Nascent dendrite branches initiated by a localized burst of Spire-dependent actin polymerization.

Authors: Deirdre Hatton[1,2,3,6], Claire Marquilly[1,2,6], Caitlin Hanrahan[1,2,3], Tiago Ferreira[1,2], Yimiao Ou[1,2], Lauren Cinq-Mars[1,2,3], Will Silkworth[4], Hannah M. Bailey[4], Margot E. Quinlan[4,5], Donald J. van Meyel[1,2*].

Affiliations: [1]Centre for Research in Neuroscience, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, H3G 1A4, Canada.

[2]Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, H3G 1A4 Canada

[3]Integrated Program in Neuroscience, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2B4, Canada

[4] Dept. of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA.

[5]Molecular Biology Institute, University of California Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[6] These authors contributed equally.

*Correspondence: don.vanmeyel@mcgill.ca

Summary

Dendrites form arbors whose size, shape and complexity define how neurons cover their

receptive territories. Actin dynamics contribute to growth and remodeling of dendrite arbors.

Here we examined how Spire, a conserved actin nucleation factor, promotes formation of new

branches in vivo. In live imaging of Drosophila class IV dendritic arborization (c4da) neurons,

Spire was observed at new sites of branch initiation, where it assembled new actin polymer in

a burst just prior to filopodial outgrowth. For dendrite arborization, Spire required intact

structural domains to nucleate actin and target the secretory network, and interacted with Rab11

GTPase, a key regulator of recycling endosomes. Together, these findings support a model in

which Spire cooperates with Rab11 to promote new dendrite branches by linking localized

actin dynamics with intracellular trafficking of endosomes that deliver lipids and cargoes to

fuel protrusive outgrowth of nascent dendrites.

Introduction

Dendrites have distinct tree-like branching patterns that influence the number, strength,

and integration of sensory or synaptic inputs into neurons[1-3]. Understanding how dendrites are

shaped during development is essential to gain full insight into the construction of neural

circuits that underlie animal survival, behaviors, learning, and memory[4]. A major gap remains

in our understanding of the spatial and temporal control of cytoskeletal remodeling responsible

for dendrite morphogenesis during development[5], though it is known to involve regulation of

actin dynamics[6] by specific proteins to nucleate, elongate and remodel actin filaments[7,8].

Furthermore, to cope with their size and complexity, dendrites have specialized arrangements

of secretory network organelles for the biogenesis of cargo-carrying vesicles and their transport

to the cell surface[9]. Given the importance of actin and the secretory network for dendrite

development and function, it is important to clarify how they are coordinated to initiate new dendrite branches in vivo[5,10].

New dendrite branches arise from actin-rich filopodia that rapidly extend from initiation sites along established branches[10]. Dendrite filopodia are structurally distinct from conventional filopodia, with an unusual network-like cytoskeletal organization characterized by both branched and linear filaments of mixed polarity[11], suggesting involvement of multiple actin regulatory proteins with distinct activities. Few studies have identified specific actin regulators involved in forming new branches[12-17], and none have pinpointed their regulation of actin to the location and timing of nascent branch outgrowth. In Drosophila larvae, dendritic arborization (da) neurons provide an excellent model to study the roles of actin regulators in the development of dendrite arbors in vivo[18-20]. In da neurons, the locations of dendrite filopodia initiation sites are pre-figured by patches of actin polymer[12,16,21], as has been proposed for dendrite filopodia in the mouse brain also[22]. For the large space-filling arbors of class IV da (c4da) neurons, the formation of new dendrites requires the Arp2/3 actin nucleator complex under control of the activator WAVE and the small GTPase Rac1[12]. In c4da neurons, new dendrite formation also involves F-actin severing by Twinstar/Cofilin in the production of "blobs" of pre-assembled actin polymer, which move bidirectionally within dendrites, pause before filopodium outgrowth, and appear to contribute F-actin into the nascent branch[16]. The factors specifying the location and timing of filopodial outgrowth from actin patches and blobs have not been defined.

Here we explored the role of Spire (Spir), a conserved multi-domain actin nucleation factor. We previously showed that knockdown of Spir with RNA interference (RNAi) in cd4da neurons reduced the number of terminal dendrite branches and compromised the ability of these nociceptive neurons to elicit larval escape from noxious stimulus[23]. Spir is known to co-operate with Fmn-family formins, which are also actin nucleators, during Drosophila and mouse

oogenesis. Spir has four WASP homology 2 (WH2)-domains for actin nucleation, a KIND

domain for interaction with Fmn-family formins[24-27], a modified FYVE (mFYVE) domain to

target vesicles of the secretory network, and a SPIR-box with potential to interact with Rab

GTPases[24,28-31], which play roles in vesicle sorting and transport[32,33]. Previous studies showed

functional links between Spir and Rab11 in oocytes, in cultured cells, and in vitro[28,31,34,35], and

Rab11 has been shown to be required for correct dendrite arborization of c4da neurons[36-38].

Here we show that Spir stimulates a burst of localized actin polymerization just prior to

filopodial outgrowth of new dendrite branches and that Spir cooperates with Rab11 for dendrite

arborization. Our data supports a model in which Spir and Rab11 link localized actin assembly

with the secretory network to deliver lipids and cargoes to nascent dendrites.

Results

Spir is required in c4da neurons for localized F-actin synthesis just prior to outgrowth of

new dendrite branches.

Development of Drosophila c4da dendrites begins in embryogenesis and continues in

larval stages, with arbors growing in the larval body wall between muscles and epithelial

cells[18]. We produced a *GAL4* line inserted in the *spir* locus (*spir*[GAL4]) and used it to drive the

reporter *UAS-mCD8::GFP*. *spir*[GAL4] was expressed in c4da neurons (marked by ppk-

CD4::tdTom) and other sensory neurons in the larval body wall (Fig. 1A), consistent with our

previous report[23]. To examine Spir protein expression within c4da neurons, we developed new

anti-Spir sera for immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Fig. S1A), and we also introduced an in-frame

epitope-tag (smGFP-HA) into the *spir* locus (Fig. S1C, D). Both approaches showed Spir to be

expressed in c4da neurons in third instar (L3) larvae.

To reveal actin dynamics leading up to the protrusion of dendrite filopodia, we acquired

time-lapse movies in second instar (L2) larvae, capturing F-actin (labeled with LifeAct::GFP)

within c4da neurons (labeled with ppk-CD4::tdTom). To study how loss of *spir* affects actin

accumulation and new branch initiation in c4da neurons, we used the mutant alleles *spir^1* and

spir^{2F}, which prematurely truncate Spir within the KIND domain (Fig. 1B)[39]. We examined

each allele in combination with a deficiency for *spir* (*spir^{Df}*), which has a distinct genetic

background. All heteroallelic combinations of these alleles of *spir* are viable, and so with anti-

Spir sera we were able to confirm that *spir* mutants lack Spir expression in larval sensory

neurons (Fig. S1B), and adult brain lysates (Fig. S1G).

We captured movies of developing c4da dendrites in L2 larvae and mapped the

appearances of new branches in control animals heterozygous for *spir^{Df}* (Fig. 1C, D) or *spir^{2F}/+*

(Fig. 1F), or in wild-type (+/+, Fig. 1F). In all of these controls LifeAct::GFP was seen in

stationary puncta that appeared prior to branch initiation; in wild-type (+/+) approximately half

of these puncta appeared *de novo* (Fig. 1C, F) and the other half were pre-formed actin blobs

that moved to the site (Fig. 1D), consistent with a previous report[16]. In *spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}* mutants,

however, new branches arose only from pre-formed actin blobs that moved to the initiation site

and not from stationary actin puncta produced *de novo* (Fig. 1E, F).

In controls where branches initiated from either blobs or stationary puncta,

LifeAct::GFP signal at these sites became more intense just prior to branch initiation and then

extended into the protruding nascent branchlet (Fig. 1C, D). We quantified this change of

intensity in wild-type (+/+) controls, where LifeAct::GFP increased roughly two-fold on

average in the 60s prior to outgrowth and remained at this intensity for $\geq$ 60s (Fig. 1G). In

contrast, in *spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}* mutants the LifeAct::GFP signal in blobs did not increase prior to

branch initiation (Fig. 1E, G), indicating that Spir is required for this localized assembly of F-

actin just prior to the outgrowth of new dendrite branches.

Localization of Spir at nascent branches.

In c4da dendrites, Spir was observed in puncta in dendrite branches near the cell body, but strong labelling from epithelial cells and muscles prevented their detection and quantification in more distal branches (Fig. S1A, C, D). To overcome this, we expressed a *UAS-Spir::GFP* transgene (Fig. 1B) in c4da neurons with *ppk-GAL4,* and characterized the distribution of Spir::GFP (Fig. S1E). In L3 larvae, GFP-labeled puncta were seen in 100% of shafts, 28% of branch points, and 6% of branch tips (Fig. S1F). Consistent with this, most of these GFP-labeled puncta were found along shafts (57%) or at branch points (36%) (Fig. S1F).

We next used live imaging to determine if Spir::GFP localized to sites of new branch initiation in L2 larvae. Movies (~1 frame/2 seconds (sec) for >5 minutes (min)) showed a distribution of Spir::GFP (Fig. 2A, B) similar to that of fixed larvae at L3 (Fig. S1E). Some puncta were stationary while others were steadily motile or moved in a saltatory manner with occasional pauses (Fig. S1H). Motile puncta were often smaller and rounder, while stationary puncta were often larger and irregular in shape. Importantly, every nascent branch in controls (+/+, L2) had a punctum stationed precisely at (68%) or near (< 2 μm, 32%) the initiation site prior to outgrowth (Fig. 2C). These puncta arrived from elsewhere (30%, Fig. 2A, D) or were already at that site in the first frame (70%, Fig. 2B, D), and time until branch outgrowth varied (Fig. 2D). Spir::GFP intensity did not typically increase prior to outgrowth but, in some instances (12%), a motile punctum combined with one already stationed at the future initiation site. Spir::GFP at branch initiation sites was stably maintained throughout the process of filopodial outgrowth, stabilization, or retraction, and invaded the filopodia as they grew (Fig. 2B).

