Plant community stability is associated with a decoupling of prokaryote and fungal soil networks

Dina in 't Zandt[1]*, Zuzana Kolaříková[1], Tomáš Cajthaml[2,3] and Zuzana Münzbergová[1,4]

[1]Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, 252 43 Průhonice, Czech Republic

[2]Institute for Environmental Studies, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Praha 2, Czech Republic

[3]Institute of Microbiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Vídeňská 1083, Prague CZ-14220, Czech

Republic

[4]Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Praha 2, Czech Republic

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Soil microbial networks play a crucial role in plant community stability. However, we lack knowledge

on the network topologies associated with stability and the pathways that shape these networks. In a

13-year mesocosm experiment, we determined how natural grassland soil and soil abandoned from

agricultural practices 60 years before the start of the experiment associated with soil microbial

networks. Abandoned arable soil promoted destabilising properties and was associated with soil

microbial networks coupled in prokaryote and fungal responses. This coupling was linked with strong

associations between prokaryotes, fungi and the plant community with soil chemical composition.

Conversely, in stable natural grassland communities, soil prokaryote and fungal responses were

decoupled. This decoupling was associated with a large variety of past plant community pathways

affecting in particular fungal networks. We conclude that plant community stability is associated with

a decoupling of prokaryote and fungal soil networks in which interactions with the plant community

play a critical role.

Introduction

Plants associate with complex interactive networks of soil microbial communities. These networks are

increasingly found to act as a structuring force in plant community stability processes[1–5]. Plant

community stability describes the ability of communities to resist and recover from biotic and abiotic

perturbations, and has become an increasingly pressing issue with the ongoing change in climate and

human interventions in natural ecosystems[6,7]. However, understanding the driving forces of

community stability is a major challenge due to the complexity of the underlying plant-soil-microbiota

interactions. At the same time, the complexity of ecological interactions itself has long been

considered to be a key component of community stability[8,9]. To predict, protect and restore plant

communities, we need to understand the role of plant-soil-microbiota interactions in community
stability processes.

Ecological interaction networks have a comprehensible structure with well-defined patterns in
relation to network stability[8,9]. Network stability results from species connectiveness, negative
interactions, few strong and many weak interactions and the clustering of species into subgroups[7,10–
12]. In essence, these network properties minimise the risk of change when a perturbation occurs by
creating dependencies between species, promoting species asymmetry and buffering against the
propagation of perturbation effects among subsections of the network[10,12–15].

Most of ecological network theory is derived from ecological food web theory, but has been shown
to be applicable to microbial networks[7,10,13]. However, in comparison to food webs, microbial networks
lack a strong directional structure and are based on co-occurrences of taxa alone[10]. Yet, we know that
plants play a critical role in shaping the environmental niches of soil microbial communities via the
input of a large variety of chemical compounds into the soil environment via, for example, dead
organic material and root exudates as well as the uptake of soil nutrients[16,17]. The plant community
may therefore play an essential role in shaping soil microbial network stability. We currently lack
knowledge on the role of associations between the plant community and soil microbial networks in
enhancing whole community stability.

Generally, interactions between plants and soil microbiota are increasingly found to act as a
stabilising force in plant community processes[2–5]. Plant species identity and thus plant community
composition is expected to determine the potential for stabilising mechanisms via reciprocal
specialisation of plants and soil microbiota. This reciprocal specialisation creates complex networks
and, in particular, negative feedback loops that avoid plant species dominance, species loss and
communities tipping into alternative states[2–5]. Direct interactions between plant community
composition and microbial networks are therefore likely critical pathways in community stabilising
mechanisms. Conversely, inherently more generic interactions ofindirect plant community pathways
via soil chemical changes may result in microbiota responding in tandem. If such more generic effects
are strong or not compensated for, these in tandem responses may lead to plant community
instability. To understand the drivers of plant community stability, we need to define the importance
of both overall (i.e., plant diversity and productivity) and compositional (where plant identity plays a
distinctive role) plant community interactions with soil microbial networks and test whether these
plant community components associate directly or indirectly via soil chemical changes.

Here, we test to what extent and via which pathways overall and compositional plant community
components associate with soil microbial biomass and networks. We compare these pathways
between dry grassland communities established on natural grassland soil and soil abandoned from

agricultural practices 60 years before the start of the experiment. Plant communities on natural

grassland soils are typically stable communities, while communities on abandoned arable soil are

more strongly impacted by invading plant species destabilising plant community networks[18,19]. We

created diverse plant communities by sowing a seed mixture of 44 perennial dry grassland species in

outdoor mesocosms filled with natural grassland soil and abandoned arable soil[20]. Plant communities

were left to establish for 5 years, after which natural invasion by both native and exotic species from

outside the sown species pool was allowed and occurred substantially the following 8 years. Long-

term plant community development resulted in communities with natural variation in, amongst

others, plant invasion impact and plant community composition. We combined four datasets: plant

community aboveground measurements over the 13 years and soil chemistry, total microbial biomass

(PLFA/NLFA analysis) and soil microbial community composition (16S and ITS amplicon sequencing)

after the 13th growing season.

First, we test whether plant communities on abandoned arable soil show a decreased long-term

stability aboveground and whether this translates to soil microbial communities with destabilising

properties in their prokaryote and fungal co-occurrence networks. Second, using structural equation

modelling (SEM), we test whether the relative contribution of direct and indirect pathways of the

overall plant community (aboveground productivity and plant diversity) and plant composition onto

soil microbial networks is affected by soil origin. We distinguish past plant community factors (initial

plant invasion impact and developmental trajectories) from factors in the year of sampling as well as

direct plant-microbial pathways from indirect pathways occurring via soil chemical changes. Third, we

determine the most important plant-soil-microbiota pathways that are consistently changed between

stable and instable plant communities, and whether these changes relate to particular putative

functions and metabolic characteristics of the microbial communities involved. Taken together, these

analyses unfold the pathways via which plant communities associated with soil microbial networks

and their role in plant community stability.

Results

Plant invasion had a larger impact on plant communities on abandoned arable soil

Plant community diversity gradually declined in time, but was drastically increased after the start of

plant invasion on both natural grassland and abandoned arable soil (Fig 1A). Despite this decline in

diversity, aboveground productivity of the communities remained relatively constant over time. In

addition, aboveground productivity was, on average, not affected by the start of invasion and showed

little difference between the two soil origins (Fig 1B). The proportion of invaded species biomass, on

the other hand, increased with the onset of invasion and was consistently higher in abandoned arable

soil communities than in communities established on natural grassland soil (Fig 1C).

Figure 1 Plant community development over time on natural grassland (green) and abandoned arable soil (yellow). Plant community (A) diversity, (B) total biomass, (C) biomass proportion of invaded plant species, and community compositional DCA scores related to plant species (D) temporal turnover, (E) ecological soil resource optimum and (F) legume cover. In D-F arrows with text indicate the interpretation of the DCA scores. Grey shading indicates the time period in which communities established and no natural species invasion took place. From 2012 onwards, natural invasion of species from outside the sown species pool occurred. Thick lines indicate averages with the shading showing the 95% confidence intervals . Thin lines show each replicate (*n* = 30). Results of linear mixed effect models including sowing density as a random effect are presented. Significance codes: *** = p < 0.001; ** = p < 0.01; ns = not significant, p > 0.05. For figures on species distribution on the DCA axes, see Fig S1.

