### Epsin2, a novel target for multiple system atrophy therapy via α-synuclein/FABP7 propagation

An Cheng<sup>1, 2, 3, \*</sup>, Ichiro Kawahata<sup>2</sup>, Yifei Wang<sup>3</sup>, Wenbin Jia<sup>2, 3</sup>, Tomoki Sekimori<sup>2</sup>, Yi Chen<sup>4</sup>, Nadia Stefanova<sup>5</sup>, David I Finkelstein<sup>6</sup>, Wenbo Ma<sup>7</sup>, Min Chen<sup>1</sup>, Takuya Sasaki<sup>3, \*</sup>, Kohji Fukunaga<sup>2, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing, China.

<sup>2</sup> Department of CNS Drug Innovation, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering & Decision Analytics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China.

<sup>5</sup> Laboratory for Translational Neurodegeneration Research, Division of Neurobiology, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.

<sup>6</sup> Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

<sup>7</sup> School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University, Chengdu, China.

\* Correspondence should be addressed to An Cheng (<u>leon.an.cheng.1q84@gmail.com</u>); Takuya Sasaki (<u>takuya.sasaki.b4@tohoku.ac.jp</u>); Kohji Fukunaga (kfukunaga@tohoku.ac.jp)

## SUMMARY

Multiple system atrophy (MSA) is a neurodegenerative disease showing accumulation of misfolded  $\alpha$ -synuclein and myelin disruption. However, the mechanism how  $\alpha$ -synuclein ( $\alpha$ -syn) accumulate in MSA brain remains unclear. Here, we identify the protein epsin-2 as a novel target for MSA therapy via controlling  $\alpha$ -synuclein accumulation. In MSA mouse model, PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn transgenic mice and FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates injected mice, we firstly found that fatty acid-binding protein 7 (FABP7) related to MSA development and formed hetero-aggregates with  $\alpha$ -syn, which exhibited stronger toxicity than  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates. Furthermore, injected FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates in mice selectively accumulated in oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons and cause cerebellar dysfunction. By bioinformatic analyses, the protein epsin-2 expresses in both oligodendrocyte and Purkinje cells was found as a potential target to regulate FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates propagation via clathrin-dependent endocytosis. The AAV5-dependent epsin-2 knock-down mice exhibited decreased levels of aggregates accumulation in Purkinje neurons in cerebellum and motor functions. Thus, we propose epsin-2 as a novel and therapeutic candidate for MSA.

Keywords: Multiple system atrophy, FABP7, α-synuclein aggregates, epsin-2

## INTRODUCTION

Multiple system atrophy (MSA) is an adult-onset, fatal neurodegenerative disease, clinically characterized by progressive autonomic failure, parkinsonism, and signs of cerebellar and pyramidal tract dysfunction <sup>[1]</sup>. The pathological hallmark of MSA is the abnormal accumulation of misfolded  $\alpha$ -synuclein ( $\alpha$ -syn), a protein containing 140 amino acids and expressed in neuronal presynaptic terminals <sup>[2]</sup>, in glial cells, forming the so-called glial cytoplasmic inclusions (GCI). Therefore, MSA is also classified as an  $\alpha$ -synucleinopathy together with Parkinson's disease (PD), dementia and Lewy body disease (DLBD) <sup>[3]</sup>. However, in contrast to PD or DLBD where  $\alpha$ -syn forms neuronal inclusions called Lewy bodies, in MSA,  $\alpha$ -syn forms GCI in oligodendrocytes, and seemingly in higher numbers than Lewy bodies in PD and DLBD <sup>[4]</sup>. Importantly, while higher levels of soluble  $\alpha$ -syn have been found in MSA <sup>[4]</sup>, insoluble  $\alpha$ -syn is present at higher levels in PD and DLBD <sup>[5]</sup>. However, the mechanisms underlying the different expression patterns of  $\alpha$ -syn as well as their implications, possibly including different pathological processes and propagation models of  $\alpha$ -syn, remain unclear <sup>[6]</sup>.

In particular, the origin of  $\alpha$ -syn composing the GCIs in oligodendrocytes remains unknown. In this sense, the presence of a neuronal protein such as  $\alpha$ -syn in oligodendrocytes in MSA has drawn much attention, although several studies have reported no alterations in  $\alpha$ -syn mRNA levels in brains of patients with MSA <sup>[7, 8]</sup>. One hypothesis for the abnormal oligodendroglial expression of  $\alpha$ -syn involves cell-to-cell propagation <sup>[9, 10]</sup>. In this regard, glial cells have been reported to endocytose  $\alpha$ -syn from the media and transmit it to neighboring cells, and a direct transfer of neuronal  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates has been shown to occur from neurons to glia <sup>[11]</sup>. Moreover, in rats injected with AAV-hua-syn, a viral vector that induces  $\alpha$ -syn transference from neurons <sup>[12]</sup>. Importantly, learning about neuronal  $\alpha$ -syn transfer to oligodendrocytes contributes to the understanding of how MSA begins and progresses.

In recent years, fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs), a family of transporter proteins involved in lipid trafficking, have become important factors associated with motor and cognitive functions <sup>[13-15]</sup>. In dopaminergic neurons, FABP3 regulates dopamine D2L receptor functions <sup>[16]</sup> and accelerates  $\alpha$ -syn oligomerization in mice treated with 1-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropiridine (MPTP), which triggers dopaminergic neuron loss <sup>[15]</sup>. Consistently, we found in a previous study that FABP7, exclusively expressed in glial cells, forms hetero-oligomers and aggregates with  $\alpha$ -syn in oligodendrocytes <sup>[17]</sup>. In addition, previous studies also suggested that FABP7 controls lipid raft formation by regulating the expression of caveolin-1, a protein involved in the endocytic process <sup>[18]</sup>. Therefore, we hypothesized that FABP7 is involved in the pathological process of MSA and related to the expression pattern and propagation of  $\alpha$ -syn in oligodendrocytes.

In this study, we aimed to elucidate the potential role of FABP7 in  $\alpha$ -syn accumulation and aggregation in oligodendrocytes and we identified epsin-2 as a potential regulator of  $\alpha$ -syn propagation. The obtained results will hopefully contribute to clarifying the mechanism of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregation as well as its propagation pattern, which in turn underlies the demyelination and cell loss that occurs during the development of MSA.

# RESULTS

### FABP7 is involved in MSA pathology and α-syn aggregation.

In our previous work, we reported that FABP7 formed high-molecular-weight oligomers and aggregates with  $\alpha$ -syn in primary oligodendrocytes and glial cells <sup>[17]</sup> and argued that the aggregation and accumulation of  $\alpha$ -syn in oligodendrocytes were considered the main cause of cell death in MSA. In order to evaluate the role of FABP7 in MSA, we used an *in vivo* genetic model: PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn transgenic mice (PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice), in which  $\alpha$ -syn is overexpressed in oligodendroglia under the proteolipid protein (PLP) promoter <sup>[19-21]</sup> (Fig. 1a). To identify the molecular factors involved in central nervous system (CNS) dysfunction in MSA, a group of selected genes (P  $\leq$ 0.05) from the RNA sequencing analyses of PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mouse brain (GSE129531) was measured by GO\_KEGG analysis. Consistent with previous work concluding that cerebellar ataxia is the second consensus statement on the MSA diagnosis <sup>[22]</sup>, we have found a prominent relationship between the MSA condition and the olfactory and spinocerebellar ataxia pathways (Fig. 1b). Particularly in the cerebellum, we found an evident aggregation of h $\alpha$ -syn that was associated with FABP7 (Fig. 1c) in PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice. These results suggest a possible interaction between FABP7 and  $\alpha$ -syn in MSA pathogenesis. Indeed, in the basal ganglia and cerebellum, we observed co-localization of h $\alpha$ Syn and FABP7 in

oligodendrocytes (Fig. 1d). However, the observation that haSyn also accumulated in Purkinje cell layer of cerebellum mainly in Purkinje cells and basket cells (GABA positive cells) in PLP-haSyn mice, was unexpected (Fig. 1e). This accumulation might be related to motor dysfunction and Purkinje cell loss (Fig. S1a, b).

We incubated the recombinant proteins haSyn and His-hfabp7 in vitro, in Tris-HCl buffer at 37°C. With longer incubation times, we found an increase in the levels of high molecular weight h $\alpha$ Syn forms. Importantly, in the presence of His-hfabp7, bound h $\alpha$ Syn formed much larger aggregates, particularly after 2 days of incubation (Fig. 1f), however, His-hfabp7 itself could not form high molecular weight aggregates (Fig. S2a, b). Since the presence of FABP7 altered the expression pattern and molecular weight of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates, we thought necessary to identify the differences between the two aggregates, and to determine their toxicity levels. Thus, we isolated the high molecular weight fractions (molecular weight > 100 kDa) using an Ultracel-100 regenerated cellulose membrane (Fig. 1g), and the aggregates were divided into two fractions (molecular weight > 100 kDa or < 100 kDa) (Fig. 1h). To study the differences in toxicity of the two aggregates, we treated KG-1C human oligodendroglial cells with the purified proteins and measured cell viability. Whereas cells treated with h $\alpha$ Syn at low molecular weights (molecular weight < 100 kDa) showed no significant change in cell viability, cells treated with haSyn/His-hFABP7 at low molecular weights (molecular weight < 100 kDa) showed decreased cell viability (control vs. h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW<100kDa, n=6, p < 0.05, F (2, 15)=8.055). Importantly, cells treated with both h $\alpha$ Syn (Control vs. h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa, n=6, p<0.05, F (2, 15)=18.31) and hαSyn/His-hFABP7 (Control vs. hαSyn/HishFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa, n=6, p<0.01) at high molecular weight showed decreased cell viability, although haSyn/His-hFABP7-treated cells showed a much lower cell viability than haSyn-treated cells (h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa, n=6, p < 0.05) (Fig. 1i). Therefore, these results indicate that FABP7 not only forms aggregates together with  $\alpha$ -syn but also regulates the toxicity of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates.

### FABP7/α-syn hetero-aggregates are selectively taken-up by oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neuron.

