High-throughput phenotyping of *C. elegans* wild isolates reveals specific resistance and

susceptibility traits to infection by distinct microsporidia species

Calvin Mok[1#], Meng A. Xiao[1*], Yin C. Wan[1*], Winnie Zhao[1], Shanzeh M. Ahmed[1], Robert Luallen[2]

and Aaron W. Reinke[1#]

[1] Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

[2] Department of Biology, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA

*These authors contributed equally

#Corresponding authors

calvin.mok@utoronto.ca

aaron.reinke@utoronto.ca

Abstract

Animals are under constant selective pressure from a myriad of diverse pathogens. Microsporidia

are ubiquitous animal parasites, but the influence they exert on shaping animal genomes is mostly

unknown. Using multiplexed competition assays, we measured the impact of four different species

of microsporidia on 22 wild isolates of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. This resulted in the identification

of 13 strains with altered resistance or sensitivity to infection. One of these identified strains,

JU1400, is sensitive to an epidermal-infecting species by lacking tolerance to infection. JU1400

is also resistant to an intestinal-infecting species and can specifically recognize and destroy this

pathogen. Genetic mapping of JU1400 demonstrates that these two opposing phenotypes are

caused by separate alleles. Transcriptional analysis reveals that this lack of tolerance in JU1400

results in a response that shares similarity to that induced by toxins. In contrast, we do not observe

JU1400 resistance being regulated at the transcriptional level. The transcriptional response to

these four microsporidia species is conserved, with *C. elegans* strain-specific differences in

potential immune genes. Together, our results show that phenotypic differences to microsporidia

infection amongst *C. elegans* are common and that animals can evolve species-specific genetic

interactions.

Introduction

Obligate intracellular parasites only propagate inside of host cells, which places extreme pressure

on the host, resulting in genomic changes [1–3]. Animals have coevolved with pathogens and

genetic variation to pathogen susceptibility is common in animal populations [4,5]. Much of this

genetic and phenotypic diversity to infection is specific to individual species of pathogens or even

to different strains [6,7]. Hosts can evolve several ways to improve their fitness in the presence

of pathogens. They can become more resistant by preventing pathogen invasion or by limiting

pathogen growth [8]. Alternatively, hosts can evolve to better tolerate the infection, by limiting the

negative effects of infection without reducing the amount of pathogen [9,10].

Microsporidia are a large phylum of eukaryotic obligate intracellular pathogens with a wide host

range including humans and agriculturally important animals such as honey bees and shrimp [11–

14]. Microsporidia infections cause developmental delays, a reduction in progeny, and increased

mortality [15–17]. Several studies have shown that microsporidia can affect host population

dynamics and evolution. Microsporidia have been suggested to influence the composition of

Caenorhabditis elegans genotypes and resistant strains can outcompete sensitive ones in just a

few generations [18–20]. In competition experiments with over a dozen isolates of *Daphnia*

magna, different microsporidia species have been shown to select for specific strains [21,22].

Using genetic mapping, several genomic regions contributing to microsporidia resistance in *C.*

elegans, *D. magna*, and honey bees have been identified [19,23–26].

C. elegans has become a powerful model for studying host-pathogen coevolution [27–30]. In the

wild, *C. elegans* contend with bacterial, fungal, viral, and microsporidian pathogens and these co-

evolving species represent strong selective pressures on the animal's genome [31,32]. To

facilitate the study of natural diversity, hundreds of isolates of *C. elegans* have been collected

from around the world [33]. These wild isolates have different levels of susceptibility to pathogens

and natural variants have been discovered that impact traits such as bacterial avoidance and viral

susceptibility [7,19,34–37]. Although studying phenotypic variation in wild isolates is a powerful

approach, measuring each strain individually is time-consuming and laborious. To circumvent this

issue, strategies have been developed to perform multiplex competitive fitness assays under

selective conditions such as starvation stress or different bacterial diets [38–40]. Several

approaches, termed either PhenoMIP or MIP-seq, determine the identity of these individual

strains by using molecular inversion probes (MIPs) to enrich for their unique genomic signatures

[39,40].

A common microbial infection found in wild *C. elegans* is from species of microsporidia in the

Nematocida genus. Infection of *C. elegans* by microsporidia begins with ingestion of a chitin-

coated spore [41]. The spore then fires its unique infectious apparatus, known as a polar tube,

and deposits its sporoplasm within the host. The sporoplasm then replicates to form membrane-

bound, multinucleated cells know as meronts which then differentiate into spores that are shed

by the host [16]. Some *Nematocida* species, such as *N. parisii*, *N. ausubeli*, and *N. ironsii*, infect

only the intestine, while others, such as *N. displodere*, *N. ferruginous*, and *N. cider*, can proliferate

in several tissues including the muscle and epidermis [15,19,41–43]. *C. elegans* possess several

mechanisms to defend itself against microsporidia [44,45]. Infection with microsporidia induces

transcriptional upregulation of genes known as the Intracellular Pathogen Response (IPR) [46].

Mutations in several negative regulators of the IPR cause elevated expression of the IPR and

resistance to microsporidia infection [47–49]. *C. elegans* also possess a separate form of inherited

immunity that is activated by infection of parents and is transferred to progeny [48].

Here we present the results of a high-throughput fitness competition of 22 pooled *C. elegans* wild

isolates infected by four species of microsporidia at multiple doses. We identified 16 candidate

interactions of either host resistance or sensitivity. We demonstrate that the wild isolate JU1400

has distinct and opposing responses to infection by different microsporidia species. This strain

has a defect in tolerance to the epidermally-infecting *N. ferruginous* but is resistant to the

intestinal-infecting *N. ironsii* through specific elimination of infection by this species. Through

mapping experiments and the generation of recombinant strains, we demonstrate that the

opposing phenotypes of JU1400 are caused by separate alleles. In contrast to the species-

specific phenotypes we observed from fitness competitions, transcriptional analysis showed a

host response to infection that is mostly independent of the microsporidia species, but dependent

upon the *C. elegans* strain. Notably, we identified a signature of down-regulated genes that has

overlap with the *C. elegans* response to toxins, and this downregulated response was most

prominent in the tolerance-defective strain JU1400 infected with *N. ferruginous*. Our results

demonstrate that PhenoMIP can efficiently identify resistant and sensitive wild isolates and that

microsporidia genotype-specific interactions are common in *C. elegans*.

Results

A PhenoMIP screen of wild isolate strains reveals diverse responses to microsporidia

infection

To identify *C. elegans* strains that vary in their resistance or susceptibility to microsporidia

infection, we carried out a modified PhenoMIP screen. We chose 22 *C. elegans* wild isolate strains

that are genetically diverse and isolated from a range of geographic regions (**Supplementary Figure S1**). We selected four pathogenic microsporidia species that differ in their impact on *C. elegans* development and the tissues they infect (*N. parisii*, *N. ausubeli*, and *N. ironsii* infect the intestine and *N. ferruginous* infects the muscle and epidermis) (**Figure 1A and Supplementary Table S1**) [42,50–53]. We pooled equal numbers of synchronized first larval stage (L1) wild isolates and a laboratory reference-derived strain (VC20019) into a single population. We then plated these animals along with their food source of *Escherichia coli* bacteria mixed with one of four doses of each microsporidia species (high, medium, low, and none) (**Supplementary Table S2**). We hypothesized that a high-dose exposure could identify *C. elegans* strains that were resistant or tolerant to microsporidia infection while medium- and low-dose exposures might identify strains exhibiting mild to strong susceptibility to microsporidia infection. Animals were exposed to microsporidia spores for 72 hours at 21°C, before collecting and adding a mixture of sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite to the population. This mixture inhibits microsporidia from infecting the next generation of animals and synchronizes the population [48]. This microsporidia-free population of L1s was then grown in the absence of infection for 72 hours at 21°C to allow intergenerational immunity to reset [48]. Except for the *N. ausubeli* medium-dose infection replicates (**see Methods**), worms in each condition were infected for an additional three cycles for a total of eight generations. Adult animals were collected every two generations at the end of the uninfected phase and DNA was isolated for further analysis (**Figure 1B**).

To determine how population composition changes due to exposure to microsporidia, we determined the relative abundance of each strain within each condition. We used MIPs to target strain-specific variants for sequencing at high depth. In total we sequenced 127 samples, which is the equivalent of 2162 infections and 736 mock/control infections. Combining the eight control replicate sets we calculated a mean fold-change rate (FCR) as well as a modified z-score distribution for each strain. Comparing this mean FCR against other replicates, we identified 16

nematode/microsporidia pairings with FCRs for identical replicates that were consistently +/- 1.5

z-scores from the mean (**Figure 2 and Table 1**). We also generated standard growth profiles for

each strain in an environment free of pathogen exposure and with infection by each of the

microsporidia species (**Figure 3A and B**). From our analysis we observed ten interaction pairs

that were susceptible and six that were resistant, including the previously reported resistance

phenotype of CB4856 to *N. ironsii* (**Supplementary Figure S2**) [51,52]. We identified five

additional interactions with *N. ironsii* (ERTm5), eight with *N. ferruginous* (LUAm1), two with *N.*

ausubeli (ERTm2), and no strong interactions with *N. parisii* (ERTm1). In total, we identified 13

strains with phenotypes, including the strains JU360, JU1400, and MY2 that had interactions with

more than one species of microsporidia (**Figure 2 and Table 1**).

JU1400 fails to tolerate *N. ferruginous* infection

To validate a subset of our large-scale multiplex identification of microsporidia-strain phenotypes,

we performed isogenic population infection experiments. We infected individual strains with doses

of microsporidia spores equivalent to those used in the PhenoMIP experiment (**Figure 3C and D**

and Supplementary Figures S3-S5). We examined a combination of phenotypes including

morphology of adult populations, formation of microsporidia meronts or spores, and embryo

production. Most resistant strains tended to experience a smaller reduction in embryo production

compared to lab reference N2. Susceptible strains, on the other hand, experienced developmental

delay phenotypes and/or a reduction in fecundity – both of which would contribute negatively to

the fitness readout observed by PhenoMIP. These phenotypes were most evident in JU1400 and

MY1 which both had severe, dose-dependent responses to infection by the epidermal

microsporidia species *N. ferruginous* (**Figure 3C and D and Supplementary Figure S6**). In total,

of the 12 pairs that we tested (**Table 1**), nine had a reduction in embryos that could account for

the fitness changes observed from our PhenoMIP screen. Additionally, of the eight pairs we

retested that had a z-score over 2.5, seven had a significant reduction in embryos when infected,

compared to N2.

To examine how a *C. elegans* strain responds to different microsporidia species, we focused on

JU1400, in which we observed interactions with both *N. ferruginous* and *N. ironsii*. To determine

why JU1400 is sensitive to *N. ferruginous*, we performed pulse-chase experiments by infecting

animals for 3 hours, washing away free spores, and replating animals for an additional 69 hours

[51]. We observed that the percentage of JU1400 and N2 animals infected with *N. ferruginous*

was similar (**Figure 3E and Supplementary Figure S7A and B**). Additionally, the amount of

meronts formed during infection did not significantly differ between N2 and JU1400 (**Figure 3F**

and Supplementary Figure S7C and D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that

although JU1400 is more sensitive to the effects of *N. ferruginous*, JU1400 is not significantly

more infected, suggesting a defect in tolerance.

To determine the specificity of JU1400 to *N. ferruginous* infection, we infected JU1400 with other

related microsporidia species and strains. We infected JU1400 with a second isolate of *N.*

ferruginous, LUAm3, as well as a separate muscle and epidermal-infecting microsporidian, *N.*

cider [43]. Infection by LUAm3 caused the same severe phenotype as LUAm1 (**Supplementary**

Figure S8A and B). JU1400 is infected by *N. cider* to a similar extent as N2, but infection by this

species resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in embryo numbers in JU1400 that was not

observed in N2 (**Supplementary Figure S8C and D**).

JU1400 specifically clears *N. ironsii* infection

In addition to susceptibility to *N. ferruginous,* we also observed a fitness disadvantage in JU1400

to high-dose exposures of *N. ironsii* in our PhenoMIP screen (**Figure 3A**). When we infected

JU1400 with *N. ironsii* for 72 hours, we observed no significant difference in the normalized

number of embryos between N2 and JU1400 (**Figure 4A**). However, JU1400 is much less

infected, suggesting that JU1400 is resistant to *N. ironsii* infection **(Figure 4C and**

Supplementary Figure S9A and C). To determine whether there was a fitness advantage at a

later time point, we exposed JU1400 animals to *N. ironsii* for 96 hours and observed significantly

more embryos and significantly less infection compared to N2 (**Figure 4B and D and**

Supplementary Figure S9B and D). Together our data suggest that although JU1400 appears

to have a short-term disadvantage in our pooled competition assays, in the long-term this strain

has an advantage due to enhanced resistance towards *N. ironsii*.

