading controls, respectively. We also confirmed

that MesIΔ10 interacts with SidI since it was retained by SidI on beads similarly to full-length

MesI (**Fig S1**).

To evaluate a role for intrabacterial SidI regulation by MesI in *L. pneumophila* virulence,

we tested whether the *mesI*Δ10 allele genetically complements that Δ*mesI* mutation. We infected

BMDMs and quantified replication of wild-type *L. pneumophila* and Δ*mesI* strains harboring p*mesI*,

p*mesI*Δ10, or empty plasmid vector (pEV). We were able to genetically complement the Δ*mesI* with

the *mesI*Δ10 allele, demonstrating that MesI translocation into host cells is not necessary for *L. pneu-*

mophila intracellular replication (**Fig 5B**). These data suggest that intrabacterial regulation of SidI

by MesI is sufficient for *L. pneumophila* virulence.

MesI-deficient bacteria are degraded within host cells. We found that MesI suppresses intrabac-

terial SidI toxicity and that MesI translocation into host cells is dispensable for *L. pneumophila*

intracellular replication. Since SidI is also toxic to eukaryotic cells, we initially postulated that the

virulence defect associated with loss of MesI results from SidI toxicity in host cells. However, we

were unable to detect SidI-mediated cytotoxicity in *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs (**Fig S2**) and

our new data suggest a potential role for MesI suppression of intrabacterial SidI toxicity in *L.*

pneumophila's virulence strategy.

Dead and dying bacterial are rapidly degraded within host lysosomes; thus, we rational-

ized that MesI-deficient bacteria would be robustly degraded within host cells. Degraded *L. pneu-*

mophila are easily identified by immunofluorescence microscopy since they have lost their rod

shape and appear instead as diffuse puncta when cells are stained with a *Legionella*-specific anti-

body (23, 24). Thus, we used immunofluorescence microscopy and blinded scoring to quantify

degradation of *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* within BMDMs. We infected BMDMs and compared kinetics

of *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* degradation to virulent *L. pneumophila* and *L. pneumophila* Δ*dotA*, which is

unable to evade lysosomal degradation (25). After 1 h of infection, most bacteria retained their

rod shape and there were no differences between difference *L. pneumophila* strains; however, at 8

h post-infection, significantly more *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* were degraded compared to both wild-

type and Δ*dotA* control strains (**Fig 6**). It is unlikely that bacterial degradation was accompanied

by host cell death since macrophages harboring degraded bacteria had normal nuclear morphol-

ogy (**Fig 6**). The robust degradation of *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* compared to the avirulent Δ*dotA* strain

suggests a mechanism of bacterial attenuation distinct from the inability to subvert endocytic

maturation.

Discussion

This study supports a unique model whereby the *L. pneumophila* metaeffector MesI drives viru-

lence by intrabacterial regulation its cognate effector SidI (**Fig 7**). Several *L. pneumophila* effectors

are toxic to eukaryotic cells; however, effector bactericidal activity in *L. pneumophila* has not been

previously observed. Furthermore, our data challenge the dogma that metaeffectors promote *L.*

pneumophila virulence by functioning exclusively within host cells. SidI and MesI bear resem-

blance to canonical toxin-antitoxin (TA) and effector-immunity (E-I) pairs (26–28); however, they

are distinct since anti-toxin/immunity proteins, by definition, are not secreted(29). This also dis-

tinguishes MesI from canonical chaperones, which remain intrabacterial (30). MesI negatively

regulates SidI subversion of eukaryotic homeostasis (12) and a role for host cell pathways in the

MesI regulatory mechanism cannot be fully excluded (**Fig 7**). However, our data suggest a novel

mechanism by which *L. pneumophila* virulence hinges on effector regulation by a metaeffector

within the bacterium.

Interkingdom SidI toxicity suggests that its target is highly conserved between *L. pneumophila* and

host cells. SidI is it one of at least seven *L. pneumophila* effectors that subvert host protein synthesis

(11, 31), one of the most conserved cellular processes across the kingdoms of life (32). Effector-

mediated suppression of host mRNA translation is important for *L. pneumophila*'s acquisition of

host-derived amino acids, which are essential for intracellular replication and the main driver of

bacterial phase switching within host cells (23, 33). The mechanism by which SidI inhibits protein

synthesis is unclear; however, SidI binds eEF1A and likely functions as a mannosyltransferase,

so it is tempting to speculate that SidI blocks translation by modifying eEF1A (11, 12). However,

the functional significance of eEF1A binding remains unclear since it is unaffected by MesI and

insufficient for translation inhibition (11, 12). *L. pneumophila* has evolved strategies to prevent

intrabacterial suppression of translation. For example, the effector Lgt1 blocks eukaryotic trans-

lation by glycosylating eukaryotic elongation factor (eEF)1A on Ser53, which is conserved in

eukaryotes but not the bacterial eEF1A homolog, EF-Tu (34, 35). The mechanistic underpinnings

of intrabacterial MesI activity is unclear; however, it is tempting to speculate that MesI may func-

tion in part to preserve protein synthesis within the bacterium.

Recent work has challenged the dogma that bacterial effectors function exclusively within host

cells. Hardwidge and colleagues revealed that the effectors NleB and NleC from pathogenic *Esch-*

erichia coli and SseK1 from *Salmonella enterica* Typhimurium function intrabacterially to confer

oxidative stress and methylglyoxal resistance (36–38). Our data suggest that intrabacterial MesI

activity confers a fitness advantage on *L. pneumophila* by suppressing intrabacterial SidI activity.