Spir is required for new branch formation.

At the outset of our timelapse movies, the number of terminal dendrites/μm^2 was

reduced in $spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$ mutants compared to controls and, importantly, so was the number of

new branches/μm^2 formed per min during each movie (Fig. 2E). This was also observed in

movies of c4da neurons expressing LifeAct::GFP instead of Spir::GFP (Fig. S2A, B). For new

branches, growth occurred by saltatory extensions in both controls and $spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$ mutants,

but there were no differences between them in mean speed of outgrowth, proportion of time

spent paused between extensions, or maximum length of protrusion (Fig. S2C-E). For pre-

existing branches, the proportion that were static increased in $spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$ mutants (Fig. S2F-

I), whether LifeAct::GFP was present or not. Together these results confirm that Spir promotes

the formation of new branches and contributes to dynamics of pre-existing ones.

Consistent with this, we examined the consequences of Spir function for fully formed

arbors of c4da neurons at L3 and found that $spir$ mutants ($spir^1/spir^{Df}$ or $spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$) had fewer

terminal branches than controls ($spir^{2F}/+$) (Fig. 3A, C), and thus shorter total arbor length (Fig.

3D). Sholl analysis also showed reduced peaks of maximum branch density (critical value)

(Fig. 3B, F). Dendritic field was reduced in some c4da neurons of s$pir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$ mutants (Fig.

3E), and so we repeated the analysis after removing a subset (4/15) of cells with unusually

small dendritic fields. As expected, we found that dendritic field was no longer significantly

different from controls (Fig. S3A) but the branching deficits were still strongly evident for the

number of terminal dendrites, arbor length and critical value (Fig. S3A-D).

Importantly, the selective expression of UAS-$Spir::GFP$ in c4da neurons with ppk-

$GAL4$ (Fig. 3A) fully rescued number of terminal branches in $spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}$ mutants (Fig. 3C,

and critical value (Fig. 3B, F, Fig. S3D), demonstrating that Spir acts within c4da neurons to

control these features. It did not fully rescue total arbor length (Fig. 3D, Fig S3C), which is not

likely to be due to inadequate expression since IHC (Fig. S1E) and western blots (Fig S1G)

showed Spir::GFP at higher levels than endogenous Spir. Perhaps additional cell types

contribute to total arbor length, such as epithelial cells in the larval body wall where Spir is expressed (Fig. S1A-D). We conclude that Spir acts within c4da neurons to establish the correct number of terminal branches, likely by contributing to filopodial outgrowth necessary for nascent branches.

Each domain of Spir is required for dendrite arborization.

We sought to determine the importance of the four identified protein domains of Spir by testing if disruptive mutations in Spir::GFP (Fig. 1B) could rescue the correct numbers of terminal branches in c4da neurons of *spir* mutants. The Y232K mutation is a missense substitution in the KIND domain known to abolish the interaction between Spir and the Fmn-family formin Cappuccino (Capu) in oocytes[25,40]. The ABCD mutation is a series of 4 triple-alanine substitutions in the WH2 domains that greatly diminish the ability of Spir to polymerize F-actin *in vitro*[24]. The ΔSB mutation is a 20 aa deletion of the entire Spir-box domain which has sequence similarity to the Rab effector protein Rabphilin3a suggesting it interacts with Rab GTPases[28]. Finally, the C5,6S mutation involves substitution of serine residues for 2 key cysteines in the so-called modified FYVE (mFYVE) domain. FYVE domains interact in lipid membranes with Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PtdIns3P), a prominent component of the membranes in the endosomal system[41]. The mFYVE domain in Spir binds less specifically to negatively charged lipids, including PtdIns3P, and is required for Spir's localization to intracellular membranes[29].

When expressed in c4da neurons (with *ppk-GAL4)* of *spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}* mutants, none of these mutations rescued the number of terminal dendrites per neuron (Fig. 4A) or critical value (Fig. 4B, Fig. S4A). This was not due to insufficient supply because none were expressed at lower levels than intact Spir::GFP in western blots of adult head lysates (Fig. 4C, D and Fig. S4B). The Y232K, ABCD, and C5,6S mutations did not obviously affect the punctate

distribution of Spir::GFP in static images of c4da arbors in L3 larvae (Fig. S4C), but the ΔSB

mutation caused it to accumulate near the cell soma and form a more continuous pattern (Fig.

4E). Though this might be explained in part by unusually high expression levels (Fig. 4C, D

and Fig. S4B), these results suggest that the SB domain is required for proper localization of

Spir within c4da arbors. None of the mutants appear to have dominant-negative activity, since

expression in a wild-type (+/+) background caused no significant differences in number of

terminal dendrites or total arbor length compared to Spir::GFP (Fig. S5A-E), though the ΔSB

mutation trended toward reductions of both parameters. Based on the failure of these four

mutations to rescue *spir²ᶠ/spirᴰᶠ* mutants, we conclude that each domain of Spir contributes to

its control of dendrite branching.

Spir and Rab11 cooperate for dendrite arborization.

The pattern and motility of Spir::GFP puncta within dendrites of c4da neurons was

reminiscent of elements of the secretory network, where Rab GTPases including Rab11 are

key regulators of vesicle and cargo trafficking[32]. Rab11 is associated with the trans-Golgi

network, post-Golgi, and recycling endosomes[42]. Rab11 has been shown to be required for

correct c4da dendrite arborization[36-38], a finding we confirmed with RNAi knockdown of

Rab11 mRNA in c4da neurons (Fig. 5A) which reduced the numbers of terminal dendrites in

L3 larvae (Fig. 5B), total arbor length (Fig. 5C), dendritic field (Fig. 5D), and Sholl critical

value (Fig. 5E, F) compared to controls (+/+).

Whether Rab11 is involved in the initiation of new dendrite branches has not been

determined. To address this, we mapped the appearance of new branches in movies (~1 frame/2

sec for >5 min) of developing c4da dendrites in L2 larvae (Fig. 5G). In controls (+/+, L2) we

observed Rab11::GFP at (60%) or near (< 3 μm, 40%) the initiation site of each new dendrite

branch (Fig. 5H). Rab11 puncta were motile within arbors but in all instances of nascent

branches we observed, Rab11::GFP was already stationed at the initiation site from the beginning of every movie and so we could not determine how long Rab11::GFP had been there prior to outgrowth. Consistent with our findings at L3, Rab11 knockdown also reduced the total number of terminal branches/μm^2 at L2 (Fig. 5I). Importantly, Rab11 knockdown severely reduced the number of new branches/μm^2/min in each movie (Fig. 5J), indicating that Rab11 is required for the initiation of new dendrite branches. As in *spir* mutants (Fig. S2F-I), pre-existing branches were affected by Rab11 knockdown (Fig. S6A-D): the proportion of branches that both extended and retracted was reduced (Fig. S6C), while the proportion of static branches increased (Fig. S6D). Therefore, loss of Rab11 results in fewer new branches and reduced dynamics of existing ones.

Overexpression of Rab11::GFP had little effect on dendrite arborization at L3, since the number of terminal dendrites, arbor length, and Sholl critical value were unaffected (Fig. S6E, F, H), though the dendritic field was smaller (Fig. S6G). Nor did overexpression of Rab11::GFP affect the dynamics of pre-existing branches at L2 (Fig. S6A-D).

Since Rab11, like Spir, is required for proper dendrite arborization, is localized to sites of new branch initiation, and is required for their formation, we wondered if Rab11 and Spir were related in regulating these processes. We first asked whether expressing excess Spir::GFP could restore dendrite arborization and new branch formation to neurons lacking Rab11 by RNAi. We found that it could not (Fig. 5B-F, I, J), suggesting that Spir is not simply a downstream effector of Rab11.

We next wondered whether Rab11 and Spir might interact with one another and, consistent with this, we found that upon simultaneous expression of Rab11::mCherry and Spir::GFP in c4da neurons, they co-labelled in puncta within dendrites (Fig. 6A). To test whether Spir and Rab11 can physically associate with one another *in vivo*, Spir-tdTom was co-expressed with either Rab11::GFP or Capu::GFP (a positive control) in all neurons using the

nSyb-GAL4 driver. From lysates of adult heads, co-immunoprecipitation revealed that

Spir::tdTom associates with both Rab11::GFP and Capu::GFP (Fig. 6B), but not with Rab6-

GFP (negative control, data not shown). This suggests that Spir could selectively associate with

Rab11-containing protein complexes in neurons.

We next asked if Rab11 is required for the correct localization of Spir within dendrites

of c4da neurons. Upon Rab11 knockdown the punctate distribution of Spir::GFP appeared

unaffected at L3 (Fig. S7A, B), and also at L2 (Fig. S7C) when it was positioned at (56%) or

near (< 2 μm, 44%) the initiation site of each new dendrite branch (Fig. S7D). Together, these

results suggest that although Spir and Rab11 are found at many of the same locations within

dendrites, Rab11 is not required for Spir localization there.

Genetic interaction experiments that examine trans-heterozygotes for mutant alleles

spir and *Rab11* can provide evidence that they operate in a common molecular pathway. When

compared to control heterozygotes for either mutation alone (Fig. 6C) the c4da neurons of

trans-heterozygotes showed reduced numbers of terminal branches (Fig. 6D) and arbor length

(Fig. 6E), while dendritic field was largely unaffected (Fig. 6F). Together with their co-

localization in c4da neurons and their co-immunoprecipitation, this genetic interaction

provides strong evidence that Spir and Rab11 functionally interact with one another, either

directly or indirectly, in a common molecular pathway for dendrite arborization in c4da

neurons.

