Title: Tailoring and optimizing fatty acid production by oleaginous yeasts through the systematic exploration of their physiological fitness

Zeynep Efsun Duman-Özdamar[1,2,4], Vitor A.P. Martins dos Santos[1,2,3], Jeroen Hugenholtz[4], Maria Suarez-Diez[2*]

[1]Bioprocess Engineering, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 PB, Wageningen, the Netherlands

[2]Laboratory of Systems and Synthetic Biology, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 WE, Wageningen, the Netherlands

[3]LifeGlimmer GmbH, Berlin, 12163, Germany

[4]Wageningen Food & Biobased Research, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 WE, Wageningen, The Netherlands

E-mail: maria.suarezdiez@wur.nl

Background: The use of palm oil for our current needs is unsustainable. Replacing palm oil with oils produced by microbes through the conversion of sustainable feedstocks is a promising alternative. However, there are major technical challenges that must be overcome to enable this transition. Foremost among these challenges is the stark increase in lipid accumulation and production of higher content of specific fatty acids. Therefore, there is a need for more in-depth knowledge and systematic exploration of the oil productivity of the oleaginous yeasts. In this study, we cultivated *Cutaneotrichosporon oleaginosus* and *Yarrowia lipolytica* at various C/N ratios and temperatures in a defined medium with glycerol as carbon source and urea as nitrogen source. We ascertained the synergistic effect between various C/N ratios of a defined medium at different temperatures with Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and explored the variation in fatty acid composition through Principal Component Analysis.

Results: By applying RSM, we determined a temperature of 30 $^{\circ}$C and a C/N ratio of 175 g/g to enable maximal oil production by *C. oleaginosus* and a temperature of 21 °C and a C/N ratio of 140 g/g for *Y. lipolytica*. We increased production by 71 % and 66 % respectively for each yeast compared to the average lipid accumulation in all tested conditions. Modulating temperature enabled us to steer the fatty acid compositions. Accordingly, switching from higher temperature to lower cultivation temperature shifted the production of oils from more saturated to unsaturated by 14 % in *C. oleaginosus* and 31 % in *Y. lipolytica*. Higher cultivation temperatures resulted in production of even longer saturated fatty acids, 3 % in *C. oleaginosus* and 1.5 % in *Y. lipolytica*.

Conclusions: In this study, we provided the optimum C/N ratio and temperature for *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* by RSM. Additionally, we demonstrated that lipid accumulation of both oleaginous yeasts was significantly affected by the C/N ratio and temperature. Furthermore, we systematically analyzed the variation in fatty acids composition and proved that changing the C/N ratio and temperature steer the composition. We have further established these oleaginous yeasts as platforms for production of tailored fatty acids.

Keywords: Oleaginous yeasts, microbial oil, Response Surface Methodology, carbon to nitrogen ratio, lipid accumulation.

Introduction

The use of plant-derived oils, especially palm oil, is increasing at an alarming rate. This is happening in part as a replacement for fossil foils, but mostly as they are cheap sources of many useful components. The oils and fatty acids derived from palm trees are used in food, feed, chemical, personal care, and cosmetic products for health benefits, sensorial reasons (texture, flavor), to extend shelf-life, and as surfactants or emulsifiers [1–3]. As a result, palm tree groves are rapidly replacing the original tropical forests, and other original and traditional vegetation

in many Asian, South American, and African countries. This replacement is not only

threatening the local ecosystem but is also having a major effect on the local livelihoods, as it

causes deforestation and contributes to climate change [4,5]. Despite some responsible actions

that have been taken, among them fighting against deforestation driven by RSPO (Roundtable

on Sustainable Palm Oil), the use of palm oil remains controversial [6]. To that end, developing

a sustainable alternative to fatty acids and oils is urgent and of utmost interest.

Oil-producing yeasts, referred to as oleaginous yeasts, have strong potential as sustainable

alternatives for lipid production in various industrial applications [7]. *Yarrowia lipolytica* and

Cutaneotrichosporon oleaginosus also known as *Apiotrichum curvatum, Cryptococcus*

curvatus, Trichosporon cutaneum, Trichosporon oleaginosus, and *Cutaneotrichosporon*

curvatum are reported among the top five most well-known oil-producing yeasts. *C.*

oleaginosus and *Y. lipolytica* can accumulate oils up to 70% and 40% of their biomass

respectively [8–10]. Lipid accumulation in oleaginous yeasts is induced by limiting specific

nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphate, and sulphur. Nitrogen limitation or, in other words, a

high C/N ratio in the growth medium, has been observed to be the most effective lipid induction

strategy [9]. As reported by Ykema et al., after passing the critical C/N of 11 g/g, the oleaginous

yeast starts to accumulate oils by re-routing the excess carbon to be stored as lipids [11,12].

Under nitrogen limiting conditions, the produced fatty acid composition has been reported to

be 25% palmitic acid (C16:0), 10% stearic acid (C18:0), 57% oleic acid (C18:1), and 7%

linoleic acid (C18:2) by *C. oleaginosus* [13] and 15% C16:0, 13% C18:0, 51% C18:1, and 21%

C18:2 by *Y. lipolytica* [14]. This composition is comparable to that of palm oil. Furthermore,

these yeasts can use a broad range of carbon sources, such as glucose, xylose, glycerol, sucrose,

and lactose. They can also use more complex and inexpensive side streams such as crude

glycerol from bioethanol production or whey permeate as a feedstock, which is significant to

reduce raw materials cost [15–17]. Moreover, *Y. lipolytica* is non-pathogenic and regarded as

food-grade yeast, thus its oil can be used for food-related applications [18,19]. Due to these

advantages, oleaginous yeasts are flagged as attractive microbial-cell factories to sustain a bio-

based circular economy for industrial implementation. However, from an economic point of

view, the lipid production process of oleaginous yeasts still requires substantial optimization

for industrial purposes. Koutinas et al. [20] reported that implementation of microbial oil in

industrial applications is strongly dependent on the final microbial oil concentrations and lipid

productivity [19]. For *C. oleaginosus*, it has been calculated that the process is only

economically feasible if lipid accumulation reaches approximately 85 % (w/w).

Identifying and designing optimal production conditions is a challenging step in developing

bioconversion systems since these cultivation conditions play a crucial role in productivity [21].

