Group A *Streptococcus* Induces Lysosomal Dysfunction in THP-1 Macrophages

Scott T. Nishioka[1†], Joshua Snipper[1†], Jimin Lee[1], Joshua Schapiro[1], Robert Z. Zhang[1], Hyewon Abe[1], Andreas Till[2,3], Cheryl Y.M. Okumura[1*]

[1]Biology Department, Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA 90041, USA

[2]Division of Biological Sciences and The San Diego Center for Systems Biology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0688, USA

[3]University Hospital of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

* Correspondence:
Cheryl Okumura
okumura@oxy.edu

Keywords: Group A *Streptococcus*, streptolysin O (SLO), macrophage, lysosome, vacuolar ATPase, cathepsin B

Abstract

The human-specific bacterial pathogen Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Macrophages are important to control GAS infection, but previous data indicate that GAS can persist in macrophages. In this study, we detail the molecular mechanisms by which GAS survives in THP-1 macrophages. Our fluorescence microscopy studies demonstrate that GAS are readily phagocytosed by macrophages, but persist within phagolysosomes. These phagolysosomes are not acidified, which is in agreement with our findings that GAS cannot survive in low pH environments. We find that the secreted pore-forming toxin Streptolysin O (SLO) perforates the phagolysosomal membrane, allowing leakage of not only protons, but large proteins including the lysosomal protease cathepsin B. Additionally, GAS blocks the activity of vacuolar ATPase (v-ATPase) to prevent acidification of the phagolysosome. Thus, while GAS does not inhibit fusion of the lysosome with the phagosome, it has multiple mechanisms to prevent proper phagolysosome function, allowing for persistence of the bacteria within the macrophage. This has important implications for not only the initial response but the overall functionality of the macrophages, which may lead to the resulting pathologies in GAS infection. Our data suggests that therapies aimed at improving macrophage function may positively impact patient outcomes in GAS infection.

Word count: Abstract: 204; Manuscript: 5642 (omitting references and figure legends); Number of figures: 5

1   Introduction

GAS is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, but especially in medically underserved areas where antibiotic therapy may not be promptly available . Infection produces wide-ranging clinical manifestations including pharyngitis, acute rheumatic fever (ARF) often leading to rheumatic heart disease, and invasive disease such as necrotizing fasciitis (1). Acting as the first line

of defense against such infections, macrophages are critical for the early control and resolution of
GAS infection (2,3). However, GAS has been shown to survive intracellularly in macrophages during
both acute invasive soft tissue infection and asymptomatic carriage (3–5). Although GAS remains
highly sensitive to beta-lactam antibiotics, the gold standard of treatment (6), the ability of bacteria to
persist in macrophages and re-emerge after antibiotic treatment poses a therapeutic challenge (4).

As both phagocytes and antigen-presenting cells, macrophages function at the intersection of
innate and adaptive immunity and are therefore critical for identifying and defending against
infection. Macrophages engulf bacterial pathogens into phagosomes, which fuse with lysosomes that
deliver proteolytic enzymes to facilitate bacterial destruction. These enzymes have optimal
proteolytic activity under acidic conditions, and therefore lysosomal acidification is important for
macrophage bactericidal function and subsequent antigen presentation (8). Inhibition of either
lysosomal fusion with bacteria-containing phagosomes or phagolysosomal acidification is an evasion
tactic employed by several bacterial pathogens including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Legionella*
pneumophila, and *Staphylococcus aureus* (8–10). GAS may employ similar tactics to survive
intracellularly within macrophages and to evade the adaptive immune response upon host re-
infection, which may contribute to the development of pathologies such as toxic shock syndrome
(11).

GAS is a human-specific pathogen and has evolved mechanisms to survive the immune
response (12). Previous data have indicated that phagocytosed GAS can prevent fusion with
destructive organelles such as azurophilic granules and lysosomes (13,14). Other reports have shown
that while GAS-containing phagosomes do fuse with lysosomes, GAS survives within macrophages
via the secretion of virulence factors such as Streptolysin O (SLO) and NADase (15,16). SLO is a
cholesterol-dependent cytolysin that oligomerizes to form large pores (~30 nm) within the host cell
membrane (17) that allows the loss of proteins up to 15nm in diameter (18). As a result, SLO is
hypothesized to permeabilize the phagolysosomal membrane, permitting free flow of protons out of
the phagolysosome and limiting the activity of lysosomal proteases that facilitate intracellular killing
(16,19). Interestingly, it has also been reported that GAS is capable of preventing lysosomal
acidification by preventing the recruitment of the vacuolar ATPase (v-ATPase) (20). In the context of
these data, it is unclear what mechanisms are contributing to GAS intracellular survival in
macrophages. In this paper, we used the human monocytic cell line THP-1 differentiated into
macrophages to understand the molecular mechanism by which GAS survives in macrophages. We
find that not only does GAS induce leakage of large proteins from the phagolysosome into the
cytosol, but that acidification of the phagolysosome is also limited. This has important consequences
for the survival of the bacteria within the macrophage and underscores the need to mount a proper
macrophage response in GAS infection to improve patient outcomes.

2   Materials and Methods

2.1   Antibodies and chemicals

Antibodies to the following proteins were used in this study: EEA-1 (Abcam, ab2900), LAMP-2
(Abcam, ab25631), V_0D_1 (Abcam, ab56441), Cathepsin B (Cell Signaling Technology, D1C7Y),
LAMP-1 (Cell Signaling Technology, #9091), V_1A (Abnova, H00000523-A01), and beta-actin
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA5-15739). Fluorescent secondary antibodies were purchased from
Thermo Fisher Scientific. The following fluorescent probes were purchased from Thermo Fisher
Scientific: Alexa Fluor 488 Hydrazide (A10436), Oregon Green 488 Anionic, Lysine Fixable
Dextran,10,000 MW (D7171) and 70,000 MW (D7173), and LysoTracker DeepRed (L12492).

40,000 and 70,000 MW Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) dextrans were purchased from Millipore
Sigma. To generate antibody-coupled beads, 0.1um Carboxylate-Modified Microspheres (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) were coupled to approximately 400mg normal pooled human serum (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) using 2% 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride
(QBiosciences). The reaction was quenched with 40mM ethanolamine and resuspended in PBS.
Successful coupling of the beads was assessed by fluorescence microscopy showing increased
phagocytosis by THP-1 macrophages compared with uncoupled beads (data not shown). 1mM L-
leucyl L-leucine O-methyl ester (LLOMe, Cayman Chemical, #16008) was incubated with cells to
induce lysosomal damage.

2.2   Bacterial strains

Wild-type (WT) GAS strain M1T1 5448 (M1 GAS) was originally isolated from a patient with
necrotizing fasciitis and toxic shock syndrome (21). Isogenic mutant strains lacking Streptolysin O
(ΔSLO), Streptolysin S (via lack of SagA, ΔSagA) and Emm1 (ΔM1) were previously described (22–
24). Bacterial strains were cultivated in Todd-Hewitt broth (THB) at 37°C. For all experiments,
bacteria were grown to log phase in the presence of 1:200 pooled normal human serum (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) to opsonize bacteria. The gene for monomeric WASABI (mWASABI) (7,25) was
codon-optimized for expression in bacteria and synthesized in a shuttle vector (GenScript; see
supplemental data for amino acid sequence). The gene was subcloned into pDCerm (26) and the
resulting plasmid (pWASABI) was transformed into WT M1 GAS and the ΔSLO mutant. Plasmid-
bearing strains were maintained in THB supplemented with 5ug/mL erythromycin. Heat-killed (HK)
bacteria were prepared by incubating a known concentration of bacteria at 95°C for 10 min. followed
by a 15 min. opsonization in 1:200 pooled normal human serum at room temperature.

