Title: F-actin regulates polarized secretion of pollen tube attractants in *Arabidopsis* synergid cell.

Short title: Roles of cytoskeletons in synergid cell.

Authors: Daichi Susaki[1], Rie Izumi[1], Takao Oi[2], Hidenori Takeuchi[3,4], Ji Min Shin[5] Naoya Sugi[1], Tetsu Kinoshita[1], Tetsuya Higashiyama[3,6,7], Tomokazu Kawashima[5] and Daisuke Maruyama[1]*.

Affiliations:

1. Kihara Institute for Biological Research, Yokohama City University, Maioka-cho 641-12, Totsuka-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 244-0813, Japan.
2. Graduate school of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602, Japan.
3. Institute of Transformative Bio-Molecules (WPI-ITbM), Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan.
4. Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan.
5. Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, 321 Plant Science Building, Lexington, KY 40546, USA.
6. Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602, Japan.
7. Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.
    * Author for correspondence: dmaru@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

Abstract

Pollen tube attraction is a key event of sexual reproduction in flowering plants. In the ovule, two synergid cells neighboring the egg cell control pollen tube arrival via the active secretion of attractant peptides such as AtLURE1 and XIUQIU from the filiform apparatus facing toward the micropyle. Distinctive cell polarity together with longitudinal F-actin and microtubules are hallmarks of the synergid cell in various species, though functions of these cellular structures are still unclear. In this study we used genetic and pharmacological approaches to elucidate the roles of cytoskeletal components in filiform apparatus formation and pollen tube guidance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Inhibition of microtubule formation reduced invaginations of the plasma membrane but did not abolish micropylar AtLURE1.2 accumulation. In contrast, the expression of a dominant-negative form of ACTIN8 induced disorganization of the filiform apparatus and loss of polar AtLURE1.2 distribution toward the filiform apparatus. Interestingly, after pollen tube reception, F-actin became unclear for a few hours in the persistent synergid cell, which may be involved in pausing and resuming pollen tube attraction during early polytubey block. Our data propose the central role of F-actin in the maintenance of cell polarity and function of male-female communication in the synergid cell.

(199 words)

Introduction

Sexual plant reproduction proceeds with multicellular haploid tissues termed gametophytes. Most flowering plants, including *Arabidopsis thaliana,* produce a *Polygonum*-type female gametophyte that contains seven cells: one egg cell, one central cell, two synergid cells and three antipodal cells. On the other hand, pollen grains or pollen tubes are the male gametophytes and contain fewer cells, with a tip-growing pollen tube vegetate cell that contain two sperm cells. Sexual reproduction is accomplished when the egg cell and central cell are fertilized by two sperm cells delivered by the pollen tube. Although the synergid cell itself is not fertilized, it is responsible for almost all communications between female and male gametophytes prior to double fertilization. The synergid cell controls pollen tube attraction, pollen tube reception, termination of pollen tube attraction, and fertilization recovery (Dresselhaus et al., 2016).

In the past two decades, many synergid cell-specific genes have been identified that are required for the communication between the female and male gametophytes. In some species, various pollen tube attractants secreted from the synergid cell have been identified: ZmEA1 in maize (Marton et al., 2005; Marton et al., 2012); LURE1 and LURE2 in *Torenia* (Okuda et al., 2009); AtLURE1s, XIUQIUs, and TICKETs in *A. thaliana* (Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2012; Zhong et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2019).

Receptor-like kinases on the pollen tube tip, including PRK6, interact with AtLURE1

and control the directional growth of the pollen tube (Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2016).

After arrival of the pollen tube, one of the two synergid cells receives the pollen tube

contents, including the two sperm cells. The receptive synergid regulates pollen tube

discharge via CrRLK1L-type receptor-like kinases such as FERONIA, ANJEA, and

HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (HERK1) (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007;

Galindo-Trigo et al., 2020), a GPI-anchored protein LORELEI (Capron et al., 2008;

Tsukamoto et al., 2010), and a Mildew resistance locus o (MLO) family-related protein

NORTIA (Kessler et al., 2010). Genetic and biochemical approaches have begun to

uncover their cooperative mechanisms during pollen tube reception (Li et al., 2015; Ju

et al., 2021). Following pollen tube reception, ovules prevent the attraction of extra

pollen tubes, a process called polytubey block, reducing risk of egg cell fertilization by

more than one sperm cell known as polyspermy (Kasahara et al., 2012; Beale et al.,

2012; Maruyama and Higashiyama, 2016). In early ovular polytubey block, activated

just before or upon double fertilization, synergid cells produce nitric oxide (NO) in a

FERONIA dependent manner. The NO signal not only inactivates AtLURE1 peptides

via post-translational nitrosation, but also inhibits the AtLURE1 secretory system by an

unknown mechanism (Duan et al., 2020). In addition, the clearance of attractants may

be accelerated by fertilization-dependent secretion of aspartic endopeptidases from the

zygote (Yu et al., 2021). On the other hand, later stages of the polytubey block are

initiated a few hours after double fertilization by the inactivation of the non-receptive

persistent synergid. Representative features of the persistent synergid elimination are

nuclear degeneration and cell-to-cell fusion between the persistent synergid and the

endosperm (Völz et al., 2013; Maruyama et al., 2013; Maruyama et al., 2015; Pereira et

al., 2016). If ovules are not fertilized by the first pollen tube, they pause the

degeneration of the persistent synergid and resume the attraction of the next pollen tube

(Kasahara et al., 2012; Beale et al., 2012).

The synergid cell displays a highly polarized morphology, characterized by

concentration of the protoplasm at the micropylar side, facing toward the pollen tube

entry pathway in the ovule, and a large central vacuole occupies at the opposite chalazal

side (Mansfield et al., 1991). The micropylar end of the synergid cell has the filiform

apparatus, an active communication domain with complex plasma membrane

invaginations and thick cell walls, that presumably has pivotal roles in secreting plenty

of pollen tube attractant peptides and localizing pollen tube reception factors (Johnson

et al., 2019). Synergid cell shape formation and dynamic protein relocation or secretion

may be regulated by the cytoskeletons. Immunostaining studies have shown radial

microtubules spreading from the filiform apparatus in various species including *A.*

thaliana (Webb and Gunning, 1994). In contrast, filamentous actin (F-actin) is widely

distributed longitudinally along the micropyle-chalazal axis (Webb and Gunning, 1994).

However, the significance of the cytoskeletons in synergid cells remains unclear.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the functions of the cytoskeleton in *A.*

thaliana synergid cells. We used genetic and pharmacological approaches to induce

microtubule- and actin-depolymerization. Our results indicate that microtubule

destruction compromises the elongation of plasma membrane invaginations in the

filiform apparatus. On the other hand, disruption of F-actin caused severe

disorganization of synergid cell morphology, including phenotypic alterations such as

incomplete filiform apparatus formation and aberrant positions of the central vacuole.

Furthermore, F-actin destruction impaired the secretion of pollen tube attractant

peptides and caused female sterility. Interestingly, the longitudinal F-actin pattern

disappeared in the persistent synergid after pollen tube discharge, suggesting dynamic

regulation of F-actin during fertilization. Altogether, these findings elucidated flexibility

of the F-actin-mediated directional secretion system that maintains morphology and

function of the synergid cell.

RESULTS

Morphology of filiform apparatus.

Filiform apparatus was usually described as a finger-like structure from

observations of mature ovules by transmission electron microscopy (Jensen, 1965;

Diboll and Larson, 1966; Schulz and Jensen, 1968). To update our knowledge on the

filiform apparatus morphology, we conducted three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of

mature *A. thaliana* ovule by focused ion beam-scanning electron microscopy

(FIB-SEM) (Oi et al., 2017). A 3D image reconstructed from the serial sections (713

sequential electron micrographs with 25 nm step size) showed a sponge-like porous

domain of cell walls at the micropylar end of the filiform apparatus, rather than the

finger-like pattern that are often used to depict filiform apparatus in 2D electron

micrographs (FA and Po in Figure 1A and 1B; Movie 1). According to the 3D model,

the surface area of plasma membrane in the filiform apparatus was 3.7-fold larger than

the presumed cell wall area excluding invaginations (72.3 μm^2 and 19.8 μm^2,

respectively).

Next, we generated a plasma membrane marker *pMYB98::3×mNG-SYP132*,

expressing Syntaxin of plant 132 (SYP132) tagged with tandem fusions of three

mNeonGreen from the synergid cell-specific *MYB98* promoter (Uemura et al., 2004;

Shaner et al., 2013; Kasahara et al., 2005) and analyzed complex structures of the

filiform apparatus in live synergid cells by confocal microscopy (Figure 1C; Movie 2).

Z-series confocal images showed that the porous structure exhibited strong fluorescent

signals due to the accumulations of vesicular- or folded-plasma membranes (Figure 1C,

Po). In contrast to the FIB-SEM data, we observed long protrusions of the tubular

plasma membrane from the porous area toward the inside of the cell (Figure 1C, Inv).

Among the eight synergid cells in the four ovules, we observed an average of 8.0 ± 2.3

invaginations per cell with a length ranging from 1.8 to 11.5 µm (Figure 1C). The size

of the invagination was comparable between pairs of synergid cells from the same ovule

but varied among different ovules (Figure 1D). In addition, bulges were observed along

the invaginations in three ovules (Figure 1C, Bu).

Morphology and dynamics of cytoskeletons in synergid cell.

The formation of complex plant cell morphologies often requires F-actin and/or

microtubules (Eng and Sampathkumar, 2018). To investigate pattern of these

cytoskeletons in the live synergid cell, we generated a double marker line expressing an

F-actin binding Lifeact peptide tagged with mRUBY3 (Lifeact-mRUBY3) and

TUBULIN ALPHA 5 fused with Citrine (Citrine-TUA5) from the synergid cell-specific

MYB98 promoter (Riedl et al., 2008; Shaner et al., 2013; Kasahara et al., 2005). In the

mature ovules, mRUBY3 signal of F-actin extended from the micropylar end, where the

filiform apparatus resides, and reached beyond synergid nuclei and large central

vacuoles to the chalazal end (Figure 2A, left panel). Citrine-labeled microtubules were

also longitudinally aligned around the filiform apparatus, forming a radially extended

cat-whisker pattern (Figure 2A, middle panel), where F-actin often associated or

co-localized with microtubules (Figure 2A, right panel).

In the egg cell and central cell, F-actin is generated at the cell periphery and

migrates toward the nucleus, supporting the rapid merger of female and male nuclei

(karyogamy) after gamete fusions (plasmogamy) (Kawashima et al., 2014; Ohnishi et

al., 2014; Ohnishi and Okamoto, 2015). To examine whether fertilization-incompetent

gametophytic cells (*i.e.,* synergid cells) have the similar nucleopetal F-actin dynamics,

we observed F-actin dynamics in the synergid cells of mature ovules

(*pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*) by time-lapse imaging at 30 sec intervals. The movie showed

that actin cables actively moved longitudinally (Movie 3), and we further performed the

spatiotemporal image correlation spectroscopy analysis (Ashdown et al., 2015) to

evaluate directions of F-actin flow at four areas (two in the chalazal and one each in

peri-nuclear and micropylar regions). Radar plots indicated that the directions of F-actin

flow were mainly from chalazal to micropyle, except for the micropylar region (Figure

2B–2E and S2). Around the filiform apparatus, the F-actin flow was omnidirectional,

implying active rearrangements of F-actin. These results show that, unlike the

nucleopetal F-actin dynamics of the gametes, overall F-actin dynamics in the synergid

cell are toward the filiform apparatus.

Genetic disruption of microtubule formation.

To analyze the roles of microtubules in the synergid cell, we used PHS1ΔP, a dominant mutant of PROPYZAMIDE-HYPERSENSITIVE 1 (PHS1). PHS1 is an α-tubulin kinase consisting of a central tubulin kinase domain and a C-terminal phosphatase domain (Fujita et al., 2013). PHS1ΔP lacks the C-terminal phosphatase domain and shows high tubulin kinase activity, inducing microtubule depolymerization via overproduction of polymerization-inefficient phosphorylated α-tubulins (Fujita et al., 2013). We generated two transgenic lines homozygous for a transgene expressing the *PHS1ΔP* from the *MYB98* promoter (*pMYB98::PHS1ΔP*) that were also homozygous for two reporter genes visualizing synergid cell morphology: the *pRPS5A::H2B-tdTomato* (*RHT*) and *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132* (ENS). *RHT* is a nuclear marker expressing tdTomato-tagged HISTONE H2B from the ubiquitously active *Ribosomal Protein Subunit 5A* promoter (Maruyama et al., 2013). As shown in Figure S1, *ENS* is a plasma membrane marker expressing 3×mNeonGreen-SYP132 from the embryo sac-specific *ES2* promoter (Yu et al., 2005; Pagnussat et al, 2007).

