Single-cell characterization of human GBM reveals regional differences in tumor-infiltrating leukocyte activation

Philip Schmassmann[1,*], Julien Roux[2,3], Steffen Dettling[4], Sabrina Hogan[1], Tala Shekarian[1], Tomás A. Martins[1], Marie-Françoise Ritz[1], Sylvia Herter[5], Marina Bacac[5], and Gregor Hutter[1,6,*]

[1]Brain Tumor Immunotherapy Lab, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

[2]Bioinformatics Core Facility, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

[3]Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Basel, Switzerland

[4]Roche Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation Center Munich, Penzberg, Germany

[5]Roche Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation Center Zürich, Schlieren, Switzerland

[6]Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

[*]Correspondence: p.schmassmann@unibas.ch, gregor.hutter@usb.ch

Abstract

20
Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a highly immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment
(TME) which influences glioma growth. Major efforts have been undertaken to describe the
TME on a single-cell level. However, human data on regional differences within the TME re-
main scarce. Here, we performed high-depth single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) on
paired biopsies from the tumor center, peripheral infiltration zone and blood of five primary
GBM patients. Through analysis of > 45'000 cells, we revealed a regionally distinct transcrip-
tion profile of microglia (MG) and monocyte-derived macrophages (MdMs) and an impaired
activation signature in the tumor-peripheral cytotoxic-cell compartment. Comparing tumor-in-
filtrating CD8$^+$ T cells with circulating cells identified CX3CR1^{high} and CX3CR1^{int} CD8$^+$ T cells
with effector and memory phenotype, respectively, enriched in blood but absent in the TME.
Tumor CD8$^+$ T cells displayed a tissue-resident memory phenotype with dysfunctional features.
Our analysis provides a large-scale dissection of GBM-associated leukocytes, serving as a ref-
erence map of human GBM-TME.

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is a fatal disease without effective long-term treatment options. The current standard of care consists of tumor resection followed by adjuvant chemoradiotherapy resulting in a median overall survival of only 14 months [1]. One of the hallmarks in GBM progression is the high rate of neovascularization. The GBM-induced aberrant vessels not only nourish glioma cells, but also provide a specialized niche for tumor-associated stromal and immune cells such as monocyte-derived macrophages (MdMs), yolk sac-derived microglia (MG) (together termed glioma-associated macrophages/microglia, GAMs), and peripheral adaptive immune cells. This immune tumor microenvironment (iTME) paradoxically acts in an immunosuppressive manner and promotes tumor progression [2]. For example, clinical trials of systemic T cell checkpoint blockade showed only disappointing results [3, 4], which was attributed in part to the immunosuppressive components of the GBM iTME. The origin of GAMs, infiltration of peripherally derived macrophages across the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) or recruitment of tissue-resident MG to the tumor site, as well as their contribution to gliomagenesis are studied intensively [2, 5-7]. Hence, major efforts have been undertaken to describe the GBM iTME on a single cell level [5, 6, 8]. However, human data on the composition of the iTME in different tumor regions (contrast enhancing tumor center versus peripheral infiltration zone) remain scarce [9, 10].

To study the region-dependent cellular diversity within individual GBMs, we performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on patient-matched biopsies from the tumor center and the peripheral infiltration zone of five primary GBM patients. Additionally, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of the same patients were included to explore the transcriptional changes occurring during tumor infiltration of circulating immune cells.

Our analysis revealed a regionally distinct transcription profile of MG and MdMs and an impaired activation signature in the tumor-peripheral cytotoxic-cell compartment. Comparing tumor-infiltrating CD8$^+$ T cells with PBMC-derived, identified CX3CR1^{high} and CX3CR1^{int} CD8$^+$ T cells with effector and memory phenotype, respectively, enriched in blood but absent in the iTME. Tumor CD8$^+$ T cells displayed features of tissue-resident memory T cells and were characterized by an exhaustion phenotype. This work provides a large-scale dissection of glioma-associated cell types complemented by patient-matched PBMCs, revealing an abundance of information about the composition and molecular diversity of the iTME in GBM.

Results

Fig. 1 Single-cell RNA-seq of cells from tumor center, periphery and blood. a Experimental workflow for single-cell analysis of cells isolated from tumor center, periphery and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), including fluorescent-activated cell sorting and 3'-scRNA-seq. **b** Axial T1 with contrast (left) and T2 (right) MRI brain in a patient with a left temporal GBM. Fresh tumor biopsies were taken according to neuronavigation (green cross). The tumor center was defined as contrast enhancing, whereas the tumor periphery was defined as T2 hyperintense. **c** Nuclear DAPI staining of resected tissue specimens. 40x magnification (scale bar = 20 μm). n = 3 patients, 4 field of view (FOV) per patient. Statistics: ***$p < 0.001$, two-tailed Mann Whitney U test.

scRNA-seq analysis of paired tumor center, periphery and PBMC samples.

Fresh, neurosurgically resected tissue from five GBM patients were harvested (Supplementary Table 1). According to the 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System [11], in which the term glioblastoma designates only IDH-wildtype grade 4 tumors, we will hence use the term grade 4 glioma, as we included as well IDH-mutant grade 4 tumors (Supplementary Table 1). The tumor center was defined as contrast enhancing, whereas the tumor periphery was defined as T2 hyperintense by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided, navigated surgical resection (Fig. 1b). Increased cellular density of the center vs. periphery samples was confirmed by nuclear DAPI staining on matched histological micrographs of the resected tissue specimens used for scRNA-seq (Fig. 1c). As outlined in Fig. 1a, we separately processed patient tumor and blood samples and enriched them for immune cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Supplementary Fig. 1a and 1b). The three samples per

patient (center, periphery and PBMC) were loaded on different wells of a 10x Genomics Chro-

mium system for a targeted recovery of 10,000 cells. Due to technical issues cells from the

center sample of patient BTB 609 could not be collected.

In total we analyzed 45,466 cells that passed initial quality control and filtering, com-

prising 8,254 cells from tumor center, 5,954 cells from tumor periphery and 31,258 PBMCs,

with 6,354 to 10,957 cells per patient (Supplementary Table 2; Supplementary Fig. 1c-1f). All

cells were projected onto a two dimensions t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE)

[12]. As we observed a good overlap of cells across patients, we chose not to perform any

correction for patient-specific effects (Supplementary Fig. 1g). Using hierarchical clustering,

the cells were partitioned into clusters (Supplementary Fig. 3a) which were then annotated into

eight distinct cell types for the immune subset and five cell types for the CD45 negative subset

(Fig. 2a; Supplementary Fig. 3b, g-h; Supplementary Table 2). Notably: the annotation of most

of the immune cell types was performed by whole-transcriptome comparison of our cells to a

reference dataset of bulk RNA-seq samples of sorted immune cell types from human PBMC

(Supplementary Fig. 3c) [13]; the annotation of MdMs and microglia was performed by whole-

transcriptome comparison to a dataset of bulk RNA-seq samples of sorted immune cell types

from the tumor microenvironment of human gliomas (Supplementary Fig. 3d) [5] and using

signature scores defined from scRNA-seq of GAMs (Supplementary Fig. 3e-f) [7]; finally,

CD45 negative cells were annotated by whole-transcriptome comparison to a scRNA-seq da-

taset of $IDH1^{wt}$ GBM (Supplementary Fig. 3i) [14]. The expression of known marker genes

across cell types is shown in Fig. 2b, and genes whose expression is most specific to each cell

type are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2.

In line with previous work [5, 6, 10], we noted that GAMs accounted for the most fre-

quent cell type in the center iTME (on average 44.5% among leukocytes in center vs. 24.7%

among leukocytes in periphery), while the T cell compartment accounted for the most abundant

immune population in the tumor periphery (Fig. 2d). When comparing phagocytic cell types,

we found that MdMs decreased substantially in the glioma periphery, while MG did not exhibit

a differential distribution between tumor center and periphery (Fig. 2e).

Fig. 2 Single-cell RNA-seq analysis identifies main immune cell populations. a Dimensionally reduced tSNE projection of the scRNAseq data showing the identified main cell clusters. **b** Heatmap displaying averaged and normalized expression values of characteristic cell-type

specific genes used to annotate clusters in (**a**). Columns are ordered by site and cell type, and

rows show centered and scaled expression values, hierarchically clustered. **c** Principal compo-

nent (PC) biplot of pseudo-bulk scRNAseq samples aggregated by patient and cell type. Sym-

bols represent individual patients and cell lineage is displayed by different colors. **d, e** Relative

frequencies of immune populations among leukocytes between tumor center and periphery.

Symbols represent individual patients and paired samples are indicated by connecting lines.

Statistics: *FDR<5%, *diffcyt-DA-voom* method.

MG and MdMs display regionally distinct transcription profiles.

To perform a differential expression analysis between tumor sites, we stratified the anal-

ysis by annotated cell type and aggregated cells from each patient (see Supplementary Meth-

ods). A principal component analysis (PCA) on the aggregated transcriptome data confirmed

that the major source of variation was the cell type lineage (Fig. 2c), with notable differences

between lymphoid, MG/myeloid cells, and CD45$^-$ cells (PCs 1 and 2). Interestingly there was

no clear association between patient *IDH1* status and these or deeper components, suggesting

that the iTME seemed independent of *IDH1* status in grade 4 glioma. Moreover, immune cell

type differential abundance analysis revealed an equal distribution among *IDH* variants, further

supporting this observation (Fig. 2d, e).

Differential expression analysis between MG from tumor center and periphery revealed

a highly significant downregulation of inflammatory genes in the peripheral MG. This included

scavenger receptors (*CD36* and *MARCO*), chemokines (*CXCL3* and *CCL20*) and immune re-

ceptors (*IL7R [15]* and *CD109*, a negative regulator of TGF-β signaling [16]) as well as genes

involved in cell growth (*CSRP1*) and cell metabolism (*SMPDL3A [17]* and *SDS*) (Fig. 3a and

Supplementary Table 3). The latter transcribes for the serine dehydratase, an enzyme catalyzing

the dehydration of L-serine/L-threonine to yield pyruvate/ketobutyrate [18]. The downregula-

tion of *SDS* in the peripheral MG with reduced metabolization of L-serine to pyruvate could

potentially lead to a reduced oxidative phosphorylation in peripheral MG, a metabolic feature

described for dysfunctional MG in Alzheimer's disease models [19]. Concomitantly, increased

L-serine levels have been associated with the induction of alternative, M2-like microglial po-

larization and inhibited secretion of inflammatory factors (TNF-α and IL-1β) [20].

Interestingly, we found upregulation of Inhibitor of DNA-Binding 1, also known as In-

hibitor of Differentiation 1 (*ID1*) in the peripheral MG, which is well described in GBM pro-

gression, treatment resistance and glioma stem cell biology [21]. Recently, new evidence has

emerged, linking *ID1* to suppression of the anti-tumor immune response in the myeloid com-

partment and promoting tumor progression [22].

To further explore the underlying biological processes differing between MG in the two

compartments, we conducted a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on the results of the dif-

ferential expression analysis using Gene Ontology (GO) database (Biological Processes). This

revealed overall a significant downregulation of GO categories involved in antigen processing

and presentation via MHC-I and MHC-II in the peripheral MG relative to the center MG, as

well as downregulation of amino acid metabolism and TNF-α signaling pathway (Fig. 3b),

which further supported our observation of a strong immunosuppressive phenotype in periph-
eral MG.

When comparing the transcriptional profile of MdMs from the peripheral front to the
tumor center, we observed upregulation of proinflammatory genes *MEFV* encoding pyrin [23]
and *APOBEC3A,* a cytidine deaminase involved in RNA editing during macrophage M1 polar-
ization and response to interferons (IFN) [24]. Moreover, upregulation of *KCNJ2*, a voltage-
dependent potassium channel has been shown to regulate macrophage proliferation [25]
whereas *GPR132* serves as lactate sensor in the acidic TME and could potentially facilitate
MdM migration to the tumor site [26]. *MIR22HG*, a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) has been
associated with tumor suppressive properties in hepatocellular carcinoma, where it has been
linked to chemokine signaling pathways and phagosome activation [27] (Fig. 3c).