However, MesI is produced at later time points than SidI when *L. pneumophila* is grown in broth

(19), suggesting that low levels of SidI activity within the bacterium may be beneficial at early

stages of the *L. pneumophila* lifecycle. Indeed, there is emerging evidence that bacterial TA pairs

can shape pathogen physiology by modulating post-transcriptional gene expression (39). How-

ever, this activity must be highly regulated by the antitoxin to prevent toxicity. Thus, it is tempt-

ing to speculate that SidI and MesI are an ancient TA pair that have been co-opted by the T4SS to

subvert both host and pathogen physiology.

Our data challenge our initial assumption that MesI promotes *L. pneumophila* intracellular repli-

cation by preventing SidI-mediated host cell toxicity. We found no SidI-mediated differences in

host cell death and observed that macrophages MesI-deficient bacteria had normal morphology.

Interestingly, McCloskey *et al* recently proposed a model whereby MesI negatively regulates SidI

translocation into host cells (19). MesI binds the extreme C-terminus of SidI, which encodes the

canonical E-block Dot/Icm translocation signal (12, 19, 40). McCloskey *et al.* recently suggested

that MesI negatively regulates SidI secretion from *L. pneumophila*. However, SidI secretion was

not further attenuated when the relative abundance of MesI was increased (19). Furthermore, we

did not detect any MesI-mediated differences in SidI translocation from *L. pneumophila* using an

the established adenylate cyclase (CyaA) reporter (12). This discrepancy may be a consequence

of differences in experimental conditions. Further investigation is required to define the impact

of MesI on SidI translocation and the potential role of this activity in the MesI regulatory mecha-

nism and *L. pneumophila* virulence.

Together, this study revealed a unique role for intrabacterial regulation of a translocated effector

by its cognate metaeffector in *L. pneumophila* virulence. Our data, in the context of previously

published studies (11, 12), suggest that SidI's target is conserved between host and pathogen.

Recent phylogenetic analysis suggests ancient and extensive co-evolution between the order *Le-*

gionellales, which includes *Legionella* spp., and unicellular eukaryotes (41). Thus, metaeffectors

may represent an ancient mechanism evolved by pathogenic bacteria for adaptation to eukaryotic

hosts.

Materials & Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, primers, and culture conditions. *Escherichia coli* Top10 (cloning),

DH5αλpir (allelic exchange) and BL21 (DE3) (protein production) were maintained at 37°C in

Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with appropriate antibiotics for plasmid maintenance

[50 μg mL^{-1} kanamycin, 25 μg mL^{-1} chloramphenicol, or 100 μg mL^{-1} ampicillin]. Protein produc-

tion in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG).

All *Legionella pneumophila* strains in this study were derived from *L. pneumophila* Philadel-

phia-1 SRS43 (10) and cultured at 37°C on charcoal–N (2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid

(ACES)-buffered yeast extract (CYE) supplemented with L-cysteine and ferric pyrophosphate as

described previously (42). Single colonies were isolated after 4 days of growth on CYE agar and

used to generate 48 h heavy patches of bacteria. Where indicated, liquid cultures were grown

from heavy-patched bacteria at 37°C in L-cysteine- and ferric pyrophosphate-supplemented

ACES buffered yeast extract broth (AYE) (43). Media were supplemented with 10 μg mL-1 chlo-

ramphenicol (plasmid maintenance), 10 μg mL-1 kanamycin (allelic exchange), 1 mM IPTG (P*tac*

gene expression), or 06-2% L-arabinose (w/v; paraBAD gene expression).

Plasmids and oligonucleotide primers used in this study are listed in **Table 1** and **Table**

2, respectively.

Molecular cloning and strain construction. *L. pneumophila* gDNA isolated using the Illustra ge-

nomicPREP DNA spin kit (GE Healthcare) and used as a template for cloning *sidI* and *mesI* into

the indicated plasmid vectors. *sidI* was amplified from *L. pneumophila* gDNA using SidIBamHI-

F/SidISphI-R, which includes 60 base-pairs (bp) downstream of the *sidI* open reading frame, and

cloned as a BamHI/SphI fragment into pJB1806 (44) or downstream of an in-frame *3xflag* epitope

tag in pSN85 (45) to generate pJB1806::*sidI* (p*sidI*) and pSN85::*sidI*. To generate p*sidI*R453P, p*sidI* was

mutagenized using site-directed mutagenesis with primer pairs SidIR453P-sense/SidIR453P-

asense (10). To generate p*mesI* complementation plasmids, *mesI* and *mesI*Δ10 open reading frames

were amplified from *L. pneumophila* gDNA using MesIBglII-F/MesISphI-R or MesIBglII-

F/MesIΔ10SphI-R primer pairs, respectively, and cloned into pSN85 in-frame with the *3xflag*

epitope tag. Ligations were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* Top10 and sequences

confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Eton Biosciences). *L. pneumophila* complementation strains were

generated by electroporation of plasmids into competent *L. pneumophila* strains using a BioRad

Gene Pulser at 2.4 kV, 200 Ω, and 0.25 μF and plated on CYE supplemented with 10 μg mL^{-1}

chloramphenicol.

For production of recombinant His$_6$-Myc-MesIΔ10, *mesI*Δ10 was amplified from *L. pneu-*

mophila gDNA using MesIT7SalI-F/MesIΔ10NotI-R and cloned as a SalI/NotI fragment into

pT7HMT (46) downstream of an in-frame His$_6$-Myc epitope tag. pT7HMT::*mesI* and pGEX::*sidI*

were generated previously (**Table 2**) (12). Ligation reactions were transformed into chemically

competent *E. coli* Top10 and sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Eton Biosciences).