The mature ovules from the *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* transgenic lines were compared with those from the wild-type *RHT;ENS* line using confocal microscopy. In wild-type *RHT;ENS*, 97.7% of the ovules contained the normal seven-celled female gametophyte with two synergid cells, an egg cell, a central cell, and three antipodal cells

(Figure 3A, n = 386). On the other hand, in *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS*, only 9.3–

12.9% of the gametophytes showed normal cell structure (Figure 3B, Two synergids;

Figure 3F), and the remaining ovules exhibited varieties of aberrance. Specifically,

37.2–37.5% of the ovules contained a single synergid cell with either one or two nuclei

(Figure 3C, single synergid). Because the *MYB98* promoter becomes active prior to

cellularization of the eight-nucleate female gametophyte (Kasahara et al., 2005; Susaki

et al., 2021), these single synergid ovules were likely generated due to the inadequate

spindle and/or cell plate formation caused by PHS1ΔP-mediated microtubule

depolymerization (Figure 3C and 3F, SY-SY fusion). Consistently, we observed more

severe phenotypes in *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS*; including the disappearance of

mNeonGreen-labeled plasma membrane accumulation, a hallmark of the filiform

apparatus, in 49.5–53.6% of the ovules (Figure 3D and 3E, No FA). Occasionally, these

ovules contained no nuclei in the putative synergid region while the central cell had

multiple nuclei (Figure 3E, 9.5–10.3%). These phenotypes suggest that the absence of

the filiform apparatus may reflect the failure of synergid cell differentiation due to

incomplete cytokinesis between the two synergid cells and the central cell (Figure 3D–

3F, CC-SY fusion).

In the following experiments, we focused on the single-synergid ovules because

they were observed more frequently than the two-synergid ovules (Figure 3C and 3F).

Around the filiform apparatus, only ~10.0% of the single-synergid ovules produced

long plasma membrane invaginations (Figure 3C and 3G, greater than ~6 μm), which

was considerably fewer than that of normal synergid cells in the wild-type *RHT;ENS*

ovules (Figure 3A and 3G, 80.9%, n = 377). Next, we performed transmission electron

microscopy to analyze the cell walls at the filiform apparatus (Figure 3H–3K). In

wild-type *RHT;ENS*, the cell walls exhibited typical finger-like pattern consisting of an

electron dense central region surrounded by a translucent peripheral layer (Figure 3H

and 3I, 100%, n = 7). Similar finger-like cell walls were also observed in the

single-synergid ovules of *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP RHT;ENS* (Figure 3J and 3K, 80%, n = 5).

To investigate the expression and localization of the cytoskeletons and pollen tube

attractant, we introduced the microtubule, F-actin, and pollen tube attractant markers

into the wild-type *RHT* and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT* lines (Figure 3L–3Q). The

single-synergid ovules carrying *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP* displayed no fibers, visualized using

the *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* microtubule marker, confirming the significant effect of

PHS1ΔP expression microtubule depolymerization (Figure 3L and 3M). Normal

longitudinal F-actin *(pMYB98::Lifeact-mNeonGreen)* was observed in the

single-synergid ovules of the *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP* line (Figure 3N and 3O). Finally, the

pollen tube attractant marker, *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine*, showed a comparable

Citrine focus at the filiform apparatus in both wild-type and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP* lines,

indicating of normal polarized secretion in these ovules (Figure 3P and 3Q). Altogether,

these results suggest that whisker-like microtubules facilitate the growth of plasma

membrane invaginations but have a limited effect on the formation of the basal structure

of the filiform apparatus and cell polarity.

To investigate pollen tube attraction and fertility, pistils from the wild-type

RHT;ENS and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* lines were pollinated with the

non-transgenic Col-0 pollen (Figure 4). At one-day-after pollination (1 DAP), pollen

tubes were visualized by CongoRed staining. In the wild-type *RHT;ENS* pistils, 97.5%

of the ovules received pollen tubes and displayed nuclear proliferation in the endosperm,

showing successful pollen tube attraction and fertilization (Figure 4A, n = 360). Similar

fertilization phenotypes were also observed in 49.7% of the ovules from

pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS plants (Figure 4B, n = 338), which corresponded to the

total frequency of the single-synergid and two-synergid ovules (Figure 3B, 3C, 3F). The

remaining 50.3% did not proliferate the endosperm nuclei (Figure 4C–4E and 4G),

implying fertilization abnormalities in these ovules, which also showed no plasma

membrane accumulation at the filiform apparatus (Figure 3D–F). In the

pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS plants, normal seed development was observed in ~70%

at 10 DAP (Figure 4H–4J), which were comparable to the ovules receiving a pollen tube

at 1 DAP (Figure 4F). Thus, the subset of the PHS1ΔP-expressing ovules might show

fertilization delay soon after pollen tube reception (Figure 4C and 4F, 22,2%) and would

be gradually fertilized by 8 DAP. Taken together, PHS1ΔP-mediated microtubule

depolymerization and the loss of long invaginations at the filiform apparatus would not

abolish pollen tube attraction and reception by the synergid cell.

Expression of a dominant-negative actin in the synergid cell caused severe defect in

pollen tube attraction.

To examine the roles of F-actin in synergid cell, we generated transgenic plants

expressing wild-type ACTIN8 (*WT-ACTIN*) or the dominant-negative form of ACTIN8

(*DN-ACTIN*) from the *MYB98* promoter. Homozygous plants were not recovered in four

independent *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* lines, implying reduced fertility in the female

gametophyte. To further investigate that, we compared 8 DAP seeds in pistils from

Col-0 wild-type plants and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous mutants pollinated with

Col-0 wild-type pollen (Figure 5A–5C). Wild-type pistils contained almost full sets of

seeds (Figure 5A and 5C). However, approximately half of the ovules remained

undeveloped in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* line (Figure 5B and 5C). Next, we analyzed

the hygromycin resistance in these F_1 siblings. Hygromycin resistance in the

pMYB98::WT-ACTIN hemizygous line was 52.7%, suggesting normal inheritance in the

pMYB98::WT-ACTIN plants from the female gametophyte (Figure 5D). Nevertheless,

hygromycin resistance in the four *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous lines ranged from

2.8 to 9.5% (Figure 5D). When wild-type Col-0 pistils were pollinated with pollen from

the *pMYB98::WT-ACTIN* or *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plants, no reproductive

abnormalities, such as reduced seed set or decreased hygromycin resistance, were

observed in the F_1 siblings (Figure 5C and 5E). Taken together, we concluded that the

pMYB98::DN-ACTIN caused sterility exclusively in the female gametophyte.

To further investigate the cause of female sterility, we first examined pollen

tube attraction. Pistils from wild-type and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* plants were pollinated

with wild-type Col-0 pollen, and the pollen tube growth pattern was analyzed at 1 DAP

using CongoRed staining. In wild-type pistils, 96.2% of the ovules received the pollen

tube, indicative of successful pollen tube attraction (Figure 5F and 5H, n = 227),

whereas pollen tube attraction was observed only in 51.3% of the ovules from the

pMYB98::DN-ACTIN line (Figure 5G and 5H, n = 463). Therefore,

pMYB98::DN-ACTIN may reduce ovule fertility through a pollen tube guidance defect.

Loss of F-actin in the synergid cell impairs secretion of pollen tube attractant

peptides.

The pollen tube attraction defect observed in *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* may be

explained by a decrease in the secretion of pollen tube attractant peptides from the

synergid cell. To assess this hypothesis, we compared the immunostaining pattern of

AtLURE1.2 in mature ovules from non-transgenic Col-0, *myb98* homozygous, and

pMYB98::DN-ACTIN hemizygous plants (Figure S3). AtLURE1.2 signals were detected

on the surface of the micropyle and/or funiculus in ~90% of the ovules from

non-transgenic Col-0 plants (Figure S3A; n = 206). The AtLURE1.2 signal was below

the detection level in the *myb98* homozygous mutant, as reported previously (Figure

S3B; n = 231; Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2012). In the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN*

hemizygous plant, AtLURE1.2 signals were only observed in ~40% of the ovules

(Figure S3C; n = 260), indicating a reduction of AtLURE1.2 secretion in the *DN-ACTIN*

expressing ovules. To further examine pollen tube attractants in the synergid cells, we

used a translational fusion reporter of *AtLURE1.2* and *Citrine*

(*pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine*). Consistent with the previous report, the fluorescent

signal of AtLURE1.2-Citrine was specifically observed in the synergid cell with the

strongest signal detected at the filiform apparatus (97.0%, n = 339; Figure 6A, 6B and

6G; Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2012). In the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plants

that were homozygous for the *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine*, Citrine signal was

detected in 92.9% of ovules (n = 432; Figure 6C–6D), suggesting that DN-ACTIN had

little or no effect on cell differentiation and gene expression in the synergid cell.

However, a normal AtLURE1.2-Citrine secretion pattern was observed only in 46.3% of

ovules (WT; Figure 6C, 6D and 6G). In the remaining ovules that were expected to

carry *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN*, AtLURE1.2-Citrine did not accumulate at the filiform

apparatus but rather was uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm (*pMYB98::DN-ACTIN*;

Figure 6E and 6F). Abnormalities were also observed in the central vacuole. Wild-type

ovules presented single large central vacuole at the chalazal side (Figure 6B and 6D),

whereas ovules from *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous line often contained multiple

small vacuoles located in the middle or micropylar side of the synergid cell (Figure 6F).

In agreement, similar results were obtained with the pollen tube attractant marker,

XIUQIU4-Citrine (Figure S4). These data indicate the aberrant secretion of AtLURE1.2

and XIUQIU4 in the DN-ACTIN expressing synergid cells.

We next analyzed the ultrastructure of normal and abnormal ovules segregated

from *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plants that were homozygous for

pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine (Figure 6H–6K). Although normal ovules with a

strong Citrine signal at the filiform apparatus showed finger-like cell walls (Figure 6H

and 6I; n = 7), abnormal ovules accumulated electron-dense cell wall materials with

chaotic pattern (Figure 6J and 6K; n = 5). To examine other morphological aspects of

the abnormal synergid cell, marker genes for the plasma membrane and cytoskeletons

were introduced into *DN-ACTIN* expressing lines. In the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN*

hemizygous plants that were homozygous for *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132* (*ENS*), 48.6% of

the ovules exhibited a normal filiform apparatus pattern, characterized by the

accumulation and invagination of mNeonGreen-labeled plasma membrane at the

filiform apparatus (Figure 6L; n = 436). The remaining ovules (51.4%) did not have

mNeonGreen focus at the micropylar end, and vesicles of various sizes were detected

inside of the synergid cell (Figure 6M), suggesting disorganization of the filiform

apparatus in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* line. In the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous

plants that were homozygous for *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNeonGreen*, 49.3% of the ovules

produced longitudinally aligned F-actin as wild-type ovules observed in Figure 2

(Figure 6M; n = 319), while the remaining ovules showed fragmented F-actin and

destruction of the actin cable alignment (Figure 6M). On the other hand, typical

whisker-like microtubule extensions at the filiform apparatus did not change between

normal and abnormal ovules in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plants that were

homozygous for the *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* (Figure 6P and 6Q; n = 212). The

Citrine-TUA5 pattern indicates independence of F-actin formation and microtubule

formation in the synergid cell.