2.3   Cell Culture

106 THP-1 cells were purchased from Sigma (cat. 88081201) and cultured in RPMI supplemented with
107 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Corning), 2mM L-glutamine and 100 U/mL
108 penicillin/100 µg/mL streptomycin at 37°C/5% CO_2. Cells were differentiated to macrophages using
109 20nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (MilliporeSigma) 24-48 hours prior to experiments.

2.4   Immunofluorescence

2.5×10^5 THP-1 cells were seeded on coverslips in the presence of 20nM PMA 24-48 hours prior to
experiments. For dextran leakage assays, cells were incubated in media containing 20ug/mL of the
indicated dextran or 500ug/mL 70kD dextran overnight, washed and chased in cell culture media for
2 hours prior to bacterial infection. For infection experiments with two rounds of infection, secondary
infection bacteria were labeled with 10uM CellTracker Orange (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 30 min.
prior to infection. Bacteria were combined with cells at an MOI = 10 in RPMI supplemented with 2%
FBS only (no antibiotics) and plates were centrifuged at 500 x g to synchronize bacterial contact with
cells. At the indicated time points, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde or 3.7% formaldehyde
for 10 min. at room temperature. Cells were incubated with blocking solution (10% goat serum, 3%
BSA and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS), then incubated with the indicated primary antibodies for 1 hour
at room temperature in block solution. Cells were washed and incubated with the indicated secondary
antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. GAS opsonized in human serum were detected using
fluorescent anti-human IgG antibodies where indicated. Cells were washed and mounted onto slides
with ProLong antifade reagent with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Slides were imaged on an
inverted Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope using a 63x/1.40 oil objective with 2-3x digital zoom at
calibrated magnifications and recorded with LAS AF software (Leica). Quantitation of dextran and

LAMP-1 colocalization with bacteria was analyzed with CellProfiler 3.1.9 (27) using the Otsu
thresholding method and the MeasureImageOverlap module. To automatically count bacteria and
cells, either fluorescence (bacteria) or brightfield (THP-1 macrophages) images were thresholded,
objects were filled and average object size was used to count cells. For LAMP-1, colocalization is
expressed as the percentage of GAS fluorescence that colocalized with LAMP-1 fluorescence, and
the number of bacteria per cell was estimated by dividing the total number of bacteria by the total
number of cells counted in each image. For dextran staining, colocalization is expressed as the
percentage of dextran fluorescence that colocalized with GAS fluorescence. For quantitation of
mWASABI-expressing bacteria within phagosomes, images were coded and counted manually in a
blind fashion by at least 3 independent reviewers. For manually counted cells, the number of bacteria
per cell and the number of infected cells was calculated by averaging the number of bacteria in
infected cells across all counted images within an experiment. For all imaging data, at least 3
independent experiments were performed, at least 16 images containing >10 cells per image were
analyzed and >100 cells were counted per time point.

2.5 Verification of pH sensitivity of mWASABI in GAS

To assess the fluorescence intensity of mWASABI in live GAS, log phase cultures of bacteria were
incubated on coverslips in THB with 10mM HEPES adjusted to the indicated pH for 1 hour at 37°C.
Bacteria were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and analyzed by confocal microscopy. Gain settings
were adjusted to bacteria incubated in pH 7 media, and the settings were applied to all other
conditions. Images were thresholded and the corrected total cell fluorescence (integrated density –
average background integrated density) of each bacterial cell was measured using ImageJ.

2.6 Acidification assay

10^5 THP-1 cells were seeded with 20nM PMA in 96-well black plates with clear bottoms overnight.
The following day, cells were fed 500ug/mL 70kD FITC-dextran overnight. On the third day, cells
were chased with normal cell culture media (RPM1 supplemented with 10% FBS, no antibiotics) for
2 hours prior to infection. Cells were infected at an MOI of 10 with either the indicated bacterial
strain or Ab-conjugated latex beads in RPMI supplemented with 2% FBS only (no antibiotics) and
plates were centrifuged at 500 x g to synchronize bacterial contact with cells. Fluorescence intensity
at 480nm/535nm (Em/Ex) was monitored at 37°C in a fluorescent plate reader for 3 hours.

2.7 Bacterial survival in different pH media

157 Bacteria were incubated in THB with 10mM HEPES adjusted to the indicated pH. For bacterial
158 growth, cultures were adjusted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C. OD_{600nm} was measured
159 every 15 min. For bacterial survival, 2 x 10^5 cfu log phase bacteria were added to 250ul pH-adjusted
160 culture media in 96 well plates. At the indicated time point, a 25ul aliquot was removed from the
161 culture and quantitated by plating on agar plates.

2.8 Cell fractionation and Western blot

Following a 30 min. infection period, a total of 10^7 PMA-differentiated cells were scraped and
collected in 1 mL of fractionation buffer (50 mM KCl, 90 mM K-Gluconate, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM
MgCl2, 50 mM sucrose, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 5 mM glucose, 1X HALT phosphatase/protease
inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1ug/mL pepstatin (Millipore Sigma), and 1mM PMSF
(Millipore Sigma)). Cells were lysed by nitrogen cavitation equilibrated on ice for 30 min. at 400 psi.
The resulting lysates were fractionated into membrane and cytosolic fractions by centrifugation at

16,000 x g at 4˚C for 15 min. (pellet = membrane fraction, supernatant = cytosolic fraction). Protein
concentrations were measured by BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 10ug of each sample was
run on SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to a 0.2um PVDF membrane. Blots were blocked with 5%
(w/v) non-fat dry milk in 1X Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST). Blots were probed
with the indicated antibodies overnight at 4˚C. Relative protein concentrations were quantified by
densitometry analysis in ImageLab v. 6.0.1 (BioRad). v-ATPase assembly was measured by
normalizing V_1A densitometry values in the membrane fraction to the V_0D_1 loading controls in
membrane fractions and calculating the ratio of V_1A in the sample compared with uninfected
macrophages (28).

2.9   Cathepsin B activity assay

4×10^6 PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells were infected at an MOI = 10 for 60 min. followed by lysis
with 30ug/mL digitonin in acetate buffer (50 mM Na-acetate pH 5.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA)
and protease inhibitors (1X HALT phosphatase/protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher
Scientific), 100 μM PMSF, 1 μg/mL pepstatin)) (29). The cell lysate was separated into cytosolic and
membrane fractions as described above. Cathepsin B activity in cytosolic fractions was measured
using the SensoLyte 520 Cathepsin B Assay Kit (AnaSpec) according to the manufacturer's
instructions. Relative fluorescence units were normalized to the corresponding protein concentration
for each sample and compared with the uninfected cells. Data from 3 independent experiments were
combined.

