**Molecular evolution of IRG1 shapes itaconate production in metazoans and alleviates the**

**"double-edged dilemma" of innate immune defense**

Richard V. Szeligowski[1,2], Francois Miros [1,2], Andres Saez[1,2], Marisa DeCiucis[1,2], Gunter P.

Wagner[2,3], and Hongying Shen[1,2]

[1]Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Yale University School of Medicine, CT,

USA

[2]Systems Biology Institute, Yale University, CT, USA

[3]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

**Abstract**

Itaconate is an innate immune metabolite specifically produced in stimulated immune cells via the decarboxylation of the TCA cycle intermediate *cis*-aconitate. Due to its inhibition of succinate-related metabolic processes, itaconate exhibits antimicrobial properties at the expense of potentially disrupting the hosts' own central energy metabolism, a "double-edged dilemma" of immunometabolism. To understand the evolutionary logic of itaconate biosynthesis, we investigate the evolutionary trajectory of the Irg1 gene, which codes for the itaconate-producing enzyme *cis*-aconitate decarboxylase (CAD). Phylogenetic analysis reveals a putative independent acquisition of metazoan and fungal Irg1 from prokaryotic sources. The metazoan Irg1 underwent duplication in vertebrates and a subsequent loss of one paralog in mammals, a process that removes the mitochondrial targeting sequences (MTS) and relocates CAD outside of the mitochondrial matrix thus preventing a direct inhibition of energy metabolism. Experiments in the most diverged metazoan species that are known to contain Irg1, oysters and amphioxus, reveal that the expression of primitive Irg1 genes is induced by innate immune stimulants in invertebrates and basal chordates, suggesting an already specialized role of itaconate production for innate immune defense in early bilaterians. Our combined *in silico* and experimental analysis of Irg1 highlights that a trend of tightened transcriptional regulation and sequence-level change optimizes itaconate biosynthesis for innate immunity, a mechanism that may be broadly utilized to resolve other types of double-edged dilemmas in immunometabolism.

**Introduction**

Recent multispecies analysis reveals that enzyme biochemistry dedicated to modern eukaryotic

innate immunity has deep evolutionary roots, with an already specialized role in the innate

immunity of ancient life: examples include the cGAS-STING pathway in animals that shares

ancestry with the prokaryotic cyclic-oligonucleotide in anti-phage defense[1-4], and the eukaryotic

peptidoglycan amidase that digests the bacterial cell wall and was horizontally acquired from

prokaryotic antibacterial toxins[5]. We rationalize that tracing the evolutionary trajectory of the

specialized biochemistry in innate immunity might provide insights on the regulatory

mechanisms that shape and optimize the modern innate immune response compatible with the

organismal fitness.

Itaconate, an innate immunometabolite with both antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory roles[7,8] is

an exemplar of specialized biochemistry in mammalian innate immunity. Itaconate is produced

via a single decarboxylation step by the enzyme *cis*-aconitate decarboxylase, the gene product of

the immune response gene (Irg1)[9], shunting away the central energy metabolic pathway TCA

cycle intermediate *cis*-aconitate at a high flux rate (Figure 1a). Itaconate is highly reactive due to

the terminal double bond: the alkene group on the C2 position (Figure 1a). This reactivity

enables antimicrobial activity via inhibiting isocitrate lyase in the glyoxylate shunt, a key energy

metabolic pathway exclusively found in prokaryotes[9-11], and vitamin B12-dependent

methylmalonyl-CoA mutase-mediated odd carbon utilization[12,13]. However, in the host, itaconate

can inhibit key mitochondrial matrix-localized energy metabolic steps including succinyl-CoA

ligase[14], succinate dehydrogenase[15,16] and B12-dependent methylmalonyl-CoA mutase producing

succinate[12], in addition to functioning as a Michael acceptor reacting to nucleophilic sulfur group

of the cysteine residue of KEAP1 activating Nrf2[17] and that of the antioxidant glutathione[18].

Itaconate can be completely degraded by a citramalyl-CoA lyase activity (Figure 1A) that was

discovered for human CLYBL gene protecting the vitamin B12 cofactor[12]. The same activity of

bacterial citE provided fitness (virulence) to the bacterial pathogen by degrading itaconate to

counter the host defense[19], which illustrates an evolutionary arms race.

Taken together, itaconate's dual inhibition of the host and pathogen central energy metabolic

pathway presents a "double-edged" dilemma in immunometabolism: the host benefits from the

elimination of pathogens, but also suffers an apparent detrimental effect via the disruption of the

organism's own energy metabolism. We refer to this trade-off as the "double-edged" dilemma

for the toxic immunometabolic biochemistry in immunity.

One mechanism to alleviate this dilemma involves a spatiotemporal restricted transcriptional

regulation of the Irg1 gene, where its expression is exclusively restricted to macrophages in

humans, mice[9], and zebrafish[20]. Within macrophages, Irg1 transcription is strictly kept silent and

only upregulated upon innate immune stimulation by bacterial pathogens or LPS[6]. Only a few

studies reported the induction of Irg1 in other cell types by other stimuli, including Irg1 paralog

in zebrafish that is induced in terminally differentiated keratinocytes upon bacterial infection[21]

and the induction of human Irg1 in terminally differentiated neurons upon zika virus infection[22].

This highly selective transcriptional hierarchy for Irg1 is evidently successful in resolving the

"double-edged" dilemma in modern vertebrates. However, other mechanisms to resolve this

dilemma may have arisen in distantly diverged species where the mechanism of transcriptional

regulation might be less sophisticated.

To explore the evolutionary logic of utilizing itaconate production for innate immunity, we

investigated the taxonomic distribution and the evolutionary trajectory of Irg1 *in silico*. We

discovered that fungal Irg1 and metazoan Irg1 are likely independently acquired from

prokaryotes. Whole genome duplication early in vertebrate history appears to have produced two

paralogous Irg1 copies and subsequent loss events in therian mammal lineages (marsupials and

placental mammals) enabled more precise regulation of itaconate biosynthesis by removing the

mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS) in Irg1, thus preventing a direct inhibition of

mitochondrial matrix bioenergetics. Our cell- and tissue-based studies in the most distantly

diverged metazoan species that contain Irg1, mollusks (eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*)

and basal chordates (amphioxus, *Branchiostoma floridae*), identified a transcriptional induction

of Irg1 by innate immune inducers, suggesting an ancestrally dedicated innate immune role for

Irg1 in ancient metazoans. Together, our molecular phylogeny and experimental studies in these

nontraditional model organisms reveal a multilayered evolutionary strategy that tightens the

regulations of itaconate biosynthesis. We speculate that similar evolutionary regulatory

mechanisms may be broadly utilized to shape toxic innate immune biochemistry and to resolve

the "double-edged" dilemma in immune defense.

**Results**

To understand the occurrence of itaconate production in nature, our initial literature survey

identified two enzymes that synthesize itaconate: the cis-aconitate decarboxylase (CAD) enzyme

in humans, mice, zebrafish[9,20], and the fungus *Aspergillus terreus*[23,24], and *trans*-aconitate

decarboxylase (TAD) in *Ustilago maydis*, a plant pathogenic fungus[25] (Figure 1A). Primary

sequence analysis and domain searches suggest that all CAD sequences are comprised of the

MmgE/PrpD domain fold[26], a protein domain family that also contains the prokaryotic

methylcitrate dehydratase in the methylcitrate cycle[27]. The TAD enzymes are comprised of a

completely different protein fold that is shared by the adenylosuccinate lyase enzyme ADSL in

mammalian purine nucleotide biosynthesis. However, TAD enzymes appear to be exclusively

restricted to the fungi kingdom and metazoans lack TAD enzymes. While many fungal studies

focus on the bioengineering role of itaconate production for polymer biosynthesis[28], the

physiological role of *A. terreus* CAD and *U. maydis* TAD is not completely understood — a

potential function in immune defense may hint at convergent evolution to produce itaconate

starting from two different protein folds and slightly different substrates[29].

