Title

Stable twin rDNA loci form a single nucleolus in brewer's yeast

Authors

Luciana Lazar-Stefanita[1], Jingchuan Luo[1†], Max A. B. Haase[1,2], Weimin Zhang[1], Jef D.

Boeke[1,3]*

*, Corresponding author: jef.boeke@nyulangone.org

Affiliations

[1] Institute for Systems Genetics and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology,

NYU Langone Health, New York, NY 10016, USA

[2] Vilcek Institute of Graduate Biomedical Sciences at NYU School of Medicine

[3] Department of Biomedical Engineering, NYU Tandon School of Engineering, Brooklyn NY

11201 USA

† Present address: Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA

Keywords

Megablock chromosome engineering, Hi-C genome maps, intra-chromosomal rDNA loci,

nucleolar organization, liquid-liquid phase separation.

Abstract

The nucleolus is the most prominent membraneless compartment within the nucleus[1,2] -
dedicated to the metabolism of ribosomal RNA. Nucleoli are composed of hundreds of
ribosomal DNA (rDNA) repeated genes that form large chromosomal clusters[3–5], whose high
recombination rates can cause nucleolar dysfunction and promote genome instability[6–8]
related to metabolic and genetic diseases[9–13]. Intriguingly, the evolving architecture of
genomes appears to have favored two strategic rDNA locations in a broad range of species
– where a single locus per chromosome is situated either near the centromere or the
telomere[14,15]. To delve into how organisms may benefit from these nuclear organizations, we
used a fused-karyotype strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*[16] to megabase-engineer a
chromosome with twin chromosome-collinear rDNA loci. We showed that the twin-rDNA
yeast readily adapts exhibiting wild-type growth and maintaining rRNA homeostasis. Using
imaging and chromosome conformation capture, we found that the twin loci merge into a
single subnuclear compartment throughout the cell cycle. Unexpectedly, we found that
rDNA locus size is dependent on its position relative to the centromere, whereby the locus
that is centromere–distal undergoes size reduction at a higher frequency compared to the
centromere-proximal counterpart. In sum, our work sheds light on the structural evolution
of rDNA loci and provides new tools to study the rDNA dosage effect on cellular metabolism.

Introduction

The most abundant genes in cells are those encoding ribosomal RNA (rRNA), usually arranged as a series of tandem repeats that include transcriptional units for rRNAs spaced by non-transcribed sequences[3,17]. As rRNAs are the structural components of ribosomes, they represent the most abundant RNA molecules in most organisms, accounting for 60-70% of cellular transcripts e.g., 10^5/yeast cell[18] and 10^{6-7}/animal cell[1].

In eukaryotes, rRNA transcription and ribosome assembly take place in a membraneless organelle that occupies a substantial proportion of the cell nucleus, namely the nucleolus[2,19]. Ostensibly incongruous features of the nucleolus – such as rapid molecular turnover and liquid-like dynamics, yet maintaining a coherent shape – are reconciled by the liquid-liquid phase separation model[1,20], which proposes that rRNA and associated proteins self-organize based on their intrinsic biophysical properties[21]. Moreover, the structure and activity of the nucleolus are highly dynamic throughout cell division, as is evident in eukaryotes with open mitosis in which nucleolar breakdown and transcriptional shutdown are concomitant with entry into mitosis[22,23]. Nucleoli assemble around distinct chromosomal clusters/loci, gathering hundreds of tandemly repeated rDNA genes that largely exceed the number of units needed for survival. Indeed, typically, only ~50% of the rDNA genes are actively transcribed while the remainder are kept inactive, an equilibrium that can be readily tuned by altering the balance between euchromatin and heterochromatin status at this locus[24,25]. Furthermore, a high rRNA level - positively correlated with growth rate in many microbes[26] - can be achieved not only by boosting transcription but also by rDNA copy number expansion[27]. Given their repetitive nature and the high demand for rRNA transcripts, the rDNA loci are among the most unstable genome structures[7,28]. Their instability relies on a repeat-mediated homologous recombination (HR) mechanism that requires both rDNA

replication[27,29] and transcriptional processes[30,31] that often result in clonal size variation in the

repeat number.

Cyto-taxonomic studies, based on the widespread usage of fluorescence in situ hybridization,

enabled mapping of rDNA loci on chromosomes of thousands of species. Number and position of

these loci, each containing hundreds of tandem repeats, were compiled and used for in silico

analyses of their evolution in plants[14] and animals[15]. To reveal that most taxa have genomes with

multiple rDNA loci, with an average number of only three to four loci per diploid genome,

indicating that most organisms tend to maintain a moderately low number of loci. In plants, locus

number was positively correlated with genome size; whereas in animals no such correlation was

observed. Surprisingly, although rDNA loci were identified at nearly any chromosomal position,

they prevalently appear either pericentromeric and/or peritelomeric (in >75% of karyotypes).

Genome-wide studies in mammals[32] and yeasts[33] provided evidence to support the hypothesis that

rDNA arrays have changed their chromosomal position multiple times during evolution: in almost

every case, the array disappeared entirely from the ancestral site, leaving behind a small 'intergenic

scar'[33].

These insights hint at two potential aspects of rDNA evolution: (*i*) a common ancestral mechanism

through which this locus may migrate within genomes and (*ii*) deleterious effects associated with

more than a single rDNA locus per chromosome. Regarding the first hypothesis, we can only

speculate that HR and 'nonreciprocal crossover' between repeats[34–36] may be responsible for

rDNA dynamics. Here we address the second hypothesis by monitoring the effects of a rDNA

migration event in which the entire native megabase rDNA array was transplanted to an ectopic

intra-chromosomal location through a copy-and-paste mechanism.

Results

In budding yeast, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the *RDN1* locus is approximately 1.5 Mb region that contains 150 – 200 copies of a 9.1 kb unit and accounts for ~10% of the entire yeast genome[37]. Each repeat encodes four genes: 5.8S, 25S, 18S and 5S rRNAs transcribed by RNA polymerase I and III[38,39]. The entire array exists in single copy on the right arm of chromosome *12*, located roughly 300 kb from *CEN12* and ~600 kb from *TEL12R* [4,40], and forms a single crescent shaped nucleolus apposed to the nuclear envelope[41] (Figure 1a). To assess whether multiple rDNA loci can support yeast viability, previously constructed strains with a single locus on different chromosomes (chr *12* and chr *3*)[42] were mated and the resulting diploid was sporulated. This allowed the isolation of a haploid strain carrying two rDNA clusters on heterologous chromosomes, validated through pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (Extended Data Figure 1a). Notably, this strain displays a single nucleolar compartment (Figure 1b; Extended Data Figure 1b). These results led us to conclude that yeast (just like many other eukaryotes) can readily adapt to live with multiple rDNA loci on different chromosomes. However, it leaves unanswered the question of whether the yeast genome can be engineered with multi-megabase rDNA loci coexisting on the same chromosome.

rDNA Megablock engineering: one step transplantation of a megabase locus to a target position

To answer the above question, we used a karyotype-engineered yeast strain carrying three megabase-sized chromosomes (*n*=3; with chromosomes *A*, *B* and *C*) that have been previously generated through sequential telomere fusion events of the sixteen wild-type chromosomes[16]. These genome reengineering steps gave rise to megachromosome *C* (5.5 Mb-long), that resulted in a dramatic change in the position of the rDNA locus relative to the nearest active centromere (left drawing in Figure 1c, the black arrowhead points to the rDNA distal position). Precisely

stated, on chr *C,* the rDNA-centromere distance increased 9-fold relative to the wild-type distance,

reaching up to ~2.7 Mb, whereas its native position relative to the nearest (right arm) telomere

remained unchanged. Not only did this 'passive' migration of the locus to a distal location not

affect growth (see JL411 strain generated by Luo et al.[16]), but it also provided a chromosomal arm

long enough to allow for an insertion of a second centromere-proximal locus in *cis* (right drawing

in Figure 1c). Here we developed a variation of the CRISPR-Cas9 editing approach to engineer

megachromosomes that we call 'Megablock engineering' (Figure 1c; Methods), in which a

megabase-sized segment of a chromosome is moved to a target location, potentially anywhere in

the genome. Megablock engineering deploys two CRISPR guide RNAs that cut at the boundaries

of the genomic DNA 'block' to be relocated, and a third guide cutting at the target location.