2.10   v-ATPase activity assay

Cell membrane fractions containing lysosomes were prepared using 30ug/mL digitonin in acetate
buffer as described above. 10ug of each sample was equilibrated in 200ul assay buffer (10mM
HEPES, 5mM MgCl2, 125mM KCl, pH = 7.0) (30) at room temperature for 30 min. in the presence
or absence of 200nM bafilomycin (MilliporeSigma). 1mM fresh ATP in 200mM Tris pH = 7 was
added to each sample and incubated at room temperature for 2.5 hours. 25ul of the reaction was
collected and diluted in ultrapure water, and liberation of free phosphate was measured using the
Malachite Green Phosphate Assay Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (MilliporeSigma).
Corrected absorbance values (= $A_{sample} - A_{substrate\ blank} - A_{buffer\ blank}$) were compared with a phosphate
standard curve to determine phosphate concentration. v-ATPase activity was calculated as the
difference in phosphate concentration between samples incubated with and without bafilomycin.

2.11 Statistical analysis

Growth curve data was analyzed using the Growthcurver package in R (31) and comparison of the
intrinsic growth rate (r) and carrying capacity (K) was analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's
post-hoc test. All other data were analyzed using Prism v. 9.3.1 (GraphPad Software), on arcsin
transformed data where indicated, by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's (normally distributed data) or
Dunnett's or Kruskal-Wallis (nonparametric data) multiple comparison tests. Data in Figures 2D, 2G
and Supp. Figure 4B were also analyzed by 2-way ANOVA to ensure day to day experimentation
and/or individual counters did not contribute to the differences observed in the data (data not shown).
Outliers were assessed by the ROUT method (Q = 1%). For all data presented, ****P<0.0001,
***P<0.001, *P<0.05, n.s., not significant.

3   Results

3.1   Bacterial trafficking in THP-1 macrophages

Because there are reports that GAS can prevent (14) or allow (16) lysosomal fusion upon
phagocytosis, we first examined the intracellular trafficking of GAS in THP-1 macrophages.
Bacterial contact with cells was initiated by centrifugation to synchronize macrophage uptake of
bacteria and phagolysosomal maturation was monitored by immunofluorescence in a short time
course infection experiment (Fig. 1, 2). In agreement with the literature (14,16,32), bacteria were
rapidly engulfed by THP-1 cells by our earliest measured time point at 7.5 minutes (Fig. 1B, 2D) and
colocalized with the early phagosomal marker EEA-1 (Fig. 2C, D). Interestingly, bacteria in
phagosomes also began colocalizing with the lysosomal marker LAMP-1 as early as 7.5 minutes
(Fig. 1B). Maximal colocalization with LAMP-1 began at 30 minutes and GAS remained within
phagolysosomes throughout the later time points (Fig. 1A, B). Surprisingly, but in agreement with
other reports (32), the number of bacteria per cell was relatively constant throughout the experiment
(Fig. 1C). These data indicated that bacteria were trafficked as expected to phagolysosomes, but
bacteria were held in these compartments without observable bacterial elimination or replication
within this time frame. Although we synchronized the infection, there was a possibility that this
result was due to continuous infection of macrophages and turnover of bacteria. To address this, we
performed the trafficking experiment with a second round of bacterial infection (Fig. 1D). Bacteria
introduced in the secondary infection were rarely found in macrophages and were mostly
extracellular (Fig. 1D), in agreement with a report for *Salmonella* Typhimurium that the frequency of
macrophage reinfection after the primary infection is rare (33). Thus, bacteria from the primary
infection persist in THP-1 macrophage phagolysosomes.

3.2   GAS reside in non-acidified phagolysosomes in THP-1 macrophages

Acidification is critical for optimal activity of the proteolytic enzymes in the lysosome (34).
Because bacteria appeared to be held in phagolysosomes without observable elimination, we
wondered whether phagolysosomes containing GAS were acidified. Lysotracker is an acidotropic
dye commonly used to track acidic compartments such as lysosomes in the cell. However, because
GAS is an acid-producing bacterial species, we tested whether Lysotracker would be an accurate
indicator of lysosomal pH in GAS-infected cells. Although Lysotracker colocalized with lysosomal
markers in THP-1 cells, the staining and uptake was inconsistent (Supp. Fig. 1A). Furthermore,
bacteria were brightly stained with Lysotracker in both infected cells and in the absence of cells
(Supp. Fig. 1A-C). This made it difficult to determine whether and to what extent phagolysosomes
containing GAS were acidified. We therefore expressed a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein
variant mWASABI (7) in GAS to monitor phagolysosomal pH of GAS-infected cells (Fig. 2A).
Green fluorescence produced by live bacteria was appropriately quenched in low pH environments
(Fig. 2A, B). Notably, pH was distinguishable by fluorescence intensity between pH 5.0 and 4.5 (Fig.
2B).

We infected THP-1 macrophages with mWASABI-expressing GAS and performed a detailed
trafficking assay of GAS through the phagolysosomal pathway (Fig. 2C, D). For this experiment, we
used the lysosomal protein LAMP-2 to confirm the lysosomal fusion we observed earlier (Fig. 1)
since there is conflicting evidence in the literature that GAS prevents lysosomal fusion (13). Because
bacteria were tracked by mWASABI expression instead of immunofluorescent staining
colocalization with phagosomal markers was difficult (Fig. 2C, D). To quantitate the data, we
manually counted bacteria that colocalized with phagolysosomal markers in a blinded manner (Fig.
2D). We again found that GAS was initially located in phagosomes, as indicated by EEA-1 staining,
which was followed by rapid bacterial colocalization with the mature lysosomal marker LAMP-2
within the first 15 minutes of infection (Fig. 2C, D), similar to the colocalization dynamics observed
with LAMP-1 staining (Fig. 1). An average of 50% of the counted intracellular bacteria were

colocalized with LAMP-2 at the 60 and 90 minute post-infection time points, which was significantly
increased compared with the 7.5 minute time point (Fig. 2C, D). Although there were some
fluctuations in the number of bacteria in phagolysosomes (Fig. 2E), the number of intracellular
bacteria remained relatively constant at all time points, consistent with our initial data (Fig. 1C).
Supporting this data, the percentage of infected cells was similar across all time points (Supp. Fig. 2).
This corroborated our finding that little to no bacterial eradication or replication was occurring within
this time frame (Fig. 2E).

Surprisingly, we also found that the green fluorescence signal did not diminish over time,
indicating that the intracellular bacteria were not in an acidic environment (Fig. 2C, F). Fluorescence
signal intensity of intracellular bacteria in phagolysosomes was not significantly different than that of
the extracellular bacteria in our images (Fig. 2F). As the lysosomal lumen is typically between pH
4.5–5 when acidified (35), a pH level that our mWASABI probe can differentiate (Fig. 2A, B), these
data indicated that GAS were maintained in phagolysosomes that are not appropriately acidified.