For at least two decades, efforts have been made to design the optimum growth medium and

fermentation conditions to boost the lipid accumulation as well as to sustain the growth of

oleaginous yeasts [22–24]. One of the most efficient strategies to systematically identify

optimum production conditions is through the Response Surface Methodology (RSM). This

method decreases experimental time and laborious work compared to the one-factor-at-a-time

(OFAT). Whereas OFAT only allows changing one of the considered factors in each of the

experiments, RSM provides a design on which multiple parameters are changed at each

experimental run. Moreover, RSM aims to predict the observed response, by reliably estimating

the experimental variability [25]. For instance, Awad et al. assessed the effect of various carbon

and nitrogen sources on the physiology of *C. oleaginous* via RSM [26]. In another example,

Cui et al. focused on the effect of temperature and pH on lipid content and the growth on crude

glycerol [27]. Additionally, Canonico et al. reported optimum C/N ratio and time to maximize

lipid production of *Y. lipolytica* [17]. However, no studies have focussed on the synergistic

effect between the C/N ratio of a defined medium and cultivation parameters.

In addition to the optimized lipid productivity of oleaginous yeasts, tailoring the composition of produced lipids is important for increasing economic competitiveness. For instance, the longer fatty acids, such as oleic acid, lauric acid, and palmitic acid are heavily used in home and personal care products due to their cleaning/surfacting activities. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) such as linolenic acid supply various health properties. Ochsenreither et al. [19] reported that temperature and the composition of the medium lead to variation in fatty acid composition. Therefore, analyzing the fatty acid compositions under different cultivation conditions will provide us valuable information that will enable us to devise strategies to tailor fatty acid compositions.

Thus, in this study, we aimed to further develop *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* as microbial cell factories for the improved production of lipids and lipids with higher content of specific fatty acids. The first set of experiments was designed to assess the variance between the fatty acid composition produced by *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* at various C/N ratios and temperatures. We extensively studied the variance in fatty acids composition via PCA. Additionally, we performed another set of experiments to broaden the current experimental region for RSM and determined the optimized C/N ratio and temperature for *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica*.

Materials and Methods

Yeast Strains and Pre-culture Preparation

Cutaneotrichosporon oleaginosus ATCC 20509 and *Yarrowia lipolytica* DSM 1345 were maintained on Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (YPD) agar plates containing 10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, 20 g/L glucose, 20 g/L agar. The maintained cultures were stored at 4 °C for up to a week. The inoculum was prepared by transferring a single colony of the

oleaginous yeasts into 10 mL YPD broth (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, 20 g/L glucose, 20 g/L) in 50 mL tubes and incubated at 30 °C, 250 rpm for 18 h in a shaking incubator.

Cultivation Conditions

C. oleaginosus and *Y. lipolytica* were grown in defined media consisting of glycerol as a carbon source and urea as a nitrogen source. The medium was adapted from Meester et al. [28] with modifications. In addition to the variation in carbon to nitrogen ration, the medium contained 2.7 g/L KH_2PO_4, 1.79 g/L $NaH_2PO_4.7H_2O$, 0.2 g/L $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.2 g/L $MgSO_4.7H_2O$, 0.1 g/L EDTA with the pH 5.5 as well as the trace elements: 40 mg/L $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 5.5 mg/L $FeSO_4.7H_2O$, 5.2 mg/L citric acid.H_2O, 1 mg/L $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.76 mg/L $MnSO_4.H_2O$, 10 µL/L H_2SO_4 (36N).

Experimental Design

The C/N ratio varied from 30:1 (g/g) to 300:1 (g/g) by mixing 4 – 40 g carbon/L with 0.13 g nitrogen/L for both oleaginous yeasts. After that, prepared cultures were incubated at different temperatures (15 °C, 25 °C, 30 °C, and 35 °C), 250 rpm for 96 or 144 hours. For the experimental design, the C/N ratio (X_1) and temperature (X_2) were selected as variables. Low levels and high levels for C/N ratio and temperature are C/N 30, C/N 120, and 15 °C, 35 °C. The coded values of independent variables were calculated by considering the high and low levels together with the real values:

$$Coded\ value = \frac{real\ value - \left(\frac{high\ level\ value + low\ level\ value}{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}\ x\ (high\ value - low\ value)}$$

and are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Levels of two independent variables employed in RSM in terms of real and coded values for *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica*.

Levels and coded values	Real values	
	C/N ratio (g/g) X_1	Temperature (°C) X_2
-1.0	30	15
-0.3	60	-
0.0	75	25
0.5	-	30
1.0	120	35
3.7	240	-
5.0	300	-

Determination of Biomass

The growth of oleaginous yeasts was monitored every 24 h by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (OD_{600}). A calibration curve was plotted with the absorbance versus the dry cell weight for *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* (Figure S1). The dry cell was obtained as follows: (1) 5 mL of culture was centrifuged at 3200 g for 15 mins, (2) the cells were washed twice with 10 mL of deionized water (3) and freeze-dried.

Identification of lipids and fatty acid composition

The total fatty acids and the fatty acid composition were determined quantitatively. The samples were prepared by mixing 20-25 mg freeze-dried yeast cells with 2 mL of 15% H_2SO_4 in methanol and 2 mL of chloroform containing methyl pentanoate as an internal standard. The samples were incubated for 4 h at 85-95 °C and cooled on ice for 5 min, 1 mL of distilled water was added. Following the phase separation by centrifugation at 2200 g for 5 min, the organic phase was collected from the bottom of the tube and dried with $NaSO_4$. Subsequently, The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were analyzed with a gas chromatograph (Brand, City, Country)

equipped with a Zebron ZB-FAME column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.20 µm; Phenomenex,

Torrance, CA, The US). The yeast's oil content was calculated from the internal standard.

Computational Analysis

All computational analysis was performed with R version 4.0.2 [29].

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was carried out on the fatty acid profiles by the statistics

function prcomp within R [30]. The correlation biplots of the principal component scores and

the loading vectors were plotted through R ggplot2 package [31].