Additionally, three 'linker DNAs' are provided: one that joins the native rDNA locus flanking

ends together, and two that join the left and right junctions of the target locus to the ends of the

megablock (Figure 1c). In this case, the entire megabase long rDNA locus was removed from its

native centromere-distal location and relocated 2 Mb closer to the chr *C* centromere. This

extremely aggressive genome engineering maneuver was surprisingly efficient, with 6/41 colonies

score by PCR genotyping showing evidence of successful megablock engineering. Hi-C was used

to structurally validate the rDNA locus transplantation (Figure 1d, black arrowheads point at the

rDNA boundaries). One such strain (JL665), with *CEN* proximal rDNA was then used for further

analyses and experiments. Of note, the orientation of the transplanted rDNA array relative to the

chromosome was maintained to ensure locus directionality (e.g., rDNA replication and

transcription orientation occur telomere-to-centromere in both the JL665 strain and its JL411

parent with *CEN* proximal or distal rDNA loci, respectively). By mating 'parental' and

'transplanted' strains, with either centromere-distal or centromere-proximal rDNA, respectively,

we generated a heterozygous diploid with two loci asymmetrically positioned on each homolog of

megachromosome *C*. Finally, haploids with duplicated rDNA loci in *cis* were isolated by

sporulation of this diploid strain and their integration junctions were PCR-verified (Extended Data

Figure 1c, d).

Effects of rDNA duplication on fitness

Whole genome sequencing validated the duplication of the rDNA locus in the haploid strains

(LS71 with 'twin' rDNA loci) by estimating twice as many rDNA-mapping reads in 2xrDNA/chr

C (Figure 2a). Importantly, the newly formed rDNA junction sequences were confirmed and no

chromosomal abnormalities potentially caused by recombination events between the two collinear

loci were detected (Extended Data Figure 2a). Not only did the 2xrDNA isolates lack obvious

fitness defects (Figure 2b; Extended Data Figure 2b), but they rapidly adapted to the excess of

rDNA repeats by fine-tuning the rRNA levels (Figure 2c; Extended Data Figure 2c). In addition,

a small number of genes were differentially expressed in the 2xrDNA vs. 1xrDNA strains (Figure

2d; Extended Data Table 2) and represented a nonrandom coherent set of genes. Notably, genes

involved in the metabolism of glucose and metal transport were downregulated, whereas phosphate

starvation-induced genes were upregulated. These results suggest that twin-rDNA cells may

experience a delayed metabolic transition from glucose fermentation (fast-growth) to respiration

(slow-growth) in response to nutrient deprivation.

Links between function and structure of the rDNA locus in yeast have been previously described,

and showed that a subset of the repeats are usually actively transcribed while the remainder are

silenced[25,43]. Their transcription level was correlated with distinct chromatin states, defined by the

association of the repeats with DNA-folding markers of either euchromatin (e.g., High-mobility

group proteins, Hmo1[44]) or heterochromatin (e.g., silencing complex, SIR[45]). Here we identified

mild changes in the expression level of these chromatin modifiers: the rDNA-silencing factor

(Sir2) was upregulated, whereas the active rDNA copy-associated protein Hmo1 was

downregulated in 2xrDNA compared to 1xrDNA strains (Extended Data Figure 2d). This

transcriptional anticorrelation is consistent with an excess of repeats in twin-rDNA strains that

may demand additional silencing.

Next, we investigated the structural organization of chr *C* with twin-rDNA loci in *cis,* and their

chromosomal (in)stability.

Structure of chromosomes with twin-rDNA loci in cis

We used Hi-C[46,47] to probe the 3-dimensional (3D) structure of genomes with twin-rDNA arrays.

The 2D contact maps of chr *C* containing a single locus, either centromere-proximal or distal, were

combined in a single control plot. For comparison purposes, the control plot is displayed adjacent

to that obtained from strains with *cis* twin-rDNA loci (Figure 3a). In the control plot, two

indistinguishable boundaries formed at each rDNA location, as a result of similar insulation rates

from their corresponding adjacent regions. In contrast, the two boundaries in *cis* appeared to give

rise to distinct contact frequencies, where the insulation ('sharpness') at the *CEN* proximal rDNA

cluster prevailed over the distal twin. This observation was validated through contact

quantification plots for each locus that considered contacts between the intervening region

enclosed by the two loci and the corresponding non-intervening rDNA-flanking regions

(highlighted by blue dashed rectangles in Figure 3a). From this analysis we observed that the distal

locus forms a weaker chromosome boundary (lower degree of insulation) when its centromere-

proximal twin is located in *cis* (Figure 3b), a result that suggested distinct levels of structural

'bulkiness' between the *cis* rDNA loci.

Given that the 2xrDNA map represents consistent results obtained from 3 independent yeast

isolates, we reasoned that the structural difference between the twin loci may arise from distinct

epigenetic or genetic effects. Two major chromatin modifications at the distal locus could

potentially be responsible for this divergence: (*i*) increased heterochromatin that may result in a

highly condensed/compacted structure, and/or (*ii*) a reduction of rDNA repeat copy number. As

the two loci are identical in sequence, we were unable to distinguish between rRNA transcripts

originating from either locus (see discussion). Even though we may be unable to rule out the

hypothesis of different levels of heterochromatin at the two *cis* rDNAs, their overall lengths can

be directly measured. The size of each rDNA locus was estimated using PFGE and dual color

Southern blotting on BamHI-digested chromosomes (Figure 3c, d; Methods). After digestion with

BamHI, which does not cut within the rDNA repeat unit, the rDNA loci were left with unique

DNA-flanking sequences, utilized to design probes that specifically recognize each locus.

Surprisingly, all 2xrDNA isolates showed a consistent and locus-specific size reduction of the

distal rDNA locus of ~500-700 kb when compared to the 1xrDNA-distal parent strain (Figure 3d;

Extended Data Figure 3a, b). Also, a higher clonal variability in the size of the distal locus was

observed in isolates passaged for ~100 generations (Extended Data Figure 3c). These results led

us to hypothesize that rDNA repeats in yeast are more stable when located centromere-proximal

rather than distal. To our knowledge this is the first evidence of a direct correlation between size

of a repeated region and its relative genome location.

Finally, we probed the stability of the two loci in *cis* in function of Sir2, known to suppress

recombination between repeated ribosomal genes[30,45]. We found that the two distinct rDNA bands

were lost (replaced by DNA smear) in all Δ*sir2* isolates (Extended Data Figure 3d), supporting the

idea that the specific size variation of the two loci in *cis* may depend on differential Sir2 activity.

Mitotic reorganization of the nucleolus with cis rDNAs

For the nucleolus to be correctly segregated between mother and daughter cells in late anaphase,

rRNA transcription needs to be shut down to achieve rDNA-cluster condensation[48,49]. Importantly,

these condensation processes mainly involve the rDNA and are less pronounced across the

remainder of the yeast genome.

Our previous work showed that the mitotic reorganization of chromatin is preserved along mega-

chromosomes (Lazar-Stefanita et al., 2022 in press). Here, we specifically addressed the structure

of megachromosome *C* with twin *cis* rDNAs and that of the resulting nucleolus during the cell

cycle. Overall we expected chr *C* to undergo a typical mitotic reorganization[50], however it

remained unknown how the ~1.9 Mb-long non-rDNA sequence linking the two loci may interfere

with the structure of the nucleolus. Cells synchronized in G1 (alpha-factor) and in anaphase

(*cdc15-2* ts[51]) were imaged and showed very clearly that the two rDNAs consistently formed a

single nucleolar mass per cell throughout mitosis (Figure 4a; Extended Data Figure 4a).

The Hi-C maps revealed yet another layer of structural complexity of the nucleolus. The G1 map

displayed strong contacts between the two rDNAs in *cis*, but these were lost in late anaphase

(Figure 4b, black arrowhead; Extended Data Figure 4c). Contact quantifications between the

rDNA-flanking regions (as described in Figure 3a, b) showed an overall decrease in anaphase

compared to G1 (Figure 4b), in agreement with their increased condensation during anaphase that

may strengthen chromosome boundaries. Note that the folding status of the rDNA-intervening

sequence on chr *C* is not influenced by the rDNA-flanking loci (Extended Data Figure 4b, contact

probability $p(s)$ decay). Therefore, we conclude that (*i*) the ~1.9 Mb rDNA-intervening sequence

does not interfere with the organization of the nucleolus, and that (*ii*) the two loci are treated as

distinct chromosomal entities during anaphase condensation.