Along with this, we observed downregulation of anti-inflammatory genes in the periph-
eral MdMs. This included *RNASE1*, a signature gene of macrophages enriched in immune
checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) non-responding melanoma patients [28], *PLTP*, a negative regulator
of NF-κB activation [29], *NRP1*, a key gene required for macrophage attraction towards hy-
poxic tumor niches and thereby retaining their pro-tumorigenic features [30], and *IL4I1*, a novel
metabolic immune checkpoint in the tryptophan/aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) pathway
[31] (Fig. 3c). Hence, MdMs might display a proinflammatory phenotype in the glioma periph-
ery, however, are less abundant there (Fig. 2e).

We observed a marked downregulation of *SDS* in the peripheral MdMs, similar to the
peripheral MG population, leading to a presumptive accumulation of L-serine in the peripheral
MdMs. In contrast to MG, serine metabolism has been shown to indeed support proinflamma-
tory IL-1β cytokine production in macrophages [32]. Together with the reduced tryptophan
metabolism through *IL4I1* and downregulated *SLC39A8*, a transmembrane zinc importer whose
reduction has been linked to increased IL-6/IL-1β secretion and increased NF-κB signaling in
innate immunity [33], these data shed new light on regional differences in the innate im-
munometabolism in the iTME of grade 4 glioma.

Fig. 3 MG and MdMs display distinct regional transcription profiles. a Microglia cluster highlighted on tSNE map and scatterplots showing differentially expressed genes (FDR<5%, indicated by blue and yellow) in Microglia (MG) cells from tumor periphery versus center. Volcano plot showing *p* value versus fold-change (left) and MA plot showing fold-change versus mean expression (right). **b** Heatmap representation of Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) results between peripheral and center microglia using Gene Ontology (GO) collection (Biological Processes). The fraction of overlap between gene sets is calculated as Jaccard coefficient

of overlap between the gene sets. **c** Monocyte-derived macrophages (MdMs) cluster highlighted
on tSNE map and volcano plot showing statistical significance (FDR<5%, indicated by blue
and yellow) versus fold-change of differentially expressed genes in MdMs from tumor periph-
ery versus tumor center. **d** Unsupervised hierarchical sub-clustering of the MG population re-
vealed two transcriptionally distinct subsets of MG, termed MG_1 and MG_2, displayed on the
tSNE map. **e** Heatmap displaying the cluster-specific genes identifying MG_1 and MG_2 sub-
clusters. Columns are ordered by site and cell type, and rows show centered and scaled expres-
sion values, hierarchically clustered. **f** Heatmap representation of GSEA between MG_1 and
MG_2 subclusters using Hallmark collection of major biological categories. **g** Heatmap dis-
playing previously described reactivity markers of MG. Columns are ordered by site and cell
type, and rows show centered and scaled expression values, hierarchically clustered. **h** Violin
plot showing expression levels of selected reactivity markers among mononuclear phagocyte
populations.

The iTME of grade 4 glioma harbors two transcriptionally distinct MG subpopulations.

Unsupervised hierarchical sub-clustering of the MG population revealed two transcrip-
tionally distinct iTME MG subsets, which we termed MG_1 and MG_2, respectively (Fig. 3d
and 3e). The MG_1 cluster was highly enriched for the activator protein-1 (AP-1) family of
transcription factors including *FOS, FOSB, JUN, JUNB, MAF* and *MAFB* (Fig. 3e and Supple-
mentary Table 4), which convey a surveilling phenotype to adult MG, but are also involved in
numerous processes including cell growth, differentiation and immune activation [34]. Specif-
ically, *FOSB* gene products have been implicated in the excitotoxic MG activation by regulating
complement C5a receptor expression [35]. Yet, concomitant upregulation of anti-inflammatory
Krüppel-like factor 2 (*KLF2*) [36] and Dual Specificity Protein Phosphatase 1 (*DUSP1*), an
inhibitor of innate inflammation by negatively regulating the mitogen-activated protein kinase
(MAPK) pathway [37], together with increased expression of anti-proliferative genes like
RHOB, BTG1 and *BTG2* paint a more complex picture of these cells. Particularly, *BTG1* has
been identified as an activation-induced apoptotic sensitizer in MG after exposure to inflam-
matory stimuli [38], serving as an autoregulatory mechanism and possibly hinting towards an
exhausted state in these MG_1 cells. GSEA for differences between MG_1 and MG_2 clusters
using the MSigDB Hallmark collection of major biological pathways [39] revealed downregu-
lation of many MG effector functions in the MG_1 population including (1) inflammation
("Complement", "Inflammatory Response", "Allograft Rejection", "Reactive Oxygen Species
Pathway"), (2) immune cell activation ("IFN-α Response", "IFN-γ Response", "IL6 JAK
STAT3 Signaling", "IL2 STAT5 Signaling") and (3) immunometabolism ("Fatty Acid Metab-
olism", Oxidative Phosphorylation", "Glycolysis") (Fig. 3f). As we examined the expression
of previously described reactivity markers of MG including *C1QA,* Ferritin (*FTH1*), *FCGR1A,*
HLA-DRA, CD14 and *TREM2 [40-43],* and established MG homeostatic genes like *CX3CR1,*
HEXB and *SPI1* (PU.1), we noted a marked downregulation of these genes in the MG_1 cluster,
while the anti-inflammatory transcription factors *NR4A2* [44] and *NR4A1* [45] were highly up-
regulated (Fig. 3g, 3h, Supplementary Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 4). Additionally, while
total MG didn't show differences in abundance between tumor sites (Figure 2e), changes could
be observed when stratifying for MG subclusters. We noted in 3 of 4 (75%) paired center-
periphery samples an increased abundance of MG_1 cells in the tumor periphery. And, the
presumably more reactive MG_2 cells concomitantly decreased significantly in frequency in
the tumor periphery (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Collectively, these data argue for the non-reac-
tive/exhausted phenotype of MG_1.

The tumor peripheral cytotoxic cell compartment exhibits an impaired activation signature.

Next, we investigated the regional differences in the lymphoid compartment composed of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells and natural killer (NK) cells. We observed only very few significant changes in the transcriptomic profiles of CD4$^+$ T cells between tumor center and periphery (Supplementary Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 3). Yet, comparing peripheral CD8$^+$ T cells with CD8$^+$ T cells from tumor center revealed 110 differentially expressed genes (43 genes upregulated and 67 genes downregulated) (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 3). Many down-regulated genes in the peripheral CD8$^+$ T cells associated with canonical IFN responses (*IFI6, IFI27, MX1, STAT1, EPSTI1 PARP9, ISG15)* [46] cell proliferation (*STMN1, CENPF, HELLS, NUSAP1* and *DNPH1*) and T cell co-stimulation (*CD28, TMIGD2* (CD28H), *TNFRSF4* (OX40), *CD27* and *TNFRSF18* (GITR)) (Fig. 4a). Contrary to our expectations, we saw upreg-ulation of *CTLA4* in the center CD8$^+$ T cells which acts as a negative costimulatory molecule. However, unlike other costimulatory receptors, such as CD27 and CD28*,* CTLA-4 is not con-stitutively expressed on T lymphocytes [47]. but only induced following T cell activation, along with positive costimulatory molecules such as OX40 and GITR. In addition, upregulation of CTLA-4 requires entry into the cell cycle [47]. In line with that, we detected an upregulation of proliferative genes in center CD8$^+$ T cells. In summary, CTLA-4 induction in center CD8$^+$ T cells rather suggested T cell activation than exhaustion, especially since other inhibitory recep-tors like *PDCD1* (PD-1)*, LAG3* and *HAVCR2* (TIM-3) were not differentially expressed be-tween sites. Moreover, we did not observe differential expression of genes involved in CD8$^+$ T cell effector functions like cytotoxicity (e.g., *GZMK, GZMB, KLRG1,* PRF1) or cytokines (e.g., *CCL5, XCL1, XCL2, IL10*). Yet, we noted upregulation of inhibitory genes (*TGFB1* and *FCRL6* [48]) in the peripheral CD8$^+$ T cells, suggesting that a pool of activated, proliferating and IFN-responsive CD8$^+$ T cells is present in the tumor center, but fails to populate the infiltrative tumor periphery.

Similar trends were observed for the peripheral NK cell population with peripherally reduced IFN response (*MX1* and *IFI44L*), and proliferative genes (*STMN1, HELLS, CENPF, PTTG1* and *DNPH1*), downregulated stimulatory receptors (*TMIGD2* (CD28H) and *TNFRSF18* (GITR)), and reduced NF-κB signaling (*NFKB1* and *RELB*) (Fig. 4b and Supple-mentary Table 3). Although, we observed upregulation of key genes associated with NK cell effector function in the periphery (e.g., *FCGR3A* (CD16), *FGFBP2, ITGB2, GZMH* and *KIR2DS4*), increased expression of inhibitory receptors like *LILRB1* and *KLRG1*, the latter es-pecially in co-expression with chemokine receptor *CX3CR1*, identified the peripheral NK cells rather to be terminally differentiated with impaired cytotoxic capabilities [49]. This was in line

with the observed abrogated cytokine activity profile in the peripheral NK cells with reduced

expression of key factors like *XCL1, XCL2, LTB* and *CKLF.* In summary, our data revealed an

impaired activation signature in the peripheral cytotoxic cell compartment.

Fig. 4 The peripheral cytotoxic cell compartment exhibits an impaired activation signa-

ture. a, b Volcano plots showing differentially expressed genes (FDR corrected *p* value < 0.05,

indicated by blue and yellow) in CD8^{+} T cells (**a**) and NK cells (**b**) from tumor periphery versus

tumor center. Colored rings mark genes belonging to selected GSEA Hallmark or Gene Ontol-

ogy (GO) pathways as indicated.

CX3CR1 labels a specific CD8$^+$ T cell population in the circulation of grade 4 glioma patients.

Next, we investigated the relationships between circulating CD8$^+$ T cells and those from the tumor milieu and, more specifically, the peripheral, infiltration zone characterized by an abrogated CD8$^+$ T-cell IFN response and activation signature. Strikingly, there were large transcriptomic differences between PBMC and periphery CD8$^+$ T cells (Fig. 5a), with 1,417 differentially expressed genes (864 genes upregulated in the tumor periphery and 553 genes upregulated in PBMC) (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Table 5).

Interestingly, one of the key genes upregulated in PBMC CD8$^+$ T cells was the chemokine receptor *CX3CR1*, whose expression labelled a specific population among these cells (Fig. 5c). Flow cytometry of an additional matched glioma grade 4 patient cohort confirmed an increased abundance of CX3CR1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in PBMC compared to almost absent CX3CR1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in tumor periphery (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Table 1).