Our results suggest that FABP7 forms higher molecular weight aggregates with  $\alpha$ -syn than with itself and that hetero-aggregates are more toxic. However, it still unclear whether it occurs in vivo. Therefore, we further purified haSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates, injected them into the lateral ventricles (LV) of wild-type mice (3 µg per mouse) (Fig. 2a) and evaluated their toxicity and propagation in vivo. After two months of aggregate injection, we first confirmed the stability of the injected aggregates. Lysates from injected mouse brains were immunoprecipitated and immunoblotted with anti-6X-His and anti- $\alpha$ -syn antibodies; a tight binding of the two proteins was found, indicating that the FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn connection and aggregates were still stable after two months of injection (Fig. 2b). Next, we used whole-brain staining with the 6X-His antibody to measure the distribution of aggregates after injection. We observed that aggregates tended to propagate to the olfactory bulb, cortex, basial ganglia, and cerebellum (Fig. 2c). We then performed a series of behavioral tests to evaluate cognitive function at 4 and 8 weeks post-injection. In the rotarod task test, we observed significant differences in h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregate-injected mice at 4 and 8 weeks (4 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05; 8 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05) as well as haSyn aggregate-injected mice at 8 weeks (8 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05) compared with control mice (Fig. 2d). Similarly, in beam-walking tasks we observed significant differences in h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregate-injected mice at 4 and 8 weeks (4 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05; 8 weeks: n=7, p < 0.01) and haSyn aggregate-injected mice at 8 weeks (8 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05) when compared to control mice. Here, we also observed significant differences between haSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregate-injected mice and haSyn aggregate-injected mice at 8 weeks (8 weeks: n=7, p < 0.05) (Fig. 2e). In the Y-maze task, we only observed a significant difference in total arm entry (8 weeks: control vs. hαSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa, n=7, p < 0.01; haSyn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs haSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW> 100kDa, n=7, p < 0.05), but observed no changes in alternation (Fig. 2f, g). These results may indicate that  $h\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates generate a selective toxicity in different brain areas than  $h\alpha$ Syn aggregates; however, both aggregate patterns showed a lack of effects on cognitive function.

After the injection of h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates for 2 months, the aggregates mainly propagated to four regions: cerebellum, olfactory bulb, basal ganglia, and cortex. In these regions, we found that aggregates were selectively taken up by oligodendrocytes (Fig. 2h) as well as accumulated in the purkinje cell layers (Fig. 2i). Even though relatively low levels of aggregates were taken up by oligodentrotyes in the cerebellum, this may still account for by the significant decrease in MBP in the white matter of the cerebellum in the

h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregate-injected mice (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=6, p < 0.01) (Fig. 2j, k, l). On the other hand, the Purkinje neurons also significantly decreased in number (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=6, p < 0.01) accompanied by accumulation of h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=6, p < 0.01) compared with control mice (Fig. 2m). Therefore, these results indicate that FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates show distinct patterns of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregation, suggesting higher toxicity towards oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons, possibly due to selective uptake.

#### Scanning for potential receptors which regulating FABP7/a-syn hetero-aggregates propagation.

To date, we have already identified the role of FABP7 in  $\alpha$ -syn aggregate formation and in their higher toxicity towards oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons, possibly due to a differential mechanism by which these cells selectively take up FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn heteroaggregates. This is reminiscent of a specific receptor that selectively modulates FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregate propagation and may be involved in the MSA pathological process. Interestingly, in a previous work, FABP7 was also reported to control lipid raft function through the regulation of caveolin-1 expression in astrocytes <sup>[18]</sup>. Thus, considering the important role of FABP7 in aggregation, we performed a transcriptomic profile analysis of the datasets to identify candidate genes. We identified 20 genes that were significantly changed in all datasets (Fig. 3a). However, single-cell sequencing data from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (GSM3449587)<sup>[23, 24]</sup> suggested that only six genes (ENP2, FOXO3, KRT4, SLC27A3, SLC1A2, and RAB27B) were expressed in both oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons (Fig. 3b, c; Fig. S5). Next, as a result of protein-protein correlation analysis, we found the gene FABP7 and EPN2, which relates to cell endocytosis <sup>[25]</sup> are strongly correlated (Fig. 3c). Interestingly, the mRNA expression of ENP2 was consistently downregulated in the whole blood of patients with MSA with FABP7, based on RNA-seq analysis data (GSE34287)<sup>[26]</sup> (Fig. 3d).

To further identify whether the gene ENP2 is involved in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregate propagation, we first immunoprecipitated both the epsin-2 protein, encoded by the ENP2 gene, and FABP7. We could observe that epsin-2 binds to FABP7 in both control and PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice (Fig. 3e). This result corroborated our hypothesis that epsin-2 is involved in regulating FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn heteroaggregate propagation. We then verified the cellular distribution of epsin-2 in the brain by immunostaining. We found that epsin-2 is abundantly expressed in oligodendrocytes (Fig. 3f) and Purkinje neurons (Fig. 3h) but barely in neurons and astrocytes (Fig. S4a, b) in both control and injected mice. Importantly, we found increased epsin-2 levels in oligodendrocytes in cerebellum (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=8, p < 0.05), and BG (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=8, p < 0.05), and BG (control mouse vs. injected mouse, n=8, p < 0.01). The results may indicate that epsin-2 levels were consistent with those of FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn heteroaggregates in injected mice. Therefore, these results indicate a close link between epsin-2 and FABP7 in aggregate formation; in particular, epsin-2 may play a role in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregate propagation.

#### Knock-down of epsin-2 decreased FABP7/α-syn hetero-aggregates uptake and cerebellum dysfunction

When we injected mice with FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates into the lateral ventricles of the brain, they exhibited motor dysfunction after four weeks, and loss of Purkinje neurons or demyelination mainly in the cerebellum. Thus, we then performed cerebellar injection with FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates (2 µg per mouse). To identify the role of epsin-2 in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn heteroaggregate propagation, we injected mice with a viral vector (pAAV- mEPN2 shRNA-EGFP-U6) that knocked down epsin-2 levels. The viral vectors were injected 2 weeks before aggregate injection, and motor functions were measured by beam-walking and rotarod tasks once a week (Fig. S7a, b). We observed significant differences in the beam walking test at 1-, 2-, and 3-weeks post-injection in mice injected with haSyn/His-hFABP 7 aggregates compared to control mice (1 week: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.01; 2 weeks: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.01; 3 weeks: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.01). The rotarod task also resulted in significant differences at 1, 2, and 3 weeks in mice injected with haSyn/His-hFABP 7 aggregates compared to control mice (1 week: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.05; 2 week: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.01; 3 week: Control vs. aggregates injection, n=5, p < 0.01) (Fig. S7c). However, we did not observe significant differences following viral infection in neither of the motor tests, beam-walking and rotarod, at 3 weeks (Fig. S7c). This lack of effects in motor functions may be due to the limited area of viral infection. In the infected area, we found decreased levels of haSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates in oligodendrocytes (Aggregates Injection mouse vs. Aggregates + AAV Injection mouse, n=5, p < 0.01) and Purkinje neurons (Aggregates Injection mouse vs. Aggregates + AAV Injection mouse, n=5, p < 0.01) as well as decreased

levels of episn-2 (Fig. S7d, e). Consistently, in oligodendrocyte precursor cell (OPCs) primary culture, the virus-infected cells also showed significantly decreased levels of  $h\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregate uptake (Fig. S6).

To get higher and wider knock-down efficiency of epsin-2, we next injected viral vector into the lateral ventricles of the brain 2 weeks before h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injection (Fig. 4a). Followed the viral vector spread to the whole brain (Fig. 4b), we found decreased episn-2 and accumulated aggregates levels in both Purkinje cells and oligodendrocytes in cerebellum (Fig. 4c, d) (Purkinje cells: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=8, p < 0.01; oligodendrocytes: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=8, p < 0.05). Furthermore, we also observed improved moto functions in viral vector injected mice. At this time, we observed significant differences in the beam walking test at 8-weeks in mice injected with viral vector compared to h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injected mice (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=5, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4e). The rotarod task also resulted in significant differences at 8 weeks in mice injected with viral vector compared to h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates to h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injection vs. (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=5, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4e). The rotarod task also resulted in significant differences at 8 weeks in mice injected with viral vector compared to h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injection vs. (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=5, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4e). The rotarod task also resulted in significant differences at 8 weeks in mice injected with viral vector compared to h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injected mice (8 week: aggregates injected mice (8

On the other hand, when we focus on the Purkinje neurons morphology, which closely related cerebellum functions (Fig. 4g). We found improved morphology (Fig. 4h) in length (Fig. 4i, j) and numbers (Fig. 4k) (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection , n=5, p < 0.01) of dendrites of Purkinje neurons in viral vector injected mice. Thus, these results show that epsin-2 regulates the uptake of haSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates in oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons thereby affecting cerebellar function.

#### Epsin-2 regulates FABP7/α-syn hetero-aggregates propagation via endocytosis.

Epsin-2 is reported as an endocytic adapter protein that contain binding sites for clathrin<sup>[27]</sup> and involved in clathrin-dependent endocytosis. Thus, we hypothesis that the large size of FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates were taken up into cells via this pathway. When we stained cerebellum with endosome biomarker: EEA1, we found up-regulated levels of EEA1 in Purkinje cell layer of aggregates injected mice (Fig. 41) and colocalization of EEA1 and h $\alpha$ -syn in very small size areas (100-500 nm) (Fig. 4m). It may demonstrate activated endocytosis response to aggregates injection.

We next isolate endosomes from aggregates injected cerebellum (Fig. 4n). By confocal microscopy, we found h $\alpha$ -syn inside endosome and coated by epsin-2 (Fig. 4o). Moreover, in Epsin-2 knock-down mice we also found decreased  $\alpha$ -syn levels in both endosome fractions (Fig. 4p, q) (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection, n=5, p < 0.01) and cytosolic fractions (Fig. 4p, r) (8 week: aggregates injection vs. aggregates +AAV injection, n=5, p < 0.01). These results demonstrated that epsin-2 regulated FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates propagation via endocytosis.

### DISCUSSION

According to the clinical features, MSA is divided into two main types: the parkinsonian type (MSA-P) with poor levodopa-responsive parkinsonian syndrome and the cerebellar type (MSA-C) with cerebellar ataxia syndrome <sup>[28]</sup>. The degree of morphological changes in the cerebellum is more pronounced in MSA-C <sup>[29]</sup> including Purkinje neuron dysfunction, such as an increase in torpedoes in Purkinje axonal morphology and reduction in spines of Purkinje dendritic arbor; these changes are in turn implicated in cerebellar dysfunction <sup>[30]</sup>. Moreover, the loss of Purkinje neurons and increased levels of GCIs in the cerebellum of MSA patients may be due to demyelination and disease progression <sup>[31]</sup>. Consistently, in our study, we also observed an obvious accumulation of  $\alpha$ -syn in Purkinje neurons and oligodendrocytes in h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregate-injected mice. In MSA patients, there is strong evidence that  $\alpha$ -syn accumulation in oligodendrocytes results in loss of myelin and oligodendroglial dysfunction <sup>[32]</sup> within the pons and cerebellum, which is in turn related to the presence of GCI and disease duration <sup>[33]</sup>. Loss of Purkinje neurons is another important cerebellar feature associated with MSA-C <sup>[29, 34]</sup>. Thus, Purkinje neuron loss seems to be a secondary consequence of  $\alpha$ -syn accumulation and demyelination. We hypothesize that, besides the neuroinflammation in MSA-C <sup>[35]</sup> induced by demyelination, the direct propagation of  $\alpha$ -syn from oligodendrocytes to Purkinje neurons is a key factor for Purkinje neuron degeneration.