Several mechanisms of *C. elegans* resistance to microsporidia infection have been described,

including a block of invasion or clearance of infected parasite [48,51,52,54]. To determine how

JU1400 is resistant to *N. ironsii* infection, we performed a pulse-chase experiment by infecting

animals for 3 hours, washing away any external microsporidia spores, and examining animals at

3, 24, and 72 hours post infection (hpi). Both N2 and JU1400 had similar number of sporoplasms

at 3 hpi, but JU1400 had decreased levels of pathogen at both 24 and 72 hpi (**Figure 5A**). This

experiment revealed that JU1400 does not block microsporidia invasion, but instead has the

capability to actively eliminate *N. ironsii* infection. To determine if the resistance in JU1400 was

also dependant upon development stage, we performed pulse-chase infections at the L4 stage

and observed that JU1400 animals could no longer clear the infection (**Figure 5B**).

Resistance to *N. ironsii* has previously been observed in the *C. elegans* CB4856 strain [51]. This

resistance has been shown to occur through a modest decrease in invasion and the ability to

clear the infection at the L1 stage. To compare the properties of these two resistant strains, we

infected both strains with *N. ironsii* for 72 hours. This experiment shows that JU1400 is more

resistant to infection **(Figure 5C)**. To determine the specificity of JU1400 resistance, we tested

infection with either *N. parisii* or *N. ausubeli* for 72 hours. CB4856 was slightly, but not significantly

less infected by *N. parisii* and *N. ausubeli,* whereas JU1400 was infected by these species to the

same extent as N2 (**Figure 5D**). We also tested the ability of JU1400 and CB4856 to clear

microsporidia infection, and both strains could only eliminate *N. ironsii* (**Supplementary Figure**

S9E and F). Together, these results demonstrate that JU1400 resistance is specific to *N. ironsii*.

The immunity of JU1400 against *N. ironsii* could be because JU1400 specifically recognizes *N.*

ironsii infection and induces broad immunity towards microsporidia or the immunity itself could be

specific for *N. ironsii*. To distinguish between these possibilities, we set up coinfection

experiments using species-specific FISH probes and then coinfected JU1400 and N2 animals

with *N. ausubeli* and either *N. ironsii* or *N. parisii*. Under these conditions, *N. ironsii* sporoplasms

are eliminated regardless of the presence of *N. ausubeli*. There is no clearance of *N. ausubeli*

observed, either by itself or in the presence of *N. ironsii*. (**Figure 5E and Supplementary figure**

S10A and B). No clearance was observed in N2 regardless of the infection condition

(**Supplementary Figure S10C**). These results further demonstrate that JU1400 has specific

immunity towards *N. ironsii*.

Genetic mapping reveals multiple loci are responsible for the interactions of JU1400 with

microsporidia

The opposing phenotypes of JU1400 in response to microsporidia infection could be caused by

the same or different genetic loci. To determine the genetic basis for JU1400 being sensitive to

N. ferruginous and resistant to *N. ironsii*, we mapped the causative alleles using a pooled

competitive fitness assay [55]. We crossed JU1400 to the mapping strain VC20019 and infected

F2 animals with either *N. ferruginous* for 72 hpi or *N. ironsii* for 96 hpi (**Supplementary Table**

S3). After two rounds of selection, genomic DNA was isolated from each sample and MIP-MAP

sequencing libraries were generated [55]. As a control, we used this approach to map the

resistance of CB4856 to *N. ironsii.* We then compared our results to a previous study that

determined regions responsible for resistance [51]. Although the previous mapping study was

based on pathogen load as opposed to our mapping measuring a competitive fitness advantage,

several regions on chromosome II and the right arm of chromosome V are in agreement with each

other (**Supplementary Figure S11)**.

Our mapping results of JU1400 with *N. ferruginous* identified a single peak of VC20019 marker

fixation on the left-hand side of chromosome I (**Figure 6A**). This mapping was repeated with MY1,

another strain with a fitness disadvantage with *N. ferruginous* **(Figure 3B-D)**. The region being

selected against was the same in MY1, although this strain also carries the z*eel-1/peel-1*

incompatibility locus at chromosome I which accounts for the specific signal seen at the

I:2,726,021 marker in both the infected and uninfected samples (**Supplementary Figure S12A)**

[56]. To determine if the same locus was responsible for *N. ferruginous* in MY1 and JU1400, we

tested their ability to complement. First, we determined that both MY1 and JU1400 display a

recessive phenotype when crossed to our control strain. We then mated MY1 and JU1400 and

the resulting cross progeny were susceptible to *N. ferruginous* infection, indicating that the two

strains do not complement (**Supplementary Figure S13A and B**). We then infected MY1 with *N.*

ironsii. Although there were slightly fewer MY1 animals containing spores compared to N2, we

did not observe any difference in meront numbers, and JU1400 animals had less spores or

meronts than MY1 (**Supplementary Figure S13C and D**). This result is consistent with our

PhenoMIP data which did not indicate a strong interaction between MY1 and *N. ironsii* **(Figure**

3B and Figure 2). Together this data suggests a region on the left side of chromosome I is

responsible for sensitivity to *N. ferruginous* in several strain*s*.

Our mapping of JU1400 with *N. ironsii* identified three regions that are linked with resistance to

infection, one on the left-hand side of chromosome II from 1,639,865-4,426,687, a second in a

broad area of chromosome V from 11,035,658-18,400,066, and a third on the right-hand side of

chromosome X from 15,180,772-17,676,467 (**Figure 6B**). A similar pattern was observed when

these mapping experiments were performed at 72 hours, with the exception that the region on

chromosome X was no longer observed (**Supplementary Figure S12B**). We also observed a

region on the left side of chromosome I where JU1400 variants were selected against upon

infection. Together, our data suggests that JU1400 resistance to *N. ironsii* is multigenic and can

be influenced by infection time.

To confirm that the region on chromosome I was responsible for sensitivity to *N. ferruginous*

infection, we generated Near Isogenic Lines (NIL). These NILs were constructed by crossing a

version of N2 that contained GFP integrated on chromosome I (AWR133) (**Supplementary**

Figure S14A). We tested eight independent NILs that contained at least some JU1400 sequence

to the left of I:2,851,000. All eight of these NILs were sensitive to infection by *N. ferruginous*

(**Supplementary Figure S14B).** We used molecular markers to genotype these NILs, mapping

the susceptibility locus to I:1-1,051,810 (**Supplementary Table S4**). We then outcrossed one of

these NILs, AWR140 back to N2 six times. During this outcrossing process, we recovered two

lines, AWR144 and AWR145, that contained different responses to *N. ferruginous* infection

(**Figure 6D**). We performed whole-genome sequencing of AWR138 (another independently

isolated NIL), AWR140, AWR144, and AWR145 which narrowed the critical region of association

for our phenotype to I:1-701,078 (**Figure 6C**). Within this region, we identified 68 protein-coding

variants across 41 genes. The NIL AWR145 is sensitive to *N. ferruginous* infection but is only

partially resistant to infection by *N. ironsii* (**Figure 6D and E).** Together, our data demonstrate

that the *N. ferruginous* sensitivity and *N. ironsii* resistance to JU1400 are determined by distinct

genetic loci.

Transcriptional analysis of the JU1400 response to microsporidia infection

To determine how the JU1400 strain differs in its response to microsporidia infection, we

performed transcriptional analysis. We grew three biological replicates of N2 and JU1400 L1

stage animals either without microsporidia or infected with one of *N. parisii*, *N. ironsii*, *N.*

ferruginous, or *N. ausubeli* for 48 hours. We then extracted and sequenced the *C. elegans* and

microsporidia mRNA. First, we mapped the microsporidia mRNA in each of the eight infected

samples. For the three intestinal infecting species, between ~1-9% of reads were mapped for

each sample, with the exception of *N. ironsii* in JU1400, where few reads were identified, which

is consistent with this strain being resistant to this species. For *N. ferruginous*, fewer reads were

observed in either strain compared to the intestinal species, which is consistent to what we

observed by microscopy (**Supplementary Figure S15 and Supplementary Table S5**).

We then sought to determine how the two strains responded to the four different microsporidia

species (**Supplementary Table S6**). First, we used principal component analysis, which

accounted for about half of the variance observed in the data and revealed a clear clustering

between the two strains (**Figure 7A and Supplementary Figure S16**). Second, we clustered the

differential expression profiles of the eight infected samples. This approach revealed several large

groups of genes that were differentially expressed either across most samples or within the same

strain **(Figure 7B** and **Supplementary Table S7).** Although the largest difference in the samples

is between the two strains, we observe significant correlation between strains infected with each

microsporidia species (**Supplementary Figure S17**).

We then determined the properties of genes that are differentially regulated in each sample**.** The

number of differentially upregulated or downregulated genes ranged from 6-819, with the most

being in JU1400 infected with *N. ferruginous* **(Figure 7C).** We then classified differentially

expressed genes into either conserved, microsporidia species specific, strain specific, or species-

strain specific categories **(Figure 7D)**. We identified 97 genes upregulated in all samples, and no

genes that were broadly downregulated. We identified ~30-50 differentially expressed genes

specific to either *N. ausubeli* or *N. ferruginous* infection, one gene specific to *N. parisii* infection,

and no genes specific to *N. ironsii* infection. We also observed 45 or more differentially

upregulated genes that were specific to either N2 or JU1400. To determine the types of

differentially expressed genes in these samples, we annotated them using a set of domains

previously observed to be regulated during microsporidia infection [46,47,57] (**Supplementary**

Table S8). About 35% of the conserved response were genes containing these domains, with the most frequent being PALS, F-box, and MATH/BATH domains. About 25% of the strain specific genes also contained these domains, with each strain having at least one PALS, F-box, and MATH/BATH, c-type lectin, and skr domain- containing gene expressed that is specific to that strain **(Figure 7D)**. Skp-related proteins (*skr*) in *C. elegans* were shown to bind to CUL-6 to ubiquitylate specific proteins[58]. *skr-4* is upregulated in both host strains infected by all microsporidia species. Among the upregulated genes, we also observe strain specific *skr* genes such as JU1400 specific *skr-6*, and N2 specific *skr-5* (**Supplementary Table S7)**.

To understand how transcriptional responses correlated with resistant and susceptible phenotypes, we analyzed genes that were specifically regulated in JU1400 when infected with either *N. ironsii* or *N. ferruginous* **(Figure 7D)**. Surprisingly, we only observed three differentially expressed genes specific to *N. ironsii* infection of JU1400. In contrast, we saw 181 upregulated and 266 down-regulated genes specific to *N. ferruginous* infection of JU1400. Many of the upregulated genes contained domains enriched in the IPR. The down-regulated genes contained a few genes with IPR domains, as well as 23 genes with cuticle collagen domains. These proteins with collagen domains were especially striking, as we never identified more than one of these genes having altered expression in any of the other gene sets.

To determine if other stresses had similarities to the response of JU1400 to *N. ferruginous*, we compared the set of genes specifically differentially expressed in this sample to previously published *C. elegans* transcriptional datasets [59]. We identified the top ten chemical or microbial treatment data sets that had the most significant overlap with JU1400 infected with *N. ferruginous* (**Supplementary Table S9**). We then determined the overlap of these data sets to all our samples (**Figure 7E and Supplementary Table S10).** This revealed that genes differentially downregulated in JU1400 in response to *N. ferruginous* infection overlapped significantly with treatments of chemical poisons such pesticides (fenamiphos and dichlorvos), organic compounds

(acrylamide and tert-butyl hydrogen peroxide), and the heavy metals (mercury and cadmium). All

of these treatments have been shown previously to inhibit the development or the production of

progeny in *C. elegans* [60–63]. We also observed significant overlap of the response to these

chemicals with JU1400 infected with *N. ironsii*, and both strains infected with *N. ausubeli*. These

three infected samples along with JU1400 infected with *N. ferruginous* also contained the largest

number of significantly down regulated genes (**Figure 7C and Supplementary Figure S18).**

Additionally, we observed significant overlap of these four microsporidia infected samples to

pathogenic bacteria or toxins including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Bacillus*

thuringiensis, *Xenorhabdus nematophila*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (**Figure 7F**). These

patterns of transcriptional overlap were the most striking with differentially downregulated genes,

although some overlap with upregulated genes was also observed (**Supplementary Figure S19**).

