Forest fragmentation drives zoonotic malaria prevalence in non-human primate hosts

Authorship information

Emilia Johnson[1,2,3] *, Reuben Sunil Kumar Sharma[4], Pablo Ruiz Cuenca[2,5,6], Isabel Byrne[2],
Milena Salgado-Lynn[7,8,9], Zarith Suraya Shahar[4], Lee Col Lin[4], Norhadila Zulkifli[4], Nor Dilaila
Mohd Saidi[4], Chris Drakeley[10], Jason Matthiopoulos[1], Luca Nelli[1], Kimberly Fornace[1,2,3]

[1] Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow,
F12 8QQ, Scotland
[2] Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London
WC1E 7HT, UK
[3] Centre on Climate Change and Planetary Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical
Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK
[4] Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400, UPM, Serdang, Selangor,
Malaysia
[5] Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4YW
[6] Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK
[7] School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK
[8] Wildlife Health, Genetic and Forensic Laboratory, Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma Muis,
88100, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia
[9] Danau Girang Field Centre, Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma MUIS 88100, Kota
Kinabalu Sabah, Malaysia
[10] Department of Infection Biology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London
WC1E 7HT, UK

*corresponding author
emilia.johnson@glasgow.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Land conversion is understood to increase the risk of emergent zoonotic diseases. In
simians and humans, infection risk has been linked to fragmented habitats. However, the
role of fragmentation on disease dynamics in wildlife hosts is rarely quantified at
macroecological scales due to the lack of systematic surveys. In Southeast Asia, non-human
primates (NHPs) host *Plasmodium knowlesi,* a prominent zoonotic malaria. We examine
reported primate *P. knowlesi* to investigate how landscape impacts parasite prevalence.
Firstly, we conducted a meta-analysis of NHP *P. knowlesi* prevalence. Overall prevalence
was 9.6% (CI95% 6.3–13.4), with considerable regional heterogeneity (I^2=96.6%; CI95%
95.7–97.3) and high estimates in Borneo (52.4%, CI95% 22.8–81.3). Higher prevalence in
NHPs shows clear spatial overlap with human infection foci. Secondly, environmental
covariates were assembled from remote sensing data and statistical models were fitted to
prevalence at multiple spatial scales. We demonstrate a strong relationship between forest
fragmentation (20km, $p<0.0001$) and *P. knowlesi* in NHPs, suggesting that zoonotic malaria
prevalence is maximised at intermediate levels of habitat complexity. Findings indicate a
previously hypothesised trade-off between epidemiological and ecological mechanisms
determining *P. knowlesi* infection in wildlife reservoirs, and that parasite prevalence in NHPs
may be a key driver of human spillover risk.

Keywords

Disease ecology; forest fragmentation; land use change; malaria; *Plasmodium knowlesi*;
zoonoses

INTRODUCTION

Background

Zoonotic infectious disease emergence results from the spillover of pathogens into human populations, typically from a reservoir in wildlife hosts. Anthropogenic land use and land cover changes (LULCC) have now been widely linked to infectious disease outbreaks[1–3]. Such practices, including deforestation, logging, clearing for cash-crop plantations or conversion of intact forest into arable land, are accelerating across tropical forests of Southeast Asia[4,5]. Forest fragmentation is a key aspect of landscape modification, whereby large contiguous areas of forest are broken into a mosaic of smaller isolated patches. This disturbs the ecological structure by increasing the density of forest fringes or 'edges', dynamic habitat at the transitional boundaries between natural ecosystems and human-modified landscapes[6]. Such ecological interfaces are thought to facilitate parasite spillover in multi-host systems, including vector-borne diseases such as Zika[7], Babesiosis and Lyme disease[8], *Trypanosoma cruzi*[9], Yellow Fever[10] and zoonotic malaria[3,11]. Mechanisms that underly the association between habitat fragmentation and spillover risk from wildlife hosts are complex and occur over multiple spatial scales[3]. In part, increased edge habitat can facilitate spatial overlap and increase cross-species contact rates between wildlife, vectors and humans[12]. At the same time, habitat complexity can have detrimental impact on wildlife population viability, with reduced host species occupancy in highly fragmented habitats[13]. Disentangling these underlying mechanisms is essential to inform ecological strategies for disease surveillance and mitigation[5].

Simian *P. knowlesi* is a public health threat of increasing importance across Southeast Asia, following the identification of a prominent infection foci in Borneo in 2004[14]. *P. knowlesi* is a zoonosis, with a sylvatic cycle circulating in non-human primates (NHPs). Human cases are thought to occur only from spillover events[15–17]. Human transmission requires bites from infective mosquitos, primarily anopheline mosquitos of the Leucosphyrus Complex (*Anopheles balabacensis, An. latens, An. introlactus)* and Dirus Complex (*An. dirus, An. cracens)*[18–20]. Natural hosts for *P. knowlesi* are typically Long-tailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) and Southern Pig-tailed macaques (*M. nemestrina)*[18], both occurring widely across Southeast Asia. Currently, distribution of *P. knowlesi* cases is thought to be restricted to the predicted ranges of known vector and host species[21], though recent studies have also identified other NHPs found to be harbouring *P. knowlesi.* This includes Stump-tailed macaques *(M. arctoides),* which are now considered to be another natural reservoir[22].

Progress towards malaria elimination in Malaysia has been stymied by a recent rise in human incidence of *P. knowlesi* malaria[23]. Accounting for increases in surveillance and diagnostic improvements, it is now recognised as the most common cause of clinical malaria in Malaysia[24]. LULCC are thought to be driving this emergence of *Plasmodium knowlesi* infections[5]. While sporadic cases have been reported across Southeast Asia, including in Indonesia[25], the Philippines[26], Vietnam[27], Brunei[28] and Myanmar[29], the majority of *P. knowlesi* cases are found in East Malaysia (Borneo) with hotspots in the states of Sabah and Sarawak[30], areas that have seen extensive deforestation and landscape modification. In Sabah, human prevalence of *P. knowlesi* infection has recently been shown to be specifically associated with recent loss of intact forest, agricultural activities and fragmentation across multiple localised spatial scales[3,31,32].