Recently, expression of CX3CR1 was demonstrated to distinguish memory CD8$^+$ T cells with cytotoxic effector function [50]. Further characterization of classical central memory (T$_{cm}$) and effector memory (T$_{em}$) populations by varying surface expression levels of CX3CR1 identified a novel CX3CR1^{int} subpopulation, termed peripheral memory (T$_{pm}$). T$_{pm}$ cells underwent frequent homeostatic divisions, re-acquired CD62L, homed to lymph nodes, and predominantly surveyed peripheral tissues compared to T$_{cm}$ and T$_{em}$ [51]. In our dataset, the circulating CX3CR1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells indeed displayed a core signature of memory CD8$^+$ T cells with effector function, comprising expression of LFA-1 (*IGAL- ITGB2*), *EOMES, SELL* (CD62L), *CCR7^{low}, CD27^{low}, TBX21^{high}* (Tbet), *IL7R, TCF7, FAS* and *ITGB1,* separating them from circulating *CX3CR1$^-$ CD28^{high}*, *CD27^{high}* and *IL7R^{high}* naive CD8$^+$ T cells (Fig. 5e and Supplementary Fig. 5b). The observed high expression of cytolytic molecules *GZMB* (Granzyme B) and *PRF1* (Perforin 1) in the *CX3CR1$^+$* cells advocated for their cytotoxic effector phenotype (Fig. 5n). Flow cytometric analysis confirmed T$_{eff}$ to be CX3CR1^{high}, with negligible expression levels in the naive CD8$^+$ T cells, whereas the identified memory CD8$^+$ T cells (T$_{em}$ and T$_{pm}$) were CX3CR1^{int} (Fig. 5f, 5g). Collectively, surface expression analysis of CX3CR1 identified a subset of CX3CR1^{high} T$_{eff}$ and CX3CR1^{int} memory (T$_{em}$, T$_{pm}$) CD8$^+$ T cells in the circulation of grade 4 glioma patients with potentially elevated tissue surveilling properties in the case of T$_{pm}$, which are, however, largely absent in the tumor microenvironment.

Fig. 5 CD8⁺ T cells in grade 4 glioma show distinct memory phenotypes depending on site.

a CD8⁺ T cell cluster highlighted on tSNE map (left). CD8⁺ T cell cluster colored by site of origin (right). **b** Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes (FDR corrected *p* value <

0.05, indicated by blue and green) in CD8$^+$ T cells from tumor-periphery versus PBMC. **c** Ex-

pression of *CX3CR1* overlaid on tSNE CD8$^+$ T cell cluster. **d** Frequency of CX3CR1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T

cells among all CD8$^+$ T cells in flow cytometry data. **e** Expression of genes associated with

memory (upper row) and effector memory (lower row) phenotype overlaid on tSNE CD8$^+$ T

cell cluster. **f** Gating procedure applied to identify CD3$^+$ CD8$^+$ naive, T effector cells (T$_{eff}$),

effector memory (T$_{em}$), peripheral memory (T$_{pm}$) and central memory (T$_{cm}$), eluted from

PBMCs. **g** Expression of CX3CR1 in CD8$^+$ T cell subpopulations identified in (**f**). **h** Repre-

sentative dot plot of tumor-periphery CD8$^+$ T cells stained for CD45RA and CD45RO. **i** Quan-

tification of tumor-periphery CD8$^+$ T cells expressing CD45RA or CD45RO. **j** Expression of

genes associated with tissue-resident memory (T$_{rm}$) phenotype overlaid on tSNE CD8$^+$ T cell

cluster. **k** Average expression levels of selected T$_{rm}$ markers between CD8$^+$ T cells from PBMC

versus tumor-periphery. **l** Representative dot plots of CD69 and CD103 co-expression in CD8$^+$

T cells from PBMC and tumor-periphery. **m** Quantification of CD69 and CD103 co-expression

revealed CD69$^-$ CD103$^-$ in PBMC and CD69$^+$ CD103$^-$ and CD69$^+$ CD103$^+$ in tumor-periphery

as the dominant phenotypes. **n** Expression of selected markers associated with T cell exhaus-

tion/dysfunction, shown as boxplots between CD8$^+$ T cell from PBMC and tumor-periphery

and overlaid on tSNE CD8$^+$ T cell cluster. $n = 6$ donors (**d, i, m**), $n = 11$ donors (**g**). Statistics:

Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test (**d, i**); repeated measures one-way ANOVA with post-

hoc Šidák's correction for multiple comparisons (**g, m**). For detailed statistical analysis of

scRNA-seq expression data, please refer to supplementary methods section. *$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq$

0.01, ***$p \leq 0.001$, ****$p \leq 0.0001$, no brackets indicate no significant difference.

CD8+ T cells in the tumor periphery share features with tissue-resident memory T cells (T$_{rm}$)

We next examined the differing transcriptional and surface-specific features between tumor infiltrating and circulating CD8+ T cells. Surface staining for CD45RA and CD45RO, discriminating naive/effector from memory T cells, attributed a predominant CD45RO+ memory phenotype to the tumor infiltrating CD8+ T cells (Fig. 5h, 5i). Interrogation of the transcriptomic profile of these cells revealed a key marker expression signature consistent with tissue-resident memory T cells (T$_{rm}$): Expression of cellular adhesion molecules (integrins) *ITGA1* (CD49a) and *ITGAE* (CD103), tissue retention marker *CD69*, chemokine receptors implicated in tissue-homing *CXCR3, CXCR6* and *CCR5* [52] and transcription factors, *ZNF683* (Hobit) and *PRDM1* (Blimp1) as well as reduced expression of *TBX21* (Tbet) and *EOMES* [53], strongly suggested a T$_{rm}$ phenotype for these cells (Fig. 5j, 5k and Supplementary Fig. 5b). Co-expression analysis of paired PBMC and tumor periphery samples using flow cytometry showed that CD69+ CD103- and CD69+ CD103+ cells are the dominant CD8+ T cell populations in the tumor periphery (Fig. 5l and 5m). Combined, these data strongly suggest a T$_{rm}$ phenotype for the CD8+ T cells in the tumor periphery.

Previous reports of T$_{rm}$ populating the brain in the aftermath of central or peripheral infections concluded that brain T$_{rm}$ cells surveil the brain tissue and mediate protection by rapid activation and enhanced cytokine production [52]. Indeed, CD8+ T cells in the tumor periphery showed increased expression of genes belonging to costimulatory pathways, including *ICOS, TNFRSF4* (OX40) and *TNFRSF9* (4-1BB) (Supplementary Fig. 5c, Supplementary Table 5), albeit accompanied by high levels of inhibitory receptors *PDCD1* (PD-1), *LAG3, HAVCR2* (TIM-3) and *CTLA4* (Fig. 5n). Moreover, expression of genes coding for cytotoxic molecules, including Granzyme B and Perforin 1 were decreased in the peripheral CD8+ T cells, suggesting a compromised killing capacity of these cells. And lastly, CD8+ T cells in the tumor periphery exhibited a transcription factor profile of exhausted T cells with high expression of *NR4A1, MAF* and *IRF4* (Fig. 5n and Supplementary Fig. 5d), which have been implicated in T cell dysfunction and exhaustion [54, 55]. Collectively, these data indicate that CD8+ T cells in the glioma periphery share features with T$_{rm}$ cells. However, inhibitory receptor expression, functional molecules and transcriptional signature ascribe an exhausted phenotype to these cells.

Noteworthy, we observed high upregulation of similar genes in the comparison tumor periphery vs. PBMC for CD4+ T cells as for CD8+ T cells (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 5e). These included transcription factor family *NRA41-3,* identified as key mediator of T cell dysfunction [55], Dual Specificity Protein Phosphatase 2/4 (*DUSP2, DUSP4*) described as negative regulators of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase superfamily and associated with

impaired T cell effector activity [56] and T cell senescence [57], and transcription factor *CREM* which has been implicated in IL-2 suppression [58]. These genes could potentially identify pan T cell dysfunction markers within the GBM iTME [59].

Interrogation of cell-cell interactions revealed critical role of SPP1-mediated crosstalk between MG and lymphocytes in the tumor periphery

We next investigated cell-cell interactions based on ligand-receptor expression levels using the CellChat platform [60]. Considering MG being on average the main innate immune population in the tumor periphery (Fig. 2e), and lymphocytes displaying an impaired activation signature, we focused our analysis on the tumor-peripheral crosstalk between MG and lymphocytes (Fig. 6a). This revealed SPP1 (Osteopontin) as a leading potential cell-cell interaction mediator between MG and lymphocytes (Fig. 6a and 6b). MG SPP1-mediated signaling was as well among the most significant interactions, when investigating cell-cell communication across all cell types and both sites (Supplementary Fig. 6a and 6b). Further, we found that *SPP1* is mainly expressed by MG rather than glioma cells, contrary to previous reports [61] (Fig. 6c, Supplementary Fig.6c and 6d). MG SPP1 conveys different interactions, depending on the recipient cell binding receptor expression profile. NK cells could interact with SPP1 mainly via the integrin complex *ITGA4-ITGB1* (CD49d-CD29) (Fig. 6c), mediating NK cell adhesion and migration [62]. This might facilitate interaction of inhibitory NK receptors KLRB1 and CD94/NKG2A with MG C-type lectin-related ligands and HLA-E, respectively, which could explain the observed impaired activation state of peripheral NK cells.

CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells exhibited strong interactions with MG *SPP1* as well (Fig. 6b). However, cell-cell communication between MG and T lymphocytes could be mainly mediated via SPP1/CD44 interaction (Fig. 6a and 6c), a ligand-receptor axis recently described to suppress T cell activation and proliferation [63]. Altogether, cell-cell interaction analysis pointed towards an impaired activation signature in the peripheral glioma-associated immune cells and revealed potentially involved signaling pathways.

Fig. 6 Cell-cell communication analysis using CellChat reveals critical role for SPP1-mediated crosstalk in tumor periphery. a Chord diagram showing significant interactions from microglia to lymphocyte cell clusters. The inner bar colors represent the targets that receive signal from the corresponding outer bar. The inner bar size is proportional to the signal strength received by the targets. Chords indicate ligand-receptor pairs mediating interaction between two cell clusters, size of chords is proportional to signal strength of the given ligand-receptor pair. **b** Comparison of incoming and outgoing interaction strength allows identification of main senders and receivers. **c** Violin plots showing the expression distribution of signaling genes involved in the inferred SPP1 signaling network.

Discussion

In this study, we combined single-cell RNA sequencing and flow cytometry-based proteome analysis to interrogate the regional leukocyte activation signature in patient-matched biopsies from contrast-enhancing tumor center, infiltrative peripheral rim, and blood PBMCs of grade 4 glioma patients. Our analyses revealed a distinct, regionally dependent transcriptional profile for most of the investigated cell populations. While peripheral MG and cytotoxic cells predominantly displayed an impaired activation signature, MdMs showed pro-inflammatory traits in the tumor periphery, however, were less abundant there compared to the tumor center, which was reported by others as well [9, 10]. Supplemented with transcriptional and surface proteome analysis of paired PBMC samples, we provide an in-depth characterization of the three main immunological compartments of grade 4 glioma.

Previous studies focused on the description of the TME of grade 4 glioma, which also considered regional differences, yet they focused primarily at neoplastic cells rather than the immune compartment [9]. Others investigated the differences in the iTME composition between primary and metastatic brain tumors [5, 6]. Interestingly, the two latter ones reported differences in the iTME composition between $IDH1^{wt}$ and $IDH1^{mut}$ glioma, which we did not observe in our transcriptional data. Of note, both authors included low-grade and even pre-treated recurrent glioma patients into the $IDH1^{mut}$ group, representing a quite heterogenous patient cohort. In this study, we aimed at providing a representative selection of primary, treatment-naive grade 4 glioma patients including $IDH1^{wt}$ and $IDH1^{mut}$. Surprisingly, we only found negligible transcriptional iTME differences among these two groups.

We identified a transcriptionally distinct MG subcluster, MG_1, which displayed an anti-inflammatory/non-reactive phenotype. A similar MG subpopulation expressing a comparable gene signature has been recently described to be enriched in Alzheimer's disease patients [64]. Additionally, the peripheral cytotoxic cell compartment exhibited an impaired activation state, including a downregulated IFN response signature in CD8$^+$ T cells. Induction of an IFN response state has been described as a consequence of T cell receptor-mediated IFN-γ production, likely serving as an autocrine response and inducing the proliferative program [46]. Hence, the reduced autocrine IFN-responsive state in the tumor peripheral CD8$^+$ T cells, together with downregulated proliferative and co-stimulatory genes emphasized their impaired activation in the peripheral infiltration zone.