Since the etiology of various  $\alpha$ -syn-related diseases, such as PD and MSA, remain unclear, it is possible that distinct conformations of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates result in different clinical outcomes <sup>[36-38]</sup>. In contrast to the PD brain, the MSA brain contains soluble activity, and the  $\alpha$ -syn form of the MSA brain induces distinct inclusion morphologies <sup>[39]</sup>. Consistently, in contrast to the insoluble  $\alpha$ -syn amyloid fibrils in the PD brain,

in our work, the FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn heteroaggregates constructed by 2-days-incubation of recombinant protein were soluble. Due to the limitations of our experimental conditions, we cannot conclude that the pattern of  $\alpha$ -syn aggregation in the MSA brain is the same as that of FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates in PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice; however, FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregate propagation is completely different from  $\alpha$ -syn amyloid fibrils in wild-type mice. In previous studies,  $\alpha$ -syn preformed fibril (PFFs) treatment led to the accumulation of  $\alpha$ -syn in dopamine neurons and selective decreases in synaptic proteins <sup>[40]</sup> as well as motor deficits <sup>[41]</sup>. In contrast, in the present study, FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates only propagated to oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregate-injected mice. Evidently, the propagation of distinct  $\alpha$ syn strains into different regions in the CNS should involve a specific receptor.

The  $\alpha$ -syn protein is intracellular and cytosolic. For a successful propagation of  $\alpha$ -syn to additional brain regions, the aggregates must bind to the cell surface and entry into the cytoplasm. A previous study indicated that dopamine D2 long receptors were critical for  $\alpha$ -syn uptake <sup>[42]</sup> towards dopamine neurons. In this study, we found that epsin-2 is a potential receptor that regulates the propagation of aggregates in MSA. Epsin-2 is an endocytic adapter protein that contains an N-terminal ENTH domain along with unfolded central and Cterminal regions that contain binding sites for clathrin<sup>[27]</sup>. Clathrin does not bind to the plasma membrane directly, and epsin-2 is a ubiquitous component of endocytic clathrin-coated pits that interacts with lipids on the plasma membrane <sup>[43]</sup>. Consistently, by confocal microscopy, we found the  $\alpha$ -syn was wrapped in epsin-2 and formed endosomes inside cells. Furthermore, the knock-down of epsin-2 also decreased  $\alpha$ -syn levels of oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons. In RNA sequencing analysis, we found that the EPN2 gene strongly correlated with FABP7 and was significantly changed in the whole blood of patients with MSA. By immunostaining and immunoprecipitation analysis, we also demonstrated that epsin-2 regulated FABP7/ $\alpha$ syn hetero-aggregate propagation towards oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons, and the virus infectedepsin-2 knock-down mice exhibit improved motor functions, which related with improved Purkinje morphology and myelin levels. These results demonstrated that α-syn propagation was regulated by epsin-2 expression especially in oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons via clathrin-dependent endocytosis.

Here still some limitations in our study that we only identified our hypothesis in preclinical studies using MSA model mice, we lack clinical evidence to support our hypothesis that FABP7 is involved in  $\alpha$ -syn aggregation of GCI formation directly and epsin-2 also regulated  $\alpha$ -syn aggregates accumulation in MSA brain. On the other hand, even though the epsin-2 knock-down mice which infected with virus exhibit significant improvement of motor functions, it is a pity that, so far, none of the specific episn-2 inhibitors can be obtained from the market. Thus, we are planning to clarify the mechanism and binding site for epsin-2 to regulate  $\alpha$ -syn propagation and furthermore develop specific ligands for epsin-2 inhibition in our future work.

In this study, we verified the vital role of FABP7 in  $\alpha$ -syn aggregation in MSA and identified a special propagation pattern of FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates that selectively propagate to oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons. Importantly, epsin-2 is a novel receptor that regulates FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates propagation via endocytosis. Additionally, the knock-down of epsin-2 successfully attenuated Purkinje cell dysfunctions and demyelination in cerebellum. Overall, epsin-2 should be considered as a potential therapeutic target for the development of inhibitors that overcome MSA clinical symptoms.

REAGENT or RESOURCE	Source	Identifiers
Antibodies		
Chicken Anti-MBP	EnCor Biotechnology	Cat#CPCA-MBP; RRID: AB_2572352
Goat Anti-FABP7	R&D Systems	Cat#AF3166; RRID: AB_2100475
Mouse Anti-β-tubulin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T0198; RRID: AB_477556
Rabbit Anti-Olig2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#MABN50; RRID: AB_10807410
Mouse Anti-Human-αSyn	Gene Tex	Cat#GTX21904; RRID: AB_380341

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Key resources table

Rabbit Anti-Calbindin	abcam	Cat#ab108404; RRID: AB_10861236
Rabbit Anti-GABA	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A2052-25UL; RRID:AB_477652
Mouse Anti-6X-His tag	abcam	Cat#ab18184; RRID: AB_444306
Rabbit Anti-NeuN	abcam	Cat#ab177487; RRID: AB_2532109
Rabbit Anti-GFAP	abcam	Cat#ab7260; RRID: AB_305808
Mouse Anti-Epsin-2	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-376788; RRID: AB_2920722
Goat Anti-Mouse IgG(H+L), Human ads- HRP	SouthernBiotech	Cat#1031-05; RRID: AB 2794307
Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H&L) , Mouse/Human ads-HRP	SouthernBiotech	Cat#4050-05; RRID: AB 2795955
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross- Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor <sup>™</sup> 405	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-31553; RRID: AB 221604
Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Cross- Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 488	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-11055; RRID: AB 2534102
Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 594	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21203; RRID: AB 141633
Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 594	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-21207; RRID: AB 141637
Chemicals, Cell line, virus, and Recombina	nt proteins	
KG-1-C human oligodendroglial cells	RIKEN BRC Cell Bank	RRID:CVCL_2971
D-MEM(High Glucose) with L- Glutamine, Phenol Red and Sodium Pyruvate	FUJIFILM	Cat#043-30085
pAAV[shRNA]-EGFP-U6>mEpn2	vectorbuilder	https://www.vectorbuilder.jp/
pAAV[shRNA]-EGFP-U6>Scramble	vectorbuilder	https://www.vectorbuilder.jp/
apo-Transferrin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T2252
Insulin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#I6634
Sodium selenite	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#s5261
D-Biotin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#aB4501
Penicillin/streptomycin	Invitrogen	Cat#15140
Poly-D-lysine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#P0899
DNase I	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#D5025
bFGF	REPROCELL	Cat#RCHEOT002

L-Glutamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#G8540
Fetal bovine serum	Hyclone	Cat# SH300700
Human PDGF-AA	Pepro tech	Cat#100-13A
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#E5134
Sodium dodecyl sulfate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#151-21-3
Triton <sup>™</sup> X-100	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#9036-19-5
Trypsin:L-1-tosylamido-2- phenylethylchloromethylketone(TPCK)- treated trypsin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T1426
Sodium orthovanadate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#S6508
leupeptin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#L2884
Pepstatin A	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#P5318
Sodium fluoride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#201154
Sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#221368
Sodium chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#S9888
E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ Competent Cells & SOC medium	TaKaRa	Cat#9057
Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#10724815001
Calyculin A	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#C5552
Trypsin inhibitor	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T9003
DL-Dithiothreitol solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#43816
Recombinant Human Alpha-synuclein protein	Abcam	Cat#ab51189
Critical Commercial Assays		
His-tagged recombinant protein purification—His60 Ni Gravity Columns	TaKaRa	Cat#635658
QIAGEN Plasmid Midi Kit	Qiagen	Cat#12143
Trident Endosome Isolation Kit	Gene Tex	Cat#GTX35192
In-Fusion <sup>®</sup> HD Cloning Kit	TaKaRa	Cat#Z9648N
TaKaRa PCR Amplification Kit	TaKaRa	Cat#R011
Software		
Eiii Imagal (varging 210)	[44]	https://imagej.net/Fiji.
riji-imagej (version 2.1.0)	[]	RRID:SCR_003070
Prism(version8.4.0)	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientificsoft ware/prism/;RRID:SCR_002798
R (Versions 3.6.2–4.1.1)	The R Foundation	https://www.r-project.org/foundation/; RRID:SCR_001905

#### Animals

Eight-week-old male C57BMF/6J mice (Clea Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn transgenic mice were maintained in polypropylene cages (temperature: 23±2°C; humidity: 55±5%; lights on between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m.). Animals had unlimited access to food and water. We used only male mice in this study to minimize the effect of sex hormones such as estrogen. All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Tohoku University Environmental and Safety Committee (2019PhLM0-021 and 2019PhA-024).

Male and female PLP- $\alpha$ synuclein mice overexpressing human wild-type  $\alpha$ -synuclein under the control of the oligodendrocyte-specific proteolipid protein promoter (PLP- $\alpha$ syn mice) on a C57Bl/6 background were used for all experiments <sup>[45]</sup>. The mice were housed in a temperature-controlled, pathogen-free environment under a 12-h light/dark cycle at the animal facility of The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health and allowed access to standard laboratory chow and water ad libitum. All the experiments were approved by the Florey Institute Animal Ethics Committee (#13-117 and #18-006).

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with animal use guidelines and ethical approval. In each group, the mice were treated and randomly measured, and there were no confounders. Isoflurane was used for anesthesia during the experiment. For euthanasia, the mice were intraperitoneally injected with an overdose of pentobarbital.