The analyses of the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* transgenic lines revealed important

roles of F-actin in pollen tube attraction. However, this genetic approach could not

clarify whether the pollen tube attraction defect was induced by the loss of the filiform

apparatus or inhibition of attractant peptide secretion. Thus, we examined changes in

synergid cell morphology within a few hours after actin polymerization inhibition by

Latrunculin A (LatA) treatment. First, we confirmed that half-an-hour treatment with

100 µM LatA diminished F-actin in synergid cell from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* line

(Figure 7A and 7B). Interestingly, *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* synergid cell displayed

normal whisker-like microtubule extensions after 100 µM LatA treatment (Figure 7C

and 7D), indicating independent regulation of F-actin and microtubules in the synergid

cell. In addition, plasma membrane accumulation and invaginations at the filiform

apparatus visualized with *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132* were not altered even 4h after 100

µM LatA treatment (Figure 7E and 7F). Nevertheless, drastic alterations were observed

in the *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* line; which showed a Citrine signal focused at

the filiform apparatus in control DMSO-treated ovules that disappeared 4h after 100 µM

LatA treatment, which also induced the appearance of smaller vacuoles (Figure 7G and

7H). The LatA-mediated AtLURE1.2-Citrine signal alterations resembled the

phenotypes induced by the genetic F-actin destruction in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* lines

(Figure 6E and 6F), suggesting that F-actin destruction quickly stalls

AtLURE1.2-Citrine secretion in synergid cell even in the presence of a functional

filiform apparatus. A similar analysis was performed by treating

pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine, *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*, *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*,

and *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* lines with the microtubule polymerization inhibitor,

Oryzalin (Figure S5). However, an altered fluorescent pattern was observed only with

the *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* microtubule marker (Figure S5C and S5D). Taken together,

these results suggest that F-actin regulates the polarized secretion of pollen tube

attractant peptides at the filiform apparatus.

Temporal disappearance of longitudinal F-actin in persistent synergid cell after

pollen tube discharge.

Cumulative evidence supporting F-actin-mediated pollen tube attraction

prompted us to investigate F-actin pattern in the persistent synergid during fertilization

because it may be involved in the cessation of pollen tube attraction observed after successful double fertilization (Kasahara et al., 2012; Beale et al., 2012). Pistils from *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were pollinated with pollens from a sperm cell-specific nuclear marker *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1* (Ingouff et al., 2007), and ovules were analyzed by spinning disk confocal microscopy at 6 h-after-pollination (6 HAP) (Figure 8A–8I). In the unfertilized ovules, normal longitudinal F-actin pattern was observed in both intact synergid cells on different focal planes (Figure 8A, 8E, and 8I). By contrast, different F-actin pattern was observed in two synergid cells after pollen tube reception. According to the sperm nuclei-derived mRFP1 signals, fertilization states of these ovules were classified into three categories: before plasmogamy, before karyogamy, and after karyogamy. Ovules before plasmogamy contained sperm cells closely apposed each other between the egg cell and central cell (Figure 8B and 8F). In the ovules before karyogamy, each separated sperm nucleus was observed in the egg cell and central cell (Figure 8C and 8G). In ovules after karyogamy, the sperm-derived mRFP1 signal was dispersed in the zygote nucleus or primary endosperm nucleus by chromatin decondensation in ovules after karyogamy (Figure 8D and 8H). Throughout these fertilization stages, actin cables were unclear in the receptive synergid and the fluorescent proteins leaked into and marked the boundary of the egg cell and central cell (Figure 8B–8D). In addition, we often observed mNeonGreen foci around the chalazal end of the receptive synergid, which may correspond to the "actin corona" visualized by

Rhodamine-phalloidin staining in *Nicotiana tabacum* ovules (Russell, 1993; Huang and

Russell, 1994). When the pistils from *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were fertilized by the

tagRFP-expressing pollen tubes (*pLAT52::tagRFP*), we found moderate colocalization

of cytosolic tagRFP with the actin corona-like structure (Figure S6).

In contrast to the receptive synergid, the persistent synergid did not show actin

corona-like structures and the actin cables disappeared before plasmogamy (Figure 8F

and 8I; n = 31). The percentage of ovules containing visible actin cables slightly

increased at the stage before karyogamy (Figure 8G and 8I; 34%, n = 38), and finally

reached 58% after karyogamy (Figure 8H and 8I; n = 85). To examine whether actin

cable recovery depended on plasmogamy, we used *gcs1*, a T-DNA insertion allele of

GENERATIVE CELL SPECIFIC 1/HAPLESS2 that produces plasmogamy-defective

sperm cells (Mori et al., 2006; von Besser et al., 2006). Pistils from

pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG were pollinated with the pollens from *gcs1* heterozygous plants

carrying *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1*, and the F-actin pattern in the persistent synergid

was analyzed in ovules containing unfused sperm cells at 6, 9, and 14 HAP (Figure 8J

and 8K). At 14 HAP, clear actin cables were observed in the ovules more frequently

than at earlier HAP time points (Figure 8K), indicating the fertilization-independent

nature of actin cable recovery. To elucidate the regeneration process of actin cables, we

next performed a time-lapse imaging. Pistils from *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were

pollinated with the pollens from *gcs1/+;pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1*, and 6 HAP ovules

cultured in a liquid medium were observed every 15 min by spinning disk confocal

microscopy. In fertilized ovules, persistent synergids were absorbed within a few hours

and the F-actin pattern was no longer visible due to the rapid dilution of

Lifeact-mNeonGreen (Movie 4). In contrast, ovules containing unfused sperm cells

maintained the mNeonGreen signal in the persistent synergid through the 12 hours of

time-lapse observation (Figure 8L; Movie 5). In these unfertilized ovules, few actin

cables emerged ~3h after observation and the actin cables gradually increased the

number. These results indicate that pollen tube discharge induces temporal actin

depolymerization and cell-autonomous regeneration of actin cables in the persistent

synergid.

DISCUSSION

The synergid cell has been extensively studied as the key regulator of the initial

communication between male and female gametophytes during fertilization in flowering

plants. In this study, genetic and pharmacological approaches revealed different roles of

microtubules and F-actin in the morphology and functions of synergid cell. Although

partial colocalization of microtubules and actin cables was observed in the synergid cell,

F-actin disruption did not affect microtubule pattern and *vice versa* (Figure 2; Figure 3;

Figure 6; Figure 7; Figure S5). These observations imply independent functions of the

two cytoskeletal components in the synergid cell. Indeed, different phenotypes were

observed after disruption of microtubule or F-actin formation. Inhibition of microtubule

formation by PHS1ΔP expression led to shorter plasma membrane invaginations at the

filiform apparatus, whereas the ovules accomplished pollen tube attraction and

fertilization normally (Figure 3; Figure 4). On the other hand, F-actin deformation

compromised the polarity of synergid cell organelles and impaired the secretion of

pollen tube attractants from the filiform apparatus (Figure 6; Figure 7). Therefore, we

propose that longitudinal actin cables play a central role in the formation and

maintenance of cell polarity, while microtubules have subsidiary roles in filiform

apparatus formation.

Our FIB-SEM and confocal microscopy analyses revealed a detailed sponge-like

filiform apparatus structure consisting of plasma membranes and cell walls (Figure 1).

The porous cell wall pattern was severely impaired by the expression of DN-ACTIN

(Figure 6), suggesting that the proper cell wall pattern at the filiform apparatus requires

coordination of actin-mediated protein secretion and cell wall formation. The loss of

plasma membrane accumulation was also observed in the PHS1ΔP expressing ovules

probably due to the stochastic fusions of two synergid cells and central cell (Figure 3).

Interestingly, a subset of this type of ovules may accomplish pollen tube attraction,

double fertilization, and seed formation (Figure 4). Although details of the

synergid-central cell fused cell with multifunction are still unclear, our data indicate the

capability of pollen tube attraction and reception in the absence of plasma membrane

invaginations at the filiform apparatus. If the filiform apparatus is dispensable from the

synergid cell, why this complex structure is conserved among flowering plants? The

role of complexity at the filiform apparatus may not be confined to only surface

expansion of the protein secretion area. Perhaps, the porous cell walls may serve as a

genuine sponge for the temporary storage of signaling molecules to ensure efficient

reproduction. For example, this putative signal storage might support accurate pollen

tube attraction by buffering fluctuations of protein secretion. Alternatively, it may

modulate pollen tube behavior through the release of a large amount of signaling

molecules when the growing pollen tube tip pushes the filiform apparatus before pollen

tube discharge (Higashiyama et al., 1998; Leshem et al., 2013; Ngo et al., 2014).

Not only pollen tube attractant peptides, but also various pollen tube reception

factors such as FERONIA, ANJEA, HERK1, LORELEI, and NORTIA are localized at

the plasma membrane of the filiform apparatus (Escobar-Restrepo et al., 2007;

Galindo-Trigo et al., 2020; Capron et al., 2008; Tsukamoto et al., 2010; Kessler et al.,

2010). NORTIA is relocated from a Golgi-associated compartment to the filiform

apparatus during pollen tube reception, implying cargo-dependent spatiotemporal

control of polarized protein secretion in the synergid cell. Polarized protein secretion

has been extensively studied in tip-growing model cells including the pollen tube, root

hair cell, protonema in mosses, and filamentous fungi (Stephan, 2017; Bibeau et al.,

2018). In these cells, F-actin is often concentrated beneath the tip region forming the

actin fringe, which facilitates the accumulation of secretory vesicles and subsequent

control of polarized secretions crucial for tip-growth (Stephan, 2017). Although F-actin

concentration was not obvious around the filiform apparatus, their active rearrangement

may be involved in polarized protein secretion as actin fringes in tip-growing cells.

The movement toward the filiform apparatus was another feature of F-actin

dynamics observed in the synergid cell. This is considerably different from actin

dynamics in the egg cell and central cell, where actin cables emerge from the cell

periphery and move toward the nucleus (Kawashima et al., 2014; Ohnishi et al., 2014;

Ohnishi and Okamoto, 2015). The nucleopetal movement in the female gametes is

regulated by an Arp2/3-independent WAVE/SCAR actin nucleation pathway activated

by ROP small GTPase and is important for the migration of sperm nuclei after

plasmogamy (Ali et al., 2020). Although the female gametophyte cells are originated

from the same cell lineage, their functions, morphology, and gene expression are quite

different at maturity. Therefore, the synergid cell may present its actin dynamics

controlled by a different set of actin modifiers including ROP, Arp2/3, WAVE/SCAR,

Formins, and other actin-binding proteins. The F-actin regulator, SCAR2 controls

F-actin meshwork movement in the central cell and possibly in the egg cell (Ali et al.,

2020). In our previous study, the synergid cells in *myb98* mutant, lacking extensive

invaginations characteristic of the filiform apparatus, have partially egg cell-like gene

expression and nuclear position. In the *myb98* synergid cell, slight upregulation in

SCAR2 expression (Susaki et al., 2021)   supports the hypothesis of cell-specific

regulation of actin in the female gametophyte cells.

In our observation, F-actin pattern in the synergid cell changes drastically during

fertilization. After pollen tube discharge, fine actin cables disappeared in the ruptured

receptive synergid and the synergid-derived Lifeact-mNeonGreen signal appeared as an

actin corona (Figure 8). Actin corona was discovered by a Rhodamine-phalloidin

staining to observe actin cables around discharged sperm cells prior to double

fertilization in *Nicotiana tabacum* (Russell, 1993; Huang and Russell, 1994). Due to its

characteristic spatiotemporal pattern, actin corona was speculated to transport the

released sperm cells to the area where double fertilization takes place. However,

live-imaging of double fertilization in direct delivery of sperm cells within a few

seconds, implying actin-independent sperm cell delivery mechanism in *A. thaliana*

(Hamamura et al., 2011). In this study, we found the actin corona-like signal

accumulation of the Lifeact-mNeonGreen exhibited moderate colocalization with

cytosolic tagRFP released from the pollen tube (Figure S6). Actin corona may be an

ephemeral cytosol accumulation irrelevant to sperm cell migration.

The persistent synergid displayed temporal disappearance of actin cables after

pollen tube discharge, which may pause secretion of pollen tube attractant peptides and

be involved in the mechanisms controlling polytubey block (Maruyama and

Higashiyama, 2016). Polytubey block is completed by the degeneration of the persistent

synergid triggered by double fertilization. The degeneration processes include a

cell-fusion between the persistent synergid and endosperm (Maruyama et al., 2015) and

degeneration of the persistent synergid nucleus, which is mediated by the EIN3 and

EIL1 transcription factors (Völz et al., 2013; Li et al., 2022; Heydlauff et al., 2022) and

FIS-class polycomb repressive complex (Maruyama et al., 2013; Maruyama et al.,

2015). Compared to these late degeneration processes, the disappearance of actin cables

may be an earlier and fertilization-independent alteration that temporarily inactivates

persistent synergid functions (Figure 8F, 8I, 8J–K). Recent reports have demonstrated

several early polytubey block pathways that diminish the attractant signals around the

micropyle. Specifically, FERONIA-dependent NO production accompanying with a

deposition of de-esterified pectin inactivates AtLURE1 peptides via post-translational

nitrosation upon pollen tube arrival (Duan et al., 2020). Active AtLURE1 peptides are

further reduced by ECS1 and ECS2, which are egg cell-specific aspartic endopeptidases

secreted immediately after plasmogamy (Yu et al., 2021). Together with these attractant

peptides elimination systems, inhibition of protein secretion in the persistent synergid

should play a key role in the early polytubey block. Termination of AtLURE1 secretion

and intracellular accumulation of AtLURE1 in the synergid cell are also induced by

elevation of NO signaling during pollen tube arrival (Duan et al., 2020). Although the

precise mechanism is still unknown, the NO-mediated termination of AtLURE1

secretion may be controlled by the temporal destruction of actin cables. Further studies

using inhibitors and mutants affecting NO production and F-actin formation are

required to confirm this hypothesis.