By exploring the transcriptional trajectory of CD8$^+$ T cells from the blood circulation into the immunosuppressive TME of the tumor periphery, we uncovered CX3CR1^{high} and CX3CR1^{int} effector and memory CD8$^+$ T cells, respectively, to be highly enriched in the PBMC,

but absent in the iTME. Recently, adoptive transfer studies of CX3CR1$^+$CD8$^+$T cells in a mel-

anoma mouse model significantly suppressed tumor growth [65]. Others identified increased

frequencies of CX3CR1$^+$CD8$^+$T cells in non-small cell lung and melanoma patients who re-

sponded to anti-PD-1 therapy, where these cells exhibited migratory capabilities into the tumor

site followed by potent tumor rejection [65, 66]. Thus, the authors proposed T cell CX3CR1

expression as a predictor of response to ICI therapy. Therefore, the absence of ICI therapy-

responsive CD8$^+$T cells in the glioma TME could additionally explain the disappointing out-

comes of clinical trials using ICI in glioma patients.

The observed T$_{rm}$ exhaustion phenotype of the glioma residing CD8$^+$ T cells was re-

cently reported as well for tumor-infiltrating PD-1^{high}CD8$^+$ T cells in hepatocellular carcinoma

[54]. Whether these glioma-associated CD8$^+$ T cells really possess tumor-specificity requires

further study. Particularly in the light of a recent study by Smolders and colleagues who re-

ported a consistent brain-resident CD8$^+$ T cell population in a miscellaneous autopsy cohort of

patients with neurological disorders excluding brain malignancies (Alzheimer's disease, Par-

kinson's disease, dementia, depression, multiple sclerosis), as well as patients with no known

brain disease. These brain-resident CD8$^+$ T cells displayed a remarkably consistent T$_{rm}$ pheno-

type [67]. The authors further showed high expression of inhibitory receptors CTLA-4 and PD-

1 on the brain-resident CD8$^+$ T$_{rm}$ cells, which is in line with the core phenotypic signature of

T$_{rm}$ cells from other tissues [68, 69]. Yet, the brain CD8$^+$ T$_{rm}$ cells showed a preserved inflam-

matory potential with substantial production of IFN-γ and TNF-α upon *ex vivo* stimulation.

They concluded that extensive immune activation with release of highly neurotoxic lytic en-

zymes, such as perforin and granzyme B, harmfully impacts the brain parenchyma and should

be tightly controlled, whilst maintaining the capability to elicit a fast inflammatory response

when a neurotropic virus threatens the CNS [67]. Therefore, inhibitory receptors like PD-1 and

CTLA-4 on brain CD8$^+$ T$_{rm}$ cells may support CNS homeostasis by preventing uncontrolled T

cell reactivity, and the availability of the receptor ligands may determine their inhibitory effect.

While this may represent a well-balanced equilibrium under healthy conditions, the tumor set-

ting leads to its disruption with upregulation of inhibitory ligands like PD-L1 on glioma cells

and CD86 on GAMs, leading to the dysfunctional state seen in the glioma-residing CD8$^+$ T$_{rm}$

cells.

Another study comprehensively showed, that peripheral infections generate antigen-

specific CD8$^+$ T$_{rm}$ cells in the brain, mediating protection against CNS infections [52]. These

data could implicate that the glioma-associated CD8$^+$ T cells are devoid of tumor-specific reac-

tivity, but rather represent a pre-existing T cell population generated after peripheral infections,

which acquired a dysfunctional state upon glioma formation. To test this hypothesis, further

characterization of these cells is required, including analysis of T cell receptor clonality and

tumor-specificity by patient-matched T cell/glioma-sphere co-culture assays.

Lastly, our cell-cell interaction analysis revealed signaling pathways between peripheral

MG and lymphocytes potentially inducing the observed impaired activation signature. In fact,

interaction of NK cell receptor *KLRB1* (CD161) with its C-type lectin-related ligand has been

identified lately as a candidate inhibitory receptor on glioma-infiltrating T cells [70] and

SPP1/CD44 interaction has been described to suppress T cell activation and proliferation [63].

Limitations of the study include the limited patient number, thereby our study was nei-

ther designed nor powered to explore differences in neoplastic cells, given the high inter- and

intra-patient variability in glioma cells [9]. Importantly, our dataset establishes a starting point

for further interrogation and provides an in-depth analysis of the transcriptional landscape of

the major immune populations in grade 4 glioma within three important regional compartments.

Further, we confirmed the observed phenotype of CD8$^+$ T cells in the blood and tumor periphery

by flow cytometry in a cohort of ten additional patients, addressing possible generalization

concerns. Together, we provide a novel reference map of leukocyte activation in the TME and

blood circulation from grade 4 glioma patients, helping the research community to uncover

novel therapeutic strategies to combat this fatal disease.

Methods

Ethics statement

Human adult GBM tissue samples were obtained at the Neurosurgical Clinic of the University Hospital of Basel, Switzerland in accordance with the Swiss Human Research Act and institutional ethics commission (EKNZ 02019-02358). All patients gave written informed consent for tumor biopsy collection and signed a declaration permitting the use of their biopsy specimens in scientific research, including storage in our brain tumor biobank (Req-2019-00553). All patient identifying information was removed and tissue was coded for identification.

Glioma tissue dissociation

Resected glioma tissue samples were immediately placed on ice and transferred to the laboratory for single cell dissociation within 2-3 h after resection. Human brain tissue was manually minced using razor blades and enzymatically dissociated at 37°C for 30 minutes with 1 mg/ml collagenase-4 (#LS004188, Worthington Biochemical Corporation, USA) and 250 U/ml DNAse1 (#10104159001, Roche, Switzerland) in a buffer containing Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) with Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}, 1% MEM non-essential amino acids (Gibco, USA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco), 44 mM sodium bi-carbonate (Gibco), 25 mM HEPES (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Gibco) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Cells were filtered and separated from dead cells, debris and myelin by a 0.9 M sucrose (#84100, Simga Aldrich) density gradient centrifugation. Upon ACK-lysis for removal of erythrocytes (#A1049201, Gibco) the now generated single-cell suspension (SCS) was washed, counted and frozen in Bambanker (#BB01, Nippon Genetics, Germany) in liquid nitrogen until use.

PBMCs (Peripheral blood mononuclear cells) preparation

Patient blood samples were directly placed on ice and transferred to the laboratory for PBMC isolation. Blood samples were centrifuged to separate buffy coat from plasma and erythrocytes, followed by standard density gradient centrifugation protocol (#17144002, Ficoll-Paque PLUS, Cytiva, USA) to isolate PBMCs. PBMCs were frozen in Bambanker (#BB01, Nippon Genetics, Germany) in liquid nitrogen until use.

FACS sorting for single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)

Cryopreserved tumor digests from glioma samples (center and periphery), as well as autologous PBMCs were thawed and washed with excess ice-cold 1xPBS and spun down at

350xg for 5 min. Subsequently, the cells were stained with Live/Dead (APC-Cy7 (Near IR), # L34976, Thermo Fischer) and a cocktail of fluorescently-conjugated antibodies CD11b (FITC, clone M1/70, #101206, BioLegend) and CD45 (FITC, clone 2D1, #368508, BioLegend), and large debris were removed with a 40-μm strainer. All samples were acquired on the BD FACS ARIA Fusion III (Becton Dickinson GmbH, Germany). For single-cell RNA-seq experiments, live and single gated cells were sorted into non-immune cell (CD45$^-$CD11b$^-$) and immune cell (CD45$^+$CD11b$^+$) populations. Both populations were directly sorted into Eppendorf tubes with 1xPBS supplemented with 1% BSA for single cell RNA sequencing.

Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) – Library preparation and sequencing

Single-cell RNA-seq was performed using Chromium Single Cell 3' GEM, Library & Gel Bead Kit v3 (#CG000183, 10x Genomics, Pleasanton, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, non-immune cells and immune cells were mixed at a defined ratio of 1:4. Roughly 8000-10000 cells per sample, diluted at a density of 100–800 cells/μL in PBS plus 1% BSA determined by Cellometer Auto 2000 Cell Viability Counter (Nexelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA USA), and were loaded onto the chip. The quality and concentration of both cDNA and libraries were assessed using an Agilent BioAnalyzer with High Sensitivity kit (#5067-4626, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA USA) and Qubit Fluorometer with dsDNA HS assay kit (#Q33230, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. For sequencing, samples were mixed in equimolar fashion and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 with a targeted read depth of 50,000 reads/cell and sequencing parameters were set for Read1 (28 cycles), Index1 (8 cycles), and Read2 (91 cycles).

Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) - Computational analysis

The dataset was analyzed by the Bioinformatics Core Facility, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel. Read quality was controlled with the FastQC tool (version 0.11.5). Sequencing files were processed using the Salmon Alevin tool (v 1.3.0) [71] to perform quality control, sample demultiplexing, cell barcode processing, pseudo-alignment of cDNA reads to the human Gencode v35 reference and counting of UMIs. Parameters *--keepCBFraction 1* and *--maxNumBarcodes 100000* were used.

Processing of the UMI counts matrix was performed using the Bioconductor packages DropletUtils (version 1.8.0) [72, 73], scran (version 1.16.0) [74, 75] and scater (version 1.16.2) [76], following mostly the steps illustrated in the OSCA book (http://bioconductor.org/books/release/OSCA/) [75, 77]. Filtering for high-quality cells was done based on

library size (at least 2,000 UMI counts per cell), the number of detected genes (at least 700 genes detected) and the percentage of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes (larger than 0% and lower than 15%), based on the distribution observed across cells. Low-abundance genes with average counts per cell lower than 0.006 were filtered out. The presence of doublet cells was investigated with the scDblFinder package (version 1.2.0), and suspicious cells were filtered out (score > 0.6). After quality filtering, the resulting dataset consisted of UMI counts for 15,523 genes and 45,466 cells, ranging from 803 to 9,121 per sample.

UMI counts were normalized with size factors estimated from pools of cells created with the scran package *quickCluster()* function [74, 78]. To distinguish between genuine biological variability and technical noise we modeled the variance of the log-expression across genes using a Poisson-based mean-variance trend. The scran package *denoisePCA()* function was used denoise log-expression data by removing principal components corresponding to technical noise. A t-stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) was built with a perplexity of 50 using the top most variable genes (141 genes with estimated biological variance > 0.3, excluding genes with highest proportion of reads in the ambient RNA pool estimated from empty droplets), and the denoised principal components as input (5 top PCs). Clustering of cells was performed with hierarchical clustering on the Euclidean distances between cells (with Ward's criterion to minimize the total variance within each cluster [79]; package cluster version 2.1.0). The number of clusters used for following analyses was identified by applying a dynamic tree cut (package dynamicTreeCut, version 1.63-1) [80], resulting in 10, or 22 clusters with argument *deepSplit* set to 2.

The Bioconductor package SingleR (version 1.2.4) was used for cell-type annotation of the cells [81] using as references (i) a public bulk RNA-seq dataset of sorted immune cell types from human PBMC samples [13], available through the *celldex* Bioconductor package; (ii) a bulk RNA-seq dataset of sorted immune cell types from the tumor microenvironment of human gliomas [5] (UMI count matrix and annotation downloaded from https://joycelab.shinyapps.io/braintime/); (iii) a Smartseq2 scRNA-seq dataset of IDH-wild-type glioblastoma tumors [14] (downloaded from GEO accession GSE131928). A microglia and a macrophage signature scores were defined by averaging the center and scaled expression levels of gene lists obtained in [7]. An endothelial score was defined by averaging the center and scaled expression levels of the genes *CDH5*, *VWF*, *CD34* and *PECAM1*. The SingleR high-quality assignments (pruned scores) and the signature scores were used to manually derive a consensus cell type annotation for each cluster.