Plant community composition in time was analysed using detrended correspondence analysis

(DCA). This analysis described variation in community composition by three axes: variation relating to

the gain and loss of species over time (temporal turnover separating early from late residency species;

DCA 1), variation relating to Ellenberg indicator values of the plant species' soil resource optima

(separating species with high and low soil resource optima; DCA 2), and variation relating to legume

cover (separating communities with high and low legume cover; DCA 3) (Fig 1D-F, S1). DCA 1 showed

a relative similar turnover in plant community composition over time between the two soil origins. In

addition, on both soils a sharp drop in early residency species occurred with the start of plant invasion

in 2012 (Fig 1D, S1A). For DCA 2, the start of plant invasion marked a strong increase in plant species

with high soil resource optima as most invading species were characterised by having a high ecological

soil resource optimum (Fig 1E, S1A). This increase was strongest for communities on abandoned arable

soil and persisted in the following years (Fig 1E, S1A). Finally, DCA 3 separated plant community

composition between the two soil origins before the start of invasion: communities on natural

grassland soil harboured a higher cover of legumes than communities on abandoned arable soil in the

years before 2012. With the start of invasion, plant community composition on natural grassland soil

dropped to, on average, similar legume cover as for the abandoned arable communities (Fig 1F, S1B).

Soil origin affected soil chemistry and microbial soil communities

After the 13th growing season, natural grassland and abandoned arable soils differed significantly in

chemistry, microbial biomass and microbial community composition (Fig 2AB, S2). Abandoned arable

soil was significantly higher in total N, plant available NO_3^-, NO_2^-, and NH_4^+, total and organic C and

total P. In addition, prokaryote and fungal richness as well as bacterial biomass were significantly

higher in abandoned arable than natural grassland soil (Fig S2). Conversely, soil pH, fungal and AMF

biomass, and belowground productivity were higher in natural grassland soil (Fig S2). Both prokaryote

and fungal community composition were significantly different between natural grassland and

abandoned arable soil (Fig 2AB). These differences largely resulted from differences in prokaryote and

fungal OTU abundances given the large overlap in the OTUs that were present in both soils (Fig S3AB).

Figure 2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on clr-transformed (A) prokaryote 16S and (B) fungal ITS rRNA reads in plant communities established on natural grassland (green circles) and abandoned arable soil (yellow triangles). Amplicon sequencing was performed at the end of the 13th growing season (*n* = 30 per soil origin).

Microbial networks in natural grassland soil were decoupled in prokaryote and fungal responses

For each soil origin, we created microbial co-occurrence networks. The networks indicated highly

connected prokaryote and fungal communities in both natural and abandoned soil with few

dominating OTUs and little difference in network properties commonly associated with network

stability (Fig S4; Table S1). To understand the microbial network topologies in more detail, we

clustered similarly responding OTUs across the 30 plant communities of each soil origin (Fig S4).

Similarly responding prokaryote OTUs were captured in 9 and 10 clusters for natural grassland and

abandoned arable soil, respectively. For fungi, 21 and 18 clusters were needed for natural grassland

and abandoned arable soil communities, respectively (Fig 3AB). In all microbial networks, taxonomic

families largely clustered together, indicating similar responses of closely related taxa (Fig S5-S6; Table

S2-S5). Importantly, all microbial networks showed a significantly denser clustering of OTUs than

would be expected based on null-models (randomly rewired networks; Fig S7), indicating a distinct

organisational pattern of each network.

We summed the relative abundance of the OTUs in each cluster. On average, three dominant

prokaryote clusters occurred that held most of the 16S rRNA reads recovered in both soils (Fig 3AB,

S5AB). In abandoned arable soil, these patterns were similar for the fungal networks showing 3 large

clusters. On natural grassland soil, however, fungal networks showed 5 larger clusters (Fig 3AB, S5CD).

Figure 3 Correlations between prokaryote (dark grey) and fungal network clusters (light grey) in (A) natural grassland and (B) abandoned arable soil. Only significant correlations after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing are presented (p < 0.0017 and p < 0.0018 for natural grassland and abandoned arable correlations, respectively). Negative correlations are indicated in vermillion, positive in blue. Width of the lines indicate the strength of the correlation and size of the vertices indicate the average size of the microbial network cluster based on relative reads within the prokaryote and fungal community each. Note that this means that cluster sizes can be compared between the two soils *within* the prokaryotes and fungal groups, but that prokaryote and fungal clusters are not scaled to each other and are therefore not directly comparable (but see Fig S2 for bacterial and fungal biomass comparison). For taxonomic and putative soil functions of each microbial network cluster see Fig S5-S6 and Table S2-S5.

We tested for correlations between all clusters in each soil origin. Most strikingly, in natural

grassland soil, only a single correlation between the dominant prokaryote and fungal clusters

occurred, while various strong positive and negative correlations occurred between the most

dominant clusters in abandoned arable soils (Fig 3AB). In other words, responses of dominant

prokaryote and fungal clusters in networks of natural grassland soil were decoupled, while in

abandoned arable soil, dominant prokaryote and fungal clusters responded in tandem.

Microbial communities in abandoned arable soil were predominantly associated with the plant

community in the year of sampling

We hypothesised that the plant community plays an important role in shaping soil microbial networks

and biomass, and may underlie the coupling/decoupling of prokaryote and fungal networks. We

tested this hypothesis using structural equation modelling (SEM). For each soil origin, we determined

how plant community parameters from the year of sampling and from the past (initial plant invasion

impact in 2012 and plant community developmental trajectories) associated with microbial biomass

and network clusters at the end of the 13th growing season (Fig 4A). We tested whether these

associations resulted from overall plant community factors (aboveground productivity and plant

diversity) or plant community composition (DCA scores), and determined whether these pathways

occurred via direct or indirectly pathways via soil chemical changes (Fig 4A). The obtained SEM models

explained, on average, 47% of the variation in microbial biomass. For microbial clusters, the explained

variation varied strongly between the network clusters in both soil origins and lay between 0 and 64%

(Table S6).

We calculated the relative contribution of each group of plant community parameters in shaping

soil microbial properties using the effect sizes of the SEM pathways. Most strikingly, we found that

plant community parameters in the year of sampling played a much larger role in shaping both

microbial biomass and networks in abandoned arable than natural grassland soil (Fig 4B).

Furthermore, the main players in the year of sampling in abandoned arable soil were direct

associations with the overall plant community and indirect associations of plant composition. In

contrast, in natural grassland soil, associations in the year of sampling most consistently occurred via

direct plant compositional pathways. Additionally, a few indirect plant compositional pathways

occurred for prokaryote and fungal network clusters, but not for microbial biomass. Direct

associations with the overall plant community occurred solely for fungal clusters (Fig 4B).

A variety of past plant community parameters were important in shaping microbial communities

in natural grassland soil. Microbial biomass was largely shaped by direct and indirect pathways of past

overall plant community factors. Prokaryote and fungal clusters were largely shaped by indirect

pathways of the past overall plant community as well as past direct compositional pathways (Fig 4B).