Interestingly, the persistent synergid displayed actin cables regeneration, which

was more evident in unfertilized ovules after reception of the plasmogamy-defective

gcs1 mutant pollen tube (Figure 8L). When the first pollen tube fails to fertilize the

female gametes, the ovules stop the degeneration of the persistent synergid and begin to

attract a second pollen tube within ~5h (Kasahara et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2020; Zhong

et al., 2022). The time window between the arrival of the first and second pollen tubes

coincides with the period of actin cable disappearance in the persistent synergid,

suggesting that actin cables dynamics of destruction-reconstruction function as a

courtship-pause timer allowing the persistent synergid to attract the second pollen tube.

Occasionally, polytubey causes polyspermy, which might provide the offspring

agronomically useful traits inherited from three parents (Nakel et al., 2017;

Grossniklaus, 2017; Mao et al, 2020). To increase the efficiency of such polyspermy

breeding, cell biology of persistent synergid reactivation would become important in the

future. Our discovery of the actin cable regeneration could be the first step to

understanding molecular mechanism of the persistent synergid reactivation.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Columbia-0 (Col-0) was used as the wild-type strain. The *gcs1* (SALK_135694) heterozygous mutant that was also homozygous for the *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP* has been described previously (Kasahara et al, 2012). Seeds were surface sterilized with sterilization solution (2% PLANT PRESERVATIVE MIXTURE [TM], 0.1% Tween 20) and incubated at 4ºC for several days and sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 1% sucrose and appropriate antibiotics. Approximately 10 days after germination, plants were transferred to soil. Plants were grown at 22°C under continuous light or standard long day conditions.

Plasmids and transgenic plants

The *pRPS5A::H2B-tdTomato* plasmid was kindly provided by D. Kurihara (Nagoya Univ.) (Maruyama et al., 2013). pDM286, a pGWB501 destination vector (Nakagawa et al., 2007) containing the *MYB98* promoter, has been reported previously (Maruyama et al., 2015). The *pAGL80::tagRFP-TUA5*, pDM230 (a binary vector carrying the *pLAT52::tagRFP*) and the pSNA128 (a pGWB501 destination vector containing 1,062 bp upstream of the coding region of the *ES2* (At1g26795) (Pagnussat et al, 2007; Hwang et al., 2019)) were gifts from Shuh-ichi Nishikawa (Niigata Univ.). The primers used for plasmid constructions are listed in Table S1.

The *PHS1ΔP*, a mutant gene of C-terminus-truncated PROPYZAMIDE-HYPERSENSITIVE 1 (85–700 a.a.) (Fujita et al., 2013), was amplified from *Arabidopsis* seedling cDNA by a PCR using primers

'PHS1dP_F+SalI-TOPO' and 'PHS1dP_R+AscI-stop', and introduced into

pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) to generate the HTv1243. An LR recombination

between the pDM286 and HTv1243 produced pDM580, a binary vector containing the

pMYB98::PHS1ΔP.

The HTv1313 and HTv1316, two pollen tube attractant reporter plasmids were

cloned as follows. The coding sequence of yellow fluorescent protein Citrine was

introduced into pPZP211 vector by replacing mRuby2 of pPZP211Ru (Takeuchi and

Higashiyama, 2016), resulting in pPZP211Cit. The entire genomic sequence of

AtLURE1.2 including the protein-coding and upstream promoter sequences was

amplified from *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA by a PCR using primers

'AtLURE1.2_F+SalIGA' and 'AtLURE1.2_-stopR+AscIGA', and introduced into the

SalI/AscI site of the pPZP211Cit to produce the HTv1313, a binary vector harboring the

pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine. Similarly, entire genomic sequence of the

CRP810_2.3/XIUIQIU4/TIC3 was amplified by a PCR using primers

'810_2.3_F+SalIGA' and '810_2.3_-stopR+AscIGA', and introduced into the SalI/AscI

site of the pPZP211Cit to produce the HTv1316, a binary vector harboring the

XIUQIU4::XIUQIU4-Citrine.

To generate the synergid cell-specific F-actin reporter genes, a DNA fragment of

mNeonGreen- or *mRUBY3*-tagged *Lifeact* was amplified by PCR using primers 'pENTR

LifeActFP_F' and 'FP_R' and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO to produce pOR099 or

pOR102. An LR recombination between the pDM286 and pOR099 produced pDM522, a

binary vector containing the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*, and an LR recombination between

the pDM286 and pOR102 produced pDM523, a binary vector containing the

pMYB98::Lifeact-mRUBY3.

To generate the synergid cell-specific microtubule reporter gene, a DNA fragment

of *mTFP1* was amplified by PCR using primers 'pENTR_CFP_F' and 'FP_PstI_R' and

cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO. Then, *TUA5* sequence in the *pAGL80::tagRFP-TUA5* was

introduced into *Nco*I/*Pst*I site of the *mTFP1*-containing entry vector to produce pOR103.

The *Citrine* coding sequence was amplified from the HTv1313 by a PCR using primers

'pENTR_cacc_XFP_F' and 'FP_PstI_R', and substituted with *mTFP1* in the pOR103 by

*Nco*I/*Pst*I digestion and subsequent ligation to generate pOR177. An LR recombination

between the pDM286 and pOR177 produced pDM680, a binary vector containing the

pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5.

Plasma membrane markers were constructed as follows. The pOR084, an entry

clone containing *mRUBY2-SYP132*, was constructed using NEBuilder HiFi DNA

Assembly Cloning Kit (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) by multi-DNA fragment

assembly between (i) *mRUBY2*-containing pENTR/D-TOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

MA, USA) plasmid backbone amplified by a primer set 'FP_SpeI_F' and 'FP_EcoRI_R'

and (ii) *SYP132* (At5g08080) cDNA sequence amplified by a primer set

'SYP132_EcoRI_F' and 'SYP132_SpeI_R'. pENTR/D-TOPO plasmid backbone with

the *SYP132* was amplified from the pOR084 by a PCR using primers 'GFP_1-18_R' and

'XFP_C-term_F'. Resulting linearized plasmid DNA was mixed with three mNeonGreen

DNA fragments independently amplified by the following three primer sets, 'GFP F' and

'Linker1_XFP_R', 'Linker1_XFP_F' and 'Linker2_XFP_R', or 'Linker2_XFP_F' and

'FP_R', and multi-DNA fragment assembly by the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly

Cloning Kit was performed to produce pOR129. An LR recombination of the pSNA128

and pOR129 generated *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*. An LR recombination of the pDM286

and pOR129 generated *pMYB98::3×mNG-SYP132*. Two plasmids containing wild-type

ACTIN8 (At1g49240) or dominant negative mutant of *ACTIN8* (Kato et al., 2010) downstream of the *MYB98* promoter, were constructed by LR recombination between the pDM286 and the entry clones carrying wild-type or dominant negative *ACTIN8* that described in previously (Kawashima et al., 2014).

Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation was performed by the floral dipping method using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected on MS medium containing 50 µg/mL hygromycin B or 50 µg/mL kanamycin.

Analysis of mature ovules.

Pistils were harvested one to two days after emasculation and the carpel walls were removed on a glass slide. Then, the pistils were split into half and mounted on 5% sucrose or an ovule culture medium containing Nitsch basal salt mixture (Duchefa) and 5% trehalose dihydrate (Wako Fujifilm) (Gooh et al., 2015). In pharmacological assay, Latrunculin A or Oryzalin were dissolved in DMSO to make 2.5 mM stock solutions and stored at -20ºC. These stock solutions were thawed and diluted 250 times by the ovule culture media before use (final concentration, 100 µM). Mature ovules were incubated in these inhibitor-containing media or 0.4% DMSO (negative control), and confocal images were obtained by Leica SP8 TCS (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). For time-lapse imaging, ovules were dissected from pistils in a droplet of ovule culture medium containing Nitsch basal salt mixture (Duchefa) and 5% trehalose dihydrate

(Wako Fujifilm) (Gooh et al., 2015), and transferred to a glass bottom dish (D141410;

Matsunami Glass IND., LTD., Japan). XY positions of the ovules were recorded by

bright field observation, and time-lapse confocal images were captured using IX73

inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a spinning disk confocal

scanning unit (CSU-W1; Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan) and an sCMOS camera (Zyla 4.2;

Andor, Belfast, Northern Ireland).

Analysis of seed phenotypes

Siliques at eight day-after-pollination were attached on a glass slide by

double-sided tape and the upper side of the carpel walls were removed by an ophthalmic

knife. The samples were imaged by a dissecting microscope Leica E4 W (Leica, Wetzlar,

Germany). To investigate frequency of normal seeds, we harvested fully matured

siliques and counted normal seeds and undeveloped seeds under dissecting microscope.

Histochemistry

CongoRed staining was performed as previously described by Kasahara et al., (2005)

with slight modification. Dissected pistils at 1 day-after-pollination were treated with

0.4% CongoRed Solution for 1 min on a glass slide and washed several times with 5%

sucrose. Immuno-Staining of AtLURE1.2 was performed as reported previously

(Takeuchi and Higashiyama, 2012). These samples were observed by an upright

microscope (Axio Imager. M1; Zeiss, Jera, Germany) using filter sets for DsRed or GFP.

Epi-fluorescent images of those pistils were captured by the IX73 inverted microscope

(Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

FIB-SEM

FIB-SEM sample blocks were prepared as follows. Wild-type Col-0 ovules

were fixed overnight in a fixation solution (4% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde,

0.05 M cacodylate buffer), then washed three times with 0.05 M cacodylate buffer. The

samples were subjected to postfixation with osmium tetroxide in 0.05 M cacodylate

buffer at 4ºC for 1h and washed five times with distilled water. After incubation with

1% thiocarbohydrazide for 20 min and five rounds of wash with distilled water, the

ovules were treated with 2% aqueous osmium tetroxide at room temperature for 1h and

washed three times with distilled water. The samples were stained overnight with 1%

aqueous uranyl acetate at 4ºC, then treated with 0.03M lead aspartate at 60ºC for 30

min. The tissue was then dehydrated with increasing ethanol concentrations (50%, 70%,

90%, 100%), transferred into propylene oxide, infiltrated, and embedded in Quetol 651.

Specimens were analyzed by FIB-SEM as described previously (Oi et al., 2017). Briefly,

the surfaces of the embedded ovules were exposed using a diamond knife on an

ultramicrotome (EM UC6; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), and the resin blocks were

trimmed to a cuboid and were attached on the standard specimen stage for FIB-SEM as

the exposed ovules faced the SEM column. The specimens were coated with a thin layer

using a carbon coater (CADE-E, Meiwafosis, Tokyo, Japan) to prevent electron

charging. The serial electron micrographs were obtained with a FIB-SEM (MI-4000L,

Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The FIB was operated with following conditions: accelerating

voltage, 30 kV; beam current for milling to prepare or to cut, 1.6 or 1.2 nA,

respectively; cutting interval (z-step), 25 nm. The SEM was operated with following

conditions: accelerating voltage, 1.0 kV; working distance, 2 mm; image size, 2000

×2000 pixels; color depth, 8-bit (256 grey scales); pixel size, 25 nm per pixel.

Secondary and backscattered electrons were detected by the detector in the SEM

column (U+EsB). The images of serial sections were processed using the software 'Fiji'

(http://fiji.sc/Fiji) (Schindelin et al., 2012); grey scale was inverted, brightness and

contrast were adjusted, the images were aligned using the 'Register Virtual Stack Slices'

(Translation－Translation -no deformation) tool, and then the region of the filiform

apparatus was cropped (image size, 400 ×400 pixels). The outline of cell walls of two

synergid cells in all 2D images were traced manually using the software 'PaintTool SAI'

(ver. 1, Systemax, Japan). The inside region of the two synergid cells were binarized to

detect the filiform apparatus and to reconstruct it into the 3D model using the software

'Image-Pro Premier 3D' (ver. 9.3, Media Cybernetics, USA); the surface rendering

model was reconstructed with subsampling (64M voxel) and smoothing (low-pass filter

[3:3:3]), and then their surface area were calculated with the function '3D Measure'.

