Nonlinear sequence similarity analysis and validation of evolutionary convergent long noncoding RNAs involved in embryonic development.

Ane Olazagoitia-Garmendia[1,2], Fernando Garcia-Moreno[1,3,4], Ainara Castellanos-Rubio[1,2,3,5,*]

[1] University of the Basque Country, UPV-EHU, Leioa, Spain

[2] Biocruces Health Research Institute, Barakaldo, Spain

[3] Achucarro, Basque Center for Neuroscience, Leioa, Spain

[4] Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

[5] CIBERDEM, Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author: Ainara Castellanos Rubio, PhD, Barrio Sarriena, s/n, Maria Goyri Building, Lab 1.11**,** UPV/EHU, Leioa, 48940, Bizkaia, Spain**,** Phone: +34946007762; Fax: +34946013145**,** Email: ainara.castellanos@ehu.eus

Abstract

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been identified in almost all vertebrates, but the functional characterization of these RNA molecules is being challenging, mainly due to the lack of linear sequence homology between species. In this work, we aimed to find evolutionary convergent lncRNAs involved in development by means of k-mer content and secondary structure-based approaches combined with in silico, in vitro and in vivo analyses. Starting from transcriptomic data of the Madagascar gecko we found a lncRNA with a similar k-mer content and structurally concordant with the human lncRNA EVX1AS. Analysis of function related characteristics together with locus-specific targeting of human and gecko EVX1AS (i.e. CRISPR Display) in human neuroepithelial cells and chicken mesencephalon confirmed that gecko *Evx1as-like* lncRNA mimics human *EVX1AS* function and induces *EVX1* expression independently of the target species. Our data show functional conservation of non-homologous lncRNAs and presents a useful approach for the definition and manipulation of lncRNA function within different model organisms.

Introduction

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are RNA molecules longer than 200bp in length that do not have coding potential (Rinn & Chang, 2012). LncRNAs are gaining importance due to their involvement in a wide range of biological processes, and some of them have been described to be implicated in different aspects of embryonic development (Fico et al., 2019). However, the study of lncRNA relevance through their evolutionary conservation has been challenging due to their lack of linear sequence homology among species. Evolutionary conservation is widely used as an indicator of the functional significance of newly discovered genes, and the simple search for homology at the nucleotide level has proven to be valuable for protein-coding genes. However, lncRNAs with similar functions often lack linear sequence homology which implies lncRNA function cannot be readily assigned from their nucleotide sequence. K-mer based comparison methods have been demonstrated to be useful to find functionally related lncRNAs with different spatial arrangements of related sequence motifs, where a k-mer is defined as all possible combinations of a continuous sequence of nucleotides of a given length k. K-mer-based classification has been demonstrated to be a powerful approach to detect recurrent relationships between motif sequence and function in lncRNAs even in the lack of evolutionary conservation (Kirk et al., 2018; Sprague et al., 2019). Additionally, lncRNAs with the same secondary or tertiary structure can exert identical molecular functions despite divergent nucleotide sequences (Smith et al., 2013), thus analyzing structural equivalence could also help identify lncRNAs with evolutionary preserved mechanisms (Ponti et al., 2018). Moreover, the molecular role of lncRNAs is tied to other characteristics such as the subcellular localization, the abundance within the cell or the interactions with other molecules (Much et al., 2022; Statello et al., 2021).

In this work, we have taken advantage of k-mer and structure similarity analyses to find evolutionary convergent lncRNAs involved in development. For this purpose, we used madagascar ground gecko (*Paroedura pictus*) as the target species to find functionally conserved lncRNAs related to embryonic development. The madagascar gecko is a useful species to investigate the evolutionary path of vertebrate features due to its phylogenetic position within the *squamates* order of reptiles (Nomura et al., 2013; Noro et al., 2009). Additionally, we have performed several *in vitro* and *in vivo* analyses to investigate the functional cross-species conservation of the candidate lncRNAs. For the *in vivo* analyses, we have used chicken (*Gallus gallus*) embryos as an extra-phyletic species to both human and gecko species. The chicken is the most suitable animal model for experimental embryology, and

it is a perfect playground for genetic engineering during development (García-Moreno et al., 2018; Itasaki et al., 1999; Nakamura & Funahashi, 2013). Using these approaches, we found that the lncRNA *EVX1AS* is evolutionary convergent between species, and it regulates its divergent coding gene *EVX1* independently of the origin of the lncRNA and the recipient species. This evolutionary convergence implies that these two lncRNAs emerged independently in the two species, evolving in parallel to play equivalent functions from non-homologous sequences.