We confirmed that GAS-infected phagosomes were not acidified with a second method using
pH-sensitive FITC-conjugated 70kD dextran particles. Acidification of the lysosomes resulted in
quenching of the fluorescence signal in uninfected and control cells infected with immunoglobulin-
conjugated beads (Fig. 2G). However, the fluorescence signal was increased in cells infected with all
tested strains of GAS, indicating no pH change (Fig. 2G). The low magnitude of difference we
observed in our GAS-infected cells compared with the uninfected cells may be due to the limited
ability of cells to uptake such a large molecule (70kD) which produced variation between
experiments (Fig. 2G). Nevertheless, these results confirmed that GAS-infected phagolysosomes are
not properly acidified. Additionally, there are differences in signal between GAS strains (Fig. 2G),
but all cells infected with GAS show increased fluorescence signal compared with the uninfected
cells. This ability of GAS to prevent lysosomal acidification may account for the persistence of the
bacteria in THP-1 cells (Fig. 1C, 2E).

3.3   GAS does not tolerate acidic lysosomal conditions

GAS is a lactic acid-producing bacterial species and possesses systems such as the arginine
deiminase (ADI) pathway that confer acid tolerance (36,37). As expected, we found that the final pH
of both buffered and unbuffered culture medium after bacterial growth was acidic (Table 1).
However, in a physically constrained environment such as the lysosome, the effectiveness of such
systems may be limited. The pH of the lysosomal lumen has been reported to range between pH 4.5-
5 (35). We wondered whether a pH similar to that found in the lysosome adversely affected bacterial
growth and survival. In timed growth experiments, bacteria grown in buffered media adjusted to pH
7 had no significant difference in maximum population size, but a statistically significant increase in
the intrinsic growth rate of the population compared with unbuffered media (Fig. 3A). Bacteria
grown in media adjusted to pH 6 grew at a significantly slower rate and did not reach a similar
maximum population size in the time frame of our experiment compared with unbuffered media (Fig.
3A). Bacteria grown in media adjusted to pH 5 or lower did not demonstrate measurable growth (Fig.
3A). Thus, GAS growth is sensitive to decreasing pH and is inhibited at a pH found in the lysosomal
environment.
297
The final pH of the stationary phase cultures in both buffered and unbuffered culture media
(Table 1) indicated that although GAS may not replicate in acidic conditions, it may be capable of
survival. Quantitative plating of cultures at various time points throughout the growth experiment
indicated that bacteria in pH 5 media were present (data not shown), but not measurably growing

(Fig. 3A). We therefore performed a detailed time course to monitor bacterial survival in low pH
media (Fig. 3B). Bacteria incubated in unbuffered media did not significantly grow during the time
frame of the survival experiment (data not shown). Similar to other studies (38,39), we found that
GAS does not survive in low pH media (Fig. 3B). Although bacteria incubated in up to pH 5.3 media
could survive for a short time, bacterial numbers declined at later time points (Fig. 3B), in agreement
with pH 5 being the limit at which bacteria can survive (37,40) and the final pH of stationary phase
bacterial cultures (Table 1). Our data affirm that GAS cannot survive in the acidified lysosome and
therefore, limiting or preventing acidification (Fig. 2) is a survival strategy that GAS may use to
persist in phagolysosomes.
311

3.4   Streptolysin O creates large perforations in the phagolysosome

Although GAS has several acid stress response strategies (41), a better tactic may be to avoid
the acidification of the phagolysosome altogether. The inability of bacteria to survive in low pH
environments (Fig. 3) led us to examine whether GAS infection has a mechanism to prevent
phagolysosomal acidification. Pore-forming toxins such as SLO create pores as large as 25-30nm in
diameter (17). Others have shown that pore-forming toxins, including SLO, allow escape of
hydrogen ions that prevent acidification of the lysosome (16,42). Streptolysin S (SLS) can similarly
perforate membranes (43). We fed THP-1 macrophages fluorescent-conjugated molecules and
dextrans of various sizes to assess pore size and leakage from phagolysosomes in GAS-infected cells.
The ability to measure phagosomal leakage was confirmed using the lysosomal permeabilization
agent LLOMe (Supp. Fig. 3). LLOMe permeabilizes lysosomes to molecules up to at least 4.4kD, but
not to molecules greater than 10kD (29). Retention of the 10kD, but not the 570Da probe in LLOMe-
treated cells confirmed uptake of the probe in phagolysosomes and appropriate release into the
cytosol upon permeabilization (Supp. Fig. 3A). Cells loaded with probes of varying sizes were
infected with GAS (Fig. 4A, B). As expected, WT GAS caused probes up to 40kD in size,
approximately 9nm in diameter (44), to leak from phagolysosomes (Fig. 4A, B). We next used heat-
killed bacteria (HK) that retain surface structure but are unable to secrete proteins such as SLO and
SLS. We found that the fluorescent probes were retained and colocalized with heat-killed bacteria
(Fig. 4A, B), suggesting that a secreted toxin is responsible for leakage. To determine which toxins
were responsible for this leakage, we infected cells with bacteria lacking different proteins. ΔSLO
GAS-infected cells retained the probes, while cells infected with ΔSagA GAS (lacking SLS) allowed
leakage of the probes, similar to the WT strain (Fig. 4A, B). In our assays, SLS did not significantly
damage the phagolysosomal membrane, as indicated by the lack of leakage of even the small 570Da
probe (Supp. Fig. 3B). Mutant bacteria lacking the surface protein M1 (ΔM1), but with unaltered
expression of SLO and SLS behaved similarly to WT strains (Fig. 4A, B). Our data further indicate
that SLO allowed probes up to 70kD in size, approximately 13nm in diameter (44), to escape from
the lysosomes (Fig. 4B), in agreement with the reported pore size for SLO (17). These data indicate
that SLO is the primary pore-forming toxin that perforates the phagolysosome and allows leakage of
not only protons, but relatively large proteins.
341

Because such large molecules can leak from GAS-infected phagosomes, we next tested
whether lysosomal enzymes could escape into the cytosol through SLO-mediated pores. We infected
cells with WT or ΔSLO GAS and collected membrane and cytosolic cell fractions. Lack of lysosomal
markers in the cytosolic fraction indicated little to no contamination of the cytosolic fraction with
lysosomes (Fig. 4C). The membrane fraction contained most of the lysosomal enzyme cathepsin B as
expected (Fig. 4C). However, we noted a small, but visible increase in the amount of cathepsin B
present in the cytosolic fraction of WT-infected cells compared with uninfected and ΔSLO-infected

cells (Fig. 4C). In order to verify that cathepsin B was present in the cytosol, we measured cathepsin
B activity in the cytosolic fraction. Cytosolic fractions from WT-infected cells contained the highest
amount of cathepsin B activity compared with uninfected cells (Fig. 4D). Cytosolic fractions from
ΔSLO-infected cells (Fig. 4B) did not exhibit cathepsin B activity higher than uninfected cells (Fig.
4D). These data confirmed that SLO secreted by WT bacteria perforates the phagolysosomal
membrane and allows the leakage of large proteins such as the lysosomal enzyme cathepsin B into
the cytosol. This loss of proteolytic enzymes from the phagolysosome in addition to the loss of
protons likely contributes to the ability of GAS to persist in the phagolysosome during infection.