In abandoned arable soil, most of these past pathways were absent with exception of direct

compositional effects on prokaryote network clusters (Fig 4B).

Figure 4 Structural equation modelling (SEM) coupling natural variation in plant communities, soil chemistry mediators (including plant belowground productivity effects), microbial biomass and microbial network clusters in plant communities established on natural grassland and abandoned arable soil. (A) Plant community factors implemented in the SEM approach from the year of sampling (2019) and the past (2012-2019), the considered soil chemical factors as mediators in indirect pathways and the soil microbial properties that were associated either via direct or indirect pathways (n = 30). (B) Combined, relative contribution of each group of plant community factors in shaping soil microbial properties in natural grassland and abandoned arable soil. The relative contribution is based on the effect sizes of the SEM pathways weighted by the size of the involved microbial parameters (biomass or the average relative size of the network cluster). Values were corrected for the number of potential pathways in the SEM to allow for direct comparison of the various microbial properties. Colours between A and B match the four main groups of plant factors considered. In B, dark colours indicate direct pathways and faded colours indirect pathways. Note that the strict directionality of the indirect pathway is a mathematical necessity to test whether soil chemistry is a significant mediator, but should be interpreted as an interactions as both plants and microbiota influence soil chemistry.

Prokaryote and fungal networks were associated with unique past pathways in natural grassland soil

To compare the specific processes associated with prokaryote and fungal network clusters in natural grassland and abandoned arable soil, we extracted all direct and indirect plant community pathways with a relative contribution > 5%. We determined whether these pathways occurred for both prokaryotes and fungal clusters or were unique to either group (Fig 5AB).

In natural grassland soil, both prokaryote and fungal clusters were for an important part shaped by unique pathways. These resulted especially from past plant community parameters (Fig 5A). For natural grassland prokaryote clusters, unique pathways resulted from plant compositional trajectories in time and, to a lesser extent, the initial effect size of plant invasion in 2012. Similarly, unique pathways for natural grassland fungal clusters resulted from plant compositional trajectories in time and the effect size of plant invasion, but different sets of plant compositional axes were involved. In addition, for fungal clusters, changes in soil pH and organic C played an important role in past pathways (Fig 5A).

In abandoned arable soil, less differentiation in past pathways between prokaryote and fungal networks occurred (Fig 5B). Some similarities to the natural grassland soil occurred, such as unique

Figure 5 Summary of the most important pathways and plant community factors shaping prokaryote and fungal soil network clusters in (A) natural grassland soil and (B) abandoned arable soil. Grey arrows indicate pathways occurring for both prokaryote and fungal clusters, blue arrows pathways unique to prokaryote clusters and red arrows pathways unique to fungal clusters. Arrow width indicates the relative contribution of the pathway. Pathways related to plant community parameters in the year of sampling (2019; top part of figure) and from the past (2012-2019; bottom part of figures) were considered. The most important pathways were defined based on the relative contribution of plant community parameters (>5% contribution): the effect size of the pathway weighted by the relative cluster size (see Fig 3). Pathways are grouped and therefore multiple arrows can occur if, for example, different compositional axes are involved for prokaryotes and fungi.

pathways for prokaryote and fungal clusters resulting from the initial effect size of invasion in 2012

(Fig 5B). However, on abandoned arable soil, overlapping pathways associating with plant diversity

and composition in the year of sampling were more numerous than unique past pathways (Fig 4B).

In the year of sampling, plant composition decreased fungal community specialisation in abandoned

arable soil

As a final step, we searched for generalities in the prokaryote and fungal characteristics that were

associated with plant community pathways by testing which pathways significantly associated with

overall microbial community patterns: alpha-diversity (Shannon's index) and the relative habitat

specialisation index (SI). Additionally, we sought to understand the functional traits of each microbial

network cluster by analysing their taxonomic composition and comparing it to existing literature and

databases, and calculating the taxa's relative habitat specialisation and enrichment in natural

grassland or abandoned arable soil (Fig S8-S9; Table S2-S5).

In the year of sampling, we found that plant composition in the year of sampling did not affect any

of the soil nutrients in natural grassland soil, only belowground productivity was affected (Fig 5A; Table

S7). As a result, most plant compositional pathways in the year of sampling occurred via direct

interactions. A high legume cover in the year of sampling was associated with a low fungal and AMF

biomass, and plant communities with a high cover of high resource optima plant species were

associated with a low fungal diversity and bacterial biomass. In the latter case, belowground

productivity was low and therewith increased prokaryote diversity (Table S7). Furthermore, in

contrast to abandoned arable soil, plant diversity did not have detrimental effects on the fungal

community and rather increased fungal diversity in natural grassland soil (Table S7). Most of the

pathways in natural grassland soil involved metabolically diverse groups of bacteria, putative soil and

litter saprotrophs as well as plant pathogens (Table S7).

In abandoned arable soil, we found that plant diversity and composition in the year of sampling

associated with a large number of prokaryote and fungal network clusters. In contrast to natural

grassland soil, the plant compositional pathways occurred via changes in soil pH, total N and NO_3^- in

abandoned arable soil (Fig 5B). Most of these pathways involved dominant prokaryote and fungal

clusters, putative soil saprotrophs, plant pathogens, ammonia oxidising archaea (AOA) and

metabolically diverse bacteria (Table S8). Interestingly, a high cover of high resource optima plant

species and a low cover of legumes resulted in a low fungal community specialisation, which was

mediated through an increase in soil pH (Table S8). Additionally, a high legume cover and high plant

diversity decreased fungal biomass (Table S8; Fig S10B-S11).

Past plant community pathways were especially associated with putative saprotrophs and pathogens

In natural grassland soil, past plant community pathways were numerous and diverse, but for an important part related to the initial impact that plant species invasion had on the plant communities (Table S7; Fig S10). A large increase in aboveground productivity with the start of plant invasion in 2012 was associated with an increased soil N and decreased soil pH after the 13th growing season (2019). Subsequently, fungal and bacterial biomass as well as prokaryote community specialisation were increased. Similarly, a large increase in plant diversity with invasion in 2012 was associated with a decreased soil organic C (Table S7; Fig S11). These past plant community pathways involved a large diversity of microbiota, but especially diverse groups of chemoheterotrophic bacteria, putative soil saprotrophs and plant pathogens (Table S7).

Importantly, in natural grassland soil, large changes in plant composition with the start of plant invasion affected many fungal, but not prokaryote clusters. Prokaryote clusters were instead associated with plant compositional trajectories over time (Fig 5A; Table S7). The various fungal clusters affected by plant invasion impact especially consisted of putative soil saprotroph and plant pathogens (Table S7). For this latter group, plant communities where few early plant species were lost with the onset of plant invasion were increased in a specific set of putative soil pathogens. In addition, communities with a large increase in plant species with high soil resource optima were increased in a set of generalist soil pathogens, but decreased in another set of putative pathogens (Table S7).

In abandoned arable soil, past plant community pathways also occurred for an important part via the initial impact of plant species invasion in 2012. These pathways, however, consistently affected both prokaryote and fungal clusters and generally affected especially diverse groups of prokaryotes as well as putative soil saprotrophs and plant pathogens (Fig 5B; Table S8). Interestingly, almost all affected plant pathogens occurred in a single, dominant cluster, which was mediated by changes in soil organic C (Table S8).