Discussion

Animal populations often have genetic diversity to bacterial, viral, and fungal pathogens, but less

is known about how microsporidia influences host variation [5,6]. To investigate how different

microsporidia species impact genetic diversity, we measured the phenotypic responses to

infection in a collection of genetically diverse *C. elegans* wild isolates. A total of 13 out of 22

strains displayed a significant difference in sensitivity or resistance to infection compared to the

population. We detected interactions with three of the four microsporidia species we measured,

with *N. ironsii* and *N. ferruginous* accounting for most of the sensitive and resistance interactions

observed.

So far, the causative gene for any host variants that influence microsporidia interactions has not

been identified. Previous genetic mapping in *C. elegans*, *D. magna*, and honey bees have

revealed 3-6 loci involved in resistance and sensitivity to microsporidia [19,23–26]. Additionally,

some loci in *D. magna* appear to be specific to different microsporidia species, which we show to

be the case for JU1400 [24]. Our genetic analysis of JU1400 identified that susceptibility to *N.*

ferruginous in both JU1400 and MY1 mapped to a single region on the left arm of chromosome I

while the resistance phenotype of JU1400 to *N. ironsii* appears to be caused by at least three

genomic regions. Taken together, our results add to the increasing evidence that suggests that

microsporidia have had a major impact on the evolution of animal genomes.

Microsporidia infect a wide diversity of animal phyla and infections are highly prevalent [12,13,64].

Nine species of microsporidia have been reported to infect *C. elegans*, with four having been

found in wild isolates and five capable of infecting *C. elegans* after isolation of microsporidia from

other nematode species [15,19,41,65]. The most common are the intestinal-infecting *N. parisii*

and *N. ausubeli,* which have been found in Europe, the United States, India, and Africa. The

geographical relationships between the strongest interactions we detected is not clear; JU1400

was isolated in Spain, *N. ironsii* in Hawaii, and *N. ferruginous* in France. Although host genetic

factors were shown to be responsible for the geographic range of a microsporidian infecting *D.*

magna, further work is needed to understand if there is a geographic basis to microsporidia

resistance and susceptibility in *C. elegans* [66].

Pathogen tolerance is being increasingly appreciated as a valuable way for hosts to improve

fitness in the presence of pathogens, though these mechanism are less understood [67]. Here we

show that JU1400 is very sensitive to infection by two different epidermal infecting microsporidian

species, but this strain does not have significantly higher levels of *N. ferruginous* than non-

sensitive control strains. RNA-seq analysis reveals that JU1400 has a unique response to

microsporidia when infected with *N. ferruginous*. This response shares similarities to several

chemical toxins and pathogens that have been shown to damage *C. elegans*. This signature is

also detected to a lesser extent in other strain-species pairings that cause developmental delay,

including *N. ausubeli* with either strain or *N. ironsii* with JU1400 [50]. Further work uncovering the

genetic variant responsible for this aberrant response will help elucidate the mechanistic basis of

tolerance to microsporidia infection.

JU1400 is resistant to *N. ironsii* infection by clearing the invaded parasite. This immune

mechanism is similar to previous results seen in *N. ironsii* infection of CB4856. However, the

immunity in JU1400 results in less infection than in CB4856. Additionally, the fitness gain

observed in JU1400 occurs at a later time point. The JU1400 resistance mechanism is specific,

as the clearance we observe does not occur in even the closely related sister species *N. parisii*,

which shares 92% identical DNA [42]. A strain of mosquito that is resistant to infection by a

parasitic nematode was shown to rely on activation of an upregulated immune response [68].

However, we see no evidence for an increased transcriptional response of JU1400 in response

to *N. ironsii*. A potential caveat to these experiments is that we infected JU1400 at the L1 stage,

but measured the transcriptional response at the L4 stage, a stage where if infections are initiated,

parasite clearance is not observed. We do observe additional parasite clearance between the L3

and adult stages, suggesting that if there was transcriptional signature of resistance, it would be

observed under our experimental conditions. Instead of a specific transcriptional response of

JU1400 to *N. ironsii*, we observe a *C. elegans* strain-specific response of immune genes including

upregulation of several CHIL genes, which have been observed to be upregulated in response to

epidermally infecting oomycetes, but have not previously been observed in response to

microsporidia infection [69,70]. This strain specific-response of *C. elegans* has also been

observed with several bacterial pathogens, suggesting that there exists a diversity of innate

immune responses within the *C. elegans* population [71]. We also observe more strain-specific

regulated genes than species-specific regulated genes, suggesting that *C. elegans* responds

similarly to infection by different species of *Nematocida* [72].

Here we demonstrate that PhenoMIP can be used to identify variants of pathogen infection in a

high-throughput manner. The genomic loci responsible for these phenotypes can then be

identified using MIP-MAP [73]. We only tested a small fraction of the current *C. elegans* isotypes

identified, suggesting there is much variation to microsporidia within *C. elegans*. Our pooled

selection approach could also be applied to other *C. elegans*-related microbes such as those

isolated from the *C. elegans* microbiome or known pathogens and viruses [74,75]. Here we

studied the animal's reproductive fitness, but our approach could be modified to select for

pathogen load using fluorescently labelled pathogens. The wild isolate collections of *C. elegans*

provide a unique opportunity to study how animals evolve in response to infection. Coupling this

collection with a high-throughput sequencing approach for variant identification is likely to be

fruitful in discovering and elucidating the evolved mechanisms of host-responses in coevolving

pathogens.

401 **Acknowledgements**

We thank Hala Tamim El Jarkass for providing helpful comments on the manuscript. We thank

John Calarco for the kind gift of plasmids to perform CRISPR and Robert Waterston and Donald

Moerman for the kind gift of reagents to perform PhenoMIP and MIP-MAP. This work was

supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research grants no. 400784 and 461807 and an

Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship FG2019-12040 (to A.W.R.). Some strains were provided by

the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440)

and we thank WormBase.

409 **Author contributions**

410 C. M. and A. W. R. conceived of the project. C. M., M. A. X., Y. C. W., and A.R.W. designed and

411 analyzed the experiments and cowrote the paper, with consolation from all the authors. C. M., M.

412 A. X., W.Z., and S. M.A. conducted experiments. R. J. L. provided novel reagents. A.W.R.

413 provided funding and mentorship.

414 **Competing Interests**

415 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Methods

Nematode maintenance and worm pooling

Nematode strains were maintained at 21°C on a modified version of nematode growth media

NGM) with a 1:1 ratio of Agar:Agarose to reduce burrowing by wild isolate strains. NGM plates

were seeded with OP50-1 bacteria grown to saturation overnight in lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C

and concentrated to 10X. All wild isolate strains used (**Supplementary Data S1**) are available

from the CGC. The AWR133 mapping strain was generated from VC20019 by inserting a GFP at

I:2,851,135 using CRISPR-based homologous recombination [76]. Nematode strains were grown

continuously without any starvation or contamination for a minimum of two weeks before seeding

individual strains to two 15-cm NGM plates seeded with 1.5 ml of 40X concentrated OP50-1. After

96 hours, gravid adult populations were bleach-synchronized [77] and allowed to hatch into axenic

M9 media for ~16 hours at 20°C. Synchronized L1 samples were counted and equal numbers of

animals were mixed into a single population before redistributing ~13,000 L1s to each 15-cm

NGM experimental plate with a mixture of 1.5 ml of 40X OP50-1 and the appropriate amount of

spores as needed (See **Supplementary Table S2**).

PhenoMIP competitive assays

PhenoMIP competitive assays were based on a previously described protocol [39]. We modified

this method to use bleach-synchronization between generations to directly measure egg-

production as an output of population fitness. At each generation, samples were bleach-

synchronized as gravid adults ~72 hours after plating L1s and incubating at 21°C. Bleached

embryos were then allowed to hatch over 18-22 hours while incubating on a shaker at 21°C in

axenic M9 media. Each sample was gently pelleted and 3 – 7 µl of each pellet was used to seed

the experimental plate for the next generation of animals. Overall, the competitive assay consisted

of four total cycles, with one infection generation and one rest generation per cycle. For each

infection cycle, 3 ml of 40X OP50-1 culture was mixed in 15-ml falcon tubes with twice the

indicated dose of spores used on each infection experiment before splitting the mixture to each

duplicate plate. Worm populations for each specific replicate were then added to the plate and

samples were distributed evenly across the NGM surface before drying. For each rest cycle, worm

pellets were plated onto 15-cm NGM plates previously seeded with 1.5 ml of 40X OP50-1 culture.

Prior to bleach-synchronizing the 72h-resting generation, a subsample of the population was

collected and frozen as a genomic DNA source. Microsporidia doses were adjusted after the first

infection round in order to ensure high enough selection pressure throughout the population

without causing the populations to become completely infertile or developmentally arrested

(**Supplementary Table 2**) [78]. The Initial infection round with *N. ausubeli* caused animals on the

high-dose plates to produce no progeny. Therefore, the medium-dose infection series became

the high-dose series at 53,000 spores/cm^2. A new medium-dose series was started using an

uninfected control replicate population from the first rest-phase. This new medium-dose series

(39,800 spores/cm^2) therefore only experienced three rounds of infection selection of *N. ausubeli*.

PhenoMIP sequencing and analysis

PhenoMIP sequencing libraries were generated using previously designed MIPs for the wild

isolate strains [39]. Individual MIPs were normalized to a concentration of 100 µM and pooled to

a maximum volume of 85 µl. 10 µl of 10X Polynucleotide Kinase (PNK) Buffer and 5 µl of PNK

were added to a volume of 85 µl pooled MIPs before incubating for 45 minutes at 37°C and 20

minutes at 80°C. This pool was then diluted to a working concentration of 330 nM. Libraries were

generated using 160 ng of genomic DNA from each sample and generated as previously

described [55]. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq system with each PhenoMIP

library ranging between 0.0882×10^6 and 2.723×10^6 reads and a mean of 9566 ± 7994 reads per

probe.

For each sequencing library, reads were initially analysed as previously described [55] with the

exclusion of the normalization step for each MIP. After abundance of each MIP was calculated,

an average abundance was calculated for each strain as well as a standard deviation across this

average. These values were used in downstream analysis of population structure across multiple

timepoints.

Population structure and fold-change analysis was calculated across each experiment using the

amalgamated data from above. Strains with a starting abundance value below 5×10^{-3}, CB4854

and JU312, were eliminated from downstream population analysis. Remaining data were further

transformed with any values below 1.0×10^{-3} being converted to this value to accommodate $\log_2$

growth analysis. Total fold-change and mean fold-change were calculated based on starting and

end-point changes in abundance versus total generations (two generations per infection cycle).

In samples with negative trajectories, however, the final generation of growth was calculated as

the first instance of abundance at or below the lower limit of 1.0×10^{-3}. Mean fold-change rate was

calculated based on the total fold-change abundance in the final generation of growth divided by

the expected number of generations passed. Each experimental condition may have had multiple

biological replicates (referred to in text as replicates), each with a time-series of samples. Each

fold-change rate calculated for a single time-series represented a single replicate. Mean fold-

change rate for each strain was therefore a combination of multiple replicates across multiple

experimental conditions (**Supplementary Data S1**).

Given the nature of the smaller datasets and the expectation of potential outliers stretching out

the tails of the mean FCR distribution, modified z-scores were calculated using the following

formula where $\tilde{Y}$ is the median of the data and $MAD = median(|Y_i - \tilde{Y}|)$

$$M_i = \frac{0.6745(x_i - \tilde{x})}{MAD}$$

To identify outliers and potential interaction candidates, we used a minimum z-score of ± 1.5 as

our threshold where both replicate samples were each required to meet the threshold.