Discussion

Spir was identified previously as being regulated by Longitudinals Lacking (Lola), a

BTB/POZ transcription factor involved in dendrite development[23] and motor axon guidance[43].

However, the mechanisms of Spir function in neuronal development have remained unclear.

Our data presented here indicate that Spir stimulates localized assembly of actin in the seconds

prior to outgrowth of new dendrite branches, and that Spir function is linked to the GTPase

Rab11 in the formation of new branches and the dynamic motility of existing ones. Unlike

Spir::GFP which arrived from elsewhere in 30% of new branch initiation events, Rab11::GFP

was already at the site from the beginning of every movie, suggesting that, at least in some

cases Spir is recruited to a pre-existing site marked by the presence of Rab11. Rab11 has been

shown to regulate movement of recycling endosomes toward the plasma membrane[44], and the

trafficking of receptors and trans-membrane proteins necessary for neurite outgrowth and

remodelling[45,46]. We therefore propose that Spir cooperates with Rab11 to couple actin

dynamics with the delivery of lipids and cargoes through the secretory network to fuel

protrusive outgrowth of nascent dendrites. Consistent with this, Spir function in dendrite

arborization required intact domains for actin assembly (KIND, WH2) and for targeting Spir

to the secretory network (mFYVE). The Spir-box has potential to mediate interactions with

Rab GTPases, and we found that it was essential to control the levels and localization of Spir

in dendrites. However, knockdown of Rab11 alone did not dramatically alter the overall

punctate pattern of Spir::GFP, raising the possibility that additional Rabs or other factors are

involved in the localization of Spir within arbors.

In control animals, newly formed branches were pre-figured either by the appearance

of actin polymer *de novo* or by the arrival of pre-formed actin blobs, in roughly equal

proportions. In *spir* mutants, there were fewer new branches, and none stemming from

initiation sites with *de novo* actin polymer, indicating that Spir is critical for new dendrite

formation from such sites. It is unclear whether stationary actin patches and motile actin blobs

are features of convergent or parallel processes to initiate dendrite branches[47]. Although Spir

was present and active at initiation sites stemming from pre-formed actin blobs, it was

dispensable for branch initiation from at least some of them. From this we infer redundancy

with other molecular mechanisms for branch initiation, perhaps involving actin synthesized by

the Arp2/3 complex which has been shown to localize transiently to branch initiation sites in

c4da neurons[12].

Spir::GFP overexpression did not affect dendrite arborization (compare Fig. 3 and Fig.

S5), supporting the idea that its expression at nascent branch sites reflects that of endogenous

Spir. Since Spir::GFP overexpression was not sufficient to induce ectopic branching, and the

interval between stationing of Spir at a branching site and filopodial outgrowth was variable,

our findings suggest that Spir activity is tightly regulated and may require additional partners

to engage the switch to branch initiation. The KIND domain mutation Y232K is known to

abolish the interaction between Spir and the formin Capu, and there is some evidence that Spir

and Capu interact for the spike-like protrusions in dendrites of class 3 da neurons[17]. However,

in unpublished data we built a *capu*^{GAL4} line that did not label c4da neurons, and RNAi lines

targeting Capu did not reduce terminal branch number when expressed in c4da neurons. Of

note, the KIND domain also binds intramolecularly to the mFYVE domain[29], and so perhaps

the Y232K and C5,6S mutations in these domains disrupt this interaction and thereby impact

Spir function in dendrites. Alternatively, Spir might cooperate with another formin in c4da

neurons such as Formin3, which is required for c4da dendrite arborization[48]. Further research

will be required to identify a formin partner for Spir in c4da neurons, or to determine if Spir

could nucleate actin on its own in neurons, as has been shown *in vitro*[24].

Interestingly, the Formin3 ortholog INF2 has been reported to interact with mouse Spir-

1 in mammalian cells[49]. Murine orthologs of Spir (Spir-1 and Spir-2) are expressed in the

developing CNS and adult brain[50,51]. Decreased Spir-2 expression is associated with epilepsy

in humans and mice[52], but it is not known if either Spir-1 or Spir-2 are involved in dendrite

arborization in mammals. A distinct actin nucleator known as Cordon-bleu has been

demonstrated to promote dendrite branch initiation in cultured hippocampal neurons and slice

preparations of cerebellar Purkinje neurons[15].

Actin filaments generated by Spir proteins and formins have been shown to provide

tracks for myosin-V motors in microtubule-independent transport of Rab11-labeled endosomes

to the plasma membrane of oocytes[34,53] and in dispersal of Rab27a-labeled melanosomes in

melanocytes[54]. Interestingly, mammalian orthologs of myosin Vb have been shown to bind

directly to the orthologs of both Spir and Rab11[35], which together activate myosin Vb and

stimulate its motility[31]. In dendrites, Spir could contribute to an actin pool for myosin-V-

mediated delivery of Rab11 vesicles to nascent branches, supplying lipids and proteins required

for their protrusive growth. This actin pool could allow for the subsequent invasion of

microtubules into these nascent branches, perhaps mediated by myosin-6[55] or augmin[56], and

the transition to microtubule-dependent long-distance transport of cargo-carrying vesicles.

While further experiments are required to fully elucidate the mechanisms of dendrite

branching, our findings show that Spir-mediated actin dynamics link branch initiation with

protrusive outgrowth in vivo.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN137034

to DJvM), National Institutes of Health (R01GM096133 to MEQ), a Ruth L. Kirschstein

National Research Service Award (GM007185 to HMB), and studentships from the RI-MUHC

and McGill (to DH). The authors thank Greg Emery, Peter McPherson and Martine Girard for

advice and sharing reagents, our colleagues Emilie Peco, Eunjoo Cho, Adela Ralbovska, Keith

Murai, Sabrina Chierzi and Todd Farmer for helpful suggestions. For technical support, the

authors thank Dr. Min Fu and Shi-bo Feng of the RI-MUHC Molecular Imaging Platform, and

Tim McLean. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH

P40OD018537) were used in this study.

Author Contributions:

D.J.v.M and M.E.Q. conceived the project and designed experiments with input from all other authors. D.H., C.M., C.H., T.F., Y.O., L.C-M., W.S., and H.M.B. performed experiments and analyzed all data. D.J.v.M wrote the manuscript with input from all other authors.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Spir is required for localized F-actin synthesis just prior to new branch outgrowth. (A) $spir^{GAL4}$-driven mCD8::GFP in c4da neurons (ppk-CD4::tdTom) including ddaC (arrowhead), and other sensory neurons in an L3 larva. Scale bar=25μm. (B) Diagram showing Spir protein domains (KIND, WH2, SB, and mFYVE), the locations $spir^{2F}$ and $spir^{1}$ mutations, and the names of the disruptive mutations (Y232K, ABCD, ΔSB, and C5,6S) introduced into Spir::GFP. (C, D) Filmstrips (Supplemental Videos 1 and 2) of new dendrite branch formation in a c4da neuron (ppk-CD4::tdTom) from a control ($spir^{Df}$/+) L2 larva. LifeAct::GFP (driven by ppk-$GAL4$) reveals actin polymer within dendrites. Arrow (in C) points to a punctum of F-actin appearing *de novo*, and arrowheads (in D) point to a pre-existing punctum that moved from its original position (white arrowhead) to the site of branch initiation (green arrowhead). In all instances, LifeAct::GFP signal intensified just prior to branch initiation. Scale bar = 2μm. (E) Filmstrip (Supplemental Video 3) showing new dendrite branch formation in a $spir^{2F}$/$spir^{Df}$ larva at L2, where LifeAct::GFP moved to the initiation site but did not intensify prior to outgrowth (arrow). Scale bar = 2μm. (F) Proportion of newly formed

terminal branches in L2 larvae that had either *de novo* F-actin or pre-formed F-actin prior to

branch initiation in c4da neurons. Graph shows mean ± SEM, comparing controls (+/+, n=50

new branches in movies from 11 neurons) with *spir* heterozygotes (*spir^{2F}*/+, n=18 new

branches, 8 movies) and *spir* mutants (*spir^{2F}*/*spir^{Df}*, n=8 new branches, 11 movies). (G) Fold

change of F-actin intensity (LifeAct:GFP) at branch initiation sites, from 60s before branch

formation to 60s afterward, comparing mean ± SEM of controls (black) and *spir* mutants (red).

Figure 2: Spir is at initiation sites for new dendrite branches, and is required for their

formation. (A, B) Filmstrips (Supplemental Video 5 and Supplemental Video 6) of control

(+/+) L2 larva, where Spir::GFP (arrows) was observed to move to the initiation site of a new

dendrite branch (A) or was already stationed there at the beginning of the movie (B). Scale bars

= 2μm. (C, D) For newly formed dendrite branches, the distance of the closest Spir::GFP puncta

from the site of branch initiation was measured (C), and the time from observation of a

stationary Spir::GFP punctum at the site to filopodial outgrowth was recorded (D). (E, F)

Dendrite parameters from time lapse movies of control (+/+, n=104 new branches, 10 movies),

heterozygotes (*spir^{2F}*/+, n=91 new branches, 10 movies) or *spir^{2F}*/*spir^{Df}* mutants (n=42 new

branches, 15 movies). (E) Terminal branches/μm^2 in first movie frame (ANOVA

$F(2,32)=12.30$, $p<0.0001$). (F) Number of new branches/μm^2/min in each movie (ANOVA

$F(2,32) =9.276$, $p=0.0007$).