Results

Nonlinear sequence similarity between human and gecko embryogenesis lncRNAs

We hypothesized that functionally related lncRNAs involved in embryonic development could harbor related motif contents, although lacking linear sequence similarity. To test this, we used SEEKR (sequence evaluation from k-mer representation) webapp (Kirk et al., 2018) to find development associated lncRNAs that could be functionally convergent between human and gecko. We calculated the k-mer profile at k=3 and k=4 of human *NEAT1, MEG3* and *EVX1AS* and the gecko transcriptome in order to find equivalent lncRNAs (Hara et al., 2018). Those gecko transcripts with higher k-mer values were evaluated for their non-coding nature. These analyses revealed candidate non-coding transcripts with a similar k-mer content (above 55% similarity for k-4 and above 75% similarity for k-3) between gecko and human for *MEG3* and *EVX1AS* (*Pp-Meg3* and *Pp-Evx1as* from now on). No candidate was found for *NEAT1*. To verify the validity of this approach we also compared the k-mer content of human and mouse lncRNAs (as they are known to be functionally conserved) resulting in comparable values to those found between human and gecko lncRNAs (Fig. 1A). To evaluate the specificity of our results, we compared the k-mer content of human *NEAT1* with the *Pp-Meg3* and *Pp-Evx1as* gecko candidates, and did not find any similarities (with relatively lower values than those arising from the human and mouse *NEAT1* comparison) further confirming the validity of this strategy.

Given that the gecko noncoding transcripts were 6-to-8 times longer than their human counterparts (4448 bp vs 554bp for *EVX1AS* and 3751 bp vs 632bp for *MEG3)* we used CROSSalign (Ponti et al., 2018) to identify regions of structural similarity between the different length profiles (Fig. 1B). Both lncRNA pairs presented a structural distance lower than 0.1 (where 0 means identical structural profiles) and a 90% structure correlation. Interestingly, we

did not find any specific regions of conventional linear sequence homology between the pairs of structurally equivalent lncRNAs by mVISTA and DotPlot analysis (Figure 1C and Sup. Fig. 1A) (Frazer et al., 2004; Rice et al., 2000), suggesting an independent evolutionary origin of both transcripts. K-mer content was maintained similar to the mouse-human comparison when analyzing only the structurally equivalent parts (Sup. Fig. 1B).

Thus, our analysis revealed the existence of disparately originated pairs of lncRNAs in varied vertebrate species. These two lncRNAs were predicted to act upon pairs of orthologous genes, and presented very significant levels of structural similarity and k-mer content. Together, it suggested that these lncRNAs could play similar functions despite the lack of evolutionary relationship.

Human and gecko EVX1AS are principally expressed in brain

In order to verify if the gecko lncRNA candidates were actually expressed, we quantified the expression of the *Pp-Meg3* and *Pp-Evx1as* in different tissues from pre-hatching geckos. *Pp-Meg3* was only expressed in the tail and the carcase (Sup Fig 2A). Expression analysis of *Pp-Evx1as* demonstrated that this lncRNA is widely and tissue-specifically expressed in this species, showing the highest expression in the brain (Fig. 2A). In mice, lncRNA *Evx1as* has been described to transcriptionally regulate its nearby coding gene *Evx1* (Luo et al., 2016). Analysis of gecko *Evx1* expression in the embryonic tissues showed the wide characteristic expression of this coding gene (Fig. 2A). We also analyzed the expression of both *EVX1AS* and *EVX1* in an RNA pool of different human tissues purchased from Clontech. As previously observed in gecko embryos, *EVX1AS* was widely expressed among the different tissues with the highest expression also present in the brain (Fig. 2B). Regarding the coding gene *EVX1,* it likewise presented a broad tissue expression (Fig. 2B). Given that human brain tissue is not an accessible material, we also evaluated the expression of *EVX1AS* and *EVX1* in the human neuroepithelial cell line SHSY5Y. Both genes were expressed in this cell line confirming the validity of this culture model for further *EVX1AS* analysis (Sup. Fig. 2B).

Given the multi-level similarities, from motif content and structure, to tissue expression pattern, we selected *Pp-Evx1as* lncRNA for further analysis of its potentially shared function. LncRNAs showing common function -among other shared features- but lacking linear sequence homology

would indicate these lncRNAs evolved by functional convergence: they evolved separately, from independent ancestor sequences, but converged into an equivalent function in parallel.

Human and gecko EVX1AS share function-related characteristics

RNA structure, subcellular localization and abundance of lncRNAs are generally related to their function and molecular roles. Thus, in order to experimentally assess their functional convergence, we evaluated several function-related characteristics (structure, localization, and abundance) for both human and gecko *EVX1AS*. These two lncRNA forms showed an almost identical migration pattern when *in vitro* transcribed lncRNAs were migrated in a non-denaturing agarose gel (Fig. 3A). Conversely, an unrelated in vitro transcribed lncRNA, which was used as a control, showed a totally different migration pattern, confirming the equivalency of the secondary structures of human and gecko *EVX1AS* predicted in silico (Fig. 1B). For subcellular localization assessment, the amounts of *EVX1AS* were quantified in whole and nuclear fractions of human neuroepithelial cells and the gecko brain. As previously described (Luo et al., 2016) *EVX1AS* was found in both cellular compartments suggesting that apart from regulating *EVX1* in cis, this lncRNA also exerts other yet undescribed biological functions. The percentage of *EVX1AS* present in the nucleus was very similar in both species (approximately 30%) (Fig. 3B), further supporting its functional convergence. Evaluation of *EVX1AS* abundance was performed using human and gecko brain cDNA and a reference plasmid of each lncRNA (Sup Fig. 3A). We had the limitation that while the gecko brains tested were embryonic, human brain samples were from adult individuals. However, the amount of *EVX1AS* molecules per cell in both cases was lower than 1 (Fig. 3C), pointing to a cell type specific expression of the lncRNA within the brain. The higher amount of copies per cell present in the gecko brain may be suggestive of a high peak of activity of this lncRNA regulating *EVX1* during embryonic development.