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was performed by the rsm package, and the contour

plots were generated with the R pers or cont functions [32]. The relationship between the

responses and factors was expressed by the second-order polynomial equation:

$$Y \ = \ \beta_o \ + \ \sum \beta_i x_i \ + \sum \beta_{ii} x_i^2 \ + \ \sum \beta_{ij} x_i x_j \ .$$

Y represents the predicted response, *βo* is the interception coefficient, *βi* is the linear coefficient,

βii is the quadratic coefficient and *βij* is the interaction coefficient, X_i is the independent

variable, X_i^2 is the squared effect, and $X_i X_j$ is the interaction effect. The quality of the

regression equations was assessed according to the coefficient of determination (R^2) and lack

of fit F-test. Statistical analysis of the model was performed using Analysis of Variance

(ANOVA) and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Optimal levels of the C/N ratio and

temperature were given as stationary points via RSM.

Results

In total, 10 experiments were conducted for *C. oleaginosus* and 9 experiments for *Y. lipolytica.*

The biomass concentration of *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* varied from 1.24 g/L to 5.54 g/L

and 2.34 to 4.52 g/L, lipid content ranged from 3.62 % to 47.41 % and 3.35 % and 18.51 % for

all tested conditions (Tables 2 and 3). While the highest lipid content was obtained at C/N 120

at 30 °C for *C. oleaginous*, it reached the maximum point at C/N 140 at 25 °C in *Y. lipolytica.*

Incubating *C. oleaginosus* at 15 °C slightly decreased the biomass and lipid content compared

to the other tested temperatures. On the other hand, decreasing the C/N ratio reduced the

biomass concentration of *Y. lipolytica*.

Table 2. Biomass density and lipid content of *C. oleaginosus* at different C/N ratios and

temperatures.

C (g/L)	C/N (g/g)	Temperature (°C)	Biomass (g/L)	Lipid content (% g/g)
4	30	15	1.24 ± 0.07	10.71 ± 0.93
16	120	15	2.61 ± 0.24	18.09 ± 1.38
32	240	15	2.36 ± 0.21	3.62 ± 0.32
4	30	30	5.43 ± 0.08	31.16 ± 2.54
8	60	30	5.18 ± 0.08	38.48 ± 1.61
16	120	30	5.54 ± 0.16	47.41 ± 0.70
32	240	30	4.43 ± 0.16	44.12 ± 1.06
40	300	30	5.33 ± 0.21	37.83 ± 1.99
4	30	35	2.56 ± 0.03	28.95 ± 1.08
16	120	35	2.54 ± 0.16	38.92 ± 0.73

187 **Table 3**. Biomass density and lipid content of *Y. lipolytica* at different C/N ratios and

188 temperatures.

C (g/L)	C/N (g/g)	Temperature (°C)	Biomass (g/L)	Lipid content (% g/g)
4	30	15	2.62 ± 0.08	7.91 ± 0.49
16	120	15	4.40 ± 0.08	15.91 ± 0.07
4	30	30	2.45 ± 0.16	4.00 ± 0.24
16	120	30	4.52 ± 0.14	14.26 ± 1.09
4	30	35	2.34 ± 0.11	3.35 ± 0.33
16	120	35	3.12 ± 0.13	9.25 ± 0.06
9.75	75	25	3.01 ± 0.13	15.29 ± 0.41
9.75	75	25	3.06 ± 0.09	15.01 ± 0.17
18.2	140	25	4.18 ± 0.14	18.51 ± 0.96

 Development of Regression Models and ANOVA

C/N ratio and temperature as coded variables, and lipid content and biomass as responses were

analyzed with RSM. The relation was fitted by second-order polynomial equations to obtain

the regression equation models (Table 4). These models represent the empirical relationships

between the biomass density, lipid content of cells, and the variables (C/N ratio (X_1) and

temperature (X_2)) in coded units. These regression models suggest that both linear and quadratic

effects of C/N ratio and temperature significantly affected the lipid content of *C. oleaginosus*

and *Y. lipolytica* (Table 4). However, interaction of C/N ratio and temperature has no significant

effect on any considered responses of *Y. lipolytica*. When the growth of *C. oleaginosus* is not

significantly affected by the C/N ratio, the combined effect of the C/N ratio and temperature

has a significant effect. On the other hand, only the linear effect of investigated factors

significantly affected the growth of *Y. lipolytica*.

Table 4. Regression equations, statistics of regression equations for lipid content and biomass

of *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica*.

C. oleaginosus				*Y. lipolytica*			
Dependent variable: Lipid content % (w/w)							
$Y(Lipid\ content)$ $= 39.26 + 4.85\ X_1$ $+ 10.08\ X_2 + 2.59\ X_1 X_2$ $- 1.38\ X1^2 - 14.01\ X_2^2$				$Y(Lipid\ content)$ $= 14.47 + 4.30\ X_1 - 3.12\ X_2$ $- 0.28\ X_1 X_2 - 1.50\ X1^2 - 4.03\ X_2^2$			
Source	**Estimate**	**p-values**		**Source**	**Estimate**	**p-values**	
Model	39.26	< e-15	***	**Model**	14.47	< e-15	***
X_1	4.85	< e-08	***	X_1	4.30	< e-10	***
X_2	10.08	< e-12	***	X_2	-3.12	< e-07	***
$X_1{:}X_2$	2.59	< e-07	***	$X_1{:}X_2$	-0.28	0.480	
X_1^2	-1.38	< e-09	***	X_1^2	-1.50	0.011	*
X_2^2	-14.01	< e-08	***	X_2^2	-4.03	< e-05	***
Dependent variable: Biomass (g/L)							
$Y(Biomass) = 6.12 + 0.11\ X_1 + 0.36\ X_2$ $- 0.17\ X_1 X_2 - 0.02\ X1^2$ $- 3.91\ X_2^2$				$Y(Biomass) = 3.17 + 0.71\ X_1 - 0.33\ X_2$ $- 0.13\ X_1 X_2 - 0.14\ X1^2 - 0.12\ X_2^2$			
Source	**Estimate**	**p-values**		**Source**	**Estimate**	**p-values**	
Model	6.12	< e-15	***	**Model**	3.17	< e-15	***
X_1	0.11	0.210		X_1	0.71	< e-09	***
X_2	0.36	0.005	**	X_2	-0.33	0.005	**
$X_1{:}X_2$	-0.17	0.005	**	$X_1{:}X_2$	-0.13	0.238	
X_1^2	-0.02	0.323		X_1^2	-0.14	0.344	
X_2^2	-3.91	< e-13	***	X_2^2	-0.12	0.500	

Table 5. Evaluating the significance of regression models by ANOVA.