Because the CAD enzyme exhibits a broad taxonomic distribution spanning fungi and mammals,

we next focused on exploring the evolutionary trajectory of CAD across the tree of life.

Combined BLAST searches (BLASTP and TBLASTN searches using human Irg1 protein

sequence as a query) and a MmgE/PrpD Pfam domain search returned protein sequences

spanning all three domains of life: bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes. A closer inspection of the

eukaryotic domain suggests a sporadic presence of Irg1 homologs in fungi, deuterostomes, and

lophotrochozoans, but CAD is notably absent in their sister clades including ecdysozoans

(arthropods, nematodes and their relatives) and plants (Figure 1B). Six putative Drosophila

sequences were determined to be misannotated and thus excluded for further analysis (see

methods). The MmgE/PrpD domain-containing proteins are present in Basidiomycota and

Ascomycota, the fungi taxa including *A. terreus*, but are absent in other basal fungi taxa

including Chytridiomycota and Zygomycota. The patchiness of Irg1 occurrence in nature

suggests that itaconate biosynthesis is not critical, and thus dispensable for eukaryotic central

energy metabolism and organismal fitness.

Molecular phylogenetic analysis of all prokaryotic and eukaryotic Irg1 homologs highlighted a

clear separation of the fungi and animal Irg1 sequences, raising the possibility of two

independent acquisition events. We first confirmed that all the Irg1 sequences contain the

MmgE/PrpD domain and then manually added eight experimentally validated 2-methylcitrate

dehydratases including bacterial sequences from *E. coli*[30], *S. typhimurium*[31], *C. necator*[32], *C.*

*glutamicum*[33], *B. subtilis*[34], and two different homologs in *M. tuberculosis*[35-37] as well as a fungal

sequence from *Y. lipolytica*[38]. The most striking observation from the phylogenetic analysis

(Figure 1C) is that, despite similar enzymatic activities, the validated fungi *A. terreus* CAD and

the metazoan Irg1 sequences reside on two distant branches intercalated by prokaryotic

sequences with high bootstrapping values (>75), strongly suggesting that metazoan CAD/Irg1

and the fungi CAD are independently acquired.

The absence of the CAD enzyme sequences in many fungal taxa and the close relationship of *A.*

*terreus* CAD sequence with the prokaryotic sequences in adjacent branches may indicate a

putative direct horizontal gene transfer (HGT) into the *Aspergillus* ancestor. The eight

experimentally validated 2-methylcitrate dehydratases are clustered on a separate branch from

both *A. terreus* CAD and metazoan Irg1, suggesting these are indeed different enzymes that can

be distinguished by sequence homology. Notably, the nearest clade to metazoan Irg1 orthologs

contain primarily alphaproteobacterial sequences including one from the Rickettsiales genera,

the bacterial taxa considered to be the closest extant organism to eukaryotic mitochondria[39].

However, from which prokaryotic clades metazoans acquired Irg1 remains unknown.

The evolutionary trajectory of metazoan Irg1 is consistent with canonical metazoan phylogeny

and is composed of three distinct subbranches: a homolog in mollusks and basal chordates we

called paleo-Irg1 (pIrg1, Fig. 2, in gray) and two paralogous vertebrate groups that we

designated Irg1-like (Irg1L, Fig. 2, in blue) and Irg1 (Fig. 2, in red). The pIrg1 sequences consist

of invertebrate sequences exclusively in mollusks, the basal chordate amphioxus (*Branchiostoma*

sp.), and agnathans (lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus*) (Figure 1C). A clear divergence of the Irg1L

and Irg1 branches in several gnathostome classes (in Actinopterygii, Sarcopterygii, Amphibia,

Aves, and Reptilia) and the conspicuous absence of Irg1L in therian mammals prompted us to

further inspect the taxonomic distribution and phylogeny of Irg1L/Irg1 in metazoans.

We then focused on the metazoan tree and color-coded the sequences in the three subbranches

corresponding to pIrg1, Irg1 and Irg1L, by taxonomy (Figure S1A). After analyzing the

taxonomic distribution of the Irg1 homologs, we confirmed that all Irg1 and Irg1L sequences

belong to jawed vertebrates and that each paralogous branch follows a canonical vertebrate

phylogeny. The presence of both Irg1 and Irg1L in the majority of jawed vertebrate taxa,

including bony fish, amphibians, reptiles, and birds and the exclusive presence of pIrg1 in

mollusks and agnathans strongly support that Irg1 and Irg1L are derived from the pIrg1 through

the putative genome duplication event at the base of the vertebrate lineage[40].

Several taxon-specific local gene duplication events were observed, including two syntenic

pIrg1s in oysters (>99.48% nucleotide sequence identity), two syntenic pIrg1s in lamprey

(>98.72% nucleotide sequence identity), four syntenic Irg1Ls in *Xenopus laevis* (Figure S1 and

2), and two Irg1Ls in salmonid fish likely due to known genome duplication in these taxa[41].

Cartilaginous fish genomes were found to only contain Irg1L, but lack Irg1 (Figure S1B), a

result we further confirmed by a manual BLAST search in species including the skate

(*Amblyraja radiata*) and sharks (*Chilscyllium plagiosum, Rhincodon typus, Chiloscyllium*

*punctatum, Carcharodon carcharias, Scyliorhinus torazame, Callorhinchus milii and*

*Scyliorhinus canicula*).

The most striking observation in the taxa-annotated metazoan tree is a complete loss of Irg1L in

the metatherian and eutherian mammals that otherwise exhibit an expansion of Irg1 lineage (Fig.

S1, pink), which contrasts with the presence of both Irg1 and Irg1L in the prototherian platypus

(*O. anatinus*; Figure S1, arrows). To further confirm the difference, we manually searched for

Irg1 and Irg1L in major mammalian orders[42] by either BlastP or TblastN search using human

Irg1 and zebrafish Irg1L sequences (Figure S1B). The absence of both Irg1 and Irg1L in

Hyracoidea and Xenarthra (Fig S1B) is consistent with the dispensability of Irg1 for organismal

energy metabolism. All metatherians and eutherians contain only Irg1. Within the mammalian

clade, Irg1L is exclusive to prototheria, a primordial egg-laying mammal, and completely lost in

metatheria and eutheria, the viviparous mammals (Figure S1B), inviting further analysis in the

difference between Irg1L and Irg1.

Primary sequence inspection unexpectedly revealed a N-terminus extended amino acid sequence

that is frequently present in both Irg1L and pIrg1 sequences, but much less frequent in Irg1.

Because the CAD enzyme directly acts on intermediates of the mitochondrial matrix-localized

central energy metabolism TCA cycle, we then explored if these N-terminal extensions might

encode the canonical mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS)[43], the peptide motif directing

proteins into the mitochondrial matrix. Indeed, investigating all metazoan sequences using MTS

prediction software TargetP revealed high-confidence MTS present in 34.56% pIrg1 and Irg1-L

sequences, and only 5 present in the Irg1 sequences (<1.59%; Figure S1 and Figure 2). Loss of

the MTS in Irg1 and the loss of mitochondria targeted Irg1L in the modern mammals might

confer a fitness advantage by redirecting Irg1 to cytoplasm and alleviating itaconate's direct

inhibition of the mitochondrial energy metabolism TCA cycle.