Discussion

Plant community stability is associated with a decoupling in prokaryote and fungal responses

We tested to what extent and via which pathways plant communities shaped end of season soil microbial networks. We compared these pathways between dry grassland communities established on natural grassland soil and soil abandoned from agricultural practices 60 years before the start of the 13-year long mesocosm experiment. Our findings show that abandoned arable soil had destabilising effects on plant-soil-microbial networks, compared to the natural grassland soil. This instability was demonstrated by a higher success of invading plants in communities grown on abandoned arable soil, which is in line with previous studies[18,19]. Furthermore, our results show that

this instability above ground was reflected in the soil microbial networks occurring after the 13th

growing season. In abandoned arable soil, the dominant fungal and prokaryote network clusters had

strong positive and negative co-occurrences, indicating a joint response to environmental factors. This

response was tied to the plant community, as both the prokaryote and fungal clusters were associated

with relative similar pathways related to plant-soil interactions. These patterns are characteristic for

instable networks, as perturbation effects can easily spread throughout the network, affecting large

proportions of the network rather than just a small part (Fig 6)[11,21]. This highlights the sensitivity of

these abandoned arable microbial networks to disturbances and the potential for major restructuring.

In contrast, we found that the prokaryote and fungal responses in natural grassland soil were

largely decoupled. The prokaryote and fungal networks clusters were, for an important part,

associated to separated pathways related to the plant community. This division likely created separate

soil niches for each group[22,23], leading to enhanced resilience against disturbances in the system. This

decoupled structure of microbial networks is associated with the ability to buffer against the spread

of disturbances: a disturbance affecting one or a few of the microbial clusters will not cascade into

affecting unconnected clusters (Fig 6; compartmentalization[11,21]). We conclude that plant community

stability is associated with a decoupling of prokaryote and fungal responses, which is likely shaped by

interactions with the plant community.

Figure 6 Conceptual framework reconciling the importance of the decoupling of prokaryote and fungal responses in buffering the propagation of local perturbation effects. In (A) stable settings, prokaryotes (circles, black border) and fungi (pentagons, white border) occur in separate clusters. This separation results from different factors shaping prokaryote and fungal niches. For example, fungal clusters are for an important part directly associated with different plant species (colours of fungal pentagons match colour of the plant species). The resulting microbial networks are likely to buffer local disturbance effects as effects on a subset of the occurring clusters are not likely to spread to unconnected clusters (red arrows showing the spread among connected taxa). In (B) unstable settings, prokaryotes and fungi respond in tandem and create three dominant clusters. This coupling results from a few dominant factors shaping both prokaryote and fungal soil network clusters. The resulting microbial networks are likely to spread disturbance effects throughout large parts of the network given the high connectiveness of dominant clusters (red arrows).

Plant-mediated soil legacies play a key role in decoupling microbial networks

We show that the soil microbial networks in natural grassland soil were more strongly associated with

past plant community pathways than the networks in abandoned arable soil. These past plant

community pathways suggested to separate the responses of prokaryote and fungal network clusters

in natural grassland soil. This suggests that plant-mediated soil legacies play a crucial role in decoupling

fungal and prokaryote responses, resulting in a more stable soil microbial community (Fig 6).

Plant-mediated soil legacies are well-known phenomena and play a driving role in plant community

coexistence, diversity and succession[2–4]. We found that plant-mediated soil legacies resulted, for an

important part, from the initial impact of plant species invasion in 2012. In natural grassland soil,

drastic increases in plant diversity, aboveground productivity and changes in plant composition

resulted in numerous changes in putative fungal saprotrophs after the 13th growing season. Together

with the lasting impact of the initial plant invasion on soil organic C, pH, and total N, our findings

suggest that past invasion pathways were largely due to changes in decomposition processes. This is

consistent with the observed association of these pathways with fungal networks only, as fungi are

well-known for their crucial role in decomposing plant litter[24,25]. Furthermore, plant species litter

attracts specific fungal decomposers[24,25], which could explain why fungal networks were particularly

linked to past compositional pathways in which plant identity plays a key role. The successional

transformation of fungal communities from early to late decomposers suggests that changes in litter

input may result in long-lasting effects[24,25]. We conclude that litter input and decomposition processes

likely create long-lasting soil legacies, critical for the decoupling of prokaryote and fungal networks,

which ensure network stability (Fig 6).

We further found that putative plant pathogens were consistently associated with past plant

community pathways in natural grassland soil. Soil-borne pathogens are increasingly found to be key

to plant stabilising processes by preventing dominant plant species from outcompeting less successful

plants[2–4]. In line, we found specific network clusters of putative pathogens to be increased when early

plant species maintained abundant after the start plant invasion in 2012. Studies support the idea that

negative soil legacy effects increase in strength with plant species time since establishment in a new

range[26,27] and similar temporal processes have been suggested to occur within plant communities

itself[28]. Additionally, we found generalist pathogens to be increased when plant species with a high

soil resource optima became more abundant with the start of invasion. This relation aligns with the

well-established trade-off between plant species growth and defence, where species with high soil

resource needs prioritise growth over defence[29]. Importantly, the specialisation of putative plant

pathogens towards various past pathways was absent in abandoned arable soil. Instead, almost all

putative plant pathogens were found in a single cluster and associated with a single past pathway. We

therefore conclude that plant-mediated soil legacy effects via soil-born pathogen accumulation is

likely to play an essential role in ensuring plant community stability.

Soil chemistry is a critical mediator in coupling microbial networks

We show that soil chemistry is a key mediator in plant-microbiota interactions. Interestingly, the

position of soil chemistry in mediating plant community pathways differed between abandoned arable

and natural grassland soil. In abandoned arable soil, soil chemical changes largely associated with

plant community pathways in the year of sampling, while in natural grassland soil, past plant

community pathways largely mediated soil chemical changes. This difference likely resulted from the

varying extent of soil resource depletion in the two soils. Natural grassland soil was more depleted in

N and organic C than abandoned arable soil, both at the start of the experiment and after 13 growing

seasons[20]. This N depletion leads to a shift towards using more recalcitrant C by soil microbes, causing

soil C depletion and a substantial increase in microbial network complexity[30]. Consequently, plants in

the year of sampling could have little impact on overall soil chemistry and past litter inputs created

strong legacies in natural grassland soil. This is in line with the findings that plant composition in the

year of sampling associated with microbial communities either through belowground productivity or

direct pathways, which both likely resulted from interactions with plant rhizodeposits such as root

exudates[31]. We suggest that soil resource depletion plays a major role in decoupling fungal from

prokaryote responses by enhancing soil legacy effects.

Contrary to natural grassland soil, plant composition in the year of sampling had a significant

impact on soil chemistry. These changes in soil chemistry associated with both prokaryote and fungal

networks, likely coupling their responses. We found that soil N cycling was increased with a high cover

of legumes and a high cover of high resource optima species (mainly invaded plant species). Legumes

are well-known to enrich N levels in soil through N-fixation, but increased soil N is also commonly

observed when ruderal plant species invade communities[19,32]. In the latter case, observed associations

with ammonia oxidising archaea implies a crucial role for plant-controlled processes such as

rhizosphere priming - the acceleration of organic matter turnover via root exudation - in enhancing

soil N cycling and coupling prokaryote and fungal networks[33,34]. Notably, these same plant

compositional pathways were correlated with a reduction in fungal specialisation and biomass,

implying direct detrimental effects on fungal community complexity. We conclude that soil chemistry

and the ability of plants to influence soil resource cycling are a crucial mediators in the

coupling/decoupling of microbial networks.