3.5   GAS prevents proper function of vacuolar ATPase

Although SLO-mediated pores could cause leakage of protons from the phagolysosome,
reports in the literature also suggest that the v-ATPase that is required for acidification of the
lysosome is non-functional in GAS-infected cells (20). Supporting this, we found that the
phagolysosomes of macrophages infected with ΔSLO and heat-killed bacteria were also not acidified
(Fig. 2G). Furthermore, ΔSLO bacteria are trafficked to phagolysosomes, maintain the fluorescent
signal of mWASABI, and are maintained at constant numbers in THP-1 cells similar to WT bacteria
(Supp. Fig. 4). These data are consistent with the idea that phagolysosomes infected with ΔSLO
bacteria are also not acidified. We therefore investigated whether v-ATPase is properly assembled
and functional in GAS-infected cells. v-ATPase consists of the membrane-bound F_0 subunit
(containing the V_0D_1 protein) that must assemble with the cytosolic F_1 subunit (containing the V_1A
protein) for proper function (28). We monitored v-ATPase assembly by tracking movement of the
V_1A subunit from the cytosolic to membrane fractions. Cells fed Ig-conjugated beads (Ab beads)
were included as a positive control (Fig. 5A, B). We observed no difference in v-ATPase subunit
assembly in cells infected with GAS compared to Ab bead-fed or uninfected cells (Fig. 5A, B),
indicating that the acidification machinery was recruited properly to phagolysosomes. However,
when we measured v-ATPase activity in membrane fractions of GAS-infected cells, we found
significantly decreased v-ATPase activity in fractions from cells infected with all strains of GAS
compared with uninfected cells (Fig. 5C). These data are in agreement with the lack of acidification
in GAS-infected cells observed in our experiments using pH-sensitive dextrans (Fig. 2G). Therefore,
though the v-ATPase is properly assembled in GAS-infected cells, the activity of this enzyme is
limited, preventing proper acidification of the phagolysosome. Thus, our data suggests that GAS
persists in macrophages by employing a multi-pronged approach to avoid the acidification and
destructive mechanisms of the phagolysosome.

4   Discussion

The human-specific pathogen GAS has co-evolved with the human immune system, and has
multiple mechanisms for survival within the host (1,12). Although GAS is an extracellular bacterial
pathogen, phagocytic cells such as macrophages can readily engulf bacteria (14,16,32). The ability of
GAS to not only survive in macrophages, but to potentially alter their function, escape downstream
immune responses, and be sheltered from antibiotic treatment provides a basis for successful
persistence in humans.

In our experiments, we found that GAS was readily phagocytosed and phagosomes quickly
fused with lysosomes, but that GAS remained in these compartments (Fig. 1, 2). There is conflicting
evidence in the literature about whether lysosomes fuse with GAS-containing phagosomes in
macrophages, which may be due to a difference in cell origin or source of antibodies (14,16). We
find that in THP-1 macrophages, lysosomal fusion with GAS-containing phagosomes occurs, as

confirmed by colocalization with two independent lysosomal antibodies (Fig. 1, 2). We recognize
that although our studies may be limited due to the exclusive use of cell culture, our data are in
agreement with several studies regarding lysosomal fusion with GAS-infected phagosomes (16,32).
In addition, we corroborated previous data that SLO induces perforation and damage of the
phagolysosomal membrane (Fig. 4) (16,45) which promotes bacterial survival. In addition to loss of
lysosomal enzymes and protons, we also demonstrated that GAS limits phagolysosomal acidification
to increase bacterial survival (Fig. 2, 5). Although ΔSLO GAS also prevented phagolysosomal
acidification (Fig. 2, 5), others have shown that ΔSLO GAS are less fit for survival in macrophages
and other cells (16,46). ΔSLO GAS is likely still susceptible to other macrophage killing mechanisms
such as reactive oxygen species or xenophagy (46). Finally, our data demonstrate that bacteria persist
in phagolysosomes without replication or escape into the cytosol (Fig. 1, 2, Supp. Fig. 4) (14,16).
This is in agreement with another study of GAS in THP-1 cells (32), but different from what has
previously been observed in epithelial and U-937 cells, where GAS escapes into the cytosol and
replicates (15,32,45). In our experiments, not all GAS were in LAMP-positive compartments (Fig. 1,
2), which may be due to lysophagic clearance of GAS-damaged lysosomal membranes (32,47).
However, the downstream fate of GAS in non-functional lysosomes in THP-1 macrophages remains
to be elucidated.

The pH of the lysosomal lumen has been reported to range between pH 4.5-5 (35). However,
we found that acidified medium was sufficient to kill GAS (Fig. 3). These results are somewhat
surprising since not only does GAS produce lactic acid, but previous reports suggest GAS has
multiple mechanisms for acid tolerance, including a F_0/F_1 proton pump and the arginine deiminase
pathway which produces alkali (36,37,41,48). However, there may be a limit to which these systems
work, especially within an enclosed environment such as in vitro conditions or in the phagolysosome,
as demonstrated by the threshold for bacterial survival in low pH medium (Fig. 3) (37). Additionally,
GAS lacks enzymes such as glutamate decarboxylase and urease (41), making neutralization of
highly acidified environments a less viable strategy. Therefore, two mechanisms to prevent
acidification, perforating the phagolysosomal membrane (Fig. 4) and blocking v-ATPase activity
(Fig. 5) provide a means to survive within the phagolysosomal compartment. Other bacteria such as
Legionella pneumophila have proteins such as SidK, which binds v-ATPase subunits to prevent
activity (30). Our data indicate that neither SLO nor any other secreted factor is responsible for
interfering with v-ATPase activity (Fig. 5C), and the identity of the GAS protein(s) responsible for
inhibiting v-ATPase activity remains to be elucidated.

Previous data and our results indicate SLO causes leakage of lysosomal contents (Fig. 4).
These proteins include active lysosomal enzymes such as cathepsin B (Fig. 4). The cathepsin B
activity we measured was subtle, likely because the enzyme optimally functions in a low pH
environment (8), which is neither achieved in GAS-infected lysosomes (Fig. 2, 5) nor in the cytosol.
However, releasing active lysosomal proteases could have downstream effects on the cell such as
activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and pyroptosis (49). Furthermore, SLO promotes
macrophage apoptosis by releasing SLO from the lysosome and causing mitochondrial damage
(22,50). Other proteins released from the infected lysosome could also include bacterial proteases
such as SpeB, whose presence in the cytosol could lead to NLRP3 inflammasome activation and
release of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β (51–55). Persistent IL-1β activation as a result of GAS
infection has been linked with ARF and rheumatic heart disease (56). Thus, besides enabling
bacterial survival and blunting the normal macrophage response, this alternative macrophage
response may lead to pathologies such as ARF that are observed during GAS infection. Studies have
shown that macrophages are crucial for GAS clearance (2), but the loss of macrophage function may
also have detrimental effects on the long-term response to GAS infection, including the inability to

generate protective antibodies. Therapies aimed at improving macrophage function may therefore
improve GAS infection outcomes. Although SLO is a promising target, our work shows that
additional drugs to restore v-ATPase activity would be necessary to fully restore macrophage
function. Restoration of macrophage function in GAS infection would allow individuals to mount a
proper adaptive immune response to confer protective immunity.