The *findMarkers* function of the scran package was used to find the best markers across annotated cell types (parameters *direction="up"* and *pval.type="any"*). The top 10 markers for each cell type were extracted and pooled to from a list of 68 markers.

Differential abundance analysis of identified cell types between tumor sites was performed using *diffcyt-DA-voom* method [82]. Differential abundance of cell types was considered to be significant at a false discovery rate (FDR) lower than 5 %.

Differential expression between tumor sites, or between PBMC cells and tumor periphery cells, stratified by annotated cell type, was performed using a pseudo-bulk approach, summing the UMI counts of cells from each cell type in each sample when at least 20 cells could be aggregated. The aggregated samples were then treated as bulk RNA-seq samples [83] and for each pairwise comparison genes were filtered to keep genes detected in at least 5% of the cells aggregated. The package edgeR (version 3.30.3) [84] was used to perform TMM normalization [85] and to test for differential expression with the Generalized Linear Model (GLM) framework, using a model accounting for patient-specific effects. Genes with a FDR lower than 5 % were considered differentially expressed. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed with the function camera [86] on gene sets from the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB, version 7.4) [39, 87]. We retained only sets containing more than 5 genes, and gene sets with a FDR lower than 5% were considered as significant.

Cell chat analysis

The R package CellChat (1.1.3) [60] was used to analyze cell-cell interactions in our dataset (with previously annotated 9 cell types). We followed the recommended workflow to infer the cell state-specific communications (using *identifyOverExpressedGenes*, *identifyOverExpressedInteractions* and *projectData* with the default parameters). We performed 3 separate analyses, on the center and the periphery subsets and a comparison analysis as described in the official workflow. We visualized the significant interactions for the microglia cluster using *netVisual_chord_gene* and used *plotGeneExpression* to display of the expression of all genes involved SPP1 signaling pathway in the cell populations. Finally, *netAnalysis_signalingRole_scatter* was used to calculate and visualize incoming and outgoing signaling strength.

Flow cytometry analysis of paired PBMC and periphery samples

Cryopreserved samples were thawed and washed with excess ice-cold 1xPBS and spun down at 350xg for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS plus 2% FBS) and blocked with monoclonal antibody to CD16/32 (Human TruStain FcX, #422302, Biolegend)

for 10 min at 4°C before staining with surface antibodies: CD45RA (PE, clone HI100,
#304108), CD45RO (APC, clone UCHL1, #304210), CD3e (BV650, clone UCHT1, #300468),
CD8a (BV421, clone RPA-T8, #301036), CCR7 (FITC, clone G043H7, #353216), CD62L
(AF700, clone DREG-56, #304820), CD69 (APC-Cy7, clone FN50, #310914), CD103
(PerCP/Cy5.5, clone Ber-ACT8, #350226) and CX3CR1 (PE/Cy7, clone 2A9-1, #341612). All
antibodies were purchased from BioLegend, USA. Cells were stained for 30 min at 4°C, and
subsequently washed with FACS buffer. To exclude dead cells Zombie Aqua Fixable Viability
Kit (#423102, 1:100, BioLegend) was added. Acquisition was performed on a CytoFLEX
(Beckman). Data was analyzed using FlowJo software, version 10.8.1 (TreeStar). Gates were
drawn by using Fluorescent Minus One (FMO) controls.
644

Statistical analysis of flow cytometry data
Data analysis and graph generation was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad
Prism Software Inc.). Paired comparisons between two groups were performed using Wilcoxon
matched-pairs signed rank test. Differences of more than two paired groups were assessed using
repeated measures one-way ANOVA test, followed by post-hoc Šidák's multiple comparisons
correction. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p
≤ 0.001, ****p ≤ 0.0001.

Data availability

653   The UMI count matrix and cell metadata from the scRNA-seq dataset are available on

654   GEO under accession number GSE197543. The remaining data are available within the Article

655   and Supplementary Information.

References

1. Stupp, R., W.P. Mason, M.J. van den Bent, M. Weller, B. Fisher, M.J. Taphoorn, K. Belanger, A.A. Brandes, C. Marosi, U. Bogdahn, J. Curschmann, R.C. Janzer, S.K. Ludwin, T. Gorlia, A. Allgeier, D. Lacombe, J.G. Cairncross, E. Eisenhauer, and R.O. Mirimanoff, *Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma.* N Engl J Med, 2005. **352**(10): p. 987-96.

2. Bowman, R.L., F. Klemm, L. Akkari, S.M. Pyonteck, L. Sevenich, D.F. Quail, S. Dhara, K. Simpson, E.E. Gardner, C.A. Iacobuzio-Donahue, C.W. Brennan, V. Tabar, P.H. Gutin, and J.A. Joyce, *Macrophage Ontogeny Underlies Differences in Tumor-Specific Education in Brain Malignancies.* Cell Rep, 2016. **17**(9): p. 2445-2459.

3. Reardon, D.A., T.J. Kaley, J. Dietrich, J.L. Clarke, G.P. Dunn, M. Lim, T.F. Cloughesy, H.K. Gan, A.J. Park, P. Schwarzenberger, T. Ricciardi, M.J. Macri, A. Ryan, and R.R. Venhaus, *Phase 2 study to evaluate safety and efficacy of MEDI4736 (durvalumab [DUR]) in glioblastoma (GBM) patients: An update.* Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017. **35**(15_suppl): p. 2042-2042.

4. Reardon, D.A., A. Omuro, A.A. Brandes, J. Rieger, A. Wick, J. Sepulveda, S. Phuphanich, P. de Souza, M.S. Ahluwalia, M. Lim, G. Vlahovic, and J. Sampson, *OS10.3 Randomized Phase 3 Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab vs Bevacizumab in Patients With Recurrent Glioblastoma: CheckMate 143.* Neuro-Oncology, 2017. **19**(Suppl 3): p. iii21-iii21.

5. Klemm, F., R.R. Maas, R.L. Bowman, M. Kornete, K. Soukup, S. Nassiri, J.P. Brouland, C.A. Iacobuzio-Donahue, C. Brennan, V. Tabar, P.H. Gutin, R.T. Daniel, M.E. Hegi, and J.A. Joyce, *Interrogation of the Microenvironmental Landscape in Brain Tumors Reveals Disease-Specific Alterations of Immune Cells.* Cell, 2020. **181**(7): p. 1643-1660.e17.

6. Friebel, E., K. Kapolou, S. Unger, N.G. Núñez, S. Utz, E.J. Rushing, L. Regli, M. Weller, M. Greter, S. Tugues, M.C. Neidert, and B. Becher, *Single-Cell Mapping of Human Brain Cancer Reveals Tumor-Specific Instruction of Tissue-Invading Leukocytes.* Cell, 2020. **181**(7): p. 1626-1642.e20.

7. Müller, S., G. Kohanbash, S.J. Liu, B. Alvarado, D. Carrera, A. Bhaduri, P.B. Watchmaker, G. Yagnik, E. Di Lullo, M. Malatesta, N.M. Amankulor, A.R. Kriegstein, D.A. Lim, M. Aghi, H. Okada, and A. Diaz, *Single-cell profiling of human gliomas reveals macrophage ontogeny as a basis for regional differences in macrophage activation in the tumor microenvironment.* Genome Biology, 2017. **18**(1): p. 234.

8. Abdelfattah, N., P. Kumar, C. Wang, J.-S. Leu, W.F. Flynn, R. Gao, D.S. Baskin, K. Pichumani, O.B. Ijare, S.L. Wood, S.Z. Powell, D.L. Haviland, B.C. Parker Kerrigan, F.F. Lang, S.S. Prabhu, K.M. Huntoon, W. Jiang, B.Y.S. Kim, J. George, and K. Yun, *Single-cell analysis of human glioma and immune cells identifies S100A4 as an immunotherapy target.* Nature Communications, 2022. **13**(1): p. 767.

9. Darmanis, S., S.A. Sloan, D. Croote, M. Mignardi, S. Chernikova, P. Samghababi, Y. Zhang, N. Neff, M. Kowarsky, C. Caneda, G. Li, S.D. Chang, I.D. Connolly, Y. Li, B.A. Barres, M.H. Gephart, and S.R. Quake, *Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis of Infiltrating Neoplastic Cells at the Migrating Front of Human Glioblastoma.* Cell Rep, 2017. **21**(5): p. 1399-1410.

10. Landry, A.P., M. Balas, S. Alli, J. Spears, and Z. Zador, *Distinct regional ontogeny and*
activation of tumor associated macrophages in human glioblastoma. Scientific Reports,
2020. **10**(1): p. 19542.

11. Louis, D.N., A. Perry, P. Wesseling, D.J. Brat, I.A. Cree, D. Figarella-Branger, C.
Hawkins, H.K. Ng, S.M. Pfister, G. Reifenberger, R. Soffietti, A. von Deimling, and
D.W. Ellison, *The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System:*
a summary. Neuro-Oncology, 2021. **23**(8): p. 1231-1251.

12. Linderman, G.C., M. Rachh, J.G. Hoskins, S. Steinerberger, and Y. Kluger, *Fast*
interpolation-based t-SNE for improved visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data. Nat
Methods, 2019. **16**(3): p. 243-245.

13. Monaco, G., B. Lee, W. Xu, S. Mustafah, Y.Y. Hwang, C. Carré, N. Burdin, L. Visan,
M. Ceccarelli, M. Poidinger, A. Zippelius, J. Pedro de Magalhães, and A. Larbi, *RNA-*
Seq Signatures Normalized by mRNA Abundance Allow Absolute Deconvolution of
Human Immune Cell Types. Cell Rep, 2019. **26**(6): p. 1627-1640.e7.

14. Neftel, C., J. Laffy, M.G. Filbin, T. Hara, M.E. Shore, G.J. Rahme, A.R. Richman, D.
Silverbush, M.L. Shaw, C.M. Hebert, J. Dewitt, S. Gritsch, E.M. Perez, L.N. Gonzalez
Castro, X. Lan, N. Druck, C. Rodman, D. Dionne, A. Kaplan, M.S. Bertalan, J. Small,
K. Pelton, S. Becker, D. Bonal, Q.-D. Nguyen, R.L. Servis, J.M. Fung, R. Mylvaganam,
L. Mayr, J. Gojo, C. Haberler, R. Geyeregger, T. Czech, I. Slavc, B.V. Nahed, W.T.
Curry, B.S. Carter, H. Wakimoto, P.K. Brastianos, T.T. Batchelor, A. Stemmer-
Rachamimov, M. Martinez-Lage, M.P. Frosch, I. Stamenkovic, N. Riggi, E. Rheinbay,
M. Monje, O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, D.P. Cahill, A.P. Patel, T. Hunter, I.M. Verma, K.L.
Ligon, D.N. Louis, A. Regev, B.E. Bernstein, I. Tirosh, and M.L. Suvà, *An Integrative*
Model of Cellular States, Plasticity, and Genetics for Glioblastoma. Cell, 2019. **178**(4):
p. 835-849.e21.

15. Baek, M., E. Yoo, H.I. Choi, G.Y. An, J.C. Chai, Y.S. Lee, K.H. Jung, and Y.G. Chai,
The BET inhibitor attenuates the inflammatory response and cell migration in human
microglial HMC3 cell line. Scientific Reports, 2021. **11**(1): p. 8828.

16. Hagiwara, S., Y. Murakumo, S. Mii, T. Shigetomi, N. Yamamoto, H. Furue, M. Ueda,
and M. Takahashi, *Processing of CD109 by furin and its role in the regulation of TGF-*
β signaling. Oncogene, 2010. **29**(15): p. 2181-2191.