Plant diversity decouples soil microbial networks in natural grassland soil

We show that plant diversity in the year of sampling was a key factor in shaping prokaryotes and fungal

communities in abandoned arable soil. In addition to reducing bacterial, fungal and AMF biomass,

plant diversity was associated with the dominant prokaryote and fungal network clusters, thus likely

coupling their responses. In contrast, in natural grassland soil, plant diversity increased fungal diversity

and directly associated with fungal clusters only. Given that we took six soil cores from each mesocosm

and pooled these, it is likely that plant diversity pathways related to the extent of spatial heterogeneity

of the communities. Diverse plant communities are inherently more spatially heterogenous above-

and belowground, and this is likely to result in higher fungal diversity, as different plant species have

unique associated fungi[25,35]. In other words, a higher fungal diversity would be observed when

sampling at multiple spaces in a diverse plant community compared to a low diverse community.

Importantly, spatial soil heterogeneity has been suggested to be critical in ensuring diversity and

stability in plant communities[2,28,36]. We suggest that plant diversity is critical to stability by creating

spatial soil heterogeneity and decoupling prokaryote and fungal networks (Fig 6).

Our findings in abandoned arable soil suggest that plant diversity did not lead to the same level of

spatial heterogeneity as observed in natural grassland soil. To understand this, it is important to realise

that plant diversity differences in our communities resulted from local plant species loss and invasion

processes over time. As these processes are non-random, a low plant diversity likely represented a

situation in which certain successful plant strategies dominated resulting in low plant functional

diversity and low soil multifunctionality[37,38]. Hence, plant species in low diverse assemblies on

abandoned arable soil likely affected microbial communities in relative similar ways, resulting in a

coupling of prokaryote and fungal responses, and instable networks (Fig 6).

Conclusion

We found remarkably different topologies in soil microbial networks in plant communities established

on natural grassland soil and plant communities established on soil abandoned from extensive

agricultural practices 60 years before the start of the experiment. Microbial networks in stable natural

grassland soil were largely decoupled in prokaryote and fungal responses, while prokaryote and fungal

networks in instable abandoned arable soil largely responded in tandem. We suggest that interactions

of microbiota with plants and soil resource cycling underlie the decoupling of prokaryote and fungal

networks. Plant community and soil chemical parameters therefore likely provide important, easy to

measure predictors of belowground microbial network stability of grasslands globally[5]. Similarly, plant

community and soil chemical factors are promising aspects to consider in designing plant communities

with decoupling effects on soil microbial networks to increase stability of agricultural systems[39,40].

Future challenges lie in connecting plant and microbial community stability to its driving forces across

a multitude of ecosystems, soil resource conditions, land management and perturbation types[5,41].

Methods

Experimental design

Experimental plant communities consisting of 44 perennial dry grassland species were sown in May

2007 (Table S9)[20]. Plant species were sown in equal proportions with three sowing densities on two

soil origins: a dry natural grassland soil (excavated near Encovany, Czech Republic;   50°31'44.6"N,

14°15'12.6"E) and a soil on which dry natural grasslands was turned into agricultural land, extensively

managed and abandoned 60 years before soil was collected (excavated near Institute of Botany, Czech

Academy of Sciences; 50°0'7.11"N, 14°33'20.66"E) (*n* = 10, 60 plant communities in total; Fig S12A).

The two soils mainly differed in soil nutrient availability with the natural grassland soil being

significantly lower in total N, organic C and plant available P and K than the abandoned arable soil[20].

The three sowing densities represent 25%, 100% and 400% of the seed density per m^{-2} as estimated

at the natural dry grassland location (see Supplementary methods and[20]). Despite significant effects

of sowing density in the first three years of the experiment[20], sowing density did not significantly affect

above- and belowground plant, microbial and chemical properties in the current study (data not

shown). Sowing density was therefore incorporated as a random effect rather than a fixed factor in all

analyses.

Plant communities were grown in 90 L mesocosms (diameter 65 cm, height 36 cm) in the

experimental garden of the Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences[20]. This location provided

similar environmental conditions to the natural grassland location. Plant communities did not receive

any watering or fertiliser. Only in rare periods of extreme drought when plants showed signs of wilting,

communities were watered with rain water.

Importantly, mesocosms were regularly inspected and all species from outside the sown species

pool were weeded until September 2011. After this, plant species from the experimental surroundings

of the mesocosms were allowed to invade into the established plant communities (Fig S12B; Table S9).

Plant species aboveground proportions

Plant community aboveground biomass was harvested every July and September from 2007 until

2011. From 2012 onward, aboveground biomass was harvested only once a year in July. These time

points are similar to management practises at the natural grassland site. Aboveground biomass was

cut off 3 cm above the soil and from 2007 until 2011, biomass was sorted per plant species, dried at

60 ℃ for at least 48 h, after which dry weight was determined. From 2012 onward, plant species

biomass was estimated by determining the percentile abundance of each plant species per mesocosm

and multiplying this by the total aboveground biomass cut at 3 cm height and dried at 60 ℃ for at least

48 h. In 2014 and 2015, aboveground biomass was cut, but no species proportions were determined.

Soil sampling

After the growing season in December 2019, soil cores of 6 cm in diameter and 36 cm length were

taken at six random positions in each plant community (Fig S12B). Aboveground plant parts were

removed and soil of the six cores was thoroughly mixed by passing it through a 2 mm mesh. Roots that

did not pass the mesh were collected, dried at 60 ℃ for at least 48 h after which dry weight was

determined. Subsamples from the mixed soils were taken for soil chemical determination of total

nitrogen (N), total and organic C, plant available NO_3^-, NH_4^+ and NO_2^-, K, P and pH (see Supplementary

methods). Furthermore, subsamples for analyses of total bacterial, fungal and arbuscular mycorrhizal

fungi (AMF) biomass using PLFA and NLFA analyses were taken as well as for microbiome community

composition analyses using 16S and ITS amplicon sequencing (see Supplementary methods).

Plant community diversity and composition

All analyses were performed in R version 3.6.1[42]. Plant community diversity was calculated based on

the Shannon index using the function *diversity* of the vegan package[43]. Plant community compositional

changes were assessed using detrended correspondence analysis (DCA). For this, aboveground

biomass of species with in total > 10 observations over all replicates and all years was analysed using

decorana from the vegan package[43]. To understand to which general processes the compositional

changes associated, we created a passive overlay of plant species ecological optima (Czech Ellenberg

indicator values for soil nitrogen, moisture and reaction[44]) and plant species average residence period

(average year of presence between 2012 and 2019) for DCA scores 1 and 2 using *envfit* of the vegan

package[43] (Fig S1A). Permutations (999 permutations) were used to test for significance. DCA score 3

was not significantly related to any plant traits (data not shown), but to a change in legume cover (Fig

S1B).