To further establish the paralogy of Irg1L and Irg1, we performed a manual synteny analysis to

probe the genomic context surrounding pIrg1, Irg1-L and Irg1 in the representative species from

the major metazoan taxa that include well-studied model organisms (Figure 2). This subset of the

tree retains both the branch topography (pIrg1, Irg1-L and Irg1) and the enrichment of MTS in

the pIrg1 and Irg1L homologs (Figure 2). We then manually annotated and compared the coding

genes in the genomic region harboring pIrg1/Irg1L/Irg1. Although we did not detect obvious

functionally related gene clustering[44,45] the synteny surrounding Irg1 appears to have converged

to a more highly conserved pattern than that of Irg1L, both in the number of the syntenic genes

and their directionality (Figure 2). Four closely examined genes syntenic to pIrg1 appear to

contain the same protein domains as the genes syntenic to Irg1L, but not the genes syntenic to

Irg1, which we labeled based on their conserved protein domains: VOC, YrdC, 2Fe (ii) oxy and

GAT (Figure 2). The exception is the POZ domain protein that is found in the oyster synteny but

are fused to BTB domain in the human gene KCTD12 that is syntenic to human Irg1. We

therefore conclude that pIrg1 has a more similar synteny to Irg1L than to Irg1.

To confirm that pIrg1 and Irg1L are indeed cis-aconitate decarboxylase and are thus implicated

in innate immunity similar to human Irg1, we performed both *in vitro* enzymology to study the

recombinant zebrafish Irg1L protein, as well as *ex vivo* cellular and tissue-level analysis of

paleo-Irg1 in eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) and amphioxus (*Branchiostoma floridae*).

First, *in vitro* enzymology using the zebrafish Irg1L recombinant protein confirmed the same cis-

aconitate decarboxylase activity that is reported for human Irg1 and *A. terreus* ACOD[26]. We

purified N-terminally His-tagged recombinant zebrafish Irg1L protein (aa 32- 466) lacking the

predicted mitochondrial targeting sequence (Figure 3C). Incubating this recombinant protein

with aconitate *in vitro* produced itaconate in an enzyme- and time-dependent manner, detected

by LC-MS (Figure 3B and 3C).

To probe a potentially ancient role of pIrg1 in innate immunity, we analyzed pIrg1 in the most

distantly diverged metazoan from humans that contain the Irg1 gene, mollusks, specifically

eastern oysters (*C. virginica*). Under resting conditions, the pIrg1 mRNA can be detected from

several major oyster tissues including gills, mantle, stomach, muscles, and heart (Figure 4A),

however, a strikingly high level of Irg1 was detected in the hemocytes (Figure 4B), the cells in

the oyster hemolymph extracted from the adductor muscles[46]. The adult oysters contain a semi-

open circulatory system in which the hemolymph (analogous to blood) directly bathes other

organs systems[47-49]. The oyster hemocytes exhibit dual functionality in both nutrient acquisition

and innate immune defense via phagocytic properties, resembling the macrophages in the starfish

larvae observed by Elie Metchnikoff[50,51].

The transcriptional regulation of Irg1 in mammals and the high pIrg1 level in the oyster

hemocytes prompted us to explore pIrg1 in innate immunity. We isolated and cultured the oyster

hemocytes and measured pIrg1 mRNA level in response to a panel of innate immune inducers,

including heat-killed gram-negative bacterial pathogen *E. coli* (HKEB), heat-killed gram-

positive bacterial pathogen *S. aureus* (HKSA), zymosan (mimicking fungal infection), and

Poly(I:C) (mimicking viral infection) for 8 hours. We found that the oyster paleo-Irg1 was

induced by poly(I:C) stimulation (Figure S4 and Figure 4E), but not LPS (mimicking gram-

negative bacterial infection) (Figure 4E), and that induction continues to increase up to 24-hr

stimulation (Figure 4D). This transcriptional regulation in oysters is different than in mammals,

where the Irg1 induction is triggered by LPS with a peak expression 6-hr post stimulation[9].

We then investigated pIrg1 in the basal chordate amphioxus (*B. floridae*). Amphioxus, or

lancelets, belong to the subphylum cephalochordata, which is thought to have shared a common

ancestor with more advanced chordates > 500 mya[52]. This 4-7 cm long, translucent, fish-like

animal contains a notochord, but not vertebrae, is considered a basal chordate, and is often

studied to provide insights into the origins of vertebrates[53]. Lacking circulatory cells, the cellular

immunity in the adult animals is thought to be provided by tissue-resident macrophage-like

coelomocytes, which have been reported at cellular resolution in the intestine[54]. To probe

amphioxus pIrg1 in innate immunity, the adult animals were stimulated with LPS and Poly(I:C)

by oral administration, and then recovered in salt water for 24 hrs prior to tissue dissection,

mRNA extraction and qPCR analysis for pIrg1 (Figure 5A). pIrg1 is barely detected in the major

tissues including the gills, hepatocecum, muscle and intestine in the control animals (Figure 5B),

in which the inconsistent detection in some replicates with very large amplification cycle

numbers (>35) preclude an accurate quantification for normalization. In comparison, the pIrg1

transcripts are dramatically upregulated and consistently detected in all tissues after both LPS

and Poly(I:C) stimulation (Figure 5B). A transcriptional induction of pIrg1 by LPS and Poly(I:C)

support the role of pIrg1 in amphioxus innate immunity, suggesting that this specialized,

itaconate-mediated biochemistry has already been programmed for innate immunity very early in

metazoans.

**Discussion**

Our experimental studies of Irg1 in oysters and amphioxus, the most distantly diverged metazoan

species that are known to contain Irg1, revealed itaconate biosynthesis is enriched in the innate

immune cells (in oysters) and is induced upon innate immune stimulus (in oysters and

amphioxus), suggesting an ancient and yet already specialized role of itaconate in innate

immunity at the base of the metazoan lineage. The induction of oyster pIrg1 is consistent with

the increased itaconate level reported in oysters upon marine herpesvirus infection[55] and is also

supported by the induced expression of pIrg1[56] and increased itaconate[57,58] in another marine

bivalve (mussels; *Perna canaliculus*) upon infection with a gram-negative *Vibrio* strain. In these

contexts, itaconate might play an antimicrobial function, as itaconate inhibits a pathogenic

marine *Vibrio* strain growth *in vitro* and disruption of central carbon metabolism[59].

Taxonomic distribution and evolutionary trajectory of metazoan Irg1 provide hints into the

evolutionary logic that tightens the regulation of the specialized itaconate biochemistry for innate

immune killing to alleviate the "double-edged" dilemma of immunometabolism. The frequent

loss of Irg1 in metazoan taxa, particularly the Irg1L paralog in mammalian taxa, clearly suggests

dispensability for the host's organismal central energy metabolism. More importantly, due to

potentially detrimental effects of itaconate in inhibiting host energy metabolism, itaconate

production might reduce host fitness and may therefore be subject to negative selection and/or

stringent regulatory strategies in evolution, a notion prompted by several of our observations in

this study.

First, we identified that the mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS) is frequently detected in

pIrg1 and Irg1L, but is almost completely lost in Irg1, the only gene copy that is retained in the

eutherian mammals. The loss of Irg1L in the therians coupled with the loss of MTS in Irg1

would divert the catalysis outside of the mitochondria and prevent direct inhibition of the

mitochondrial matrix-localized energy metabolism and thus improve host fitness. Despite

lacking MTS, mammalian Irg1 remains associated with the mitochondria[60-62], although the

molecular mechanism supporting the association is yet to be discovered.

Second, despite a conserved role of itaconate in metazoan innate immunity, the regulation of Irg1

transcriptional induction in mammals is more stringent than that of the basal metazoans with

potentially different signaling pathways. While the mammalian Irg1 is almost exclusively

restricted to LPS-activated TLR4 and interferon signaling in macrophages[61,63], the pIrg1s in

oysters and in amphioxus appear to be transcriptionally regulated with less stringency, including

a basally expressed pIrg1 in oysters' tissues and hemocytes without any stimulation.