The regulation of mouse *Evx1* expression by *Evx1as* has been described to be mediated by the binding of the lncRNA to the mediator complex (Luo et al., 2016). The mediator complex is a multiprotein complex that functions as a transcriptional coactivator and interacts with a wide range of proteins. MEME motif analysis of our lncRNAs, showed enrichment of several brain function related protein binding motifs, as MATR3, HNRNPA1 and PTBP1(Sup Fig 3B), that that have been reported to interact with members of the mediator complex according to GENEMANIA server (Warde-Farley et al., 2010). Thus, to analyze if both our lncRNA forms also bind the mediator complex, we performed an RNA immunoprecipitation experiment of MED1

protein using lysates from SHSY5Y cells. As expected, immunoprecipitation of human MED1 was able to retrieve both, endogenous and IVT human *EVX1AS*. Additionally, we also observed the lncRNA-MED1 interaction when IVT *Pp-Evx1as* was added to the protein lysates. Conversely, our IVT lncRNA control did not interact with MED1, confirming the specificity of the binding (Fig. 3D).

Equivalence in structure, subcellular localization, and abundance of *EVX1AS* from both gecko and human, together with the interaction with the mediator complex, strongly suggested a shared cellular function.

EVX1AS is functionally convergent among species

In order to analyze whether the previous results were representative of a functional in-cell equivalence, we conducted overexpression experiments of both lncRNAs in two experimental paradigms: *in vitro*, in SHSY5Y cells; and *in vivo*, in chick embryonic brains. For this approach, we used the CRISPR-Display technique (Shechner et al., 2015), in order to specifically localize *EVX1AS* into the promoter of human or chicken *EVX1*. We cloned either the human or gecko *EVX1AS* lncRNA preceded by a guide RNA (specific for *EVX1* promoter of the receptor species; i.e human or chicken) and followed by a 3' box into a CMV driven vector to guide and tether the *EVX1AS* RNA to the *EVX1* promoter (Sup. Figure 4A, B). As expected, directed overexpression of the human *EVX1AS* was able to induce the expression of *EVX1* mRNA in the SHSY5Y human neuroblastoma cell line (Fig. 4A). When gecko *Evx1as* (*Pp-Evx1as*) was overexpressed in the human cells, we also observed an increase in the mRNA levels of *EVX1* (Fig. 4B), confirming that these two lncRNAs functionally converged in their role of controlling *EVX1* mRNA expression. Interestingly, the tethering mediated by the sgRNA2 (closer to the *EVX1* start codon) performed more efficiently, independently of the origin of the lncRNA. To further confirm these data, we decided to use an extra-phyletic *in vivo* model that could act as a recipient for both species. The chicken embryo is a good model for these analyses as it represents a suitable experimental model which is phylogenetically distant from both human and gecko. As it has been previously described we found expression of the *Evx1* coding gene in the chicken embryo mesencephalon, but not in the forebrain (Sup. Fig 4C). We electroporated our lncRNAs together with the catalytically dead Cas9 into the mesencephalon of embryonic day 3 (E3) chicken embryos, when the mesencephalic *Evx1* expressing cells are mostly being generated (Agarwala et al., 2001; Fogel et al., 2008). We validated our strategy using

immunofluorescence, as we confirmed that we were able to electroporate and express our plasmids in the mesencephalic region of chicken embryos as determined by LHX5 and MEIS2 expression (Fig. 4C and Sup. Figure 4D). Three days after overexpression (E6), as previously observed in the human cells, the tethering of both human and gecko *EVX1AS* to the chicken *Evx1* promoter induced the levels of *Evx1* mRNA, confirming the functional convergence of the human and gecko lncRNAs (Fig. 4D,E).

The ability of the human and gecko *EVX1AS* to regulate the expression of human and chicken orthologous coding gene *EVX1* in all the combinations (human-human, gecko-human, human-chicken, gecko-chicken) confirms the functional similarity of both lncRNAs, and points to a case of evolutionary convergence.

Discussion

LncRNAs regulate fundamental cellular processes, such as embryonic development, but still the physiological importance of the majority of lncRNAs remains to be identified. The lack of strategies to find relationships between lncRNA sequence and mechanism makes it difficult to functionally classify them. Additionally, the mechanistic studies using human material have also great limitations, making the functional characterization of lncRNAs a very difficult task. Moreover, the absence of linear conservation for these transcripts makes the identification of ortholog lncRNAs among different species very challenging, especially between evolutionarily distant species such as gecko and human. Thus, the need to develop a strategy to investigate functional convergent lncRNAs, bearing in mind that lack of sequence conservation does not directly imply different functions, will be of great importance to fully understand the evolution and function of these emerging regulatory elements.