Prevalence of the pathogen in reservoir hosts is considered to be one of three crucial factors determining the force of infection in zoonotic spillover events[33]. Despite this, very little is known of the impact of rapid LULCC on the distribution of *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. Literature on the impacts of fragmentation on primates tends to focus on primate density and abundance[34,35]. What is known is that effects of LULCC on primate-pathogen dynamics are highly variable and context-specific. Macaques have been known to preferentially rely on

fringe habitat, which may be enhanced in response to forest fragmentation and facilitate
exposure to vectors[12,15]. Although sylvatic vectors remain unknown, the *Anopheles*
leucospryphus group, the only vector group implicated in *P. knowlesi* transmission, is widely
associated with secondary, disturbed forest[20,36,37]. Changes to land composition can also
create the biosocial conditions for higher rates of parasitism in primates. Under conditions of
limited resources and reduction in viable habitat, conspecific primate density may increase
as troops compete for available space. In turn, this can favour transmission via intra-species
contact or allow the exchange of pathogens between troops dwelling in intact forest versus
edge habitat[15,38]. Habitat use may also become more intensive, preventing parasite
avoidance behaviours[39]. Land use change is known to favour more adaptable, synanthropic
species such as *M. fascicularis*[40]. Concurrent loss of biodiversity may result in loss of the
'dilution effect', with a reduced range of susceptible hosts and a concentration of parasite
burden in more competent primate species[2]. In light of the spillover risk posed by wildlife
reservoirs of *P. knowlesi,* clarifying any relationships between environmental factors and
parasitaemia in key host species may contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of
P. knowlesi transmission patterns.

Earth Observation (EO) data provides novel opportunities to investigate epidemiological
patterns of diseases which are linked to environmental drivers[41]. In relation to *P. knowlesi,*
utility of fine-scale remote-sensing data has been demonstrated: examples include satellite-
derived data used to examine household–level exposure risk in relation to proximate land
configuration[32], UAV-imagery used to link real–time deforestation to macaque host
behavioural change[15], and remote-sensing data used to interrogate risk factors for vector
breeding sites[42]. Macroecological studies that utilise geospatial data are often confounded
by issues of matching temporal and spatial scales, as well as by the quality and accuracy of
available georeferencing, which should be accounted for when examining the role of
environmental factors in modulating disease outcomes. Even accurate georeferenced
datapoints are unlikely to entirely reflect surrounding habitat within the macaque home
range. Furthermore, ecological processes occur and interact over a range of distances, or
'spatial scales'[2,3,31]. This applies to determinants of vector-borne disease ecology, from larval
breeding microclimate to host foraging behaviour. As multiple influential variables are rarely
captured by a single scale[43], data-driven methods can be applied to examine risk factors
over multiple scales and identify covariates at their most influential extent[42].

We hypothesise that higher prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in primate host species is driven by
LULCC, contributing to the strong associations described between LULCC and human *P.*
knowlesi risk. Despite concerns about transmission of simian malarias in Southeast Asia,
there is limited data on regional infection dynamics within NHPs. In conceptual frameworks
and transmission models, it is often assumed that *P. knowlesi* infections in NHPs are chronic
and ubiquitous[30,44]. No studies have systematically assessed the extent and quality of
available data on *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. Independent studies investigating *P. knowlesi* in
primates are typically constrained by small sample sizes and confined geographic areas,
limiting inference that can be made about relationships between infection dynamics and
landscape characteristics. Systematic tools developed for epidemiological studies of disease
prevalence in human populations are rarely applied to the study of wildlife disease
prevalence; however, such tools can be used to capture the scale and contrast required in
macroecological studies to quantify disease burdens regionally. Furthermore, while recent
research has shown the impact of deforestation on the distribution of macaques in the
context of *P. knowlesi* [15,18], associations between LULCC and variation in the prevalence of
simian *Plasmodium* spp. in primates have not been explored. This study is the first to
systematically assess *P. knowlesi* prevalence in NHPs at a regional scale, and across a
wide range of habitats. We aimed to 1) systematically assemble a georeferenced dataset of
P. knowlesi in NHPs; 2) evaluate variation in NHP *P. knowlesi* prevalence by geographic
region; and 3) assess environmental and spatial risk factors for *P. knowlesi* prevalence in
NHPs across Southeast Asia.

METHODS

(a) Study site

This study focused on simian malarias across Southeast Asia, within 28°30'00.0"N, 92°12'00.0"E and 11°00'00.0"S, 141°00'00.0"E. Climate mainly corresponds to the equatorial tropical zone, with high temperatures and high humidity.

(b) Data assembly

A systematic literature review was conducted in Medline, Embase and Web of Science under the CoCoPop framework (Condition, Context, Population)[16,45] to identify articles reporting prevalence of naturally-acquired *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. Key authors were contacted to request unpublished or supplementary datasets identified from articles and reference lists. All studies were screened for data on NHPs with a confirmed *P. knowlesi* diagnosis or absence data (zero counts of *P. knowlesi* with appropriate diagnostic methods). Exclusion criteria included (a) studies exclusively relying on microscopy[46] (b) laboratory, animal model or experimental infection studies (c) data from outside of Southeast Asia. No limit was set on the temporal range. Duplicate records reporting results from the same surveys were removed, with one record per survey retained. Critical appraisal of the studies was conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist for prevalence studies[45] (see Supplementary Information (SI) for details and criteria). A flowchart of the selection process is illustrated in Figure S3, with a full list of articles included provided in Table S2.

Primary outcome was defined as *P. knowlesi* prevalence (*p,* proportion positive for *P. knowlesi* infection from *n* sampled NHPs). For each independent primate study, the following variables were extracted: year of data collection, primate species sampled, primate status (wild/captive), diagnostic test (PCR/sequencing) and target gene(s), sampling method (routine/purposive), number of *P. knowlesi* positive samples, number of *Plasmodium* spp. positive samples, total number of primates tested and geographical information. For the majority of samples identified, study site was geolocated to a geographic area. Geolocation was assigned at the lowest available level of administrative polygon (district/state/country) by cross-referencing reported sampling location with GADM (v3.6) administrative boundaries. If specific location was given, GPS coordinates were assigned via Google Maps. For the purpose of data visualisation, centroids were extracted per polygon and point coordinates were plotted in QGIS (3.10.14) and R (4.1.0) software.

(c) Meta-analysis of NHP prevalence

Using methodology standardly used for analysis of human disease prevalence in individual participant datasets (IDP)[47,48], a meta-analysis of *P. knowlesi* prevalence (number positive out of the number sampled) was conducted on primate malaria survey data. Data were disaggregated by geographic location and primate species, to illustrate variance in prevalence by sampling site[48]. One-stage meta-analysis is considered appropriate for studies where the outcome may be infrequent, so data was included in a single model under the 'DerSimonian and Laird' variance estimator[45]. For studies where prevalence estimates tend towards 0% or 100%, variance tends towards 0. To stabilise the variance and accommodate for the inclusion of a high number of zero prevalence records, the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine method was applied in the transformation of prevalence[45]. Sensitivity analysis for the back-transformation of estimates were conducted using both the logit transformation and untransformed proportions (see SI for details). Overall heterogeneity of prevalence records was assessed using the I^2 statistic[49]. Sub-group analysis was conducted according to geographic region, with the heterogeneity of reported prevalence within regional sub-groups assessed using prediction intervals derived from the τ^2 statistic. Small-study effects, including selection and publication biases, were assessed by examining funnel plots and imputing 'missing' estimates using the trim-and-fill method[50]. Full rationale and details of small-study effect assessments can be found in Supplementary Information.