Microsporidia infection assays

Continuous microsporidia infection assays were conducted using ~1,000 bleach-synchronized

L1s obtained from cultures rotating at 21°C for 18-22 hours. Worm populations were pre-mixed

in a 1.5 ml microfuge tube with 300 µl of 10X OP50-1 and microsporidia spores (**Supplementary**

Table S2). Samples were then spread onto individual unseeded 6-cm NGM plates and allowed

to dry before incubating at 21°C. Plate populations were imaged using a

Leica S9 i Stereomicroscope before fixing samples for microscopy. Animals were washed in

M9/0.1% Tween-20 at least three times before fixing with acetone. Chitin staining for

microsporidia and embryos was performed using Direct Yellow 96 [50]. Meronts and sporoplasms

were visualized using FISH probes conjugated to CAL Fluor Red 610 (LGC Biosearch

Technologies). _N. ferruginous_ was stained using 3 ng/ul of a mix of three CAL Fluor Red 610

probes designed to target the 18S rRNA: GTTGCCCGCCCTCTGCC,

CTCTGTCCGTCCTCGGCAA, and CGGTCTCTAATCGTCTTC. For species specific staining,

MicroF-CAL Fluor Red 610 (AGACAAATCAGTCCACGAATT) was used for _N. parisii_ and

Microsp1A-FAM (CAGGTCACCCCACGTGCT) was used for _N. ausubeli_. Unless otherwise

noted, all remaining FISH probes used 5 ng/µl of MicroB, a _Nematocida_ microsporidia 18S rRNA

sequence: CTCTCGGCACTCCTTCCTG [53]. Stained samples were mixed with Biotium

EverBrite mounting medium with DAPI before mounting on slides for quantitation.

Microscopy and image quantification

All imaging was done using an Axio Imager.M2 (Zeiss) and captured via ZEN software under

identical exposure times per experiment. Animals containing clumps of spores visible in the body

after 72 hours by DY96 straining were considered as 'new spore formation'. Animals with one

sporoplasm or more in intestinal cells stained with FISH were considered infected. Gravidity of

worms was determined by counting the number of embryos per hermaphrodite animal.

Pathogen burden and body size was determined using ImageJ/FIJI software [79]. Individual

worms were outlined as "region of interest" with selection tools. Body size of worms were

determined using the "measure area" function. To quantify fluorescence (pathogen burden),

signal from FISH staining was subjected to the "threshold" function followed by "measure percent

area" tool.

Pulse-chase assays

Pulse-chase assays were performed on 6-cm NGM plates with roughly 6,000 bleach-

synchronized L1s obtained from cultures rotating at 21°C for 18-22 hours. Worm populations were

first premixed in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube with 5 µL of 10X OP50-1 and 1.25 million spores

per microsporidia strain. This dose was chosen to produce N2 controls with 85-90% infection

rates at 3 hpi. Samples were then spread onto individual unseeded 6-cm NGM plates and allowed

to dry before incubating at 21°C for 3 hours. Plates were then washed at least two times with M9

in a 1.5mL microcentrifuge tube to remove OP50-1 and residual spores. Half the population was

then fixed in acetone and stored at -20°C. The other half was mixed with 50 µL of 10X OP50-1

and plated onto 6-cm NGM plates. Samples were then incubated at 21°C for 21 hours (24hpi),

washed, fixed, and replated (with 300 µL of 10X OP50-1) in the same manner as described above.

The plates were incubated at 21°C for 48hours (72hpi) before washing and fixing in acetone.

Pulse-chase co-infection assays were performed as described above, except samples were

infected with either one or two species of microsporidia for 24 hours total. Pulse-chase assays

initiated at the L4 stage were performed by using ~3000 bleach-synchronized L1s mixed with 200

μL of 10X OP50-1 and plated on unseeded 6-cm NGM plates for 48 hours. Worm populations

were then washed two times with M9 in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes. 50 μL of 10X OP50-1 and

spores were added to each worm population and then plated on unseeded 6-cm NGM plate for 3

hours at 21°C. Samples were then washed and replated as described above. Chitin and FISH

staining were performed as described above for all pulse-infection experiments.

MIP-MAP and variant analysis

Wild isolates were mapped using a competitive fitness approach after crossing with males of the

mapping strain AWR133, a CRISPR-inserted Pmyo-2::GFP version of VC20019. Mapping was

completed using 2-4 competitive selection cycles on 1- cm NGM plates. Each cycle consisted of

2 generations of recombinant populations, each added to plates as bleach-synchronized L1

animals. In the first generation ~10,000 L1 cross-progeny animals were exposed to continuous

microsporidia infection (See **Supplementary Table S3**) for ~72 hours before bleach-

synchronizing. Next, ~8,000 L1s were plated within 24 hours to "rest" on OP50-1-seeded NGM

plates and grown for ~72 hours before being bleach-synchronized. L1 progeny of the "rest" phase

were then plated onto both an OP50-1-seeded plate with spores to begin the next cycle and a

secondary plate without spores to generate a sample to collect genomic DNA. Genomic samples

were prepared with 250 ng of genomic DNA and VC20019 MIP-MAP library probes as previously

described[55]. Sequencing libraries were run on an Illumina NextSeq, with 161,054-801,840

reads per library and a mean of 4005 ± 2760 reads per MIP across the entire data set.

Near isogenic lines construction

Near isogenic lines were tracked across chromosome I using four markers located at four

locations matching sequenced variants identified in JU1400: cewivar00066519 (491,763),

cewivar00067570 (1,051,810), cewivar00069114 (1,567,450), and cewirvar00070489

(2,012,869). These markers were used for restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis with

the enzyme DraI. JU1400 hermaphrodites were crossed with AWR133 males. F1 GFP+ animals

at the L4 stage were chosen to produce F2 animals. 48 GFP+ animals were chosen and screened

at marker cewivar00066519 for JU1400 homozygosity and further screened at cewirvar00070489

for JU1400 homozygosity or heterozygosity. Seven F2 lines were chosen based on their

genotyping and four of these gave rise to five main progenitor NILs, each of which was used to

create a separate GFP+ and GFP- NIL strain. In total 10 NILs were generated and tested for

susceptibility against LUAm1. One line was chosen for outcrossing (AWR140) which gave rise to

the AWR144 and AWR145 NILs.

C. elegans **WGS Analysis**

Worm strains used for WGS were grown on 10-cm plates with OP50-1 for 3 days and allowed to

starve. Plates were then washed at least two times with M9 in microcentrifuge tubes before

freezing in liquid freezing solution and then stored at -80C. gDNA was then extracted and

quantified with Qubit™ HS system. gDNA quality was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis

and photometric OD260/280 of 1.8-2.0. gDNA was submitted to The Centre for Applied Genomics

(TCAG) for library preparation and whole genome sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 SR50

Rapid Run flowcell in pools of six samples per lane. Raw sequencing reads of each NIL were

aligned to the N2 reference of the WBcel215 release (accession: PRJNA13758) using bowtie2

[80]. Then, samtools was used to convert it into .bam format and freebayes was used to perform

variant calling with the default settings [81,82]. The breakpoints between VC20019 and JU1400

in chromosome I of each NIL were computed and visualised using vcf-kit [83].

RNA extraction and mRNA sequencing

Infection samples for mRNA sequencing were prepared by mixing ~10,000 bleach-synchronized

L1 stage animals with 1mL of 20X OP50-1 and 10 million *N. parisii* spores, 10 million *N. ausubeli*

spores, 10 million *N. ironsii* spores, and 30 million *N. ferruginous* spores in four separate

microcentrifuge tubes. Samples were then spread onto individual NGM plates and allowed to dry

before incubating at 21°C for 48 hours. Samples for RNA extraction were washed in M9/0.1%

Tween-20 in 15mL conical tubes at least three times before adding 1mL of TRI-Reagent® (Sigma-

Aldrich). Total RNA extraction and ethanol precipitation protocols was performed as per Tri-

Reagent® manufacturers instruction. Libraries were prepared using NEBNext® Ultra™ II

Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® kit as per manufacturer's instruction. RNA

sequencing was carried out using Illumina NovaSeq-SP flow cell by The Centre for Applied

Genomics (TCAG).

Microsporidia RNA-seq analysis

Using Bowtie2 v2.4.4, indexes were built from *Nematocida parisii* strain ERTm1 (Genbank:

GCF_000250985.1), *Nematocida ausubeli* strain ERTm2 (Genbank: GCA_000738915.1),

Nematocida parisii strain ERTm5 (Genbank: GCA_001642415.1) and *Nematocida ferruginous*

strain LUAm1 (Accession: JALPMW000000000) The genome annotation file of each *Nematocida*

species was converted into gtf format using Gffread v0.12.3. Paired end reads were aligned to

corresponding index and genome annotation files using TopHat v2.1.2. Cufflinks v2.2.1 was used

to generate a transcriptome assembly for each sample, which was then combined with the

corresponding reference genome annotation using Cuffmerge of the Cufflinks 2.2.1 package to

produce a single annotation file.

***C. elegans* mRNA-seq analysis**

The paired end reads generated for each strain were submitted as separate projects to Alaska

v1.7.2 (http://alaska.caltech.edu), which is an online automated RNA-seq analysis tool in

collaboration with Wormbase. Briefly, Bowtie2 [80], Samtools [81], RseQC [84], FastQC, and

MultiQC [85] were used for quality control of the input files. Kallisto [86] was used for the read

alignment and quantification, followed by differential analysis by Sleuth. For both N2 and JU1400

samples, the reads were aligned to the N2 reference of the WS268 release (accession:

PRJNA13758).

Principal component analysis

613   The N2 and JU1400 normalised abundance measurements generated by Alaska, were read by

614   the readRDS() function of the R package base v4.1.1. Normalized counts for each gene in each

615   strain were generated via sleuth_to_matrix() with the "obs_norm" data and "tpm" units. PCA of

616   gene expression was performed using samples from each strain, and merged samples, via the R

617   package pcaexplorer v2.20.2 [87].

Differentially expressed genes of N2 and JU1400 hosts

Log2 fold change values of duplicated genes in each of the eight samples were averaged using

the R package dplyr v1.0.8. Genes with FDR-adjusted p-value of <0.01 were regarded as

significant. Significant genes expressed in at least three out of the eight samples were used for

hierarchical clustering via the function hclust() from the R package stats v4.1.1, then plotted using

R packages ggdendrogram and ggplot2 v3.3.5. Differentially upregulated genes were defined as

those with an FDR-adjusted p-value<0.01 and log2 fold change ≥0 (infected vs. control);

differentially downregulated genes were defined as those with an FDR-adjusted p-value <0.01

and log2 fold change ≤0 (infected vs. control).

Strain-specific, pathogen-specific and strain-pathogen pair specific genes

Strain-specific genes were defined as genes that are significantly upregulated or downregulated

in at least three out of the four JU1400 or N2 samples. Pathogen-specific genes were defined as

those significantly upregulated or downregulated in both JU1400 and N2 upon infection by the

same microsporidia species, but not observed when infected by other microsporidia species.

Moreover, strain-pathogen pair specific genes were defined as genes that are significantly

upregulated or downregulated in a particular strain-pathogen sample, but not observed in the

other seven strain-pathogen samples.

Domain enrichment analysis

We chose to look at F-box, MATH/BATH, PALS, DUF684 and DUF713 domains containing

genes, implicated from differentially regulated genes of *N. parisii* infected *C. elegans* in previous

publications [88,89]. Lists of gene names from respective gene classes were downloaded

separately from Wormbase (http://wormbase.org/) and the corresponding protein-coding

sequences were extracted from Wormbase ParaSite (https://parasite.wormbase.org/).

Alignments of other domains or classes were downloaded directly from Pfam

(http://pfam.xfam.org/) separately. After deleting duplicated sequences, protein sequences of

these classes of genes were converted to Stockholm format using Clustal Omega Multiple

Sequence Alignment tool (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). Hmmbuild of HMMER

v3.3.2[90] used the Stockholm format files to build respective profile HMMs, which was then

searched against *C. elegans* (accession: PRJNA13758) proteome of WS268 release using

hmmsearch. Output genes with E-value <1e-5 were used as the gene list for the enrichment

analyses. In the output genes, MATH and BATH genes were combined into one list; fbxa, fbxb

and fbxc genes were merged into the gene list for F-box (**Supplementary Table S10**). Using the

R package gplots v3.1.1, the number of genes overlapping between the lists and samples were

computed, then plotted using R package ggplot2 v3.3.5. Genes that do not fall into any of these

gene classes or have any of these domains were categorised as "other".

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

The WormExp [59] dataset, which contains published expression data for *C. elegans*, was

downloaded from the WormExp v1.0 website (https://wormexp.zoologie.uni-kiel.de/wormexp/).

The overlap between published datasets of *C. elegans* exposed to different chemicals or

pathogens (**Supplementary Table S9**) and our samples, as well as the strain specific, pathogen-

specific, and strain-pathogen pair specific genes in our dataset were computed using the R

package gplots v3.1.1. For the statistical evaluation of the overlap, the p-values were calculated

using the adjusted Fisher exact test method from the program EASE, which removes one gene

in the set of intersected genes. Correction for multiple testing were implemented using the

Bonferroni method via the p.adjust() of the R package stats v4.1.1.