In this study, we used SEEKR method for nonlinear comparison of lncRNAs, followed by structure similarity analyses to select gecko lncRNAs that are potentially involved in development. Collectively, our data support that lncRNAs from different species can perform similar functions through vastly different linear sequences, and that nonlinear sequence comparisons can be used to discover evolutionary convergent lncRNAs among species. Moreover, the information about lncRNA structure and the identification of structurally equivalent regions has been useful to complement sequence analysis and to translate *in silico* analysis to *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments.

Nonlinear sequence similarity and structure analyses of the three analyzed embryonic development-related human lncRNAs resulted in two candidate gecko lncRNAs that could perform the same functions as human lncRNAs *MEG3* and *EVX1AS*. *MEG3* has been involved in pluripotency and reprogramming and it is mainly expressed in the hypophysis (Stadtfeld et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2010). The expression analysis of *Pp-Meg3* in the gecko tissues only showed expression in the tail and the carcase suggesting that we were not looking at a functional homolog. *EVX1AS* has been related with the mesoderm differentiation and it is known to regulate the expression of *EVX1* coding gene, which is expressed in the midbrain during embryonic development (Bell et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016). We found that *Pp-Evx1as* was mainly expressed in the embryonic gecko brain, being a suitable candidate for further function related studies.

As previously stated, lncRNAs acquire complex structures that usually dictate their function. Moreover, lncRNAs can be found throughout the cell, acting in a wide range of cellular processes, and are commonly lowly expressed (Cabili et al., 2015; Much et al., 2022). These characteristics -structure, subcellular location, level of expression- would be common to those lncRNAs that exert the same functions, helping in the evaluation of putative convergent lncRNAs. The identical gel migration pattern of *Pp-Evx1as* and *Hs-EVX1AS*, together with the ubiquitous localization and the scarce expression of both lncRNAs suggested that they could be playing parallel functions. Moreover, these lncRNAs presented common motifs known to recruit RNA binding proteins, providing additional insight into similarities between the two lncRNAs. Interestingly, the three RNA-binding proteins predicted to bind the human and gecko lncRNAs showed interactions with different members of the mediator complex, as reported by the GENEMANIA server (Warde-Farley et al., 2010). Consistent with these data, we were able to show that human and gecko *EVX1AS* physically interact with MED1 protein, which has been described to bind *EVX1AS* for *EVX1* transcription regulation (Luo et al., 2016), further supporting the idea of their functional similarity.

EVX1AS is known to be involved in regulatory processes within the nucleus, i.e regulating the expression of its nearby coding gene *EVX1*. Although the mechanistic dissection of these types of regulators *in vivo* is technically challenging, we took advantage of the CRISPR-Display technique, which is a very useful tool for relocating lncRNA transcripts to an ectopic site (Shechner et al., 2015). This technique allowed us to direct *EVX1AS* from different species

(human and gecko) to the promoter of human and chicken *EVX1* coding gene, regardless of the lncRNA and recipient species. Using this approach, we showed that *Pp-* and *Hs-EVX1AS* are able to regulate *EVX1* expression in both model systems, human and chicken, supporting their functional similarity. Remarkably, these lncRNA are not derived from a common lncRNA ancestor, as evidenced by their lack of sequence homology. Instead, they evolved independently, in parallel, and their structures and functions converged in the different species tested.

Overall, this study provides insight into the functional similarity of embryonic development related lncRNA *EVX1AS* by analyzing its k-mer content and secondary structure together with the study of its expression, localization and abundance in human and gecko. Additionally, *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies confirmed the evolutionary convergence of these lncRNAs. The existence of non-homologous lncRNAs that share a common function and other features strongly suggest that evolutionary convergence played a role in the diversification of lncRNAs.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that functional conservation of non-homologous lncRNAs has been shown. This approach can be of great use to describe the functional properties of lncRNA molecules within different species, facilitating the study of a wide range of biological processes, such as embryonic development, in diverse model organisms.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants PGC2018-097573-A-I00 from the Spanish Ministry and COLLAB20/02 from the UPV-EHU to ACR; PGC2018-096173-A-I00 grant from the Spanish Ministry and PIBA 2020_1_0057 grant from the Basque Government to FGM.

AOG was supported by a predoctoral fellowship from the Basque Government.

Material and methods

Selection of candidates lncRNAs using k-mer content

Starting from previously described embryonic development-related lncRNAs, we decided to analyze *NEAT1, MEG3* and *EVX1AS* based on their length (<4kb) and their already described conservation between mouse and human. We then analyzed the k-mer content of our candidate

lncRNA sequences against the transcriptome of our reptilian model, the Madagascar ground gecko (*Paroedura pictus*) (Hara et al., 2018), using online available webapp SEEKR interface. We used all human lncRNAs from GENCODE as a background set to derive the mean and standard deviation of the counts for each k-mer (Kirk et al., 2018). The noncoding nature of the gecko transcripts was analyzed using the Coding Potential Calculator (CPC) web-based interface (Kang et al., 2017). *MEG3* and *EVX1AS* analyses resulted in gecko noncoding transcripts that had a k=4 k-mer content above 35% and k=3 k-mer content above 50%. The k-mer content correlations between the mouse and human lncRNA forms were assessed as a control.