The *dotA* open reading was deleted from the *L. pneumophila* chromosome using allelic ex-

change, as described (47). To generate a clean in-frame deletion of *dotA*, 5′ and 3′ regions flanking

the *dotA* open reading frame (726 bp upstream and 1,012 bp downstream) were amplified using

DotAKOSacI-F/DotAKONotI-R and DotAKONotI-F/DotAKOSalI-R to generate SacI/NotI and

NotI/SalI fragments which were ligated into SacI/SalI digested pSR47s to generate pSR47s::Δ*dotA*,

which was conjugated into *L. pneumophila* SRS43 for selection of double crossover events, as de-

scribed. Sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Eton Biosciences) and the ΔdotA phe-

notype was confirmed by comparison the established SRS43 *dotA*::Tn strain (10).

For allelic exchange to insert the paraBAD promoter and a *3xflag* epitope tag upstream of

sidI in the *L. pneumophila* chromosome, overlapping primers were used to generate a fusion

construct consisting of 1,000 bp upstream of *sidI* (SidIUTR-F/SidIUTR-R; from *L. pneumophila*

gDNA), araBAD promoter [araC-pBAD-F/araC-pBAD-R; from pMRBAD::z-CspGFP (a gift from

Dr. Brian McNaughton; Addgene #40730) (48)], and *3xflag* fused to the first 1,200 nucleotides of

sidI (3FLAG-SidI-F/3FLAG-SidI-R; from pSN85::*sidI*), which was ligated as a SacI/SalI fragment

into pSR47s to generate pSR47S::araBAD. Plasmids were transformed into chemically competent

E. coli DH5α*λpir* and sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Eton Biosciences). To gen-

erate paraBAD strains, pSR47s::paraBAD was conjugated into *L. pneumophila* SRS43 wild type,

Δ*mesI*, and *sidI*R453P Δ*mesI* (10) and selection of double crossover events was performed as described

(47). Sucrose resistant, kanamycin sensitive colonies were screened by PCR to verify gene inser-

tion.

Mice. C57Bl/6 *Nlrc4*^{-/-} mice (a gift from Dr. Craig Roy) have been described (49). In-house colonies

were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions at Kansas State University. Bone mar-

row was harvested from 8- to 15-week-old mice as previously described (50). All experiments

involving animals were approved by the Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (IACUC-4501 and -4022) and performed in compliance with the Animal Welfare

Act and National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Cell culture. All mammalian cells were grown at 37°C/5% CO_2. HEK293 FcγRII cells (a gift from

Dr. Craig Roy) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Gibco) supple-

mented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HIFBS; Biowest) for up to 25 passages.

Primary mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were differentiated in RPMI

supplemented with 20% HIFBS (Biowest) and 15% L-929 conditioned medium (Differentiation

media) for 6 days prior to infection, as described (50). Differentiated BMDMs were seeded for

infections in RMPI supplemented with 10%HIFBS and 7.5% L-929 conditioned medium (Seeding

medium).

Macrophage growth curves. BMDMs were seeded into 24-well tissue culture dishes at 2.5×10^5

per well one day prior to infection. *L. pneumophila* were cultured on CYE agar and heavy patch-

grown bacteria were used to infect BMDMs at a MOI of 1 in triplicates and CFU were enumerated

as previously described (10, 15). Fold growth was calculated by normalizing CFU at 24 h, 48 h,

and 72 h to internalized bacteria at 1 h post-infection. For genetic complementation, either 1 mM

IPTG or 2% L-arabinose (w/v) were added to the media as indicated at the time of infection and

maintained throughout.

In vitro **growth curves.** *L. pneumophila* heavy patches were resuspended in fresh AYE broth and

sub-cultured for consistent 600 nm optical density (OD_{600}). Cultures were split into triplicate wells

of a 96-well round-bottom plate and incubated at 37°C with continuous orbital shaking using an

Agilent BioTek Epoch2 plate reader. OD_{600} from triplicate wells was read every two hours for up

to 48 h, as indicated. Plasmids were maintained with chloramphenicol and IPTG (1 mM) or 1%

L-arabinose (w/v) were added to the media to induce gene expression as indicated.

Quantitative RT-PCR. *L. pneumophila* strains were grown for 1 or 6 h in AYE broth of incubation

with and without arabinose (2%), and total RNA was purified with the Direct-zol RNA miniprep

kit with TRI-reagent (Zymo Research) following manufacturer's instructions. RNA samples were

treated with DNase (Sigma) before reverse transcription with Superscript III (Invitrogen). Quan-

titative RT-PCR was performed using the Invitrogen SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One

Step qRT-PCR kit. Transcript abundance was quantified using sidIRT-F/sidIRT-R (sidI) and

16SRT-F/16SRT-R (16S rRNA) primer pairs on a BioRad CFX96 Real-Time PCR machine. Fold

expression ($2^{\wedge}\Delta\Delta Ct$) was calculated by normalizing *sidI* transcript abundance to 16S rRNA and

standardizing values to wild-type *L. pneumophila*.

Bacterial viability and LIVE/DEAD staining. *L. pneumophila* paraBAD strains were resuspended

in AYE media from a heavy patch (48 h). Strains were grown for 24 h at 37°C in the presence or

absence of 0.6% L-arabinose (w/v). Cell viability was quantified using a LIVE/DEAD™ *Bac-*

Light™ Bacterial Viability kit according to manufacturer's instructions. Bacteria were stained

with 5 µM SYTO9 (live; green) and 10 µM propidium iodide (dead; red) (ThermoFisher) and

incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. To determine the baseline threshold for

dead cells a negative control was used, where cells were treated with 90% ethanol for 1 h. After

staining, cells were washed with sterile water and 5µL of resuspended cells were loaded on a

glass slide with a coverslip. Images were acquired at the KSU Division of Biology Microscopy

Facility using a Zeiss LSM5 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope using a 100x oil-immersion ob-

jective. Percent dead bacteria was calculated by normalizing dead bacteria (red) to total bacteria

using ImageJ software. At least 500 cells were evaluated for each strain and culture condition and

the researcher performing the analysis was blinded to sample identity.