Volcano plots

Values of duplicated genes in each of the four host-microsporidia samples were averaged using

the R package dplyr v1.0.8. Genes with FDR<0.05 and log2 Fold Change >0 or log2 Fold Change

<0 were categorised as differentially upregulated or downregulated respectively. The volcano

plots were generated by ggplot2 v3.3.5 in R.

Data and code availability

All samples were deposited under NCBI BioProject PRJNA841603 and the sequence reads for

all samples were submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive. Data from all the infection

experiments is in **Supplementary Data S1**. PhenoMIP analysis scripts can be found at

https://github.com/camok/PhenoMIP.

Figure 1. A PhenoMIP high-throughput screen to identify wild strains that are either resistant or susceptible to microsporidia infection. (A) N2 L1 animals that were uninfected (i) or exposed to either 3.5 million *N. parisii*, *N. ironsii*, or *N. ausubeli* spores or 1.25 million *N. ferruginous* spores for 72 hours, were fixed and stained with the following 18S FISHs probes (i,

ii, iv; MicroB-CAL Fluor Red 610, iii; Microsp1A-FAM and v; a combination of three Fluor Red 610

probes described in the Methods). Scale bars, 100 μm. (B) PhenoMIP screening protocol for

microsporidia requires that separate unstarved populations for each of 22 wild isolate strains as

well as VC20019 (lab reference-derived strain) be sodium hyplochlorite-synchronized (i) before

pooling in equimolar amounts (ii) and infecting (iii) with different microsporidia species at doses

that can impose fitness challenges to the lab reference strain. Populations are grown for 72 hours

post-infection (iv) before sodium hypochlorite-synchronizing (v) and grow free of infection for an

additional 72 hours (vi). Uninfected populations are harvested, subsampled for genomic DNA,

and sodium hypochlorite-synchronized (vii) before repeating the infection and rest phases for an

additional three rounds.

Figure 2. Analysis of PhenoMIP mean fold-change rate identifies candidate nematode/microsporidia interactions. Violin plots of 20 wild isolates and lab reference-derived control strain VC20019 showing modified z-scores of mean fold-change rate (FCR) per generation derived from the eight mock-infection replicates. Overlayed are the six infection replicates for each of *N. parisii* (A), *N. ausubeli* (B), *N. ironsii* (C), and *N. ferruginous* (D). Replicates are coloured by mock infection (yellow), low dose (green), medium dose (blue), and high dose (purple) with increasing point size associated with increasing dose. Dotted lines represent the z-score candidate threshold of ±1.5. The strains CB4854 and JU312 were excluded from analysis due to low initial population abundance.

Figure 3. JU1400 lacks tolerance to N*. ferruginous* infection. (A-B) Line graph of fold change across multiple time points and conditions for JU1400 (A) and MY1 (B). Microsporidia strains are denoted by point shape and doses of microsporidia are denoted by line type. The eight uninfected control replicates are bounded within the greyed area and the mean of the uninfected control is denoted by a solid red line. (C-D) Strains were infected for 72 hours with *N. ferruginous*. (C) Dissecting microscope images of live animals growing on plates. (D) Dotplot of normalized embryo counts of animals fixed and stained with DY96 with mean and SEM bars. Data is combined from three biological replicates with n={41,50} worms per replicate sample. (E-F) N2 and JU1400 animals were infected for three hours with 1.25 million spores of *N. ferruginous* before washing away uneaten spores from each population. (E) Dotplot of sporoplasms counted in N2 and JU1400 animals fixed immediately after infection. Data is combined from three biological replicates with n=40 worms per replicate sample. (F) Barplot with SEM bars depicting mean parasite burden as a function of percent fluorescent area per animal by FISH staining in N2 and JU1400 populations fixed at 72 hpi. Data is combined from three biological replicates with n={29, 53} worms per replicate sample. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.001$ (***) and not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure 4. JU1400 is resistant to *Nematocida ironsii* infection. (A-D) N2 and JU1400 were

infected with *N. ironsii* for 72 hours (A and C) or 96 hours (B and D), fixed and stained with DY96.

(A-B) Dotplots of normalized embryo counts of animals fixed and stained with DY96 with mean

and SEM bars. Data is combined from three biological replicates with n={47,50} worms per

replicate sample. (C-D) Barplot with SEM bars of percent population with new spore formation

from three combined replicate experiments. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with

Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined as p ≤ 0.001 (***) and not significant as p > 0.05 (ns).

Figure 5. JU1400 specifically clears *N. ironsii* infection at the earliest larval stage. (A-B) N2 and JU1400 animals were pulse infected for 3 hours with *N. ironsii* before washing away excess spores. Animals were pulse infected at either the L1 stage and fixed at 3-, 24-, and 72 hpi (A) or animals were pulse infected at the L4 stage before fixing at 3- and 24 hpi (B). Samples were then stained with an *N. parisii* 18S RNA FISH probe and animals containing either sporoplasms or meronts were counted as infected. Barplot with SEM bars show percent of animals infected per replicate from three biological replicates with n=20 worms per replicate. (C-D) N2, JU1400, and CB4856 were infected at the L1 stage with either *N. parisii*, *N. ausubel*, or *N. ironsii* for 72 hours and then fixed and stained with DY96. Barplot with SEM bars show percent of animals generating spores per replicate from three biological replicates with n=20 worms per replicate. (E) JU1400 animals were pulse infected for 3 hours with *N. parisii*, *N. ausubeli*, *N. ironsii, N. parisii + N. ausubeli, or N. ausubeli + N. ironsii* before washing away excess spores. Animals were either fixed immediately at 3 hpi or at 24 hpi. Samples were then stained with species-specific 18S rRNA FISH probes and animals containing either sporoplasms or meronts were counted as infected. Barplot with SEM bars show percent of animals infected per replicate from three biological replicates with n={78, 147} worms per replicate. P-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.01$ (**), $p \leq 0.001$ (***) and not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure 6. Genetic mapping of JU1400 host-response phenotypes identifies distinct loci.

Genetic mapping of JU1400 susceptibility to *N. ferruginous* (A) and JU1400 resistance to *N. ironsii*

(B) was performed with MIP-MAP using the AWR133 mapping strain. Green square-points and

dotted lines represent a mock-infection replicate with the solid blue and orange lines representing

two biological replicates exposed to specific infection conditions. Red arrows indicate candidate

regions of interest based on the expected genome fixation direction during phenotype selection.

(C) Whole-genome sequencing haplotype map of chromosome I near-isogenic lines (NILs)

generated from crosses between JU1400 and AWR133. Regions of chromosome I for specific

NILs are coloured by their inferred haplotype. Strain names are indicated to the left of each with

AWR138, AWR140, and AWR145 sharing a susceptibility phenotype (Red text) while AWR144 is

not susceptible (Blue text) to *N. ferruginous* infection. (D) N2, JU1400, AWR144, and AWR145

L1 stage animals were infected with *N. ferruginous* before being fixed at 72hpi and stained with

DY96 to visualize embryos. Dotplot of normalized embryo counts of with mean and SEM bars.

Data is combined from three biological replicates with n=50 worms per replicate sample. (E) N2,

JU1400, AWR144, and AWR145 L1 stage animals were infected with *N. ironsii* before being fixed

at 72hpi and stained with DY96 to visualize mature spores. Barplot with SEM bars show percent

of animals generating new spores per replicate from three biological replicates with n=50 worms

per replicate. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance

was defined as $p \leq 0.001$ (***) and not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure 7. RNA-seq analysis of N2 and JU1400 infected with four species of microsporidia.

N2 and JU1400 animals were infected with one of four species of microsporidia (see Methods for doses) for 48 hours and RNA was extracted and sequenced. (A) Principal component analysis of uninfected and infected N2 and JU1400 samples. Circles represent confidence ellipses around each strain at 95% confidence interval. (B) Heatmap of transcriptional profiles of differentially regulated genes in N2 and JU1400 samples. Rows represent gene clustered hierarchically. Scale is of differential regulation of infected compared to uninfected samples. Grey tile indicates that the gene is not expressed in the sample. (C-D) Domain enrichment analysis of significantly upregulated and downregulated genes in each sample (C) and of specific gene sets (strain, pathogen, and strain-pathogen pair) (D). (E-F) Overlap between published datasets of differentially expressed *C. elegans* genes upon exposure to chemicals or bacterial infection and downregulated genes from each sample (E) or from strain specific, pathogen specific, strain-pathogen pair specific gene sets. (A-F) uni (uninfected), npa (*N. parisii*), nau (*N. ausubeli*), nir (*N. ironsii),* and nfe (*N. ferruginous)*. Upregulated samples are represented with orange text; downregulated samples are represented with pink text.

Supplementary material

Figure S1. Geographical locations of *C. elegans* and microsporidia used in this study.

Figure S2. The Hawaiian (CB4856) strain shows resistance to infection by high-dose *N. ironsii*.

Figure S3. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ferruginous* infection.

Figure S4. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ironsii* infection.

Figure S5. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ausubeli* infection replicates.

Figure S6. JU1400 and MY1 share similar response phenotypes to infection by *N. ferruginous*.

Figure S7. JU1400 and N2 display similar levels of *N. ferruginous* infection.

Figure S8. JU1400 displays a similar response to *N. ferruginous* strains and sensitivity to *N. cider*.

Figure S9. JU1400 is resistant to infection by *N. ironsii*.

Figure S10. JU1400, but not N2, can specifically eliminate *N. ironsii* infection.

Figure S11. Genetic mapping of CB4856 resistance to *N. ironsii.*

Figure S12. Genetic mapping of interaction phenotypes of JU1400 to *N. ironsii* and MY1 to *N. ferruginous* using 72-hour selections.

Figure S13. JU1400 and MY1 susceptibility to *N. ferruginous* is recessive and MY1 is less resistant than JU1400 to ERTm5.

Figure S14. Generation of near-isogenic lines carrying the chromosome I region that is

responsible for susceptibility to *N. ferruginous*.

Figure S15. Microsporidia mRNA reads per sample demonstrates JU1400 resistance to *N.*

***ironsii*.**

Figure S16. Principal component analysis of N2 and JU1400 samples.

Figure S17. Comparison of correlation between N2 and JU1400 strains after infection with

different microsporidia species.

Figure S18. Volcano plots of differentially regulated genes.

Figure S19. Overlap between the significant upregulated genes upon microsporidia

infection and differentially expressed datasets of *C. elegans* genes upon exposure to

chemicals or bacterial infection.

Table S1. Microsporidia species information.

Table S2. PhenoMIP microsporidia infection doses.

Table S3. Wild isolate mapping microsporidia infection doses.

Table S4. NIL strain chromosome I region composition.

Table S5. Microsporidia gene FPKM values in N2 and JU1400.

Table S6: Normalized *C. elegans* gene counts for all RNA-seq replicates from this study.

Table S7: Results of *C. elegans* differential expression analyses for all RNA-seq

comparisons performed in this study.

Table S8. Gene classes and domains used for enrichment analyses.

Table S9. Published data sets from WormExp used in this study.

Table S10. List of gene set overlaps to other data sets.

Data S1. Data of all infection experiments.

A

863 **Figure S1. Geographical locations of *C. elegans* and microsporidia used in this study.** (A)

864 World map highlighting the locations where the *C. elegans* wild isolate strains (orange circles)

865 and *Nematocida* species (green triangles) used in this study were originally isolated.

Figure S2. The Hawaiian (CB4856) strain shows resistance to infection by high-dose *N. ironsii*. Line graph of fold change across multiple time points and conditions for CB4856 demonstrates potential fitness increase relative to mock-infection conditions when infected with *N. ironsii*. Microsporidia strains are denoted by point shape and doses of microsporidia are denoted by line type. The eight uninfected control replicates are bounded within the greyed area with the mean of the uninfected controls denoted by a solid red line.

Figure S3. Figure S3. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ferruginous* infection. (A-C) Strains of *C. elegans* were infected with medium and high doses of *N. ferruginous* for 72 hours, imaged, fixed, and stained with DY96 and a *N. ferruginous* 18S rRNA FISH probe. (A) images of N2 and VC20019 controls versus AB1, JU397, ED3042, and JU360 at 72 hpi. (B) Dotplot with mean and SEM bars of normalized embryo counts from infected populations with a minimum of n={41,70} worms per sample. (C) Barplot depicting the percent of

886 animals with detectable meront staining. p-values denote comparison to N2 controls and were

887 determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.001$ (***)

888 and not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure S4. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ironsii* infection. (A-C) Strains of *C. elegans* were infected with medium and high doses of *N. ironsii* for 72 hours, imaged, fixed, and stained with DY96. (A) images of N2 and VC20019 controls versus CB4856, JU300, JU1400, and MY2 at 72 hpi. (B) Dotplot with mean and SEM bars of normalized embryo counts from infected populations with a minimum of n={45,115} worms per sample. (C) Barplot depicting the percent of animals with newly generated spores. p-values denote

897   comparison to N2 controls and were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc.