(d) Remote sensing data

For samples geolocated to administrative polygons, there is considerable geographic uncertainty in the exact study location and subsequent uncertainty related to the spatial and environmental determinants (SI, Table S7). To account for this, for data that could only be derived within an administrative boundary, 10 random sampling points were generated within each polygon and environmental covariates were extracted for each site, as described below (see SI, Table S8). For study sites with exact GPS coordinates, precise environmental data was multiplied 10-fold. This mimics a weighted regression to adjust for spatial uncertainty. Data point iterations with identical or higher degrees of environmental similarity lend more weight to the final model, and larger polygons with more variable covariate measurements, and as such less certainty in ecological conditions, have a lesser representation in the model. Selection of random points was validated by visual inspection of the stability of model coefficients with the inclusion of an increasing number of points. Number of points was selected conservatively at the point where coefficients stabilised (n=10), minimising the risk of retaining spurious covariates in model selection due to artificially inflated sample sizes.

Environmental covariates were extracted at each sampling point from satellite-derived remote-sensing datasets (Table 1). Gridded UN-adjusted human population estimates were assembled at 1km resolution from WorldPop[51]. A threshold for high population density was set at 300 persons/km^2 pixel according to recent urban classifications[52]. Elevation data was obtained from NASA SRTM 90m Digital Elevation Database v4.1 (CGIAR-CSI)[53] with a spatial resolution of 1km x 1km. Contemporaneous tree cover was derived from Hanson's Global Forest Watch (30m)[54]. Tree cover was classified as ≥50% crown density, and then matched to primate data by sample site geolocation and by year of sample collection to account for rapid forest loss (SI, Figure S8). Where a broad timeframe of sampling was provided (≥3 years), median year was used. Full details can be found in Supplementary Information (Table S11–12, Figure S9).

Table 1. *Spatial and temporal resolution and sources for environmental covariates. PARA=Perimeter: Area ratio of forest class.*

Covariate	Spatial res.	Temporal res.	Source
Human population (p/km^2)	1km	2012	WorldPop[51]
Elevation (m)	1km	2003	SRTM 90m Digital Elevation v4.1[53]
Forest cover (%)	30km	Annual	Hansen's Global Forest Watch[54]
Fragmentation (PARA>0)	30km	Annual	Hansen's Global Forest Watch[54]

In a disease ecology context, habitat fragmentation is expected to correlate with disease transmission parameters[6,38]. Definitions often lack precision and can be considered with respect to 'separation effects' (division and isolation of patches) and 'geometric effects' (changes to ratios of perimeter and core habitat)[55]. Perimeter: area ratio (PARA, ratio of patch perimeter length to patch surface area) of given land class is a key metric for habitat conversion, where a higher PARA provides a measure of boundary complexity and can indicate a more fragmented landscape[56]. Mean PARA was extracted from forest cover within circular buffers. This provides a measure of forest edge density in a given area (PARA>0) and has been shown to provide a good index of fragmentation and discrimination of spatial aggregation across areas where habitat abundance is high[57] (SI, Figure S10).

For every georeferenced sampling point, mean values for all selected covariates were extracted within buffer radii at 5km, 10km and 20km (SI, Figure S11). Buffer areas were selected to investigate multiple spatial scales over which associations between risk factors and *P. knowlesi* prevalence might occur. A minimum radius of 5km was chosen to approximate the maximum ranging distance for *M. fascicularis*[58], with wider radii (10–20km)

included to account for the geographic uncertainties in areal data. Continuous fixed-effect
covariates were scaled and mean-centred to standardize the data.

(e) Analysis of environmental risk factors

P. knowlesi prevalence data and covariate data were then used to fit separate models to
explore the relationships between land cover and NHP malaria prevalence. Generalised
linear mixed-effect regression models (GLMM) were fitted using a binomial distribution with a
logit link. To account for within-study correlation, a unique identifier for publication was
included as a random intercept in all models. Spearman's rank tests were conducted to
examine correlation in independent variables at multiple spatial scales, plotted as a
correlation matrix (Supplementary Figure S12).

Selection of explanatory variables was achieved using a 'two stage' stepwise approach. A
quadratic term for the fragmentation index 'PARA' was included to account for possible
nonlinearity. Multicollinearity among independent predictors at multiple scales was examined
according to calculation of variance inflation factor (VIF). The VIF of each predictor variable
was examined following a stepwise procedure, starting with a saturated model and
sequentially excluding the variable with the highest VIF score from the model. Stepwise
selection continued in this manner until the entire subset of explanatory variables in the
global model satisfied a moderately conservative threshold of VIF ≤ 6[59]. Qualifying variables
obtained were then assessed for model inclusion using a forward step-wise strategy,
building up from an intercept-only model. Model parsimony was examined according to
reduction in Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) value. Fully-adjusted OR and marginal
effect curves for associations between environmental covariates and *P. knowlesi* prevalence
were derived from the final multivariable GLMM.

(f) Ethics

Ethics approval was not required for this research, following assessment by the LSHTM
Research Governance & Integrity Office (LSHTM MSc Ethics Ref: 25429).

RESULTS

(a) Descriptive analysis

18 articles were retained in in the study[17,22,60–75], containing 123 unique primate survey
records to form the dataset for analyses (see SI for details of JBI Critical Assessment, Table
S5)[45]. Year of sampling ranges from 2004–2019. No primatological studies were identified
from Vietnam, Brunei or Timor-Leste. Full characteristics of the articles and individual study
methodologies are reported in Supplementary Information (Table S2).

Within the 123 records identified, survey data had been collected from a total of 92 unique
sites. Spatial resolution of the survey sites varied from GPS point coordinates to GID0
(country) classification (Supplementary Table S7). Geographic distribution of sampling is
illustrated in Figure 1. Overall, records report on a total of 4931 primates, with the majority
sampled from Peninsular Malaysia (49.5%, n=2442/4931). Primates sampled were primarily
Long-tailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) (88.6%, n=4368/4931) and *M. nemestrina*
(n=495/4931)[17,65,74,75] (Table S3).