Western blot. Protein was boiled in Laemmli Buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE and were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF; Fisher Scientific) membranes using a BioRad wet transfer apparatus. The membranes were incubated with blocking buffer [5% nonfat milk powder dissolved in Tris-buffered saline-0.1% Tween 20 (TBST)] for 30 min. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies [mouse α-FLAG M2 (1:1000; Sigma); rabbit α-ICDH (1:1,000; Sigma); rabbit α-β-actin (Cell Signaling); rabbit α-Myc TAG mAb (71D10) (1:1,000; Cell Signaling)] at 1:1,000 in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C with rocking and subsequently with HRP-conjugated goat α-rabbit or α-mouse secondary antibodies at 1:5,000 (ThermoFisher) in blocking buffer for 2 h at room temperature with rocking. Membranes were washed, incubated with ECL reagent (GE Amersham), and imaged by chemiluminescence using an Azure Biosystems c300 Darkroom Replacer.

Saponin solubilization assay. HEK293 FcγRII cells (51) were seeded in 10 cm poly-L-lysine-coated tissue culture dishes (4 x 10^6) one day prior to infection. *L. pneumophila* strains were patched from fresh single colonies onto CYE agar supplemented with 10 μg mL^{-1} chloramphenicol and 1 mM IPTG to induce gene expression. Bacteria were opsonized with α-*L. pneumophila* antibody [1:1,000 (Invitrogen; PA17227)] in DMEM 10%HIFBS supplemented with 1 mM IPTG for 20 min at room temperature (RT) with rotation. Cells were infected with opsonized bacteria at a MOI of 50 in for 2 h in 2 mL DMEM 10%HIFBS supplemented with 1 mM IPTG. Cells were washed 3X with ice-cold PBS to remove extracellular bacteria and lysed for 10 min in 500 μL ice-cold Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) supplemented with 0.2% saponin and ProBlock™ Gold Mammalian Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (GoldBio). Lysates were treated with RNAse A (10

μg mL-1) and DNase I (10 μg mL-1), incubated at RT for 15 min, and centrifuged for 15 min at

17,000 r.c.f at 4°C. Saponin-soluble supernatants were filtered using a 0.22 μM syringe filter and

transferred to a fresh 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Saponin-insoluble pellets were resuspended

in 50 μL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA). Fifty microliters of supernatant and pellet

fractions were diluted in 3X Laemmeli sample buffer, boiled for 10 min, and the whole sample

was loaded into wells of a 1.5 mm 15% SDS-PAGE gel for Western blot.

Affinity chromatography. Affinity chromatography from *E. coli* lysates was performed as de-

scribed (12). Briefly, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) harboring pT7HMT::*mesI*, pT7HMT::*mesI*$_{\Delta 10}$,

pGEX6P1::sidI, or pGEX6P1 were grown overnight with shaking at 37°C overnight and sub-cul-

tured at 1:100 in LB media. Sub-cultures were grown for 3 h followed by induction with 1 mM

IPTG and growth overnight at 16°C. Clarified lysates from bacteria producing GST-fusion pro-

teins were incubated with pre-equilibrated glutathione magnetic agarose beads (Pierce) for 1h at

4°C with rotation. Beads were washed twice in washing buffer (125 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl,

1mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and incubated with clarified lysates from bacteria producing

His$_6$-Myc fusion proteins at 4°C for 1 h with rotation. Beads were washed twice in washing buffer

transferred to a fresh 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and boiled in 25 μM 3X Laemmli Sample buffer.

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized using Coomassie brilliant blue or Western

Blot, as indicated.

Cytotoxicity Assay. Cytotoxicity was evaluated by quantifying lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) ac-

tivity in supernatants of *L. pneumophila*-infected BMDMs. BMDMs were seeded in 24-well tissue

culture dishes at 2.5×10^5 in Seeding medium one day prior to infection. Cells were infected with

L. pneumophila strains at an MOI of 50 for 1 h, washed 3 times with sterile phosphate-buffered

saline (PBS$^{-/-}$), and incubated in seeding media for additional 5 h or 9 h. At the indicated times,

plates were centrifuged at 250 r.c.f. and supernatants were transferred to a sterile non-tissue cul-

ture treated 96 well plate. For the 1 h timepoint, supernatants were collected from cells without

washing. LDH was quantified using the CytoTox™ 96 Non-radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay

(Promega) and according to manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance at 490 nm was read on a

BioTek Epoch2 microplate reader and percent cytotoxicity was calculated by normalizing absorb-

ance values to cells treated with lysis buffer.

Quantification of bacterial degradation within macrophages. BMDMs (1×10^5) were seeded on

poly-L-lysine-coated glass coverslips in 24-well tissue culture dishes one day prior to infection.

BMDMs were infected in triplicate wells with the indicated *L. pneumophila* strains at an MOI of 30

for 1 h or 8 h. For the 8 h timepoint, extracellular bacteria were removed after 1 h by washing

coverslips three times in PBS$^{-/-}$ and incubated with fresh media for 7 h. Coverslips were fixed in

3.7% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and permeabilized with ice-cold methanol. Coverslips were

stained with 1:1,000 rabbit α-*L. pneumophila* primary antibody (Invitrogen; PA17227) and 1:500

Alexa488-conjugated goat α-rabbit secondary antibody (ThermoFisher). Nuclei were stained with

1:2,000 Hoeshst (ThermoFisher) and coverslips were mounted on slides with ProLong™ Gold

Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen). Degraded and in-tact bacteria were scored blind on a Leica

DMiL LED inverted epifluorescence microscope (*n*=150 per strain and time point). Representative

images were acquired at the KSU College of Veterinary Medicine Confocal Core using a Zeiss

LSM 880 inverted confocal microscope and processed using Fiji ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop

software.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 9 software using

unpaired two-tailed *t*-test with a 95% confidence interval. Unless otherwise indicated, data are

presented as mean ± standard deviation (s.d.) and statistical analysis was performed on tripli-

cate biological replicates.