	C. oleaginosus					*Y. lipolytica*				
	Lipid content % (w/w)									
Source	Degrees of Freedom	Sum Square	Mean Square	F-Value	p-Value	Degrees of Freedom	Sum Square	Mean Square	F-Value	p-Value
FO(X1, X2)	2	4181.0	2090.50	356.93	< 2.2e-16	2	562.80	281.40	141.65	6.459e-13
TWI (X1, X2)	1	497.2	497.20	84.89	2.374e-09	1	1.15	1.15	0.58	0.4561
PQ (X1, X2)	2	1022.5	511.27	87.29	9.709e-12	2	128.23	64.11	32.27	3.936e-07
Residual	24	140.6	5.86			21	41.72	1.99		
Lack of fit	4	82.5	20.63	7.11	0.000992	2	29.90	14.95	24.04	6.237e-06
Pure error	20	58.1	2.90			19	11.81	0.62		
Dependent variable= Lipid content % (w/w); R^2= 0.9759; Adj R^2= 0.9709, p-value= < e-15						Dependent variable= Lipid content % (w/w); R^2= 0.9432; Adj R^2= 0.9296, p-value= <e-11				
	Biomass (g/L)									
Source	Degrees of Freedom	Sum Square	Mean Square	F-Value	p-Value	Degrees of Freedom	Sum Square	Mean Square	F-Value	p-Value
FO(X1, X2)	2	23.91	11.97	69.94	1.128e-10		14.03	7.01	49.52	1.122e-08
TWI (X1, X2)	1	0.31	0.31	1.80	0.1919	1	0.24	0.24	1.70	0.2063
PQ (X1, X2)	2	42.61	21.30	122.84	2.467e-13	2	0.16	0.08	0.55	0.5834
Residual	24	4.16	0.17			21	2.97	0.14		
Lack of fit	4	3.43	0.86	23.58	2.483e-07	2	2.37	0.19	37.48	2.539e-07
Pure error	20	0.73	0.04			19	0.60	0.03		
Dependent variable= Biomass (g dcw/L); R^2= 0.9414; Adj R^2= 0.9292, p-value= <e-13						Dependent variable= Biomass (g dcw/L); R^2= 0.8291; Adj R^2= 0.7884, p-value= <e-06				
FO, TWI, and PQ refer to the linear function, two-way interactions, and quadratic terms in the model formula of RSM respectively.										

ANOVA was performed to assess the significance and adequacy of response surface quadratic models. The quality of the model fit can be evaluated by the coefficient of determination (R^2), which provides a measure of how much variability in the observed response values can be explained by the experimental factors and their interactions. The R^2 value is always between 0 and 1 and the closer the R^2 value is to 1, the stronger the model is and the better it predicts the response. For the developed models of *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica,* the determination

confidence coefficients (R^2) are 97.58 % and 94.32 % for lipid content, 94.14 % and 82.91 %

for biomass. These R^2 values represented that sample variation of the regression models

described the experimental data accurately. The model is regarded as significant if the p-value

is lower than 0.05. In other words, 'Model F-value' could occur because of noise with only a

5% chance [33]. Therefore p-value of the models, for *C. oleaginosus* $P_{lipid\ accumulation}$= <e-15,

$P_{biomass}$= < e-13, and for *Y. lipolytica* $P_{lipid\ accumulation}$= < e-11, and $P_{biomass}$= < e-6 suggested the

coefficients are significant. The Lack of Fit P-values showed that the Lack of Fit F-value could

occur due to noise with the possibility of almost 0 % for the second model for *C. oleaginosus*

and 0 % for all models for *Y. lipolytica*, and 0.0992 % for the first model of *C. oleaginosus*.

Response Surface Analysis

The factors, C/N ratio of the growth medium, and temperature were selected to be optimized

for *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica*. Three-dimensional surface responses were plotted to

illustrate the relationships between the responses and variables for *C. oleaginosus* (Figure 1)

and *Y. lipolytica* (Figure 2). When the temperature was around 30 °C and the C/N ratio was

between C/N 75 to C/N 220, the lipid accumulation of *C. oleaginosus* was improved (Figure 1-

a). On the other hand, the biomass density increased within the range of C/N 60 to C/N 240 and

from 25 °C to 30 °C (Figure 1-b). Additionally, lipid accumulation of *Y. lipolytica* was enhanced

starting from C/N 100 and between 18 °C to 25 °C (Figure 2-a).

The optimal values for the investigated dependence factors were predicted from these 3D

response surface plots (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Accordingly, the maximum predicted responses

for *C. oleaginosus* were 47.67 % lipid accumulation and 6.26 g yeast dry cell weight/L. While

the optimum C/N ratio and temperature were approximately C/N 175 and 30 °C for predicted

lipid accumulation, C/N 185 and 25 °C were suggested to achieve the predicted maximum

biomass density. The suggested optimum values for lipid accumulation resulted in 51.50 % ±

2.84 lipid accumulation, and 5.29 ± 0.08 g dry yeast cells/L which confirmed the predictions of

developed regression models (Table 6). Moreover, suggestions of RSM improved lipid

accumulation by 9 % in *C. oleaginosus*. Regression models of *Y. lipolytica* predicted the

optimum conditions as C/N 140 and 21 °C for maximum lipid production, which predicted

18.33 % lipid accumulation, and 4.72 g yeast dry cell weight/L (Table 6). These predicted

optimum conditions provided 19.03 % ± 0.37 lipid accumulation, and 5.07 ± 0.16 g dry yeast

cells/L for *Y. lipolytica*. Additionally, model predictions were validated via experiments at C/N

45 and 30 °C for *C. oleaginosus* and C/N 180 at 21 °C for *Y. lipolytica* (Table 6).

Figure 1. 3D Response surface plot of the combined effects of C/N ratio and temperature levels

on a) lipid content (g lipid weight/g yeast dry cell weight), and b) growth (g yeast dry cell

weight /L) of *C. oleaginosus*. Determined optima are highlighted in the respective plots.