17. Traini, M., C.M. Quinn, C. Sandoval, E. Johansson, K. Schroder, M. Kockx, P.J.
Meikle, W. Jessup, and L. Kritharides, *Sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase acid-like 3A*
(SMPDL3A) is a novel nucleotide phosphodiesterase regulated by cholesterol in human
macrophages. J Biol Chem, 2014. **289**(47): p. 32895-913.

18. Yamada, T., J. Komoto, T. Kasuya, Y. Takata, H. Ogawa, H. Mori, and F. Takusagawa,
A catalytic mechanism that explains a low catalytic activity of serine dehydratase like-
1 from human cancer cells: crystal structure and site-directed mutagenesis studies.
Biochim Biophys Acta, 2008. **1780**(5): p. 809-18.

19. Pan, R.-Y., J. Ma, X.-X. Kong, X.-F. Wang, S.-S. Li, X.-L. Qi, Y.-H. Yan, J. Cheng, Q.
Liu, W. Jin, C.-H. Tan, and Z. Yuan, *Sodium rutin ameliorates Alzheimer’s*
disease–like pathology by enhancing microglial amyloid-β clearance.
Science Advances, 2019. **5**(2): p. eaau6328.

20. Wang, G., L. Ding, C. Gao, N. Zhang, D. Gan, Y. Sun, L. Xu, Q. Luo, and Z. Jiang,
Neuroprotective effect of l-serine against white matter demyelination by harnessing and
modulating inflammation in mice. Neuropharmacology, 2019. **146**: p. 39-49.

21. Soroceanu, L., R. Murase, C. Limbad, E. Singer, J. Allison, I. Adrados, R. Kawamura,
A. Pakdel, Y. Fukuyo, D. Nguyen, S. Khan, R. Arauz, G.L. Yount, D.H. Moore, P.Y.
Desprez, and S.D. McAllister, *Id-1 is a key transcriptional regulator of glioblastoma*
aggressiveness and a novel therapeutic target. Cancer Res, 2013. **73**(5): p. 1559-69.

22. Papaspyridonos, M., I. Matei, Y. Huang, M. do Rosario Andre, H. Brazier-Mitouart,
J.C. Waite, A.S. Chan, J. Kalter, I. Ramos, Q. Wu, C. Williams, J.D. Wolchok, P.B.
Chapman, H. Peinado, N. Anandasabapathy, A.J. Ocean, R.N. Kaplan, J.P. Greenfield,
J. Bromberg, D. Skokos, and D. Lyden, *Id1 suppresses anti-tumour immune responses*
and promotes tumour progression by impairing myeloid cell maturation. Nature
Communications, 2015. **6**(1): p. 6840.

23. Grandemange, S., I. Aksentijevich, I. Jeru, A. Gul, and I. Touitou, *The regulation of*
MEFV expression and its role in health and familial Mediterranean fever. Genes &
Immunity, 2011. **12**(7): p. 497-503.

24. Sharma, S., S.K. Patnaik, R. Thomas Taggart, E.D. Kannisto, S.M. Enriquez, P.
Gollnick, and B.E. Baysal, *APOBEC3A cytidine deaminase induces RNA editing in*
monocytes and macrophages. Nature Communications, 2015. **6**(1): p. 6881.

25. Vicente, R., A. Escalada, M. Coma, G. Fuster, E. Sánchez-Tilló, C. López-Iglesias, C.
Soler, C. Solsona, A. Celada, and A. Felipe, *Differential voltage-dependent K+ channel*
responses during proliferation and activation in macrophages. J Biol Chem, 2003.
278(47): p. 46307-20.

26. Chen, P., H. Zuo, H. Xiong, M.J. Kolar, Q. Chu, A. Saghatelian, D.J. Siegwart, and Y.
Wan, *Gpr132 sensing of lactate mediates tumor-macrophage interplay to promote*
breast cancer metastasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2017. **114**(3): p. 580-585.

27. Gao, L., D.D. Xiong, R.Q. He, X. Yang, Z.F. Lai, L.M. Liu, Z.G. Huang, H.Y. Wu,
L.H. Yang, J. Ma, S.H. Li, P. Lin, H. Yang, D.Z. Luo, Y.W. Dang, and G. Chen,
MIR22HG As A Tumor Suppressive lncRNA In HCC: A Comprehensive Analysis
Integrating RT-qPCR, mRNA-Seq, And Microarrays. Onco Targets Ther, 2019. **12**: p.
9827-9848.

28. Xiong, D., Y. Wang, and M. You, *A gene expression signature of TREM2hi*
macrophages and γδ T cells predicts immunotherapy response. Nature
Communications, 2020. **11**(1): p. 5084.

29. Vuletic, S., W. Dong, G. Wolfbauer, C. Tang, and J.J. Albers, *PLTP regulates STAT3*
and NFκB in differentiated THP1 cells and human monocyte-derived macrophages.
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research, 2011. **1813**(10): p.
1917-1924.

30. Casazza, A., D. Laoui, M. Wenes, S. Rizzolio, N. Bassani, M. Mambretti, S.
Deschoemaeker, Jo A. Van Ginderachter, L. Tamagnone, and M. Mazzone, *Impeding*
Macrophage Entry into Hypoxic Tumor Areas by Sema3A/Nrp1 Signaling Blockade
Inhibits Angiogenesis and Restores Antitumor Immunity. Cancer Cell, 2013. **24**(6): p.
695-709.

31. Sadik, A., L.F. Somarribas Patterson, S. Öztürk, S.R. Mohapatra, V. Panitz, P.F. Secker,
P. Pfänder, S. Loth, H. Salem, M.T. Prentzell, B. Berdel, M. Iskar, E. Faessler, F.
Reuter, I. Kirst, V. Kalter, K.I. Foerster, E. Jäger, C.R. Guevara, M. Sobeh, T. Hielscher,
G. Poschet, A. Reinhardt, J.C. Hassel, M. Zapatka, U. Hahn, A. von Deimling, C. Hopf,
R. Schlichting, B.I. Escher, J. Burhenne, W.E. Haefeli, N. Ishaque, A. Böhme, S.
Schäuble, K. Thedieck, S. Trump, M. Seiffert, and C.A. Opitz, *IL4I1 Is a Metabolic*
Immune Checkpoint that Activates the AHR and Promotes Tumor Progression. Cell,
2020. **182**(5): p. 1252-1270.e34.

32. Rodriguez, A.E., G.S. Ducker, L.K. Billingham, C.A. Martinez, N. Mainolfi, V. Suri,
A. Friedman, M.G. Manfredi, S.E. Weinberg, J.D. Rabinowitz, and N.S. Chandel,
Serine Metabolism Supports Macrophage IL-1β Production. Cell Metabolism, 2019.
29(4): p. 1003-1011.e4.

33. Tseng, W.C., V. Reinhart, T.A. Lanz, M.L. Weber, J. Pang, K.X.V. Le, R.D. Bell, P.
O'Donnell, and D.L. Buhl, *Schizophrenia-associated SLC39A8 polymorphism is a loss-*
of-function allele altering glutamate receptor and innate immune signaling.
Translational Psychiatry, 2021. **11**(1): p. 136.

34. Holtman, I.R., D. Skola, and C.K. Glass, *Transcriptional control of microglia*
phenotypes in health and disease. The Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2017. **127**(9):
p. 3220-3229.

35. Nomaru, H., K. Sakumi, A. Katogi, Y.N. Ohnishi, K. Kajitani, D. Tsuchimoto, E.J.
Nestler, and Y. Nakabeppu, *Fosb gene products contribute to excitotoxic microglial*
activation by regulating the expression of complement C5a receptors in microglia. Glia,
2014. **62**(8): p. 1284-98.

36. Sweet, D.R., N.T. Vasudevan, L. Fan, C.E. Booth, K.S. Keerthy, X. Liao, V.
Vinayachandran, Y. Takami, D. Tugal, N. Sharma, E.R. Chan, L. Zhang, Y. Qing, S.L.
Gerson, C. Fu, A. Wynshaw-Boris, P. Sangwung, L. Nayak, P. Holvoet, K. Matoba, Y.
Lu, G. Zhou, and M.K. Jain, *Myeloid Krüppel-like factor 2 is a critical regulator of*
metabolic inflammation. Nature Communications, 2020. **11**(1): p. 5872.

37. Salojin, K.V., I.B. Owusu, K.A. Millerchip, M. Potter, K.A. Platt, and T. Oravecz,
Essential role of MAPK phosphatase-1 in the negative control of innate immune
responses. J Immunol, 2006. **176**(3): p. 1899-907.

38. Lee, H., S. Cha, M.S. Lee, G.J. Cho, W.S. Choi, and K. Suk, *Role of antiproliferative B*
cell translocation gene-1 as an apoptotic sensitizer in activation-induced cell death of
brain microglia. J Immunol, 2003. **171**(11): p. 5802-11.

39. Liberzon, A., C. Birger, H. Thorvaldsdóttir, M. Ghandi, J.P. Mesirov, and P. Tamayo,
The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell
systems, 2015. **1**(6): p. 417-425.

40. Walker, D.G. and L.F. Lue, *Immune phenotypes of microglia in human*
neurodegenerative disease: challenges to detecting microglial polarization in human
brains. Alzheimers Res Ther, 2015. **7**(1): p. 56.

41. Hopperton, K.E., D. Mohammad, M.O. Trépanier, V. Giuliano, and R.P. Bazinet,
Markers of microglia in post-mortem brain samples from patients with Alzheimer's
disease: a systematic review. Molecular Psychiatry, 2018. **23**(2): p. 177-198.

42.   Hammond, T.R., C. Dufort, L. Dissing-Olesen, S. Giera, A. Young, A. Wysoker, A.J.
Walker, F. Gergits, M. Segel, J. Nemesh, S.E. Marsh, A. Saunders, E. Macosko, F.
Ginhoux, J. Chen, R.J.M. Franklin, X. Piao, S.A. McCarroll, and B. Stevens, *Single-*
Cell RNA Sequencing of Microglia throughout the Mouse Lifespan and in the Injured
Brain Reveals Complex Cell-State Changes. Immunity, 2019. **50**(1): p. 253-271.e6.

43.   McQuade, A., Y.J. Kang, J. Hasselmann, A. Jairaman, A. Sotelo, M. Coburn, S.K.
Shabestari, J.P. Chadarevian, G. Fote, C.H. Tu, E. Danhash, J. Silva, E. Martinez, C.
Cotman, G.A. Prieto, L.M. Thompson, J.S. Steffan, I. Smith, H. Davtyan, M. Cahalan,
H. Cho, and M. Blurton-Jones, *Gene expression and functional deficits underlie*
TREM2-knockout microglia responses in human models of Alzheimer's disease. Nature
Communications, 2020. **11**(1): p. 5370.

44.   Saijo, K., B. Winner, C.T. Carson, J.G. Collier, L. Boyer, M.G. Rosenfeld, F.H. Gage,
and C.K. Glass, *A Nurr1/CoREST pathway in microglia and astrocytes protects*
dopaminergic neurons from inflammation-induced death. Cell, 2009. **137**(1): p. 47-59.

45.   Rothe, T., N. Ipseiz, M. Faas, S. Lang, F. Perez-Branguli, D. Metzger, H. Ichinose, B.
Winner, G. Schett, and G. Krönke, *The Nuclear Receptor Nr4a1 Acts as a Microglia*
Rheostat and Serves as a Therapeutic Target in Autoimmune-Driven Central Nervous
System Inflammation. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950), 2017. **198**(10):
p. 3878-3885.

46.   Szabo, P.A., H.M. Levitin, M. Miron, M.E. Snyder, T. Senda, J. Yuan, Y.L. Cheng,
E.C. Bush, P. Dogra, P. Thapa, D.L. Farber, and P.A. Sims, *Single-cell transcriptomics*
of human T cells reveals tissue and activation signatures in health and disease. Nature
Communications, 2019. **10**(1): p. 4706.