We reported a transcriptional induction of oyster pIrg1 exclusively by polyI:C but not LPS

stimulation, as well as a broader, dual induction of amphioxus pIrg1 by both poly I:C and LPS

stimulation. Our report on poly I:C-induced oyster pIrg1 expression is supported by the

transcriptomics analysis in mussel hemocytes upon screening for a panel of pathogen-associated

molecular patterns (PAMPs), where mussel pIrg1 induction is restricted to poly I:C stimulation[64].

Lophotrochozoa (including marine bivalves) and amphioxus contain rudimentary TLR

sequences[65-68], however, it remains unknown if these rudimentary TLRs function as pattern

recognition receptors (PRRs) with the same degree of specificity and downstream signaling as

their mammalian counterparts[69,70]. Notably, the first identified Drosophila Toll-receptor does not

recognize foreign PAMPs despite the involvement in host resistance against fungal and bacterial

infection[71]. We speculate that pIrg1 in oysters and amphioxus might be induced by a non-TLR,

membrane-bound PRRs. For instance, zebrafish Irg1 may be regulated by cooperation between

glucocorticoid receptors and the JAK/STAT pathway[20]. Future multispecies analysis on the

immune signaling pathways and transcriptional factors that induce Irg1 expression in non-

traditional model organisms is vital to answering these questions.

Investigation of three metazoan subbranches, pIrg1, Irg1L and Irg1, support the notion that

genome duplication and gene loss provide a powerful evolutionary force to innovate gene

expression regulatory mechanisms[72]. Our analysis is consistent with a second whole genome

duplication in the vertebrate lineage (2R WGD) that produced the paralogs Irg1L and Irg1, in

which Irg1L is subsequently lost in viviparous mammalian taxa. The timing of the 2R WGD is

controversial; with reports placing either before[73] or after[40] the gnathostome-agnathan divergence

occurring around 465-428 Ma. Our findings that lamprey has two pIrg1s due to local gene

duplication without either Irg1 and Irg1L sequence would agree that the 2R WGD occurs after

the divergence of agnathans and gnathostomes. The relative similarity of Irg1L and pIrg1

synteny and the presence of MTS would support the notion that the genome duplication and loss

of Irg1L enable an innovation to tighten the regulation of itaconate biosynthesis exerted by extra-

mitochondrial Irg1 that is subsequently retained in eutherian mammals.

Our exploration of the molecular evolution of itaconate biosynthesis presents another case of

horizontal gene transfer in eukaryotes from prokaryotic source. The potential independent

acquisition of the metazoan Irg1 and the fungi *A. terreus* ACOD suggests at least one, and

perhaps two horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events from bacteria to eukaryotes in the

evolutionary history of Irg1. The exclusive presence of ACOD in *Aspergillus* taxa within fungi

that are nested within other prokaryotic sequences would strongly suggest a HGT in *Aspergillus*.

Our studies of the metazoan Irg1 can be explained by two scenarios: first, the metazoan Irg1 is

derived from the last common ancestor of eukaryotes and subsequently lost several times in

major eukaryotic taxa including plants, most fungi, and ecdysozoa; or two, the metazoan

ancestor's Irg1 is horizontally transferred directly from bacteria. The observation that the closest

branch next to metazoan Irg1 (Figure 1C) contains mostly sequences from alphaproteobacteria

might support the former. However, the frequent local gene duplication in pIrg1 (e.g., in oysters

and in sea lampreys) and Irg1L (in xenopus) suggests pIrg1/Irg1L genomic region might be

highly mobile, indicative of a more recent HGT event — an analysis of mobile gene elements

near pIrg1 would help resolve this question. Notably, a previous systems-level analysis of

eukaryotic orthologous groups (KOGs) also highlighted CAD sequences as the top eukaryotic

sequences with unexpected phyletic patterns, supporting putative independent HGT events[74]. On

the other hand, the study on itaconate production in microorganisms, in addition to the

bioengineering application[75], might be insightful. For instance, if the bacterial sequences near the

metazoan Irg1 or the *A. terreus* CAD also producing itaconate for bacterial immunity, this would

indicate a universal role of itaconate in innate immunity.

**Methods**

**Phylogenetic analysis of the Irg1 Orthologs**

Human Irg1 was queried against the NCBI database using BLASTp and tBLASTn[76] manually

across the tree of life and were subsampled by taxonomic order during May-July 2020.

Sequences from metazoan taxa were restricted to Expectation values below 1e-10. To maximize

sequence diversity in more distantly related sequences from fungi, archaea, and prokaryotes

inclusion criteria was relaxed to 0.001. In total, 2,297 sequences were identified manually.

Duplicate sequences were identified and removed. A full phylogeny of all sequences was

constructed using MAFFT (v7.471)[77]. IQTree (v2.1.2)[78] and ModelFinder[79] were used to find the

best fit substitution model and branch support values were obtained by ultrafast bootstrapping[80].

Figtree (v1.4.4) was used to visualize the resulting tree. A similar method was used to construct

phylogenetic trees for the metazoan sequences (supplementary Fig. 1a) and model organism

sequences (Fig. 2c) and iTOL (v6.5.2)[81] was used to visualize the tree.

**Analysis of dubious sequences**

Initial sequence surveys revealed only nine orthologs in Arthropoda, all in *Drosophila* genera,

three orthologs in Plantae: one in *Ziziphus* and two in *Quercus* generas. Reciprocal BLASTp and

phylogenetic analysis indicated likely sequence contamination by *Acetobacter aceti* in

Drosophila sequences, a black yeast-like fungal pathogen in the *Quercus* sequence, and *Belnapia*

contamination in the *Ziziphus* sequences.

**Identification of mitochondrial targeting sequences**

All the metazoan Irg1 sequences were analyzed using Target-P (v2.0)[82] to predict the presence of

N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequences (MTS). Default settings were used, and a

likelihood >0.5 was considered a predicted MTS.

**Synteny analysis**

Representative genes from the model organisms from each major metazoan taxa possessing an

Irg1 ortholog were manually investigated for syntenic genes using NCBI Genome Data

Viewer[83]. The five nearest annotated genes both upstream and downstream were analyzed using

Pfam[84] and NCBI Domain Architecture Retrieval Tool[85] to predict domain architecture. In

instances where local duplication is present, more than the five nearest genes were recorded as

there were multiple nearby Irg1 homologs (e.g., *Xenopus laevis* in Fig. 2).

**Zebrafish Irg1-like protein production**

A N-terminal His-tagged *Danio rerio* Irg1-like sequence lacking the N-terminus predicted

mitochondrial targeting sequence ($\Delta$31aa) was codon-optimized for bacterial expression, custom

synthesized and subcloned into pET28 vector (Genscript). The plasmid was transformed in BL21

Star™ (DE3) Chemically Competent E. coli (Invitrogen c6010-03), grown at 225 rpm at 37 ℃

to OD600 0.6. Cells were then induced with 0.1 mM β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 6

hours at 18 ℃. Cells were lysed by sonication (Qsonica Q500 with microtip) in 200 mL lysis

buffer (20mM HEPES pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol v/v, 1 mM TCEP (pH 7.4), 100

U/mL DNase, 0.1 mg/mL Lysozyme, and cOmplete mini protease inhibitor cocktail) using 2 sec

on and 2 sec off pulses at 25% power for 2 minutes. The recombinant Irg1-like protein was

enriched using cobalt TALON Metal Affinity Resin (Takara Bio #635501) with gentle shaking

for 1 hour at 4 ℃ and washed twice by centrifugation for 3 minutes at 3500 rpm at 4 ℃ and

resuspension in the washing buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 1

mM TCEP). Protein was eluted from the affinity resin in the elution buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0,

150 mM NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol). The recombinant protein was

then concentrated using centrifugal filter units (Amicon Ultra-4, Millipore) and dialyzed using

Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassette into storage buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 20%

glycerol, 1 mM TCEP) overnight.