Conclusion and discussion

Here we provided the first living evidence of a eukaryotic genome carrying more than one rDNA locus located within the same chromosomal arm. The remarkable stability of the yeast genome is highlighted in its transcriptomics and the homeostatic control of the rDNA repeats at each locus. The structure of the twin-rDNA nucleolus and its reorganization during cell cycle was investigated using microscopy, showing a high degree of structural conformity between 1xrDNA and 2xrDNA strains.

Design of the strains

Prior works on rDNA have employed interspecies hybrids to address if any differential stability of this locus in different yeasts[52–54]. Most of these studies observed a uniparental loss of rDNA genes, a relevant example is the hybrid between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* in which the latter's locus collapsed completely whereas the *S. cerevisiae* remains full sized. Therefore, we reasoned that only by using two native and sequence-identical rDNA arrays located at different positions along the chromosome we could truly evaluate the position-effect on their structure and stability.

The ectopic location for rDNA mega-transplantation corresponds to a chromosome fusion site, specifically the right and left ends of chr *15* and chr *4*, respectively. Given that these regions were initially subtelomeric, which typically lack essential genes, we reasoned that they would be 'insensitive-to-insertion' sites for the huge rDNA locus. Finally, the two loci in *cis* conserved the wild-type transcriptional orientation (telomere-to-centromere). Our results show a consistent reduction in size of the centromere distal locus compared to the proximal counterpart. This peculiar size readjustment appears to be Sir2-dependent (Extended Data Figure 3d) suggesting that the transcriptional activity at the two loci maybe different. We speculate that the centromere-distal

locus, which is flanked by its native sequence, is predominantly transcribed and therefore more

prone to rapid size adjustments. Thus, explaining the homeostasis in rRNA level we observed.

Nucleolus as a liquid condensate

We found that the yeast rDNA readily forms a single nucleolus, independent of its: position in the

genome (homologous or heterologous chromosomes), number of loci per chromosome (single or

duplicated) and repeat size. This is in full agreement with the proposed liquid-liquid phase

separation model for the nucleolus[1,21], which envisions the intrinsic biochemical properties of the

rDNA as major driving forces for nucleolar assembly that can act over very long genomic

distances.

Potential consequences of a twin-collinear organization of the rDNA loci

Evolutionary analyses of rDNA loci suggested that selective pressure may act to preserve specific

characteristics (such as structure, number, and position) of these highly repeated loci in eukaryotes.

Here we found that placing two rDNA loci in *cis* increases the rate of abnormal meiotic

recombination (Extended Data Figure 5), possibly due to mispairing of the homologous

chromosomes driven by the rDNA loci. We speculate that the misaligned chromatids can undergo

unequal crossing-over resulting in an increased frequency of nonreciprocal exchange of genetic

information (or gene conversion) and concomitant spore lethality. Our current model suggests that

a high meiotic fitness cost is associated with multi-collinear repeated loci, and this would be

predicted to ultimately be purged from a sexual population.

In addition, the extra copy of the rDNA locus appears to affect the metabolic response to nutrient

consumption that would normally induce cells to exit the fast-growing phase, enter slow-growth

and eventually arrest. Our transcriptomics data seem to suggest that the rDNA surplus triggers a

premature response to starvation congruent with an apparently faster metabolism. Therefore, we

speculate that selective pressure acts to maintain rDNA repeat number within an effective 'optimal

range' that ensures rRNA levels can be readily tuned in response to environmental changes. More

generally, we term this potential fitness defect 'paralogous *cis*-rDNA interference', whereby

placement of two repeated and identical sequences in *cis* may cause interference with their function

and ultimately lead to the loss of one locus.

These findings are noteworthy in the context of rDNA expansions observed in tumor cells, which

are usually characterized by genome instability and rapid growth-associated metabolic states

predominantly based on fast glucose uptake and fermentation (e.g., the Warburg effect).

Main references

1. Lafontaine, D. L. J., Riback, J. A., Bascetin, R. & Brangwynne, C. P. The nucleolus as a multiphase liquid condensate. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **22**, 165–182 (2021).

2. Thiry, M. & Lafontaine, D. L. J. Birth of a nucleolus: the evolution of nucleolar compartments. *Trends Cell Biol.* **15**, 194–199 (2005).

3. Long, E. O. & Dawid, I. B. Repeated Genes in Eukaryotes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **49**, 727–764 (1980).

4. Petes, T. D. Yeast ribosomal DNA genes are located on chromosome XII. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **76**, 410–414 (1979).

5. Sylvester, J. E. *et al.* The human ribosomal RNA genes: structure and organization of the complete repeating unit. *Hum. Genet.* **73**, 193–198 (1986).

6. Saka, K., Takahashi, A., Sasaki, M. & Kobayashi, T. More than 10% of yeast genes are related to genome stability and influence cellular senescence via rDNA maintenance. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 4211–4221 (2016).

7. Salim, D. & Gerton, J. L. Ribosomal DNA instability and genome adaptability. *Chromosome Res.* **27**, 73–87 (2019).

8. Weeks, S. E., Metge, B. J. & Samant, R. S. The nucleolus: a central response hub for the stressors that drive cancer progression. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **76**, 4511–4524 (2019).

9. Ding, Q., Markesbery, W. R., Cecarini, V. & Keller, J. N. Decreased RNA, and Increased RNA Oxidation, in Ribosomes from Early Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurochem. Res.* **31**, 705–710 (2006).

10. Sönmez, A. *et al.* Nucleolar stress controls mutant Huntington toxicity and monitors Huntington's disease progression. *Cell Death Dis.* **12**, 1–12 (2021).

11. Ganley, A. R. D. & Kobayashi, T. Ribosomal DNA and cellular senescence: new evidence

supporting the connection between rDNA and aging. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **14**, 49–59 (2014).

12. Sinclair, D. A., Mills, K. & Guarente, L. Accelerated Aging and Nucleolar Fragmentation in

Yeast sgs1 Mutants. *Science* **277**, 1313–1316 (1997).

13. Tiku, V. & Antebi, A. Nucleolar Function in Lifespan Regulation. *Trends Cell Biol.* **28**, 662–

672 (2018).

14. Garcia, S., Kovařík, A., Leitch, A. R. & Garnatje, T. Cytogenetic features of rRNA genes

across land plants: analysis of the Plant rDNA database. *Plant J.* **89**, 1020–1030 (2017).

15. Sochorová, J., Garcia, S., Gálvez, F., Symonová, R. & Kovařík, A. Evolutionary trends in

animal ribosomal DNA loci: introduction to a new online database. *Chromosoma* **127**, 141–

150 (2018).

16. Luo, J., Sun, X., Cormack, B. P. & Boeke, J. D. Karyotype engineering by chromosome

fusion leads to reproductive isolation in yeast. *Nature* **560**, 392–396 (2018).

17. Schöfer, C. & Weipoltshammer, K. Nucleolus and chromatin. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **150**,

209–225 (2018).

18. Warner, J. R. The economics of ribosome biosynthesis in yeast. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **24**,

437–440 (1999).

19. Pederson, T. The Nucleolus. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **3**, a000638 (2011).

20. Hansen, J. C., Maeshima, K. & Hendzel, M. J. The solid and liquid states of chromatin.

Epigenetics Chromatin **14**, 50 (2021).

21. Feric, M. *et al.* Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments. *Cell* **165**,

1686–1697 (2016).

22. Boisvert, F.-M., van Koningsbruggen, S., Navascués, J. & Lamond, A. I. The multifunctional

nucleolus. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **8**, 574–585 (2007).

23. Hernandez-Verdun, D. Assembly and disassembly of the nucleolus during the cell cycle.

Nucl. Austin Tex **2**, 189–194 (2011).

24. Mekhail, K. & Moazed, D. The nuclear envelope in genome organization, expression and

stability. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 317–328 (2010).

25. Srivastava, R., Srivastava, R. & Ahn, S. H. The Epigenetic Pathways to Ribosomal DNA

Silencing. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. MMBR* **80**, 545–563 (2016).

26. Weider, L. J. *et al.* The Functional Significance of Ribosomal (r)DNA Variation: Impacts on

the Evolutionary Ecology of Organisms. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **36**, 219–242 (2005).