#### Primary oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) culture

The method for primary OPCs culture has been described previously <sup>[46]</sup>. Briefly, P1-2 mouse pups were decapitated, followed by isolation of the cortex from the brain and digestion in a solution (13.6 mL PBS, 0.8 mL DNase I stock solution [0.2 mg/mL], and 0.6 mL of a trypsin stock solution [0.25%]). The tissues were sliced into approximately 1 mm<sup>3</sup> pieces using a sterilized razor blade, centrifuged at 100 × *g* for 5 min, and resuspended in DMEM20S medium (DMEM, 4 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 20% fetal bovine serum [FBS], 50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 µg/mL streptomycin). The tissue suspension was strained using a 70 µm nylon cell strainer, seeded in poly L-lysine-coated tissue culture flasks, and cultured in DMEM20S for 2 weeks (mixed culture). After that The flasks were shaken for 1 h at 200 rpm at 37 °C to remove microglial cells and for an additional 20 h to detach OPCs, and then seeded on poly-D-lysine-coated plates and cultured in OPC medium (DMEM, 4 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1% BSA, 50 µg/mL Apo-transferrin, 5 µg/mL insulin, 30 nM sodium selenite, 10 nM D-biotin, 10 nM hydrocortisone 10 ng/mL PDGF-AA, and 10 ng/mL bFGF).

### Preparation of protein

FABP7 with an N-terminal 6X His-tag (His-hFABP7) was expressed in E. coli DH5a competent cells using pET28a derived vector containing coding genes constructed using the In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit. is. The hFABP7 gene was inserted into pET28a using either the restriction sites NcoI and XhoI (hFABP7), or NdeI and XhoI (His-hFABP7). Expression vectors were confirmed by sequencing before their use for protein expression. For protein purification, after transformed cells were grown to  $OD_{600}=0.6\sim0.8$ , cells were additionally incubated with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, 1mM) for 15h at 37 °C. The cells were centrifuged (5000 rpm, 10min, 4 °C) and resuspended in Tris-HCl buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4, in a 10:- (w/v) ratio). Next, the resuspended cells were sonicated, and centrifugated (15000rpm, 10min, 4 °C); the collected supernatant was brought to 80% saturation by solid ammonium sulfate. The supernatant containing His-hFABP7 was resolubilized in Tris-HCl buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4). For His-hFABP7 extraction, His-tagged recombinant protein purification (His60 Ni gravity columns) was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. After column development, we pooled the fractions containing His-hFABP7 and added a 0.4-fold volume of diisopropyl ether: n-butanol (3:2) solution. The mixture was incubated at 4 °C with gentle agitation (20 rpm) for three cycles at 30 min intervals. The solution was desalted by sequential dialysis (5 mM, 3 mM, and 1 mM NH4HCO3) and finally lyophilized to obtain the delipidized, lyophilized His-hFABP7 protein.

#### Aggregates construction

Purified His-hFABP7 (0.2 mg / ml), and h $\alpha$ Syn (0.2 mg / ml) obtained from Abcam were incubated in Tris-HCl buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5) at 37 °C for 2h, 24h, and 2 days. The binding of FABP7 to

 $\alpha$ Syn was confirmed by western blotting. To separate aggregate fractions, the incubated protein mixture was collected and centrifuged using centrifugal filters Utracel-100K (Millipore) at 500 × g for 10 min. The filter was washed with PBS and centrifuged again at 500 × g for 10 min. Repeat it three times, the last residue on the filter is the aggregate with the molecular weight of over 100 kDa. Aggregates were desalted by sequential dialysis (5, 3, and 1 mM NH4HCO3) and finally lyophilized.

#### Endosome isolation

The endosomes from mouse brain were isolated using Trident Endosome Isolation Kit (GTX35192) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Cell culture

KG-1C human oligodendroglial cells (RRID: CVCL\_2971) were obtained from RIKEN BRC Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). Short-tandem repeat (STR) profiling of the cell lines was performed using JCRB. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/100 µg/mL) at 37°C under 5% CO2.

#### Stereotactic injection

For intracerebroventricular injections, the same titer  $(1.13 \times 10^{13} \text{ GC/ml})$  and equal amounts (2 µL per side) of viral particles or constructed aggregates (3 µL per mouse) were injected into the lateral ventricle at the following coordinates (anterior—0.7 mm; lateral±1; depth—2.5 mm relative to the bregma) through a Hamilton syringe (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA). For direct cerebellar injection, the same titer  $(1.13 \times 10^{13} \text{ GC/ml})$  and equal amounts (1 µL per mouse) of viral particles or constructed aggregates (1 µL per mouse) were injected into the cerebellum directly at the following coordinates (anterior—6 mm; lateral 0 mm; depth—2.8 mm relative to the bregma) through a Hamilton syringe (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA).

#### Protein extraction from brain tissue

Frozen tissues were subjected to lysis buffer containing 0.5% Triton-X100, 4 mM ethylene glycol, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 40 mM sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate, 0.15 M sodium chloride, 50  $\mu$ g / mL leupeptin, 25  $\mu$ g / mL peptatin A, 50  $\mu$ g / mL trypsin inhibitor, 100 nM cariculin A, 1 mM dithiothreitol. The tissues were then homogenized and sonicated. After centrifugation (15000 rpm, 10 min, 4 °C), the supernatant protein was collected, and the concentration was normalized using Bradford's assay. Then, the samples were mixed with 6 × Laemmli sample buffer containing no  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol and treated at 100 °C for approximately 3 min.

#### SDS-PAGE

Extracts (30 µg) were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using a readymade gel (Cosmo Bio Co., Ltd.) and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane in buffer containing 3.03 g/L Tris, 14.41 g/L glycine for 2 h. The membrane was then blocked with 5% defatted milk powder in TTBS solution containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20 for 1 h. Membranes were incubated overnight with primary antibodies at 4 °C. After washing three times with TTBS (10 min each time), the membrane was incubated with TTBS TTBS-diluted secondary antibody for 2 h at room temperature. The membrane was then incubated using an ECL detection system (Amersham Biosciences, NJ, USA), and intensity quantification was performed using Image Gauge software version 3.41 (Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan).

#### Slice and histochemistry

The mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused with PBS, followed by 4% PFA. The brain was fixed overnight with 4% PFA and sliced using a cryostat (Leica) (Twenty-micron brain sections). Immunofluorescence staining was performed as previously described<sup>[14]</sup>. The slice was permeated for 5 min using 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and then washed three times (10 min each time) and blocked with PBS / 1% BSA for 1 h. The primary antibodies were incubated overnight in blocking solution at 4 °C. After washing thrice with PBS and 1% BSA, a fluorescent secondary antibody was added. Finally, immunofluorescence images were analyzed using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (DMi8; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

#### Cell viability assay

Cell viability was measured using a cell counting kit (CCK) (Dojindo), according to the manufacturer's instructions. This assay is based on the clearance of the water-soluble tetrazolium salt, WST-8. It is reduced by intracellular dehydrogenase to produce a yellow formazan pigment. This dye is soluble in the tissue culture medium. The absorbance in living cells was measured at 400 nm and 450 nm.

### Y-maze task

Spatial memory was evaluated using a Y-maze task. A Y-shaped maze with three arms (50 cm long, 7.5 cm high, 10.5 cm wide) connected at an angle of 120 °was placed on the desk for use. The mouse was placed at the end of one arm and allowed to explore the maze freely for 8 min, and the positions of the arms to which the mouse moved were recorded in the selected order. The number of times the mouse moved to each arm within the specified time was counted and taken as the total number of arm selections. Based on this, we investigated the combination of three different arms selected in succession, and we were able to recognize this number as the number of alternating actions. In the quantitative calculation, the number of alternation actions is divided by the total number of arm selections minus two, and the value obtained by multiplying the value by 100 is used to obtain the alternation action rate (alterations (%)), which is used for voluntary alternation actions.

#### Rotarod task

A device consisting of iron rods (3 cm in diameter and 30 cm in length) was machined to prevent slipping. In the test, the mouse was placed on a rotating rod at 30 rpm and the time to fall was recorded for up to 300 s.

#### Beam walking task

A (155 mm x 160 mm x 5 mm) "goal box" was placed at the top of a rectangular beam (length:870 mm x width:5 mm) and both ends of the beam were fixed at 500 and 315 mm from the floor. For training, the mice were placed 10, 30, 50, and 80 cm from the goal and walked to the goal box. In the test, the mouse was placed 80 cm from the goal box, and the number of missed steps required to reach the goal box within 60 s was recorded.

### **Bioinformatics**

The original RNA sequencing data of PLP-haSyn mice and whole blood of MSA patients were obtained from Expression GSE129531. the Gene Omnibus (GEO: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE129531; GSE34287<sup>[26]</sup>. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi), and (GSM3449587<sup>[23, 24]</sup>, respectively. The data were processed using RStudio software. The original data for single-cell sequencing were obtained from the 241 Sequence Read (SRA) (GSM3449587)<sup>[23,</sup> Archive (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE121891) and were measured using PanglaoDB<sup>[47]</sup> (https://panglaodb.se/#google vignette).

#### Statistics

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). The normality assumption was examined using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the equal variance assumption was examined using the Brown-Forsythe test in GraphPad Prism 8. For data that passed these assumptions, significant differences were determined using Student's t-test for two-group comparisons (Fig. 21; Fig. 2n; Fig. S2b ; Fig. 3h; Fig. 4e; Fig. S4c; Fig. S6c) and by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for other multigroup comparisons, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