Past plant community parameters

To describe variation in plant community development over time, we calculated two types of past

plant community parameters: the initial impact of the start of plant species invasion on the plant

community and developmental trajectories after the start of invasion. These two types of past

parameters were calculated for plant community aboveground productivity, plant diversity (Shannon

index) and the DCA scores 1 to 3. For the initial impact of plant invasion, we calculated the difference

between parameters values in 2011 (last year without invasion) and 2012 (first year with invasion).

This was with exception of plant diversity for which drastic changes due to the start of invasion

occurred over a period of two years and the one-year difference did not relate significantly to any soil

or microbial measurements in 2019 (data not shown). Initial invasion impact for plant diversity was

therefore calculated between 2011 and 2013. Developmental trajectories were calculated as overall

increase/decrease trends of each plant community parameter from 2012 until 2019. For this, we fitted

a linear model using *lm* and extracted the beta slope using *lm.beta*[42].

16S and ITS bioinformatics

In total, Illumina paired end sequencing of 120 samples (60 16S and 60 ITS amplicons) and 2 negative

controls yielded 4 261 236 raw sequences. The data were processed using the pipeline SEED2 ver.

2.1.1b[45]. First, low-quality sequences were discarded (mean quality score < 30). The reads were

demultiplexed (no mismatch allowed in the tag sequences) and tag switches (i.e. reads with non-

matching tags) were discarded.

The ITS2 region was extracted from the fungal amplicons using ITSx ver. 1.0.11[46] and sequences

shorter than 20 bp were excluded. This yielded 982 036 sequences which were clustered to OTUs using

UPARSE implementation in USEARCH ver. 8.1.1861[47] with 97% similarity threshold (45 480 chimeric

sequences were excluded during this step). The most abundant sequences were selected for each of

the resulting 10 685 OTUs. These sequences were checked for their identity via BLASTn algorithm

against the UNITE database ver. 8.3[48] and non-fungal, no-hit sequences as well as global singletons,

doubletons and tripletons were excluded from further analyses leaving 2638 OTUs represented by 840

206 reads. Six reads detected in the negative control were subtracted from the corresponding two

OTUs across the dataset. The ecological guilds of the fungal OTUs were parsed using the database

FungalTraits[49] at genus and sequence levels. All abundant OTUs were assigned with high probability.

Primers were cut from prokaryote reads (1 319 594 reads after demultiplexing) and sequences

shorter than 20 bp were excluded. The reads were clustered to OTUs using UPARSE implementation

in USEARCH ver. 8.1.1861[47] with 97% similarity threshold (442 826 chimeric sequences were excluded

during this step). OTUs with n < 5 were discarded. The most abundant sequences were selected for

each of the resulting 6532 OTUs. These sequences were checked for their identity via BLASTn

algorithm against the RDP trainset 16[50]. 179 reads detected in the negative control were subtracted

from the corresponding OTUs across the dataset. OTUs with non-target and no BLASTn hits were

excluded from further analyses leaving 6369 OTUs represented by 841 512 reads.

Microbial community analyses

Significant separation between soil origins was tested with PERMANOVA using *adonis* from the vegan package[43] based on centered log ratio (clr) transformed read counts and visualised using PCA with the phyloseq package[51]. Alpha-diversity (Shannon diversity) was calculated based on multiple rarefaction (1000 iterations) using the metagMisc package[52]. The overlap in OTUs between natural grassland and abandoned arable soil for prokaryotes and fungi was calculated using *ps_venn*[53].

For each OTU, we calculated a specialisation index (SI)[54]. SI of the *i*th OTU was calculated as the coefficient of variation minus a correction for under-sampling of rare OTUs:

$$SI_i = \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\mu_i}\right) - \sqrt{\frac{K}{N_i}}$$

with σ_i being the standard deviation of the reads of the *i*th OTU across all samples, μ_i being the mean of the reads of the *i*th OTU across all samples, K the number of habitat classes (2 soils) and N the total number of reads of the *i*th OTU across all samples. Calculations were based on rarefied read abundances (rarefied to the smallest sample size: 4517 and 1618 for 16S and ITS, respectively). Average SI of each sample was calculated as the community weighted mean of the SI of all OTUs present in the sample.

Microbial network analysis

We constructed co-occurrence networks across the 30 plant communities on each soil origin for both prokaryote (bacteria and archaea) and fungal communities. We first filtered each dataset to exclude rare OTUs with total < 100 reads and OTUs that were present in < 5 samples per soil origin. Co-occurrence networks were then calculated using the SpiecEasi package[55]. In brief, networks were inferred based on clr transformed read counts, neighbourhood selection (MB method) and we selected optimal stability parameters using the StARS selection approach (threshold 0.05, nlambda 20 with 999 replications)[56]. We clustered similarly responding OTUs in each network using the Spin-glass algorithm of the igraph package[57–60]. This approach clusters OTUs based on both positive and negative edges as well as their weight. Present and absent edges as well as positive and negative edges were given a similar importance, and unlimited spins (clusters) were provided. Relative read counts were summed per cluster per sample and used in further correlation and structural equation models (SEM). We tested whether networks were significantly more or less densely clustered using a null-model approach. For this, we rewired the original networks edges with preserving the original networks degree distribution using the robin package[61] and calculated the clustering coefficient (modularity) of 1000 rewired networks. *P*-values were calculated as the proportion of randomised clustering coefficients smaller than the observed coefficients[3,62].

We inferred putative dominant metabolic and functional characteristics of each microbial network

cluster. This was done based on similarities, if present, in knowledge of the prokaryote or fungal taxa

co-occurring in each network cluster: putative functions were obtained from literature and the

FungalTraits database[49], relative habitat specialisation, and enrichment in natural grassland or

abandoned arable soil (Fig S8-S9; Table S2-S5). Relative habitat specialisation of network clusters was

calculated by taking the community weighted mean of the SI of each sample in each cluster (see

Microbial community analysis). Relative habitat generalist clusters were defined as clusters below the

community-wide mean SI without overlap of the maximum distribution (75th percentile + 1.5 *

interquartile range). Relative habitat specialists were defined as clusters above the community-wide

mean SI without overlap of the minimum distribution (25th percentile - 1.5 * interquartile range) (Fig

S8)[54].

Cluster enrichment in either of the soils was calculated by taking the ln-response ratio of read

counts (rarefied) in natural grassland soil divided by read counts in abandoned arable soil for each

OTU. Network clusters with response ratios above zero and without overlap of the minimum

distribution were defined as enriched in natural grassland soil. Network clusters with response ratios

below zero and without overlap of the maximum distribution were defined as enriched in abandoned

arable soil (Fig S9).

Overall statistics

Analysis of variances were performed using linear mixed-effects models in R version 3.6.1[42]. All models

in which 'time' (continuous, scaled) and 'soil origin' were included as an explanatory variable, included

'mesocosm' and 'sowing density' as random effects to take repeated measures and initial sowing

densities into account. Models were fitted using *lmer* of the lme4 package[63]. Mesocosm position in

the garden had negligible effects, in many cases resulted in overfitting of the models and was

therefore dropped as a random effect.