27. Kobayashi, T., Heck, D. J., Nomura, M. & Horiuchi, T. Expansion and contraction of

ribosomal DNA repeats in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: requirement of replication fork

blocking (Fob1) protein and the role of RNA polymerase I. *Genes Dev.* **12**, 3821–3830

(1998).

28. Kobayashi, T. & Sasaki, M. Ribosomal DNA stability is supported by many 'buffer genes'—

introduction to the Yeast rDNA Stability Database. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **17**, fox001 (2017).

29. Sanchez, J. C. *et al.* Defective replication initiation results in locus specific chromosome

breakage and a ribosomal RNA deficiency in yeast. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, (2017).

30. Gottlieb, S. & Esposito, R. E. A new role for a yeast transcriptional silencer gene, SIR2, in

regulation of recombination in ribosomal DNA. *Cell* **56**, 771–776 (1989).

31. Kobayashi, T. & Ganley, A. R. D. Recombination Regulation by Transcription-Induced

Cohesin Dissociation in rDNA Repeats. *Science* **309**, 1581–1584 (2005).

32. Robicheau, B. M., Susko, E., Harrigan, A. M. & Snyder, M. Ribosomal RNA Genes

Contribute to the Formation of Pseudogenes and Junk DNA in the Human Genome. *Genome*

Biol. Evol. **9**, 380–397 (2017).

33. Proux-Wéra, E., Byrne, K. P. & Wolfe, K. H. Evolutionary Mobility of the Ribosomal DNA

Array in Yeasts. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **5**, 525–531 (2013).

34. Brown, D. D., Wensink, P. C. & Jordan, E. A comparison of the ribosomal DNA's of

Xenopus laevis and Xenopus mulleri: the evolution of tandem genes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **63**, 57–73

(1972).

35. Eickbush, T. H. & Eickbush, D. G. Finely Orchestrated Movements: Evolution of the

Ribosomal RNA Genes. *Genetics* **175**, 477–485 (2007).

36. Gibbons, J. G., Branco, A. T., Godinho, S. A., Yu, S. & Lemos, B. Concerted copy number

variation balances ribosomal DNA dosage in human and mouse genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. **112**, 2485–2490 (2015).

37. Petes, T. D. & Botstein, D. Simple Mendelian inheritance of the reiterated ribosomal DNA of

yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **74**, 5091–5095 (1977).

38. French, S. L., Osheim, Y. N., Cioci, F., Nomura, M. & Beyer, A. L. In Exponentially

Growing Saccharomyces cerevisiae Cells, rRNA Synthesis Is Determined by the Summed

RNA Polymerase I Loading Rate Rather than by the Number of Active Genes. *Mol. Cell.*

Biol. **23**, 1558–1568 (2003).

39. Nomura, M., Nogi, Y. & Oakes, M. *Transcription of rDNA in the Yeast Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. Madame Curie Bioscience Database [Internet] (Landes Bioscience, 2013).

40. Kim, Y.-H. *et al.* Chromosome XII context is important for rDNA function in yeast. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **34**, 2914–2924 (2006).

41. Dvorkin, N., Clark, M. W. & Hamkalo, B. A. Ultrastructural localization of nucleic acid

sequences in Saccharomyces cerevisiae nucleoli. *Chromosoma* **100**, 519–523 (1991).

42. Zhang, W. *et al.* Engineering the ribosomal DNA in a megabase synthetic chromosome.

Science **355**, (2017).

43. Smith, J. S. & Boeke, J. D. An unusual form of transcriptional silencing in yeast ribosomal

DNA. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 241–254 (1997).

44. Merz, K. *et al.* Actively transcribed rRNA genes in S. cerevisiae are organized in a

specialized chromatin associated with the high-mobility group protein Hmo1 and are largely

devoid of histone molecules. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 1190–1204 (2008).

45. Kobayashi, T., Horiuchi, T., Tongaonkar, P., Vu, L. & Nomura, M. SIR2 regulates

recombination between different rDNA repeats, but not recombination within individual

rRNA genes in yeast. *Cell* **117**, 441–453 (2004).

46. Dekker, J., Rippe, K., Dekker, M. & Kleckner, N. Capturing chromosome conformation.

Science **295**, 1306–1311 (2002).

47. Lieberman-Aiden, E. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals

folding principles of the human genome. *Science* **326**, 289–293 (2009).

48. Amon, A. A decade of Cdc14 – a personal perspective. *FEBS J.* **275**, 5774–5784 (2008).

49. Clemente-Blanco, A. Nucleolar Condensation: A New Mechanism to Control Mitotic Exit.

Curr. Biol. **27**, R1220–R1222 (2017).

50. Lazar-Stefanita, L. *et al.* Cohesins and condensins orchestrate the 4D dynamics of yeast

chromosomes during the cell cycle. *EMBO J.* **36**, 2684–2697 (2017).

51. Hartwell, L. H., Culotti, J., Pringle, J. R. & Reid, B. J. Genetic control of the cell division

cycle in yeast. *Science* **183**, 46–51 (1974).

52. Gordon, J. L. & Wolfe, K. H. Recent allopolyploid origin of Zygosaccharomyces rouxii

strain ATCC 42981. *Yeast* **25**, 449–456 (2008).

53. Louis, V. L. *et al.* Pichia sorbitophila, an Interspecies Yeast Hybrid, Reveals Early Steps of

Genome Resolution After Polyploidization. *G3 Bethesda Md* **2**, 299–311 (2012).

54. Nakao, Y. *et al.* Genome sequence of the lager brewing yeast, an interspecies hybrid. *DNA*

Res. Int. J. Rapid Publ. Rep. Genes Genomes **16**, 115–129 (2009).

55. Brachmann, C. B. *et al.* Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae

S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other

applications. *Yeast Chichester Engl.* **14**, 115–132 (1998).

56. Zavala, A. G., Kulkarni, A. S. & Fortunato, E. A. A Dual Color Southern Blot to Visualize

Two Genomes or Genic Regions Simultaneously. *J. Virol. Methods* **198**, 64–68 (2014).

57. Truong, D. M. & Boeke, J. D. Resetting the yeast epigenome with human nucleosomes. *Cell*

171, 1508-1519.e13 (2017).

58. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

59. Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 525–527 (2016).

60. Engel, S. R. *et al.* The reference genome sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: then and

now. *G3 Bethesda Md* **4**, 389–398 (2014).

61. Pimentel, H., Bray, N. L., Puente, S., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Differential analysis of RNA-

seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 687–690 (2017).

62. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671–675 (2012).

63. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**,

357–359 (2012).

64. Cournac, A., Marie-Nelly, H., Marbouty, M., Koszul, R. & Mozziconacci, J. Normalization

of a chromosomal contact map. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 436 (2012).

  Figures and figure legends

  Figure 1 Transplantation of the rDNA megablock to ectopic genome locations.

Figure 1 Transplantation of the rDNA megablock to ectopic genome locations.

a Diagram showing wild-type chromosome *12* that contains the rDNA locus (green) and a

simplified representation of nuclear organization in the wild-type yeast, where examples of

chromosome arms (gray lines) are anchored at the nuclear membrane through centromeres (*CEN*)

and telomeres (*TEL*). **b** Representative microscopy images of yeast nuclei with one or two rDNA

loci (black arrowheads in the schematic indicate rDNA containing chromosomes). **c** Schema

illustrating the relocation (arrowheads) of the rDNA megablock (black box) on the fused

megachromosome *C* (chr *C*: color code corresponds to the design of chromosome fusion) in yeasts

with *n*=3 chromosomes. Colored blocks indicate sequence homology of the repair donors at

CRISPR-Cas9 cutting sites. **d** Hi-C contact maps of chr *C* shown in gray on the *x*- and *y*-axis of

each map. The rDNA locus is *CEN* distal on the left, whereas, after transplantation it appears *CEN*

proximal on the right. rDNA distance from the centromere (dark gray) is indicated atop the maps.

Figure 2 Effects of rDNA duplication on cell fitness.

Figure 2 Effects of rDNA duplication on cell fitness.

a Estimate the total length of the rDNA by deep sequencing: % of rDNA aligned reads normalized

by genome size (12.3 Mb). Megachromosome *C* schematics are shown on the bottom of the plot

(gray), where round brackets indicate either the proximal or the distal rDNA locus (green). **b** Serial

dilution assay on different growth conditions at 30ºC of *n*=16 and *n*=3 isolates with either single

or duplicated rDNA. **c** Schematics of RNA-based assays in *n*=3 isolates with 1xrDNA vs 2xrDNA.