5   Conflict of Interest

446 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
447 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6   Author Contributions

449 SN, JS, AT and CO contributed to conception and design of the study. All authors performed the
450 experiments and analyzed data. CO wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to
451 manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

7   Funding

453 Support for this project has been provided by the American Heart Association (17GRNT33410851 to
454 CO), the Fletcher Jones Science Scholars Fellowship (to SN), the Occidental College Undergraduate
455 Research Center (to SN, JS, JL, JS, RZ and HA), the Kurata Faculty Excellence Award (to CO),
456 Occidental College (to CO) and the San Diego Center for Systems Biology (to AT).

8   Acknowledgments

458 We thank Cheldon Alcantara and Ryan Hino for contributions to experiments, Karen Molinder for
459 helpful discussions and Kelvin Zheng, Jessica Kim, Stephanie Peacock, Isabel Perez, Kevin Kim, and
460 the Fall 2021 Bio 395 GRR course for contributions to data analysis in this paper.

9   References

1.   Sims Sanyahumbi A, Colquhoun S, Wyber R, Carapetis JR. Global Disease Burden of Group A
Streptococcus. In: Ferretti JJ, Stevens DL, Fischetti VA, editors. Streptococcus pyogenes : Basic
Biology to Clinical Manifestations [Internet]. Oklahoma City (OK): University of Oklahoma
Health Sciences Center; 2016 [cited 2022 Apr 11]. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK333415/

2.   Goldmann O, Rohde M, Chhatwal GS, Medina E. Role of Macrophages in Host Resistance to
Group A Streptococci. Infect Immun. 2004 May;72(5):2956–63.

3.   Mishalian I, Ordan M, Peled A, Maly A, Eichenbaum MB, Ravins M, et al. Recruited
macrophages control dissemination of group A Streptococcus from infected soft tissues. J
Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2011 Dec 1;187(11):6022–31.

4.   Thulin P, Johansson L, Low DE, Gan BS, Kotb M, McGeer A, et al. Viable group A
streptococci in macrophages during acute soft tissue infection. PLoS Med. 2006 Mar;3(3):e53.

5.   Österlund A, Popa R, Nikkilä T, Scheynius A, Engstrand L. Intracellular Reservoir of
Streptococcus pyogenes In Vivo: A Possible Explanation for Recurrent Pharyngotonsillitis. The
Laryngoscope. 1997;107(5):640–7.

6.   Johnson AF, LaRock CN. Antibiotic Treatment, Mechanisms for Failure, and Adjunctive
Therapies for Infections by Group A Streptococcus. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:760255.

7.   Zhou C, Zhong W, Zhou J, Sheng F, Fang Z, Wei Y, et al. Monitoring autophagic flux by an
improved tandem fluorescent-tagged LC3 (mTagRFP-mWasabi-LC3) reveals that high-dose
rapamycin impairs autophagic flux in cancer cells. Autophagy. 2012 Aug;8(8):1215–26.

8.   Flannagan RS, Heit B, Heinrichs DE. Antimicrobial Mechanisms of Macrophages and the
Immune Evasion Strategies of Staphylococcus aureus. Pathogens. 2015 Dec;4(4):826–68.

9.   Carranza C, Chavez-Galan L. Several Routes to the Same Destination: Inhibition of
Phagosome-Lysosome Fusion by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Am J Med Sci. 2019
Mar;357(3):184–94.

10.   Misch EA. Legionella: virulence factors and host response. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2016
Jun;29(3):280–6.

11.   Ganem MB, De Marzi MC, Fernández-Lynch MJ, Jancic C, Vermeulen M, Geffner J, et al.
Uptake and intracellular trafficking of superantigens in dendritic cells. PloS One.
2013;8(6):e66244.

12.   Siemens N, Snäll J, Svensson M, Norrby-Teglund A. Pathogenic Mechanisms of Streptococcal
Necrotizing Soft Tissue Infections. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020;1294:127–50.

13.   Staali L, Bauer S, Mörgelin M, Björck L, Tapper H. Streptococcus pyogenes bacteria modulate
membrane traffic in human neutrophils and selectively inhibit azurophilic granule fusion with
phagosomes. Cell Microbiol. 2006 Apr;8(4):690–703.

14.   Hertzén E, Johansson L, Wallin R, Schmidt H, Kroll M, Rehn AP, et al. M1 protein-dependent
intracellular trafficking promotes persistence and replication of Streptococcus pyogenes in
macrophages. J Innate Immun. 2010;2(6):534–45.

15.   Walker MJ, Barnett TC, McArthur JD, Cole JN, Gillen CM, Henningham A, et al. Disease
Manifestations and Pathogenic Mechanisms of Group A Streptococcus. Clin Microbiol Rev.
2014 Apr 1;27(2):264–301.

16.   Bastiat-Sempe B, Love JF, Lomayesva N, Wessels MR. Streptolysin O and NAD-
glycohydrolase prevent phagolysosome acidification and promote group A Streptococcus
survival in macrophages. mBio. 2014 Sep 16;5(5):e01690-01614.

17.   Bhakdi S, Tranum-Jensen J, Sziegoleit A. Mechanism of membrane damage by streptolysin-O.
Infect Immun. 1985 Jan;47(1):52–60.

18.   Buckingham L, Duncan JL. Approximate dimensions of membrane lesions produced by
streptolysin S and streptolysin O. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1983 Mar 23;729(1):115–22.

19.   Håkansson A, Bentley CC, Shakhnovic EA, Wessels MR. Cytolysin-dependent evasion of
lysosomal killing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005 Apr 5;102(14):5192–7.

20.   Nordenfelt P, Grinstein S, Björck L, Tapper H. V-ATPase-mediated phagosomal acidification is
impaired by Streptococcus pyogenes through Mga-regulated surface proteins. Microbes Infect.
2012 Nov;14(14):1319–29.

21.   Chatellier S, Ihendyane N, Kansal RG, Khambaty F, Basma H, Norrby-Teglund A, et al.
Genetic relatedness and superantigen expression in group A streptococcus serotype M1 isolates
from patients with severe and nonsevere invasive diseases. Infect Immun. 2000 Jun;68(6):3523–
34.

22.   Timmer AM, Timmer JC, Pence MA, Hsu LC, Ghochani M, Frey TG, et al. Streptolysin O
promotes group A Streptococcus immune evasion by accelerated macrophage apoptosis. J Biol
Chem. 2009 Jan 9;284(2):862–71.

23.   Lauth X, von Köckritz-Blickwede M, McNamara CW, Myskowski S, Zinkernagel AS, Beall B,
et al. M1 protein allows Group A streptococcal survival in phagocyte extracellular traps through
cathelicidin inhibition. J Innate Immun. 2009;1(3):202–14.

24.   Datta V, Myskowski SM, Kwinn LA, Chiem DN, Varki N, Kansal RG, et al. Mutational
analysis of the group A streptococcal operon encoding streptolysin S and its virulence role in
invasive infection. Mol Microbiol. 2005 May;56(3):681–95.