# List of Supplementary Materials

Fig. S1 to S7

Table S1 to S2

Full-size scans of western blots

### **Reference:**

- 1 Fanciulli A, Wenning GK. Multiple-System Atrophy. New England Journal of Medicine 2015; 372: 249-63.
- 2 Spillantini MG, Schmidt ML, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ, Jakes R, Goedert M. α-Synuclein in Lewy bodies. Nature 1997; 388: 839-40.
- 3 Spillantini MG, Crowther RA, Jakes R, Cairns NJ, Lantos PL, Goedert M. Filamentous alpha-synuclein inclusions link multiple system atrophy with Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurosci Lett 1998; 251: 205-8.
- 4 Tong J, Wong H, Guttman M, Ang LC, Forno LS, Shimadzu M, *et al.* Brain alphasynuclein accumulation in multiple system atrophy, Parkinson's disease and progressive supranuclear palsy: a comparative investigation. Brain 2010; 133: 172-88.
- 5 Klucken J, Ingelsson M, Shin Y, Irizarry MC, Hedley-Whyte ET, Frosch M, *et al.* Clinical and biochemical correlates of insoluble alpha-synuclein in dementia with Lewy bodies. Acta Neuropathol 2006; 111: 101-8.
- 6 Ubhi K, Low P, Masliah E. Multiple system atrophy: a clinical and neuropathological perspective. Trends Neurosci 2011; 34: 581-90.
- 7 Ozawa T, Okuizumi K, Ikeuchi T, Wakabayashi K, Takahashi H, Tsuji S. Analysis of the expression level of alpha-synuclein mRNA using postmortem brain samples from pathologically confirmed cases of multiple system atrophy. Acta Neuropathol 2001; 102: 188-90.
- 8 Miller DW, Johnson JM, Solano SM, Hollingsworth ZR, Standaert DG, Young AB. Absence of alpha-synuclein mRNA expression in normal and multiple system atrophy oligodendroglia. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2005; 112: 1613-24.
- 9 Kordower JH, Chu Y, Hauser RA, Freeman TB, Olanow CW. Lewy body-like pathology in long-term embryonic nigral transplants in Parkinson's disease. Nat Med 2008; 14: 504-6.
- 10 Lee SJ, Desplats P, Sigurdson C, Tsigelny I, Masliah E. Cell-to-cell transmission of nonprion protein aggregates. Nat Rev Neurol 2010; 6: 702-6.
- 11 Lee HJ, Suk JE, Patrick C, Bae EJ, Cho JH, Rho S, *et al.* Direct transfer of alpha-synuclein from neuron to astroglia causes inflammatory responses in synucleinopathies. J Biol Chem 2010; 285: 9262-72.
- 12 Reyes JF, Rey NL, Bousset L, Melki R, Brundin P, Angot E. Alpha-synuclein transfers from neurons to oligodendrocytes. Glia 2014; 62: 387-98.
- 13 Jia W, Wilar G, Kawahata I, Cheng A, Fukunaga K. Impaired Acquisition of Nicotine-Induced Conditioned Place Preference in Fatty Acid-Binding Protein 3 Null Mice. Molecular Neurobiology 2021; 58: 2030-45.
- 14 Cheng A, Jia W, Kawahata I, Fukunaga K. A novel fatty acid-binding protein 5 and 7 inhibitor ameliorates oligodendrocyte injury in multiple sclerosis mouse models. EBioMedicine 2021; 72: 103582.
- 15 Shioda N, Yabuki Y, Kobayashi Y, Onozato M, Owada Y, Fukunaga K. FABP3 protein promotes α-synuclein oligomerization associated with 1-methyl-1,2,3,6tetrahydropiridine-induced neurotoxicity. J Biol Chem 2014; 289: 18957-65.
- 16 Shioda N, Yamamoto Y, Watanabe M, Binas B, Owada Y, Fukunaga K. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein regulates dopamine D2 receptor function in mouse brain. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 3146-55.
- 17 Cheng A, Wang Y-f, Shinoda Y, Kawahata I, Yamamoto T, Jia W-b, *et al.* Fatty acidbinding protein 7 triggers α-synuclein oligomerization in glial cells and oligodendrocytes associated with oxidative stress. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 2022; 43: 552-62.
- 18 Kagawa Y, Yasumoto Y, Sharifi K, Ebrahimi M, Islam A, Miyazaki H, *et al.* Fatty acidbinding protein 7 regulates function of caveolae in astrocytes through expression of caveolin-1. Glia 2015; 63: 780-94.

- 19 Lee H-J, Ricarte D, Ortiz D, Lee S-J. Models of multiple system atrophy. Experimental & Molecular Medicine 2019; 51: 1-10.
- 20 Kahle PJ, Neumann M, Ozmen L, Muller V, Jacobsen H, Spooren W, *et al.* Hyperphosphorylation and insolubility of alpha-synuclein in transgenic mouse oligodendrocytes. EMBO Rep 2002; 3: 583-8.
- 21 Refolo V, Bez F, Polissidis A, Kuzdas-Wood D, Sturm E, Kamaratou M, *et al.* Progressive striatonigral degeneration in a transgenic mouse model of multiple system atrophy: translational implications for interventional therapies. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2018; 6: 2.
- 22 Gilman S, Wenning GK, Low PA, Brooks DJ, Mathias CJ, Trojanowski JQ, *et al.* Second consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. Neurology 2008; 71: 670-6.
- 23 Ung K, Huang TW, Lozzi B, Woo J, Hanson E, Pekarek B, *et al.* Olfactory bulb astrocytes mediate sensory circuit processing through Sox9 in the mouse brain. Nat Commun 2021; 12: 5230.
- 24 Tepe B, Hill MC, Pekarek BT, Hunt PJ, Martin TJ, Martin JF, *et al.* Single-Cell RNA-Seq of Mouse Olfactory Bulb Reveals Cellular Heterogeneity and Activity-Dependent Molecular Census of Adult-Born Neurons. Cell Rep 2018; 25: 2689-703.e3.
- 25 Langridge PD, Struhl G. Epsin-Dependent Ligand Endocytosis Activates Notch by Force. Cell 2017; 171: 1383-96.e12.
- 26 Potashkin JA, Santiago JA, Ravina BM, Watts A, Leontovich AA. Biosignatures for Parkinson's disease and atypical parkinsonian disorders patients. PLoS One 2012; 7: e43595.
- 27 Chen H, Ko G, Zatti A, Di Giacomo G, Liu L, Raiteri E, *et al.* Embryonic arrest at midgestation and disruption of Notch signaling produced by the absence of both epsin 1 and epsin 2 in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106: 13838-43.
- 28 Ortiz JF, Betté S, Tambo W, Tao F, Cozar JC, Isaacson S. Multiple System Atrophy -Cerebellar Type: Clinical Picture and Treatment of an Often-Overlooked Disorder. Cureus 2020; 12: e10741.
- 29 Rusholt EHL, Salvesen L, Brudek T, Tesfay B, Pakkenberg B, Olesen MV. Pathological changes in the cerebellum of patients with multiple system atrophy and Parkinson's disease-a stereological study. Brain Pathol 2020; 30: 576-88.
- 30 Kuo SH, Lin CY, Wang J, Sims PA, Pan MK, Liou JY, *et al.* Climbing fiber-Purkinje cell synaptic pathology in tremor and cerebellar degenerative diseases. Acta Neuropathol 2017; 133: 121-38.
- 31 Brettschneider J, Irwin DJ, Boluda S, Byrne MD, Fang L, Lee EB, *et al.* Progression of alpha-synuclein pathology in multiple system atrophy of the cerebellar type. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2017; 43: 315-29.
- 32 Wenning GK, Stefanova N, Jellinger KA, Poewe W, Schlossmacher MG. Multiple system atrophy: a primary oligodendrogliopathy. Ann Neurol 2008; 64: 239-46.
- 33 Ishizawa K, Komori T, Arai N, Mizutani T, Hirose T. Glial cytoplasmic inclusions and tissue injury in multiple system atrophy: A quantitative study in white matter (olivopontocerebellar system) and gray matter (nigrostriatal system). Neuropathology 2008; 28: 249-57.
- 34 Louis ED, Kuo SH, Vonsattel JP, Faust PL. Torpedo formation and Purkinje cell loss: modeling their relationship in cerebellar disease. Cerebellum 2014; 13: 433-9.
- 35 Hoffmann A, Ettle B, Battis K, Reiprich S, Schlachetzki JCM, Masliah E, et al. Oligodendroglial α-synucleinopathy-driven neuroinflammation in multiple system atrophy. Brain Pathol 2019; 29: 380-96.

- 36 Peelaerts W, Bousset L, Van der Perren A, Moskalyuk A, Pulizzi R, Giugliano M, et al. α-Synuclein strains cause distinct synucleinopathies after local and systemic administration. Nature 2015; 522: 340-4.
- 37 Prusiner SB, Woerman AL, Mordes DA, Watts JC, Rampersaud R, Berry DB, *et al.* Evidence for  $\alpha$ -synuclein prions causing multiple system atrophy in humans with parkinsonism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015; 112: E5308-17.
- 38 Tanudjojo B, Shaikh SS, Fenyi A, Bousset L, Agarwal D, Marsh J, *et al.* Phenotypic manifestation of α-synuclein strains derived from Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy in human dopaminergic neurons. Nat Commun 2021; 12: 3817.
- 39 Yamasaki TR, Holmes BB, Furman JL, Dhavale DD, Su BW, Song ES, *et al.* Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy have distinct α-synuclein seed characteristics. J Biol Chem 2019; 294: 1045-58.
- 40 Volpicelli-Daley LA, Luk KC, Patel TP, Tanik SA, Riddle DM, Stieber A, *et al.* Exogenous α-synuclein fibrils induce Lewy body pathology leading to synaptic dysfunction and neuron death. Neuron 2011; 72: 57-71.
- 41 Luk KC, Kehm V, Carroll J, Zhang B, O'Brien P, Trojanowski JQ, *et al.* Pathological αsynuclein transmission initiates Parkinson-like neurodegeneration in nontransgenic mice. Science 2012; 338: 949-53.
- 42 Kawahata I, Sekimori T, Wang H, Wang Y, Sasaoka T, Bousset L, *et al.* Dopamine D2 Long Receptors Are Critical for Caveolae-Mediated α-Synuclein Uptake in Cultured Dopaminergic Neurons. Biomedicines 2021; 9: 49.
- 43 Garcia-Alai MM, Heidemann J, Skruzny M, Gieras A, Mertens HDT, Svergun DI, *et al.* Epsin and Sla2 form assemblies through phospholipid interfaces. Nature Communications 2018; 9: 328.
- 44 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 2012; 9: 676-82.
- 45 Shukla JJ, Stefanova N, Bush AI, McColl G, Finkelstein DI, McAllum EJ. Therapeutic potential of iron modulating drugs in a mouse model of multiple system atrophy. Neurobiol Dis 2021; 159: 105509.
- 46 Chen Y, Balasubramaniyan V, Peng J, Hurlock EC, Tallquist M, Li J, *et al.* Isolation and culture of rat and mouse oligodendrocyte precursor cells. Nature Protocols 2007; 2: 1044-51.
- 47 Franzén O, Gan LM, Björkegren JLM. PanglaoDB: a web server for exploration of mouse and human single-cell RNA sequencing data. Database (Oxford) 2019; 2019.

# Acknowledgments:

We thank the Uehara Memorial Foundation, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) (grant number JPMJCR21P1), and Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (grant numbers JP20dm0107071; 22ym0126095h0001) for their financial support.

# Fundings:

This work was supported by the Strategic Research Program for Brain Sciences of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (grant number JP20dm0107071; 22ym0126095h0001), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, KAKENHI (22K06644) and Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) (grant number JPMJCR21P1).