Figure 2. 3D Response surface plot of the combined effects of C/N ratio and temperature levels on a) lipid content (g lipid weight/g yeast dry cell weight), and b) growth (g yeast dry cell weight /L) of *Y. lipolytica*. Determined optima are highlighted in the respective plots.

Table 6. Validation of RSM models by suggested optimum conditions and additional experiments.

| | | *C. oleaginosus* | | | |
| | | **Predicted Values** | | **Measured/Calculated Values** | |
C/N (g/g)	**Temperature (°C)**	**Lipid Accumulation (% g/g)**	**Biomass (g/L)**	**Lipid Accumulation (%, g/g)**	**Biomass (g/L)**
45	30	36.08	5.30	38.48 ± 1.61	5.28 ± 0.08
175	30	47.67	4.97	51.17 ± 0.66	5.35 ± 0.28
		Y. lipolytica			
		Predicted Values		**Measured/Calculated Values**	
C/N (g/g)	**Temperature (°C)**	**Lipid Accumulation (% g/g)**	**Biomass (g/L)**	**Lipid Accumulation (%, g/g)**	**Biomass (g/L)**
140	21	18.33	4.72	19.03 ± 0.37	5.07 ± 0.16
180	21	17.20	5.81	17.72 ± 0.16	4.96 ± 0.16

Analysis of the fatty acid profile

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted to clarify the variation in the fatty acid profile at different C/N ratios and temperatures. Produced the fatty acid compositions of *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* are represented in Table 7 and Table 8. The PCA showing the variation in the fatty acid profile is shown in Figure 3. As seen in Figure 3-a for *C. oleaginosus* the variance explained in PC1 and PC2 were 43 % and 22 % respectively and for *Y. lipolytica* (Figure 3-b) was 56 % and 20 %. As observed from Figure 3-a, the highest temperature (35 °C) and the combination of C/N 30 with the other tested temperatures (15 °C, 30 °C) caused a higher content of saturated and longer chain fatty acids (C20:0, C22:0, C24:0). *C. oleaginosus* produced higher content of unsaturated fatty acids (C18:1, C18:2, C18:3) at the lowest temperature, 15 °C. On the other hand, we observed the same effect on the fatty acid profile of *Y. lipolytica* in terms of saturation level and chain length by changing the temperature. *Y. lipolytica* produced higher content of C14:0, C16:0, C18:0, C20:0, and C24:0 when it was incubated at 35 °C, and C14:1, C16:1, C18:1, and C20:1 at 15 °C.

Figure 3. PCA on the fatty acid profile at different C/N ratios in the growth medium and temperatures for **a)** *C. oleaginous* and **b)** *Y. lipolytica*. Variance explained by each component is given in the labels.

275   **Table 7.** Fatty acid profile of *C. oleaginosus* at various C/N ratios and temperatures.

C/N (g/g)	Temperature (°C)	Fatty Acid Profile (%)														
		C14:0	C16:0	C16:1	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C18:3	C20:0	C22:0	C24:0	Saturated FAs	MUFAs	PUFAs	Long chain FAs	Very long chain FAs
30	15	-	24.62 ± 1.47	-	43.92 ± 0.53	26.58 ± 1.09	4.88 ± 1.96	-	-	-	-	68.54 ± 1.47	26.58 ± 1.09	4.88 ± 1.96	100 ± 0.0	-
	30	-	15.44 ± 3.06	-	21.09 ± 4.22	46.70 ± 1.41	14.27 ± 1.93	-	-	-	2.50 ± 0.54	39.03 ± 1.05	46.7 ± 1.41	14.27 ± 1.93	97.5 ± 0.54	2.5 ± 0.54
	35	-	9.33 ± 0.58	-	28.14 ± 0.80	42.52 ± 0.86	12.50 ± 0.81	-	1.90 ± 0.17	1.27 ± 0.06	4.34 ± 0.06	44.98 ± 0.05	42.52 ± 0.86	12.50 ± 0.81	94.39 ± 0.0	5.61 ± 0.0
60	30	-	21.00 ± 1.67	1.09 ± 0.07	18.96 ± 2.74	51.06 ± 0.87	8.25 ± 0.91	-	-	-	-	39.96 ± 2.26	52.15 ± 1.36	8.25 ± 0.91	100 ± 0.0	-
120	15	-	18.32 ± 0.54	1.79 ± 0.43	10.74 ± 0.63	56.16 ± 1.64	10.74 ± 0.62	2.26 ± 0.24	-	-	-	29.05 ± 0.24	57.95 ± 1.21	13.00 ± 0.97	100 ± 0.0	-
	30	0.39 ± 0.03	30.33 ± 2.14	2.71 ± 0.23	7.39 ± 0.49	46.50 ± 1.98	11.93 ± 0.50	-	0.44 ± 0.01	-	0.30 ± 0.02	38.85 ± 2.57	49.22 ± 2.14	11.93 ± 0.50	99.7 ± 0.02	0.30 ± 0.02
	35	0.40 ± 0.01	14.61 ± 0.11	0.71 ± 0.01	22.09 ± 0.22	47.85 ± 0.04	8.54 ± 0.18	-	1.75 ± 0.06	1.13 ± 0.03	3.09 ± 0.00	43.34 ± 0.43	48.55 ± 0.03	8.54 ± 0.18	95.95 ± 0.08	4.22 ± 0.04
240	15	-	24.89 ± 2.20	-	-	59.08 ± 2.55	16.01 ± 0.82	-	-	-		24.89 ± 2.20	59.08 ± 2.55	16.01 ± 0.82	100 ± 0.0	-
	30	0.38 ± 0.03	29.30 ± 1.46	2.88 ± 0.10	6.82 ± 0.19	47.23 ± 1.49	13.10 ± 0.33	-	-	-	0.29 ± 0.03	36.79 ± 1.62	50.11 ± 1.51	13.10 ± 0.33	100 ± 0.0	0.29 ± 0.03
MUFAs: Monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFAs: Polyunsaturated fatty acids																

Table 8. Fatty acid profile of *Y. lipolytica* at various C/N ratios and temperatures.