Figure 3: Spir is required for dendrite arborization of c4da neurons. (A) Dendrite arbors

in a *spir* heterozygote control (left), a *spir* mutant (middle), and a *spir* mutant rescued with

Spir::GFP (right). (B) Sholl profiles with dotted line indicating critical value for controls

(*spir^{2F/+}*). (C-F) Dendrite parameters per neuron in L3 larvae (n=15 for each genotype).

Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to *spir^{2F/+}* heterozygous controls. (C) Total

number of terminal dendrites per neuron (ANOVA ($F_{(4, 70)}$ = 11.30, $p < 0.0001$). (D) Total length of dendrite arbor (mm) (ANOVA $F_{(4, 70)}$ = 15.12, $p < 0.0001$). (E) Dendritic field (mm^2) (ANOVA ($F_{(4, 70)}$ = 3.352, $p = 0.0144$). (F) Sholl critical value (ANOVA ($F_{(4, 70)}$ = 8.936, $p < 0.0001$).

Figure 4: Structure-function analysis to identify Spir domains required for dendrite arborization and Spir localization. (A-B) Dendrite parameters (L3 larvae) showing rescue of *spir^{2F}/spir^{Df}* mutants with Spir::GFP, but failure to rescue by domain-specific mutations (n=15 for each genotype). (A) Total number of terminal dendrites per neuron (ANOVA ($F_{(5, 83)}$ = 2.269, $p = 0.0550$). (B) Sholl critical value (ANOVA ($F_{(5, 84)}$ = 3.552, $p = 0.058$). (C) Anti-GFP and anti-Actin western blot analysis from adult head lysates, where transgenes were expressed in all neurons with nSyb-GAL4. Expected size of Spir::GFP is 142 kDa, and endogenous Actin is 42 kDa. (D) Relative band intensities on western blots (n=3) of Spir::GFP constructs (anti-GFP) normalised to anti-Actin. Spir^{ΔSB}::GFP is expressed at significantly higher levels than Spir::GFP (Friedman test ($Q_{(4)}$ = 10.40, $p = 0.0053$), with Dunn's post-hoc tests). (E) *ppk-GAL4*-driven Spir::GFP or Spir^{ΔSB}::GFP in a *spir* mutant background. White boxes in left panels indicate areas magnified in panels to their right. Scale bar=50μm. Spir::GFP is punctate in dendrites, but Spir^{ΔSB}::GFP accumulates in a continuous pattern in dendrites proximal to the cell soma (white arrowhead).

Figure 5. Rab11 is required in c4da neurons for dendrite arborization and new branch formation. (A) Dendrite arbors of c4da neurons in control (+/+) (left) and *ppk-Gal4*-driven Rab11-RNAi (right) L3 larvae. Scale bar=50μm. (C-G) Dendrite arbor parameters in control (+/+, n=10), *ppk-Gal4*-driven Rab11-RNAi (n=10), and *ppk-Gal4*-driven Rab11-RNAi and Spir::GFP (n=12). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to +/+ controls. (B) Total

number of terminal dendrites (ANOVA $(F_{(2,29)}$ = 41.06 p<0.0001). (C) Total length of dendrite arbor (mm) (ANOVA $(F_{(2,29)}$ = 163.6, p<0.0001). (D) Dendritic field (mm^2) (ANOVA $(F_{(2, 29)}$ = 34.00, p<0.0001). (E) Sholl critical value (ANOVA $(F_{(2, 29)}$ = 79.84, p<0.0001) (F) Sholl profiles. (G) Filmstrip (Supplemental Video 7) of control (+/+) L2 larva, where Rab11::GFP (arrows) was observed at the initiation site of a new dendrite branch. Scale bar = 2μm. (H) Distance of the closest Rab11::GFP puncta from the site of branch initiation (n=48) in control (+/+) L2 larvae. (I, J) Dendrite parameters from time lapse movies of control (+mCD8::GFP, n=72 new branches, 10 movies), *ppk-Gal4*-driven Rab11-RNAi (n=6 new branches, 11 movies) or *ppk-Gal4*-driven Rab11-RNAi and Spir::GFP (n=18 new branches, 9 movies). (I) Terminal branches/$μm^2$ in first movie frame (ANOVA $F_{(2,27)}$=12.41, p=0.0002). (J) Number of new branches/$μm^2$/min in each movie (ANOVA $F_{(2,27)}$ =14.29, p<0.0001).

Figure 6. Spire interacts with Rab11 in c4da neurons. (A) *ppk-GAL4*-driven Spir::GFP (green) and Rab11::mCherry (magenta, in overlay at right). The cell body of a c4da neuron is indicated (white arrowheads). Co-localization of Spir::GFP and Rab11::mCherry is observed at branch points (e.g., orange arrowhead) and dendrite shafts (e.g., blue arrowhead). Scale bar=50μm. (B) Spir::tdTom co-immunopreciptates with either Capu::GFP (left) or Rab11::GFP (right) from adult head lysates. (C) Dendrite arbors of c4da neurons in *spir^{2F}/+* heterozygotes (left), *Rab11^{Bi93}* heterozygotes (middle), and trans-heterozygotes for both (right). (D-F) Dendrite arbor parameters in *spir* heterozygotes (*spir^{2F}/+*, n=15), *Rab11* heterozygotes (*Rab11^{Bi93}/+*, n=17), and trans-heterozygotes (*spir^{2F}/+; Rab11^{Bi93}/+*, n=15). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to *spir^{2F}/+* heterozygous controls (*P<0.05). (D) Total number of terminal dendrites (ANOVA $(F_{(2, 44)}$ = 4.745 p = 0.0136). (E) Total length of dendrite arbor (mm) (ANOVA $(F_{(2, 44)}$ = 5.443, p =0.0077). (F) Dendritic field (mm^2) (ANOVA $(F_{2, 44}$ = 0.8512, p=0.4338).

Materials and Methods

 ***Drosophila* stocks and genetics:** Fly stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Centre (*spir^1^*, *spir^2F^*, *spir^DfExel6046^* (referred to as *spir^Df^*), Mi05646, Mi05737, *UAS-capu::GFP*, *Rab11^Bi93^*, *w^1118^*, *UAS-mCD8::GFP*, *UAS-Rab11::GFP*, *UAS-Rab6::GFP*, *UAS-Rab11-dsRNA* (referred to as *UAS-Rab11-RNAi*), *UAS-LifeAct-GFP*. *ppk-GAL4* and *ppkCD4::tdTom* and *ppkCD4::GFP* were gifts from Dr. Yuh-Nung Jan. *UAS-Rab11::mCherry* was a gift from Dr. Gregory Emery. *nSyb-GAL4* line was created by Dr Julie Simpson, for which we used 2nd chromosome insertions generated by Dr Stefan Thor. To create the lines *UAS-spir::GFP*, *UAS-spir::tdTom*, *UAS-spir^Y232K^::GFP*, *UAS-spir^ABCD^::GFP*, *UAS-spir^ASB^::GFP*, *UAS-spir^C5,6S^::GFP*, DNA constructs encoding full-length Spir or each of the 4 mutated versions [24,28,40] were designed to add C-terminal GFP or tdTomato (tdTom) fluorophores. These constructs were subcloned into the vector pMUH, then microinjected by standard procedures (Bestgene, Inc) to target the VK00027 landing site in the *Drosophila* genome with φc31-mediated integration [57]. *spir^GAL4^* was generated by inserting a so-called Trojan-GAL4 into the *spir* locus [58,59]. pT-GEM(0) a gift from Benjamin White (Addgene plasmid # 62891) was microinjected (Bestgene, Inc) into MiMIC line, Mi05646 [58,59]. The same plasmid was injected into MiMIC line Mi05737 to generate *capu^GAL4^*. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homologous recombination was used to introduce spaghetti-monster GFP-HA (smGFP-HA) [60] into the *spir* locus. To generate Spir-smGFP-HA flies, we used an approach combining dual guide RNA (gRNA) sequences [61] and short homology arms [62]. The CRISPR Optimal Target finder (http://targetfinder.flycrispr.neuro.brown.edu/index.php) was used to select gRNA sequences for the C-terminus of Spir (5'-GTCGGCCCTGGATCTGACGCCCGTC-3') and just after the 3'UTR (5'-GTCGGCAAACTAAAGAACAAGATTC-3'), and these oligonucleotides were cloned into pCFD3-dU6:3gRNA (Addgene plasmid #49410) [63].

Homology sequences on 200 nucleotides (PAM removed) were cloned into a self-linearizable

Puc573 vector for homologous recombination[62]. The repair template included smGFP-HA, the

endogenous 3'UTR of Spir, and the fluorescent eye reporter 3xP3-dsRed, all flanked by two

PiggyBac recombinase sites. Plasmids expressing the gRNAs (100ng/µL) and donor template

(250ng/µL) were mixed and micro-injected into nos-Cas95 embryos[64] (BDSC 78782). Progeny

were screened via fluorescence for the 3xP3-dsRed reporter, and fly stocks were established.

All injections and initial screening were completed by BestGene (Chino Hills, CA). Proper

insertion   of   smGFP   was   confirmed   via   genomic   PCR   (primers   5'-

GGGGATTCAACCTGTTCTCCT-3'   and   5'-TGTGCAAGTGCGTTCTGAAG-3'),   and

expression confirmed by western blot.