25.   Ai H wang, Olenych SG, Wong P, Davidson MW, Campbell RE. Hue-shifted monomeric
variants of Clavularia cyan fluorescent protein: identification of the molecular determinants of
color and applications in fluorescence imaging. BMC Biol. 2008 Mar 6;6:13.

26.   Jeng A, Sakota V, Li Z, Datta V, Beall B, Nizet V. Molecular genetic analysis of a group A
Streptococcus operon encoding serum opacity factor and a novel fibronectin-binding protein,
SfbX. J Bacteriol. 2003 Feb;185(4):1208–17.

27.   McQuin C, Goodman A, Chernyshev V, Kamentsky L, Cimini BA, Karhohs KW, et al.
CellProfiler 3.0: Next-generation image processing for biology. PLoS Biol. 2018
Jul;16(7):e2005970.

28.   McGuire CM, Forgac M. Glucose starvation increases V-ATPase assembly and activity in
mammalian cells through AMP kinase and phosphatidylinositide 3-kinase/Akt signaling. J Biol
Chem. 2018 Jun 8;293(23):9113–23.

29.   Repnik U, Borg Distefano M, Speth MT, Ng MYW, Progida C, Hoflack B, et al. L-leucyl-L-
leucine methyl ester does not release cysteine cathepsins to the cytosol but inactivates them in
transiently permeabilized lysosomes. J Cell Sci. 2017 Sep 15;130(18):3124–40.

30.   Xu L, Shen X, Bryan A, Banga S, Swanson MS, Luo ZQ. Inhibition of host vacuolar H+-
ATPase activity by a Legionella pneumophila effector. PLoS Pathog. 2010 Mar
19;6(3):e1000822.

31.   Sprouffske K, Wagner A. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics from
microbial growth curves. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016 Apr 19;17:172.

32.   O'Neill AM, Thurston TLM, Holden DW. Cytosolic Replication of Group A Streptococcus in
Human Macrophages. mBio. 2016 Apr 12;7(2):e00020-00016.

33.   Gog JR, Murcia A, Osterman N, Restif O, McKinley TJ, Sheppard M, et al. Dynamics of
Salmonella infection of macrophages at the single cell level. J R Soc Interface. 2012 May
2;9(75):2696–707.

34.   Bright NA, Davis LJ, Luzio JP. Endolysosomes Are the Principal Intracellular Sites of Acid
Hydrolase Activity. Curr Biol CB. 2016 Sep 12;26(17):2233–45.

35.   Canton J, Grinstein S. Chapter 5 - Measuring lysosomal pH by fluorescence microscopy. In:
Platt F, Platt N, editors. Methods in Cell Biology [Internet]. Academic Press; 2015 [cited 2021
Jul 1]. p. 85–99. (Lysosomes and Lysosomal Diseases; vol. 126). Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0091679X14000223

36.   Degnan BA, Fontaine MC, Doebereiner AH, Lee JJ, Mastroeni P, Dougan G, et al.
Characterization of an isogenic mutant of Streptococcus pyogenes Manfredo lacking the ability
to make streptococcal acid glycoprotein. Infect Immun. 2000 May;68(5):2441–8.

37.   Cusumano ZT, Caparon MG. Citrulline protects Streptococcus pyogenes from acid stress using
the arginine deiminase pathway and the F1Fo-ATPase. J Bacteriol. 2015 Apr;197(7):1288–96.

38.   Maudsdotter L, Jonsson H, Roos S, Jonsson AB. Lactobacilli reduce cell cytotoxicity caused by
Streptococcus pyogenes by producing lactic acid that degrades the toxic component lipoteichoic
acid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011 Apr;55(4):1622–8.

39.   Daglia M, Papetti A, Grisoli P, Aceti C, Dacarro C, Gazzani G. Antibacterial activity of red and
white wine against oral streptococci. J Agric Food Chem. 2007 Jun 27;55(13):5038–42.

40.   Jin H, Agarwal S, Agarwal S, Pancholi V. Surface export of GAPDH/SDH, a glycolytic
enzyme, is essential for Streptococcus pyogenes virulence. mBio. 2011;2(3):e00068-00011.

41.   Cotter PD, Hill C. Surviving the acid test: responses of gram-positive bacteria to low pH.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev MMBR. 2003 Sep;67(3):429–53.

42.   Shaughnessy LM, Hoppe AD, Christensen KA, Swanson JA. Membrane perforations inhibit
lysosome fusion by altering pH and calcium in Listeria monocytogenes vacuoles. Cell
Microbiol. 2006 May;8(5):781–92.

43.   Molloy EM, Cotter PD, Hill C, Mitchell DA, Ross RP. Streptolysin S-like virulence factors: the
continuing sagA. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011 Sep;9(9):670–81.

44.   Ambati J, Canakis CS, Miller JW, Gragoudas ES, Edwards A, Weissgold DJ, et al. Diffusion of
high molecular weight compounds through sclera. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000
Apr;41(5):1181–5.

45.   Cheng YL, Wu YW, Kuo CF, Lu SL, Liu FT, Anderson R, et al. Galectin-3 Inhibits Galectin-
8/Parkin-Mediated Ubiquitination of Group A Streptococcus. mBio. 2017 Jul 25;8(4):e00899-
17.

46. O'Seaghdha M, Wessels MR. Streptolysin O and its co-toxin NAD-glycohydrolase protect
group A Streptococcus from Xenophagic killing. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(6):e1003394.

47. Barnett TC, Liebl D, Seymour LM, Gillen CM, Lim JY, Larock CN, et al. The globally
disseminated M1T1 clone of group A Streptococcus evades autophagy for intracellular
replication. Cell Host Microbe. 2013 Dec 11;14(6):675–82.

48. Cusumano ZT, Watson ME, Caparon MG. Streptococcus pyogenes arginine and citrulline
catabolism promotes infection and modulates innate immunity. Infect Immun. 2014
Jan;82(1):233–42.

49. Chevriaux A, Pilot T, Derangère V, Simonin H, Martine P, Chalmin F, et al. Cathepsin B Is
Required for NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation in Macrophages, Through NLRP3 Interaction.
Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:167.

50. Cortés G, Wessels MR. Inhibition of dendritic cell maturation by group A Streptococcus. J
Infect Dis. 2009 Oct 1;200(7):1152–61.

51. Keyel PA, Roth R, Yokoyama WM, Heuser JE, Salter RD. Reduction of streptolysin O (SLO)
pore-forming activity enhances inflammasome activation. Toxins. 2013 Jun 6;5(6):1105–18.

52. Chu J, Thomas LM, Watkins SC, Franchi L, Núñez G, Salter RD. Cholesterol-dependent
cytolysins induce rapid release of mature IL-1beta from murine macrophages in a NLRP3
inflammasome and cathepsin B-dependent manner. J Leukoc Biol. 2009 Nov;86(5):1227–38.

53. Richter J, Monteleone MM, Cork AJ, Barnett TC, Nizet V, Brouwer S, et al. Streptolysins are
the primary inflammasome activators in macrophages during Streptococcus pyogenes infection.
Immunol Cell Biol. 2021 Nov;99(10):1040–52.