C/N (g/g)	Temperature (°C)	Fatty Acid Profile (%)															
		C10:0	C14:0	C14:1	C16:0	C16:1	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C20:0	C20:1	C24:0	Saturated FAs	MUFAs	PUFAs	Long chain FAs	Very long chain FAs
30	15	1.27 ± 0.15	-	0.68 ± 0.01	8.56 ± 0.34	13.41 ± 0.37	6.27 ± 0.70	46.54 ± 1.17	21.41 ± 0.01	-	1.84 ± 0.32	-	16.11 ± 1.20	62.48 ± 1.22	21.41 ± 0.01	98.72 ± 0.16	-
	30	2.53 ± 0.31	-	-	10.59 ± 0.35	11.47 ± 0.68	5.57 ± 0.38	43.97 ± 0.88	24.67 ± 0.44	1.18 ± 0.10	-	-	19.88 ± 0.27	55.44 ± 0.21	24.67 ± 0.44	97.47 ± 0.31	-
	35	-	1.02 ± 0.31	-	18.77 ± 0.27	6.47 ± 0.18	14.94 ± 1.37	33.83 ± 0.13	19.14 ± 0.71	3.60 ± 0.27	-	2.22 ± 0.04	40.56 ± 1.02	40.30 ± 0.31	19.14 ± 0.71	97.77 ± 0.04	2.22 ± 0.04
120	15	-	-	0.30 ± 0.00	10.26 ± 0.10	19.98 ± 0.66	5.38 ± 0.25	50.21 ± 0.43	11.38 ± 0.20	-	1.81 ± 0.03	0.68 ± 0.01	16.32 ± 0.40	72.29 ± 0.52	11.38 ± 0.20	99.32 ± 0.10	0.68 ± 0.01
	30	-	-	-	23.31 ± 0.58	11.40 ± 1.37	7.81 ± 0.66	35.73 ± 1.06	21.74 ± 1.39	-	-	-	31.12 ± 0.08	47.14 ± 1.30	21.75 ± 1.38	100 ± 0.00	-
	35	-	0.29 ± 0.01	-	20.97 ± 0.05	6.79 ± 0.24	22.14 ± 1.06	32.75 ± 1.23	12.72 ± 0.58	2.23 ± 0.14	-	2.11 ± 0.13	47.73 ± 0.87	39.54 ± 1.42	12.72 ± 0.58	97.88 ± 0.13	2.11 ± 0.13
MUFAs: Monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFAs: Polyunsaturated fatty acids																	

Discussion

The lipid accumulation and lipid productivity in oleaginous yeasts are strongly dependent on the composition of the cultivation medium and the operational conditions. Therefore, this study sought to assess the importance of such factors in lipid production. To this end, we performed an RSM analysis and represented the optimum C/N ratio and temperature for the maximization of lipid content, and biomass density. The optimum C/N ratio and temperature are C/N 175 at 30°C for *C. oleaginosus* and C/N 140 at 21°C for *Y. lipolytica*. Moreover, we demonstrated that a C/N ratio and temperature cause variations in the fatty acid composition of oleaginous yeasts.

In the experiments performed for RSM, we used glycerol as a carbon source as this is efficiently utilized by *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* [34,35] and urea as a nitrogen source as it provides higher biomass yields compared to the ammonium salts [26]. RSM is one of the most preferred methods to optimize operational conditions and medium composition in biotechnology [36,37]. This method facilitates obtaining more information with a fewer number of experiments by changing multiple factors at a time because RSM reflects on the complex nonlinear relationships between independent variables and measured responses of the system. In contrast, the OFAT approach allows changing only one of the factors for each of the experiments. Whereas around 15 experiments are required to follow the OFAT approach with the same factors and same levels, the number of experiments decreased by approximately 40 % via the DoE approach. The statistics tables of developed regression models in this study represented that both the C/N ratio and the temperature have a significant effect on the lipid content of cells, and biomass density of *Y. lipolytica.* These results are similar to those reported by Canonico et al [17]. Although they utilized crude glycerol as a cultivation medium, the behavior of biomass and lipid content against changing temperature and C/N ratio is comparable with the results in this study. On the contrary, in this study, the growth of *C. oleaginosus* was significantly affected by only temperature and the combined effect of temperature and C/N ratio. These findings are

consistent with the report of Cui et al. even though they tested a narrower temperature range

between 27 °C to 33 °C, whereas in our design, it was extended from 15 °C to 35 °C [27].

Although the maximum lipid accumulation of *Y. lipolytica* is much less than *C. oleaginosus*, it

is corresponding to the amounts reported for the wild-type strain [38,39]. Gao et al. reported

lipid accumulation of *Y. lipolytica* CICC 31596 up to 30 % (g/g) when it grew on volatile fatty

acids [40]. On the other hand, *Y. lipolytica* ACA-DC 50109 produced 20 % (g/g) lipids on a

glycerol-based cultivation medium [34]. These findings show lipid accumulation of *Y.*

lipolytica is strain-dependent. On the other hand, optimum temperature, 21 °C, for lipid

accumulation and growth for *Y. lipolytica* identified in this study was surprisingly lower than

previous reports. Our findings provided an optimum C/N ratio and temperature as these

predicted values provided higher lipid contents, and biomass for both oleaginous yeasts. These

optimum values identified via RSM in this study will potentially contribute to solving other

optimization problems such as revealing the effect of other factors, and finding optimum

conditions for other strains and engineered strains. In addition to optimization of cultivation

conditions, lipid accumulation ability of *Y. lipolytica* and *C. oleaginosus* can be further

improved by strain engineering as suggested in other reports [41].

In addition to the lipid content and biomass, the C/N ratio and temperature also affected the

fatty acids composition of *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica*. In previous studies, Moon et al.

reported that 15 °C as a growth temperature shifted the fatty acid profile of *C. oleaginosus* to

more unsaturated fatty acids [42]. Moreover, Hackenschmidt et al. claimed that there was only

a slight variation in the fatty acid profile of *Y. lipolytica* from 25 °C to 35 °C [43]. However,

systematic evaluation of the low and high levels of temperature and C/N ratio have not been

performed to our knowledge. In this study, PCA allowed us to evaluate the variation in

produced fatty acids composition by reducing the noise and creating uncorrelated components

from the analyzed data. When the growth temperature of oleaginous yeasts was increased from

optimum to 35 °C and the C/N ratio decreased to C/N 30, the saturation level and the chain

length of fatty acids were increased. On the other hand, fatty acids produced at 15 °C were

slightly more unsaturated (C14:1, C16:1, C18:1, and C20:1 for *Y. lipolytica*, C18:1, C18:2, and