RTqPCR plots on three serial (1:10) dilutions of total RNA are used to estimate changes in the

amount of 18S and 25S ribosomal RNAs relative to the control messenger RNA, *TAF10*. **d**

Volcano plot showing RNA-seq data comparing transcriptomes of 1xrDNA and 2xrDNA strains

in triplicates. Red and blue dots indicate differentially expressed genes in 2xrDNA vs. 1xrDNA:

in blue downregulated in red upregulated ($P < 10-5$, |fold change| > 2).

Figure 3 Stability of the rDNA locus is a function of chromosome position.

Figure 3 Stability of the rDNA locus is a function of chromosome position.

a Hi-C contact maps of megachromsome C (chr C in gray on the x- and y-axis of each contact

map) in $n=3$ strains with either one or two rDNA loci. Bottom left triangle map: average

representation of two merged contact maps with 1xrDNA per chr C, either *CEN* proximal or *CEN*

distal. Top right map: average representation of three merged contact maps with 2xrDNA per chr

C, *CEN* proximal and distal. rDNA distance from the centromere (dark gray) is indicated atop the

map. **b** Contact quantification between rDNA-flanking regions on chr C, highlighted in blue

rectangles in panel (a): 500 kb sequence, either upstream *CEN* proximal or downstream *CEN*

distal, and the 1.9 Mb sequence, downstream or upstream, respectively. Mean, median of absolute

contact values and their P values are indicated for each strain with either one or two rDNA loci. **c**

- **d** Estimate size of the rDNA arrays. PFGE of BamHI digested chromosomes (c) and the

corresponding Southern blot (d) with unique probes designed for each rDNA position. Schematic

indicates the position of rDNA arrays and probes (cyan or fuchsia) on chr C. PFGE run

specifications: *S. pombe* program for mega-size chromosome separation.

 Figure 4 Twin rDNA loci in *cis* form a single nucleolus during cell division.

a

1 x rDNA   2 x rDNA

Key: nucleolus (Nop10-GFP), NE (Nup49-mScarlet)

b

G1 (alpha-factor)

contact frequency (5 kb)

log2 ratio

2 x rDNA   1 x rDNA

contact variation (25 kb bin)

c

	1 x rDNA prox/distal	2 x rDNA prox. & distal		no rDNA
contact reduction G1 to anaphase	~62%	80%	66%	~30%

P val 1.5x10⁻⁴⁵

Key: 5 kb normalized bin in G1(●) and anaphase (●)

Figure 4 Twin rDNA loci in *cis* form a single nucleolus during cell division.

a Representative microscopy images of *n*=3 strains with one or two rDNA loci in *cis*. Cells were

synchronized in G1 (alpha-factor) and late anaphase (*cdc15-2* ts) before imaging. **b** Top panel: Hi-

C maps of megachromsomes in *n*=3, with two rDNA loci in *cis* on chr *C* (dashed outline), from

cells synchronized in G1 (top right map) and late anaphase (bottom left map). Bottom panel:

contact variation maps (log2-ratio) of chr *C* with 1xrDNA vs. 2xrDNA in G1 (top right map) vs.

late anaphase (bottom left map). Black arrowheads point at contact variations adjacent to the rDNA

loci. **c** Contact quantification between rDNA-flanking regions on chr *C*, as described in Figure 3b.

Relative percentages of contact reduction in anaphase compared to G1 are indicated for each strain

with one, two and no rDNA.

Methods

Strains and culture conditions

All strains used in this study are listed in Extended Data Table 1 and they are derived from BY4741

and BY4742[55] by transformation events. Deletion of the entire open reading frame of *SIR2* gene

was performed using the CRISPR-Cas9 with a single gRNA and a ~1 kb-long repair donor

generated by fusion PCR on *SIR2*-flanking sequences. The thermosensitive mutation in *CDC15*

(*cdc15-2* ts) was introduce with CRISPR-Cas9. Oligos used in this study are listed in Extended

Data Table 4.

Strains were grown in rich medium (YPD: 1% bacto peptone (Difco), 1% bacto yeast extract

(Difco) and 2% glucose; YPG: 1% bacto peptone (Difco), 1% bacto yeast extract (Difco) and 3%

glycerol) or in synthetic complete medium (SC: 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids

(Difco), supplemented with a mix of amino-acids and 2% glucose). Cells were grown at either

30°C or 24°C, the latter corresponds to the permissive temperature of the conditional

thermosensitive mutant *cdc15-2* (see also methods in Lazar-Stefanita et al., 2022 in press).

Serial dilution assay and growth curves

Yeast cultures from independent isolates of *n*=16 wild-type (WT) rDNA (BY4741), *n*=3 rDNA

CEN distal (JL411), *n*=3 rDNA *CEN* proximal (JL665) and *n*=3 rDNA *CEN* proximal and distal

(LS71) strains were grown in YPD liquid medium at 30ºC to saturation. For serial dilution assay:

yeast cultures in stationary phase were diluted to an optical density (OD) $A_{600} = 0.1$ and serially

diluted (1:10) in sterile water then spotted on different media (YPD, YPG and SC) and incubated

at different temperatures (30ºC and 37ºC) for 2 days. For growth curves: yeast cultures in

stationary phase were diluted in fresh YPD medium to $A_{600} = 0.05$, 200 µl were transferred to 96

well plates and every minute the BioTek Eon microplate spectrophotometer was programmed to

shake the plate and measure the A_{600} for a total of 24 h at 30°C. A_{600} values were imported in

GraphPad Prism version 9 for Mac OS (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA,

www.graphpad.com) and used to calculate mean and standard deviation for each isolate of each

strain. Doubling time was calculated using the slope of the growth curves.

rDNA Megablock engineering

A CRISPR-Cas9 based method was used to promote during the cut-and-paste rDNA locus

relocation from the native location (flanked by chromosome 12 sequence) to an ectopic position

generated by fusing telomere 15R and telomere 4L. The method was performed as described by

Luo et al. *Nature* in 2018, except that two gRNAs cut the flanking regions of rDNA locus and the

third gRNA cuts at the new ectopic insertion site. In addition, three donor templates were generated

by fusion PCR, they include: (*i*) a donor template to rejoin the ends of the native locus upon rDNA

deletion, (*ii*) a donor template for bridging the left flanking region of the rDNA locus to its new

target location and (*iii*) a donor template for bridging the right flanking region of rDNA locus to

its new target location. rDNA translocation was verified by PCR with primer pairs designed

outside the newly formed chromosome junctions.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and Dual color Southern blot

Chromosomes from stationary yeast cell cultures were prepared in agar molds using the Certified

Megabase Agarose (Bio-Rad CAT. 1613108) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was

carried out as previously described, with running conditions recommended for either *S. cerevisiae*

or *S. pombe* chromosomes. Specifically, megachromosomes were separated on a 0.8% agar gel in

1X TAE at 14°C. Run time was ~72 h at 2V/cm with switch time of 20-30 min at an included angle

of 106° [16]. DNA size markers used were the *S. pombe* chromosomal DNA (Bio-Rad CAT. 170-

3633) and the *H. wingei* chromosomal DNA (Bio-Rad CAT. 170-3667).

Method for chromosome digestion: prior to the BamHI (NEB CAT. R0136L) incubation, agar

plugs were equilibrated 1X Buffer3.1 (NEB) for 24 h at 4ºC. BamHI digest was carried out for 24

h at 37ºC in ~500 µl final volume consisting of: ~100 mg agar, 50 µl 10X restriction buffer and 10

µl BamHI enzyme (200 U). Agar molds treated with BamHI were then used for the PFGE and

Southern blot.