Correlations between plant, soil chemistry and microbial parameters including network clusters

were performed using *lme* of the nlme package[64] and included sowing density as a random factor. For

these multiple correlations, p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni

correction[65]. In all models, data was ln- or sqrt-transformed when model residuals did not follow a

normal distribution. In case of heterogeneity of variances, data weighting per soil origin using *varIdent*

from the nlme package was incorporated[66]. Following Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were performed using

the package emmeans[67].

Structural equation modelling

Structural equation modelling

We hypothesised that plant community parameters in the year of sampling and developmental

trajectories in time affected microbial communities with soil chemical changes as a potentially

important mediator. We used structural equation models (SEM) which allowed us to separate direct

pathways between plant communities and soil microbiota from indirect pathways via soil chemical

changes (Fig 4A). We note that the directionality of soil chemistry onto microbial communities is a

mathematical necessity to test whether soil chemistry was a significant mediator. Such pathways

should however be interpreted as an interaction as both soil microbiota and plant communities

influence soil chemistry.

All SEMs were fit using piecewiseSEM[68] and *lme* of the nlme package[64] with initial sowing density

as a random effect (n = 30). Overall model fit was assessed using direction separation tests (d-sep)

based on Fisher's C statistics with models being accepted if $p > 0.1$. We simplified our models using a

backward stepwise elimination procedure for which we consecutively removed pathways with the

highest p-value[69]. Endogenous variables were allowed to drop from the model in case effects were

not significant ($p > 0.05$). The model with the lowest Akaike information criterion (corrected for small

sample sizes; AICc) was then selected as the best fit base model (see Supplementary Methods).

We created 64 unique SEM models (3 microbial biomass pools natural grassland soil + 3 microbial

biomass pools abandoned arable soil + 9 16S clusters natural grassland soil + 10 16S clusters

abandoned arable soil + 21 ITS clusters natural grassland soil + 18 ITS clusters abandoned arable soil).

We extracted the direction and effect size of each significant pathway of each model ($p < 0.05$) and

calculated the contribution of each plant parameter to changes in microbial biomass and microbial co-

occurrence clusters. For each significant, direct plant-microbial pathway, we multiplied the path effect

size with the relative size of the microbial pool or cluster it was affecting. This multiplication sized the

pathway effect to its relative importance to the microbial community as a whole. For indirect

pathways, we multiplied the effect size of the plant parameter onto the soil chemical variable with

the effect size of the soil chemical variable onto the microbial variable. The obtained effect sizes were

scaled to the relative size of the microbial variable they were affecting using community weighted

means.

To create an overview of all effects, the relative contribution of each plant parameter per microbial

variable was summed per group: all combinations of plant parameters in the year of sampling versus

past parameters, overall versus compositional parameters, and direct versus indirect pathways (Fig

4A). These grouped effect sizes were scaled to the number of potential pathways within each group

to allow a direct comparison of the relative contribution of each plant parameter group (Fig 4B).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Andrea Jarošová and Mária Šurinová for preparing the samples for amplicon sequencing, and Maria Riffel for soil sampling and processing. We thank Michiel in 't Zandt for his knowledge on prokaryote families and Francisco Dini-Andreote for answering questions on microbial co-occurrence network analysis. This research was supported by GACR 20-01813S and institutional project RVO 67985939 and the Ministry of Education of CZ (MŠMT).

Author contributions

ZM designed and set up the experiment; ZM maintained the experiment and led the sampling campaigns; TC analysed soil samples for PLFA/NLFAs; ZK performed the downstream microbial bioinformatics; DitZ performed all further microbial, soil and plant data analyses and statistics; DitZ wrote the publication, all others co-commented. All authors contributed critically to the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

Data and code availability

All data is publicly available via the Zenodo digital data repository: doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6695065. Microbial sequencing data is deposited in the NCBI SRA database, BioProject PRJNA931221. R scripts are publicly available via: github.com/dintzandt/scripts_stability_and_soil_microbial_networks.

References

1.   Bardgett, R. D. & van der Putten, W. H. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature* **515**, 505–511 (2014).

2.   Bever, J. D., Platt, T. G. & Morton, E. R. Microbial population and community dynamics on plant roots and their feedbacks on plant communities. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **66**, 265–283 (2012).

3.   in 't Zandt, D., Herben, T., van den Brink, A., Visser, E. J. W. & de Kroon, H. Species abundance fluctuations over 31 years are associated with plant–soil feedback in a species-rich mountain meadow. *Journal of Ecology* **109**, 1511–1523 (2021).

4.   van der Putten, W. H., Bradford, M. A., Brinkman, P. E., van de Voorde, T. F. J. & Veen, G. F. Where, when and how plant-soil feedback matters in a changing world. *Funct Ecol* **30**, 1109–1121 (2016).

5.   Bardgett, R. D. & Caruso, T. Soil microbial community responses to climate extremes: resistance, resilience and transitions to alternative states. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **375**, 20190112 (2020).

6.   IPCC. *Climate change 2021: the physical science basis. contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. (Cambridge University Press, 2021).

7.   Hernandez, D. J., David, A. S., Menges, E. S., Searcy, C. A. & Afkhami, M. E. Environmental
stress destabilizes microbial networks. *ISME Journal* **15**, 1722–1734 (2021).

8.   Pimm, S. L. The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature* **315**, 635–636 (1985).

9.   Montoya, J. M., Pimm, S. L. & Solé, R. V. Ecological networks and their fragility. *Nature* **442**,
259–264 (2006).

10.   Coyte, K. Z., Schluter, J. & Foster, K. R. The ecology of the microbiome: networks,
competition, and stability. *Science (1979)* **350**, 663–666 (2015).

11.   Stouffer, D. B. & Bascompte, J. Compartmentalization increases food-web persistence. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 3648–3652 (2011).

12.   Saint-Béat, B. *et al.* Trophic networks: how do theories link ecosystem structure and
functioning to stability properties? A review. *Ecol Indic* **52**, 458–471 (2015).

13.   de Vries, F. T. *et al.* Soil bacterial networks are less stable under drought than fungal
networks. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3033 (2018).

14.   McCann, K., Hastings, A. & Huxel, G. R. Weak trophic interactions and the balance of nature.
Nature **395**, 794–798 (1998).

15.   Neutel, A. M., Heesterbeek, J. A. P. & de Ruiter, P. C. Stability in real food webs: weak links in
long loops. *Science (1979)* **296**, 1120–1123 (2002).

16.   Sokol, N. W. *et al.* Life and death in the soil microbiome: how ecological processes influence
biogeochemistry. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 415–430 (2022).

17.   Canarini, A., Kaiser, C., Merchant, A., Richter, A. & Wanek, W. Root exudation of primary
metabolites: mechanisms and their roles in plant responses to environmental stimuli. *Front
Plant Sci* **10**, (2019).

18.   Mattingly, W. B. & Orrock, J. L. Historic land use influences contemporary establishment of
invasive plant species. *Oecologia* **172**, 1147–1157 (2013).

19.   Kulmatiski, A., Beard, K. H. & Stark, J. M. Soil history as a primary control on plant invasion in
abandoned agricultural fields. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **43**, 868–876 (2006).

20.   Münzbergová, Z. Seed density significantly affects species richness and composition in
experimental plant communities. *PLoS One* **7**, (2012).