RNA secondary structure

The in silico structural equivalence of the gecko and the human sequences was analyzed using CROSSalign (Ponti et al., 2018).

For mobility assessment, human and gecko *EVX1AS* were in vitro transcribed (IVT) using a T7 RNA Polymerase (Takara Bio, San Jose, CA). IVT lncRNAs were then purified, heated at 95ºC for 3 minutes, placed on iced and run in a non-denaturing agarose gel in TBE.

Linear sequence similarity analysis

Dot plots were generated using EMBOSS dotmatcher (Rice et al., 2000). We used a window size 5 and a threshold of 25. mVISTA was used to visualize linear sequence alignments (Frazer et al., 2004). We used a 100bp sliding-window with a 25-bp nucleotide increment for the analysis of the underlying genomic alignment.

Protein-RNA binding motif analysis

The sequences of human and gecko *EVX1AS* were analyzed using MEME Motif Discovery tool in order to find enriched motifs within the lncRNAs. Additionally, TOMTOM Motif comparison tool was used to find proteins that would bind the enriched RNA motifs (Bailey et al., 2015).

Subcellular localization

For quantification of *EVX1AS* levels in nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments, nuclei were isolated using C1 lysis buffer as described previously (Castellanos-Rubio et al., 2016) and amount of specific nuclear RNA measured by RT-QPCR was compared to the total amount of the RNA in the whole cell.

Quantification of molecules per cell.

In order to determine the *EVX1AS* copy number in human and gecko cells, a reference plasmid incorporating the cDNA sequence of each *EVX1AS* form was used. Absolute quantification was performed using 5 ten-fold serial dilutions of the reference standard. Ct versus the dilution factor was plotted in a base-10 semi-logarithmic graph, fitting the data to a straight line. Plot was then used as a standard curve for extrapolating the number of molecules of *EVX1AS* in the cells.

Gecko tissue dissection

Three pre-hatching geckos at embryonic days (E)50 to E55 were anesthetized by hypothermia. After the opening of the egg, the embryos were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and a collection of tissues were obtained and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen: brain, heart, eyes, gut, lungs, liver, tail and the remaining carcase (containing mainly bones, muscle and skin).

RNA tethering

as performed as previously described (Shechner et al., 2015). RNA is fused with an sgRNA at its 5' end and a U1 3'box at its 3'end. Human and gecko *EVX1AS* lncRNA were fused to sgRNAs targeting the human and chicken *EVX1* TSS. Then, the fusion RNA-sgRNA constructs were co-transfected with dCas9 into SHSY5Y cells or chicken embryos. Sequences for sgRNAs are available upon request.

Cells

The neuroepithelial SHSY5Y cell line (CRL-2266) was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, US). Cells were cultured in 50% EMEM and 50% F12 medium supplemented with 10 % FBS (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA #S0115), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Lonza, #17-602E). For overexpression experiments 250 ng of each plasmid were used. 150000 cells/well were seeded and transfected using X-TremeGENE HP DNA transfection reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, #6366546001), cells were harvested after 48 h.

Animals

All animal experiments were approved by a local ethical review committee and conducted in accordance with personal and project licenses in compliance with the current normative standards of the European Union (Directive 2010/63/EU) and the Spanish Government (Royal Decrees 1201/2005 and 53/2013, Law 32/107). Fertilized hen eggs (Gallus gallus), obtained from Granja Santa Isabel (Córdoba, Spain), were incubated at 37.5 °C in a humidified atmo-

sphere until the required stages (Bellairs and Osmond, 2014). The day when eggs were incubated was considered embryonic day (E) 0.

Gecko eggs were harvested from a local breeder colony of Madagascar ground geckos (Paroedura pictus) at Achucarro (based on the colony at the Department of Ecology of Charles University, Czech Republic). Adult geckos were maintained on a 12/12-h light/dark and temperature cycle (8 a.m. lights on; 28 ° C diurnal temperature, 23 ° C nocturnal temperature) and provided with ad libitum access to food and water. Eggs were incubated at 28 ° C in a low-humidified atmosphere until the required stages (Noro et al., 2009). The day when eggs were found in the terrarium was consid- ered E0.

In ovo electroporation

Electroporation of chick embryos was performed as previously described (García-Moreno et al., 2014). Briefly, eggs were incubated in a vertical position at 38°C. Plasmids were injected with a volume of less than 1 µl into the fourth ventricle of E3 chick embryos using a fine pulled glass needle. Four electric pulses (14-17 V, 15 ms pulses with a 950 ms interval: BTX electroporator ECM) were then applied to the brain between insulated silver 40mm x 0.8mm wire electrodes with flattened pole (Intracel). Drops of Ringer's solution supplemented with antibiotics (penicillin/streptamycin: Sigma) were added to the egg. Embryos were incubated until E6, when tissue was harvested for further research.

RNA extraction and RT-QPCR

RNA from all samples was extracted using Direct-zol RNA miniprep kit (Zymo research, Irvine, USA, #R2053) with DNAse treatment. For the extraction of RNA from gecko and chicken tissues samples were homogenized with a pellet pestle prior to extraction.