**Enzymology**

The enzyme assay for the zebrafish Irg1-like was performed by incubating 7 µg recombinant

protein with 1 mM cis-aconitate (Sigma A3412-1G) in 300 µl reaction buffer (10 mM HEPES,

150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol, pH 6.5) at 37 ℃. Aliquots of 50 µl were taken at

0, 10, 30, and 60 minutes and were immediately quenched with 2 % formic acid, vortexed, and

centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4 ℃. The supernatant was then transferred to a new

centrifuge tube and mixed 1:9 with a 3:1 acetonitrile:methanol solvent for LC-MS analysis

following the established polar metabolite profiling protocol in the lab[86]. Itaconate production

was confirmed using chemical standard itaconic acid (Sigma Aldrich #I29204).

**Mollusk Irg1 expression**

Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*, Woods Hole Marine Biological Laboratory) were

acclimated in filtered sea water overnight after arrival. To determine unstimulated basal pIrg1

expression, five oysters were dissected on ice and 50 mg wet tissue mass from the gill, mantle,

stomach, adductor muscle, and heart were collected. Tissue was washed in PBS, then

homogenized in RL+BME buffer (Qiagen). mRNA extraction was performed per manufacturer's

instruction using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen).  The mRNA concentration was determined using

a Nanodrop and cDNA libraries were prepared using SuperScriptIII First-Strand Synthesis Super

Mix for qRT-PCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Mollusk genes quantitative PCR**

Candidate control gene EF1a was identified from the literature[87]. Primers for EF1a and pIrg1

(Table 1) were designed using primer-BLAST on the NCBI server. Primers were ordered from

Integrated DNA technologies and pilot qPCR and Sanger sequencing experiments were

conducted to validate the primers for pIrg1 and EF1a. qPCR was performed using PowerUp

SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher A25742) in a 96-well format with Thermo Fisher

QuantStudio 6Flex instrument.

**Mollusk Hemocyte Assays**

For all hemocyte assays, live eastern oysters were notched and hemolymph extracted by syringe

from the adductor muscles following published protocol[46]. Hemolymph from all oysters was

pooled on ice, diluted 1:2 with decontamination washing buffer (PBS, 100 µg/mL penicillin-

streptomycin), pipetted into Corning Falcon 60 mm tissue culture plates (Thermo Fisher) and

were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Cells were then inspected under the

microscope to ensure adhesion, and decontamination buffer was aspirated and replaced with 5

mL culture media (1:1 OptiMEM media: filtered sea water, 50 µg/mL penicillin-streptomycin).

The cultured hemocytes were treated with zymosan (100 µg/mL, Invivogen tlrl-zyd), heat-killed

*E. coli* ($1x10^7$ PFU, Invivogen tlrl-hkeb2), heat-killed *S. aureus* ($1x10^7$ PFU, Invivogen tlrl-

hksa), and Poly(I:C) (10 µg/mL final concentration, Invivogen tlrl-pic), and filtered sea water

(control) for 8 hrs in the dark at room temperature approximately 20 °C (Supplementary Figure

2), or Poly(I:C) and LPS E. coli 0111:B4 (1 µg/mL final concentration, Sigma LPS25) for

indicated time.  After treatments, cells were directly lysed and analyzed as described above.

**Amphioxus Irg1 expression**

Amphioxus (*Branchiostoma floridae*, Gulf Specimen Marine Lab) were kept in filtered sea water

in dark conditions at room temperature. Three amphioxus each condition were treated by oral

injection with LPS E. coli 0111:B4 (50 µg/mL final concentration, Sigma LPS25), Poly(I:C)(1

mg/mL, Invivogen tlrl-pic), or control (filtered seawater). Specifically, amphioxus were cold-

immobilized and a 25 gauge needle was used to carefully administer 100 µl stimulus solution

through the oral hood. Individuals were immediately placed back into their containers at room

temperature and recovered overnight. Individuals were netted, cold immobilized and dissected

24 hr post treatment. Gill, muscle, hepatocecum and intestine tissue were collected and washed

in PBS before tissue lysis and mRNA expression analysis.

Candidate reference genes were identified from literature[88]. Primers for pIrg1 and candidate

reference genes S20 and EF1a (Table 1) were designed using NCBI primer-BLAST. Primers

were ordered from Integrated DNA technologies, and pilot qPCR and Sanger sequencing

experiment were conducted to validate the primers.

**Author Contributions**

H.S. and G.W. conceived the initial idea. R.S., A.S., and M.D. performed bioinformatics

analysis; H.S., R.S., and F.M. performed recombinant protein production and enzymatic assays;

R.S. and H.S. performed dissections and qRT-PCR assays. H.S. and R.S. wrote the initial

manuscript with input from G.W. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Yale School of Medicine, Yale West Campus, and Yale Systems Biology Institute for

the instrumentation support; X. Shi for technical support on the LC-MS; J. Liu for technical

support; A. Chavan and L. Wu on assays in amphioxus and oyster; K. Byrne for her insight in

sequence analysis; and members of the Shen lab, D. Stadtmauer in the Wagner lab, M. Murphy,

J. MacMicking, A. Goodman and J. Galán for fruitful discussions and feedback. The work is

supported by Yale School of Medicine startup fund and Yale Systems Biology Institute pilot

award. H.S. is also supported by Klingenstein-Simons fellowship Awards in Neuroscience and

1907 Foundation Trailblazer Award.

**References:**

1.      Wu, J. & Chen, Z. J. Innate immune sensing and signaling of cytosolic nucleic acids.

*Annu Rev Immunol* 32, 461-488, doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120156 (2014).

2.      Millman, A., Melamed, S., Amitai, G. & Sorek, R. Diversity and classification of cyclic-

oligonucleotide-based anti-phage signalling systems. *Nat Microbiol* 5, 1608-1615,

doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0777-y (2020).

3.      Duncan-Lowey, B. & Kranzusch, P. J. CBASS phage defense and evolution of antiviral

nucleotide signaling. *Curr Opin Immunol* 74, 156-163, doi:10.1016/j.coi.2022.01.002

(2022).

4.      Wu, X. *et al.* Molecular evolutionary and structural analysis of the cytosolic DNA sensor

cGAS and STING. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42, 8243-8257, doi:10.1093/nar/gku569 (2014).

5.      Chou, S. *et al.* Transferred interbacterial antagonism genes augment eukaryotic innate

immune function. *Nature* 518, 98-101, doi:10.1038/nature13965 (2015).

6.      Strelko, C. L. *et al.* Itaconic acid is a mammalian metabolite induced during macrophage

activation. *J Am Chem Soc* 133, 16386-16389, doi:10.1021/ja2070889 (2011).

7.      O'Neill, L. A. J. & Artyomov, M. N. Itaconate: the poster child of metabolic

reprogramming in macrophage function. *Nat Rev Immunol* 19, 273-281,

doi:10.1038/s41577-019-0128-5 (2019).

8.      Hooftman, A. & O'Neill, L. A. J. The Immunomodulatory Potential of the Metabolite

Itaconate. *Trends Immunol* 40, 687-698, doi:10.1016/j.it.2019.05.007 (2019).

9.      Michelucci, A. *et al.* Immune-responsive gene 1 protein links metabolism to immunity by

catalyzing itaconic acid production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, 7820-7825,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1218599110 (2013).

10.   McFadden, B. A. & Purohit, S. Itaconate, an isocitrate lyase-directed inhibitor in

Pseudomonas indigofera. *J Bacteriol* 131, 136-144, doi:10.1128/jb.131.1.136-144.1977

(1977).

11.   Patel, T. R. & McFadden, B. A. Caenorhabditis elegans and Ascaris suum: inhibition of

isocitrate lyase by itaconate. *Exp Parasitol* 44, 262-268, doi:10.1016/0014-

4894(78)90107-8 (1978).