54. LaRock DL, Russell R, Johnson AF, Wilde S, LaRock CN. Group A Streptococcus Infection of
the Nasopharynx Requires Proinflammatory Signaling through the Interleukin-1 Receptor.
Infect Immun. 2020 Sep 18;88(10):e00356-20.

55. Campden RI, Zhang Y. The role of lysosomal cysteine cathepsins in NLRP3 inflammasome
activation. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2019 Jul 30;670:32–42.

56. Kim ML, Martin WJ, Minigo G, Keeble JL, Garnham AL, Pacini G, et al. Dysregulated IL-1β-
GM-CSF Axis in Acute Rheumatic Fever That Is Limited by Hydroxychloroquine. Circulation.
2018 Dec 4;138(23):2648–61.

10 Tables

Table 1: Final pH of media after bacterial growth, mean ± SD

starting pH	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	unbuffered THB (6.6)
ending pH	4.10 ± 0.02	5.07 ± 0.02	4.99 ± 0.01	5.23 ± 0.08	5.63 ± 0.06

11 Figure legends

Figure 1: GAS persists in phagolysosomes of THP-1 cells. (A,B) THP-1 cells were infected with GAS for the indicated times, fixed and probed with anti-LAMP-1 and secondary fluorescent antibodies (green). Bacteria opsonized with human serum were detected with anti-human IgG antibody (red). **(A)** Representative image of LAMP-1 colocalized with GAS in THP-1 cells at 60 min. post-infection. Arrowheads indicate examples of colocalization. Scale bar = 5μm. **(B)** Quantitation of LAMP-1 positive bacteria at the indicated time points. **(C)** Average number of bacteria per cell at the indicated time points. **(D)** Representative image of THP-1 cells exposed to a primary (green only) GAS infection for 30 min. followed by a secondary (cyan and green) infection for 30 min. (60 min. total infection time). LAMP-1 staining is indicated in red. Arrowheads indicate examples of colocalization between primary infecting GAS and LAMP-1. Arrows indicate GAS from the secondary infection. Scale bar = 5μm. For all graphs, >100 cells were counted, data from three independent experiments were combined and results are given as mean ± 95% CI. Data were analyzed on arcsin transformed data by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

Figure 2: GAS persists in non-acidified phagolysosomes of THP-1 cells. (A) GAS expressing mWASABI were incubated in media at the indicated pH for 1 hour. Representative green fluorescence (mWASABI) and brightfield images are shown. Scale bar = 5μm. **(B)** Corrected total cell fluorescence of green fluorescence signal from bacteria expressing mWASABI incubated in media at the indicated pH for 1 hour. **(C)** Representative fluorescence microscopy images of GAS-infected THP-1 cells at 7.5 and 60 min. post-infection. Arrows denote examples of bacteria encapsulated in early phagosomes (EEA-1, magenta), arrowheads denote examples of bacteria encapsulated in phagolysosomes (LAMP-2, red). All images were taken with a 63x objective with 2x digital zoom, scale bar = 5μm. **(D)** Quantitation of bacteria colocalized with phagosomes (EEA-1) or phagolysosomes (LAMP-2) at the indicated time points. **(E)** Average number of bacteria per cell at the indicated time points. **(F)** Violin plot of fluorescence signal intensity from intracellular (clear bars) or extracellular (shaded bars) bacteria expressing mWASABI. **(G)** Fluorescence signal from cells fed 70kD FITC dextran and infected with immunoglobulin-conjugated beads (Ab beads), the indicated GAS strain or heat-killed (HK) bacteria was measured by plate reader. Statistical differences were calculated by comparing samples to uninfected cells. Data from at least three independent experiments were combined. For (D) and (E), >100 cells were counted, data from at least 3 individual counters of at least three independent experiments are shown. Results are given as mean ± 95% CI and statistics were performed on arcsin transformed data by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

Figure 3: GAS does not survive in low pH environments. (A) Growth curves of bacteria grown in
buffered media or unbuffered bacterial media (THB) at the indicated pH. Results are given as mean ±
95% CI. Statistics in (A) indicate differences in intrinsic growth rate compared with bacteria grown
in unbuffered media tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. **(B)**
Bacterial survival in buffered media at the indicated pH compared with survival of bacteria in
unbuffered bacterial media (THB). Statistics in (B) indicate differences in area under the curve
compared with bacteria grown in pH = 5.3 media tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple
comparisons test. For each experiment, samples were prepared in triplicate and data from at least
three independent experiments were combined and results are given as mean ± SEM.

Figure 4: SLO induces phagolysosomal perforation and protein leakage. (A) Representative
images of the 40kD dextran probe (top panels, green in merged images) with indicated bacterial
strains (middle panels, magenta in merged images). All images were taken with a 63x objective with
2x digital zoom, scale bar = 5μm. **(B)** Quantitation of colocalization of indicated bacterial strains
with 10, 40 and 70kD dextran probes, >100 cells were counted. **(C)** Cells were infected with the
indicated bacterial strains, fractionated into membrane (left) and cytosolic (right) fractions, and
probed with the indicated antibodies: LAMP-2 (lysosomal marker; loading control for membrane
fraction), GAPDH (cytosolic marker; loading control for cytosolic fraction) and cathepsin B
(lysosomal enzyme). **(D)** Cathepsin B activity was measured in cytosolic cell fractions collected from
cells infected with the indicated strains. Data were normalized to the corresponding protein
concentration for each sample and compared with the uninfected cells. Data in (B) and (D) are
combined from at least three independent experiments. Results are given as mean ± 95% CI and and
statistics were performed on arcsin transformed data by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple
comparison test (B) or one-way ANOVA with Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparison test (D).

Figure 5: GAS infection limits v-ATPase activity. (A) Cells were uninfected, infected with
immunoglobulin-conjugated beads (Ab beads, control), the indicated GAS strain or heat-killed (HK)
bacteria and fractionated into membrane (M) and cytosolic (C) fractions. Fractions were probed with
antibodies to V_1A, V_0D or GAPDH proteins. Representative data are shown. **(B)** Quantitation of data
shown in (A). V_1A band intensities were quantified and normalized to V_0D (membrane) or GAPDH
(cytosol). Relative assembly was calculated as the amount of V_1A in the membrane fraction of the
indicated infected sample compared with the uninfected sample for each experiment. **(C)** v-ATPase
activity assay in membrane fractions from cells infected with the indicated GAS strain. v-ATPase
activity was calculated by determining the concentration of free phosphate (released by the ATPase)
of the samples and subtracting the concentration of free phosphate in samples incubated with
bafilomycin (v-ATPase inhibitor) from the samples incubated without bafilomycin. Data in (B) and
(C) are combined from at least three independent experiments and results are given as mean ± 95%
CI and analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

	M	C	M	C	M	C	M	C	M	C
V1A										
V0D										
GAPDH										

uninfected   Ab beads   WT   HK   ΔSLO

B

V-ATPase assembly

Assembly relative to untreated (y-axis, 0–8)

Strain (x-axis): Ab beads, WT, ΔSLO, HK

ns, ns, ns

C

V-ATPase activity

v-ATPase activity (uM phosphate) (y-axis, 0–4)

Strain (x-axis): Uninfected, WT, ΔSLO, HK

*, **, **, ns, ns