<u>**Acknowledgements**</u>

We thank Dr. Craig Roy for providing *Nlrc4$^{-/-}$* mice. This work was funded by NIH/NIGMS Center

for Biomedical Research Excellence Research Project Grants (P20GM130448 and P20113117; to

S.R.S.), an NIH/NIGMS Kansas-INBRE Postdoctoral Fellowship (P20GM103418; to D.C.), and

start-up funds from Kansas State University.

<u>**References**</u>

1. Horwitz MA, Silverstein SC. 1980. Legionnaires' disease bacterium (Legionella pneumophila)
multiples intracellularly in human monocytes. J Clin Investigation 66:441–50.

2. Park JM, Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. 2020. Combinatorial selection in amoebal hosts drives the
evolution of the human pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Nat Microbiol 1–11.

3. Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Carson D, Mondino S, Pérez-Cobas AE, Rolando M, Pasricha S,
Reuter S, Demirtas J, Crumbach J, Descorps-Declere S, Hartland EL, Jarraud S, Dougan G,
Schroeder GN, Frankel G, Buchrieser C. 2019. More than 18,000 effectors in the Legionella genus
genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells. Proc Na-
tional Acad Sci 116:2265 2273.

4. Swanson MS, Isberg RR. 1995. Association of Legionella pneumophila with the macrophage
endoplasmic reticulum. Infect Immun 63:3609–3620.

5. Horwitz MA. 1983. Formation of a novel phagosome by the Legionnaires' disease bacterium
(Legionella pneumophila) in human monocytes. The Journal of experimental medicine 158:1319
1331.

6. Chauhan D, Shames SR. 2021. Pathogenicity and Virulence of Legionella: Intracellular replica-
tion and host response. Virulence 12:1122–1144.

7. Berger KH, Isberg RR. 1993. Two distinct defects in intracellular growth complemented by a
single genetic locus in Legionella pneumophila. Molecular microbiology 7:7 19.

8. Joseph AM, Shames SR. 2021. Affecting the Effectors: Regulation of Legionella pneumophila
Effector Function by Metaeffectors. Pathogens 10:108.

9. Kubori T, Shinzawa N, Kanuka H, Nagai H. 2010. Legionella metaeffector exploits host pro-
teasome to temporally regulate cognate effector. Plos Pathog 6:e1001216.

10. Shames SR, Liu L, Havey JC, Schofield WB, Goodman AL, Roy CR. 2017. Multiple Le-
gionella pneumophila effector virulence phenotypes revealed through high-throughput analy-
sis of targeted mutant libraries. Proc National Acad Sci 63:201708553.

11. Shen X, Banga S, Liu Y, Xu L, Gao P, Shamovsky I, Nudler E, Luo Z. 2009. Targeting eEF1A
by a Legionella pneumophila effector leads to inhibition of protein synthesis and induction of
host stress response. Cell Microbiol 11:911 926.

12. Joseph AM, Pohl AE, Ball TJ, Abram TG, Johnson DK, Geisbrecht BV, Shames SR. 2020. The
Legionella pneumophila metaeffector Lpg2505 (MesI) regulates SidI-mediated translation inhi-
bition and novel glycosyl hydrolase activity. Infect Immun https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00853-19.

13. Ren T, Zamboni DS, Roy CR, Dietrich WF, Vance RE. 2006. Flagellin-deficient Legionella
mutants evade caspase-1- and Naip5-mediated macrophage immunity. Plos Pathog 2:e18.

14. Molofsky AB, Byrne BG, Whitfield NN, Madigan CA, Fuse ET, Tateda K, Swanson MS. 2006.
Cytosolic recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts Legionella pneumophila in-
fection. J Exp Medicine 203:1093 1104.

15. Ngwaga T, Hydock AJ, Ganesan S, Shames SR. 2019. Potentiation of Cytokine-Mediated Re-
striction of Legionella Intracellular Replication by a Dot/Icm-Translocated Effector. J Bacteriol
201:13.

16. Falkow S. 2004. Molecular Koch's postulates applied to bacterial pathogenicity — a personal
recollection 15 years later. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:67–72.

17. Falkow S. 1988. Molecular Koch's Postulates Applied to Microbial Pathogenicity. Clin Infect
Dis 10:S274–S276.

18. Faucher SP, Mueller CA, Shuman HA. 2011. Legionella Pneumophila Transcriptome during
Intracellular Multiplication in Human Macrophages. Front Microbiol 2:60.

19. McCloskey A, Perri K, Chen T, Han A, Luo Z-Q. 2021. The metaeffector MesI regulates the
activity of the Legionella effector SidI through direct protein-protein interactions. Microbes In-
fect 104794.

20. Burstein D, Zusman T, Degtyar E, Viner R, Segal G, Pupko T. 2009. Genome-Scale Identifica-
tion of Legionella pneumophila Effectors Using a Machine Learning Approach. Plos Pathog
5:e1000508.