21.   Guimerà, R. *et al.* Origin of compartmentalization in food webs. *Ecology* **91**, 2941–2951
(2010).

22.   Dumbrell, A. J., Nelson, M., Helgason, T., Dytham, C. & Fitter, A. H. Relative roles of niche and
neutral processes in structuring a soil microbial community. *ISME Journal* **4**, 337–345 (2010).

23.   Davison, J. *et al.* Temperature and pH define the realised niche space of arbuscular
mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* **231**, 763–776 (2021).

24.   Voříšková, J. & Baldrian, P. Fungal community on decomposing leaf litter undergoes rapid
successional changes. *ISME J* **7**, 477–486 (2013).

25.   Cornelissen, J. H. C. *et al.* Coevolutionary legacies for plant decomposition. *Trends Ecol Evol*
38, 44–54 (2023).

26.   Diez, J. M. *et al.* Negative soil feedbacks accumulate over time for non-native plant species. *Ecol Lett* **13**, 803–809 (2010).

27.   Aldorfová, A., Knobová, P. & Münzbergová, Z. Plant–soil feedback contributes to predicting plant invasiveness of 68 alien plant species differing in invasive status. *Oikos* **129**, 1257–1270 (2020).

28.   in 't Zandt, D. *et al.* Plant life-history traits rather than soil legacies determine colonisation of soil patches in a multi-species grassland. *Journal of Ecology* **110**, 889–901 (2022).

29.   Huot, B., Yao, J., Montgomery, B. L. & He, S. Y. Growth–defense tradeoffs in plants: a balancing act to optimize fitness. *Mol Plant* **7**, 1267–1287 (2014).

30.   Ma, X. *et al.* Long-term nitrogen deposition enhances microbial capacities in soil carbon stabilization but reduces network complexity. *Microbiome* **10**, (2022).

31.   Bais, H. P., Weir, T. L., Perry, L. G., Gilroy, S. & Vivanco, J. M. The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **57**, 233–266 (2006).

32.   Zhang, P., Li, B., Wu, J. & Hu, S. Invasive plants differentially affect soil biota through litter and rhizosphere pathways: a meta-analysis. *Ecol Lett* **22**, 200–210 (2019).

33.   Kuzyakov, Y. & Xu, X. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* **198**, 656–669 (2013).

34.   Thion, C. E. *et al.* Plant nitrogen-use strategy as a driver of rhizosphere archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidiser abundance. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **92**, 1–11 (2016).

35.   Fitzpatrick, C. R. *et al.* Assembly and ecological function of the root microbiome across angiosperm plant species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E1157–E1165 (2018).

36.   Chung, Y. A. The temporal and spatial dimensions of plant–soil feedbacks. *New Phytologist* (2023) doi:10.1111/nph.18719.

37.   Smith, M. D. & Knapp, A. K. Dominant species maintain ecosystem function with non-random species loss. *Ecol Lett* **6**, 509–517 (2003).

38.   Zavaleta, E. S., Pasari, J. R., Hulvey, K. B. & Tilman, G. D. Sustaining multiple ecosystem functions in grassland communities requires higher biodiversity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 1443–1446 (2010).

39.   Isbell, F. *et al.* Benefits of increasing plant diversity in sustainable agroecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* **105**, 871–879 (2017).

40.   Oram, N. J. *et al.* Plant community flood resilience in intensively managed grasslands and the role of the plant economic spectrum. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **57**, 1524–1534 (2020).

41.   Ingrisch, J. & Bahn, M. Towards a comparable quantification of resilience. *Trends Ecol Evol* **33**, 251–259 (2018).

42.   R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.r-project.org/ (2019).

43. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: community ecology package. https://cran.r-
project.org/package=vegan (2018).

44. Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Dřevojan, P., Sádlo, J. & Zelený, D. Ellenberg-type indicator values for the
Czech flora. *Preslia* **90**, 83–103 (2018).

45. Větrovský, T., Baldrian, P. & Morais, D. SEED 2: A user-friendly platform for amplicon high-
throughput sequencing data analyses. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 2292–2294 (2018).

46. Bengtsson-Palme, J. *et al.* Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from
ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental
sequencing data. *Methods Ecol Evol* **4**, 914–919 (2013).

47. Edgar, R. C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nat
Methods* **10**, 996–998 (2013).

48. Nilsson, R. H. *et al.* The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark
taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D259–D264 (2019).

49. Põlme, S. *et al.* FungalTraits: a user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like
stramenopiles. *Fungal Divers* **105**, (2020).

50. Cole, J. R. *et al.* Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA
analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D633–D642 (2014).

51. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**, (2013).

52. Mikryukov, V. metagMisc: Miscellaneous functions for metagenomic analysis. Preprint at
(2021).

53. Russel, J. Russel88/MicEco: v0.9.15. (2021).

54. Chen, Y.-J. *et al.* Metabolic flexibility allows bacterial habitat generalists to become dominant
in a frequently disturbed ecosystem. *ISME J* **15**, 2986–3004 (2021).

55. Kurtz, Z. D. *et al.* Sparse and compositionally robust inference of microbial ecological
networks. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**, 1–25 (2015).

56. Liu, H., Roeder, K. & Wasserman, L. Stability Approach to Regularization Selection (StARS) for
high dimensional graphical models. *Adv Neural Inf Process Syst* **24**, 1432–1440 (2010).

57. Reichardt, J. & Bornholdt, S. Statistical mechanics of community detection. *Phys Rev E Stat
Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* **74**, 1–16 (2006).

58. Newman, M. E. J. & Girvan, M. Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. *Phys
Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* **69**, 1–15 (2004).

59. Traag, V. A. & Bruggeman, J. Community detection in networks with positive and negative
links. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* **80**, 1–6 (2009).

60. The igraph Core Team. igraph - The network analysis package. (2020).

61. Policastro, V., Righelli, D., Carissimo, A., Cutillo, L. & Feis, I. de. ROBustness In Network
(robin): an R Package for Comparison and Validation of Communities. *R J* **13**, 292 (2021).

62.   Yenni, G., Adler, P. B. & Ernest, S. K. M. Do persistent rare species experience stronger
negative frequency dependence than common species? *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **26**,
513–523 (2017).

63.   Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M. & Walker, S. C. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
lme4. *J Stat Softw* **67**, 1–48 (2015).

64.   Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. & R Core Team. nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed
effects models. https://cran.r-project.org/package=nlme (2019).

65.   Bonferroni, C. E. Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilità. *Pubblicazioni del R
Istituto Superiore di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali di Firenze* (1936).

66.   Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A. & Smith, G. M. *Mixed effects models and
extensions in ecology with R*. (Springer-Verlag, 2009).

67.   Lenth, R. emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. https://cran.r-
project.org/package=emmeans (2018).

68.   Lefcheck, J. S. piecewiseSEM: piecewise structural equation modelling in R for ecology,
evolution, and systematics. *Methods Ecol Evol* **7**, 573–579 (2016).

69.   in 't Zandt, D. *et al.* Local soil legacy effects in a multispecies grassland community are
underlain by root foraging and soil nutrient availability. *Journal of Ecology* **108**, 2243–2255
(2020).