Transmission electron microscopy

Emasculated pistils from the transgenic plant homozygous for the *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP*,

pRPS5A::H2B-tdTomato, and *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*, were dissected on glass slides

and analyzed by an upright microscope (Axio Imager. M1; Zeiss, Jera, Germany) to

select the ovules containing single synergid cell. Similarly, normal and abnormal ovules

were selected from the *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine transgenic plant hemizygous*

for the pMYB98::DN-ACTIN. The ovule selection procedure was skipped when we

prepared wild-type controls of the cytoskeleton-disrupted transgenic plants. The ovules

were fixed in a solution containing 4% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, and 50

mM sodium cacodylate at pH7.4 for several days at 4°C. The samples were washed in

buffer and post-fixed for 6 h in 2% aqueous osmium tetroxide at 4°C. The specimens

were then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, transferred into propylene oxide,

infiltrated, and embedded in Quetol 651. Series of thin-sections (80 nm) were stained

with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined at 80 kV under a JEOL

JEM 1400 Plus electron microscope (JEOL Ltd.). Digital images were taken with a

CCD camera (VELETA; Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions).

Analysis of F-actin flow

Time-lapse images of F-actin dynamics in synergid cell expressing

pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG were captured by spinning disk confocal microscopy with 30 sec

intervals (total 21 frames; 600 sec) and multiple z-planes (total 9 planes, 1 μm intervals).

The image was deconvoluted using the constrained iterated deconvolution function of

CellSens Dimension Desktop 3.2 (Olympus). Background noise was removed using the

subtract background function in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Three z-planes including

the peri-nuclear areas of the time-lapse images were projected and then used for

analysis of F-actin flow in the synergid cell. To analyze the F-actin flow, flow velocity

vectors (v_x,v_y) were obtained from spatio-temporal image correlation spectroscopy

analysis using MATLAB (MATLAB Inc.) scripts (Ashdown et al., 2015) with parameter

settings: pixelSize 0.259, timeframe 30, tauLimit 4, filtering FourierWhole,

MoveAverage 21, ROIsize 16, ROIshift 4, TOIsize 3, TOIshift 1 as described in the

manual (Ashdown et al., 2015). After the flow velocity vectors were acquired from each

analysis area, all angles of the flow velocity vectors from the entire analyzed frames

were calculated and normalized as probability using a custom MATLAB script to get

direction distribution of the F-actin flow.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author contributions

D.M. and T. Ka. conceived this project; D.S. obtained confocal images in Figure S1, 2 and S2; T.O. conducted FIB-SEM analysis and image processing in Figure 1 and Movie 1; J.M.S., T. Ka., and D.S. analyzed F-actin dynamics in Figure 2, S2 and Movie 3. R.I. and D.M. analyzed fertility of DN-ACTIN expressing lines; R.I and H.T. contributed immunostaining in Figure S2; N.S. analyzed the invagination length in Figure1; D.M. obtained other data and directed this project; D.M. and D.S. wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to edit the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

FIB-SEM observation was supported by Nagoya University microstructural characterization platform as a program of "Nanotechnology Platform" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan, and we especially thank S. Arai and S. Enomoto (Nagoya Univ.) for technical support. We acknowledge B. Raj Thapa (Univ. of Kentucky) for supporting on the F-actin movement quantification, M. Tsukatani for assistance in image analysis, H. Ikeda for assistance in preparing materials, D. Kurihara (Nagoya Univ.), S. Nishikawa (Niigata Univ.) and T. Nakagawa (Shimane Univ.) for providing plasmids. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Funding

This work was supported by TOYOAKI Scholarship Foundation, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI [Grant Numbers: 17H05846, 19H04869, 20H03280, 20H05778 and

776   20H05781 to D.M.; 19K16172 and 22K15145 to D.S.; 18K14729 and 20K15817 to H.T.], by the
777   Grant for academic research from Yokohama City University (to D.M.), by the grant for 2016–2022
778   Research Development Fund of Yokohama City University (to D.M.), and by National Science
779   Foundation Grant IOS-1928836 (to T.Ka.).

References

Ashdown, G., Pandžić, E., Cope, A., Wiseman, P., and Owen, D. (2015) Cortical Actin Flow in T Cells Quantified by Spatio-temporal Image Correlation Spectroscopy of Structured Illumination Microscopy Data. JoVE: 53749.

Beale KM, Leydon AR, Johnson MA. (2012) Gamete fusion is required to block multiple pollen tubes from entering an *Arabidopsis* ovule. Curr Biol 22: 1090–1094

Capron A, Gourgues M, Neiva LS, Faure JE, Berger F, Pagnussat G, Krishnan A, Alvarez-Mejia C, Vielle-Calzada JP, Lee YR, et al. (2008) Maternal control of male-gamete delivery in Arabidopsis involves a putative GPI-anchored protein encoded by the LORELEI gene. Plant Cell 20: 3038–3049

Clough SJ, Bent AF. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 16: 735–743

Diboll AG, Larson DA. (1966) An electron microscopic study of the mature megagametophyte in *Zea mays*. Am J Bot 53: 391–402

Dresselhaus T, Sprunck S, Wessel GM. (2016) Fertilization Mechanisms in Flowering Plants. Curr Biol 26: R125–139

Duan Q, Liu MJ, Kita D, Jordan SS, Yeh FJ, Yvon R, Carpenter H, Federico AN, Garcia-Valencia LE, Eyles SJ, et al., (2020) FERONIA controls pectin- and nitric oxide-mediated male-female interaction. Nature 579: 561–566

Eng RC, Sampathkumar A. (2018) Getting into shape: the mechanics behind plant morphogenesis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 46: 25–31

Escobar-Restrepo JM, Huck N, Kessler S, Gagliardini V, Gheyselinck J, Yang WC, Grossniklaus U. (2007) The FERONIA receptor-like kinase mediates male-female interactions during pollen tube reception. Science 317: 656–660

Fujita S, Pytela J, Hotta T, Kato T, Hamada T, Akamatsu R, Ishida Y, Kutsuna N, Hasezawa S, Nomura Y et al. (2013) An atypical tubulin kinase mediates stress-induced microtubule depolymerization in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol 23: 1969–1978

Galindo-Trigo S, Blanco-Tourinan N, DeFalco TA, Wells ES, Gray JE, Zipfel C, Smith LM. (2020) CrRLK1L receptor-like kinases HERK1 and ANJEA are female determinants of pollen tube reception. EMBO Rep 21: e48466

Gooh K, Ueda M, Aruga K, Park J, Arata H, Higashiyama T, Kurihara D. (2015) Live-cell imaging and optical manipulation of Arabidopsis early embryogenesis. Dev Cell 34: 242–251

Grossniklaus U. (2017) Polyspermy produces tri-parental seeds in maize. Curr Biol 27: R1300–R1302

Hamamura Y, Saito C, Awai C, Kurihara D, Miyawaki A, Nakagawa T, Kanaoka MM, Sasaki N, Nakano A, Berger F. et al. (2011) Live-cell imaging reveals the dynamics

of two sperm cells during double fertilization in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Curr Biol 21:
497–502

Heydlauff J, Serbes IE, Vo D, Mao Y, Gieseking S, Nakel T, Harten T, Völz R,
Hoffmann A, Groß-Hardt R. (2022) Dual and opposing roles of EIN3 reveal a
generation conflict during seed growth. Mol plant. 15: 363–371.

Higashiyama T, Kuroiwa H, Kawano S, Kuroiwa T. (1998) Guidance in vitro of the
pollen tube to the naked embryo sac of *Torenia fournieri*. Plant Cell 10: 2019–2031

Huang B-Q, Russell SD. (1994) Fertilization in *Nicotiana tabacum*: cytoskeletal
modifications in the embryo sac during synergid degeneration. Planta 194: 200–214

Hwang D, Wada S, Takahashi A, Urawa H, Kamei Y, Nishikawa SI. (2019)
Development of a Heat-Inducible Gene Expression System Using Female
Gametophytes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol 60: 2564–2572

Ingouff M, Hamamura Y, Gourgues M, Higashiyama T, Berger F. (2007) Distinct
dynamics of HISTONE3 variants between the two fertilization products in plants.
Curr Biol 17: 1032–1037

Iwano M, Ngo QA, Entani T, Shiba H, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Isogai A, Grossniklaus U,
Takayama S. (2012) Cytoplasmic Ca2+ changes dynamically during the interaction
of the pollen tube with synergid cells. Development 139: 4202–4209

Jensen WA. (1965) The ultrastructure and histochemistry of the synergids of cotton. Am
J Bot 52: 238–256

Johnson MA, Harper JF, Palanivelu R. (2019) A Fruitful Journey: Pollen Tube
Navigation from Germination to Fertilization. Annu Rev Plant Biol 70: 809–837

Ju Y, Yuan J, Jones DS, Zhang W, Staiger CJ, Kessler SA. (2021) Polarized NORTIA
accumulation in response to pollen tube arrival at synergids promotes fertilization.
Dev Cell 56: 2938–2951 e6

Kawashima T, Maruyama D, Shagirov M, Li J, Hamamura Y, Yelagandula R, Toyama Y,
Berger F. (2014) Dynamic F-actin movement is essential for fertilization in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Elife 3: e04501

Kasahara RD, Portereiko MF, Sandaklie-Nikolova L, Rabiger DS, Drews GN. (2005)
MYB98 is required for pollen tube guidance and synergid cell differentiation in
Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17: 2981–2992.

Kasahara RD, Maruyama D, Hamamura Y, Sakakibara T, Twell, D, Higashiyama, T.
(2012) Fertilization recovery after defective sperm cell release in *Arabidopsis*. Curr.
Biol. 22: 1084–1089.

Kato T, Morita MT, Tasaka M. (2010) Defects in dynamics and functions of actin
filament in Arabidopsis caused by the dominant-negative actin *fiz1*-induced
fragmentation of actin filament. Plant Cell Physiol 51: 333–338

Kessler SA, Shimosato-Asano H, Keinath NF, Wuest SE, Ingram G, Panstruga R,
Grossniklaus U. (2010) Conserved molecular components for pollen tube reception
and fungal invasion. Science 330: 968–971

Li C, Yeh FL, Cheung AY, Duan Q, Kita D, Liu MC, Maman J, Luu EJ, Wu BW, Gates
L et al. (2015) Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins as chaperones and
co-receptors for FERONIA receptor kinase signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Elife 4: e06587

Li W, Li Q, Lyu M, Wang Z, Song Z, Zhong S, Gu H, Dong J, Dresselhaus T, Zhong S
et al. (2022) Lack of ethylene does not affect reproductive success and synergid cell
death in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 15: 354–362

Mansfield SG, Briarty LG, Erni S. (1991) Early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana.
I. The mature embryo sac. Can J Bot 69: 447–460

Mao Y, Gabel A, Nakel T, Viehöver P, Baum T, Tekleyohans DG, Vo D, Grosse I,
Groß-Hardt R. (2020) Selective egg cell polyspermy bypasses the triploid block.
Elife 9: e52976

Marton ML, Cordts S, Broadhvest J, Dresselhaus T. (2005) Micropylar pollen tube
guidance by egg apparatus 1 of maize. Science 307: 573–576

Marton ML, Fastner A, Uebler S, Dresselhaus T. (2012) Overcoming hybridization
barriers by the secretion of the maize pollen tube attractant ZmEA1 from Arabidopsis
ovules. Curr Biol 22: 1194–1198

Maruyama D, Hamamura Y, Takeuchi H, Susaki D, Nishimaki M, Kurihara D, Kasahara
RD, Higashiyama T. (2013) Independent control by each female gamete prevents the
attraction of multiple pollen tubes. Dev Cell 25: 317–323

Maruyama D, Völz R, Takeuchi H, Mori T, Igawa T, Kurihara D, Kawashima T, Ueda
M, Ito M, Umeda M et al. (2015) Rapid Elimination of the Persistent Synergid
through a Cell Fusion Mechanism. Cell 161: 907–918

Maruyama D, Higashiyama T. (2016) The end of temptation: the elimination of
persistent synergid cell identity. Curr Opin in Plant Biol 34: 122–126

Meng J-G, Zhang M-X, Yang, W-C, Li H-J. (2019) TICKET attracts pollen tubes and
mediates reproductive isolation between relative species in Brassicaceae. Sci China
Life Sci 62: 1413–1419