500-1000 ng of RNA were used for the retrotranscription reaction using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad, CA, USA, #1708890). Expression values were determined by q-PCR using Sybr Green (iTaq SYBR Green Supermix, Bio-Rad, #1725124) and specific primers. *RPLP0* gene was used as endogenous control in human and in gecko and Rplp7 in chicken samples. Reactions were run in a BioRad CFX384 and melting curves were analyzed to ensure the amplification of a single product. All qPCR measurements were performed in duplicate and expression levels were analyzed using the 2–$\Delta\Delta$Ct method. All primer sequences are available upon request.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)

For RIP experiments, SHSY5Y cells were lysed in RIP buffer (150 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 % NP-40, PI), kept on ice for 15 minutes and homogenized using a syringe. IVT lncRNAs were incubated with RNA secondary structure buffer and added to the lysates. The mixes were pre-cleared with proteinG dynabeads (ThermoFisher, Waltman, MA, USA) for 1 h in a wheel shaker at 4ºC. Pre-cleared lysates were incubated with 1ug of MED1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Dallas, TX, USA) for 1h at room temperature. After incubation dynabeads were added and further incubated for 30 min. The immunoprecipitation was washed three times with RIP buffer, three times with low salt buffer (50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 % NP-40) and three times with high salt buffer (500 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 % NP-40). After the washes, 70 % of beads were resuspended in RNA extraction buffer and 30 % was used for WB.

Western Blot

Laemmli buffer (62 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10 % glycerol, 2 % SDS, 0.2 mg/ml bromophenol blue, 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol) was added to the protein samples and were denatured by heat. Proteins were migrated on 8% SDS-PAGE gels. Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using a Transblot-Turbo Transfer System (Biorad) and blocked in 5 % non-fatty milk diluted in TBST (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1 % Tween 20) at room temperature for 1 h. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4ºC with primary antibodies diluted 1:500 in TBST. Immunoreactive bands were revealed using the Clarity Max ECL Substrate (BioRad, #1705062) after incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse (1:10000 dilution in 2.5 % non-fatty milk) secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. The immunoreactive bands were detected using a Bio-Rad Molecular Imager ChemiDoc XRS and quantified using the ImageJ software (BioRad).
The following antibodies were used for Western Blotting: GAPDH (sc-47724) and MED1 (sc-74475).

Immunohistochemistry

Embryonic chick brains were fixed by immersion in PFA (4% paraformaldehyde, PFA, diluted in phosphate buffered saline 0.1M– PBS, pH 7.3). Brains were transferred to PBS 6h after fixation. Brains were sectioned in the coronal plane at 50-70 µm thickness in a vibrating microtome (Leica VT1000S). Single and double immunohistochemical reactions were performed as described previously (Rueda-Alanã & Garciá-Moreno, 2021) using the following primary antibodies: rabbit antibody to histone H3 - phospho S10 (Abcam ab47297; 1:1000), mouse antibody to LHX5 (DSHB ;1:30) and mouse antibody to Meis2 (DSHB ; 1:30). For secondary antibodies (all 1:1000), we used Alexa 647 goat antibody to rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes,

A32733) and Alexa 488 goat antibody to mouse IgG (Molecular Probes, A11001). Sections were counterstained with DAPI.

Statistical analyses

The data are represented as the mean ± standard error of the mean of at least three biological replicates. Mean comparisons were performed by Student's t-test. The statistically significance level was set at $p < 0.1$.

Figure legends:

***Figure 1: Nonlinear sequence similarity between human and gecko embryogenesis lncRNAs.* (A)** k=3 and k=4 k-mer content analysis of *MEG3* and *EVX1AS* lncRNAs using SEEKR tool. **(B)** Secondary structure similarity study using CROSSALIGN tool. **(C)** Linear conservation analysis of *MEG3* (top) and *EVX1AS* (bottom) performed using mVISTA. Human sequence is shown on the x-axis and percentage similarity to the corresponding gecko sequence on the y-axis. The graphical plot is based on sliding-window analysis of the underlying genomic alignment. A 100-bp sliding window is at 25-bp nucleotide increment is used. Pink shading indicates conserved noncoding genomic regions.

Figure 2: Human and gecko EVX1AS are principally expressed in the brain. Heat map showing expression of *EVX1AS* in a range of gecko **(A)** and human **(B)** tissues.

Figure 3: *Human and gecko EVX1AS share function-related characteristics.* (A) Mobility assay of in vitro transcribed (IVT) human (Hs) and gecko (Pp) EVX1AS. A non related lncRNA was used as a control (Ctrl). **(B)** Cellular localization of human and gecko *EVX1AS* in human SHSY5Y cells (n=3) and gecko brains (n=2). *PO* and *EVX1* were used as cytoplasmic controls. **(C)** Analysis of *EVX1AS* copy number in human and gecko brain. Data represents the mean and standard error of the experiments. **(D)** Analysis of endogenous and in vitro transcribed *EVX1AS* levels bound to MED1 protein after immunoprecipitation. An in vitro transcribed unrelated lncRNA was used as a control. A representative western blot of the immunoprecipitation is shown.