Antibodies: To produce anti-Spir antisera, DNA encoding Spir amino acids 565-692

were cloned into pGEX-6P-1 to produce an IPTG-inducible C-terminal GST fusion proteins in

BL21 cells. The purified GST fusion protein was injected into two rabbits and the serum was

extracted according to approved protocols at the Comparative Medicine and Animal

Resources Centre (McGill University) and standards established by the Canadian Council on

Animal Care. Crude serum (500 µl) was then pre-absorbed by overnight incubation with 500

µl L3 larvae (*spir^1/spir^Df*) that had been fixed previously in 4% PFA. Other primary antibodies

for IHC were Alexa-647-conjugated goat anti-HRP (1:800, Jackson ImmunoResearch

#123605021) and rabbit anti-HA (1:300, Cell Signaling Technology #3724), while the

secondary antibody for anti-Spir was Alexa-647-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:1000,

Invitrogen, #A21244), and for anti-HA IHC it was Alexa-405-conjugated goat anti-rabbit

(1:1000, Invitrogen, #A48254). For western blotting, membranes were incubated with mouse

anti-GFP (Clontech #632380), rabbit anti-DsRed (Takara #632496), mouse anti-Actin (Sigma

#A4700) or rabbit anti-Spire (directed at amino acids 565-692). For detection, HRP-conjugated

secondary antibodies (anti-mouse and anti-rabbit (both 1:3000, BioRad) were used and revealed with chemiluminescence (Amersham ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagents).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC): L3 larvae of either sex were dissected in Sorensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (in Sorensen's buffer) for 10 minutes (min) at room temperature. Washes (3X over 20 min) were done with Sorensen's buffer containing 0.2% Triton-X-100 (Sigma). Specimens were then blocked with Sorensen's buffer containing 5% normal goat serum (NGS), incubated at 4°C with primary antibodies overnight, washed as above, then incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. Anti-Spir antiserum for immunohistochemistry was directed at amino acids 1-91. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in Sorensen's buffer containing 5% NGS. After a final wash as above, specimens were then mounted with SlowFade Diamond Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen) for imaging.

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and western blotting: Lysates for co-IPs and western blots were made from adult heads aged 1-5 days after eclosion. For western blots, heads were mechanically crushed using a motorized pestle directly in 2X Laemmli buffer. For co-IPs, 100 heads were cut and collected on dry ice, then mechanically crushed using a motorized pestle in 100ul of lysis buffer containing 50mM Tris pH, 150mM KCl, 1mM MgCl2, 1mM EGTA, Roche protease inhibitor cocktail and 10% glycerol. Lysates were treated with 10% deoxycholic acid and 10% Triton X-100, then incubated overnight on a Nutator with anti-GFP bound agarose beads (Chromotek GFP-Trap® #gta). Lysates and beads were separated in a centrifuge, washed with a glycerol free buffer, and the complexes on the beads were dissociated directly in Laemmli buffer, then separated using standard SDS-PAGE and wet-transfer procedures.

Imaging: All imaging and quantification was of ddaC, the dorsal-most c4da neuron, in abdominal segments of the larval body wall. For static images, L3 larvae were dissected and

prepared for IHC, or they were squished directly on the slide in 100% glycerol and prepared

for imaging of native fluorescence (GFP, tdTom, mCherry, mRFP) within 10 minutes. Static

images were taken with an Olympus Fluoview FV-1000/BX63 confocal microscope using

either a 20X or 60X oil-immersion objective lens. All time-lapse imaging was done on L2

larvae, where eggs were initially collected for 4h on agar plates containing grape juice and

yeast. After 24h, hatched larvae of selected genotypes were transferred on a fresh fly food plate

to start timing. L2 larvae (65h ± 4h AEL) were mounted in a drop of halocarbon oil in a

polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluid device, and then imaged by live confocal

microscopy (Zeiss, Spinning Disk, Inverted Axio Observer Z1) with 63x objective lens, at

room temperature with Immersol W (Zeiss) between lens and coverslip. Using Zen software

(Zeiss), live imaging of fluorescent proteins GFP and tdTomato was performed for 5 min with

5s interval on average. If GFP only, then the interval was 2s on average. Only movies obtained

from larvae that were still alive and active after imaging were used for analysis. Each movie

was then registered on ImageJ using StackReg plugin before analysis[65].

Quantification of Lifeact::GFP and Spir::GFP localization: New branches

appearing in each movie were identified, and initiation sites were classified as having *de novo*

actin polymer if a punctum of LifeAct::GFP intensity appeared at the site and grew in intensity

until protrusion of the new branch. Initiation sites had "pre-formed" actin if motile blobs of

LifeAct::GFP were observed to arrive prior to branch outgrowth (motile). Instances where a

new branch grew from a site where a LifeAct::GFP punctum existed in the first frame of the

movie were disregarded because the movie did not capture the origin of the actin punctum.

Consistent with a previous report[16], we observed only a single rare instance where a filopodium

grew from an initiation site where LifeAct::GFP was not detected. Overall LifeAct::GFP

expression in *spir* mutants appeared lower than in controls, consistent with our previous report

for Spir knockdown with RNAi[23], but it was certainly sufficient to monitor actin polymer at

sites of branch initiation.

To measure the association of Spir::GFP labeled punctae to either a dendrite shaft,

branch point, or tip, a custom routine was developed to extract this information from images

acquired with a 20X objective (2 to 4 images stitched together where necessary using the

Pairwise Stitching plugin[66]. From maximum intensity projections (MIP) of 10 c4da neurons

(ddaC) labeled for the dendrite marker CD4-tdTom, signal from beyond the cell of interest was

cleared manually, the background was subtracted, and a MIP mask created. This mask was then

applied to the SpirFL::GFP channel. Pixels outside the mask were cleared. The MIP mask was

skeletonized using Fiji's skeletonization plugin[67] to obtain the location of shafts, branch points,

and tips. A script from the Neuroantomy update site was then used to determine which

Spir::GFP punctae were associated with such skeleton features. When a Spir::GFP punctum

was 3 µm or less (snap-to distance) from either a branch point or tip it was assigned to each,

respectively. This snap-to distance was selected because from a representative Spir:GFP image,

80% of the punctae had a diameter of 3 µm or less. Additionally, no branch points or tips were

3 µm or less apart from one another. The number of punctae at branch points and tips was then

divided by the total number of branch points and tips, respectively, to obtain the percent of

branch points and tips that were occupied by Spir::GFP.

Dendrite morphometry: For morphometry of c4da neurons (ddaC) at L3, images were

acquired at 20X, with 1 image field usually sufficient to capture the entire dendritic field of a

neuron. However, in some cases 2 images were stitched together using the Fiji plugin Pairwise

Stitching to generate an image of the entire neuron[66]. Maximum intensity projections of

confocal z-series image stacks were segmented as described previously[23]. Various Fiji plugins

were used to determine branching characteristics of neurons; Sholl Analysis to generate Sholl

profiles and extract Sholl related parameters[68], Strahler Analysis to assess the number of

terminal dendrites[68,69], and Analyze Skeleton for total arbor length. Dendritic field was

determined by fitting a polygon around the segmented neuron on Fiji, and measuring the area

inside the shape[70]. For morphometry of ddaC neurons at L2 in time-lapse movies, the number

of terminal dendrites/μm^2 was calculated in the first frame of each movie. For the duration of

each movie, the number of new branches/μm^2/minute was calculated.

Measuring dendrite dynamics: Pre-existing dendrites at the beginning of live imaging

movies were analyzed for the dynamics of their terminal branches using MTrackJ[71]. Terminal

branch length was compared by an observer every frame of each movie. Dendrites that

extended, retracted, or did both, or remained static were recorded, and these numbers were

expressed as the percentage of the total number of pre-existing dendrites at the beginning of

each movie. Newly formed dendrites were tracked similarly, and their protrusive outgrowth

was measured, where mean speed was the average of the speeds of growth for each frame

(including extensions and pauses) until the branch stopped growing altogether, or retracted, or

the movie ended. The proportion of time the branch spent paused during this period of saltatory

outgrowth was calculated, as was the maximum length of its protrusion.

Graphs and Statistics: Bar graphs and statistics were done using GraphPad Prism v9,

and displayed data is expressed as mean ± standard error (SEM). Asterisks indicate the

significance of P-values compared to the indicated control group (*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$,

***$P<0.001$, ****$P<0.0001$). For comparisons of more than two conditions, data were tested

for normal distribution using D'Agostino & Pearson test and analysed for statistical

significance using one-way ANOVA (multiple groups) and Dunnett's post-hoc tests were

performed. For the repeated measures of western blots, Friedman test was applied then Dunn's

post-hoc tests. To compare two conditions, Student's t-test (unpaired, two-tailed) was used.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental Figure S1: Spir expression and motility in dendrites (related to Figure 2).

(A, B) IHC co-labeling for endogenous Spir and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) in the body wall of L3 larvae, showing Spir expression in a *spir^{1}/+* heterozygote (A) but not in a *spir$^{1/Df}$* hemizygous mutant (B). (C, D) IHC to detect HA-tagged Spir in a Spir-smGFP-HA larva, focusing on cell body of a c4da neuron (C), and distal dendrite arbors (D). (E) ppk-Gal4 driven Spir::GFP expression is detected with native GFP fluorescence in a c4da neuron labelled with tdTom in an L3 larva. In A-E, labelled c4da neuron cell bodies are marked (white arrowheads), as are puncta in dendrite shafts (blue arrowheads in D), branch points (orange arrowhead in E), and tips (yellow arrowhead E). Scale bars in A-D = 25um, E = 10 um. (F) Locations of Spir::GFP puncta observed in static images of c4da dendrite arbors at L3 (n=10 neurons). (G) Anti-Spir western blot of lysates from dissected adult brains, comparing controls with *spir$^{1/Df}$* mutants and with flies overexpressing Spir::GFP in all neurons with nSyb-Gal4. Expected mass of Spir::GFP is 142kDa, while that of Spir is 115kDa. The increased presence of Spir (115 kDa) upon overexpression of Spir::GFP likely arises from proteolytic cleavage of the GFP tag. (H) Greyscale filmstrip (Supplemental Video 4) from a c4da neuron of an L2 larva, where the black arrowhead indicates progressive movement of a Spir::GFP-labelled punctum within a dendrite shaft. Scale bar: 5μm. Motile Spir::GFP puncta moved in both anterograde (64%) and retrograde (36%) directions from the cell soma, and sometimes were observed to switch from one to the other. Some puncta appeared to pass one another, while others merged. Motile Spir::GFP particles were found to travel 0.6 um/s on average, and achieved a maximal speed of 1.1 um/s.