# Author contributions:

An Cheng, developed the concept, planned and performed experiments, analyzed data, and original draft writing; Ichiro Kawahata, analyzed experiments; Yifei Wang, recombinant protein purification; Wenbin Jia, methodology and mouse behaviors; Tomoki Sekimori, cell culture; Yi Chen, methodology; Nadia Stefanova, manuscript review; David I Finkelstein, animals; Wenbo Ma, methodology; Min Chen, methodology; Takuya

Sasaki and Kohji Fukunaga, supervision, project administration, funding, and manuscript review and editing. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript. A. Cheng and K. Fukunaga verified the data and had the final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

#### **Competing interests:**

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

#### Data and materials availability

The materials used in this study are available commercially. The data supporting the findings of this study are available in the article and supplementary material. The data in Fig. 1b, and Fig. 3a, c, and d were based on publicly available data from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; GSE129531, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE129531; GSE34287<sup>[26]</sup>, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi). The data in Fig. 3b and Fig. S5 were based on publicly (GSM3449587<sup>[23,</sup> 24] available data from the Gene Expression Omnibus https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE121891) and measured by PanglaoDB<sup>[47]</sup> (https://panglaodb.se/#google vignette). The schematic diagrams in this work were drawn by Biorender (https://biorender.com/) with a publication license. The readers are welcome to contact the corresponding author for the raw data used in this study.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3





#### **Figure legends**

#### Fig. 1: FABP7 related to MSA pathology and participated in aSyn aggregation.

**a** Scheme of PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice. **b** KEGG analysis of pathways enriched for genes that are differentially expressed in the PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mouse brain. **c** Western blot analysis of  $\alpha$ Syn (left) and FABP7 (right) in the cerebellar extracts of PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice. **d** Confocal images show the colocalization of FABP7 (green), h $\alpha$ Syn (red), and Olig2 (blue) in oligodendrocytes in the basial ganglia and cerebellum of PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice; **e** Confocal images showing the colocalization of GABA (green), h $\alpha$ Syn (red), and DAPI (blue) in the cerebellum of PLP-h $\alpha$ Syn mice; basket cells (circled by red), Purkinje cells (circled by white). **f** Western blot analysis of  $\alpha$ Syn and FABP7, human- $\alpha$ Syn, and human-FABP7 incubated in Tris-HCl (10 mM, pH7.5) for two days. **g** Scheme of the filter used to separate the recombinant proteins. **h** Western blot analysis of  $\alpha$ Syn and FABP7. Mixtures were separated into two fractions, below 100 kDa or over 100 kDa. **i** Cell viability analysis of KG-1C cells using the CCK assay. The cells were cultured in separate fractions at a final concentration of 5 µg/ml for 24 h. The data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean and were obtained using one-way analysis of variance. <sup>#</sup>P < 0.05, \*P < 0.05, and \*\*P < 0.01.  $\alpha$ Syn, alpha-synuclein. FABP7, fatty acid-binding protein 7; NS, non-significant; GCL, granule cell layer; PCL, Purkinje cell layer; ML, molecular layer.

#### Fig. 2: FABP7/a-syn hetero-aggregates suggest motor nerve related toxicity in vivo.

a Scheme of aggregate injection and experimental protocol. Purified aggregates were injected into the lateral ventricles at  $3\mu g$  per mouse. **b** Co-immunoprecipitation of the 6X His-tag and  $\alpha$ Syn in tissue lysates of the olfactory bulb. The extracts were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-His or anti- $\alpha$ Syn antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were then immunoblotted (IB) with anti-His or anti- $\alpha$ Syn antibodies. As a control, His and  $\alpha$ Syn immunoreactive bands and tissue extracts (input) are shown on the left. **c** Confocal images showing the distribution of aggregates in the whole brain (anti-6X His-tag) after injection for 8 weeks. d-g Behavioral tasks were carried out at the beginning and after aggregate injection for 2, 4, and 8 weeks. h Confocal images showing the colocalization of Olig2 (green), 6X His-tag (red), and FABP7 (blue) in oligodendrocytes. i Confocal images show accumulated FABP7/α-syn hetero-aggregates (6x his-tag, red) in Purkinje cells (calbindin, green) j Confocal images show decreased MBP levels in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates injected cerebellum. k Western blot analysis of MBP and \beta-tubulin. l Quantification of k suggested decreased MBP levels in FABP7/ $\alpha$ -syn hetero-aggregates injected into the cerebellum. **m** Quantification of calbindin-positive cells and calbindin/6X His-tag double-positive cells, suggesting decreased numbers of calbindin-positive cells and increased numbers of calbindin/6X His-tag double-positive cells. The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean and were obtained using Student's t-test and two-way analysis of variance. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and #P < 0.05.  $\alpha$ Syn, alpha-synuclein; FABP7, fatty acid-binding protein 7; MBP, myelin basic protein; NS, nonsignificant. BG, basal ganglia; OB, olfactory bulb.

#### Fig. 3: Scanning for potential receptors.

a Overlapping Venn diagram of transcriptomic differential genes in two candidate gene databases. b UMAP dimensional reduction visualizations of 5240 cells from the olfactory bulb (mouse strainC57BL/6NJ). The color represents the normalized log expression of the selected genes. Each point represents a single cell. Original data were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; GSM3449587), and sequencing data were handled using PanglaoDB (https://panglaodb.se/). These results suggest that six genes (ENP2, FOXO3, KRT4, SLC27A3, SLC1A2, and RAB27B) were expressed in both oligodendrocytes and Purkinje neurons. c Protein-protein correlation analysis for the six selected genes, revealing two specific interactions, marked with a star symbol. d Volcano plot of the log<sub>2</sub> fold-change (n=3) on the X-axis and log<sup>10</sup> of the p-value derived using two-way ANOVA. Each point represents an individual mRNA expression. Colored points represent significantly changed mRNA, and gray points represent non-significant mRNA (p < 0.05,  $|log2 FC| \ge 0.01$ ). e Co-immunoprecipitation of Epsin-2 and FABP7 in tissue lysates of the cerebellum. Extracts were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-Epsin-2 or anti-FABP7 antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were then immunoblotted (IB) with an anti-Epsin-2 antibody or anti-FABP7 antibody. f Confocal images showing the distribution of epsin-2 (red) in oligodendrocytes (olig2, green), and DAPI (blue). g Quantification of G suggested increased levels of olig2 and epsin-2 merged areas in the cerebellum and basal ganglia. h Confocal images showing the distribution of epsin-2 (red) in Purkinje neurons (olig2, green), and DAPI (blue). i Quantification of h suggested increased levels of epsin-2 in Purkinje neurons. The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean and were obtained using the Student's t-test. \*\*P < 0.01.  $\alpha$ Syn, alpha-

synuclein; FABP7, fatty acid-binding protein 7; MBP, myelin basic protein; NS, nonsignificant; BG, basal ganglia; OB, olfactory bulb.

### Fig. 4: Knock-down of epsin-2 decreased FABP7/a-syn hetero-aggregates dependent toxicity.

a Scheme of aggregates, AAV injection, and experimental protocol. b Confocal images showing the distribution of AAV (green) in Purkinje neurons (calbindin, red), oligodendrocytes (olig2, red) and DAPI (blue) in the cerebellum. c Quantification of B, suggested decreased levels of hαSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates uptake in purkinje neurons and oligodendrocytes in AAV injected mice. **d** Western blot analysis of  $\alpha$ Syn, epsin-2, and  $\beta$ -tubulin, suggested deceased levels of  $\alpha$ Syn in episn-2 knock-down mouse. e, f Behavioral tasks were carried out at the beginning and after aggregates or AAV injection for 2, 4, and 8 weeks. g Scheme of Purkinje neuron morphology. h Confocal images showing the calbindin in green, DAPI in blue. i, j Verify Purkinje neuron dendrites by length. k Quantification of total dendrites of Purkinje neuron, suggested improved Purkinje neuron morphology by episn-2 knock-down. I Confocal images showing EEA1 (green) expression in cerebellum. m Confocal images showing colocalization of EEA1 and αSyn in FABP7/α-syn hetero-aggregates injected mouse. n Scheme of endosome isolation from Injected cerebellum (left) and confocal images showing colocalization of EEA1, epsin-2, and  $\alpha$ Syn. **o** Fluorescence intensity change in specific area. **p** Western blot analysis of FABP7, h- $\alpha$ Syn, EEA1, and  $\beta$ -tubulin in endosome fractions and cytosolic fractions. **q**, **r** Quantification of **p** suggested decreased levels of aSyn in both endosome and cytosol fractions isolated from episn-2 knock-down mouse. s Schematic representation of the pathways of  $\alpha$ Syn accumulation and propagation in cerebellum. Injected  $\alpha$ Syn aggregates were selectively take up by oligodendrocytes and Purkinje cells via episn-2-dependent endocytosis thereby causing demyelination and Purkinje cell loss in cerebellum. The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean and were obtained using Student's t-test and two-way analysis of variance \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, #P < 0.05, and #P < 0.05, and \*\*P < 0.050.01. αSyn, alpha-synuclein; FABP7, fatty acid-binding protein 7; MBP, myelin basic protein; NS, nonsignificant; PC, Purkinje cell; PCL, Purkinje cell layer; BG, Bergmann glia; GCL, granule cell layer; BC, basket cell; OLG, oligodendrocytes; AP2, adaptor protein 2; ML, molecular layer; WM, white mater.

# **Supplementary Materials**

# Caption

- 1. Supplementary Figures (page 3-9)
- 2. Supplementary Tables (page 10-15)
- 3. Full-size scans of western blots (page 16-17)



**Figure S1.** Purkinje cells also revealed MSA pathology association. a, Confocal images show h- $\alpha$ Syn in red, calbindin in green, DAPI in blue. h- $\alpha$ Syn localized in purkinje cells in PLP mouse. b, c Quantification of calbindin positive cells, of calbindin/h- $\alpha$ Syn- positive cells In PLP mouse the numbers of purkinje cells significantly decreased compared with control group and the calbindin/h- $\alpha$ Syn- positive cells increased significantly in PLP mouse. The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  the standard error of the mean, were obtained using Unpaired Student's t-test. \*P < 0.05 ; \*\*P < 0.01.  $\alpha$ Syn, alphasynuclein.