C18:3 for *C. oleaginosus*) than they were at the optimum temperature. This variety can be

explained by the adaptation of an organism to maintain lipid fluidity at different temperatures

[42]. Because the saturation level and the chain length directly influence the melting point of

fatty acids. The melting points are much lower for an unsaturated and shorter chain length of

fatty acids whereas it is higher for saturated and longer chain fatty acids [44]. While low

incubation temperatures increased unsaturation levels on both oleaginous yeasts, it decreased

the growth and lipid productivity of *C. oleaginosus*. Unexpectedly, low incubation temperatures

positively affected lipid accumulation and biomass production in *Y. lipolytica*. This situation

demonstrated that *Y. lipolytica* is promising to produce fatty acids, especially with higher

content of unsaturated fatty acids as growth and lipid accumulation were enhanced by lower

temperature. Tezaki et al. related functions of some genes in *Y. lipolytica* with the adaptation

ability of this organism at low temperatures [45]. Therefore, elucidation of the adaptation

mechanism of oleaginous yeasts to low temperatures or too high temperatures could contribute

to achieving higher productivity and expanding the application potential of microbial oils.

Conclusion

In this study, we sought to determine the major operational factors affecting physiological

fitness toward fatty acid production by oleaginous yeasts. We aimed to enhance lipid

accumulation as well as to enable *C. oleaginosus* and *Y. lipolytica* to attain higher content of

particular fatty acids by changing operating conditions such as the available C/N ratio and

temperature. We applied a thorough DoE method (RSM) and developed second-order

polynomial equations to identify the optimum C/N ratios and temperatures for both oleaginous

yeasts. The predictions of RSM improved the lipid accumulation by approximately 71 % for *C.*

oleaginosus and about 66 % for *Y. lipolytica* compared to the average lipid accumulation in the

tested conditions. While the lipid accumulation was significantly affected by the C/N ratio and

temperature, the growth of *C. oleaginosus* was mainly affected by temperature. Additionally,

changing the C/N ratio in the cultivation medium and temperature resulted in variations in fatty

acid profile, which we observed switches from saturated to unsaturated fatty acids, unsaturated

to saturated fatty acids, and shorter chain to longer chain fatty acids. Altogether, these findings

helped strengthen the basis to deploy these oleaginous yeasts as platforms for tailored fatty acid

production and thereby contribute to the development of processes substituting palm oil that

are more sustainable.

Supplementary Material

a)

b)

Figure S1. OD versus dry cell weight curve for a) *C. oleaginosus* and b) *Y. lipolytica*.

Author's contributions

All authors conceived and designed the study. ZEDÖ and MSD performed the data analysis. ZEDÖ drafted the manuscript and performed the experiments. VAPMdS, JH, and MSD acquired project funding, conceived and supervised the research. All authors reviewed and edited the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Sara Moreno Paz for her valuable contribution to design of experiment and for providing the initial code for RSM. We thank Janine Verbokkem for her valuable contribution to the analysis of fatty acid composition.

Competing interests

JH has interests in NoPalm Ingredients BV and VAPMdS has interests in LifeGlimmer GmbH.

Funding

This research was financed by the Dutch Ministry of Agriculture through the "TKI-toeslag" project LWV19221 "Tailor-made microbial oils and fatty acids".

Author details

[1]Bioprocess Engineering, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 PB, Wageningen, the Netherlands. [2]Laboratory of Systems and Synthetic Biology, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 WE, Wageningen, the Netherlands. [2]LifeGlimmer GmbH, Berlin, 12163, Germany. [4]Wageningen Food & Biobased Research, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 WE, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

References

1. Rustan AC, Drevon CA. Fatty Acids: Structures and Properties. Encycl Life Sci. 2005.

2. Holley RA, Patel D. Improvement in shelf-life and safety of perishable foods by plant

essential oils and smoke antimicrobials. Food Microbiol. 2005.

3. P. Desbois A. Potential Applications of Antimicrobial Fatty Acids in Medicine, Agriculture

and Other Industries. Recent Pat Antiinfect Drug Discov. 2012;

4. Abubakar A, Ishak MY, Makmom AA. Impacts of and adaptation to climate change on the

oil palm in Malaysia: a systematic review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2021.

5. Snashall GB, Poulos HM. Oreos versus orangutans: The need for sustainability

transformations and nonhierarchical polycentric governance in the global palm oil industry.

Forests. 2021.

6. Cazzolla Gatti R, Liang J, Velichevskaya A, Zhou M. Sustainable palm oil may not be so

sustainable. Sci Total Environ. 2019;

7. Patel A, Karageorgou D, Rova E, Katapodis P, Rova U, Christakopoulos P, et al. An

overview of potential oleaginous microorganisms and their role in biodiesel and omega-3

fatty acid-based industries. Microorganisms. 2020.

8. Görner C, Redai V, Bracharz F, Schrepfer P, Garbe D, Brück T. Genetic engineering and

production of modified fatty acids by the non-conventional oleaginous yeast Trichosporon

oleaginosus ATCC 20509. Green Chem. 2016;

9. Beopoulos A, Nicaud JM, Gaillardin C. An overview of lipid metabolism in yeasts and its

impact on biotechnological processes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2011.

10. Xue SJ, Chi Z, Zhang Y, Li YF, Liu GL, Jiang H, et al. Fatty acids from oleaginous yeasts

and yeast-like fungi and their potential applications. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2018.

11. Ykema A, Verbree EC, van Verseveld HW, Smit H. Mathematical modelling of lipid

production by oleaginous yeasts in continuous cultures. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek.

1986;52:491–506.

12. Carsanba E, Papanikolaou S, Erten H. Production of oils and fats by oleaginous

microorganisms with an emphasis given to the potential of the nonconventional yeast

Yarrowia lipolytica. Crit Rev Biotechnol [Internet]. Informa Healthcare USA, Inc;

2018;38:1230–43. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2018.1472065

13. Pham N, Reijnders M, Suarez-Diez M, Nijsse B, Springer J, Eggink G, et al. Genome-

scale metabolic modeling underscores the potential of Cutaneotrichosporon oleaginosus

ATCC 20509 as a cell factory for biofuel production. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2021;

14. Papanikolaou S, Aggelis G. Lipid production by Yarrowia lipolytica growing on industrial

glycerol in a single-stage continuous culture. Bioresour Technol. 2002;

15. Dobrowolski A, Drzymała K, Mituła P, Mirończuk AM. Production of tailor-made fatty

acids from crude glycerol at low pH by Yarrowia lipolytica. Bioresour Technol. 2020;314:0–

3.