***Figure 4: EVX1AS is functionally convergent among species.* (A)** Relative RNA expression of human *EVX1AS* lncRNA and *EVX1* upon human *EVX1AS* overexpression in SHSY5Y cells. **(B)** Relative RNA expression of gecko *Evx1as* lncRNA and human *EVX1* upon gecko *Evx1as* overexpression in SHSY5Y cells. **(C)** Immunohistochemistry of the chicken embryonic midbrain on coronal section showing the successful transfection of the lncRNA plasmid. Transfected cells

are shown in red due to their ectopic expression of red fluorescent protein RFP. LHX5 and MEIS2 patterns of expression (in green) indicate the mesencephalic region that was actually transfected. DAPI counterstain in blue.

(D) Relative RNA expression of human *EVX1AS* lncRNA and chicken *Evx1* upon human *EVX1AS* overexpression in chicken mesencephalon. **(E)** Relative RNA expression of gecko *Evx1as* lncRNA and chicken *Evx1* upon gecko *Evx1as* overexpression in chicken mesencephalon. Data represents the mean and standard error of 3-6 independent experiments. (+$p<0.1$, *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, ns: non-significant according to a Student's t-test)

References:

Agarwala, S., Sanders, T. A., & Ragsdale, C. W. (2001). Sonic hedgehog control of size and shape in midbrain pattern formation. *Science*, *291*(5511). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1058624

Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E., & Noble, W. S. (2015). The MEME Suite. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *43*(W1). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv416

Bell, C. C., Amaral, P. P., Kalsbeek, A., Magor, G. W., Gillinder, K. R., Tangermann, P., Di Lisio, L., Cheetham, S. W., Gruhl, F., Frith, J., Tallack, M. R., Ru, K. L., Crawford, J., Mattick, J. S., Dinger, M. E., & Perkins, A. C. (2016). The Evx1/Evx1as gene locus regulates anterior-posterior patterning during gastrulation. *Scientific Reports*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26657

Cabili, M. N., Dunagin, M. C., McClanahan, P. D., Biaesch, A., Padovan-Merhar, O., Regev, A., Rinn, J. L., & Raj, A. (2015). Localization and abundance analysis of human lncRNAs at single-cell and single-molecule resolution. *Genome Biology*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0586-4

Castellanos-Rubio, A., Fernandez-Jimenez, N., Kratchmarov, R., Luo, X., Bhagat, G., Green, P. H. R., Schneider, R., Kiledjian, M., Bilbao, J. R., & Ghosh, S. (2016). A long noncoding RNA associated with susceptibility to celiac disease. *Science*, *352*(6281), 91–95. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0467

Fico, A., Fiorenzano, A., Pascale, E., Patriarca, E. J., & Minchiotti, G. (2019). Long non-coding RNA in stem cell pluripotency and lineage commitment: functions and evolutionary conservation. In *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* (Vol. 76, Issue 8).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-3000-z

Fogel, J. L., Chiang, C., Huang, X., & Agarwala, S. (2008). Ventral specification and perturbed boundary formation in the mouse midbrain in the absence of hedgehog signaling. *Developmental Dynamics*, *237*(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21536

Frazer, K. A., Pachter, L., Poliakov, A., Rubin, E. M., & Dubchak, I. (2004). VISTA: Computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*(WEB SERVER ISS.). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh458

García-Moreno, F., Anderton, E., Jankowska, M., Begbie, J., Encinas, J. M., Irimia, M., & Molnár, Z. (2018). Absence of Tangentially Migrating Glutamatergic Neurons in the Developing Avian Brain. *Cell Reports*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.032

García-Moreno, F., Vasistha, N. A., Begbie, J., & Molnár, Z. (2014). CLoNe is a new method to target single progenitors and study their progeny in mouse and chick. *Development (Cambridge)*, *141*(7). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.105254

Hara, Y., Takeuchi, M., Kageyama, Y., Tatsumi, K., Hibi, M., Kiyonari, H., & Kuraku, S. (2018). Madagascar ground gecko genome analysis characterizes asymmetric fates of duplicated genes. *BMC Biology*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-018-0509-4

Itasaki, N., Bel-Vialar, S., & Krumlauf, R. (1999). "Shocking" developments in chick embryology: Electroporation and in ovo gene expression. In *Nature Cell Biology* (Vol. 1, Issue 8). https://doi.org/10.1038/70231

Kang, Y. J., Yang, D. C., Kong, L., Hou, M., Meng, Y. Q., Wei, L., & Gao, G. (2017). CPC2: A fast and accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(W1). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx428

Kirk, J. M., Kim, S. O., Inoue, K., Smola, M. J., Lee, D. M., Schertzer, M. D., Wooten, J. S., Baker, A. R., Sprague, D., Collins, D. W., Horning, C. R., Wang, S., Chen, Q., Weeks, K. M., Mucha, P. J., & Calabrese, J. M. (2018). Functional classification of long non-coding RNAs by k-mer content. *Nature Genetics*, *50*(10). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0207-8

Luo, S., Lu, J. Y., Liu, L., Yin, Y., Chen, C., Han, X., Wu, B., Xu, R., Liu, W., Yan, P., Shao, W., Lu, Z., Li, H., Na, J., Tang, F., Wang, J., Zhang, Y. E., & Shen, X. (2016). Divergent lncRNAs regulate gene expression and lineage differentiation in pluripotent cells. *Cell Stem Cell*, *18*(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.01.024