Supplemental Figure S2: Quantification of dynamics of newly formed and pre-existing terminal branches (related to Figure 2). (A-B) Dendrite parameters from time-lapse movies of c4da neurons (labeled with ppkCD4::tdTom) in L2 larvae expressing LifeAct::GFP driven

by *ppk-GAL4*. Graphs show mean ± SEM, comparing controls (+/+, n=11 movies) with *spir* heterozygotes (*spir*^{2F}/+, n=8) and *spir* mutants (*spir*^{2F}/*spir*^{Df}, n=11). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to +/+ controls. (A) Number of terminal branches per μm^2 of area in first frame of each movie (ANOVA (F(2, 27) = 4.079, p = 0.0283). (B) Number of new branches/μm^2/min in each movie (ANOVA (F(2, 27) = 3.265, p = 0.0537). (C-E) Protrusive growth characteristics of nascent branches in controls (+/+, n=14 movies) and *spir* mutants (*spir*^{2F}/*spir*^{Df}, n=7) in L2 larvae expressing LifeAct::GFP driven by *ppk-GAL4*, including mean speed (C, t-test (t(21) = 1.757, p = 0.0951), time paused (D, t-test(t(21) = 0,7025, p = 0.4909), and maximum protrusion length (E, t-test (t(21) = 1.516, p = 0.1459). Graphs show scatter plot for each branch, with mean ± SEM indicated (ns, not significant). (F-I) For branches that were already present in the first frame of each movie, quantification of percentage of pre-existing branches that extended (F, ANOVA (F (2, 62) = 2.482, p=0.0919), retracted (G, ANOVA (F (2, 62) = 0.6473, p=0.5269), extended and retracted in the same movie (H, ANOVA (F (2, 62) = 4.058, p=0.0221) or remained static (I, ANOVA (F (2, 62) = 4.389, p=0.0165). Results in F-I are combined for neurons that expressed LifeAct-GFP and those that did not, since LifeAct-GFP does not affect the number or dynamics of pre-existing branches. Graphs show mean ± SEM, comparing controls (+/+, n=21 movies) with *spir* heterozygotes (*spir*^{2F}/+, n=18) and *spir* mutants (*spir*^{2F}/*spir*^{Df}, n=26).

Supplemental Figure S3: Dendrite parameters for c4da neurons in L3 larvae (related to Figure 3). (A-D) This is the same dataset shown in Fig. 3, except it was adjusted to control for dendritic field size by removing from the analysis four *spir*$^{2F/Df}$ c4da neurons with unusually small arbors (n=11). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to *spir*^{2F}/+ heterozygous controls. (A) Dendritic field per neuron (mm^2) (ANOVA (F(4, 66) = 1.912, p = 0.1189. (B) Total number of terminal dendrites per neuron (ANOVA (F(4, 66) = 9.328, p < 0.0001). (C)

Total length of dendrite arbor per neuron (mm) (ANOVA ($F_{(4,66)}$ = 12.03, p < 0.0001). (D) Sholl critical value (ANOVA ($F_{(4, 66)}$ = 6.914, p = 0.0001).

Supplemental Figure S4: Function, expression, and distribution of Spir::GFP bearing domain-specific mutations (related to Figure 4). (A) Sholl profiles for entire c4da neurons labelled with ppk-CD4::tdTom. (B) Anti-GFP western blot from adult heads of animals overexpressing in all neurons either intact Spir::GFP or Spir::GFP bearing domain-specific mutations. One of three replicate blots, this blot is distinct from the one shown in Fig. 4C and is shown in its entirety. The expected molecular weight of Spir::GFP is 142 kDa, and that of GFP is 27 kDa, and so proteolytic cleavage of GFP is not apparent for most constructs. (C) *ppk-GAL4* drives the expression of Spir^{Y232K}::GFP or Spir^{ABCD}::GFP or Spir^{C5,6S}::GFP in a *spir* mutant background. Punctate distribution of Spir::GFP is maintained for each of these mutations. White boxes in left panels indicate areas magnified in panels to their right. Scale bar=50μm.

Supplemental Figure S5: Effects of overexpression of Spir domain-specific mutations on c4da dendrite arborization in wild-type (+/+) animals (related to Fig. 4). (A-E) Dendrite parameters (mean ± SEM) in wild-type L3 larvae (+/+) expressing Spir::GFP ("+ Spir"), or Spir:GFP with domain-specific mutations (n=8-10 for each genotype). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to + Spir controls (white bar). All animals in this study bore ppk-Gal4 and ppk-CD4::tdTom transgenes. (A) Total number of terminal dendrites per neuron (ANOVA $F_{(4, 40)}$ = 3.141 , p = 0.0245). (B) Total length of dendrite arbor per neuron (mm) (ANOVA $F_{(4, 40)}$ = 1.783 , p = 0.1513). (C) Dendritic field per neuron (mm^2) (ANOVA $F_{(4, 40)}$ = 1.843 , p = 0.1397). (D) Sholl profiles. (E) Sholl critical value (ANOVA $F_{(4, 40)}$ = 1.716, p = 0.1655).

Supplemental Figure S6: Effects of Rab11 on dendrite dynamics at L2 and dendrite arborization at L3 (related to Figure 5). (A-D) Dendrite parameters from time-lapse movies of c4da neurons (labeled with ppkCD4::tdTom) in L2 larvae. Graphs show mean ± SEM, comparing controls expressing mCD8::GFP (n=10 movies) with Rab11-RNAi driven by *ppk-GAL4* (n=11) and Rab11::GFP driven by *ppk-GAL4* (n=10). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to controls. For branches that were already present in the first frame of each movie, quantification of percentage of pre-existing branches that extended (A, ANOVA ($F_{(2, 28)}$ = 2.301, p = 0.1188), retracted (B, ANOVA ($F_{(2, 28)}$ = 0.5551, p = 0.5802), extended and retracted in the same movie (C, ANOVA ($F_{(2, 28)}$ = 8.343, p = 0.0014) or remained static (D, ANOVA ($F_{(2, 28)}$ = 16.50, p<0.0001). (E-H) Dendrite parameters (mean ± SEM) in control L3 larvae (+/+) and ppk-GAL4-driven Rab11::GFP (n=10 for each genotype). Asterisks indicate significant changes compared to controls. (G) Total number of terminal dendrites per neuron (t-test, t(18) = 0.5270 , p = 0.6046). (H) Total length of dendrite arbor per neuron (mm) (t-test, t(18) = 0.0571 , p = 0.9550). (I) Dendritic field per neuron (mm^2) (t-test, t(18) = 3,551 , p = 0.0023). (I) Sholl critical value (t-test, t(18) = 0.1905 , p = 0.8510). (J) Sholl profiles.

Supplemental Figure S7: Expression, and distribution of Spir::GFP upon Rab11 knockdown in c4da neurons (related to Figure 5). (A, B) Distribution of Spir::GFP in c4da neurons of L3 larvae in controls (+/+, A) and upon Rab11 RNAi knockdown in c4da neurons with *ppk-Gal4* (B). White boxes in left panels indicate areas magnified in panels to their right. Upon knockdown of Rab11 in c4da neurons, Spir::GFP retained punctate distribution within dendrite arbors. Scale bars=50μm. (C) Filmstrip (Supplemental Video 8) of Rab11 knockdown L2 larva, where Spir::GFP (arrows) was observed at the initiation site of a new dendrite branch.

(D) In Rab11 knockdown c4da neurons, the distance of the closest Spir::GFP puncta from the

site of branch initiation (n=18).

Supplemental Video 1 – corresponding to Fig. 1C. 1 frame/7.2s.

Supplemental Video 2 - corresponding to Fig. 1D. 1 frame/4.4s.

Supplemental Video 3 - corresponding to Fig. 1E. 1 frame/6.5s.

Supplemental Video 4 - corresponding to Supplemental Fig. S1H. 1 frame/~2s.

Supplemental Video 5 - corresponding to Fig. 2A. 1 frame/~4s.

Supplemental Video 6 - corresponding to Fig. 2B. 1 frame/~7s.

Supplemental Video 7 - corresponding to Fig. 5G 1 frame/~6s.

Supplemental Video 8 - corresponding to Supplemental Fig. S7C. 1 frame/~7s.

References

1.   Grueber, W.B., Yang, C.H., Ye, B., and Jan, Y.N. (2005). The development of neuronal

morphology in insects. Curr Biol *15*, R730-738.

2.   London, M., and Hausser, M. (2005). Dendritic computation. Annual review of

neuroscience *28*, 503-532.

3.   Lefebvre, J.L., Sanes, J.R., and Kay, J.N. (2015). Development of dendritic form and

function. Annual review of cell and developmental biology *31*, 741-777.

10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013020.

4.   Luo, L. (2021). Architectures of neuronal circuits. Science *373*, eabg7285.

10.1126/science.abg7285.

5.   Lefebvre, J.L. (2021). Molecular mechanisms that mediate dendrite morphogenesis.

Curr Top Dev Biol *142*, 233-282. 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2020.12.008.

695 6. Konietzny, A., Bar, J., and Mikhaylova, M. (2017). Dendritic Actin Cytoskeleton:

696   Structure, Functions, and Regulations. Frontiers in cellular neuroscience *11*, 147.

697   10.3389/fncel.2017.00147.