47.   Alegre, M.L., P.J. Noel, B.J. Eisfelder, E. Chuang, M.R. Clark, S.L. Reiner, and C.B.
Thompson, *Regulation of surface and intracellular expression of CTLA4 on mouse T*
cells. J Immunol, 1996. **157**(11): p. 4762-70.

48.   Johnson, D.B., M.J. Nixon, Y. Wang, D.Y. Wang, E. Castellanos, M.V. Estrada, P.I.
Ericsson-Gonzalez, C.H. Cote, R. Salgado, V. Sanchez, P.T. Dean, S.R. Opalenik, D.M.
Schreeder, D.L. Rimm, J.Y. Kim, J. Bordeaux, S. Loi, L. Horn, M.E. Sanders, P.B.
Ferrell, Jr., Y. Xu, J.A. Sosman, R.S. Davis, and J.M. Balko, *Tumor-specific MHC-II*
expression drives a unique pattern of resistance to immunotherapy via LAG-3/FCRL6
engagement. JCI insight, 2018. **3**(24): p. e120360.

49.   Sciumè, G., G. De Angelis, G. Benigni, A. Ponzetta, S. Morrone, A. Santoni, and G.
Bernardini, *CX3CR1 expression defines 2 KLRG1+ mouse NK-cell subsets with distinct*
functional properties and positioning in the bone marrow. Blood, 2011. **117**(17): p.
4467-4475.

50.   Böttcher, J.P., M. Beyer, F. Meissner, Z. Abdullah, J. Sander, B. Höchst, S. Eickhoff,
J.C. Rieckmann, C. Russo, T. Bauer, T. Flecken, D. Giesen, D. Engel, S. Jung, D.H.
Busch, U. Protzer, R. Thimme, M. Mann, C. Kurts, J.L. Schultze, W. Kastenmüller, and
P.A. Knolle, *Functional classification of memory CD8+ T cells by CX3CR1 expression.*
Nature Communications, 2015. **6**(1): p. 8306.

51.   Gerlach, C., E.A. Moseman, S.M. Loughhead, D. Alvarez, A.J. Zwijnenburg, L.
Waanders, R. Garg, J.C. de la Torre, and U.H. von Andrian, *The Chemokine Receptor*

CX3CR1 Defines Three Antigen-Experienced CD8 T Cell Subsets with Distinct Roles in Immune Surveillance and Homeostasis. Immunity, 2016. **45**(6): p. 1270-1284.

52. Urban, S.L., I.J. Jensen, Q. Shan, L.L. Pewe, H.-H. Xue, V.P. Badovinac, and J.T. Harty, Peripherally induced brain tissue–resident memory CD8+ T cells mediate protection against CNS infection. Nature Immunology, 2020. **21**(8): p. 938-949.

53. Mackay, L.K., M. Minnich, N.A. Kragten, Y. Liao, B. Nota, C. Seillet, A. Zaid, K. Man, S. Preston, D. Freestone, A. Braun, E. Wynne-Jones, F.M. Behr, R. Stark, D.G. Pellicci, D.I. Godfrey, G.T. Belz, M. Pellegrini, T. Gebhardt, M. Busslinger, W. Shi, F.R. Carbone, R.A. van Lier, A. Kallies, and K.P. van Gisbergen, Hobit and Blimp1 instruct a universal transcriptional program of tissue residency in lymphocytes. Science, 2016. **352**(6284): p. 459-63.

54. Ma, J., B. Zheng, S. Goswami, L. Meng, D. Zhang, C. Cao, T. Li, F. Zhu, L. Ma, Z. Zhang, S. Zhang, M. Duan, Q. Chen, Q. Gao, and X. Zhang, PD1(Hi) CD8(+) T cells correlate with exhausted signature and poor clinical outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal for immunotherapy of cancer, 2019. **7**(1): p. 331-331.

55. Liu, X., Y. Wang, H. Lu, J. Li, X. Yan, M. Xiao, J. Hao, A. Alekseev, H. Khong, T. Chen, R. Huang, J. Wu, Q. Zhao, Q. Wu, S. Xu, X. Wang, W. Jin, S. Yu, Y. Wang, L. Wei, A. Wang, B. Zhong, L. Ni, X. Liu, R. Nurieva, L. Ye, Q. Tian, X.-W. Bian, and C. Dong, Genome-wide analysis identifies NR4A1 as a key mediator of T cell dysfunction. Nature, 2019. **567**(7749): p. 525-529.

56. Dan, L., L. Liu, Y. Sun, J. Song, Q. Yin, G. Zhang, F. Qi, Z. Hu, Z. Yang, Z. Zhou, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, J. Ji, X. Zhao, Y. Jin, M.A. McNutt, and Y. Yin, The phosphatase PAC1 acts as a T cell suppressor and attenuates host antitumor immunity. Nature Immunology, 2020. **21**(3): p. 287-297.

57. Bignon, A., A. Régent, L. Klipfel, A. Desnoyer, P. de la Grange, V. Martinez, O. Lortholary, A. Dalloul, L. Mouthon, and K. Balabanian, DUSP4-mediated accelerated T-cell senescence in idiopathic CD4 lymphopenia. Blood, 2015. **125**(16): p. 2507-18.

58. Maine, C.J., J.R. Teijaro, K. Marquardt, and L.A. Sherman, PTPN22 contributes to exhaustion of T lymphocytes during chronic viral infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2016. **113**(46): p. E7231-E7239.

59. Li, H., A.M. van der Leun, I. Yofe, Y. Lubling, D. Gelbard-Solodkin, A.C.J. van Akkooi, M. van den Braber, E.A. Rozeman, J.B.A.G. Haanen, C.U. Blank, H.M. Horlings, E. David, Y. Baran, A. Bercovich, A. Lifshitz, T.N. Schumacher, A. Tanay, and I. Amit, Dysfunctional CD8 T Cells Form a Proliferative, Dynamically Regulated Compartment within Human Melanoma. Cell, 2019. **176**(4): p. 775-789.e18.

60. Jin, S., C.F. Guerrero-Juarez, L. Zhang, I. Chang, R. Ramos, C.-H. Kuan, P. Myung, M.V. Plikus, and Q. Nie, Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 1088.

61. Wei, J., A. Marisetty, B. Schrand, K. Gabrusiewicz, Y. Hashimoto, M. Ott, Z. Grami, L.Y. Kong, X. Ling, H. Caruso, S. Zhou, Y.A. Wang, G.N. Fuller, J. Huse, E. Gilboa, N. Kang, X. Huang, R. Verhaak, S. Li, and A.B. Heimberger, Osteopontin mediates glioblastoma-associated macrophage infiltration and is a potential therapeutic target. J Clin Invest, 2019. **129**(1): p. 137-149.

62.   Gandoglia, I., F. Ivaldi, P. Carrega, E. Armentani, G. Ferlazzo, G. Mancardi, N. Kerlero
de Rosbo, A. Uccelli, and A. Laroni, *In vitro VLA-4 blockade results in an impaired NK*
cell-mediated immune surveillance against melanoma. Immunology Letters, 2017. **181**:
p. 109-115.

63.   Klement, J.D., A.V. Paschall, P.S. Redd, M.L. Ibrahim, C. Lu, D. Yang, E. Celis, S.I.
Abrams, K. Ozato, and K. Liu, *An osteopontin/CD44 immune checkpoint controls*
CD8+ T cell activation and tumor immune evasion. J Clin Invest, 2018. **128**(12): p.
5549-5560.

64.   Olah, M., V. Menon, N. Habib, M.F. Taga, Y. Ma, C.J. Yung, M. Cimpean, A.
Khairallah, G. Coronas-Samano, R. Sankowski, D. Grün, A.A. Kroshilina, D. Dionne,
R.A. Sarkis, G.R. Cosgrove, J. Helgager, J.A. Golden, P.B. Pennell, M. Prinz, J.P.G.
Vonsattel, A.F. Teich, J.A. Schneider, D.A. Bennett, A. Regev, W. Elyaman, E.M.
Bradshaw, and P.L. De Jager, *Single cell RNA sequencing of human microglia uncovers*
a subset associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nature Communications, 2020. **11**(1): p.
6129.

65.   Yan, Y., S. Cao, X. Liu, S.M. Harrington, W.E. Bindeman, A.A. Adjei, J.S. Jang, J. Jen,
Y. Li, P. Chanana, A.S. Mansfield, S.S. Park, S.N. Markovic, R.S. Dronca, and H.
Dong, *CX3CR1 identifies PD-1 therapy-responsive CD8+ T cells that withstand*
chemotherapy during cancer chemoimmunotherapy. JCI Insight, 2018. **3**(8).

66.   Yamauchi, T., T. Hoki, T. Oba, V. Jain, H. Chen, K. Attwood, S. Battaglia, S. George,
G. Chatta, I. Puzanov, C. Morrison, K. Odunsi, B.H. Segal, G.K. Dy, M.S. Ernstoff, and
F. Ito, *T-cell CX3CR1 expression as a dynamic blood-based biomarker of response to*
immune checkpoint inhibitors. Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 1402.

67.   Smolders, J., K.M. Heutinck, N.L. Fransen, E.B.M. Remmerswaal, P. Hombrink, I.J.M.
ten Berge, R.A.W. van Lier, I. Huitinga, and J. Hamann, *Tissue-resident memory T cells*
populate the human brain. Nature Communications, 2018. **9**(1): p. 4593.

68.   Kumar, B.V., W. Ma, M. Miron, T. Granot, R.S. Guyer, D.J. Carpenter, T. Senda, X.
Sun, S.H. Ho, H. Lerner, A.L. Friedman, Y. Shen, and D.L. Farber, *Human Tissue-*
Resident Memory T Cells Are Defined by Core Transcriptional and Functional
Signatures in Lymphoid and Mucosal Sites. Cell Rep, 2017. **20**(12): p. 2921-2934.

69.   Mackay, L.K., A. Rahimpour, J.Z. Ma, N. Collins, A.T. Stock, M.L. Hafon, J. Vega-
Ramos, P. Lauzurica, S.N. Mueller, T. Stefanovic, D.C. Tscharke, W.R. Heath, M.
Inouye, F.R. Carbone, and T. Gebhardt, *The developmental pathway for*
CD103(+)CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells of skin. Nat Immunol, 2013. **14**(12): p.
1294-301.

70.   Mathewson, N.D., O. Ashenberg, I. Tirosh, S. Gritsch, E.M. Perez, S. Marx, L. Jerby-
Arnon, R. Chanoch-Myers, T. Hara, A.R. Richman, Y. Ito, J. Pyrdol, M. Friedrich, K.
Schumann, M.J. Poitras, P.C. Gokhale, L.N. Gonzalez Castro, M.E. Shore, C.M.
Hebert, B. Shaw, H.L. Cahill, M. Drummond, W. Zhang, O. Olawoyin, H. Wakimoto,
O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, P.K. Brastianos, X.S. Liu, P.S. Jones, D.P. Cahill, M.P. Frosch,
D.N. Louis, G.J. Freeman, K.L. Ligon, A. Marson, E.A. Chiocca, D.A. Reardon, A.
Regev, M.L. Suvà, and K.W. Wucherpfennig, *Inhibitory CD161 receptor identified in*
glioma-infiltrating T cells by single-cell analysis. Cell, 2021. **184**(5): p. 1281-1298.e26.

71. Srivastava, A., L. Malik, T. Smith, I. Sudbery, and R. Patro, *Alevin efficiently estimates*
accurate gene abundances from dscRNA-seq data. Genome Biol, 2019. **20**(1): p. 65.

72. Griffiths, J.A., A.C. Richard, K. Bach, A.T.L. Lun, and J.C. Marioni, *Detection and*
removal of barcode swapping in single-cell RNA-seq data. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p.
2667.