Reported prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in NHPs ranged from 0%–100%. Only 73 of the
identified records (59.3%, n=73/123) reported a positive diagnosis, with the remaining 50
sites finding no molecular evidence of *P. knowlesi* infection (40.7%). A full breakdown of *P.
knowlesi* infection rates according to reported primate characteristics can be found in SI,
Table S4.

289
290

Figure 1. *Sampling sites and primate species across Southeast Asia. N=123.*

(b) Meta-analysis of prevalence

Meta-analyses were first conducted with data aggregated by study and geographic region (*k*=22, accounting for articles reporting on multiple countries)[69] (SI, Figure S5). For the meta-analysis using data disaggregated by survey site and primate species, overall pooled estimate for *P. knowlesi* prevalence was 9.6% (CI95% 6.3–13.4), with high heterogeneity across all prevalence records (I²=91.5%; CI95% 90.4–92.6). In the sub-group analysis by region, pooled prevalence estimates are notably low for Laos (2.3%, CI95% 0.0–9.5) and the

Philippines (3.5%, CI95% 0.0–21.8), moderate in Peninsular Malaysia (9.7%, CI95% 6.6–
13.3) and elevated in Malaysian Borneo (52.4%, CI95% 22.8–81.3) and Singapore (24.9%,
CI95% 7.1–47.8) (Figure 2). Wide prediction intervals indicate high heterogeneity of
estimates within geographic regions, consistent with high expected variability across
individual study sites. Forest plots of prevalence estimates can be found in Supplementary
Figures S6–7.

Figure 2. *Pooled estimates for* P. knowlesi *prevalence (%) in all NHP species tested in Southeast Asia. Random-effects analysis on data disaggregated by sampling site, sub-grouped by region. N=123.*

(c) Risk factor analysis

Only 48.8% (n=60/123 records) of data reported survey year, meaning there was insufficient
data to assess temporal patterns. Forest cover ranged from negligible to near total cover
(100%) within buffer radii (Table S12). Covariate data extracted is illustrated in
Supplementary Information (Figure S8–9). Host species was grouped as '*Macaca*
fascicularis' or 'Other' to account for sample counts of <10 for several species. Following a
forward stepwise selection strategy, all covariates were retained in the final model. Forest
cover and PARA were included at both 5km and 20km.

When adjusted for all other covariates in the model, we identified strong evidence of a
negative quadratic effect between perimeter: area ratio (PARA) and higher prevalence of *P.
knowlesi,* with the strongest associations at intermediate levels of boundary complexity
within a 20km radius (*p*<0.0001). Evidence was also found for an association with likelihood
of *P. knowlesi* in NHPs per SD increase in forest cover over 5km (aOR=1.69, CI95% 1.23–
2.31; *p*=0.0012) and over 20km (aOR=4.98, CI95% 3.14–7.89; *p*<0.0001), and with *M.
fascicularis* relative to all other non-human primate species (aOR=2.69, CI95% 2.13–3.41;
p<0.0001). Additional complexity did not provide a more optimal model fit and effect
modification was not pursued. Final adjusted OR for the multivariable model can be
visualised in Figure 3a, with the marginal effect curve for perimeter: area ratio at 20km in
Figure 3b.

Figure 3a. *Multivariable regression results. Spatial scale denoted in square bracket. Forest =% forest*
380
381 *cover. Adjusted OR (dots) and CI95% (whiskers) for factors associated with* P. knowlesi *in NHPs at*
382 *significant spatial scales. N=1230.*

Figure 3b. *Multivariable regression results. Marginal effect curve (line) and CI95% (ribbon) for effect*
385
386 *of increasing PARA on* P. knowlesi *prevalence at 20km. N=1230.*

DISCUSSION

LULCC are widely linked to spillover of zoonotic pathogens from sylvatic reservoirs into human populations, and pathogen prevalence in wildlife host species is key in driving the force of infection in spillover events. Our initial analyses found that for *Plasmodium knowlesi,* there is substantial spatial heterogeneity and prevalence in non-human primates (NHPs) varies markedly between regions of Southeast Asia[69]. Consistent with our hypothesis that parasite density in primate hosts would be higher in areas experiencing habitat disturbance, we identified strong links between *P. knowlesi* in NHPs and measures of contemporaneous forest fragmentation. The most compelling result is that the strongest associations are found at intermediate levels of fragmentation, indicating that there may be an optimum level of habitat complexity that potentiates *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic study to find evidence of landscape changes influencing the distribution of *P. knowlesi* prevalence in NHPs. Results offer evidence that *P. knowlesi* infection rates in NHPs are linked to changes in land use across broad spatial scales, and that prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in reservoir species may be driving spillover risk across Southeast Asia. These findings could provide insight to improving surveillance of *P. knowlesi* and to the development of ecologically targeted interventions.

While previous studies have estimated that *P. knowlesi* infection would be chronic in all macaques, or as high as 50–90% for modelling *P. knowlesi* transmission in Malaysia[44], this data strongly suggests that this is not the case. Overall prevalence of *P. knowlesi* infection in all NHPs is markedly lower than usual estimates, emphasising the importance of accounting for 'negative' data in estimations of prevalence. Considerable heterogeneity was identified between and within regional estimates for *P. knowlesi* across Southeast Asia, which likely reflects genuine differences according to distinct climates and distinct habitats[76]. Malaysian Borneo has an estimated prevalence over five-fold higher than West Malaysia. Crucially, such extreme prevalence estimates for NHPs in Borneo align with the known hotspot for human incidence of *P. knowlesi*[24]. In Peninsular Malaysia, estimated prevalence is far lower than anticipated. Cases of human *P. knowlesi* do occur in West Malaysia, though transmission has been found to exhibit spatial clustering[77] which may correspond to pockets of high risk within the wider context of lower prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in macaques. Regional trends in *P. knowlesi* will mask differences in infection rates between sample locations, driven by more localised factors. Multiple studies reported finding *P. knowlesi* infections in wild macaques to be low or absent in peri-domestic or urbanised areas, attributed to the absence of vector species typically found in forest fringes[78–80]. This pattern is seen in reports from Peninsular Malaysia[66,68], Singapore[63,64] and Thailand[22,75]. The high heterogeneity of reports here suggests that the picture is even more complex. *P. knowlesi* infections may even vary between troops within a single study site, as was seen in the Philippines[62]. Fine-scale interactions are unlikely to be captured by the scale of this study.