12.   Shen, H. *et al.* The Human Knockout Gene CLYBL Connects Itaconate to Vitamin B12.

*Cell* 171, 771-782 e711, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.051 (2017).

13.   Ruetz, M. *et al.* Itaconyl-CoA forms a stable biradical in methylmalonyl-CoA mutase and

derails its activity and repair. *Science* 366, 589-593, doi:10.1126/science.aay0934 (2019).

14.   Nemeth, B. *et al.* Abolition of mitochondrial substrate-level phosphorylation by itaconic

acid produced by LPS-induced Irg1 expression in cells of murine macrophage lineage.

*FASEB J* 30, 286-300, doi:10.1096/fj.15-279398 (2016).

15.   Cordes, T. *et al.* Immunoresponsive Gene 1 and Itaconate Inhibit Succinate

Dehydrogenase to Modulate Intracellular Succinate Levels. *J Biol Chem* 291, 14274-

14284, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.685792 (2016).

16.   Lampropoulou, V. *et al.* Itaconate Links Inhibition of Succinate Dehydrogenase with

Macrophage Metabolic Remodeling and Regulation of Inflammation. *Cell Metab* 24,

158-166, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.004 (2016).

17.   Mills, E. L. *et al.* Itaconate is an anti-inflammatory metabolite that activates Nrf2 via

alkylation of KEAP1. *Nature* 556, 113-117, doi:10.1038/nature25986 (2018).

18.    Bambouskova, M. *et al.* Electrophilic properties of itaconate and derivatives regulate the

IkappaBzeta-ATF3 inflammatory axis. *Nature* 556, 501-504, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-

0052-z (2018).

19.    Sasikaran, J., Ziemski, M., Zadora, P. K., Fleig, A. & Berg, I. A. Bacterial itaconate

degradation promotes pathogenicity. *Nat Chem Biol* 10, 371-377,

doi:10.1038/nchembio.1482 (2014).

20.    Hall, C. J. *et al.* Immunoresponsive gene 1 augments bactericidal activity of macrophage-

lineage cells by regulating beta-oxidation-dependent mitochondrial ROS production. *Cell*

*Metab* 18, 265-278, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.06.018 (2013).

21.    Hall, C. J. *et al.* Epidermal cells help coordinate leukocyte migration during inflammation

through fatty acid-fuelled matrix metalloproteinase production. *Nat Commun* 5, 3880,

doi:10.1038/ncomms4880 (2014).

22.    Daniels, B. P. *et al.* The Nucleotide Sensor ZBP1 and Kinase RIPK3 Induce the Enzyme

IRG1 to Promote an Antiviral Metabolic State in Neurons. *Immunity* 50, 64-76 e64,

doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.11.017 (2019).

23.    Kanamasa, S., Dwiarti, L., Okabe, M. & Park, E. Y. Cloning and functional

characterization of the cis-aconitic acid decarboxylase (CAD) gene from Aspergillus

terreus. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 80, 223-229, doi:10.1007/s00253-008-1523-1 (2008).

24.    Li, A. *et al.* A clone-based transcriptomics approach for the identification of genes

relevant for itaconic acid production in Aspergillus. *Fungal Genet Biol* 48, 602-611,

doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2011.01.013 (2011).

25.    Geiser, E. *et al.* Ustilago maydis produces itaconic acid via the unusual intermediate

trans-aconitate. *Microb Biotechnol* 9, 116-126, doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12329 (2016).

26.     Chen, F. *et al.* Crystal structure of cis-aconitate decarboxylase reveals the impact of

568             naturally occurring human mutations on itaconate synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

569             116, 20644-20654, doi:10.1073/pnas.1908770116 (2019).

570     27.     Dolan, S. K. & Welch, M. The Glyoxylate Shunt, 60 Years On. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 72,

571             309-330, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090817-062257 (2018).

572     28.     Wierckx, N. *et al.* Metabolic specialization in itaconic acid production: a tale of two

573             fungi. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 62, 153-159, doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2019.09.014 (2020).

574     29.     Galperin, M. Y. & Koonin, E. V. Divergence and convergence in enzyme evolution. *J*

575             *Biol Chem* 287, 21-28, doi:10.1074/jbc.R111.241976 (2012).

576     30.     Brock, M., Maerker, C., Schutz, A., Volker, U. & Buckel, W. Oxidation of propionate to

577             pyruvate in Escherichia coli. Involvement of methylcitrate dehydratase and aconitase.

578             *Eur J Biochem* 269, 6184-6194, doi:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.03336.x (2002).

579     31.     Horswill, A. R. & Escalante-Semerena, J. C. Salmonella typhimurium LT2 catabolizes

580             propionate via the 2-methylcitric acid cycle. *J Bacteriol* 181, 5615-5623,

581             doi:10.1128/JB.181.18.5615-5623.1999 (1999).

582     32.     Bramer, C. O. & Steinbuchel, A. The methylcitric acid pathway in Ralstonia eutropha:

583             new genes identified involved in propionate metabolism. *Microbiology (Reading)* 147,

584             2203-2214, doi:10.1099/00221287-147-8-2203 (2001).

585     33.     Claes, W. A., Puhler, A. & Kalinowski, J. Identification of two prpDBC gene clusters in

586             Corynebacterium glutamicum and their involvement in propionate degradation via the 2-

587             methylcitrate cycle. *J Bacteriol* 184, 2728-2739, doi:10.1128/JB.184.10.2728-2739.2002

588             (2002).

34.    Reddick, J. J. *et al.* First Biochemical Characterization of a Methylcitric Acid Cycle from

Bacillus subtilis Strain 168. *Biochemistry* 56, 5698-5711,

doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00778 (2017).

35.    Savvi, S. *et al.* Functional characterization of a vitamin B12-dependent methylmalonyl

pathway in Mycobacterium tuberculosis: implications for propionate metabolism during

growth on fatty acids. *J Bacteriol* 190, 3886-3895, doi:10.1128/JB.01767-07 (2008).

36.    Griffin, J. E. *et al.* Cholesterol catabolism by Mycobacterium tuberculosis requires

transcriptional and metabolic adaptations. *Chem Biol* 19, 218-227,

doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2011.12.016 (2012).

37.    Munoz-Elias, E. J., Upton, A. M., Cherian, J. & McKinney, J. D. Role of the

methylcitrate cycle in Mycobacterium tuberculosis metabolism, intracellular growth, and

virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 60, 1109-1122, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05155.x (2006).

38.    Uchiyama, H., Ando, M., Toyonaka, Y. & Tabuchi, T. Subcellular localization of the

methylcitric-acid-cycle enzymes in propionate metabolism of Yarrowia lipolytica. *Eur J*

*Biochem* 125, 523-527, doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1982.tb06713.x (1982).

39.    Andersson, S. G. et al. The genome sequence of Rickettsia prowazekii and the origin of

mitochondria. Nature 396, 133-140, doi:10.1038/24094 (1998).

40.    Simakov, O. *et al.* Deeply conserved synteny resolves early events in vertebrate

evolution. *Nat Ecol Evol* 4, 820-830, doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1156-z (2020).

41.    Berthelot C, et al. The rainbow trout genome provides novel insights into evolution after

whole-genome duplication in vertebrates. Nat Commun 5, 3657 (2014).

42.    Prasad, A. B., Allard, M. W., Program, N. C. S. & Green, E. D. Confirming the

611           phylogeny of mammals by use of large comparative sequence data sets. *Mol Biol Evol* 25,

612           1795-1808, doi:10.1093/molbev/msn104 (2008).

613    43.    Omura, T. Mitochondria-targeting sequence, a multi-role sorting sequence recognized at

614           all steps of protein import into mitochondria. *J Biochem* 123, 1010-1016,

615           doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a022036 (1998).