The protocol for dual color Southern blot was optimized based on a previous study by Zavala et

al.[56]. Following electrophoresis of BamHI digested agar plugs, the gel was washed in: depurination

solution (0.25 M HCl) for 20 min, denaturation solution (0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl) for 60 min

and neutralization solution (0.5 M Tris, 1.5 M NaCl pH 7.5) for 30 min. Chromosomes were

transferred by capillarity to a nylon membrane (Pall® 60208 Biodyne™ B Membrane, Pore Size

0.45 µm CAT. 60208) using 10X SSC buffer (20X SSC: 1.5 M NaCl, 0.3 M Sodium citrate pH 7)

for 72 h. After transfer the membrane was washed with 2X SSC for 5 min and baked at 80ºC for

30 min. Prehybridization and hybridization steps were performed at 50ºC in ULTRAhyb™

Ultrasensitive Hybridization Buffer (Invitrogen Ambion CAT. AM8670). PCR products (~1.1 kb-

long flanking unique sequences on either side of each rDNA locus) were labelled using Klenow

Fragment exo- (NEB CAT. M0212L) with either Biotin-16-dUTP (Roche CAT. 11093070910) or

Digoxigenin-11-dUTP alkali-stable (Roche CAT. 11093088910) at 37ºC for 1 h. Labelled DNA

probes were cleaned up using Zymo-Spin I Columns (Zymo Research CAT. C1003-50), denatured

at 95ºC for 5 min and ~300 ng of each were used for each hybridization experiment. The blot was

washed twice with 2X SSPE buffer (20X SSPE Buffer: 0.02 M EDTA and 2.98 M NaCl in 0.2 M

phosphate buffer pH 7.4; Sigma-Aldrich CAT. S2015) for 5 min at room temperature; twice with

2X SSPE, 1% SDS for 30 min at 55ºC; twice with 0.1X SSPE for 15 min at 55ºC. Blot was

incubated in Blocking buffer Odyssey (LI-COR® CAT. 927-40000) in 1X Tris-Buffered Saline

with 0.1% Tween 20 and 1% SDS for 3 h at room temperature.

The membrane was washed with 1X TBST for 5 min and incubated for 1 h at room temperature

with rabbit anti-DIG antibody (working concentration 1:2500 in Blocking buffer Odyssey;

ABfinity™ Rabbit Monoclonal, CAT. 700772). The primary antibody solution was washed out

three times with 1X TBST for 15 min at room temperature. The membrane was incubated in the

secondary antibody solution (working concentration 1:10000 in Blocking buffer Odyssey): Licor

IRDye® 800CW Streptavidin, 0.5 mg (CAT. 926-32230) and LIRDye® 680RD Goat anti-Rabbit

IgG (H + L), 0.5 mg (CAT. 926-68071) for 30 min at room temperature. Secondary antibody

solution was discarded and the membrane was washed three times with 1X TBST for 15 min at

room temperature before imaging acquisition using LI-COR Odyssey® Imager.

Mating type switching

Here we used the same reagents and protocol described by Zhang et al.[42].

Sporulation and tetrad dissection

A detailed protocol for mating, sporulation and tetrad dissection of yeast with fused chromosomes

was described by Luo et al.[16].

RNA extractions

Total RNA was extracted from 3 independent isolates of *n*=16 WT rDNA (BY4741), *n*=3 rDNA

CEN distal (JL411), *n*=3 rDNA *CEN* proximal (JL665) and *n*=3 rDNA *CEN* proximal and distal

(LS71) strains. Approximately 2×10^8 cells were harvested from log phase cultures (1.5-2 $\times 10^7$

cells/ml) grown in YPD medium at 30ºC. Cell pellets were washed in RNase free water and

resuspended in RNA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 100 mM NaCl). Cells were lysed

mechanically using acid-washed glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich CAT. G8772-100G) at 4ºC. The

RNA was extracted by phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (ThermoFisherScientific CAT. 15593)

and ethanol precipitated. Extractions were treated with DNaseI (Agilent CAT. 600031) for 1 h at

37ºC and RNA quality was verified by agarose gel in 1X TAE.

RT-qPCR

The above total RNA extractions were serial diluted (1:10/100/1000) and used for RT-qPCR

reactions with gene specific oligos (18S, 25S and TAF10). The reverse transcriptase (RT) reaction

was performed according to the manufacturer protocol SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase

(Invitrogen CAT. 18090050). Successively, quantitative PCR was performed using the

LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche CAT. 04887352001) and the standard

amplification protocol with 45 cycles in a multi-well PCR plate 384. Ct values for each replicate

were imported in GraphPad Prism version 9 for Mac OS (GraphPad Software, San Diego,

California USA, www.graphpad.com) and used to calculate mean and standard deviation for each

gene in each strain. The three plots generated, that correspond to each gene, show Ct variation in

function of the RNA dilution factor and strain.

RNA library preparation, sequencing and analysis

Stranded total RNA-seq libraries were prepared with the QIAseq stranded RNA library kit

(Qiagen, CAT. 180743) following the manufacture's recommendations using dual indexing

primers. Additionally, the input total RNA was first processed with the QIAseq FastSelect rRNA

Yeast kit to remove cytoplasmic and mitochondrial rRNA (Qiagen, CAT. 334215). Library quality

was assessed using the ZAG 110 dsDNA kit on (Agilent, CAT. ZAG-110-5000) and run on the

ZAG DNA analyzer system (Agilent). Libraries were then sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500

using the 75-cycle high output in paired end mode (Illumina, CAT. 20024906).

Differential gene analysis (Extended Data Table 2). RNA sequencing analysis was performed as

previously described[57] using kallisto/sleuth suite with minor modifications. Briefly, reads were

processed by Illumina barcode and quality trimming with Trimmomatic[58] and quality assessed

with FastQC. Next, reads were aligned using the pseudo-alignment program kallisto[59] to the

reference S288C genome[60], with annotations supplied with a gtf file containing all annotated

RNAs in stranded paired end mode (options, --genomebam --gtf --fr-stranded). The output of

kallisto was then used for data analysis in sleuth[61]. Volcano plots were generated using the Walds

test false discovery rate adjusted P value ($p<0.01$) and log2 fold change ($-2>Log2FC>2$).

Cell cycle synchronization

For both imaging and Hi-C experiments yeast cells, carrying either a single rDNA locus (LS88,

LS95) or two rDNA loci in *cis* (LS90, LS103), were synchronized in either G1 with alpha-factor

(Zymo Research, CAT. Y1001), or in late anaphase, using the thermosensitive mutant *cdc15-2*.

Method for G1 synchronization (LS88 and LS90): cells were grown to saturation in 5 ml SC

medium at 30ºC, the next day cells were subcultured in 150 ml fresh SC medium (optical density,

OD, $A_{600}=0.5$) for 2 h 30 min at 30ºC then alpha-factor was added (final concentration: 25 µM)

and cultures were incubated for additional 2 h 30 min at 24ºC before imaging and formaldehyde

crosslink.

Method for late anaphase synchronization (LS95 and LS103): cells were grown to saturation in 5

ml SC medium at 24ºC, the next day they were subcultured in 150 ml fresh SC medium ($A_{600}=0.5$)

and grown for 5 h at 24ºC then the temperature was switched to 37ºC (non-permissive condition)

and incubated for additional 3 h before imaging and formaldehyde crosslink.

The quality of G1 and anaphase synchronizations was visually monitored by microscopy hourly.

For microscopy small aliquots (~1-2 ml synchronized cultures) were spooned down at 3000g for

3 min and fixed in ethanol 70%; whereas, the remaining cultures were fixed with formaldehyde

for Hi-C library preparation (see below).

Protein tagging and imaging of the nucleolus

The cell cycle reorganization of the nucleolus within the nucleus was monitored using

fluorescently tagged proteins at their endogenous C-terminus. Nuclear envelope was labelled with

either mCherry (*NIC96::mCherry*) or mScarlet (*NUP49::mScarlet*), while the nucleolus with GFP

(*NOP10::EGFP*) as described by Zhang et al.[42] (see also strain genotype in Extended Data Table

1). Imaging was performed on the EVOS M7000 microscope using the Olympus X-APO 100 Oil,

1.45NA/WD 0.13mm (Oil) objective. Images were acquired as Z-stacks and visualized as max

intensity projections using ImageJ[62].

Hi-C library preparation and data analysis

Hi-C libraries (Extended Data Table 3) were prepared from yeast cells harvested from either log

phase or cell cycle synchronized cultures (see above protocols for cell synchronizations). Methods

for yeast Hi-C library preparation and data analysis were performed as previously described by

Lazar-Stefanita et al., 2017; 2022. Briefly, Hi-C reads were aligned using Bowtie 2[63] and processed

using command lines based on HiCLib tool developed in the Mirny lab (Imakaev et al., 2012).

Normalized contact maps (Sequential Component Normalization)[64] were 5 kb-binned and were

plotted using MatLab R2018 (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States).