898   Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.001$ (***), $p \leq 0.01$ (**), $p \leq 0.05$ (*) and not significant as $p >$

899   0.05 (ns).

Figure S5. Single-strain testing of PhenoMIP interaction candidates with *N. ausubeli* infection. (A-C) Strains of *C. elegans* were infected with medium and high doses of *N. ausubeli* for 72 hours, imaged, fixed, and stained with DY96. (A) images of N2 and VC20019 controls versus JU360 and MY2 at 72 hpi. (B) Dotplot with mean and SEM bars of normalized embryo counts from infected populations with a minimum of n={54,71} worms per sample. (C) Barplot

depicting the percent of animals with newly generated spores. p-values denote comparison to N2

controls and were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined

as $p \leq 0.05$ (*) and not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure S6. JU1400 and MY1 share similar response phenotypes to infection by _N._

ferruginous. JU1400, MY1 and N2 L1s were infected with a medium dose of _N. ferruginous_ for

72 hours, fixed, and stained with _N. ferruginous_ 18S rRNA FISH probes and DY96. (A) Dotplot

with mean and SEM bars of embryo counts from infected populations with a minimum of n={41,50}

worms per sample. (B) Barplot with SEM bars depicting the percent of animals with visible

meronts by FISH staining. p-values denote comparison to N2 controls and were determined by

two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.01$ (**), $p \leq 0.05$ (*) and

not significant as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure S7. JU1400 and N2 display similar levels of *N. ferruginous* infection. (A-D) JU1400

and N2 L1s were infected with 1.25 million spores of *N. ferruginous* for 3 h, washed to remove

spores, and replated for an additional 69 h. Animals were fixed at 3 hpi and 72 hpi and stained

with *N. ferruginous* 18S rRNA FISH probes. (A) Representative images of infected animals at 72

hpi. Scale bars are 100 µm. (B) Barplot with SEM bars depicting the percent of animals displaying

either sporoplasm (3 hpi) or meronts (72 hpi) by FISH staining. (C) Dotplot with mean and SEM

bars of parasite burden by area in infected populations with a minimum of n={41,50} worms per

sample. (D) Barplot with SEM bars depicting mean parasite burden as a function of percent

fluorescent area per animal by FISH staining. Data in (C, D) is combined from three biological

replicates with n=40 worms per replicate sample. p-values denote comparison to N2 or JU1400

controls and were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Not significant was

defined as p > 0.05 (ns).

Figure S8. JU1400 displays a similar response to *N. ferruginous* strains and sensitivity to

937 ***N. cider*.** (A-D) N2 and JU1400 L1 stage animals were infected with medium doses of *N.*

938 *ferruginous* strain LUAm1 or LUAm3 (A-B) or a medium dose of *N. cider* (C-D) for 72 hours, fixed,

939 and stained with DY96 and a 18S rRNA FISH probe. (A, C) Dotplot of normalized embryo counts

940 of animals with mean and SEM bars. (B, D) Barplot with SEM bars of percent population with

941 meronts visible . Data are combined from three biological replicates with n=50 worms per

942 replicate. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance was

943 defined as p ≤ 0.05 (*), p ≤ 0.001 (***), and not significant as p > 0.05 (ns).

944

Figure S9. JU1400 is resistant to infection by *N. ironsii*. N2 and JU1400 L1 stage animals were infected with mock, medium, and high doses of *N. ironsii* for 72 (A and C) and 96 (B and D) hours and fixed. Embryos and mature spores are visualized by staining with DY96 (green), meronts are stained by a 18S rRNA FISH probe. (A-B) Representative images of high dose

infection from each experiment. Scale bars are 100 µm. (C-D) Barplots with SEM bars of percent

population with meronts visible. Data in (C, D) is combined from three biological replicates each

with n={47,50} worms per replicate. (E-F) N2, JU1400, and CB4856 animals at the L1 stage were

infected for 3 hours with 1.25 million spores of either *N. parisii*, *N. ausubeli*, or *N. ironsii* before

washing away excess spores. Animals were either fixed at 3 hours (E) or replated for an additional

21 hours (F) and then fixed. Shown are barplots with SEM bars of percent population with meronts

visible. Data in (E, F) are combined from three biological replicates each with n={59,179} worms

per replicate. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Significance

was defined as p ≤ 0.05 (*), p ≤ 0.001 (***), and not significant as p > 0.05 (ns).

Figure S10. JU1400, but not N2, can specifically eliminate *N. ironsii* infection. N2 and JU1400 animals at the L1 stage were pulse infected for 3 hours with *N. parisii*, *N. ausubeli*, *N. ironsii, N. parisii + N. ausubeli, or N. ausubeli + N. ironsii* before washing away excess spores. Animals were either fixed immediately at 3 hpi or at 24 hpi. Samples were then stained with species-specific 18S RNA FISH probes (*N. ausubeli* = green; *N. parisii* and *N. ironsii* = magenta) and animals containing either sporoplasms or meronts were counted as infected. (A) Representative images of N2 and JU1400 infected with *N. parisii* and *N. ausubeli* (A) and *N. ironsii* and *N. ausubeli* (B). Scale bar 10 μm for 3 hpi and 25 μm for 24 hpi. Insets at top right for each

image show a zoomed in image of the outlined boxes. (C) Barplot with SEM bars show percent

of N2 animals infected per replicate from three biological replicates with n={59, 179} worms per

replicate. p-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc. Non-significance

was defined as $p > 0.05$ (ns).

Figure S11. Genetic mapping of CB4856 resistance to *N. ironsii*. Genetic mapping of CB4856

resistance to N. ironsii was performed with MIP-MAP using the AWR133 mapping strain. Green

square-points and dotted lines represent a mock-infection replicate with the solid blue and orange

lines representing two biological replicates exposed to high dose infection conditions for 72-hours

per selection cycle. A red arrow on chromosome V indicates a strong signal on the right arm.

Additional red arrows on chromosomes II and IV indicate broad regions of interest across the

chromosomes that may contribute to CB4856 resistance phenotypes.

Figure S12. Genetic mapping of interaction phenotypes of JU1400 to *N. ironsii* and MY1 to *N. ferruginous* using 72-hour selections. Genetic mapping of (A) JU1400 resistance to *N. ironsii* and (B) MY1 susceptibility to *N. ferruginous* was performed with MIP-MAP using the AWR133 mapping strain. Green square-points and dotted lines represent a mock-infection replicate with the solid blue and orange lines representing two biological replicates exposed to specific infection conditions. Red arrows indicate candidate regions of interest based on the expected genome fixation direction during phenotype selection. Golden arrow indicates the z*eel-1/peel-1* incompatibility locus at chromosome I.

1000

1001

1002

1003

Figure S13. JU1400 and MY1 susceptibility to *N. ferruginous* is recessive and MY1 is less

resistant than JU1400 to *N. ironsii*. (A-B) Analysis of F1 progeny from crosses between

AWR133 (VC20019 with integrated pmyo-2::GFP) and the wild isolates JU1400 or MY1 as well

as F1 progeny from crosses between JU1400 hermaphrodites and MY1 males. F1s were

examined 72 hours post-infection by *N. ferruginous* for body size (A) and normalized embryo

counts (B). B) Dotplot with mean and SEM bars of normalized embryo counts from infected

populations with a minimum of n={47,51} worms per sample. (C-D). L1 stage animals were

infected for 72 hours with *N. ironsii*, fixed, and stained with DY96 and an 18S rRNA FISH probe.

Barplots depict the percent of animals with newly generated spores (C) or meront signal (D). p-

values denote comparison to N2 controls and were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey

post-hoc. Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.001$ (***), $p \leq 0.05$ (*) and not significant as $p > 0.05$

(ns).

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

Figure S14. Generation of near-isogenic lines carrying the chromosome I region that is responsible for susceptibility to *N. ferruginous*. A schematic (A) of 10 separate near-isogenic lines generated through a cross between AWR133 and JU1400. These lines were infected with a

1028   medium dose of *N. ferruginous* for 72 hours (B) and their resulting body size phenotype was

1029   assessed in comparison to N2 and JU1400 control strains.

1030

1031

1032   **Figure S15. Microsporidia mRNA reads per sample demonstrates JU1400 resistance to *N.***

1033   ***ironsii.*** Scatterplot of overall read mapping rate of *Nematocida* in both strains with mean and

1034   standard deviation. uni (uninfected), npa (*N. parisii*), nau (*N. ausubeli*), nir (*N. ironsii),* and nfe (*N.*

1035   *ferruginous)*.

A

B

Infection: Infected Uninfected

Figure S16. Principal component analysis of N2 and JU1400 samples. Principal component
plots of expression data from only (A) N2 samples or (B) JU1400 samples. Circles represent
confidence ellipses around each strain at 95% confidence interval. uni (uninfected), npa (*N.
parisii)*, nau (*N. ausubeli*), nir (*N. ironsii),* and nfe (*N. ferruginous).*

Figure S17. Comparison of correlation between N2 and JU1499 strains after infection with

different microsporidia species. Correlation between log2 fold changes in genes of N2 and

JU1400 animals infected with (A) *N. parisii* (B) *N. ausubeli* (C) *N. ironsii* (D) *N. ferruginous*.

Pearson's correlation value and p-value for each cluster (pink, green, blue, purple) are presented

in the upper left corner. Pearson's correlation value and p-value for all the genes are presented

in the bottom left corner. A gene with FDR adjusted p-value of <0.01 is deemed significant in each

strain.

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1056

Figure S18. Volcano plots of differentially regulated genes. (A) *N. parisii*-infected N2. (B) *N.*

parisii-infected JU1400. (C) *N. ausubeli*-infected N2. (D) *N. ausubeli*-infected JU1400. (E) *N.*

ironsii-infected N2. (F) *N. ironsii*-infected JU1400. (G) *N. ferruginous*-infected N2. (H) *N.*

ferruginous-infected JU1400. Each point represents a gene, orange points indicate differentially

upregulated genes, pink points are differentially downregulated genes while grey points represent

genes with FDR-adjusted p-value >0.01 (red horizontal line). The top five differentially

upregulated and downregulated genes with FDR-adjusted p-value <0.01 are labelled with their

respective gene names.

1065

1066

Bonferroni corrected p-values □ 0.05~1 □ 1e-10~0.05 ▨ 1e-50~1e-10 ▨ 1e-100~1e-50

Figure S19. Overlap between the significant upregulated genes upon microsporidia infection and differentially expressed datasets of *C. elegans* genes upon exposure to chemicals or bacterial infection. (A) upregulated genes from microsporidia-infected samples. (B) upregulated gens from strain specific, pathogen specific, and strain-pathogen specific gene sets. 'npa' indicates infection by *N. parisii*, 'nau' indicates infection by *N. ausubeli*, 'nir' indicates infection by *N. ironsii* while 'nfe indicates infection by *N. ferruginous*.