Mori T, Kuroiwa H, Higashiyama T, Kuroiwa T. (2006) GENERATIVE CELL
SPECIFIC 1 is essential for angiosperm fertilization. Nat Cell Biol 8: 64–71

Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, Nakamura S, Hino T, Maeo K, Tabata R, Kawai T,
Tanaka K, Niwa Y et al. (2007) Improved Gateway binary vectors: high-performance
vectors for creation of fusion constructs in transgenic analysis of plants. Biosci
Biotechnol Biochem 71: 2095–2100

Nakel T, Tekleyohans DG, Mao Y, Fuchert G, Vo D, Groß-Hardt R. (2017) Triparental
plants provide direct evidence for polyspermy induced polyploidy. Nat commun. 8:
1–8

Ngo QA, Vogler H, Lituiev DS, Nestorova A, Grossniklaus U. (2014) A calcium dialog
mediated by the FERONIA signal transduction pathway controls plant sperm
delivery. Dev Cell 29: 491–500

Ohnishi Y, Hoshino R, Okamoto T. (2014) Dynamics of Male and Female Chromatin

during Karyogamy in Rice Zygotes Plant Physiol 165: 1533–1543

Ohnishi Y, Okamoto T. (2015) Karyogamy in rice zygotes: Actin filament-dependent
migration of sperm nucleus, chromatin dynamics, and de novo gene expression
Plant Signal & Behav 10: e989021

Oi T, Enomoto S, Nakao T, Arai S, Yamane K, Taniguchi M. (2017) Three-dimensional
intracellular structure of a whole rice mesophyll cell observed with FIB-SEM. Ann
Bot 120: 21–28

Okuda S, Tsutsui H, Shiina K, Sprunck S, Takeuchi H, Yui R, Kasahara RD, Hamamura
Y, Mizukami A, Susaki D, et al. (2009) Defensin-like polypeptide LUREs are pollen
tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature 458: 357–361

Pagnussat GC, Yu HJ, Sundaresan V. (2007) Cell-fate switch of synergid to egg cell in
Arabidopsis *eostre* mutant embryo sacs arises from misexpression of the BEL1-like
homeodomain gene BLH1. Plant Cell 19: 3578–3592

Pereira AM, Nobre MS, Pinto SC, Lopes AL, Costa ML, Masiero S, Coimbra S. (2016)
"Love Is Strong, and You're so Sweet": JAGGER Is Essential for Persistent Synergid
Degeneration and Polytubey Block in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant 9: 601–614

Leshem Y, Johnson C, Sundaresan V. (2013) Pollen tube entry into the synergid cell of
Arabidopsis is observed at a site distinct from the filiform apparatus. Plant
reproduction 26: 93–99.

Riedl J, Crevenna AH, Kessenbrock K, Yu JH, Neukirchen D, Bista M, Bradke F, Jenne
D, Holak TA, Werb Z et al. (2008) Lifeact: a versatile marker to visualize F-actin.
Nat. Methods 5: 605–607.

Russell SD. (1993) The egg cell: development and role in fertilization and early
embryogenesis. Plant Cell 5: 1349–1359

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B et al. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. Nature methods 9: 676-82.

Schulz R, Jensen, WA. (1968) Capsella embryogenesis: the synergids before and after
fertilization. Am J Bot 55: 541–552

Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, Sell BR, Allen JR,
Day RN, Israelsson M et al. (2013) A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein
derived from *Branchiostoma lanceolatum*. Nat. Methods 10: 407–409

Stephan OOH. (2017) Actin fringes of polar cell growth. J Exp Bot 68: 3303–3320

Susaki D, Suzuki T, Maruyama D, Ueda M, Higashiyama T, Kurihara D. (2021)
Dynamics of the cell fate specifications during female gametophyte development in
Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol 19: e3001123

Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T. (2012) A species-specific cluster of defensin-like genes
encodes diffusible pollen tube attractants in Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol 10: e1001449

Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T. (2016) Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth
and LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature 531: 245–248

Tsukamoto T, Qin Y, Huang Y, Dunatunga D, Palanivelu R. (2010) A role for LORELEI,
a putative glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana
double fertilization and early seed development. Plant J 62: 571–588
Uemura T, Ueda T, Ohniwa RL, Nakano A, Takeyasu K, Sato MH. (2004) Systematic
analysis of SNARE molecules in Arabidopsis: dissection of the post-Golgi network
in plant cells. Cell Struc. Funct. 29: 49–65.
Völz R, Heydlauff J, Ripper D, von Lyncker L, Groß-Hardt R. (2013) Ethylene
signaling is required for synergid degeneration and the establishment of a pollen tube
block. Dev Cell 25: 310–316
von Besser K, Frank AC, Johnson MA, Preuss D. (2006) Arabidopsis HAP2 (GCS1) is
a sperm-specific gene required for pollen tube guidance and fertilization
Development 133: 4761–4769
Webb MC, Gunning BES. (1994) Embryo sac development in *Arabidopsis thaliana* II.
The cytoskeleton during megagametogenesis. Sex. Plant Reprod. 7: 153–163
Yu HJ, Hogan P, Sundaresan V. Analysis of the female gametophyte transcriptome of
Arabidopsis by comparative expression profiling. Plant physiology. (2005) 139:
1853–1869.
Yu X, Zhang X, Zhao P, Peng X, Chen H, Bleckmann A, Bazhenova A, Shi C,
Dresselhaus T, Sun MX. (2021) Fertilized egg cells secrete endopeptidases to avoid
polytubey. Nature 592: 433–437
Zhong S, Liu M, Wang Z, Huang Q, Hou S, Xu YC, Ge Z, Song Z, Huang J, Qiu X et al.
(2019) Cysteine-rich peptides promote interspecific genetic isolation in Arabidopsis.
Science 364: eaau9564
963
964 Zhong S, Li L, Wang Z, Ge Z, Li Q, Bleckmann A, Wang J, Song Z, Shi Y, Liu T et al.
965   (2022) RALF peptide signaling controls the polytubey block in Arabidopsis. Science
966   375: 290-296.
967
968

Figures and Figure legends

Figure 1 Morphology of filiform apparatus in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **A, B,** Filiform
apparatus analyzed by FIB-SEM (See also Movie 1). A single plane selected from the
serial section (A). 3D reconstruction image of the synergid cell wall (B). **C,** Plasma
membrane pattern visualized by *pMYB98::3×mNG-SYP132*. A maximum intensity
projection from the selected Z-serial sequences in Movie 2 is shown. **D,** Length of
plasma   membrane   invaginations   at   the   filiform   apparatus   in   the
pMYB98::3×mNG-SYP132 plants. Box-and-whisker plots show median (center line),
mean (cross mark), upper and lower quartiles (box), maximum and minimum (whiskers),
and left and right points corresponding the data from each synergid cell (orange and
blue solid circles). Abbreviations: FA, filiform apparatus; Po, porous structure; Inv,
invagination; Bu, bulge. Scale bars: 5 µm in (A) and (C).

Figure 2 Distributions of cytoskeletons in synergid cells. **A,** Maximum intensity
projection confocal images of a pair of synergid cells expressing *Lifeact-mRUBY3*
F-actin marker (Magenta) and *Citrine-TUA5* microtubule marker (Green) from the
MYB98 promoter. **B,** The first frame of time-lapse imaging of F-actin dynamics in the
synergid cell. A mature ovule in the transgenic plant expressing *Lifeact-mNeonGreen*
F-actin marker (Grey) from the *MYB98* promoter (*pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*) was
captured in 30 sec intervals. The yellow boxes indicate the areas where F-actin flow was
analyzed as shown in (C-E). V, vacuole; N, nucleus; FA, filiform apparatus; Scale bars,
10 μm in (A) and (B). **C-E,** Radar plots showing probability distributions of the
directions of F-actin flow vectors in each area (the yellow box in (B)) obtained via
spatiotemporal image correlation spectroscopy analysis. The probability was calculated
from cumulative frequency of the F-actin flow vector angles obtained from the entire
frames of time-lapse imaging. The probability values are scaled in the radial axes on the
radar plots.

Figure 3 Microtubule is required for the growth of plasma membrane invaginations at the filiform apparatus. **A,** Wild-type ovule carrying the nuclear marker (*RHT*) and plasma membrane marker (*ENS*). **B–E,** Ovules containing two synergid cells (B), single synergid cell (C), a single central cell nucleus without filiform apparatus (D), or multiple central cell nuclei without filiform apparatus (E) observed in the *RHT;ENS* double marker line that were also homozygous for the microtubule destruction gene *PHS1ΔP* expressed from the *MYB98* promoter (*pMYB98::PHS1ΔP*). In (A–C), the synergid cell(s) in the left panel is magnified and shown in the right panel. **F, G,** Frequency of normal and aberrant female gametophyte (F) and percentages of filiform apparatus with long invaginations (G) in wild-type *RHT;ENS* line and two *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* lines. **H–K,** Transmission electron micrographs of wild-type ovule in the *RHT;ENS* line (H) and single synergid cell-containing ovule of the *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* line (J). Magnification of areas of the filiform apparatus indicated with dashed boxes in (H) and (J) were shown in (I) and (K), respectively. **L–Q,** Confocal images of synergid cells expressing *RHT* nuclear marker

and other reporter genes showing synergid cell structures in wild-type plants (L, N, P)
and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP* transgenic lines (M, O, Q). The synergid cell-specific reporters
were as follows: *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5* (microtubules; L, M), *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*
(F-actin; N, O), *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* (pollen tube attractant; P, Q).
Abbreviations: *RHT*, *pRPS5A::H2B-tdTomato*; *ENS*, *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*; ccn,
central cell nucleus; ecn, egg cell nucleus; syn, synergid cell nucleus; FA, filiform
apparatus; SY, synergid cell; EC, egg cell; CC, central cell. Scale bars: 20 μm in (A) to
(E) and (L) to (Q); 2 μm in (H) to (K).
1024

Figure 4 Fertilization of ovules expressing PHS1ΔP in synergid cells. **A–E,** Analysis of pollen tube attraction and seed development in wild-type plant carrying the nuclear marker (*RHT*) and plasma membrane marker (*ENS*) (A), and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* transgenic plant (B–E). Ovules were dissected from pistils at one day after pollination with wild-type Col-0 pollen and observed after CongoRed staining. Frequency of each ovule phenotype was shown in the bottom-left. White arrows indicate pollen tubes. **F, G,** Percentages of ovules displaying pollen tube insertions (F) and endosperm development (G). **H, I,** Representative images of developing seeds in pistils from wild-type *RHT;ENS* plant (H), and *pMYB98::PHS1ΔP;RHT;ENS* transgenic line (I), analyzed at eight days after pollination with wild-type Col-0 pollen. Red arrows indicate undeveloped ovules. **J,** Frequencies of developing seeds analyzed in (H) and (J). Abbreviations: *RHT*, *pRPS5A::H2B-tdTomato*; *ENS*, *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*; ccn, central cell nucleus; ec n, egg cell nucleus; syn, synergid cell nucleus; end, endosperm nuclei. Scale bars: 20 μm in (A) to (E); 0.5 mm in (H) and (J).

Figure 5 F-actin is required for pollen tube attraction. **A, B,** Representative images of developing seeds in pistils from wild-type Col-0 plants (A), and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous lines (B), analyzed at eight days after pollination with wild-type Col-0 pollen. Red arrows indicate undeveloped ovules. **C,** Percentages of normal seeds harvested from pistils after various combinations of cross-pollination among wild-type Col-0 plants, *pMYB98::WT-ACTIN* hemizygous plants (*WT-ACTIN*), and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plants (*DN-ACTIN*). **D, E,** Transmissions of *pMYB98::WT-ACTIN* and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* transgenes via female gametophyte (D) or male gametophyte (E). Gene transmission was analyzed by frequencies of hygromycin-resistant F1 siblings generated by cross-pollinations in (B). **F, G,** Pollen tube growth pattern in pistils from wild-type Col-0 (F) and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous (line 1) (G) plants. Pistils were pollinated with wild-type Col-0 pollen and the pollen tubes were visualized by a CongoRed staining at one day after pollination. White arrows indicate pollen tubes. **H,** Percentages of pollen tube-inserted ovules analyzed in (F) and (G). Scale bars: 0.5 mm in (A) and (B); 100 µm in (F) and (G).