The Hi-C assay resulted crucial to validate the transplantation of the rDNA locus. We found that

among the potential candidates - that were PCR positive for the rDNA transplantation - only one

isolate had the entire locus relocated that resulted in the actual re-positioning of the rDNA

boundary from the native location (centromere-distal: 2.75 Mb from the active centromeric

sequence, *CEN15,* and 0.6 Mb from the nearest telomeric sequence, *TEL12R*) to the new ectopic

position at the fusion junction between the left arm of former chromosome *15* and the right arm of former chromosome *4* (centromere-proximal: 0.75 Mb from *CEN15* and 2.52 Mb from *TEL12R*). Of note, in the isolates that were false positives by PCR for the rDNA megablock transplantation, the chromosome boundary formed by this locus remained at the original location (centromere-distal) as expected.

The Hi-C maps were used to evaluate the strength of each rDNA boundary in function of their distance from the centromere and locus number (single vs. double) across megachromosome *C*. For this analysis we firstly reasoned that the chromosome boundaries observed at the location of the rDNA loci on the 2D maps are 'visual artifacts' due to the combination of different structural characteristics at this locus. Indeed, the highly repetitive nature and the formation of a subnuclear compartment (nucleolus) appear to lower the contact frequency between the rDNA-flanking regions. Along the same lines we also expected that differences in structure and/or size between rDNA loci would have an effect on the strength of the correspondent chromosome boundaries. In other words, bigger the rDNA locus ('bulkier' the nucleolus) lower the contact frequency between its flanking sequences, therefore stronger the boundary. To compare between the *CEN* proximal and *CEN* distal rDNA boundaries, located in single or double copy on megachromosome *C*, we used normalized maps (5 kb-binned) to quantify contacts between 500 kb-long regions (flanking either the right or the left end of each locus) and the 1.9 Mb region upstream/downstream or enclosed by two loci (see highlighted regions in blue on chr *C* maps in Figure 3a). Spread point plots, where each point corresponds to total contacts made by each 5 kb bin, were generated for each rDNA boundary using Matlab. *P* values were calculated using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (K–S test) function in MATLAB 2018a (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States).

656   Log2 ratios of Hi-C contact maps were used for their pairwise comparison and the contact

657   probability decay in function of the genomic distance, $p(s)$, was computed to assess the status of

658   the chromatin fiber. Both these analyses were performed as previously described by Lazar-

659   Stefanita et al., 2017; 2022 in press.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge all members of Boeke lab for their assistance. We thank Aleksandra Wudzinska and Gregory W. Goldberg for their assistance and helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by National Science Foundation grants MCB-1616111 and MCB-1921641 and NIH grant RM1HG009491 to JDB.

Author Contributions

LLS and JDB designed the research project. LLS performed the experiments with help from: JL that relocated the rDNA array, WZ that built n=16 with rDNA on heterologous chromosomes and MH that performed and analyzed RNAseq. LLS wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to editing.

Additional Information

Genome-wide and RNA sequencing files were deposited at the NCBI database as FASTQ files. See BioProject accession number PRJNA847233 for the SRA data. The reviewer link: https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA847233?reviewer=6h4lqpu2o2epg0f4ta40dlmqo4

Competing interests

Jef Boeke is a Founder and Director of CDI Labs, Inc., a Founder of Neochromosome, Inc, a Founder of and Consultant to ReOpen Diagnostics, and serves or served on the Scientific Advisory Board of the following: Modern Meadow, Inc., Rome Therapeutics, Inc., Sample6, Inc., Sangamo, Inc., Tessera Therapeutics, inc., and the Wyss Institute. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Extended Data Tables and Figures

Title:

Stable twin rDNA loci form a single nucleolus in brewer's yeast

Authors

Luciana Lazar-Stefanita[1], Jingchuan Luo[1†], Max A. B. Haase[1,2], Weimin Zhang[1], Jef D. Boeke[1,3]*

*, Corresponding author: jef.boeke@nyulangone.org

Affiliations

[1] Institute for Systems Genetics and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology,

NYU Langone Health, New York, NY 10016, USA

[2] Vilcek Institute of Graduate Biomedical Sciences at NYU School of Medicine

[3] Department of Biomedical Engineering, NYU Tandon School of Engineering, Brooklyn NY

11201 USA

† Present address: Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA

Extended Data Tables:

Extended Data Table 1 List of strains used in this study.

Extended Data Table 2 RNA seq. list of differentially expressed genes.

Extended Data Table 3 Hi-C libraries.

Extended Data Table 4 List of primers used in this study.

Extended Data Figures and Figure Legends:

Extended Data Figure 1 rDNA locus transplantation and duplication at ectopic chromosomal

locations.

Extended Data Figure 2 Effects of rDNA duplication on genome stability and cell fitness.

Extended Data Figure 3 Stability of the rDNA locus in function of chromosome position and

silencing.

Extended Data Figure 4 Cell cycle reorganization of the chromosome with twin rDNA loci in *cis*.

Extended Data Figure 5 Duplicated rDNA loci may lead to chromosome mispairing in meiosis.

Extended Data Tables

Strain name	Parent	Genotype	No. Chr.	rDNA location	Ref.
BY4741	S288C	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0*	16	Chr *12*	(Brachmann et al., 1998)
WZ456	BY4742	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 rdn::C-S.ITS-LEU2 NOP10::EGFP-KanMX, NIC96::mCherry-HIS3*	16	Chr *12*	(Zhang et al., 2017)
WZ457	BY4742	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 rdn::NatMX4 NOP10::EGFP-KanMX, NIC96::mCherry-HIS3 YCR018C / C-S.ITS-LEU2 / YCR019W*	16	Chr *3*	(Zhang et al., 2017)
WZ499	Spore of yWZ456 X yWZ457	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 rdn::C-S.ITS-LEU2 NOP10::EGFP-KanMX, NIC96::mCherry-HIS3, YCR018C / C-S.ITS-LEU2 / YCR019W*	16	Chr *12* + Chr *3*	(Zhang et al., 2017)
JL411	BY4741	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* distal	(Luo et al., 2018a)
JL665	JL411	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal	This work
LS88	JL665	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 NOP10::EGFP-KanMX NUP49::mScarlet-S.p.HIS5*	3	Chr *C* proximal	This work
LS95	LS88	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 cdc15-2(Ts) NOP10::EGFP-KanMX NUP49::mScarlet-S.p.HIS5*	3	Chr *C* proximal	This work
LS70	JL665 X JL411	*MATa/alpha his3Δ1/ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0/ leu2Δ0 met15Δ0/met15Δ0 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal & Chr *C* distal	This work
LS71-C1&C2	Spore of LS70	*MATalpha his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal + distal	This work
LS71-C3	Spore of LS70	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal + distal	This work
LS90	LS71-C3	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 NOP10::EGFP-KanMX NUP49::mScarlet-S.p.HIS5*	3	Chr *C* proximal + distal	This work
LS91	Haploids of JL411	*MATa/alpha his3Δ1/ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0/ leu2Δ0 met15Δ0/met15Δ0 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* distal & Chr *C* distal	This work

LS92	Haploids of JL665	*MATa/alpha his3Δ1/ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0/ leu2Δ0 met15Δ0/met15Δ0 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal & Chr *C* proximal	This work
LS93	LS71-C1 X LS71-C3	*MATa/alpha his3Δ1/ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0/ leu2Δ0 met15Δ0/met15Δ0 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0*	3	Chr *C* proximal + distal & Chr *C* proximal + distal	This work
LS103	LS90	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 cdc15-2(Ts) NOP10::EGFP-KanMX NUP49::mScarlet-S.p.HIS5*	3	Chr *C* proximal & distal	This work
LS130 (LS131)	JL411	*MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 sir2Δ*	3	Chr *C* distal	This work
LS141 (LS142)	Diploid (*SIR2/ sir2Δ*)	*MATa or **alpha** his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 sir2Δ*	3	Chr *C* proximal & distal	This work
LS143 (LS144)	Diploid (*sir2Δ/ sir2Δ*)	*MATa or **alpha** his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 sir2Δ*	3	Chr *C* proximal & distal	This work

32 **Extended Data Table 1 List of strains used in this study.**

34 **Extended Data Table 2 RNA seq. list of differentially expressed genes.**

35 Data presented as excel spreadsheet file.

Strain name	Karyotype (*n*, #rDNA-position)	Synchronization method	Total paired-end reads	Aligned paired-end reads	Total contacts in 5 kb-binned maps
JL411	3, 1-distal	none	24770805	19642950	5273769
JL665	3, 1-proximal	none	24447393	19581599	3662044
LS70	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	none	34383730	27174746	4918384
LS71-C1	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	none	29910065	20997502	3292606
LS71-C2	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	none	26807590	18886934	3585706
LS71-C3	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	none	32026043	23014301	4020070
LS88	3, 1-proximal	G1	12605198	8902009	5362318
LS90	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	G1	8820734	5893335	4041830
LS95	3, 1-proximal	Anaphase *cdc15-2*	12933900	9479614	6106239
LS103-C1	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	Anaphase *cdc15-2*	15026254	10109053	3904083
LS103-C2	3, 1-distal + 1-prox	Anaphase *cdc15-2*	28339485	20719596	4170357

38 **Extended Data Table 3 Hi-C libraries.** For each library it reports: number of chromosomes per

39 strain (*n*), number of rDNA per chromosome, synchronization method and total Hi-C contacts.