Table 1. PhenoMIP screen interaction hits

Strain	Site of isolation	Microsporidia species	Interaction	Modified z-score	Single infection embryo phenotype?
AB1	Adelaide, Australia	*N. ferruginous*	Resistance	1.65, 1.64	Yes
JU397	Ouistreham, France	*N. ferruginous*	Resistance	2.58, 2.59	Yes
LKC34	Antananarivo, Madagascar	*N. ferruginous*	Resistance	2.14, 2.40	N/A
ED3040	Johannesburg, South Africa	*N. ferruginous*	Susceptibility	-1.90, -1.90	N/A
ED3042	Capetown, South Africa	*N. ferruginous*	Susceptibility	-2.53, -1.57	Yes
JU360	Franconville, France	*N. ferruginous*	Susceptibility	-2.68, -2.74	Yes
MY1	Munster, Germany	*N. ferruginous*	Susceptibility	-6.05, -6.05 -4.52, -4.25 -2.17. -1.85	Yes
JU1400	Sevilla, Spain	*N. ferruginous*	Susceptibility	-6.43, -6.43 -3.33, -3.33	Yes
CB4856	Hawaii, USA	*N. ironsii*	Resistance	2.48, 2.88	Yes
JU300	Le Blanc, France	*N. ironsii*	Resistance	2.19, 2.70	No
MY2	Munster, Germany	*N. ironsii*	Susceptibility	-2.83, -3.50	Yes
JU533	Primel-Tregastel, France	*N. ironsii*	Susceptibility	-2.00, -2.00	N/A
JU642	Paris, France	*N. ironsii*	Susceptibility	-2.44, -3.06	N/A
JU1400	Sevilla, Spain	*N. ironsii*	Susceptibility	-1.78, -1.78	Yes
JU360	Franconville, France	*N. ausubeli*	Resistance	2.03, 1.88	No
MY2	Munster, Germany	*N. ausubeli*	Susceptibility	-2.07, -2.42	No

1093 **Table S1. Microsporidia species information**

Microsporidia species	Original host	Infected tissue	Geographical origin
Nematocida parisii[53]	*C. elegans*	Intestine	France
Nematocida ausubeli[53,65]	*C. briggsae*	Intestine	India
Nematocida ironsii[42,51]	*C. briggsae*	Intestine	Hawaii
Nematocida ferruginous[43]	*C. elegans* (likely)	Epidermis/muscle	France

1094

1095 **Table S2. PhenoMIP microsporidia infection doses**

Microsporidia species	Microsporidia strain	Infection round	Spores per cm^2 media		
			Low	Medium	High
Nematocida parisii	ERTm1	1	8,000	16,000	32,000
		2-4	13,269	26,539	53,078
		Follow-up infections		*26,539*	*53,078*
Nematocida ausubeli	ERTm2	1	26,539	NA	53,078
		2-4	26,539	39,808	53,078
		Follow-up infections		*17,692*	*53,078*
Nematocida ironsii	ERTm5	1	22,116	44,232	88,464
		2-4	30,692	61,924	123,849
		Follow-up infections		*61,924*	*123,849*
Nematocida ferruginous	LUAm1	1	88,464	176,928	353,857
		2-3	159,235	318,471	636,942
		4	159,235	318,471	751,592
		Follow-up infections		*318,471*	*751,592*
	LUAm3	*Follow-up infections*		*353,857*	
Nematocida cider	AWRm77	*Follow-up infections*		*141,542*	

1096 * effective dose of spores used in retests and additional infections
1097
1098 **Table S3. Wild isolate mapping microsporidia infection doses**

Wild isolate strain(s)	Microsporidia strain	Infection time (hours)	Infection round	Spores per cm^2 media
JU1400, MY1	*Nematocida ferruginous*	72	1-2	350,318
			3	445,859
JU1400	*Nematocida ironsii*	72	1	178,343
			2-3	254,777
JU1400	*Nematocida ironsii*	96	1-2	509,554

| CB4856 | *Nematocida ironsii* | 72 | 1 | 178,343 |
| | | | 2-3 | 254,777 |

1099

Table S4. NIL strain chromosome I region composition

Strain	I:491,763	I:1,051,810	I:1,567,450	I:2,012,869	GFP Status I-2,851,135
AWR134	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	--	+
AWR135	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	-
AWR136	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	+
AWR137	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	-
AWR138	JU1400	--	--	--	+
AWR139	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	-
AWR140	JU1400	JU1400	--	--	+
AWR141	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	-
AWR142	JU1400	JU1400	--	--	+
AWR143	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	JU1400	-

1101

Table S10. Gene classes and domains used for enrichment analyses.

1103

Domain/ Gene Family	Databse	Wormbase gene class OR Pfam ID
F-box	Wormbase	fbxa, fbxb, fbxc
MATH (meprin or Traf homology) or BATH (BTB and MATH domain-containing)	Wormbase	math, bath
PALS (protein containing ALS2CR12 signature)	Wormbase	pals
C-type lectins	Wormbase	clec
DUF713	Pfam	PF015218
DUF684	Pfam	PF05075
chil	Wormbase	chil
Histone	Pfam	PF00125
Nematode cuticle collagen N-terminal domain	Pfam	PF01484
skr (Skp1-related)	Wormbase	Skr
Other (Any genes not in the domain or gene family above)	-	-

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

References

1.   Band G, Rockett KA, Spencer CCA, Kwiatkowski DP. A novel locus of resistance to severe malaria in
a region of ancient balancing selection. Nature. 2015;526: 253–257. doi:10.1038/nature15390

2.   Samson M, Libert F, Doranz BJ, Rucker J, Liesnard C, Farber CM, et al. Resistance to HIV-1 infection
in caucasian individuals bearing mutant alleles of the CCR-5 chemokine receptor gene. Nature.
1996;382: 722–725. doi:10.1038/382722a0

3.   Sabeti PC, Schaffner SF, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Varilly P, Shamovsky O, et al. Positive natural selection
in the human lineage. Science. 2006;312: 1614–1620. doi:10.1126/science.1124309

4.   Lazzaro BP, Sceurman BK, Clark AG. Genetic Basis of Natural Variation in D. melanogaster
Antibacterial Immunity. Science. 2004;303: 1873–1876. doi:10.1126/science.1092447

5.   Duxbury EM, Day JP, Maria Vespasiani D, Thüringer Y, Tolosana I, Smith SC, et al. Host-pathogen
coevolution increases genetic variation in susceptibility to infection. eLife. 2019;8: 1–21.
doi:10.7554/eLife.46440

6.   Lazzaro BP, Sackton TB, Clark AG. Genetic variation in Drosophila melanogaster resistance to
infection: A comparison across bacteria. Genetics. 2006;174: 1539–1554.
doi:10.1534/genetics.105.054593

7.   Schulenburg H, Ewbank JJ. Diversity and specificity in the interaction between Caenorhabditis
elegans and the pathogen Serratia marcescens. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2004;4: 49.
doi:10.1186/1471-2148-4-49

8.   Marquet S. Overview of human genetic susceptibility to malaria: From parasitemia control to
severe disease. Infect Genet Evol. 2018;66: 399–409. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2017.06.001

9.   Raberg L, Sim D, Read AF. Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and tolerance to infectious
diseases in animals. Science. 2007;318: 812–814. doi:10.1126/science.1148526

10.   Medzhitov R, Schneider DS, Soares MP. Disease tolerance as a defense strategy. Science.
2012;335: 936–941. doi:10.1126/science.1214935

11.   Wadi L, Reinke AW. Evolution of microsporidia: An extremely successful group of eukaryotic
intracellular parasites. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16: e1008276. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008276

12.   Stentiford GD, Feist SW, Stone DM, Bateman KS, Dunn AM. Microsporidia: diverse, dynamic, and
emergent pathogens in aquatic systems. Trends Parasitol. 2013;29: 567–578.
doi:10.1016/j.pt.2013.08.005

13.   Murareanu BM, Sukhdeo R, Qu R, Jiang J, Reinke AW, Weiss LM. Generation of a Microsporidia
Species Attribute Database and Analysis of the Extensive Ecological and Phenotypic Diversity of
Microsporidia. mBio. 2021. pp. e01490-21.

14.   Willis AR, Reinke AW. Factors That Determine Microsporidia Infection and Host Specificity. Exp
Suppl. 2022;114: 91–114. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-93306-7_4

15.   Troemel ER, Felix M-A, Whiteman NK, Barriere A, Ausubel FM. Microsporidia are natural
1145   intracellular parasites of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Biol. 2008;6: 2736–2752.
1146   doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060309

1147   16.   Balla KM, Luallen RJ, Bakowski MA, Troemel ER. Cell-to-cell spread of microsporidia causes
1148   Caenorhabditis elegans organs to form syncytia. Nature Microbiology. 2016;1: 16144.

1149   17.   Higes M, Martín-Hernández R, Botías C, Bailón EG, González-Porto AV, Barrios L, et al. How natural
1150   infection by Nosema ceranae causes honeybee colony collapse. Environ Microbiol. 2008;10: 2659–
1151   2669. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01687.x

1152   18.   Richaud A, Zhang G, Lee D, Lee J, Félix M-A. The Local Coexistence Pattern of Selfing Genotypes in
1153   Caenorhabditis elegans Natural Metapopulations. Genetics. 2018;208: 807–821.
1154   doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300564

1155   19.   Balla KM, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Troemel ER. A Wild C. Elegans Strain Has Enhanced Epithelial
1156   Immunity to a Natural Microsporidian Parasite. PLoS Pathogens. 2015;11: e1004583.
1157   doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004583

1158   20.   Tamim El Jarkass H, Mok C, Schertzberg MR, Fraser AG, Troemel ER, Reinke AW. An intestinally
1159   secreted host factor promotes microsporidia invasion of C. elegans. Lourido S, Sengupta P, editors.
1160   eLife. 2022;11: e72458. doi:10.7554/eLife.72458

1161   21.   Capaul M, Ebert D. Parasite-Mediated Selection in Experimental Daphnia Magna Populations.
1162   Evolution. 2003;57: 249–260. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00260.x

1163   22.   Haag CR, Ebert D. Parasite–mediated selection in experimental metapopulations of Daphnia
1164   magna. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences. 2004;271: 2149–
1165   2155. doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2841

1166   23.   Huang Q, Lattorff HMG, Kryger P, Conte YL, Moritz RFA. A selective sweep in a microsporidian
1167   parasite Nosema-tolerant honeybee population, Apis mellifera. Animal Genetics. 2014;45: 267–
1168   273. doi:10.1111/age.12114

1169   24.   Keller D, Kirk D, Luijckx P. Four QTL underlie resistance to a microsporidian parasite that may drive
1170   genome evolution in its Daphnia host. bioRxiv. 2019; 847194. doi:10.1101/847194

1171   25.   Krebs M, Routtu J, Ebert D. QTL mapping of a natural genetic polymorphism for long-term parasite
1172   persistence in Daphnia populations. Parasitology. 2017;144: 1686–1694.
1173   doi:10.1017/S0031182017001032

1174   26.   Routtu J, Ebert D. Genetic architecture of resistance in Daphnia hosts against two species of host-
1175   specific parasites. Heredity (Edinb). 2015;114: 241–248. doi:10.1038/hdy.2014.97

1176   27.   King KC, Brockhurst MA, Vasieva O, Paterson S, Betts A, Ford SA, et al. Rapid evolution of microbe-
1177   mediated protection against pathogens in a worm host. The ISME Journal. 2016;10: 1915–1924.
1178   doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.259

1179   28.   Morran LT, Schmidt OG, Gelarden IA, Parrish RC, Lively CM. Running with the Red Queen: Host-
1180   Parasite Coevolution Selects for Biparental Sex. Science. 2011;333: 216–218.
1181   doi:10.1126/science.1206360

1182   29.   Papkou A, Guzella T, Yang W, Koepper S, Pees B, Schalkowski R, et al. The genomic basis of Red
1183   Queen dynamics during rapid reciprocal host–pathogen coevolution. PNAS. 2019;116: 923–928.
1184   doi:10.1073/pnas.1810402116

1185   30.   Masri L, Branca A, Sheppard AE, Papkou A, Laehnemann D, Guenther PS, et al. Host–Pathogen
1186   Coevolution: The Selective Advantage of Bacillus thuringiensis Virulence and Its Cry Toxin Genes.
1187   PLOS Biology. 2015;13: e1002169. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002169

1188   31.   Schulenburg H, Félix M-A. The Natural Biotic Environment of . Genetics. 2017;206: 55–86.