73. Lun, A.T.L., S. Riesenfeld, T. Andrews, T.P. Dao, T. Gomes, J. participants in the 1st
Human Cell Atlas, and J.C. Marioni, *EmptyDrops: distinguishing cells from empty*
droplets in droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data. Genome Biol, 2019. **20**(1):
p. 63.

74. Vallejos, C.A., D. Risso, A. Scialdone, S. Dudoit, and J.C. Marioni, *Normalizing single-*
cell RNA sequencing data: challenges and opportunities. Nat Meth, 2017. **14**(6): p. 565-
571.

75. Lun, A., D. McCarthy, and J. Marioni, *A step-by-step workflow for low-level analysis*
of single-cell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor [version 2; referees: 3 approved, 2
approved with reservations]. F1000Research, 2016. **5**(2122).

76. McCarthy, D.J., K.R. Campbell, Q.F. Wills, and A.T.L. Lun, *Scater: pre-processing,*
quality control, normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R.
Bioinformatics, 2017. **33**(8): p. 1179-1186.

77. Amezquita, R.A., A.T.L. Lun, E. Becht, V.J. Carey, L.N. Carpp, L. Geistlinger, F.
Martini, K. Rue-Albrecht, D. Risso, C. Soneson, L. Waldron, H. Pages, M.L. Smith, W.
Huber, M. Morgan, R. Gottardo, and S.C. Hicks, *Orchestrating single-cell analysis with*
Bioconductor. Nat Methods, 2019.

78. Lun, A.T., D.J. McCarthy, and J.C. Marioni, *A step-by-step workflow for low-level*
analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor. F1000Res, 2016. **5**: p. 2122.

79. Murtagh, F. and P. Legendre, *Ward's Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering Method:*
Which Algorithms Implement Ward's Criterion? J. Classif., 2014. **31**(3): p. 274–295.

80. Langfelder, P., B. Zhang, and S. Horvath, *Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster*
tree: the Dynamic Tree Cut package for R. Bioinformatics, 2008. **24**(5): p. 719-20.

81. Aran, D., A.P. Looney, L. Liu, E. Wu, V. Fong, A. Hsu, S. Chak, R.P. Naikawadi, P.J.
Wolters, A.R. Abate, A.J. Butte, and M. Bhattacharya, *Reference-based analysis of lung*
single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. Nat Immunol,
2019. **20**(2): p. 163-172.

82. Weber, L.M., M. Nowicka, C. Soneson, and M.D. Robinson, *diffcyt: Differential*
discovery in high-dimensional cytometry via high-resolution clustering.
Communications Biology, 2019. **2**(1): p. 183.

83. Lun, A.T.L. and J.C. Marioni, *Overcoming confounding plate effects in differential*
expression analyses of single-cell RNA-seq data. Biostatistics, 2017. **18**(3): p. 451-464.

84. Robinson, M.D., D.J. McCarthy, and G.K. Smyth, *edgeR: a Bioconductor package for*
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics, 2010.
26(1): p. 139-140.

85.   Robinson, M.D. and A. Oshlack, *A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data.* Genome Biol, 2010. **11**(3): p. R25.

86.   Wu, D. and G.K. Smyth, *Camera: a competitive gene set test accounting for inter-gene correlation.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2012. **40**(17): p. e133.

87.   Subramanian, A., P. Tamayo, V.K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B.L. Ebert, M.A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S.L. Pomeroy, T.R. Golub, E.S. Lander, and J.P. Mesirov, *Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005. **102**(43): p. 15545-15550.

Acknowledgements

1007

We are grateful to the patients and their families for their consent to donate tissue to our

brain tumor biobank. We thank Tamara Hüssen, Alison Riberio and Florian Limani for exper-

imental support and Cecile Buenter for critical revision of the manuscript. Calculations were

performed at sciCORE (http://scicore.unibas.ch/) scientific computing center at the University

of Basel. This work was supported by a Swiss Cancer Research MD-PhD Grant (MD-PhD-

4818-06-2019) to P.S. as well an Alumni Medizin Basel grant to P.S.; Swiss National Science

Foundation Professorial Fellowship (PP00P3_176974); the ProPatient Forschungsstiftung,

University Hospital Basel (Annemarie Karrasch Award 2019); Swiss Cancer Research Grant

(KFS- 4382-02-2018) to G.H.; the Department of Surgery, University Hospital Basel, to G.H.

and P.S.; and by The Brain Tumour Charity Foundation, London, UK (GN- 000562) to G.H.

1018

1019   **Author contribution**

1020   G.H., S. Herter, M.B., S.D. and P.S., conceived and planned the project. J.R. and S.

1021   Hogan analyzed the scRNA-sequencing data and performed statistical analysis. P.S. and S.D.,

1022   performed experiments and interpreted the results. T.S., T.A.M. and M.-F.R. helped coordinat-

1023   ing experiments. P.S. wrote the manuscript. G.H. supervised and coordinated the study and

1024   critically revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the paper and approved its final version.

1025

1026   **Competing interests**

1027   G.H. has equity in, and is a cofounder of Incephalo Inc.

Figure S1. CD45$^+$ CD11b$^+$ immune cells gating strategy and quality control of scRNA-seq data, related to Figures 1 and 2.

(A and B) Gating strategy for paired tumor-derived (A) and PBMCs (B); after debris, doublet and dead cell removal, immune cells were assessed as CD45$^+$ and/or CD11b$^+$.

(C-E) Percentage of mitochondrial (MT) reads (C) number of detected genes (D) and cell-cycle phase (E) overlaid on tSNE representation.

(F and G) tSNE map stratified according to site (F) and patient (G).

Figure S2. Cell type specific gene expression, related to Figure 2.

Heatmap displaying genes whose expression is most specific to each cell type. Columns are ordered by site and cell type, and rows show centered and scaled expression values, hierarchically clustered.

Figure S3. Cross-referencing scRNA-seq data with published datasets, related to Figure 2.

(A, B, G and H) Using hierarchical clustering, identified cell clusters (A) which were then annotated into eight distinct cell types for the immune subset (B) and five cell types for the CD45^{neg} subset (G and H). Grey panel in (G) zooms in on CD45^{neg} subset and is shown in (H).

(C-F) Immune cell types were annotated by referencing to a dataset of bulk RNA-seq samples of sorted immune cell types from human PBMC (C) [1]; MdMs and microglia were annotated by comparing to a dataset of bulk RNA-seq samples of sorted immune cell types from the tumor microenvironment of human gliomas (D) [2] and by using signature scores defined from scRNA-seq of glioma TAMs (E and F) [3]. Clusters are highlighted which were annotated using each respective reference dataset.

(I) CD45^{neg} cells were annotated by whole-transcriptome comparison to a scRNA-seq dataset of IDH1wt GBM [4].

(J) Endothelial score was defined by averaging the center and scaled expression levels of the genes CDH5, VWF, CD34 and PECAM1.

A

B

C

Figure S4. Differential abundance of MG subclusters and differential expression between center and peripheral CD4⁺ T cells, related to Figures 3 and 4.

(A) Violin plot showing average expression levels of selected reactivity markers among mononuclear phagocyte populations.

(B) Frequencies of MG_1 and MG_2 subpopulations among total microglia between center and periphery. Symbols represent individual patients and paired samples are indicated by connecting lines. 3 of 4 (75%) paired samples showed an increased abundance of MG_1 cells in the tumor periphery and decreased frequency of MG_2 cells. Statistical significance was assessed by diffcyt-DA-voom method, *FDR corrected p value < 0.05.

(C) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes (FDR corrected p value < 0.05, indicated by blue and yellow) in CD4+ T cells from tumor periphery versus tumor center.

Figure S5. CD8⁺ T cell phenotype is site-specific, related to Figure 5.

(A) Gating strategy for paired tumor-periphery and PBMC cells; after debris, doublet and dead cell removal, CD8+ T cells were identified as CD3+ CD8+ events.

(B-D) Single-cell expression of markers associated with naive/memory (CD28, IL7R, CD27, FAS, CD29, TCF, FOXO1) and tissue-resident memory (ITGAE, AHR, PRDM1) (B) T cell co-stimulation (C) and T cell exhaustion/dysfunction (D) overlaid on tSNE CD8+ T cell cluster.

(E) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes (FDR corrected p value < 0.05, indicated by blue and green) in CD4+ T cells from tumor-periphery versus PBMC.

Figure S6. Cell-cell communication analysis using CellChat, related to Figure 6.

(A and B) Chord diagram showing significant interactions from microglia to all cell clusters in center (A) and periphery (B). The inner bar colors represent the targets that receive signal from the corresponding outer bar. The inner bar size is proportional to the signal strength received by the targets. Chords indicate ligand-receptor pairs mediating interaction between two cell clusters, size of chords is proportional to signal strength of the given ligand-receptor pair.

(C and D) Violin plots showing the expression distribution of signaling genes involved in the inferred SPP1 signaling network in center (C) and periphery (D).

Figure S7. Graphical abstract. Proposed schematic of grade 4 glioma-associated immune cells in the three regional compartments. Created with BioRender.com.

Supplement References

1. Monaco, G., B. Lee, W. Xu, S. Mustafah, Y.Y. Hwang, C. Carré, N. Burdin, L. Visan, M. Ceccarelli, M. Poidinger, A. Zippelius, J. Pedro de Magalhães, and A. Larbi, *RNA-Seq Signatures Normalized by mRNA Abundance Allow Absolute Deconvolution of Human Immune Cell Types.* Cell Rep, 2019. **26**(6): p. 1627-1640.e7.

2. Klemm, F., R.R. Maas, R.L. Bowman, M. Kornete, K. Soukup, S. Nassiri, J.P. Brouland, C.A. Iacobuzio-Donahue, C. Brennan, V. Tabar, P.H. Gutin, R.T. Daniel, M.E. Hegi, and J.A. Joyce, *Interrogation of the Microenvironmental Landscape in Brain Tumors Reveals Disease-Specific Alterations of Immune Cells.* Cell, 2020. **181**(7): p. 1643-1660.e17.

3. Müller, S., G. Kohanbash, S.J. Liu, B. Alvarado, D. Carrera, A. Bhaduri, P.B. Watchmaker, G. Yagnik, E. Di Lullo, M. Malatesta, N.M. Amankulor, A.R. Kriegstein, D.A. Lim, M. Aghi, H. Okada, and A. Diaz, *Single-cell profiling of human gliomas reveals macrophage ontogeny as a basis for regional differences in macrophage activation in the tumor microenvironment.* Genome Biology, 2017. **18**(1): p. 234.

4. Neftel, C., J. Laffy, M.G. Filbin, T. Hara, M.E. Shore, G.J. Rahme, A.R. Richman, D. Silverbush, M.L. Shaw, C.M. Hebert, J. Dewitt, S. Gritsch, E.M. Perez, L.N. Gonzalez Castro, X. Lan, N. Druck, C. Rodman, D. Dionne, A. Kaplan, M.S. Bertalan, J. Small, K. Pelton, S. Becker, D. Bonal, Q.-D. Nguyen, R.L. Servis, J.M. Fung, R. Mylvaganam, L. Mayr, J. Gojo, C. Haberler, R. Geyeregger, T. Czech, I. Slavc, B.V. Nahed, W.T. Curry, B.S. Carter, H. Wakimoto, P.K. Brastianos, T.T. Batchelor, A. Stemmer-Rachamimov, M. Martinez-Lage, M.P. Frosch, I. Stamenkovic, N. Riggi, E. Rheinbay, M. Monje, O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, D.P. Cahill, A.P. Patel, T. Hunter, I.M. Verma, K.L. Ligon, D.N. Louis, A. Regev, B.E. Bernstein, I. Tirosh, and M.L. Suvà, *An Integrative Model of Cellular States, Plasticity, and Genetics for Glioblastoma.* Cell, 2019. **178**(4): p. 835-849.e21.