1061

1062

Figure 6 F-actin is necessary for directional protein secretion in synergid cell. **A–F,**
Fluorescent signals of *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* pollen tube attractant marker
in wild-type (A, B), and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous (line 1) (C–F) plants.
Merges of fluorescent images and differential interference contrast images were shown
in (A), (C), and (E). Fluorescent images of magnified synergid cells were shown in (B),
(D), and (F). The *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plant showed segregation of
wild-type ovules with normal fluorescent pattern (C, D), and abnormal ovules with little
AtLURE1.2-Citrine signal at the filiform apparatus (E, F). **G,** Frequency of ovules
displaying normal AtLURE1.2-Citrine accumulation at the filiform apparatus analyzed
in (A) to (F). **H–K,** Transmission electron micrographs of wild-type (H, I) and abnormal
(J, K) ovules segregated in pistils from a *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* transgenic
plant hemizygous for the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* (line 1). Magnification of filiform
apparatus indicated by dashed boxes in (H) and (J) were shown in (I) and (K),
respectively. **L–Q,** Confocal images of synergid cells expressing plasma membrane
marker (*pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*; L, M), F-actin marker (*pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG*; N, O),

and microtubule marker (*pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5*; P, Q) in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN*
hemizygous plants (line 1). Representative images of wild-type ovules (L, N, P) and
aberrant ovules (M, O, Q) were shown. Abbreviations: FA, filiform apparatus; SY,
synergid cell; EC, egg cell. Scale bars: 20 µm in (A) to (F) and (L) to (Q); 2 µm in (H)
to (K).

1083
1084
Figure 7 Transient actin depolymerization inhibits AtLURE1.2 accumulation without
degeneration of the filiform apparatus. **A–H,** Confocal images of synergid cells in the
pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG (F-actin marker line) (A, B), *pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5*
(microtubule marker line) (C, D), *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132* (plasma membrane marker
line) (E, F), and *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* (pollen tube attractant marker line)
(G, H), cultured in a control medium containing 0.4% DMSO (A, C, E, G), or a medium
containing 0.4% DMSO and 100 μM Latrunculin A (LatA) (B, D, F, H). Duration of the
ovule culture is shown in the top-right. Scale bars: 20 μm.

♀ *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* × ♂ *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1* / 6 HAP

| Unfertilized | Before Plasmogamy | Before Karyogamy | After Karyogamy |

A Synergid 1 — Receptive synergid (rSY) **B** **C** **D**

E Synergid 2 — Persistent synergid (pSY) **F** **G** **H**

n = 46   n = 31   n = 38   n = 85

I

Synergid cells containing visible actin cables (%)

Receptive synergid (rSY)   Persistent synergid (pSY)

Unfertilized / Before Plasmogamy / Before Karyogamy / After Karyogamy / Before Plasmogamy / Before Karyogamy / After Karyogamy

♀ *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* × ♂ *gcs1*/+ *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1*

J 6 HAP   9 HAP   14 HAP

rSY pSY   pSY rSY   pSY rSY   20 µm

n = 26   n = 18   n = 26

K

Persistent synergids containing visible actin cables (%)

6 HAP   9 HAP   14 HAP

♀ *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* × ♂ *gcs1*/+ *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP1*

L 00:00 01:00 03:00 05:00 08:00 12:00

1093

Figure 8 Destruction and reconstruction of F-actin in the persistent synergid. **A–I,**
F-actin pattern in the synergid cells during fertilization. Pistils from the
pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG F-actin marker line were pollinated with pollen from the
pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP sperm nuclear marker line and the ovules were analyzed at six
hours after pollination (6 HAP) by spinning disk confocal microscopy. Images were
maximum intensity projections of synergid cells on different focal planes in each
Z-series of ovule at unfertilized stage (A, E), before plasmogamy stage (B, F), before
karyogamy stage (C, G), and after karyogamy stage (D, H). After pollen tube reception,
receptive synergids (rSY) (B, C, D) can be   distinguished from persistent synergids
(pSY) (E, F, H) by Lifeact-mNG leakage between the egg cell and central cell. The
graph shows the percentage of synergid cells containing visible actin cables (I). **J–L,**
F-actin pattern of the persistent synergids in the ovules after reception of
fertilization-defective *gcs1* sperm cells. Pistils from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were
pollinated with pollen from a *gcs1* heterozygous plant carrying the
pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP marker. Confocal images of ovules before plasmogamy at 6, 9,
and 14 hours after pollination (J), and percentages of persistent synergids containing
visible actin cables (K). Time-lapse imaging of an ovule dissected from a pistil at 6
hours after pollination (L). Scale bars: 20 µm.

1112
1113
1114   **Figure S1** Confocal images of mature ovules from the *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132* (*ENS*)
1115   plasma membrane marker line. **A,** An image of center plane. **B,** Z-series images of a
1116   single ovule. Scale bars: 20 µm.

1117

1118

Figure S2 F-actin flow in the chalazal right area of synergid cell. **A,** The first frame of
time-lapse imaging of F-actin (Grey) dynamics in the synergid cell expressing
pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG (Figure 2B). The yellow box indicates the chalazal right area
where F-actin flow was analyzed as shown in **B**. V, vacuole; N, nucleus; Scale bar, 10
μm. **B,** Radar plot displaying the probability distribution of F-actin flow directions in
the chalazal right area (the yellow box in **A**). The directions are calculated from the
angles of F-actin flow vectors obtained from spatiotemporal image correlation
spectroscopy. The probability is calculated from cumulative frequency of the angles in
F-actin flow vectors from the entire frames of time-lapse imaging and scaled in the
radial axes on the radar plot.

1129
1130
1131 **Figure S3** Immunostaining of AtLURE1.2. **A,** Wild-type ovule. **B,** *myb98-1* mutant
1132 ovule. **C,** Ovules in the *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plant. Arrows represents
1133 AtLURE1.2 accumulation at the micropyle. Percentages of the AtLURE1.2
1134 accumulation were shown in the bottom-left with the number of total ovules analyzed.
1135 Scale bars: 50 μm.

1136

1137

Figure S4 F-actin is necessary for directional XIUQIU4 secretion. **A–F,** Fluorescent signals of *pXIUQIU4::XIUQIU4-Citrine* pollen tube attractant marker in wild-type plant (A, B), and *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plant (line 1) (C–F). Merges of fluorescent images and differential interference contrast images were shown in (A), (C), (E). Magnified synergid cells were shown in (B), (D), (F). The *pMYB98::DN-ACTIN* hemizygous plant showed segregation of wild-type ovules with normal fluorescent pattern (C, D), and abnormal ovules with little XIUQIU4-Citrine signal at the filiform apparatus (E, F). **G,** Frequency of ovules displaying normal XIUQIU4-Citrine accumulation at the filiform apparatus analyzed in (A) to (F). Scale bars: 20 μm.

1147
1148
Figure S5   Transient microtubule depolymerization. **A–H,** Confocal images of
synergid cells in the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* (F-actin marker line) (A, B),
pMYB98::Citrine-TUA5 (Microtubule marker line) (C, D), *pES2::3×mNG-SYP132*
(plasma membrane marker line) (E, F), and *pAtLURE1.2::AtLURE1.2-Citrine* (pollen
tube attractant marker line) (G, H), cultured in a control medium containing 0.4%
DMSO (A, C, E, G), or a medium containing 0.4% DMSO and 100 µM Oryzalin (B, D,
F, H). Duration of the ovule culture is shown in the top-right. Scale bars: 20 µm.

Figure S6 Moderate colocalization of actin corona-like structure and pollen tube cytosol.

Pistils from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* F-actin marker line were pollinated with pollen from the *pLAT52::tagRFP* pollen tube cytosolic marker line, and confocal images of an ovule were captured at six hour after pollination. Arrows represents the corona-like structures labeled with Lifeact-mNeonGreen. Abbreviations: EC, egg cell; rSY, receptive synergid; pSy, egg cell. Scale bar: 20 μm.

Table S1 Primers used in this study.	
Primer name	Sequence (5' --> 3')
pENTR LifeActFP_F	CACCATGGGTGTCGCAGATTTGATCAAGAAATTCGAAAGCATCTCAAAGGAAGAAGGCGGCAGCGGCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG
FP_R	TTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC
FP_SpeI_F	TGAACTAGTAAGGGTGGGCGCGC
FP_EcoRI_R	CATGAATTCGCTGCCGCCGCTG
SYP132_EcoRI_F	AGCGAATTCATGGACGATCTTCTGAAGGG
SYP132_SpeI_R	CTTACTAGTTCAAGCACTCTTGTTTTTC
GFP1-18_R	CTCGCCCTTGCTCACCAT
XFP_C-term_F	GGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAG
GFP F	ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGA
Linker1_XFP_R	GGACAATGGTACCACATCCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC
Linker1_XFP_F	GATGTGGTACCATTGTCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGA
Linker2_XFP_R	CACCAACAACATTTTGTGCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC
Linker2_XFP_F	CACAAAATGTTGTTGGTGATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGA
Linker1_FP_F	GATGTGGTACCATTGTCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAA
pENTR_CFP_F	CACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGC
pENTR_cacc_XFP_F	CCGCGGCCGCCCCCCTTCACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGA
FP_PstI_R	GACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCAT
PHS1dP_F+SalI-TOPO	CACCGTCGACATGGTCACTAGTGCAGGAGAG
PHS1dP_R+AscI-stop	CTAGGCGCGCCCATTAGCAGCTTTGCTAATCA
AtLURE1.2_F+SalIGA	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACGAGAGACATCAATGGATG
AtLURE1.2_-stopR+AscIGA	CTCCACCTCCAGAGGCGCGCCCTTTAATATCACTAATACTGCAAC
810_2.3_F+SalIGA	CTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACGGATTGTTTGTTGGTCAG
810_2.3_-stopR+AscIGA	CTCCACCTCCAGAGGCGCGCCCCAAAATGTCTTCCAATGTA

1166

Captions for Movies

Movie 1 A 3D image of cell walls at the filiform apparatus reconstructed from serial sections obtained by FIB-SEM.

Movie 2 A confocal z-series images of filiform apparatus in the *pMYB98::3×mNG-SYP132* plasma membrane marker line.

Movie 3 Dynamics of F-actin in a synergid cell of mature ovule. Ovules from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* F-actin marker line were incubated in a liquid culture medium and subjected to time-lapse imaging using spinning disk confocal microscopy.

Movie 4 F-actin dynamics of persistent synergids in two fertilized ovules. Pistils from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were pollinated with pollen from a *gcs1* heterozygous plant carrying the *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP* marker, and fertilized ovules displaying dispersed RFP signals of decondensed sperm-derived chromosomes in zygote nucleus and endosperm nucleus were analyzed by time-lapse imaging.

Movie 5 F-actin dynamics of persistent synergids in three unfertilized ovules. Pistils from the *pMYB98::Lifeact-mNG* were pollinated with pollen from a *gcs1* heterozygous plant carrying the *pHTR10::HTR10-mRFP* marker, and unfertilized ovules containing a pair of punctate RFP signals of unfused sperm nuclei were analyzed by time-lapse imaging.