16. Ykema A, Verbree EC, Verwoert IIGS, van der Linden KH, Nijkamp HJJ, Smit H. Lipid

production of revertants of Ufa mutants from the oleaginous yeast Apiotrichum curvatum.

Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1990;

17. Canonico L, Ashoor S, Taccari M, Comitini F, Antonucci M, Truzzi C, et al. Conversion

of raw glycerol to microbial lipids by new Metschnikowia and Yarrowia lipolytica strains.

Ann Microbiol. 2016;

18. Zinjarde SS. Food-related applications of Yarrowia lipolytica. Food Chem. 2014.

19. Amalia L, Zhang YH, Ju YH, Tsai SL. Enhanced Lipid Production in Yarrowia lipolytica

Po1g by Over-expressing lro1 Gene under Two Different Promoters. Appl Biochem

Biotechnol. 2020;

20. Koutinas AA, Chatzifragkou A, Kopsahelis N, Papanikolaou S, Kookos IK. Design and

techno-economic evaluation of microbial oil production as a renewable resource for biodiesel

and oleochemical production. Fuel. 2014;

21. Singh V, Haque S, Niwas R, Srivastava A, Pasupuleti M, Tripathi CKM. Strategies for

fermentation medium optimization: An in-depth review. Front. Microbiol. 2017.

22. Ykema A, Verbree EC, Kater MM, Smit H. Optimization of lipid production in the

oleaginous yeast Apiotrichum curvatum in wheypermeate. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1988;

23. Seo Y hwan, Han S, Han JI. Economic biodiesel production using algal residue as

substrate of lipid producing yeast Cryptococcus curvatus. Renew Energy. 2014;

24. Back A, Rossignol T, Krier F, Nicaud JM, Dhulster P. High-throughput fermentation

screening for the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica with real-time monitoring of biomass and lipid

production. Microb Cell Fact. 2016;

25. Sarabia LA, Ortiz MC, Sánchez MS. Response Surface Methodology. Compr Chemom.

2020.

26. Awad D, Bohnen F, Mehlmer N, Brueck T. Multi-factorial-guided media optimization for

enhanced biomass and lipid formation by the oleaginous yeast Cutaneotrichosporon

oleaginosus. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2019;7.

27. Cui Y, Blackburn JW, Liang Y. Fermentation optimization for the production of lipid by

Cryptococcus curvatus: Use of response surface methodology. Biomass and Bioenergy. 2012;

28. Meesters PAEP, Huijberts GNM, Eggink G. High-cell-density cultivation of the lipid

accumulating yeast Cryptococcus curvatus using glycerol as a carbon source. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1996;45:575–9.

29. R Core Team. R software: Version 4.0.2. R Found Stat Comput. 2020;

30. Martins TG. Computing and visualizing PCA in R. R-bloggers. 2013;

31. Wickham H. ggplot2. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat. 2011;

32. Lenth R V. Response-surface methods in R, using RSM. J Stat Softw. 2009;

33. Tan HT, Lee KT, Mohamed AR. Pretreatment of lignocellulosic palm biomass using a solvent-ionic liquid [BMIM]Cl for glucose recovery: An optimisation study using response surface methodology. Carbohydr Polym. 2011;

34. Makri A, Fakas S, Aggelis G. Metabolic activities of biotechnological interest in Yarrowia lipolytica grown on glycerol in repeated batch cultures. Bioresour Technol [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2010;101:2351–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.024

35. Pham N, Reijnders M, Suarez-Diez M, Nijsse B, Springer J, Eggink G, et al. Genome-scale metabolic modeling underscores the potential of Cutaneotrichosporon oleaginosus ATCC 20509 as a cell factory for biofuel production. Biotechnol Biofuels [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2021;14:1–17. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-01838-1

36. Xu YX, Li YL, Xu SC, Liu Y, Wang X, Tang JW. Improvement of xylanase production by Aspergillus niger XY-1 using response surface methodology for optimizing the medium composition. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2008;

37. Xu Y, Yang L, Zhao S, Wang Z. Large-scale production of tauroursodeoxycholic acid products through fermentation optimization of engineered Escherichia coli cell factory. Microb Cell Fact. 2019;

38. Fontanille P, Kumar V, Christophe G, Nouaille R, Larroche C. Bioconversion of volatile

fatty acids into lipids by the oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Bioresour Technol

[Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2012;114:443–9. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.02.091

39. Jia YL, Wang LR, Zhang ZX, Gu Y, Sun XM. Recent advances in biotechnological

production of polyunsaturated fatty acids by Yarrowia lipolytica. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.

2021.

40. Gao R, Li Z, Zhou X, Bao W, Cheng S, Zheng L. Enhanced lipid production by Yarrowia

lipolytica cultured with synthetic and waste-derived high-content volatile fatty acids under

alkaline conditions. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2020;

41. Carsanba E, Papanikolaou S, Fickers P, Erten H. Lipids by yarrowia lipolytica strains

cultivated on glucose in batch cultures. Microorganisms. 2020;

42. Moon NJ, Hammond EG. Oil production by fermentation of lactose and the effect of

temperature on the fatty acid composition1. J Am Oil Chem Soc. 1978;55:683–8.

43. Hackenschmidt S, Bracharz F, Daniel R, Thürmer A, Bruder S, Kabisch J. Effects of a

high-cultivation temperature on the physiology of three different Yarrowia lipolytica strains.

FEMS Yeast Res. 2019;19:1–11.

44. Fasina OO, Craig-Schmidt M, Colley Z, Hallman H. Predicting melting characteristics of

vegetable oils from fatty acid composition. LWT - Food Sci Technol. 2008;

45. Tezaki S, Iwama R, Kobayashi S, Shiwa Y, Yoshikawa H, Ohta A, et al. Δ12-fatty acid

desaturase is involved in growth at low temperature in yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Biochem

Biophys Res Commun [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2017;488:165–70. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.05.028