21. Puvar K, Iyer S, Fu J, Kenny S, Terón KIN, Luo Z-Q, Brzovic PS, Klevit RE, Das C. 2020. Le-
gionella effector MavC targets the Ube2N~Ub conjugate for noncanonical ubiquitination. Nat
Commun 11:2365.

22. Liu Y, Luo Z-Q. 2007. The Legionella pneumophila effector SidJ is required for efficient re-
cruitment of endoplasmic reticulum proteins to the bacterial phagosome. Infect Immun 75:592
603.

23. Dalebroux ZD, Edwards RL, Swanson MS. 2009. SpoT governs Legionella pneumophila dif-
ferentiation in host macrophages. Mol Microbiol 71:640 658.

24. Creasey EA, Isberg RR. 2012. The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the Legionella-
containing vacuole. Proc National Acad Sci 109:3481 3486.

25. Roy CR, Berger KH, Isberg RR. 1998. Legionella pneumophila DotA protein is required for
early phagosome trafficking decisions that occur within minutes of bacterial uptake. Mol Micro-
biol 28:663–674.

26. Benz J, Meinhart A. 2014. Antibacterial effector/immunity systems: it's just the tip of the ice-
berg. Curr Opin Microbiol 17:1–10.

27. Altindis E, Dong T, Catalano C, Mekalanos J. 2015. Secretome Analysis of Vibrio cholerae
Type VI Secretion System Reveals a New Effector-Immunity Pair. Mbio 6:e00075-15.

28. Kirchberger PC, Unterweger D, Provenzano D, Pukatzki S, Boucher Y. 2017. Sequential dis-
placement of Type VI Secretion System effector genes leads to evolution of diverse immunity
gene arrays in Vibrio cholerae. Sci Rep-uk 7:45133.

29. Yang X, Long M, Shen X. 2018. Effector–Immunity Pairs Provide the T6SS Nanomachine its
Offensive and Defensive Capabilities. Molecules 23:1009.

30. Feldman MF, Cornelis GR. 2003. The multitalented type III chaperones: all you can do with
15 kDa. Fems Microbiol Lett 219:151–158.

31. Belyi Y. 2020. Targeting Eukaryotic mRNA Translation by Legionella pneumophila. Fron-
tiers Mol Biosci 7:80.

32. Ganoza MC, Kiel MC, Aoki H. 2002. Evolutionary Conservation of Reactions in Translation.
Microbiol Mol Biol R 66:460–485.

33. Leon JAD, Qiu J, Nicolai CJ, Counihan JL, Barry KC, Xu L, Lawrence RE, Castellano BM,
Zoncu R, Nomura DK, Luo Z-Q, Vance RE. 2017. Positive and Negative Regulation of the Mas-
ter Metabolic Regulator mTORC1 by Two Families of Legionella pneumophila Effectors. Cell
Reports 21:2031–2038.

34. Belyi Y, Tartakovskaya D, Tais A, Fitzke E, Tzivelekidis T, Jank T, Rospert S, Aktories K.
2012. Elongation Factor 1A Is the Target of Growth Inhibition in Yeast Caused by Legionella
pneumophila Glucosyltransferase Lgt1. J Biol Chem 287:26029 26037.

35. Belyi Y, Tabakova I, Stahl M, Aktories K. 2008. Lgt: a family of cytotoxic glucosyltransferases
produced by Legionella pneumophila. J Bacteriol 190:3026 3035.

36. Qaidi SE, Scott NE, Hardwidge PR. 2021. Arginine glycosylation enhances methylglyoxal
detoxification. Sci Rep-uk 11:3834.

37. Qaidi SE, Scott NE, Hays MP, Geisbrecht BV, Watkins S, Hardwidge PR. 2020. An intra-bac-
terial activity for a T3SS effector. Sci Rep-uk 10:1073.

38. Hasan MK, Qaidi SE, Hardwidge PR. 2021. The T3SS Effector Protease NleC Is Active within
Citrobacter rodentium. Pathogens 10:589.

39. Bertram R, Schuster CF. 2014. Post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression in bacterial
pathogens by toxin-antitoxin systems. Front Cell Infect Mi 4:6.

40. Machtens DA, Willerding JM, Eschenburg S, Reubold TF. 2020. Crystal structure of the
metaeffector MesI (Lpg2505) from Legionella pneumophila. Biochem Bioph Res Co 527:696–701.

41. Hugoson E, Guliaev A, Ammunét T, Guy L. 2022. Host-adaptation in Legionellales is 1.9 Ga,
coincident with eukaryogenesis. Mol Biol Evol https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msac037.

42. Feeley JC, Gibson RJ, Gorman GW, Langford NC, Rasheed JK, Mackel DC, Baine WB. 1979.
Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. Journal of
clinical microbiology 10:437 441.

43. Saito A, Rolfe RD, Edelstein PH, Finegold SM. 1981. Comparison of liquid growth media for
Legionella pneumophila. Journal of clinical microbiology 14:623 627.

44. Merriam JJ, Mathur R, Maxfield-Boumil R, Isberg RR. 1997. Analysis of the Legionella pneu-
mophila fliI gene: intracellular growth of a defined mutant defective for flagellum biosynthesis.
Infect Immun 65:2497–2501.

45. Folly-Klan M, Alix E, Stalder D, Ray P, Duarte LV, Delprato A, Zeghouf M, Antonny B,
Campanacci V, Roy CR, Cherfils J. 2013. A novel membrane sensor controls the localization and
ArfGEF activity of bacterial RalF. Plos Pathog 9:e1003747.

46. Geisbrecht BV, Bouyain S, Pop M. 2006. An optimized system for expression and purifica-
tion of secreted bacterial proteins. Protein Expres Purif 46:23–32.