Ecological processes determining *P. knowlesi* infection are influenced by dynamic factors over multiple spatial scales[43]. We utilised a data-driven methodology to select variables at distances that capture maximum impact on *P. knowlesi* prevalence[32,42], with surface elevation and human population density most influential at localised scales (5km) and forest cover and forest fragmentation showing strongest influence within 20km radii. Contrary to previous studies on risk factors for human incidence of *P. knowlesi*[31,32], geographical elevation and human population density were not found to be associated with *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. In Southeast Asia, species composition can vary substantially across tropical ecotones. Mosquitos of the Leucosphyrus Complex and Dirus Complex, primary vectors of *P. knowlesi*, each exhibit specific ecological niches in respective geographic areas. The extent of Southeast Asia is also likely to encompass a wide range of putative vectors across different landscapes, such as those of the Minimus Complex in northern regions[81] or the recently incriminated *An.-collessi* and *An.-roperi* from the Umbrosus Group[82]. Mean values within buffer distances may also mask finer-scale variation for a given area. As such,

different ranges of permissive altitudes for vectors and host species niches may explain the
lack of observed relationship between elevation and human density and *P. knowlesi* in
NHPs.

A key finding is the link between high prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in primate host species and
forest fragmentation. Other studies have linked habitat fragmentation to increased generalist
parasite density in primates. In Uganda, a higher prevalence and infection risk of protozoal
parasites was observed in wild populations of red colobus primates (*Procolobus*
rufomitratus) inhabiting fragmented forests compared to those in undisturbed habitat[83].
Interestingly, we observed a bell-shaped curve in the relationship between fragmentation
and *P. knowlesi* prevalence, indicating an 'ideal' amount of forest complexity that
encourages prevalence in primate hosts. For *P. knowlesi,* creation of edge habitat is thought
to favour vectors of the Leucosphyrus Complex[1,37]. *Anopheles* spp. presence can be
predicted by indices of fragmentation in Sabah, Borneo, with changing land use creating
more suitable micro-climate for larval habitats[42], and an increased abundance of *An.*
balabacensis found in forest fringes[20,37]. Increasing complexity of forest boundaries results in
increased density of edge habitat, with conceivably higher density of vectors in forest fringes.
Therefore, preferential use of fringe habitat and high exposure to vectors in forest fringes
may contribute to higher conspecific transmission of *P. knowlesi* between primates in
increasingly fragmented habitats. Conversely, more sizable forest fragments may be
necessary to provide sufficient resourcing for macaques and to maintain transmission
cycles[20,80]. Individual-based disease models combined with movement ecology approaches
have shown that for species with larger perceptual ranges, the effects of habitat
fragmentation are nonlinear and parasite transmission is less supported by the most highly
fragmented areas[84]. In Sabah, individual macaques were shown to increase ranging
behaviour and movement in response to deforestation[15]. This interplay between disease
ecology and metapopulation theory may explain why moderate levels of habitat complexity
pose a greater risk for simian *P. knowlesi* than maximally fragmented landscapes, at which
point the smaller forest patches are insufficient to support macaque troops and have lower
population occupancy. Likewise, this may relate to the finding that in Borneo, lower
fragmentation indices (larger forest patches) were associated with *P. knowlesi* spillover in
Borneo[32].

Our finding that prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in macaques is higher in fragmented habitat also
lends clarity to evidence that forest fragmentation is a risk factor for human exposure to *P.*
knowlesi in Malaysian Borneo[3,32]. In Brazil, re-emergence of Yellow Fever Virus in both
simians and humans has been linked to areas with highly fragmented forest [10]. Recent
mechanistic models have demonstrated that the effect of landscape changes on risk of
disease spillover into human populations is also nonlinear, with the greatest probability of
disease emergence at intermediate levels of habitat loss. Edge density is thought to peak at
moderate levels of land conversion[6], which alters respective population structure and
facilitates inter-species contact between forest interiors and human matrices[12]. Studies have
also posited that vector species could adapt to match changes in macaque-human host
availability[85]. Changes in relative host density, vector density and wildlife parasite prevalence
in nascent forest fringes may enhance the spillover potential of this disease system into
human populations in fragmented habitats. Overall, this finding offers an insight to
understanding the mechanisms that underpin the increased force of infection of *P. knowlesi*
that is associated with LULCC.

There are limitations to consider in the interpretation of these findings. 'Small-study effects'
were observed in the dataset, suggestive of a bias toward positive effect estimates[48]. This
may be a result of data disaggregation and small samples creating artefactually higher
estimates or may reflect true bias in data collection toward areas known to be endemic for *P.*
knowlesi and convenience sampling of macaques. Systematic sampling in key locations
would be required to address these gaps. Variability in study designs and data reporting

effected geospatial accuracy. Steps were taken to account for spatial bias by extracting
covariates at randomly generated pseudo-sampling points. Whilst uncertainty cannot be
eliminated, we demonstrate a robust methodology to accommodate for geographical
uncertainty in ecological studies. Assumptions have been made that sample site equates to
habitat, which may not reflect actual habitat use. Effects of fragmentation are likely to be
dependent on land conversion type, species composition and surrounding matrix habitat.
Results are based on broad regional ecological trends and may not be generalisable at
individual levels, or to all putative host species in all geographic contexts[69]. Use of perimeter:
area ratio (PARA) as a fragmentation index was justified given high forest coverage[57],
though Edge Density (ED) or normalised Landscape Shape Index (nLSI) might be more
appropriate in future analyses to account for variation in forest abundance. Composite
fragmentation index (CFI) is another metric based on pixel-classification methods of Vogt et
al. that explicitly accounts for the ratio of forest "core", "margin" and "patch" areas. Since
specific land configurations have been linked to *P. knowlesi* exposure in Borneo[32], broad
forest classifications used here may mask important differences in *P. knowlesi* between land
classes. As it was not possible to include contemporary land cover classification in this
analysis, future studies would benefit from looking at forest type (e.g. primary or agroforest).

Strong links have been identified between land use changes and ecosystem perturbation
that favours the transmission of vector-borne diseases[2]. Prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in
macaques is likely to be a crucial determinant of human infection risk, and more
representative estimates of *P. knowlesi* prevalence derived here might better inform
regionally-specific transmission risk models. This study characterises risk factors for
heightened prevalence of *P. knowlesi* in NHPs. Findings provide evidence in support of the
premise that *P. knowlesi* in primate hosts is partly driven by LULCC across Southeast Asia.
While the full complexity is not captured by the covariates used, it is clear that *P. knowlesi*
infection in NHPs is not restricted to densely forested areas. This study also demonstrates
the utility of systematic meta-analysis tools and remote-sensing datasets in the investigation
of macroecological disease trends, in conjunction with methods to standardise a spatially
heterogeneous dataset and data-driven selection of spatial scales. Gaps identified in data
reporting should inform more systematic and localised primatological surveys to disentangle
precise mechanisms. Notwithstanding limitations, this study highlights the marked spatial
heterogeneity and role of landscape complexity in *P. knowlesi* infection rates in NHPs. Given
the clear intersection between human epidemiology and wildlife ecology, it is essential that
infection dynamics within wildlife reservoirs are considered in future public health
interventions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

527 Research was supported by the Sir Henry Dale Fellowship, jointly funded by the Wellcome
528 Trust and the Royal Society (Grant Number 221963/Z/20/Z). Data collected in Peninsular
529 Malaysia by the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, was funded by the
530 Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (Grant LRGS/1/2018/UM/01/1/).