1189   32.   Zhang G, Sachse M, Prevost M-C, Luallen RJ, Troemel ER, Felix M-A. A Large Collection of Novel
1190   Nematode-Infecting Microsporidia and Their Diverse Interactions with Caenorhabditis elegans and
1191   Other Related Nematodes. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12: e1006093. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006093

1192   33.   Cook DE, Zdraljevic S, Roberts JP, Andersen EC. CeNDR, the Caenorhabditis elegans natural
1193   diversity resource. Nucleic Acids Research. 2017. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw893

1194   34.   Ashe A, Bélicard T, Le Pen J, Sarkies P, Frézal L, Lehrbach NJ, et al. A deletion polymorphism in the
1195   Caenorhabditis elegans RIG-I homolog disables viral RNA dicing and antiviral immunity. eLife.
1196   2013;2013: 1–21. doi:10.7554/eLife.00994.001

1197   35.   Sterken MG, Sluijs L van, Wang YA, Ritmahan W, Gultom ML, Riksen JAG, et al. Punctuated loci on
1198   chromosome IV determine natural variation in Orsay virus susceptibility of Caenorhabditis elegans
1199   strains Bristol N2 and Hawaiian CB4856. bioRxiv. 2020; 2020.10.30.361683.
1200   doi:10.1101/2020.10.30.361683

1201   36.   Reddy KC, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Kim DH. A Polymorphism in npr-1 Is a Behavioral Determinant
1202   of Pathogen Susceptibility in C. elegans. Science. 2009;323: 382–384. doi:10.1126/science.1166527

1203   37.   Huang Y, Kammenga JE. Genetic Variation in Caenorhabditis elegans Responses to Pathogenic
1204   Microbiota. Microorganisms. 2020;8: 618. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8040618

1205   38.   Webster AK, Hung A, Moore BT, Guzman R, Jordan JM, Kaplan REW, et al. Population Selection and
1206   Sequencing of Caenorhabditis elegans Wild Isolates Identifies a Region on Chromosome III
1207   Affecting Starvation Resistance. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2019;9: 3477–3488.
1208   doi:10.1534/g3.119.400617

1209   39.   Mok C, Belmarez G, Edgley ML, Moerman DG, Waterston RH. PhenoMIP: High-Throughput
1210   Phenotyping of Diverse Caenorhabditis elegans Populations via Molecular Inversion Probes. G3:
1211   Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2020;10: 3977–3990. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401656

1212   40.   Webster AK, Chitrakar R, Powell M, Chen J, Fisher K, Tanny R, et al. Natural variation in the
1213   irld gene family affects insulin/IGF signaling and starvation resistance. bioRxiv. 2021;
1214   2021.06.07.447366. doi:10.1101/2021.06.07.447366

41. Luallen RJ, Reinke AW, Tong L, Botts MR, Felix M-A, Troemel ER. Discovery of a Natural
Microsporidian Pathogen with a Broad Tissue Tropism in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Pathog.
2016;12: e1005724. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005724

42. Reinke AW, Balla KM, Bennett EJ, Troemel ER. Identification of microsporidia host-exposed
proteins reveals a repertoire of rapidly evolving proteins. Nat Commun. 2017;8: 14023.
doi:10.1038/ncomms14023

43. Wadi L, Jarkass HTE, Tran, Tuan, Islah, Nizar, Luallen, Robert, Reinke AW. Genomic and phenotypic
evolution of nematode-infecting microsporidia. In preparation. 2022.

44. Jarkass HTE, Reinke AW. The ins and outs of host-microsporidia interactions during invasion,
proliferation and exit. Cellular Microbiology. 2020;22: e13247. doi:10.1111/cmi.13247

45. Tecle E, Troemel ER. Insights from C. elegans into Microsporidia Biology and Host-Pathogen
Relationships. Exp Suppl. 2022;114: 115–136. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-93306-7_5

46. Reddy KC, Dror T, Sowa JN, Panek J, Chen K, Lim ES, et al. An Intracellular Pathogen Response
Pathway Promotes Proteostasis in C. elegans. Curr Biol. 2017;27: 3544-3553.e5.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.009

47. Reddy KC, Dror T, Underwood RS, Osman GA, Elder CR, Desjardins CA, et al. Antagonistic paralogs
control a switch between growth and pathogen resistance in C. elegans. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15:
e1007528. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007528

48. Willis AR, Zhao W, Sukhdeo R, Wadi L, Jarkass HTE, Claycomb JM, et al. A parental transcriptional
response to microsporidia infection induces inherited immunity in offspring. Science Advances.
2021;7: eabf3114. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abf3114

49. Tecle E, Chhan CB, Franklin L, Underwood RS, Hanna-Rose W, Troemel ER. The purine nucleoside
phosphorylase pnp-1 regulates epithelial cell resistance to infection in C. elegans. PLOS Pathogens.
2021;17: e1009350. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009350

50. Balla KM, Luallen RJ, Bakowski MA, Troemel ER. Cell-to-cell spread of microsporidia causes
Caenorhabditis elegans organs to form syncytia. Nature Microbiology. 2016;1: 16144.

51. Balla KM, Andersen EC, Kruglyak L, Troemel ER. A wild C. elegans strain has enhanced epithelial
immunity to a natural microsporidian parasite. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11: e1004583.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004583

52. Balla KM, Lažetić V, Troemel ER. Natural variation in the roles of C. elegans autophagy components
during microsporidia infection. PLOS ONE. 2019;14: e0216011. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0216011

53. Troemel ER, Félix M-A, Whiteman NK, Barrière A, Ausubel FM. Microsporidia Are Natural
Intracellular Parasites of the Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Ward GE, editor. PLoS Biol.
2008;6: e309. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060309

54.   El Jarkass HT, Mok C, Schertzberg MR, Fraser AG, Troemel ER, Reinke AW. An intestinally secreted
host factor limits bacterial colonization but promotes microsporidia invasion of C.
elegans. bioRxiv. 2021; 2021.07.12.452088. doi:10.1101/2021.07.12.452088

55.   Mok CA, Au V, Thompson OA, Edgley ML, Gevirtzman L, Yochem J, et al. MIP-MAP: High-
throughput mapping of Caenorhabditis elegans temperature-sensitive mutants via molecular
inversion probes. Genetics. 2017;207: 447–463. doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300179

56.   Seidel HS, Ailion M, Li J, Oudenaarden A van, Rockman MV, Kruglyak L. A Novel Sperm-Delivered
Toxin Causes Late-Stage Embryo Lethality and Transmission Ratio Distortion in C. elegans. PLOS
Biology. 2011;9: e1001115. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001115

57.   Bakowski MA, Desjardins CA, Smelkinson MG, Dunbar TL, Lopez-Moyado IF, Rifkin SA, et al.
Ubiquitin-mediated response to microsporidia and virus infection in C. elegans. PLoS Pathog.
2014;10: e1004200. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004200

58.   A cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase promotes thermotolerance as part of the intracellular pathogen
response in Caenorhabditis elegans | PNAS. [cited 12 Jun 2022]. Available:
https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1918417117

59.   Yang W, Dierking K, Schulenburg H. WormExp: a web-based application for a Caenorhabditis
elegans-specific gene expression enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 943–945.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv667

60.   Lewis JA, Szilagyi M, Gehman E, Dennis WE, Jackson DA. Distinct patterns of gene and protein
expression elicited by organophosphorus pesticides in Caenorhabditis elegans. BMC Genomics.
2009;10: 202. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-202

61.   Helmcke KJ, Syversen T, Miller DM, Aschner M. Characterization of the effects of methylmercury
on Caenorhabditis elegans. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2009;240: 265–272.
doi:10.1016/j.taap.2009.03.013

62.   Eom H-J, Kim H, Kim B-M, Chon T-S, Choi J. Integrative Assessment of Benzene Exposure to
Caenorhabditis elegans Using Computational Behavior and Toxicogenomic Analyses. Environ Sci
Technol. 2014;48: 8143–8151. doi:10.1021/es500608e

63.   Menzel R, Menzel S, Swain S, Pietsch K, Tiedt S, Witczak J, et al. The Nematode Caenorhabditis
elegans, Stress and Aging: Identifying the Complex Interplay of Genetic Pathways Following the
Treatment with Humic Substances. Frontiers in Genetics. 2012;3. Available:
https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fgene.2012.00050

64.   Trzebny A, Slodkowicz-Kowalska A, Becnel JJ, Sanscrainte N, Dabert M. A new method of
metabarcoding Microsporidia and their hosts reveals high levels of microsporidian infections in
mosquitoes (Culicidae). Molecular Ecology Resources. 2020;20: 1486–1504.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13205

65.   Zhang G, Sachse M, Prevost M-C, Luallen RJ, Troemel ER, Felix M-A. A Large Collection of Novel
Nematode-Infecting Microsporidia and Their Diverse Interactions with Caenorhabditis elegans and
Other Related Nematodes. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12: e1006093. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006093

66.   Lange B, Kaufmann AP, Ebert D. Genetic, ecological and geographic covariables explaining host
1288   range and specificity of a microsporidian parasite. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2015;84: 1711–1719.
1289   doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12421

1290   67.   Bonneaud C, Tardy L, Giraudeau M, Hill GE, McGraw KJ, Wilson AJ. Evolution of both host
1291   resistance and tolerance to an emerging bacterial pathogen. Evolution Letters. 2019;3: 544–554.
1292   doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.133

1293   68.   Edgerton EB, McCrea AR, Berry CT, Kwok JY, Thompson LK, Watson B, et al. Activation of mosquito
1294   immunity blocks the development of transmission-stage filarial nematodes. PNAS. 2020;117:
1295   3711–3717. doi:10.1073/pnas.1909369117

1296   69.   Grover M, Fasseas MK, Essmann C, Liu K, Braendle C, Félix M-A, et al. Infection of C. elegans by
1297   Haptoglossa Species Reveals Shared Features in the Host Response to Oomycete Detection.
1298   Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. 2021;11: 973. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2021.733094

1299   70.   Osman GA, Fasseas MK, Koneru SL, Essmann CL, Kyrou K, Srinivasan MA, et al. Natural Infection of
1300   C. elegans by an Oomycete Reveals a New Pathogen-Specific Immune Response. Current Biology.
1301   2018;28: 640-648.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.029

1302   71.   Lansdon P, Carlson M, Ackley BD. Wild-type Caenorhabditis elegans Isolates Exhibit
1303   Distinct Gene Expression Profiles in Response to Microbial Infection. bioRxiv. 2021;
1304   2021.10.16.464663. doi:10.1101/2021.10.16.464663

1305   72.   Wan YC, Troemel ER, Reinke AW. Conservation of Nematocida microsporidia gene
1306   expression and host response in Caenorhabditis nematodes. bioRxiv. 2022;
1307   2022.06.15.496350. doi:10.1101/2022.06.15.496350

1308   73.   Mok CA, Au V, Thompson OA, Edgley ML, Gevirtzman L, Yochem J, et al. MIP-MAP: High-
1309   Throughput Mapping of Caenorhabditis elegans Temperature-Sensitive Mutants via Molecular
1310   Inversion Probes. Genetics. 2017;207: 447–463. doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300179

1311   74.   Dirksen P, Assié A, Zimmermann J, Zhang F, Tietje A-M, Marsh SA, et al. CeMbio - The
1312   Caenorhabditis elegans Microbiome Resource. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2020;10: 3025–
1313   3039. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401309

1314   75.   Powell JR, Ausubel FM. Models of Caenorhabditis elegans Infection by Bacterial and Fungal
1315   Pathogens. In: Ewbank J, Vivier E, editors. Innate Immunity. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2008. pp.
1316   403–427. doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-570-1_24

1317   76.   Norris AD, Kim H-M, Colaiácovo MP, Calarco JA. Efficient Genome Editing in Caenorhabditis
1318   elegans with a Toolkit of Dual-Marker Selection Cassettes. Genetics. 2015;201: 449–458.
1319   doi:10.1534/genetics.115.180679

1320   77.   Stiernagle T. Maintenance of C. elegans. WormBook. 2006; 1–11. doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

1321   78.   Luallen RJ, Bakowski MA, Troemel ER. Characterization of Microsporidia-Induced Developmental
1322   Arrest and a Transmembrane Leucine-Rich Repeat Protein in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLOS ONE.
1323   2015;10: e0124065. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124065

1324   79.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source
1325   platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods. 2012;9: 676–682.
1326   doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

1327   80.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 357–
1328   359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

1329   81.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map
1330   format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

1331   82.   Garrison E, Marth G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. 2012 [cited 4
1332   Jun 2022]. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1207.3907

1333   83.   Cook DE, Andersen EC. VCF-kit: Assorted utilities for the variant call format. Bioinformatics. 2017;
1334   btx011. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx011

1335   84.   Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:
1336   2184–2185. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356

1337   85.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools
1338   and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 3047–3048.
1339   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

1340   86.   Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat
1341   Biotechnol. 2016;34: 525–527. doi:10.1038/nbt.3519

1342   87.   Marini F, Binder H. pcaExplorer: an R/Bioconductor package for interacting with RNA-seq principal
1343   components. BMC Bioinformatics. 2019;20: 331. doi:10.1186/s12859-019-2879-1

1344   88.   Bakowski MA, Desjardins CA, Smelkinson MG, Dunbar TA, Lopez-Moyado IF, Rifkin SA, et al.
1345   Ubiquitin-Mediated Response to Microsporidia and Virus Infection in C. elegans. Schneider DS,
1346   editor. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10: e1004200. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004200

1347   89.   Chen K, Franz CJ, Jiang H, Jiang Y, Wang D. An evolutionarily conserved transcriptional response to
1348   viral infection in Caenorhabditis nematodes. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 303. doi:10.1186/s12864-
1349   017-3689-3

1350   90.   Eddy SR. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. PLOS Computational Biology. 2011;7: e1002195.
1351   doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195

1352