Much, C., Smallegan, M. J., Hwang, T., Hanson, S. D., Dumbovic, G., & Rinn, J. L. (2022). Evolutionary divergence of Firre localization and expression. *RNA*.

https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.079070.121

Nakamura, H., & Funahashi, J. (2013). Electroporation: Past, present and future. In *Development Growth and Differentiation* (Vol. 55, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1111/dgd.12012

Nomura, T., Kawaguchi, M., Ono, K., & Murakami, Y. (2013). Reptiles: A new model for brain evo-devo research. In *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* (Vol. 320, Issue 2). https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.22484

Noro, M., Uejima, A., Abe, G., Manabe, M., & Tamura, K. (2009). Normal developmental stages of the Madagascar ground gecko Paroedura pictus with special reference to limb morphogenesis. *Developmental Dynamics*, *238*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21828

Ponti, R. D., Armaos, A., Marti, S., & Tartaglia, G. G. (2018). A method for RNA structure prediction shows evidence for structure in lncRNAs. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences*, *5*(DEC). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2018.00111

Rice, P., Longden, L., & Bleasby, A. (2000). EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. In *Trends in Genetics* (Vol. 16, Issue 6). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(00)02024-2

Rinn, J. L., & Chang, H. Y. (2012). Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, *81*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-051410-092902

Rueda-Alanã, E., & Garciá-Moreno, F. (2021). Time in Neurogenesis: Conservation of the Developmental Formation of the Cerebellar Circuitry. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*. https://doi.org/10.1159/000519068

Shechner, D. M., Hacisuleyman, E., Younger, S. T., & Rinn, J. L. (2015). Multiplexable, locus-specific targeting of long RNAs with CRISPR-Display. *Nature Methods*, *12*(7). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3433

Smith, M. A., Gesell, T., Stadler, P. F., & Mattick, J. S. (2013). Widespread purifying selection on RNA structure in mammals. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(17). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt596

Sprague, D., Waters, S. A., Kirk, J. M., Wang, J. R., Samollow, P. B., Waters, P. D., & Calabrese, J. M. (2019). Nonlinear sequence similarity between the Xist and Rsx long noncoding RNAs suggests shared functions of tandem repeat domains. *RNA*, *25*(8). https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.069815.118

Stadtfeld, M., Apostolou, E., Akutsu, H., Fukuda, A., Follett, P., Natesan, S., Kono, T., Shioda, T., & Hochedlinger, K. (2010). Aberrant silencing of imprinted genes on chromosome

12qF1 in mouse induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*, *465*(7295). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09017

Statello, L., Guo, C. J., Chen, L. L., & Huarte, M. (2021). Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. In *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* (Vol. 22, Issue 2). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-00315-9

Warde-Farley, D., Donaldson, S. L., Comes, O., Zuberi, K., Badrawi, R., Chao, P., Franz, M., Grouios, C., Kazi, F., Lopes, C. T., Maitland, A., Mostafavi, S., Montojo, J., Shao, Q., Wright, G., Bader, G. D., & Morris, Q. (2010). The GeneMANIA prediction server: Biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *38*(SUPPL. 2). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq537

Zhou, Y., Cheunsuchon, P., Nakayama, Y., Lawlor, M. W., Zhong, Y., Rice, K. A., Zhang, L., Zhang, X., Gordon, F. E., Lidov, H. G. W., Bronson, R. T., & Klibanski, A. (2010). Activation of paternally expressed genes and perinatal death caused by deletion of the Gtl2 gene. *Development*, *137*(16). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.045724

A

K=3 content

	Pp-Meg3	Mm-Meg3	Pp-Evx1as	Mm-Evx1as	Mm-Neat1
Hs-MEG3	0,75	0,63			
Hs-EVX1AS			0,85	0,44	
Hs-NEAT1	0,21		0,28		0,61

K=4 content

	Pp-Meg3	Mm-Meg3	Pp-Evx1as	Mm-Evx1as	Mm-Neat1
Hs-MEG3	0,56	0,44			
Hs-EVX1AS			0,61	0,36	
Hs-NEAT1	0,29		0,26		0,47

B

MEG3; correlation: 0.89

Structural alignment
Distance: 0.09

Human *MEG3*

Gecko *Meg3*

EVX1AS; correlation: 0.9

Structural alignment
Distance: 0.087

Human *EVX1AS*

Gecko *Evx1as*

C

mVISTA sequence alignment
MEG3

mVISTA sequence alignment
EVX1AS

A

marker   EVX1AS-Hs   Evx1as-Pp   lncRNA-Ctrl   marker

B

	Cytoplasm		Nucleus	
RPLP0				
EVX1AS				
EVX1				

1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0

C

EVX1AS molecules/cell

Copies/cell

Human brain   Gecko brain

D

MED1 RIP

Relative % input

input   MED1 RIP

200kda
50kda

MED1

GAPDH

Endog Hs-EVX1AS   IVT Hs-EVX1AS   IVT Pp-EVX1AS   IVT lncRNA Ctrl