698 7. Siton-Mendelson, O., and Bernheim-Groswasser, A. (2017). Functional Actin

699   Networks under Construction: The Cooperative Action of Actin Nucleation and

700   Elongation Factors. Trends Biochem Sci *42*, 414-430. 10.1016/j.tibs.2017.03.002.

701 8. Rottner, K., Faix, J., Bogdan, S., Linder, S., and Kerkhoff, E. (2017). Actin assembly

702   mechanisms at a glance. J Cell Sci *130*, 3427-3435. 10.1242/jcs.206433.

703 9. Kennedy, M.J., and Hanus, C. (2019). Architecture and Dynamics of the Neuronal

704   Secretory Network. Annual review of cell and developmental biology *35*, 543-566.

705   10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100818-125418.

706 10. Lanoue, V., and Cooper, H.M. (2019). Branching mechanisms shaping dendrite

707   architecture. Dev Biol *451*, 16-24. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.005.

708 11. Korobova, F., and Svitkina, T. (2010). Molecular architecture of synaptic actin

709   cytoskeleton in hippocampal neurons reveals a mechanism of dendritic spine

710   morphogenesis. Mol Biol Cell *21*, 165-176. E09-07-0596 [pii] 10.1091/mbc.E09-07-

711   0596.

712 12. Sturner, T., Tatarnikova, A., Mueller, J., Schaffran, B., Cuntz, H., Zhang, Y.,

713   Nemethova, M., Bogdan, S., Small, V., and Tavosanis, G. (2019). Transient

714   localization of the Arp2/3 complex initiates neuronal dendrite branching in vivo.

715   Development *146*. 10.1242/dev.171397.

716 13. Zou, W., Dong, X., Broederdorf, T.R., Shen, A., Kramer, D.A., Shi, R., Liang, X.,

717   Miller, D.M., 3rd, Xiang, Y.K., Yasuda, R., et al. (2018). A Dendritic Guidance

718   Receptor Complex Brings Together Distinct Actin Regulators to Drive Efficient F-

719   Actin Assembly and Branching. Developmental cell *45*, 362-375 e363.

720   10.1016/j.devcel.2018.04.008.

721 14. Shi, R., Kramer, D.A., Chen, B., and Shen, K. (2021). A two-step actin polymerization

722   mechanism drives dendrite branching. Neural Dev *16*, 3. 10.1186/s13064-021-00154-

723   0.

724 15. Hou, W., Izadi, M., Nemitz, S., Haag, N., Kessels, M.M., and Qualmann, B. (2015).

725   The Actin Nucleator Cobl Is Controlled by Calcium and Calmodulin. PLoS Biol *13*,

726   e1002233. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002233.

727 16. Nithianandam, V., and Chien, C.T. (2018). Actin blobs prefigure dendrite branching

728   sites. The Journal of cell biology *217*, 3731-3746. 10.1083/jcb.201711136.

729 17. Sturner, T., Ferreira Castro, A., Philipps, M., Cuntz, H., and Tavosanis, G. (2022). The

730   branching code: A model of actin-driven dendrite arborization. Cell reports *39*, 110746.

731   10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110746.

732 18. Grueber, W.B., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (2002). Tiling of the Drosophila epidermis by

733   multidendritic sensory neurons. Development *129*, 2867-2878.

734 19. Medina, P.M., Swick, L.L., Andersen, R., Blalock, Z., and Brenman, J.E. (2006). A

735   novel forward genetic screen for identifying mutations affecting larval neuronal

736   dendrite development in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics *172*, 2325-2335.

737 20. Nagel, A.C., Apidianakis, Y., Wech, I., Maier, D., Delidakis, C., and Preiss, A. (2000).

738   Neural hyperplasia induced by RNA interference with m4/malpha gene activity.

739   Mechanisms of development *98*, 19-28.

740 21. Andersen, R., Li, Y., Resseguie, M., and Brenman, J.E. (2005). Calcium/calmodulin-

741   dependent protein kinase II alters structural plasticity and cytoskeletal dynamics in

742   Drosophila. J Neurosci *25*, 8878-8888. 25/39/8878 [pii] 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2005-

743   05.2005.

22.   Willig, K.I., Steffens, H., Gregor, C., Herholt, A., Rossner, M.J., and Hell, S.W. (2014).

Nanoscopy of filamentous actin in cortical dendrites of a living mouse. Biophys J *106*,

L01-03. 10.1016/j.bpj.2013.11.1119.

23.   Ferreira, T., Ou, Y., Li, S., Giniger, E., and van Meyel, D.J. (2014). Dendrite

architecture organized by transcriptional control of the F-actin nucleator Spire.

Development *141*, 650-660. 10.1242/dev.099655.

24.   Quinlan, M.E., Heuser, J.E., Kerkhoff, E., and Mullins, R.D. (2005). Drosophila Spire

is an actin nucleation factor. Nature *433*, 382-388. nature03241 [pii]

10.1038/nature03241.

25.   Vizcarra, C.L., Kreutz, B., Rodal, A.A., Toms, A.V., Lu, J., Zheng, W., Quinlan, M.E.,

and Eck, M.J. (2011). Structure and function of the interacting domains of Spire and

Fmn-family formins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 11884-11889. 1105703108 [pii]

10.1073/pnas.1105703108.

26.   Manseau, L.J., and Schupbach, T. (1989). cappuccino and spire: two unique maternal-

effect loci required for both the anteroposterior and dorsoventral patterns of the

Drosophila embryo. Genes & development *3*, 1437-1452.

27.   Pfender, S., Kuznetsov, V., Pleiser, S., Kerkhoff, E., and Schuh, M. (2011). Spire-type

actin nucleators cooperate with Formin-2 to drive asymmetric oocyte division. Curr

Biol *21*, 955-960. S0960-9822(11)00468-4 [pii] 10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.029.

28.   Kerkhoff, E., Simpson, J.C., Leberfinger, C.B., Otto, I.M., Doerks, T., Bork, P., Rapp,

U.R., Raabe, T., and Pepperkok, R. (2001). The Spir actin organizers are involved in

vesicle transport processes. Curr Biol *11*, 1963-1968. S0960-9822(01)00602-9 [pii].

29.   Tittel, J., Welz, T., Czogalla, A., Dietrich, S., Samol-Wolf, A., Schulte, M., Schwille,

P., Weidemann, T., and Kerkhoff, E. (2015). Membrane targeting of the Spir.formin

actin nucleator complex requires a sequential handshake of polar interactions. The

Journal of biological chemistry *290*, 6428-6444. 10.1074/jbc.M114.602672.

30.   Quinlan, M.E., Hilgert, S., Bedrossian, A., Mullins, R.D., and Kerkhoff, E. (2007).

Regulatory interactions between two actin nucleators, Spire and Cappuccino. The

Journal of cell biology *179*, 117-128. jcb.200706196 [pii] 10.1083/jcb.200706196.

31.   Yao, L.L., Hou, W.D., Liang, Y., Li, X.D., and Ji, H.H. (2024). Spire2 and Rab11a

synergistically activate myosin-5b motor function. Biochem Biophys Res Commun

703, 149653. 10.1016/j.bbrc.2024.149653.

32.   Kiral, F.R., Kohrs, F.E., Jin, E.J., and Hiesinger, P.R. (2018). Rab GTPases and

Membrane Trafficking in Neurodegeneration. Curr Biol *28*, R471-R486.

10.1016/j.cub.2018.02.010.

33.   Welz, T., and Kerkhoff, E. (2023). The role of SPIRE actin nucleators in cellular

transport processes. J Cell Sci *136*. 10.1242/jcs.260743.

34.   Schuh, M. (2011). An actin-dependent mechanism for long-range vesicle transport.

Nature cell biology *13*, 1431-1436. 10.1038/ncb2353 ncb2353 [pii].

35.   Pylypenko, O., Welz, T., Tittel, J., Kollmar, M., Chardon, F., Malherbe, G., Weiss, S.,

Michel, C.I., Samol-Wolf, A., Grasskamp, A.T., et al. (2016). Coordinated recruitment

of Spir actin nucleators and myosin V motors to Rab11 vesicle membranes. Elife *5*.

10.7554/eLife.17523.

36.   Kramer, R., Rode, S., and Rumpf, S. (2019). Rab11 is required for neurite pruning and

developmental membrane protein degradation in Drosophila sensory neurons. Dev Biol

451, 68-78. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.03.003.

37.   Lin, T., Kao, H.H., Chou, C.H., Chou, C.Y., Liao, Y.C., and Lee, H.H. (2020). Rab11

activation by Ik2 kinase is required for dendrite pruning in Drosophila sensory neurons.

PLoS genetics *16*, e1008626. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008626.

38.   Mitchell, J.W., Midillioglu, I., Schauer, E., Wang, B., Han, C., and Wildonger, J.

(2023). Coordination of Pickpocket ion channel delivery and dendrite growth in

Drosophila sensory neurons. PLoS genetics *19*, e1011025.

10.1371/journal.pgen.1011025.

39.   Wellington, A., Emmons, S., James, B., Calley, J., Grover, M., Tolias, P., and Manseau,

L. (1999). Spire contains actin binding domains and is related to ascidian posterior end

mark-5. Development *126*, 5267-5274.

40.   Quinlan, M.E. (2013). Direct interaction between two actin nucleators is required in

Drosophila oogenesis. Development *140*, 4417-4425. 10.1242/dev.097337.

41.   Marat, A.L., and Haucke, V. (2016). Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphates-at the interface

between cell signalling and membrane traffic. The EMBO journal *35*, 561-579.

10.15252/embj.201593564.

42.   Sonnichsen, B., De Renzis, S., Nielsen, E., Rietdorf, J., and Zerial, M. (2000). Distinct

membrane domains on endosomes in the recycling pathway visualized by multicolor

imaging of Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. The Journal of cell biology *149*, 901-914.