616    44.    Osbourn, A. E. & Field, B. Operons. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 66, 3755-3775,

617           doi:10.1007/s00018-009-0114-3 (2009).

618    45.    Nutzmann, H. W., Scazzocchio, C. & Osbourn, A. Metabolic Gene Clusters in

619           Eukaryotes. *Annu Rev Genet* 52, 159-183, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120417-031237

620           (2018).

621    46.    Potts, R. W. A., Gutierrez, A. P., Cortes-Araya, Y., Houston, R. D. & Bean, T. P.

622           Developments in marine invertebrate primary culture reveal novel cell morphologies in

623           the model bivalve Crassostrea gigas. *PeerJ* 8, e9180, doi:10.7717/peerj.9180 (2020).

624    47.    Canesi, L., Gallo, G., Gavioli, M. & Pruzzo, C. Bacteria-hemocyte interactions and

625           phagocytosis in marine bivalves. *Microsc Res Tech* 57, 469-476, doi:10.1002/jemt.10100

626           (2002).

627    48.    Hine, P. M. The inter-relationships of bivalve haemocytes. *Fish Shellfish Immun* 9, 367-

628           385, doi:DOI 10.1006/fsim.1998.0205 (1999).

629    49.    Ford, S. E., Ashtonalcox, K. A. & Kanaley, S. A. Comparative Cytometric and

630           Microscopic Analyses of Oyster Hemocytes. *J Invertebr Pathol* 64, 114-122, doi:DOI

631           10.1006/jipa.1994.1079 (1994).

50.    Metchnikoff, E. & Binnie, F. G. *Immunity in infective diseases*.  (University Press, 1905).

51.    Tauber, A. I. Metchnikoff and the phagocytosis theory. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 4, 897-

901, doi:10.1038/nrm1244 (2003).

52.    Chen, J. Y., Huang, D. Y. & Li, C. W. An early Cambrian craniate-like chordate. *Nature*

402, 518-522, doi:Doi 10.1038/990080 (1999).

53.    Huang, S. *et al.* Genomic analysis of the immune gene repertoire of amphioxus reveals

extraordinary innate complexity and diversity. *Genome Res* 18, 1112-1126,

doi:10.1101/gr.069674.107 (2008).

54.    Rhodes, C. P., Ratcliffe, N. A. & Rowley, A. F. Presence of coelomocytes in the

primitive chordate amphioxus (Branchiostoma lanceolatum). *Science* 217, 263-265,

doi:10.1126/science.7089565 (1982).

55.    Young, T. *et al.* Differential expression of novel metabolic and immunological

biomarkers in oysters challenged with a virulent strain of OsHV-1. *Dev Comp Immunol*

73, 229-245, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2017.03.025 (2017).

56.    Sendra, M., Saco, A., Rey-Campos, M., Novoa, B. & Figueras, A. Immune-responsive

gene 1 (IRG1) and dimethyl itaconate are involved in the mussel immune response. *Fish*

*Shellfish Immunol* 106, 645-655, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2020.07.034 (2020).

57.    Nguyen, T. V., Alfaro, A. C., Young, T., Ravi, S. & Merien, F. Metabolomics Study of

Immune Responses of New Zealand Greenshell Mussels (Perna canaliculus) Infected

with Pathogenic Vibrio sp. *Mar Biotechnol (NY)* 20, 396-409, doi:10.1007/s10126-018-

9804-x (2018).

58.    Nguyen, T. V., Alfaro, A. C., Merien, F., Young, T. & Grandiosa, R. Metabolic and

immunological responses of male and female new Zealand Greenshell (TM) mussels

(Perna canaliculus) infected with Vibrio sp. J Invertebr Pathol 157, 80-89,

doi:10.1016/j.jip.2018.08.008 (2018).

59.  Nguyen, T. V. *et al.* Itaconic acid inhibits growth of a pathogenic marine Vibrio strain: A

metabolomics approach. *Sci Rep* 9, 5937, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42315-6 (2019).

60.  Chen, M. *et al.* Itaconate is an effector of a Rab GTPase cell-autonomous host defense

pathway against Salmonella. *Science* 369, 450-455, doi:10.1126/science.aaz1333 (2020).

61.  Tallam, A. *et al.* Gene Regulatory Network Inference of Immunoresponsive Gene 1

(IRG1) Identifies Interferon Regulatory Factor 1 (IRF1) as Its Transcriptional Regulator

in Mammalian Macrophages. *PLoS One* 11, e0149050,

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149050 (2016).

62.  Degrandi, D., Hoffmann, R., Beuter-Gunia, C. & Pfeffer, K. The proinflammatory

cytokine-induced IRG1 protein associates with mitochondria. *J Interferon Cytokine Res*

29, 55-67, doi:10.1089/jir.2008.0013 (2009).

63.  Naujoks, J. *et al.* IFNs Modify the Proteome of Legionella-Containing Vacuoles and

Restrict Infection Via IRG1-Derived Itaconic Acid. *PLoS Pathog* 12, e1005408,

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005408 (2016).

64.  Moreira, R. *et al.* Stimulation of Mytilus galloprovincialis Hemocytes With Different

Immune Challenges Induces Differential Transcriptomic, miRNomic, and Functional

Responses. *Front Immunol* 11, 606102, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.606102 (2020).

65.  Zhang, L., Li, L. & Zhang, G. A Crassostrea gigas Toll-like receptor and comparative

analysis of TLR pathway in invertebrates. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 30, 653-660,

doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2010.12.023 (2011).

66.    Gerdol, M. & Venier, P. An updated molecular basis for mussel immunity. *Fish Shellfish*

*Immunol* 46, 17-38, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2015.02.013 (2015).

67.    Huang, S. *et al.* The evolution and regulation of the mucosal immune complexity in the

basal chordate amphioxus. *J Immunol* 186, 2042-2055, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1001824

(2011).

68.    Sasaki, N., Ogasawara, M., Sekiguchi, T., Kusumoto, S. & Satake, H. Toll-like receptors

of the ascidian Ciona intestinalis: prototypes with hybrid functionalities of vertebrate

Toll-like receptors. *J Biol Chem* 284, 27336-27343, doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.032433

(2009).

69.    Beutler, B. & Rietschel, E. T. Innate immune sensing and its roots: the story of

endotoxin. *Nat Rev Immunol* 3, 169-176, doi:10.1038/nri1004 (2003).

70.    Iwasaki, A. & Medzhitov, R. Toll-like receptor control of the adaptive immune

responses. *Nat Immunol* 5, 987-995, doi:10.1038/ni1112 (2004).

71.    Lemaitre, B. & Hoffmann, J. The host defense of Drosophila melanogaster. *Annu Rev*

*Immunol* 25, 697-743, doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615 (2007).

72.    Mottes, F., Villa, C., Osella, M. & Caselle, M. The impact of whole genome duplications

on the human gene regulatory networks. *PLoS Comput Biol* 17, e1009638,

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009638 (2021).

73.    Holland, L. Z. & Ocampo Daza, D. A new look at an old question: when did the second

whole genome duplication occur in vertebrate evolution? *Genome Biol* 19, 209,

doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1592-0 (2018).

74.    Koonin, E. V. *et al.* A comprehensive evolutionary classification of proteins encoded in

complete eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Biol* 5, R7, doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r7 (2004).

75.    Cunha da Cruz, J., Machado de Castro, A. & Camporese Servulo, E. F. World market and

701            biotechnological production of itaconic acid. *3 Biotech* 8, 138, doi:10.1007/s13205-018-

702            1151-0 (2018).

703    76.    Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local

704            alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403-410, doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

705            (1990).

706    77.    Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

707            improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-780,

708            doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010 (2013).

709    78.    Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and

710            effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol*

711            *Evol* **32**, 268-274, doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300 (2015).

712    79.    Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S.

713            ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**,

714            587-589, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285 (2017).