Parsed Citations

Ashdown, G., Pandžić, E., Cope, A, Wiseman, P., and Owen, D. (2015) Cortical Actin Flow in T Cells Quantified by Spatio-temporal Image Correlation Spectroscopy of Structured Illumination Microscopy Data. JoVE: 53749.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Beale KM, Leydon AR, Johnson MA. (2012) Gamete fusion is required to block multiple pollen tubes from entering an Arabidopsis ovule. Curr Biol 22: 1090–1094
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Capron A, Gourgues M, Neiva LS, Faure JE, Berger F, Pagnussat G, Krishnan A, Alvarez-Mejia C, Vielle-Calzada JP, Lee YR, et al. (2008) Maternal control of male-gamete delivery in Arabidopsis involves a putative GPI-anchored protein encoded by the LORELEI gene. Plant Cell 20: 3038–3049
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Clough SJ, Bent AF. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16: 735–743
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Diboll AG, Larson DA. (1966) An electron microscopic study of the mature megagametophyte in Zea mays. Am J Bot 53: 391–402
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dresselhaus T, Sprunck S, Wessel GM. (2016) Fertilization Mechanisms in Flowering Plants. Curr Biol 26: R125–139
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Duan Q, Liu MJ, Kita D, Jordan SS, Yeh FJ, Yvon R, Carpenter H, Federico AN, Garcia-Valencia LE, Eyles SJ, et al., (2020) FERONIA controls pectin- and nitric oxide-mediated male-female interaction. Nature 579: 561–566
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Eng RC, Sampathkumar A. (2018) Getting into shape: the mechanics behind plant morphogenesis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 46: 25–31
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Escobar-Restrepo JM, Huck N, Kessler S, Gagliardini V, Gheyselinck J, Yang WC, Grossniklaus U. (2007) The FERONIA receptor-like kinase mediates male-female interactions during pollen tube reception. Science 317: 656–660
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fujita S, Pytela J, Hotta T, Kato T, Hamada T, Akamatsu R, Ishida Y, Kutsuna N, Hasezawa S, Nomura Y et al. (2013) An atypical tubulin kinase mediates stress-induced microtubule depolymerization in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol 23: 1969–1978
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Galindo-Trigo S, Blanco-Tourinan N, DeFalco TA, Wells ES, Gray JE, Zipfel C, Smith LM. (2020) CrRLK1L receptor-like kinases HERK1 and ANJEA are female determinants of pollen tube reception. EMBO Rep 21: e48466
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gooh K, Ueda M, Aruga K, Park J, Arata H, Higashiyama T, Kurihara D. (2015) Live-cell imaging and optical manipulation of Arabidopsis early embryogenesis. Dev Cell 34: 242–251
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Grossniklaus U. (2017) Polyspermy produces tri-parental seeds in maize. Curr Biol 27: R1300–R1302
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hamamura Y, Saito C, Awai C, Kurihara D, Miyawaki A, Nakagawa T, Kanaoka MM, Sasaki N, Nakano A, Berger F. et al. (2011) Live-cell imaging reveals the dynamics of two sperm cells during double fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol 21: 497–502
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Heydlauff J, Serbes IE, Vo D, Mao Y, Gieseking S, Nakel T, Harten T, Völz R, Hoffmann A, Groß-Hardt R. (2022) Dual and opposing roles of EIN3 reveal a generation conflict during seed growth. Mol plant. 15: 363–371.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Higashiyama T, Kuroiwa H, Kawano S, Kuroiwa T. (1998) Guidance in vitro of the pollen tube to the naked embryo sac of Torenia fournieri. Plant Cell 10: 2019–2031
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Huang B-Q, Russell SD. (1994) Fertilization in Nicotiana tabacum: cytoskeletal modifications in the embryo sac during synergid degeneration. Planta 194: 200–214
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hwang D, Wada S, Takahashi A, Urawa H, Kamei Y, Nishikawa SI. (2019) Development of a Heat-Inducible Gene Expression System Using Female Gametophytes of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 60: 2564–2572
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ingouff M, Hamamura Y, Gourgues M, Higashiyama T, Berger F. (2007) Distinct dynamics of HISTONE3 variants between the two fertilization products in plants. Curr Biol 17: 1032–1037
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Iwano M, Ngo QA, Entani T, Shiba H, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Isogai A, Grossniklaus U, Takayama S. (2012) Cytoplasmic Ca2+ changes dynamically during the interaction of the pollen tube with synergid cells. Development 139: 4202–4209
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jensen WA. (1965) The ultrastructure and histochemistry of the synergids of cotton. Am J Bot 52: 238–256
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Johnson MA, Harper JF, Palanivelu R. (2019) A Fruitful Journey: Pollen Tube Navigation from Germination to Fertilization. Annu Rev Plant Biol 70: 809–837
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ju Y, Yuan J, Jones DS, Zhang W, Staiger CJ, Kessler SA. (2021) Polarized NORTIA accumulation in response to pollen tube arrival at synergids promotes fertilization. Dev Cell 56: 2938–2951 e6
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kawashima T, Maruyama D, Shagirov M, Li J, Hamamura Y, Yelagandula R, Toyama Y, Berger F. (2014) Dynamic F-actin movement is essential for fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Elife 3: e04501
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kasahara RD, Portereiko MF, Sandaklie-Nikolova L, Rabiger DS, Drews GN. (2005) MYB98 is required for pollen tube guidance and synergid cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17: 2981–2992.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kasahara RD, Maruyama D, Hamamura Y, Sakakibara T, Twell, D, Higashiyama, T. (2012) Fertilization recovery after defective sperm cell release in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 22: 1084–1089.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kato T, Morita MT, Tasaka M. (2010) Defects in dynamics and functions of actin filament in Arabidopsis caused by the dominant-negative actin fiz1-induced fragmentation of actin filament. Plant Cell Physiol 51: 333–338
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kessler SA, Shimosato-Asano H, Keinath NF, Wuest SE, Ingram G, Panstruga R, Grossniklaus U. (2010) Conserved molecular components for pollen tube reception and fungal invasion. Science 330: 968–971
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li C, Yeh FL, Cheung AY, Duan Q, Kita D, Liu MC, Maman J, Luu EJ, Wu BW, Gates L et al. (2015) Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins as chaperones and co-receptors for FERONIA receptor kinase signaling in Arabidopsis. Elife 4: e06587
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li W, Li Q, Lyu M, Wang Z, Song Z, Zhong S, Gu H, Dong J, Dresselhaus T, Zhong S et al. (2022) Lack of ethylene does not affect reproductive success and synergid cell death in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 15: 354–362
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mansfield SG, Briarty LG, Erni S. (1991) Early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. I. The mature embryo sac. Can J Bot 69: 447–460
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mao Y, Gabel A, Nakel T, Viehöver P, Baum T, Tekleyohans DG, Vo D, Grosse I, Groß-Hardt R. (2020) Selective egg cell polyspermy bypasses the triploid block. Elife 9: e52976
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Marton ML, Cordts S, Broadhvest J, Dresselhaus T. (2005) Micropylar pollen tube guidance by egg apparatus 1 of maize. Science 307: 573–576
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Marton ML, Fastner A, Uebler S, Dresselhaus T. (2012) Overcoming hybridization barriers by the secretion of the maize pollen tube attractant ZmEA1 from Arabidopsis ovules. Curr Biol 22: 1194–1198
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Maruyama D, Hamamura Y, Takeuchi H, Susaki D, Nishimaki M, Kurihara D, Kasahara RD, Higashiyama T. (2013) Independent control by each female gamete prevents the attraction of multiple pollen tubes. Dev Cell 25: 317–323
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Maruyama D, Völz R, Takeuchi H, Mori T, Igawa T, Kurihara D, Kawashima T, Ueda M, Ito M, Umeda M et al. (2015) Rapid Elimination of the Persistent Synergid through a Cell Fusion Mechanism. Cell 161: 907–918
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Maruyama D, Higashiyama T. (2016) The end of temptation: the elimination of persistent synergid cell identity. Curr Opin in Plant Biol 34: 122–126

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Meng J-G, Zhang M-X, Yang, W-C, Li H-J. (2019) TICKET attracts pollen tubes and mediates reproductive isolation between relative species in Brassicaceae. Sci China Life Sci 62: 1413–1419

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mori T, Kuroiwa H, Higashiyama T, Kuroiwa T. (2006) GENERATIVE CELL SPECIFIC 1 is essential for angiosperm fertilization. Nat Cell Biol 8: 64–71

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, Nakamura S, Hino T, Maeo K, Tabata R, Kawai T, Tanaka K, Niwa Y et al. (2007) Improved Gateway binary vectors: high-performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in transgenic analysis of plants. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 71: 2095–2100

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nakel T, Tekleyohans DG, Mao Y, Fuchert G, Vo D, Groß-Hardt R. (2017) Triparental plants provide direct evidence for polyspermy induced polyploidy. Nat commun. 8: 1–8

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ngo QA, Vogler H, Lituiev DS, Nestorova A, Grossniklaus U. (2014) A calcium dialog mediated by the FERONIA signal transduction pathway controls plant sperm delivery. Dev Cell 29: 491–500

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ohnishi Y, Hoshino R, Okamoto T. (2014) Dynamics of Male and Female Chromatin during Karyogamy in Rice Zygotes Plant Physiol 165: 1533–1543

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ohnishi Y, Okamoto T. (2015) Karyogamy in rice zygotes: Actin filament-dependent migration of sperm nucleus, chromatin dynamics, and de novo gene expression

Plant Signal & Behav 10: e989021

Oi T, Enomoto S, Nakao T, Arai S, Yamane K, Taniguchi M. (2017) Three-dimensional intracellular structure of a whole rice mesophyll cell observed with FIB-SEM. Ann Bot 120: 21–28

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Okuda S, Tsutsui H, Shiina K, Sprunck S, Takeuchi H, Yui R, Kasahara RD, Hamamura Y, Mizukami A, Susaki D, et al. (2009) Defensin-like polypeptide LUREs are pollen tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature 458: 357–361

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pagnussat GC, Yu HJ, Sundaresan V. (2007) Cell-fate switch of synergid to egg cell in Arabidopsis eostre mutant embryo sacs arises from misexpression of the BEL1-like homeodomain gene BLH1. Plant Cell 19: 3578–3592

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pereira AM, Nobre MS, Pinto SC, Lopes AL, Costa ML, Masiero S, Coimbra S. (2016) "Love Is Strong, and You're so Sweet": JAGGER Is Essential for Persistent Synergid Degeneration and Polytubey Block in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant 9: 601–614

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Leshem Y, Johnson C, Sundaresan V. (2013) Pollen tube entry into the synergid cell of Arabidopsis is observed at a site distinct from the filiform apparatus. Plant reproduction 26: 93–99.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Riedl J, Crevenna AH, Kessenbrock K, Yu JH, Neukirchen D, Bista M, Bradke F, Jenne D, Holak TA, Werb Z et al. (2008) Lifeact: a versatile marker to visualize F-actin. Nat. Methods 5: 605–607.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Russell SD. (1993) The egg cell: development and role in fertilization and early embryogenesis. Plant Cell 5: 1349–1359

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B et al. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature methods 9: 676-82.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Schulz R, Jensen, WA. (1968) Capsella embryogenesis: the synergids before and after fertilization. Am J Bot 55: 541–552

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, Sell BR, Allen JR, Day RN, Israelsson M et al. (2013) A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat. Methods 10: 407–409

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Stephan OOH. (2017) Actin fringes of polar cell growth. J Exp Bot 68: 3303–3320
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Susaki D, Suzuki T, Maruyama D, Ueda M, Higashiyama T, Kurihara D. (2021) Dynamics of the cell fate specifications during female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol 19: e3001123
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T. (2012) A species-specific cluster of defensin-like genes encodes diffusible pollen tube attractants in Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol 10: e1001449
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Takeuchi H, Higashiyama T. (2016) Tip-localized receptors control pollen tube growth and LURE sensing in Arabidopsis. Nature 531: 245–248
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsukamoto T, Qin Y, Huang Y, Dunatunga D, Palanivelu R. (2010) A role for LORELEI, a putative glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana double fertilization and early seed development. Plant J 62: 571–588
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Uemura T, Ueda T, Ohniwa RL, Nakano A, Takeyasu K, Sato MH. (2004) Systematic analysis of SNARE molecules in Arabidopsis: dissection of the post-Golgi network in plant cells. Cell Struc. Funct. 29: 49–65.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Völz R, Heydlauff J, Ripper D, von Lyncker L, Groß-Hardt R. (2013) Ethylene signaling is required for synergid degeneration and the establishment of a pollen tube block. Dev Cell 25: 310–316
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

von Besser K, Frank AC, Johnson MA, Preuss D. (2006) Arabidopsis HAP2 (GCS1) is a sperm-specific gene required for pollen tube guidance and fertilization Development 133: 4761–4769
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Webb MC, Gunning BES. (1994) Embryo sac development in Arabidopsis thaliana II. The cytoskeleton during megagametogenesis. Sex. Plant Reprod. 7: 153–163
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yu HJ, Hogan P, Sundaresan V. Analysis of the female gametophyte transcriptome of Arabidopsis by comparative expression profiling. Plant physiology. (2005) 139: 1853–1869.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yu X, Zhang X, Zhao P, Peng X, Chen H, Bleckmann A, Bazhenova A, Shi C, Dresselhaus T, Sun MX. (2021) Fertilized egg cells secrete endopeptidases to avoid polytubey. Nature 592: 433–437
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhong S, Liu M, Wang Z, Huang Q, Hou S, Xu YC, Ge Z, Song Z, Huang J, Qiu X et al. (2019) Cysteine-rich peptides promote interspecific genetic isolation in Arabidopsis. Science 364: eaau9564
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhong S, Li L, Wang Z, Ge Z, Li Q, Bleckmann A, Wang J, Song Z, Shi Y, Liu T et al. (2022) RALF peptide signaling controls the polytubey block in Arabidopsis. Science 375: 290-296.
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title