# **Figures S2**



**Figure S2**. Recombinant His-hFABP7 suggests no obvious toxicity towards oligodendrocytes. a, Western blot analysis of  $\alpha$ Syn and FABP7, human- $\alpha$ Syn and human-FABP7 were incubated in Tris-HCI (10 mM, pH=7.5) for two days. Incubation of His-hfabp7 only were not able to trigger high molecular weight aggregates. b, Cell viability analysis of KG-1C cells, based on a CCK assay. Cells cultured treated with separated fractions at a final concentrations of 5 µg/ml, for 24 h. The data are presented as the mean ± the standard error of the mean, were obtained using Unpaired Student's t-test. \*\*P < 0.01.  $\alpha$ Syn, alpha-synuclein. CCK, cell counting kit-8; FABP7, fatty acid binding protein 7.



**Figure S3.** High molecular weight h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates are not selectively taken up by neurons. a, Scheme of aggregates injection,  $\alpha$ Syn/FABP7 aggregates were injected into Lateral Ventricles for 3µg per mouse. b, c, d, e, Confocal images show His-tagged aggregates in red, NeuN in green, DAPI in blue. h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates are not selectively taken up by neurons in cortex, BG, hippocampus and cerebellum. But a small number of aggregates were taken up by neurons in OB. BG, basal ganglia; OB, olfactory bulb.



Figure S4. Expression of epsin-2 in neurons and astrocytes. a, b Confocal images show epsin-2 in red, NeuN or GFAP in green, DAPI in blue. Epsin-2 does not express in astrocytes but some part of neurons or in BG and cortex of control mouse and  $h\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates injected mouse. BG, basal ganglia.

# **Figures S5**

Mouse strain=C57BL/6NJ (SRS3990017)



**Figure S5.** UMAP dimensional reduction visualizations of 5240 cells from olfactory bulb (mouse strain=C57BL/6NJ). Color represents normalized log expression of selected genes. Each point represents a cell. Original data were obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; GSM3449587) and Sequencing data was handled using PanglaoDB (https://panglaodb.se/).

# **Figures S6**



Figure S6. Downregulation of epsin2 decreased h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates uptake in OPCs. A, Schematic diagram and treatment procedure of OPCs from the cortex of P1-2 mouse pups. B, Confocal images show aggregates in red, AAV in green. C, Quantification of B, suggested that the levels of h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates uptake in OPCs decreased by epsin2 knock down. The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  the standard error of the mean, were obtained using Unpaired Student's t-test. \*\*P < 0.01.  $\alpha$ Syn, alpha-synuclein.

# **Figures S7**



Figure S7: Knock down of epsin-2 decreased FABP7/α-syn heteroaggregates uptake. a, Scheme of aggregates, and AAV injection and experimental protocol. b, Confocal images show distribution of AAV (green), epsin-2 (red), and DAPI (blue) in cerebellum. c, Behavioral tasks were carried out at beginning and after aggregates or AAV injection for 1 week, 2 weeks and 3 weeks. d, Confocal images show the propagation of injected aggregates in cerebellum. e, Quantification of d, suggested decreased levels of hαSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates uptake in purkinje neurons and oligodendrocytes in AAV injected mice. The data are presented as the mean ± the standard error of the mean, were obtained using student's t-test and two-way analysis of variance \*P < 0.05 and \*\*P < 0.01. αSyn, alpha-synuclein; FABP7, fatty acid binding protein 7; MBP, myelin basic protein; NS, nonsignificant.

# Table S1; Raw data for Go and KEGG pathway analysis in Figure 1B

Category	Term	Count	%	PValue	Pop Hits	Pop Total	Fold Enrichment
KEGG	Olfactory transduction	120	4.28878	2.64E-08	1167	8977	1.628015578
KEGG	Pancreatic secretion	22	0.78628	7.29E-06	114	8977	3.055385377
KEGG	Salivary secretion	16	0.57184	2.40E-04	85	8977	2.980226165
KEGG	Yersinia infection	19	0.67906	0.00181	134	8977	2.244899839
KEGG	Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	37	1.32237	0.01135	386	8977	1.517618408
KEGG	Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling	18	0.64332	0.01169	148	8977	1.925568426
KEGG	Relaxin signaling pathway	16	0.57184	0.01554	129	8977	1.963714915
KEGG	Human cytomegalovirus infection	25	0.8935	0.03255	256	8977	1.546137842
KEGG	Spinocerebellar ataxia	16	0.57184	0.03195	141	8977	1.796590241
KEGG	Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	13	0.46462	0.02297	100	8977	2.058218695

# Table S2; Extended Data

Figure 1 i (left)			
Statistical analysis		F (DFn, DFd)	P value
One-way ANOVA with Tukey`s multiple co	mparisons test	F (2, 15)=8.055	P=0.0042
Comparison	q	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW<100kDa	1.572	15	0.522
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW<100kDa	3.938	15	0.035
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW<100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW<100kDa	5.509	15	0.0039
Figure 1 i (right)			
Statistical analysis		F (DFn, DFd)	P value
One-way ANOVA with Tukey`s multiple co	mparisons test	F (2, 15)=18.31	P<0.0001
Comparison	q	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	4.611	15	0.0137
Control vs hα Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW >100kDa	8.549	15	< 0.0001
h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	3.937	15	0.035
Figure 2 d			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
	Row Factor	F(1.720, 10.32) = 7.869	P=0.0101
Two-way ANOVA withTukey`s multiple	Column Factor	F(1.373, 8.240) = 27.46	P=0.0004
comparisons test	Row Factor x Column Factor	F (2.128, 12.77) = 4.798	P=0.0264
Comparison		0 weeks	
	q	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.252	6	0.6682
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW >100kDa	0.2048	6	0.9885
h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	2.187	6	0.3367
		2 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	1.453	6	0.5882
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW >100kDa	2.233	6	0.324
h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.159	6	0.7056
		4 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.993	6	0.3945
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW >100kDa	5.587	6	0.0177
h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	3.069	6	0.1555
		8 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	5.572	6	0.0179
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW >100kDa	5.795	6	0.015
h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.6513	6	0.8917

Figure 2 e			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
	Row Factor	F(1.916, 11.50) = 14.71	P = 0.0007
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Column Factor	F(1.459, 8.752) = 32.90	P=0.0002
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Row Factor x Column Factor	F (3.244, 19.46) = 6.781	P=0.0022
Comparison		0 weeks	
companion	a	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.5941	6	0.9087
Control vs hαSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa			
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.5941	6	0.9087
		2 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	1.148	6	0.7102
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.786	6	0.4634
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	1 124	6	0 7197
MW>100kDa	1.1.0.1		0.11271
Control to be Service MWN 1001D	2 101	4 weeks	0.2257
Control vs II $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	2.191	0	0.3330
Control vs n $\alpha$ Syn/ his-nrADP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	5.056	0	0.0272
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	1.936	6	0.4126
WW > TOOKDa		8 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	4.648	6	0.0383
Control vs h $\alpha$ Svn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	11.88	6	0.0004
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates: MW>100kDa ys h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates:			
MW>100kDa	5.477	6	0.0193
Figure 2 f			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
, ,, ,	Row Factor	F(6,72) = 2.469	P=0.0315
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Column Factor	F(3, 72) = 3.600	P=0.0175
	Row Factor x Column Factor	F(2,72) = 2.053	P=0.1358
Comparison		0 wooks	
Comparison	a	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0	72	>0.9999
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.1966	72	0.9894
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	0.10//	70	0.0004
MW>100kDa	0.1966	12	0.9894
		2 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.9832	72	0.767
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.376	72	0.596
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	0.3933	72	0.9583
MW > 100 kDa			
Control up h a Sun accuration MW > 100hDa	1 276	4 weeks	0 506
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn /Hig bEABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	1.370	72	0.390
h = Concrete MWN 100LD == h = Concrete Laboration	1.10	12	0.0031
$\pi \alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs $\pi \alpha$ Syn/ms-mrADP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	0.1966	72	0.9894
		8 weeks	
Control vs h α Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.966	72	0.3512
Control vs hαSyn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	5.703	72	0.0004
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	2.727	70	0.027
MW>100kDa	5.750	12	0.027
Figure 2 g			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
	Row Factor	F(6,72) = 0.8689	P=0.5221
Two-way ANOVA withTukey`s multiple comparisons test	Column Factor	F(3, 72) = 3.589	P=0.0177
	Row Factor x Column Factor	F(2, 72) = 0.5345	P=0.5883
Comparison		0 weeks	
	q	DF	P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.1792	72	0.9912
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.1971	72	0.9893
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	0.01792	72	>0 9999
MW>100kDa			
Control up h a Sun accuración MW > 100hDa	1 416	2 weeks	0 5795
Control vs h a Syn /His hEADD7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	0.5100	12	0.3703
Control vs in a Syn/ His-firADr / aggregates; MW > 100kDa	0.3190	12	0.9203
n $\alpha$ syn aggregates; WW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	1.936	72	0.3626
1111 × 100KDa		4 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.8065	72	0.8363
Control vs h α Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates: MW>100kDa	1.819	72	0.4075
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;			
MW>100kDa	1.013	72	0.7548
		8 weeks	
Control vs hα Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa	0.8244	72	0.8297
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	1.425	72	0.5746
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates;	2.249	72	0.2563
MW>100kDa			