47. Bardill JP, Miller JL, Vogel JP. 2005. IcmS-dependent translocation of SdeA into macrophages
by the Legionella pneumophila type IV secretion system. Mol Microbiol 56:90 103.

48. Blakeley BD, Chapman AM, McNaughton BR. 2012. Split-superpositive GFP reassembly is a
fast, efficient, and robust method for detecting protein-protein interactions in vivo. Mol Biosyst
8:2036 2040.

49. Lara-Tejero M, Sutterwala FS, Ogura Y, Grant EP, Bertin J, Coyle AJ, Flavell RA, Galán JE.
2006. Role of the caspase-1 inflammasome in Salmonella typhimurium pathogenesis. J Exp Med-
icine 203:1407 1412.

50. Case CL, Roy CR. 2013. Analyzing caspase-1 activation during Legionella pneumophila in-
fection in macrophages. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ) 954:479 491.

51. Arasaki K, Roy CR. 2010. Legionella pneumophila promotes functional interactions between
plasma membrane syntaxins and Sec22b. Traffic Cph Den 11:587–600.

Tables

Table 1. Plasmids used in this study

Name	Description	Resistance	Ref/Source
Protein production			
pT7HMT	Production of His$_6$-Myc fusions	Kan^R	(45)
pT7HMT::*mesI*	Production of His$_6$-Myc-MesI	Kan^R	(12)
pT7HMT::*mesI*$_{\Delta10}$	Production of His$_6$-Myc-MesIΔ10	Kan^R	This study
pGEX6P1	Production of GST	Amp^R	GE healthcare
pGEX6P1::*sidI*	Production of GST-SidI	Amp^R	(12)
Allelic exchange			
pSR47s	Allelic exchange vector	Kan^R	(46)
pSR47s::*paraBAD*	For chromosomal integration of *araBAD-3xflag* upstream of *sidI*	Kan^R	This study
pSR47s::Δ*dotA*	For in-frame deletion of *dotA*	Kan^R	This study
Genetic complementation and cloning			
pJB1806	*Legionella* expression vector		(44)
pJB1806::*sidI* (p*sidI*)	*sidI* expression from P*tac*	Cm^R	This study
pJB1806::*sidI*$_{R453P}$ (p*sidI*$_{RP}$)	*sidI*$_{R453P}$ expression from P*tac*	Cm^R	This study
pSN85	*Legionella* vector with in-frame N-terminal *3xflag* epitope tag		(45)
pSN85::*sidI*	To generate *3xflag-sidI*	Cm^R	This study
pSN85::*mesI* (p*mesI*)	*3xflag-mesI* expression from P*tac*	Cm^R	This study
pSN85::*mesI*$_{\Delta10}$ (p*mesI*$_{\Delta10}$)	*3xflag-mesI*$_{\Delta10}$ expression from P*tac*	Cm^R	This study

580
581

583 **Table 2**. Oligonucleotide primers used in this study

Name	Sequence[a]
Protein production	
MesIT7SalI-F	att**gtcgac**aatgataaaaggaaaacttatgccc
MesIΔ10NotI-R	att**gcggccgc**ttactcatcgtctttacc
Allelic exchange	
DotAKOSacI-F	att<u>gtcgac</u>gccctagctgtttcattgc
DotAKONotI-R	att<u>gcggccgca</u>cattctttctcacccaataac
DotAKONotI-F	att**gcggccgc**a**taa**cattcaaaaacaatata
DotAKOSalI-R	att**gagctc**ccaaaacaaaataaccagctg
SidIUTR-F	ccccc**gagctc**gagcatcaataatatcctgc
SidIUTR-R	gtagccgtcaagttgtcaaaattactttagtaaaatttttgtcatggtg
araC-pBAD-F	caccatgacaaaaattttactaaagtaattt tgacaacttgacggctacatc
araC-pBAD-R	catggtctttgtagtccat)atatctccttcttaaagttaaacaaaattatttc
3FlagSidI-F	gtttaactttaagaaggagatatatggactacaaagaccatgacg
3FlagSidI-R	ccccc**gtcgac**tgactacacccctctttattggc
Genetic complementation	
SidIBamHI-F	tta**ggatcc**ttatgactaaaatatacttattaac
SidISphI-R	att**gcatgc**tcaatcacaaattaatttttgcacatc
MesIBglII-F	tgg**agatct**ttatgataaaaggaaaacttatgc
MesISphI-R	att**gcatgc**ttataaaataattggtcgag
MesIΔ10SphI-R	att**gcatgc**ttactcatcgtctttaccagc
qRT-PCR	
sidIRT-F	atcaggcaaaccgacgtgac
sidIRT-R	ccgcaatctggtggacgaat
16SRT-F	tcagtggggaggagggttga
16SRT-R	cgctcgcaccctccgtatta
Site-directed mutagenesis	
SidIR453P-sense	ccgcaatctggtggaggaatatgaatatcaagatgagta
SidIR453P-asense	tactcatcttgatattcatattcctccaccagattgcgg

584 a-Restriction endonuclease cleavage sites are **bolded**.

  Figures

Figure 1. Overexpression of *sidI* attenuates *L. pneumophila* replication. (A) Fold replication of

L. pneumophila strains within *Nlrc4*$^{-/-}$ BMDMs over 72 h. Where indicated, infections were per-

formed in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. Data shown are mean ± s.d. on samples in triplicates and

asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01). **(B)** Optical density at 600 nm

(OD$_{600}$) of *L. pneumophila* strains grown in AYE broth. Where indicated, bacteria were grown in

the presence (+) or absence (-) of 1 mM IPTG. Data shown are mean ± s.d. on samples in triplicates

and asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**P<0.01). Data shown are repre-

sentative of at least three independent experiments.