REFERENCES

1. Davidson G, Chua TH, Cook A, et al. The Role of Ecological Linkage Mechanisms in Plasmodium knowlesi Transmission and Spread. *Ecohealth*. 2019;16(4):594-610. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10393-019-01395-6

2. Loh EH, Murray KA, Nava A, Daszak P. Evaluating the Links between Biodiversity, Land-Use Change, and Infectious Disease Emergence. *Tropical Conservation*. 2016;(January):79-88. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304377491/download

3. Brock PM, Fornace KM, Grigg MJ, et al. Predictive analysis across spatial scales links zoonotic malaria to deforestation. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2019;286(1894):20182351. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2351

4. Imai N, Furukawa T, Tsujino R, Kitamura S, Yumoto T. Factors affecting forest area change in southeast Asia during 1980-2010. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(5):1-14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197391

5. Fornace KM, Lines J, Drakeley CJ, et al. Achieving global malaria eradication in changing landscapes. *Malaria Journal*. 2021;20(1):69. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03599-0

6. Borremans B, Faust C, Manlove KR, Sokolow SH, Lloyd-Smith JO. Cross-species pathogen spillover across ecosystem boundaries: Mechanisms and theory. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2019;374(1782):20180344. doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0344

7. Li J, Jia K, Liu Y, Yuan B, Xia M, Zhao W. Spatiotemporal distribution of zika virus and its spatially heterogeneous relationship with the environment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(1):1-14. doi:10.3390/ijerph18010290

8. Simon JA, Marrotte RR, Desrosiers N, et al. Climate change and habitat fragmentation drive the occurrence of Borrelia burgdorferi, the agent of Lyme disease, at the northeastern limit of its distribution. *Evolutionary Applications*. 2014;7(7):750-764. doi:10.1111/eva.12165

9. Vaz VC, D'Andrea. PS, Jansen AM. Effects of habitat fragmentation on wild mammal infection by Trypanosoma cruzi. *Parasitology*. 2007;134(12):1785-1793.

10. Ilacqua RC, Medeiros-Sousa AR, Ramos DG, et al. Reemergence of Yellow Fever in Brazil: The Role of Distinct Landscape Fragmentation Thresholds. El-Khateeb MA, ed. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*. 2021;2021:8230789. doi:10.1155/2021/8230789

11. Grigg MJ, Barber BE, Anstey NM, et al. Individual-level factors associated with the risk of acquiring human Plasmodium knowlesi malaria in Malaysia: a case-control study. *The Lancet Planetary Health*. 2017;1(3):e97-e104. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196%2817%2930031-1

12. Lehman SM, Rajaonson A, Day S. Edge effects and their influence on lemur density and distribution in southeast Madagascar. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2006;129(2):232-241. doi:10.1002/ajpa.20241

13. Hanski I, Ovaskainen O. The metapopulation capacity of a fragmented landscape. *Nature*. 2000;404(6779):755-758. doi:10.1038/35008063

14. Singh B, Sung LK, Matusop A, et al. A large focus of naturally acquired Plasmodium knowlesi infections in human beings. *The Lancet*. 2004;363(9414):1017-1024. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15836-4

15. Stark DJ, Fornace KM, Brock PM, et al. Long-Tailed Macaque Response to Deforestation in a Plasmodium knowlesi-Endemic Area. *Ecohealth*. 2019;16(4):638-646. doi:10.1007/s10393-019-01403-9

16.   Cuenca R, Journal M, Cuenca PR, et al. Is there evidence of sustained human -
mosquito - human transmission of the zoonotic malaria Plasmodium knowlesi ? A
systematic literature review. *Malaria Journal.* Published online 2022:1-19.
doi:10.1186/s12936-022-04110-z

17.   Lee KSS, Divis PCSS, Zakaria SK, et al. Plasmodium knowlesi: Reservoir hosts and
tracking the emergence in humans and macaques. *PLoS Pathogens.*
2011;7(4):e1002015. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002015

18.   Moyes CL, Shearer FM, Huang Z, et al. Predicting the geographical distributions of
the macaque hosts and mosquito vectors of Plasmodium knowlesi malaria in forested
and non-forested areas. *Parasites and Vectors.* 2016;9(1):242.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1527-0

19.   Vythilingam I, Tan CH, Asmad M, Chan ST, Lee KS, Singh B. Natural transmission of
Plasmodium knowlesi to humans by Anopheles latens in Sarawak, Malaysia.
Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.
2006;100(11):1087-1088. doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2006.02.006

20.   Wong ML, Chua TH, Leong CS, et al. Seasonal and Spatial Dynamics of the Primary
Vector of Plasmodium knowlesi within a Major Transmission Focus in Sabah,
Malaysia. Donnelly M, ed. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases.* 2015;9(10):e0004135.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004135

21.   Speldewinde P, Davidson G, Cook A, et al. Defining the ecological and evolutionary
drivers of Plasmodium knowlesi transmission within a multi-scale framework. *Malar J.*
2019;18(1):66. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2693-2

22.   Fungfuang W, Udom C, Tongthainan D, Kadir KA, Singh B. Malaria parasites in
macaques in Thailand: Stump-tailed macaques (Macaca arctoides) are new natural
hosts for Plasmodium knowlesi, Plasmodium inui, Plasmodium coatneyi and
Plasmodium fieldi. *Malaria Journal.* 2020;19(1):1-7. doi:10.1186/s12936-020-03424-0

23.   World Health Organization. WHO Malaria Policy Advisory Group (MPAG) meeting
Global Malaria Programme SUMMARY. 2021;(March).