Figure 21					
Statistical analysis		Ur	npaireo	d Student`s t-test	
Comparison	t			DF	P value
Control mouse vs Injected mouse	4.8	17		10	0.0007
Figure 2 m (left)					
Statistical analysis		Uı	npaire	d Student`s t-test	
Comparison	t		•	DF	P value
Control mouse vs Injected mouse	2.9	92		10	0.0135
Figure 2 m (right)					
Statistical analysis		Ur	npaireo	d Student`s t-test	
Comparison	t		T	DF	P value
Control mouse vs Injected mouse	8.5	51		10	< 0.0001
Figure 3 g (Cerebellum)					
Statistical analysis			Unn	aired Student`s t-test	
Comparison		t	•p	DF	P value
Control mouse vs injeced mouse		2.774		14	0.0149
Figure 3 g (BG)					
Statistical analysis			Unn	aired Student's t-test	
Comparison		t	Unp	DF	P value
Control mouse vs injeced mouse		3.805		14	0.0019
Figure 3 g (OB)					
Statistical analysis			Unn	aired Student's t-test	
Comparison		t	Onp	DF	P value
Control mouse vs injeced mouse		1.528		14	0.1489
Figure 3 i					
Statistical analysis			IInn	aired Student's t test	
Comparison		t	Onp	DF	P value
Control mouse vs injeced mouse		2.179		14	0.0469
Figure 4 c		2.1.1			010103
Statistical analysis		Interaction		F(DEn DEd)	Pwalue
		Interaction		F(1, 28) = 0.2080	P=0.6519
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple compa	risons	Row Factor		F (1, 28) = 17.80	P=0.0002
test	С	olumn Factor		F(1, 28) = 4.693	P=0.0390
Comparison			Ce	ells of Calbindin/syn positi	ve
		t		DF	P value
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injec	tion	3.305	04	28 alls of Calbindin/sun positiv	0.0052
Comparison		t	C		P value
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injec	tion	2.26		28	0.0254
Figure 4e (Ream-walking	)				
Statiatical analysis	/	Intoroctic			Duralua
Statistical analysis		Row Factor x	<b>m</b> Time	F(DFII, DFU) F(6, 48) = 6.007	P<0.0001
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comp	arisons test	Row Facto	or	F(3, 48) = 13.30	P<0.0001
		Time		F(2, 48) = 14.16	P<0.0001
Comparison				0 weeks	
		q		DF	P value
Control vs aggregates injection		0.5164		48	0.9293
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	1 joction	0 5164		48	>0.9999
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV in	Jection	0.5104		2 weeks	0.9293
Control vs aggregates injection		1.033		48	0.7468
Control vs aggregates + AAV injection	ı	0		48	>0.9999
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV in	jection	1.033		48	0.7468
				4 weeks	
Control vs aggregates injection		4.131		48	0.0144
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	1	2.066		48	0.3187
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV in	jection	2.066		48	0.3187
		10.00		8 weeks	.0.0001
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100	N > 1001 D	10.33		48	< 0.0001
b $\alpha$ Syn aggregates: MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/H	lis-hFARP7	0.713		40	<0.0001
aggregates: MW > 100kDa	us in ADI /	3.615		48	0.0362

Figure 4f (rotarod)								
Statistical analysis		Inte	raction		F(DFn, DFd)		Ρv	alue
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Row Fac	tor x Tin	ne H	F(6, 48) = 4.309		P=0	.0015
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey`s multiple comparisons	test	Row	Factor	F	F(3, 48) = 13.33		P<0	.0001
		Т	ìime	F	F(2, 48) = 13.63		P<0	.0001
Comparison			-		0 wee	ks	D	-1
Control vs aggregates injection		0.4	<b>q</b> 5164		DF 48		PV	
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection		0	0		48		>0.	9999
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection		0.5	5164		48		>0.	9999
					2 wee	ks		
Control vs aggregates injection		1.	.033		48		0.7	892
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection			0		48		0.0	663
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection		1.	.033		48		0.9	758
		4	101		4 wee	ks	0.0	0.07
Control vs aggregates injection		4.	.131		48		0.0	037 452
aggregates injection vs aggregates + A AV injection		2.	.000		40		0.3	455 218
aggregates injection vs aggregates (Thrv injection		2.	.000		-10 8 wee	ks	0.1	210
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa		10	0.33		48		<0.	0001
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100	)kDa	6.	.713		48		0.0	013
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFA	BP7	3	615		48		0.0	364
aggregates; MW>100kDa		5.	.015		40		0.0	301
Figure 4 j								
Statistical analysis	;				F (DI	'n, DFd)		P value
One-way ANOVA with Tukey`s multi	ple con	nparisor	ns test		F (2, 27	7) = 42.94		P<0.0001
Comparison	1			q		DF		P value
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW<100	)kDa		13	3.08		27		< 0.0001
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV in	niection	L	5.	773		27		0.001
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	n		7.	303		27		< 0.0001
Figure / a								
Statistical analysis			T	nnaired	Student`s t-1	est		
Commencial analysis			0	iipaireu		.51	г	
Comparison		t			o		r	value
Control mouse vs PLP mouse		5.094		8			U	.0009
Figure 4 r								
Statistical analysis			U	npaired	l Student`s t-1	est		
Comparison		t			DF		P	value
Control mouse vs PLP mouse		6.341			8		C	.0002
Figure S1 b								
Statistical analysis			U	npaired	l Student`s t-1	est		
Comparison		t	-	P	DF		P	value
Control mouse vs PLP mouse		2 992			10		1	0135
Figure S1 a		2.))2			10		U	.0135
				· · ·				
Statistical analysis			U	npaired	Student st-1	est		
Comparison		t			DF		F	value
Control mouse vs PLP mouse		4.045			10		C	.0023
Figure S2 b (left)								
Statistical analysis				Unpai	ired Student`s	-test		
Comparison		t			DF		P	value
Control mouse vs PLP mouse		3.1	54		14		0	.007
Figure S5 c								
Statistical analysis			U	npaired	l Student`s t-1	est		
Comparison		t		1	DF		P	value
Control mouse vs PI P mouse		4 828			26		-	0.0001
Control mouse vs i Li mouse		1.020			20		-	0.0001

Figure S6 c (Beam-walking)			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
	Row Factor x Tin	me $F(6, 32) = 4.560$	P=0.0019
Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Row Factor	F(3, 16) = 8.974	P=0.0010
	Time	F(2, 32) = 36.04	< 0.0001
Comparison		0 weeks	
•	t	DF	P value
Control vs aggregates injection	0	32	>0.9999
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	0.4629	32	>0.9999
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	0.4629	32	>0.9999
		1 weeks	
Control vs aggregates injection	4 629	32	0.0007
Control vs aggregates + A AV injection	3 703	32	0.0096
	0.0050	20	0.0054
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	0.9258	32	0.9954
		2 weeks	
Control vs aggregates injection	5.555	32	< 0.0001
Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	4.629	32	0.0007
aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	0.9258	32	0.9954
		3 weeks	
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	6.018	32	< 0.0001
Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa	4.629	32	0.0007
h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates: MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7			
aggregates: MW > 100kDa	1.389	32	0.8999
Figure S6 a (Patarad)			
rigule So C (Rotalou)			
Statistical analysis	Interaction	F(DFn, DFd)	P value
	Row Factor x Tin	me $F(6, 32) = 3.281$	P=0.0125
I wo-way ANOVA with I ukey's multiple comparisons test	Row Factor	F(3, 16) = 14.92	P<0.0001
0	I ime	F(2, 32) = 25.39	P<0.0001
Lomporteon		U Weeks	
Companson		DE	D 1
Comparison	t	DF	<b>P value</b>
Control vs aggregates injection	<b>t</b> 0	DF 32	<b>P value</b> >0.9999
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	<b>t</b> 0 0	DF 32 32	<b>P value</b> >0.9999 >0.9999
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	<b>t</b> 0 0 0	<b>DF</b> 32 32 32 32	<b>P value</b> >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 0	DF         32         33         33         33         34         35         35         35         35         35         35         35         35         35         35         35         36<	<b>P value</b> >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection	t 0 0 0 3.415	DF         32         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         33         34         35<	P value           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           0.0208
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 3.415 2.458	DF         32<	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572	DF 32 32 32 32 1 weeks 32 32 32 32 32	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572	DF         32<	P value           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           0.0208           0.2112           0.9938
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572	DF 32 32 32 32 32 1 weeks 32 32 32 32 2 weeks 22	P value           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           0.0208           0.2112           0.9938
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626	DF         32<	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46	DF         32<	P value           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           0.0208           0.2112           0.9938           0.0002           0.0118           0.8656
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46	DF         32<	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656
Control vs aggregates injectionControl vs aggregates + AAV injectionaggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injectionControl vs aggregates injectionControl vs aggregates + AAV injectionaggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injectionControl vs b a Syn aggregates + AAV injection	t 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46	DF         32<	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656
Control vs aggregates injectionControl vs aggregates +AAV injectionaggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injectionControl vs aggregates injectionControl vs aggregates +AAV injectionaggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injectionControl vs aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injectionControl vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDaControl vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421	DF         32<	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.0002         0.0002         0.0002         0.0002
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421	DF         32<	P value           >0.9999           >0.9999           >0.9999           0.0208           0.2112           0.9938           0.0002           0.0118           0.8656           0.0002           0.0013
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733	DF       32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b>	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733	DF       32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn Aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis	t 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733	DF         32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h a Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h a Syn / His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h a Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h a Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa Figure S6 e (left) Statistical analysis Comparison	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733	DF 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.8656 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733	DF       32       33       34 <td>P value &gt;0.9999 &gt;0.9999 &gt;0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.8656 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value</td>	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.8656 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Comparison} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates+AAV injection} \\ \mbox{aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates+AAV injection} \\ \mbox{aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs aggregates} \\ \mbox{aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{control vs aggregates} \\ \mbox{aggregates injection} \\ \mbox{Control vs h aggregates} \\ \mbox{MW>100kDa} \\ \mbox{Control vs h a Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates} \\ \mbox{MW>100kDa} \\ \mbox{h a Syn aggregates} \\ \mbox{MW>100kDa} \\ \mbox{Figure S6 e (left)} \\ \mbox{Statistical analysis} \\ \mbox{Comparison} \\ \mbox{Aggregates Injection mouse} \\ \mbox{Maggregates} \\ \mbox{Aggregates AAV Injection mouse} \\ \end{array}$	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733 t t 4.119	DF       32       33       34 <td>P value &gt;0.9999 &gt;0.9999 &gt;0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value 0.0021</td>	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value 0.0021
Comparison Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates +AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates +AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn Aggregates; MW>100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection mouse vs Aggregates + AAV Injection mouse <b>Figure S6 e (right)</b>	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733 t 4.119	DF         32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn Aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection mouse vs Aggregates + AAV Injection mouse <b>Figure S6 e (right)</b> Statistical analysis	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733 t 4.119	DF         32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates + AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates + AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW > 100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection mouse <b>Figure S6 e (right)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733 t 4.119	DF         32	P value         >0.9999         >0.9999         >0.9999         0.0208         0.2112         0.9938         0.0002         0.0118         0.8656         0.0002         0.0013         0.9998
Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs aggregates injection Control vs aggregates+AAV injection aggregates injection vs aggregates+AAV injection Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa Control vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa h $\alpha$ Syn aggregates; MW>100kDa vs h $\alpha$ Syn/His-hFABP7 aggregates; MW>100kDa <b>Figure S6 e (left)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection mouse <b>Figure S6 e (right)</b> Statistical analysis Comparison Aggregates Injection Maggregates Injection	t 0 0 0 3.415 2.458 0.9572 5.086 3.626 1.46 5.094 4.421 0.6733 t 4.119	DF         32	P value >0.9999 >0.9999 >0.9999 0.0208 0.2112 0.9938 0.0002 0.0118 0.8656 0.0002 0.0013 0.9998 P value 0.0021 P value

# Full-size scans of western blots



Figures S2









Figures 3e

# Continued: Full-size scans of western blots



β-tubulin