Figure 2. Establishment of a chromosomal arabinose-inducible expression system in *L. pneu-*

mophila. **(A)** Schematic showing paraBAD insertion upstream of the sidI locus in the *L. pneu-*

mophila chromosome. 3XF: In-frame *3xflag* epitope tag. **(B)** Western blot showing 3xFLAG-SidI

production in the indicated paraBAD strains in the presence (+) or absence (-) of arabinose [ara;

0.6% (w/v)] after growth in AYE broth for 1, 3, or 6 h. **(C)** Quantitative RT-PCR for expression of

sidI grown for 1 or 6 h in AYE broth. Fold expression in OD-normalized cultures (*n* = 3) was

calculated relative to wild-type *L. pneumophila* at each time point. Asterisks denote statistical sig-

nificance by Students' t-test (*******P*<0.01) on samples in triplicates. Data are representative of at least

two independent experiments.

Figure 3. SidI impairs *L. pneumophila* growth when uncoupled from MesI. (A) Replication of

the *L. pneumophila* paraBAD strains grown in AYE broth *in vitro* under inducing [1% arabinose

(w/v)] or noninducing conditions. Data shown are mean ± s.d. OD600 values from samples in

triplicates and asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' t-test (**$P<0.01$). **(B)** Fold rep-

lication of *L. pneumophila* strains within *Nlrc4^{-/-}* BMDMs under inducing [2% arabinose (w/v)] or

noninducing conditions. Data shown are mean ± s.d. on samples in triplicates and asterisks de-

note statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**$P<0.01$). Data shown are representative of at least

three independent experiments.

Figure 4. SidI is bactericidal in the absence of MesI. (A) Representative confocal micrographs of Live/Dead-stained *L. pneumophila* paraBAD strains grown in AYE broth under inducing [0.6% arabinose (w/v)] or noninducing conditions [SYTO9 (live; green) and propidium iodide (PI; dead; red)]. **(B)** Quantification of dead *L. pneumophila* by fluorescence microscopy following Live/Dead staining. Percent dead bacteria was calculated by dividing PI-stained cells by total cells. Data are mean ± s.d. of 650 cells scored blind and asterisks denote statistical significance by Students' *t*-test (**$P<0.01$). Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 5. MesI translocation is dispensable for *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication. (A) Western blot for 3xFLAG-MesI fusion proteins in saponin-solubilized lysates of HEK293 FcγRII cells infected with antibody-opsonized *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* harboring p*mesI* (3xFLAG-MesI) or p*mesI*Δ10 (3xFLAG-MesIΔ10). Expression of 3xFLAG-MesI fusions was confirmed by Western blot on saponin-insoluble pellets. ICDH and β-actin were used as controls for bacterial lysis and equal loading, respectively. Data are representative of three independent experiments. **(B)** Replication of *L. pneumophila* strains within *Nlrc4*^{-/-} BMDMs infected at an MOI of 1. Fold growth was calculated by normalizing CFU counts to internalized bacteria at 1 h post-infection. Plasmid expression of *mesI* alleles was induced with 1 mM IPTG and confirmed by Western blot (inset). Asterisks denote statistical significance (**P<0.05) by Students' *t*-test on samples in triplicates. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 6. MesI-deficient bacteria are efficiently degraded in host cells. (Top) Representative

confocal micrographs of *Nlrc4*^{-/-} BMDMs infected for 8 h (MOI of 30) with *L. pneumophila* wild-

type (WT), Δ*mesI*, or Δ*dotA*. Intact and degraded bacteria (green) are indicated with white and

red arrows, respectively. Nuclei of Δ*mesI*-infected BMDMs are indicated with white arrowhead.

Scale bar is 10 μm. **(Bottom)** Quantification of degraded *L. pneumophila* within *Nlrc4*^{-/-} BMDMs

infected for 1 or 8 h at an MOI of 30. were infected with *L. pneumophila.* Percent degraded bacte-

ria were enumerated by blinded immunofluorescence scoring of samples in triplicates ($n = 300$).

Asterisks denote statistical significance (**$P<0.01$) by Students' *t*-test and data shown are repre-

sentative of two independent experiments.

L. pneumophila wild-type

L. pneumophila Δ*mesI*

Figure 7. Model for the MesI regulatory mechanism. (A) MesI regulates SidI toxicity within host cells and the bacterium promotes replication of virulent *L. pneumophila* (purple) within host cells by regulating SidI within the bacterium and host cell. SidI inhibits eukaryotic protein synthesis and is toxic to both host cells and *L. pneumophila* in the absence of MesI. **(B)** MesI-deficient bacteria are degraded within host cells, which is likely a consequence of intrabacterial SidI toxicity. A role for SidI host cell toxicity in the *L. pneumophila* Δ*mesI* virulence defect is unclear (dashed line). Figure created with Biorender.com.

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. MesIΔ10 binds SidI. Glutathione agarose beads were incubated with lysates from *E.*

coli producing GST-SidI or GST (beads) and subsequently incubated with lysates from *E. coli* pro-

ducing His$_6$-Myc-MesI or -MesIΔ10. Proteins on beads were separated by SDS-PAGE and visual-

ized by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) staining or α-Myc Western blot, as indicated. Data are

representative of three independent experiments.

Figure S2. Host cell death is not increased by SidI-producing *L. pneumophila*. Lactate dehy-

drogenase activity in supernatants of *Nlrc4$^{-/-}$* BMDMs infected with the indicated *L. pneumophila*

strains (MOI of 30) for 1, 6, or 10 h. Plasmid expression of *sidI* was induced with 1 mM IPTG.

Data are representative of three independent experiments and statistical analysis was per-

formed using Students' *t*-test (ns, not significant).