41 **Extended Data Table 4 List of primers used in this study.**

42 Data presented as excel spreadsheet file.

Extended Data Figure 1 rDNA locus transplantation and duplication at ectopic chromosomal

locations.

c

chr C, rDNA CEN distal   chr C, rDNA CEN proximal

CEN rDNA
5 8 10 15 4 12

n=3, *MATa* (JL411)   n=3, *MATa* (JL665)

mating type switch

chr C, 2 x rDNA (n=3 diploid, LS70)

tetrad dissection

n=3, diploid 2 x rDNA (LS70)

spore: a b c d   a b c d

dissected tetrads

4 viable spores/tetrad (5/44): 11%
3 viable spores/tetrad (25/44): 57%
2 viable spores/tetrad (12/44): 27%

d   Nº tetrad and spores of n=3 diploid (LS70): PCR verification of the rDNA junctions

chr C

Lane
1 rDNA CEN prox. left
2 rDNA CEN prox. right
3 rDNA CEN distal
4 rDNA CEN prox.

Extended Data Figure 1 rDNA locus transplantation and duplication at ectopic chromosomal

locations.

a Chromosome separation by PFGE of wild-type yeasts with 16 chromosomes (n=16) with single

or two rDNA loci on different chromosomes (red indicates chromosomes that have lost or gain the

rDNA locus). Two distinct programs are used for chromosome separation: *S. cerevisiae* on the left

and *S. pombe* on the right. **b** Representative microscopy images of yeast nuclei with single or two

rDNA loci as described in Figure 1a, b. **c** Diagram showing the strategy used to duplicate the

rDNA locus within the same arm of megachromosome *C* in n=3 (chr *C* is colorcoded according to

the chromosome fusion design). Right panels show spores of individual tetrads (asci) of n=3

diploid with two rDNA loci in asymmetric *trans* locations. **d** Verification of rDNA duplication by

junction PCR, sampling 4 different sequences in 27 spores originated from 9 different tetrads.

Positive spores for rDNA duplication show PCR products in lane 1 and 2 only.

Extended Data Figure 2 Effects of rDNA duplication on genome stability and cell fitness.

b

c

d

Statistical tests:
n.s. P val > 0.05; * P val ~ 0.05; ** P val ~ 0.005; *** P val ~ 0.0005

Extended Data Figure 2 Effects of rDNA duplication on genome stability and cell fitness.

a Relative genome sequencing depth plots (ggplot2 function in R) of $n=3$ strains with a single

rDNA locus on chr C (haploids: JL411 and JL665 with either *CEN* distal or *CEN* proximal locus,

respectively; diploid: LS70 with *CEN* distal and proximal) or two loci on chr C (independent

haploids: LS71 C1 to C3). Sequencing depth for each megachromosome is colorcoded differently;

chr C is displayed on the top right of each plot. **b** Fitness assays of $n=16$ and $n=3$ isolates. Top

panels: serial dilution assays on different growing conditions at 37ºC see also Figure 2b. Bottom

panel: growth curves reporting optical densities (OD^{A600}) in YPD at 30ºC. **c** Agarose gel reporting

the quality of the total RNA extracted from $n=16$ and $n=3$ isolates with different rDNA number

and position relative to Figure 2c. **d** Bar plots showing transcriptional changes of a specific subset

of genes (mainly involved in the metabolism of ribosomal DNA and RNA) in $n=3$ with a different

number and position of the rDNA locus see also Figure 2d.

Extended Data Figure 3 Stability of the rDNA locus in function of chromosome position and

silencing.

a

b

1 x rDNA

prox.   distal

P D P D   P D   P D

2 x rDNA

CEN prox. & distal

P D P D P D P D   P D P D

input PFGE extraction (Fig.3c):

high MW band

Legend PCR:

proximal   distal

P   D

low MW band

c   1. Evolution experiment:

1 x rDNA
(2 isolates/strain)

CEN prox.

CEN distal

2 x rDNA
(6 isolates/strain)

CEN
prox. & distal

~ 100 generations

~8gen.

2. PFGE + BamHI digest after propagating for 100 generations:

S. cerevisiae   H. wingei

1 x rDNA
prox.   distal

2 x rDNA
CEN prox. & distal

S. pombe

5.7 Mb

4.6 Mb

3.5 Mb

3.1 Mb

1.5 Mb
1 Mb

rDNA

PFGE-BamHI stained w/ ethidium bromide

Extended Data Figure 3 Stability of the rDNA locus in function of chromosome position and

silencing.

a Chromosome separation by PFGE of different isolates of n=16 and n=3 strains, with either one

or two rDNA loci. PFGE run specifications: *S. pombe* program for mega-size chromosome

separation. **b** PCR-validations of rDNA proximal (P) and distal (D) junctions. DNA template

extracted from PFGE, rDNA bands that migrated at high or low molecular weights in Figure 3c. **c**

Schematics of the evolution experiment in n=3 strains with a different number and position of the

rDNA locus. Cell populations from different isolates were evolved long-term (~100 generations)

in batch cultures and used to assess the size of the rDNA arrays using PFGE and BamHI digest.

PFGE program as described in panel (a). **d** Diagram of the approach used to assess rDNA array

stability in function of Sir2. CRISPR-Cas9 was used to delete *SIR2* gene in n=3 haploid with one

rDNA locus, and in the diploid with two rDNA loci in *trans* and asymmetric. Haploid spores with

two *cis* rDNA loci obtained from sporulation of different diploids (*SIR2/SIR2, SIR2/sir2, sir2/sir2*)

were used to assess stability of the rDNA arrays by PFGE and BamHI digest. PFGE program as

described in panel (a).

Extended Data Figure 4 Cell cycle reorganization of the chromosome with twin rDNA loci

in *cis*.

c

log2 ratio

2 x rDNA / 1 x rDNA

2 x rDNA / 1 x rDNA

0.75 Mb
1.9 Mb

0.75 Mb
1.9 Mb

-5   0
contact frequency (5 kb)

-3   0   3
contact variation (25 kb)

Extended Data Figure 4 Cell cycle reorganization of the chromosome with twin rDNA loci

in *cis*.

a Representative microscopy images of *n*=3 nuclei, with one or two rDNA loci, in cells

synchronized in G1 (alpha-factor, growth at 25ºC) and late anaphase (*cdc15-2* ts, growth at 37ºC).

b Contact probability decay $p(s)$ in G1 vs. anaphase: shows the average decay of the

intrachromosomal contact frequency p between loci with respect to their genomic distance *s*. **c**

Left panels: Hi-C maps of megachromosomes in *n*=3, with one or two rDNA on chr *C* (dashed

outline), from cells synchronized in G1 (top triangle maps) and late anaphase (bottom triangle

maps). Right panels: contact variation (log2-ratio) of the maps shown on the left (G1: top square

map and anaphase: bottom square map).

Extended Data Figure 5 Duplicated rDNA loci may lead to chromosome mispairing in

 meiosis.

Extended Data Figure 5 Duplicated rDNA loci may lead to chromosome mispairing in

meiosis.

a PFGE and BamHI digest of diploids of $n=16$ and $n=3$ strains, with different number and position

of the rDNA locus. Run specifications: *S. pombe* program for mega-size chromosome separation.

b Sporulation experiments of $n=3$ diploids with single and double pairs of rDNA loci. Percentage

of tetrads (asci) with 2, 3 or 4 viable spores is indicated for each strain. **c** PCR verification of rDNA

junctions, sampling 4 different sequences in 36 spores originated from 11 different tetrads.