43.   Gates, M.A., Kannan, R., and Giniger, E. (2011). A genome-wide analysis reveals that

the Drosophila transcription factor Lola promotes axon growth in part by suppressing

expression of the actin nucleation factor Spire. Neural Dev *6*, 37. 1749-8104-6-37 [pii]

10.1186/1749-8104-6-37.

44.   Lasiecka, Z.M., and Winckler, B. (2011). Mechanisms of polarized membrane

trafficking in neurons -- focusing in on endosomes. Mol Cell Neurosci *48*, 278-287.

10.1016/j.mcn.2011.06.013.

45.   Park, M., Penick, E.C., Edwards, J.G., Kauer, J.A., and Ehlers, M.D. (2004). Recycling

endosomes supply AMPA receptors for LTP. Science *305*, 1972-1975.

10.1126/science.1102026 305/5692/1972 [pii].

46.   Eva, R., Dassie, E., Caswell, P.T., Dick, G., ffrench-Constant, C., Norman, J.C., and

Fawcett, J.W. (2010). Rab11 and its effector Rab coupling protein contribute to the

trafficking of beta 1 integrins during axon growth in adult dorsal root ganglion neurons

and PC12 cells. J Neurosci *30*, 11654-11669. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2425-10.2010.

47.   Pai, Y.J., and Moore, A.W. (2018). Dendritic actin delivery service. The Journal of cell

biology *217*, 3325-3326. 10.1083/jcb.201808095.

48.   Das, R., Bhattacharjee, S., Letcher, J.M., Harris, J.M., Nanda, S., Foldi, I., Lottes, E.N.,

Bobo, H.M., Grantier, B.D., Mihaly, J., et al. (2021). Formin 3 directs dendritic

architecture via microtubule regulation and is required for somatosensory nociceptive

behavior. Development *148*. 10.1242/dev.187609.

49.   Manor, U., Bartholomew, S., Golani, G., Christenson, E., Kozlov, M., Higgs, H.,

Spudich, J., and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2015). A mitochondria-anchored isoform of

the actin-nucleating spire protein regulates mitochondrial division. Elife *4*.

10.7554/eLife.08828.

50.   Schumacher, N., Borawski, J.M., Leberfinger, C.B., Gessler, M., and Kerkhoff, E.

(2004). Overlapping expression pattern of the actin organizers Spir-1 and formin-2 in

the developing mouse nervous system and the adult brain. Gene expression patterns :

GEP *4*, 249-255. 10.1016/j.modgep.2003.11.006 S1567133X03002096 [pii].

51.   Pleiser, S., Rock, R., Wellmann, J., Gessler, M., and Kerkhoff, E. (2010). Expression

patterns of the mouse Spir-2 actin nucleator. Gene expression patterns : GEP *10*, 345-

350. S1567-133X(10)00080-3 [pii] 10.1016/j.gep.2010.08.001.

52.   Hao, L., Zhang, H., Peng, X., Yang, Y., Yang, M., Guo, Y., Wang, X., and Jing, W.

(2022). Decreased Spire2 Expression is Involved in Epilepsy. Neuroscience *504*, 1-9.

10.1016/j.neuroscience.2022.09.008.

53.   Holubcova, Z., Howard, G., and Schuh, M. (2013). Vesicles modulate an actin network

for asymmetric spindle positioning. Nature cell biology *15*, 937-947. 10.1038/ncb2802.

54.   Alzahofi, N., Welz, T., Robinson, C.L., Page, E.L., Briggs, D.A., Stainthorp, A.K.,

Reekes, J., Elbe, D.A., Straub, F., Kallemeijn, W.W., et al. (2020). Rab27a co-ordinates

actin-dependent transport by controlling organelle-associated motors and track

assembly proteins. Nat Commun *11*, 3495. 10.1038/s41467-020-17212-6.

55.   Yoong, L.F., Lim, H.K., Tran, H., Lackner, S., Zheng, Z., Hong, P., and Moore, A.W.

(2020). Atypical Myosin Tunes Dendrite Arbor Subdivision. Neuron *106*, 452-467

e458. 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.02.002.

56.   Zhang, Y., Sung, H.H., Ziegler, A.B., Wu, Y.C., Viais, R., Sanchez-Huertas, C., Kilo,

L., Agrican, F.G., Cheng, Y.J., Mouri, K., et al. (2024). Augmin complex activity fine-

tunes dendrite morphology through non-centrosomal microtubule nucleation in vivo. J

Cell Sci. 10.1242/jcs.261512.

57.   Pfeiffer, B.D., Ngo, T.T., Hibbard, K.L., Murphy, C., Jenett, A., Truman, J.W., and

Rubin, G.M. (2010). Refinement of tools for targeted gene expression in Drosophila.

Genetics *186*, 735-755. 10.1534/genetics.110.119917.

58.   Diao, F., Ironfield, H., Luan, H., Diao, F., Shropshire, W.C., Ewer, J., Marr, E., Potter,

C.J., Landgraf, M., and White, B.H. (2015). Plug-and-play genetic access to drosophila

cell types using exchangeable exon cassettes. Cell reports *10*, 1410-1421.

10.1016/j.celrep.2015.01.059.

59.   Venken, K.J., Schulze, K.L., Haelterman, N.A., Pan, H., He, Y., Evans-Holm, M.,

Carlson, J.W., Levis, R.W., Spradling, A.C., Hoskins, R.A., and Bellen, H.J. (2011).

MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering Drosophila

melanogaster genes. Nat Methods *8*, 737-743.

60. Viswanathan, S., Williams, M.E., Bloss, E.B., Stasevich, T.J., Speer, C.M., Nern, A.,

Pfeiffer, B.D., Hooks, B.M., Li, W.P., English, B.P., et al. (2015). High-performance

probes for light and electron microscopy. Nat Methods *12*, 568-576.

10.1038/nmeth.3365.

61. Bence, M., Jankovics, F., Lukacsovich, T., and Erdelyi, M. (2017). Combining the

auxin-inducible degradation system with CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing for the

conditional depletion of endogenous Drosophila melanogaster proteins. The FEBS

journal *284*, 1056-1069. 10.1111/febs.14042.

62. Kanca, O., Zirin, J., Garcia-Marques, J., Knight, S.M., Yang-Zhou, D., Amador, G.,

Chung, H., Zuo, Z., Ma, L., He, Y., et al. (2019). An efficient CRISPR-based strategy

to insert small and large fragments of DNA using short homology arms. Elife *8*.

10.7554/eLife.51539.

63. Port, F., Chen, H.M., Lee, T., and Bullock, S.L. (2014). Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools

for efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A *111*, E2967-2976. 10.1073/pnas.1405500111.

64. Ren, X., Sun, J., Housden, B.E., Hu, Y., Roesel, C., Lin, S., Liu, L.P., Yang, Z., Mao,

D., Sun, L., et al. (2013). Optimized gene editing technology for Drosophila

melanogaster using germ line-specific Cas9. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 19012-

19017. 10.1073/pnas.1318481110.

65. Thevenaz, P., Ruttimann, U.E., and Unser, M. (1998). A pyramid approach to subpixel

registration based on intensity. IEEE Trans Image Process *7*, 27-41.

10.1109/83.650848.

66. Preibisch, S., Saalfeld, S., and Tomancak, P. (2009). Globally optimal stitching of tiled

3D microscopic image acquisitions. Bioinformatics *25*, 1463-1465. btp184 [pii]

10.1093/bioinformatics/btp184.

67.   Arganda-Carreras, I., Fernandez-Gonzalez, R., Munoz-Barrutia, A., and Ortiz-De-
892   Solorzano, C. (2010). 3D reconstruction of histological sections: Application to
893   mammary gland tissue. Microsc Res Tech *73*, 1019-1029. 10.1002/jemt.20829.

894   68.   Ferreira, T.A., Blackman, A.V., Oyrer, J., Jayabal, S., Chung, A.J., Watt, A.J.,
895   Sjostrom, P.J., and van Meyel, D.J. (2014). Neuronal morphometry directly from
896   bitmap images. Nat Methods *11*, 982-984. 10.1038/nmeth.3125.

897   69.   Strahler, A.N. (1957). Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. Transactions
898   of the American Geophysical Union *38*, 913-920.

899   70.   Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
900   Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source
901   platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods *9*, 676-682. 10.1038/nmeth.2019
902   nmeth.2019 [pii].

903   71.   Meijering, E., Dzyubachyk, O., and Smal, I. (2012). Methods for cell and particle
904   tracking. Methods Enzymol *504*, 183-200. 10.1016/B978-0-12-391857-4.00009-4.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A Spir::GFP
ppk-CD4::tdTom

0s   30s   180s   360s   390s

B Spir::GFP
ppk-CD4::tdTom

0s   28s   43s   57s   71s

C Newly formed branches (%)

Distance of Spir-GFP from new branch point (n= 139)

■ at branch point
■ < 1 μm
□ < 2 μm

D

Spir::GFP puncta at branch initiation sites in time-lapse movies at L2 (n=61)				
	Occurrence	Time (s) from stationary punctum to branch outgrowth		
		Minimum	Maximum	Mean ±sd
Spir::GFP observed to move to site	30%	4	129	43 ± 41
Spir::GFP stationed at site in first movie frame	70%	8	229	88 ± 67

E Terminal Dendrites/μm²

New Branches/μm²/min

$+/+$ $Spir^{2F}/+$ $Spir^{2F/Df}$

$+/+$ $Spir^{2F}/+$ $Spir^{2F/Df}$

***

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

F

Spir::GFP puncta in static images at L3

	Occurence		
	Shafts	**Branch points**	**Tips**
Spir::GFP occupancy of each location	100%	28%	6%
Proportion of total Spir::GFP puncta at each location	57%	36%	7%

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

Figure S7