24.   Cooper DJ, Rajahram GS, William T, et al. Plasmodium knowlesi Malaria in Sabah,
Malaysia, 2015-2017: Ongoing increase in incidence despite nearelimination of the
human-only plasmodium species. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2020;70(3):361-367.
doi:10.1093/cid/ciz237

25.   Setiadi W, Sudoyo H, Trimarsanto H, et al. A zoonotic human infection with simian
malaria, Plasmodium knowlesi, in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Malaria Journal.*
2016;15(1):218. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1272-z

26.   Fornace KM, Herman LS, Grignard L, et al. Exposure and infection to Plasmodium
knowlesi in case study communities in Northern Sabah, Malaysia and Palawan, The
Philippines. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases.* 2018;12(6):e0006432.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006432

27.   Maeno Y, Quang NT, Marchand RP, et al. Humans frequently exposed to a range of
non-human primate malaria parasite species through the bites of Anopheles dirus
mosquitoes in South-central Vietnam. *Parasites and Vectors.* 2015;8(1):376.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0995-y

28.   Koh GJN, Ismail PK, Koh D. Occupationally Acquired Plasmodium knowlesi Malaria in
Brunei Darussalam. *Safety and Health at Work.* 2019;10(1):122-124.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2018.09.002

29.   Ghinai I, Cook J, Hla TTW, et al. Malaria epidemiology in central Myanmar:
identification of a multi-species asymptomatic reservoir of infection. *MALARIA
JOURNAL.* 2017;16. doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1651-5

30. Jeyaprakasam NK, Liew JWK, Low VL, Wan-Sulaiman WY, Vythilingam I.
Plasmodium knowlesi infecting humans in southeast asia: What's next? *PLoS*
Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2020;14(12):1-16. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008900
31. Fornace KM, Abidin TR, Alexander N, et al. Association between landscape factors
and spatial patterns of Plasmodium knowlesi infections in Sabah, Malaysia. *Emerging*
Infectious Diseases. 2016;22(2):201-208.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2202.150656
32. Fornace KM, Brock PM, Abidin TR, et al. Environmental risk factors and exposure to
the zoonotic malaria parasite Plasmodium knowlesi across northern Sabah, Malaysia:
a population-based cross-sectional survey. *The Lancet Planetary Health*.
2019;3(4):e179--e186. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(19)30045-2
33. Murray KA, Daszak P. Human ecology in pathogenic landscapes: two hypotheses on
how land use change drives viral emergence. *Curr Opin Virol*. 2013;3(1):79-83.
doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2013.01.006
34. Link A, de Luna A, Alfonso F, Giraldo-Beltran P, Ramirez F. Initial effects of
fragmentation on the density of three neotropical primate species in two lowland
forests of Colombia. *Endangered Species Research*. 2010;13(1):41-50.
doi:10.3354/esr00312
35. ZUNINO GABRIEL, KOWALEWSKI1 M, OKLANDER L. Habitat Fragmentation and
Population Size of the Black and Gold Howler Monkey (Alouatta caraya) in a
Semideciduous Forest in Northern Argentina. *American Journal of Primatology*.
2007;69(September 2006):966–975. doi:10.1002/ajp
36. Brant HL. Changes in abundance, diversity and community composition of
mosquitoes based on different land use in Sabah, Malaysia. *Imperial College London*.
Published online 2011.
37. Hawkes FM, Manin BO, Cooper A, et al. Vector compositions change across forested
to deforested ecotones in emerging areas of zoonotic malaria transmission in
Malaysia. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):13312. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49842-
2
38. Faust CL, McCallum HI, Bloomfield LSP, et al. Pathogen spillover during land
conversion. *Ecology Letters*. 2018;21(4):471-483. doi:10.1111/ele.12904
39. Nunn CL, Dokey ATW. Ranging patterns and parasitism in primates. *Biology Letters*.
2006;2(3):351-354. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2006.0485
40. McFarlane R, Sleigh A, McMichael T. Synanthropy of wild mammals as a determinant
of emerging infectious diseases in the Asian-Australasian region. *Ecohealth*.
2012;9(1):24-35. doi:10.1007/s10393-012-0763-9
41. Kalluri S, Gilruth P, Rogers D, Szczur M. Surveillance of arthropod vector-borne
infectious diseases using remote sensing techniques: A review. *PLoS Pathogens*.
2007;3(10):1361-1371. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030116
42. Byrne I, Drakeley CJ, Fornace KM, et al. Environmental and spatial risk factors for the
larval habitats of Plasmodium knowlesi vectors in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Sci Rep*.
2021;11(1):11810. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90893-1
43. Cohen JM, Civitello DJ, Brace AJ, et al. Spatial scale modulates the strength of
ecological processes driving disease distributions. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences. 2016;113(24):E3359-E3364. doi:10.1073/pnas.1521657113
44. Brock PM, Fornace KM, Parmiter M, et al. Plasmodium knowlesi transmission:
integrating quantitative approaches from epidemiology and ecology to understand
malaria as a zoonosis. *Parasitology*. 2016;143(4):389-400.
doi:10.1017/S0031182015001821
45. Munn Z, MClinSc SM, Lisy K, Riitano D, Tufanaru C. Methodological guidance for
systematic reviews of observational epidemiological studies reporting prevalence and

cumulative incidence data. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*.
2015;13(3):147-153. doi:10.1097/XEB.0000000000000054

46. Antinori S, Galimberti L, Milazzo L, Corbellino M. Plasmodium knowlesi: The
emerging zoonotic malaria parasite. *Acta Tropica*. 2013;125(2):191-201.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.10.008

47. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting
systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care
interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Medicine*. 2009;6(7).
doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1000100

48. Stewart GB, Altman DG, Askie LM, Duley L, Simmonds MC, Stewart LA. Statistical
Analysis of Individual Participant Data Meta-Analyses: A Comparison of Methods and
Recommendations for Practice. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(10).
doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0046042

49. Hippel PT von. The heterogeneity statistic I2 can be biased in small meta-analyses.
BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2015;15(1). doi:10.1186/S12874-015-0024-Z

50. Lin L, Chu H. Quantifying Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis. *Biometrics*.
2018;74(3):785. doi:10.1111/BIOM.12817

51. WorldPop. Global High Resolution Population Denominators Project - Funded by The
Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1134076). Published 2018.
https://dx.doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00671

52. Dijkstra L, Poelman H. A harmonised definition of cities and rural areas: the new
degree of urbanisation. *Regional and Urban Policy*. Published online 2014.

53. Jarvis, A., H.I. Reuter, A. Nelson EG. Hole-filled SRTM for the globe Version 4,
available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org).

54. Hansen MC, Potapov P v., Moore R, et al. High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-
Century Forest Cover Change. *Science 342 (15 November)*. Published online
2013:850–53.