715    80.    Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2:

716            Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 518-522,

717            doi:10.1093/molbev/msx281 (2018).

718    81.    Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic

719            tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, W293-W296,

720            doi:10.1093/nar/gkab301 (2021).

721    82.    Almagro Armenteros, J. J. *et al.* Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using

722            deep learning. *Life Sci Alliance* **2**, doi:10.26508/lsa.201900429 (2019).

83.    Rangwala, S. H. *et al.* Accessing NCBI data using the NCBI Sequence Viewer and

Genome Data Viewer (GDV). *Genome Res* **31**, 159-169, doi:10.1101/gr.266932.120

(2021).

84.    Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**,

D412-D419, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa913 (2021).

85.    Geer, L. Y., Domrachev, M., Lipman, D. J. & Bryant, S. H. CDART: protein homology

by domain architecture. *Genome Res* **12**, 1619-1623, doi:10.1101/gr.278202 (2002).

86.    Shi, X. *et al.* Combinatorial G x G x E CRISPR screening and functional analysis

highlights SLC25A39 in mitochondrial GSH transport. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2009.2022.461361,

doi:10.1101/2021.09.22.461361 (2021).

87.    Du, Y. et al. Validation of housekeeping genes as internal controls for studying gene

expression during Pacific oyster (Crassostrea gigas) development by quantitative real-

time PCR. Fish Shellfish Immunol 34, 939-945, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2012.12.007 (2013).

88.    Zhang, Q. L. *et al.* Selection of reliable reference genes for normalization of quantitative

RT-PCR from different developmental stages and tissues in amphioxus. *Sci Rep* **6**,

37549, doi:10.1038/srep37549 (2016).

**Figure 1. Taxonomic distribution and molecular phylogeny of *cis*-Aconitate decarboxylase, the enzyme producing itaconate, the innate immune metabolite.** (A) Itaconate biosynthesis and degradation pathways in nature. Two itaconate biosynthesis pathways produce itaconate from the TCA cycle intermediate *cis*-aconitate, through either the *cis*-aconitate decarboxylase (CAD) enzyme or the trans-aconitate decarboxylase (TAD) enzyme. Itaconate degradation

 involves a dedicated citramalyl-CoA lyase to generate pyruvate and acetyl-CoA. (B) Taxonomic

distribution of Irg1 in eukaryotes. Irg1 is present in Fungi, Lophotrochozoans, and

Deuterostomes but is absent in other metazoans. (C) Phylogenetic tree of Irg1 homologs in

nature. Bacterial sequences are colored in black, archeael in green and fungal in orange. High

confidence bootstrapping values are shown for the main branches separating the metazoan and

*A.tereus* CAD branches. Branches containing experimentally validated 2-methylcitrate

dehydratases are labeled. In the Metazoan branch, sequences were colored based on three

lineages, Irg1 (red), Irg1-like (Irg1L, cyan) and paleo-Irg1 (pIrg1, black).

**Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree and synteny for representative Irg1 sequences in metazoa.** A subset of Irg1 sequence tree representing species from major vertebrate classes (grey). The tree branches are color-coded for the three lineages including paleo-Irg1 (pIrg1, black), Irg1-like (Irg1L, cyan) and Irg1 (red). The presence of the predicted Mitochondrial Targeting Sequence is highlighted by the red dots. Homologous genes in the genomic vicinity spanning the pIrg1, Irg1L and Irg1 are color-coded accordingly, for which the homologs present in human were annotated by human gene names, or otherwise by Pfam protein domain name. The two copies of pIrg1 in *C. virginica* are separated by approximately 200 kb, indicated by a dashed line in the syntenic block. Images for experimentally examined species in this report are shown in black, including easter oyster (*C. virginica*), amphioxus (*B. floridae*), and zebrafish (*D. rerio*) Irg1L.

**Figure 3. Zebrafish Irg1L is a *cis*-aconitate decarboxylase producing itaconate.** (A)

Recombinant His-tagged zebrafish Irg1L was expressed from *E. coli* and purified by affinity

purification. (B-C) LC-MS analysis confirms itaconate production from *cis*-aconitate (1mM) by

zebrafish Irg1L in a time-, enzyme-dependent manner.

**Figure 4. The paleo-Irg1 in eastern oysters is implicated in innate immunity.** (A) oyster

anatomy. (B) Quantitative PCR showing oyster pIrg1 tissue expression. (C) The pIrg1 expression

in the oyster hemocytes is strongly induced by Poly(I:C) (10 ug/mL) but not LPS (1 ug/mL)

stimulation for 8 hrs shown by qPCR. (D) The time course of Irg1 induction in the oyster

hemocytes by Poly(I:C) (10 ug/ml) stimulation. Representative results are shown as mean ± s.d.

**Figure 5. The paleo-Irg1 in amphioxus is implicated in innate immunity.** (A) amphioxus

anatomy. (B) Quantitative PCR from amphioxus tissues showing that pIrg1 is barely expressed

under unstimulated condition, but can be strongly induced by both LPS (50 ug/mL) and Poly

(I:C) (1 mg/mL) upon oral injection for 24 hrs. Each data point represents  tissue from one

animal shown as mean ± s.e.m. The large error bar is likely due to the low and variable mRNA

level in the controls and individual animals' variability during stimulation.

**Supplemental figure 1. Phylogenetic tree and taxonomic distribution of pIrg1/Irg1L/Irg1 sequences in metazoa.** (A) Phylogenetic tree of all metazoan Irg1 sequences. Tree branches were color-coded by three lineages: pIrg1 (black), Irg1L (cyan) and Irg1 (red). Branches with bootstrapping values higher than 70 are highlighted by solid line. Taxa for which the sequences belong to are color-coded on the outer rim of the tree. The sequences with predicted Mitochondrial Targeting Sequence were highlighted by red dots. (B) The presence of Irg1 and Irg1L in major mammalian taxa.

**Supplemental figure 2. PIrg1 induction in the eastern oyster hemocytes by innate immune stimuli.** Quantitative PCR showing the Irg1 mRNA level upon 8 hr stimulation of heat-killed *E. coli* 0111:B4 (HKEB) ($1x10^7$ PFU*)*, heat-killed *S. aureus* (HKSA) ($1x10^7$ PFU), zymosan (100 µg/mL) and Poly (I:C) (10 µg/mL).

822
823

| Organism | Gene | Accession Number | Direction | Primer Sequence |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Eastern Oyster (*C. virginica*) | Irg1 | XM_022457065.1 | F | 5' - TGG TAT CTC GGC AAA CGG AC - 3' |
| Eastern Oyster (*C. virginica*) | Irg1 | XM_022457065.1 | R | 5' - TTC GTT GAA ACG GCT CTC ACT - 3' |
| Eastern Oyster (*C. virginica*) | EF1-a | XM_022472315 | F | 5' - CAT CCC GCT ACC CAT CCA AG - 3' |
| Eastern Oyster (*C. virginica*) | EF1-a | XM_022472315 | R | 5' - CGC TGG TGG ATG GAG TCT ATT - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | Irg1 | XM_035821914.1 | F | 5' - ATC TTA GAC ATG CGG AGC GG - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | Irg1 | XM_035821914.1 | R | 5' - TTG ATC GCC ACG TCC TGT TT - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | EF1-a | XM_035826544.1 | F | 5' - CGG CGG GAA GAA GAA GTG AT - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | EF1-a | XM_035826544.1 | R | 5' - AGA ACG GGT CGG TAA CGA TT - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | S20 | XM_035811399.1 | F | 5' - TCC GCA TGC CTA CAA AGG TC - 3' |
| Amphioxus (*B. floridae*) | S20 | XM_035811399.2 | R | 5' - TGC TGA TGG ATG TGA TCT GC - 3' |

840 **Table 1**. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR in *C. virginica* and *B. floridae* pIrg1 transcription

841 assays.