55. Wilkinson DA, Marshall JC, French NP, Hayman DTS. Habitat fragmentation,
biodiversity loss and the risk of novel infectious disease emergence. *Journal of the
Royal Society Interface*. 2018;15(149). doi:10.1098/rsif.2018.0403

56. McGarigal K, Cushman SA. FRAGSTATS v4: Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for
Categorical and Continuous Maps. Computer software program produced by the
authors at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Published 2012.
http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html

57. Wang X, Blanchet FG, Koper N. Measuring habitat fragmentation : An evaluation of
landscape pattern metrics. Published online 2014:634-646. doi:10.1111/2041-
210X.12198

58. Waxman D, Weinert LA, Welch JJ. Inferring host range dynamics from comparative
data: the protozoan parasites of new world monkeys. *Am Nat*. 2014;184(1):65-74.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/676589

59. Rogerson PA. *Statistical Methods for Geography*. SAGE, London; 2001.

60. Akter R, Vythilingam I, Khaw LT, et al. Simian malaria in wild macaques: first report
from Hulu Selangor district, Selangor, Malaysia. *Malaria Journal*. 2015;14(1):386.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0856-3

61. Chang Q, Sun X, Wang J, et al. Identification of Hepatocystis species in a macaque
monkey in northern Myanmar. *Research & Reports in Tropical Medicine*. 2011;2:141-
146.

62. Gamalo LE, Dimalibot J, Kadir KA, Singh B, Paller VG. Plasmodium knowlesi and
other malaria parasites in long-tailed macaques from the Philippines. *Malaria Journal*.
2019;18(1):1-7. doi:10.1186/s12936-019-2780-4

63. Jeslyn WPS, Huat TC, Vernon L, et al. Molecular epidemiological investigation of
Plasmodium knowlesi in humans and macaques in Singapore. *Vector-Borne and*
Zoonotic Diseases. 2011;11(2):131-135. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2010.0024
64. Li MI, Mailepessov D, Vythilingam I, et al. Prevalence of simian malaria parasites in
macaques of Singapore. *PLOS NEGLECTED TROPICAL DISEASES*. 2021;15(1):1-
12. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009110
65. Muehlenbein MP, Pacheco MA, Taylor JE, et al. Accelerated diversification of
nonhuman primate malarias in Southeast Asia: Adaptive radiation or geographic
speciation? *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 2015;32(2):422-439.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msu310
66. Saleh Huddin A, Md Yusuf NA, Razak MRMA, Ogu Salim N, Hisam S. Genetic
diversity of Plasmodium knowlesi among human and long-tailed macaque populations
in Peninsular Malaysia: The utility of microsatellite markers. *Infection, Genetics and*
Evolution. 2019;75(February):103952. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2019.103952
67. Seethamchai S, Putaporntip C, Malaivijitnond S, et al. Malaria and Hepatocystis
species in wild macaques, southern Thailand. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine*
& Hygiene. 2008;78(4):646-653. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.78.646
68. Vythilingam I, Noorazian YM, Huat TC, et al. Plasmodium knowlesi in humans,
macaques and mosquitoes in peninsular Malaysia. *Parasites and Vectors*.
2008;1(1):26. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-1-26
69. Zhang X, Kadir KA, Quintanilla-Zariñan LF, et al. Distribution and prevalence of
malaria parasites among long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis) in regional
populations across Southeast Asia. *Malaria Journal*. 2016;15(1):1-8.
doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1494-0
70. Ho GC, Lee CL, Sharma RSK. Association of Institutions for Tropical Veterinary
Medicine (AITVM) Conference 23-26 August 2010 Bangkok,Thailand. 2010;(August).
71. Unpublished. Personal Correspondance. Published online 2015.
72. Unpublished. Personal Correspondance. Published online 2013.
73. Zarith et al. Molecular Prevalence, Spatial Distribution and Epidemiological Risk
Factors of Plasmodium knowlesi infection among Macaca fascicularis in Peninsular
Malaysia (pre-publication). Published online 2021.
74. Amir A, Shahari S, Liew JWK, et al. Natural Plasmodium infection in wild macaques of
three states in peninsular Malaysia. *Acta Tropica*. 2020;211(April):1-6.
doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105596
75. Putaporntip C, Jongwutiwes S, Thongaree S, et al. Ecology of malaria parasites
infecting Southeast Asian macaques: evidence from cytochrome b sequences
Chaturong. *Mol Ecol*. 2010;19(16):3466–3476. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2010.04756.x.Ecology
76. Shearer FM, Huang Z, Weiss DJ, et al. Estimating Geographical Variation in the Risk
of Zoonotic Plasmodium knowlesi Infection in Countries Eliminating Malaria. *PLoS*
Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2016;10(8):1-17. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004915
77. Phang WK, Hamid MHA, Jelip J, et al. Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Plasmodium
knowlesi Infection in Peninsular Malaysia, 2011 to 2018. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL*
OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH AND PUBLIC HEALTH. 2020;17(24).
doi:10.3390/ijerph17249271
78. Chua TH, Manin BO, Vythilingam I, Fornace K, Drakeley CJ. Effect of different habitat
types on abundance and biting times of Anopheles balabacensis Baisas (Diptera:
Culicidae) in Kudat district of Sabah, Malaysia. *Parasites and Vectors*.
2019;12(1):364. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3627-0

79. Brant HL, Ewers RM, Vythilingam I, Drakeley C, Benedick S, Mumford JD. Vertical
stratification of adult mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) within a tropical rainforest in
Sabah, Malaysia. *Malaria Journal*. 2016;15(1):1-9. doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1416-1
80. Manin BO, Ferguson HM, Vythilingam I, et al. Investigating the Contribution of Peri-
domestic Transmission to Risk of Zoonotic Malaria Infection in Humans. *PLoS
Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016;10(10):1-14. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005064
81. Parker DM, Carrara VI, Pukrittayakamee S, McGready R, Nosten FH. Malaria ecology
along the Thailand-Myanmar border. *Malaria Journal*. 2015;14(1):388.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0921-y
82. de Ang JX, Yaman K, Kadir KA, Matusop A, Singh B. New vectors that are early
feeders for Plasmodium knowlesi and other simian malaria parasites in Sarawak,
Malaysian Borneo. *Scientific Reports*. 2021;11(1):1-12. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-
86107-3
83. Gillespie TR, Chapman CA. Forest fragmentation, the decline of an endangered
primate, and changes in host-parasite interactions relative to an unfragmented forest.
American Journal of Primatology. 2008;70(3):222-230. doi:10.1002/ajp.20475
84. White LA, Forester JD, Craft ME. Disease outbreak thresholds emerge from
interactions between movement behavior, landscape structure, and epidemiology.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(28):7374-7379. doi:10.1073/pnas.1801383115
85. Yakob L, Lloyd AL, Kao RR, et al. Plasmodium knowlesi invasion following spread by
infected mosquitoes, macaques and humans. *Parasitology*. 2018;145(1):101-110.
doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0031182016002456
802